Lecture 10: Einstein Summation Convention

• "In any expression containing subscripted variables appearing twice (and only twice) in any term, the subscripted variables are assumed to be summed over."

• e.g. Scalar Product

$$\vec{a}.\vec{b} = \sum_{i=1}^{i=3} a_i b_i = a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 + a_3 b_3$$

• will now be written
 $a_{\theta}b_{\theta}$
Good practice to use
Greek letters for these
dummy indices

- In this lecture we will work in 3D so summation is assumed to be 1 3 but can be generalized to *N* dimensions
- Note dummy indices do not appear in the 'answer'. c.f.

 $I = \int f(\theta) \mathrm{d}\theta = \int f(x) \mathrm{d}x$ where θ, x are dummy variables



• Total Differential

$$df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} dy + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} dz \equiv \vec{\nabla}.\vec{dr}$$

becomes
$$df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\theta}} dx_{\theta}$$

where $x_{\alpha} = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv (x, y, z)$

and *'free index'* α runs from 1-3 here (or 1-*N* in and *N*-dimensional case)

• Matrix multiplication $\tilde{A} = \tilde{B}.\tilde{C}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$a_{ij} = \sum_{k} (b_{ik})(c_{kj}) \equiv b_{i\theta} c_{\theta j}$$

Note the same 2 free indices on each side of the equation

• Trace of a matrix

$$A_{11} + A_{22} + \dots A_{nn} \equiv A_{\theta\theta}$$

Vector Product

need to define "alternating tensor" ϵ_{ijk} a set of 27 numbers $\epsilon_{111}, \epsilon_{112} \dots$ $\epsilon_{ijk} = 0$ if any 2 indices are same 21 cases of zero! $\epsilon_{ijk} = +1$ if (i, j, k) are (1, 2, 3) in cyclic order 3 cases $\epsilon_{ijk} = -1$ if (i, j, k) are (2, 1, 3) in cyclic order 3 cases

then (it's not that hard, honest!)

$$[\vec{a} \times \vec{b}]_i \equiv \epsilon_{i\theta\phi} a_\theta b_\phi$$

• There is a "simple" relation between the alternating tensor and the Kronecker delta

$$\epsilon_{\theta jk}\epsilon_{\theta lm} = \delta_{jl}\delta_{km} - \delta_{jm}\delta_{lk}$$

- The proof is simply the evaluation of all 81 cases! (although symmetry arguments can make this easier).
- If you can get the hang of this, this provides the fastest and most reliable method of proving vector identities
- Once written down in this form, the order of the terms only matters if they include differential operators (which only act on things to the right-hand-side of them).

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\vec{a} \times (\vec{b} \times \vec{c})\right]_{m} = \epsilon_{m\alpha\beta} a_{\alpha} \underbrace{\epsilon_{\beta\gamma\delta} b_{\gamma} c_{\delta}}_{\beta \cdot r c \delta} \\ & \text{rotating indices cyclically doesn't change sign} & \beta \cdot \text{th component of } \vec{b} \times \vec{c} \\ & = \epsilon_{\beta m\alpha} \epsilon_{\beta\gamma\delta} a_{\alpha} b_{\gamma} c_{\delta} = (\delta_{m\gamma} \delta_{\alpha\delta} - \delta_{m\delta} \delta_{\alpha\gamma}) a_{\alpha} b_{\gamma} c_{\delta} \\ & = (a_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}) b_{m} - (a_{\alpha} b_{\alpha}) c_{m} = \left[(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{c}) \vec{b} - (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}) \vec{c} \right]_{m} \end{aligned}$$

- Which constitutes a compact proof of the (hopefully familiar) "ABACAB" formula
- Note we have made much use of the 'obvious' identity

$$\delta_{i\theta}a_{\theta} = a_i$$

Further Examples

• curl (grad)
$$\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla}\phi) = 0$$

 $\epsilon_{m\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{\beta}} = 0$

because this term is anti-symmetric (changes sign if α and β are swopped) but this term symmetric (stays same if α and β are swopped) so terms 'cancel in pairs'

• div (curl)
$$\vec{\nabla}.(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\theta}}\epsilon_{\theta\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}A_{\beta} = \epsilon_{\theta\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial^2 A_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\theta}\partial x_{\alpha}} = 0$$
 as above

• Trace of the unit matrix

$$\delta_{\theta\theta} = \delta_{11} + \delta_{22} + \delta_{33} = 3$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) = \vec{\nabla} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) - \vec{\nabla^2} \vec{A} \\ & \text{where } \vec{\nabla^2} (A_x, A_y, A_z) \equiv (\nabla^2 A_x, \nabla^2 A_y, \nabla^2 A_z) \\ & \epsilon_{m\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} \epsilon_{\beta\theta\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\theta} A_\phi = \epsilon_{\beta m\alpha} \epsilon_{\beta\theta\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\theta} A_\phi \\ &= (\delta_{m\theta} \delta_{\alpha\phi} - \delta_{m\phi} \delta_{\alpha\theta}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\theta} A_\phi \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} A_\alpha - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} A_m \\ &= \left[\vec{\nabla} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) - \vec{\nabla^2} \vec{A} \right]_m \quad \text{QED} \end{aligned}$$

• It's not as hard as it first looks!