DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-81,066]

ConocoPhillips Company, Trainer Refinery, Including On-Site Leased Workers From Shrack, Young, and Associates, Inc., and Project Control Associates, Trainer, PA; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

On April 30, 2012, the Department of Labor issued a Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration applicable to workers and former workers of ConocoPhillips Company, Trainer Refinery, Trainer, Pennsylvania (subject firm). The subject firm is engaged in activities related to the production of gasoline, distillate, and heavy oil. The subject worker group includes on-site leased workers from Shrack, Young, and Associates, Inc. and Project Control Services.

Based on information obtained during the reconsideration investigation, the Department determines that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with gasoline, distillate, and heavy oil contributed importantly to workers' separations.

Section 222(a)(1) has been met because a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated.

Section 222(a)(2)(A)(i) has been met because the sales and/or production of gasoline, distillate, and heavy oil by ConocoPhillips Company, Trainer Refinery, Trainer, Pennsylvania have decreased absolutely.

Section 222(a)(2)(Å)(ii) has been met because imports by ConocoPhillips Company of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by ConocoPhillips Company, Trainer Refinery, Trainer, Pennsylvania, have increased during the relevant period.

Finally, Section 222(a)(2)(A)(iii) has been met because the increased imports contributed importantly to the worker group separations and sales/production declines at ConocoPhillips Company, Trainer Refinery, Trainer, Pennsylvania.

Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I determine that workers of ConocoPhillips Company, Trainer Refinery, Trainer, Pennsylvania, who were engaged in employment related to the production of gasoline, distillate, and heavy oil, meet the worker group certification criteria under Section 222(a) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(a). In accordance with Section 223 of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2273, I make the following certification:

All workers of ConocoPhillips Company, Trainer Refinery, including on-site leased workers from Shrack, Young, and Associates, Inc. and Project Control Services, Trainer, Pennsylvania Pennsylvania, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 13 2010, through two years from the date of this certification, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on date of certification through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 16th day of July 2012.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2012–18416 Filed 7–27–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Mine Safety and Health Administration

Brookwood-Sago Mine Safety Grants

AGENCY: Mine Safety and Health Administration, Labor. **ACTION:** Solicitation for Grant Applications (SGA).

Announcement Type: New. Funding Opportunity Number: SGA 12–3BS.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number: 17.603

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Labor, Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA), is making \$1,250,000 available in grant funds for educational and training programs to help identify, avoid, and prevent unsafe working conditions in and around mines. The focus of these grants for the Fiscal Year (FY) 2012 will be on training and training materials for mine emergency preparedness and mine emergency prevention for all underground mines. Applicants for the grants may be States and nonprofit (private or public) entities.

The number of grants awarded will be determined by MSHA's evaluation of grant applications. The amount of each individual grant will be at least \$50,000.00. The maximum amount for a 12-month period of performance is \$250,000. MSHA may award both annual and renewal (two-year) grants. This notice contains all of the information needed to apply for grant funding, including those eligible grantees awarded a 2011 renewal grant. **DATES:** The closing date for applications will be August 31, 2012, (no later than 11:59 p.m. EDST). MSHA will award grants on or before September 30, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Applications for grants submitted under this competition must be submitted electronically using the Government-wide site at *http:// www.grants.gov.* If applying online poses a hardship to any applicant, the MSHA Directorate of Educational Policy and Development will provide assistance to help applicants submit online.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Any questions regarding this solicitation for grant applications (SGA 12–3BS) should be directed to Robert Glatter at *glatter.robert@dol.gov* or at 202–693–9570 (this is not a toll-free number) or the Grant Officer, Valoree Lilley, at *lilley.valoree@dol.gov* or at 202–693–9831 (this is not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This solicitation provides background information and the requirements for projects funded under the solicitation. This solicitation consists of nine parts:

• Part I provides background information on the Brookwood-Sago grants.

• Part II describes the size and nature of the anticipated awards.

• Part III describes the qualifications of an eligible applicant.

• Part IV provides information on the application and submission process for FY 2012 annual and renewal grants.

• Part V explains the review process and rating criteria that will be used to evaluate the FY 2012 applications.

• Part VI provides information for FY 2011 renewal grantees to apply for FY 2012 funding.

• Part VII provides award administration information.

• Part VIII contains MSHA contact information.

• Part IX addresses Office of Management and Budget information collection requirements.

I. Funding Opportunity Description

A. Overview of the Brookwood-Sago Mine Safety Grant Program

Responding to several coal mine disasters, Congress enacted the Mine Improvement and New Emergency Response Act of 2006 (MINER Act). When Congress passed the MINER Act, it expected that requirements for new and advanced technology, e.g., fireresistant lifelines and increased breathable air availability in escapeways would increase safety in mines. The MINER Act also required that every underground coal mine have persons trained in emergency response. Congress emphasized its commitment to training for mine emergencies when it strengthened the requirements for the training of mine rescue teams. Recent events demonstrate that training is the key for proper and safe emergency response and that all miners working underground should be trained in emergency response.

Under Section 14 of the MINER Act, the Secretary of Labor (Secretary) is required to establish a competitive grant program called the "Brookwood-Sago Mine Safety Grants" (Brookwood-Sago grants). This program provides funding of education and training programs to better identify, avoid, and prevent unsafe working conditions in and around mines. This program will use grant funds to establish and implement education and training programs or to create training materials and programs. The MINER Act requires the Secretary to give priority to mine safety demonstrations and pilot projects with broad applicability. It also mandates that the Secretary emphasize programs and materials that target miners in smaller mines, including training mine operators and miners on new MSHA standards, high-risk activities, and other identified safety priorities.

B. Grant Structures

MSHA funds the Brookwood-Sago grants annually for 12 months of performance through two types of grants. For the first type, "annual grants," MSHA requires an applicant to compete each year for the available funds. For the second type, "renewal grants," MSHA awards a grant eligible for two separate years of funding with two separate 12-month performance periods.

For renewal grants, the awardees' eligibility for the second year of funding in FY 2013 is contingent on certain conditions being met. MSHA will award funding for the second year of performance based on the following requirements:

1. The first-year grant topics are still a priority with MSHA for training under the Brookwood-Sago grants;

2. Funds are available for the Brookwood-Sago grant program; and

3. The grantee has demonstrated acceptable performance under the first year of the grant.

If MSHA funds the second year of renewal grants, it will advise, in the FY 2013 Brookwood-Sago SGA, those grantees eligible for renewal grants of the documentation necessary to obtain their second year of funding. If a renewal grantee chooses not to pursue the second year of funding, the grantee may still compete for a new Brookwood-Sago grant in FY 2013. MSHA would not penalize an eligible renewal grantee for not applying for its second year of funding under the renewal grant and would permit the grantee to compete for another annual or renewal Brookwood-Sago grant.

C. Educational and Training Program Priorities

MSHA priorities for the FY 2012 funding of the annual Brookwood-Sago grants will focus on training or training materials for mine emergency preparedness and mine emergency prevention for all underground mines. MSHA expects Brookwood-Sago annual grantees to develop training materials or to develop and provide mine safety training or educational programs, recruit mine operators and miners for the training, and conduct and evaluate the training.

For the renewal grants, MSHA's priorities will focus on training for mine emergency preparedness and mine emergency prevention for all underground mines. Except for creating very innovative educational material or equipment, MSHA expects that renewal grants will focus primarily on training mine operators and miners. A renewal grant may include a request for creating educational materials or equipment, but the purpose of these grants is to provide training for as many mine operators and miners as possible. MSHA also expects grantees with renewal grants to recruit mine operators and miners for the training, conduct training, and evaluate the grant program on mine emergency preparedness or mine emergency prevention.

For both annual and renewal grant programs, grantees are also expected to conduct follow-up evaluations with the people who received training in their programs to measure how the training promotes the Secretary's goal of ensuring a safe and healthy workplace. The evaluation will focus on determining how effective their training was in either reducing hazards, improving skills for the selected training topics, or in improving the conditions in mines. Grantees must also cooperate fully with MSHA evaluators of their programs.

II. Award Information

A. Award Amount for FY 2012

MSHA is providing \$1,250,000 to award new FY 2012 annual and renewal grants and to fund the second year of eligible FY 2011 renewal grants. The number of grants awarded will be determined by MSHA's evaluation of grant applications. The amount of each individual grant will be no less than \$50,000.00 for a 12-month performance period; and the maximum award for a 12-month performance period is \$250,000. Applicants requesting less than \$50,000 or more than \$250,000 for a 12-month performance period will not be considered for funding.

B. Extension of Period of Performance

For annual awards, MSHA may approve a request for a one time no-cost extension to grantees for an additional period of up to 12 months from the expiration date of the annual award based on the success of the project and other relevant factors. See 29 CFR 95.25(e)(2). At the end of the second year of funding for a renewal grant, MSHA may approve a request for a nocost extension for an additional period of performance of up to 12 months based on the success of the project and other relevant factors.

III. Eligibility Information

A. Eligible Applicants

Applicants for the grants may be States and nonprofit (private or public) entities. Eligible entities may apply for funding independently or in partnership with other eligible organizations. For partnerships, a lead organization must be identified.

Applicants other than States and State-supported or local governmentsupported institutions of higher education will be required to submit evidence of nonprofit status, preferably from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). A nonprofit entity as described in 26 U.S.C. 501(c)(4), which engages in lobbying activities, is not eligible for a grant award. See 2 U.S.C. 1611.

B. Cost-Sharing or Matching

Cost-sharing or matching of funds is not required for eligibility.

C. Other Eligibility Requirements

1. Dun and Bradstreet Number (DUNS)

Under 2 CFR 25.200, every applicant for a Federal funding opportunity is required to include a DUNS number with its application. The DUNS number is a nine-digit identification number that uniquely identifies business entities. An applicant's DUNS number is to be entered into Block 8 of Standard Form (SF) 424. There is no charge for obtaining a DUNS number. To obtain a DUNS number, call 1–866–705–5711 or access the following Web site: http://

fedgov.dnb.com/webform/ displayHomePage.do.

After receiving a DUNS number, all grant applicants must also register as a vendor with the Central Contractor Registration (CCR) through the Web site at *http://www.ccr.gov.* 2 CFR 25.200. Grant applicants must create a user account and then complete and submit the online registration. Once you have submitted the registration, it will take three to five business days to process. The applicant will receive an email notice that the registration is active.

2. Legal Rules Pertaining to Inherently Religious Activities by Organizations That Receive Federal Financial Assistance

The Government generally is prohibited from providing direct Federal financial assistance for inherently religious activities. See 29 CFR Part 2, Subpart D. Grants under this solicitation may not be used for religious instruction, worship, prayer, proselytizing, or other inherently religious activities. Neutral, nonreligious criteria that neither favor nor disfavor religion will be employed in the selection of grant recipients and must be employed by grantees in the selection of contractors and subcontractors.

3. Non-Compliant Applications

Applications for new FY 2012 annual and renewal grants that are lacking any of the required elements or do not follow the format prescribed in IV.B will not be reviewed.

4. Late Applications

Applications received after the deadline will not be reviewed unless it is determined to be in the best interest of the Government.

IV. Application and Submission Information for New FY 2012 Annual and Renewal Grants

A. Application Forms

This announcement includes all information and links needed to apply for this funding opportunity. (The information regarding the second-year funding of the FY 2011 renewal grants is located in Part VI.) The full application is available through the Grants.gov Web site http:// www.grants.gov/ under "Apply for Grants". The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) number needed to locate the appropriate application for this opportunity is 17.603. If an applicant has problems downloading the application package from Grants.gov, contact Grants.gov

Contact Center at 1–800–518–4726 or by email at *support@grants.gov.*

The full application package is also available on-line at *www.msha.gov:* Select "Education & Training," click on "Courses," select "Brookwood-Sago Mine Safety Grants," then select "SGA 12–3BS." This Web site also includes all forms and all regulations that are referenced in this SGA. Applicants, however, must apply for this funding opportunity through the Grants.gov Web site.

B. Content and Form of the FY 2012 Application

Each grant application must address mine emergency preparedness or mine emergency prevention for underground mines. The applicant must identify that an application is for an annual or a renewal grant. Applicants must submit a separate application for each topic and each type of grant. The application must consist of three separate and distinct sections. The three required sections are:

• Section 1—Project Forms and Financial Plan (No page limit).

• Section 2—Executive Summary (Not to exceed two pages).

• Section 3—Technical Proposal (Not to exceed 12 pages). Illustrative material can be submitted as an attachment.

The following are mandatory requirements for each section.

1. Project Forms and Financial Plan

This section contains the forms and budget section of the application. The Project Financial Plan will not count against the application page limits. A person with authority to bind the applicant must sign the grant application and forms. Applications submitted electronically through Grants.gov do not need to be signed manually; electronic signatures will be accepted.

(a) Completed SF-424, "Application for Federal Assistance." This form is part of the application package on Grants.gov and is also available at *www.msha.gov.* The SF-424 must identify the applicant clearly and be signed by an individual with authority to enter into a grant agreement. Upon confirmation of an award, the individual signing the SF-424 on behalf of the applicant shall be considered the representative of the applicant.

(b) Completed SF-424A, "Budget Information for Non-Construction Programs." The project budget should demonstrate clearly that the total amount and distribution of funds is sufficient to cover the cost of all major project activities identified by the applicant in its proposal, and must comply with the Federal cost principles and the administrative requirements set forth in this SGA. (Copies of all regulations that are referenced in this SGA are available on-line at *http:// www.msha.gov.* Select "Education & Training," click on "Courses," then select "Brookwood-Sago Mine Safety Grants.")

For renewal grant applications, applicants must include all the renewal grants information on the SF-424 forms. For example, if the applicant is applying for a renewal grant, the total amount of the grant might be \$100,000, and each year's funding could be \$50,000. When filling out the SF–424 Application for Federal Assistance form, the proposed project start date in Item No. 17 for renewal grants is 9/30/2012, and the end date is 9/29/2014. The estimated funding in Item No. 18 would be \$100,000. On the SF-424A Budget Information for Non-Construction Programs, the applicant would list a total of \$50,000 for the first-year funding and \$50,000 for the second-year funding.

(c) Budget Narrative. The applicant must provide a concise narrative explaining the request for funds. The budget narrative should separately attribute the Federal funds to each of the activities specified in the technical proposal and it should discuss precisely how any administrative costs support the project goals. Administrative costs may not exceed 15% of the total grant budget. Indirect cost charges must be supported with a copy of an approved Indirect Cost Rate Agreement.

If applicable, the applicant must provide a statement about its program income.

The amount of Federal funding requested for the entire period of performance must be shown on the SF–424 and SF–424A forms.

(d) Completed SF-424B, "Assurances for Non-Construction Programs." Each applicant for these grants must certify compliance with a list of assurances. This form is part of the application package on *http://www.Grants.gov* and also is available at *http:// www.msha.gov*.

(e) Supplemental Certification Regarding Lobbying Activities Form. If any funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a member of Congress in connection with the making of a grant or cooperative agreement, the applicant shall complete and submit SF-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions. This form is part of the application package on *http:// www.Grants.gov* and is also available at *http://www.msha.gov.* Select "Education & Training," click on "Courses," then select "Brookwood-Sago Mine Safety Grants."

(f) Non-profit status. Applicants must provide evidence of non-profit status, preferably from the IRS, if applicable.

(g) Accounting System Certification. An organization that receives less than \$1 million annually in Federal grants must attach a certification stating that the organization (directly or through a designated qualified entity) has a functioning accounting system that meets the criteria below. The certification should attest that the organization's accounting system provides for the following:

(1) Accurate, current and complete disclosure of the financial results of each Federally sponsored project.

(2) Records that identify adequately the source and application of funds for Federally sponsored activities.

(3) Effective control over and accountability for all funds, property, and other assets.

(4) Comparison of outlays with budget amounts.

(5) Written procedures to minimize the time elapsing between transfers of funds. (6) Written procedures for determining the reasonableness, allocability, and allowability of cost.

(7) Accounting records, including cost accounting records that are supported by source documentation.

(h) Attachments. The application may include attachments such as resumes of key personnel or position descriptions, exhibits, information on prior government grants, and signed letters of commitment to the project.

2. Executive Summary

The executive summary is a short one-to-two page abstract that succinctly summarizes the proposed project. MSHA will publish, as submitted, all grantees' executive summaries on the DOL Web site. The executive summary must include the following information:

(a) Applicant. Provide the organization's full legal name and address.

(b) Funding requested. List how much Federal funding is being requested. If requesting a renewal grant, include the total for the two years of funding and list each year's requested funding levels.

(c) Grant Topic. List the grant topic and the location and number of mine operators and miners that the organization has selected to train or describe the training materials or equipment to be created with these funds. (d) Program Structure. Identify the type of grant: An annual or a renewal grant.

(e) Summary of the Proposed Project. Write a brief summary of the proposed project. This summary must identify the key points of the proposal, including an introduction describing the project activities and the expected results. If requesting a renewal grant, also provide a summary of the key points of the second-year's activities and expected outcomes.

3. Technical Proposal

The technical proposal must demonstrate the applicant's capabilities to plan and implement a project or create educational materials or equipment to meet the objectives of this solicitation. MSHA's focus for these grants is on training mine operators and miners and developing training materials for mine emergency preparedness or mine emergency prevention for underground mines. MSHA has two program goals, described below, that will be considered indicators of the success of the program as a whole. The following table explains the types of data grantees must provide and their relationship with the Agency's program goals and performance measures for the Brookwood-Sago grants.

MSHA's program goals	MSHA's performance measures	DATA grantee provides each reporting period
 Agency creates more effective training to ensure workplaces are safe. 	Increase overall number of trainers trained. Increase the number of mine oper- ators and miners trained. Provide quality training with clearly stated goals and objectives for improving safety.	Number of training events. Number of trainers trained. Number of mine operators and miners trained. Number of course days of training provided to industry. Pre- and post-assessment results of trainees. Course evaluations of trainer and training materials. A description of the extent to which others replicate (i.e., adopt or adapt) or institutionalize and continue the training or educational
2. Agency creates training materials to provide more effective training to ensure workplaces are safe.	Increase number of quality edu- cational materials developed. Provide quality training materials with clearly stated goals and ob- jectives for improving safety. Develop training materials that are reproducible or adaptable.	 programs after grant funding ends. Pre- and post-assessment results of the training materials. Evaluation of training materials to include the target audience, statement of goals and objectives, learning level, instructions for using, additional material requirements, secondary purposes, adult learning principles and usability in the mine training environment. A description of the extent to which others will replicate (i.e., adopt or adapt) the funded training materials.

The technical proposal narrative is not to exceed 12 single-sided, doublespaced pages, using 12-point font, and must contain the following sections: Program Design, Overall Qualifications of the Applicant, and Output and Evaluation. Any pages over the 12-page limit will not be reviewed. Attachments to the technical proposal are not counted toward the 12-page limit. Major sections and sub-sections of the proposal should be divided and clearly identified. And as required in Section

VII subpart I "Transparency," a grantee's final technical proposal will be posted as is on MSHA's Web site unless MSHA receives a version redacting any proprietary, confidential business, or personally identifiable information by October 19, 2012.

MSHA will review and rate the technical proposal in accordance with the selection criteria specified in Part V.

(a) Program Design

(1) Statement of Problem/Need for Funds. Applicants must identify a clear and specific need for proposed activities. They must identify whether they are providing a training program or creating training materials or both. They also must identify whether their application is for an annual or a renewal Brookwood-Sago grant. Applicants also must identify the number of individuals expected to benefit from their training and education program; this should include identifying the type of underground mines, the geographic locations, and the number of mine operators and miners. Applicants must also identify other Federal funds they receive for similar activities.

(2) Quality of the Project Design. MSHA requires that each applicant include a 12-month workplan that correlates with the grant project period that will begin September 30, 2012, and end September 29, 2013. Renewal grant applicants must also include a second 12-month workplan covering the period from September 30, 2013, and ending September 29, 2014. An outline of specific items required in the workplan follows.

(i) Plan Overview. Describe the plan for grant activities and the anticipated results. The plan should describe such things as the development of training materials, the training content, recruiting of trainees, where or how training will take place, and the anticipated benefits to mine operators and miners receiving the training.

(ii) Activities. Break the plan down into activities or tasks. For each activity, explain what will be done, who will do it, when it will be done, and the anticipated results of the activity. For training, discuss the subjects to be taught, the length of the training sessions, type of training (e.g., Mine Emergency Response Development exercise), and training locations (e.g., classroom, worksites). Describe how the applicant will recruit mine operators and miners for the training. (Note: Any commercially developed training materials the applicant proposes to use in its training must undergo an MSHA review before being used.)

(iii) Quarterly Projections. For training and other quantifiable activities, estimate the quantities involved using the table located in Part IV.B.3 for data required to meet the grant goals. For example, estimate how many classes will be conducted and how many mine operators and miners will be trained each quarter of the grant (grant quarters match calendar quarters, i.e., January to March, April to June; but the first quarter is the date of award to December 31, 2012). Also, provide the training number totals for the full year. Quarterly projections are used to measure the actual performance against the plan. Applicants planning to conduct a train-the-trainer program should estimate the number of individuals to be trained during the grant period by those who received the train-the-trainer training. These secondtier training numbers should be included only if the organization is planning to follow up with the trainers

to obtain this data during the grant period.

(iv) Materials. Describe each educational material, including any piece of equipment (e.g., mine simulator) to be produced under the grant. Provide a timetable for developing and producing the material. The timetable must include provisions for an MSHA review of draft and camera-ready products or evaluation of equipment. MSHA must review and approve training materials or equipment for technical accuracy and suitability of content before use in the grant program. Whether or not an applicant's project is to develop training materials only, the applicant should provide an overall plan that includes time for MSHA to review any materials produced.

(b) Qualifications of the Applicant

(1) Applicant's Background. Describe the applicant, including its mission, and a description of its membership, if any. Provide an organizational chart (the chart may be included as a separate page which will not count toward the page limit). Identify the following:

(i) Project Director. The project director is the person who will be responsible for the day-to-day operation and administration of the program. Provide the name, title, street address and mailing address (if it is different from the organization's street address), telephone and fax numbers, and email address of the project director.

(ii) Certifying Representative. The certifying representative is the official in the organization who is authorized to enter into grant agreements. Provide the name, title, street address and mailing address (if it is different from the organization's street address), telephone and fax numbers, and email address of the certifying representative.

(2) Administrative and Program Capability. Briefly describe the organization's functions and activities, i.e., the applicant's management and internal controls. Relate this description of functions to the organizational chart. If the applicant has received any other government (Federal, State or local) grant funding, the application must have, as an attachment (which will not count towards the page limit), information regarding these previous grants. This information must include each organization for which the work was done and the dollar value of each grant. If the applicant does not have previous grant experience, it may partner with an organization that has grant experience to manage the grant. If the organization uses this approach, the management organization must be identified and its grant program

experience discussed. Lack of past experience with Federal grants is not a determining factor, but an applicant should show a successful experience relevant to the opportunity offered in the application. Such experience could include staff members' experiences with other organizations.

(3) Program Experience. Describe the organization's experience conducting the proposed mine training program or other relevant experience. Include program specifics such as program title, numbers trained, and duration of training. If creating training materials, include the title of other materials developed. Nonprofit organizations, including community-based and faith-based organizations that do not have prior experience in mine safety may partner with an established mine safety expertise.

(4) Staff Experience. Describe the qualifications of the professional staff you will assign to the program. Attach resumes of staff already employed (resumes will not count towards the page limit). If some positions are vacant, include position descriptions and minimum hiring qualifications instead of resumes. Staff should have, at a minimum, mine safety experience, training experience, or experience working with the mining community.

(c) Outputs and Evaluations. There are two types of evaluations that must be conducted. First, describe the methods, approaches, or plans to evaluate the training sessions and/or training materials to meet the data requirements listed in the table above. Second, describe plans to assess the long-term effectiveness of the training materials and/or training conducted. The type of training given will determine whether the evaluation should include a process-related outcome or a result-related outcome or both. This will involve following up with an evaluation, or on-site review, if feasible, of miners trained. The evaluation should focus on what changes the trained miners made to abate hazards and improve workplace conditions, or to incorporate the training in the workplace, or both.

For training materials, include an evaluation from individuals trained on the clarity of the presentation, organization, and the quality of the information provided on the subject matter and whether they would continue to use the training materials. Include timetables for follow-up and for submitting a summary of the assessment results to MSHA.

For renewal grants, applicants must describe how the program will address the feedback from its or MSHA's evaluations to improve its training program, materials (including equipment), or both during the second year.

C. Submission Date, Times, and Addresses

The closing date for receipt of applications under this announcement is August 31, 2012 (no later than 11:59 p.m. EDST). Grant applications must be submitted electronically through the Grants.gov Web site. The Grants.gov site provides all the information about submitting an application electronically through the site as well as the hours of operation. Interested parties can locate the downloadable application package by the CFDA number 17.603.

Applications received by Grants.gov are electronically date and time stamped. An application must be fully uploaded and submitted (and must be date and time stamped by the Grants.gov system) before the application deadline date. Once an interested party has submitted an application, Grants.gov will notify the interested party with an automatic notification of receipt that contains a Grants.gov tracking number. MSHA then will retrieve the application from Grants.gov and send a second notification to the interested party by email.

D. Intergovernmental Review

The Brookwood-Sago grants are not subject to Executive Order 12372, "Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs." MSHA, however, reminds applicants that if they are not operating MSHA-approved State training grants, they should contact the State grantees and coordinate any training or educational program. Information about each state grant and the entity operating the state grant is provided online at: http://www.msha.gov/TRAINING/ STATES/STATES.asp.

E. Funding Restrictions

MSHA will determine whether costs are allowable under the applicable Federal cost principles and other conditions contained in the grant award.

1. Allowable Costs

Grant funds may be spent on conducting training, conducting outreach and recruiting activities to increase the number of mine operators and miners participating in the program, developing educational materials, and on necessary expenses to support these activities. Allowable costs are determined by the applicable Federal cost principles identified in Part VII.B. Program income earned during the award period shall be retained by the recipient, added to funds committed to the award, and used for the purposes and under the conditions applicable to the use of the grant funds.

2. Unallowable Costs

Grant funds may not be used for the following activities under this grant program:

(a) Any activity inconsistent with the goals and objectives of this SGA;

(b) Training on topics that are not targeted under this SGA;

(c) Purchasing any equipment unless pre-approved and in writing bythe MSHA grant officer;

(d) Administrative costs that exceed 15% of the total grant budget; and

(e) Any pre-award costs. Unallowable costs also include any

cost determined by MSHA as not allowed according to the applicable cost principles or other conditions in the grant.

V. Application Review Information for New FY 2012 Grants

A. Evaluation Criteria

MSHA will screen all applications to determine whether all required proposal elements are present and clearly identifiable. Those that do not comply with mandatory requirements will not be evaluated. The technical panels will review grant applications against the criteria listed below on the basis of 100 maximum points for annual grants and the annual portion of the renewal grants and 20 maximum points for the renewal portion of the grant applications.

MSHA will evaluate the applications for annual grants and the annual portion of the two-year applications using the first four categories below. From this group, MSHA will select applicants to receive one-year funding. From these selectees, MSHA will review those that applied for option year (renewal) grants against the criteria listed in category 5 on the basis of 20 maximum points. Please note that MSHA may offer an annual grant to applicants that may not be selected for renewal grants.

1. Program Design—40 Points Total

(a) Statement of Problem/Need for Funds (3 Points)

The proposed training and education program or training materials must address either mine emergency preparedness or mine emergency prevention.

(b) Quality of the Project Design (25 Points)

(1) The proposal to train mine operators and miners clearly estimates

the number to be trained and clearly identifies the types of mine operators and miners to be trained.

(2) If the proposal contains a train-thetrainer program, the following information must be provided:

• What ongoing support the grantee will provide to new trainers;

• The number of individuals to be trained as trainers;

• The estimated number of courses to be conducted by the new trainers;

• The estimated number of students to be trained by these new trainers and a description of how the grantee will obtain data from the new trainers documenting their classes and student numbers if conducted during the grant period.

(3) The work plan activities and training are described.

• The planned activities and training are tailored to the needs and levels of the mine operators and miners to be trained. Any special constituency to be served through the grant program is described, e.g., smaller mines, limited English proficiency miners, etc. Organizations proposing to develop materials in languages other than English also will be required to provide an English version of the materials.

• If the proposal includes developing training materials, the work plan must include time during development for MSHA to review the educational materials for technical accuracy and suitability of content. If commercially developed training products will be used for a training program, applicants should also plan for MSHA to review the materials before using the products in their grant programs.

• The utility of the educational materials is described.

• The outreach or process to find mine operators, miners or trainees to receive the training is described.

(c) Replication (4 Points)

The potential for a project to serve a variety of mine operators, miners, or mine sites and/or the extent others may replicate the project.

(d) Innovativeness (3 Points)

The originality and uniqueness of the approach used.

(e) MSHA's Performance Goals (5 Points)

The extent the proposed project will contribute to MSHA's performance goals.

2. Budget—20 Points Total

(a) The Budget Presentation Is Clear and Detailed (15 Points)

• The budgeted costs are reasonable.

• No more than 15% of the total budget is for administrative costs.

• The budget complies with Federal cost principles (which can be found in the applicable Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circulars and with MSHA budget requirements contained in the grant application instructions).

(b) The Application Demonstrates That the Applicant Has Strong Financial Management and Internal Control Systems (5 Points)

3. Overall Qualifications of the Applicant—25 Points Total

(a) Grant Experience (6 Points)

The applicant has administered, or will work with an organization that has administered, a number of different Federal or State grants. The applicant may demonstrate this experience by having project staff that has experience administering Federal or State grants.

(b) Mine Safety Training Experience (13 Points)

The applicant applying for the grant demonstrates experience with mine safety teaching or providing mine safety educational programs. Applicants that do not have prior experience in providing mine safety training to mine operators or miners may partner with an established mine safety organization to acquire mine safety expertise.

• Project staff has experience in mine safety, the specific topic chosen, or in training mine operators and miners.

• Project staff has experience in recruiting, training, and working with the population the organization proposes to serve.

• Applicant has experience in designing and developing mine safety training materials for a mining program.

• Applicant has experience in managing educational programs.

(c) Management (6 Points)

Applicant demonstrates internal control and management oversight of the project.

4. Outputs and Evaluations—15 Points Total

The proposal should include provisions for evaluating the organization's progress in accomplishing the grant work activities and accomplishments, evaluating training sessions, and evaluating the program's effectiveness and impact to determine if the safety training and services provided resulted in workplace change or improved workplace conditions. The proposal should include a plan to follow up with trainees to determine the impact the program has had in abating hazards and reducing miner injuries and illnesses.

5. Renewal Grants: Second-Year Request—20 Points Total

A renewal proposal must include a description of the project design and budget for the second-year funding. The applicant must also describe how it will obtain input and feedback from firstyear training recipients and how it will improve its program based on its or MSHA evaluations.

B. Review and Selection Process for New FY 2012 Grants

A technical panel will rate each complete application against the criteria described in this SGA. One or more applicants may be selected as grantees on the basis of the initial application submission or a minimally acceptable number of points may be established. MSHA may request final revisions to the applications, and then evaluate the revised applications. MSHA may consider any information that comes to its attention in evaluating the applications.

The panel recommendations are advisory in nature. The Deputy Assistant Secretary of Labor for Mine Safety and Health will make a final selection determination based on what is most advantageous to the government, considering factors such as panel findings, geographic presence of the applicants or the areas to be served, Agency priorities, and the best value to the government, cost, and other factors. The Deputy Assistant Secretary's determination for award under this SGA is final.

C. Anticipated Announcement and Award Dates

Announcement of these awards is expected to occur by September 29, 2012. The grant agreement will be signed no later than September 30, 2012.

VI. FY 2011 Renewal Grantees' Process for FY 2012 Funding

A. General

In this section, MSHA is providing the eligible FY 2011 renewal grantees the procedures and required documentation that they must submit to receive their FY 2012 funding. MSHA will notify all renewal grantees of their eligibility. The grantees are reminded that they are not required to apply for the second year of funding. If they do not wish to apply for the second-year funding, the grantees may apply for a new grant under the FY 2012 annual and/or renewal grant program instead.

B. The Process and Required Documentation

1. Documentation

Using its current grant number, each grantee must provide:

(a) A revised SF–424 and SF–424A forms; and

(b) If necessary, a revised workplan.

2. Submission Date, Times, and Addresses

The closing date for receipt of applications under this announcement is August 31, 2012 (no later than 11:59 p.m. EDST). The renewal grantee must submit its application for FY 2012 funding electronically through the Grants.gov Web site.

C. Award Information

Announcement of these awards is expected to occur by

September 29, 2012. The amendment to the FY 2011 grant agreement will be signed no later than September 30, 2012.

VII. Award Administration Information

A. Award Process

Organizations selected as potential grant recipients will be notified by a representative of the Deputy Assistant Secretary, usually the Grant Officer or her staff. An applicant whose proposal is not selected will be notified in writing. The fact that an organization has been selected as a potential grant recipient does not necessarily constitute approval of the grant application as submitted (revisions may be required).

Before the actual grant award, MSHA may enter into negotiations with the potential grant recipient concerning such matters as program components (including the type of grant), staffing and funding levels, and administrative systems. If the negotiations do not result in an acceptable submittal, the Deputy Assistant Secretary reserves the right to terminate the negotiations and decline to fund the proposal.

B. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

All grantees will be subject to applicable Federal laws and regulations (including provisions of appropriations law) and applicable OMB Circulars. The grants awarded under this competitive grant program will be subject to the following administrative standards and provisions, if applicable:

• 29 CFR Part 2, subpart D, Equal Treatment for Religious Organizations.

• 29 CFR Parts 31, 32, 35 and 36, Nondiscrimination.

• 29 CFR Part 93, Restrictions on Lobbying.

• 29 CFR Part 94, Drug-free Workplace.

• 29 CFR Part 95, Uniform Grant Requirements for Nonprofit Organizations.

• 29 CFR Parts 96 and 99, Audits.

• 29 CFR Part 97, Uniform Grant

Requirements for States. • 29 CFR Part 98, Debarment and

Suspension. • 2 CFR Part 25, Universal Identifier

and Central Contractor Registration.2 CFR Part 170, Reporting

Subawards.

• 2 CFR Part 175, Award Term for Trafficking in Persons.

• 2 CFR Part 220, Cost Principles for Educational Institutions.

• 2 CFR Part 225, Cost Principles for State and Local Governments.

• 2 CFR Part 230, Cost Principles for Other Nonprofit Organizations.

• Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Subpart 31.2, Cost Principles for Commercial Organizations (codified at 48 CFR Subpart 31.2).

Administrative costs for these grants may not exceed 15%. Unless specifically approved, MSHA's acceptance of a proposal or MSHA's award of Federal funds to sponsor any program does not constitute a waiver of any grant requirement or procedure. For example, if an application identifies a specific sub-contractor to provide certain services, the MSHA award does not provide a basis to sole-source the procurement (to avoid competition).

C. Special Program Requirements

1. MSHA Review of Educational Materials

MSHA will review all granteeproduced educational and training materials for technical accuracy and suitability of content during development and before final publication. MSHA also will review training curricula and purchased training materials for technical accuracy and suitability of content before the materials are used. Grantees developing training materials must follow all copyright laws and provide written certification that their materials are free from copyright infringement.

When grantees produce training materials, they must provide copies of completed materials to MSHA before the end of the grant period. Completed materials should be submitted to MSHA in hard copy and in digital format (CD– ROM/DVD) for publication on the MSHA Web site. Two copies of the materials must be provided to MSHA. Acceptable formats for training materials include Microsoft XP Word, PDF, PowerPoint, and any other format agreed upon by MSHA.

2. License

As listed in 29 CFR 95.36, the Department of Labor reserves a royaltyfree, nonexclusive, and irrevocable right to reproduce, publish, or otherwise use for Federal purposes any work produced under a grant, and to authorize others to do so. Grantees must agree to provide the Department of Labor a paid-up, nonexclusive, and irrevocable license to reproduce, publish, or otherwise use for Federal purposes all products developed, or for which ownership was purchased, under an award. Such products include, but are not limited to, curricula, training models, technical assistance products, and any related materials. Such uses include, but are not limited to, the right to modify and distribute such products worldwide by any means, electronic, or otherwise.

3. Acknowledgement on Printed Materials

All approved grant-funded materials developed by a grantee shall contain the following disclaimer: "This material was produced under grant number XXXXX from the Mine Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor. It does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the U.S. Department of Labor, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government."

When issuing statements, press releases, request for proposals, bid solicitations, and other documents describing projects or programs funded in whole or in part with Federal money, all grantees receiving Federal funds must clearly state:

(a) The percentage of the total costs of the program or project that will be financed with Federal money;

(b) The dollar amount of Federal financial assistance for the project or program; and

(c) The percentage and dollar amount of the total costs of the project or program that will be financed by nongovernmental sources.

4. Use of U.S. Department of Labor (USDOL) and MSHA Logos

MSHA may allow the USDOL or the MSHA logo to be applied to the grantfunded material including posters, videos, pamphlets, research documents, national survey results, impact evaluations, best practice reports, and other publications. Before the DOL or MSHA logos are used on grant-funded materials, the grantees must consult with MSHA. In no event shall the USDOL or the MSHA logo be placed on any item until MSHA has given the grantee written permission to use either logo on the item.

5. Reporting

Grantees are required by Departmental regulations to submit financial and project reports, as described below, each quarter (grant quarters match calendar quarters, i.e., January to March, April to June).

(a) Financial Reports

All financial reports are due no later than 30 days after the end of the quarter and shall be submitted to MSHA electronically. Grantees will be contacted with instructions on how to submit reports.

(b) Technical Project Reports

After signing the agreement, the grantee shall submit technical project reports to MSHA no later than 30 days after the end of each quarter. Technical project reports provide both quantitative and qualitative information and a narrative assessment of performance for the preceding three-month period. See 29 CFR 95.51 and 29 CFR 97.40. This should include the current grant progress against the overall grant goals as provided in Part IV.B.3.

Between reporting dates, the grantee shall immediately inform MSHA of significant developments or problems affecting the organization's ability to accomplish the work. See 29 CFR 95.51(f) and 29 CFR 97.40(d).

(c) Final Reports

At the end of each 12-month performance period, each grantee must provide a final financial report, a summary of its technical project reports, and an evaluation report. These final reports are due no later than 90 days after the end of the 12-month performance period.

In addition to these requirements, in its second-year final technical report, renewal grantees must provide the total outputs for the two years, a list of best practices used, and any changes made as a result of evaluation feedback.

H. Freedom of Information

Any information submitted in response to this SGA will be subject to the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act, as appropriate.

I. Transparency in the Grant Process

DOL is committed to conducting a transparent grant award process and publicizing information about program outcomes. Posting awardees' grant applications on public Web sites is a means of promoting and sharing innovative ideas. Under this SGA, DOL will publish the awardees' Executive Summaries, selected information from their SF–424s, and a version of awardees' Technical Proposals on the Department's Web site or similar location. None of the Attachments to the Technical Proposal provided with the applications will be published. The Technical Proposals and Executive Summaries will not be published until after the grants are awarded. In addition, information about grant progress and results may also be made publicly available.

DOL recognizes that grant applications sometimes contain information that an applicant may consider proprietary or business confidential information, or may contain personally identifiable information. Proprietary or business confidential information is information that is not usually disclosed outside your organization and disclosing this information is likely to cause you substantial competitive harm.

Personally identifiable information is any information that can be used to distinguish or trace an individual's identity, such as name, social security number, date and place of birth, mother's maiden name, or biometric records; and any other information that is linked or linkable to an individual, such as medical, educational, financial, and employment information.¹

Executive Summaries will be published in the form originally submitted, without any redactions. Applicants should not include any proprietary or confidential business information or personally identifiable information in this summary. In the event that an applicant submits proprietary or confidential business information or personally identifiable information, DOL is not liable for the posting of this information contained in the Executive Summary. The submission of the grant application constitutes a waiver of the applicant's objection to the posting of any proprietary or confidential business information contained in the Executive Summary. Additionally, the applicant is responsible for obtaining all authorizations from relevant parties for publishing all personally identifiable information contained within the Executive Summary. In the event the Executive Summary contains proprietary or confidential business or personally identifiable information, the applicant is presumed to have obtained

all necessary authorizations to provide this information and may be liable for any improper release of this information.

By submission of this grant application, the applicant agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the United States, the U.S. Department of Labor, its officers, employees, and agents against any liability or for any loss or damages arising from this application. By such submission of this grant application, the applicant further acknowledges having the authority to execute this release of liability.

In order to ensure that proprietary or confidential business information or personally identifiable information is properly protected from disclosure when DOL posts the winning Technical Proposals, applicants whose Technical Proposals will be posted will be asked to submit a second redacted version of their Technical Proposal, with any proprietary or confidential business information and personally identifiable information redacted. All non-public information about the applicant's staff or other individuals should be removed as well.

The Department will contact the applicants whose Technical Proposals will be published by letter or email, and provide further directions about how and when to submit the redacted version of the Technical Proposal.

Submission of a redacted version of the Technical Proposal will constitute permission by the applicant for DOL to make the redacted version publicly available. We will also assume that the applicant has obtained the agreement to the redacted version of the applicant's Technical Proposal. If an applicant fails to provide a redacted version of the Technical Proposal by October 19, 2012, DOL will publish the original Technical Proposal in full, after redacting only personally identifiable information. (Note that the original, unredacted version of the Technical Proposal will remain part of the complete application package, including an applicant's proprietary and confidential business information and any personally identifiable information.)

Applicants are encouraged to disclose as much of the grant application information as possible, and to redact only information that clearly is proprietary, confidential commercial/ business information, or capable of identifying a person. The redaction of entire pages or sections of the Technical Proposal is not appropriate, and will not be allowed, unless the entire portion merits such protection. Should a dispute arise about whether redactions are appropriate, DOL will follow the procedures outlined in the Department's Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) regulations (29 CFR Part 70).

Redacted information in grant applications will be protected by DOL from public disclosure in accordance with federal law, including the Trade Secrets Act (18 U.S.C. 1905), FOIA, and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). If DOL receives a FOIA request for your application, the procedures in DOL's FOIA regulations for responding to requests for commercial/business information submitted to the government will be followed, as well as all FOIA exemptions and procedures. 29 CFR 70.26. Consequently, it is possible that application of FOIA rules may result in release of information in response to a FOIA request that an applicant redacted in its "redacted copy."

VIII. Agency Contacts

Any questions regarding this solicitation for grant applications (SGA 12–3BS) should be directed to Robert Glatter at *glatter.robert@dol.gov* or at 202–693–9570 (this is not a toll-free number) or the Grant Officer, Valoree Lilley at *lilley.valoree @dol.gov* or at 202–693–9831 (this is not a toll-free number). MSHA's Web page at *www.msha.gov* is a valuable source of background for this initiative.

IX. Office of Management and Budget Information Collection Requirements

This SGA requests information from applicants. This collection of information is approved under OMB Control No. 1225–0086 (expires November 30, 2012).

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. Public reporting burden for the grant application is estimated to average 20 hours per response, for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Each recipient who receives a grant award notice will be required to submit nine progress reports to MSHA. MSHA estimates that each report will take approximately two and half hours to prepare.

Send comments regarding the burden estimated or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the OMB Desk Officer for MSHA, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 and MSHA, electronically to Robert Glatter

¹OMB Memorandum 07–16 and 06–19. GAO Report 08–536, Privacy: Alternatives Exist for Enhancing Protection of Personally Identifiable Information, May 2008, http://www.gao.gov/assets/ 280/275558.pdf.

at *glatter.robert@dol.gov* or the Grant Officer, Valoree Lilley at *lilley.valoree @dol.gov* or by mail to Robert Glatter, Room 2148, 1100 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22209.

This information is being collected for the purpose of awarding a grant. The information collected through this "Solicitation for Grant Applications" will be used by the Department of Labor to ensure that grants are awarded to the applicant best suited to perform the functions of the grant. Submission of this information is required in order for the applicant to be considered for award of this grant. Unless otherwise specifically noted in this announcement, information submitted in the respondent's application is not considered to be confidential.

Authority: 30 U.S.C. 965.

Dated: July 24, 2012.

Patricia W. Silvey,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Operations, Mine Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 2012–18436 Filed 7–27–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–43–P

NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

Records Schedules; Availability and Request for Comments

AGENCY: National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). **ACTION:** Notice of availability of proposed records schedules; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) publishes notice at least once monthly of certain Federal agency requests for records disposition authority (records schedules). Once approved by NARA, records schedules provide mandatory instructions on what happens to records when no longer needed for current Government business. They authorize the preservation of records of continuing value in the National Archives of the United States and the destruction, after a specified period, of records lacking administrative, legal, research, or other value. Notice is published for records schedules in which agencies propose to destroy records not previously authorized for disposal or reduce the retention period of records already authorized for disposal. NARA invites public comments on such records schedules, as required by 44 U.S.C. 3303a(a). DATES: Requests for copies must be received in writing on or before August 29, 2012. Once the appraisal of the

records is completed, NARA will send a copy of the schedule. NARA staff usually prepare appraisal memorandums that contain additional information concerning the records covered by a proposed schedule. These, too, may be requested and will be provided once the appraisal is completed. Requesters will be given 30 days to submit comments.

ADDRESSES: You may request a copy of any records schedule identified in this notice by contacting Records Management Services (ACNR) using one of the following means:

Mail: NARA (ACNR), 8601 Adelphi Road, College Park, MD 20740–6001.

Email: request.schedule@nara.gov. FAX: 301–837–3698.

Requesters must cite the control number, which appears in parentheses after the name of the agency which submitted the schedule, and must provide a mailing address. Those who desire appraisal reports should so indicate in their request.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Margaret Hawkins, Director, National Records Management Program (ACNR), National Archives and Records Administration, 8601 Adelphi Road, College Park, MD 20740–6001. Telephone: 301–837–1799. Email: request.schedule@nara.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Each year Federal agencies create billions of records on paper, film, magnetic tape, and other media. To control this accumulation, agency records managers prepare schedules proposing retention periods for records and submit these schedules for NARA's approval, using the Standard Form (SF) 115, Request for Records Disposition Authority. These schedules provide for the timely transfer into the National Archives of historically valuable records and authorize the disposal of all other records after the agency no longer needs them to conduct its business. Some schedules are comprehensive and cover all the records of an agency or one of its major subdivisions. Most schedules, however, cover records of only one office or program or a few series of records. Many of these update previously approved schedules, and some include records proposed as permanent.

The schedules listed in this notice are media neutral unless specified otherwise. An item in a schedule is media neutral when the disposition instructions may be applied to records regardless of the medium in which the records are created and maintained. Items included in schedules submitted to NARA on or after December 17, 2007, are media neutral unless the item is limited to a specific medium. (See 36 CFR 1225.12(e).)

No Federal records are authorized for destruction without the approval of the Archivist of the United States. This approval is granted only after a thorough consideration of their administrative use by the agency of origin, the rights of the Government and of private persons directly affected by the Government's activities, and whether or not they have historical or other value.

Besides identifying the Federal agencies and any subdivisions requesting disposition authority, this public notice lists the organizational unit(s) accumulating the records or indicates agency-wide applicability in the case of schedules that cover records that may be accumulated throughout an agency. This notice provides the control number assigned to each schedule, the total number of schedule items, and the number of temporary items (the records proposed for destruction). It also includes a brief description of the temporary records. The records schedule itself contains a full description of the records at the file unit level as well as their disposition. If NARA staff has prepared an appraisal memorandum for the schedule, it too includes information about the records. Further information about the disposition process is available on request.

Schedules Pending

1. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service (N1–95–10–8, 32 items, 31 temporary items). Records related to agency programs such as waste prevention, recycling, safety and health, and road maintenance. Proposed for permanent retention are case files pertaining to Native American claims.

2. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service (N1-95-10-9, 84 items, 63 temporary items). Records related to various programs throughout the agency, including general correspondence, reports, case files, plans, and studies. Proposed for permanent retention are law enforcement reports and plans; boundary modification case files; land transfer, title, and status files; significant controlled correspondence; planned technology reports; aerial photographs; remote sensing data and imagery; maps; and channel and dam project design case files.

3. Department of the Army, Agencywide (N1–AU–09–20, 1 item, 1 temporary item). Master files of an electronic system used to track equal opportunity complaints.