



Crop Progress

ISSN: 1948-3007

Released July 6, 2010, by the National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS), Agricultural Statistics Board, United States Department of Agriculture (USDA).

Cotton Squaring - Selected States

[These 15 States planted 99% of the 2009 cotton acreage]

State	Week ending			2005-2009 Average
	July 4, 2009	June 27, 2010	July 4, 2010	
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Alabama	62	46	56	57
Arizona	57	46	55	72
Arkansas	67	93	97	89
California	56	37	60	61
Georgia	54	54	70	62
Kansas	26	13	38	30
Louisiana	92	80	91	90
Mississippi	74	70	93	83
Missouri	49	59	66	67
North Carolina	75	69	85	74
Oklahoma	33	25	35	32
South Carolina	46	35	55	47
Tennessee	65	52	77	78
Texas	52	39	56	44
Virginia	37	14	36	44
15 States	56	48	64	55

Cotton Setting Bolls - Selected States

[These 15 States planted 99% of the 2009 cotton acreage]

State	Week ending			2005-2009 Average
	July 4, 2009	June 27, 2010	July 4, 2010	
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Alabama	8	2	14	10
Arizona	32	13	16	32
Arkansas	7	11	31	19
California	10	2	7	16
Georgia	9	9	21	15
Kansas	-	-	-	-
Louisiana	36	23	53	36
Mississippi	11	11	45	23
Missouri	-	3	16	12
North Carolina	16	4	10	3
Oklahoma	-	-	-	1
South Carolina	-	-	7	3
Tennessee	2	-	10	8
Texas	16	8	11	16
Virginia	-	4	16	2
15 States	13	8	15	15

- Represents zero.

Cotton Condition - Selected States: Week Ending July 4, 2010

[National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2009 planted acreage]

State	Very poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Alabama	-	1	25	69	5
Arizona	-	2	27	45	26
Arkansas	-	3	25	40	32
California	-	-	30	55	15
Georgia	1	10	24	50	15
Kansas	-	2	30	57	11
Louisiana	1	14	27	52	6
Mississippi	-	5	27	50	18
Missouri	3	14	26	54	3
North Carolina	5	11	31	46	7
Oklahoma	-	1	15	77	7
South Carolina	-	4	38	52	6
Tennessee	-	1	19	67	13
Texas	2	7	27	51	13
Virginia	-	-	41	59	-
15 States	2	7	26	52	13
Previous week	1	5	32	49	13
Previous year	7	16	35	34	8

- Represents zero.

Corn Silking - Selected States

[These 18 States planted 92% of the 2009 corn acreage]

State	Week ending			2005-2009 Average
	July 4, 2009	June 27, 2010	July 4, 2010	
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Colorado	4	-	2	5
Illinois	5	15	46	19
Indiana	2	8	31	8
Iowa	-	-	2	2
Kansas	24	11	32	30
Kentucky	21	17	51	38
Michigan	-	-	3	2
Minnesota	-	-	2	3
Missouri	27	20	45	39
Nebraska	5	-	7	7
North Carolina	85	80	95	74
North Dakota	-	-	2	2
Ohio	2	1	14	2
Pennsylvania	1	-	14	3
South Dakota	-	-	-	-
Tennessee	60	68	81	67
Texas	63	51	55	64
Wisconsin	-	-	-	-
18 States	8	7	19	12

- Represents zero.

Corn Condition - Selected States: Week Ending July 4, 2010

[National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2009 planted acreage]

State	Very poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Colorado	-	4	27	57	12
Illinois	4	8	20	48	20
Indiana	3	9	26	46	16
Iowa	4	8	23	47	18
Kansas	1	4	24	60	11
Kentucky	1	4	18	57	20
Michigan	1	5	18	46	30
Minnesota	-	2	9	58	31
Missouri	5	17	31	37	10
Nebraska	2	4	11	64	19
North Carolina	9	21	34	30	6
North Dakota	-	2	7	73	18
Ohio	2	8	25	47	18
Pennsylvania	2	12	28	46	12
South Dakota	2	5	18	55	20
Tennessee	5	9	23	49	14
Texas	3	8	22	53	14
Wisconsin	1	3	13	51	32
18 States	3	7	19	51	20
Previous week	2	6	19	54	19
Previous year	2	6	21	53	18

- Represents zero.

Soybeans Emerged - Selected States

[These 18 States planted 95% of the 2009 soybean acreage]

State	Week ending			2005-2009 Average
	July 4, 2009	June 27, 2010	July 4, 2010	
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Arkansas	92	94	97	93
Illinois	91	90	96	97
Indiana	94	90	96	97
Iowa	97	95	97	98
Kansas	95	88	95	91
Kentucky	91	89	96	96
Louisiana	100	100	100	99
Michigan	100	97	100	100
Minnesota	100	100	100	100
Mississippi	99	99	100	100
Missouri	86	78	89	90
Nebraska	100	99	100	100
North Carolina	87	85	93	85
North Dakota	100	98	100	100
Ohio	100	91	98	100
South Dakota	100	94	99	99
Tennessee	83	89	96	90
Wisconsin	100	99	100	100
18 States	95	93	97	97

Soybeans Blooming - Selected States

[These 18 States planted 95% of the 2009 soybean acreage]

State	Week ending			2005-2009 Average
	July 4, 2009	June 27, 2010	July 4, 2010	
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Arkansas	25	32	46	28
Illinois	4	6	20	20
Indiana	3	7	23	13
Iowa	20	6	27	25
Kansas	15	4	10	14
Kentucky	6	15	35	17
Louisiana	68	52	65	69
Michigan	6	7	26	9
Minnesota	4	7	19	14
Mississippi	72	57	74	82
Missouri	5	2	8	12
Nebraska	11	2	17	20
North Carolina	-	3	5	-
North Dakota	3	2	25	14
Ohio	15	7	18	20
South Dakota	16	2	13	14
Tennessee	15	14	34	29
Wisconsin	3	-	10	11
18 States	13	9	23	20

- Represents zero.

Soybean Condition - Selected States: Week Ending July 4, 2010

[National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2009 planted acreage]

State	Very poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Arkansas	2	15	39	35	9
Illinois	3	10	28	46	13
Indiana	3	9	28	46	14
Iowa	4	8	24	49	15
Kansas	1	4	27	57	11
Kentucky	1	2	10	57	30
Louisiana	2	15	35	41	7
Michigan	1	4	21	52	22
Minnesota	-	3	14	60	23
Mississippi	3	11	21	49	16
Missouri	5	14	37	37	7
Nebraska	3	4	19	60	14
North Carolina	-	14	37	45	4
North Dakota	1	2	11	68	18
Ohio	3	9	28	47	13
South Dakota	2	7	18	59	14
Tennessee	2	5	21	61	11
Wisconsin	1	3	17	57	22
18 States	2	8	24	51	15
Previous week	2	7	24	53	14
Previous year	2	6	26	53	13

- Represents zero.

Sorghum Planted - Selected States

[These 11 States planted 98% of the 2009 sorghum acreage]

State	Week ending			2005-2009 Average
	July 4, 2009	June 27, 2010	July 4, 2010	
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Arkansas	100	100	100	100
Colorado	86	99	99	95
Illinois	56	92	95	89
Kansas	97	93	98	97
Louisiana	100	100	100	100
Missouri	97	92	98	96
Nebraska	100	99	100	100
New Mexico	88	86	93	93
Oklahoma	88	90	99	87
South Dakota	100	98	100	99
Texas	97	95	98	97
11 States	96	94	98	97

Sorghum Headed - Selected States

[These 11 States planted 98% of the 2009 sorghum acreage]

State	Week ending			2005-2009 Average
	July 4, 2009	June 27, 2010	July 4, 2010	
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Arkansas	31	26	66	37
Colorado	8	-	3	6
Illinois	-	3	5	5
Kansas	-	-	1	-
Louisiana	77	80	87	68
Missouri	1	3	6	6
Nebraska	-	-	-	-
New Mexico	1	-	-	1
Oklahoma	-	-	-	4
South Dakota	1	-	-	1
Texas	54	49	56	57
11 States	24	21	25	25

- Represents zero.

Sorghum Coloring - Selected States

[These 11 States planted 98% of the 2009 sorghum acreage]

State	Week ending			2005-2009 Average
	July 4, 2009	June 27, 2010	July 4, 2010	
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Arkansas	-	(NA)	-	-
Colorado	1	(NA)	-	1
Illinois	-	(NA)	-	-
Kansas	-	(NA)	-	-
Louisiana	2	(NA)	25	11
Missouri	-	(NA)	-	-
Nebraska	-	(NA)	-	-
New Mexico	-	(NA)	-	-
Oklahoma	-	(NA)	-	-
South Dakota	-	(NA)	-	-
Texas	44	(NA)	45	45
11 States	18	(NA)	19	19

- Represents zero.

(NA) Not available.

Sorghum Condition - Selected States: Week Ending July 4, 2010

[National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2009 planted acreage]

State	Very poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Arkansas	2	8	33	51	6
Colorado	-	7	45	40	8
Illinois	2	7	27	46	18
Kansas	-	3	26	64	7
Louisiana	1	8	38	53	-
Missouri	2	7	36	52	3
Nebraska	-	2	21	67	10
New Mexico	4	4	26	66	-
Oklahoma	1	5	35	52	7
South Dakota	-	-	10	63	27
Texas	-	2	25	57	16
11 States	-	3	26	60	11
Previous week	-	2	26	61	11
Previous year	11	9	29	46	5

- Represents zero.

Peanuts Pegging - Selected States

[These 8 States planted 97% of the 2009 peanut acreage]

State	Week ending			2005-2009 Average
	July 4, 2009	June 27, 2010	July 4, 2010	
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Alabama	18	10	23	19
Florida	49	26	36	43
Georgia	23	25	41	33
North Carolina	71	42	55	39
Oklahoma	20	31	52	53
South Carolina	47	26	52	44
Texas	16	14	37	23
Virginia	28	4	27	30
8 States	28	22	39	32

Peanut Condition - Selected States: Week Ending July 4, 2010

[National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2009 planted acreage]

State	Very poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Alabama	-	-	27	58	15
Florida	-	2	13	71	14
Georgia	-	5	24	51	20
North Carolina	-	5	38	54	3
Oklahoma	3	-	18	66	13
South Carolina	-	3	30	64	3
Texas	-	-	27	56	17
Virginia	-	-	25	75	-
8 States	-	3	25	56	16
Previous week	-	2	27	60	11
Previous year	1	4	37	49	9

- Represents zero.

Sunflowers Planted - Selected States

[These 4 States planted 84% of the 2009 sunflower acreage]

State	Week ending			2005-2009 Average
	July 4, 2009	June 27, 2010	July 4, 2010	
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Colorado	98	94	97	97
Kansas	88	74	85	90
North Dakota	100	97	100	100
South Dakota	97	82	99	97
4 States	98	90	98	98

Rice Headed - Selected States

[These 6 States planted 100% of the 2009 rice acreage]

State	Week ending			2005-2009 Average
	July 4, 2009	June 27, 2010	July 4, 2010	
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Arkansas	3	1	8	1
California	-	-	-	1
Louisiana	28	25	45	35
Mississippi	1	-	18	5
Missouri	-	-	2	2
Texas	57	9	19	44
6 States	9	5	13	9

- Represents zero.

Rice Condition - Selected States: Week Ending July 4, 2010

[National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2009 planted acres]

State	Very poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Arkansas	-	7	29	42	22
California	-	5	20	65	10
Louisiana	-	3	17	54	26
Mississippi	-	4	15	49	32
Missouri	-	3	12	60	25
Texas	-	5	13	66	16
6 States	-	5	23	51	21
Previous week	-	4	22	53	21
Previous year	2	9	34	44	11

- Represents zero.

Winter Wheat Harvested - Selected States

[These 18 States harvested 89% of the 2009 winter wheat acreage]

State	Week ending			2005-2009 Average
	July 4, 2009	June 27, 2010	July 4, 2010	
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Arkansas	99	98	100	99
California	87	60	70	88
Colorado	9	8	21	29
Idaho	-	-	-	-
Illinois	60	64	85	74
Indiana	52	37	71	51
Kansas	78	55	84	80
Michigan	3	-	-	3
Missouri	80	64	93	81
Montana	-	-	-	-
Nebraska	9	-	13	24
North Carolina	96	95	100	94
Ohio	15	8	48	15
Oklahoma	97	85	90	91
Oregon	-	-	1	3
South Dakota	-	-	-	5
Texas	87	58	78	88
Washington	1	-	-	-
18 States	50	38	54	53

- Represents zero.

Winter Wheat Condition - Selected States: Week Ending July 4, 2010

[National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2009 planted acreage]

State	Very poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Arkansas	2	6	40	43	9
California	-	-	10	40	50
Colorado	2	7	20	56	15
Idaho	-	-	9	72	19
Illinois	7	11	42	36	4
Indiana	1	8	34	49	8
Kansas	3	10	31	46	10
Michigan	1	2	18	62	17
Missouri	10	20	35	31	4
Montana	-	2	19	53	26
Nebraska	3	6	22	57	12
North Carolina	10	21	35	31	3
Ohio	7	12	39	32	10
Oklahoma	3	8	26	50	13
Oregon	1	1	20	61	17
South Dakota	-	1	14	59	26
Texas	3	7	28	49	13
Washington	2	7	13	53	25
18 States	3	8	26	49	14
Previous week	3	7	26	50	14
Previous year	13	14	26	36	11

- Represents zero.

Spring Wheat Headed - Selected States

[These 6 States planted 99% of the 2009 spring wheat acreage]

State	Week ending			2005-2009 Average
	July 4, 2009	June 27, 2010	July 4, 2010	
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Idaho	38	12	25	48
Minnesota	28	73	91	62
Montana	17	-	15	39
North Dakota	15	22	49	53
South Dakota	76	60	89	87
Washington	91	48	62	86
6 States	28	29	52	57

- Represents zero.

Spring Wheat Condition - Selected States: Week Ending July 4, 2010

[National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2009 planted acreage]

State	Very poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Idaho	-	1	2	87	10
Minnesota	1	4	11	55	29
Montana	-	1	21	58	20
North Dakota	-	2	12	70	16
South Dakota	-	2	24	57	17
Washington	-	-	20	59	21
6 States	-	2	15	65	18
Previous week	-	2	14	67	17
Previous year	1	7	20	59	13

- Represents zero.

Oats Headed - Selected States

[These 9 States planted 64% of the 2009 oat acreage]

State	Week ending			2005-2009 Average
	July 4, 2009	June 27, 2010	July 4, 2010	
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Iowa	91	89	98	93
Minnesota	67	81	93	78
Nebraska	93	92	96	95
North Dakota	15	9	49	51
Ohio	94	78	92	97
Pennsylvania	90	80	97	88
South Dakota	73	64	78	83
Texas	100	100	100	100
Wisconsin	82	82	94	84
9 States	76	74	87	84

Oat Condition - Selected States: Week Ending July 4, 2010

[National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2009 planted acreage]

State	Very poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Iowa	2	6	19	58	15
Minnesota	1	2	11	65	21
Nebraska	-	1	12	64	23
North Dakota	-	1	8	81	10
Ohio	1	3	32	52	12
Pennsylvania	-	4	18	57	21
South Dakota	-	2	15	67	16
Texas	2	7	18	52	21
Wisconsin	-	3	10	66	21
9 States	1	4	14	63	18
Previous week	1	4	15	62	18
Previous year	15	7	19	48	11

- Represents zero.

Barley Headed - Selected States

[These 5 States planted 79% of the 2009 barley acreage]

State	Week ending			2005-2009 Average
	July 4, 2009	June 27, 2010	July 4, 2010	
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Idaho	36	19	38	44
Minnesota	33	80	89	63
Montana	26	-	28	46
North Dakota	12	22	54	56
Washington	88	43	57	85
5 States	24	17	44	52

- Represents zero.

Barley Condition - Selected States: Week Ending July 4, 2010

[National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2009 planted acreage]

State	Very poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Idaho	-	-	3	88	9
Minnesota	3	5	15	43	34
Montana	-	1	19	54	26
North Dakota	-	4	14	68	14
Washington	-	1	10	70	19
5 States	-	2	13	67	18
Previous week	1	2	12	67	18
Previous year	-	4	19	61	16

- Represents zero.

Pasture and Range Condition - Selected States: Week Ending July 4, 2010

[National pasture and range conditions for selected States are weighted based on pasture acreage and/or livestock inventories]

State	Very poor (percent)	Poor (percent)	Fair (percent)	Good (percent)	Excellent (percent)
Alabama	-	3	26	62	9
Arizona	15	24	31	28	2
Arkansas	4	12	41	40	3
California	-	5	25	65	5
Colorado	1	6	30	54	9
Connecticut	-	24	28	48	-
Delaware	24	32	30	14	-
Florida	-	1	15	70	14
Georgia	1	7	36	48	8
Idaho	-	1	10	66	23
Illinois	-	3	20	55	22
Indiana	1	4	19	53	23
Iowa	1	4	22	53	20
Kansas	1	3	22	62	12
Kentucky	2	3	24	56	15
Louisiana	3	12	40	38	7
Maine	-	-	25	48	27
Maryland	15	27	35	22	1
Massachusetts	-	4	22	73	1
Michigan	-	2	19	62	17
Minnesota	-	2	12	60	26
Mississippi	3	7	40	42	8
Missouri	11	11	29	40	9
Montana	-	4	14	51	31
Nebraska	-	2	8	74	16
Nevada	-	3	33	53	11
New Hampshire	6	5	23	58	8
New Jersey	-	20	20	60	-
New Mexico	7	21	47	24	1
New York	1	2	27	53	17
North Carolina	7	20	43	28	2
North Dakota	-	2	13	65	20
Ohio	1	4	22	54	19
Oklahoma	2	8	32	48	10
Oregon	1	5	18	57	19
Pennsylvania	14	12	34	24	16
Rhode Island	-	-	20	72	8
South Carolina	2	9	43	45	1
South Dakota	-	2	9	62	27
Tennessee	4	11	33	47	5
Texas	4	14	30	39	13
Utah	-	2	22	63	13
Vermont	-	15	24	43	18
Virginia	15	34	31	18	2
Washington	-	8	25	50	17
West Virginia	7	18	45	28	2
Wisconsin	-	1	13	62	24
Wyoming	-	1	9	72	18
48 States	3	8	24	51	14
Previous week	2	8	24	53	13
Previous year	9	12	26	42	11

- Represents zero.

Crop Progress and Condition Tables Expected Next Week

Barley: Headed, Condition

Corn: Silking, Condition

Cotton: Squaring, Setting Bolls, Condition

Oats: Headed, Harvested, Condition

Pasture and Range: Condition

Peanuts: Pegging, Condition

Rice: Headed, Condition

Sorghum: Headed, Coloring, Condition

Soybeans: Blooming, Setting Pods, Condition

Spring Wheat: Headed, Condition

Winter Wheat: Harvested

Statistical Methodology

Survey Procedures: Crop progress and condition estimates are based on survey data collected each week from early April through the end of November. The non-probability crop progress and condition surveys include input from approximately 5,000 reporters whose occupations provide them opportunities to make visual observations and frequently bring them in contact with farmers in their counties. Based on standard definitions, these reporters subjectively estimate the progress of crops through various stages of development, as well as the progress of producer activities. They also provide subjective evaluations of crop conditions.

Most reporters complete their questionnaires on Friday or early Monday morning and submit them to the National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) Field Offices in their States by mail, telephone, fax, e-mail, or through a secured internet website. A small number of reports are completed on Thursday, Saturday, and Sunday. Regardless of when questionnaires are completed, reporters are asked to report for the entire week ending on Sunday. For reports submitted prior to the Sunday reference date, a degree of uncertainty is introduced by projections for weekend changes in progress and condition. By the end of the 2009 season, over 80 percent of the data were being submitted through the internet website. As a result, the majority of all data are submitted on Monday morning, significantly reducing projection uncertainty.

Reporters are sent written reporting instructions at the beginning of each season and are contacted periodically to ensure proper reporting. Terms and definitions of crop stages and condition categories used as reporting guidelines are available on the NASS website at www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/National_Crop_Progress.

Estimating Procedures: Reported data are reviewed for reasonableness and consistency by comparing with data reported the previous week and data reported in surrounding counties for the current week. Each State Field Office summarizes the reported data to district and State levels, weighting each county's reported data by NASS county acreage estimates. Summarized indications are compared with previous week estimates, and progress items are compared with earlier stages of development and historical averages to ensure reasonableness. Weather events and reporter comments are also taken into consideration. State estimates are submitted to the Agricultural Statistics Board (ASB) along with supporting comments, where they are compared with surrounding States and compiled into a National level summary by weighting each State by its acreage estimates.

Revision Policy: Progress and condition estimates in the *Crop Progress* report are released after 4:00 pm ET on the first business day of the week. These estimates are preliminary and subject to corrections or updates in the *Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletin* that is released at 12:00 pm ET on the second business day of the week. These estimates are subject to revision the following week.

Information Contacts

Listed below are the commodity statisticians in the Crops Branch of the National Agricultural Statistics Service to contact for additional information. E-mail inquiries may be sent to nass@nass.usda.gov

Lance Honig, Chief, Crops Branch	(202) 720-2127
Jacqueline Moore, Head, Field Crops Section	(202) 720-2127
Suzanne Avilla – Peanuts, Rice.....	(202) 720-7688
Shiela Corley – Cotton, Cotton Ginnings, Sorghum	(202) 720-5944
Bryan Durham – Hay, Oats	(202) 690-3234
Anthony Prillaman – Corn, Proso Millet, Flaxseed	(202) 720-9526
Nick Schauer – Wheat, Rye	(202) 720-8068
Julie Schmidt – Crop Weather, Barley, Sugar Crops	(202) 720-7621
Travis Thorson – Soybeans, Sunflower, Other Oilseeds.....	(202) 720-7369

Access to NASS Reports

For your convenience, you may access NASS reports and products the following ways:

- All reports are available electronically, at no cost, on the NASS web site: <http://www.nass.usda.gov>
- Both national and state specific reports are available via a free e-mail subscription. To set-up this free subscription, visit <http://www.nass.usda.gov> and in the “Receive NASS Updates” box under “Receive reports by Email,” click on “National” or “State” to select the reports you would like to receive.
- Printed reports may be purchased from the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) by calling toll-free (800) 999-6779, or (703) 605-6220 if calling from outside the United States or Canada. Accepted methods of payment are Visa, MasterCard, check, or money order.

For more information on NASS surveys and reports, call the NASS Agricultural Statistics Hotline at (800) 727-9540, 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. ET, or e-mail: nass@nass.usda.gov.

The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotope, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410, or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.