

# **Crop Progress**

ISSN: 1948-3007

Released September 20, 2010, by the National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS), Agricultural Statistics Board, United States Department of Agriculture (USDA).

## **Cotton Bolls Opening – Selected States**

[These 15 States planted 99% of the 2009 cotton acreage]

		2005 2000		
State	September 19, 2009	September 12, 2010	September 19, 2010	2005-2009 Average
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Alabama	33 81	73 70	81 78	66 82
Arizona Arkansas	41	88	94	75
CaliforniaGeorgia	38 42	25 73	35 85	48 59
Kansas	15	30	45	19
LouisianaMississippi	85   69	97 92	98 95	92 84
Missouri	32 63	79	88	66 73
North Carolina Oklahoma	41	82 55	88 75	44
South Carolina Tennessee	72 39	49 79	68 92	61 72
Texas	39	41	53	40
Virginia	46	40	60	75
15 States	43	56	67	52

## **Cotton Harvested - Selected States**

[These 15 States harvested 99% of the 2009 cotton acreage]

		2005 2000			
State	September 19, 2009	September 12, 2010	September 19, 2010	- 2005-2009 Average	
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	
Alabama Arizona Arkansas California Georgia Kansas Louisiana Mississippi Missouri North Carolina Oklahoma	- 17 - 1 - - 5 - - -	5 10 9 - 3 3 19 3 -	14 12 23 - 7 - 48 37 10	6 12 6 - 2 - 15 13 7 -	
South Carolina Tennessee Texas	1 - 14	- 4 10	1 14 13	1 3 16	
Virginia	-	1	4	-	
15 States	7	8	13	10	

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero.

# Cotton Condition – Selected States: Week Ending September 19, 2010 [National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2009 planted acreage]

State	Very poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Alabama	8	28	38	25	1
Arizona	-	1	17	49	33
Arkansas	1	5	33	42	19
California	-	-	15	55	30
Georgia	13	22	33	27	5
Kansas	2	5	27	59	7
Louisiana	-	21	34	42	3
Mississippi	3	8	28	50	11
Missouri		20	28	37	4
North Carolina	5	16	36	38	5
Oklahoma	2	11	38	35	14
South Carolina	4	15	26	50	5
Tennessee	1	4	26	57	12
Texas	2	5	27	48	18
Virginia	16	30	42	12	-
15 States	4	9	29	44	14
Previous week	3	9	29	45	14
Previous year	8	11	31	40	10

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero.

## **Corn Dented - Selected States**

[These 18 States planted 92% of the 2009 corn acreage]

		Week ending		2005-2009
State	September 19, 2009	September 12, 2010	September 19, 2010	Average
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Colorado	74	70	93	82
Illinois	71	97	100	90
Indiana	70	96	99	89
lowa	87	97	99	92
Kansas	95	98	100	98
Kentucky	96	98	99	98
Michigan	61	94	98	85
Minnesota	73	94	98	92
Missouri	88	94	97	95
Nebraska	90	92	98	95
North Carolina	100	100	100	100
North Dakota	45	86	96	81
Ohio	78	90	100	92
Pennsylvania	68	73	84	82
South Dakota	71	85	92	91
Tennessee	99	100	100	100
Texas	96	92	93	98
Wisconsin	62	87	93	79
18 States	79	93	98	91

## **Corn Mature - Selected States**

[These 18 States planted 92% of the 2009 corn acreage]

		2005-2009		
State	September 19, 2009	September 12, 2010	September 19, 2010	Average
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Colorado	29	10	32	39
Illinois	12	79	90	55
Indiana	13	69	88	44
lowa	20	62	79	49
Kansas	50	70	84	71
Kentucky	59	87	93	82
Michigan	9	60	75	39
Minnesota	4	28	49	37
Missouri	50	65	80	71
Nebraska	14	26	48	37
North Carolina	96	96	100	98
North Dakota	-	24	47	32
Ohio	14	50	72	32
Pennsylvania	17	31	47	43
South Dakota	10	21	43	34
Tennessee	66	95	98	88
Texas	73	73	77	82
Wisconsin	9	27	47	28
18 States	20	52	69	48

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero.

## **Corn Harvested - Selected States**

[These 18 States harvested 94% of the 2009 corn acreage]

		2005-2009		
State	September 19, 2009	September 12, 2010	September 19, 2010	Average
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
ColoradoIllinois	4	- 18	3 38	4
Indiana	-	13	27	6
lowa Kansas	- 7	3 28	6 39	2 23
Kentucky	11	54	68	31
Michigan Minnesota	-	4 -	13	2 2
Missouri Nebraska	10	23	34	32
North Carolina	53	67	82	58
North DakotaOhio		3	- 11	1 1
Pennsylvania	5	6	16	12
South Dakota Tennessee	12	72	84	49
Texas Wisconsin	69 -	50	57 2	70
18 States	4	11	18	10

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero.

# Corn Condition - Selected States: Week Ending September 19, 2010

[National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2009 planted acreage]

State	Very poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Colorado	-	4	19	63	14
Illinois	4	13	29	42	12
Indiana	5	12	27	44	12
lowa	4	8	20	47	21
Kansas	3	10	28	48	11
Kentucky	5	15	34	40	6
Michigan	3	7	18	47	25
Minnesota	-	2	9	53	36
Missouri	6	16	29	40	9
Nebraska	1	4	12	57	26
North Carolina	17	17	31	28	7
North Dakota	2	4	13	54	27
Ohio	2	9	26	47	16
Pennsylvania	5	19	21	43	12
South Dakota	2	8	22	48	20
Tennessee	8	14	31	38	9
Texas	10	9	19	45	17
Wisconsin	1	3	13	43	40
18 States	3	8	21	48	20
Previous week	4	8	20	47	21
Previous year	3	7	22	48	20

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero.

## **Soybeans Dropping Leaves – Selected States**

[These 18 States planted 95% of the 2009 soybean acreage]

		2005 2000			
State	September 19, September 12, 2009 2010		September 19, 2010	2005-2009 Average	
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	
Arkansas	26	36	47	40	
Illinois	18	42	65	44	
Indiana	36	60	79	55	
lowa	45	32	57	57	
Kansas	38	20	37	44	
Kentucky	38	53	69	41	
Louisiana	71	71	74	76	
Michigan	20	38	66	46	
Minnesota	44	37	68	63	
Mississippi	55	75	79	77	
Missouri	23	12	27	30	
Nebraska	36	20	44	44	
North Carolina	17	14	23	20	
North Dakota	24	36	68	63	
Ohio	48	52	78	59	
South Dakota	67	48	72	72	
Tennessee	39	49	66	57	
Wisconsin	21	25	50	47	
18 States	36	38	60	52	

## Soybeans Harvested - Selected States

[These 18 States harvested 95% of the 2009 soybean acreage]

		2005 2000			
State	September 19, 2009	September 12, 2010	September 19, 2010	2005-2009 Average	
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	
Arkansas	7	(NA)	21	19	
Illinois	-	(NA)	10	5	
Indiana	-	(NA)	20	3	
lowa	2	(NA)	4	4	
Kansas	-	(NA)	1	1	
Kentucky	-	(NA)	12	2	
Louisiana	48	(NA)	55	52	
Michigan	-	(NA)	5	3	
Minnesota	1	(NA)	3	4	
Mississippi	29	(NA)	55	52	
Missouri	-	(NA)	1	1	
Nebraska	1	(NA)	1	2	
North Carolina	-	(NA)	-	-	
North Dakota	-	(NA)	1	6	
Ohio	1	(NA)	11	3	
South Dakota	-	(NA)	1	1	
Tennessee	-	(NA)	12	8	
Wisconsin	-	(NA)	-	1	
18 States	2	(NA)	8	6	

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero.

(NA) Not available.

## Soybean Condition - Selected States: Week Ending September 19, 2010

[National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2009 planted acreage]

State	Very poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Arkansas	6	18	35	32	9
Illinois	3	7	30	46	14
Indiana	6	13	30	40	11
lowa	3	7	20	49	21
Kansas	5	13	33	43	6
Kentucky	11	22	40	22	5
Louisiana	1	8	27	46	18
Michigan	2	6	24	47	21
Minnesota	_	2	11	55	32
Mississippi	7	14	29	38	12
Missouri	5	13	31	40	11
Nebraska	2	3	16	55	24
North Carolina	11	18	34	32	 5
North Dakota	1	2	11	58	28
Ohio	1	8	30	46	15
South Dakota	2	10	25	44	19
Tennessee	- 8	21	32	33	6
Wisconsin	1	3	14	47	35
	·				
18 States	3	9	25	46	17
Previous week	4	9	24	46	17
Previous year	2	7	24	51	16

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero.

## **Peanuts Harvested - Selected States**

[These 8 States harvested 98% of the 2009 peanut acreage]

		2005-2009			
State	September 19, September 12, 2009 2010		September 19, 2010	Average	
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	
Alabama	-	-	-	5	
Florida	21	14	23	13	
Georgia	1	1	6	3	
North Carolina	-	-	3	2	
Oklahoma	-	-	-	1	
South Carolina	12	5	14	9	
Texas	3	-	3	2	
Virginia	-	-	-	-	
8 States	4	2	6	4	

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero.

## Peanut Condition - Selected States: Week Ending September 19, 2010

[National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2009 planted acreage]

State	Very poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Alabama	9	14	54	22	1
Florida	3	25	19	43	10
Georgia	4	13	37	35	11
North Carolina	5	15	52	26	2
Oklahoma	1	2	24	51	22
South Carolina	1	6	29	58	6
Texas	-	1	11	69	19
Virginia	33	38	20	9	-
8 States	4	13	34	39	10
Previous week	2	9	32	44	13
Previous year	-	2	29	56	13

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero.

## **Sorghum Coloring – Selected States**

[These 11 States planted 98% of the 2009 sorghum acreage]

		2005-2009			
State	September 19, September 12, 2009 2010		September 19, 2010	Average	
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	
Arkansas	100	100	100	100	
Colorado	87	70	95	80	
Illinois	74	89	94	86	
Kansas	77	84	92	82	
Louisiana	100	100	100	100	
Missouri	75	86	91	83	
Nebraska	71	93	94	88	
New Mexico	52	35	45	57	
Oklahoma	79	69	83	73	
South Dakota	84	94	98	91	
Texas	81	87	90	84	
11 States	79	85	91	83	

## **Sorghum Mature - Selected States**

[These 11 States planted 98% of the 2009 sorghum acreage]

		2005-2009		
State	September 19, 2009	September 12, 2010	September 19, 2010	Average
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Arkansas	96	100	100	96
Colorado	41	20	30	40
Illinois	19	52	74	52
Kansas	11	19	31	23
Louisiana	100	100	100	100
Missouri	24	50	63	48
Nebraska	4	3	16	20
New Mexico	10	1	6	8
Oklahoma	22	35	44	28
South Dakota	20	22	43	32
Texas	67	61	66	71
11 States	37	38	47	45

## **Sorghum Harvested – Selected States**

[These 11 States harvested 99% of the 2009 sorghum acreage]

		Week ending 2005-2009				
State	September 19, September 12, 2009 2010		September 19, 2010	Average		
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)		
Arkansas Colorado Illinois Kansas Louisiana Missouri Nebraska New Mexico Oklahoma South Dakota Texas	35 6 - 1 96 4 - - 3 3 1 65	89 - 15 3 98 12 - - 13 1 45	97 - 26 6 99 21 1 - 17 2 46	67 4 11 6 95 16 1 - 11 3 68		
11 States	27	21	23	31		

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero.

# Sorghum Condition – Selected States: Week Ending September 19, 2010

[National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2009 planted acreage]

State	Very poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Arkansas	4	20	55	19	2
Colorado	1	5	27	59	8
Illinois	4	6	26	51	13
Kansas	3	10	31	49	7
Louisiana	1	1	30	68	-
Missouri	2	7	30	56	5
Nebraska	-	3	21	57	19
New Mexico	-	-	38	58	4
Oklahoma	1	4	34	47	14
South Dakota	-	4	21	65	10
Texas	2	6	28	54	10
11 States	2	7	29	53	9
Previous week	2	7	29	52	10
Previous year	11	10	30	39	10

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero.

## **Sugarbeets Harvested – Selected States**

[These 4 States harvested 84% of the 2009 sugarbeet acreage]

		Week ending			
State	September 19, September 12, 2009 2010		September 19, 2010	2005-2009 Average	
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	
Idaho	3 3 7 7	- 11 9 9	- 16 13 13	3 2 7 7	
4 States	6	8	11	6	

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero.

## Rice Harvested - Selected States

[These 6 States harvested 100% of the 2009 rice acreage]

		2005-2009			
State	September 19, September 12, 2009 2010		September 19, 2010	Average	
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	
Arkansas California Louisiana Mississippi Missouri	23 20 87 28 18	59 - 87 67 41	74 2 92 79 55	39 16 88 48 27	
Texas	91	98	99	94	
6 States	36	54	64	45	

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero.

## **Spring Wheat Harvested – Selected States**

[These 6 States harvested 99% of the 2009 spring wheat acreage]

		2005 2000		
State	September 19, September 12, 2009 2010		September 19, 2010	2005-2009 Average
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Idaho Minnesota Montana North Dakota South Dakota Washington	94 83 87 74 100 100	69 100 56 85 100 95	85 100 64 89 100 99	97 96 95 94 100 100
6 States	82	83	87	96

## Winter Wheat Planted - Selected States

[These 18 States planted 89% of the 2010 winter wheat acreage]

		2005 2000			
State	September 19, 2009	September 12, 2010	September 19, 2010	2005-2009 Average	
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	
Arkansas	4	(NA)	1	2	
California	3	(NA)	2	4	
Colorado	47	(NA)	40	46	
Idaho	29	(NA)	22	26	
Illinois	1	(NA)	3	2	
Indiana	1	(NA)	3	2	
Kansas	8	(NA)	11	13	
Michigan	7	(NA)	8	8	
Missouri	2	(NA)	2	3	
Montana	44	(NA)	18	37	
Nebraska	52	(NA)	51	45	
North Carolina	-	(NA)	-	1	
Ohio	-	(NA)	2	1	
Oklahoma	16	(NA)	13	20	
Oregon	27	(NA)	16	19	
South Dakota	-	(NA)	-	-	
Texas	30	(NA)	25	24	
Washington	57	(NA)	50	49	
18 States	22	(NA)	18	21	

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero.

(NA) Not available.

# **Barley Harvested – Selected States**

[These 5 States harvested 81% of the 2009 barley acreage]

	Week ending			2005 2000
State	September 19,	September 12,	September 19,	2005-2009
	2009	2010	2010	Average
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Idaho	91	77	84	94
Minnesota	88	100	100	98
Montana	81	65	69	91
North Dakota	92	97	100	98
	100	95	98	100
5 States	89	84	88	95

# Pasture and Range Condition – Selected States: Week Ending September 19, 2010

[National pasture and range conditions for selected States are weighted based on pasture acreage and/or livestock inventories]

State	Very poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)	(percent)
Alabama	15	38	33	14	_
Arizona	17	14	27	28	14
Arkansas	13	39	35	13	=
California	5	30	25	40	_
Colorado	1	17	45	36	1
Connecticut	8	38	38	16	<u> </u>
Delaware	10	23	36	29	2
Florida	1	25	22	50	25
Georgia	21	26	37	14	2
Idaho	2	24	24	47	3
Illinois	5	9	37	42	7
Indiana	25	31	32	11	1
	23	5	28	48	17
lowa					
Kansas	4	14	36	42	4
Kentucky	27	33	20	18	2
Louisiana	8	21	39	29	3
Maine	1	3	53	43	Ţ
Maryland	24	22	30	23	1
Massachusetts	-	3	29	66	2
Michigan	2	14	30	46	8
Minnesota	<del>-</del>	1	15	64	20
Mississippi	9	24	53	12	2
Missouri	8	11	31	42	8
Montana	3	12	33	41	11
Nebraska	-	2	14	72	12
Nevada	4	10	63	22	1
New Hampshire	1	8	21	70	-
New Jersey	-	25	50	25	-
New Mexico	4	12	31	50	3
New York	3	8	31	51	7
North Carolina	15	34	31	19	1
North Dakota	-	5	28	57	10
Ohio	14	25	32	24	5
Oklahoma	6	19	40	33	2
Oregon	10	25	35	24	6
Pennsylvania	27	19	40	11	3
Rhode Island	-	-	16	84	-
South Carolina	14	23	37	26	-
South Dakota	2	10	25	50	13
Tennessee	12	25	38	24	1
Texas	5	14	36	38	7
Utah	1	6	30	57	6
Vermont	3	33	43	21	-
Virginia	37	33	22	8	_
Washington	13	9	25	52	1
West Virginia	32	35	24	8	1
Wisconsin	-	2	18	56	24
Wyoming	-	11	34	45	10
48 States	7	16	31	39	7
Previous week	7	16	33	37	7
Previous year	9	14	30	40	7
	<u> </u>	17	1 00	1	<u>'</u>

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero.

## **Crop Progress and Condition Tables Expected Next Week**

**Barley:** Harvested

Corn: Mature, Harvested, Condition

Cotton: Bolls Opening, Harvested, Condition

**Pasture and Range:** Condition **Peanuts:** Harvested, Condition

Rice: Harvested

**Sorghum:** Coloring, Mature, Harvested, Condition **Soybeans:** Dropping Leaves, Harvested, Condition

Spring Wheat: Harvested Sugarbeets: Harvested Winter Wheat: Planted

#### **Statistical Methodology**

**Survey Procedures:** Crop progress and condition estimates are based on survey data collected each week from early April through the end of November. The non-probability crop progress and condition surveys include input from approximately 5,000 reporters whose occupations provide them opportunities to make visual observations and frequently bring them in contact with farmers in their counties. Based on standard definitions, these reporters subjectively estimate the progress of crops through various stages of development, as well as the progress of producer activities. They also provide subjective evaluations of crop conditions.

Most reporters complete their questionnaires on Friday or early Monday morning and submit them to the National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) Field Offices in their States by mail, telephone, fax, e-mail, or through a secured internet website. A small number of reports are completed on Thursday, Saturday, and Sunday. Regardless of when questionnaires are completed, reporters are asked to report for the entire week ending on Sunday. For reports submitted prior to the Sunday reference date, a degree of uncertainty is introduced by projections for weekend changes in progress and condition. By the end of the 2009 season, over 80 percent of the data were being submitted through the internet website. As a result, the majority of all data are submitted on Monday morning, significantly reducing projection uncertainty.

Reporters are sent written reporting instructions at the beginning of each season and are contacted periodically to ensure proper reporting. Terms and definitions of crop stages and condition categories used as reporting guidelines are available on the NASS website at <a href="https://www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/National\_Crop\_Progress">www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/National\_Crop\_Progress</a>.

**Estimating Procedures:** Reported data are reviewed for reasonableness and consistency by comparing with data reported the previous week and data reported in surrounding counties for the current week. Each State Field Office summarizes the reported data to district and State levels, weighting each county's reported data by NASS county acreage estimates. Summarized indications are compared with previous week estimates, and progress items are compared with earlier stages of development and historical averages to ensure reasonableness. Weather events and reporter comments are also taken into consideration. State estimates are submitted to the Agricultural Statistics Board (ASB) along with supporting comments, where they are compared with surrounding States and compiled into a National level summary by weighting each State by its acreage estimates.

**Revision Policy:** Progress and condition estimates in the *Crop Progress* report are released after 4:00 pm ET on the first business day of the week. These estimates are preliminary and subject to corrections or updates in the *Weekly Weather* and *Crop Bulletin* that is released at 12:00 pm ET on the second business day of the week. These estimates are subject to revision the following week.

#### **Information Contacts**

Listed below are the commodity statisticians in the Crops Branch of the National Agricultural Statistics Service to contact for additional information. E-mail inquiries may be sent to nass@nass.usda.gov

Lance Honig, Chief, Crops Branch	(202) 720-2127
Jacqueline Moore, Head, Field Crops Section	(202) 720-2127
Suzanne Avilla – Peanuts, Rice	(202) 720-7688
Shiela Corley – Cotton, Cotton Ginnings, Sorghum	(202) 720-5944
Bryan Durham – Hay, Oats	(202) 690-3234
Anthony Prillaman – Corn, Proso Millet, Flaxseed	(202) 720-9526
Nick Schauer – Wheat, Rye	(202) 720-8068
Julie Schmidt – Crop Weather, Barley, Sugar Crops	(202) 720-7621
Travis Thorson – Soybeans, Sunflower, Other Oilseeds	(202) 720-7369

## **Access to NASS Reports**

For your convenience, you may access NASS reports and products the following ways:

- All reports are available electronically, at no cost, on the NASS web site: <a href="http://www.nass.usda.gov">http://www.nass.usda.gov</a>
- ➤ Both national and state specific reports are available via a free e-mail subscription. To set-up this free subscription, visit <a href="http://www.nass.usda.gov">http://www.nass.usda.gov</a> and in the "Receive NASS Updates" box under "Receive reports by Email," click on "National" or "State" to select the reports you would like to receive.
- ➤ Printed reports may be purchased from the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) by calling toll-free (800) 999-6779, or (703) 605-6220 if calling from outside the United States or Canada. Accepted methods of payment are Visa, MasterCard, check, or money order.

For more information on NASS surveys and reports, call the NASS Agricultural Statistics Hotline at (800) 727-9540, 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. ET, or e-mail: nass@nass.usda.gov.

The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410, or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

# USDA Data Users' Meeting Monday October 25, 2010

Crowne Plaza Chicago-Metro Chicago, Illinois 60661 312-829-5000

The USDA's National Agricultural Statistics Service will be organizing an open forum for data users. The purpose will be to provide updates on pending changes in the various statistical and information programs and seek comments and input from data users. Other USDA agencies to be represented will include the Agricultural Marketing Service, the Economic Research Service, the Foreign Agricultural Service, and the World Agricultural Outlook Board. The Foreign Trade Division from the Census Bureau will also be included in the meeting.

For registration details or additional information for the Data Users' Meeting, see the NASS homepage at <a href="http://www.nass.usda.gov/meeting/">http://www.nass.usda.gov/meeting/</a> or contact Marie Jordan (NASS) at 202-690-8141 or at <a href="marie\_jordan@nass.usda.gov">marie\_jordan@nass.usda.gov</a>.

This Data Users' Meeting precedes an Industry Outlook Meeting that will be held at the same location on Tuesday October 26, 2010. The Outlook meeting brings together analysts from various commodity sectors to discuss the outlook situation. For registration details or additional information for the Industry Outlook Meeting, see the Livestock and Marketing Information Center (LMIC) homepage at <a href="http://www.lcmic.info/">http://www.lcmic.info/</a> or contact Erica Rosa 303-236-0461 at <a href="mailto:rosa@lmic.info">rosa@lmic.info</a> or Laura Lahr 303-236-0464 at <a href="mailto:lahr@lmic.info">lahr@lmic.info</a>.