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## SURMARY

By mid-March cotton prices had recovered 2.5 of the 3 cent decline that occurred in the first half of February. Middling 15/16" on March 13 averaged 34.29 cents per pound in the ten spot markets compared with the low of 31.42 a month earlier. Premiuns for the staple lengths longer than 15/16" of Middling and better grades continued to widen as did discounts on most grades and staple lengths shorter than Middling 15/16". Farm prices of cotton in mid-February averaged 30.71 , nearly 2.5 cents per pound below that of January 15. The decline in the farm price of cotton reflected not only the general decline in comodity prices but also the lower quality of the cotton marketed.

Mill consumption in February was 785,000 bales of cotton compared with 860,000 in January and 839,000 in February 1947. If the August-February rate were continued for the last five months of the season, total consumption for $1947-48$ would be $9,300,000$ bales compared with $10,025,000$ bales last season. It is probable, however, that the current rate will be lower later this season.

Exports of cotton for the August-January period were low, totaling only 872,000 running bales of which 357,000 were to Japan. Fxports probably will not increase substantially over the current rate until legislative action is taken on programs for economic aid to Europe.

The U. S. Department of Agriculture in testimony presented before the United States Tariff Commi ssion opposed any increase in the present import quota of cotton of $1-1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ and longer. The Department presented data indicating that current supplies are sufficient to meet requirements for these cottons during the remainder of the season.

Price of Cotton Declines
Spot prices of cotton declined over 3 cents per pound in the first half of February but then increased gradually and by mid-March were within $1 / 2$ cent of the prices at the beginning of the period. Middling $15 / 16^{\prime \prime}$ in the ten designated markets averaged 34.61 cents per pound on February 2, 31.42 on February 13, 33.86 on March 2 and 34.29 on March 13. Although fluctuations during the period were irregular, losses amounting to more than 1 cent occurred only on two days, February 10 and March 8, while gains amounting to almost 1 cent occurred on March 2.

Premiums for grades above Midaling $15 / 16^{\prime \prime}$ on February 26 averaged only one point less in the ten spot markets than on January 29. Premiums for the medium staple lengths (above $15 / 16^{\prime \prime}$ through $1-1 / 16^{\prime \prime}$ ) of Middling grade were moderately wider. In Memphis, premiums for Middling 1-1/8" increased 150 points to 1,275 . Discounts on Middling and better grades shorter than $15 / 16^{\prime \prime}$ staple length widened as did those on practically all lengths of spotted and tinged cotton, while those on Strict Good Ordinary and Good Ordinary narrowed somewhat.

Farm prices of cotton in mid-February averaged 30.71 cents per pound, nearly 2.5 cents below the January 15 average. The dacline in price probably reflects the lower quality of cotton marketed as well as the break in cormodity prices in the first part of February. The parity price of cotton declined 37 points to 30.75 cents after increasing for seven successive months. This was the first time the average farm price of cotton has been as low as the parity price since August 1945.

## Mill Consumption Remains High

Domestic mills consumed 785,000 bales of cotton during February, compared with 360,000 in January and 839,000 in February a year ago.

Average consumption of 39,927 bales per working day in February was only 82 bales less than January and was larger than for any other month since April 1947. In February 1947, daily consumption averaged 41,969 bales. Consumption per active spindle during February was 17.9 pounds, compared with 19.7 for January and 18.7 in February 1947. Active cotton system spindles were operated at 137.6 percent of capacity ( 80 hours per week $=100$ ) compared with 139.0 percent for January and 130.8 percent in February last year.

Total consumption during the first seven months of the 1947-48 season was $5,423,000$ bales, down 10 percent from the corresponding period last year. During the last 20 years, 58.2 percent of the average annual consumption occurred in August-February. During this time, consumption for the first seven months ranged from 53.0 percent to 63.2 percent of the annual total. Last year, the proportion was 60.4 percent. If the pattern of mill use this year should equal the average of the last 20 years, total consumption for this season would be about 9,300,000 bales. There are several indications, however, that the current high level of consumption may not continue during the remainder of the season. Among these are decreasing exports of textiles,
declining prices for textiles at wholesale levels, and increasing inventories of textiled at wholesale and retäil levels, In view of these indications, it is expected that mill consumption for the $1947-48$ season will probably total about 9 million bales.

## Cotton Exports Continue Low

In Jenuary 1948, 214,000 bales of raw cotton were exported. This brought the total for August-January to 872,000 bales, less than half as much as for the same period. last year. During the war years $1940-44$ when exports averaged only 1,417,000 bales, exports ior August-January were 686,000 bales, nearly 80 percent as much as the total for the first six months this season.

Japan received 357,000 beles, or 41 percent of total August-January exports while the 16 countries participating in the European Recovery Program received only 323,000 bales or 37 percent. In 1935-39, total exports ior the first six months of the jear averaged 3,526,000 bales, but only 19 percent went to Japan while 55 percent was exported to the 16 European countries.

Cotton: All Kinds: Consumption in United States, 1927-1946


Complied from reports of the Bureau of the Census.
$\therefore \quad$ Stocks of cotton in importing countries have been substantially reduced in recent month s. Therefore, exports of cotton probably will be increased ger the current rate regardless of the amount of economic assistance that is nade available.to European countries. The extent of the inerease in exports, however, will depend in large neasure on the volume of financial assistance made available. The European Recovery Program as.. proposed to Congress calls for exports to the Furopean nations affected, amounting. to 788,000 bales in April-June of this fiscal year and about 2.5 million bales in each of the next four years.

Imports of raw cotton from all sources and from United States into 16 participating countries in European Recovery

Program, 1925-1946 with proposed imports 1948-49 to 1951-1952


Proposed imports under European Recovery Program 1/

| April-June 1948 | $:$ | 1,514 | 780 | 51.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| $1948-49$ | $:$ | 6,057 | 2,400 | 39.6 |
| $1949-50$ | $:$ | 6,361 | 2,396 | 37.7 |
| $1950-51$ | $:$ | 6,707 | 2,514 | 37.5 |
| $1951-52$ | $:$ | 7,001 | 2,633 | 37.6 |
|  | $:$ |  |  |  |

1/ Fiscal years.
Data for 1925 through 1946 compiled from official reports of the participating countries in the Office of Foreign Agricultural Relations and the Division of. Statistical and Historical. Research. Data on proposed imports are from Chapter A, Food and Agriculture, Commodity Report, European Recovery Program.

Exports of raw cotton from the United States to 16
participating countries in the European Recovery
Program, August-January, 1935-39, and 1947-48

| Country | : August-January |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average <br> $: \quad 1935-39$ | $: \quad 1947-481$ |
|  | :1,000 running | 1,000 running bales |
|  | : $\quad 1 \%$ |  |
| Austria , , : $\mathrm{S}^{\text {a }}$ | : ${ }^{\text {a }}$... . 0.1 | 0 |
| Belgium and Luxembourg) | : 1-111.8 | 35.2 |
| Denmark | 15.0 | 0 |
| Ei,re | 0 | 0 |
| France : | 486.8 | 74.7 |
| Greece | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| Iceland | 0 | 0 |
| Italy | 246.4 | 20.9 |
| Iuxembourg, included in | : |  |
| Belgium: | : ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Netherlands | 76.2 | 23.2 |
| Norway | 10.0 | 1. 5 |
| Portugal | 23.6 | 0 |
| Sweden | 79.3 | 4.6 |
| Swi tzerlasd | 6.8 | 1.7 |
| Turkey | 0 | 0 |
| United Kingdom | 896.8 | 159.8 |
| Total, ${ }^{\text {a }} 6$ countries | : 1,953.6 | 322.6 |
|  | 1,953.6 |  |
| Total exports from U.S. | 3,526.4 | 871.9 |
| Pereent exports to 76 | : |  |
| Percent, exports to 16 | : |  |
| counthies are of total | : 5 |  |
| U.S. exports | 55.4 | 37.0 |

Prelimanary.
孚
Compilsed from reports of the Department of Commerce.

Principal factors contributing to the current low rate are:

1. The lack of dollar exchange or credits. These countries are making every effort to use available dollar exchange or credits only to purchase high priority commodities that cannot be secur ed except in the United States. They have been procuring raw cotton either by barter or from those countries in which currency other than dollars is acceptable.
2. The relatively large stocks of cotton with which most of these countries started the season. In 1945 and 1946 most of the countries were able to replenish their stocks of cotton to about prewar levels and started the current season with more than a half year's requirement at 1946-47 rates of consumption. No doubt there has been a tendency in some instances to make the se stocks last until some decision is reached on the programs for economic aid to Europe.
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5 percent in southern states
Tag sales for fertilizer from July ligit through January 1948 in the 11 Southern States totaled 3,584,000 tons compared with 3,756,000 for the same period last year. Six States, led-by Alabema and Arkansas showed decreases from last years' sales while five Sfates led by Oklahoma and Texas showed increases over 1947.

Fertilizer Tag Sales In-ITnpedified: Southern States, July-January, Average 1935;-39;' and 1945-46;" to "Dete


## U.S.D.A OPPOSES INCREASE OF IMPORT QUOTAS FOR LONG STAPIE COTTON

A hearing was conducted on February 17, 1948, before the United States Tariff Commission "to determine whether the circumstances requiring the import quotas on cotton having a staple of $1-1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ or more in length continue to exist, or whether changed circumstances require the modification of the quotas for the present quota year.or for future quota years." Witnesses included representatives from Cotton Importers Textile Manulaoturers, Cotton Producers, Cotton Merchants and Department of Agriculture .

The U.S.D.A., in reviewing the history of import quotas for cotton, states that in 1938 and 1939 the price support program for cotton had caused prices in the domestic markets to rise enough above those in foreign markets that imports of foreign cotton into the United States had become a serious threat to the United States agricultural program. This resulted in the adoption of import quotas under the authority of the Augricultural Adjustment Act of 1935, to restrict imports of foreign cotton into this country. The USDA concluded its testimony as follows:

> "Furthermore, since the supply of both American and Egyptian cotton $1-1 / 8$ and longer is adequate to meet mill requirements through September and leave a comfortable working reserve, and since the new quota year begins September 20 and since the domestic crop becomes available to mills by early October, stocks of cotton are adequate. Therefore, no increase in the quota is recomended,"

Cotton, American Upland; Total and $1-1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ and longer: Carryover, production, supply and disappearance, United States., 1936 tio 1947


1/ Preliminary and partially estimated.
Compiled from reports from the Cotton Branch, Production and Marketing Adminiatration.

According to the November report of the Supreme Commander for the Allied Powers, Japanese production of cotton cloth has increased from an nnual rate of about 370 million squere yerds in August-October 1946 to the current annual rate of 675 million square yards. This rate is probably comparable to thet of eround 1920, before the expansion of cotton textiles production took place in Japan.

Prior to 1920, Jaman was not a'may oroducer of cotton textiles. In the early 1920's however, she began to expand fer cotton textile industry and by the mid-1930's the number of installed soindles averaged about 11 million. As early as 1929, Japon was second only to the United States in the consumotion of raw cotton. Peak production of cloth was reached during $1934-37$ when the average annual outout wes 4 billion running yards of various widths. Slightly over half of the cloth wes of coarse yarns with the remainder mostly of medium yerns.

Japanese exports of cotton textiles increased rapidly and by $1933^{\prime}$ she had become the world's leading exoorter of cotton cloth. The peak was reached in 1934-37 when exports avereged 2.8 billion squere yards annually. The exact progortion of cotton cloth production that was exoorted is not known since available date show production in running yards and exports in square yerds. In 1929, Chine India and the Netherlands Indies took 73 percent of all Japanese cottion cloth exports and continued to be the major importers through 1937. However, exports to Latin America increased and by 1938 obout 20 percent were going to these countries.

After 1931, the number of trade barriers against Japanese cotton cloth exports increased. Some of them were: the French quota system of 1932, the Ottawa Agreements of 193?, the import quotas of the Netherlends Indies and the British Crown colonies, the agreements between Jawen and the United States limiting sales of cloth in the Philippine: Islands. Together with the weak exchenge position of the yen, these restricitions helped bring about the decline in Japanese cloth exports that started after 1937.

During the peak production years, 1934-37, annual impdrts of cotton into Japan everaged 3,783,000 bales. Of this, $1,550,000$ beles or 41 percent were from the United States. By 1939, however, total imports of cotton had declined to 2,793,000 bales of which ;only 795,000 beles or 28 percent were American grown.

Post-War Situation. During World War II, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ large part of the cotton textile mill machinery and equipment in Japan was scrapped to produce military equipment and ammution. The International Textile Mission to Jepan after the war reported thet only about one-fifth of the prewar mill cepacity remained in . February 1946. The number of installed cotton soindles was estimated at 2,2 million of which one-helf were operable. The Supreme Commender for the filied Powers recorted that as of October 1, 1947, the number of installed spindles had been increased to 2.9 miliion, with 2.8 million opereble, and 1.5 million actually in oreration. Japan's ability to increese her onerable spindles so fast was due to the fact that a substantial part of the capaty for producing textile machinery remained intect ofter, the war. This ceoreity was used in 1946 mainly to repoir dameged soindles and looms, ond in 1947 to oroduce, new spindles fend looms.

Table $1_{n}$ - Imports of raw cotton from all sources in 16 countries participating in European Recovery Program, 1925-46

piled from official sources except as noted.
Bales of 500 lbs, gross weight or $478^{\prime}$ pounds net.
Arerage for 4 years only.
International Yearbook of Agricuitural Statistics.

The reovery of Japanese textile mills will depend in large measure on ciecisions of the occupying powers with respect to textile manufacturing machinery in connection with problems of reparation and the removal of Japan's war potential. Some actions already taken, however, indicate that occupying authorities are facilitating recorery of the textile industry on an export basis. As of December 30, 1,222,000 running bales had been ex: ported to Japan from the United States. For the most part this was short staple, Iow grade ootton supplied by the Commodity Credit jorporation under an agreement with the War Department and U.S. Commercial Company (a subsidiary of Reconstruction Finance Company), Payment by Japan was to be made by delivery of 60 percent of the manufactured product for sale to other Asiatic countries and the remainder to be consumed in Japan.

Table 2.- Imports of Raw cotton From the United States into 16 Countries Participating
in the European Recovery Plan, 1925-1946

| Country | : | verage <br> 1925-29 | $\begin{aligned} & : \text { Average } \\ & : 1930.34 \end{aligned}$ | Average 1935-39 | : Average <br> : 1940-44 | $\begin{aligned} & : 1940 \\ & : \\ & : \end{aligned}$ | $1941$ | $1942$ | $\begin{aligned} & : 1943 \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { : } 1944 \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\text { : } 1945$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ------- | ------- | -- 1,00 | 0 bale | 1/- |  |  |  |
| Austria | : | 67 | 79 | 2/ 97 | - | - | - | - | , - | - | $=$ |
| Bolgium, inc Luxembourg | : | - 204 | 155 | - 147 | - | - | - | - - | - | - | 66 |
| Dermark | : | 31 | 28 | 35 | - | - | - | - | - | - | $-$ |
| Eire | : | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - |
| France | : | 1,025 | 814 | 612 | 108 | 636 | 2 | 2 | $\cdots$ | * | 747 |
| Greece | : | 4 | 12 | 3 | - 2 | 11 | $\because$ | - - | - | - | 25 |
| Iceland | : | - | - | - | $\cdots=$ | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Italy | : | 771 | 613 | 376 | 73 | 347 | 17 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Luxembourg'included in Belgium | : |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  | ! |  |
| Inetherlands | : | 134 | 119 | . 96 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Norway | : | 5 | 5 | 14 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| Portugal | : | 37 | 61 | 42 | 2 | 18 | 16 | - | - | $\square$ | - |
| Sweden | : | 68 | 70 | 134 | 35 | 87 | $\bigcirc 72$ | 21 | 0 | 5 | I |
| Svitzerland | : | 68 | 56 | 38 | 13 | 48 | 14 | 1 | 0 | - | 22 |
| Turkey | \% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| tmited Kingdom | : | 2,058 | 1,247 | 1,207 | 889 | 1,470 | 631 | 698 | 1,174 | 472 | 494 |

Compiled from data prepared by offioe of Foreign Agrioulturel Reletions.
Bales 500 lbs . gross or 478 lbs, net.
Based on datia for 4 years 1935-39.
Not available.
Less than 260 bales.

Table 3.- Proportion of Total Imports of Raw cotton Into 16 Countries Participating in European Recovery Program Received from the United States, 1925-1946

| Country |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & 1925-29 \end{aligned}$ |  | Average 1930-34 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { : Avera,ge } \\ & : 1935-39 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Averag } \\ & : 1940-4 \end{aligned}$ | $: 1940$ | :1947 | : 1942 | : 1943 | $: 1944$ | 1945 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : | - - - |  | -- | ---- | ---- | Percent | --- - | - - - - | - - | --- | - |  |
| Austria | : | 43.5 |  | 68.4 | 1/55.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Belgium, including | : | 43.5 |  | 68.4 | 155.7 | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| Inxembourg | : | 52.2 |  | 42.1 | 28.6 | $\cdots$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27.4 | 30. |
| Dennark | : | 81.6 |  | 87.5 | 87.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Eire | : | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - |  |
| France | : | 62.5 |  | 53.5 | 50.6 | 48.1 | 51.8 | 4.2 | 4.8 | 0 | 0 | 79.6 | 62. |
| Greece | : | 28.6 |  | 34.3 | 16.7 | 50.0 | 62.1 | - | - | - | - | 89.2 | 48 |
| Iceland | : | - | . | - | $\bar{\square}$ | - - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Italy | : | 71.9 |  | 68.3 | 59.4 | 68.9 | 70.1 | 80.1 | 34.6 | - | - |  |  |
| Luxembourg included in Belgium | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Netherlands | ; | 71.7 |  | 61.3 | 39.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ | - - | - |  |  |
| Norway | : | 50.0 |  | 90.0 | 87.9 | 9.3 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25.8 |  |
| Portugal | : | 50.0 |  | 87.1 | 37.5 | 1.8 | 10.8 | 14.9 | 0 | . 0 | 0. | $\bigcirc$ | 9. |
| sreden | : | 65.3 | , | 59.8 | 82.1 | 31.1 | 33.9 | 94.9 | 7.3 | 0 | 3.0 | 15.2 | 7 |
| Stitzerland | : | 47.9 |  | 46.7 | 16.2 | 40.5 | 49.8 | 26.4 | 11.4 | 0 | 0 | 26.3 |  |
| Turisey | : |  |  | - | I | - | - | - | , | A | - | 26.5 |  |
| Thited Kingdom | : | 59.5 |  | 47.5 | 41.1 | 40.0 | 49.5 | 37.0 | 25.3 | 56.8 | 28.0 | 26.5 |  |
|  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |

Compiled from official data.
1/ Based on data for 4 years, 1935-7.938.
2/ Not available.

Cotton: Mill consumotion, consummtion per working day, and per active soindle, United Stetes by months, 1936-47
heginning: Aug. :Sept.: Oct.: Nov.: Dec. : Jan.: Feb.: Mar.: Apr.: May :June :July


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1937 | 604 | 601 | 524 | 483 | 432 | 433 | 427 | 513 | 413 | 426 | 443 | 4148 |
| 1938 | 559 | 533 | 542 | 596 | 566 | 598 | 563 | 650 | 543 | 606 | 578 | 521 |
| 1939 | 631 | 624 | 686 | 719 | 650 | 732 | 662 | 627 | 623 | 642 | 565 | 623 |
| 1940 | 651 | 638 | 771 | 741 | 777 | 845 | 793 | 855 | 921 | 924 | 876 | '930 |
| 1941 | 872 | 878 | 956 | 849 | 888 | 948 | 892 | 967 | 1,000 | 958 | 968 | 995 |
| 1942 | 925 | 960 | 973 | 913 | 936 | 917 | 880 | 997 | 939 | 902 | 918 | 840 |
| 1943 | 843 | 372 | 847 | 859 | 851 | 819 | 811 | 904 | 776 | 833 | 806 | 723 |
| 1944 | 842 | 790 | 794 | 836 | 759 | 850 | 781 | 857 | 769 | 830 | 786 | 673 |
| 1945 | 738 | 700 | 760 | 743 | 652 | 811 | 748 | 804 | 813 | 871 | 792 | 730 |
| 1946 | 858 | 818 | 934 | 878 | 776 | 950 | 839 | 875 | 882 | 807 | 729 | 678 |
| 19474 | 711 | 727 | 826 | 759 | 753 | 860 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Consumption per working day $2 /$ (thousands of bales)

| 1936 | 27.4 | 29.3 | 29.9 | 30.5 | 31.6 | 33.1 | 33.9 | 33.8 | 33.2 | 32.7 | 30.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 27.8

Consumotion per active scindle 3/ (pounds)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1936 | 12.0 | 13.1 | 13.5 | 12.9 |  | 14.1 | 13.6 | 13.3 | 15.5 | 14.2 | 13.3 | 13.6 |
| 1937 | 12.2 | 12.3 | 10.8 | 10.4 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.4 | 11.3 | 9.3 | 9.8 | 10.3 | 10.0 |  |
| 1938 | 12.4 | 11.8 | 12.1 | 13.0 | 12.4 | 13.0 | 12.2 | 14.2 | 12.0 | 13.5 | 13.0 | 11.6 |  |
| 1939 | 14.0 | 13.8 | 14.8 | 15.5 | 14.0 | 15.7 | 14.2 | 13.6 | 13.7 | 14.2 | 12.6 | 13.9 |  |
| 1940 | 14.4 | 14.0 | 16.8 | 16.0 | 16.7 | 18.1 | 17.1 | 18.4 | 19.8 | 19.7 | 18.7 | 19.8 |  |
| 1941 | 18.5 | 18.7 | 20.3 | 18.0 | 18.9 | 20.1 | 18.9 | 20.5 | 21.2 | 20.3 | 20.5 | 21.2 |  |
| 1942 | 19.7 | 20.5 | 20.7 | 19.5 | 20.0 | 19.6 | 18.8 | 21.3 | 20.1 | 19.4 | 19.8 | 18.2 |  |
| 1943 | 18.2 | 18.9 | 18.4 | 18.6 | 18.5 | 18.1 | 17.7 | 19.6 | 17.0 | 18.2 | 17.6 | 15.9 |  |
| 1944 | 18.5 | 17.4 | 17.5 | 18.4 | 16.8 | 18.7 | 17.2 | 18.9 | 17.0 | 18.4 | 17.4 | 15.0 |  |
| 1945 | 16.3 | 16.0 | 17.4 | 17.3 | 15.5 | 18.7 | 17.3 | 18.4 | 18.5 | 19.9 | 18.2 | 16.6 |  |
| 1946 | 19.1 | 18.6 | 21.0 | 20.0 | 17.5 | 21.2 | 18.7 | 19.5 | 19.8 | 18.3 | 16.7 | 15.5 |  |
| 19474 | 16.4 | 16.6 | 18.7 | 17.4 | 17.2 | 19.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

IT Funning baies, counting round baies as hàlf bale $\bar{s}$.
3 Based on working deys per month es comouted by the Federel Reserve Board.
3. Computed on the basis of 490 pounds net cer bale consumed.

4 Preliminary.
United States Department of Agriculture, Droduction nad Marketing Administration, Cotton Branch. Compiled from recorts of the Bureau of the Census.
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