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Cotton acreage in cultivation on July 1 has been shifting steadily Westward for two decades but the most pronounced shifts have occurred in the postwar period. Acreage in cultivation in the Western States increased from 1.4 percent of the U. S. total in 1930 to 3.4 in 1945 and then to 9.1 percent in 1952. The

Southeast's proportion of the U. S. total has declined fairly rapidly since the War ended, dropping from 24.2 percent in 1945 to a low of 17.0 percent in 1941. It then increased slightly to 18.1 percent in 1952 (see table 2). There were no definite trends in the Delta and Southwest.


Compiled from officiel sources.


# THECOTTON:STUATION <br> Approved by the Outlook and Situation Board, July 17, 1952 

## SUTMARY

Farmers in Western Stetes this year again increased their proportion of the nation's total cotton acreage. Of the 26,051 thousand acres of cotton in cultivation on July 1, California, Arizona and New Mexdico had 9.1 percent. A year earlier, the 3 States had 7.9 percent of the U. S. total. In terms of actual acreage, California, Arizona and New Mexico had 2,376 thousand in 1952 compared with 2,207 thousand in 1051.

Cotton acreage in the Western States has increased steadily during the postwar period. The estimated acreage in cultivation on July 1 in this area was the largest for any year on record. The proportion of the total in cultivation in the Southeast increased over 1951-52 but the trend in this area has been generally downward since World War II ended. The shift of acreage from the Southeast to the lest is partly responsible for the trend toward higher U. S. average yields which has prevail ed for a number of years.

Most other States had smaller acreages in cultivation on July 1 than a year ago with Oklahoma, Arkansas, liissourl and Texas showing a combined drop of 1,882 thousand acres. The 26,051 acres in cultivation in the U. S. on July $I$ was 1,866 thousand less than a year earlier.

If abandonment from natural causes is equal to the U. S. average for the past 10 years, 2.2 percent, 25.5 million acres would be harvested. If the yields per haryested acre for each State are the same as the 10 -year average a U. S. crop of 14.7 million bales would be harvested. At the highost average U. S. yield for the past 10 years, 16.5 million 500 pound bales would be harvested. At the lowest yield 12.5 million bales would be harvested.

The carry-over on August 1,1952 is expected to be 2.4 million bales; slightly larger than on August 1, 1951. Total supply for the 1951-52 crop year was 17.4 million bales, including a production of 15,057 thousand, a carrymover on August 1, 1951 of 2,278 thousand, and estimated imports of 100 thousand. Disappearance is estimated at 15.0 million bales, including: estimated domestic consumption of 9.2 miliion bales and estimeved exports of 5.0 million. This would be the largest disappearance since the 1928-29 season when a total 15,147 thousand bales were consumed and exported. From August 1 through June 28 of the 1951-52 season, domestic mills consumed 8.5 million running bales of cotton and exports through lay totaled 5.2 million.

Disappearance during the 1952-53 marketing season is estimated at 13.3 to 14.6 million bales. This estimate includes domestic consumption of 9.3 to 9.8 million bales and exports of 4 to 4.8 million bales.

The projection of domestic consumption for 1952-53 assumes a high level of economic activity and no major change in international tensions. Trade reports indicate that substantial orders for grey goods have been received by mills in the past month or two. Some of these orders have been placed for delivery as far ahead as the fourth quarter of 1952, indicating that the rate of consumption of cotton in the first half of the 1952-53 season will be somewhat higher than the rate in 1951-52 as a whole.

Exports in the 1952-53 crop year are expected to decline from the high levels of 1951-52. More foreign cotton is available and in many cases foreign prices have dropped so that they are now below those for United States cotton of comperable qualities. In addition acute foreign dollar shortages in scme importing countries and the liklahood that a smaller quantity of cotton exports will be financed by loans and grants from the goverrment of the United States will tend to reduce exports.

On July 17, the President signed the bill which holds the support prices for cotton and other basic agricultural commodities at 90 percent of the parity price through 1954, continues through 1955 the provision that makee effective the higher of the "new " or "old" parity price, and directs that the price of extra long staple cotton be supported.

## Recent Developments

## Acreage in Cultivation Declines

The acreage of cotton in cultivation on July 1 was 26,051 thousand, down about 7 percent from July 1, 1951. Nine of the 16 major cotton producing States showed a reduced acreage (see table 1). The declines were particularly noticeable in Oklahoma, 21 percent, Arkansas, 14 percent, lifissouri, 12 percent and Texas, 9 percent. The total decline in the 4 states amounted to 1,382 thousand acres.

Acreage in cultivation in the 3 Western States of the cotton belt increased from 7.9 percent of the United States total on July 1, 1951. to 9.2 percent on July 1, 1952. California and Arizona showed increases of 75 and 122 thousand acres while New Mexico had a decrease of 28 thousand. On the other hand, the Southwestern and Delta regions showed declines. Although the Southeastern region increased its proportion of the total over a year earlier the regions share has shown a declining trend in . other post World War II years (see cover chart and table 2). The Western States have increased their acreage by almost 4 times since 1930 while acreage in other areas of the cotton belt has declined almost 45 percent.

Yields per acre in the llest have been much higher than in other parts of the cotton belt (see table 3). In 1951, the average yieid per harvested acre in the Western States was 186, 191, and 382 percent of
the yield in the Southeastern, Delta, and Southwestern States, respectively. Although the average yield for the $U$. $S$, as a whole has shown a definite upward trend since the early 1920 's, the yield in the Western States has increased more than those in most other regions (see table 3). The yield in 1947 indicated' by 'trend for the U. S. as' a whole was 272 pounds per harvested acre as compared with 578 pounds for the West. This was an increase of 7.9 percent for the U. S. and 21.6 percent for the West over 1942.

If abandonment from natural causes in the same as the U. S. average for the past 10 years, the 1952 cotton crop will be harvested from 25.5 million acres. If the yields per harvested acre for each. State are the same as the 10 -year average and if abandonment in each State equals the 10 -year average, a U. S. crop of 14.7 million bales would be harvested. At the highest average U. S. yield for the past 10 years, 311.3 pounds per harvested acre, a crop of 16.5 million 500 pound bales would be produced; the lowest yield of the past 10 years, 235.7 pounds, would mean a crop of 12.5 million.

Generally, insect infestation appears to be slightly lighter than at the sane time in 1951, However, there are certain areas in which infestation is heavier, i.e., the pirk bollworm in Texas. Weather conditions during the next 60 days will largely determine the degree of damage, High humidity and low temperati res promote boll weevil damage while low humidity and high temperations bid losses from this source to a minimim. Extremely wet weather makss it diffisult to apply insecticides.

## Disappearance Largest Since 1928-29 Season

Disappearance for the 1951-52 marketing year is estimated at 15.0 million bales, including consumption of 9.2 million by domestic mills and exports of 5.8 million. This is the largest disappearance since 1928 when 7.1 million bales were consumed by donestic mills and 8.0 million bales were exported to give a total disappearance of 15.1 'million.: In the 1949-50 and 1950-51 seasons disappearance amounted to 14.7 million bales.

Domestic consumption from August 1, 1951 through June 28, 1952 was 8,538 thousand bales. The average daily rate increased contraseasonally in May over the preceeding month and decreased less than seasonally in June. The daily rate increased 3.8 percent in May over April; the normal seasonal movement is a decline of about 1.9 percent. In June the daily rate decreased about 1.1 percent as compared with a usual seasonal decline of about 4 percent. If consumption during July should show about the normel seasonal decline from June, $\varepsilon$ total consumption for the season of slightily more than 9.2 million bales would be indicated.

Exports through lay totaled 5.2 million bales. Trade reports indicate that exports rere in the neighborhood of 400 thousand bales in June. Exports in July will probably bring the total for the season to about 5.8 mililion bales.

The supply of cotton in the United States for the 1951-52 season is about 17.4 million bales, including a carrymover at the start of the season of 2,278 thousand, production of 15,057 thousand and imports of about 100, thousand. These supply and disappearance estimates indicate a carry-over on August 1, 1952 of about 2.4 million bales, slightly larger than a year earlier.

Imports of cotton from August i, 1951 through May 1952 were smaller than for this period in any season on record since 1915-16, when comparable records were started. Only 68.6 thousand bales had been imported through May. In the same period last season 163 thousand bales were imported. The smallest imports in the August-May period prior to the present season occurred in the 1930-31 marketing year when 84.1 thousand bales were imported.

## Disappearance in the 1952-53 Crop Year Probabiy <br> Smaller than in 1951-52:

Disappearance of cotton in the United States in the 1952-53 crop year (August 1, 1952 to July 31, 1953) will probably be somewhat smaller, between 13.3 and 14.6 million bales, then during the preceeding season. This estimate includes domestic consumption of 9.3 to 9.8 million bales and exports of 4 to 4.8 million.

The projection of domestic consumption assumes a high level of economic' activity and no material changes in international tensions. Trade reports indicate that substantial orders for gray goods have been received by cotton mills in the past month or two. Many of these orders are for future delivery. Some of them call for delivery in the fourth quarter of 1952. Trade reports. also indicate that inventories of gray goods at the mill level are low in relation to unfilled orders.

For roughiy a year, the gray goods industry has been reporting relatjuely slow sales though there have been short lived flurries of sales. The recent buying movement has been the first sustained development of this nature since last summer. This development coupled with a high level of economic activity and the plans for military prepardeness for the coming year indicate an increase in domestic mill consumption of cotton in the first half of the 1952-53 marketing season over the rate of 1951-52.

The large exports during the 1951-52 crop year were caused by the high prices of foreign cotton in relation to American cotton early in the season, the financing of cotton exports by loans and grants from the government of the United States, and relatively small stocks of cotton in foreign countries at the beginning of the season. Later in the season, the prices of foreign cotton dropped sharpiy and now the prices of many foreign growths are lower than prices for American cotton of comparable qualities. The consumption of cot'ton in most foreign countries has declined in recent months and their inventories of textiles are reported at a high level. Stocks of cotton in foreign countries will be more than 2 million beles larger on August 1, 1952 than a year "earlier and the

United Staies government is not expected to finance as much cotton exports in 1952-53 as in 1951.52, The fore gn production of cotton in nonComnnist countries is exnoted to be about the same as in the 1952-53 season as it was in the preceeding one, 12,7 inillion bales, foreign cotton will be relatively, abuncant, Under these circumstances, a rather sharp reduction in United $\mathfrak{G}$ otets exports from the estimated $5 \% 8$ million bales of the current seesun. can be expected.in 1952-53.

## Mi11 Margins Decline

The ayerage mill margins $1 / /$ for 17 construction of gray goods declined from 26.17 cents in May to 24.40 cents in June, the lowest since August 1946r The decline in the average margin was caused by a rise in the price of cotton of almost 2 cents per pound over lay while the value of the cloth obtainable from a pound of cotton increased by only 0.13 cents.

## Cotton Prices Declined in the

## First Hatf of July

The average 10 -spot market price for Midiling $15 / 16$ inch cotton fell sharply during the lost half of June and the first half of July. On June 20, the price was 41.25 cents per pound, but by July 17 it was 38,91 cents. The average price received by farmers in mid-June was 38.02 cents per pound and was about 5 percent higher than the mid-Mey price. The June price was 111 percent of the parity price as compared with 105 percent in May.

## Commodity Gredit Corporation Loans

There were 342 thousand bales of 1951 crop cotton held under Commodity Credit Corporation loans on July 10. During the season loans were made on $I_{g} 115$ thousand bales, but the loans had been repaid on 773 thousand (see table 6).

On June 9, it was announced that ":ill 1951-crop loan cotton still under loan on August 1, 1952, will be pooled on that date by Co.iodity Credit Corporation for producer's accounts. The loans mature July 31, 1952.
"Producers may either sell their "equity" in the loan cotton or redeem the cotton from the loon and then sell it in the open market o..
"The 1951 crop loan cotton not redeemed prior to August 1, will be placed in a pool, as provided in the loan agreements, and scid in an orderly manner by Commodity Credit Corporation. Cn Iinal Iiquidation of all cotton in the pool, the net proceeds, if any, after deduction of all advances, interest and accrued costs - including storage, insurance, and handling charges - will be distributed among the producers whose cotton was placed in the pool, in proportion to the amount of the loans on the cotton placed in such pocl. No payment will be made to producers at the time their cotton is placed in the pool, and after July 31, 1952, producers will not be entitled to order the sale of the cotton "

[^0]
## Modification of the Price Support Program Siened gy the President

On July 77 the Presidentsigned $H_{0} R_{*}$ 8l22 which amends the Agricultural Adjustiment Act of 1938, The provisions which affect cotton are:
(1) The use of the "old" or "new" parity formula for basic comnodities, whichever is higher, is extended to January $l_{\text {, }}$ 1956. The Agricultural Act of 1949 stipulated that this provision would only be effective through 1953. The parity price of cotton has been figured on the "old" formila which has been the higher of the two since the Agricultural Act of 1949 was passed.
(2) Price supports to cooperators for the 1953 and 1954 crops, shall be 90 percent of the parity price. This postpones the use of the sliding scale based on the supply percentage in computing support prices as a percentage of the parity price as specified by the 1949 Act.
(3) Producers of extra long staple cotton will receive price supports on the same terms as producers of American Upland cotton. However, the 1953 crop of extra long staple cotton will be supported at a level bearing the same relation ship to the price support level for Anerican Upland cotton 0.6 the average farm price for extra long staple cotton during the period $\mathbf{2 9 3 6}-42$, inclusive, bore to such price for American Upland cotton.

## Foreign Prices Steady

Spot prices of foreign cotton in foreign markets remained fairly steady during June and July. Some growths showed slight increases, such as Ashmouni, Good, at Alexandria, Egypt. Others showed slight decreases, such as Pima, Type 1 at Lima, Peru, Hovover, there were no important changes in foreign prices. Most foreign prices declined during Fobruary, Morch, and April and stabilized at about present levels in May (see table 7)

## Linters Pizices Steady

Linters prices during June and July were steady. The lower limit of the price range for Grade 2 at Memphis increased 0.50 cent per pound on May 27. However, the prices of most other grades have not varied since March

Consumption of linters in May decreased from April, but increased in June. The average daily rate in M'y was 304 percent below April and the rate in June was 407 percent above lay.

Linters consumption from August 1951 through Jine 1952 was 1,224 thousand bales. This compared with 1,305 thousand bales during the same period last season.

Exports of linters from August 1,1951 through May amounted to 202 thousand bales, 147 percent larger than during the some period a year earlier when they were under export allocations. Through July 3, 220 thousand bales had been licensed for export and on that date no applications for export licenses were pending. Exports to Canada are not licensed and through May 8.6 thousand bales had been exported to that country.

## World Production of Synthetic Toxtiles <br> Sets NCW Record

World production of synthetic textile fibers in 1951 totaled. 4,218 million pounds, according to the Toxtile Organon This was 15 percent larger than production in 1950 and a record. Rayon and acetate production in 1951 was 3,957 million pounds and the production of other synthetics was 261 million pounds.

As shown below, United States accounted for a smaller percentage of the world production of synthetic fibers in 1951 than 1950: :
Production of synthetic fibers, United States and world, 1250-1951


The data shwon above Indicates that the production of synthetic fibers has been expanding in foreign countries faster than in the United States. In 1951, the world production of synthetics was equivalent to approximately 9.9 million bales of cotton and the United States production was equivalent to about 3.5 million bales.

According to the Textile Organon future expansion in the United States and foreign countries will be at about the same relative rate. By the end of 1953, World capacity is expected to be 44 percent larger than 1951 production and $U$. $S_{\text {s }}$ capacity is expected to be 43 percent larger By the end of 1953 , world capacity will reach 6,057 million pounds, equivalent to about 14.3 million bales of cotton and United States capacity will expand to 2,148 million pounds, equivalent to about 5.1 million bales of cotton.

Rayon and acetate production in the U. S. was reported at 72 percent of capacity in June. This compared with 64 percent in lay and 63 percent in April. Froduction in February was at the same percentage of capacity as production in June。

Tablc 1 .- Cotton: Estimate of acreage in cultivation July 1, by states and United States, av. 1941-50, 1251-52

| STATE\% <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  | 10-yr. average abandonment from natural causes $1942=51$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & 1941-50 \end{aligned}$ | $1951$ | : | $1.952$ <br> Fercent of 1951 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |  |
|  | Percent | acres | acres | acres | Percent |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Missouri ...i: | 3.4 | 436 | 570 | 500 | 88 |
| Virginia .....: | 3.3 | 29 | 19 | 22 | 116 |
| NeCarolina . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.4 | 739 | 698 | 700 | 100 |
| S.Carolińa ..: | 0.5 | 1,084 | 1,075 | 1,075 | 100 |
| Georgia .....: | 0.7 | 1,425 | 1,424 | 1,395 | 98 |
| Florida $\because$ : | 2.5 | 38 | 63 | 53 | 84 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tennessee....: | 1.4 | 716 | 805 | 820 | 102 |
| Alabama osio: | 0.6 | 1,585 | 1,469 | 1,480 | 101 |
| Mississippi 0 | 2.2 | 2,430 | 2,463 | 2,380. | 97 |
| Arkansas ....: | 2.6 | 1,990 | 2:189 | 1,880 | 86 |
| Louisiana....: | 1.7 | . 882 | 949 | - 890 | 94 |
| Oklahoma ...o.: | $5 \cdot 4$ | 1,347 | : 1,561 | 1,230 | 79 |
| Texas ........: | 2.7 \% $\because$ | - 7;936 | - 12,407 | 11,235 | 91 |
| New Mexico .c: | 2.3 | 159 | 328 | 300 | 91 |
| Arizona .....: | 0.5 | 235 | 548 | 670 | 122 |
| California ..: | 0.6 | 485 | 1,331 | 1,406 | 106 |
| Other States $1 /$ | 4.2 | 18 | - 18 | - 15 | 83 |
| : |  |  |  |  |  |
| UNITED STATES: | 2.2 | 21,533 | 27,917 | 26,051 | 93.3 |
| Amer.Egypt 2/: | 1.5 | 61.3 | 64.4 | 102.2 | 159 |
| Texas. .......: | 4.0 | 12.8 | 25.0 | 35.0 | 140 |
| Nevt Mexico : | 4.0 | 8.9 | 15.0 | 20.0 | 133 |
| Arizona o...s: | 0.2 | 39.2 | 24.0 | 46.0 | 192 |
| All other : | --- | --- | . 4 | 1.2 | 34.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

1/ Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, and Ncveda.
2/ Included in Str.te and United Stotes totals.
Crop Reportin:: Board.

Table 2-Cotton acreage in cultivation July 1, groups of státes as percentage of total plantec acreage, United States, 1930 to date


Crop Reporting Board.
1/ Includes Calif., Arizona and New Mexico.
$2 /$ Includes Texas, and Oklahoma.
3/ Includes Missouri, Ark., Tenn., Miss, and Louisiana.
5/ Includes Virginia, N. C., S.Cn, Ga., Fla., and Alabama.
5/ Includes Illinois, K nsas, Kentucky and Nevada.
6) Less than 0.05 percent.

1/ Preliminery.

Table 3 -- Cotton, yield per acre, U. S. and regions, 1930 to date

| Year | $\qquad$ | $\frac{\text { east } 1}{:}$ | $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ta: } 2 \% \\ & \hline \text { Trend } \\ & : 5 / \end{aligned}$ | - South <br> : <br> : Actual: <br> : | $\begin{aligned} & \text { est } 3 \\ & : \text { Trend } \\ & : 5 / \end{aligned}$ | $\qquad$ <br> $:$ :Actual : | $\begin{aligned} & \text { st } \mathrm{L} / / \\ & \hline 1: \text { Trend } \\ & : 5 / \end{aligned}$ | $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S. } 5 / \\ & \hline: \\ & \text { :Trend } \\ & : \quad 5 / \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : Lb. | Ib. | Ib. | Lbe | $\underline{L D}$ | $\underline{L b_{4}}$ | Ibe | Lb. | Lb. | Lib. |
| 1930 | : 221 | 209 | 154 | 202 | 117 | 145 | 409 | 391 | 157 | 179 |
| 1931 | : 233 | 211 | 248. | 200 | 174 | 142 | $\because 381$ | 402 | 212 | 178 |
| 1932 | : 176 | 218 | 181. | 210 | 163 | 139 | - 372 | 422 | 174 | 192 |
| 1933 | : . 240 | 231 | 204 | 229 | 196 | - 144 | - 440 | 442 | 213. | 194 |
| 1934 | : . 236 | 235 | 216. | 240 | 102 | 150 | - 497 | 461 | 172 | 202 |
| 1935 | : . 245 | 238 | 210. | 259 | 130 | - 154 | - 459 | 481 | 185. | 211 |
| 1936 | :. 250 | 243 | 278 | 263 | 111 | 156 | 514 | 507 | 199. | 215 |
| 1937 | : . 288 | 246 | 350. | 278 | 190 | 157 | 539 | 517 | $270 \cdots$ | 222 |
| 1938 | : , 229 | 251 | 317. | 297 | 167 | 156 | . 538 | 518 | 236.. | 228 |
| 1939 | :. . 243 | 257 | 323. | 310 | 157 | 163 | - 587 | 514 | 238. | 238 |
| 1940 | : , 280 | 269 | 289 | 331 | 189 | 169. | - 616 | 518 | 252.. | 250 |
| 1941 | 8. 206 | 276 | 314. | 336 | 173 | 173 | - 460 | 513 | 232. | 256 |
| 1942 | : 284 | 275 | 376. | 330 | 183 | - 167 | 448 | 518 | 272.. | 252 |
| 1943 | : . 285 | 281 | 336 | 329 | 166 | - 169 | 463 | 527 | 254". | 256 |
| 1944 | : 359 | 293 | 393 | 340 | 187 | - 171 | - 497 | 525 | 299** | 264 |
| 1945 | : 310 | 286 | 326. | 34.1 | 145 | 179 | 470 | 525 | 254... | 268 |
| 1946 | : . 280 | 286 | 292 | 34.2 | 132 | 182 | 584 | 559 | 236. | 272 |
| 1947 | : . 286 | 292 | 315. | 335 | 191 | - 180 | 616 | 578 | 267.. | 272 |
| 1948 | : 351 |  | 421 | . | 176 |  | 567 |  | 311. |  |
| 1949 | : . 214 | . | 300 |  | 257 |  | 619 |  | 282.. |  |
| 1950 | : . 209 |  | 307 |  | 204 |  | 764 |  | 269:* |  |
| 1951 | : . 336 |  | 326. |  | 164 | . | 624 |  | 272 . |  |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Calculated from data from Crop Reporting Bor ra

> 1 Southeast includes Virginia, North Carolina, South Cero.ini, Georgia, Florida, and Alabama.

2/ Delta includes Missouri, Arkansas; Tonnersee, Mississippi and Louisiana. $3 /$ Southwest includes Texas and Orlahoma..

4/ West includes California, Arizona and Ney Mexico.
5/ Trend yield is 9 year centered average yield.

Table 4 .-Cotton: Exports from the United States, by country of destinam tion and staple leigth, April 1952 and total since August 1, 1951 I/

| Country of destination | Pima and Sea Island | : $11 / 8$ inches :and ove | ril 1952 <br> : 1 inch :to $11 /$ <br> inches | up: Undex <br> '1. inch | $: \text { Total }$ | : Grand <br> : total <br> : since <br> :August 1 2/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | funning bales | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Running } \\ & \text { bales. } \end{aligned}$ | Running bales | Running bales | Running bales | Running bales |
| Furone |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United Kingdom 0 : | 0 | 1,519 | 15,602 | 1,761 | 18,882 | 637,904 |
| Austria ......ioso: | 0 | 91 | 993 | 0 | 1,084 | 22,236 |
| Bel.gium and : 0 : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inxembourg pooase: | 0 | 75 | 2,501 | 1,273 | 3,849 | 299,036 |
| Czechoslovakia ...: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Donmark oe.coiodico: | 0 | 0 | 1,017 | 0 | 1,017 | 29,402 |
| Eire ob.0.....e.....: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 300 | 4,030 |
| Finland .oceosseose: | 0 | 0 | 6,595 | 0 | 6,595 | 30,773 |
| France o...eog.c.es | 0 | 1,568 | 1,699 | 235 | 3,502 | 274,755 |
| Germany, West weot | 0 | 2,495 | 11,125 | 0 | 13,620 | 363,322 |
| Greece ............: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hungary ............: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | '0'0 | 0 |
| Italy oitoc.e.edi. | 0 | 1,981 | 33,805 | 10,553 | 46,339 | 382,434 |
| Wetherlands .oiot: | 0 | 3,212 | 12,668 | 0 | 15,880 | 165,225 |
| Norway .......ce.es, | 0 | 0 | 400 | 0 | 400 | 14,093 |
| Poland and Danzig: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Portugal ...........: | 0 | 0 | 476 | 80 | 556 | 19,424 |
| Spain o+o.e.....c.e: | 0 | 0 | 2,761 | 750 | 3,511 | 172,321 |
| Sweden ....o.e.e.tis: | 0 | 0 | 3,131 | 538 | 3,669 $\cdots$ | 86,257 |
| Svitzerland .......: | 0 | 450 | 213 | 0 | 663 | 94,856 |
| Trieste ...........e: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 662 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Yugoslavia ......e: | 0 | 300 | 10,552 | 2,250 | 13,102 | 86,554 |
| Other Europe ,...e: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Total Europe ...e.e: | 0 | 11,691 | 103,538 | 17,740 | 132,969 | 2,691,679 |
| Other Countries : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada ............: | 0 | 600 | -11,826 | 2,403 | 14,829 | 254,480 |
| Mexico ..ceest.... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 0 | 0 |
| Cuba,.o..0........ | 0 | 0 | 3,350 | 0 | 3,350 | 18,549 |
| Colombia .e.cocoev: | 0 | 52 | 2,357 | 109 | 2,518 | 1,7,044 |
| India ono.0.esonot: | 0 | 42,369 | 4,394 | 0 | 46,763. | 726,874 |
| Chino. Mapan o.coeseng: | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $0^{\circ}$ | - 00 |
| Japan .owsoonoosios: | 0 | 424 | 45,173 | 64,704 | 110,301. | ; 894,249 |
| Kong Kong .......oe: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Korea ............: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,344 | 10,344.' | 37,921 |
| Pelestine and Israel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Philippine Isiands: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 5,489 |
| Australia ........: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,637 | 1,637. | 46,719 |
| Horld countries of: | 0 | 989 | 3,808 | 6,640 | 11,437 | 165,854 |
| World Total ....i.e: | 0 | - 56,125 | 174,446 | 103,677 | 334,2488: | 4,890,429 |

Compiled from reports of the Eureau of the Census.
I/ Preliminary, classification of exports by staple length were changed Jon. 1, 1952. $2 /$ Published totals and not a summation of details of earier montils, or of countries shown here.

Table 5.- Cotton: Exports from the United States, by country of destinam tion and staple length, Ney 1952 and total since August 1, 1951 1/


Monlotan exesses:
Compiled from reports of the Eureau of the Census.
$1 /$ Freliminary, classification of exports by staple length were changed Jan. 1, 1952. $2 /$ Published totals and not a summation of details of earlier months nor of countries shown here..

Icblc $6^{-}$- Cotton uncer Comindity Cencit Corporation, United Stetes, 1949, 1950 and 1951 crops


Roports of Commodity Credit Corporation.
1/ Dates refer to end of business on Thursdays for 1951 and corresponding Thursdays in preceding years. In case of holiday on Thursday, data are for Wednesday. $2 . /$ Includes cotton "in process." 3/ Excludes quartity "in process."

Table 7 .- Prices of cotton in specified foreign markets, averages 1935-39 to date


Compiled from reports of the State Department and converted to cents per pound at current rates of exchange as reported by the Federal Reserve BBoard. "Básed on prices on one day in each week. Ceiling price for Jarilla fine in Bombay since Sept. 1950. 1/. Frice of Ashmouni, Fully Good Fair. 2/ Comparable data not readily availables. 3/ Average for 3 years. $4 /$ Quotation for one month. 5/. Average for 10 months. 6/ Average for 7 months. 7/ Average for 9 months. $8 /$ Average for 8 months. 9/ Average for 11 months. 10/ One quotation. 11/ Average of 3 quotations. . 12/ No quotation. :

Table 8.- Cotton: High, low and season average price for Midding 7/8" and 15/16" ten designated markets, by seasons, 1915-51


Soptember 3, 1918

September 8, 1927
March 9, 1929
August 1, 1929
August 7, 1930
August 1, 1931
July 18, 1933
July 18, 1934
August 9, 1934
July 10, 1936
March 30, 1937
August 5, 1937
July 10, 1939
December 13, 1939
July 26, 1941
April 9, 1942
April 1, 1943
July 11, 1944
July 13, 1945
July 19, 1946
July 16, 1947
April 21, 1948
April 25, 1949

July 31, $1916 \quad 13.22$
June 27, $1.917 \quad 26.27$
April 4, 1918 34.62 April 17, 192042.26
August 2, 192038.51
July 3, $1922 \quad 23.07$
March 7, 192330.94
November 28, 192335.81
August 2, 192429.30
August 1, $1925 \quad 24.38$
August 3, 1926 18.33

19515/Norember 9. 1951642.33

1/ August 23, $1915 \quad 8.64$

| $1 /$ | 11.72 I |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1 /$ | 18.95 J |
| $1 /$ | 29.01 1/ |
| I/ | 29.77 I/ |
| I/ | 38.34 I |
| 1/ | 16.66 I/ |
| $1 /$ | 18.09 I/ |
| $1 /$ | 25.84 I/ |
| $1 /$ | 30.14 I/ |
| I/ | 24.23 I/ |
| I/ | 19.68 I/ |
| I/ | 14.40 I |
| I/ | $19.72 \mathrm{I} /$ |
| 2/16.96 | 18.67 I/ |
| 2/12.18 | 15.78 I |
| 2/ 8.03 | $9.61 \quad 9.99$ |
| 2/ 4.00 | 5.896 .09 |
| 3/5.62 | $7.15 \quad 7.29$ |
| 3/8.51 | 10.8111 .00 |
| 3/10.92 | 12.3612 .68 |
| 3/10.63 | 11.5511 .88 |
| $3 / 11.80$ | 12.7013 .25 |
| 8.07 | 8.669 .09 |
| 8.23 | 8.709 .00 |
| 8.70 | 9.9010 .09 |
| 9.27 | 10.7911 .00 |
| 15.39 | 17.9418 .31 |
| 18.23 | 19.2220 .14 |
| 19.16 | 19.5620 .65 |
| 21.08 | 20.6021 .86 |
| 22.07 | 24.3925 .96 |
| 27.95 | 33.33 34. 82 |
| 30.55 | 32.3834 .58 |
| 30.69 | 30.0432 .15 |
| 29.48 | 30.3031 .33 |
| 37.27 | 41.4842 .72 |
| 34.10 | 37.6238 .64 | $34.10 \quad 37.62 \quad 38.64$

Not available.
Average of six markets.
Avorage of seven markets.
The ten market average for Midding $15 / 16^{\prime \prime}$ was 45.25 cents on each trading day in the period from April 24, 1951 though May 9, 1951, and June 12 through June 29, 1951. high of 44.09 cents for Middiling 7/8" was quoted from April 24, 1951 through
1ay, 1951 and from June 12 through June 15, 1951.
Season through May 31, 1952.
The high for Middling $7 / 8^{\text {" }}$ was on December $7,1951$.
fotton Branch, Production and Marketing Administration.
U. S. Department of Agriculture Penalty for priveat use to Vashington 25, D. C.
avoid payment of postage $\$ 300$
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- The Supplement for 1952 to
- Statistics on Cotton and Relatod
- Data, Statistical Bul工otin No. 99
- is available from the Eureau of
- Agricultural Economics upon reauest.


[^0]:    17 The spread between the price of a pound of cotton and its approximate cloth equivalent.

