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Disappearance of cotton in the U. S. in 1958-59 is expected to exceed production for the third successive season. The resulting decline in the carryover will be small compared to the 7.8 million bale reduction between 1956 and 1958. Exports are expected to fall below the relatively high level
of the previous 2 seasons. Domestic consumption is likely to show some improvement with the pick-up in general business conditions. As a result of record yields, the 1958 crop--which is being harvested on the smallest acreage since 1876--will be about threefourths of a million bales above 1957.
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## SUMMARY

The supply of cotton in the United States in the current season will total approximately $20 \mathrm{l} / 2$ million bales. This is considerably smaller than the record of 27.6 million bales of $1956-57$ and about 2 million bales below 1957-58.

The August 1 carryover was 8.7 million bales, 2.6 million below a year ago, and 5.8 million bales below the record high of August 1, 1956. Disappearance is expected to decline for the second consecutive year because of reduced exports. However, the total of around $121 / 4$ million bales will exceed the 1958 crop, estimated at 11.7 million running bales. Therefore, some further decline in the carryover is likely. The 1958 crop is being harvested from the smallest acreage since 1876, and yields are expected to set a new record of 472 pounds per acre.

About 7.3 million bales of the 1958 crop had been ginned by November 1. This is 63 percent of the estimated crop compared with postwar average of 66 percent for the same period--and a relatively high proportion considering the lateness of the 1958 crop.

CCC loans outstanding on 1958 crop cotton on October 31 covered 1,857,000 bales, compared with about 647,000 bales a year earlier. Total stocks held by CCC (owned and held as collateral against outstanding loans) were about 4 million bales on November l. About a year earlier such stocks were approximately 5.6 million bales.

Exports of cotton during 1958-59 are estimated at around 4 million bales, compared with 5.7 million bales last season and 7.6 million bales in 1956-57 which was the highest in 25 years. Reduced U. S. exports in the current season reflect an easing demand and increased competition in the foreign market. Beginning stocks in foreign exporting countries were above a year ago and production is continuing to increase while consumption in most major importing countries is below a year ago. Nearly all higher grade foreign growths are currently priced below comparable U. S. qualities, reversing the situation which prevailed during most of the past two marketing years.

The U. S. Government had allotted about 240 million dollars as of November 1 to finance the export of cotton during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1959. If completelv used, these funds will finance the export of about 1.5 million bales. Additional funds will be made available for cotton exported in 1958-59. In the year ended June 30, 1958, about 360 million dollars were used covering about 2.3 million bales. The CCC had sold about 1.4 million bales of cotton for export during the 1958-59 marketing year as of November l. A year earlier about 3.7 million bales had been sold for export in 1957-58.

About 1.5 million bales of cotton from 1957 and prior years has been listed by CCC in the catalog and is made available for export sale. CCC also owns about .9 million bales of the 1957 crop of upland cotton which have not been cataloged.

Domestic mill consumption of cotton in 1958-59 is expected to be somewhat above the 8.0 million bales of 1957-58. Cotton consumption has not kept pace with the rise in per capita real income and lack of consumer demand has kept inventories of gray goods at the mills high in relation to unfilled orders. Consumption of cotton per capita in 1957 was about 9 percent lower than in 1956. Of all fibers, only noncellulosics, such as nylon, showed an increase in per capita use in 1957. A further decline in cotton occurred in the first half of 1958. However fiber consumption is expected to show an improved trend in the second half of 1958 and in 1959.

Market prices during the current season have averaged further below the loan than last year. The monthly average 14 spot market prices for Midding 1-inch cotton from August through October 1958 were more than 1 cent higher than during the same months a year earlier, but the average support rate was $23 / 4$ cents higher. The average 14 market spot price in October for Middling inch cotton was 34.75 cents per pound, compared to the loan rate at these markets of 35.35 cents.

The Spot market quotations reflect prices paid by purchasers for domestic use. Prices paid by exporters are reflected by CCC selling prices for cotton for export. These have ranged from 28.36 to 28.85 cents per pound, basis Middling l-inch cotton, average location, since the start of sales by CCC on May 12, 1958, for export during the current season.

Average prices received by farmers and parity prices during the current season have averaged above a year earlier. However, in mid-October the average price received by farmers for upland cotton of 33.26 cents per pound was slightly less than one cent above a year earlier. The mid-October parity price of 38.80 cents was 1.74 cents above a year earlier.

A national marketing quota of $12,167,000$ bales ( 500 pounds gross weight) and a national acreage allotment of 16 million acres for the 1959 crop of upland cotton were proclaimed on September 29. The acreage allotment is at the minimum provided for by the congress. In addition Congress provided for a national acreage reserve of 310,000 acres to meet minimum farm allotments. Total State allotments were announced on October 27.

The referendum on upland cotton marketing quotas will be held on December 15. At least two-thirds of the upland cotton farmers voting in the referendum must approve marketing quotas if they are to be effective. Disapproval would give farmers complying with the allotments price support at 50 percent of parity. Approval on the other hand would provide each farm operator with the choice of complying with his "regular" (Choice A) allotment making his crop eligible for price support at not less than 80 percent of parity, or complying with the 40 percent bigger (Choice B) allotment with price support at not less than 65 percent of parity.

The Secretary of Agriculture on October 13 announced a national marketing quota for extra-long staple cotton of 74,000 bales and a national acreage allotment of 71,000 acres for the 1959 crop. These compare with a marketing quota of 79,000 bales and an acreage allotment of 83,000 acres for the 1958 crop. A growers' referendum on these quotas also has been set for December 15.

## THE CURRENT SUPPLY AND PRICE SITUATION



The carryover on August 1 , 1958 totaled 8.7 million bales, 2.6 million below a year ago and much lower than the record 14.5 million bales on hand August 1, 1956. Of the 1958 total, 117,000 bales consisted of AmericanEgyptian cotton.

Of the total carryover, about 1.6 million bales were held in consuming establishments, . 4 million more than a year ago. CCC stocks, excluding 690,000 bales sold under the $1958-59$ sales programs, totaled 2.9 million bales. Thus "free stocks" were nearly 4.2 million bales, 2.2 million bales over a year ago. The volume of foreign cotton in the carryover was the smallest in more than 40 years.

Quality of Carryover

## Lowest on Record

The carryover on August 1, 1958 contained the largest proportion of the lower grades of upland cotton on record. Low Middling and lower grades made up 44 percent of the total compared with 31 percent in 1957. The proportion of Strict Middling and higher grades remained at the 30-year low of 7 percent set in 1957. As a result, the grade index of upland in the carryover was also the lowest on record, 89.4 (Middling White equals 100), compared with 91.9 last year and 94.0 in 1956. Cotton stapling $l^{\prime \prime}$ and shorter comprised 60 percent of the upland total, the highest since 1945 . The $2.5 \mathrm{mil}-$ lion bales of 1957 crop upland cotton acquired by CCC on July 31, 1958 averaged lower in grade and shorter in staple than privately held stocks.

American-Egyptian cotton stocks also averaged slightly lower in grade and shorter in staple than a year earlier. Only 8.percent of the AmericanEgyptian cotton in the carryover was in Grades 1 and 2 compared with 41 percent a year earlier and 29 percent two years ago. American-Egyptian cotton stapling $1-1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ and longer accounted for only 9 percent of end-season stocks compared with 11 percent a year earlier and 30 percent two years ago.

## 1958 Crop Yields at Record

The 1958 crop of United States cotton will total 11.7 million running bales according to the November 1 forecast of the Crop Reporting Board. The crop, which is 800,000 bales larger than the weatherbeaten crop of 1957, is being produced on the smallest harvested acreage since 1876. Acreage allotments for 1958 totaled 17.6 million acres. Nearly 5 million were placed in Acreage Reserve of the Soil Bank, and 12.6 million were planted to cotton. As of November 1 it was estimated that about 12 million acres would actually be harvested.

The November crop estimate is 200,000 boles above that made on August 1 but about 300 thousand bales below indicated production on September 1. The weather in late September and early October adversely affected output in some of the Delta and Southeastern States. Weather conditions in the West and Southwest have remained nearly ideal. The indicated yield of 472 pounds per harvested acre is above all previous records--it compares with 388 pounds in 1957 and 417 pounds in 1955, the previous high (table 14). The November 1 crop report indicates that American-Fgyptian cotton will be harvested on about 75 thousand acres and production wh. 1 total 78,400 bales. Record high yields-close to 500 pounds per acre--are indicated for this type of cotton also. The estimated yield for all cotton reflects a steady postwar upward trend which had been intermupted for 2 years.

Table 1.--Cotton acreage, yield, production, price and value, United States, average 1920-29, 1930-39, 1940-49 and 1950 to date


Crop Reporting Board.

Production of cotton is estimated to be larger than last season in all regions except the Southeast. A record increase in Texas gives the Southwest an estimated 39 percent of the total U. S. cotton crop, the highest proportion since 194l. The West's proportion will be 23 percent of the U. S. total, the same as in 1957. The 3-year downward trend in production in the Delta States continued in 1958 and this region's percentage of total output ( 25 percent) as well as that of the Southeast (12 percent) is the lowest on record (table 14).

The change in distribution of production among regions in recent years partly reflects a shift in the distribution of acreage, some of which resulted from differences in the extent of Soil Bank participation among regions. Greater increases in yields per acre than in other regions are primarily responsible for the increased importance of the Southwest and West in cotton production; while increased yields in the Southeast and Delta merely prevented a yet further decline in proportional output (table 2).

Table 2.--Upland cotton: Proportions of permitted acreage $1 /$, acreage in cultivation July 1, and acreage for harvest, by regions, 1957, 1958

| Area | : | Permitted acreage |  | frerge in cultivation, July 1 |  | Acreage for harvest 2/ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : | 1957 | 1958 percent | 1957 Percent | 1958 Percent | 1957 Percent | ${ }^{1958}$ |
|  |  | Percent | percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| West | : | 8.4 | 10.1 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 9.2 | 10.9 |
| Southwest | : | 48.5 | 49.2 | 47.9 | 49.1 | 47.5 | 48.5 |
| Delta | : | 27.3 | 27.2 | 27.3 | 27.1 | 27.2 | 27.3 |
| Southeast | : | 15.8 | 13.5 | 15.7 | 13.1 | 16.1 | 13.3 |

$1 /$ Acreage allotment less acreage reserve sign-up.
2) September 1 estimate.

Ginnings Increase
Quality High
Ginnings to November 1, 1958 amounted to about 7.3 million bales, considerably above the quantity ginned to the same date a year earlier. The ginnings were thout 03 percent of the estimated 1958 crop, compared with the postwar average to this date of 66 percent and 51 percent, in 1957. This year, asin 1957, the plantings were delayed by bad weather, and in 1958 excessive rains in the Delta and Southeast areas caused considerable replanting. Ginnings in Mississippi are running behind last season's slow rate. In nearly all other States ginnings are further along than last year. Based on the November crop report there remained about 4.4 million bales to be ginned as of November 1 this season, compared with 5.3 million bales on the same date last season.

Over 45 percent of the upland cotton ginned prior to November 1 this season was Middling in grade, the highest proportion for any comparable period sirce 1952. The grade index of ginnings through October this season was 97.2 (Middling White equals 100) compared with 96.2 a year earlier and the 1953-57 average of 96.8. The average staple of cotton ginned before Novemberl, 1958 was 33.1 thirty-seconds inches against 33.2 in the same period last season, and the 1953-57 average of 32.8.

Support Prices for Upland and Extra-Long Staple Cotton

The support price for 1958 crop upland cotton, basis Midding $7 / 8$-inch at average location, is 31.23 cents per pound. This is 81 percent of the parity price of 38.55 cents per pound in effect on August 1, 1958. The average rate for Middling l-inch cotton is 35.08 cents per pound. The quality differentials for the upland loan were published in the May Cotton Situation, cs-176. If the crop as a whole continues of higher quality than in 1957, the record yield at announced price supports will mean that gross receipts per acre will rise substantially.

The minimum support rate for the 1958 crop of extra-long staple cotton is 53.95 cents per pound, reflecting 65 percent of the parity price of 83 cents per pound in effect for July 1958. Average support rates this season are 5.75 cents below those applicable to the 1957 crop.

Prices Received by Farmers

The average price of 33.26 cents per pound received by farmers in midOctober for upland cotton was . 93 cents above a year earlier. Although below September 1958, it was the highest October farm price since 1954, due to the high grade of the ginnings, as well as the higher support rates. The midOctober price was 1.28 cents below the previous month reflecting somewhat lower quality as the harvest advanced. The mid-October price was 86 percent of the parity price. This compares with 90 percent in September 1958 and 87 percent in October 1957. During the 1957-58 season, prices received by farmers averaged about $21 / 4$ cents below the previous year.

Prices received by farmers for American-Egyptian cotton averaged 54.8 cents per pound in mid-October. This compared with the mid-September price of 55.0 cents per pound and was nearly 7 cents below average prices received in October 1957.

Market Prices

## Below Loan Rate

The average 14 spot market price for Middling l-inch cotton during October was 34.75 cents per pound. This was slightly above the previous month and compares with the season's high of 34.83 cents in August and with
33.54 cents in October 1957. The current support price for Middling inch at the 14 spot markets is 35.35 cents per pound and market quotations for this quality cotton have averaged from 49 to 70 points under the support level. Prices offered farmers at the central markets for grades above Middling have also been below the support level, although prices for some of the lower grades have been running above applicable CCC loan rates.

Table 3.-Upland cotton: Support rates and monthly average market prices 14 spot markets, 1956-57, 1957-58 and 1958-59

| Middling 1 inch | $:$ | 1956-57 | 1957-58 | 1958-59 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : | Cents per pound | Cents per pound | Cents per pound |
| Support rate |  | 33.02 | 32.56 | 35.35 |
| Market price |  |  |  |  |
| August | . | 33.01 | 33.63 | 34.83 |
| September |  | 33.07 | 33.24 | 34.69 |
| October | : | 33.19 | 33.54 | 34.75 |
|  | : |  |  |  |

Loan Entries Bigh

## CCC Stocks Rise

As of November 7 approximately 2.3 million bales of the 1958 cotton crop had been placed under loan. After allowance for rejections and withdrawals, loans outstanding totaled 2,230,000 bales. Of this, 640 bales were American-Egyptian cotton. Net entries into the current loan through October this season were surpassed only in 1953 when the total crop was considerably larger. By approximately the same date in 1957, loan entries from the 1957 crop totaled 753,000 bales. The substantial portion of current marketings which have been placed under loan reflect the relationship between market prices and support prices. Judging by the quality of ginnings, cotton under loan is of exceptionally high quality.


Figure 1

In previous years loans were not called before maturity. If the policy is continued, 1958 crop cotton remaining under loan would be acquired by CCC on July 31, 1959, under the Agricultural Act of 1958, it would be offered for sale for unrestricted use after August 1, 1959 (as soon as catalogued) at not less than 10 percent above the choice $B$ level of price support. Current inventories are being offered for sale for unrestricted use at 105 percent of the current support rate plus carrying charges. Since the beginning of the marketing year on August 1, 1958, sales for unrestricted use of 1957 and previous crop cotton have totaled 17,000 bales. Total CCC stocks (owned and held as collateral against outstanding loans) reached 4.4 million bales on November 7. A year earlier such stocks totaled approximately 5.7 million bales (table 4).

Table 4.--CCC stocks of cotton, United States, 1958-59

| Date | Total | Upland |  |  | Extra-Iong staple 17 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Owned 2/ | Under loan | Total | Owned | Under <br> loan | Total |
| : | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
|  | bales | bales | bales | bales | bales | bales | bales |
| 1956 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. 1 | 19,877 | 3,780 | 6,054 | 9,834 | 22 | 4 | $3 / 43$ |
| 1957 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. 1 | 5,184 | 5,182 | --- | 5,182 | 2 | --- | 2 |
| 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. 1 | 2,922 | 2,884 | --- | 2,884 | 38 | --- | 38 |
| Aug. 8 | 2,905 | 2,867 | --- | 2,867 | 38 | --- | 38 |
| Aug. 15 | 2,920 | 2,867 | 13 | 2,880 | 4/40 | --- | 40 |
| Aug. 22 : | 2,833 | 2,746 | 47 | 2,793 | -40 | --- | 40 |
| Aug. 29 : | 2,896 | 2,746 | 109 | 2,855 | 4/41 | --- | 41 |
| Sept. 5 : | 2,966 | 2,746 | 181 | 2,927 | 39 | -- | 39 |
| Sept. 12: | 3,068 | 2,730 | 300 | 3,030 | 38 | --- | 38 |
| Sept. 19: | 3,210 | 2,716 | 456 | 3,172 | 38 | --- | 38 |
| Sept. 26: | 3,373 | 2,710 | 625 | 3,335 | 38 | -- | 38 |
| Oct. 3 : | 3,537 | 2,704 | 795 | 3,499 | 38 | $5 /$ | 38 |
| Oct. 10 : | 3,736 | 2,704 | 995 | 3,699 | 37 | 5 | 37 |
| Oct. 17 : | 3,669 | 2,399 | 1,234 | 3,633 | 36 | 5 | 36 |
| oct. 24 : | 3,968 | 2,399 | 1,534 | 3,933 | 35 | 5 | 35 |
| Oct. 31 : | 4,003 | 2,111 | 1,857 | 3,968 | 35 | 5 | 35 |
| Nov. 7 : | 4,376 | 2,111 | 2,230 | 4,341 | 34 | 1 | 35 |

I/ Includes American-Egyptian, Sealand and Sea-Island. $2 /$ Estimated stock. 3 Including Secretary's eccount. 4/ Adjusted. $5 /$ Less than 500 bales. Comodity Stabilization Service.

Bulk of 1958 Imports

## Already Entered

As estimated 140,000 bales of cotton were imported into the United States in 1957-58. About 80,000 bales of this quantity consisted of upland cotton, including 55,000 bales of Mexican cotton stapling $11 / 8-13 / 8$ inches entered under the import quota for long staple cotton.

Imports of upland cotton during 1958-59 will be somewhat smaller, as a result of a revision of the extra-long staple import quota. Under the revision, proclaimed by the President on July 7, 1958, imports of cotton stapling $11 / 8$ but less than $13 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ will be limited to about 13,000 bales, with a fourth of this quantity reserved for Tanguis cotton from Peru. The remaining quota for cotton $13 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ or more amounts to about 82,000 bales. Under the import quota for upland cotton, maximum imports are about 30,000 bales of which imports from Mexico are limited to 18,500 bales.

The Bureau of the Customs reported that 99 percent, or 94,000 bales of the 1958-59 import quota for long staple cotton was filled in the period August 1-30, 1958. This left 1,000 bales of Tanguis cotton eligible for entry. The import quota for cotton stapling under $11 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ opened on September 20; imports totaled about 20,000 bales, mostly from Mexico. The quota has been suspended for rough or harsh cotton under $3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$. Most of the balance of estimated imports will consist of this type of cotton.

Table 5.--Cotton, foreign growths: Imports into the United States average 1920-29, 1930-39, 1940-49 and annual 1950 to date 1/


Bureau of the Census.

Total Supply Under
Iast Year
The total supply of cotton in the United States during the 1958-59 marketing year is estimated to be $201 / 2$ million bales, about 2 million bales below last year and more than 7 million bales below the all-time high reached in 1956. Of this total, approximately 250,000 bales will consist of extralong staple cotton compared to 227,000 on August 1, 1958 (tables 20 and 21).

Cottonseed and Products
The larger cotton crop than 1957 is expected to result in a 5-percent larger outturn of cottonseed. Total production of cottonseed in 1958 is estimated at 4.9 million tons. Beginning stocks remained at the low level of a year ago. With a new record output of soybeans, prices to farmers for cottonseed are expected to average about 20 percent below last year, near the CCC purchase price of $\$ 41$ per ton (basis grade 100).

Based on the estimated cottonseed production, a corresponding increase in output of cottonseed products is likely. The estimated total for linters is 1.4 million bales, about 150,000 above 1957. With beginning stocks of linters slightly below August 1, 1957, and imports held down by low domestic prices, the total supply of linters is expected to be about 140,000 bales above the 2.2 million bales of last year. Sales have improved somewhat this season, and the pick-up in general business conditions should raise consumption from the very low level of 1.1 million bales in 1957-58. Thus a further slight decline in carryover appears likely.

## DOMESTIC CONSUMPTION AND EXPORTS

Domestic Mill Consumption
Improves
The downward trend in domestic mill consumption of cotton has slackened. It now appears likely that mill consumption during the year ending July 31 , 1959 will be above the 8 million bales used during 1957-58. The average daily rate of mill consumption in September was 32,395 bales. This figure as well as the one in August showed a more than seasonal increase from the previous month. The daily rate of mill consumption is still below a year ago but by a much smaller margin than in the first half of 1958. Based on normal seasonal variations, as computed by the Bureau of the census, the average daily rate of consumption in September would indicate a total consumption of $81 / 2$ million bales. However, this rate is not likely to be maintained and mill consumption will probably range between 8 and $81 / 2$ million bales.

The level of mill consumption is closely related to consumer disposable income. As incomes rise, proportionately less is spent for clothing, but total expenditures for apparel increase (table 6). The estimate for total mill consumption in 1958-59 takes into account that consumer incomes and spending, currently above a year ago, are expected to rise further during 1958 and 1959. Between the second and third quarters of 1958 retail sales of apparel (seasonally adjusted) rose about 5 percent.

Table 6.--Disposable personal income and expenditures for apparel, United States, 1951 to 1958

| Calendar year | , | Disposable personal income 1/ | Expenditures for apparel $2 /$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : |  | Actual | As percentage of disposable income |
|  | : | Billion dollars | Billion dollars | Percent |
| 1951 | : | 227.5 | 17.8 | 7.8 |
| 1952 | : | 238.7 | 18.6 | 7.8 |
| 1953 | : | 252.5 | 18.7 | 7.4 |
| 1954 | : | 256.9 | 18.7 | 7.3 |
| 1955 | : | 274.4 | 19.7 | 7.2 |
| 1956 | : | 290.5 | 20.7 | 7.1 |
| 1957 | : | 205.1 | 20.9 | 6.9 |
| 1958 3/ | : | 311.0 |  |  |

Income of individuals, less taxes.
Not including shoes.
Estimate.
Office of Business Economics and Bureau of the Census.

## Stock Ratio Lower

At the end of September stocks of raw cotton at mills totaled $1.4 \mathrm{mil}-$ lion bales, 200,000 below July 1958. Stocks of broadwoven goods at the mills also have been reduced; relative to production, September stocks were about 10 percent under a year ago.

New orders in recent months have averaged above last year. Relative to unfilled orders, the ratio of stocks of broadwoven goods at the mills was .58 in September. This compares with .62 a year agu, but remains well above the postwar average (figure 2). The stock/unfilled-order ratio has proven a useful indicator of mill consumption during the following several months: when the ratio goes down, consumption tends to increase and when the ratio rises, consumption tends to decline. However, stock positions also reflect expectations regarding price changes. Trade sources indicate that for the balance of the marketing year a general policy of inventory reduction is likely.

# COTTON BROAD-WOVEN GOODS AT COTTON MILLS: RATIO OF STOCKS TO UNFILLED ORDERS BY MONTHS AND PRODUCTION BY QUARTERS 



Figure 2

## Mill Margins Continue

## Below a Year Ago

The average spread between the price of a pound of cotton and its approximate cloth equivalent narrowed again in September and October after having widened in August thus reverting to the downward trend of the second hall of the previous marketing year. The spread averaged 22.16 cents in October, against 22.30 in August and 24.02 in October a year ago. Prices for most types of cotton gray goods remained relatively unchanged during September. The average value of cloth obtainable from a pound of cotton, based on prices for 20 selected constructions, was 57.14 cents. This compares with 56.99 eents a month earlier and 58.36 cents a year ago. There are indications that cloth prices strengthened in early November. The average price of the quality of cotton assumed to be used in the manufacture of the 20 constructions was 34.98 cents in October, against 34.75 cents in September and 34.34 cents in October 1957.

Fiber consumption in textile items delivered to the military forces during July-September 1958 was somewhat above the third quarter of 1957, but the total for the first 9 months of 1958 was about 25 percent below the comparable period of 1957. Consumption of cotton in July-September 1958 was about 19,000 bales, only somewhat above the very low level reached in the third quarter of 1957. Total military consumption of cotton in the first 9 months of the calendar year 1958 was about 20 percent below this period of 1957 but compares favorably with previous years. The total for the calendar year 1957 was 106,200 bales, compared with 93,600 in 1956 and 66,500 in 1955.

Consumption of manmade fibers during July-September 1958 was also above the reduced level recorded for the same quarter a year ago, but for the first 9 months of 1958 total consumption of manmade fibers, estimated at close to 1 million pounds, was about one-fourth of the total consumed during the comparable period in 1957, and well below the previous 2 years also. Wool consumed during July-September 1958 was likewise above a year ago; the total thus far in 1958 was about 20 percent below last year's high rate.

Delivery of all types of cotton fabric to the military forces during the first 9 months of 1958 was also a fourth below the comparable period of 1957, and totaled about 30 million square yards. Deliveries of sateen, the major cotton cloth item, amounted to about 9 million square yards compared with 26 million in the first 3 quarters of 1957. Deliveries of manmade fiber fabrics in the third quarter of 1958 rose from the very low level of the previous 2 quarters, as deliveries of oxford cloth were at the highest rate since this series was begun in mid-1954. However, manmade fiber deliveries thus far in 1958, totaling 2.1 million square yards, were 70 percent below the comparable period in 1957 (tables 33 and 34).

Per Capita Consumption Lags
The per capita consumption of cotton in 1957 continued its postwar downward trend and reached the lowest level since 1938. At 23.7 pounds it was 2.2 pounds below the previous year. Total fiber consumption, 36.1 pounds, showed a 2.6 pound reduction from 1956. A substantial decline in wool (.8 pounds) and a moderate one in rayon and acetate (. 2 pounds) was partially offset by the continued rise in the combined consumption of non-cellulosic fibers including nylon, dacron, orlon, glass fibers, etc. Impressive inroads on total fiber consumption were made by paper and other non-woven materials. Data on mill consumption indicates that a further 8 percent drop in per capita cotton consumption took place during the first 9 months of 1958 compared with the comparable period in 1957, with the first half of 1958 accounting for the decline (table 35).

A major factor in the decline in cotton consumption, both total and per capita, has been the loss of the industrial market, notably for tire cord and bags. There is evidence that the loss of markets for apparel and some household uses to the newer synthetic fibers often does not extend beyond the period of innovation. In fact, private sources have estimated that during the past 10 years the proportionate use of cotton in apparel, particularly in women's dresses and men's and women's sportswear has increased significantly. It should also be noted that the expanded use of the newer synthetics was accompanied by a decline in per capita consumption of rayon and acetate as well as of the natural fibers.

Foreign Demand
Lower Foreign
Supplies Larger
The steady postwar increase in foreign free world consumption halted early in 1958. During the entire 1957-58 season, consumption at 20.4 million bales was .6 million bales below 1956-57. Some major foreign customers for U. S. cotton, including Japan and the United Kingdom, were hit by reduced domestic and export demand for yarns and textiles. In mid-1958 yarn and textile production in most major cotton importing countries, with the exception of Canada and France, was substantially below a year earlier. Stocks of raw cotton at the beginning of the current marketing year in most of these countries were at "normal" levels relating to consumption, and below a year earlier.

On the other hand, carryover stocks in foreign exporting countries were .6 million bales higher on August 1, 1958 than a year earlier. Foreign free world production is expected to increase again in 1958-mby as much as 1 million bales. The greatest increase during 1958-59 will be in the extra-long staple varieties. Thus, total indigenous supplies in the foreign free world during 1958-59 will be about $1 \frac{1}{2}$ million bales above the previous season.

Total foreign production, including that in the Soviet bloc, is estimated at 30.6 million bales, compared with 29.3 in 1957-58. The crop in the Soviet Union may not differ much from last year but reports indicate expanded production on the Chinese Mainland. Although the expansion in production in the Soviet Union and China in recent years has been remarkable, supplies in the Soviet bloc as a whole are well below consumption needs. This area is likely to remain a net importer of cotton, unless the goals of self sufficiency are reached earlier than indicated or unless Soviet leadership decides to place cotton on the world market for the attainment of a political objective.

## COTTON: SUPPLY AND CONSUMPTION IN FOREIGN COUNTRIES


year beginning august I
rigure 3
Exports to Decline
Because of larger foreign exportable supplies this year reduced foreign consumption, large inventaries of textiles and continued price weakness the export outlook for U. S. cotton in 1958-59 is not as favorable as during the past two years. About two-thirds of the increase in foreign free world production this season is in the countries producing a large proportion of the relatively higher-priced extra-long staples. The bulk of U. S. exports is not directly competitive with the longer staple types that are now in a surplus position. Nevertheless, it appears that U. S. exports will do well to reach 4 million bales. Such a figure would be 1.7 million bales below 1957-58.

Exports during August and September totaled 427,210 bales, 40 percent below exports for these two months of 1957. Estimates from private sources indicate that exports this season through the fourth week of October were 36 percent below the comparable period a year ago.

Generally speaking, when U. S. and foreign prices are competitive, United States exports fill the gap between foreign production and consumption. This is true because of the existence of trade and payment arrangements between foreign countries and because in most importing countries the demand for all types of U. S. goods often exceeds available dollar resources. The U. S. is the only country both willing and able to store and finance large stocks. Foreign exporters for the most part cannot afford to hold stocks, and major importers tend to maintain stocks at an average level equal to 4 -months consumption. Major short-term variations in this stock ratio for balance-of-payment reasons or in expectation of higher or lower prices can however have a significant effect on U. S. exports.

Table 7.--Cotton: Supply and distribution in the foreign free world, 1957-58 and 1958-59


1/ Preliminary.
$\overline{2} /$ Estimated.
3/ Includes exports from the U. S. to Poland.
Foreign Agricultural Service.

Government Financing
of Cotton Exports
The U. S. Government has allotted about 237 million dollars to finance the export of cotton in the fiscal year ending June 30, 1959. Most of these funds were appropriated for the 1957-58 fiscal year under the Mutual Security Act and Public Law 480. If completely used, these funds will finance the
export of about 1.5 million bales of cotton. Additional funds will be made a available for cotton exports for use in 1958-59. Exports of about 2.3 million bales were financed in the fiscal year 1957-58 (table 8).
of the major importers of U. S. cotton during the first half of 1958 , the United Kingdom, Germany and Canada made virtually no use of special financing. Japan used Export-Import Bank loans. France, Spain, Poland and Yugoslavia relied largely on Title I of Public Law 480 while Korea, Formosa and India used mostly Mutual Security Act funds (table 36).

Table 8.--Special programs of the U. S. Government for financing cotton exports: Fiscal years beginning July 1, 1957 and 1958 1/


1/ Authorized for delivery, shipments and disbursement. Authorizations made in 1957-58 but not shipped by June 30, 1958 are reported under 1958-59.
2) Running bales, partly estimated.

3/ Excludes agreement with Burma and Israel amounting to about 4 million dollars for which purchase authorizations have not been issued.

4/ Less than 50,000 bales.

CCC Sales for Export
CCC sales of upland cotton for export during the period August 1, 1957-
July 31,1958 totaled 5.8 million bales (NO-C-9). Selling prices under NO-C-9 ranged from $6-7$ cents below domestic market prices. In addition, 26,600 bales of upland cotton were transferred by CCC for P.L. 480 , Title II grants.

Sales for export during the current marketing year (NO-C-11) totaled 1.4 million bales as of October 27. The sale of October 13 which included for the first time newly catalogued 1957 crop cotton was the largest this season, and totaled 304,000 bales, at an average price of 28.85 cents basis Middling inch at average location. The sale on October 27 totaled 278,000 bales at an average price of 28.59 cents. Sales to November 1 , 1957 for export during $1957-58$ totaled 3.7 million bales. An additional 2,000 bales were transferred for Title II donations.

During the 1958-59 marketing year, carrying charges will not be added by CCC in determining acceptable sales prices under the cotton export program. The addition of such charges after November during the 1956-57 and 1957-58 programs had the effect of progressively raising U. S. export prices.

Under the payment-in-kind program, effective during the current marketing year, registrations through October 27 totaled 199,184 bales. The rate of payment is equal to 6.5 cents per pound, and cumulative credits to be earned against sales registered to this date total 3.7 million dollars.

Foreign Growths Selling
Below U. S. Export Prices
Foreign spot prices have declined sharply in recent months and in October were well below the 1957-58 average. Prices of some foreign growths reached postwar lows, but in recent weeks have shown firming tendencies (table 41). Part of the decline was due to successive reductions in export taxes, particularly in the extra-long staples. At times spot prices are nominal or do not reflect widespread trade discounts. Landed prices, c.i.f. major import markets, clearly indicate that nearly all higher grade foreign growths are currently priced below comparable U. S. qualities. This reverses the situation which prevailed during most of the past 2 marketing years. Except for the lower grades, U. S. export prices currently average above a year ago.

Exports and Imports
of Cotton Textiles
The general decline in U. S. exports of both agricultural and nonagricultural products, was reflected in a decline in exports of cotton textiles during the first half of 1958. In 1957, however, exports totaled 314 million dollars, about 5 percent above 1956. Exports of cotton broadwoven goods likewise increased in 1957 compared with 1956 and then declined during the first half of 1958. In August 1958 however they totaled 43 million square yards, 2 million above a year ago and the largest of any August since 1954.

Imports of cotton textiles in 1957 were valued at 142.6 million dollars, down 12 percent from 1956. In the early months of 1958 , imports have been around the comparable 1957 level. Import of broadwoven goods showed the greatest decline (about 1/3) between 1956 and 1957, and remained at this reduced level during the first 5 months of 1958. This reflected continued application of voluntary export quotas by Japan.

The quantity of broadwoven goods exported in 1957 was nearly four times as large as the quantity of imports. In terms of value, exports of all cotton textile items were about twice as high as imports.

Table 9.--Exports and imports of cotton broadwoven goods and all cotton textile items, United States, 1954 to date


## Cotton Products

Export Program
During the current marketing year export payments are being continued on cotton products which are exported. These payments compensate domestic cotton textile producers for the cheaper cotton available to foreign mills under the CCC export sales and payment-in-kind programs.

Payments in August and September 1958 totaled 2.1 million dollars and covered 32.2 million pounds. The base rate of payment August through October was 6.28 cents per pound and for November it is 5.67 cents. During the 1957-58 marketing year, payments totaled 15.5 million dollars covering 277 million pounds. These products ranged from waste to finished apparel. Cumulative payments since the beginning of the program total 30.5 million dollars. About $1 / 4$ of this total represented exports to Canada, while exports to Cuba and the Philippines accounted for an additional 25 percent.

Further Decline in

## Carryover Appears Likely

With total production and imports estimated at 11.8 million bales and disappearance likely to total around $121 / 4$ million bales, a further cut in the carryover appears likely on August 1, 1959. Indications are, however, that an effort will be made to keep free stocks and mill inventories at a minimum. Thus CCC inventories are likely to show a substantial increase from the 2.9 million bale level to which they had declined by August 1, 1958.

Table 10.--Disappearance of cotton in the United States, 1951-52 to 1958-59

| Year | $\begin{array}{lc} \hline: & \text { Domestic } \\ : & \text { mill } \\ : & \text { consumption } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Exports | Destroyed | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000 bales | 1,000 bales | 1,000 bales | 1,000 bales |
| 1951-52 | 9,196.0 | 5,514.8 | 35.0 | 14,745.8 |
| 1952-53 | 9,461.2 | 3,048.2 | 50.0 | 12,559.4 |
| 1953-54 | 8,576.2 | 3,760.5 | 75.0 | 12,411.7 |
| 1954-55 | 8,841.5 | 3,445.5 | 60.0 | 12,347.0 |
| 1955-56 | 9,209.6 | 2,213.9 | $1 /$ | 11,423.5 |
| 1956-57 | 8,608.4 | 7,593.4 | 1 | 16,201.8 |
| 1957-58 | 8,009.8 | 5,717.3 | I/ | 13,727.1 |
| 1958-5921 | 8,250.0 | 4,000.0 | $1 /$ | 12,250.0 |
| 1/ Not available. $2 /$ Estimated. |  |  |  |  |

The reduction in carryover will be limited to upland cotton. A world surplus exists in the extra-long staple varieties. World stocks are high relative to consumption, prices have progressively weakened and prospects are for a 50-percent increase in production. Under these conditions, U. S. exports will be limited to those financed by the Govermment. The total supply in the United States is estimated at close to 400,000 bales. The total supply includes the unsold balance of the 50,000 bales of American-Egyptian cotton released from the stockpile. Mill consumption has not greatly exceeded 100,000 bales for the past 7 years. Lower current prices may raise mill consumption substantially. But an increase in the carryover appears likely even without further releases from the strategic stockpile.

THE 1959 CROP

Acreage Allotments

## for 1959 Crop Cotton

A national marketing quota of $12,167,000$ bales ( 500 pounds gross weight) for the 1959 crop of upland cotton was proclaimed on September 29. Based on the September 1 crop report, a marketing quota of about $8,196,000$ bales would have been sufficient to provide a normal supply. However, the Agricultural Act of 1958 sets the minimum national acreage allotment at 16 million acres and the national marketing quota at the number of bales required to provide such an acreage allotment. The national marketing quota of $12,056,000$ running bales (based on the September l Crop Report) is about 4.4 million bales above the "normal" supply (combined domestic consumption and exports plus a 30 percent carryover).

In addition, Congress provided for a national acreage reserve of 310,000 acres to meet minimum farm allotments. The minimum farm allotment for "old" cotton farms is the smaller of 10 acres or the farm's 1958 allotment. State acreage allotments were released on October 27 (table 13). The total allotments of 16,310,000 acres compare with State acreage allotments for the 1958 upland crop of 17,554,528 acres. The largest reduction compared with 1958 was in Texas with a cut of 628,000 acres. Mississippi was next with 89,000 fewer acres than in 1958, while Calffornia, Arkansas and Oklahoma each was cut about 75,000 acres. Notices of farm acreage allotments will be mailed to farm operators prior to December 15 when the referendum on upland cotton marketing quotas will be held. Farmers who were engaged in the production of upland cotton in 1958 are eligible to vote in the referendum. At least twothirds of the upland cotton farmers voting in the referendum must approve marketing quotas if they are to be effective.

For the 1959 crop of extra-long staple cotton a national marketing quota of 74,000 bales and a national acreage allotment of 71,000 acres was proclaimed on October 13. Based on the September I crop estimate, the total supply (excluding unsold balance of cotton released from the stockpile) was expected to exceed "normal" supply by 12 percent. A referendum on marketing quotas for the 1959 crop of extra-long staple cotton will also be held on December 15 in areas where this type is grow. For the 1958 crop the marketing quota was 79,000 bales and the acreage allotment totaled 83,000 acres.

Price support for the 1959 crop of extra-long staple cotton will be set at between 60 and 75 percent of parity. Support for the 1958 crop reflects 65 percent of parity.
$\frac{\text { "Choice" Plan }}{\text { Operative for }} 1959$
As provided by the Agricultural Act of 1958, if marketing quotas are in effect for the 1959 upland cotton crop, each farm operator who has an upland cotton acreage allotment may elect to comply with his "regular"-called Choice (A)--farm allotment, in which case his crop will be eligible for the full level of price support available for the crop (not less than 80 percent of parity for 1959); or he may elect to comply with the farm's Choice (B) farm allotment--which is 40 percent more than the Choice (A) allotment, and be eligible for price support at a level which is 15 percent of parity less than the level available under Choice (A). Final price support levels will be announced no later than January 31.

If quotas are disapproved for the 1959 crop of upland cotton in the referendum, the Choice (B) allotment for the farm will not be in effect but the "regular" farm allotments will be, and price support will be available to cooperators at 50 percent of parity.

By Doris D. Rafler and Charles H. Wittmann

Marked changes in acreage and yield have occurred in the production of cotton in the United States. While the total acreage devoted to cotton has tended to decline, yields and production have increased. However, the direction and degree of these changes have varied with the period and geographical area. Available annual data on acreage, yield and production expressed respectively in terms of acres, pounds and bales make it difficult to measure, over a period of time, the interaction of these various factors on the amount of cotton available in the United States. 1/ Furthermore when a moving average is used to represent a trend for any of these series, the trend line necessarily begins after and ends before the period for which data are available.

The purpose of this study is to measure the changes in acreage, yield and production on a comparable basis. 2/ The trends which were developed cover the entire period under review. In addition to providing information on trends during the periods 1951-57, 1947-57 and 1937-57 the data may prove useful in forecasting the direction of yields in particular areas. Furthermore, the data casts some light on the relationship between increases and decreases in acreage and yield.

Trends in acreage and yield
Table 11 indicates the average annual percentage of change for stated periods and geographical areas.

[^0]Table ll.--Cotton: Average annual rates of change in acreage, yield and production, by States and areas, for selected periods 1937-1957


For the period 1937-57 the statistics clearly indicate declines in acreage and increases in yields for most areas in the United States. The average annual decline in acreage was 1.7 percent while yields increased an average of 2.5 percent a year. The increase in production was 0.4 percent. Trends for the Delta and Southwest were fairly close to those for the nation. $3 /$ In the Southeast, however, acreage declined an average of 3.7 percent a year, ylelds were up only 1.4 percent, and production declined 2.5 percent. Conversely, the West during this period had average annual increases of 5.6 percent in acreage, 3.2 percent in yields (the highest rate of any area) and 8.8 percent in production.

The technological and legislative factors, which, together with weather and insect infestation are the great variables in cotton production, have had their greatest effect during the postwar period. It is not surprising, therefore, that the secular trends in acreage and yield noted above have been magnified since 1947. Thus, during the period 1947-57 we note that for the United States as a whole the average annual decline in acreage was 4.2 percent. The proportionally greater average increase in yield of 4.6 percent was not of sufficient magnitude to prevent a 0.4 percent decline in production. Contributing to this result was the relatively large decline in acreage ( 5.7 percent) and a relatively moderate increase in yield (2.9 percent) in the Southeast. The total production in this area declined an average of 3.5 percent. During this ll-year period, developments in the West did not change the national trend. From 1947 to 1957, acreage in the West increased only about 1 percent per year and even a 5.3 percent average annual increase in yield could only produce a 5.6 percent rise in output. The reason for this is clearly evident in table 11. Since 1951 acreage in the West has declined more than the national average and the increase in yield of 8.9 percent was actually lower than that achieved during these recent years in the Southwest.

## Application of trends to projections of yield

These trends can properly be used for short-term projections of yields only when it is known that no major technological or legislative developments will occur in the year being projected. In the case of national average yield, for instance, a shift in the proportion of total acreage from highyielding to low-yielding areas would obviously affect trend calculations.

[^1]Such a major shift has resulted in 1957 and 1958 from the larger proportional Soil Bank participation in the low-yielding areas, and may result in 1959 from a larger than proportional participation of the high-yielding areas in Choice (B) of the Agricultural Act of 1958. This is equally true in projecting yields in individual States. The large participation of Texas cotton farmers in the 1958 Soil Bank is responsible in part for yields on acreage remaining for harvest being substantially above the trend.

Corollary to this is the recognition that the slope of the trend line will be affected by the stage reached in applying innovations to production techniques. If a sustained period of yield increase has resulted from the progressively widespread application of improved cultivation practices or irrigation, recent trends would not be expected to be maintained. This may be true in Arizona where substantial yield increases resulted as skip-row planting was extended. In such cases, the projection of a yield trend is likely to overstate the additional increase in yields which is likely to occur, unless new factors are introduced. Therefore a new trend would have to be developed based on the years in which the innovation had already gained currency. Similar problems arise in areas where, conversely, the application of improved production techniques is a relatively recent development. The 1958 estimated yields in Oklahoma illustrate this point: the recent sharp step-up in irrigation has resulted in yields which are well above the trend. for this State.

In adaition, in projecting the trend for any particular year it must be kept in mind that year-to-year deviations from the trend have been fairly significant. During the period 1947-57 these average annual deviations from yield trends ranged from a low or about 9 1/2 percent in Arizona and Louisiana to a high of over 20 percent in Oklahoma. For the United States as a whole, deviations from the trend in the various areas to some extent cancel each other out. Thus the average annual deviation from the trend (1947-57) for the United States as a whole was plus or minus $71 / 2$ percent (table 11).

However, it will be noted that for the United States the trend line based on 9-year moving averages intersects the trends based on average annual changes for the 1937-57 and 1947-57 periods. Furthermore for most States, estimated yields for 1958 based on the 1951-57 or 1947-57 trend are fairly close to the yields estimated by the Crop Reporting Board on September 1, 1958 (table 12).

## COTTON: YIELD PER HARVESTED ACRE, AND TRENDS FOR SELECTED PERIODS





Relation between acreage and yield
For the United States as a whole, for all three periods covered, there appears to be a clear association between reduced acreage and increased yield. However, notable differences occurred within areas. For the periods 1937-57 and 1947-57 the general increase in yields was accompanied by varying degrees of reduction in acreage in most areas and an actual increase in acreage in the West. During recent years (1951-57) the decline in acreage in the West and Southwest was accompanied by an almost corresponding increase in yields. In the Delta where acreage declined the least, yield increases were far below the above two areas; while in the Southeast where acreage declined the most, the yield increase was far below that of other areas. At the very least these figures illustrate the lack of measurable yield response to changes in acreage.

The data in table 11 shows fairly homogenous trends in direction for the States included in the different areas. Nevertheless considerable variation in magnitude occurred within contiguous areas.

For the Southeastern States of North Carolina, South Carolina, Alabama and Georgia an average annual decline of around 5.7 percent in acreage during 1947-57 was associated with a $.9,1.9,3.8$ and 4.3 percent increase in yields, respectively. Thus even for this homogenous area the effect of a given decline in acreage on yield could not have been forecast with any accuracy. 4/ Conversely, increases in acreage in California and Arizona of .8 and 2.8 percent, respectively, were associated with increases in yields of 4.3 and 7.5 percent. It is difficult to say whether in the absence of an increase in acreage the increase in yield would have been larger, but it is clear that increases in acreage as such did not cause a decline in yields. This is important when measuring the effect on production of acreage expansion in high-yielding areas.

The lack of a positive relationship between changes in acreage and yield holds equally true for short periods. In Mississippi acreage in 1953 was 25 percent higher than in 1950 and yields were correspondingly larger, while sharp increase in acreage in Missouri from 1945 to 1949 brought with it widely fluctuating yields without any trend in either direction. On the other hand in New Mexico while acreage was 84 percent higher in 1949 than in 1947 yields were 17 percent lower. The effects of reduced acreage also vary. A 27 -percent decline in acreage between 1949 and 1950 in Missouri was accompanied by a corresponding decline in yield. On the other hand, when acreage in Alabama declined by 28 percent between 1953 and 1954, yields increased but slightly, while in Texas where acreage in 1955 was 22 percent below 1953 yields increased 22 percent.

[^2]Table 12.--Estimates of yield: Pounds per harvested acre, 1.958

| Area | : | Based on |  |  | $\begin{gathered} : \\ - \\ \hline \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Crop report Sept. 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $:$ | $\begin{gathered} 1947-57 \\ \text { trend } \end{gathered}$ | : | $\begin{gathered} 1951-57 \\ \text { trend } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| West | : |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arizona | : | 1,205 |  | 1,254 |  | 1,094 |
| California | : | 949 |  | 1,088 |  | 1,097 |
| New Mexico | : | 740 |  | 820 |  | 769 |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Southwest | : |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oklahoma | : | 222 |  | 263 |  | 309 |
| Texas | : | 295 |  | 348 |  | 375 |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delta | : |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arkanses | : | 490 |  | 547 |  | 527 |
| Louisiana | : | 464 |  | 447 |  | 525 |
| Mississippi | : | 487 |  | 504 |  | 503 |
| Missouri | : | 467 |  | 497 |  | 520 |
| Tennessee | : | 481 |  | 528 |  | 527 |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Southeast | : |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alabama | : | 385 |  | 421 |  | 388 |
| Georgia | : | 358 |  | 360 |  | 386 |
| North Carolina | : | 342 |  | 339 |  | 382 |
| South Carolina | : | 344 |  | 343 |  | 379 |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Averages 1/ | : |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| West | : | 989 |  | 1,093 |  | 1,050 |
| Southwest | : | 288 |  | 340 |  | 370 |
| Delta | : | 483 |  | 511 |  | 518 |
| Southeast | : | 359 |  | 371 |  | 383 |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States average 1/ | : | 420 |  | 460 |  | 486 |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |

1/ Includes in addition to States listed above: Nevada in the West; Kansas in the Southwest; Illinois and Kentucky in the Delta; Florida and Virginia in the Southeast.

Table 13--Cotton: Acreage allotments, acreage under Soil Bank, and in cultivation July I, by States, United States, 1958 and 1959


Comuodity Stabilization Service.

Table 14.-Cotton: Acreage, planted and harvested, and yield per acre on harvested acreage, 1950 to date


1/ West includes Califormia, Arizona, New Mexico and Nevada.
2/ Southwest includes Texas, Oklahoma and Kansas.
$3 /$ Delta includes Missouri, Arkansas, Tennessee, Mississippi, Louisiana, Illinois, and Kentucky.
4 Southeast includes Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, and Alabama.
5 Preliminary, Crop Reporting Board report of November 10, 1958.
6/ Trend yield is 9-year centered average yield.

Crop Reporting Board.

Table 15.--Cotton: Acreage, production and yield forecast, by States, crop of 1958 with comparisons: November 1, 1958


1/Preliminary.
2/ Production ginned and to be ginned.
3/ Bales of 500 pounds gross weight. A 500-pound bale contains about 480 net pounds of lint.

4/ Includes Virginia, Florida, Illinois, Kentucky, Kansas and Nevada.
5/ Included in State and United States totals.
Crop Reporting Board, November 10, 1958

Table $16 .-$-Average prices for cotton in the 14 designated spot markets, and farm prices, United States, 1945 to date


Table 17. - Cotton: Parity price and farm price as a percent of parity, United States, 1944 to date


Parity prices $1 /$

| 1944 | : | 20.83 | 20.83 | 20.83 | 20.83 | 20.96 | 21.08 | 21.08 | 21.08 | 21.08 | 21.08 | 21.20 | 21.20 | 20.96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1945 | : | 21.20 | 21.33 | 21.45 | 21.45 | 21.58 | 21.82 | 21.95 | 22.07 | 22.07 | 22.57 | 22.94 | 24.30 | 22.07 |
| 1946 | : | 24.68 | 24.43 | 25.30 | 25.92 | 26.04 | 26.54 | 27.28 | 27.90 | 28.15 | 28.27 | 28.27 | 28.27 | 26.78 |
| 1947 | : | 28.77 | 29.26 | 29.39 | 29.64 | 30.13 | 30.88 | 30.63 | 30.50 | 30.75 | 30.88 | 30.88 | 30.88 | 30.26 |
| 1948 | : | 30.88 | 30.88 | 30.63 | 30.50 | 30.50 | 30.50 | 30.26 | 30.26 | 30.38 | 30.26 | 30.13 | 30.13 | 30.50 |
| 1949 | : | 30.01 | 29.76 | 29.64 | 29.64 | 29.76 | 29.88 | 29.88 | 30.26 | 30.26 | 30.75 | 30.75 | 31.00 | 30.13 |
| 1950 | : | 31.25 | 31.74 | 31.87 | 32.12 | 32.36 | 32.98 | 33.11 | 33.66 | 33.73 | 33.85 | 33.98 | 33.85 | 32.87 |
| 1951 | : | 33.85 | 33.85 | 33.98 | 34.10 | 34.10 | 34.35 | 34.47 | 34.47 | 34.35 | 34.35 | 34.35 | 34.35 | 34.22 |
| 1952 | : | 34.47 | 34.47 | 34.35 | 34.22 | 34.10 | 34.22 | 33.85 | 34.10 | 34.22 | 34.10 | 33.98 | 34.22 | 34.19 |
| 1953 | : | 34.35 | 34.35 | 34.22 | 34.35 | 34.35 | 34.72 | 34.72 | 34.97 | 35.09 | 35.09 | 34.97 | 35.09 | 34.69 |
| 1954 | : | 35.09 | 34.84 | 34.60 | 34.72 | 35.22 | 35.22 | 35.22 | 35.34 | 35.22 | 35.22 | 35.34 | 35.22 | 35.06 |
| 1955 | : | 35.22 | 34.97 | 34.97 | 34.97 | 35.09 | $3 / 34.84$ | 34.72 | 34.97 | 35.22 | 35.44 | 35.44 | 35.56 | 35.12 |
| 1956 | : | 35.68 | 35.56 | 35.56 | 35.81 | 35.81 | 36.56 | 36.81 | 36.93 | 37.06 | 37.06 | 37.06 | 35.43 | 36.40 |
| 1957 | : | 36.93 | 37.06 | 37.06 | 37.31 | 37.43 | 37.96 | 38.08 | 38.33 | 38.59 | 38.68 | 38.55 | 38.55 | 37.88 |
| 1958 | : | 38.43 | 38.55 | 38.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ?a, m urice as a percent of parity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1944 | : | 96 | 100 | 101 | 98 | 98 | 95 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 96 | 98 | 100 | 97 |
| 1945 | : | 100 | 101 | 103 | 104 | 104 | 102 | 104 | 102 | 105 | 105 | 111 | 125 | 105 |
| 1946 | : | 135 | 142 | 147 | 111 | 114 | 112 | 112 | 113 | 114 | 119 | 119 | 126 | 122 |
| 1947 | : | 114 | 106 | 103 | 107 | 112 | 106 | 100 | 103 | 110 | 114 | 113 | 106 | 108 |
| 1948 | : | 98 | 100 | 101 | 99 | 97 | 95 | 96 | 94 | 98 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 98 |
| 1949 | : | 98 | 100 | 97 | 94 | 89 | 89 | 92 | 93 | 95 | 95 | 97 | 107 | 96 |
| 1950 | : | 118 | 126 | 122 | 128 | 125 | 125 | 128 | 126 | 128 | 125 | 124 | 116 | 124 |
| 1951 | : | 102 | 100 | 107 | 120 | 118 | 1.12 | 108 | 104 | 107 | 105 | 111 | 108 | 109 |
| 1952 | : | 110 | 113 | 108 | 2/100 | 93 | 87 | 89 | 92 | 92 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 97 |
| 1953 | : | 95 | 96 | 95 | 93 | 89 | 87 | 88 | 89 | 90 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| 1954 | : | 97 | 99 | 100 | 96 | 94 | 92 | 90 | 90 | 91 | 89 | 89 | 91 | 93 |
| 1955 | : | 93 | 97 | 94 | 93 | 89 | 88 | 89 | 90 | 92 | 90 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| 1956 | : | 87 | 91 | 90 | 89 | 87 | 83 | 82 | 81 | 82 | 85 | 86 | 87 | 86 |
| 1957 | : | 89 | 89 | 87 | 83 | 75 | 72 | 65 | 68 | 72 | 75 | 75 | 80 | 78 |
| 1958 | : | 86 | 93 | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Calculated from revised indices as published by Agri <br> $2 /$ Since November 1952 farm price of American Upland. <br> 3/ New parity since January 1956. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 18.--Unfinished cloth prices, cotton prices, and mill margins on 20 selected constructions, United States, by months, 1954 to date


Table 19.-Rayon and cotton: Actual prices of yarn and equivalent prices of raw fiber, United States, average 1930-34, and 1935-39, 1940 to date

| Year <br> begin- <br> ning <br> Aug. | Actual prices per pound |  | Equivalent prices per pound of usable fiber |  |  | Ratios |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | : Rayon staple fiber to : S. M. :1-1/16 inches |
|  | Rayon <br> fila- <br> ment <br> yarn <br> I/ | Cotton yarn 2/ |  |  |  | Rayon staple fiber 3/ | Cotton $4 /$ |  | Rayon yarn to cotton yarn | : Rayon <br> : staple <br> :fiber to <br> : Mid- <br> : ding <br> : 15/16 <br> : inch |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | S. M. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | dling | 1-1/16 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 15/16 | 1-1/16 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average1930-34 | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents |  | Cents | Percent | Percent | Percent |  |
|  | 67 | 37 | 46.83 | 11.68 | 13.54 | 181 | 401 | 346 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1935-39 | 56 | 36 | 28.56 | 13.37 | 14.95 | 156 | 214 | 191 |  |  |
| 1940 | 53 | 39 | 26.25 | 13.71 | 15.34 | 136 | 191 | 171 |  |  |
| 1941 | 55 | 50 | 26.25 | 22.33 | 25.01 | 110 | 118 | 105 |  |  |
| 1942 | 55 | 52 | 26.25 | 24.55 | 27.45 | 106 | 107 | 96 |  |  |
| 1943 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | 5256 | 25.20 | $25.07 \quad 27.97$ |  | 106 | 101 | 90 |  |  |
| 1944 |  |  | 26.25 | $26.47 \quad 28.97$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \\ & 89 \end{aligned}$ | 9984 | 91 |  |  |
| 1945 | 55 | 62 | 26.25 | 31.2633 .15 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 1946 | 63 | 83 | 30.58 | $41.83 \quad 43.44$ |  | 89 76 | 78 |  |  |  |
| 1947 | 71 | 102 | 36.33 | $4 . .39 \quad 44.87$ |  | 70 | 88 | 70 81 |  |  |
| 1948 | 76 | 86 | 38.43 | 38.90 | 41.58 | 88 | 99 | 92 |  |  |
| 1949 | : 71 | 81 | 36.75 | 38.55 | 42.42 | 88 | 95 | 87 |  |  |
| 1950 | 77 | 112 | 40.95 | 51.18 | 54.53 | 69 | 80 | 7584 |  |  |
| 1951 | 78 | 86 | 42.00 | $47.50 \quad 50.16$ |  | 91 | 88 |  |  |  |
| 1952 | 78 | 78 | 38.86 | $41.72 \quad 44.57$ |  | 100 | 9388 | 84 87 |  |  |
| 1953 | 7880 | 70 | 35.70 | 40.56 | $\begin{aligned} & 43.36 \\ & 45.4 \end{aligned}$ | 112 |  | 82 |  |  |
| 1954 |  | 7175 | 35.70 | 41.34 |  | 114 | 86 | 79 |  |  |
| 1955 | : 85 |  | 34.13 | 41.95 | 46.35 | 112 | 81 | 74 |  |  |
| 1956 | 8987 | 7372 | $\begin{aligned} & 32.29 \\ & 32.46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39.79 \\ & 40.53 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.69 \\ & 46.11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 123 \\ & 121 \end{aligned}$ | 80 | 7270 |  |  |
| 1957 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1958Aug. | 76 | 71 | 32.55 | 40.7 | 45.72 | 107 | 80 | 71 |  |  |
|  | 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1/ Wholesale price of Viscose on skeins first quality yarn, 150 denier until June 1947, since July 1947 "on cones."
2/ Wholesale price of Single 40 's carded until July 1946; August 1946, through
December 1951, twisted carded; January 1952 to date, carded, knitting, singles 30.
3/ Wholesale price of Viscose, 1-1/2 denier. Assumes net waste multiplier of 1.05 .
4/ Price of Memphis Territory growths, landed Group B mill points and assuming net waste multiplier of 1.15 .

Bureau of Labor Statistics, and Cotton Division, A. M. S.

Table 20.--All kinds of cotton: Supply and distribution, United States, average 1935-39, 1945-49 and 1950 to date

| Year beginning August 1 | Supply |  |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carryover beginning of season | $\begin{gathered} \text { Production } \\ I / \end{gathered}$ | Imports | City <br> crop | Total | Consumption | Exports | Destroyed | Totel |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales 2/ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales 2/ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales 2/ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { ales } 2 / \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales 2/ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales 2/ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales 2/ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales 2/ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales } 9 / \end{aligned}$ |
| Average 1935-39 | 8,336.4 | 12,711.0 | 170.6 | --- | 21,278.0 | 6,938.2 | 5,297.4 | 56.8 | 12,292.4 |
| Average 1945-49 | 5,877.4 | 11,905.5 | 251.7 | 23.0 | 18,057.6 | 9,037.7 | 3,927.4 | 33.6 | 12,998.7 |
| 1950 | 6,846.1 | 9,850.7 | 188.8 | 28.0 | 16,913.6 | 3/10,509.4 | 4,107.7 | 27.0 | 14,644.1 |
| 1951 | 2,277.9 | 15,028.7 | 72.2 | 40.0 | 17,418.8 | 3/ 9,196.0 | 5,514.8 | 35.0 | 14,745.8 |
| 1952 | 2,789.4 | 15,124.1 | 193.2 | 42.0 | 18,148.7 | 3/ 9,461.2 | 3,048.2 | 50.0 | 12,559.4 |
| 1953 | 5,604.8 | 16,359.5 | 141.6 | 43.0 | 22,148.9 | 8,576.2 | 3,760.5 | 75.0 | 12,411.7. |
| 1954 | 9,727.9 | 13,545.0 | 146.3 | 46.0 | 23,465.2 | 8,841.5 | 3,445.5 | 60.0 | 12,347.0 |
| 1955 | 11,205.4 | 14,632.9 | 136.6 | 47.0 | 26,021.9 | 3/ 9,209.6 | 2,213.9 | --- | 11,423.5 |
| 1956 | 14,528.8 | 12,977.1 | 4/136.4 | 50.0 | 4/27,643.9 | 3/ $8,608.4$ | 7,593.4 | --- | 16,201.8 |
| 1957 | 11,322.6 | 10,862.2 | 41.5 | 58.0 | 5/22,384.3 | 3/ 8,009.8 | 5,717.3 | --- | 13,727.1 |
| 1958 6/ | 8,744.7 | 11,688.0 | 145.0 | 50.0 | 20,627.7 | -8,250.0 | 4,000.0 | --- | 12,250.0 |

I/ Includes in-season ginnings.
$\overline{2} /$ Running bales except imports which are in bales of 500 pounds.
3/ Adjusted to calendar year.
4/ Imports include but total supply excludes 48,213 bales of stockpile cotton entered under the longstaple cotton import quota.

5/ Includes 50,000 bales of long-staple cotton released from the strategic stockpile and offered for sale by ccc for unrestricted use.

6/ Preliminary, partly estimated.
Table 2l.--Extra-long staple cotton: Supply and distribution, United States, average 1935-39, 1945-49, and 1950 to date I/


[^3]Table 22.- Cottonseed products: Output, United States, 1948-49 to date

| Year beginning August 1 | : | Cottonseed crushed | : | Crude oil | : | Cake and meal | : | Hulls | : | Linters $1 /$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | : | 1,000 |  | Million |  | 1,000 |  | 1,000 |  | 1,000 |
|  | : | tons |  | pounds |  | tons |  | tons |  | bales |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1948 | : | 5,332 |  | 1,704 |  | 2,391 |  | 1,236 |  | 1,646 |
| 1949 | : | 5,712 |  | 1,847 |  | 2,555 |  | 1,338 |  | 1,710 |
| 1950 | : | 3,723 |  | 1,197 |  | 1,669 |  | 857 |  | 1,244 |
| 1951 | : | 5,476 |  | 1,751 |  | 2,548 |  | 1,234 |  | 1,767 |
| 1952 | : | 5,563 |  | 1,825 |  | 2,672 |  | 1,199 |  | 1,799 |
| 1953 | : | 6,256 |  | 2,074 |  | 2,961 |  | 1,388 |  | 2,003 |
| 1954 | : | 5,249 |  | 1,735 |  | 2,561 |  | 1,139 |  | 1,699 |
| 1955 | : | 5,588 |  | 1,894 |  | 2,631 |  | 1,249 |  | 1,703 |
| 1956 | : | 4,949 |  | 1,682 |  | 2,386 |  | 1,071 |  | 1,507 |
| 1957 | : | 4,236 |  | 1,433 |  | 1,952 |  | 964 |  | 1,246 |
| 1958 2/ | : | 4,400 |  | 1,500 |  | 2,200 |  | 1,000 |  | 1,400 |

1/ Includes production at gins and delinting plants. 2/ Preliminary and estimated.

Table 23.- Cottonseed cake and meal and hulls: August 1 stocks at oil mills, United States, 1952-53 to date


Bureau of the Census.

Table 24.- Prices for specified qualities of cotton linters, by specified months 1/


I/ Monthly averages of prices quoted at Atlanta, Memphis, Dallas and Los Angeles, for linters uncompressed in carlots f.o.b. cottonseed oil mill points, excluding ports. 2/Grade 2, staple 2; grade 3, staple 3; etc.

Table 25.- Cottonseed and linters: Production, United States, averages 1935-39, 1940-44, 1945-49 and 1950 to date


1/ Since 1941 includes production at gins and delinting plants.
2/ Preliminary, partly estimated.
Bureau of the Census.

Table 26.- Cotton linters: Supply and disappearance, United States, averages
1935-39, 1940-44, 1945-49 and 1950 to date


1/ Since 1941 includes production at gins and delinting plants.
$\frac{2}{2} /$ Running bales.
3ales of 500 pounds.
4/ Preliminary, partly estimated.

Bureau of the Census.

Table 27.--Cotton: Daily average consumption by month, adjusted for seasonal variation, August 1944-September 1958


Bureau of the Census.

Table 28.--Cotton: Exports, by staple length and by countries of destination, United States, 1957-58 and August 1958

| ```Country ``` |  | August | 1, 1957 through July 30, 1958 |  |  | August 1958 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : | $1-1 / 8$ <br> inches and over 1/ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { inch } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { l-1/8 } \\ & \text { inches } \end{aligned}$ | Under <br> 1 Inch | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-1/8 } \\ & : \text { inches } \\ & : \text { and over } \\ & 1 / \end{aligned}$ | 1 inch <br> to <br> 1-1/8 <br> inches | Under <br> 1 inch | Total |
|  | : | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Running } \\ & \text { beles } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Running } \\ & \text { bales } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Running } \\ & \text { beles } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Running } \\ & \text { bales } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Running } \\ & \text { bales } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Running } \\ & \text { bales } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Running } \\ & \text { bales } \end{aligned}$ | Running bales $\qquad$ |
| Europe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United Kingdom | : | 40,092 | 372,892 | 263,744 | 676,728 | 155 | 8,403 | 6,077 | 14,635 |
| Austria | : | 8,827 | 38,398 | 5,613 | 52,838 | 127 | 1,425 | 21 | 1,573 |
| Belgium and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Luxembourg |  | 10,461 | 139,229 | 25,924 | 175,614 | 235 | 2,567 | 1,412 | 4,214 |
| Denmark |  | 4,984 | 16,309 | 3,885 | 25,178 | 0 | 156 | 200 | 356 |
| Eire |  | 0 | 4,810 | 255 | 5,065 | 0 | 61 | 40 | 101 |
| Finland | : | 1,689 | 16,597 | 0 | 18,286 | 0 | 90 | 209 | 299 |
| France |  | 51,635 | 269,967 | 32,494 | 354,096 | 3,176 | 26,590 | 7,567 | 37,333 |
| Germand (West) |  | 77,553 | 489,320 | 33,698 | 600,571 | 2,175 | 13,264 | 1,460 | 16,899 |
| Italy | : | 28,722 | 434,021 | 87,635 | 550,378 | 406 | 9,080 | 2,718 | 12,204 |
| Netherlands |  | 23,094 | 80,277 | 6,374 | 109,745 | 461 | 1,764 | 151 | 2,376 |
| Norway |  | 0 | 11,955 | 461 | 12,416 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Portugal | : | 1,025 | 16,872 | 4,840 | 22,737 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |
| Spain |  | 52,212 | 141,149 | 13,238 | 206,599 | 214 | 11,842 | 1,998 | 14,054 |
| Sweden |  | 3,252 | 108,620 | 12,133 | 124,005 | 0 | 3,656 | 1,118 | 4,774 |
| Switzerland | : | 19,592 | 51,466 | 6,127 | 77,185 | 0 | 1,021 | 113 | 2,134 |
| Trieste |  | 753 | 5,804 | 668 | 7,225 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 97 |
| Yugoslavia |  | 3,278 | 85,271 | 21,743 | 110,292 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 99 |
| Other |  | 579 | 192,888 | 47,792 | 241,259 | 0 | 23,313 | 4,119 | 27,432 |
| Total Europe |  | 327,748 | 2,475,845 | 566,624 | 3,370,217 | 6,949 | 103.428 | 27,203 | 137.580 |
| Other Countries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | : | 7,600 | 222,139 | 30,940 | 260,679 | 0 | 1,097 | 3,071 | 4,168 |
| Colombia |  | 17,458 | 48,735 | 776 | 66,969 | 0 | 353 | 265 | 618 |
| Chile |  | 13,733 | 19,913 | . 384 | 34,030 | 0 | 221 | 0 | 221 |
| India |  | 101,568 | 9,271 | 0 | 110,839 | 1,011 | 9 | 0 | 1,020 |
| Pakistan |  | 9,861 | 526 | $\bigcirc$ | 10,387 | 1,935 | 0 | 180 | 2,115 |
| Indonesia |  | 493 | 19,022 | 10,111 | 29,626 | 0 | 1,005 | 0 | 1,005 |
| Korea |  | 2,704 | 36,202 | 159,038 | 197,944 | 146 | 1,343 | 7,749 | 9,238 |
| Hong Kons |  | 948 | 11,784 | 121,539 | 134,271 | 83 | 517 | 4,982 | 5,582 |
| Taiwan | : | 1,232 | 7,336 | 97,646 | 106,214 | 360 | 203 | 5,726 | 6,289 |
| Japan |  | 21,128 | 541,957 | 564,086 | 1,127,171 | 298 | 5,378 | 24,782 | 30,458 |
| Australia |  | 2,495 | 58,828 | 1,944 | 63,267 | 203 | 2,678 | 713 | 3,594 |
| Morocco | : | 0 | 8,515 | 1,091 | 9,606 | 0 | 1,019 | 524 | 1,543 |
| Union of South Africa |  | 3,230 | 22,532 | 9,654 | 35,416 | 0 | 1,010 | 1,655 | 2,665 |
| Other |  | 29,386 | 108,293 | 23,018 | 160,697 | 263 | 1,592 | 860 | 2,715 |
| World total | : | 539,584 | 3,590,898 | 1,586,851 | 5,717,333 | 11,248 | 119,853 | 77,710 | 208,811 |

[^4]Bureau of the census.

Table 29.--Cotton: Exports, by staple length and by countries of destination, United States, September 1958 and cumulative totals since August 1, 1958


[^5]Bureau of the Census.

Table 30.--Cotton broadwoven goods: Production and percentage distribution by kinds, calendar years, 1950 to date


1 Preliminary.

Table 31--Cotton cloths: Exports by destination, United States, average 1920-29, 19.30-39, 1935-39, 1940-44, annual 1945 to date 1/


Table 32.--Cotton, manmade fibers and wool used by the military forces, United States, by quarters, July 1954 to date


Table 33.-Cotton fabrics: Deliveries to United States military forces, by selected fakrics, by quarters, July 1954 to date 1/


Table 34.--Manmade fiber fabrics: Deliveries to United States military forces, by selected fabrics, by quarters, July 1954 to date 1/


Table 35.--Cotton, wool, rayon and acetate, other synthetics, flax and silk: Total and per capita
mill consumption, United States, 1920 to date


Table 36.--Cotton exports: Total and under specified programs by country of destinatior, six-month periods, 1957-58 I/

l/ Data based on: Liftings under Mutual Security Act authorizations, reported shipments under Titles I and II of
P. L. 480, reports on distribution of exports under barter contracts.

2/ Totals were made before rounding.
3/ Total exports and those financed under the specified export programs are not directly comparable because of differences in reporting periods and techniques. Over the long run the differences tend to cancle out.
4 Estimated 500 pound bales.
5/ Less than \$500,000.
b) Less than 500 bales.
$\overrightarrow{7} /$ Includes Export-Import Bank loans as follows: 38 million dollars to Japan and 4 million dollars to Austria estimated to represent 259 thousand bales and 29 thousand bales respectively.
8/ Includes Export-Import Bank loans as follows: 66 million dollars to Japan and 3 million dollars to Austria estimated to represent 491 thousand bales and 23 thousand bales respectively. Also 1.5 million dollars to Poland estimated to represent 10 million bales under the Special Presidential Fund.
July-December data preliminary.

Table 37.--Cotton: Supply and distribution in foreign countries, 1950 to date I/

| Year beginning August 1 | Supply |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Beginning stocks | Production | Imports | Total | Consumption 2/ | Exports | Ending stocks |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Million } \\ \text { bales } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Million } \\ & \text { bales } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Million } \\ & \text { bales } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Million } \\ \text { bales } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Million } \\ \text { bales } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Million bales | $\begin{gathered} \text { Million } \\ \text { bales } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Foreign free world |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950 | 8.9 | 12.4 | 10.7 | 32.0 | 16.2 | 7.0 | 8.7 |
| 1951 | 8.7 | 13.5 | 10.2 | 32.4 | 16.1 | 5.6 | 10.8 |
| 1952 | 10.8 | 13.8 | 10.1 | 34.7 | 16.6 | 7.6 | 10.5 |
| 1953 | 10.5 | 13.9 | 11.3 | 35.7 | 18.4 | 8.0 | 9.5 |
| 1954 | 9.5 | 15.9 | 10.7 | 36.0 | 18.9 | 7.3 | 9.6 |
| 1955 | 9.6 | 16.2 | 10.8 | 36.6 | 19.5 | 9.4 | 7.7 |
| 1956 | 7.7 | 15.9 | 13.2 | 36.8 | 21.2 | 6.7 | 9.1 |
| 1957 3/ | 9.1 | 16.6 | 11.2 | 36.9 | 20.6 | 6.9 | 9.5 |
| 1958 3/ | 9.5 | 17.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Communist areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950 | 1.3 | 8.2 | 1.6 | 11.0 | 8.6 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| 1951 | 1.3 | 10.0 | 1.9 | 13.2 | 10.0 | 1.2 | 2.0 |
| 1952 | 2.0 | 11.1 | 1.7 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 1.3 | 2.4 |
| 1953 | 2.4 | 11.3 | 1.8 | 15.6 | 12.0 | 1.7 | 1.9 |
| 1954 | 1.9 | 11.5 | 1.8 | 15.2 | 12.3 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| 1955 | 1.3 | 12.7 | 2.3 | 16.3 | 12.6 | 1.5 | 2.2 |
| 1956 | 2.2 | 13.1 | 2.3 | 17.6 | 13.4 | 1.5 | 2.7 |
| 1957 3/ | 2.7 | 13.5 | 2.6 | 18.8 | 14.1 | 1.4 | 3.2 |
| 1958 3/ | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I/ Supply and distribution are not always equal due to rounding of figures. <br> 2/ Including cotton destroyed. <br> 3/ Preliminary. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: International Cotton Advisory Committee. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 38．－－Cotton：Acreage and production in specified areas，averages 1935－39 and
1950－54，annual 1956－58 1／

| Continent and country | Acreage |  |  |  |  | Production 31 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average |  |  | $19572 /:$ |  | Average |  |  |  |  |
|  | $1935-39$ | 1950-54 | $1956:$ |  | $32$ | 1935－39 | $1950-54$ | $1956$ | $19572 /$ | 958 2／ |
|  | 1，000 ： | 1，000 ： | 1，008 | 1，000 | 1，000 | 1，000 | 1，000 | 1，000 | 1，000 |  |
|  | acres | acres | acres | acres | acres | bales | bales | bales | bales | bales |
|  | ： | ： | ： | ： |  |  |  | ： |  |  |
|  | ： | ： | ： |  |  |  |  | ： |  |  |
| El Salvador． | 9： | 63： | 95： | 99： | 130： | 5： | 53： | 137： | 161： | 200 |
| Guatemala． |  | 23： | 33： | 山 ： | －－： | 2： | 19： | 50： | 63： |  |
| Mexico．．． | 725： | 1，936： | 2，095： | 2，250： | 2，490： | 334： | 1，333： | 1，790： | 2，085： | 2，220 |
| Nicaragua． | 9： | 101： | 182： | 165： | 195： | 5： | 87： | 193： | 200： | －－ |
| United States．．．．．．．．．．． | 27，788： | 22，861： | 15，615： | 13，558： | 11，960： | 13，149： | $14,093:$ | 13，310： | 10，964： | 11，675 |
| British West Indies．．．．．． | 20： | 17： | 9： | 11： | －－： | 5： | 4： | 3： | 5： | 11，615 |
| Haiti．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． |  | 39： | ： | －－： | －： | 22： | 7： | － | ， |  |
| Total | 28，642： | 25，054： | 18，097： | 16，194： | 14，899： | 13，523： | 15，602： | 15，502： | 13，507： | 14，399 |
|  | － | － | － | － |  |  |  | － | ， |  |
| EUROPE： | － | ： | ： | ： |  |  | ： | ： | ： |  |
| Bulgaria 5／．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | －85： | 120： | 235： | 200： | －－： | 35： | 45： | 55： | 85： | －－ |
|  | －173： | 219： | 395： | 385： | 403： | 77： | 137： | 234： | 290： | 289 |
| Italy． | 56： | 82： | 112： | 99： | 100： | 21： | 31： | 37： | $38:$ | 38 |
| Rumania 5 | 8： | 122： | 278： | 275： | －： | 2： | 23： | 28： | 30： | －4 |
| Spain．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | －46： | 168： | 494： | 425： | 430： | 10： | 60： | 223： | 170： | 193 |
| Yugoslavia． <br> Total | 8： | 34： | 32： | 31： | 32： | 3： | 5： | 9： | 15： | 10 |
|  | 377： | 761： | 1，546： | 12415： | 1240： | 1148： | 306： | 586： | 628： | 625 |
| U．S．S．R．（Europe and Asia）： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ＋ | － |  |
|  | 5，087： | 5，885： | 5，100： | 5，200： | 5，200： | 3，430： | 4，760： | 6，200： | 6，000： | －－ |
|  | －： | ： | ： | ： | － | ， | ： | ： | ： |  |
| ASIA： | － | ： | ： | ： |  |  | ： | ： | ： |  |
| Aden．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．： | －－－ | 18： | 35： | 40： | －： | －－ | 12： | $24:$ | 25： | －－ |
|  | 11： | 13： | 12： | 12： | －－ | 3： | 3： | 2： | 2： | －－ |
| Iran．． | 453： | 463： | 625： | 625： | 640： | 171： | 186： | 285： | 280： | 320 |
| Iraq． | 53： | 97： | 14lu： | 160： | 139： | 11： | 27： | 36： | 65： | －－ |
| Israel | －： | 1： | 波： | 12： | 16： | －－： | 1： | 14 ： | 18： | 25 |
| Syria．． | 85： | 405： | 673： | 638： | $645:$ | 28： | 231： | 426： | 492： | 510 |
| Turkey．．．．．．． | 667： | 1，458： | 1，575： | 1，520： | I，550： | 249： | 6214 ： | 670： | 550： | 570 |
| Af ghanistan．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | －－： | 87： | 196： | －－： | －－ | 49： | 55： | 85： | 60： | － |
| Burma．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | －428： | 365： | 354： | 297： | 335： | 97： | 91： | 75： | 55： | 65 |
| China， | 7，038： | 12，740： | 15，400： | $14,300:$ | 14，900： | 2，855： | 4，520： | 6，000： | 6，500： |  |
| India．． | 6／ $24,20 L_{1}$ | 16，463： | 19，893： | 20，158： | 20，150： | 6／5，348： | 3，382： | 4，070： | 4，450： | 4，500 |
| Korea 7／． | $564:$ | 316： | 260： | 200： | －－： | 198： | 77： | 72： | 35： | －－ |
| Indonesta． | 27： | 10： | 6： | 10： | 10： | 6）9： | 3： | 1： | 2： | 2 |
| Pakistan． | $6 /$ ： | 3，167： | 3，583： | 3，563： | － | 6／： | 1，320： | 1，317： | 1，370： | 1，425 |
| Thailand． | 16： | 89： | 98： | 102： | －－ | －7： | 32： | 45： | 50： | － |
| Total 4／．． | 33，805： | 35，728： | ［2，915： | 41，867： | 42，367： | 9，038： | 10，574： | 13，139： | 13，978： | 14，398 |
|  |  | $\vdots$ | ！ |  |  |  |  |  | ； |  |
|  | ： | ： | ： | ： |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SOUTF AMERICA： | 770 | － | 1310 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina． | 770： | 1，308： | 1，340： | 1，655： | － | 289： | 557： | 481： | 750： |  |
| Brazil． | 5，562： | 4，680： | 4，300： | 3，700： | －－： | 1，956： | 1，655： | 1，325： | 1，280： | －－ |
| Colombia | 98： L0： | 163： | 155： | 178： | 210： | 23： | 69： | 110： | －95： | 135 |
| Paragray． | 40： | 38： | 40： | －－${ }^{\text {a }}$ | －－： | 13： | 11： | 12： | 12： | －－ |
| Perru．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | －428： | 488 | 120： | 143： | 581 | 40： | 59： | 48： | 45： | －－ |
| Venezuela．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | －50： | 485： | 52： |  | 581 | 379： | 450： | 485： | 476： | 493 |
| Total L／．．．．．．． | 7，060： | 6，870： | 6，599： | 6，357： | 6，681： | 2，711： | 2，816： | 2，484： | 2，685： | 2，667 |
| AFRICA AND OCEANLA： | ： | ： | ： | ： |  |  | 2,016 | 2，484． | 2， |  |
|  | 439 | ： | － | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |  |
| Sudan．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．： | －439： | 611： | 764 | 728 ： | 800： | $248:$ | 383： | 617： | 225： | －－ |
| Belgian Congo．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | －874： | 863： | 850： | 840： | 840： | 172： | 222： | 239： | 205 ： | －－ |
| Kenya．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 86： | 81： | 30： | 29： | ， | 12： | 13： | 6： | 8： | 8 |
|  | －－ | 73： | 75： | －－： | －－： | 13： | 11： | 7： | 9： | 10 |
| Tanganylka．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | －： | 209： | 300： | －－： | －－： | 50： | 55： | 111： | 140： | 132 |
| Uganda．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 1，477： | 1，574： | 1，569： | 1，617： | 1，875： | 281： | 291： | 310： | 292： | －－ |
| Egypt．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 1，821： | 1，832： | 1，715： | 1，888： | 1，977： | 1，893： | 1，705： | 1，492： | 1，861： | 2，045 |
| Algeria． | －－ | 19： | 19： | 18： | 左 | －： | 8： | 6： | 6： |  |
| Morocco．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 390： | 9： | 12： | 717： | －775： | 8／： | 5： | 8： | 10： | －－ |
| French West Africa．．．．．．．： | 390： | 700： | 785： | 775： | 775： | 41： | 135： | 155： | 185： | 170 |
|  |  | 690： | －743： | $750:$ | －＊： | 28： | 30： | 52： | －${ }^{-155}$ | － |
| Nigeria．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． |  | 463： | － | 150： | －－ | 9）33： | 148： | 158： | 155： | 165 |
| Argola． | 73： | 124： | 132： | 133： | 126： | 13： | 25： | 135： | 305： | 28 |
| Union of South Africa．．．．： | －－： | 66： |  | －： | 1 | 2： | 23： | 31： | 33： | －－ |
| Australia．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 53： | 8： | 8 8： | 9： | －＊ | 11： | 3： | 31： | 2： | － |
| Total 4 | 6，176： | 7，547： | 8，138： | 8，462： | 8，823： | 2，840： | 3，182： | 3，374： | 3，427： | 4，011 |
|  | － | ， | － | ： | ， | 2， | 32 | 3，34： | 22 |  |
| World total $4 / . . . . . . . . . .$. |  |  |  | 79 \％ | 79， 10 | 7） |  |  | ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |
|  | 81，747： | 81，345： | 82，395： | $79,495:$ | 79，410： | 31，690： | 37，240： | 41，285： | 40，225： | 42，300 |
| Foreign Free World $4 / .$. ： | 41， $110:$ | 40，101： | 15，767： | 45，962： | 46，975： | 12，219： | 13，794： | 15，692： | 16，646： | 17，630 |
| Communist countries $4 / .:$ | 12，219： | 18，883： | 21，013： | 19，975： | 20，575： | 12，322： | 13，353： | 12，283： | 12，615： | 12，995 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1／Years refer to crop years beginning August 1，in which major portion of erop was harvested．2／Preliminary． countries not listed above and countries for which statistics are not yet available． $5 /$ Figures for I943 to date are not conparable with prewar figures because of boundary changes．6／Pakistan included with India．7／South Korea only after 19hi． 8／Less than 500．9／Exports．

Foreign Agricultural Service．Prepared or estimated on the basis of official statistics of foreign governments，other foreign source material，reports of U．S．agricultural attaches and Foreign Service officers，results of office research and related information．

Table 39.- Commercial cotton, all growths and American: World supply and consumption, average 1935-39, 1940-44, 1945-49; annuel, 1950 to da.te


1/ Excludes estimates for quantities destroyed and used for adjustment purposes. 2/ American in running bales, foreign in equivalent 500 pound bales. 3/ Since 1945 stocks, of "commercial" cotton are indentical with stocks of "all" cottons. 4/ Adjusted to August l-July 31 year. 5/ Preliminary.
6/ From 1933 to date from reports of the Commodity Credit Corporation and includes cotton pooled, owned and loans outstanding.

Commercial cotton, excludes the quantities produced for household uses, except as noted. Carryover and consumption in United States from reports of Bureau of the Census for all years. New York Cotton Exchange for all other data from 1920 through 1944. Since 1945 all other data are estimated by the International Cotton Advisory Committee. Totals were made before data were rounded to thousands.

Table 40.--CCC sales and transfers effective during the 1956, 1957 and 1958 marketing years


Table $41 .-$ Foreign spot prices per pound including export taxes $1 /$ and CCC average sales prices at average location in the United States, crop year 1957-58, September and October 1958 2/

| Market | Foreign |  | United States |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quality | Price per pound 3/ | Price per pound $4 /$ | Quality $5 /$ |
|  | : | Cents | Cents |  |
|  | Crop year, 1957-58 |  |  |  |
| Bombay, India | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Broach } \\ & \text { Vi,jay, fine } \end{aligned}$ | 26.98 | 23.73 | SLM 15/16" |
| Karachi, Pakistan | : 289 F Sind <br> : fine S G | 28.86 | 25.32 | SLM ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Izmir, Turkey | : Acala II | 6/42.79 | 31.12 | M 1-1/16" |
| Sao Paulo, Brazil | : Type 5 | 39.30 | 24.46 | SLM 31/32" |
| Matamoros, Mexico | : $\mathrm{M}^{\text {1-1/32 }}$ | 1/30.38 | 30.22 | M 1-1/32" |
| Lima, Peru | : Tanguis type 5 | 30.36 | 28.61 | SIM $1-3 / 16^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Alexandria, Egypt | : Ashmouni good | $43.42$ | 32.40 | $\mathrm{M} 1-1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | September 1958 |  |  |  |
| Bombay, India | Broach <br> : Vijay, fine | 25.92 | 23.89 | SIM 15/16" |
| Karachi, Pakistan | : 289 F Sind <br> : fine S G | 29.91 | 25.59 | SLM $1^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Izmir, Turkey | : Acala II | 6/47.28 | $31.34$ | M 1-1/16" |
| Sao Paulo, Brazil | : Type 5 | 29.33 | 24.69 | SIM 31/32" |
| Matamoros, Mexico | : M 1-1/32" | 7/26.79 | 30.48 | M 1-1/32" |
| Lime, Peru | : Tanguis type 5 | 28.84 | 28.95 | SLM 1-3/16" |
| Alexandria, Egypt | : Ashmouni good | 40.32 | 32.56 | M 1-1/8" |
|  | October 19588/ |  |  |  |
| Bombay, India | : Broach <br> : Vijay, fine | 24.54 | 24.53 | SIM 15/16" |
| Karachi, Pakistan | : 289 F Sind <br> : fine S G | 28.43 | 26.24 | SLM $1^{11}$ |
| Izmir, Turkey | : Acala II | $2 /$ | 31.60 | M 1-1/16" |
| Sao Paulo, Brazil | : Type 5 | $29.87$ | 25.32 | $\text { SLM } 31 / 32^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Matamoros, Mexico | : M I-1/32" | 7/27.77 | 30.75 | M $1-1 / 32^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Lima, Peru | : Tanguis type 5 | $28.27$ | $29.86$ | SLM 1-3/16" |
| Alexandria, Egypt | : Ashmouni good | 39.67 | 32.68 | M 1-1/8' |

$1 /$ Includes export taxes where applicable.
2) Quotations on net weight basis.
$3 /$ Average of prices collected once each week.
4/ Net weight price for U.S. is CCC average sales price: 0.96 . Price for each month is the average of prices at average location for all sales made during the month.

5/ Quality of U.S. cotton generally considered to be most nearly comparable to the foreign cotton.

6/ Spot price less 35 percent export subsidy paid by Turkish Government.
7/ Delivered at Brownsville. Net weight price $=$ actual price $\div 0.96$.
8/ Foreign prices are averages for 4 weeks.
9/No quotation.
Foreign Agricultural Service and Cotton Division, AMS

Table 42.--Cotton products export program: Classes of cotton products and equalization payments, annual 1956-57, 1957-58 and August-September, 1957 and 1958

| Class: | : Principal item of export |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | August 1956-July 1957 |  | August 1957-July 1958: August-September 1957 |  |  |  | August-September 1958 |  |
|  |  | $\vdots \quad$ Value | : Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity |
|  | : | Dollars | Pounds | Dollars | Pounds | Dollars | Pounds | Dollars | Pounds |
| A : | :Card strips, comber noils, spinners <br> : laps and roving waste | : 2,834,559.02 | 48,513,948 | 2,399,501.98 | 43,236,677 | 271,405.29 | 4,838,025 | 500,454.51 | 9,243,181 |
| B : | :Picker laps and cotton batting | 3,971.44 | 60,314 | 10,784.98 | 161,241 | 126.20 | 2,174 | 1,431.11 | 22,570 |
| C : | :Sliver, sliver laps, ribbon laps, <br> : roving, and drawing sliver | $: \quad 2,145.94$ | 27,530 | 1,082.21 | 15,575 | 115.88 | 1,656 | 46.43 | 665 |
| D ${ }^{\text {: }}$ | :Gray or unfinished yarn, twine, <br> : cordage, and rope | : 1,077,921.86 | 14,716,836 | 1,027,756.28 | 14,670,168 | 203,940.86 | 2,892,141 | 102,824.20 | 1,505,558 |
| E | :Gray fabrics, absorbent cotton, and <br> : full finished yarn | : 1,702,729.01 | 22,646,463 | 2,253,920.53 | 31,404,932 | 352,561.40 | 4,847,185 | 261,535.06 | 3,735,457 |
| F : | :Knitted articles | 58,966.05 | 789,623 | 84,108.40 | 1,149,736 | 11,854.83 | 160,196 | 8,431.99 | 125,428 |
| G : | :Finished fabrics | : 6,181,370.70 | 78,211,898 | 7,014,126.71 | 92,831,017 | 1,094,253.20 | 14,279,680 | 914,412.19 | 12,360,961 |
| प | :Articles manufactured from fabrics | 874,552.37 | 9,723,027 | 1,163,904.85 | 13,615,229 | 162,260.95 | 1,870,453 | 152,518.35 | 1,822,581 |
| I | :Coated and rubberized yarns and <br> : fabrics, absorbent cotton, twine, <br> : cordage, rope, and fabrics, con- <br> : sisting of a mixture of fitwers, <br> : containing not less than $50 \%$ by <br> : weight of cotton | : 185,381.76 | 4,121,665 | 287,699.45 | 6,685,753 | 41,651.76 | 958,362 | 37,858.91 | 900,445 |
|  | :Coated, rubberized and impregnated <br> : articles manufactured from fabrics <br> : consisting of a mixture of fibers, <br> : containing not less than $50 \%$ by <br> : weight of cotton | 56,095.71 | 1,043,778 | 91,496.37 | 1,796,331 | 19,188.66 | 365,466 | 16,709.82 | 335,416 |
| K | :Gray or finished fabrics one yard or more but less than ten yards in length | : 818,720.41 | 14,241,310 | 990,545.45 | 17,927,549 | 145,924.29 | 2,594,826 | 95,083.40 | 1,755,889 |
|  | :Coated and rubberized fabrics and <br> : Pabrics consisting of a mixture of <br> : fibers containing not less than 50 <br> : by weight of cotton, one yard or <br> : more but less than ten yards in <br> : length | $\begin{array}{ll}: \\ : & \\ : & 13,341.27\end{array}$ | 388,887 | 24,099.19 | 737,160 | 4,507.00 | 134,561 | 1,804.99 | 56,187 |
| M | :Articles manufactured from gray <br> : Pabrics; bags; and mops | : 86,242.57 | 1,099.076 | 200,278.37 | 2,626,925 | 32,738.01 | 422,300 | 27,001.00 | 357,844 |
|  | $: \quad$ Total | :13,895,998.11 | 195,584,355 | 15,549,304.77 | 226,858,293 | 2,340,528.83 | 33,367,025 | 2,120,111.96 | 32,222,182 |
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[^0]:    *Summary of a forthcoming article in the April 1959 issue of Agricultural Economics Research. Detailed charts will be published at that time, as will a description of the methodology used.

    1/ The Bureau of the Census has for a number of years related changes in a given State or region to changes in the United States as a whole. This however obscures developments within individual states and regions.

    2/ The methodology used consists of fitting a trend line of the exponential type directly to the natural numbers, rather than to their logarithms as in least squares. See J. W. Glover, Tables of Applied Mathematics in Finance, Insurance, Statistics (George Wahr, 1930), pp. 470-483, F. C. Mills, Economic Tendencies (National Bureau of Economic Research, 1932), Chapter I.

[^1]:    3/ Throughout this discussion the regions referred to contain the following States:

    West: Arizona, California, New Mexico and Nevada.
    Southwest: Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas.
    Delta: Arkansas, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Tennessee.
    Southeast: Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia.

[^2]:    4/ There is some evidence that in so far as changes in yields result from the adoption of improved cultivation practices, they bear a close relation to the importance of cotton as a source of farm income.

[^3]:    1/ Includes American Egyptian, Sea Island, Egyptian and Peruvian.
    2/ American Egyptian and Sea Island in running bales, foreign in bales of 500 pounds.
    3/ Adjusted to a cotton marketing year basis, August l-July 31.
    4/ Less than 50 bales.
    5 Imports include but total supply excludes 48,213 bales of stockpile cotton entered under the longstaple import quota.
    6/ Includes 55,000 bales from Mexico entered under the long-staple quota and added to the Upland supply.
    7/ Includes 50,000 bales of American Egyptian cotton released from the stockpile. Does not include longstaple cotton from Mexico.

    8/ Preliminary, partiy estimated.

[^4]:    1/ Includes American Egyptian and Sea Island Cotton.

[^5]:    $1 /$ Includes American Egyptian and Sea Island cotton.

