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 SITUATION NOV. 16, P.M.
U. S. production during the current season increased by about 3.3 million bales over that of 1958-59, with acreage up about 3.5 million over a year earlier and yields at a record high. The crop is the largest since 1953-54. Minimum acreage allotments under present price support legislation permit acreage as large or larger than that of the current season for future
crops. Disappearance during the current season also is increasing sharply, primarily because of a sharp increase in exports although domestic mill consumption also is increasing. Because production and disappearance are increasing about the same amount, the carryover at the end of the current season is not expected to be greatly different from that of August 1, 1959.
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Cotton Situation at a Glance

| Item | Unit | 1958 |  |  | $: 1959$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | : August | : September | : October | August | : September | : October 1/ |
| e received by formers for Am Upland (mid month) |  | 33.22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prices, received by farmers for Am. Upland (mid-month) | Cents | 33.22 | 34.54 | 33.26 | 33.28 | 33.12 | 32.51 |
| Parity price for Am. Upland ............................... | Cents | 38.43 | 38.55 | 38.80 | 37.93 | 37.93 | 37.80 |
| Farm price as a percentage of parity........................ | Percent | 86 | 90 | 86 | 88 | 87 |  |
| Average 14 spot market price Middling l inch.............: | Cents | : 34.83 | 34.70 | 34.75 | 31.95 | 31.77 | 31.66 |
| Average price for 20 constructions, gray goods...........: | Cents | 56.98 | 56.99 | 57.14 | 64.09 | 64.38 | --- |
| Average price cotton used in 20 constructions............ | Cents | : 34.68 | 34.75 | 34.98 | 33.73 | 32.97 | --- |
| Mill margins for 20 constructions.......................... | Cents | 22.30 | 22.24 | 22.16 | 30.36 | 31.41 | --- |
| : |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| BIS wholesale price index |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| All commodities............................................... | $1947-49=100$ | : 119.1 | 119.1 | 119.0 | 119.1 | 119.6 | --- |
| Cotton broadwoven goods. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | do. | : 84.4 | 84.4 | 84.3 | 89.9 | $90.2$ | --- |
| Index of industrial production : |  | : 136 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall (adjusted)........................................... | $1947-49=100$ | : 136 | 137 | 138 | 149 | 148 | --- |
| Textiles, products and apparel (adjusted).............. | do. | : 108 | 109 | 110 | 125 | 124 | --- |
| Personal income payments (adjusted)........................ | Billion dollars | : 362.4 | 364.2 | 364.3 | 380.0 | 379.6 | --- |
| Retail swore sales (apparcl group adjusted)................ | Million dollars | : 1,094 | 1,042 | 1,068 | 1,096 | --- | --- |
| Mill consumption of all kinds of cotton $2 / \ldots . . . . . . . . . .$. | 1,000 bales | : 644.3 | 050.0 | 3/833.5 | 711.6 | 3/862.8 | --- |
| Mill consumption, daily rate (unadjusted) $4 / \ldots . . . . . . . . .$. | 1,000 bales | : 32.2 | 32.5 | - 33.3 | 35.6 | - 34.5 | --- |
| Mill consumption, daily rate (adjusted) 4 [ . . . . . . . . . . . | 1,000 bales | : 31.2 | - 31.6 | - 31.6 | ${ }^{34.5}$ | ${ }^{33.6}$ | --- |
| Spindles in place end of month in cotton system.........: | Thousands | :20,635 | 20,666 | 20,697 | 20,258 | $20,285$ | --- |
| Spindles consuming 100 percent cotton................... | Thousands | :17,541 | 17,641 | 17,650 | 17,613 | 17,652 | --- |
| Spindles idle........................................................... | Thousands | : 1,367 | 1,415 | 1,418 | 1,000 | 993 | --- |
| Gross hourly earnings in broadwoven goods 5/.............: | Dollars | : 1.43 | 1.44 | 1.44 | 1.54 | --- | --- |
| Mill stocks + unfilled orders, cotton broadwoven goods $6 /$ : | Percent | : 61 | 58 | 52 | 23 | 23 | --- |
| Exports of cotton.............................................. | 1,000 bales | : 208.7 | 211.9 | 181.4 | 98.5 | 229.8 | --- |
| Exports of cotton since August l........................... | 1,000 bales | : 208.7 | 420.6 | 602.0 | 98.5 | 328.3 | --- |
| Imports of cotton............................................... | Bales | :84,892 | 23,400 | 12,356 | 97,866 | - | --- |
| Imports of cotton since August 1........................... | Bales | : 84,892 | 108,292 | 120,648 | 97,866 | --- | --- |
| Mill stocks end of month. | 1,000 bales | : 1,523.4 | 1,409.7 | 1,343.1 | 838.3 | 744.9 | --- |
| Stocks, public storage, etc.................................. | 1,000 bales | : 6,849.6 | 7,316.1 | 10,269.0 | 7,636.2 | 9,758.4 | --- |
| Linters prices 7/ |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 2, Staple 2.............................................. | Cents | : 8.16 | 8.42 | 8.42 | 8/ | 7.75 | --- |
| Grade 4, Staple 4.......................................................... | Cents | : 6.42 | 6.31 | 6.25 | 5.79 | 5.84 | --- |
| Grade 6, Staple 6............................................. | Cents | : 4.61 | 4.38 | 4.36 | 3.94 | 3.90 | --- |
| ( |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rayon prices : |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Viscose yarn, 150 denier................................... | Cents | : 76 | 76 | 76 | 82 | --- | --- |
| Staple fiber, viscose $1 \frac{1}{2}$ denier................................ | Cents | $\text { : } \quad 31$ | 31 | 31 | 33 | 33 | --- |
| Acetate yarn, 150 denier................................... | Cents | : 77 | 76 | 77 | 75 | --- | --- |
| 1/ Preliminas . 2/4-veek period except as noted. 3/ I) hiverafe of speciried grades and sraples at four market | 5-week period. <br> s. 3/ Not avail | 4/ 5-day wee able. | 5/ Cotion | silk and sy | etic fibe | 6/ End of | nth. |
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SUMMARY

The supply of cotton in the United States in the 1959-60 season is estimated at about 23.7 million bales, approximately 3.4 million more than in the preceding season reflecting a sharp increase in the production of cotton. Despite this increase, the carryover on August 1, 1960 is expected
to be about the same as the 8.9 million bales of August 1, 1959, because disappearance probably is increasing about as much as is supply, principally because of sharply larger exports.

The increase in the 1959 crop was caused principally by a sharp increase in acreage and a record high yield. In 1959, 15.9 million acres were planted to cotton, approximately 3.5 million acres more than in 1958. The sharp increase in acreage was caused by the ending of the acreage reserve program for cotton, in which there were 5 million acres in 1958, and by initiation of the Choice B program for cotton under the price support program, which increased acreage by about 1 million acres. Counteracting some of this increase in acreage was an increase in the Conservation Reserve from cotton allotments of more than 500,000 acres. The average yield per harvested acre in the United States in 1959 is estimated at about 474 pounds. This is about 8 pounds more than the previous record high yield of 1958, and approaches a bale to the acre.

Under current legislation there is nationally allotted 16.3 million acres for the regular 1960 acreage allotment program for upland cotton. For 1960 this minimum acreage can be increased by participation of farmers in the Choice B program. This permits any farmer to increase his acreage by 40 percent over his regular allotment and to obtain price support through nonrecourse loans, at a rate 15 percent of parity lower than the price support available under the regular allotment, or the Choice A program. Yields equal to those in 1959-close to a bale to the acre--are well within trend projections for 1960. Any change from the level of acreage from 1959 to 1960 , therefore, would be expected to have a corresponding effect on the size of the 1960 crop . If yields continue at levels at the recent past, or if the upward trend in yields continue, the minimum acreage allotment specified under the law could mean crops of about 15 million bales.

Disappearance during 1959-60 is estimated at around 14.5 million bales, up about 3 million bales from 1958-59. Causes of increase in disappearance are the larger domestic mill consumption and a sharp upturn in exports. Larger domestic mill consumption is indicated by a low ratio of stocks to unfilled orders at cotton mills and high mill margins, caused by higher prices for fabric and declining prices for cotton. Contributing causes of the increase in mill consumption are the high level of economic activity in the United States, and the replenishment of stocks of textiles in the marketing channels. Prices for cotton this year are lower than last year. The reduction probably is having little effect on domestic mill consumption during the current season. If prices should continue over the next several years at the minimum levels set under existing price support programs, a gradual increase in domestic mill consumption of cotton probably would occur.

Consumption of cotton during September 1959 was down more than seasonally from August. Stocks of cotton at cotton mills at the end of September were about the same as in 1949 and aside from 1949 were the smallest stocks of cotton for this date since 1935, mainly because of anticipation of lower prices earlier
in the season. Therefore the September rate probably does not indicate that mill use during the current season will not reach the estimated 9 million bales. The low level of the stock-unfilled order ratio, and the high output of broadwoven goods in September indicate that the weaving segment of the cotton mills was operating at a high level. Cotton mills were using existing stocks of yarn to maintain a high rate of fabric production. Now that adequate cotton supplies are available to the mills, cotton consumption and yarn output probably will increase sharply.

Exports of cotton during the current season are expected to about double the 2.8 million bales of 1958-59. The several reasons for the sharp increase in cotton exports include a low level of cotton stocks in the foreign free world, a small decline in cotton production in the foreign free world, an increase in the consumption of cotton in the foreign free world, lower and more stable prices for cotton in world import markets, and a more competitive export price for U.S. cotton. Historically the effect of U. S. cotton prices has been dominant in world markets. Changes in U. S. prices have influenced foreign plantings, although factors other than prices have also been important in foreign acreage and production variation. The decline in prices was foreseen in some foreign cotton producing countries last season. As a result, acreage planted to cotton in some important cotton exporting countries fell off. U. S. prices are more competitive this year than last year because support prices are lower and payment-in-kind for exports has been increased from 6.5 cents to 8 cents a pound. Cotton consumption abroad is increasing because of a recovery from the textile recession last season. Stocks of cotton in the foreign free world declined by 1.3 million bales between August 1 , 1958 and August 1, 1959. The 1959 stocks of 8.7 million bales are very low in relation to the level of consumption in the foreign free world and the level of current cotton prices. These stocks will probably increase during the current season.

Stocks of cotton held by the Commodity Credit Corporation (owned and held as collateral against outstanding loans) on August 1, 1959 were about 7 million bales. By the end of September 1959 these stocks had declined to about 5 million because of heavy sales by CCC of 1958 and earlier-crop cotton. By October 30 stocks had increased to about 6.0 million bales.

The 14 spot market average price for Middling l-inch cotton in October was 31.66 cents per pound, compared with 34.75 cents for the same month in 1958. Average prices for August and September also were below those of the same months a year earlier. Prices for the lower grades of cotton are nearer last year's prices than are the prices for Middling l inch. The price for Low Middling Light Spotted, 1-1/16 inches, in October, for example, was only 0.59 cent a pound below the price for October 1958. The price decline results primarily from the lower CCC sales prices authorized in current support price legislation. Also the ending carryover in the current season is expected to be close to 9 million bales. When the carryover in the past has been this large, generally the market price has stayed close to the CCC selling price. Under the current price support program Choice A cotton, or cotton produced under regular allotment, is purchased by CCC at a price based on 80 percent
of the parity price applicable for February (that announced based on mid-January 1959 data) for Middling $7 / 8$-inch cotton. The cotton acquired can then be resold by local sales agencies at not less than 110 percent of the Choice $B$ support rate (which was based on 65 percent of the same parity price) plus carrying charges. It can be resold by the New Orleans commodity office at the same price or the market price, whichever is higher. As of October 30, CCC had purchased 3.5 million bales of Choice A cotton, had sold 1.6 million through local sales agencies and 41.5 thousand through the New Orleans commodity office. Less than 9,000 bales of Choice $B$ cotton had been placed under nonrecourse loans as of October 30.

## SITUATION AND OUTLOOK

## Disappearance Increases <br> Sharply

Although the supply of cotton in the United States in the 1959-60 season is incr asing by about 3.4 million bales, disappearance is expected to rise equally sharply. Disappearance in 1959-60 is expected to be around 14.5 million bales-up about 3 million bales from 1958-59. This will be the largest disappearance since $1956-57$. Disappearance is increasing because of an increase in domestic mill consumption and a sharp increase in exports. (See table l.)

Table 1.--Disappearance of cotton in the United States, 1951-52 to 1959-60

| Year | : | Domestic <br> mill <br> consumption | : | Net exports | $:$ | Destroyed | : | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | : | 1,000 |  | 1,000 |  | 1,000 |  | 1,000 |
|  | : | bales |  | bales |  | bales |  | bales |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1951-52 | : | 9,196.0 |  | 5,514.8 |  | 35.0 |  | 14,745.8 |
| 1952-53 | : | 9,461.2 |  | 3,048.2 |  | 50.0 |  | 12,559.4 |
| 1953-54 | : | 8,576.2 |  | 3,760.5 |  | 75.0 |  | 12,411.7 |
| 1954-55 | : | 8,841.5 |  | 3,445.5 |  | 60.0 |  | 12,347.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1955-56 | : | 9,209.6 |  | 2,213.9 |  | $1 /$ |  | 11,423.5 |
| 1956-57 | : | 8,608.4 |  | 7,597.7 |  | 1/ |  | 16,206.1 |
| 1957-58 | : | 7,999.2 |  | 5,716.8 |  | I/ |  | 13,716.0 |
| 1958-59 2/ | : | 8,683.8 |  | 2,789.9 |  | I/ |  | 11,473.7 |
| 1959-60 3/ | : | 9,000.0 |  | 5,500.0 |  | I/ |  | 14,500.0 |

I/ Not available. 2/ Preliminary. 3/Estimated.

Domestic Mill Consumption

## Increases

Domestic mill consumption of cotton is expectea to be at the highest level since 1955-56. Consumption during 1959-60 probably will be about 9 million bales. This compares with 8.7 million bales a year earlier and a. 5-year average consumption of 8.7 million bales from $1954-55$ through 1958-59. There are several indicators of the high level of mill consumption during the current season. These include a low level of stocks in relation to unfilled orders for cotton broadwoven goods and high mill margins, caused by high prices for gray goods and low prices for cotton.

The average daily rate of mill consumption in September was down from August more than seasonally. (See table l5.) The rate of mill consumption was depressed by the lack of an adequate supply of cotton at the mills. Stocks of cotton in consuming establishments at the end of August 1959 were the smallest since that date of 1956. Although stocks in consuming establishments normally increase from the end of August to the end of September, they declined by about 93,000 bales in 1959. At the end of September stocks at consuming establishments were the smallest for that date since 1949 and otherwise the smallest since 1935.

Table 2.--Cotton, all kinds: Stocks in consuming establishments, end of August and September, United States, 1935-59


Spindle hours operated during September averaged 477,200 per working day. In August the hours operated averaged 485,750 per working day. Thus, September spinning activity declined about 2 percent. At the same time, production of broadwoven goods per working day increased by 2 percent. In other words, fabric production continued at a high level, but the shortage of cotton reduced spinning activity or yarn production.

Ratio of Stocks to
Unfilled orders for
Cotton Fabrics Low
The ratio of stocks to unfilled orders for cotton broadwoven goods has been low for several months. It has been below 0.25 since April 1959. This level compares with levels of above . 55 for the same months a year earlier and a postwar average of . 40. Furthermore, seasonally adjusted rates indicate that there has been no rise in this ratio from May through September,


Figure 1
and trade reports indicate a large volume of gray goods orders for delivery during the first and second quarters of 1960. Since the stocks-unfilled orders ratio usually leads cotton mill consumption by several months, a high level of mill activity normally could be expected for several months into the future.

It is unusual for this ratio to stay at such extremely low levels as those of the last few months for a prolonged period. The ratio will probably start increasing later in the season, and this will likely foreshadow some decline in consumption during the 1960-61 season.

Prices for Cotton
Gray Goods Increase
The value for the quantity of cotton gray goods made from a pound of cotton (average for 20 constructions) increased to 64.38 cents for September 1959. This was the highest level since March 1956 and compares with 56.99 cents for the same month a year earlier. This value has been rising steadily since June 1958.

Principally because of the rise in fabric values, mill margins have also increased, and they reached an average of 31.41 cents per pound of cotton in September 1959. This is the highest level since records began in August 1954, and compares with 22.24 cents for September 1958.

The average price of cotton at the mills declined to about 32.97 cents per pound, less than 2 cents a pound below the price of a year earlier. The value of fabric rose at the same time that the price of cotton declined. As a result, the value of the fabric was 1.95 times the price of cotton. This is the highest ratio since records began in August 1954 for the 20 constructions of fabric. (See table 16.)

Exports of Cotton
$\frac{\text { Broadwoven Goods }}{\text { Decline }}$
Exports of cotton broadwoven goods in 1958 were smaller than in 1957 by about 9 percent, but 1957 exports were the largest since 1954. Exports during the first eight months of 1959 continued the decline--they were about 10 percent below those of the same period a year earlier. Although exports during June and July 1959 were slightly above those of June and July 1958, exports in August were below those of a year earlier.

Imports of broadwoven fabrics into the U.S. during the first 8 months of 1959 were above those for the same period of 1958 by about 23 percent. This continues the movement apparent in 1958 when imports were about 16 percent larger than those of 1957. (See table 3.)

Table 3.--Exports and imports of cotton broadwoven goods, 1955 to date

| Year | Broadwoven goods |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exports | Imports |
|  | 1,000 square yards | 1,000 square y |
| 1954 | 605,082 | 73,476 |
| 1955 | 542,400 | 133,142 |
| 1956 | 511,622 | 188,248 |
| 1957 | 553,112 | 122,447 |
| 1958 | 503,153 | 141,627 |
| January-August 1958 | 345,649 | 97,642 |
| January-August 1959 | 310,341 | 120,296 |

Registrations Under
Cotton Products Exports
Program Increase
During August and September 1959 about 34.1 million pounds of cotton products were registered for export under the cotton products export program. This is about 1.8 million pounds larger than registrations during these 2 months of 1958. Registrations during September 1959 were about 16.2 million pounds, more than 400,000 pounds larger than registrations in September 1958. Continuation of the rate of increase shown through September 1959 over a year earlier would indicate an increase for the 1959-60 marketing year of about Il million pounds of cotton products. (See table 17.)

Per Capita Consumption
Of Cotton and Other
Fiber Increase
The per capita consumption of cotton in 1959 is estimated at about 26 pounds. This is about 17 percent above consumption in 1958 and is contrary to a long term downtrend. It appears likely that consumption of cotton per . person in 1960 will decline slightly from that of 1959 but probably will remain well above the low level of 1958.

Consumption of other fibers in the United States also is increasing. ${ }^{*}$ Per person consumption of manmade fibers in 1959 is estimated at about 11 pounds compared with 9.7 pounds in 1958. (See table 18.) Sharp incret are being reported for both reyon and acetate and noncellulosic fibers. ( sumption of rayon and acetate is estimated to be the highest since 1956, ar the highest on record for noncellulosic fibers. In terms of cotton equive consumption of manmade fibers has shown an even sharper increase. The 19: figure is estimated at about 17 pounds compared with 15.0 pounds in 1958. sharper increase in cotton equivalent terms is caused by the relatively la
increase in the consumption of noncellulosic fibers a pound of which replaces more cotton than does a pound of rayon and acetate.
.. Table 4.--Cotton and manmade fibers: Consumption per capita, 1955 to 1959

| Year | Manmade |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cotton |  | Actual |  | Cotton equivalent |  |  |
|  |  | Rayon and acetate | Non- <br> :cellulosic | Total |  | Noncellulosic: | Total |
|  | Pounds | Pounds | Pounds | Pounds | Pounds | Pounds | Pounds |
| 1955 | 26.5 | 8.6 | 2.6 | 11.2 | 12.1 | 4.6 | 16.7 |
| 1956 | 25.9 | 7.1 | 2.9 | 10.0 | 10.1 | 5.1 | 15.2 |
| 1957 | 23.7 | 6.9 | $3 \cdot 3$ | 10.2 | 9.7 | 5.9 | 15.6 |
| 1958 | 22.2 | 6.4 | 3.3 | 9.7 | 8.9 | 6.0 | 15.0 |
| 1959 1/ | 26.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 11.0 | 9.4 | 7.4 | 16.8 |

I/ Estimated.

One of the features of the manmade fibers in 1959 was the larger proportion of manmade fiber imports. Imports in 1959 probably equalled 7 percent of the estimated production, whereas in 1958 they accounted for approximately 5 percent. The largest item in the import picture was rayon and acetate staple fiber which accounted for an estimated 125 million pounds in 1959 and 82.7 million pounds in 1958.

Consumption of Cotton
By Military
Establishments Declines
Consumption of cotton in items delivered to the military establishments for the first 3 quarters of 1959 was smaller than for the same period a year earlier by about 15,000 bales. The consumption during the first 3 quarters of 1059 was the smallest for this period in any year since 1955. Consumption in th third quarter of 1959 was also smaller than for any third quarter since
:n-5. Consumption of manmade fiber during the third quarter of 1959 was below for any third quarter since records began in 1954. Wool consumption in hird quarter of 1959 was only about 9 percent of that of a year earlier. table 19.)

The square yards of cotton fabrics delivered to the military establishduring the quarter July-September 1959 was the smallest in any like period records began in 1954 and totaled only about 5.7 million square yards. -earlier deliveries were about 10.1 million square yards. Sharp declines
occurred in the delivery of bunting, cord cloth, drill, osnaburg, oxford, sateen, terrycloth, twill and webbing. Increases occurred in the deliveries of duck, poplin and sheeting. (See table 20.) For manmade fabrics, about 784,000 square yards were delivered in the July-September 1959 period compared with $1,596,000$ square yards in the same period a year earlier. Except for rayon satin, parachute cloth and twill, decreases occurred in deliveries of all manmade fabrics shown in table 21.

## Fabric Production <br> Increases

Production of cotton broadwoven goods in the second quarter of 1959 was about 2, 389 million linear yards. This was about 8 million linear yards larger than production during the first quarter and compares with approximately 2,198 million yards in the second quarter of 1958. Production was larger in each category show in table 22, except for print cloth, which was slightly lower than that of the second quarter of 1958. Production of sheetings increased by about 86 million linear yards, and fine cotton goods by almost 50 million linear yards.

Production of broadwoven goods in 1958 was the smallest since 1949. Production of about 8,973 million yards in 1958 compares with approximately 9,534 million in 1957. The only category for which production was larger in 1958 than in 1957 was fine cotton goods which showed an increase of about 96 million yards. (See table 22.)

As the years have progressed, production of fine cotton goods has increased in importance. In 1950 it accounted for about 12 percent of the total production of all cotton goods. By 1958 this proportion had increased to more than 16 percent, and in the first two quarters of the current year the percentage was 17. Fine cotton goods weigh less per square yard than most other constructions and therefore use less cotton. The proportion of broadwoven goods production represented by the output of other fabrics varies from year to year but there has been a noticeable downtrend in the proportion of colored yarm and napped fabrics since 1950. (See table 22.)

Production of manmade fiber broadwoven goods in the second quarter of 1959 was about the same as the first quarter of 1959 and about 42 million linear yards larger than the 583 million yards of the second quarter of 1958. Production of 100 -percent acetate or rayon fabrics declined in the second quarter of 1959 from the same quarter of 1958. The production of blended rayon and acetate fabrics showed a sharp increase, rising by about 28 percent. The production of noncellulosic manmade fiber fabrics increased from about 158 million linear yards in April-June 1958 to about 206 million linear yards in April-June 1959, or an increase of 30 percent. (See table 23.)

Exports To Increase
Exports of cotton in $1959-60$ marketing year are expected to be at least 5.5 million bales. Factors in this large increase are large cotton consumption and smaller cotton production in the foreign free world, and relatively low:
U. S. export prices for cotton. If the small foreign free world cotton stocks of August 1 , 1959 increase during the $1959-60$ season, U. S. exports probably will be larger than 5.5 million bales. The estimate for $1959-60$ compares with 2.8 million bales in 1958-59, and an annual average of 4.4 million bales for 1954-55 to 1958-59.

Payment-in-Kind Registration

## Relatively Iarge

Registration of bales to be exported in 1959 under the payment-in-kind program through October 30, 1959 were about 3 million bales. Registration for approximately the same period in 1958-59 plus sales for export by CCC were about 1.6 million bales.

Under the payment-in-kind program, exporters now receive certificates from CCC for 8 cents for each pound of cotton exported. These certificates can then be used to purchase additional cotton from CCC stocks. Registrations under the 1959-60 program started May 7, 1959. The rate of registration has varied each week, with the peak of about 224,000 bales being reached for the week ending September 18. For the week ending October 30, registrations were 185,384 bales.

## Export Rate Deceptive

Exports during August and September 1959 totaled about 328,000 bales, compared with approximately 421,000 for the same montlis a year earlier. The smaller quantity for the 1959 period includes extremely small exports in August of only 98,500 bales, about 110,000 bales smaller than for the same month a year earlier. During September exports increased and were slightiy larger than those of September 1958. The low rate of exports during the first two months of the 1959-60 season reflects the small stocks of cotton in commercial channels.

Stocks of cotton not held by CCC on August l, 1959 were the smallest for any August 1 since 1949, about 1.9 million bales. Much of this was held for domestic use and was not available for export. Such small free stocks severely limited the quantity of cotton that could be exported in August.

Trade data indicate that the rate of export increased sharply during the last half of October. Probably a high rate of shipment will be maintained for the next few months.

Foreign Free World
Production Declining,
Consumption Increasing
For the last several years production of cotton in the foreign free world has trended upward, but this year production is declining slightly from 1958-59. The decline is a little more than 2 percent or about 400,000 bales. In 1958-59 production was up by about 500,000 bales over 1957-58. (See table 5.)

Principal production declines from last season appear likely in Mexico, Syria, Uganda, and Central America. Smaller production in these countries is occurring mainly because of acreage reductions resulting from lower prices received by growers last year. In India smaller production is occurring because of adverse weather. Partly offsetting production declines in the above mentioned countries are larger crops in Brazil, Argentina, Pakistan, Spain, Egypt, Sudan, and a number of other countries. Increases are occurring in these countries because of larger acreage or improvement over last year's poor weather conditions. (See table 30.)

During the 1959-60 marketing year, consumption of cotton in the foreign free world is expected to increase by about a million bales over that of 1958-59, an increase of about 5 percent. This increase is a recovery from the slight recession of the world textile industry in 1958-59. However, consumption is not expected to be any higher than that of 1956-57.

Stocks of cotton in the foreign free world on August 1 , 1959 were the lowest for any August I since 1956. These stocks declined about 1.3 million bales or about 13 percent between August 1, 1958 and 1959.

The carryover in the foreign free world on August l, 1959 was low in comparison with preceding carryovers. Also, prices for cotton at foreign mill points are lower than they were a year earlier, and this could stimulate an increase in stocks. If stocks on August 1, 1960 do increase over those of a year earlier, U. S. exports in 1959-60 will be larger than the 5.5 million bales mentioned previously.

Table 5.--Cotton: Supply and distribution in the foreign free world, 1956-57, to date


1/ Preliminary.
Estimated.
Foreign Agricultural Service.

Table 6.--Foreign spot prices per pound including export taxes I/ and U. S. average spot export prices, 1958-59 crop year and August and September 1959 2/

| Market | : Foreign |  | United States |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quality | Price per pound 3/ | Price per pound 4/ | Quality 5/ |
|  | Cents Cents |  |  |  |
|  | Crop year, 1958-59 6/ |  |  |  |
| Bombay, India | : Broach Vijay, Fine | 25.94 | 24.28 | SLM 15/16" |
| Karachi, Pakistan | :289 F Sind Fine, S G | 25.98 | 26.04 | SLM ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Izmir, Turkey | : Acala II | 22.97 | 31.31 | M 1-1/16" |
| Sao Paulo, Brazil | :Type 5 | 26.73 | 25.07 | SLM $31 / 32^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Matamoros, Mexico | :M 1-1/32" | 7/25.87 | 30.38 | M 1-1/32" |
| Lima, Peru | :Tanguis type 5 | 26.93 | 29.56 | SLM $1-3 / 16^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Alexandria, Egypt * | :Ashmouni good | 40.66 | 32.58 | M 1-1/8" |
|  | August 1959 |  |  |  |
| Bombay, India | : Broach, Vijay, Fine | 26.69 | 19.66 | SLM 15/16 ${ }^{17}$ |
| Karachi, Pakistan | :289 F Sind Fine, S G | 24.03 | 21.50 | SLM ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Izmir, Turkey | : Acala II | 26.97 | 26.68 | M 1-1/16 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Sao Paulo, Brazil | :Type 5 | 19.24 | 20.53 | SLM $31 / 32^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Matamoros, Mexico | : ${ }^{\text {P } 1-1 / 32 " ~}$ | 7/25.04 | 25.80 | M 1-1/32" |
| Lima, Peru | :Tanguis type 5 | 30.62 | 24.80 | SLM 1-3/16" |
| Alexandria, Egypt * | : Ashmouni good | 43.99 | 27.75 | M $1-1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | September 1959 |  |  |  |
| Bombay, India | :Broach Vijay, Fine | 26.66 | 19.79 | SLM 15/16" |
| Karachi, Pakistan | :289 F Sind Fine, S G | 23.64 | 21.68 | SLM 1 " |
| Izmir, Turkey | : Acala II | 8/26.71 | 26.49 | M 1-1/16" |
| Sao Paulo, Brazil | :Type 5 | 20.00 | 20.71 | SLM 31/32" |
| Matamoros, Mexico | : $\mathrm{M}^{\text {1-1/32 }}$ | 7/25.71 | 25.61 | M 1-1/32" |
| Lima, Peru | :Tanguis type 5 | 31.18 | 25.74 | SLM $1-3 / 16^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Alexandria, Egypt * | :Ashmouni good | 38.93 | 27.58 | M $1-1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ |

1) Includes export taxes where applicable.

2/ Quotations on net weight basis.
3 Average of prices collected once each week.
4/ Average 14 spot market gross weight price less export payment-in-kind rate per pound, divided by 0.96 to convert price to a net weight basis.
5/ Quality of U.S. cotton generally considered to be most nearly comparable to the foreign cotton.
6/ CCC average sales price divided by 0.96 for August 1958 through June 1959 and price as explained under footnote 4/ for July 1959.
7/ Delivered at Brownsville. Ne $\bar{t}$ weight price $=$ actual price divided by 0.96 .
8/ 3-week average.

* Discounts of varying amounts are offered on exports sales.

Foreign Agricultural Service and Cotton Division, AMS.

## Export Prices Lower

Export prices for U. S. cotton in August and September 1959 were well below those of a year earlier. The payment-in-kind program is now computed on the basis of 8 cents for each pound of cotton exported, whereas a year earlier it was computed at 6.5 cents. In addition, CCC sales prices for unrestricted use are lower than they were a year earlier, as explained on page 21 . These two factors have resulted in a reduction in export prices for U. S. cotton as indicated in table 6. It has also placed U. S. cotton in a more competitive position with respect to foreign growths, as indicated in the same table. Of the 7 growths shown in table 6, September 1959 prices for comparable U. S. qualities are below those for foreign growths in 6 of the 7 instances. In 1958-59, average U. S. export prices were higher than those for comparable foreign growths in 4 out of the 7 cases. For the 7 qualities show, U. S. export prices were lower in August and September than they were for the same months in 1958-59 by about 2.75 cents per pound on the average.
Government Financing of U. S.
Cotton Exports Declines
The U. S. Government allotted about $\$ 150$ million to finance the export of cotton in the fiscal year ending June 30, 1960, (as of November 6, 1959) under Section 402 of the Mutual Security Act, Public Law 480 Titles I and II, and Ex-port-Import Bank loans. These funds will probably finance the export of about 1.2 million bales. The figures for 1959-60 exclude existing agreements for which purchase authorizations have not been made. Additional financing arrangements will probably be authorized later in the fiscal year. The funds made available to date are less, however, than those authorized to the same date for the preceding fiscal year. It appears, therefore, that Government financing of cotton exports may be less in 1959-60 than in 1958-59 and 1957-58. It was close to 2 million bales in both fiscal years. (See table 7.)

Table 7.--Special programs of the U. S. Government for financing cotton exports: Fiscal years beginning July 1, 1957, 1958 and 1959 1/

| Program | 1957-58 |  | 1958-59 2] |  | 1959-60 3/ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : Value | :quantity | Value | : Quantity: | Value | Quantity |
|  | :Million :dollars | Million bales 4 | Million dollars | Million bales 4 / | Million dollars | Million bales 4/ |
| Mutual Security Act | 99.4 | 0.7 | 106.2 | 0.8 | 19.0 | 0.2 |
| Export-Import Bank | : 61.1 | . 5 | 49.2 | . 4 | $5 / 38.9$ | $\cdot 3$ |
| Public Law 480 | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Title I | : 128.0 | . 9 | 98.1 | . 7 | $6 / 89.7$ | - 7 |
| Titie II | : 4.8 | I/ | 1.3 | 7) | 2.5 | I/ |
| Total 8/ | : 293.3 | 2.0 | 254.7 | 1.9 | 149.7 | 1.2 |

[^0]In fiscal year 1958-59 shipment of cotton under barter contracts totaled about 396,000 bales. This was less than such shipments in either of the 2 preceding fiscal years and program limitations indicate that the lower level will continue.

Table 8.--Shipments of cotton under barter

| Fiscal year | : | Quantity | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | 1,000 bales | Million dollars |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1956-57 | : | 970 | 127 |
| 1957-58 | : | 465 | 56 |
| 1958-59 | : | 396 | 46 |

## Supply to Increase

The supply of cotton in the United States in the 1959-60 season is estimated at 23.7 million bales, approximately 3.4 million larger than the supply during 1958-59. The principal cause for increase in supply is larger production, although carryover also is slightly larger than it was a year earlier. Despite this sharp increase in supply, the carryover on August l, 1960 is expected to be about the same as that of 1959. Disappearance is expected to increase by about the same number of bales as supply.

Production of Cotton
Increases
The 1959 cotton crop is estimated at about 14.7 million running bales as of November 1, 1959. This will be the largest cotton crop since 1953, when 16.3 million bales were produced. The 1959 crop is 3.3 million bales larger than the 1958 crop.

The cause of the increase in the cotton crop is larger acreage and a record high yield of about 474 pounds of lint per harvested acre. This compares with the previous record in 1958 of about 466 pounds per acre. The only States that show record high yields in 1959 are California, Arkansas, Missouri and Tennessee, but most States had relatively high yields. The other factor in the large cotton crop was the increase in acreage, which was up from about 11.8 million harvested acres in 1958 to about 15 million in the current year. This acreage increase resulted from the ending of the acreage reserve program under the soil bank program and the institution of the Choice B program under new legislation affecting cotton price supports. The acreage reserve program in 1958 had about 5 million acres of cotton land in it. The Choice B program during the current season added about I million acres to the national acreage allotment as announced
by the Secretary of Agriculture. Placement of more than 500,000 acres from cotton allotments in the conservation reserve counterbalanced some of the acreage increase resulting from the two programs mentioned above.

The proportion of the crop produced in the West declined slightly this year from that of 1958, while that produced in the Southeast increased. This is somewhat contrary to past trends. But for the Choice B program, the proportion produced in the West would have been even smaller, as the West placed a relatively larger part of its cotton acreage under the Choice B cotton program than did other sections of the cotton belt. The Southeast's proportion increased because it had relatively the largest proportion of its acreage under the acreage reserve program in 1958; the ending of this program released a large acreage in this section for planting to cotton.

Acreage Allotment Set
At the Minimum Allowed
By Law
On October 14, the Secretary of Agriculture announced the national acreage allotment for upland cotton of 16 million acres. This is the minimum acreage allotment permitted by the Agricultural Act of 1958. To the national acreage allotment must be added a national acreage reserve of about 300,000 acres required under the Agricultural Act of 1958 for establishing minimum farm allotments for small farms. Thus the total allotments for upland cotton in the United States available for allocation to farms under the regular acreage allotment program are about 16.3 million acres.

In addition to the national acreage allotment, farmers who elect the Choice B allotments for their farms may increase their planted acreage by 40 percent above the regular acreage allotments for their farms. The total of the increases in farm allotments that result from the election of Choice B allotments will be in addition to the nationally allotted acreage of 16.3 million acres. Those farmers who choose the Choice B program will have their cotton supported by a loan which is 15 percent of parity less than the purchase price for the farmers who choose to remain under the Choice A program. In the press release of October 14 it is stated that Choice A farmers will have their cotton supported at not less than 75 percent of parity.

The Choice B program was also available for the 1959 crop when the national acreage allotment was 16 million acres and the national acreage reserve was also 300,000 acres. About 1 million acres were added to the national acreage allotment by the Choice B program. Thus a total of 17.3 million acres was allotted for upland cotton for 1959. The acreage planted to upland cotton in 1959 was estimated at about 15.8 million acres or about 1.5 million less than the total acreage permitted under the acreage allotment program.

The national marketing quota of 66,590 bales and acreage allotment of 64,776 acres for extra-long staple cotton is discussed on page 19.

On October 20 it was announced that upland and extra-long staple cotton "have been determined to be in surplus supply for purposes of the $\$ 50,000$ nonrecourse price support limitation on 1960 production." For these crops "a 20 -percent reduction from 1959 acreage will be considered an equivalent reduction in production as required by law to avoid the price-support limitation.... In the case of upland cotton, the requirement of a 20 -percent reduction from 1959 acreage will apply whether the producer elected the Choice A plan (stayed within regular acreage allotment) or Choice B plan (planted up to 40 percent over regular acreage allotment) under the 1959 cotton program.... The $\$ 50,000$ price-support limitation, which was included as a provision of the 1960 Agricultural Appropriation Act (Public Law 86-80), applies only to 1960 production.... Under the limitation, nonrecourse price support to any person on the 1960 production of any agricultural commodity declared by the Secretary of Agriculture to be in surplus supply is limited to $\$ 50,000$ unless 'such person shall reduce his production from that which such person produced the preceding year, in such percentage, not to exceed 20 percentum, as the Secretary may determine to be essential to bring production in line within a reasonable period of time with that necessary to provide an adequate supply to meet domestic and foreign demands, plus adequate reserves.'
"The $\$ 50,000$ limitation applies only to nonrecourse price support. Loans will continue to be made in excess of this amount provided the borrower 'shall agree to repay all amounts advanced in excess of $\$ 50,000$ for any agricultural commodity within 12 months from the date of the advance of such funds or at such later date as the Secretary may determine.""

## Extra-Long Staple

Cotton Situation
Disappearance of extra-long staple cotton in the United States during the 1958-59 marketing year totaled about 131,900 bales compared with approximately 109,000 bales a year earlier. Domestic mill consumption increased by about 10,000 bales over that of 1957-58 and exports were up about 13,000.

The supply of extra-long staple cotton during 1958-59 was about 300,000 bales compared with about 228,000 the preceding season. The increase in the supply was caused principally by the carryover on August 1, 1958 which was about 2.3 times as large as that of a year earlier. The carryover on August 1 , 1959 increased again by about 27,000 bales. (See table 37.)

The supply in 1959-60 is estimated at about 306,000 bales, or about 6,000 bales larger than a year earlier. The supply estimate includes a crop of 73,400 running bales, estimated as of November 1 , imports of about 82,500 bales ( $1-3 / 8$ inches and longer) and the 1959 carryover of about 149,000 bales. Under the import quota for cotton $1-1 / 8$ inches and longer, 82,500 bales or the total import quota for 1959-60 has been imported since August 1, 1959.

Disappearance in 1959-60 is expected to be not more than 120,000 bales. This includes consumption of not more than 115,000 bales and exports of about 5,000. Consumption may be slightly above the 109,000 bales of 1958-59 because
of the strong demand for cotton textiles during the current season, but the increase is not expected to carry consumption more than 5 or 6 thousand bales above consumption in 1958-59.

Prices for foreign grown long-stable cotton produced in Egypt, the Sudan and Peru have declined and are now well below prices for American-Egyptian cotton. For example, the price for Karnak Good cotton at Alexandria, Egypt was 38.19 cents per pound in September 1959. This compares with prices for AmericanEgyptian cotton Grade 3, l-1/2 inches in staple length at El Paso, Texas and Phoenix, Arizona of 55.50 cents per pound in the same month. Under such circumstances American-Egyptian cotton will not be exported for dollars. That which is exported will be under Government programs such as Public Law 480 and Section 402 of the Mutual Security Act.

The carryover of extra-long staple cotton on August 1, 1960 probably will show a further increase over that of 1959. It may increase to about 174,000 bales. This will be the largest carryover since 1922.

The acreage allotment for extra-long staple cotton in the United States for the 1960 crop has been set at 64,776 acres. This compares with the allotment of 70,822 acres for the 1959 crop. (See table 9.) The 1960 acreage allotment is based on a marketing quota of 66,590 bales. In the press release announcing the quota it was stated, "To prevent disastrous fluctuations in the quota from year to year, however, the law provides for certain minimums to be applied to the national marketing quota. The 1960 marketing quota is based on legislation enacted September 2l, 1959, which directs that the 1960 marketing quota for extra-long staple cotton shall be not less than 90 percent of the 1959 quota."

Table 9.--Extra-long staple cotton: Acreage allotments, by States, United States, 1959 and 1960


At the start of the 1959 season stocks of cotton held by the Commodity Credit Corporation (owned and held as collateral against outstanding loans) were about 7 million bales. Since that time CCC has sold approximately 5 million bales and has acquired, principally by purchases of Choice A cotton, an additional 4 million bales. On October 30 the net stocks held by CCC were about 6 million bales.

CCC purchases cotton marketed by farmers under the Choice A support program at 34.10 cents per pound for Middling l-inch cotton at average location. CCC also makes nonrecourse loans to farmers marketing cotton under the Choice B program for 28.40 cents per pound for Middling l-inch cotton at average location. Since the market price has been well above Choice B loan rate (see page 22), most of the Choice B cotton has been sold and CCC had made loans on only 8,766 bales as of October 30 .

Purchases by CCC of Choice A cotton through October 30, 1959 were about 3.5 million bales. Large purchases occurred because the market price has been well below the Choice A purchase price. CCC has sold 1.6 million bales of the Choice A purchases as of October 30. Most of this was sold

Table 10.--Commodity Credit Corporation stocks of cotton, United States, August 1, 1957 and 1958 and August 1959 to date

| Date | Total | Upland |  |  | Extra-1ong staple I] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Owned $2 /$ | Under loan | Total | Owned | Under loan | Total |
| : | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
|  | bales | bales | bales | bales | bales | bales | bales |
| 1957 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. 1 | 8,902 | 5,182 | 3,718 | 8,900 | 2 | 3/ | 2 |
| 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. 1 | 5,370 | 2,865 | 2,505 | 5,370 | 40 | 39 | 79 |
| 1959 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. 1 | 7,043 | 974 | 5,997 | 6,971 | 29 | 42 | 72 |
| Aug. 7 : | 6,597 | 6,525 | ---- | 6,525 | 72 | --- | 72 |
| Aug. 14 : | 6,597 | 6,526 | --- | 6,526 | 71 | --- | 71 |
| Aug. 21 : | 5,582 | 5,511 | --- | 5,511 | 71 | --- | 71 |
| Aug. 28 : | 5,579 | 5,509 | - | 5,509 | 70 | --- | 70 |
| Sept. 4 : | 4,974 | 4,905 | $3 /$ | 4,905 | 69 | --- | 69 |
| Sept. 11: | 5,019 | 4,951 | $3 /$ | 4,951 | 68 | --- | 68 |
| Sept. 18: | 4,934 | 4,866 | $3 /$ | 4,866 | 68 | --- | 68 |
| Sept. 25: | 4,883 | 4,815 | $3 /$ | 4,815 | 68 | --- | 68 |
| Oct. 2 : | 4,933 | 4,865 | $3 /$ | 4,865 | 68 | --- | 68 |
| Oct. 9 : | 4,970 | 4,901 | 1 | 4,902 | 68 | --- | 68 |
| Oct. 16 : | 5,354 | 5,286 | 2 | 5,288 | 66 | --- | 66 |
| Oct. 23 : | 5,686 | 5,616 | 4 | 5,620 | 66 | --- | 66 |
| Oct. 30 : | 6,036 | 5,961 | 9 | 5,970 | 66 | --- | 66 |

[^1]by local sales agencies. The sales price by the local sales agencies has been set at a minimum of 110 percent of the support price plus carrying charges. The carrying charges are set by CCC as 10 points per pound for October and an additional 15 points per pound each subsequent month through July 1960. The New Orleans commodity office has sold a small quantity, less than 50,000 bales, of Choice A cotton at the higher of the above price or the market price as determined by CCC. (See table 10.) Most CCC sales during the 1959-60 season are for unrestricted use.

Market Price for
Cotton Declines
The market price for cotton during the current season is well below that of a year earlier. The average 14 spot market price for Midding l-inch cotton during October averaged 31.66 cents per pound, compared with 34.75 cents for the same month in 1958. Average prices for August and September also were below those of the same months a year earlier. This marks the first season since 1956-57 in which average prices for these months have been below those for the same months a year earlier. (See table ll.)

The cause of this decline in the market price is primarily a lower CCC sales price. The loan rate for the Choice B cotton for 1959 was set at 65 percent of the parity price announced for upland cotton for February 1959. The sales price by the local sales agencies, as indicated previously, has been set at not less than 110 percent of this loan rate plus carrying charges. This means that the sales price for the Midding $7 / 8$-inch cotton from the 1959 crop is set at about 71.5 percent of the parity price announced for mid-January plus reasonable carrying charges. Before 1959-60, CCC could not sell cotton for unrestricted use for less than 105 percent of support price plus reasonable carrying charges.

Of course, the market price can rise substantially above any support price or CCC sales price. The support price is designed primarily to set a floor under prices. But in the past when supplies have been large in relation to demand, as they are at the present, the market price has not risen very far above the CCC support price. Prices for the lower grades of cotton have not declined as much from a year earlier as prices for the better grades. Consequently Strict Low Middling and lower white grades, light spotted, and colored grades are being sold commercially. Middling and higher grades are moving to CCC.

## Prices Received By <br> Farmers Decline

Prices received by farmers during mid-September and mid-October of 1959 were below those for the same period in 1958. The decline in prices has been associated, of course, with the decline in support rates and the maintenance of the large supply. This is discussed in the preceding section, "Market Price for Cotton Declines." (See table 38.)

The parity price effective for November for upland cotton, based on october 15 price data, declined to 37.80 cents per pound down moderately from that effective for October and September of 37.93 cents per pound. The high for 1959 of 38.18 cents per pound was reached for the parity price effective for June. The decline occurred because the parity index (prices paid by farmers including interest, taxes and wages) has declined, down 3 index points in midOctober from May 1959. In mid-October the parity index stood at 296 (1910$14=100$ ). Because of a high parity index, the average parity price in 1958 for upland cotton was 38.33 cents per pound, the highest price since the earlist year for which records are available, 1923-24. (See table 11.)

Table ll.--Parity price per pound of cotton: Annual average, United States, 1923 to date

| Year beginning August 1 | : | Average | : | Year beginning August 1 |  | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : | Cents | : |  |  | Cents |
|  | : |  | : |  |  |  |
| 1923 | : | 20.58 | : : | 1941 | : | 17.73 |
| 1924 | : | 20.96 | : | 1942 | : | 19.22 |
| 1925 | : | 20.83 | : | 1943 | : | 20.58 |
| 1926 | : | 20.58 | : : | 1955 |  | 20.96 |
| 1927 | : | 20.58 | : | 1945 | : | 22.07 |
| 1928 | : | 20.58 | :: | 1946 | : | 26.78 |
| 1929 | : | 20.21 | : | 1947 | : | 30.26 |
| 1930 | : | 18.48 | : | 1948 |  | 30.50 |
| 1931 | : | 16.12 | : | 1949 | : | 30.13 |
| 1932 | : | 14.51 | : | 1950 | : | 32.87 |
| 1933 | : | 15.62 | : | 1951 |  | 34.22 |
| 1934 | : | 16.12 | : | 1952 | : | 34.19 |
| 1935 | : | 15.62 | : | 1953 |  | 34.69 |
| 1936 | : | 16.37 | : | 1954 | : | 35.06 |
| 1937 | : | 16.00 | : | 1955 |  | 35.12 |
| 1938 | : | 15.25 | : | 1956 |  | 36.40 |
| 1939 | : | 15.38 | : | 1957 |  | 37.88 |
| 1940 | : | 15.62 | : $:$ | 1958 | : | 38.33 |
|  | : |  | : |  | : |  |

Output of Cottonseed and
Cottonseed Products Increases
Crushings of $4,439,000$ tons of cottonseed by oil mills in the 1958-59 marketing year were about 5 percent more than in the preceding season. The 1958-59 crushings were about 93 percent of the 1958 crop of $4,798,000$ tons. Production of cottonseed in 1957-58 amounted to $4,609,000$ tons of which $4,247,000$ tons or 92 percent were crushed.

If the ratio of lint to cottonseed is the same in 1959-60 as in the last 5 years, 6,142,000 tons of seed will be produced. Applying the average ratio of crushings to production of the last 5 years--92.1 percent--would give crushings of about 5.7 million tons.

The production of cottonseed oil, cake and meal, and cotton linters obtained from these crushings is shown in table 41.

Stocks of Cottonseed Products
Stocks of refined and crude cottonseed oil at oil mills, factories and warehouses were about 223 million pounds on August l, 1959, about 27 percent above August 1, 1958. Stocks of linters were 575,000 bales on August 1, 1959, 810,000 bales a year earlier.

Stocks of cottonseed cake and meal at oil mills on August l, 1959 were about 3 percent greater than those of a year earlier, and stocks of hulls were 55 percent smaller. Data on stocks at other locations are not available. (See table 42.)

No stocks of cottonseed oil or linters were held by the Commodity Credit Corporation on August 1, 1959.

Supply and Disappearance
of Cotton Linters Decline
The total supply of linters for the $1959-60$ marketing year is estimated at about 2.4 million bales. This is about 0.1 million bales larger than the supply of 1958-59. (See table 45.) The 1959-60 supply includes estimated imports of about 150,000 bales and the beginning stocks and production figures shown above. Disappearance of linters in 1959-60 is estimated at about 1.5 million bales, compared with approximately 1.4 million in 1958-59. Domestic consumption will probably increase from about 1.2 million bales in 1958-59 to about 1.3 million in 1959-60. Exports are expected to be approximately 200,000 bales compared with 243,000 in 1958-59.

Disappearance of about 1.5 million bales will leave an ending carryover of about 1 million bales, about 75 percent above a year earlier.

Prices for Cotton

## Linters Decline

Prices for all grades of felting grade linters averaged lower for the 1958-59 season than for the two preceding. Prices were below a year earlier in August and September 1959. (See table 43.) Prices for chemical grade linters showed a downward movement and in August averaged 0.75 cent below a year earlier. (See table 43.)

Yield and the Acreage Reserve and Choice $B$ Programs for Cotton
By Frank Lowenstein

For many years the yield per harvested acre of cotton in the United States has tended to increase. This long-tine trend has continued since the mid-1920's. For 1925 the trend yield ( 9 year moving average centered) was 160 pounds of cotton per harvested acre and for 1955 it was 374 pounds. For the last four crops Government programs have tended to cause this trend to be even sharper than it has been over the long term because of regional shifts in relative acreage. The regional shifts in acreage under the acreage reserve program probably caused average U. S. yields per harvested acre to increase by 6 to 15 pounds for the 1956, 1957, and 1958 crops over what they would otherwise have been. Regional shifts in acreage under the Choice B program, in operation for the first time in 1959, probably caused an increase in yield of about 6 pounds per acre.

Yield of cotton per harvested acre in 1959 was more than triple that of 1928. The yield has been trending upward since the mid-1920's, and more sharply since 1947. (See Figure 2.) Part of the cause was the shifting of


Figure 2
acreage from the relatively low yielding region of the Southeastern States $1 /$ to the relatively high yielding region of the West. 2/ In 1928, the proportion of the total U. S. acreage in the West was only 1.3 percent, but in 1959 it was 9.7 percent. Over the same period the proportion of total acreage in the Southeast declined from 24.9 percent to 16.5 percent. (See table 32.) Also yields have increased within each region.

Because the West is the highest yielding region in the Cotton Belt, the proportion of the total crop has increased more than the relative increase in acreage. Production from 1928 to 1959 increased from 3 percent to 21 percent of the total crop. (See table 34.)

Some of the acreage control programs slowed expansion of cotton acreage in the higher yielding regions. In recent years, two Government programs have affected the regional distribution of acreage, and,through this distribution the average U. S. yield of cotton per acre, and the size of the cotton crop in the United States. The two programs are the acreage reserve program (initiated in 1956 and abolished after the 1958 crop was harvested) and the Choice B support price program for cotton (initiated with the 1959 crop).

Under the acreage reserve program, farmers were paid to withhold allotted acreage from upland cotton production. Under the Choice B program the price support offered to a farmer for upland cotton was lowered 15 percent of parity and the farmer was allowed to increase his upland cotton acreage by as much as 40 percent above his allotment.

These programs could affect yields in two ways:

1. By withdrawing low- or high-yielding acreage from production within a State or adding such acreage to production. If such withdrawals or additions were important, there should be some correlation between the number of acres in the programs and the yield per acre.
2. By altering the relative distribution of acreage between the lowand high-yielding regions of the Cotton Belt.

Since the Choice B support program has been in operation only one year, its effect on the adding of high-yielding or low-yielding acres within a State cannot be determined. Examination of the State data does not indicate a significant correlation between the amount of acreage in the acreage reserve program and the average yield per harvested acre for each State. (See iuile 31.)

[^2]Other factors are apparently more important in determining the average State yields than the size of the acreage reserve program. If the program had been continued more than three years, perhaps some conclusions could be drawn concerning the effect on State yields. However, actual experience for the three years shows little apparent effect.

In analyzing the effect of the changes in the regional distribution of acreage caused by the programs it was assumed that all of the acreage in the acreage reserve programs for cotton would have been harvested if there had been no program, that all of the additional acreage provided by the Choice $B$ program in 1959 was harvested and that average State yields would have been the same with or without the programs.

During the three years that the acreage reserve programs was in effect, the average U. S. yield per harvested acre was increased by 6 to 15 pounds by the regional shift in acreage. (See table 12.)

Table l2.--Average U. S. yield per harvested acre: Actual and estimated without acreage reserve program, 1956-57 to 1958-59

| Crop year | $:$ | Actual | $:$ | Adjusted for program |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\vdots$ | Pounds | Pounds |  |
| $1956-57$ | $:$ | 409 | 402 |  |
| $1957-58$ | $:$ | 388 | 382 |  |
| $1958-59$ |  | 466 | 451 |  |

In all three of the years that the acreage reserve program operated, the acreage planted to cotton in the Southeast, the region with the lowest yield, was a smaller proportion of the $U$. S. total than it would have been had there been no acreage reserve program. On the other hand, the proportion of the total in the two highest yielding areas, the West and the Delta (see table 13), was increased by the acreage reserve program.

Table 13.--Proportion of cotton acreage harvested by regions: Actual and estimated without acreage reserve program, 1956-57 to 1958-59

| Region |  | 1956-57 |  | 1957-58 |  | 1958-59 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : | Actual | Adjusted for program | Actual | Adjusted for program | $\begin{aligned} & : \\ & : \text { Actual }: \\ & : \end{aligned}$ | Adjusted for program |
|  | : | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| West | : | 8.3 | 7.8 | 9.2 | 8.3 | 10.9 | 8.2 |
| Southwest | : | 44.3 | 46.8 | 47.5 | 46.9 | 48.9 | 47.1 |
| Delta | : | 28.4 | 27.0 | 27.2 | 26.2 | 27.1 | 26.4 |
| Southeast | : | 19.0 | 18.4 | 16.1 | 18.6 | 13.1 | 18.3 |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Despite the increase in yields, actual production declined because of the acreage reserve program. (See table 13.) The increases in yields caused by shifts in regional acreage were not enough to counterbalance the much smaller acreage caused by the programs. The cotton crop would have been larger by the following amounts if there had been no acreage reserve program:

| $1956-57$ | -- | 670,000 bales |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $1957-58$ | - | $2,511,000$ bales |
| $1958-59$ | -- | $4,266,000$ bales |

Relatively more acreage was placed in the Choice B program in 1959 from the high-yielding regions of the West than from the lower-yielding area of the Southeast. There would have been a larger proportion of the total acreage in the Southeast and a smaller proportion in the West without the Choice B program than with it. (See table 14.) Consequently, the average U. S. yield probably showed an increase of about 6 pounds over what it would have been without the program. In other words, without the Choice B program the yield probar bly would have been about 468 pounds instead of the actual 474 pounds.

Table 14.--Proportion of cotton acreage harvested by region: Actual and estimated without Choice B program, 1959-60

| Region | : | Actual | : | Adjusted for program |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : | Percent |  | Percent |
| West | : | 9.7 |  | 8.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Southwest | : | 46.1 |  | 46.2 |
|  | : |  |  |  |
| Delta | : | 27.7 |  | 27.7 |
|  | - |  |  |  |
| Southeast | : | 16.5 |  | 17.4 |
|  | . |  |  |  |

The Choice B program added about 1 million acres to the land planted to cotton in 1959. Without the additional acreage, and assuming the lower yield mentioned above, production would have been about 700,000 bales smaller than now estimated.

Table 15.--Cotton: Daily average consumption by month, adjusted for seasonal variation, August 1944-September 1959


1/ Preliminary.
Bureau of the Census.

Table l6.--Unfinished cloth prices, cotton prices, and mill margins on 20 selected constructions,
United States, by months, 1954 to date

| ```Year begin- ning August``` | : Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  | prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1954 | : 60.75 | 60.98 | 61.48 | 61.13 | 61.24 | 62.19 | 62.42 | 62.04 | 61.47 | 61.27 | 61.58 | 61.89 | 61.54 |
| 1955 | : 62.35 | 62.86 | 63.71 | 64.40 | 65.30 | 65.49 | 65.46 | 64.88 | 64.33 | 63.96 | 63.33 | 62.51 | 64.05 |
| 1956 | : 61.78 | 61.74 | 63.21 | 62.93 | 62.54 | 62.00 | 61.11 | 60.52 | 60.18 | 59.74 | 59.52 | 59.42 | 61.22 |
| 1957 | : 59.17 | 58.86 | 58.36 | 58.13 | 58.55 | 58.57 | 58.24 | 57.86 | 57.45 | 56.72 | 56.74 | 56.79 | 57.97 |
| 1958 | $\text { : } 56.98$ | $56.99$ | 57.14 | 58.13 | 58.98 | 59.41 | 60.50 | 61.63 | 62.22 | 62.69 | 63.25 | 63.78 | 60.14 |
| $1959$ | $: 64.09$ | $64.38$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cotton prices $2 /$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1954 | : 35.88 | 36.47 | 36.36 | 35.90 | 36.25 | 36.29 | 36.41 | 34.65 | 35.78 | 36.54 | 36.61 | 36.48 | 36.22 |
| 1955 | : 36.29 | 35.30 | 35.33 | 36.07 | 35.78 | 36.32 | 37.12 | 37.05 | 36.69 | 36.76 | 36.85 | 35.72 | $36.27$ |
| 1956 | : 33.93 | 33.93 | 34.09 | 34.35 | 34.43 | 34.79 | 35.07 | 34.70 | 34.68 | 34.71 | 34.74 | 34.75 | 34.51 |
| 1957 | : 34.08 | 33.78 | 34.34 | 35.77 | 35.74 | 35.13 | 34.98 | 34.75 | 34.70 | 34.92 | 35.03 | 35.14 | 34.86 |
| 1958 | : 34.68 | 34.75 | 34.98 | 34.77 | 33.92 | 34.40 | 34.53 | 34.72 | 35.04 | 35.02 | 35.05 | 35.03 | 34.74 |
| 1959 | : 33.73 | 32.97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mill margins 3/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1954 | : 24.87 | 24.51 | 25.12 | 25.23 | 24.99 | 25.90 | 26.01 | 25.39 | 25.69 | 24.73 | 24.97 | 25.41 | 25.32 |
| 1955 | : 26.06 | 27.56 | 28.38 | 28.33 | 29.52 | 29.17 | 28.34 | 27.83 | 27.64 | 27.20 | 26.48 | 26.79 | 27.78 |
| 1956 | : 27.85 | 27.81 | 29.12 | 28.58 | 28.11 | 27.21 | 26.04 | 25.82 | 25.50 | 25.03 | 24.78 | 24.67 | 26.71 |
| 1957 | : 25.09 | 25.08 | 24.02 | 22.36 | 22.81 | 23.44 | 23.26 | 23.11 | 22.75 | 22.00 | 21.71 | 21.65 | 23.11 |
| 1958 | : 22.30 | 22.24 | 22.16 | 23.36 | 25'.06 | 25.01 | 25.97 | 26.91 | 27.18 | 27.67 | 28.20 | 28.75 | 25.40 |
| 1959 | : 30.36 | 31.41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

I/ Average wholesale price for 20 selected constructions. Prices per yard are converted to the approximate value of cloth obtainable from a pound of cotton. 2/ Average monthly price based on landed quctations (Group 201 mill points) for four growths - Southeastern, Memphis Terri.tory, Texas~Oklahoma and California. 3/ Difference between cloth prices and prices for tine average qualities of cotton used in the 20 constructions.

Table 17.--Cotton products export program: Classes of cotton products and equalization payments, annual 1957-58, 1958-59 and August-September, 1958 and 1959

| Class | : Principal item of export | Equalization payments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | August 1957-July 1958 |  | August 1958-July 1959: August-September |  |  |  | August-September 1959 |  |
|  |  | : Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quantity : | Value | Quantity |
|  | : | : Dollars | Pounds | Dollars | Pounds | Dollars | Pounds | Dollars | Pounds |
| A | :Card strips, comber noils, spinners <br> : laps and roving waste | : 2,399,501.98 | 43,236,677 | 2,498,707.38 | 45,894,071 | 500,454.51 | 9,243,181 | 603,355.26 | 9,825,831 |
| B | : Picker laps and cotton batting | : $10,784.98$ | 161,241 | 4,537.28 | 72,640 | 1,431.11 | 22,570 | 753.07 | 10,341 |
| C | :Sliver, sliver laps, ribbon laps, <br> : roving, and drawing sliver | $\begin{array}{ll}\vdots \\ \vdots & 1,082.21\end{array}$ | 15,575 | 494.70 | 7,297 | 46.43 | 605 | 177.60 | 2,000 |
| D | : Gray or unfinished yarm, twine, <br> : cordage, and rope | : $1,027,756.28$ | 14,670,168 | 697,252.37 | 10,222,507 | 1.02,8.24.20 | 1,505,558 | 125,399.50 | 1,624,345 |
| E | :Gray fabrics, absorbent cotton, <br> : and full finished yarn | : 2,253,920.53 | 31,404,932 | 1,751,897.60 | 24,996,388 | 261,535.06 | 3,735,457 | 306,914.83 | 3,820,036 |
| F | : Knitted articles | : $84,108.40$ | 1,149,736 | 81,859.69 | 1,165,215 | 8,431.99 | 125,428 | 1'7,989.59 | 241,263 |
| G | :Finished fabrics | : 7,014,126.71 | 92,831,017 | 5,607,327.33 | 76,088,799 | 914,412.19 | 12,360,961 | 935,432 44 | 11, 200, 882 |
| H | : Articles manufactured from fabrics | : 1,163,904.85 | 13,615,229 | 1,117,367.38 | 13,312,147 | 152,518. 35 | 1,822,58.1 | 223,931 06 | 2,379,550 |
| I | :Coated and rubberized yarms and <br> : fabrics, absorbent cotton, twine, <br> : cordage, rope, and fabrics, con- <br> : sisting of a mixture of fibers, <br> : containing not less than $50 \%$ by <br> : weight of cotton | $\begin{array}{ll}: \\ : \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ : & \\ : & 287,699.45\end{array}$ | 6,685,753 | 244,124.21 | 5,854,6\%1 | 37,858.91 | 900,445 | 59,124 44 | 1,255,482 |
| J | :Coated, rubberized and impregnated <br> : articles manufactured from fabrics <br> : consisting of a mixture of fibers, <br> : containing not less than $50 \%$ by <br> : weight of cotton | $\begin{array}{ll}\vdots \\ \vdots & \\ \vdots & \\ \vdots & 91,496.37\end{array}$ | 1,796,331 | 123,151.46 | 2,589,269 | 16,709.82 | 335,416 | 32,812.88 | 567,069 |
| K | :Gray or finished fabrics one yard <br> : or more but less than ten yards <br> : in length | : $090,545.45$ | 17,927,549 | 782,320.36 | 14,432,378 | 95,083.40 | 1,755,889 | 160,626.92 | 2,456,532 |
| L | : Coated and rubberized fabrics and <br> : fabrics consisting of a mixture of <br> : fibers containing not less than $50 \%$ <br> : by weight of cotton, one yard or <br> : more but less than ten yards in <br> : length | $\begin{array}{ll}\vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots & \\ \vdots & 24,099.19\end{array}$ | 737,160 | 16,735.47 | 524,321 | 1,804.99 | 56,187 | 8,094.91 | 225,274 |
|  | :Articles manufactured from gray <br> : fabrics; bags; and mops | $: \quad 200,278.37$ | 2,626,925 | 233,580.81 | 3,152,454 | 27,001.00 | 357,844 | 39,615 96 | 451,546 |
|  | Total | : 15,549,304.77 | 226,858,293 | 13,159,356.04 | 198,312,127 | 2,120,111.96 | 32,222,182 | 2,514,228.46 | 34,060,151 |

mill consumption, United States, 1925 to date

| Year <br> beginning Jan. 1 | :Population: <br> July 1 <br> 1/ | Cotton $2 /$ |  |  | Wool 3/ |  |  | Rayon and acetate 4 |  |  | Other synthetacs 5/ : Flax 6/ |  |  |  |  |  | Silk 7/ |  |  | All fibers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | :Percentage of fibers | Per :capıta: | Total | Percent age of fibers | Per :capita | $\begin{aligned} & : \text { Total } \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | : Percentage of fibers | Per :capita | Total | : Percent age of fibers | : Per :capita | Total | : Percentage of fibers | Per :capita | Total | : Percentage of fibers | : Per :capita: | Total | Per capita 8/ |
|  | : M1. | Mil. 16. | Pct. | Lb. | M21.1b | Pct. | Lb. | Mil.1b. | Pct. | Lb. | Mil.1b. | $\stackrel{\text { Pct. }}{ }$ | $\underline{\mathrm{Lb}}$. | Mil.1b. | Pct. | Lb. | Mil.1b. | Pct. | Lb. | Mil. 1 b . | $\underline{\mathrm{Lb}}$. |
| 1925 | 115.8 | 3,075.3 | 86.1 | 26.6 | 349.9 | 9.8 | 3.0 | >8.4 | 1.6 | 0.5 |  |  |  | 12.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 76.0 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 3,572.2 | 30.8 |
| 1926 | 11.7 .4 | 3,213.5 | 86.6 | 27.4 | 342.7 | 9.3 | 2.9 | 60.9 | 1.6 | . 5 |  |  |  | 16.2 | . 4 | . 1 | 76.9 | 2.1 | . 7 | 3,710.2 | 31.6 |
| 1927 | 119.0 | 3,590.1 | 86.7 | 30.2 | 354.1 | 8.6 | 3.0 | 100.1 | 2.4 | . 8 |  |  |  | 11.4 | . 3 | . 1 | 85.0 | 2.0 | . 7 | 4,240.7 | 34.8 |
| 1928 | 120.5 | 3,187.0 | 85.6 | 26.4 | 333.2 | 9.0 | 2.8 | 100.5 | 2.7 | . 8 |  |  |  | 13.6 | . 4 | . 1 | 87.2 | 2.3 | . 7 | 3,721.5 | 30.9 |
| 1929 | 121.8 | 3,425.3 | 84.8 | 28.1 | 368.1 | 9.1 | 3.0 | 133.4 | 3.3 | 1.1 |  |  |  | 14.0 | . 4 | . 1 | 96.8 | 2.4 | . 8 | 4,037.6 | 33.1 |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1930 | 123.1 | 2,616.6 | 84.5 | 21.3 | 263.2 | 8.5 | 2.1 | 119.3 | 3.9 | 1.0 |  |  |  | 15.6 | . 5 | . 1 | 80.6 | 2.6 | . 7 | 3,095.3 | 25.1 |
| 1931 | 124.0 | 2,654.9 | 82.5 | 21.4 | 311.0 | 9.7 | 2.5 | 159.4 | 4.9 | 1.3 |  |  |  | 7.2 | . 2 | . 1 | 87.5 | 2.7 | . 7 | 3,222.0 | 26.0 |
| 1932 | 124.8 | 2,463.7 | 84.0 | 19.7 | 230.1 | 7.8 | 1.8 | 155.4 | 5.3 | 1.2 |  |  |  | 7.8 | . 3 | . 1 | 74.8 | 2.6 | . 6 | 2,931.8 | 23.5 |
| 1933 | 125.6 | 3,050.7 | 83.2 | 24.3 | 317.1 | 8.7 | 2.5 | 217.3 | 5.9 | 1.7 |  |  |  | 10.2 | . 3 | . 1 | 70.4 | 1.9 | . 6 | 3,665.7 | 29.2 |
| 1934 | 126.4 | 2,659.5 | 84.2 | 21.0 | 229.7 | 7.3 | 1.8 | 196.9 | 6.3 | 1.6 |  |  |  | 10.9 | . 3 | . 1 | 60.4 | 1.9 | . 5 | 3,157.4 | 25.0 |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1935 | 127.2 | 2,755.4 | 78.3 | 21.7 | 417.5 | 11.9 | 3.3 | 259.2 | 7.4 | 2.0 |  |  |  | 12.6 | $\cdot 3$ | . 1 | 72.4 | 2.1 | . 6 | 3,517.1 | 27.6 |
| 1936 | 128.1 | 3,471.4 | 81.1 | 27.1 | 406.1 | 9.5 | 3.2 | 322.4 | 7.5 | 2.5 |  |  |  | 13.1 | . 3 | . 1 | 67.5 | 1.6 | . 5 | 4,280.5 | 33.4 |
| 1937 | 128.8 | 3,646.6 | 82.7 | 28.3 | 380.8 | 8.6 | 3.0 | 304.8 | 6.9 | 2.4 |  |  |  | 14.2 | . 3 | . 1 | 64.2 | 1.5 | . 5 | 4,410.6 | 34.2 |
| 1938 | 129.8 | 2,918.3 | 81.2 | 22.5 | 284.5 | 7.9 | 2.2 | 329.4 | 9.2 | 2.5 |  |  |  | 3.9 | . 1 | 9/ | 57.1 | 1.6 | . 4 | 3,593.2 | 27.7 |
| 1339 | 130.9 | 3,628.6 | 79.7 | 27.7 | 396.5 | 8.7 | 3.0 | 458.9 | 10.2 | 3.5 |  |  |  | 14.4 | . 3 | . 1 | 55.3 | 1.2 | . 4 | 4,553.7 | 34.8 |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1940 | 132.1 | 3,959.1 | 80.6 | 30.0 | 407.9 | 8.3 | 3.1 | 482.1 | 9.8 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 0.1 | 9/ | 12.1 | . 2 | . 1 | 47.6 | 1.0 | . 4 | 4,913.2 | 37.2 |
| 1941 | 133.4 | 5,192.1 | 80.1 | 38.9 | 648.0 | 10.1 | 4.9 | 591.9 | 9.1 | 4.4 | 11.5 | . 2 | 0.1 | 9.7 | . 1 | . 1 | 25.6 | . 4 | . 2 | 6,478.8 | 48.6 |
| 1942 | 134.9 | 5,633.1 | 81.7 | 41.8 | 603.6 | 8.7 | 4.5 | 620.8 | 9.0 | 4.6 | 23.1 | . 3 | . 2 | 23.0 | . 3 | . 2 | . 2 | 10/ | $9 /$ | 6,903.8 | 51.2 |
| 1943 | 136.7 | 5,270.6 | 79.7 | 38.6 | 636.2 | 9.6 | 4.7 | 656.1 | 9.9 | 4.8 | 35.3 | . 6 | . 3 | 13.6 | . 2 | . 1 | 11/ | 10/ | $9 /$ | 6,611.8 | 48.4 |
| 1944 | 138.4 | 4,790.4 | 77.6 | 34.6 | 622.8 | 10.1 | 4.5 | 704.8 | 11.4 | 5.1 | 45.8 | . 7 | . 3 | 9.5 | . 2 | . 1 | $11 /$ | 10/ | 9/ | 6,173.3 | 44.6 |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1945 | 139.9 | 4,515.8 | 75.4 | 32.3 | 645.1 | 10.8 | 4.6 | 769.9 | 12.9 | 5.5 | 49.8 | . 8 | . 4 | 7.4 | . 1 | . 1 | 1.0 | 10/ | 9/ | 5,989.0 | 42.8 |
| 1946 | 141.4 | 4,809.1 | 74.0 | 34.0 | 737.5 | 11.3 | 5.2 | 875.7 | 13.5 | 6.2 | 53.2 | . 8 | . 4 | 12.6 | . 2 | . 1 | 13.5 | . 2 | . 1 | 6,501.6 | 46.0 |
| 1947 | 144.1 | 4,665.6 | 72.7 | 32.4 | 698.2 | 10.9 | 4.8 | 987.9 | 15.4 | 6.9 | 51.4 | . 8 | . 4 | 8.8 | . 1 | . 1 | 3.2 | . 1 | 9/ | 6,415.1 | 44.5 |
| 1948 | 146.6 | 4,463.5 | 69.8 | 30.4 | 693.1 | 10.9 | 4.7 | 1,149.6 | 18.0 | 7.8 | 71.6 | 1.1 | . 5 | 5.5 | . 1 | 9/ | 7.4 | . 1 | -1 | 6,390.7 | 43.6 |
| 1949 | 149.2 | 3,839.1 | 70.6 | 25.7 | 500.4 | 9.2 | 3.4 | 993.5 | 18.3 | 6.7 | 92.8 | 1.7 | . 6 | 6.1 | . 1 | 9/ | 4.0 | . 1 | 9/ | 5,435.9 | 36.4 |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950 | 151.7 | 4,682.7 | 68.5 | 30.9 | 634.8 | 9.3 | 4.2 | 1,351.6 | 19.8 | 8.9 | 140.5 | 2.1 | . 9 | 10.9 | . 2 | . 1 | 10.5 | . 1 | . 1 | 6,831.0 | 45.0 |
| 2951 | 154.4 | 4,868.6 | 71.1 | 31.5 | 484.1 | 7.1 | 3.1 | 1,276.6 | 18.6 | 8.3 | 195.5 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 11.1 | . 2 | . 1 | 7.2 | . 1 | 9/ | 6,843.1 | 44.3 |
| 1952 | 157.0 | 4,470.9 | 69.6 | 28.5 | 466.4 | 7.2 | 3.0 | 1,215.5 | 18.9 | 7.7 | 249.1 | 4.0 | 1.6 | 6.7 | . 1 | 9/ | 12.6 | . 2 | . 1 | 6,421.2 | 40.9 |
| 1953 | 159.6 | 4,456.1 | 69.0 | 27.9 | 493.9 | 7.6 | 3.1 | 1,223.0 | 18.9 | 7.7 | 279.6 | 4.3 | 1.8 | 7.6 | . 1 | 9/ | 7.8 | . 1 | 9/ | 6,468.0 | 40.5 |
| 1954 | 162.4 | 4,127.3 | 68.8 | 25.4 | 384.1 | 6.3 | 2.4 | 1,154.8 | 19.2 | 7.1 | 328.7 | 5.5 | 2.0 | 7.0 | . 1 | 9/ | 8.5 | . 1 | . 1 | 6,010.4 | 37.0 |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1955 | 165.3 | 4,382.4 | 65.7 | 26.5 | 413.8 | 6.2 | 2.5 | 1,419.2 | 21.3 | 8.6 | 432.1 | 6.5 | 2.6 | 8.0 | . 1 | $9 /$ | 11.0 | . 2 | . 1 | 6,666.5 | 40.3 |
| 1956 | 168.2 | 4,362.6 | 67.0 | 25.9 | 440.8 | 6.8 | 2.6 | 1,201.1 | 18.5 | 7.1 | 484.3 | 7.4 | 2.9 | 7.9 | . 1 | $9 /$ | 12.7 | . 2 | . 1 | 6,509.4 | 38.7 |
| 1957 | 171.2 | 4,060.4 | 65.7 | 23.7 | 368.8 | 6.0 | 2.2 | 1,177.1 | 19.0 | 6.9 | 562.0 | 9.1 | 3.3 | 7.2 | . 1 | 9/ | 8.3 | . 1 | $9 /$ | 6,183.8 | 36.1 |
| 1958 12/ | 174.1 | 3,866.9 | 65.5 | 22.2 | 336.7 | 5.7 | 1.9 | 1,107.8 | 18.8 | 6.4 | 578.3 | 9.8 | 3.3 | 4.0 | . 1 | 9/ | 4.8 | . 1 | 9/ | 5,898.5 | 33.9 |

 for foreign cotton 3 percent ( 15 pounds) was deducted. Since 1950 data have been adjusted to year ended Dec. 31. 3/ Includes apparel and carpet wool on a scoured basis. Since ( Wers Textile Organon, publication of the Textile Economics Bureau Incorporated. Include filament and staple ports plus imports for consumption. 6/Flax. Imports and estimated product for consumption. $5 /$ Textile Organon. Nylon, orlon, glass fiber, etc. United States production less ex


2/ Preliminary.

Table 19.--Cotton, manmade fibers and wool used by the military forces, United States, by quarters, July 1954 to date


1/ Totals made before data were rounded to thousands.
2) Includes certain items partly estimated from annual reports. Not available on a quarterly basis.
3/ Preliminary.

Compiled from reports of the Department of Defense.

Table 20.--Cotton fabrics: Deliveries to United States military forces, by selected fabrics, by quarters, July 1954 to date 1/


| Fabrics | 1957 |  |  |  |  | 1958 |  |  |  |  | 1959 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  | : |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jan.- | : Apr.- : | July- | Oct.- | Total | Jan.- | Apr.- | July- | Oct.- | Total | Jan.- | Apr.- |  |
|  | Mar. | : June : | Sept. : | Dec. | 2/ | Mar. | June | Sept. | Dec. | 2/ | : Mar. | June | Sept. 6/ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | : | : |  |  | : | : |  | - |  | : |  |  |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | square | square | square | square | square | square | square | square | square | square | square | square | square |
|  | yards | yards | yards | yards | yards | yards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Airplane cloth | ---- | --- | --- | 363.8 | 363.8 | 311.7 | 0 | 50.8 | 405.8 | 768.3 | 690.4 | 518.6 | 21.3 |
| Birdseye | ---- | --- | --- | --- | --- | -- | --- | - | 15.2 | 15.2 | 29.9 | 0 | 0 |
| Brattice cloth | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 29.4 | 88.8 | 41.6 | 0 | 159.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bunting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50.6 | 50.6 | 90.4 | 0 | 248.9 | 144.0 | 483.3 | 68.6 | 1 | 26.0 |
| Chambray | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 24.6 | 227.4 | 42.9 | 0 | 283.8 | 136.0 | 109.5 | 0 |
| Cheese cloth | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |  | 25.5 |
| Cord cloth | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 5/207.7 | 0 | 207.7 | 0 | 5/20.3 | 0 |
| Denim | - --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 433.3 | 282.1 | 0 | 0 | 715.4 | 203.6 | 40.6 | 0 |
| Drill | 1,044.3 | 161.2 | 146.8 | 469.1 | 1,821. 3 | 47.2 | 534.8 | 1,952.8 | 574.1 | 3,108.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Duck | 5,616.2 | 1,227.5 | 64.5 | 0 | 6,908.2 | 21.8 | 166.5 | 55.7 | 241.8 | 485.9 | 272.6 | 1,123.0 | 1,335.5 |
| Flannel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51.4 | 51.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gabardine | - --- | --- | --- | 133.1 | 133.1 | 370.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 370.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jean | : | --- | --- | --- | --- | 61.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Osnaburg | 0 | 916.8 | 89.2 | 0 | 1,006.0 | 157.6 | 374.7 | 559.1 | 101.4 | 1,192.8 | 54.0 | 459.3 | 379.4 |
| Oxford | 45.7 | 0 | 4/2.8 | 516.0 | 564.5 | 1,022.4 | 846.2 | 1,925.7 | 1,287.7 | 5,082.0 | 483.9 | 708.1 | 841.2 |
| Permeable | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poplin | 591.5 | 868.5 | 929.2 | 1,554.2 | 3,943.4 | 1,503.7 | 2,013.2 | 171.3 | 1,047.6 | 4,735.8 | 502.6 | 1,946.6 | 684.9 |
| Print cloth | 2,115.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,115.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sateen | 9,320.7 | 10,570.9 5 | 5,902.9 | 1,699.2 | 27,493.7 | 3,977.4 | 1,886.6 | 2,694.9 | 6,135.9 | 14,694.9 | 2,123.6 | 242.8 | 0 |
| Sheeting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 212.2 | 212.2 | 0 | 384.8 | 23.9 | 15.5 | 424.2 | 608.0 | 1,756.9 | 1,008.1 |
| Silesia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Terry cloth | --- | -- | - | - | --- | 32.4 | 234.1 | 241.3 | 265.4 | 773.2 | 170.3 | 162.1 | 46.8 |
| Twill | 661.8 | 0 | 0 | 2,407.3 | 3,069.1 | 1,660.8 | 3,487.5 | 1,802.4 | 2,554.7 | 9,505.4 | 1,132.3 | 1,742.7 | 1,305.3 |
| Webbing 3/ | 537.2 | 352.1 | 117.1 | 19.6 | 1,026.0 | 34.0 | 32.3 | 34.6 | 33.4 | 134.2 | 40.6 | 67.9 | 11.5 |
| Total $2 /$ | 19,933.1 | 14,097.1 | 7,252.4 | 7,476.4 | 48,759.0 | 9,778.5 | 10,548.9 | 10,053.7 | 12,821.4 | 43,202.4 | 6,516.3 | 8,898.4 | 5,685.5 |
| 1/ Does not i rounded. 3/ In 5/ Cotton warp, | ude fabri des webbi acron fill | cs deliver ng with co ing. 6/ Pr | ed to th tton war relimina | $\begin{aligned} & \text { e militars } \\ & \text { p and nyld } \\ & \text { ry. } \end{aligned}$ | forces on filling | the form . 4/ Inc | m of end ludes Oxf | products. <br> ord with | 2) Total cotton war | s were ma <br> $p$ and nyl | de before on fillin | data we . |  |

Compiled from reports of the Department of Defense.



[^3]Compiled from reports of the Department of Defense.

Table 22.--Cotton broadwoven goods: Production and percentage distribution by kinds, calendar years, 1950 to date


1/Preliminary.

Table 23.--Manmade fiber and silk broadwoven goods: Production by type of fabric, April-June 1958 and 1959


Bureau of the Census.

Table 24.--Cotton cloths: Exports by destination, United States, average 1920-29,
1930-39, 1935-39, 1940-44, annuai 1945 to date I/

| Year | Canada | $:$ $:$ : Philippine: $:$ : Republic : | Cuba |  | Union of South Africa | : Other <br> : Latin <br> : America | Europe | Other <br> Asia | All <br> other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Million $\frac{\text { yards }}{2 /}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Million } \\ & \frac{\text { yards }}{2 /} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Million } \\ & \frac{\text { yards }}{2 /} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Million } \\ & \text { yards } \\ & \frac{2}{} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Million } \\ & \text { yards } \\ & \frac{2 /}{} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Million } \\ \text { yards } \\ \underline{2 /} \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{c} \text { Million } \\ \text { yards } \end{array} \\ & \frac{2 /}{} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Million } \\ & \frac{\text { yards }}{2 /} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Milion } \\ \text { yards } \\ \frac{2 /}{} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{c} \text { Million } \\ \text { yards } \end{array} \\ & \underline{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| Average 1920-29 | 52.1 | 79.5 | 76.4 | 10.5 | 9.6 | 260.4 | $25 \cdot 7$ | 31.0 | 18.1 | 563.3 |
| Average 1930-39 | 26.9 | 75.1 | 57.4 | 4.9 | $3 \cdot 7$ | 114.2 | 4.7 | 7.4 | 5.4 | 299.7 |
| Average 1935-39 | 23.5 | 77.7 | 58.5 | 3.9 | 1.9 | 85.8 | 2.7 | 6.5 | 1.4 | 261.9 |
| Average 1940-44 | 157.9 | 32.5 | 42.6 | 10.3 | 18.7 | 109.5 | 34.9 | 21.2 | 86.2 | 513.8 |
| 1945 | 191.1 | 2.5 | 32.4 | 6.0 | 29.1 | 91.5 | 64.9 | 78.1 | 177.2 | 672.8 |
| 1946 | 203.0 | 85.2 | 33.5 | 10.7 | 26.8 | 101.9 | 61.4 | 136.7 | 115.7 | 774.9 |
| 1947 | 278.4 | 96.9 | 43.8 | 27.0 | 94.1 | 238.5 | 165.4 | 230.3 | 293.6 | 1,468.0 |
| 1948 | 160.4 | 83.0 | 39.8 | 38.9 | 98.0 | 141.7 | 49.0 | 227.5 | 102.1 | 940.4 |
| 1949 | 173.7 | 112.7 | 44.2 | 28.2 | 54.8 | 124.1 | 47.3 | 240.4 | 54.8 | 880.2 |
| 1950 | 151.5 | 35.1 | 65.3 | 22.8 | 13.0 | 118.9 | 12.0 | 117.7 | 20.0 | 556.3 |
| 1951 | 143.0 | 120.1 | 44.6 | 34.7 | 72.5 | 121.4 | 27.4 | 200.4 | 38.4 | 802.5 |
| 1952 | 199.7 | 94.9 | 54.7 | 33.5 | 27.1 | 155.0 | 10.7 | 154.8 | 30.3 | 760.7 |
| 1953 | 179.5 | 116.4 | 44.9 | 34.0 | 14.7 | 108.5 | 4.9 | 109.3 | 8.6 | 620.8 |
| 1954 | 165.5 | 121.3 | 62.7 | 35.1 | 30.3 | 126.3 | 5.1 | 48.2 | 10.6 | 605.1 |
| 1955 | 180.8 | 99.7 | 57.3 | 28.6 | 26.2 | 90.0 | 3.9 | 48.7 | 7.2 | 542,4 |
| 1956 | 192.1 | 67.1 | 50.5 | 32.9 | 25.2 | 85.6 | 4.6 | 38.7 | 14.9 | 511.6 |
| 1957 | 207.3 | . 79.4 | 51.8 | 30.8 | 38.3 | 95.7 | 12.2 | 26.6 | 11.0 | 553.1 |
| 1958 | 218.8 | 43.8 | 45.0 | 34.2 | 30.1 | 88.4 | 14.3 | 17.3 | 11.3 | 503.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I/ Includes duck, tire fabrics, all other cotton cloths, printed, bleached, unbleached, yarm dyed and colored and mixtures made largely of cotton yarns. <br> 2/ Linear yards for 1920 and 1921 - square yards 1922 to date. <br> Bureau of the Census. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^4]Table 25.--Cotton, upland: Acreage allotments, by States and regions, United States, 1959 and 1960

| $\begin{gathered} \text { State } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { region } \end{gathered}$ | : | 1960 apportionment to States |  | Total allotments available for distribution in States |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : | From national allotment | :From national reserve | $\begin{array}{ll}: & 1960 \\ : & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}: & 1959 \\ : & \end{array}$ |
|  | : | Acres | Acres | Acres | Acres |
| Alabama | - | 944,958 | 44,088 | 989,046 | 985,191 |
| Arizona |  | 319,554 | 865 | 320,419 | 330,835 |
| Arkansas | : | 1,337,484 | 7,794 | 1,345,278 | 1,339,171 |
| California | : | 725,038 | 3,164 | 728,202 | 733,618 |
| Florida | : | 32,531 | 4,987 | 37,518 | 37,380 |
| Georgia | : | 825,364 | 34,563 | 859,927 | 850,600 |
| Illinois | : | 3,110 | 32 | 3,142 | 3,143 |
| Kansas | : | 23 | 3 | 26 | 26 |
| Kentucky | : | 7,248 | 386 | 7,634 | 7,552 |
| Iouisiana |  | 560,741 | 14,239 | 574,980 | 578,579 |
| Maryland | : | 15 | - | 15 | 15 |
| Mississippi |  | 1,543,242 | 33,012 | 1,576,254 | 1,570,967 |
| Missouri | : | 354,740 | 2,755 | 357,495 | 357,796 |
| Nevada | : | 2,343 | 1,000 | 3,343 | 3,343 |
| New Mexico | : | 168,124 | 889 | 169,013 | 171,380 |
| North Carolina | : | 439,152 | 35,563 | 474,715 | 470,315 |
| Oklahoma |  | 759,145 | 16,081 | 775,226 | 752,784 |
| South Carolina | : | 673,631 | 27,978 | 701,609 | 698,238 |
| Tennessee | : | 526,556 | 24,189 | 550,745 | 554,635 |
| Texas |  | 6,761,512 | 55,965 | 6,817,477 | 6,846,757 |
| Virginia |  | 15,489 | 2,447 | 17,936 | 17,675 |
| United States total |  | 16,000,000 | 310,000 | 16,310,000 | 16,310,000 |
| West 1/ | : |  |  | 1,220,977 | 1,239,176 |
| Southwest 2/ | : |  |  | 7,592,729 | 7,599,567 |
| Delta 3/ | : |  |  | 4,415,528 | 4,411,843 |
| Southeast 4/ | : |  |  | 3,080,766 | 3,059,414 |

1 West includes California, Arizona, New Mexico and Nevada.
2/ Southwest includes Texas, Oklahoma and Kansas.
3/ Delta includes Missouri, Arkansas, Tennessee, Mississippi, Louisiana, Iilinois and Kentucky.
4/ Southeast includes Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama and Maryland.

Commodity Stabilization Service.

Table 26.--Cotton: Exports, by staple length and by countries of destination, United States, 1958-59 and August 1959

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Country } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { destination } \end{gathered}$ | August l, 1958 through July 30, 1959 |  |  |  | August 1959 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1-1/8 | 1 inch |  |  | 1-1/8 | 1 inch |  |  |
|  | inches | to | Under |  | inches : | to | Under |  |
|  | and over | 1-1/8 | 1 inch | Total | and over: | 1-1/8 | 1 inch | Total |
|  | $1 /$ | inches |  |  | 1/ | inches |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
|  | Funning | Running | Running | Running | Running | Running | Running | Funning |
|  | bales | bales | bales | bales | bales | bales | bales | bales |
| Europe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United Kingdom | 788 | 92,961 | 108,395 | 202,144 | 0 | 4,024 | 3,302 | 7,326 |
| Austria | 719 | 9,609 | 4,486 | 14,814 | 45 | 687 | 100 | 832 |
| Belgium and : ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Luxembourg | 1,285 | 29,686 | 16,945 | 47,916 | 0 | 1,475 | 2,505 | 3,980 |
|  | 906 | 2,799 | 3,820 | 7,525 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 300 |
| Eire | 0 | 727 | 346 | 1,073 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 70 |
| Finland | 729 | 11,492 | 209 | 12,430 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 107 |
| France | 23,116 | 135,399 | 35,097 | 193,612 | 0 | 4,706 | 1,516 | 6,222 |
| Germany (West) | 4,860 | 81,334 | 14,608 | 100,802 | 656 | 3,309 | 1,124 | 5,089 |
| Italy | 2,549 | 97,285 | 48,510 | 148,344 | 0 | 3,663 | 3,621 | 7,284 |
| NetherlandsNorway | 1,451 | 16,778 | 2,046 | 20,275 | 0 | 1,227 | 253 | 1,480 |
|  | 0 | 450 | 848 | 1,298 | 0 | 200 | 100 | 300 |
| Portugal | 641 | 9,879 | 1,178 | 11,698 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spain | 22,416 | 240,945 | 19,322 | 282,683 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sweden | 0 | 29,709 | 3,532 | 33,241 | 0 | 1,157 | 201 | 1,358 |
| Switzerland | 0 | 7,470 | 3,865 | 11,335 | 0 | 564 | 221 | 785 |
| Trieste | 100 | 943 | 117 | 1,160 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| Yugoslavia | 2,425 | 113,971 | 29,222 | 145,618 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 553 | 76,393 | 13,213 | 90,159 | 0 | 13,812 | 2,002 | 15,814 |
| Total Europe | 62,538 | 957,830 | 305,759 | 1,326,127 | 701 | 34,944 | 15,315 | 50,960 |
|  | = |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Countries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 2,330 | 41,887 | 41,080 | 85,297 | 500 | 999 | 100 | 1,599 |
| Colombia | 3,030 | 17,084 | 307 | 20,421 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chile | 217 | 399 | 1,808 | 2,424 | 0 | 91 | 112 | 203 |
| India | 66,359 | 10,910 | 0 | 77,269 | 49 | 241 | 0 | 290 |
| Pakistan | 7,960 | 643 | 180 | 8,783 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Indonesia | 0 | 8,572 | 7,450 | 16,022 | 0 | 4,322 | 223 | 4,545 |
| Korea | 536 | 34,830 | 183,609 | 218,975 | 97 | 1,012 | 6,359 | 7,468 |
| Hong Kong | 144 | 9,802 | 112,570 | 1.12,516 | 0 | 561 | 4,026 | 4,587 |
| Taiwan | 1,180 | 11,226 | 165,382 | 177,788 | 0 | 202 | 2,856 | 3,058 |
|  | 3,439 | 60,347 | 457,655 | 521,441 | 108 | 685 | 19,658 | 20,451 |
| Australia | 1,402 | 33,068 | 4,187 | 38,657 | 75 | 1,240 | 0 | 1,315 |
| Morocco <br> Union of South | 0 | 6,133 | 4,303 | 10,436 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Union of South Africa | 237 | 4,682 | 9,222 | 14,141 | 0 | 204 | 422 | 626 |
| Other | 19,340 | 109,253 | 20,980 | 149,573 | 507 | 1,841 | 1,014 | 3,362 |
| World total | 168,712 | 1,306,666 | 1,314,492 | 2,789,870 | 2,037 | 46,342 | 50,085 | 98,464 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1/ Includes American Egyptian and Sea Island Cotton.

Table 27.--Cotton: Exports, by staple length and by countries of destination, United States, September 1959 and cumulative totals since August l, 1959


1 Includes American Egyptien and Sea Island cotton.

Table 28.--Cotton exports: Total and under specified programs by country of destination,
fiscal years, 1957-58 and 1958-59 1/

| Country of destination and year |  | Public Law 480 |  |  |  |  |  | Mutual Security |  | Total financed 2/ 3/ |  | Totel exported 2/ 3/ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Title I |  | Title |  | Barter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Quentity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quan- <br> tity | Value | Quantity | Value | Quan- <br> tity | Value | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Quan- } \\ & \text { tity } \end{aligned}$ | Value |
|  |  | Thou. bales 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mil. } \\ & \text { dol. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thou. } \\ & \text { bales } \\ & 4 / \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mil. } \\ & \text { dol. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thou. } \\ & \text { bales } \\ & \frac{4}{} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mil. } \\ & \text { dol. } \end{aligned}$ | Thou. <br> bales <br> 4. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mil. } \\ & \text { dol. } \end{aligned}$ | Mhou. <br> bales <br> 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M11. } \\ & \text { dol. } \end{aligned}$ | Thou. beles 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mill} . \\ & \text { dol. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1957-58 | : | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 264 | 54 |
| 1958-59 | : | --- | --- | --- | --- | 21 | 3 | --- | --- | 21 | 3 | 94 | 13 |
| Europe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Belgium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1957-58 |  | $5 /$ | $6 /$ | --- | --- | 34 | 4 | 3 | $6 /$ | 37 | 4 | 181 | 26 |
| 1958-59 |  | 0 | 0 | --- | --- | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 51 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1957-58 |  | 147 | 21 | --- | --- | 10 | 1 | 113 | 18 | 270 | 40 | 291 | 45 |
| 1958-59 | : | 16 | 2 | --- | --- | 0 | 0 | 173 | 24 | 189 | 26 | 257 | 37 |
| Germany, West |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1957-58 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $6 /$ | 2 | 6/ | 87 | 11 | --- | --- | 90 | 11 | 627 | 94 |
| 1958-59 |  | 5/ | $6 /$ | 2 | 6/ | 0 | 0 | --- | --- | 2 | $6 /$ | 125 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1957-58 | : | 25 | 3 | 19 | 4 | 45 | 5 | 37 | 6 | 126 | 18 | 546 | 82 |
| 1958-59 | : | 70 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 108 | 14 | 183 | 23 |
| Netherlands : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1957-58 | : | --- | --- | --- | --- | 18 |  | --- | --- | 18 | 2 | 106 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1957-58 |  | 265 | 40 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 7/275 | 7/41 | 242 | 37 |
| 1958-59 | : | 93 | 15 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | - 93 | 15 | 109 | 27 |
| Spain : 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1957-58 | : | 99 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 80 | 13 | 198 | 31 | 188 | 32 |
| 1958-59 |  | 165 | 28 | 5 | 1 | 46 | 6 | 120 | 18 | 337 | 53 | 300 | 45 |
| Sweden : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1957-58 | : | --- | --- | --- | --- | 25 | 3 | --- | --- | 25 | 3 | 119 | 17 |
| 1958-59 |  | --- | --- | --- | --- | 0 | 0 | --- | -.- | 0 | 0 | 45 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1958-59 |  | 0 | 0 | --- | --- | 81 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 8 | 226 | 28 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1957-58 | . | 95 | 13 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 1 | $6 /$ | 96 | 13 | 81 | 11 |
| 1958-59 | : | 161 | 21 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 0 | 0 | 161 | 21 | 171 | 22 |
| Asia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| China (Formosa) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1957-58 | : | --- | --- | 0 | 0 | 3 | $6 /$ | 103 | 13 | 106 | 13 | 93 | 11 |
| 1958-59 |  | --- | --- | 5/ | 6/ | 39 | 5 | 150 | 16 | 190 | 21 | 178 | 20 |
| India : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1957-58 |  | 49 | 8 | --- | --- | 14 | 2 | 73 | 13 | 136 | 23 | 119 | 22 |
| 1958-59 | : | 79 | 13 | --- | --- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 13 | 74 | 12 |
| Japan : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1957-58 |  | 46 |  | --- | -- | 33 | 3 | --- | --- | 8/479 | 8/64 | 1,129 | 151 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1957-58 | : | 3 | $6 /$ | --- | --- | 0 | 0 | 201 | 28 | 204 | 28 | 205 | 28 |
| 1958-59 | : | 0 | 0 | --- | --- | 2 | $6 /$ | 223 | 29 | 226 | 30 | 228 | 30 |
| All other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1957-58 | : | 128 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 12 | 58 | 8 | 8/336 |  | 772 | 111 |
| 1958-59 | : | 73 | 9 | $5 /$ | 6/ | 101 | 12 | 122 | 17 | 2/321 | $\underline{9} / 42$ | 462 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1957-58 \\ & 1958-59 \end{aligned}$ | : |  | $128$ | $25$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 465 \\ 10 / 296 \end{array}$ |  | 670 | 99 | 2,486 | 351 | $5,666$ | $\begin{aligned} & 841 \\ & 413 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1958-59 | : | 658 | 98 | 8 | 1 | 10/396 | 10/46 | 798 | 106 | 2,278 | 301 | 3,129 | 413 |

1/ Data based on: Liftings under Mutual Security Act authorizations, reported shipments under Titles I and II of Public Law 480, reports on distribution of exports under barter contracts and Export-Import Bank loans. 2/ Totals were made before rounding. 3/Total exports and those financed under the specified export programs are not directly comparable because of differences in reporting periods and techniques. Over the long run the differences tend to cancel out. 4/ Running bales, partly estimated. 5/Less than 500 bales. 6/ Less than $\$ 500,000$. 7/ Includes l. 6 million dollars to Poland estimated to represent 10 thousand bales under the Special Presidential Fund. 8 / Includes Export-Import Bank loans as follows: 54 million dollars to Japan and 8 million dollars to Austria estimated to represent 400 thousand bales and 53 thousand bales respectively, $9 /$ Includes Export-Import Bank loans as follows: 46 million dollars to Japan and 3 million dollars to Austria estimated to represent 395 thousand bales and 24 thousend bales respectively. $10 /$ Includes 3 million dollars representing 20 thousand bales which were delivered to barter contractors but for which destination reports have not been received.

Data for fiscal year 1958-59 preliminary.

Table 29.--Cotton: Supply and distribution in foreign countries, 1950 to date I/


[^5]

| : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ |
| SOUTH AMERICA: | - | : | : | : | : | , | : | : | : |  | ¢ |
| Argentina. . . . . . . . . . . . . . : | 770 : | 1,308: | 1,585: | 1,500: | 1,575: | 289: | 557 : | 783 : | 460 : | -- |  |
| Brazil. | 5,562: | 4,680: | 3, 700 : | 4, 000 : | 4,600: | 1,956: | 1,655: | 1,350: | 1,400: | -- |  |
| Colombia | 98 : | 163 : | 164 : | 300 : | 370 : | 23: | 69 : | 102: | 230: | 250 |  |
| Esuador................... | 40 : | 38 : | 45 : | 45 : | -- : | 13 : | 11: | 16 : | 14 : | -- |  |
| Paraguay | 111: | 153 : | 143 : | 150 : | -- : | 40: | 59 : | 51: | 45 : | -- |  |
| Peru...................... | 428 : | 488 : | 610: | 605 : | -- : | 379 : | 450 : | 505 : | 505 : | 493 |  |
| Venezuela | 50: | $35:$ | -- : | -- : | -- : | 11: | 13: | 30: | 25 : | -- |  |
| Total 4/ | 7,060: | 6,870: | 6,302: | 6,655: | 7,395: | 2,711: | 2,816: | 2,839: | 2,681: | 2,985 |  |
| : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : |  |  |
| AFRICA AND OCEANTA: |  | : | - | : | : | : | : | - | - |  |  |
| Sudan. | 439 : | 614 : | 728 : | 887 : | 945 : | 248 : | 383 : | 225 : | 573 : | 625 |  |
| Belgian Congo............. | 874 : | 863 : | 840 : | 855: | 865 : | 172: | 222 : | 205: | 225 : | 230 |  |
| Rhodesia-Nyasaland....... | 86 : | 82 : | 24 : | 26 : | - : | 12 : | 13 : | 9 : | 13: | -- |  |
| Kenya. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | -- : | 73 : | 85 : | 100: | -- : | 13: | 11: | 10 : | 15 : | -- |  |
| Tanganyika................. | 477 | 209: | 400 : | 400: | 450: | 50 : | 55 : | 140 : | 143 : | 148 |  |
| Uganda. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1,477: | 1,574: | 1,617: | 2,014: | 1,675: | 281: | 291 : | 292: | 330 : | -- |  |
| Egypt........................ | 1,821: | 1,832: | 1,888: | 1,977: | 1,827: | 1,893: | 1,705: | 1,861: | 2,048: | 2,074 |  |
| Morocco.................... | 1: | 9: | 17: | 17: | -- : | 8/ : | 5 : | 10: | 11: | -- | 1 |
| French Equatorial Africa.: | 390 : | 838 : | 895 : | 900: | 900: | - 41: | 135 : | 185: | 185: | 188 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |
| French West Africa....... | -- : | -- : | -- : | -- : | -- : | 28 : | 30 : | 57 : | 70 : | -- | 1 |
| Mozambique. | -- : | 690 : | 745 : | 750 : | -- : | 9/33: | 148 : | 140: | 185: | -- |  |
| Nigeria................... | -- : | 463 : | -- : | -- : | -- : | $30:$ | 114 : | 200 : | 140 : | -- |  |
| Angola.................... | $73:$ | 124 : | 130 : | 127 : | 128 : | 13 : | 25 : | 34 : | 28 : | 35 |  |
| Union of South Africa....: | -- : | 66 : | -- : | -- : | : | 2 : | 23 : | 28 : | 31 : | -- |  |
| Australia. | 53: | 8: | 10: | 15: | -- : | 11: | 3 : | 3 : | 7: | -- |  |
| Total 4/. | 6,176: | 7,685: | 8,649: | 9,338: | 8,988: | 2,840: | 3,182: | 3,409: | 4,019: | 4,014 |  |
| : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : |  |  |
| : | : | : | : |  | : | : |  | : |  |  |  |
| World total 4/..............: | 81, 147: | 81, 983 : | 79, 215 : | 79, 095 : | 82, 175 : | 31, 690: | 38,360: | 41,475: | 44, 215: | 46,610 |  |
| Foreign Free Wor'd 4/.: | 41, 140: | 40,239: | 45, 919: | 46,872: | 46,619: | 12, 219 : | 13,794: | 16,814: | 17,322: | 16,934 |  |
| Communist countries $4 /$ : | 12, 219 : | 18,883: | 19,738: | 20,374: | 20,565: | 6,322: | 10,473: | 13,697: | 15, 381 : | 14,984 |  |
|  | : | : | : | : | : | : | - | : | . |  |  |

1/ Years refer to crop years beginning August 1, in which major portion of crop was harvested. 2/ Preliminary. 3/ Production in bales of 478 pounds net prior to 1946 and 480 pounds thereafter. 4/ Includes estimates for minor-producing countries not listed above and countries for which statistics are not yet available. 5/ Figures for 1943 to date are not comparable with prewar figures because of boundary changes. 6/ Pakistan included with India. 7/ South Koreir only after 1941. 8/ Less than 500. 9/ Exports.

Foreign Agricultural Service. Prepared or estimated on the basis of official statistics of foreign governments, other foreign source material, reports of U. S. agricultural attaches and Foreign Service officers, results of office research and related information.

Table 31.--Cotton: Acreage in acreage reserve program, yield per harvested acre, 1956-57 to 1958-59


I/ Less than 50 acres.
2/ Less than 500 acres.
3/ Totals were made before data were rounded.

Table 32. --Cotton: Harvested acreage by regions and each region as a percentage of total harvested acreage, United States, 1928 to date

| Crop year beginning Aug. 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { West } \\ I / \end{gathered}$ |  | Southwest 2/ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delta } \\ 3 / \end{gathered}$ | $:$ $:$ $:$ | Southeast 4 |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000 | Per- | 1,000 | Per- | 1,000 | Per- | 1,000 | Per- | 1,000 |
|  | acres | cent | acres | cent | acres | cent | acres | cent | acres |
| 1928 | 544 | 1.3 | 20,896 | 49.2 | 10,425 | 24.6 | 10,570 | 24.9 | 42,434 |
| 1929 | 662 | 1.5 | 20,992 | 48.6 | 10,827 | 25.0 | 10,751 | 24.9 | 43,232 |
| 1930 | 608 | 1.4 | 20,069 | 47.3 | 11,123 | 26.2 | 10,644 | 25.1 | 42,444 |
| 1931 | 493 | 1.3 | 18,132 | 46.8 | 10,541 | 27.3 | 9,539 | 24.6 | 38,704 |
| 1932 | 348 | 1.0 | 16,443 | 45.7 | 10,351 | 28.9 | 8,749 | 24.4 | 35,891 |
| 1933 | 443 | 1.5 | 13,930 | 47.4 | 7,921 | 27.0 | 7,089 | 24.1 | 29,383 |
| 1934 | 449 | 1.7 | 12,746 | 47.4 | 6,990 | 26.0 | 6,680 | 24.9 | 26,866 |
| 1935 | 468 | 1.7 | 12,976 | 47.2 | 7,234 | 26.3 | 6,831 | 24.8 | 27,509 |
| 1936 | 692 | 2.3 | 13,849 | 46.6 | 8,120 | 27.3 | 7,094 | 23.8 | 29,755 |
| 1937 | 1,078 | 3.2 | 14,912 | 44.4 | 9,2.96 | 27.6 | 8,337 | 24.8 | 33,623 |
| 1938 | 638 | 2.6 | 10,441 | 43.1 | 6,887 | 28.4 | 6,283 | 25.9 | 24,248 |
| 1939 | 608 | 2.6 | 10,304 | 43.3 | 6,889 | 28.9 | 6,004 | 25.2 | 23,805 |
| 1940 | 675 | 2.8 | 10,294 | 43.2 | 6,835 | 28.6 | 6,056 | 25.4 | 23,861 |
| 1941 | 719 | 3.2 | 9,376 | 42.2 | 6,513 | 29.3 | 5,628 | 25.3 | 22,236 |
| 1942 | 756 | 3.3 | 9,829 | 43.5 | 6,520 | 28.9 | 5,497 | 24.3 | 22,602 |
| 1943 | 601 | 2.8 | 9,280 | 43.0 | 6,435 | 29.7 | 5,294 | 24.5 | 21,610 |
| 1944 | 559 | 2.8 | 8,430 | 43.1 | 6,031 | 30.7 | 4,597 | 23.4 | 19,617 |
| 1945 | 587 | 3.4 | 6,885 | 40.5 | 5,355 | 31.4 | 4,201 | 24.7 | 17,029 |
| 1946 | 622 | 3.5 | 7,020 | 39.9 | 5,601 | 31.9 | 4,342 | 24.7 | 17,584 |
| 1947 | 922 | 4.3 | 9,472 | 44.5 | 6,388 | 29.9 | 4,548 | 21.3 | 21,330 |
| 1948 | 1,294 | 5.6 | 9,638 | 42.1 | 7,148 | 31.2 | 4,831 | 21.1 | 22,911 |
| 1949 | 1,611 | 5.9 | 12,400 | 45.2 | 7,775 | 28.3 | 5,653 | 20.6 | 27,439 |
| 1950 | 1,026 | 5.8 | 7,495 | 41.9 | 5,493 | 30.8 | 3,829 | 21.5 | 17,843 |
| 1951 | 2,179 | 8.1 | 13,335 | 49.4 | 6,650 | 24.7 | 4,785 | 17.8 | 26,949 |
| 1952 | 2,357 | 9.1 | 11,920 | 46.0 | 6,633 | 25.6 | 5,011 | 19.3 | 25,921 |
| 1953 | 2,347 | 9.6 | 9,920 | 40.8 | 7,027 | 28.9 | 5,046 | 20.7 | 24,341 |
| 1954 | 1,509 | 7.8 | 8,660 | 45.0 | 5,459 | 28.4 | 3,623 | 18.8 | 19,251 |
| 1955 | 1,287 | 7.6 | 7,690 | 45.5 | 4,746 | 28.0 | 3,206 | 18.9 | 16,928 |
| 1956 | 1,290 | 8.3 | 6,915 | 44.3 | 4,441 | 28.4 | 2,969 | 19.0 | 15,615 |
| 1957 | 1,248 | 9.2 | 6,445 | 47.5 | 3,683 | 27.2 | 2,182 | 16.1 | 13,558 |
| 1958 | 1,288 | 10.9 | 5,805 | 48.9 | 3,206 | 27.1 | 1,550 | 13.1 | 11,849 |
| 1959 5/ | 1,456 | 9.7 | 6,900 | 46.1 | 4,155 | 27.7 | 2,480 | 16.5 | 14,991 |

[^6]Table 33.--Production of cotton by regions, United States, 1928 to date

|  |  |  | ductio |  |  | Pe | centag | Of | . crop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| year |  | South- | Delta | South- |  |  |  |  |  |
| beginning | West <br> $1 /$ | west | States | east |  |  | : South- |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { South- } \\ & \text { east } \end{aligned}$ |
| Aug. | $1 /$ | a/ | $3 /$ | $4$ | States | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { west } \\ \underline{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { east } \\ & \text { 4/ } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | bales | bales | bales | bales | bales |  |  |  |  |
|  | 500 lb . | 500 lb . | 500 lb . | 500 lb . | 500 lb . |  |  |  |  |
|  | gr. wt. | gr. wt. | gr. wt. | gr. wt. | gr. wt. | $\underline{\text { Pct. }}$ | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. |
| 1928 | 409 | 6,310 | 3,995 | 3,763 | 14,477 | 3 | 44 | 27 | 26 |
| 1929 | 502 | 5,084 | 4,904 | 4,336 | 14,825 | 4 | 34 | 33 | 29 |
| 1930 | 519 | 4,892 | 3,589 | 4.933 | 13,932 | 4 | 35 | 26 | 35 |
| 1931 | 393 | 6,582 | 5,464 | 4,658 | 17,097 | 2 | 39 | 32 | 27 |
| 1932 | 270 | 5,584 | 3,921 | 3,228 | 13,003 | 2 | 43 | 30 | 25 |
| 1933 | 407 | 5,694 | 3,389 | 3,556 | 13,047 | 3 | 44 | 26 | 27 |
| 1934 | 466 | 2,722 | 3,257 | 3,291 | 9,636 | 5 | 28 | 33 | 34 |
| 1935 | 449 | 3,523 | 3,171 | 3,495 | 10,638 | 4 | 33 | 30 | 33 |
| 1936 | 744 | 3,223 | 4,724 | 3,708 | 12,399 | 6 | 26 | 38 | 30 |
| 1937 | 1,214 | 5,927 | 6,787 | 5,017 | 18,946 | 6 | 31 | 36 | 27 |
| 1938 | 716 | 3,649 | 4,572 | 3,007 | 11,943 | 6 | 31 | 38 | 25 |
| 1939 | 747 | 3,372 | 4,645 | 3,052 | 11,817 | 6 | 29 | 39 | 26 |
| 1940 | 868 | 4,036 | 4,122 | 3,540 | 12,566 | 7 | 32 | 33 | 28 |
| 1941 | 691 | 3,370 | 4,266 | 2,417 | 10,744 | 6 | 31 | 40 | 23 |
| 1942 | 706 | 3,746 | 5,108 | 3,256 | 12,817 | 6 | 29 | 40 | 25 |
| 1943 | 580 | 3,207 | 4,502 | 3,138 | 11,427 | 5 | 28 | 39 | 28 |
| 1944 | 579 | 3,280 | 4,939 | 3,432 | 12,230 | 5 | 27 | 40 | 28 |
| 1945 | 576 | 2,079 | 3,644 | 2,716 | 9,015 | 7 | 23 | 40 | 30 |
| 1946 | 758 | 1,931 | 3,413 | 2,539 | 8,640 | 9 | 22 | 39 | 30 |
| 1947 | 1,185 | 3,767 | 4,192 | 2,716 | 11,860 | 10 | 32 | 35 | 23 |
| 1948 | 1,532 | 3,527 | 6,282 | 3,536 | 14,877 | 10 | 24 | 42 | 24 |
| 1949 | 2,087 | 6,650 | 4,878 | 2,512 | 16,128 | 13 | 41 | 30 | 16 |
| 1950 | 1,639 | 3,188 | 3,518 | 1,667 | 10,014 | 16 | 32 | 35 | 17 |
| 1951 | 2,842 | 4,536 | 4,467 | 3,304 | 15,149 | 19 | 30 | 29 | 22 |
| 1952 | 3,098 | 4,072 | 5,068 | 2,901 | 15,139 | 21 | 27 | 33 | 19 |
| 1953 | 3,167 | 4,754 | 5,646 | 2,899 | 16,465 | 19 | 29 | 34 | 18 |
| 1954 | 2,716 | 4,233 | 4,507 | 2,240 | 13,696 | 20 | 31 | 33 | 16 |
| 1955 | 2,201 | 4,502 | 5,313 | 2,705 | 14,721 | 15 | 31 | 36 | 18 |
| 1956 | 2,578 | 3,876 | 4,629 | 2,227 | 13,310 | 19 | 29 | 35 | 17 |
| 1957 | 2,539 | 3,895 | 3,011 | 1, 520 | 10,964 | 23 | 36 | 27 | 14 |
| 1958 | 2,644 | 4,621 | 2,883 | 1,364 | 11,512 | 23 | 40 | 25 | 12 |
| 1959 5/ | 3,059 | 4,945 | 4,787 | 2,010 | 14,801 | 21 | 33 | 32 | 14 |

I/ West includes California, Arizona, New Mexico and Nevada. 2/ Southwest includes Texas, Oklahoma and Kansas. 3/ Delta includes Missouri, Arkansas, Tennessee, Mississippi, Louisiana, Illinois, and Kentucky. 4/ Southeast includes Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, and Alabama. 5/ Crop Reporting Board report of November 9, 1959.

Crop Reporting Board.

Table 34.--Cotton: Yield per acre on harvested acreage, inited States and regions, 1928 to date

| Year | : West 1 |  | Southwest 2/ |  | Delta 3/ |  | : Southeast 4 |  | U. S. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Trend 5/ | ctual | Trend 5) | Actual | $\begin{gathered} \text { Trend } \\ 5 / \end{gathered}$ | :Actual | Trend 5/ | nctual | $\begin{gathered} \text { Trend } \\ 5 / \end{gathered}$ |
|  | : Lb. | Lb. | $\underline{\mathrm{Lb}}$. | Lb. | $\underline{L b}$. | Lb. | Lb. | Lb. | $\underline{\mathrm{Lb}}$. | $\underline{L b}$ |
| 1928 | 360 | 355 | 145 | 142 | 183 | 202 | 170 | 199 | 163 | 174 |
| 1929 | 363 | 373 | 116 | 148 | 217 | 205 | 193 | 205 | 164 | 179 |
| 1930 | 409 | 391 | 117 | 145 | 154 | 202 | 221 | 203 | 157 | 179 |
| 1931 | 381 | 402 | 174 | 142 | 248 | 200 | 233 | 211 | 212 | 178 |
| 1932 | 372 | 422 | 163 | 139 | 181 | 210 | 176 | 218 | 174 | 182 |
| 1933 | 440 | 442 | 196 | 14.4 | 205 | 229 | 240 | 232 | 213 | 194 |
| 1934 | 497 | 461. | 102 | 150 | 216 | 240 | 236 | 235 | 172 | 202 |
| 1935 | 459 | 481 | 130 | 154 | 210 | 259 | 245 | 238 | 185 | 211 |
| 1936 | 514 | 507 | 111 | 156 | 278 | 263 | 250 | 243 | 199 | 215 |
| 1937 | 539 | 517 | 190 | 157 | 350 | 278 | 288 | 246 | 270 | 222 |
| 1938 | 538 | 518 | 167 | 156 | 318 | 297 | 229 | 251 | 236 | 228 |
| 1939 | 587 | 514 | 157 | 163 | 324 | 311 | 243 | 257 | 238 | 238 |
| 1940 | 616 | 518 | 189 | 169 | 289 | 331 | 280 | 269 | 252 | 250 |
| 1941 | 460 | 513 | 173 | 173 | 314 | 336 | 206 | 276 | 232 | 256 |
| 1942 | 448 | 518 | 183 | 167 | 376 | 330 | 284 | 275 | 272 | 253 |
| 1943 | : 463 | 527 | 166 | 169 | 336 | 329 | 285 | 281 | 254 | 250 |
| 1944 | 497 | 525 | 187 | 171 | 393 | 340 | 359 | 293 | 299 | 264 |
| 1945 | : 470 | 525 | 145 | 179 | 326 | 341 | 310 | 286 | 254 | 268 |
| 1946 | : 584 | 559 | 132 | 182 | 292 | 341 | 280 | 286 | 236 | 272 |
| 1947 | 616 | 578 | 191 | 180 | 314 | 335 | 286 | 292 | 267 | 271 |
| 1948 | : 567 | 597 | 176 | 180 | 421 | 338 | 351 | 291 | 311 | 274 |
| 1949 | 620 | 613 | 257 | 185 | 301 | 337 | 213 | 282 | 282 | 277 |
| 1950 | : 764 | 657 | 204 | 195 | 307 | 345 | 209 | 281 | 269 | 286 |
| 1951 | : 625 | 683 | 163 | 211 | 322 | 372 | 332 | 294 | 269 | 307 |
| 1952 | : 629 | 721 | 164 | 220 | 366 | 392 | 277 | 302 | 280 | 322 |
| 1953 | : 646 | 766 | 230 | 233 | 385 | 389 | 275 | 300 | 324 | 331 |
| 1954 | : 862 | 806 | 235 | 246 | 395 | 404 | 296 | 323 | 34.1 | 351 |
| 1955 | : 818 | 834 | 281 | 262 | 536 | 431 | 405 | 343 | 417 | 374 |
| 1956 | : 957 |  | 269 |  | 499 |  | 359 |  | 409 |  |
| 1957 | : 974 |  | 290 |  | 392 |  | 334 |  | 388 |  |
| 1958 | : 983 |  | 382 |  | 430 |  | 422 |  | 466 |  |
| 1959 6/ | :1,009 |  | 344 |  | 553 |  | 389 |  | 474 |  |

1/ West includes California, Arizona, New Mexico and Nevada. 2/ Southwest includes Texas, Oklahoma and Kansas. 3/ Delta includes Missouri, Arkansas, Tennessee, Mississippi, Louisiana, Illinois and Kentucky. 4/ Southeast includes Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, an̄ Alabama. 5/ Trend yield is 9-year centered average yield. 6/ Preliminary, Crop Reporting Board report of November 9, 1959.

[^7]Table 35.--Cotton: Acreage, production and yield forecast, by States, crop of 1959 with comparisons: November 9, 1959

|  |  | : Lint | yield p sted ac | per | : | Produc 2 | tion |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1959 | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Acreage for |  |  |  | : $\quad$ : |  | 1959 |  |
| State | harvest | : Averag |  | :Indi- | : Average: | 1958 | crop | Percent |
|  | I/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { : Average } \\ & .1948-57 \end{aligned}$ | 1958 | :cated |  |  | indi | change |
|  |  |  |  | $1959$ |  |  | ated | $\begin{aligned} & \text { from } \\ & 1958 \end{aligned}$ |
| , |  | : |  |  | : |  |  | : |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |  |
|  | 1,000 |  |  |  | bales | bales | bales |  |
|  | acres | Pounds | Pounds | Pounds | $3 /$ | $3 /$ |  | Percent |
| North Carolina | 395 | 324 | 466 | 395 | 419 | 256 | 325 | $+27$ |
| South Carolina | 565 | 310 | 406 | 353 | 598 | 299 | 415 | +39 |
| Georgia | 660 | 284 | 443 | 378 | 655 | 352 | 520 | $+48$ |
| Tennessee | 515 | 392 | 501 | 597 | 572 | 419 | 640 | +53 |
| Alabama. | 815 | 312 | 398 | 427 | 844 | 439 | 725 | +65 |
| Mississippi | 1,440 | 396 | 409 | 533 | 1,710 | 961 | 1,600 | +66 |
| Missouri | 400 | 396 | 446 | 612 | 386 | 275 | 510 | +85 |
| Arkansas | 1,295 | 386 | 436 | 571 | 1,429 | 925 | 1,540 | +66 |
| Louisiana | 495 | 396 | 392 | 470 | 624 | 297 | 485 | +63 |
| Oklahoma | 600 | 184 | 365 | 300 | 367 | 313 | 375 | +20 |
| Texas | 6,300 | 232 | 383 | 348 | 3,956 | 4,308 | 4,570 | +6 |
| New Mexico | 198 | 582 | 820 | 832 | 275 | 301 | 343 | $+14$ |
| Arizona | 380 | 831 | 931 | 960 | 740 | 734 | 760 | +4 |
| Califormia | 875 | 748 | 1,049 | 1,070 | I, 424 | 1,604 | 1,950 | +22 |
| Other States 4/: | 58 | 304 | 403 | 358 | 48 | 29 | 43 | +48 |
| United States | 14,991 | 329 | 466 | 474 | 14,046 | 11,512 | 14,801 | +29 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| American |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Egyptian 5/ | 66.4 | 435 | 525 | 530 | 49.7 | 83.6 | 73.3 | -12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

I/ Preliminary.
2/ Production ginned and to be ginned.
3/ Bales of 500 pounds gross weight. A 500 -pound bale contains about 480 net pounds of lint.
4. Includes Virginia, Florida, Illinois, Kentucky, Kansas and Nevada.

5/ Included in State and United States totals.
Crop Reporting Board, November 9, 1959.

Table 36.--All kinds of cotton: Supply and distribution, United States, average 1935-39, 1945-49 and 1950 to date

|  | Supply |  |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \text { beginning } \\ & \text { August } 1 \end{aligned}$ | Carryover beginning of season | $\begin{gathered} \text { Production } \\ 1 / \end{gathered}$ | Imports | City crop | Total | Consump- tion | Exports | Destroyed | Total |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1,000 } \\ \text { bales 2/ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales } 3 / \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales } 2 / \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales ?/ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales 2/ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales } 2 / \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales } 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales } 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1,000 } \\ & \text { bales 2 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Average 1935-39 | 8,336.4 | 12,711.0 | 170.6 | --- | 21,278.0 | 6,938.2 | 5,297.4 | 56.8 | 12,292.4 |
| Average 1945-49 | 5,877.4 | 11,905.5 | 251.7 | 23.0 | 18,057.6 | 9,037.7 | 3,927.4 | 33.6 | 12,998.7 |
| 1950 | 6,846.1 | 9,850.7 | 188.8 | 28.0 | 16,913.6 | 3/10,509.4 | 4,107.7 | 27.0 | 14,644.1 |
| 1951 | 2,277.9 | 15,028.7 | 72.2 | 40.0 | 17,418.8 | 3/ 9,196.0 | 5,514.8 | 35.0 | 14,745.8 |
| 1952 | 2,789.4 | 15,124.1 | 193.2 | 42.0 | 18,148.7 | 3/ 9,461.2 | 3,048.2 | 50.0 | 12,559.4 |
| 1953 | 5,604.8 | 16,359.5 | 141.6 | 43.0 | 22,148.9 | 8,576.2 | 3,760.5 | 75.0 | 12,411.7 |
| 1954 | 9,727.9 | 13,545.0 | 146.3 | 46.0 | 23,465.2 | 8,841.5 | 3,445.5 | 60.0 | 12,347.0 |
| 1955 | 11,205.4 | 14,632.9 | 136.6 | 47.0 | 26,021.9 | 3/9,209.6 | 2,213.9 | --- | 11,423.5 |
| 1956 | 14,528.8 | 12,977.1 | 4/136.4 | 50.0 | 4/27,643.9 | 3/ 8,608.4 | 7,593.4 | --- | 16,201.8 |
| 1957 | 11,322.6 | 10,862.? | $-141.2$ | 58.0 | -22,384.0 | -7,999.2 | 5,716.8 | --- | 13,715.9 |
| 1958 | 8,737.0 | 11, 222.8 | 136.7 | 50.0 | 20,146.5 | 8,583.8 | 2,790.1 | --- | 11,473.9 |
| 19596/ | 8,899.7 | 14,722.0 | 140.0 | 50.0 | 23,811.7 |  |  |  | -1, 1 |

i/ Includes in-season ginnings.
2/ Running bales except imports which are in bales of 500 pounds.
3/ Adjusted to calendar year.
4/ Imports include but total supply excludes 48,213 bales of stockpile cotton entered under the longstaple cotton import quota.
5 Includes 50,000 bales of long-staple cotton released from the strategic stockpile and offered for sale by CCC for unrestricted use.
6/ Preliminary, partly estimated.
Table 37.--Extra-long staple cotton: Supply and distribution, United States, average 1935-39, 1945-49, and 1950 to date I/


1/ Includes American Egyptian, Sea Island, Egyptian and Peruvian.
$\frac{2}{3}$ / American Egyptian and Sea Island in running bales, foreign in bales of 500 pounds.
$3 /$ Adjusted to a cotton marketing year basis, August l-July 31.
4/ Less than 50 bales.
5 / Imports include but total supply excludes 48,213 bales of stockpile cotton entered under the long-
staple import quota.
6/ Includes 55,000 bales from Mexico entered under the long-staple quota and added to the Upland supply.
7/ Includes 50,000 bales of American Egyptian cotton released from the stockpile. Does not include long-
staple cotton from Mexico.
8/ Preliminary, partly estimated.
9/ Cotton 1-3/8 inches and longer only.

Table 38.--Average prices for cotton in the 14 designated spot markets,
and farm prices, United States, 1945 to date


Table 39.--Cotton: Parity price and farm price as a percent of parity, United States, 1944 to dale


[^8]Table 40.--Rayon and cotton: Actual prices of yarm and equivalent prices of raw fiber, United States, average 1930-34, and 1935-39, 1940 to date

| Year beginning Aug. | Actual prices per pound |  | Equivalent prices per pound of usable fiber |  |  | Ratios |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rayon | Rayon staple | Rayon staple |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cotton 4 ] |  |
|  | Rayon fila- | Cotton | Rayon | :-Coter | : | yarn to | fiber to | fiber |
|  | ment | yarm | staple | :Midaling | : S. M. | cotton | Middling | S. M. |
|  | - yarn | 2/ | fiber | $: 15 / 16$ | :1-1/16 | yarn | $\begin{gathered} \text { Madan } 15 \\ 15 / 16 \end{gathered}$ | 1-1/16 |
|  | : 1/ |  | 3/ | : inch | : inches |  | inch | inches |
|  | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1930-34 | : 67 | 37 | 46.83 | 11.68 | 13.54 | 181 | 401 | 346 |
| Average |  | 36 | 28.56 | 13.37 | 14.95 | 156 | 214 | 191 |
| 1935-39 | 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1940 | 53 | 39 | 26.25 | 13.71 | 15.34 | 136 | 191 | 171 |
| 1941 | : 55 | 50 | 26.25 | 22.33 | 25.01 | 110 | 118 | 105 |
| 1942 | 55 | 52 | 26.25 | 24.55 | 27.45 | 106 | 107 | 96 |
| 1943 | 55 | 52 | 25.20 | 25.07 | 27.97 | 106 | 101 | 90 |
| 1944 | 55 | 56 | 26.25 | 26.47 | 28.97 | 98 | 99 | 91 |
| 1945 | 55 | 62 | 26.25 | 31.26 | 33.15 | 89 | 84 | 79 |
| 1946 | 63 | 83 | 30.58 | 41.83 | 43.44 | 76 | 78 | 70 |
| 1947 | 72 | 102 | 36.33 | 41.39 | 44.87 | 71 | 88 | 81 |
| 1948 | 76 | 86 | 38.40 | 38.90 | 41.58 | 88 | 99 | 92 |
| 1949 | 71 | 81 | 36.79 | 38.55 | 42.42 | 88 | 95 | 87 |
| 1950 | 77 | 112 | 40.95 | 51.18 | 54.53 | 69 | 80 | 75 |
| 1951 | 78 | 86 | 42.00 | 47.50 | 50.16 | 91 | 88 | 84 |
| 1952 | 78 | 78 | 39.00 | 41.72 | 44.57 | 100 | 93 | 88 |
| 1953 | 78 | 70 | 35.70 | 40.56 | 43.36 | 112 | 88 | 82 |
| 1954 | 80 | 71 | 35.70 | 41.34 | 45.41 | 114 | 86 | 79 |
| 1955 | 85 | 75 | 34.13 | 41.95 | 46.35 | 112 | 81 | 74 |
| 1956 | 89 | 73 | 32.29 | 39.79 | 44.69 | 123 | 81 | 72 |
| 1957 | 87 | 72 | 32.46 | 40.53 | 46.11 | 121 | 80 | 70 |
| 1958 | 77 | 71 | 33.21 | 40.77 | 45.85 | 108 | 81 | 72 |
| 1959 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August | 82 | 72 | 34.65 | 39.20 | 43.88 | 114 | 88 | 79 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1/ Tholesale price of Viscose on skeins first quality yarn, 150 denier until June 1947, since July 1947 "on cones."

2/ Wholesale price of Single 40 's carded until July 1946; August 1946, through December 1951, twisted carded; January 1952 to date, carded, knitting, singles 30.
$3 /$ Wholesale price of Viscose, l-l/2 denier. Assumes net waste multiplier of 1.05
4/ Price of Memphis Territory growths, landed Group B mill points and assuming net waste multiplier of 1.15 .

Bureau of Labor Statistics, and Cotton Division, AMS.

Table 41.- Cottonseed products: Output, United States, 1948-49 to date

| Year beginning August 1 | : | Cottonseed crushed | Crude oil | Cake and meal | Hulls | : | Linters $1 / 2 /$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | : | 1,000 | Million | 1,000 | 1,000 |  | 1,000 |
|  | : | tons | pounds | tons | tons |  | bales |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1948 | : | 5,332 | 1,704 | 2,391 | 1,236 |  | 1,646 |
| 1949 | : | 5,712 | 1,847 | 2,555 | 1,338 |  | 1,710 |
| 1950 | : | 3,723 | 1,197 | 1,669 | 857 |  | 1,244 |
| 1951 | : | 5,476 | 1,751 | 2,548 | 1,234 |  | 1,767 |
| 1952 | : | 5,563 | 1,825 | 2,672 | 1,199 |  | 1,799 |
| 1953 | : | 6,256 | 2,074 | 2,961 | 1,388 |  | 2,003 |
| 1954 | : | 5,249 | 1,735 | 2,561 | 1,139 |  | 1,699 |
| 1955 | : | 5,588 | 1,894 | 2,631 | 1,249 |  | 1,703 |
| 1956 | : | 4,949 | 1,682 | 2,390 | 1,073 |  | 1,507 |
| 1957 | : | 4,247 | 1,430 | 1,958 | 966 |  | 1,256 |
| 1958 | : | 4,439 | 1,550 | 2,109 | 1,065 |  | 1,289 |
| 1959 3/ | : | 5,600 | 1,900 | 2,700 | 1,200 |  | 1,700 |

If Includes production at gins and delinting plants. $2 /$ Running bales through September 1958 ;
600 pound equivalent sross weight bales tiereafter. 3/ Preliminary and estimated.

Table 42.- Cottonseed cake and meal and hulls: August 1 stocks at oil mills, United States, 1952-53 to date


Bureau of the Census.

Table 43.- Prices for specified qualities of cotton linters, by specified months $1 /$

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{5}{*}{Year end month} \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Feiting grade} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Chemical grade} <br>
\hline \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Grade and staple $2 /$} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{4}{*}{$:$
Base $\quad:$ Differential}} <br>
\hline \& \multirow{3}{*}{2} \& \multirow{3}{*}{3} \& \multirow{3}{*}{4} \& \multirow{3}{*}{5} \& \multirow{3}{*}{6} \& \multirow{3}{*}{7} \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1958} \& Cents \& Cents \& Cents \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Cents} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Cents} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Cents} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Cents} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Cents} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Aug. \& 8.16 \& 7.57 \& 6.42 \& 5.74 \& 4.61 \& 3.67 \& 2.54 \& . 04 <br>
\hline Sept. \& 8.42 \& 7.44 \& 6.31 \& 5.56 \& 4.38 \& 3.38 \& 2.42 \& . 03 <br>
\hline Oct. \& 8.42 \& 7.33 \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{$$
\begin{aligned}
& 6.25 \\
& 5.79 \\
& 5.84
\end{aligned}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{5.50

5.08
4.09} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{4.36

3.94

3.90} \& 3.36 \& 2.41 \& \multirow[t]{6}{*}{$$
\begin{aligned}
& .03 \\
& .03 \\
& .03
\end{aligned}
$$} <br>

\hline 1959 \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{$$
\frac{3 / 75}{7 \cdot 75}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 7.35 \\
& 7.00 \\
& 7.06
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \& \& \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2.94 \\
& 2.89
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2.41 \\
& 1.79 \\
& 1.79
\end{aligned}
$$
\]} \& <br>

\hline Aug. \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Sept. \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Oct. \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 1 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

I/ Monthily averages of prices quoted at Atlanta, Memphis, Dallas and Los Angeles, for linters uncompressed in carlots f.o.b. cottonseed oil mill points, excluding ports. 2/Grade 2, staple 2; grade 3, staple 3; etc. 3/ Not available.

Table 44 .- Cottonseed and linters: Production, United States, averages 1935-39, 1940-44, 1945-49 and 1950 to date


1/ Since 1941 includes production at gins and delinting plants. $2 /$ Running bales through septenber 1958; 600 pounds equivalent gross weight bales thereafter. 3/ Preliminary, partly estimated.

Bureau of the Census.

Table 45.- Cotton linters: Supply and disappearance, United States, averages
$1935-39,1940-44,1945-49$ and 1950 to date


1/ Since 1941 includes production at gins and delinting plants.
$\overline{2} /$ Running bales through September 1958; 600 pound equivalent gross weight bales thereafter. Bales of 500 pounds.
Preliminary, partly estimated.

Bureau of the Census.
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## U. S. Department of Agriculture Washington 25, D. C.

## OFFICIAL BUSINESS

[^9]
[^0]:    1) Authorized for delivery, shipments and disbursements. $2 /$ Preliminary.

    3 Incomplete data to November 6, only. 4/Running bales partly estimated.
    5/ Includes cotton waste. 6/ Excludes agreements with Indonesia amounting to about 18.3 million dollars, for which purchase authorizations have not been issued. 7/ Less than 50,000 bales. 8/Totals were made before rounding.

[^1]:    $1 /$ IncIudes American-Egyptian, Sealand and Sea-Island. $2 /$ Estimated Stock. $3 /$ Less than $50^{\circ}$ bales.

[^2]:    I/ Includes Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida and Alabama.

    2/ Includes California, Arizona, New Mexico and Nevada.

[^3]:    l/ Does not include fabrics delivered to tue military forces in the form of end products
    $\overline{2} /$ Totals were made before data were rounded.
    3/ Including Oxford witn rayon filling.
    4/ Includes small percentage of wool
    [// Preliminary.

[^4]:    Bureau of the Census.

[^5]:    Foreign Agricultural Service.

[^6]:    1/ Includes California, Arizona, New Mexico and Nevada.
    2/ Includes Texas, Oklahoma and Kansas.
    3/ Includes Missouri, Arkansas, Tennessee, Mississippi, Louisiana, Illinois and Kentucky.

    4/ Includes Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida and Alabama.
    5/ Crop Reporting Board report of November 9, 1959.

[^7]:    Orop Reporting Board.

[^8]:    1/ Calculated from reised indices as fublushed by Apricultural Economics Division, Jamuary lisc.
    $\frac{1}{2} /$ Since November 1952 farm rree of American Upland.
    3/ New parity since Jarwary $135^{\prime}$.

[^9]:    NOTICE
    If you no longer need this publication, check here $\longrightarrow$ return this sheet, and your name will be dropped from the malling list.

    If your address should be changed, write the new address on this sheet and return the whole sheet to:

    Adr inistrative Services Division (ML)
    Agricultural Marketing Service
    U. S. Department of Agriculture

    Washington 25, D. C.

