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Production of cotton abroad in 1959-60 is decreasing from that of the preceding season for the first time since World War II At the same time, consumption abroad is increasing to a record high. The gap
between the large consumption and the smaller production is one factor in sharply larger U. S. exports--up to at least 6 million bales in 1959-60 from 2.8 million in 1958-59.

| Item | Unit | $: 1958$ |  |  | 1959 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | : October | : November | : December | : October | November | December 1/ |
| Prices, received by farmers for Am. Upland (mid-month) | Cents | $: 33.26$ | 32.38 | 30.29 | 32.51 | 30.84 | 30.03 |
| Parity price for Am. Upland ................................ | Cents | 38.80 | 38.93 | 38.93 | 37.80 | 37.93 | 37.93 |
| Farm price as a percentage of parity....................... | Percent | : 86 | 83 | 78 | 86 | 81 | 79 |
| Average 14 spot market price Middling 1 inch.............. | Cents | 34.75 | 34.75 | 34.41 | 31.66 | 31.61 | -- |
| Average price for 20 constructions, gray goods........... | Cents | 57.14 | 58.13 | 58.98 | 64.81 | 65.59 | 66.37 |
| Average price cotton used in 20 constructions............ | Cents | 34.98 | 34.77 | 33.92 | 32.20 | 32.55 | 33.17 |
| Mill margins for 20 constructions.......................... | Cents | 22.16 | 23.36 | 25.06 | 32.61 | 33.04 | 33.20 |
| ( |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| BIS wholesale price index |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| All camodities.............................................. | $1947-49=100$ | 119.0 | 119.2 | 119.2 | 119.1 | 118.9 | 118.9 |
| Cotton broadwoven goods. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | do. | 84.3 | 84.6 | 85.6 | 90.7 | 92.2 | --- |
| Index of industrial production : |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall, including utilities (adjusted) 2/.............. | $1947-49=100$ | : 146 | 150 | 151 | 155 • | 156 | 165 |
| Textiles, products and apparel (adjusted.) .............. | do. | : 111 | 110 | 110 | 122 | 121 | --- |
| Personal income payments (adjusted)......................... | Billion dollars | : 364.3 | 367.5 | 366.9 | 382.3 | 384.8 | --- |
| Retail store sales (apparel group adjusted) .............. | Million dollars | 1,068 | 1,033 | 1,101 | 1,079 | --- | --- |
| Mill consumption of all kinds of cotton 3/. | 1,000 bales | : 4/839.1 | 671.6 | 4/720.2 | 732.2 | 725.5 | 4/799:9 |
| Mill consumption, daily rate (unadjusted) 5/............. | 1,000 bales | : 33.6 | 33.6 | - 28.8 | 36.6 | 36.3 | - 32.0 |
| Mill consumption, daily rate (adjusted) 5/............... | 1,000 bales | : 31.8 | 31.9 | 20,681 | 34.7 | 34.5 | 34.3 |
| Spindles in place end of month in cotton system.......... | Thousands | : 20,697 | 20,726 | 20,681 | 20,269 | 20,317 | 20,111 |
| Spindles consuming 100 percent cotton................... | Thousands | : 17,650 | 17,611 | 17,616 | 17,648 | 17,696 | 17,709 |
| Spindles idle.......................................................... | Thousands | : 1,418 | 1,457 | 1,405 | 996 | 1,009 | 785 |
| Gross hourly earnings in broadwoven goods 6/.............. | Dollars | 1.44 | 1.45 | 1.45 | 1.54 | --- | --- |
| Mill stocks t unfilled orders, cotton broadwoven goods 7/: | Percent | 52 | 42 | 38 | 21. | 20 | --- |
| Exports of cotton.............................................. | 1,000 bales | : 181.4 | 313.9 | 297.8 | 392.1 | 651.5 | --- |
| Exports of cotton since August l............................. | 1,000 bales | : 602.0 | 915.9 | 1,213.7 | 720.4 | 1,371.9 | --- |
| Imports of cotton............................................... | Bales | : 12,356 | 472 | 809 | 1,550 | 216 | --- |
| Imports of cotton since August l............................. | Bales | :120,648 | 121,120 | 121,929 | 123,288 | 123,504 | --- |
| Mill stocks end of month. | 1,000 bales | : 1,348.6 | 1,428.2 | 1,545.5 | 976.3 | 1,263.6 | 1,572.7 |
| Stocks, public storage, etc................................... | 1,000 bales | : 10,316.6 | 12,035.7 | 12,795.8 | 12,468.4 | 14,235.5 | 13,690.6 |
| Linters prices 8/ |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 2, Staple 2. | Cents | 8.42 | 8.40 | 8.11 | 7.88 | 7.89 | 7.96 |
| Grade 4, Staple 4............................................... | Cents | 6.25 | 6.06 | 5.97 | 5.88 | 5.90 | 6.08 |
| Grade 6, Staple 6............................................... | Cents | 4.36 | 5.34 | 4.31 | 3.94 | 3.91 | 4.12 |
| : |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rayon prices |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Viscose yarn, 150 denier.................................... | Cents | $: \quad 76$ | 76 | 76 | 82 | 82 | --- |
| Staple fiber, viscose $1 \frac{1}{2}$ denier........................... | Cents | 31 | 31 | 31 | 33 | 33 | --- |
| Acetate yarn, 150 denier.................................... | Cents | : 77 | 77 | 77 | 74 | 74 | --- |
| 1/ Preliminary. 2/ Revised indexes. 3/4-week period $7 /$ End of month. 8 Average of specified grades and stap | xcept as noted. es at four mark | ets. | iod. 5/5 | $\text { y week. } 6$ | Cotton, silk | d synthet | fibers |
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## SUMMARY

Despite an increase in 1959 production of about 3.2 million bales, the carryover of cotton on August 1, 1960 is estimated at about 8.5 million bales, approximately 400,000 bales lower than on August 1, 1959. The decline is being caused by a sharp increase in exports and some increase in domestic mill consumption.

Exports for the season are currently estimated at at least 6 million bales. The increase is being caused by record high mill consumption in the foreign free world, a decline in foreign free world production, an increase in foreign free world stocks of cotton, and relatively low prices for U. S. cotton.

The decline in foreign free world production is occurring principally in Mexico and Central America because of smaller acreage. The increase in foreign free world cotton consumption reflects larger sales of textiles than a year earlier, a replenishment of textile stocks in some countries, and lower cotton prices at the start of the season. Prices for cotton abroad have increased recently. The high level of cotton consumption and the relatively low prices for U. S. cotton are being accompanied by an increase in cotton stocks in the foreign free world from the low level of 1959.

Domestic mill consumption of cotton is estimated at about 9 million bales for the 1959-60 season compared with 8.7 million in 1958-59. This is a projection of the seasonally adjusted rate of consumption during the first 5 months of the current season. Prices for gray goods and the mill margins are at high levels and the ratio of stocks to unfilled orders for broadwoven goods is relatively low. However, the seasonally adjusted stock-unfilled order ratio turned upward in November. If the rise continues, it may foreshadow some decline in mill activity later in 1960.

Stocks of cotton held by CCC (owned and held as collateral against outstanding price support loans) totaled about 6 million bales as of January 15. This compares with about 7 million bales a year earlier and on August l, 1959. As of January 15, CCC had purchased about 8.2 million bales under the Choice A program of price support and had sold about 5.8 million of such cotton.

The average 14 spot market price for Middling l-inch cotton on January 22 had increased to 31.91 cents per pound from the seasonal low of 31.58 cents per pound at the end of October. However, the January 22 price was well below the 34.30 cents per pound on the same date a year earlier. Discounts for the lower grades of white cotton and for the colored grades have narrowed considerably during the current season and some of this cotton is selling for prices well above a year earlier.

## RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

## Disappearance Up Sharply

Disappearance of cotton during the 1959-60 marketing year is estimated to be at least 15 million bales. This is at least 3.5 million bales or 30 percent above disappearance in the preceding marketing year. Except for 1956-57, disappearance during 1959-60 will be the largest since 1928.

The increase in disappearance is resulting primarily from larger exports, though domestic mill consumption also is expected to increase. Exports during 1959-60 are expected to be at least 6 million bales, compared with 2.8 million a year earlier. Domestic mill consumption is expected to be about 9 million bales compared with 8.7 million a year earlier.

## Rate of Exports Large

Exports of cotton from August 1 through November 1959 were 1.4 million bales, about 500,000 bales larger than during the same period a year earlier. (See table 18.) Trade reports indicate that exports since the first of December have continued at a rapid rate. The slow rate during the early part of the season was primarily caused by the small stocks of cotton held by the cotton trade. As more cotton became available, exports began to move at a much more rapid rate. Stocks of cotton held by other than Commodity Credit Corporation and at other locations than consuming establishments totaled only 744,000 bales on August 1, the least since 1949. (See table 1.)

Table l.--Stocks of all kinds of cotton held by CCC, consuming establishments and others, United States, August 1, 1949-1959


Registrations Under the
Payment-in-Kind Program Large
Registrations under the payment-in-kind export program for shipment before August 1,1960 totaled 5.2 million bales as of January 22 . Registrations were made at a very rapid rate during November and December. On October 30, registrations totaled 2,982,431 bales. By December 18 registrations had increased to 4,662,168. The rate of registrations has declined since the middle of December. From December 19 to January 22 registrations were 584,062 bales.

As commitments are made which fill the demand of importing countries the rate of registrations will decline from the high levels of the November to midDecember period. It is thought that importing countries are rapidly approaching commitments which will meet their demand for cotton from the United States. (See table 2.)

Table 2.--Registrations under cotton export program: Payment-in-kind


Commodity Stabilization Service.

## Supply and Distribution

## of Cotton Abroad

Consumption of cotton in the foreign free world during the 1959-60 season is expected to increase more than a million bales over that of 1959. The increase is being caused by a recovery from a textile recession of a year earlier and by lower world cotton prices. Along with the increase in consumption of cotton, production of cotton in the foreign free world declined slightly for the first time since World War II, and starting stocks of cotton were the lowest since 1956.

The high level of cotton consumption and the relatively low prices for U. S. cotton are being accompanied by an increase in cotton stocks in the foreign free world between August 1, 1959 and August 1, 1960. Because of the gap between foreign production and consumption, the increase in stocks is contributing to the increase in U. S. exports.

Table 3.--Cotton: Supply and distribution in the foreign free world, 1956-57 to date


1/ Preliminary.
2/ Estimated.
$3 /$ Includes 0.1 million bales to correct for rounding of figures.

Foreign Agricultural Service.
C.i.f. prices for United States Upland cotton at Liverpool and Bremen in December generally were below those of a year earlier and below those for comparable qualities of foreign grown cotton. A year earlier, prices for U. S. cotton at these markets were generally above those for comparable qualities of foreign grown cotton. Prices for cotton abroad have increased recently. (See table 4.)

Table 4.--C.i.f. price: Liverpool and Bremen, United States and foreign grown cotton, December 1959 and 1958


The C.i.f. prices quoted above are a new price series, publication of which is started with this issue of The Cotton Situation. (See page 25.)

The qualities and growths quoted in the European markets differ somewhat from those used for comparison of prices at spot markets. Also the prices differ because they are quoted at different markets. Essentially, however, the two series show similar relationships. (See tables 19 and 20.) In five of the seven comparisons, U. S. spot export prices were cheaper than spot prices for foreign grown cotton in December. Also U. S. spot export prices were lower than those of a year earlier.

Government Financing of U. S.
Cotton Exports Declines
The U. S. Government as of January 20, 1960 had allotted about $\$ 223$ million to finance the export of cotton in the fiscal year ending June 30 , 1960 under Section 402 of the Mutual Security Act, Public Law 480, Titles I and II, and recoverable Export-Import Bank loans. These funds will probably finance the export of about 1.8 million bales, and compare with approximately 253 million dollars and 1.8 million bales financed in 1958-59. The figures for 1959-60 exclude existing agreements for which purchase authorizations have not been made Additional financing arrangements may be authorized later in the fiscal year. (See table 5.)

Table 5.--Special programs of the U. S. Government for financing cotton exports: Fiscal years beginning July 1, 1957, 1958 and 1959 I/


Domestic MiIl Consumption Up
Consumption of cotton by domestic mills in the 1959-60 marketing year probably will be about 9 million bales. This compares with 8.7 million bales in 1958-59 and 8.0 in 1957-58.

Consumption from August 1 through January 2, 1959 was about 3.8 million bales, compared with about 3.5 million for approximately the same period a year earlier.

The rate of cotton consumption during the second half of the 1958-59 marketing year was well above the rate during the first half of the season. The seasonally adjusted rate for the first 5 months of the $1959-60$ marketing year was about the same as the rate for the February-July 1959 period. During the February-July 1.960 period, consumption is expected to be about the same as that for the same period a year earlier, about 4.5 million bales.

Seasonally Adjusted Stock-Unfilled
Order Ratio Increases
The seasonally adjusted stock-unfilled order ratio for the end of November increased over a month earlier for the first time since August 1958. At the end of November this ratio stood at 0.23 compared with 0.21 from June through October. The average stock-unfilled order ratio for the postwar period was 0.42 . When the stock-unfilled order ratio for broadwoven goods increases, cotton mill consumption can be expected to decline several months in the future, and vice versa.

The seasonal adjustment of this ratio is introduced for the first time in this issue of The Cotton Situation. An explanation of the seasonal adjustment and its implications are given in the article starting on page 19.

Mill Margins Large
The average mill margin (20 constructions) for gray goods for the amount of fabric made from a pound of cotton was at a peak of 33.20 cents in December. This compares with 33.04 cents in November and 25.06 cents for the same month a year earlier. The series for 20 constructions of fabrics started in August 1954 and the mill margin for December 1959 was the highest during this period. Prior to August 1954 data are available for 17 constructions of fabric but these data are not comparable with data for 20 constructions.

The larger margin in December was caused by a greater increase in the value of fabrics than in the price of cotton. Fabric value increased to about 66.37 cents for the amount of fabriss (average 20 constructions) made from a pound of cotton. Again, this was the highest since August 1954 and compares with 65.59 cents in November and 58.98 cents for the same month a year earlier.

The price of cotton used in manufacturing the 20 constructions increased in December over November. The December average was 33.17 cents a pound, compared with 32.55 cents in November. In December 1958 the average price was 33.92 cents per pound. (See table 21.)

## Imports and Exports of Cotton Cloth

Imports of cotton fabric during October 1959 totaled about 27.7 million square yards. This was the largest monthly total since January 1947 and compares with 8.1 million square yards the same month a year earlier. Total imports for January-October 1959 were 167.3 million square yards, compared with 117.1 million a year earlier.

Exports of cotton fabric during October 1959 totaled 44.8 million square yards compared with 41.6 million in October 1958. The cumulative total from January 1 through October 1959 was 392.2 million square yards, compared with 426.4 million during the same period a year earlier. (See table 6.)

Table 6.--Cotton broadwoven goods: Imports and exports, United States, January-August, 1958 and 1959

| Month | Imports |  |  |  | Exports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1958 |  | 195 |  | 1958 - |  | - 1959 |  |
|  | : Monthly | $\begin{aligned} & \text { : Cumu- } \\ & \text { :lative } \end{aligned}$ | Monthly | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cumu- } \\ & \text { : lative: } \end{aligned}$ | Monthly | $\begin{aligned} & \text { :Cumu- } \\ & \text { : } \text { lative: } \end{aligned}$ | Monthly | $\begin{aligned} & \text { :Cumu- } \\ & \text { :lative } \\ & \text { :lon } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | : Mil. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mil. } \\ \text { sq.yd. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mil. } \\ \text { sq.yd. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mil. } \\ \text { sg.yd. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mil. } \\ \text { sq.yd. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mil. } \\ \text { sq.yd. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mil. } \\ \text { sq.yd. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mil. } \\ \text { sq.yd. } \end{gathered}$ |
| January | : 13.2 | 13.2 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 40.8 | 40.8 | 42.5 | 42.5 |
| Febmuary | 11.2 | 24.4 | 16.7 | 25.8 | 45.2 | 86.0 | 34.1 | 76.6 |
| March | 10.2 | 34.6 | 13.7 | 39.5 | 45.0 | 131.1 | 41.7 | 118.3 |
| April | - 9.2 | 43.7 | 12.3 | 51.8 | 57.6 | 188.7 | 38.0 | 156.3 |
| May | : 14.7 | 58.5 | 16.4 | 68.1 | 46.8 | 235.5 | 39.9 | 196.2 |
| June | : 13.6 | 72.1 | 16.6 | 84.7 | 37.4 | 272.9 | 42.9 | 239.1 |
| July | - 15.2 | 87.3 | 18.3 | 103.1 | 29.2 | 302.1 | 33.1 | 272.1 |
| August | : 10.4 | 97.6 | 17.2 | 120.3 | 43.5 | 345.6 | 38.2 | 310.3 |
| September | : 11.4 | 109.1 | 20.3 | 140.6 | 39.1 | 384.8 | 37.1 | 347.4 |
| October | 8.1 | 117.1 | 27.7 | 167.3 | 41.6 | 426.4 | 44.8 | 392.2 |

Bureau of the Census.
Quantity of Products Exported

## Under Cotton Products Export

## Program Increases

Registrations under the Cotton Products Export Program from August 1 through December 1959 amounted to 85.7 million pounds. This compares with about 83.1 million pounds during the same period a year earlier and 88.9 mil lion in the August-December 1957 period. Increases were noted in 8 classes. The classes for which decreases were noted are classes $A, D, F, J$, and $K$. (See table 22.)
$\frac{\text { Stocks }}{\text { by }}$ of $\frac{\text { Cotton }}{\text { Held }}$
by CCC Declined
Stocks of cotton held by CCC (owned and held as collateral against outstanding price support loans) totaled about 6 million bales on January 15. This compares with about 7 million bales approximately a year earlier and on August 1, 1959.

Of the total on January 15 less than 200,000 bales was under loan, the balance was owned by CCC. Outstanding loans on upland cotton under the current support price program were about 176,000 bales. (See table 23.)

As of January l5, CCC had purchased about 8.2 million bales under the Choice A price suppori program. About 5 million of this had been sold by local sales agencies and about 0.4 million had been sold by the CCC Commodity Office in New Orleans.

Carryover To Decline
The estimated supply for the $1959-60$ marketing year is 23.7 million bales, about 3.4 million bales larger than a year earlier. The 1959 crop was up 3.2 million bales, and the carryover was up about 144,000 bales. (See table 7.) The estimated supply for 1959-60 is the largest since 1956-57.

Table 7.--All kinds of cotton: Supply, United States, 1953 to date

| Year beginning August 1 | Carryover beginning of season | Production 1/ | Net imports | City crop | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales 2/ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales } 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1,000 } \\ \text { bales } 2 / \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales 2/ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales } 2 / \end{aligned}$ |
| 1953 | 5,604.8 | 16,359.5 | 141.6 | 43.0 | 22,148.9 |
| 1954 | 9,727.9 | 13,545.0 | 146.3 | 46.0 | 23,465.2 |
| 1955 | 11,205.4 | 14,632.9 | 136.6 | 47.0 | 26,021.9 |
| 1956 | 14,528.8 | 12,977.1 | 3/136.4 | 50.0 | 3/27,643.9 |
| 1957 | 11,322.6 | 10,862.2 | 4/141.2 | 58.0 | 4/22,384.0 |
| 1958 | 8,737.0 | 11,373.2 | 136.5 | 51.0 | -20,297.7 |
| 1959 5/ | 8,881.2 | 14,640.0 | 140.0 | 50.0 | 23,711.2 |

1/ Includes in-season ginnings.
2/ Running bales except imports which are in bales of 500 pounds.
3/ Imports include but total supply excludes 48,213 bales of stockpile cotton entered under the long-staple cotton import quota.

4/ Includes 50,000 bales of long-staple cotton released from the strategic stockpile and offered for sale by CCC for unrestricted use.

5/ Preliminary, partly estimated.

Despite this large increase in supply, the sharp increase in exports and the smaller increase in domestic mill consumption is expected to reduce the carryover on August 1, 1960 about 400,000 bales. The carryover of about 8.5 million bales on August 1,1960 probably will be the smallest since 1953. Ginnings from the 1959 Crop

Harvesting of the 1959 crop proceeded at a rapid rate and as of January 16, 14.4 million bales or about 98 percent of the estimated 1959 crop had been ginned. During the preceding 5 years an average of 98.7 percent of the crop had been ginned by this date. In 1958, 99.2 percent of the crop had been ginned by January 16.

As of December 1, the 1959 crop was estimated at 14,640,000 munning bales ( $14,701,000$ bales of 500 pounds each). The estimate of harvested acreage was increased almost 200,000 acres from the previous estimate to 15,164,000. Primarily because of this increase and, to a lesser extent, because the estimate of the size of the crop declined slightly from previous estimates, the estimated yield declined from the 474 pounds previously estimated to 465 pounds per harvested acre, 1 pound below the record high yield of 1958. (Tables 24 and 25.)

## Boll Weevil Hibermation

Surveys of boll weevil hibernation of the Agricultural Research Service indicate that such hibernation has increased in most of the areas for which comparisons with 1958 are available. Increases are noted in Tennessee, Louisiana, two areas of Mississippi, and certain areas of North and South Carolina.

Table 8.--Results of fall surface trash examinations for hibernating boll weevils, specified States, November and December 1958 and 1959

| State | : Area or District |  | Live weevils per acre |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1958 | 1959 |
|  | : |  | Number | Number |
|  | - |  |  |  |
| Texas | : Central |  |  | 6,631 |
| Tennessee | : McNairy County |  | 1,214 | 1,882 |
| Missouri | : Dunklin County (northern) |  |  | 6,292 |
| Mississippi | : Northeastern |  | 5,756 | 8,097 |
|  | :) Lower delta |  | 4,242 | 4,215 |
|  | : Central delta |  | 4,909 | 8,513 |
|  | :)North delta |  | 2,960 | 4,787 |
|  | :)Hill Section |  | 3,057 | 2,991 |
| Georgia | ) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 1,133 | 716 |
| North and | : Coastal Plains |  | 4,625 | 5,082 |
| South Carolina | : Piedmont Section |  | 2,635 | 4,383 |
| North Carolina | : North Central Section | : | 968 | 834 |
| South Carolina | : South Central Section | : | 995 | 1,318 |

Agricultural Research Service.

Table 9 .--Premiums and discounts for grades and prices per pound for Middling l-inch cotton, in the designated spot markets, annual and monthly averages, 1950-59


Spot Market Price
For Middling I-Inch
Cotton Increases
The average 14 spot market price for Middling l-inch cotton on January 22 was 31.91 cents per pound, up 0.06 cent from the same date a month earlier. However, the January 8 price was well below the 34.30 cents per pound of January 22, 1959. The low for the season's date was reached on October 29 and 30 when the price was 31.58 cents per pound. Discounts for the lower grades of cotton have been narrowing during the current season. The discount for Strict Low Middling spotted from Middling l-inch was 7.39 cents per pound in December. During 1958-59 the discount averaged 10.40 cents. Other lower qualities also have shown a narrowing in the discount as indicated in table 9.

## Supply of Cotton

## Linters Increases

The supply of cotton linters in the U. S. in the $1959-60$ marketing year is estimated at about 2.5 million bales. This compares with about 2.3 million in 1958-59 and 2.2 million in 1957-58.

The increase is being caused by a larger production associated with the larger cotton crop. Production of cotton linters is estimated at about 1.7 million bales for the current season. This is 0.4 million above 1958-59 and the largest since 1955-56. (See table 10.) Imports of cotton linters are not expected to be greatly different from those of a year earlier when about 172,000 bales were imported.

Table 10.--Cotton linters: Supply, and disappearance, United States, 1955 to date

| Year <br> beginning Aug. 1 | Supply |  |  |  | Disappearance |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stocks Aug. 1 | Produc tion 1/ | Imports | Total | nsump <br> tion | Exports | Destroyed | Total |
|  | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
|  | bales | bales | bales | bales | bales | bales | bales | bales |
|  | 27 | 2/ | 3/ | $2 /$ | $2 /$ | $2 /$ | $\underline{2}$ | $3 /$ |
| 1955 | 1,491 | 1,703 | 204 | 3,382 | 1,789 | 396 | --- | 2,185 |
| 1956 | 1,025 | 1,507 | 135 | 2,667 | 1,438 | 334 | --- | 1,772 |
| 1957 | 824 | 1,256 | 139 | 2,218 | 1,102 | 185 | --- | 1,287 |
| 1958 | 810 | 1,335 | 172 | 2,318 | 1,210 | 243 | --- | 1,453 |
| 1959 4/ | 569 | 1,700 | 170 | 2,500 | 1,400 | 300 | --- | 1,700 |

I/ Includes production at gins and delinting plants. 2/Running bales through September 1958; 600-pound equivalent gross weight bales thereafter. 3/ Bales of 500 pounds. 4/ Preliminary, partly estimated.

Bureau of the Census.

## Disappearance of <br> Cotton Linters Up

The disappearance of cotton linters is estimated at about 1.7 million bales compared with about 1.4 million a year earlier. This will be the largest disappearance since 1956-57. Both consumption and exports are expected to increase. Consumption of cotton linters for the current season is estimated at about 1.4 million bales, compared with 1.2 million last season. Bleachers are accounting for most of the increase in consumption, using about 354,000 bales from August 1 through December compared with approximately 237,000 bales the same period a year earlier. Consumption by bleachers has shown a sharp gain over the rates of the latter part of the 1958-59 season when the rate was somewhat higher than in the early part of the season.

Other consumers consumed about 249,000 bales through December compared with about 238,000 bales in the same period of 1958 . Use by other consumers from January through July of the current season is likely to be below the

Table ll.--Prices for specified qualities of cotton linters, by months I/

| Year and month | Felting grade |  |  |  |  |  | Chemical grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade and staple $2 /$ |  |  |  |  |  | Base | Differ- <br> ential |
|  | 2 | 3 | $: 4$ | : 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  |
|  | : Cents $:$ per $:$ pound | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cents } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { pound } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cents } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { pound } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cents } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { pound } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cents } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { pound } \end{gathered}$ | Cents per pound | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cents } \\ & \text { per } \\ & \text { pound } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cents } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { pound } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 1957 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August | : 9.13 | 8.08 | 7.24 | 6.94 | 5.87 | 5.22 | 4.24 | 0.06 |
| September | : 9.22 | 7.99 | 7.13 | 6.88 | 5.71 | 5.00 | 3.84 | . 05 |
| October | : 8.82 | 7.69 | 6.73 | 6.38 | 5.28 | 4.60 | 3.14 | . 04 |
| November | : 8.38 | 7.57 | 6.59 | 6.23 | 5.17 | 4.29 | 3.10 | . 04 |
| December | : 8.72 | 7.72 | 6.15 | 6.47 | 5.38 | 4.44 | 3.20 | . 04 |
| 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August | : 8.16 | 7.57 | 6.42 | 5.74 | 4.61 | 3.67 | 2.54 | . 04 |
| September | : 8.42 | 7.44 | 6.31 | 5.56 | 4.38 | 3.38 | 2.42 | . 03 |
| October | : 8.42 | 7.33 | 6.25 | 5.50 | 4.36 | 3.36 | 2.41 | . 03 |
| November | : 8.40 | 7.19 | 6.06 | 5.34 | 4.31 | 3.25 | 2.17 | . 03 |
| December | : 8.11 | 7.19 | 5.97 | 5.21 | 4.31 | 3.19 | 1.97 | . 03 |
| 1959 | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August | : 3/ | 7.00 | 5.79 | 5.08 | 3.94 | 2.94 | 1.79 | . 03 |
| September | : 7.75 | 7.06 | 5.84 | 4.09 | 3.90 | 2.89 | 1.79 | . 03 |
| October | : 7.88 | 7.06 | 5.88 | 5.03 | 3.94 | 2.81 | 1.77 | . 03 |
| November | : 7.89 | 7.12 | 5.90 | 5.05 | 3.91 | 2.82 | 1.77 | . 03 |
| December | : 7.96 | 7.29 | 6.08 | 5.31 | 4.12 | 2.82 | 1.96 | . 04 |

1/ Monthly averages of prices quoted at Atlanta, Memphis, Dallas, and
Los Angeles, for linters uncompressed in carlots f.o.b. cottonseed oil mill points, excluding ports. $2 /$ Grade 2, staple 2 ; grade 3, staple 3; etc. 3/ IHot available.

Cotton Division, AMS
relatively high level of a year earlier, and the total for the 1959-60 season is not expected to show much gain, if any, over that of 1958-59.

## Prices for Linters Increase

Prices for some felting grade linters have shown a slight rise in recent months. The average price for Grade 3; Staple 3 felting linters rose from 6.83 cents in July to 7.29 cents in December. In December 1.958 the price for this quality of linters was 7.19 cents per pound. Prices for most other felting grades have increased from those of a month earlier. (See table 11.)

Prices of chemical linters, 73 percent cellulosic content, were quoted at 1.96 cents per pound in December. This compared with 1.77 cents in November and 1.97 cents in December 1958. The cellulose differential was at 0.03 cent per pound from September 1958 through November 1959, but increased to 0.04 cent in December.

## Producing Capacity for Rayon <br> and Acetate to Decline, <br> Capacity for Other Manmade <br> Fibers to Increase

According to the Textile Organon of December 1959, the producing capacity for rayon and acetate in November 1961 is scheduled to decline to 1,367 million pounds from 1,376 million in November 1959. The reduction is scheduled to take place principally in high tenacity rayon. (See table 12.)

The producing capacity for the noncellulosic manmade fibers in November 1961 is estimated at 1,343 million pounds, compared with 969 million pounds in November 1959. Large increases are scheduled for all categories of noncellulosic manmade fibers.

It is estimated that the total producing capacity for all manmade fibers will increase from 2,345 million pounds in November 1959 to 2,710 million in November 1961.

Manmade Fiber Production
At A High Level
U. S. manmade fiber production during the first 3 quarters of 1959 was well above the same period of 1958. Production January-September 1959 totaled about 1.4 billion pounds, 27 percent or l.l billion above the same period a year earlier. Production of rayon and acetate totaled abcut 835.8 million pounds, up about 20 percent. Production of other manmade fiber was about 591.1 million pounds, up about 42 percent over the 1958 period.

Data for November for production of all manmade fibers are not available, but shipments to domestic consumers of rayon and acetate declined. Shipments during November were about 78.9 million pounds compared with 84.3 million in October and 87.9 million in November 1958. Shipments in both October and November 1959 were below those for the same months in 1958. For 1959 shipments during these months totaled 163.2 million pounds and in the same 2 months in 1958 they were 179.6 million pounds.


1/ Except acetate staple + tow.
2/ Acetate includes triacetate and saponified acetate.
3/ "Total Reg Ten" means regular + intermediate tenacity rayon yarn and monofilaments plus all acetate yarn.
4/ Textile glass fiber of all types, including some staple.
5/ The non-cellulosic fibers included are those listed on pages 141-143 of the September 1959 Organon issue; yarn includes monofilaments and staple includes tow. Any saran, olefin or TFE-fluorocarbon staple and tow are tabulated as yarn.

6/ Grand total yarn means all yarn and monofilaments shown plus textile glass fiber.
Data from the Textile Organon, a publication of the Textile Economics Bureau, Incorporated

Seasonal Adjustments and Cyclical Movements for the Ratio of Stocks to Unfilled Orders for Cotton Broadwoven Goods

By Frank Lowenstein

A study published in October 1954 I/ showed the strong association between the ratio of stocks to unfilled orders for cotton broadwoven goods and mill consumption of cotton. It was found that the ratio moves in an inverse direction to cotton mill consumption. In other words, when the ratio is low future mill consumption tends to be high and vice versa. Changes in the ratio usually show an optimum lead over changes in mill consumption of cotton by about 5 months.

In the past, annual or 12 -month averages of this ratio have been used in analyzing cotton mill consumption. As long as analysis and forecasting are based on annual averages, it is not necessary to adjust the data for seasonality.

The ratio also can be used as an aid in forecasting month-to-month changes in mill activity. However, this poses the problem of seasonal variation since the ratio for some months tends to be higher or lower than the ratios for other months, year after year. Unless the seasonal movement is identified so that it can be separated from the cyclical, irregular, and trend movements of the ratio, the importance of month-to-month changes in the ratio cannot be evaluated.

Seasonal changes in the monthly ratios for the period January 1947 through July 1959 have been analyzed and seasonal patterns of the adjustment factors determined. 2/ These are illustrated in figure 1. The seasonal pattern for the series was basically the same from 1949 through 1953. Then the seasonal pattern went through a period of transition in 1954 and 1955. A rather consistent but different pattern appeared for the 1956-59 period.

The seasonal factors for adjusting the series are shown in table 13. In recent years the highest seasonal factors have tended to occur in August and the lowest in December.

[^0]

Figure 1


Figure 2

In figure 2, the monthly ratios have been adjusted by the seasonal adjustment factors in table 13. The seasonal adjustment lessens the apparent month-tg-month changes in the ratio, and in some cases even changes the direction of 'the movement. The movement left after the seasonal adjustment is primarily the cyclical movement of the data.

Table 13.--Cotton broadwoven goods at cotton mills: Ratio of stocks to unfilled orders, seasonal adjustment factors, January 1947 to date


To illustrate the effect of the seasonal adjustment, data for some recent months were examined. In January 1959 the unadjusted ratio increased to 0.39 from 0.38 in December 1958. If there had been no seasonal effect, such a change would have suggested the start of an upward movement in the ratio after several months of decline. But the seasonally adjusted ratios for the two months showed a continuation of the steady decline. In actuality, both the adjusted and unadjusted ratios continued to decline after January 1959.

The unadjusted ratios for June through October 1959 showed both increases and declines. The seasonally adjusted ratios indicate that most of the variation in these months was seasonal and there was practically no change in the level after adjustment for such changes. (See table 14.)

Table 14.--Cotton broadwoven goods at cotton mills: Ratio of stocks to unfilled orders, seasonally adjusted, January 1947 to date 1/


IJ End of month.
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The two components of the stock-unfilled order ratio are data on unfilled orders, the denominator, and stocks, the numerator. Seasonal adjustment analysis was made of the two components to determine if changes in one or both of these series were responsible for the change in the seasonal pattern of the ratio.

As shown in figures 3 and 4, the seasonal pattern of both series has changed over the years, but a more or less consistent pattern for both stocks and unfilled orders seems to have prevailed since 1955. In other words, the change in the seasonal pattern of the ratio was associated with changes in the seasonal patterns for both the denominator and the numerator.

The movement that is left in the seasonally adjusted series is cyclical and irregular. (See figure 3.) The irregular movement is relatively small and the major movement in the series represents a cyclical variation.

There are two points of primary interest in looking at the cyclical variation. The first is the variation in the length or duration of the cycle and the second is the irregularity of the amplitudes. From trough to trough there are four cycles during the post-World War II period. These cycles vary in length from about 28 months to 40 months. (See table 15.) The shortest of these cycles is $2-1 / 3$ years. The other three cycles appear to center around a 3-year period.

Table 15.--Iength of cycles during postwar years, stock-unfilled order ratio

| Approximate dates | $\vdots$ | Approximate number of months |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| December 1947 to January 1951 | $\vdots$ | 37 |  |
| January 1951 to May 1953 | $\vdots$ | 28 |  |
| May 1953 to February 1956 | $\vdots$ | 33 |  |
| February 1956 to June 1959 I/ | $\vdots$ | 40 |  |

1/ Preliminary.
The amplitude of the cyclical movement does not show regularity. The highest peak was reached in May 1949. The amplitude seemed to become smaller with the next two cycles. In the last one the amplitude apparently increased somewhat. It is too early to tell if a trend toward a decrease in the amplitude actually is occurring.

In summary, seasonality is present in the stock-unfilled order ratio for cotton broadwoven goods. For purposes of short-term forecasting of textile mill activity by changes in the ratio, a better explanation results when the ratios are seasonally adjusted. The cyclical movements of the ratio are irregular in amplitude and periodicity. The duration of the cycles during the postwar period appears to more nearly center around 3 years than ony other period.

# COITON PRICES IN WORLD IMPORT MARKETS 

By R. T. Baggett<br>Agricultural Economist Foreign Agricultural Service

Regular publication in The Cotton Situation of a new series of c.i.f. prices of selected growths and qualities of cotton in representative European markets is inaugurated with this issue. Anrual and monthly prices shown in table 16 for Liverpool, England and table 17 for Bremen, Germany are averages of quotations on a specified day each week received by the Foreign Agricultural Service through special arrangements with trade and market sources. The weekly quotations are averages of prices at which specified growths and qualities of cotton moving in international trade are being firmly offered by representative exporters or their agents. The terms are c.i.f., that is, cost, insurance, freight, and other charges paid to the docks in the specified market, with actual shipment to take place generally within the succeeding 30-day period.

What is Represented by
c.i.f. Offering Prices

Several important requirements are met by quotations of prices of the many growths of cotton moving in international trade, taken at dockside in an import market which supplies mills in a large consuming area. Quotations at this point are the most representative of prices actually paid for cotton by mills in consuming centers. When reduced to a common denominator qualitywise (the Universal Standards for United States Upland Cotton), such prices permit comparisons of the competitiveness of the many growths now available to mills. Quotations are in a single currency and apply to cotton available for shipment within a specified period.
C.i.f. prices have certain limitations that should be recognized. Like all regular market price quotations, averages of offering prices on a specified day of each week do not necessarily represent the specific prices and terms under which any actual transaction took place. Classifications assigned by exporters to U. S. cotton under the Universal Standards may differ from official classifications. Most foreign cottons do not lend themselves to precise classification under the Universal Standards, since these standards were promulgated for exclusive use on U. S. upland cotton. Consequently, a price quotation for a given grade and staple length of foreign cotton under these standards may not be strictly comparable. In addition, certain quality characteristics, such as Micronaire tests for fiber fineness now commonly used in market transactions, are not measureable under the standards. However, the c.i.f. quotations do reflect general market levels, trends, and the competitive position of specified growths of cotton within closer limits than data available from other sources.

Cotton Price Information
The need for more current and comprehensive cotton price information in foreign consuming centers has increased in recent years. Prewar series of Liverpool spot prices were more useful for showing market levels and trends than for measuring the competitive position of specified growths. Spot prices f.o.b. domestic and foreign export markets, used since World War II, following the closing of the Liverpool Cotton Exchange, to reflect the level and trends in "world" prices are not satisfactory price comparisons of cotton of like commercial quality in a specified consuming market on a given date. In addition, conversion translation of f.o.b. prices in export markets into prices paid by importers or mills in consuming centers has always been difficult. It has become even more so since World War II with the advent of government measures such as currency manipulation, special price discounts, bilateral trade agreements, export taxes, fees, etc.

The number of foreign growths and qualities that are substitutable at smaller price discounts for traditionally-preferred United States cotton has increased steadily. More than 50 producing countries are marketing countless qualities and descriptions of cotton. Analyses of "world" price levels, movements, and trends can have meaning only when comparisons can be made of elements that are alike in point of time, place, and end use quality or spinning utility. The term "world price" of cotton can mean as little as the "world price" of women's hats. On the other hand, prices at which similar qualities of U. S. and foreign cotton, in a specified currency, are offered in a designated market in an importing country on a given data are highly useful for purposes of determining market levels and relative prices for competing growths in cotton importing countries.

Relationship of Cotton Prices and
International Trade
Market confidence in the stability of prices frequently exerts greater influence on world cotton trade and consumption than the market level. Foreign cotton price declines of 25 to 30 percent late in 1955 reflected continued record high foreign production and stock increases in the United States. Lack of market confidence tended to restrict import buying to nearby requirements. The effect on world trade of differences in prices of U. S. and competitive growths is illustrated by figure 5. Prices of foreign growths were well below prices of many equivalent U. S. qualities in most of the 1955-56 and 1958-59 marketing years. U. S. exports were 2.2 million bales in 1955-56 and 2.8 million in 1958-59.

During the 1956-57 marketing year, prices of the leading growths were relatively stable and competitive. World trade reached 15.8 million bales, compared with 13.1 million in 1955-56. Stocks in importing countries increased 1.0 million bales during the $1956-57$ season and total foreign consumption, at a record high of 34.4 million bales, was 2.2 million above a year earlier. Although price stability was not maintained throughout the 1957-58 season, world trade that year amounted to 14.1 million bales, stocks in importing

Cotton Prices Competitive and Rising On World Markets



Figure 5 c.i.f. Liverpool, England, annual 1952-55 and monthly 1956 to date

| Year $\stackrel{\text { Date }}{\text { and Month }}$ | : | M 1' |  |  | : | M 1-1/32' |  |  |  |  |  | SM 1-1/32'1 |  |  |  |  | SM 1-1/16 ${ }^{11}$ |  |  |  |  | SM 1-1/8 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : | U. S. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { akistan } \\ 289 \mathrm{~F} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | : | U. S. |  | Mexico | : | Nicaragua |  | U. S. | : | Syria |  | S.S.R. |  | U S |  | Ir |  | U. S. | ganda . P. |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  | - - - |  | quiv |  | U. |  | ts |  | ound |  | - - - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1952 | : | 46.50 | : |  | - | 46.83 | : | 45.15 | : |  | : | 47.39 | : | 45.08 | : | -- | : | 47.84 | : |  |  | 49.66 |  |
| 1953 | : | 38.42 | : | 37.70 | : | 38.85 | : | 37.63 | : |  | : | 39.34 | : | 37.21 |  |  | : | 39.79 |  |  |  | 41.67 | 41.38 |
| 1954 | : | 39.13 | : | 41.39 | : | 39.60 | : | 38.59 | : |  | : | 39.99 | : | 39.58 |  |  | : | 40.36 | : |  |  | 42.08 | 44.62 |
| 1955 | : | 38.91 | : | 36.91 | : | 39.72 | : | 36.04 | : | -- | : | 40.36 | : | 36.13 | : | 33.60 | : | 40.99 | : | 33.04 |  | 42.74 | 43.07 |
|  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | - |  | : |  | : |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1956 | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | : | 39.00 | : | 33.44 | : | 40.12 | : | 32.88 | : | -- | : | 42.62 | : | 34.96 | : | 34.00 | : | 42.51 | : | 34.70 |  | 43.00 | 41.24 |
| February | : | 39.31 | : | 36.60 | : | 40.19 | : | 35.57 | : | -- | : | 41.77 | : | 37.15 | : | 37.21 | : | 42.86 | : | 35.98 |  | 44.05 | 43.70 |
| March | : | 40.06 | : | 35.25 | : | 40.53 | : | 36.42 | : | -- | : | 41.54 | : | 37.74 | : | 37.66 | : | 42.41 | : | 36.86 |  | 43.73 | 43.37 |
| April | : | 38.42 | : | 35.69 | : | 38.83 | : | 35.46 | : | -- | : | 39.76 | : | 35.68 | : | 36.64 | : | 40.55 | : | 35.54 |  | 41.75 | 44.17 |
| May | : | 32.22 | : | 38.13 | : | 33.10 | : | 32.64 | : | -- | : | 34.10 | : | 34.51 | : | 33.75 | : | 34.80 |  | 35.68 |  | 36.50 | 47.78 |
| June | : | 30.32 | : | 35.76 | : | 31.09 | : | 31.09 | : | - | : | 32.01 | : | 32.62 |  | 34.45 | : | 32.62 | : | 35.62 |  | 34.15 | 46.49 |
| July | : | 29.58 | : | 33.49 | : | 30.17 | : | 29.99 | : | -- | : | 31.30 | : | 31.96 | : | 32.76 | : | 31.88 | : | --8 |  | 32.93 | 44.36 |
| August | : | 29.17 | : | 32.17 | : | 29.82 | : | 29.44 | : | -- | : | 30.95 | : | 31.25 | : | 31.22 | : | 31.46 | : | 32.93 |  | 32.40 | 43.50 |
| September | : | 28.82 | : | 31.47 | : | 29.44 | : | 29.64 | : | -- | : | 30.60 | : | 30.80 | : | 31.08 | : | 31.14 | : | 31.89 |  | 32.36 | 41.85 |
| October | : | 29.61 | : | 31.82 | : | 30.19 | : | 30.15 | : | -- | : | 31.33 | : | 32.02 | $:$ | 31.42 | : | 31.85 | : | 32.08 |  | 33.72 | 43.11 |
| November | : | 30.36 | : | 33.45 | : | 31.23 | : | 31.45 | : | -- | : | 32.32 | : | 32.32 | : | 32.85 | : | 33.19 | : | 33.55 |  | 35.30 | 45.87 |
| December | : | 31.12 | : | 36.38 | : | 31.91 | : | 32.42 | : |  | : | 33.07 | : | 33.65 | : | 33.44 | : | 33.87 | : | 35.10 |  | 36.27 | 47.44 |
|  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  |  |  |  |
| 1957 | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  |  |  |  |
| January | : | 30.57 | : | 37.24 | : | 31.15 | : | 31.79 | : | -- | : | 32.72 | : | 34.06 | : | 33.43 | : | 33.32 | : | 35.05 |  | 35.58 | 48.39 |
| February | : | 30.31 | : | 36.64 | : | 31.12 | : | 32.79 | : | -- | : | 32.85 | : | 31.55 | : | 33.12 | : | 33.26 | : | 32.50 |  | 35.85 | 48.09 |
| March | : | 30.40 | : | 35.82 | : | 31.27 | : | 32.44 | : | -- | : | 33.02 | : | 33.60 | : | 33.25 | : | 33.86 | : | 33.02 |  | 36.22 | 44.22 |
| April | : | 30.30 | : | 35.72 | : | 31.24 | : | 32.18 | : | -- | : | 33.06 | : | 32.69 | : | 33.40 | : | 34.41 | : | 32.69 |  | 36.26 | 43.30 |
| May | : | 30.58 | : | 34.40 | : | 31.51 | : | 32.10 | : | 31.92 | : | 33.14 | : | 32.10 | : | 33.57 | : | 34.42 | : | 32.56 |  | 36.34 | 41.63 |
| June | : | 30.60 | : | 32.05 | : | 31.51 | : | 31.18 | : | 31.32 | : | 32.96 | : | 32.54 | : | 33.40 | : | 34.08 |  | 32.84 |  | 36.41 | 40.72 |
| July | : | 30.57 | : | 32.53 | : | 31.52 | : | 30.90 | : | 31.37 | : | 33.11 | : | 32.49 | : | 33.38 | : | 33.94 | : | 33.13 |  | 36.46 | 39.00 |
| August | : | 30.73 | : | 34.05 | : | 31.53 | : | 31.48 | : | 31.48 | : | 33.45 | : | 33.16 | : | 33.56 | : | 34.32 | : |  |  | 36.87 | 38.38 |
| September | : | 30.52 | : | 34.57 | : | 31.35 | : | 31.40 | : | 31.32 | : | 33.22 | : | 32.84 | : | 33.77 | : | 34.22 | : | 32.78 |  | 36.76 | 37.56 |
| October | : | 30.51 | : | 34.55 | : | 31.50 | : | 31.74 | : | 31.56 | : | 33.65 | : | 32.61 | : | 34.14 | : | 35.00 | : | 32.96 |  | 37.28 | 37.63 |
| November | : | 31.06 | : | 33.93 | : | 32.27 | : | 32.34 | : | 31.70 | : | 34.90 | : | 32.85 | : | 34.86 | : | 36.21 | : | 33.29 |  | 38.33 | 38.75 |
| December | : | 31.27 | : | 33.12 | : | 32.54 | : | 33.02 | : | 31.56 | : | 34.88 | : | 33.22 | : | 35.65 | : | 36.53 | : | 33.81 |  | 38.58 | 39.55 |
|  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  |  |  |  |
| 1958 | : |  | : |  | : |  | - |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  |  |  |  |
| January | : | 31.82 | : | 34.00 | : | 33.19 |  | 33.38 | : | 31.70 | : | 35.76 | : | 34.39 | : | 36.03 | : | 37.05 | : | 34.74 |  | 39.08 | 39.30 |
| February | : | 30.72 | : | 33.49 | : | 32.11 | : | 32.19 | : | 30.86 | : | 34.72 | : | 34.61 |  | 35.42 | : | 36.10 |  | 34.24 |  | 37.98 | 38.93 |
| March | : | 30.00 | : | 32.55 | : | 31.18 | : | 31.38 | : | 30.35 | : | 34.02 | : | 34.46 | : | 34.97 | : | 34.94 | : | 33.58 |  | 36.37 | 38.39 |
| April | : | 29.92 | : | 33.65 | : | 31.16 | : | 31.76 | : | 30.29 | : | 34.00 | : | 34.62 | . | 34.62 | : | 35.10 |  | 33.81 |  | 36.60 | 37.57 |
| May | : | 30.33 | : | 34.30 | : | 31.50 | : | 31.61 | : | 30.08 | : | 34.36 | : | 34.61 | : | 34.61 | : | 35.45 | : | 33.96 |  | 36.63 | 36.58 |
| June | : | 30.89 | : | 34.08 | : | 32.06 | : | 30.99 | : | 29.40 | : | 34.30 | : | 33.90 |  | 34.56 |  | 35.24 | : | 33.23 |  | 36.38 | 36.73 |
| July | : | 31.06 | : | 34.11 | : | 32.59 | : | 29.55 | : | 28.97 | : | 34.23 | : | 32.07 | : | 32.71 |  | 35.10 | : | 31.95 |  | 36.24 | 36.11 |
| August | : | 31.03 | : | 34.72 | : | 32.26 | : | 29.36 | : | 28.78 | : | 33.79 | : | 31.99 |  | 32.28 | : | 34.64 |  | 32.14 |  | 36.08 | 36.20 |
| September | : | 30.42 | : | 35.12 | : | 31.39 | : | 28.54 | : | 28.25 | : | 32.88 | : | 31.24 |  | 31.97 | : | 33.72 | : | 31.39 |  | 35.24 | 35.22 32.79 |
| October | : | 30.17 | : | 32.13 | : | 31.38 | : | 29.24 | : | 28.13 | : | 33.28 | : | 30.18 | : | 31.35 | : | 33.97 | : | 30.17 |  | 35.31 | 32.79 |
| November | : | 29.87 | : | 29.60 | : | 31.27 | : | 27.91 | : | 27.33 | : | 33.17 | : | 29.08 | : | 30.11 | : | 33.95 | : | 29.38 |  | 35.26 | 30.92 |
| December | : | 29.58 | : | 29.00 | : | 31.18 | : | 26.29 | : | 25.17 | : | 32.51 | : | 27.82 | : | 28.41 | : | 33.33 | : | 28.85 |  | 34.94 | 30.21 |
|  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  |  |  |  |
| 1959 | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  | : |  |  |  |  |
| January | : | 29.05 | : | 30.09 | : | 30.98 | : | 26.68 | : | 24.55 | : | 32.33 | : | 27.24 | : | 27.53 | : | 33.22 | : | 27.87 |  | 34.48 | 30.08 |
| February | : | 28.72 | : | 30.01 | : | 30.21 | : | 26.58 | : | 25.16 | : | 31.69 | : | 27.43 | : | 26.16 | : | 32.61 | : | 27.72 |  | 33.81 | 29.41 |
| March | : | 28.34 | : | 29.50 | : | 30.17 | : | 26.95 | : | 25.19 | : | 31.60 | : | 26.81 | : | 25.78 | : | 32.44 | : | 27.46 |  | 33.67 | 30.22 |
| April | : | 28.80 | : | 28.12 | : | 30.51 | : | 27.46 | : | 25.49 | : | 32.10 | : | 27.64 | : | 25.58 | : | 32.86 |  | 27.99 |  | 34.15 | 31.75 34 |
| May | : | 29.24 | : | 29.33 | : | 31.30 | : | 27.85 | : | 26.53 | : | 33.34 | : | 29.24 |  | 28.69 | : | 33.34 | : | 29.54 |  | 33.72 | 31.74 36.55 |
| June | : | 24.61 | : | 29.94 | : | 25.72 | : | 27.16 | : | 27.30 | : | 27.36 | : | 28.80 |  | 28.56 | : | 28.27 |  | 29.52 |  | 29.68 | 36.55 |
| July | : | 25.07 | : | 30.00 | : | 26.36 | : | 26.20 | : | 26.07 | : | 27.77 | : | 26.80 |  | 28.12 | : | 28.47 | : | 28.29 |  | 29.99 | 34.82 |
| August | : | 25.54 | : | 27.66 | : | 26.56 | : | 26.49 | : | 25.76 | : | 27.95 | : | 26.59 | : | 28.17 | : | 28.54 | : | 27.88 |  | 30.07 | 34.02 35.04 |
| September | : | 25.41 | : | 27.74 | : | 26.42 | : | 26.81 | : | 26.01 | : | 27.82 | : | 26.65 |  | 28.33 | : | 28.48 |  | 28.40 |  | 30.04 | 35.04 33.68 |
| October | : | 25.42 | : | 27.42 | : | 26.28 | : | 27.18 | : | 26.24 |  | 27.69 | : | 27.14 |  | 28.53 |  | 28.64 |  | 28.70 |  | 29.74 | 33.68 33.72 |
| November | : | 25.96 | : | 28.89 | : | 26.96 | : | 27.46 |  | 27.02 |  | 28.32 |  | 27.46 |  | 29.14 |  | 29.17 |  | 28.83 |  | 30.15 | 39.11 |
| December | : | 26.87 | : | 31.75 | : | 28.04 | : | 28.10 | : | 27.98 | : | 29.41 | : | 29.69 | : | 29.88 | : | 29.88 |  | 30.66 |  | 31.15 | 39. |

1/ Generally for prompt shipment. Prices for certain qualities were computed using value differences.
Source: Dudley Windel
countries were maintained at relatively high levels, and consumption in importing countries again set a new record. U. S. exports of 7.6 million bales in $1956-57$ and 5.7 million in 1957-58 constituted 48 percent and 40 percent, respectively, of total world trade. Foreign exporters, contrary to earlier fears, generally were able to dispose of surpluses of upland type cotton in 1956-57 and 1957-58 at prices higher than those prevailing in late 1955.

With world consumption reaching a record level again in 1957-58 and with world production declining for the second successive year, due to smaller U.S. crops, prices in import markets increased about 2.5 cents per pound by the end of 1957 from the level at which foreign cotton sold late in 1955. However, the Liverpool price of around 33.00 cents per pound for U. S. Middling l-l/32 inches cotton at the end of 1957 was about 6.50 cents lower than the price of this cotton at the end of 1955.

The 1957 world cotton crop outside the U. S. rose to record highs of 30.5 million bales. At the same time, a textile recession was developing in foreign consuming countries. As a result, mill inventories of textiles increased, cotton consumption declined, and the demand for cotton weakened. By spring of 1959, prices of most foreign growths reached the lowest point in many years as the 1958 foreign crop was again at a record high and offerings continued to increase in a declining market. (See figure 5 and tables 16 and 17.) Prices of most qualities of U. S. cotton showed only moderate declines until June of 1959 when sales for shipment beginning August 1 of that year began. Again, as in 1955-56, cotton stocks and consumption had declined in 1958-59 in foreign free world importing countries. Even with prices of many foreign growths at long-time low levels, cotton purchases generally were held to nearby requirements. World trade in 1958-59 declined to the 1955-56 level of 13.1 million bales and $U$. S. exports of 2.8 million amounted to 21 percent of world trade.

Export movement of U. S. cotton increased in the early months of the 1959-60 season as prices remained competitive. World trade in 1959-60 is expected to be the largest since the postwar high of 15.8 million bales in 1956-57, reflecting rising domestic and foreign consumption, rebuilding of stocks in major importing countries to more normal levels, and increasing demand for textiles as shown by mill orders extending well past mid-1960. Surplus supplies from foreign exporting countries were marketed in international trade early in the 1959-60 season at prices which did not reflect these strengthening influences. By late fall of 1959, as exportable supplies in a number of the larger surplus-producing countries abroad became depleted, prices strengthened and importers turned increasingly to a wider range of U. S. qualities. If U. S. exports in 1959-60 are at least 6.0 million bales as expected, the 4-year 1956-59 average of 5.5 million per year will constitute about 38 percent of total world trade in cotton. Exports in 1959-60 from Foreign Free World Countries, estimated at 7.5 million bales, will be close to the average of the last 5 years and will comprise most of the cotton these countries have available for export.
C.i.f. prices of additional growths and qualities of cotton in other import markets are available from the Foreign Agricultural service on request.

TABLE l7.--Cotton: Average prices of selected growths and qualitit
c.f. Bremen, Germany, annual $1953-55$ and monthly 1956 to date


| 1953 |
| :--- |
| 1954 |
| 1955 |
| 1956 |

January
February
March
March
April
May
May
June
July
August
October
November
December

## 1957

January
February
March
April
May
June
July
August
September
October
November
December

## 1958

January
February
March
April
May
June
July
August
September
October
November
December
1959
January
February
March
April
May
June
July
August
September
October
November
December
$-\ldots \frac{\text { Equivalent U. S. cents per pound }}{:}:-\cdots:-\cdots:$


1/ For prompt shipment. Prices for certain qualities were computed using value differences.


[^1]Tablel9..-Foreign spot prices per pound including export taxes I/ and Commodity Credit Corporation average sales prices at average location in the United States October, November and December, 1958 2/

| Market | Foreign |  | United States |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : $\quad$ Quality | Price per pound 3/ | Price per pound 4/ | Quality 5/ |
|  | : | Cents | Cents |  |
|  | October 1958 |  |  |  |
| Bombay, India | : Broach, Vijay, fine | 24.46 | 24.53 | SLM 15/16" |
| Karachi, Pakistan | : 289 F Sind fine S G | 28.00 | 26.24 | SLM ${ }^{\text {" }}$ |
| Izmir, Turkey | : Acala II | $6 /$ | 31.60 | M 1-1/16" |
| Sao Paulo, Brazil | : Type 5 | 30.08 | 25.32 | SIM 31/32' |
| Matamoros, Mexico | : M 1-1/32" | 7/27.84 | 30.75 | M 1-1/32" |
| Lima, Peru | : Tanguis type 5 | - 28.26 | 29.86 | SLM 1-3/16" |
| Alexandria, Egypt | : Ashmouni good | 39.46 | 32.68 | M 1-1/8' |
|  | November 1958 |  |  |  |
| Bombay, India | : Broach Vijay, fine | 24.13 | 24.59 | SLM 15/16" |
| Karachi, Pakistan | : 289 F Sind Fine S G | 25.96 | 26.32 | SLM ${ }^{\text {l }}$ |
| Izmir, Turkey | : Acala II | 20.67 | 31.56 | M 1-1/16" |
| Sao Paulo, Brazil | : Type 5 | 32.95 | 25.39 | SLM $31 / 32^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Matamoros, Mexico | : ${ }^{\text {M }} 1-1 / 32^{\prime \prime}$ | 7/27.09 | 30.72 | M 1-1/32" |
| Lima, Peru | : Tanguis type 5 | - 28.02 | 29.66 | SLM 1-3/16" |
| Alexandria, Egypt | : Ashmouni good | 39.27 | 32.56 | M 1-1/8' |
|  | December 1958 |  |  |  |
| Bombay, India | : Broach Vijay, fine | 25.05 | 24.44 | SLM 15/16" |
| Karachi, Pakistan | : 289 F Sind Fine S G | 25.28 | 26.15 | SLM 1 " |
| Izmir, Turkey | : Acala II | 21.00 | 31.30 | M 1-1/16" |
| Sao Paulo, Brazil | : Type 5 | 33.86 | 25.21 | SLM 31/32' |
| Matamoros, Mexico | : M I-1/32" | 7/24.64 | 30.48 | M 1-1/32 |
| Lima, Peru | : Tanguis type 5 | 6/ | 29.44 | SLM 1-3/16" |
| Alexandria, Egypt | : Ashmouni good | 38.93 | 32.28 | M $1-1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ |

1/ Includes export taxes where applicable.
2/ Quotations on net weight basis.
3/ Average of prices collected once each week.
4/ Net weight price for U. S. is CCC average sales price divided by 0.96. Price for each month is the average of prices at average location for all sales made during the month.

5/ Quality of U. S. cotton generally considered to be most nearly comparable to the foreign cotton.

6/ No quotations.
7/ Delivered at Brownsville. Net weight price $=$ actual price divided by 0.96 .

Foreign Agricultural Service and Cotton Division, AMS.

Table 20 .--Foreign spot prices per pound including export taxes I/ and U. S. average spot export prices, October, November and December, 1959 2/

| Market | Foreign |  | United States |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : Quality | Price per pound 3/ | Price per pound 4/ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Quality } \\ 5 / \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Cents Cents |  |  |  |
|  | October 1959 |  |  |  |
| Bombay, India | : Broach Vijay, Fine | 26.65 | 19.86 | SIM 15/16" |
| Karachi, Pakistan | : 289 F Sind Fine, S G | 24.55 | 21.73 | SIM ${ }^{\text {" }}$ |
| Izmir, Turkey | : Acala II | 6/23.18 | 26.27 | M 1-1/16" |
| Sao Paulo, Brazil | : Type 5 | - 18.77 | 20.83 | SIM 31/32' |
| Matemoros, Mexico | : M 1-1/32" | 7/25.87 | 25.45 | M $1-1 / 32^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Lima, Peru | : Tanguis type 5 | - 31.53 | 25.97 | SLM $1-3 / 16^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Alexandria, Egypt * | : Ashmouni good | 38.24 | 27.45 | M 1-1/8" |
|  | November 1959 |  |  |  |
| Bombay, India | : Broach, Vijay, Fine | 25.77 | 19.83 | SLM 15/16" |
| Karachi, Pakistan | : 289 F Sind Fine, S G | 25.11 | 21.69 | SLM ${ }^{\text {² }}$ |
| Izmir, Turkey | : Acala II | $8 /$ | 26.18 | M $1-1 / 16^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Sao Paulo, Brazil | Type 5 | 18.00 | 20.79 | SLM 31/32' |
| Matamoros, Mexico | : ${ }^{\text {M }} 1-1 / 32^{\prime \prime}$ | 7/26.81 | 25.38 | M 1-1/32" |
| Lima, Peru | : Tanguis type 5 | 31.61 | 25.58 | SLM $1-3 / 16^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Alexandria, Egypt * | : Ashmouni good | 40.13 | 27.14 | M 1-1/8' |
|  | December 1959 |  |  |  |
| Bombay, India | : Broach Vijay, Fine | 26.55 | 20.16 | SLM 15/16" |
| Karachi, Pakistan | : 289 F Sind Fine S G | 27.16 | 22.06 | SLM 1 " |
| Izmir, Turkey | Acala II | 6/24.95 | 26.39 | M $1-1 / 16^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Sao Paulo, Brazil | : Type 5 | 18.45 | 21.14 | SLM 31/32' |
| Matamoros, Mexico | : M 1-1/32 | 7/9/26.99 | 25.59 | M 1-1/32' |
| Lima, Peru | : Tanguis type 5 | - 32.48 | 25.88 | SLM $1-3 / 16^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Alexandria, Egypt * | Ashmouni good | 42.95 | 27.41 | M $1-1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ |

I/ Includes export taxes where applicable.
2/ Quotations on net weight basis.
3/ Average of prices collected once each week.
4/ Average 14 spot market gross weight price less export payment-in-kind rate
per pound, divided by 0.96 to convert price to a net weight basis.
5/ Quality of U. S. cotton generally considered to be most nearly comparable to the foreign cotton.

6/ One quotation.
7/ Delivered at Brownsville. Net weight price $=$ actual price divided by 0.96 .
8/ No quotation.
9/ Average for 4 weeks.

* Discounts of varying amounts are offered on exports sales.

Foreign Agricultural Service and Cotton Division, AMS.


I/ Average wholesale price for 20 selected constructions. Prices per yard are converted to the approximate value of cloth obtainable from a pound of cotton.

2/ Average monthly price based on landed quotations (Group 201 mill points) for four growths - Southeasterm, Memphis Territory, Texas-Oklahoma and California.

3/ Difference between cloth prices and prices for the average qualities of cotton used in the 20 constructions.

Table 22.--Cotton products export program: Classes of cotton products and equalization payments, October, November and December 1959, and cumulative totals since August 1, 1959


Table 23.--Commodity Credit Corporation stocks of cotton, United States, August 1, 1957 and 1958 and August 1959 to date


I/ Includes American-Egyptian, Sealand and Sea-Island. 2/ Estimated stock. $3 \sqrt{\text { Less than } 500 \text { bales. }}$

Commodity Stabilization Service.

Table 24.--Cotton: Acreage, planted and harvested, and yield per acre on harvested acreage, 1950 to date


1/ West includes Califormia, Arizona, New Mexico and Nevada.
2/ Southwest includes Texas, Oklahoma and Kansas.
3/ Delta includes Missouri, Arkansas, Tennessee, Mississippi, Louisiana, Illinois, and Kentucky.
4 Southeast includes Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, and Alabama.
5 Preliminary, Crop Reporting Board report of December 8, 1959.
6) Trend yield is 9-year centered average yield.

Crop Reporting Board.

Table 25.--Production of cotton by regions, United States, 1930 to date

| Crop year beginning Aug. 1 | Production |  |  |  |  | Percentage of U. S. crop |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & : \text { West } \\ & : 1 / \end{aligned}$ | Southwest $2 /$ | Delta <br> States 3/ | Southeast 4/ | United <br> States $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { West } \\ 1 / \end{gathered}$ | Southwest 2/ | Delta <br> States <br> 3/ | Southeast 4/ |
|  | : 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | : bales | bales | beles | bales | bales |  |  |  |  |
|  | : 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |  |  |  |  |
|  | : 1b. | lb. | 1 lb . | 1 l . | 1 l . |  |  |  |  |
|  | : gr.wt. | gr.wt. | gr.wt. | gr.wt. | gr.wt. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. |
| 1930 | : 519 | 4,892 | 3,589 | 4,933 | 13,932 | 4 | 35 | 26 | 35 |
| 1931 | : 393 | 6,582 | 5,464 | 4,658 | 17,097 | 2 | 39 | 32 | 27 |
| 1932 | : 270 | 5,584 | 3,921 | 3,228 | 13,003 | 2 | 43 | 30 | 25 |
| 1933 | : 407 | 5,694 | 3,389 | 3,556 | 13,047 | 3 | 44 | 26 | 27 |
| 1934 | : 466 | 2,722 | 3,157 | 3,291 | 9,636 | 5 | 28 | 33 | 34 |
| 1935 | : 449 | 3,523 | 3,171 | 3,495 | 10,638 | 4 | 33 | 30 | 33 |
| 1936 | 774 | 3,223 | 4,724 | 3,708 | 12,399 | 6 | 26 | 38 | 30 |
| 1937 | : 1,214 | 5,927 | 6,787 | 5,017 | 18,946 | 6 | 31 | 36 | 27 |
| 1938 | : 716 | 3,649 | 4,572 | 3,007 | 11,943 | 6 | 31 | 38 | 25 |
| 1939 | : 747 | 3,372 | 4,645 | 3,052 | 11,817 | 6 | 29 | 39 | 26 |
| 1940 | : 868 | 4,036 | 4,122 | 3,540 | 12,566 | 7 | 32 | 33 | 28 |
| 1941 | : . 691 | 3,370 | 4,266 | 2,417 | 10,744 | 6 | 31 | 40 | 23 |
| 1942 | : 706 | 3,746 | 5,108 | 3,256 | 12,817 | 6 | 29 | 40 | 25 |
| 1943 | : 580 | 3,207 | 4,502 | 3,138 | 11,427 | 5 | 28 | 39 | 28 |
| 1944 | : 579 | 3,280 | 4,939 | 3,432 | 12,230 | 5 | 27 | 40 | 28 |
| 1945 | : 576 | 2,079 | 3,644 | 2,716 | 9,015 | 7 | 23 | 40 | 30 |
| 1946 | : 758 | 1.931 | 3,413 | 2,539 | 8,640 | 9 | 22 | 39 | 30 |
| 1947 | : 1,185 | 3,767 | 4,192 | 2,716 | 11,860 | 10 | 32 | 35 | 23 |
| 1948 | : 1,532 | 3,527 | 6,282 | 3,536 | 14,877 | 10 | 24 | 42 | 24 |
| 1949 | : 2,087 | 6,650 | 4,878 | 2,512 | 16,128 | 13 | 41 | 30 | 16 |
| 1950 | : 1,639 | 3,188 | 3,518 | 1,667 | 10,014 | 16 | 32 | 35 | 17 |
| 1951 | : 2,842 | 4,536 | 4,467 | 3,304 | 15,148 | 19 | 30 | 29 | 22 |
| 1952 | : 3,098 | 4,072 | 5,068 | 2,901 | 15,139 | 21 | 27 | 33 | 19 |
| 1953 | : 3,167 | 4,754 | 5,646 | 2,899 | 16,465 | 19 | 29 | 34 | 18 |
| 1954 | : 2,716 | 4,233 | 4,507 | 2,240 | 13,697 | 20 | 31 | 33 | 16 |
| 1955 | : 2,201 | 4,502 | 5,313 | 2,705 | 14,721 | 15 | 31 | 36 | 18 |
| 1956 | : 2,578 | 3,876 | 4,629 | 2,227 | 13,310 | 19 | 29 | 35 | 17 |
| 1957 | : 2,539 | 3,895 | 3,011 | 1,520 | 10,964 | 23 | 36 | 27 | 14 |
| 1958 5/ | : 2,644 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | 4,621 | 2,883 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 1,364* | 11,512' | 23 | 40 | 25 | 12 |
| 1959 5/ | 3,003 | 4,885 | 4,802 | 2,011 | 14,701 | 20 | 33 | 33 | 14 |

1) West includes California, Arizona, New Mexico and Nevada.

Southrest includes Texas, Oklahoma and Kansas.
Delta includes Missouri, Arkansas, Tennessee, Mississippi, Louisiana, Illinois, and Kentucky.
4. Southeast includes Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, and Alabama.

5/ Crop Reporting Board report of December 8, 1959.
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[^0]:    1/ Lowenstein, Frank and Simon, Martin S., "Analyses of Factors That Affect Mill Consumption of Cotton in the United States," Agricultural Economics Research, October 1954, Vol. VI, No. 4.
    2) For description of the method and its development, see a paper entitled "Seasonal Adjustments by Census Methods I and II," presented by Shiskin, J. and Eisenpress, H. at a joint meeting of the American Statistical Association and the Econometric Society on December 27, 1955 in New York, N. Y.

[^1]:    Bureau of the Census.

