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## SUMMARY

U. S. disappearance of cotton during the 1960-61 marketing year may be larger than production, estimated at about 14.2 million running bales. If this proves to be the case, the carryover on August 1, 1961 will be about 7.0 million bales, compared with the 7.6 million bales of 1960. The 1961 carryover probably will be less than 50 percent of the record high 14.5 million bales of 1956.

Disappearance in 1960-61 is expected to be around 15 million bales, compared with 16.2 million bales in 1959-60. Despite this decline, the 1960-61 disappearance will be the third largest since 1928-29. Domestic mill consumption in 1960-61 probably will be no larger than
8.5 million bales compared with about 9 million bales in 1959-60. Exports will still be substantial at about 6.5 million bales, though about 700,000 bales smaller than the very large shipments of 7.2 million bales in the preceding season.

The decline in domestic mill consumption is indicated by a steady rise in the stock-unfilled order ratio for cotton broadwoven goods in recent months, declines in the value of fabric at the same time, lower rates of mill consumption in August and, particularly, in September than a year earlier, and larger imports of cotton textiles and picker laps. (Picker laps are raw cotton that has been passed through the initial machines to prepare it for spinning.)

Cotton Situation at a Glance


Imports of cotton textiles during the first 7 months of 1960 were at an annual rate equivalent to 566,000 bales of cotton. In 1959 imports of cotton textiles were equivalent to 360,000 bales. Imports in January-July 1960 were at the highest rate since records began in 1920 and about 85 percent above the same period a year earlier. Imports of yarn, thread, and cloth in the first 7 months of 1960 were about 3 times such imports in the same months of 1959. Imports of primarily manufactured products increased about 21 percent. Exports of cotton textiles had a moderate gain, increasing about 10 percent over the first seven months of 1959. During the first 7 months of 1960 exports of cotton textiles were at an annual rate equivalent to approximately 522,000 bales.

Imports of picker laps have increased sharply. In the first 7 months of 1960 , imports of the products in the category under which picker laps are reported were at an annual rate equivalent to about 45,000 bales of cotton. In 1959 about 9,800 equivalent bales were imported. Before 1959, fewer than 2,000 bales were imported annually. Consumption of picker laps is not reported by the Bureau of the Census as consumption of cotton. On October 24, CCC issued an amendment to the Cotton Export Payment-in-Kind Program prohibiting payment on cotton which is exported and later reimported in the form of picker laps.

When cotton textiles are exported from the U. S., the cotton equivalent of the exported textiles should be deducted from mill consumption to arrive at domestic cotton consumption. When textiles are imported into the U. S., the reverse situation exists and the cotton equivalent of the imported textiles should be added to mill consumption to arrive ar romestic cotton consumption.

Net imports of textiles and the category of imports which include picker laps probably will add about 89,000 bales to domestic cotton consumption in 1960. A net export balance of textiles and picker laps in 1959 caused domestic consumption to be about 123,000 bales smaller than mill consumption.

Without adjustment for imports and exports of textiles and picker laps, the preliminary estimate of per capita mill consumption of cotton in calendar 1960 is about 0.7 of a pound smaller than in 1959. After adjusting mill consumption for such foreign trade, domestic consumption of cotton per capita in 1960 is estimated to be about the same as in 1959, approximately 24.1 pounds per person.

Registrations under the Payment-in-KindProgram for cotton for export during the current season were about 3.4 million bales as of November 4. This compares with approximately 3.2 million bales to about the same date a year earlier. Although production of cotton in the foreign free world is expected to increase about 1.5 million bales from a year earlier, foreign free world consumption is expected to remain large and the foreign free world carryover and exports to Com-
munist countries will probably increase. An expected increase in foreign free world stocks and disappearance will keep U. S. exports at a high level. In addition, relatively large U. S. Government programs to finance cotton exports will bolster shipments of cotton from the U. S.

The 1960 crop of cotton is being harvested from about $15,500,000$ acres, approximately 440,000 larger than a year earlier. The 1960 yield of about 442 pounds per harvested acre compares with approximately 462 pounds per acre for the 1959 crop. Regionally, the West showed an increase to about 982 pounds per harvested acre, compared with 975 pounds in 1959-60. In other regions of the Cotton Belt yields were lower than those in 1959.

The national acreage allotmets for 1961 were set at 18.5 million acres, compared with total allotments, including Choice $B$ additions, of 17.6 million acres in 1960. However, the share alloted to the Southeast in 1961 is larger than the share of allotments plus Choice B additions in 1960 and that to the West is smaller. The Southeast in past years has had much larger underplanting of its allotments and much smaller yields, than the West.

The average 14 -spot market price ior Middling 1 -inch cotton in October was 30.22 cents per pound. This was the lowest monthly average at the designated markets since June 1946 and compares with 31.66 cents a year earlier. The difference between the two October prices reflects a lower Choice B loan rate for Middling 1 -inch cotton, down 1.77 cents per pound in 1960-61 from 195960. The Choice B loan rate for $1960-61$ was set at 60 percent of the February 1960 parity price for Middling $7 / 8$-inch cotton and the Choice A loan rate was set at 75 percent. Under legislation now in force, there will be no Choice A or B program after the current season. The support level for the 1961 crop of upland cotton will be between 70 and 90 percent of parity based on the average quality of the crop.

Parity prices for upland cotton declined in recent months. The peak was 39.02 cents per pound for May 1960. The parity price for November is 38.37 cents per pound. The lower parity price was caused by a 5 point decline in the parity index.

Longer term projections, based on certain assumptions, are for increases in cotton disappearance from current levels. The assumptions include a high level of economic activity, cotton price supports at the minimum permitted in legislation now in force, and certain other specific assumptions. Disappearance in 1965 and 1970 probably would be about 16.3 and 18 million bales. Of these totals, about 6.5 and $7-1 / 4$ million bales probably would be exports and the remainder would be domestic consumption. If average yield per acre continues to increase at a moderate rate, production needed to balance disappearance would require about 17 million planted acres in 1965 and about 17.3 million in 1970. In the past the number of acres planted were not as large as the number allotted.

## RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

## DISAPPEARANCE LARGER THAN PRODUCTION, CARRYOVER DECLINING

Disappearance of cotton in the United States durng the 1960-61 marketing year is estimated at about 15 million bales, around 1.2 million bales less than that of the preceding season. Disappearance in 1960-61 is declining because of smaller domestic mill consumption and somewhat smaller exports than the very high level of a year earlier. Nevertheless, the 1960-61 disappearance probably will be the third largest since 1928-29, exceeded only by that of the 1959-60 and 1956-57 marketing years. (See figure 1.)


Figure 1


Figure 2

Disappearance in 1960-61 may be about 800,000 bales larger than production from the 1960 crop , marking the fifth consecutive year in which disappearance hase exceeded production. (See table 15.)

Because of this relationship the carryover of cotton on August 1 has tended to decline rather steadily for several years. In 1960 it was 7.6 million bales, compared with an alltime high of 14.5 million bales in 1956. In 1961 the carryover probably will decline more. The expected 1961 carryover of about 7.0 million bales will be the smallest since 1953 , which was 5.6 million bales. (See figure 2.)


## DOMESTIC MILL CONSUMPTION DECLINING

Consumption of cotton by domestic mills in the United States in the 1960-61 marketing year is expected to be no larger than 8.5 million bales. This compares with about 9 million bales in the preceding season, and 8.7 million bales in 1958-59. The decline in mill consumption of cotton is indicated by lower rates of mill consumption of cotton in August and September; steady rises in the stock-unfilled order ratio for cotton broadwoven goods at mills in recent months; decreases in the value of gray fabric; substantially larger imports of cotton textile products; and larger imports of picker or card laps, sliver, and roving. The last factor is at present a minor one, but it is changing rapidly. Because of the changes in the textile export-import situation, "domestic consumption" of cotton is not declining as much as "domestic mill consumption."

## CONSUMPTION OF FIBER PER CAPITA DECLINES

Domestic mill consumption per capita in 1960 is estimated at about 23.8 pounds, about 0.7 of a pound Lower than consumption during 1959. Consumption per capita for 1959 was about the same as the average for the 5 years 1955-1959, about 24.5 pounds. (See tables 16 and 17.)

Domestic consumption (domestic mill consumption plus the cotton equivalent of textile and picker lap imports and less the cotton equivalent of textile exports) is likely to be about the same in 1960 as it was in 1959. Domestic consumption is holding steady while mill consumption is declining because the United States has increased its imports of cotton textiles and picker laps quite sharply during 1960. As explained on page 5 , imports of textiles are now larger than exports of textiles for the first time on record. In 1959 domestic consumption of cotton was about 24.1 pounds per person and it probably is about the same in 1960. (See table 17 and Figure 3.)


Figure 3

Mill consumption of manmade fibers also is declining in 1960. Total consumption is estimated at about 1.8 billion pounds. This compares with about 2 billion pounds in 1959. Consumption per capita of manmade fibers during 1960 was estimated at about 10.3 pounds compared with 11.0 pounds in 1959.

Consumption of non-cellulosic manmade fiber is continuing its increase of recent years, but consumption of rayon and acetate this year is expected to be the lowest since 1949. (See table 16.) Consumption per capita of rayon and acetate is estimated at about 5.7 pounds, the lowest since 1945. Consumption per capita of the noncellulosic manmade fibers is estimated at a record high of about 4.6 pounds per capita. (See table l.)

The cotton equivalent poundage for consumption of manmade fibers also is declining in 1960. It is estimated to be about 16.4 pounds per capita, more than a pound smaller than for 1959. Again, the pounds of rayon and acetate are declining whereas the non-cellulosic manmade fiber cotton equivalent poundage is at a record high. (See table 1.)

## DAILY RATE OF COTTON CONSUMPTION DECLINING

During August and September 1960, the average daily rate of cotton consumption by domestic mills was lower than it was in the same months a year earlier. On a seasonally adjusted basis, the rate for August was below that for July and the rate for September was below that for August. Both the August and September rates were below a year earlier. (See tables 2 and 19.)

Table 1.--Cotton and manmade fibers: Mill consumption per capita, 1955 to 1960

| Year | : | Manmade |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ----------Actual |  |  | Cotton equivalent |  |  |
|  | Cotton | Rayon and acetate | Noncellulosic | Total | Rayon. and acetate | Noncellulosic | Total |
|  | Pounds | Pounds | Pounds | Pounds | Pounds | Pounds | Pounds |
| 1955 | 26.5 | 8.6 | 2.6 | 11.2 | 12.1 | 4.6 | 16.7 |
| 1956 | 25.9 | 7.1 | 2.9 | 10.0 | 10.1 | 5.1 | 15.2 |
| 1957 | 23.7 | 6.9 | 3.3 | 10.2 | 9.7 | 5.9 | 15.6 |
| 1958 | 22.2 | 6.4 | 3.3 | 9.7 | 8.9 | 6.0 | 14.9 |
| 1959 | 24.5 | 7.1 | 4.2 | 11.3 | 10.0 | 7.6 | 17.5 |
| 1960 1/ | 23.8 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 10.3 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 16.4 |

[^0]Table 2.--Daily rate of mill consumption of cotton unadjusted and adjusted, August 1957 to date

| Month | 1957-58 |  | 1958-59 |  | 1959-60 1/ |  | 1960-61 1/ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unadj. | $\text { Adj. } 2 /$ | Unad | Adj. 2/ | Unadj. | Adj. $2 /$ | Unadj. | Adj. 2 / |
|  | Bales | Bales | Bales | Bales | Bales | Bales | Bales | Bales |
| August | 33,277 | 32,434 | 32,215 | 31,368 | 35,651 | 34,714 | 34,226 | 33,326 |
| September | 32,963 | 32,669 | 32,501 | 32,052 | 34,513 | 33,969 | 32,058 | 31,522 |
| October | 32,799 | 30,972 | 33,565 | 31,695 | 36,612 | 34,540 |  |  |
| November | 32,580 | 31,117 | 33,580 | 32,011 | 36,274 | 34,514 |  |  |
| December | 28,494 | 30,738 | 28,810 | 31,281 | 31,997 | 34,969 |  |  |
| January | 31,911 | 30,537 | 34,504 | 32,924 | 36,733 | 34,984 |  |  |
| February | 31,974 | 30,336 | 34,836 | 33,051 | 36,546 | 34,674 |  |  |
| March | 31,483 | 30,301 | 34,552 | 33,287 | 35,533 | 34,232 |  |  |
| April | 29,198 | 28,995 | 35,902 | 35,652 | 35,381 | 35,135 |  |  |
| May | 30,013 | 29,716 | 35,168 | 34,958 | 35,515 | 35,374 |  |  |
| June | 29,770 | 30,882 | 32,935 | 34,272 | 34,311 | 35,741 |  |  |
| July | 24,558 | 30,244 | 32,494 | 39,821 | 28,094 | 34,345 |  |  |
| Average | 30,664 | --- | 33,348 | - | 34,706 | --- |  |  |

1/ Preliminary.
2/ Revised series.

Projection of the daily rate of cotton consumption from the September rate, with normal seasonal variation, could indicate cotton consumption for the season at about $8-1 / 4$ million bales. The seasonally adjusted September rate was lower than the average daily rate for any marketing year since 1957-58. Consumption in 1957-58 was about 8 million bales.

It is too soon to tell whether the September rate indicates a real decline to an $8-1 / 4$ million bale level. Irregular variations can cause the consumption rate in any one month to be abnormally low or high. The rates for October and November will give further indications of the level of consumption to be expected during the current season. The low September rate does, however, indicate that mill consumption of cotton probably will not exceed 8.5 million bales in 1960-61,

## STOCK -UNFILLED ORDER RATIO HIGHER

The ratio of stocks to unfilled orders for cotton broadwoven goods at mills, seasonally adjusted, has increased each month since February. 'The seasonally adjusted ratio at the end of September was 0.44 , compared with 0.21 a year earlier and a post-World War II average of about 0.40 . Increases in this ratio indicate declines in mill consumption several months in the future. The
low point since May 1951 was reached in February 1960, but the ratio at the end of September was the highest since December 1958. (See table 3.)

Table 3 .--Ratio of stocks to unfilled orders: Cotton broadwoven goois at cotton mills, seasonally adjusted, January 1958 to date

| End of month | : | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | : | 0.64 | 0.41 | 0.19 |
| February |  | . 70 | . 33 | . 19 |
| March | : | . 69 | . 29 | . 22 |
| April | : | . 67 | . 25 | . 22 |
| May |  | . 69 | . 22 | . 25 |
| June |  | . 65 | . 21 | . 30 |
| July | : | . 55 | . 21 | . 34 |
| August | : | . 56 | . 21 | . 38 |
| September | : | . 55 | . 21 | . 44 |
| October | : | . 52 | .21 |  |
| November | : | . 43 | . 23 |  |
| December | : | . 44 | . 21 |  |
| Average |  | . 60 | . 25 |  |

Computed from records of the American Cotton Man $\boldsymbol{f}^{\text {facturers Institute, Inc. }}$

## FABRIC VALUES LOWER

The average value of the quantity of fabric made from a pound of cotton ( 20 constructions) has declined rather steadily since January. The value in September of 63.70 cents was 3.42 cents below the February peak. For the first time since October 1958, the September value was below the same month a year earlier. (See table 20.) Steadily declining fabric values are an indicator of a slackening demand for gray goods. The smaller demand will be reflected in smaller mill consumption of cotton.

Average prices paid by mills for cotton used in manufacturing the 20 constructions of fabric declined in August and September from levels of a year earlier. The average price in September was 31.66 cents per pound, 1.31 cents below that of a year earlier. This decline was not as large as the decline in the support price for Middling 1 -inch cotton. The Choice B support price for this quality at average location is 1.77 cents per pound lower in the 1960-61 season than in the 1959-60 season.

Mill margins, the difference between the average value of fabric and the average price paid by mills for cotton, have moved in different directions from month to month. Declines in cotton prices have sometimes been larger than declines in fabric values, as from July to August. In such months, mill margins increased. Nevertheless, mill margins have generally declined since February because fabric prices have tended to decline more than cotton prices. The average mill margin for September was 32.04 cents per pound of cotton, 2.14 cents below the February average margin. (See table 20.)

## IMPORTS OF COTTON TEXTILES LARGER THAN EXPORTS

For the first time since records began in 1920 the cotton equivalent of cotton textile imports is larger than that of cotton textile exports. For the first 7 months of 1960 textile imports were equivalent to about 330,000 bales, compared with exports equivalent to about 304,700 . If imports and exports of textiles continue at the same rate for the remainder of the year, they would be equivalent to about 566,000 and 522,000 bales, respectively. This would mean that imports would be equivalent to about 44,000 bales more than exports. For 1959 imports were equivalent to about 360,000 bales or about 133,000 less than exports.

During the first 7 months of 1960 the cotton equivalent of imports was about 151,500 bales larger than imports during the same period of 1959. This is an increase of about 85 percent. Imports of yarn, thread, and cloth were more than 3 times such imports in the first 7 months of 1959. Imports of primarily manufactured products increased about 21 percent. (See table 21.)

Imports have been increasing sharply in recent years, They were equivalent to 83,400 bales in 1950 , but the annual rate during the first 7 months of 1960 was more than six times this rate.

During 1959 exports of cotton textiles were at a relatively low level--the smallest since 1942. During the first 7 months of 1960 exports were equivalent to about the same annual rate as in 1958. Exports of both groups; "Yarn, thread, twine and cloth" and "Manufactured products;" were larger in the first 7 months of 1960 than in the first 7 months of 1959. (See table 22.) Exports have not shown a definite trend since 1950. Although sharp increases occurred during the Korean War, the cotton equivalent of exports since 1954 has tended to stay between 500,000 and 550,000 bales, about the same level as in 1950.

## PAYMENT S UNDER THE COTTON PRODUCTS EXPORT PROGRAM LARGE

Payments under the cotton products export program during August and September 1960 covered about 54.9 million pounds of products and totaled about $\$ 4.5$ million, compared with 34.1 million pounds and $\$ 2.5$ million for the same period a year earlier. The increase in payments in 1960 probably reflects a change in the export payment rate. The export paymentrate for products exported during the 1960-61 season (August 1, 1960 to July 31, 1961) is equivalent to 6 cents a pound for raw cotton as compared with 8 cents a pound before August 1. Exporters probably shipped as much as possible under the higher rate of payment before August 1, but claims and payments for such shipments were not processed until August and September 1960. Payments on all classes of products were larger in August-September 1960 than during the same period in 1959 (See table 23.)

## SHARP INCREASE IN PICKER <br> LAP IMPORTS

Imports into the United States of the group of cotton products provided for by paragraph 901 (c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, averaged less than 300,000 pounds per year from 1955 through 1958 , but rose to $4.6 \mathrm{mil}-$ lion pounds in 1959 and during the first 7 months of 1960, amounted to 12.0 million pounds. If the rate of imports under this category during the first half of 1960 continues for the entire year, total imports for the year will approximate 45,000 bales cotton equivalent. Picker laps are included in this group, the imports of which are presumed to be responsible for practically all of the increase.

Picker laps (sometimes called card laps) are raw cotton that has been passed through the initial machines to prepare it for spinning, called pickers and lappers, which fluff up the cotton, remove small amounts of foreign matter, form it into thin batts or laps about 40

Table 4.--Imports: Manufactured Waste including picker laps, 1955-59
and January-July, 1959 and 1960


Bureau of the Census.
inches wide, and roll the laps inco cylinders about 18 inches in diameter and weighing about 60 pounds. Normally, the rolls of lap are then placed before the carding machines, which unroll the cotton and draw it down into strands of sliver.

Imports of picker lap, which are not indentifiable from other products in the group, are reported under the "basket" category of "cotton waste, manufactured or otherwise advanced in value, cotton card laps, sliver, and roving." Since picker lap has been classified in the group of products which covers cotton wastes that have been processed or otherwise advanced in value, it has not been considered by the Bureau of the Customs to be subject to the import quotas for cotton or enumerated cotton wastes. Picker lap imports are dutiable at the rate of 5 percent ad valorem.

Imports from Mexico under the "basket" category assumed to be picker lap, began in 1959 and totaled 3.3 million pounds during the year, equivalent to about 7,100 bales of cotton, allowing for 2 percent waste. During the first 7 months of 1960 , imports from Mexico totaled 4.8 million pounds, equivalent to about 10,120 bales of cotton. Imports from Canada, first appeared in noticeable quantities early in 1960, and by the end of July reached 5.9 million pounds, or the cotton equivalent of 12,564 bales. Imports from other countries, principally Japan and the United Kingdom, amounted to 2,760 bales cotton equivalent during the first 7 months of 1960, compared with 2,626 bales in $1959,1,863$ bales in 1958, and an average of 135 bales per year in 1955, 1956, and 1957.

Declared value of total imports under the category during the January-July period of 1960 amounted to $\$ 2,758,000$ compared with $\$ 1,083,000$ in 1959 , about $\$ 123,000$ in 1958, and a $n$ average of only $\$ 8,336$ per year in 1955, 1956, and 1957. Per-pound value of imports from Canada and Mexico in the first 7 months of 1950 , representing 89 percent of total imports, was 24.08 cents. However, the value of imports from other countries ranged from 13.41 cents per pound for Japan to 39.20 cents for the United Kingdom. (See table 4.)

On October 24 CCC issued an amendment to the Cotton Export Payment-in-Kind Program prohibiting payment on cotton which is exported and later reimported in the form of picker lap. This prohibition applies to the importation of picker lap with or without the consent of the exporter of the cotton from which the picker lap was manufactured. Details of this amendment were published in the Federal Register on October 25, 1960.

## COTTON USED IN MANUFACTURES <br> DELIVERED TO MILITARY <br> FORCES SMALL

Cotton used in textile iterns delivered to the military forces in July-September 1960 was about 13,300 bales.

This compares with approximately 13,800 bales in this same period a year earlier. The use of cotton has remained around 13,000 bales during all three quarters of 1960 but it was about 20,100 bales in the fourth. quarter of 1959.

The use of manmade fibers in textile items delivered to the military forces increased by more than 140 percent from April-June 1960 to July-September. In the third quarter of 1960 deliveries were equivalent to about 646,000 pounds.

Wool use declined slightly in July-September 1960 from April-June but washigher than any other 3 -month period since January-March 1959. (See table 5.)

Table 5 .--Cotton, manmade fibers and wool used by the military forces United States, annual 1955-59 and by quarters January-March 1959 through July September 1960


1/ Totals made before data were roundec to thousands.
2/ Includes certain items partly estimated from annual reports. Not available on a quarterly basis.

Compiled from reports of the Department of Defense.

Deliveries of cotton fabrics to the military forces totaled slightly more in the July-September period than the three preceding months but they were well below deliveries of a year earlier. Four fabrics accounted for about 87 percent of the 2.8 million square yards of fabrics delivered to the military forces in July -September
1960. These four fabrics were bunting, cheese cloth, duck, and twill. (See table 24.) Deliveries of manmade fiber fabrics during July-September 1960 were much larger than during the preceding two quarters. Deliveries of rayon twill accounted for most of the 1.7 million square yards delivered in July-September 1960. (See table 25.)


## COTTON EXPORTS

## RELATIVELY LARGE

Exports of cotton during the $1960-61$ season are expected to be about 6.5 million bales. Although this is about 700,000 bales smaller than exports during the preceding season, they will be the third largest since 1933. Exports in 1960-61 have been exceeded since 1933 only by those of 1959-60 and 1956-57. (See table 15.)

The relatively large exports of the current season are indicated by the supply of cotton in the foreign free world as related to distribution, relatively large financing of cotton exports by the United States Government, heavy registrations under the Payment-in-Kind Program, and the strong competitive position for prices of United States cotton in world markets.

Exports of cotton in August and September 1960 were about 307,000 bales. This compares with about 328,000 bales during the same month a year earlier. Normally, exports during these 2 months are relatively slow. The peak rate of exports is usually reached toward the end of the year and at the beginning of the following year. Last season, for example, exports in August were only 98,400 bales. In November they reached 651,000 and the peak was reached in January when $1,109,000$ bales were exported. Each year appears to show a somewhat different seasonal pattern but in general the peak months appear to be December through March. (See tables 6 and 26.)

Table 6 .--Exporis of cotton from United States, by months, August 1956 to date


1/ Totals were made before rounding.
Bureau of the Census.

## PIK REGISTRATIONS FOR 1960-61 LARGE

Registrations under the payment-in-Kind Program for the current season through November 4 were $3,429,105$ bules, compared with $3,163,585$ bales to approximately the same date a year earlier. Registrations were being made at a very rapid rate early in the season. For example, for the week ending September 23 about 294,000 bales were registered. In programs for 1959-60 there was no week through November 27 in which registrations were this large. It appears likely that the rate of registrations will decline as the season progresses, whereas last year the rate of registrations increased later in the season. During the week ending November 4, the last for which data on registrations in the current season sre available, there were registrations of 237,076 bales. (See tables 27 anid 28.)

## SUPPLY AND DISTRIBUTION OF COTTON ABROAD

Although the starting carryover of cotton in the forpign free world on August 1, 1960 was up about 100,000 bales from a year earlier, the carryover at the end of the current season (August 1, 1961) is expected to increase more. Stocks of cotton in India were extremely small at the start of the season and that country has plans for a substantial increase in her stocks by the end of the current season. In addition, some exporting countries in the foreign free world are expected to carry larger stocks at the end of the season than at the start.

Production of cotton in the foreign free, world is estimated at about 18 million bales, up about 1.5 million from a year earlier. The increase in production is a recovery from the relatively low crop of a year earlier. The estimate for $1960-61$ is about 600,000 bales larger than the 17.4 million bales of 1958-59. (See table 7.) Larger cotton production is estimated for most major producing countries in the foreign free world. Sharp increases from the very low output of 1959-60 are occuring in Sudan, Argentina, El Salvador, Mexico and India. Important increases over the large output of the preceding season also are occuring in Greece, Spain, Iran, Syria, Pakistan, Brazil, Colombia, and Egypt. Decreases are expected in The Republic of the Congo and Uganda. Very preliminary information is now available for some countries, particularly those in the Southern Hemisphere, and their estimates of production will change as more information becomes available. (See table 30.)

Production of cotton in Communist countries is reported to have declined somewhat from last year. Production in Communist China in the last few years is reported not as large as earlier information indicated. Because of smaller Russian and Chinese crops, exports to the Communist world are expected to increase.

Consumption of cotton in the foreign free world was at the record high of 21.8 million bales in 1959-60. During the current season, consumption is expected to be slightly larger than that of last season. Reports from abroad indicate consumption of cotton has been high in recent months but there were some indications that stocks of textiles are increasing. If so, consumption of cotton later in the season may tend to be depressed.

Table 7.--Cotton: Supply and distribution in the foreign
free world, 1937-58 to date


## GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS FOR FINANCING COTTON EXPORTS CONTINJE LARGE

Government programs for financing exports of cotton during the 1960-61 fiscal year (July 1, 1960 to June 30, 1961) totaled about 1.7 million bales as of November 7. These data include only those programs fo $r$ which purchase authorizations have been issued; they do not include agreements for which no purchase authorizations have been issued or agreements that are not yet signed. During the 1959-60 fiscal year about 1.4 million bales were exported under such programs. The largest increase in Government financing programs for cotton exports is occuring under Title I of Public Law 480. It is estimated that about 1 million bales could be shipped during 1960-61 under existing Title I purchase authorizations compared with 0.7 million in 1959-60. Exports under the Mutual Security $F$ ct and under ExportImport Bank loans are expected to be somewhat smaller than a year earlier. (See table 8.)

## PRICES IN WORLD MARKETS INCREASE

C.i.f. prices for cotton in Bremen and Liverpool were higher in September than in August. Prices for
both United States and foreign growths increased. Prices also were higher in September 1960 than in Septernber 1959. For example, prices for United States Middling 1-1/32 inches cotton c.i.f. Bremen were about threefourths of a cent per pound higher in September 1960 than in September 1959. The same quality of cotton from Mexico was up almost $1-1 / 2$ cents a pound. United States Middling 1 -inch cotton c.i.f. Liverpool increased by about 1.67 cents per pound from September 1959 to September 1960 and this quality of cotton from Pakistan was almost 3 cents a pound higher. (See tables 31 and 32.) Even though the price level for cotton had increased in foreign markets, United States cotton is competitive with most foreign growths.

Spot export prices show much the same type of relationship. In general, United States spot export prices are lower than foreign spot market prices, and the level of spot prices for most growths in September was higher than that in August. In September United States spot export prices were below export prices for competitive qualities of foreign grown cotton in six of the seven comparisons shown in table 33.

Table 8.--Special program of the U. S. Government for financing cotton exports:
Fiscal years, 1956-57 to date $1 /$


|  | $\begin{aligned} & : \text { Mil. } \\ & : \text { dol. } \end{aligned}$ | Mil. bales 3/ | Mil. dol. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mil. } \\ \text { bales } 3 / \end{gathered}$ | Mil. dol. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mil. } \\ \text { bales 3/ } \end{gathered}$ | Mil. dol. | Mil. bales 3/ | Mil. dol. | Mil. bales 3/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mutual Security : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Export-Import | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank | $: 58.8$ | . 3 | 61.1 | . 5 | 49.2 | . 4 | 36,0 | . 3 | 46.3 | . 4 |
| Public Law 480 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Title I | : 10.0 | . 1 | 128.0 | . 9 | 98.8 | . 7 | 92.6 | . 7 5 | $5 / 140.8$ | 1.1 |
| Title II | . 1 | $4 /$ | 4.8 | 4/ | 1.3 | $4 /$ | 1.5 | 4/ - | - . 3 | 4/ |
| Total | : 280.5 | 1.6 | 293.3 | 2.1 | 255.6 | 1.9 | 179.1 | 1.4 | 212.8 | 1.7 |
| Barter | : 127.4 | 1.0 | 56.4 | 0.5 | 46.0 | 0.4 | 12.7 | 0.1 | -- | --- |

1/ Authorized for delivery, shipments, and disbursements.
$\overline{2} /$ Incomplete, data through November 7 only.
$\overline{3} /$ Running bales, partly estimated.
4/ Less than 50,000 bales.
5 / Does not include agreements with Viet Nam for $\$ 3,562,000$ for which authorizations have not been made.


## COTTON PRODUCTION STEADY

The production of cotton in the United States during the 1960-61 marketing year was estimated at about 14.2 million running bales ( $14,298,000$ bales of 500 pounds each) as of November 1. This compares with the 1959 crop of about 14.5 million bales and is being produced on a larger acreage and, therefore, with a lower yield. The proportion of the crop harvested in the West is expected to increase slightly in 1960 over 1959, up to 22 percent of the total crop from 20 percent a year earlier. The proportion produced in the Southwest and Southeast is about the same, but in the Delta it declined about 2 percentage points to approximately 31 percent of the total. (See table 34.)

The average yield per harvested acre for 1960 is estimated at about 442 pounds. This compares with a record high of 466 pounds in 1958 and 462 pounds in 1959, making the 1960 yield the third highest on record. The trend in yields over the past several years indicates that 1958 and 1959 were perhaps slightly above the increase indicated by such trends and the yield in 1960 probably slightly below it. (See figure 4.) The trend yield examined for these comparisons is a 9-year centered moving average. Since it is a centered moving average, the latest trend yield that can be calculated is that for 1956. A projection of this trend line gives some indication of the yield that can be expected in later years.


Figure 4

The average yield per harvested acre in the West is about 982 pounds per acre. This compares with 975 pounds in 1959-60 and a record high in 1958 of 983 pounds per acre. Yields in the other regions declined from a year earlier. The Delta, as usual, had the second highest yieid in the country, followed by the Southeast and Southwest in that order (See table 35.)

Harvested acreage for 1960 is estimated at about 15.5 million acres. This is the largest acreage since 1956 when 15.6 million acres were harvested and compares with 15.1 million acres in 1959. The number of acres harvested in all regions of the Cotton Belt was larger in 1960 than in 1959. The proportion of the total acreage harvested in the West and the Southwest increased slightly, and declined slightly in the Delta and the Southeast. (See table 36.)

## GINNINGS SMALL

Ginnings from the 1960 crop through October 31 were 8.4 million bales or 59 percent of the indicated crop. Ginnings during the current season expressed as a percentage of the total crop are smaller than those for any season since 1957-58. The harvest of the current crop is relatively late in the season, but volume has increased in recent weeks.

## QUALITY OF THE CROP ABOUT THE SAME AS A YEAR EARLIER

The quality of the 1960 crop ginned through October 17 generally is not greatly different from the quality of the 1959 crop ginned through the same date a year earlier. The average staple length for both crops was 33.2 thirty-seconds of an inch. The grade index (Middling White $=100$ for the 1960 crop was 97.5 compared with 97.7 for the 1959 crop. Light spotted cotton comprises about 16.8 percent of the 1960 ginnings compared with 12.4 percent in 1959. The proportion of Middling and Middling plus cotton in the current crop was smaller than a year earlier, 38.6 and 42.3 percent, respectively.

## ACREAGE ALLOTMENT FOR 1961 LARGER

On October 13 the Secretary of Agriculture announced a national marketing quota for 1961 for upland cotton of 15.6 million bales and a national acreage allotment of $\mathbf{1 8 . 5}$ million acres. These figures compare with a national marketing quota in 1959 of 13.1 million bales and with acreage allotments including acreage added by Choice $B$ selection of 17.5 million acres. The national marketing quota for 1960 includes an upward adjustment of 500,000 bales larger than the estimate used for domestic mill consumption and exports less imports for the 1961-62 marketing year. In the annoucement
of the marketing quota and acreage allotment published in the Federal Register of October 15 it is stated, "Such adjustment is the amount determined necessary to provide a national quota which can be expected to produce the amount and qualities of cotton needed to assure the maintenance of adequate stocks of cotton in the United States."

On October 17 state acreage allotments were announced. The acreage allotment for the West was down about 171,000 acres from the rotal of Choice A and Choice B acreage allotments for upland cotton in 1960. Acreage allotments for the other regions increased. The West's proportion of the acreage allotment declined from 9 percent for 1960 to about 7.6 percent for 1961. Proportions alloted to the Southwest and the Southeast are larger than in 1960 and the Delta retained about the same proportion of upland cotton as in 1960. (See tables 9 and 38.)

## CCC HELD STOCKS DECLINE

Stocks of cotton held by the Commodity Credit Corporation (owned and held as collateral againstoutstanding price support loans) totaled about $5 . l$ million bales on October 28. Approximately a year earlier CCC-held stocks were about 6 million bales. Total upland stocks were about 5.0 million bales and extra-long staple stocks were about 64,000 . (See table 10.)

Purchases of Choice A cotton through October 28 totaled about 3 million bales. This compares with about 3.5 million bales during the same period a year earlier. The smaller purchases during the current season are probably due to the slower ginning of this year's crop than last year's. Sales of Choice A cotton through October 28 were approximately 1.4 million bales leaving 1.6 million bales of Choice A cotton stocks on that date. A year earlier there were 1.9 million bales of Choice A stocks held by CCC.

As of October 28 outstanding loans covered 58,242 bales. A year earlier there were outstanding loans on about 8,766 bales.


## COTTON PRICES LOWER

The average 14 spot market price for Middling 1 -inch cotton in October was 30.22 cents per pound. This was about 1.44 cents per pound below the price for a year earlier. The difference between the Choice $B$ loan rate for Middling l-inch cotton for the 1959-60 and the current marketing years is 1.77 cents per pound. The average price for October at the designated spot markets was the lowest of any month since June 1946. (See table 39.)

Premiums and discounts for Middling 1 -inch for grade and staple length were generally narrower during August and September than in the same months a year earlier. The differentials were also narrower than the differentials for the current price support programs. (See table 41, 42, and 43.)

Average prices received by farmers for upland cotton have shown the same tendency as market prices. The mid-October price of 31.55 cents per pound was below the average for mid-August and mid-September, and

Table 9 .--Coton, upland: Acrange allotments, by regions, and each region as a percent of total, 1959, 60 and 1961


1/ Includes acreage added by Choice B selection.
Computed from reports of the Commodity Stablization Service.

Table 10.--Commoilty Credit Corporation stocks of cotton United States, Aug. 1, 1958 and 1959 and August 1960 to date

| Date | : |  | : Upland |  |  | Extra-long staple 1/ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : | Total | $\begin{aligned} & : \quad \text { Owned } \\ & : \quad \underline{/} \end{aligned}$ | Under <br> Ioan | Total | : Owned | Under <br> loan | : Total |
|  | : | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 . \\ & \text { bales } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,030 \\ & \text { bales } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1958 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. 1 | : | 2,944 | 2,855 | --- | 2,8.55 | 79 | -- | 79 |
| 1959 | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. 1 | : | 7,043 | 6,971 | --- | 6,971 | 72 | $\cdots$ | 72 |
| 1960 | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. 1 | : | 5,042 | 4,973 | 3 | 4,976 | 42 | 24 | 66 |
| Aug. 5 | : | 4,877 | 4,809 | 2 | 4,811 | 42 | 24 | 66 |
| Aug. 12 | : | 4,680 | 4,615 | --- | 4,615 | 65 | --- | 65 |
| Aug. 19 | : | 4,704 | 4,637 | - | 4,639 | 65 | --- | 65 |
| Aug. 26 | : | 4,589 | 4,524 | - | 4,524 | 65 | -- | 65 |
| Sept. 2 | : | 4,605 | 4,540 | --- | 4,540 | 65 | --- | 65 |
| Sept. 9 | : | 4,645 | 4,580 | --- | 4,530 | 65 | --- | 65 |
| Sept. 16 | : | 4,50? | 4,437 | --- | 4,437 | 65 | - | 65 |
| Sept, 23 | : | 4,377 | 4,312 | 3/ | 4,312 | 65 | --- | 65 |
| Sept. 30 | : | 4,367 | 4,302 | 3/ | 4,302 | 65 | -- | 65 |
| Oct. 7 | : | 4,257 | 4,192 | 3/ | 4,192 | 65 | --- | 65 |
| Oct. 14 | : | 4,882 | 4,816 | 1 | 4,817 | 65 | --- | 65 |
| Occ. 21 | : | 4,972 | 4,877 | 31 | 4,903 | 64 | --- | 64 |
| Oct. 28 | : | 5,135 | 5,013 | 58 | 5,071 | 64 | $3 /$ | 64 |

1/Includes American Egyptian, Sealand and Sea-Island. 2/Estimated stock. 3/Less than 500 bales.
Commodity Stabilization Service.
about a cent below the price of a year earlier. (See "Cotton Situation at a Glance.")

For the 1960 crop prices were supported at 75 percent of the February 1960 parity price for Middling $7 / 8$ inch cotton at average location under the Choice A program. Under the Choice $B$ program the price support level was 60 percent of the February 1960 parity price for Middling $7 / 8$ inch cotton. U nder present legislation there will be no Choice A or Choice B programs after the current season. The 1961 crop can be supported at 70 to 90 percent of parity for the average quality of the crop.

## PARITY PRICE

The parity price effective for November for Upland cotton, based on October 15 price data, was 38.37 cents per pound, compared with 38.50 cents per pound for the preceding 3 months. The high for 1960 of 39.02 cents per pound was reached for the parity
price effective for May. The decline occurred because the parity index (prices paid by farmers including interest, taxes and wages) declined--down 5 index points in mid-October from April 1960. In mid-October the parity index stood at 297 (1910-14=100). The average parity price in 1959 for upland cotton was 37.98 cents per pound, down 0.55 cent from the record high 1958 average. (See tables 11 and 44.)

Table 11,--Parity prices, per pound of cotton, annual averages, 1956 to date

| Year beginning Jan. 1 : Parity price |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cents |
| 1956 |  | 35.38 |
| 1957 |  | 37.02 |
| 1958 |  | 38.53 |
| 1959 | - | 37.98 |
| 1960 1/ |  | 38.64 |
| 1/ Average January-October 1960 |  |  |
| Price Division, Agricultural Marketing Service |  |  |



## THE EXTRA-LONG STAPLE COTTON SITUATION

The carryover of extra-long staple cotton on August 1, 1960 was the largest since 1955. According to the Bureau of the Census, it was about 151,000 bales, compared with about 148,000 a year earlier. The carryover at the end of the current season is expected to increase still further as production and imports will be larger than domestic consumption and the very small exports expected for the current season.

Consumption during 1959-60 was about 124,000 bales, the largest since $1955-56$. It was about 15,000 bales larger than consumption in the preceding season During the current season consumption of extra-long staple cotton is expected to decline, along with the consumption of all cotton. Exports during 1959-60 were less than 5,000 bales, compared with about 22,000 in the preceding season. Exports during the current season are again exported to be small--less than 5,000 bales, unless additional agreements under Title I of Public Law 480 are concluded. (See table 46.)

Imports during 1959-60 filled the import quota of 95,100 bales for imported cotton longer than $1-1 / 8$ inches. Most of the import quota for the 1960-61 season has already been filled and the small amount that is left probably will be filled before the end of the season. The import quota for cotton $1-1 / 8$ inches and longer from abroad is $45,656,420$ pounds, divided as follows:

| Staple Length | Allocation |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1-3/8' or more | 39,590,778 |
| 1-5/32'' or more and under |  |
| 1-3/8' (Tanguis) | 1,500,000 |
| 1-1/8" or more and under |  |
| 1-3/8" | 4,565,642 |

All segments of the quota have been filled except Tanguis, which has a total quota of $1,500,000$ pounds; imports under this quota as of October 10 were 509 , 594 pounds.

Production of extra-long staple cotton during the current season is estimated at 65,700 running bales $(66,800$ bales of 500 pounds each). This compares with production of 69,100 bales a year earlier.

The national marketing quota for the 1961 crop has been set at 66,590 bales and the national acreage allotment for 1961 has been set at 63,740 acres. The marketing quota for 1960 was the same as for 1961, but the national acreage allotment was slightly larger, 64,776 acres, The distribution of the acreage allotment for 1961 by states is shown below:

State Acreage Allotments for Extra-Long Staple Cotton

| State . | : Acreage Allotments |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | : |
| Arizona | : 26,831 |
| California | : 420 |
| Florida | : 491 |
| Georgia | : 112 |
| New Mexico | : 12,455 |
| Texas | 21,893 |
| Puerto Rico | 1,538 |
| Total | 63,740 |
|  | : |

The average price received by farmers for AmericanEgyptian cotton in mid-October was 55 cents per pound. This was slightly above the price of a year earlier. The average price for Grade $3,1-1 / 2$ staple length at El Paso, Texas and Phoenix, Arizona, averaged about 55.15 cents per pound in September. This was 0.35 cent below the price of a year earlier. The diference in the price support levels for AmericanEgyptian cotton in 1960 and 1959 was only about 0.12 cent a pound. The rate for 1960 for American-Egyptian was 53.07 cents per pound and the rate in 1959 was 52.95 cents. The landed New England price for Egyptian Karnak cotton, Fully Good to Extra, in September was 57.65 cents per pound. This was 1.10 cents above the price quoted for August but compares with prices since January 1960 of more than 58 cents. (See table 12.) It usually takes about 4 to 5 cents per pound to get American-Egyptian cotton from El Paso and Phoenix to New England mill points. Thus, the price for Ameri-can-Egyptian cotton landed New England probably is slightly higher than the landed New England price for Karnak cotton.

Table 12.--Cotton, Egyptian Karnak: Prices landed New England mill points, fully good to extra, January 1960 to date



## OUTPUT OF COTTONSEED AND COTTONSEED PRODUCTS DECLINES

Crushings of $5,492,000$ tons of cottonseed by oil mills in the 1959-60 marketing year were about 24 percent more than crushings in the preceding season. The 1959-60 crushings were about 92 percent of the 1959 crop of $5,991,000$ tons. Production of cottonseed in 1958-59 amounted to $4,798,000$ tons of which $4,439,000$ tons were crushed.

If the rratio of lint to cortonseed is the same in 1960-61 as in the last 5 years, about 5.9 million tons of seed will be produced. Applying the average ratio of crushings to production of the last 5 years -92.1 percent--would give crushings of about 5.4 million tons. The production of cottonseed oil, cake and meal, and cotton linters obtained from these crushings is shown in table 47.

## STOCKS OF COTTONSEED PRODUCTS

Stocks of refined and crude cottonseed oil at oil mills, factories and warehouses were about 299 million pounds on August 1, 1960, about 34 percent above August 1, 1959. Stocks of linters were 488,000 bales on August 1, 1960, compared with 569,000 bales a year earlier.

Stocks of cottonseed cake and meal at oil mills on August 1, 1960 were about 63 percent greater than those of a year earlier, and stocks of hulls were 34 percent smaller. Data on stocks at other locations are not available. (See table 48.) No stocks of cottonseed oil or linters were held by the Commodiry Credit Corporation on August $1,1960$.

## SUPPLY AND DISAPPEARANCE OF COTTON LINTERS LOWER

The total supply of linters for the 1960-61 markering year is estimated at about 2.3 million bales, about 0.1 million bales below that of 1958-59. (See table 49.) The 1960-61 supply includes estimated imports of about 150,000 bales and the beginning stocks and production figures shown above. Disappearance of linters in $1960-61$ is estimated at about 1.4 million bales, compared with approximately 1.7 million in 1959-60. Domestic consumption will probably decrease from about 1.4 million bales in 1959-60 to about 1.2 million in 1960-61. Linters consumption in August and September 1960 was about 199,000 bales, compared with approximately 249,000 in the same period a year earlier. Consumption by both bleachers and other users was smaller. Exports are expected to be approximately 200,000 bales compared with 339,000 in 1959-60.

Disappearance of about $1: 4$ million bales will leave an ending carryover of about 0.9 million bales, about 84 percent above a year earlier.


## PRODUCTION OF CELLULOSIC FIBERS, NON-CELLULOSIC FIBERS INCREASE

Production of cellulosic fibers (rayon and acetate) in the first two guarters of 1960 was about 8 percent. below production during the same period a year; earlier. Production of the non-cellulosic manmade fibers,
including glass fiber, in the first two quarters of the current season was at a record high rate. The previous record was reached in 1959.

Production of non-cellulosic manmade fibers has increased rather steadily since the end of World War II, The 447.6 million pounds produced in January-June 1960 compare with 390.1 million pounds produced in January-June 1959 or an increase of about 15 percent. The rate of growth in the production of non-cellulosic manmade fiber has varied widely since the end of World War II. The change in successive years has varied from a decrease of 5.7 percent in 1947 tó an increase of 52.3 percent in 1950. However, production declined during only two years in the post-war period 1947 and 1958. In both years, the declines. were slightly over 5 percent. The percentage increases in all other years were much larger. (See table 13.)

All categories of non-cellulosic fiber production in the first two quarters of 1960 were larger than in the same quarters a year earlier. The production of textile glass fiber shows the largest gain, up about 37 percent. Non-cellulosic staple fiber had the second largest increase, about 16 percent.

The decline in collulosic fiber production occurred in all categories other than acetate. Viscose and cuprammonium staple fiber showed the largest decline, about 16 percent. The second largest decline was in high tenacity yarn. Acetate production was 5 percent above the same quarter a year earlier. (See table 51.)

Table 13.--Produciion: Non-ceilulosic menmade fibers, annual, 1945-59 and lst, and 2nd. quarters, 1959 and 1960


# LONG TERM PROJECTIONS 

By<br>Frank Lowenstein

Ordinarily, we look at the outlook for about a year into the future. Such projections are useful, but cotton producers also are faced with longer term planning. In ordet to make investment commitments, to acquire specific kinds of equipment which are amortized over a number of years, and to make other plans, cotton farmers must look several years into the future. An important aspect of such analysis is the effect of economic relationships onlong runprojections of demand for cotton. The projections which follow are made on the basis of specified assumptions. The projections are not forecasts of the future, but they do indicate the relationships between the principle variables which affect the cotton industry. 1/

In summary, under the specific assumptions and analysis explained below projected U S. .total disappearance for cotton in 1965 and 1970 would be about 16.3 and 18.0 million bales respectively. Domestic consumption of cotton per capita probably would remain at about the same level as in the recent past, approximately 24 pounds. Domestic consumption of all fibers per capita is projected at about 40 pounds per capita, corpared with an average of about 37 pounds in 1955-59. Cotton consumption would be about 60 percent of all fiber consumption, compared with about 65 percent in 1955-59. Because of population growth, total consumption of cotton would increase to around 9.8 million bales in 1965 and 10.7 million bales in 1970. Exports probably would increase to an average of about 6.5 and $7-1 / 4$ million bales for the years centered around 1965 and 1970, respectively. If yields should continue to increase at a moderate rate, planted acreage needed to balance production and disappearance would be about 17 and 17.3 million acres.

Of course, actual forecasts for several years ahead are most difficult to make. There are many uncertainties. No one can accurately predict general economic conditions, such as national income, general price levels, spot market prices and export prices for cotton, manmade fiber consumption, world cotton production and consumption, and a host of other pertinent factors into the more distant future. The projections made herein are based on the specific assumptions shown in table 14.

It is assumed that price support will be at the lower limit permitted by the legislation now in force-65 percent of parity for the average quality of the crop. Furthermore, it is assumed that the market prices will be close to support prices.

1/ The assumptions are, in general, the same as those used in Sherman E. Johnson's paper, Agricultural Qutlook in the 1960's, USDA, ARS, November 14, 1960

The cotton prices assumed for this projection in real terms are the lowest for several decades. Such low cotton prices and expected declines in manmade fiber prices indicate a low fiber price index.

On the average it took 1.8 pounds of cotton to replace a pound of non-cellulosic manmade fibers in 1960. This replacement ratio has been increasing over time. By 1965 it may take 2 pounds of cotton to replace a pound of non-cellulosic manmade fibers, and by 1970 it may take 2.15 pounds. The assumed consumption of manmade fibers also assumes rapid increases in noncellulosic manmade fiber consumption, and decreases in cellulosic manmade fiber consumption.

The population projections and estimates are those of the Bureau of the Census.

Demand for cotton is comprised of two important segments, domestic consumption and exports. These two segments are considered separately. First let us look at domestic consumption.

## DOMEST IC COTTON CONSUMPTION

Cotton consumption is in reality a part of total fiber consumption. In recent years it has comprised around 65 percent of total fiber consumption. Furthermore, consumption of other fibers, primarily manmade fibers, affect the quantity of cotton consumed. In other words, there are factors which affect the consumption of all fibers 2/ and factors which specifically affect cotton consumption. To analyze cotton consumption and put such consumption in proper perspective, total fiber consumption should first be examined.

Domestic fiber consumption 3/primarily varies because of changes in income of ultimate consumers, fiber prices, and population. This article examines consumption per capita and then multiplies consumption per capita by population to project total fiber consumprion.

2/ For the purpose of this article, all fiber consumption is defined as the consumption of cotton, wool and manmade fibers.

3/ Domestic mill consumption plus the fiber equivalent of textile imports and less the fiber equivalent of textile exports.

Table 14.--Assumptions: Used in projecting cotton disappearance and total fiber consumption, 1965 and 1970


```
    1/ October 1960. 2/ Choice A. 3/ Choice B.
```

Domestic fiber consumption per capita is affected primarily by the level of personal disposable income, the change in personal disposable income, and the index of fiber prices. 4/ The analysis on which the projections are based covers the years 1927-1932, 1935-1940, and 1948-1959. Data were incomplete for 1933 and 1934, hence these two years were eliminated from the analysis. Fiber consumption was greatly distorted by World War II and immediate postwar conditions, so the years 1941 to 1947 also were eliminated from the analysis.

The assumptions shown above for income, change in income, and the price index for fibers were used to project fiber consumption per capita in 1965 and 1570. The income assumptions are much higher than current income and the fiber price index assumption is lower than for any year included in the basic analysis. The projections for both 1965 and 1970 are about 40 pounds per person. Multiplying this per capita consumption by assumed population gives total domestic fiber consumption as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1965-7,816,000,000 \text { pounds } \\
& 1970-8,552,000,000 \text { pounds }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\underline{4}$ There is an additional short run factor whose effect is held at zero for this analysis. This factor is the stock-unfilled order ratio for fabric.

As can be seen from table 16, the per capita projections are only slightly higher than the 1955-59 average of 37.1 pounds. In other words sharply higher income and relatively low fiber prices cause only a mild increase in fiber consumption.

Increases in fiber consumption of the nature indicated appear to be small in relation to the changes in the causal variables. However, the analysis should be considered in the light of cotton equivalent or utility pounds. In these terms, domestic fiber consumption per capita would be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1965-51.4 \text { pounds } \\
& 1970-55.0 \text { pounds }
\end{aligned}
$$

The larger difference in the two years for utility pounds than for actual pounds is caused by a projected sharp rise in non-cellulosic manmade fiber consumption. A pound of these fibers is equivalent to as much as. 2.7 pounds of cotton. 5/A rapid intrease in the consumption of such fibers would cause total fiber consumption to increase at a relatively slow rate.

The domestic consumption of cotton per capita is a large part of total fiber consumption. Analysis shows that cotton consumption is affected by the level of income, the change in income, cotton prices, and the

5/ See: Lowenstein, Frank and Simon, Martin S.: "Textile Fiber Consumption in Cotton Equivalent Pounds. "The Cotton Situation, CS-173, November 1957.
cotton equivalent of non-cellulosic manmacie fiber concumption. 6/ Analysis on which the cotton projection is based covers the same time period as the analysis for all fibers.

Cotton consumption for 1965 and 1970 under this analysis projects to about 24 pounds per capita for 1965 and 1970. Such a projection is about the same as the 1955-59 average.

Total domestic consumption of cotton would be:

|  | Pounds <br> (Millions) | Bales <br> (Millions) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1965 | $4,689.6$ | 9.8 |
| 1970 | $5,131.2$ | 10.7 |

Using cotton consumption indicated below, and rounding the per capita consumption of other fibers to whole numbers, the distribution of domestic fiber consumption in actual pounds would be:

|  | 1955-59 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cap. | Total |
| Cotton | 24 | 4,059.8 |
| Wool | 3 | 488.1 |
| Manmade fiber | 10 | 1,793.5 |
| Total | 37 | 6,341.4 |

## 1965

| Cotton | 24 | $4,689.6$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Wool | 3 | 586.2 |
| Manmade fiber | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 5 4 0 . 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |


|  | 1970 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cotton | 24 | 5,131.2 |
| Wool | 3 | 641.4 |
| Manmade fiber | 13 | 2,779.4 |
| Total | 40 | 8,552.0 |

Changes in imports and exports of textiles would alter the amount of fiber consumed by domestic mills from that shown above. If present trends continue, the amount of fiber consumed by domestic mills would be smaller than domestic consumption because more textiles are imported than are exported.

## COTTON EXPORTS

Exports of cotton from the United States have shown wide variation over the last decade--ranging between 6/ See footnote 4 on page 22.
2.2 and 7.6 million bales. Since 1955 exports have averaged 5.8 million bales per year. From 1951 to 1955 they averaged 3.6 million bales. The difference was caused primarily by a change in the relative export prices for U. S. cotton.

Starting with the 1956-57 season, export prices for U. S. cotton were held at competitive levels. In the 1959-60 season the export price for U. S. cotton was about 23 cents per pound for Middling $7 / 8$ inch cotton, and it is about the same for the current season. Lower prices have been associated with a relatively slow rate of increase in cotton production in the foreign free world and a relatively rapid increase in foreign free world consumption of cotton.

Cotton production in the foreign free world has varied some. Acreage has remained relatively stable in recent years, oscillating around 46 million acres, and per acre yields have tended to be between 170 and 180 pounds.

If the price support for Middling $7 / 8$ inch, about 23 cents per pound, is assumed to be the export price level, the export price level would be about the same as in the recent past. Over the next ten years acreage in the foreign free world would increase at a slow rate and yields probably would move gradually higher, continuing the trends of the last five years. Foreign free world production might show an average increase of about 150,000 bales per year.

Consumption of cotton in the foreign free world has tended to increase since World War II and still shows strength for the longer term future. If per capita consumption remained constant, population growth alone would cause total cotton consumption to increase. With the low price assumption used for this analysis, cotton probably would continue to compete strongly with manmade fibers in world markets. Continued improvement in standards of living abroad like that of the past few years would cause consumption per capita also to increase.

Gains in per capita consumption and population growth probably would mean an average increase in cotton consumption in the foreign free world of at least 300,000 bales per annum during the next ten years.

If production increases were to average about 150,000 bales per year and consumption increases about 300,000 bales per year, U. S. exports would increase about 150,000 bales per year. Starting from the average annual exports of 1956-57 though 1959-60 of about 5.8 million bales, exports in the 3 years centered on 1965 and 1970 probably would increase to about $6-1 / 2$ and $7-1 / 4$ million bales, respectively.

## TOTAL DISAPPEARANCE AND <br> NEEDED ACREAGE

Under the assumptions explained above, total disappearance of cotton in the U. S. would be:
$1965-16.3$ million bales
$1970-18.0$ million bales

What acreage would be required to provide a supply to balance such disappearance? In order to answer this question we should look at trends in yields.

As shown in figure 4, yield per harvested acre has been trending upward for many years. The average rate of increase from 1937 to 1957 was about 2.5 percent a year. 7/ This rate of increase may be somewhat slower in the 1960's. Using a rate of increase of 1.5 percent per year from 1960 to 1970 would give approximate yield projections of:

1965-480
1970-520

In order to balance production and disappearance under these assumed yields, the following harvested acreage would be required:

1965-16.3 million acres 1970-16.6 million acres

Planted acreage requirements probably would be slightly higher as follows:

1965-17.0 million acres
1970-17.3 million acres
In the past, the number of acres planted were not as large as the number allotted.

7/ See Rafler, Doris D. and Wittmann, Charles, H.; "Cotton Acreage and Yield 1937-57," The Cotton Situation, November 1958, CS-179.

Table 15.--Cotton: Supply and distribution, United States, 1925 to date


1/ Totals were made before data were rounded to thousands. 2/ Running bales except "Net imports" which is in bales of 500 pounds each. 3/ Adjusted to period August 1-July 31. 4/ Imports include but total supply excludes 48,213 bales of stockpile cotton entered for consumption under the extra-long staple import quota. 5/ Preliminary. 6/ Partly estimated.

Table 1 of Annusl Report of the Bureau of the Census "Cotton Production and Distribution" except for 1959 and 1960 which are from subsequent Census Reports.

Table 16.--Cotton, wool, rayon and acetate, other synthetics, flax and silk: Total and per capita
mill consumption, United States, 1925 to date







 50,000 pounds. 12/ Preliminary

Table 17.-- Per capita domestic cotton consumption 1/, United States, 1920 to 1959


1/U. S. Mill consumption of cotton adjusted for cotton equivalent of trade balance in cotton textiles.

Table 18.--Domestic cotton consumption 1/, United States, 1920 to 1959


1/ U. S. Mill consumption of cotton adjusted for cotton equivalent of trade balance in cotton textiles.

Table 19.--Cotton: Daily average consumption by month, adjusted for seasonal variation, August 1944-September 1960

| Year beginning August 1 | August | : September | October | November | December | January |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bales | Bales | Bales | Bales | Bales | Bales |
| 1944 | 38,233 | 37,451 | 37,053 | 37,644 | 38,812 | 36,537 |
| 1945 | 33,374 | 35,022 | 33,502 | 33,284 | 33,417 | 34,477 |
| 1946 | 40,445 | 38,936 | 40,511 | 40,991 | 37,945 | 39,907 |
| 1947 | 35,924 | 33,118 | 35,353 | 37,175 | 35,063 | 37,582 |
| 1948 | 33,841 | 33,582 | 32,170 | 30,445 | 31,143 | 29,357 |
| 1949 | 29,058 | 32,178 | 33,386 | 34,278 | 35,038 | 35,117 |
| 1950 | 39,844 | 38,589 | 40,502 | 39,518 | 41,081 | 40,474 |
| 1951 | 37,124 | 35,847 | 35,145 | 35,561 | 35,210 | 35,819 |
| 1952 | 36,489 | 36,715 | 35,531 | 36,589 | 36,461 | 34,920 |
| 1953 | 35,447 | 35,138 | 33,647 | 33,091 | 32,032 | 32,309 |
| 1954 | 32,895 | 33,162 | 33,568 | 33,686 | 33,716 | 34,130 |
| 1955 | 35,222 | 35,267 | 34,955 | 35,549 | 35,955 | 35,864 |
| 1956 | 33,549 | 32,946 | 34,309 | 33,336 | 33,600 | 32,309 |
| 1957 | 32,434 | 32,669 | 30,972 | 31,117 | 30,738 | 30,537 |
| 1958 | 31,368 | 32,052 | 31,695 | 32,011 | 31,281 | 32,924 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 19592 / \\ & 19602 / \end{aligned}$ | 34,714 | 33,969 | 34,540 | 34,514 | 35,969 | 34,984 |
|  | 33,326 | 31,522 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | : | March | April | May | June | July |
|  | February |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bales | Bales | Bales | Bales | Bales | Bales |
| 1944 | 36,536 | 36,154 | 35,631 | 35,397 | 35,780 | 35,694 |
| 1945 | 34,876 | 35,595 | 35,972 | 37,330 | 38,500 | 37,630 |
| 1946 | 39,077 | 38,918 | 39,169 | 36,290 | 34,356 | 35,677 |
| 1947 | 36,509 | 35,790 | 37,022 | 37,146 | 36,488 | 35,081 |
| 1948 | 29,721 | 29,477 | 28,190 | 26,280 | 27,578 | 26,914 |
| 1949 | 34,299 | 33,839 | 35,462 | 35,798 | 34,015 | 37,883 |
| 1950 | 41,814 | 42,596 | 39,528 | 41,421 | 41,271 | 38,308 |
| 1951 | 35,931 | 34,715 | 34,058 | 34,109 | 34,045 | 34,579 |
| 1952 | 35,933 | 36,538 | 36.970 | 37,032 | 37,607 | 36,600 |
| 1953 | 32,398 | 32,403 | 32,446 | 32,050 | 33,372 | 33,143 |
| 1954 | 34,087 | 34,356 | 34,347 | 34,784 | 35,246 | 34,928 |
| 1955 | 35,986 | 35,204 | 35,913 | 35,137 | 33,498 | 34,047 |
| $19561 /$ | 32,633 | 33,188 | 33,196 | 33,116 | 33,590 | 31,594 |
| 1957 | 30,336 | 30,301 | 28,995 | 29,716 | 30,882 | 30,244 |
| 1958 | 33,051 | 33,287 | 35,652 | 34,958 | 34,272 | 39,821 |
| 1959 2/ | 34,674 | 34,232 | 35,135 | 35,374 | 35,741 | 34,345 |

1/ Revised, beginning January 1957. 2/ Preliminary.
Bureau of the Census.

Table 20.--Fabric value, cotton price and mill margin, per pound, United States, by months, August 1956 to date


1/ The estimated value of a pound of cotton with adjustments for salable waste.
2/ Monthly average prices for four territory growths, even running lots, prompt shipments, delivered at Group 201 (Group B) mill points including landing costs and brokerage. Prices are for the average quality of cotton used in each kind of cloth.

3/ Difference between cloth prices and cotton prices.
4/ Starts August 1 of the year indicated.
Cotton Division, AMS.

Table 21.--Raw cotton equivalent of United States imports for consumption of cotton manufactures, 1940-60


[^1]2/ Includes velvets and velveteens, corduroys, plushes and chenilles, and manufactures of pile fabrics.
3/ Includes blankets, quilts, and bedspreads, sheets and pillow cases.
4/ Includes knit and woven underwear and outerwear (collars and cuffs, shirts, coats, vests, robes, pajamas, and ornamented vearing apparel).
5/ Includes nets and nettings, veils and veilings, edgings, embroideries, etc., and lace window curtains.
 tassels, garters, suspenders and braces, and miscellaneous articles.
7. Includes belts and belting, fish nets and netting, and coated, filled, or waterproof fabrics
8) 480 pound net weight bales.

9/ Preliminary.







Table 23--Cotton producis export program: Classes of cotton products and equalization payments, annual 1958-59, 1959-60 and August-September, 1959 and 1960


Comodity Stabilization Service

Table 24.--Cotton fabrics: Deliveries to United States military forces, by selected fabrics, annual 1955-59 and by
quarters, Jan.-Mar. 1959 through July-Sept. 1960 1//

|  |  | : | : | : | : | : | 1959 |  |  | 1960 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fabric | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | : 1958 | 1959 | Jan.- <br> Mar. | Apr.- <br> June | July- <br> Sept. | Oct.- <br> Dec. | Total 2/ | Jan.Mar. | Apr.June | JulySept. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { sq. yd. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { sq. yd. } \end{aligned}$ | $1,000$ sq. yd. | $1,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { sq. } \mathrm{yd} . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { sq. } \mathrm{yd} . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { sq. yd. } \end{aligned}$ | $1,000$ | $1,000$ | $1,000$ | $1,000$ <br> sq. yd | $1,000$ | $1,000$ |
|  | sq. yd. | $\text { sq. } \mathrm{yd} .$ | sq. yd. | sq. yd. | sq. yd. | $\underline{\mathrm{sq}_{0} \mathrm{yd}_{0}}$ | sq. yd. | sq. yd. | sq. yd. | sq. yd. | sq. yd. | $\text { sq. } \mathrm{yd}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{sg}_{\cdot} \mathrm{yd}_{.}$ |
| Airplane cloth | --- | --- | 363.8 | 768.3 | 1,234.5 | 690.4 | 518.6 | 21.3 | 4.3 | 1,234.5 | 4.6 | 0 | 4.2 |
| Birdseye | --- | --- | --- | 15.2 | 60.3 | 29.9 | 0 | 0 | 30.4 | 60.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Brattice cloth | - --- | --- | --- | 159.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 。 | 0 | 0 |
| Bunting | --- | 181.9 | 50.6 | 483.3 | 409.4 | 68.6 | 1 | 26.0 | 314.7 | 409.4 | 48.3 | 0 | 222.6 |
| Chambray | --- | --- | --- | 283.8 | 302.9 | 136.0 | 109.5 | 0 . | 57.5 | 302.9 | 1.6 | 0 | 63.4 |
| Cheese cloth | - --- | --- | --- | --- | 426.5 | --- | --- | 25.5 | 401.0 | 426.5 | 0 | 256.3 | 171.9 |
| Cord cloth | - --- | --- | --- | 207.7 | 217.2 | 0 | 4/ 20.3 | 04 | 4/197.0 | 217.2 | 4/ 266.9 |  | 4/100.3 |
| Batiste | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | - --- | -.- - | --- | --- | - --- | 2.1 | 0 |
| Damask | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 50.3 | 0 |
| Denim | --- | --- | --- | 715.4 | 244.2 | 203.6 | 40.6 | 0 | 0 | 244.2 | 88.1. | . 3 | 0 |
| Drill | 2,145.2 | 795.1 | 1,821.3 | 3,108.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. |
| Duck | 11,860.1 | 8,172.8 | 6,908.2 | 485.9 | 5,827.9 | 272.6 | 1,123.0 | 1,335.5 | 3,096.8 | 5,827.9 | 747.7 | 606.7 | 742.4 |
| Flannel | --- | 103.6 | 51.4 | 0 | 60.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60.1 | 60.1 | 20.2 | 0 | 122.7 |
| Gabardine | --- | --- | 133.1 | 370.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jean | --- | --- | --- | 61.5 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 81. | 0. | 0 | 0 , | 0 |
| Osnaburg | --- | 111.3 | 1,006.0 | 1,192.8 | 1,481.8 | 54.0 | 459.3 | 379.4 | 589.1 | 1,481.8 | 1.029 .3 | 276.6 | 0 |
| Oxford | 2,930.2 | 3,735.8 | 564.5 | 5,082.0 | 2,648.6 | 483.9 | 708.1 | 841.2 | 615.5 | 2,648.6 5 | /1,363.8 | 168.4 | 77.9 |
| Poplin | 0 | 2,231.8 | 3,943.4 | 4,735.8 | 3,134.0 | 502.6 | 1,946.6 | 684.9 | 0 | 3,134.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Print cloth | --- | --- | 2,115.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sateen | 9,282.0 | 18,463.7 | 27,493.7 | 14,694.9 | 2,366.4 | 2,123.6 | 242.8 | 0 | 0 | 2,366.4 | 0 | 42.8 | 87.4 |
| Sheeting | --- | 25.6 | 212.2 | 424.2 | 3,435.4 | 608.0 | 1,756.9 | 1,008.1 | -62.3 | 3,435.4 | 40.8 | 71.4 | 0 |
| Silesia | 0 | 62.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Terry cloth | --- | --- | --- | 773.2 | 564.0 | 170.3 | 162.1 | 46.8 | 184.8 | 564.0 | 176.4 | 60.0 | 17.0 |
| Twill | 5,203.5 | 5,543.2 | 3,069.1 | 9,505.4 | 5,926.3 | 1,132.3 | 1,742.7 | 1,305.3 | 12746.0 | 5,926.3 | 1,101.8 | 936.41 | 1,129.7 |
| Webbing 3/ | 437.5 | 1,241.3 | 1,026.0 | 134.2 | 312.1 | 40.6 | 67.9 | 11.5 | 192.1 | 312.1 | 107.7 | 122.7 | 99.8 |
| Total 2/ | 31,858.5 | 40,668.0 | 48,759.0 | 43,202.4 | 28,651.7 | 6,516.3 | 8,898.4 | 5,685.5 7 | 7,551.5 | 28,651.7 | 4,997.4 | 2,594.0 | 2,839.3. |

webbing with cotton warp and nylon filling. 4/ Catton warp, dacron filling. 5/ Contains small percentage of nylon.
Compiled from reports of the Department of Defense.

$1 /$ Does not include fabrics delivered to the military forces in the form of end products.
$\overline{2}$ / Totals were made before data were rounded.
$\overline{3}$ / Includes small percentage of wool.
$\underline{4}$ / Including Oxford with rayon filling.

Table $26 .-$-Cotton: Exports, by staple length and by countries of destination, United States, 1959-60 and August 1960

| $\qquad$ | August 1, 1959 through July 31, 1960 |  |  |  | August 1960 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : |  |  |  | - |  | : |  |
|  | 1-1/8 | 1 inch |  |  | 1-1/8 | 1 inch |  |  |
|  | inches | to | Under |  | inches | to | Under |  |
|  | : and over: | 1-1/8 | 1 inch | Total | and over | 1-1/8 | 1 inch | Total |
|  | : 1/ | inches |  |  | 1/ | inches |  |  |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Running | Running | Running | Running | Runṇing | Running | Running | Running |
|  | bales | bales | bales | bales | bales | bales | bales | bales |
| Europe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United Kingdom | : 47,495 | 319,643 | 226,128 | 593,266 | 129 | 6,997 | 702 | 7,828 |
| Austria | 2,427 | 19,769 | 6,708 | 28,904 | 0 | 1,115 | 90 | 1,205 |
| Belgium and : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LuxembourgDenmark | 9,522 | 179,967 | 34,972 | 224,461 | 316 | 6,678 | 431 | 7,425 |
|  | 3,962 | 12,944 | 6,576 | 23,482 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| Eire (Ireland) | 3,908 | 5,250 | 913 | 6,971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Finland | : 866 | 29,916 | 1,461 | 32,243 | 0 | 319 | 0 | 319 |
| France | : 92,267 | 490,889 | 85,414 | 668,570 | 315 | 9,428 | 271 | 10,014 |
| Germany (West) | : 68,179 | 484,088 | 29,981 | 582,248 | 1,192 | 6,851 | 710 | 8,753 |
| Italy | $\begin{aligned} & 54,965 \\ & 65,973 \end{aligned}$ | 432,739 | 104,678 | 592,382 | 762 | 6,207 | 253 | 7,222 |
| Netherlands |  | 152,559 | 5,843 | 224,375 | 0 | 3,688 | 0 | 3,688 |
| Norway | : 150 | 12,564 | 4,233 | 16,947 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Portugal | 250 | 12,779 | 200 | 13,229 | 0 | 1,156 | 27 | 1,183 |
| Spain | : 5,499 | 55,579 | 4,589 | 65,667 | 1,215 | 15,018 | 2,027 | 18,260 |
| Sweden | : 10 | 90,948 | 11,594 | 102,552 | 0 | 202 | 300 | 502 |
| Switzerland | : 19,971 | 72,000 | 12,419 | 104,390 | 0 | 974 | 0 | 974 |
| Trieste | : 0 | 2,902 | 1,711 | 4,613 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Yugoslavia | 200 | 36,676 | 11,437 | 48,313 | 0 | 2,557 | 0 | 2,557 |
| Other | : ___ | 48,806 | 29,874 | 78,680 | 0 | 9,600 | 705 | 10,305 |
| Total Europe | : 372,544 2,460,018. |  | 578,731 | 3,411,293 | 3,929 | 70,890 | 5,516 | 80,335 |
|  | $:=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Countries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | : 7,114 | 258,534 | 43,115 | 308,763 | 100 | 304 | 398 | 802 |
| Colombia | : 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chile | : 29,119 | 23,403 | 1,476 | 53,998 | 3,515 | 131 | 0 | 3,646 |
| India | : 159,978 | 171,617 | 99,731 | 431,326 | 7,001 | 1,323 | 0 | 8,324 |
| Pakistan | : 4,217 | 0 | 0 | 4,217 | 425 | 0 | 659 | 1,084 |
| Indonesia | : 990 | 38,838 | 11,677 | 51,505 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Korea | : 366 | 25,769 | 244,306 | 270,441 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 135 |
| Hong Kong | : 2,606 | 54,899 | 218,784 | 276,289 | 0 | 200 | 739 | 939 |
| Taiwan | : 2,227 | 31,752 | 169,164 | 203,143 | 0 | 411 | 19 | 430 |
| Japan | : 36,137 | 787,788 | 931,338 | 1,755,263 | 207 | 5,423 | 3,011 | 8,64I |
| Australia | : 1,989 | 56,084 | 5,096 | 63,169 | 209 | 2,012 | 0 | 2,221 |
| Morocco | : 0 | 10,966 | 1,111 | 12,077 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Union of South : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other | : 29,268 | 196,360 | 72,888 | 298,516 | 1,623 | 3,520 | 888 | 6,031 |
| World total | $649,410$ | 4,140,370 | ,393,213 | 7,182,993 | 17,009 | 85,024 | 11,697 | 113,730 |

1/ Includes American Egyptian and Sea Island Cotton.
Bureau of the Census.

Table 27.-- Registrations under cotton export program: Payment-in-kind, 1959-60 marketing year

| Date |  |  | : | Number registered | $\begin{array}{lc} : & \text { Cumulative } \\ : & \text { from } \\ : & \text { May } 7,1959 \end{array}$ |  | Date | : | Number registered | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cumulative } \\ \text { from } \\ \text { May } 7,1959 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1959 |  |  | : | Bales | Bales | : | 1959 | : | Bales | Bales |
|  |  |  | : |  |  | :: |  |  |  |  |
| May | 7 - May | 11 | : | 19,184 | 19,184 | :: Sept. | 5 - Sept. | 11: | 109,594 | 1,739,990 |
| May | 12 - May | 25 | : | 153,671 | 172,855 | :: Sept. | 12 - Sept. | 18: | 223,628 | 1,963,618 |
| May | 26 - June | 1 | : | 132,989 | 305,844 | :: Sept. | 19 - Sept. | 25: | 178,330 | 2,141,948 |
|  |  |  | : |  |  | :: Sept. | 26 - Oct. | 2: | 164,335 | 2,306,283 |
| June | 2 - June | 12 | : | 128,286 | 434,130 | : |  | : |  |  |
| June | 13 - June | 26 | : | 144,055 | 575,185 | :: Oct. | 3 - Oct. | 9: | 154,236 | 2,460,519 |
| June | 29 - July | 10 | : | 164,902 | 740,087 | : Oct. | 10 - Oct. | 16: | 144,929 | 2,605,448 |
|  |  |  | : |  |  | :: Oct. | 17 - Oct. | 23: | 191,599 | 2,797,047 |
| July | 13 - July | 31 | : | 270,000 | 1,010,087 | : Oct. | 24 - Oct. | 30: | 185,384 | 2,982,431 |
|  |  |  | : |  |  | : Oct. | 31 - Nov. | 6: | 181,154 | 3,163,585 |
| Aug. | 1 - Aug | 7 | : | 80,657 | 1,090,744 | :8 |  | : |  |  |
| Aug. | 8 - Aug. | 14 | : | 101,810 | 1,192,554 | :: |  | : |  |  |
| Aug. | 15 - Aug. | 21 | : | 90,317 | 1,282,871 | :: |  | : |  |  |
| Aug. | 22 - Aug. | 28 | : | 149,329 | 1,432,200 | :: |  | : |  |  |
| Aug. | 29-Sept. | 4 | : | 198,196 | 1,630,396 | : |  | : |  |  |
|  |  |  | : |  |  | :: |  | : |  |  |

Commodity Stabilization Service.
Table 28.--Registrations under cotton export program: Payment-in-kind,
1960-61 marketing year


Table 29.-- COTTON: Acreage and production in specified countries, averages 1935-39 and 1950-54, annual 1958-60 1/


| : | . | - | - | - | - | . | - | : | : |  | CR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| SOUTH AMERICA: | : | : | : | : | : | : | - | : | : |  |  |
| Argentina................. | 770 : | 1,308: | 1,225: | 1,144: | 1,200: | 289 : | 557 : | 460 : | 415 : | 500 |  |
| Brazil.................... | 5,562: | 4,680: | 4,000: | 4, 600: | -- : | 1,956: | 1,655: | 1,440: | 1,700: | 1,750 |  |
| Colombia................... | 98 : | 163 : | 235 : | 380 : | 425 : | 23 : | 69: | 150: | 295 : | 315 |  |
| Ecuador.................. | 40: | 38 : | 45 : | - : | : | 13 : | 11: | 14 : | 12: | 11 |  |
| Paraguay.................. | 111: | 153: | 150 : | -- : | -- : | 40 : | 59 : | 45: | -- |  |  |
| Peru........................ | 428 : | 488 : | 571: | 605 : | 600 : | 379 : | 450 : | 518: | 525 : | 530 |  |
| Venezuela | 50 : | $35:$ | -- : | : | : | 11: | 13: | 29: | 42: | -- |  |
| Total 4/. | 7,060: | 6,870: | 6,279: | 6,989: | 7,086: | 2,711: | 2,816: | 2,658: | 3,031: | 3,190 |  |
| - | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : |  |  |
| AFRICA AND OCEANIA: | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : |  |  |
| Sudan.. | 439: | 614 : | 887 : | 942 : | -- : | 248 : | 383 : | 573 : | 560 : | 625 |  |
| Congo, Rep. of the....... | 874 : | 863 : | 855 : | 910 : | -- : | 172 : | 222: | 250 : | 285 : | 185 |  |
| Rhodesias-Nyasaland...... : | 86 : | 82 : | 38 : | 42: | -- : | 12: | 13 : | 16 : | 24 : | -- |  |
| Kenya....................... |  | 73 : | 85 : | 100 : | -- : | 13 : | 11: | 14 : | $13:$ | -- |  |
| Tangany ika. . . . . . . . . . . . . . : | -- : | 209: | 400 : | 450: | -- : | 50 : | 55 : | 143 : | 168 : | 170 |  |
| Uganda. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . : | 1,477: | 1,574: | 2,014: | 1,565: | 1,500: | 281: | 291 : | 334 : | 300 : | 260 |  |
| Egypt........................ | 1,821: | 1,832: | 1,977: | 1, 827 : | 1,945: | 1,893: | 1,705: | 2, 048 : | 2,100: | 2, 262 |  |
| Morocco....................: | 1: | 9 9: | 19: | 16: |  | 8/ : | 5 : | 9: | 10: |  |  |
| Fr. Equatorial Africa 9/.: | 390 : | 838: | 1, 020 : | 995: | 860 : | - 41: | 135 : | 175 : | 125: | 150 | $\stackrel{1}{\omega}$ |
| Mozambique................ | , | 690: | 773: | , | -- : | 10/33: | 148 : | 200: | 200: | -- | $\omega_{6}$ |
| Nigeria..................... | -- : | 463: | -- : | -- : | -- : | - 36: | 114: | 160: | 150: | -- | 1 |
| Angola...................... | 73: | 124 : | 126 : | 128 : | -- : | $13:$ | 25 : | 31 : | 37 : | 39 |  |
| Union of South Africa.... | -- : | 66 : | -- : | -- : | -- : | 2 : | 23 : | 39 : | 23 : | -- |  |
| Australia................ | 53: | 8: | 20: | 42: | -- : | 11: | 3: | 8 : | 11: | -- |  |
| Total $4 /$ | 6,176: | 7,685: | 9,463: | 9,040: | 8,709: | 2,840: | 3,182: | 4,078: | 4,091: | 4,216 |  |
| : | : | : | : | : | - | : | : | : | : |  |  |
| : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : |  |  |
| World total 4/..............: | 81, 147: | 81, 983: | 78, 975: | 81, 280 : | 82, 160: | 31,690: | 38,832: | 44, 360: | 46,430: | 47,145 |  |
| Foreign Free World 4/.: | 41, 140: | 40, 239 : | 46, 738 : | 45,666: | 45, 975 : | 12,219: | 13,818: | 17,398: | 16,462: | 17,981 |  |
| Communist countries $4 /$ : | 12,219: | 18,883: | 20,388: | 20,624: | 20,654: | 6,322: | 10,922: | 15,450: | 15,410: | 14,611 |  |
| $\longrightarrow$ - | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : |  |  |

1/ Years refer to crop years beginning August 1, in which major portion of crop was harvested. 2/ Preliminary.
$3 /$ Production in bales of 478 pounds net prior to 1946 and 480 pounds thereafter. 4/ Includes estimates for minor-producing countries not listed above and countries for which statistics are not yet available. 5/ Figures for 1943 to date are not comparable with prewar figures because of boundary changes. 6/ Pakistan included with India. 7/ South Korea only after 1941. 8/ Less than 500. 9/ Includes Chad and Central African Repubīic. 10/ Exports.

Foreign Agricultural Service. Prepared or estimated on the basis of official statistics of foreign governments, other foreign source material, reports of U. S. agricultural attaches and Foreign Service officers, results of office research and related information.

Table 30.--Cotton: Supply and distribia:ion in foreign countries, 1950 to date $1 /$


Table 31--Cotton: Average prices 1/ of selected growths and qualities, c.i.f. Liverpool, England, annual 1956-59, January-September 1960


Table 32 .--Cotton: Average prices $1 /$ of selected growths and qualities, c.i.f. Bremen, Germany, annual 1956-59, January-September 1960


Table 33.--Foreign spot prices per pound including export taxes 1/ and U.S. average spot export prices, 1959-60 crop year and August and September 1960 2/


1/ Includes export taxes where applicable.
2/ Quotations on net weight basis.
3/ Average of prices collected once each week.
4/ Average 14 spot market gross weight price less export payment-in-kind rate per pound, divided by 0.96 to convert price to a net weight basis.

5/ Quality of U.S. cotton generally considered to be most nearly comparable to the foreign cotton.

6/ Delivered at Brownsville. Net weight price $=$ actual price divided by 0.96.
7/ Two quotations.
8/ Average of 4 weeks.
9/ Effective September 8, 1960 grades were changed from Acala II to Standard II.
$10 /$ One quotation.
Discounts of varying amounts are offered on export sales.
Foreign Agricultural Service and Cotton Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

Table 34.--Production of cotton by regions, United States, 1930 to date

| Crop year beginning Aug. 1 | Production |  |  |  |  | Percentage of U. S. crop |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | West $1 /$ | Southwest 2/ | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline \text { Delta } \\ \text { States } \\ : 3 / \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Southeast 4) | : Unitea <br> : States | West $1 /$ | Southwest 2) | Delta <br> States 3/ | Southeast 4 |
|  | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | : bales | bales | beles | bales | bales |  |  |  |  |
|  | - 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 l. | Ib. | 1b. | 1 l. | 1 l. |  |  |  |  |
|  | gr.wt. | gr.wt. | gr.wt. | gr.wt. | gr.wt. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. |
| 1930 | - 519 | 4,892 | 3,589 | 4,933 | 13,932 | 4 | 35 | 26 | 35 |
| 1931 | - 393 | 6,582 | 5,464 | 4,658 | 17,097 | 2 | 39 | 32 | 27 |
| 1932 | - 270 | 5,584 | 3,921 | 3,228 | 13,003 | 2 | 43 | 30 | 25 |
| 1933 | - 407 | 5,694 | 3,389 | 3,556 | 13,047 | 3 | 44 | 26 | 27 |
| 1934 | - 466 | 2,722 | 3,157 | 3,291 | 9,636 | 5 | 28 | 33 | 34 |
| 1935 | - 449 | 3,523 | 3,271 | 3,495 | 10,638 | 4 | 33 | 30 | 33 |
| 1936 | - 774 | 3,223 | 4,724 | 3,708 | 12,399 | 6 | 26 | 38 | 30 |
| 1937 | : 1,214 | 5,927 | 6,787 | 5,017 | 18,946 | 6 | 31 | 36 | 27 |
| 1938 | - 716 | 3,649 | 4,572 | 3,007 | 11,943 | 6 | 31 | 38 | 25 |
| 1939 | 747 | 3,372 | 4,645 | 3,052 | 11,817 | 6 | 29 | 39 | 26 |
| 1940 | - 868 | 4,036 | 4,122 | 3,540 | 12,566 | 7 | 32 | 33 | 28 |
| 1941 | - 691 | 3,370 | 4,265 | 2,417 | 10,744 | 6 | 31 | 40 | 23 |
| 1942 | -706 | 3,746 | 5,108 | 3,256 | 12,817 | 6 | 29 | 40 | 25 |
| 1943 | - 580 | 3,207 | 4,502 | 3,138 | 11,427 | 5 | 28 | 39 | 28 |
| 1944 | - 579 | 3,280 | 4,939 | 3,432 | 12,230 | 5 | 27 | 40 | 28 |
| 1945 | - 576 | 2,079 | 3,644 | 2,716 | 9,015 | 7 | 23 | 40 | 30 |
| 1946 | - 758 | 1.931 | 3,413 | 2,539 | 8,640 | 9 | 22 | 39 | 30 |
| 1947 | 1,185 | 3,767 | 4,192 | 2,716 | 11,860 | 10 | 32 | 35 | 23 |
| 1948 | : 1,532 | 3,527 | 6,282 | 3,536 | 14,877 | 10 | 24 | 42 | 24 |
| 1949 | 2,087 | 6,650 | 4,878 | 2,512 | 16,128 | 13 | 41 | 30 | 16 |
| 1950 | : 1,639 | 3,188 | 3,518 | 1,667 | 10,014 | 16 | 32 | 35 | 17 |
| 1951 | : 2,842 | 4,536 | 4,467 | 3,304 | 15,148 | 19 | 30 | 29 | 22 |
| 1952 | -3,098 | 4,072 | 5,068 | 2,901 | 15,139 | 21 | 27 | 33 | 19 |
| 1953 | : 3,167 | 4,754 | 5,646 | 2,899 | 16,465 | 19 | 29 | 34 | 18 |
| 1954 | : 2,716 | 4,233 | 4,507 | 2,240 | 13,697 | 20 | 31 | 33 | 16 |
| 1955 | : 2,201 | 4,502 | 5,313 | 2,705 | 24,721 | 15 | 31 | 36 | 18 |
| 1956 | : 2,578 | 3,876 | 4,629 | 2,227 | 13,310 | 19 | 29 | 35 | 17 |
| 1957 | : 2,539 | 3,895 | 3,011 | 1,520 | 10,964 | 23 | 36 | 27 | 14 |
| 1958 | : 2,644 | 4,621 | 2,883 | 1,364 | 11,512 | 23 | 40 | 25 | 12 |
| 1959 | : 2,973 | 4,797 | 4,784 | 2,004 | 14,558 | 20 | 33 | 33 | 14 |
| 19605 | 3,206 | 4,730 | 4,410 | 1,952 | 14,298 | 22 | 33 | 31 | 14 |

1/ West includes California, Arizona, New Mexico and Nevada. 2/ Southwest includes Texas, Oklahoma and Kansas. 3/Delta includes Missouri, Arkansas, Tennessee, Mississippi, Louisiana, Illinois, and Kentucky. 4/ Southeast includes Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida and Alabama. 5/ Preliminary, Crop Reporting Board report of November 8, 1960.

Crop Reporting Board.

Table 35.--Cotton: Yield per acre on harvested acreage, United States and regions, 1930 to date



1/ West includes California, Arizona, New Mexico and Nevada.
$\overline{2} /$ Southwest includes Texas, Oklahoma and Kansas.
3/ Delta inclucles Missouri, Arkansas, Tennessee, Mississippi, Louisiana, Mllinois and Kentucky.
4/ Southeast includes Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, and Alabama.
$\overline{5} /$ Trend yield is 9 -year centered average yield.
б/ Freliminary, Crop Reporting Board report of November 8, 1960.
Crop Reporting Board.

Table 36.--Cotton: Harvested acreage by regions and each region as a percentage of total harvested acreage, United States, 1930 to date


1/ Includes California, Arizona, New Mexico and Nevada.
2/ Includes Texas, Oklahoma and Kansas.
3/ Includes Missouri, Arkansas, Tennessee, Mississippi, Louisiana, Hlinois and Kentucky.
4/ Includes Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida and Alabama.
5/ Preliminary, CropReporting Board report of November 8, 1960.
Crop Reporting Board.

Table 37.--Cotton: Acreage, production and yield forecast, by States, crop of 1960 with comparisons

|  | $: 1960$ | Lint yield per harvested acre |  |  | $:$ Producrion <br> $:$ $2 /$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | $\begin{array}{cc} : & \text { Acreage } \\ : & \text { for } \\ : & \text { harvest } \\ : & 1 / \\ : & \end{array}$ | Average $1949-58$ | 1959 | Indicated 1960 | Average : $1949-58$ | $:$ 1959 <br> $:$ crop <br> $:$  | $: 1960$ $:$ crop $:$ indicated $:$ Now. 1 | Percent change from 1959 |
|  | $\begin{array}{ll} : & 1,000 \\ : & \text { acres } \end{array}$ | Pounds | Pounds | Poinds | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales } \\ 3 L \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales } \\ 3 / \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales } \\ 3 / \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| North Carolina | : 395 | 326 | 395 | 292 | 377 | 322 | 240 | -25.5 |
| South Carolina | : 550 | 313 | 353 | 351 | 541 | 417 | 410 | - 1.7 |
| Geargia | 670 | 301 | 381 | 365 | 615 | 521 | 510 | - 2.1 |
| Tennessee | : 520 | 403 | 620 | 535 | 547 | 660 | 580 | -12.1 |
| Alabama | : 870 | 316 | 412 | 422 | 768 | 718 | 765 | +6.5 |
| Mississippi | : 1,500 | 393 | 514 | 488 | 1,571 | 1,568 | 1,525 | - 2.7 |
| Missouri | : 410 | 379 | 610 | 539 | 363 | 508 | 460 | - 9.4 |
| Arkansas | : 1,295 | 388 | 568 | 499 | 1,323 | 1,544 | 1,345 | -12.9 |
| Louisiana | : 500 | 394 | 481 | 470 | 578 | 492 | 490 | - 0.4 |
| Oklahoma | : 640 | 203 | 292 | 322 | 361 | 381 | 430 | +12.9 |
| Texas | : 6,550 | 252 | 334 | 315 | 4,072 | 4,416 | 4,300 | - 2.6 |
| New Mexico | : 204 | 610 | 782 | 706 | 281 | 323 | 300 | -7.1 |
| Arizona | : 424 | 868 | 893 | 962 | 780 | 715 | 850 | +18.9 |
| California | : 935 | 796 | 1,055 | 1,052 | 1,488 | 1,929 | 2,050 | +6.3 |
| Orher Sraies 4/ | : 58 | 303 | 377 | 357 | 45 | 44 | 43 | -2.3 |
| United States | : 15,531 | 345 | 462 | 442 | 13,710 | 14,558 | 14,298 | - 1.8 |
| American | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Egyptian 5/ | $: 60.4$ | 444 | 513 | 531 | 57.7 | 70.6 | 66.8 | - 5.4 |

1/ Preliminary.
2/ Production ginned and to be ginned.
3/ Bales of 500 pounds gross weight. A 500 -pound bale contains aboat 480 net poands of lint.
4/ Includes Virginia, Florida, Illinols, Kentucky, Kansas and Nevada.
5/ Included in State and United States totals.
Crop Reporting Board, November 8, 1960.

Table 38.--Cotton, upland: Acreage allotments, by States and regions, United States, 1960 and 1961

| State and region |  | 1961 apportionment to States |  | Total allotments available for distribution in States |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | From natio allotmen | $\begin{gathered} \text { From national: } \\ \text { reserve : } \end{gathered}$ | 1961 | 1960 |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Acres | Acres | Acres | Acres |
| Alabama |  | 1,081,422 | 8,418 | 1,089,840 | 996,227 |
| Arizona |  | 374,534 | 165 | 374,699 | 405,642 |
| Arkansas |  | 1,518,694 | 1,488 | 1,520,182 | 1,451,265 |
| California |  | 835,557 | 604 | 836,161 | 967,559 |
| Florida |  | 38,203 | 952 | 39,155 | 37,613 |
| Georgia |  | 941,421 | 6,599 | 948,020 | 862,056 |
| Illinois |  | 3,262 | 6 | 3,268 | 3,310 |
| Kansas | - | 23 | 1 | 24 | 29 |
| Kentucky |  | 8,100 | 74 | 8,174 | 9,251 |
| Louisiana |  | 643,136 | 2,719 | 645,855 | 585,199 |
| Maryland | : | 14 | - | 14 | 15 |
| Mississippe |  | 1,755,560 | 6,303 | 1,761,863 | 1,644,047 |
| Missouri |  | 405,865 | 526 | 406,391 | 435,435 |
| Nevada | : | 2,522 | 1,000 | 3,522 | 3,539 |
| New Mexico |  | 193,647 | 170 | 193,817 | 202,142 |
| North Carolina |  | 502,020 | 6,790 | 508,810 | 480,283 |
| Oklahoma |  | 859,061 | 3,071 | 862,132 | 803,141 |
| South Carolina |  | 772,379 | 5,342 | 777,721 | 712,145 |
| Tennessee |  | 606,626 | 4,619 | 611,245 | 573,043 |
| Texas |  | 7,838,510 | 10,686 | 7,849,196 | 7,337,151 |
| Virginia |  | 17,868 | 467 | 18,335 | 18,788 |
| United States total |  | 18,398,42, | 60,000 | 18,458,424 | 17,527,880 |
| West I/ |  |  |  | 1,408,199 | 1,578,88\% |
| Southwest ?/ |  |  |  | 8,711,352 | 8,140,321 |
| Delta 3/ |  |  |  | 4,956,978 | 4,701,550 |
| Southeast 4/ | . |  |  | 3,381,895 | 3,107,127 |

$1 /$ West includes California, Arizona, New Mexico and Nevada.
$\overline{2}$ Southwest includes Texas, Oklahoma and Kansas.
3/ Delta includes Missouri, Arkansas, Tennessee, Mississippi, Louisiana,
Illinois and Kentucky.
4/ Southeast includes Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama and Maryland.

Commodity Stabilization Service.

Table 39.--Average monthly prices for Middling l-inch cotton, designated markets, 1945 to date


1] Markets Closed.

Table 40. --Average prices for cotton in the 14 designated spot markets,
and farm prices, United States, 1945 to date


Cotton Division and Crop Reporting Board.

Table 4l. - Premiums and discounts for grades and prices per pound for Midding l-inch cotton, in the designated spot markets, annual and monthly averages, 1951-60

| Year and month |  | Premiums |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Price } \\ \text { Middling } \\ \text { l-inch } \end{gathered}$ | Discounts |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Good } \\ & \text { Midaling } \end{aligned}$ | Strict Middling |  | : Strict $:$ : Low : Middling : | Iow MiddIing | Strict Good Ordinary | Good Ordinary | Strict : Middling Spt. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Middling } \\ \text { Spt. } \end{gathered}$ | ```Strict Low Middling Spt.``` |
|  | : | Points | Points | Cents | Points | Points | Points | Points | Points | Points | Points |
| 1951-52 |  | 91 | 66 | 39.94 | 185 | 512 | 750 | 962 | 216 | 486 | 764 |
| 1952-53 | : | 58 | 40 | 35.32 | 132 | 449 | 715 | 948 | 182 | 412 | 673 |
| 1953-54 | : | 48 | 35 | 34.36 | 142 | 452 | 686 | 873 | 175 | 387 | 606 |
| 1954-55 | : | 55 | 43 | 35.02 | 162 | 410 | 595 | 767 | 191 | 379 | 589 |
| 1955-56 | : | 81 | 65 | 35.45 | 242 | 518 | 722 | 894 | 269 | 472 | 695 |
| 1956-57 | : | 86 | 71 | 33.53 | 292 | 605 | 884 | 1,098 | 364 | 580 | 846 |
| 1957-58 | : | 100 | 82 | 34.39 | 387 | 779 | 1,093 | 1,352 | 460 | 739 | 1,066 |
| 1958-59 | : | 78 | 66 | 34.47 | 336 | 717 | 1,019 | 1,294 | 422 | 708 | 1,040 |
| 1959-60 | : | 70 | 59 | 31.93 | 277 | 515 | 737 | 964 | 326 | 542 | 764 |
| 1960-61 1/ | - | 56 | 47 | 30.64 | 267 | 465 | 622 | 819 | 318 | 491 | 656 |
| Sept. 1959 | : | 78 | 65 | 31.77 | 296 | 643 | 959 | 1,230 | 366 | 655 | 979 |
| Oct. 1959 | : | 76 | 63 | 31.66 | 280 | 583 | 898 | 1,167 | 329 | 589 | 878 |
| Nov. 1959 |  | 70 | 59 | 31.61 | 279 | 534 | 846 | 1,113 | 314 | 571 | 826 |
| Dec. 1959 | : | 69 | 58 | 31.78 | 260 | 461 | 705 | 946 | 308 | 524 | 739 |
| Jan. 1960 | : | 69 | 58 | 31.91 | 255 | 438 | 638 | 854 | 300 | 496 | 688 |
| Feb. 1960 | : | 69 | 58 | 32.01 | 266 | 459 | 637 | 843 | 312 | 495 | 684 |
| Mar. 1960 | : | 67 | 57 | 32.04 | 267 | 465 | 633 | 840 | 313 | 493 | 679 |
| Apr. 1960 | : | 70 | 59 | 32.10 | 268 | 472 | 632 | 830 | 312 | 486 | 659 |
| May 1960 | : | 66 | 57 | 32.18 | 270 | 477 | 635 | 824 | 314 | 488 | 656 |
| June 1960 | ; | 64 | 55 | 32.24 | 272 | 475 | 631 | 825 | 315 | 489 | 657 |
| July 1960 | : | 63 | 53 | 31.96 | 276 | 476 | 629 | 823 | 317 | 491 | 659 |
| Aug. 1960 | : | 58 | 48 | 30.75 | 277 | 476 | 631 | 828 | 322 | 496 | 662 |
| Sept. 1960 | : | 54 | 46 | 30.52 | 256 | 454 | 612 | 809 | 313 | 485 | 649 |

1 Average for August 1960-September 1960.
Cotton Division, AMS.

Table 42 --Premiums and discounts for staple lengths and prices per pound for Middling l-inch cotton, in the designated spot markets, annual and monthly averages, 1951-60


1 Average of Atianta, Memphis, Greenwood and Fresno.
2/ Average of Memphis and Greenwood.
3/ Average of 4 Texas markets.
4/ Averages for August 1960 - September 1960.
Cotton Division, AMS.

Table43.--Commodity Credit Corportion loan schedule: Premiums and discounts for eligible qualities of 1960 -crop American Upland cotton (Basis Midding 1-inch)

| CRADE | Staple Lansth (Inches) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\overline{1}$ | $1$ | T- | $1$ | I- | $\overline{1-}$ | $1-$ | $1 \frac{1}{4} 8$ |
|  | 13/16 | 7.8 | 29/32 | 15/16 | 3.1/32 | 1 | 1/32 | i/16 | 3/32 |  | $5 / 32$ |  |  |  |
|  | Pts. | Pts | Pt. | Pus, | Pts. | Pts. | PtE。 | P68. | Fts. | Pt8. | Pts. | Pes, | Pt, | Pts. |
| WHITE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GMand Better | -365 | -285 | -215 | -110 | -20 | 70 | 155 | 240 | 275 | 335 | 400 | 490 | 600 | 690 |
| SM | -375 | -300 | -225 | -120 | -30 | 60 | 140 | 225 | 265 | $3 \div 0$ | 390 | 475 | 590 | 680 |
| mid Plue | -400 | -325 | -250 | -250 | -60 | 25 | 105 | 190 | 230 | 290 | 360 | 445 | 545 | 640 |
| Mid | -420 | -345 | -270 | -165 | -80 | вазө | 75 | 155 | 205 | 255 | 325 | 400 | 485 | 580 |
| SLut Plus | -570 | -500 | -430 | -330 | -245 | -155 | -75 | Even | 35 | 65 | 110 | 170 | 235 | 305 |
| SLM | . 685 | -620 | -590 | -460 | -365 | -280 | -190 | -135 | -120 | -80 | -40 | Even | 35 | 75 |
| Im Plus | -800 | -735 | -665 | -580 | -. 505 | -420 | -345 | -305 | 485 | -260 | $-23$ | -205 | -170 | -130 |
| LM | -855 | -825 | -760 | -680 | -610 | -525 | - 465 | -425 | -405 | -395 | -390 | -390 | -390 | -390 |
| SGO Plus | -1035 | -965 | -900 | -825 | -755 | -675 | -625 | -595 | -595 | -595 | -590 | -590 | -590 | -590 |
| SGO | -1120 | -1055 | -990 | -915 | -850 | -770 | -725 | -700 | -700 | -700 | -700 | -700 | -700 | -700 |
| go Plus | -1235 | -1175 | -1120 | -1c60 | -1000 | -930 | -885 | -865 | -865 | -865 | -865 | -865 | -865 | -865 |
| GO | -1305 | -1245 | -1200 | -1140 | -1080 | -1010 | -970 | -955 | -955 | -955 | -955 | -955 | -955 | -955 |

LIGHT SPOMTED

| GM | -525 | -455 | -380 | -275 | -200 | -105 | -25 | 35 | 60 | 100 | 150 | 200 | 275 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SM | -545 | -470 | -400 | -295 | -215 | -125 | -45 | 15 | 45 | 80 | 125 | 175 | 250 |
| MId | -675 | -605 | -535 | -445 | -370 | -285 | -205 | -155 | -120 | -85 | -40 | 10 | 80 |
| SLM | -885 | -825 | -760 | -680 | -605 | -525 | -450 | -430 | -405 | -380 | -365 | -335 | -310 |
| LM | -1105 | -1045 | -990 | -925 | -860 | -785 | -735 | -720 | -705 | -700 | -695 | -690 | -685 |
|  |  | -69 | -680 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| SFOTTED |  | -720 | -640 | -570 | -470 | -400 | -310 | -240 | -190 | -155 | -135 | -95 | -45 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| GM | -740 | -660 | -590 | -490 | -420 | -330 | -260 | -210 | -185 | -155 | -115 | -75 | -45 |
| SM | -920 | -855 | -795 | -715 | -550 | -560 | -500 | -465 | -445 | -420 | -385 | -360 | -320 |
| Mid | -1110 | -1050 | -1005 | -930 | -870 | -800 | -765 | -740 | -735 | -725 | -715 | -710 | -705 |
| SLM | -1320 | -1260 | -1215 | -1150 | -1110 | -1045 | -1020 | -1005 | -1005 | -1005 | -1005 | -1005 | -1005 |
| LM | -1005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| TINGED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GM | -1065 | -1010 | -965 | -910 | -875 | -835 | -815 | -805 | -800 | -790 | -785 | -780 | -770 | -755 |
| SM | -1090 | -1030 | -985 | -935 | -895 | -860 | -835 | -830 | -820 | -810 | -810 | -805 | -790 | -790 |
| MId | -1235 | -1185 | -1140 | -1095 | -1065 | -1020 | -1005 | -995 | -995 | -995 | -995 | -995 | -995 | -995 |
| SLM | -1420 | -1370 | -1325 | -1275 | -1245 | -1210 | -1200 | -1195 | -1195 | -1195 | -1195 | -1195 | -1195 | -1195 |
| LM | -1600 | -1550 | -1500 | -1450 | -1420 | -1380 | -1375 | -1370 | -1370 | -1370 | -1370 | -1370 | -1370 | -1370 |


| YELLOH STATNED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GM | -1325 | -1270 | -1215 | -1175 | -1140 | -1105 | -1095 | -1090 | -1090 | -1090 | -1090 | -1090 | -1090 | -1090 |
| SN | -1350 | -1295 | -1240 | -1200 | -1165 | -1135 | -1120 | -1115 | -1115 | -1115 | -1115 | -1115 | -1115 | -1115 |
| $M 1 \mathrm{~d}$ | -1525 | -1470 | -1410 | -1365 | -1335 | -1305 | -1300 | -1295 | -1295 | -1295 | -1295 | -1295 | -1295 | -1295 |


| LIGHT GRAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CM | $-545^{\circ}$ | -475 | -400 | -310 | -240 | -150 | -75 | -25 | 5 | 30 | 65 | 105 | 170 | 245 |
| SND | -615 | -540 | -470 | -375 | -305 | -225 | -155 | -100 | -70 | -45 | -5 | 35 | 75 | 150 |
| M1d | -760 | -690 | -615 | -535 | -470 | -375 | -320 | -270 | -235 | -205 | -170 | -130 | -90 | -40 |
| SLM | -980 | -910 | -850 | -770 | -705 | -625 | -565 | -535 | -505 | -480 | -455 | -430 | -405 | -380 |


| GRAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SM | -760 | -695 | -620 | -525 | -465 | -380 | -310 | -270 | -250 | -230 | -200 | -145 | -105 |
| M1d | -830 | -760 | -690 | -595 | -540 | -460 | -400 | -355 | -335 | -315 | -285 | -260 | -220 |
| SLM | -1025 | -960 | -895 | -815 | -755 | -670 | -625 | -595 | -575 | -565 | -545 | -530 | -515 |

Commodity Stabilization Service.

Table 44.--Cotton: Parity price and farm price as a percent of parity, United States. 1944 to date


Table 45.--Cotton other than extra-long staple: Supply and distribution, United Stetes, average 1935-39, 1945-49 and 1950 to date

|  | Supply |  |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year beginning August 1 | Carryover : Produc-:beginning : tion 1/:of season : |  | Net imports | City crop | Total | Consumption | Net exports | Deroyed | Total |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales } 3 / \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales 2/ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales } 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { ales } ? \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales ? } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales } 2 / \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { dales } 2 / \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales } 2 / \end{gathered}$ |
| Average 1935-39 | : 8,288.2 | 12,750.0 | 109.8 |  | 21,148.0 | 6,850 2 | 5,2972 | 36.8 | 12,212.2 |
| Average 1945-49 | : 5,814.5 | 11,902.5 | 121.9 | 23.0 | 17,861.9 | 8,913.3 | 3,926.7 | 33.6 | 12,873.6 |
| 1950 | 6,781.1 | 9,788.6 | 68.0 | 28.0 | 16,065.6 | 3/10,357.0 | 4,107.7 | 27.0 | 14,491.7 |
| 1951 | : 2,195.5 | 14,982.7 | 26.1 | 40.0 | 17, 244.3 | 3/9,116.5 | 5,514.8 | 35.0 | 14,606. 3 |
| 1952 | : 2,741.5 | 15,030.6 | 60.7 | 42.0 | 17,874.8 | 3/9,358.1 | 3,048.2 | 30.0 | 12,456.3 |
| 1953 | : 5,511.1 | 16,294.9 | 49.5 | 43.0 | 21,8,98.6 | 8,475.5 | 3,760.5 | 75.0 | 12, 311.0 |
| 1954 | : 9,569.5 | 13,504.2 | 47.9 | 46.0 | 23,167.5 | 8,729.9 | 3,445.2 | 60.0 | 12,235.0 |
| 1955 | : 11,028.5 | 14,591.3 | 50.7 | 47.0 | 25,717.6 | 3/ 9,084.7 | 2,194.2 | --- | 11,278.9 |
| 1956 | : 14,399.0 | 12,928.1 | 39.9 | 50.0 | 27,416.7 | 3/ 8,496.2 | 7,539.8 | --- | 15,036.0 |
| 1957 | : 11,269.3 | 10,782.5 | 41.5 | 58.0 | 22,151.3 | 7,899.8 | 5,707.2 | --- | 13,607.0 |
| 1958 | : 8,615.3 | 11,291.3 | 37.2 | 51.0 | 19,994.8 | 8,593.7 | 2,767.2 | --- | 11,360.9 |
| 1959 4/ | : 8,733.3 | 114,435.3 | 45.9 | 50.0 | 23,264.5 | 8,899.4 | 7,178.8 | --- | 16,078.2 |
| 1960 5/ | : 7,408.7 | 14,180.3 | 44.9 | 50.0 | 21,683.9 |  |  |  |  |

1/ Includes in-season ginnings. 2/ Running bales except imports which are in bales of 500 pounds. 3/Adjusted to colendar year. 4/ Preliminary. 5/ Preliminary, pantiv estinated.

Table 46.--Extra-long staple cotton: Supply and distribution, United States, average 1935-39, 1945-49, and 1950 to date 1/

|  | Supply |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year beginning August 1 | Carryover beginning of season | Production | Imports | Total | Consumption | Exporís | Total |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales 2/ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bailes 2/ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales 2/ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales } 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales ? } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales } 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & 1935-39 \end{aligned}$ | 48.2 | 21.0 | 61.4 | 130.6 | 80.0 | 0.2 | 80.2 |
| Average 1945-49 | 62.9 | 3.0 | 129.8 | 195.7 | 124.4 | $\cdot 7$ | 125.1 |
| 1950 | 65.0 | 62.2 | 120.8 | 248.0 | 3/152.4 | $4 /$ | 152.4 |
| 1951 | 82.4 | 46.0 | 46.1 | 174.5 | 3/79.5 | 4 | 79.5 |
| 1952 | 47.9 | 93.5 | 132.5 | 273.9 | 3/103.1 | 4 | 103.1 |
| 1953 | 93.7 | 64.5 | 92.1 | 250.3 | 100.7 | $4 /$ | 100.7 |
| 1954 | 150.4 | 40.9 | 98.4 | 297.7 | 111.6 | .4 | 112.0 |
| 1955 | 176.9 | 41.5 | 85.9 | 304.3 | 3/124.9 | 20.3 | 145.2 |
| 1956 | 129.8 | 49.1 | 5/96.5 | 227.2 | 3/112.2 | 57.9 | 170.1 |
| 1957 | 53.3 121.7 | 79.7 81.9 | $6 / 99.7$ | 7/227.7 | $3 / 99.4$ | 9.7 | 109.1 |
| $195910 /$ | 147.6 | 69.1 | 8/95.1 | 302.9 311.8 | $3 / 109.1$ 124.2 | 22.3 $9 / 4.2$ | 131.4 128.4 |
| 196012 | 150.6 | 65.7 | 95.1 | 311.4 |  |  |  |

1 Includes American-Egyptian, Island, Egyptian and Peruvian. $2 /$ American-Egyptian and Sea Island in running bales, foreign in bales of 500 pounds. 3/ Adjusted to a cotton marketing year basis, August IJuly 31. 4/ Less than 50 bales. 5/ Imports include but total supply excludes 48, 213 bales of stockpile cotton entered under the long-staple import quota. 6/Includes 55,000 bales from Mexico entered under the long-staple quota. I/ Includes 50,000 bales of American-Egyptian cotton released from the stockpile. Does not include long-staple cotton from Mexico. 8/ Import quota. 9/Revised. 10 / Preliminary. 11/ Preliminary, partly estimated.

Table 47 .--Cottonseed products: Output, United States, 1948-49 to date


1/ Includes production at gins and delinting plants. 2/ Running bales through September 1958; 600 pound equivalent gross weight bales thereafter.

3/ Preliminary and estimated. 4/ Production at gins and delinting plants not available.

Table 48.--Cottonseed cake and meal and hulls: August I stocks at oil mills United States, 1952-53 to date

| Year | $\vdots$ | Cake and meal | $:$ | Hulls |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\vdots$ | 1,000 tons | 1,000 tons |  |
| 1952 | $\vdots$ | 45.1 | 24.6 |  |
| 1953 | $\vdots$ | 91.5 | 48.3 |  |
| 1954 | $\vdots$ | 208.5 | 102.0 |  |
| 1955 | $\vdots$ | 203.1 | 41.7 |  |
| 1956 | $\vdots$ | 164.2 | 77.2 |  |
| 1957 |  | 252.4 | 52.6 |  |
| 1958 |  | 112.5 | 97.3 |  |
| 1959 |  | 116.3 | 43.4 |  |
| 1960 |  |  | 289.9 |  |

Bureau of the Census.

Table 49.--Cottonseed and linters: Production, United States, averages 1935-39, 1940-44, 1945-49 and 1950 to date

| Year beginning August 1 | : | Cottonseed |  |  | Linters |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | :$:$$:$$:$ | Crushings |  |  |  |  | Production $1 /$ |
|  |  | Production | Actual | Percentage of production | Cut per ton | Gross <br> weight <br> of bale | Bales 2/ |
|  | : | 1,000 | 1,000 |  |  |  | 1,000 |
|  | : | tons | tons | Percent | Pounds | Pounds | boles |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1935-39 |  | 5,827 | 4,653 | 79.9 | 145 | 620.6 | 1,132 |
| 1940-44 | : | 5,136 | 4,223 | 82.2 | 176 | 624.3 | 1,237 |
| 1945-49 | : | 4,883 | 4,296 | 88.0 | 184 | 616.4 | 1,326 |
| 1950 | : | 4,105 | 3,723 | 90.7 | 185 | 582.7 | 1,244 |
| 1951 | : | 6,302 | 5,476 | 86.9 | 185 | 603.5 | 1,767 |
| 1952 | : | 6,191 | 5,563 | 89.9 | 184 | 596.8 | 1,799 |
| 1953 | : | 6,749 | 6,256 | 92.7 | 184 | 603.2 | 2,003 |
| 1954 | : | 5,709 | 5,249 | 91.9 | 187 | 606.2 | 1,699 |
| 1955 |  | 6,043 | 5,588 | 92.5 | 180 | 617.2 | 1,703 |
| 1956 | : | 5,407 | 4,959 | 91.7 | 181 | 621.5 | 1,507 |
| 1957 | : | 4,609 | 4,247 | 92.1 | 176 | 622.5 | 1,256 |
| 1958 | : | 4,798 | 9,439 | 92.5 | 181 | $4 /$ | 1,347 |
| 1959 3/ |  | 5,991 | 5,492 | 91.7 | --- | $4 /$ | 5/1,653 |
| 1960 3/ | : | 5,900 | 5,433 | 92.1 | --- | $4 /$ | 5/1,640 | ber 1958; 600 pounds equivalent gross weight bales thereafter. 3 / Preliminary, partly estimated. 4/ Data no longer published. 5/ Production at gins and delinting plants not available. Bureau of the Census.

Table 50.-.Cotton linters: Supply and disappearance, United States, averages 1935-39, 1940-44, 1945-49 and 1950 to date

| Year begimning August 1 | Supply |  |  |  | Disappearance |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stocks August 1 | Production I/ | $: \quad:$ |  | : : |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | : Imports | Total | Consumption: | Exports | Destroyed: | Total |
|  |  |  | : | : |  |  | $: \quad$ : |  |
|  | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
|  | bales 2/ | bales ?/ | bales 3/ | bales 2/ | bales ?/ | bales 2/ | bales 2/ | bales $2 /$ |
| 1935-39 | 548 | 1,132 | 45 | 1,725 | 836 | 264 | 5 | 1,105 |
| 1940-44 | 687 | 1,237 | 160 | 2,084 | 1,399 | 37 | 2 | 1,438 |
| 1945-49 | 405 | 1,326 | 150 | 1,881 | 1,243 | 138 | 1 | 1,382 |
| 1950 | 452 | 1,244 | 103 | 1,800 | 1,396 | 92 | 1 | 1,489 |
| 1951 | 264 | 1,767 | 114 | 2,144 | 1,306 | 226 | 2 | 1,534 |
| 1952 | 548 | 1,799 | 341 | 2,688 | 1,359 | 107 | 2 | 1,468 |
| 1953 | 1,111 | 2,003 | 164 | 3,278 | 1,324 | 237 | 2 | 1,563 |
| 1954 | 1,543 | 1,699 | 185 | 3,410 | 1,474 | 256 | 25 | 1,755 |
| 1955 | 1,491 | 1,703 | 204 | 3,382 | 1,789 | 396 | --- | 2,185 |
| 1956 | 1,025 | 1,507 | 135 | 2,667 | 1,438 | 334 | --- | 1,772 |
| 1957 | 824 | 1,256 | 139 | 2,219 | 1,102 | 185 | --- | 1,287 |
| 1958 : | 810 | 1,347 | 172 | 2,329 | 1,210 | 243 | --- | 1,451 |
| 1959 4/ | 569 | 5/1,653 | 181 | 2,403 | 1,351 | 339 | --- | 1,690 |
| 1960 4/: | : 488 | 5/1,640 | 150 | 2,278 |  |  |  |  |

1/ Since 1941 includes production at gins and delinting plants.
$2 /$ Running bales through September 1958; 600 pound equivalent gross weight bales thereafter.
$3 /$ Bales of 500 pounds.
4/ Preliminary, partly estimated.
5/ Production at gins and delinting plants not available.
Bureau of the Census.

Table 5l.--Manmade fiber: Production, United States, January-June 1959-60

| Year | Rayon and acetate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Filament |  |  |  |  | Staple and tow |  |  |
|  | Rayon yarn by tenacity |  |  | Acetate: yarn | Total yarn | Acetate |  | Total |
|  | Regular | High | Total : |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mil. | Mil. | Mil. | Mil. | Mil. | Mil. | Mil. | Mil. |
|  | Ib. | lb. | 1b. | Ib. | 1 B . | 13. | 1 l . | 1 b . |
| JanuaryJune |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1959 | 84.4 | 165.9 | 250.3 | 115.1 | 365.4 | 189.9 | --- | 189.9 |
| 1960 | 78.0 | 151.9 | 230.0 | 121.1 | 351.0 | 159.6. | --- | 159.6 |
| Percent change | $-7.6$ | -8.4 | -8.1 | +5.2 | -3.9 | -16.0 | -- | -16.0 |
|  | Total rayon |  |  | Textile glass and non-cellulosic fiber |  |  |  |  |
|  | : | : |  | Non-cellulosic |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rayon | cetate: | Total | glass | Yarn | Staple <br> and tow | otal | Total |
|  | Mil. | 1位1. | Mil. | Mil. | Mil. | Mi1. | Mil. | Mil. |
|  | $\underline{16 .}$ | 1b。 | 1b. | $\underline{2 b .}$ | 1 l . | 1b. | 1 b . | 1 l . |
| JanuaryJune |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1959 | 440.2 | 115.1 | 555.3 | 70.2 | 205.0 | 114.9 | 319.9 | 390.1 |
| 1960 | 389.5 | 121.1 | 510.6 | 96.3 | 218.2 | 133.1 | 351.3 | 447.6 |
| Percent change | -11. 5 | +5.2 | -8.0 | $+37.2$ | $+6.4$ | +15.8 | +9.8 | +14.7 |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Compiled from Textile Organon.
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[^0]:    1/ Estimated.

[^1]:    1/ Includes tapestry and upholstery fabrics, tire cord fabrics, and cloths in chief value cotton containing other fibers.

