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Cotton Situation at a Glance

| Item | Unit | 1970 |  |  | 1971 ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | May | June | July | May | June | Juty |
| GENERAL ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BLS wholesale price indices All commodities . . . . . | $1967=100$ | 110.1 | 110.3 | 110.9 | 113.8 | 114.3 | 114.6 |
| Cotton broadwoven goods | do. | 105.5 | 105.8 | 105.7 | 109.8 | 111.0 | 112.1 |
| Indices of industrial production ${ }^{2}$ Overall including utilities . . . | do. | 107.5 | 107.6 | 107.5 | 107.0 | 106.9 | 106.0 |
| Textiles, apparel and leather products | do. | 98.1 | 97.4 | 101.5 | 101.8 | 102.1 | 101.2 |
| Personal income payments ${ }^{2}$ | Bil. dol. | 799.7 | 798.2 | 803.3 | 850.0 | 870.1 | 859.1 |
| Retail apparel sales ${ }^{2}$ | Mil. dol. | 1,684 | 1.694 | 1,704 | 1,758 | 1,783 | 1,694 |
| COTTON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Broadwoven goods industry Average gross hourly earnings .. it | Dollars | 2.42 | 2.41 | $\begin{array}{r}2.41 \\ \hline 39\end{array}$ | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.53 |
| Ratio of stocks to unfilled orders ${ }^{2}$ | Percent | 40 | 37 | 39 | 31 | 31 | 2.53 |
| Consumption of all kinds by mills     <br> Total (4-week period except as noted) $\ldots .$. 1,000 bates 609 ${ }^{3} 730$ $532 \quad 646$ ${ }^{3} 797$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative since August $1 . . . . . .$. | do | 6,703 | 7,433 | 7,965 | 6,755 | 7,552 | 8,054 |
| Daily rate Seasonally adjusted ${ }^{4}$ | do | 29.5 | 28.7 | 32.4 | 31.3 | 31.4 | 30.9 |
| Seasonatiy adjusted | do | 30.5 | 29.2 | 26.6 | 32.3 | 31.9 | 25.3 |
| Spindles in place on cotton system ${ }^{5}$ | Thousands | 19,856 | 19,860 | 19,854 | 19.298 | 19,293 | 19,228 |
| Consuming 100 percerit cotton.. | do. | 11,935 | 11,958 | 11,894 | 11,494 | 11,531 | 11,460 |
| Consuming blends . . . . . . . . | do. | 5,094 | 5,040 | 5,066 | 5,146 | 5,103 | 5,062 |
| Mill margin data, expanded series |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average gray goods price . | Cents | 68.58 | 68.56 | 68.46 | 71.91 | 73.73 | 74.03 |
| Average cotton price | do. | 25.17 | 25.23 | 25.35 | 28.23 | 29.12 | 29.35 |
| Margin . | do. | 43.41 | 43.33 | 43.11 | 43.68 | 44.61 | 44.68 |
| Prices of American upland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recerved by farmers (mid-month) | do. | 22.12 | 22.14 | 22.47 | 22.71 | 23.23 | 23.90 |
| Parity (effective following month) | do. | 48.81 | 49.06 | 48.94 | 51.74 | 51.99 | 51.74 |
| Farm as percentage of parity | Percent | 45 | 45 | 46 | 44 | 45 | 46 |
| Stocks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mill, end of month | 1,000 bates | 1,552 | 1,473 | 1,423 | 1,773 | 1,740 | 1,631 |
| Public starage and compresses | do. | 5,369 | 4,627 | 3,977 | 3,678 | 2,705 | 2,221 |
| Trade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raw cotton |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | do. | 299 | 269 | 186 | 327 | 307 |  |
| Cumulative since August 1 | do. | 2,313 | 2,582 | 2,768 | 3,220 | 3,527 |  |
| 1 Imports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | Bales | 1,499 | 1,595 | 1,908 | 3,459 | 1,736 |  |
| Cumulative since August 1 | do. | 48,443 | 50,038 | 51,945 | 33,788 | 35,524 |  |
| Textile manufactures (equivalent raw cotton) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1,000 bales | 36 | 33 | 30 | 41 | 37 |  |
| Cumulative since August 1 | do. | 415 | 448 | 478 | 342 | 379 |  |
| imports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | do. | 87 | 80 | 95 | 78 | 96 |  |
| Cumulative since August 1 | do. | 838 | 919 | 1,013 | 783 | 879 |  |
| MAN MADE FIBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption, daily rate by mills ${ }^{6}$ Non-cellulosics | 1,000 pounds | 3,235 | 3,297 | 3,504 | 3,676 |  | 3,643 |
| Rayon and acetate | do. | 2,045 | 1,955 | 2,121 | 1,949 | 2,004 | 1,989 |
| Prices ${ }^{\text {P }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-cellulosic staple, 1.5 denser |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acrylic .. | Doltars | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 |
| Polyester | do. | . 61 | . 61 | . 61 | . 61 | . 61 | . 61 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Modified, 1.5 and 3.0 denier | do. | . 38 | . 38 | . 38 | . 38 | . 38 | . 38 |
| Regular, 1.5 denier | do. | . 28 | . 28 | . 28 | . 28 | . 28 | . 28 |
| Yarn, 150 denier .. | do. | . 93 | . 93 | . 93 | . 98 | . 98 | . 98 |
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[^1]The August 1 cotton production estimate of 10.9 . million bales for 1971 exceeds 1970 but falls a little short of this season's prospective disappearance. As a result, next summer's stocks may drop slightly from the $41 / 4$ million bales on hand August 1 this year.

Although a gain is indicated for the 1971 crop, sharply lower beginning stocks point to a smaller cotton supply. The supply may drop almost a million bales below 1970/71's 16.1 million.

Both acreage and yields are contributing to the 8 percent bigger cotton crop. Harvested acreage of 11.6 million acres is almost $1 / 2$ million above last year. However, the indicated national average yield of 452 pounds per acre is only 3 percent above 1970's poor turnout, as early-season growing conditions were generally unfavorable in much of the Cotton Belt. Weather problems varied from too little rain in the Southwest to too much in the Delta and Southeast.
U.S. cotton disappearance during 1971/72 may total about 11 million bales, down from $113 / 4$ million last season due to smaller exports. Shipments are likely to fall to near 3 million bales, compared with 3.7 million in 1970/71. The weaker export outlook reflects smaller U.S. supplies as well as possibly smaller use in cotton importing countries and moderately larger production in foreign Free-World countries. Meanwhile, U.S. mill use may equal or slightly exceed last season's 8.1 million bales. Expected gains in general economic activity should help domestic cotton use despite the 1970/71 price rise.

A sharp expansion in U.S. cotton exports and slightly higher mill use raised 1970/71 disappearance to a 3-year high. Shipments increased almost a million bales above the reduced level of 1969/70, primarily because of a sharp drop in foreign Free-World cotton production along with slightly greater consumption. U.S. mill use recorded its first gain since 1965, as competition from domestically produced man-made fibers and imported cotton textiles moderated.

The U.S. cotton carryover on August 1 fell to $4^{1 / 4}$ million bales, about $11 / 2$ million below a year earlier. Although privately owned stocks increased over 1 million bales to nearly 4 million, CCC stocks plummeted to about 0.3 million, one-tenth of last August's holdings.

As supplies tightened due to a rate of use that outpaced the small 1970 crop average spot market prices for most qualities of upland cotton continued to strengthen during 1970/71. Most prices now range moderately to sharply above year-earlier levels, with low grades and short staples leading the way.

World man-made fiber production totaled 19.3 billion pounds in 1970 . This was 5 percent above the previous year and equivalent to about 60 million bales of
cotton. U.S. man-made fiber output declined modestly for the first time in a decade, but still represented over one-fourth of the world total.

In a special study, Analysis of Demand for U.S. Cotton Exports, factors influencing U.S. exports were examined. Shipments declined sharply during the 1960's as FFW cotton production increased at a faster rate than consumption. While moderately rising yields and slightly greater acreage caused cotton output to rise abroad,
increased use of man-made fibers restricted the growth in markets for cotton. Changes in cotton prices played significant role in these developments. Analyses for 1959-70 indicate a price elasticity of demand for exports of -2 to -2.5 . In other words, a 1 percent change in the import price for U.S. cotton resulted in a change in the opposite direction of 2 to $2^{1 / 2}$ percent in U.S. shipments. For a 1 -cent price change, this implied a U.S. export response of a little over 300,000 bales (See Special Article beginning on page 10 ).

## OUTLOOK AND RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

## OUTLOOK FOR 1971/72

## Stocks May Decline Slightly <br> Despite Larger 1971 Crop

The August 1 estimate of the 1971 cotton crop is 10.9 million running bales, moderately above the small 10.1 million-bale 1970 crop and slightly above 1965-69 average production of $10^{1 / 2}$ million. Still, this falls a little short of this season's prospective mill consumption and exports. Thus, stocks next August may total slightly below the August 1, 1971, level of $4^{1 / 4}$ million bales (table 8).

Although a gain is indicated for the 1971 crop, sharply lower beginning stocks point to a smaller cotton supply. The supply could drop to about $15^{1 / 4}$ million bales, compared with the 1970/71 season's 16.1 million, the smallest since 1947/48.

## Increased Acreage and Yields Boost Output

Bigger cotton production this season reflects prospective increases of 3 to 4 percent in both yields and acreage (tables 9 and 10). The indicated national average yield is 452 pounds per acre, 15 pounds above the 1970 level, but moderately below the 1965-69 average (table 9). Harvested acreage of 11.6 million acres is almost $1 / 2$ million above 1970/71 due to the less rigid planting provisions of the Agricultural Act of 1970 and grower expectations of higher prices for the 1971 crop. The August 1 crop report indicated that farmers abandoned about 6.4 percent of the 12.4 million acres planted this year, about the same as last year (tables 1 and 9 ).

Progress of the 1971 cotton crop is lagging a little behind last year in several areas because of adverse growing conditions. The Delta and Southeast had insect problems because of excessive rains, while early-season drought cut prospects in the Southwest.

With the outlook for continued tight supplies and possibilities of market losses for cotton, the Secretary of Agriculture announced on July 21 that USDA would engage in an all-out effort to help farmers maximize
yields and production this season. A major coordinated effort will be directed toward more efficient preharvesting and harvesting operations. In addition, cotton farmers who have been hit by drought or other natural disaster this year are being offered greater income protection for 1972. USDA will permit an adjustment in the farmer's actual 1971 cotton yield up to 90 percent of his 1971 payment yield-instead of the previous level of 80 percent-if his yield this year is reduced by adverse growing conditions.

USDA also announced a loan program for 1971 -crop upland and American Pima seed cotton. The program is aimed at assisting producers in their efforts to reduce costs of cotton harvesting, marketing, and processing.

## Disappearance Prospects Weaken

Disappearance during 1971/72 may trail last season's $11^{3 / 4}$ million bales. Although a slight gain is possible for mill use, exports may decline moderately.
U.S. cotton exports will likely fall to about 3 million bales, compared with 3.7 million during 1970/71. U.S. supplies are reduced, particularly of the shorter staples. In addition, U.S. cotton will face increased competition in foreign markets from the larger 1971 foreign cotton crop and man-made fibers. (See article beginning on page 10 ).
U.S. cotton mill consumption may total near or slightly above last season's 8.1 million bales. Use has risen above year-earlier levels during recent months. Several indicators point to a continuation of this trend during early $1971 / 72$. Cotton cloth prices have strengthened substantially in recent months. Also, unfilled orders for cotton cloth are at relatively high levels. And cloth inventories are the lowest in more than 4 years. Thus, the ratio of stocks to unfilled orders, normally a reliable short-term indicator of future cotton use, has trended downward in recent months. The seasonally adjusted ratio of 0.31 in June, although unchanged from May, was well below the 0.37 ratio of a year earlier (table 2).

Table 1.-Cotton: Acreage planted, by States, average 1965-69, annual 1970 and 1971, and 1971 as a percent of 1970

| States | Planted Acres |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1965-69 <br> average | 1970 | $1971{ }^{1}$ | 1971 as a Percent of 1970 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { acres } \end{aligned}$ | $1,000$ <br> acres | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { acres } \end{aligned}$ | Percent |
| North Carolina | 241 | 173 | 185 | 107 |
| South Carolina | 373 | 346 | 355 | 103 |
| Geargia | 430 | 408 | 420 | 103 |
| Tennessee | 411 | 425 | 445 | 105 |
| Alabama | 611 | 565 | 565 | 100 |
| Missouri | 294 | 310 | 335 | 108 |
| Mississippi | 1,168 | 1,235 | 1,371 | 111 |
| Arkansas | 1,029 | 1,120 | 1,180 | 105 |
| Louisiana | 419 | 465 | 535 | 115 |
| Oklahoma | 476 | 525. | 467 | 89 |
| Texas | 4,740 | 5,252 | 5,371 | 102 |
| New Mexico | 156 | 154 | 151 | 98 |
| Arizona | 292 | 276 | 282 | 102 |
| California | 675 | 666 | 711 | 107 |
| Other States ${ }^{2}$ | 34 | 26 | 26 | 100 |
| United States | 11,349 | 11,945 | 12,399 | 104 |
| American Pima ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Texas | 26.6 | 26.8 | 41.0 | 153 |
| New Mexico | 15.1 | 15.5 | 21.0 | 135 |
| Arizona | 32.1 | 33.1 | 47.0 | 142 |
| California | 0.5 | . 5 | . 7 | 140 |
| Total | 74.4 | 75.9 | 109.7 | 145 |

${ }^{1}$ Crop Reporting Board Report of July 8, $1971 .{ }^{2}$ Virginia, Florida, llinois, Kentucky, and Nevada. ${ }^{3}$ Included in State and United States totals. American-Egyptian prior to July 1, 1970.

Compited from reports of the Crop Reporting Board.

Table 2.-Cotton broadwoven goods at U.S. cotton mills:
Ratio of stocks to unfilled orders, seasonally adjusted ${ }^{1}$

| Month2 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.37 |
| February March | . 18 | . 29 | . 40 | . 41 | . 42 | . 36 |
| Aprit. | . 18 | . 31 | . 41 | . 40 | . 43 | . 34 |
| May . | . 17 | . 33 | . 41 | . 39 | . 42 | . 34 |
| June | . 17 | . 37 | . 42 | . 40 | . 40 | . 31 |
| July | . 18 | . 39 | . 41 | . 38 | .37 .39 | . 31 |
| August | .18 .18 | . 42 | . 41 | . 39 | .39 .38 |  |
| Septermber | . 19 | .37 .37 | . 42 | . 40 | . 38 |  |
| October | . 21 | .37 .38 | . 45 | . 42 | . 37 |  |
| November | . 21 | . 38 | . 41 | . 42 | . 37 |  |
| December | . 25 | .36 .35 | . 42 | . 41 | . 37 |  |

${ }^{2}$ Based on revised seasonal factors. ${ }^{2}$ Fnd of month.
Based on data from American Textile Manufacturers Institute, Inc.

## 1970/71 MARKET REVIEW

## Exports Hit 3-year High

U.S. cotton exports totaled 3.7 million bales during 1970/71, sharply above year-earlier shipments of 2.8 million. Larger exports primarily reflected the foreign

Free-World's drop of one-tenth in production-stemming from smaller acreage and lower yields-and its slightly greater consumption.

## Mill Use Makes First Gain Since 1965

U.S. mill consumption of all kinds of cotton during 1970/71 increased nearly 1 percent above the previous year's 8 million bales. This was the first increase since 1965.

Mill use gained despite smaller military needs-down the equivalent of about 75,000 bales of raw cotton (tables 11, 12, and 13)-and over one-third larger man-made fiber textile imports. Major factors responsible for the larger cotton use included moderating competition from domestically produced man-made fibers, reduced cotton textile imports, and strong demand for certain cotton end uses, especially denim and corduroy. During the first three-fourths of 1970/71, cotton denim and corduroy fabric production jumped 46 percent and 33 percent, respectively. This translates into a gain of about 175,000 bales of raw cotton consumed in these end uses.

Slightly greater total cotton use contrasted with a $2^{1 / 2}$ percent decline in use of man-made staple fibers on cotton-system spindles. In particular, use of rayon and ace tate staple dropped sharply (tables 3 and 4).

Table 3.- Cotton and man-made staple fiber: Daily rate of mill consumption on cotton-system spinning spindles, unadjusted and seasonally adjusted, August 1969 to date

| Month | Upland cotton |  |  |  | Man-made staple |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1969/70 |  | 1970/71 ${ }^{1}$ |  | 1969/70 |  |  |  | 1970/71 ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Unadjusted | $\underset{\text { Ad- }}{\text { Aded }}$ | Unadjusted | Ad-justed | Rayon and acetate |  | Noncellulosic ${ }^{2}$ |  | Rayon and acetate |  | Non. cellulosic ${ }^{2}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Unadjusted | Adjusted | Unadjusted | Adjusted | Unadiusted | Adjusted | Unadjusted | Ad. justed |
|  | Bales ${ }^{3}$ | Bales ${ }^{3}$ | Bales ${ }^{3}$ | Bales ${ }^{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { pounds } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { pounds } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { pounds } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { pounds } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { pounds } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { pounds } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { pounds } \end{gathered}$ |
| August | 30,997 | 30,330 | 29,271 | 28,641 | 2,580 | 2,525 | 3,419 | 3,365 | 2,027 | 1,976 | 3,314 | 3,264 |
| September | 31,255 | 31,318 | 30,038 | 30,098 | 2,644 | 2,592 | 3,416 | 3,389 | 1,946 | 1,906 | 3,243 | 3,217 |
| October | 31,913 | 30,923 | 31,262 | 30,322 | 2,638 | 2,517 | 3,385 | 3,290 | 2,013 | 1,921 | 3,373 | 3,278 |
| November | 31,851 | 30,893 | 31,623 | 30,702 | 2,552 | 2,426 | 3,391 | 3,398 | 2,006 | 1,909 | 3,447 | 3,454 |
| December | 28,314 | 30,544 | 28,537 | 30,784 | 2,098 | 2,237 | 3,076 | 3,406 | 1,806 | 1,925 | 3,187 | 3,529 |
| January | 31,355 | 30,501 | 31,792 | 30,926 | 2,298 | 2,271 | 3,372 | 3,345 | 1,932 | 1,909 | 3,496 | 3,468 |
| February | . 30,874 | 29,772 | 32,834 | 31,662 | 2.160 | 2,047 | 3,435 | 3,354 | 1,995 | 1,891 | 3,679 | 3,593 |
| March | -30,724 | 29,373 | 32,189 | 30,773 | 2,206 | 2,127 | 3,411 | 3,206 | 2,013 | 1,941 | 3,726 | 3,502 |
| Aprit | 30,330 | 30,059 | 31,450 | 31,169 | 2,150 | 2,187 | 3,375 | 3,332 | 1,992 | 2,026 | 3,723 | 3,675 |
| May | 30,022 | 29,035 | 31,939 | 30,888 | 2,100 | 2,045 | 3,449 | 3,235 | 2,002 | 1,949 | 3,919 | 3.676 |
| June | 28,817 | 28,363 | 31,502 | 31,006 | 1,967 | 1,955 | 3,386 | 3,297 | 2,016 | 2,004 | 3,874 | 3,772 |
| July | 26,274 | 32,041 | 25,035 | 30,530 | 1,678 | 2,121 | 2,954 | 3,504 | 1,573 | 1,989 | 3,071 | 3,643 |

${ }^{1}$ Preliminary. ${ }^{2}$ Includes nylon, acrylic and modacrylic, polyester, and other man-made fibers. ${ }^{3}$ Running bales.

Table a.- Upland cotton and man-made staple fibers ${ }^{1}$ : Mill consumption on cotton-system spinning spindles, by months, 1969/70 to date

| Year and month ${ }^{2}$ | Cotton | Cotton equivalent man-made staple fibers ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rayon and acetate | Noncellutosic | Total |
|  | Bales ${ }^{4}$ | Bales ${ }^{5}$ | Bales ${ }^{5}$ | Bales ${ }^{5}$ |
| 1969/70 |  |  |  |  |
| August (4) | 619.941 | 118,241 | 195,176 | 313,417 |
| September (4) | 625,101 | 121,181 | 194,997 | 316,178 |
| October (5) | 797,825 | 151,110 | 241,551 | 392,661 |
| November (4) | 637,019 | 116,953 | 193,584 | 310,537 |
| December (5) | 707,848 | 120,200 | 219,494 | 339,694 |
| January (4) | 627,099 | 105,334 | 192,465 | 297,799 |
| February (4) | 617,482 | 98,986 | 196,070 | 295,056 |
| March (5) | 768,100 | 126,411 | 243,398 | 369,809 |
| April (4) | 606,616 | 98,542 | 192,682 | 291,224 |
| May (4) | 600,431 | 96,239 | 196,889 | 293,128 |
| June (5) | 720,439 | 112,690 | 241,585 | 354,275 |
| July (4) | 525,486 | 76,901 | 168,601 | 245,502 |
| Total ${ }^{6}$ | 7,853,387 | 1,342,788 | 2,476,492 | 3,819,280 |
| 1970/71 |  |  |  |  |
| August (4) | 585,416 | 92,916 | 189,177 | 282,093 |
| September (5) | 750,943 | 111,467 | 231,444 | 342,911 |
| October (4) | 625,241 | 92,260 | 192,531 | 284,791 |
| November (4) | 632,457 | 91,971 | 196,738 | 288,709 |
| December (5) | 713,426 | 103,441 | 227,400 | 330,841 |
| January (4) | 635,845 | 88,534 | 199,555 | 288,089 |
| February (4) | 656,670 | 91,444 | 209,995 | 301,439 |
| March (5) | 804,730 | 115,301 | 265,894 | 381,195 |
| April (4) | 629,008 | 91,311 | 212,498 | 303,809 |
| May (4) | 638,780 | 91,751 | 223,681 | 315,432 |
| June (5; | 787,544 | 115,518 | 276,403 | 391,921 |
| July ${ }^{7}$ (4) | 500,693 | 72,080 | 175,323 | 247,403 |
| Total ${ }^{7}$ | 7,960,753 | 1,157,994 | 2,600,639 | 3,758,633 |

[^2]Bureau of the Census, Current Industrial Reports, M22p Supplement, April 29, 1970, and subsequent monthly reports.

## Smaller CCC Stocks Highlight Carryover Reduction

The U.S. carryover of all kinds of cotton on August 1 fell to $4^{1 / 4}$ million bales from $53 / 4$ million the previous August. Stocks contained $4,189,915$ bales of upland cotton and 62,501 bales of extra-long staple cotton (table 8).

Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) cotton stocks totaled about 0.3 million bales, about one-tenti the year-earlier level (tables 14 and 15). Because of higher cotton prices and relatively tight supplies, very little of the 1970 crop was acquired through the loan. Farmers sold most of their crop by April 1 (table 16). These factors also stimulated sales of CCC stocks, thus reducing holdings of old crop cotton.

Privately owned stocks advanced to nearly 4 million bales, over 1 million above last summer's level (table 5). The buildup in private stocks reflected tightening cotton supplies and trade concern about the size of the 1971 crop.

## Small 1970 Crop and Increased Use Boosted Prices

The 1970 crop totaled 10.1 million rumning bales, below the $1965-69$ average of 10.6 million. Below-average yields were mainly responsible. They averaged 437 pounds per acre, 9 percent below the 1965-69 level (table 9).

With tighter supplies resulting from the relatively small 1970 crop and reduced stocks and with stepped-up usage, average spot market prices for most qualities of upland cotton continued to strengthen during recent months. Most prices are now moderately to sharply above year-earlier levels, spearheaded by the shorter staples. The average spot market price for Middling $15 / 16$-inch cotton advanced to 24.59 cents per pound in July, over 3 cents above July 1970. In comparisol,

Table 5.-Cotton stocks, all kinds: Privately owned and CCC, 1960 to date

| Year beginning August 1 | Privately owned |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | At mills | In public storage | Elsewhere | Total |  |  |
|  | 1,000 bales ${ }^{2}$ | 1,000 bales ${ }^{2}$ | 1,000 bales ${ }^{2}$ | 1,000 bales $^{2}$ | 1,000 bales ${ }^{2}$ | 1,000 bales ${ }^{2}$ |
| 1960 | 1,406 | 897 | 215 | 2,518 | 5.041 | 7,559 |
| 1961 | 1,905 | 3,314 | 490 | 5,709 | 1,519 | 7,228 |
| 1962 | 1,522 | 1,393 | 190 | 3,105 | 4,726 | 7,831 |
| 1963 | 1,215 | 1,566 | 280 | 3,061 | 8,155 | 11,216 |
| 1964 | 1,145 | 570 | 270 | 1,985 | 10,393 | 12,378 |
| 1965 | 1,491 | 954 | 230 | 2,675 | 11,616 | 14,291 |
| 1966 | 1,359 | 3,011 | 188 | 4,558 | 12,304 | 16,862 |
| 1967 | 1,779 | 4,574 | 400 | 6,752 | 5,781 | 12,533 |
| 1968 | 1,856 | 4,087 | 300 | 6,243 | 205 | 6,448 |
| 1969 | 1,638 | 1,572 | 400 | 3,610 | 2,911 | 6,521 |
| 1970 | 1,423 | 947 | 360 | 2,730 | 3,030 | 5,760 |
| $1971{ }^{3}$ | 1,631 | 1,916 | 400 | 3,947 | 305 | 4,252 |

${ }^{1}$ Data excludes cotton soid by CCC for delivery on August 1. Includes cotton pooled, owned, loans outstanding, and cotton released from the stockpile. ${ }^{2}$ Running bales. ${ }^{3}$ Preliminary.

Middling 1-1/16-inch cotton averaged 27.35 cents, up almost 2 cents (table 17).

Futures prices continue at relatively high levels, primarily reflecting trade uncertainty about the 1971 crop. Because of generally adverse growing conditions in several areas, the current crop is somewhat below earlier trade expectations.

The average price received by upland cotton farmers in July was 23.90 cents per pound, seasonally above June's 23.23 cents and $11 / 2$ cents above the year-earlier price (table 17). For the 1970/71 season, growers averaged 21.6 cents (preliminary) for all kinds of cotton, up from 21.09 cents the previous season. And with a slightly larger crop, the value of production increased to $\$ 1.1$ billion. Furthermore, price support payments boosted cotton producers' total receipts to nearly $\$ 2$ billion, compared with $\$ 1.9$ billion for the 1969 crop (table 18).

Beginning August 1, 1971, trading was based on net weight bales instead of gross weight.

## Nearly All of $\mathbf{1 9 7 0}$ Crop Mechanically Har vested

As in recent years, very little of the 1970 cotton crop was picked by hand. Machines were used to harvest 98 percent of the crop, up from 96 percent for the 1969 crop. Mechanical harvesting ranged from an average of about 94 percent in the Southeast to near 100 percent in the West (table 19).

## Increasing Cloth Values Boost Mill Margins

Despite rising raw cotton prices, the average mill margin for cotton cloth increased during recent months as cloth values advanced sharply. In July, the margin averaged 44.68 cents per pound, slightly above the previous month and almost 2 cents above the year-earlier level (table 6).

The average wholesale value of fabric produced from a pound of cotton increased to 74.03 cents in July, a little above the previous month and nearly 6 cents

Bureau of the Census and Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

Table 6.-U.S. price of unfinished cloth (expanded series), price of raw cotton, and mill margin

| Year and month | Cotton fabric |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fabric values ${ }^{1}$ | Price of raw cotton ${ }^{2}$ | Mill margins ${ }^{3}$ |
|  | Cents |  |  |
| 1969 |  |  |  |
| August | 68.62 | 25.11 | 43.51 |
| September | 68.79 | 24.76 | 44.03 |
| October | 68.81 | 24.75 | 44.06 |
| November | 68.84 | 24.88 | 43.96 |
| December | 68.87 | 24.95 | 43.92 |
| January | 68.90 | 24.98 | 43.92 |
| February | 68.88 | 25.02 | 43.86 |
| March | 68.85 | 25.06 | 43.79 |
| April. | 68.76 | 25.11 | 43.65 |
| May | 68.58 | 25.17 | 43.41 |
| June | 68.56 | 25.23 | 43.33 |
| July | 68.46 | 25.35 | 43.11 |
| Average .... | 68.74 | 25.03 | 43.71 |
| 1970 |  |  |  |
| August | 68.47 | 25.49 | 41.98 |
| September | 68.81 | 25.52 | 43.29 |
| October | 69.12 | 25.59 | 43.53 |
| November | 69.48 | 25.52 | 43.96 |
| December | 69.84 | 25.86 | 43.98 |
| January | 70.12 | 26.18 | 43.94 |
| February | 70.48 | 26.77 | 43.71 |
| March | 70.73 | 27.25 | 43.48 |
| April | 71.06 | 27.61 | 43.45 |
| May | 71.91 | 28.23 | 43.68 |
| June | 73.73 | 29.12 | 44.61 |
| July | 74.03 | 29.35 | 44.68 |
| Average | 70.64 | 26.87 | 43.77 |

[^3]above July 1970 . In comparison, cotton prices averaged 29.35 cents, slightly above June and 4 cents above a year earlier (table 6).

## Cotton Textile Trade Eases

U.S. imports and exports of cotton textile manufactures declined slightly in recent months. For the first half of calendar 1971, imports totaled the equivalent of about 497,000 bales, compared with 507,000 for the same period of 1970 (table 20). At the same time, cotton textile exports dropped 3 percent to 221,000 bales (table 21).

In contrast, man-made fiber textile imports during the first half of 1971 jumped almost 50 percent above the year-earlier level (table 22). However, exports of man-made fiber manufactures declined 6 percent (table 23).

## ELS Cotton Stocks Reduced; 1971 Crop Much Bigger; Sales Policy Announced

Stocks of extra-long staple (ELS) cotton totaled about 62,500 bales this August, sharply below last summer's 107,000 . Demand for ELS cotton declined last season, but supplies were down more sharply. Despite larger imports, much lower beginning stocks and smaller production cut supplies. Smaller disappearance mainly reflected weaker mill demand; exports declined slightly (table 8).

The 1971 ELS cotton crop is estimated at 103,500 running bales, sharply above 1970's output. Larger production reflects increases of 45 percent in harvested acreage and 27 percent in indicated yields. As a result, supplies may increase slightly. A slight gain is also possible for mill use. Thus, the 1971/72 ending carryover may remain near this August's level.

USDA announced on July 1 the 1971/72 CCC sales policy for ELS cotton. The announcement states, in part:
"Beginning August. 1, 1971, American Pima cotton will be made available for sale for unrestricted use at not less than the higher of the market price as determined by CCC or 115 percent of the current loan rate for each quality of cotton, plus reasonable carrying charges for the month in which the sale is made. Carrying charges in points per pound will be as follows: For the period August through November, 45; December, 60; January, 75; February, 90; March, 105; April, 120; and for May through July, 135. The new carrying charges are in line with those included in price markups announced Feb. 2, 1971, for upland cotton (press release USDA 343-71) and are designed to complement the new extended maturity dates under the 1971 cotton loan program for both American Pima and Upland Cotton.
"Shortfall" sales at market prices will be discontinued since the authority under which CCC
has made American Pima cotton available for sale on this basis each year since 1968 has terminated. The "shortfall" is the quantity by which estimated domestic consumption and exports of Americangrown extra-long staple cotton exceed estimated production."

## Cotton Linters Supply May Increase

The supply of cotton linters during 1971/72 may increase moderately, mainly reflecting the larger 1971 cotton crop. Based on the August 1 estimate of the crop, linters production should expand almost one-tenth. And with larger beginning stocks, the total supply may be up nearly 15 percent.

Cotton linters ouput totaled about 1.1 million bales during 1970/71, near the year-earlier level. However, consumption of 0.9 million bales was about 0.2 million below the previous year; exports showed little change. Imports declined sharply to 72,000 bales (table 24 ).

Smaller consumption primarily reflected a 23 percent curtailment in use of chemical linters. Use of felting linters dropped about one-tenth. Chemical linters consumption probably suffered from increasing competition from substitute materials, as prices changed little. Use of felting linters responded to higher prices, which averaged about $51 / 2$ cents per pound for grade 4 , staple 4 linters, about $1 / 2$ cent above 1969/70 (table 25).

## WORLD OUTLOOK AND DEVELOPMENTS

## World Cotton Trade May Shrink

Global cotton exports in 1971/72 are projected by the Foreign Agricultural Service to decline moderately from last season's relatively high level of $17^{1 / 2}$ million bales. Continuing tight supplies in foreign Free-World countries likely will result in less cotton available for export.

Although world production may recover slightly from last season's low level, cotton use may still exceed output by a little over 1 million bales. While consumption may remain near 1970/71's $53^{1 / 2}$ million bales, production could total about $52^{1 / 2}$ million, $2^{1 / 2}$ percent above 1970 .

## Larger FFW Cotton Production Foreseen; Use May Decline Slightly

The Foreign Agricultural Service estimates that 1971/72 foreign Free-World cotton production will increase almost 2 million bales above last season's 23.2 million (table 1 in special article). Higher cotton prices early in calendar 1971 may have encouraged moderate acreage expansion in Brazil, Mexico, Turkey, and Pakistan. Also, yields are expected to recover somewhat from last season's below-normal levels in many countries. Still, smaller beginning stocks in FFW countries will limit supplies.

FFW cotton use may decline slightly from last season's $271 / 4$ million bales, reflecting higher. cotton
prices and increasing competition from man-made fibers. Thus, the difference between FFW consumption and production may shrink to about 2 million bales, compared with the 1970/71 gap of 4 million. (table 1 in special article).

FFW net exports to communist countries may show little change this season. Communist supplies are relatively large despite reduced 1971 production prospects.

## Funds Available for U.S. Export Financing

U.S. cotton exports under special government programs fell slightly to 1.3 million bales during fiscal 1970/71. Smaller P.L. 480 shipments were primarily responsible; Export-Import Bank credits issued were about the same. Not included in the 1.3 million bales were barter shipments and CCC export credit sales (table 3 in special article).

## Prices Rise Further in Import Markets

Prices for most qualities of U.S. and foreign-grown cotton, c.i.f. Liverpool, have continued to increase during recent months and now exceed year-earlier levels by 2 to 6 cents per pound in most instances. U.S.-grown
cotton generally has remained competitive with most foreign growths (tables 26 and 27).
U.S. Strict Middling 1-1/16 inch cotton prices averaged 34.60 cents per pound in July, slightly over 1 cent above the previous month and about 5 cents above July 1970. The U.S. price in July was almost a penny above the c.i.f. Liverpool index for similar qualities (table 7).
U.S. and foreign average spot export prices are shown in table 28.

## Man-Made Fiber Output Higher

World man-made fiber production (including textile glass fiber) totaled a record 19.3 billion pounds in 1970. This represented an increase of about 1 billion pounds over 1969. A 12 percent increase in non-cellutosic output more than offset a 3 percent decline in production of rayon and acetate (cellulosics). Output was equivalent to 59.7 million bales of cotton, about $8^{1 / 2}$ million above 1970/71 world cotton production.
U.S. man-made fiber output declined during 1970 for the first time in a decade, but still accounted for over one-fourth of the world total. Domestic man-made fiber production was equivalent to 18 million bales of cotton.

Table 7.-Index of prices of selected cotton growths and qualities, and price per pound of U.S. SM 1-1/16" c.i.f. Liverpool, England

| Month | 1969 |  | 1970 |  | 1971 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Index ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { SM } \\ 1-1 / 16^{\prime 2} \end{gathered}$ | Index ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { SM } \\ 1-1 / 16^{\prime \prime} ? \end{gathered}$ | Index ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { SM } \\ 1-1 / 16^{, 2} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Cents |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 28.19 | 29.01 | 28.19 | 28.75 | 30.91 | 30.95 |
| February | 27.78 | 28.79 | 28.08 | 28.81 | 31.15 | 31.52 |
| March | 27.83 | 28.60 | 28.19 | 29.00 | 31.26 | 32.02 |
| April | 28.31 | 28.60 | 28.38 | 29.31 | 31.41 | 32.30 |
| May. | 28.64 | 28.60 | 28.50 | 29.40 | 32.65 | 33.48 |
| June | 28.19 | 28.49 | 28.50 | 29.45 | 33.32 | 33.48 |
| Juty | 27.74 | 28.13 | 28.58 | 29.70 | 33.71 | 34.60 |
| August | 27.09 | 28.00 | 28.84 | 29.75 |  |  |
| September | 26.99 | 28.00 | 29.32 | 30.26 |  |  |
| October . | 27.15 | 28.15 | 29.66 | 30.70 |  |  |
| November | 37.74 | 28.56 | 30.20 | 30.58 |  |  |
| December | ${ }^{3} 28.75$ | ${ }^{3} 28.75$ | 30.68 | 30.39 |  |  |
| Average | 27.82 | 28.47 | 28.93 | 29.68 |  |  |

[^4]Compiled from Foreign Agriculture Service records and the weekly Cotton and General
Economic Review, Liverpool, England.
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#### Abstract

U.S. cotton exports declined sharply during the 1960's as foreign Free-World cotton production increased at a faster rate than consumption. While moderately rising yields and slightly greater acreage caused output to rise abroad, increased use of man-made fibers cut into markets for cotton. Other important factors affecting U.S. shipments included changes in FFW cotton stocks, the level and quality distribution of U.S. supplies, U.S. government export programs, and U.S. and FFW trade with communist nations. Cotton prices played a significant role in these developments. Effects of price changes on U.S. cotton exports are analyzed in relation to FFW production, consumption, and stocks. Implications for U.S. shipments during the 1970's also are discussed.
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## INTRODUCTION

The U.S. cotton farmer's share of foreign cotton markets diminished rapidly during the past decade. U.S. raw cotton exports averaged only about 3 million bales annually during 1968-70, less than a fifth of world cotton trade. This compared with average shipments during 1958-60 of over 5 million bales, about one-third of world trade. Other countries captured an increasing share of the market as the level of world trade remained fairly stable.

There are several explanations for our reduced cotton exports. The most significant is increased acreage and higher yields in the foreign Free World (FFW) which boosted production faster than consumption. FFW use continued to exceed output, but the difference narrowed considerably as increased use of man-made
fibers restricted markets for cotton (table 1 and figure 1.) Other major factors affecting U.S. cotton shipments from year to year include changes in cotton stocks abroad, the level and quality distribution of U.S. supplies, U.S. government price support and export programs, and U.S. and FFW trade with communist countries. Most of the above factors are either directly or indirectly influenced by the level of and changes in cotton prices, as shown in figure 2.

[^5]Table 1.-Cotton: Supply and distribution in the foreign Free World, 1959-70


[^6]This article is concerned mainly with exports of U.S. cotton to foreign Free-World countries. These markets account for over 95 percent of U.S. shipments; some East European communist countires account for the rest.
To measure the impact of major factors, particularly
cotton prices, affecting U.S. cotton exports during 1959-70, we developed a number of equations to analyze changes in FFW cotton acreage, yields, consumption, and stocks. Results of these equations formed an analytical framework for U.S. cotton exports.


Figure 1

## FOREIGN FREE-WORLD PRODUCTION

Increasing competitive supplies of foreign cotton cut into U.S. cotton exports during the past decade. FFW production expanded at an average annual rate of nearly 700,000 bales during 1959.70 , or about 3 percent annually. Larger output reflected an average annual yield uptrend of $21 / 2$ percent and an acreage increase of about $1 / 2$ percent (figure 3).

## Acreage Moves with Cotton Prices

The profitability of cotton relative to competing crops is a major determinant of FFW cotton acreage, although other factors are important. For instance, foreign government policies and programs affect plantings in many countries. Achievement of domestic economic goals is often sought through policies related to international trade and domestic price support programs.
Changes in FFW cotton acreage during 1959-70 were
highly correlated ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.92$ ) with changes in the price of U.S. SM 1-1/16-inch cotton at Liverpool ${ }^{2}$ during the first 6 months of the preceding crop year and trend (figure 4 ). Trend was included in the equation to account for factors, such as prices of competing crops, input costs, and government programs, for which data are not available. The equation indicated that a 1 cent per pound change in cotton price was associated with a change in the same direction of about 250,000 acres of cotton the following year. Thus, a 1 percent change in price, measured at the mean, resulted in a subsequent 0.15 percent change in acreage (table 2). This price elasticity for FFW acreage compa 1 with 0.2 derived by Cathcart in an earlier studv for 1948-63. ${ }^{3}$ In

[^7]
## MAJOR FACTORS AFFECTING U.S. COTTON EXPORTS



Figure 2

## FOREIGN FREE-WORLD COTTON: ACREAGE YIELD, PRODUCTION, AND CONSUMPTION


U.S, department of agriculture
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| Item | Intercept | Cotton price ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Trend | Per capita income ${ }^{3}$ | Year to year change in income | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1968-70 } \\ \text { shift } \\ \text { variable } \end{gathered}$ | FFW consumption less production estimates | FFW begin. ning stock estimates ${ }^{4}$ | Dummy variable | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Coefficient | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Elastic- } \\ & \text { ity }{ }^{5} \end{aligned}$ | l-cent change |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Regression coefficients ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign Free World |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acreage ${ }^{6}$ | +1.42 | $\begin{gathered} +0.15 \\ * *(2.32) \end{gathered}$ | +0.15 | $+250,000$ | $\begin{gathered} +0.08 \\ * *(10.07) \end{gathered}$ | -- | -- | -- | --- | -- | -- | 0.92 |
| Yield ${ }^{7}$ | +1.67 | $\begin{gathered} +0.38 \\ *(1.87) \end{gathered}$ | +0.38 | +3 | $\begin{array}{r} +0.14 \\ * * *(9.98) \end{array}$ | -- | --- | --- | --- | -- | --- | 0.92 |
| Production | -- | -- | ${ }^{8}+0.53$ | +400,000 | -- | -- | -- | --- | --- | -- | --- | 0.95 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Consump- } \\ \text { tıon }^{9} . \end{gathered}$ | +6.40 | $\begin{array}{r} -0.012 \\ *(2.07) \end{array}$ | -0.06 | -50,000 | $\begin{gathered} -0.07 \\ * *(2.44) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.0002 \\ & (0.31) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} +0.005 \\ * * *(5.29) \end{gathered}$ | --- | -- | --- | --- | 0.97 |
| Consumption ${ }^{10}$. | +6.54 | $\begin{gathered} -0.012 \\ * *(2.48) \end{gathered}$ | -0.06 | $-50,000$ * | $\begin{array}{r} -0.06 \\ * *(13.35) \end{array}$ | -- | $\begin{array}{r} +0.005 \\ * * *(5.61) \end{array}$ | -- | --- | - | --- | 0.97 |
| Stocks ${ }^{11}$ | +14.07 | $\begin{gathered} -0.15 \\ * * *(3.60) \end{gathered}$ | -0.42 | -150,000 | -- | -- | -- | $\begin{array}{r} +0.28 \\ * * *(8.23) \end{array}$ | --- | -- | --- | 0.92 |
| U.S. cotton exports ${ }^{12}$ | +6.73 | --- | $\begin{aligned} & 8-2 \text { to } \\ & -2.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -300,000 \mathrm{tc} \\ & -350,000 \end{aligned}$ | -- | - | -- | -- | $\begin{gathered} +0.87 \\ * * *(9.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.97 \\ * *(2.55) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} +1.70 \\ * * *(3.38) \end{gathered}$ | 0.93 |

$T$-values are in parentheses; asterıcks indicate statistical significance at 1 percent, 5 percent, and 10 percent levels. ${ }^{2}$ U.S. Strict Middling 1-1/16-inch cotton, c.i.f. Liverpool, England. ${ }^{3}$ Per capita gross national product in constant 1969 prices equivalents) for 10 of the largest foreign Free-Worid cotton consuming countries weighted by each country's share of cotton use. Data on GNP from AID, May 15, 1971. ${ }^{4}$ Stocks in terms of estımated monthly consumption requirements. Measured at the mean. ${ }^{6}$ Log FFW cotton acreage $=f$ (log cotton price during first 6 months of preceding crop year, log trend for $1959-63$. )
price for preceding crop year, log trend for $1959-70$ ). ${ }^{8}$ implied elasticity. Production elasticity obtained by computing the change in production resulting from the effect of a 1 percent price change on acreage and yields at mean levels. Export elasticity
obtained by computing the change in exports resulting from the net effect of a 1 percent price change on production, consumption, and stocks at mean levels. ${ }^{9}$ FFW 1959-70 calendar years, trend for 1959-70 per capita income for 1958-69 year-to-year change in per 10 FFW per capita cotton use =f (deflated year-to-year change in per capita income). FFW per capita cotton use =f (deflated cotton price, trend, year-to-year change in per capita income). FFW beginning
cotton stocks $=\mathrm{f}$ (cotton price deflated for preceding crop year, $1968-70$ shift cotton stocks $=f$ (cotton price deflated for preceding crop year, 1968-70 shift
variable). ${ }^{12}$ U.S. cotton exports to FFW $=\mathrm{f}$ (difference between estimated FFW variable). monthly consumption requirements, dummy variable to account for large FFW exports to communist countries in 1963).


Figure 4
comparison, Dudley estimated price elasticities of supply of about 0.4 for most U.S. regions in the 1960-69 period. ${ }^{4}$

## Yields Also Respond to Cotton Prices.

Cotton prices affect future yields as well as acreage. As prices rise, farmers often respond by increasing their purchase and use of yield-augmenting inputs, such as fertilizer, irrigation, and pesticides. Similarly, as prices fall, producers may forego some inputs to cut costs. Dudley found this to be true in the United States where a 10 percent increase in lagged grower prices tended to increase yields around 5 percent. A similar analysis for the foreign Free World related U.S. SM 1-1/16-inch cotton prices at Liverpool (lagged 1 year) to FFW cotton yields. Trend was added to the analysis to account for some unmeasured factors such as quality of management, cultural practices, and other technological developments.

Changes in cotton prices and trend were significantly ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.92$ ) related to changes in FFW cotton yields (figure 4). The relationship suggests that a 10 percent increase in cotton prices tends to induce an increase of about 4 percent in the following year's yields. Or, a penny per pound elicits an increase of about 3 pounds per acre (table 2).

## Higher Prices Lead to Greater Output

With cotton prices significantly affecting both FFW acreage and yield, price is a critical factor in explaining production changes. Estimated FFW output based on the acreage and yield equations was highly correlated ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.95$ ) with actual production (figure 4). The implied elasticity of output relative to price was +0.53 . That is, a 10 percent increase in cotton price leads to a 5 percent gain in the following year's production. Or, a penny per pound price increase leads to a 400,000 bale rise in output (table 2). This compares very closely with a recent Foreign Agricultural Service study for 13 key competing FFW countries in the $1963-70$ period. ${ }^{5}$ In an earlier study, holding yields constant, Cathcart obtained a 100,000 bale response. If yields were held constant in this analysis, the indicated production increase would total about 115,000 bales. So, about two-thirds of the production response to price changes during 1959-70 was due to the response of yields to price.

## FOREIGN FREE-WORLD CONSUMPTION

Despite lower cotton prices and increasing per capita income, the FFW's cotton use per person trended

[^8]downward during the past decade. As in the United States, the dominant factor overshadowing the bolstering effects of lower prices and higher incomes was man-made fibers, which cut sharply into the market for cotton. Increased supplies and declining prices greatly expanded the use of man-made fibers. Foreign man-made fiber production more than doubled during the 1960 's. On a cotton-equvalent basis, this translates into a gain of over 20 million bales, about 4 times as large as the increase in total use of FFW cotton.

Several formulations were developed to explain the influences of the above factors on FFW cotton use during 1959-70. The first formulation included the price of U.S. SM 1-1/16-inch cotton at Liverpool (deflated by Reuters Index), per capita income for 10 of the largest FFW cotton consuming countries (lagged 1 year), year-to-year change in per capita income, and trend as a proxy for the impact of man-made fibers and other substitutes since reliable price data are not available.

Changes in cotton prices, income, and trend explained nearly all the variation in FFW per capita cotton use during 1959-70. However, as indicated in table 2 , the regression coefficient for the level of income was not significant. Perhaps this reflected the downward trend in per capita cotton use during the 1960's as consumers apparently reacted more closely to year-to-year changes in income than to aggregate levels. Also, extremely high intercorrelation between the aggregate income level and trend likely reduced the significance of the per capita income variable. Thus, the level of income was omitted from the second formulation.

Omission of this variable, as shown in table 2 and figure 5, did not detract from the overall significance of the FFW cotton consumption equation. Only 3 percent of the variation remained unexplained. Furthermore, the second formulation contained more highly significant regression coefficients. Cotton prices and year-to-year change in per capita income show small but significant effects on cotton use. The equation indicates a 10 percent change in price elicits an opposite change of 0.6 percent in per capita use the following year. A change of 1 cent is associated with a 0.01 pound inverse change in per capita use. Translated to bales, this equals about a 50,000 bale response in aggregate cotton consumption to a penny change at recent population levels. The equation further indicates that a 10 percent increase in per capita income on a first differences basis results in a 0.3 percent increase in consumption (table 2). The significance of the trend factor in the equation points up the need for research into the precise effect of man-made fibers and other substitutes on FFW cotton use.
The cotton price coefficient is a little smaller than that derived by Cathcart for 1948-63. His study of the foreign Free World estimated a -0.27 price elasticity of demand. Our estimate of -0.06 is near Blakely's elasticities of -0.07 to -0.13 for the 1921.40 and

## TOTAL AND PER CAPITA FOREIGN FREE-WORLD COTTON USE



Figure 5

1947-56 periods. ${ }^{6}$ Also, our income elasticity of demand is considerably below Cathcart's +0.35 . However, this difference may be largely due to the iricreasing impact of man-made fibers during the 1960 's and to the use of 2 sets of income data-level of income and year-to-year change in income.
Our analysis indicates little difference in the influence of price on FFW cotton use and U.S. consumption. The FFW price elasticity of -0.06 compares with -0.14 found by Donald for the United States for the 1927-32, 1935-40, and $1948-60$ periods. ${ }^{7}$ However, the FFW income elasticity of demand of +0.03 was sharply below the domestic elasticity derived by Donald for the earlier period. But there are indications that the influence of income on per capita U.S. mill use of cotton has lessened in more recent years with the intensified competition from man-made fibers and textile imports.

## FOREIGN FREE-WORLD STOCKS

Another important factor in the U.S. cotton export equation is year-to-year changes in FFW cotton stocks. U.S. shipments vary inversely with stock changes abroad.

[^9]This was particularly evident in the late 1960's when the FFW carryover rose sharply and U.S. exports declined.

Foreign mills obviously must maintain working inventory or stock levels. During 1959-70, beginning stocks in FFW countries averaged about 40 percent of annual consumption requirements, equal to about a 5 month supply (figure 6). Deviations from this level reflected changes in the current and prospective price and supply situation for textiles and raw cotton. The level of cotton prices apparently was an important factor in stock fluctuations.

To test the hypothesis that cotton prices significantly affect FFW stocks, the Liverpool price of U.S. SM $1-1 / 16$-inch cotton (deflated by Reuters Index) was related to the following year's beginning stocks. This variable explained most of the variation in stocks except in more recent years. Unexplained stock variation may have resulted from speculative factors which are difficult to quantify. For instance, sharp price changes in 1967 and 1968 probably had repercussions for stocks over a period of several years, rather than in a single year as implied by use of a 1 -year price lag in the equation. Consequently, a $0-1$ shift factor for $1968-70$ was added to the FFW stock equation to account for variation not explained by cotton prices in the previous year. Use of the shift factor proved more beneficial than a distributed lag. Cotton price and the shift variable explained 92 percent of the variation in FFW stocks during 1959-70 (table 2 and figure 6).

## FOREIGN FREE-WORLD COTTON STOCKS, TOTAL AND MONTH'S SUPPLY



Figure 6

The equation for FFW cotton stocks indicates that price plays a significant role in stock changes the following year. A 10 percent increase in price is associated with a 4 percent decline in beginning stocks the next season. Thus, a 1 cent change leads to a response in the opposite direction of about 150,000 bales in stocks. Cotton price and the shift factors were significant at the 1 percent level.

## OTHER FACTORS

## Trade with Communist Countries Small

As mentioned earlier, the United States engages in only limited raw cotton trade with communist countries. Since 1959, U.S. shipments, mostly to Eastern Europe, have averaged about 100,000 bales annually, less than 5 percent of total exports. Eastern Europe's imports from the United States during the past decade ranged from 43,000 bales in 1965 to 228,000 in 1960.

Possibly of greater significance to U.S. exports is FFW trade with communist nations. FFW net exports to communist countries averaged about 1-1/4 million bales annually during the 1960's. In some years large shipments to communist countries indirectly boosted
U.S. exports to FFW countries. Small 1961 and 1962 cotton crops in the USSR and Mainland China necessitated purchase of additional cotton from FFW countries in 1963; FFW exports totaled almost 2-1/2 million bales, about double the average level (table 1). Consequently, U.S. shipments to FFW countries during 1963 rose above the level that was indicated by other factors.

## Export Programs Aid Shipments

The United States employed several specific programs during the past decade to help move cotton and reduce burdensome surplus stocks of the mid-1960's. These included the Mutual Security Act and PL-480. In addition, significant quantities of cotton have been shipped with the assistance of the Export-Import Bank, barter, and CCC credit sales programs.

Public Law 480 was the most important government program for financing cotton exports during the 1960's. About a million bales were shipped annually under Tilles I, II, and IV, meaning that these exports accounted for almost one-fourth of total shipments on the average during the past decade. Exports under PL-480 ranged from 0.6 million bales in $1965 / 66$ to 1.3 million in 1960/61 (table 3).

Table 3.-Special programs of the U.S. Government for financing cotton exports: 1959 to date ${ }^{1}$

| Item | Year beginning July 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | $1970^{2}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales }^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales }^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales }^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales }^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales }^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales }^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales }^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales }^{3} \end{gathered}$ |
| Mutual Security | 417 | 316 | 59 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 7 | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | ( ${ }^{4}$ ) | ( ${ }^{4}$ ) | -- | -- |
| Export-Import Bank ${ }^{5}$ | 298 | 335 | 431 | 427 | 471 | 495 | 588 | 858 | 632 | 414 | 596 | 552 |
| PL 480 . . . . . . . . . | 720 | 1,316 | 1,111 | 1,225 | 904 | 859 | 566 | 1,095 | 963 | 734 | 1,054 | 761 |
| Total | 1,435 | 1,967 | 1,601 | 1,665 | 1,389 | 1,368 | 1,161 | 1,953 | 1,595 | 1,148 | 1,650 | 1,313 |
| Barter | 112 | 104 | 25 | 0 | 165 | 391 | 434 | 396 | 394 | 281 | 674 | ${ }^{6} 418$ |
| CCC Credit Sales | --- | --- | -- | - | 321 | 242 | 137 | 345 | 415 | 375 | 395 | 443 |

${ }^{1}$ Authorized for delivery, shipment, and disbursement. ${ }^{2}$ preliminary, ${ }^{3}$ Rúnning bales. ${ }^{4}$ Mutual Security program discontinued and superceeded by Public Law 87-195 (AID) of which less than 500 bales are included in the totals for the years 1966, 1967 and 1968. 5includes amounts advanced by participants or dibursed by others at Export-Import Bank risk.
${ }^{6}$ Data through March 31, 1971.
Compiled from Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, Foreign Agricultural Service, and Export-Import Bank reports.
production and consumption than to any other variable. FFW cotton stocks were expressed as a ratio to monthly consumption in recognition that some inventory is both normal and necessary for foreign mills to operate efficiently (figure 6). In addition, a dummy shift variable was included in the export equation to account for abnormally large FFW exports to communist countries in 1963. This approach appeared more appropriate than use of actual data due to the apparent lack of impact on U.S. exports except in years of substantial FFW exports to communist countries. The export equation, using predicted values for the independent variables from the equations presented earlier, is as follows:
$\mathrm{E}=6.73+0.87 \quad \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}-0.97 \mathrm{~S}+1.70 \mathrm{DV}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(9.06) \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R^{2}=0.93$
S.E.E. $=0.45$
where
$\mathbf{E}=$ U.S. cotton exports to the foreign Free World, 1959-70.
C-P $=$ FFW cotton consumption estimates less production estimates, 1959-70.
$S=F F W$ beginning cotton stock estimates in terms of estimated monthly supply available for estimated use, 1959-70.
DV $=$ Dummy variable for large 1963 FFW exports to communist countries.

These factors, using estimated values for FFW consumption, production and stocks, explained most of the variation in U.S. cotton exports during 1959-70 (figure 7). The equation indicates that C-P and $S$ are important and statistically significant variables determining U.S. cotton exports ( $t$-values are in parentheses). A million-bale shift in the consumption-production balance (C-P) was associated with a related change of 870,000 bales in U.S. shipments. In terms of elasticities, a 10 percent increase


Figure 7
in the consumption-production gap resulted in an increase of about $5-1 / 2$ percent in U.S. exports. A similar increase for stocks led to a 10-12 percent decline in U.S. exports. Finally, the equation indicates that a 10 percent change in FFW shipments to communist countries resulted in a fractional 0.2 percent change in U.S. exports in the same direction (table 2).

## Cotton Prices Most Important

The model suggests an implied price elasticity of demand for exports of -2 to -2.5 . In other words, a 10 percent change in the price of U.S. SM 1-1/16-inch cotton at Liverpool ultimately results in a $20-25$ percent change in U.S. shipments in the opposite direction the following year. In terms of a 1 cent price change, the model indicates a U.S. export response of 300,000 350,000 bales (table 2), compared with 235,000 estimated by Cathcart for 1948-63.

## PROSPECTS FOR 1971/72

Basically because of higher prices in 1970/71, FFW cotton acreage and yields will likely recover somewhat from recent relatively low levels. The model's equations indicate a 1971/72 acreage of nearly 50 million acres, about 1 million above 1970/71, and an average yield of about 250 pounds per acre, almost 10 percent above the
previous year. This would result in total output of about 26 million bales, over 2 million above 1970/71 and close to the record levels of 1968 and 1969 (figure 1). However, early planting of the 1971 crop in several major countries indicate a smaller production rise, mainly reflecting rising production costs and a tight credit supply.

Higher cotton prices, smaller cotton supplies, and continuing stiff competition from man-made fibers will limit FFW cotton use during 1971/72. The consumption equation indicates about 3 percent smaller per capita use based on moderately higher deflated cotton prices during early calendar 1971 and greater man-made fiber use. However, an increasing population will largely offset this decline. FFW population has increased a little over 2 percent annually during recent years. Assuming this trend continues, FFW cotton use may nearly match 1970/71's $271 / 4$ million bales.

Consequently, the difference between FFW use and output is indicated at just under $1-1 / 2$ million bales, sharply below the relatively large year-earlier gap (figure 1). Ignoring other factors, this would tend to dampen 1971/72 U.S. export prospects. However, FFW stock changes also must be considered.

The August 1, 1971, cotton carryover of the foreigl Free-World declined from the unusally high levels of the past few years (figure 6). The FFW cotton stock equation indicates that higher cotton prices during

1970/71 encouraged FFW countries to reduce stocks to about 11 million bales, or a little less than a 5 -month supply. This reduction would partially offset the effect of larger FFW production on U.S. cotton exports. FFW exports to communist countries are assumed to about equal the average level of recent years.

On balance, the U. S. cotton export equation indicates that shipments will likely decline from the 3.6 million bales shipped to FFW nations during 1970/71.

The model indicates shipments of about 3.3 million bales.

Assuming U.S. cotton exports to communist countries deviate little from recent years' averages of about 100,000 bales, total shipments for 1971/72 are indicated at about 3.4 million, moderately below the 1970/71 level. However, this is contingent on an adequate U.S. supply of qualities and staples in demand by FFW countries. In view of reduced supply prospects for 1971/72, U.S. cotton exports may total closer to 3 million bales.

Table 8.-Cotton: Supply and distribution, by types, United States, 1955 to date

| Year beginning August 1 | Supply |  |  |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carryover August 1 | Ginnings |  | Net imports |  | Total | Mill consumption ${ }^{3}$ | Net exports | Total |
|  |  | Current crop less ginnings ${ }^{1}$ | New crop ${ }^{2}$ |  | crop |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,000 bales ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All kinds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1955 | 11,205.4 | 14,228.1 | 404.8 | 136.6 | 47.0 | 26.021 .9 | 9,209.6 | 2,214.7 | 11,424.3 |
| 1956 | 14,528.8 | 12,746.4 | 230.8 | 136.4 | 50.0 | 27,692.4 | 8,608.4 | 7,597.7 | 16,206.0 |
| 1957 | 11,322.6 | 10,649.6 | 212.6 | 141.2 | 58.0 | 22,384.0 | 7,999.2 | 5,716.8 | 13,716.0 |
| 1958 | 8,737.0 | 11,222.8 | 150.5 | 136.5 | 51.0 | 20,297.8 | 8,702.8 | 2,789.5 | 11,492.3 |
| 1959 | 8,884.9 | 14,364.6 | 139.8 | 130.7 | 50.0 | 23,570.0 | 9,016.7 | 7,182.4 | 16,199.1 |
| 1960 | 7,558.7 | 14,125.2 | 227.7 | ${ }_{5}^{5} 127.2$ | 63.0 | 22,101.8 | 8,279.3 | 6,632.4 | 14,911.7 |
| 1961 | 7,227.8 | 14,096.8 | 287.4 | ${ }^{5} 152.4$ | 64.0 | 21,828.5 | 8,953.8 | 4,912.9 | 13,866.7 |
| 1962 | 7,831.4 | 14,576.8 | 244.7 | 136.6 | 68.0 | 22,857.5 | 8,418.9 | 3,350.9 | 11,769.8 |
| 1963 | 11,215.6 | 15,045.3 | 152.1 | ${ }^{6} 134.8$ | 102.0 | 26,649.8 | 8,608.7 | 5,662.4 | 14,271.1 |
| 1964 | 12,378.3 | 14,996.9 | 180.1 | 118.2 | 70.0 | 27,743.5 | 9,170.9 | 4,059.6 | 13,230.5 |
| 1965 | 14,290.6 | 14,752.8 | 9.9 | 118.4 | 87.6 | 29,259.3 | 9,496.8 | 2,942.1 | 12,438.9 |
| 1966 | 16,862.5 | 9,552.5 | 265.5 | 104.6 | 50.0 | 26,826.1 | 9,484.9 | 4,668.8 | 14,153.7 |
| 1967 | 12,533.3 | 7,182.1 | 6.1 | 149.1 | 30.0 | 19,900.6 | $8,981.5$ | 4,205.6 | 13,187.1 |
| 1968 | 6,448.3 | 10,910.5 | 79.8 | 67.6 | 40.0 | 17,546.2 | 8,242.2 | 2,731.4 | 10,973.6 |
| 1969 | 6,520.8 | 9,857.3 | 6.0 | 51.9 | 40.0 | 16,476.0 | 7,990.6 | 2,768.2 | 10,758.8 |
| 1970. | $5,760.5$ | $10,106.4$ | $127.3$ | $38.0$ | $40.0$ | $16,072.2$ | 8,058.3 | 3,713.0 | $11,771.3$ |
| $1971{ }^{9}$ | 4,252.4 | ${ }^{10} 10,915.4$ |  | 30.0 | 40.0 | $15,237.8$ |  |  |  |
|  | Other than extra-long staple |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1955 | 11,028.5 | 14,186.6 | 404.8 | 50.7 | 47.0 | 25,717.6 | 9,084.7 | $2,194.4$ |  |
| 1956 | 14,399.0 | 12,697.3 | 230.8 | 43.3 | 50.0 | 27,420.4 | 8,496.2 | 7,539.8 | $16,036.0$ |
| 1957 | 11,269.3 | 10,569.9 | 212.6 | 96.6 | 58.0 | 22,206.4 | 7,899.8 | 5,707.1 | 13,606.8 |
| 1958 | 8,615.3 | 11,140.9 | 150.5 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 20,008.7 | 8,593.7 | 2,766.0 | 11,359.6 |
| 1959 | 8,732.6 | 14,295.5 | 139.8 | 57.5 | 50.0 | 23,265.4 | 8,879.4 | 7,178.2 | 16,057.6 |
| 1960 | 7,404.3 | 14,059.2 | 277.7 | ${ }_{5}^{5} 41.5$ | 63.0 | 21,795.7 | 8,131.2 | 6,625.0 | 14,756.3 |
| 1961 | 7,089.5 | 14,035.8 | 287.4 | ${ }^{5} 68.2$ | 64.0 | 21,544.9 | 8,783.2 | 4,905.8 | 13,689.0 |
| 1962 | 7,741.0 | 14,467.0 | 244.7 | 64.5 | 68.0 | 22,575.2 | 8,258.3 | 3,348.2 | 11,606.5 |
| 1963 | 11,016.0 | 14,884.1 | 152.1 | ${ }^{6} 54.4$ | 102.0 | 26,208.6 | 8,468.0 | 5,660.8 | 14,128.8 |
| 1964 | 12,125.1 | 14,880.2 | 180.1 | 35.5 | 70.0 | 27,290.9 | 9,018.6 | 4,038.4 | 13,057.0 |
| 1965 | 14,031.3 | 14,667.2 | 9.9 | 30.8 | 87.6 | 28,826.8 | 9,355.9 | 2,936.4 | 12,292.3 |
| 1966 | 16,574.0 | 9,481.3 | 256.5 | 28.9 | 50.0 | 26,390.7 | 9,349.9 | 4,655.9 | 14,005.8 |
| 1967 | 12,279.5 | 7,113.8 | 6.1 | 57.6 | 30.0 | 19,487.0 | 8,854.0 | 4,161.3 | 13,015.3 |
| 1968 | 6,257.6 | 10,832.3 | 79.8 | 37.9 | 40.0 | 17,247.6 | 8,115.9 | 2,722.9 | 10,838.8 |
| 1969 | 6,365.5 | 9,780.5 | 6.0 | 30.1 | 40.0 | 16,222.1 | 7,879.0 | $2,753.3$ | $10,632.3$ |
| $1971{ }^{\circ}$ | $5,653.1$ | 10,002.9 | 127.3 | $10.0$ | $40.0$ | $15,879.7$ | 7,960.7 | 3,701.0 | $11,661.7$ |
|  | 4,189.9 | ${ }^{10} 10,811.9$ | 127.3 | 10.0 | 40.0 | $15,051.8$ | 7,960.7 | 3,701.0 | 11,661.7 |
|  | Long staple (other than upland) ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1955 | 176.9 | 41.5 | --- | 85.9 | $\cdots$ | 304.3 | 124.9 | 20.3 | 145.2 |
| 1956 | 129.8 | 49.1 | --- | 93.1 | --. | 272.0 | 112.2 | 57.9 | 170.1 |
| 1957 | 53.3 | 79.7 | --- | 44.6 | --- | 177.6 | 99.4 | 9.7 | 109.1 |
| 1958 | 121.7 | 81.9 | --- | 85.5 | --- | 289.1 | 109.1 | 23.5 | 132.6 |
| 1959 | 152.3 | 69.1 | --- | 83.2 | --- | 304.6 | 137.3 | 23.5 4.2 | 141.5 |
| 1960 | 154.4 | 66.0 | --- | 85.7 | --- | 306.1 | 148.1 | 7.4 | 155.4 |
| 1961 | 1388.3 | 61.0 | --- | 84.2 | --- | 283.6 | 170.6 | 7.1 | 177.7 |
| 1962 | ${ }^{8} 990.4$ | 109.8 | --. | 82.1 | --- | 282.3 | 160.6 | 2.7 | 163.3 |
| 1963 | ${ }_{8}^{8} 199.6$ | 161.2 | --- | ${ }^{6} 80.4$ | --- | 441.2 | 140.7 | 1.6 | 142.3 |
| 1964 | ${ }^{8} 253.2$ | 116.7 | --- | 82.7 | --- | 452.6 | 152.3 | 21.2 | 173.5 |
| 1965 | ${ }^{8} 259.3$ | 85.6 | --- | 87.6 | --- | 432.5 | 140.9 | 5.7 | 146.6 |
| 1966 | ${ }^{8} 288.5$ | 71.2 | --- | 175.7 | -- | 435.4 | 135.0 | 12.9 | 147.9 |
| 1967. | ${ }^{8} 253.8$ | 68.3 | --- | $1{ }^{1} 91.5$ | --. | 413.6 | 127.5 | 44.3 | 171.8 |
| 1968 | 190.7 | 78.2 | --- | 29.7 | --. | 298.6 | 126.3 | 8.5 | 134.8 |
| 1969. | 155.3 | 76.8 | --- | 21.9 | --- | 253.9 | 111.6 | 14.9 | 126.5 |
| $1970$ | 107.4 | $57.1$ | --- | 28.0 | --- | $192.5$ | 97.6 | 12.0 | 109.6 |
| $1971^{9} \ldots$ | 62.5 | ${ }^{10} 103.5$ | -- | 20.0 | --- | 186.0 |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Current crop less ginnings prior to August 1 beginning of season. ${ }^{2}$ Ginnings prior to August 1 end of season.
${ }^{3}$ Adjusted to cotton marketing year basis, August 1 -July 31.
"Running bates except "net imports" which are in bales of 500 pounds, gross weight. ${ }^{5}$ Does not include picker laps reported as raw cotton by the Bureau of the Census. ${ }^{6}$ imports for consumption beginning 1963. ${ }^{7}$ Includes American-Egyptian, Seas island, and foreign-grown cotton. In some years prior to 1962, small amounts of foreign-grown long-staple upland cotton are included. ${ }^{8}$ Foreign stockpile cotton included by the Bureau of the Census as of August 1 was 7,168 bales in 1962, 61,168
in 1963, 27,474 in 1964, 18,307 in 1965, 12,500 in 1966, and 884 in 1967. In bond cotton is not included 116,609 bates as of August 1 in 1963, 60,297 in 1964, 38,022 in 1965, and 33,284 in 1966. ${ }^{9}$ Preliminary and estimated. ${ }^{10}$ Crop Reporting Board report of August 9, 1971 ${ }^{1} 1$ Imports exceed quota of 85,600 bales, in part, because import data are not adjusted to August 1-July 31 marketing year. Also, may include 6,000 or more bales of cotton stapling less than 1-3/8 inches.

Bureau of the Census.

Table 9.-Cotton: Acreage, production, and yield, by States, 1965-69 average, 1970, and 1971 forecast with comparisons

| State | Harvested acres |  |  |  | Lint yield per harvested acre |  |  |  | Production |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average 1965-69 | 1970 | $1971{ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } \\ \text { from } \\ 1970 \end{gathered}$ | Average 1965-69 | 1970 | $1971{ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change } \\ & \text { from } \\ & 1970 \end{aligned}$ | Average 1965-69 | 1970 | $1971{ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change } \\ & \text { from } \\ & 1970 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { acres } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { acres } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { acres } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Pounds | Pounds | Pounds | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | Percent |
| North Carolina | 191 | 160 | 167 | +4.4 | 290 | 464 | 402 | -13.4 | 116 | 155 | 140 | -10.0 |
| South Carolina | 322 | 290 | 335 | +15.5 | 414 | 349 | 373 | +6.9 | 282 | 211 | 260 | +23.2 |
| Georgia . . . . . | 401 | 380 | 385 | +1.3 | 389 | 368 | 362 | -1.6 | 330 | 292 | 290 | -0.7 |
| Tennessee . . . | 372 | 390 | 425 | +9.0 | 464 | 483 | 474 | -1.9 | 377 | 393 | 420 | +6.9 |
| Alabama . . . . | 556 | 538 | 540 | +. 3 | 389 | 453 | 444 | -2.0 | 474 | 509 | 500 | -2.8 |
| Missouri . . . . | 219 | 250 | 310 | +24.0 | 462 | 431 | 465 | +7.9 | 226 | 225 | 300 | +33.3 |
| Mississippi ... | 1,120 | 1,190 | 1,350 | +13.4 | 618 | 645 | 640 | -0.8 | 1,452 | 1,604 | 1,800 | +12.2 |
| Arkansas . . . . . | 964 | 1,070 | 1,135 | +6.1 | 469 | 470 | 465 | -1.1 | 970 | 1,050 | 1,100 | +4.8 |
| Louisiana | 403 | 450 | 525 | +16.7 | $590^{\prime}$ | 555 | 576 | +3.8 | 492 | 522 | 630 | +20.7 |
| Oklahoma.. | 430 | 450 | 396 | -12.0 | 264 | 206 | 242 | +17.5 | 264 | 193 | 200 | +3.6 |
| Texas ...... - | 4,371 | 4,896 | 4,891 | -0.1 | 384 | 315 | 324 | +2.9 | 397 | 3,217 | 3,306 | +2.8 |
| New Mexico . | 145 | 141 | 145 | +2.8 | 627 | 486 | 575 | +18.3 | 180 | 143 | 174 | +21.7 |
| Arizona . . . . | 289 | 274 | 281 | +2.6 | 1,035 | 859 | 978 | +13.9 | 623 | 491 | 572 | +16.5 |
| California . | 665 | 662 | 702 | +6.0 | 1,029 | 841 | 835 | -0.7 | 1,366 | 1,163 | 1,221 | +5.0 |
| Other States ${ }^{3}$ | 28 | 23 | 23 | -- | 388 | 345 | 401 | +16.2 | 24 | 16 | 19 | +18.8 |
| U.S. . . . . . . | 10,476 | 11,163 | 11,610 | +4.0 | 481 | 437 | 452 | +3.4 | 10,573 | 10,184 | 10,932 | +7.3 |
| American $\text { Pima }{ }^{4} \text {. . }$ | 72.3 | 74.5 | 107.9 | +44.8 | 514 | 373 | 472 | +26.5 | 77.1 | 57.9 | 106.0 | +83.1 |

[^10]${ }^{3}$ includes Virginia, Florida, lllinois, Kentucky, Kansas, and Nevada. ${ }^{4}$ Included in State and United States totals.

Table 10.-Cotton: Acreage, planted and harvested, production, and yield per acre on harvested acreage, by regions, 1960 to date

| Crop year begin- | West ${ }^{1}$ |  | Southwest ${ }^{2}$ |  | Delta ${ }^{3}$ |  | Southeast ${ }^{4}$ |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { acres } \end{aligned}$ | Percent of total | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { acres } \end{aligned}$ | Percent of total | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { acres } \end{aligned}$ | Percent of total | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { acres } \end{aligned}$ | Percent of total | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { acres } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Planted acreage ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1960 | 1,619 | 10.1 | 7,455 | 46.3 | 4,433 | 27.6 | 2,573 | 16.0 | 16,080 |
| 1961 | 1,446 | 8.7 | 7,785 | 46.9 | 4,639 | 28.0 | 2,718 | 16.4 | 16,588 |
| 1962 | 1,454 | 8.9 | 7,595 | 46.6 | 4,573 | 28.1 | 2,671 | 16.4 | 16,293 |
| 1963 | 1,353 | 9.1 | 6,845 | 46.1 | 4,165 | 28.1 | 2,480 | 16.7 | 14,843 |
| 1964 | 1,338 | 9.0 | 6,839 | 46.1 | 4,182 | 28.2 | 2,477 | 16.7 | 14,836 |
| 1965 | 1,274 | 9.0 | 6,435 | 45.5 | 4,094 | 28.9 | 2,349 | 16.6 | 14,152 |
| 1966 | 1,031 | 10.0 | 4,712 | 45.5 | 2,989 | 28.9 | 1,617 | 15.6 | 10,349 |
| 1967 | . 977 | 10.3 | 4,385 | 46.4 | 2,720 | 28.8 | 1,366 | 14.5 | 9,448 |
| 1968 | 1,158 | 10.6 | 4,871 | 44.7 | 3,343 | 30.6 | 1,540 | 14.1 | 10,912 |
| 1969 | 1,183 | 9.9 | 5,675 | 47.8 | 3,495 | 29.4 | 1,529 | 12.9 | 11,882 |
| 1970. | 1,098 | 9.2 | 5,777 | 48.4 | 3,560 | 29.8 | 1,510 | 12.6 | 11,945 |
| $1971{ }^{6}$ | 1,146 | 9.3 | 5,838 | 47.1 | 3,873 |  | 1,542 | 12.4 | 12,399 |
|  | Harvested acreage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1960 | 1,577 | 10.3 | 6,955 | 45.4 | 4,284 | 28.0 | 2,493 | 16.3 | 15,309 |
| 1961 | 1,409 | 9.0 | 7,205 | 46.1 | 4,404 | 28.2 | 2,616 | 16.7 | 15,634 |
| 1962 | 1,418 | 9.1 | 7,112 | 45.7 | 4,434 | 28.5 | 2,605 | 16.7 | 15,569 |
| 1963 | 1,310 | 9.2 | 6,440 | 45.3 | 4,042 | 28.5 | 2,420 | 17.0 | 14,212 |
| 1964 | 1,306 | 9.3 | 6,250 | 44.5 | 4,080 | 29.0 | 2,421 | 17.2 | 14,057 |
| 1965 | 1,241 | 9.1 | 6,120 | 45.0 | 3,974 | 29.2 | 2,280 | 16.7 | 13,615 |
| 1966 | 1,006 | 10.5 | 4,348 | 45.5 | 2,774 | 29.1 | 1,424 | 14.9 | 9,552 |
| 1967 | 957 | 11.8 | 3,895 | 49.2 | 2,262 | 27.8 | 883 | 11.2 | 7,997 |
| 1968 | 1,138 | 11.2 | 4,505 | 44.3 | 3,049 | 30.0 | 1,468 | 14.5 | 10,160 |
| 1969 | 1,159 | 10.5 | 5,140 | 46.5 | 3,358 | 30.3 | 1,401 | 12.7 | 11,058 |
| 1970 | 1,079 | 9.7 | 5,346 | 47.9 | 3,355 | 30.0 | 1,384 | 12.4 | 11,164 |
| $1971{ }^{\circ}$ | 1,130 | 9.7 | 5,287 | 45.6 | 3,750 | 32.3 | 1,443 | 12.4 | 11,610 |
|  | Production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,009 \\ & \text { bales } \end{aligned}$ | Percent of total | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales }^{8} \end{aligned}$ | Percent of total | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales }^{8} \end{gathered}$ | Percent of total | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales }^{8} \end{aligned}$ | Percent of total | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales }^{8} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1960 | 3,076 | 21.6 | 4,797 | 33.7 | 4,435 | 31.2 | 1,929 | 13.5 | 14,237 |
| 1961. | 2,813 | 19.7 | 5,145 | 36.0 | 4,485 | 31.4 | 1,840 | 12.9 | 14,283 |
| 1962 | 3,118 | 21.0 | 5,026 | 33.9 | 4,710 | 31.8 | 1,973 | 13.3 | 14,827 |
| 1963 | 2,822 | 18.4 | 4,744 | 31.0 | 5,407 | 35.4 | 2,321 | 15.2 | 15,294 |
| 1964 | 2,813 | 18.6 | 4,403 | 29.0 | 5,468 | 36.1 | 2,461 | 16.3 | 15,144 |
| 1965 | 2,707 1,923 | 18.1 | 5,030 3,393 | 33.6 | 5,051 | 33.8 | 2,163 | 14.5 | 14,951 |
| 1967 | 1,923 | 22.2 | 3,393 2,958 | 35.5 39.7 | 3,078 2,179 | 32.2 | 1,162 | 12.2 | 9,555 |
| 1968 | 2,480 | 22.7 | 3,786 | 34.6 | 3,179 | 29.3 33.1 | 1,046 | 8.8 9.6 | 7,443 10,925 |
| 1969 | 2,104 | 21.1 | 3,138 | 31.4 | 3,691 | 36.9 | 1,046 | 10.6 | 10,990 |
| $1971{ }^{7}$ | $1,796$ | 17.7 | 3,407 | 33.5 | 3,788 | 37.3 | 1,175 | 11.5 | 10,166 |
|  | 1,970 | 18.0 | 3,506 | 32.1 | 4,256 | 38.9 | 1,201 | 11.0 | 10,932 |
|  | Yield per acre on harvested acreage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | West ${ }^{1}$ |  | Southwest ${ }^{2}$ |  | Delta ${ }^{3}$ |  | Southeast ${ }^{4}$ | United'States |  |


|  | Pounds ${ }^{9}$ | Pounds ${ }^{10}$ | Pounds ${ }^{9}$ | Pounds ${ }^{10}$ | Pounds ${ }^{9}$ | Pounds ${ }^{10}$ | Pounds ${ }^{9}$ | Pounds ${ }^{10}$ | Pounds ${ }^{9}$ | Pounds ${ }^{18}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 937 | 982 | 331 | 345 | 497 | 494 | 371 | 376 | 446 | 454 |
| 1961 | 959 | 992 | 343 | 339 | 489 | 537 | 338 | 384 | 438 | 464 |
| 1962 | 1,056 | 1,004 | 339 | 341 | 510 | 556 | 363 | 404 | 457 | 475 |
| 1963 | 1,034 | 1,026 | 354 | 354 | 642 | 579 | 461 | 421 | 517 | 491 |
| 1964 | 1,035 | 1,018 | 338 | 360 | 643 | 587 | 488 | 431 | 517 | 500 |
| 1965 | 1,047 | 972 | 394 | 365 | 610 | 578 | 453 | 430 | 527 | 498 |
| 1966 | 918 | 975 | 375 | 375 | 532 | 563 | 392 | 406 | 480 | 497 |
| 1967. | 828 | 942 | 364 | 366 | 462 | 540 | 356 | 381 | 447 | 481 |
| 1968 | 1,047 | 892 | 404 | 348 | 569 | 526 | 342 | 372 | 516 | 463 |
| 1969. | 871. | 876 | 293 | 337 | 528 | 529 | 362 | 374 | 434 | 457 |
| $1970{ }^{7}$ | 798 |  | 306 |  | 542 |  | 408 | 374 | 437 |  |
| $\underline{1971}$ | 837 |  | 318 |  | 545 |  | 400 |  | 452 |  |

${ }^{1}$ California, Arizona, New Mexico, and Nevada. ${ }^{2}$ Texas and Oklahoma. ${ }^{3}$ Missouri, Arkansas, Tennessee, Mississippi, Louisiana, Illinois, and Kentucky. ${ }^{4}$ Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, and Alabama. ${ }^{5}$ Not adjusted for final acreage compliance with allotments. ${ }^{6}$ Crop Reporting

Board report of July 8, 1971. ${ }^{7}$ Crop Reporting 8 oard report of August 9, 1971. ${ }^{8} 480$-pound net weight bales. ${ }^{9}$ Actual yield pet acre. ${ }^{10}$ Yield trend the 5 -year centered average.

Statistical Reporting Service.

Table 11.-Textile fabrics: Deliveries to U.S. military forces, raw fiber content, by major fiber, by months, January 1970 to date


Based on data from the Defense Supply Agency, Department of Defense.

Table 12.-Cotton and man-made fiber fabrics: Deliveries to U.S. military forces, in equivalent square yards of fabric, by months, April 1970 to date

| Fiber and fabric | 1970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1971 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Total ${ }^{1}$ | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June |
|  | Thousand square yards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COTTON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Airplane cloth | 9 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Artificial leather | 4 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Balloon cloth | -39 | 185 | 118 | 166 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,424 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bedspread .. | 9 | 37 | 30 | 11 | 5 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bunting.. | 0 | 10 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 1 |
| Chambray | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cheesecloth | 157 | 136 | 233 | 88 | 95 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,046 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 |
| Damask | 4 | 9 | 23 | 3 | 18 | 22 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| Denim | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Drill | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Duck | 581 | 945 | 435 | 55 | 164 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 25 | 48 |
| Flannel | 3 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 2 |
| Muslin | 0 | 23 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Osnaburg | 236 | 107 | 264 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 1,253 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oxford. | 168 | 611 | 462 | 68 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 45 | 2,512 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poplin . . | 130 | 150 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,267 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sateen (satin) | 1,716 | 1,133 | 843 | 126 | 111 | 7 | -I | 0 | 0 | 12,906 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Sheetins (sheets) | 1,281 | 1,012 | 1,701 | 1,212 | 1,377 | 1,202 | 1,089 | 825 | 568 | 12,905 | 325 | 152 | 0 | -21 | 0 | 25 |
| Terry and, toweling | 442 | 268 | 301 | 160 | 183 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,523 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 203 |
| Ticking | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Twill . | 37 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 110 | 434 | 10 | 17 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other broadwoven fabrics | 49 | 3 | 3 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 219 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Webbing | 35 | 9 | 10 | 14 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 422 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Knit | 57 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total cotton | 4,879 | 4,690 | 4,488 | 1,970 | 2,064 | 1,360 | 1,208 | 913 | 738 | 45,671 | 337 | 173 | 74 | -11 | 85 | 477 |
| MAN-MADE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cellulosic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Broadwoven fabrics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 179 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Webbing . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Non-cellulosic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ballistic | 559 | 195 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bunting | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 1 |
| Duck. | 74 | 0 | 156 | 204 | 38 | 0 | -66 | 0 | 0 | 607 | 23 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 10 |
| Oxford | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parachute cloth | 16 | 19 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Twill | 142 | 184 | 68 | 34 | 13 | 52 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 1,268 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 257 |
| Other | 43 | 14 | 11 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 8 | 254 | 8 | 0 | -3 | 2 | 75 | 21 |
| Webbing | 9 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 |
| Total noncellulosic | 857 | 418 | 389 | 266 | 51 | 250 | -66 | 41 | 11 | 5,419 | 31 | 6 | 45 | 13 | 94 | 292 |
| Glass | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 6 | -1 | 0 | 11 |
| Total man-made . | 868 | 421 | 390 | 266 | 56 | 250 | -66 | 41 | 11 | 5,649 | 31 | 6 | 51 | 12 | 94 | 304 |

[^11]Based on data from the Defense Supply Agency, Department of Defense.

Table 13．－Wool and fiber mixture fabrics：Deliveries to U．S．military forces，in equivalent square yards of fabric，April 1970 to date

| Fiber and fabric | 1970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1971 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apr． | May | June | July | Aug． | Sept． | Oct． | Nov． | Dec． | Total ${ }^{1}$ | Jan． | Feb． | Mar． | Apr． | May | June |
|  | Thousand square yards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WOOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blanketing | 245 | 118 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2，336 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 164 |
| Flannel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gabardine | 539 | 446 | 169 | 365 | 116 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2，158 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Melton | 137 | 96 | 122 | 127 | 35 | 96 | 105 | 74 | 25 | 1，379 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Serge | 554 | 243 | 614 | 522 | 344 | 174 | 223 | 82 | 0 | 4，864 | －6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total wool | 1，475 | 903 | 986 | 1，014 | 495 | 350 | 328 | 156 | 27 | ：0，755 | －6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 164 |
| MIXED FIBER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton and cellulosic | 26 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | －7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cotton and noncellulosic | 1，511 | 1，423 | 1，611 | 1，287 | 1，402 | 803 | 864 | 1，445 | 1，120 | 16，825 | 1，463 | 1，028 | 647 | 202 | 169 | 265 |
| Wool and noncellulosic | 1，764 | 673 | 868 | 692 | 272 | 412 | 252 | 442 | －97 | 8，555 | 57 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total mixed fiber | 3，301 | 2，097 | 2，486 | 1，983 | 1，678 | 1，218 | 1，116 | 1，887 | 1，023 | 25，425 | 1，520 | 1，087 | 647 | 202 | 169 | 265 |
| COTTON AND NON－CELLULOSIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Broadcloth | 505 | 137 | 361 | 335 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2，229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oxford | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 904 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Poplin | 494 | 560 | 736 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 173 | 3，015 | 374 | 288 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 265 |
| Sateen | 348 | 562 | 399 | 828 | 1，003 | 566 | 305 | 720 | 377 | 6，431 | 488 | 475 | 276 | 0 | 169 | 0 |
| Twill ． | 71 | 56 | 10 | 124 | 399 | 237 | 474 | 725 | 570 | 3，241 | 601 | 265 | 371 | 202 | 0 | 0 |
| Tropical | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 741 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other broadwoven fabrics | 0 | 107 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 253 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wèbbing ．．．．．． | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total cotton and non－cellulosic | 1，511 | 1，422 | 1，612 | 1，287 | 1，402 | 803 | 863 | 1，445 | 1，120 | 16，825 | 1，463 | 1，028 | 647 | 202 | 169 | 265 |

## ${ }^{1}$ January－December．

Based on data from the Defense Supply Agency，Department of Defense．

Table 14.-Commodity Credit Corporation stocks of cotton, United States, August 1, 1970July 30, 1971

|  | Date | Total | Upland |  |  | Extra-iong staple ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Owned ${ }^{2}$ | Under toan | Total | Owned ${ }^{3}$ | Under toan | Total |
|  |  | 1,000 bales |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August | 1 | 3,030 | 2,957 | -- | 2,957 | 73 | -- | 73 |
| August | 7 | 2,944 | 2,881 | -- | 2,881 | 63 | -- | 63 |
| August | 14 | 2,942 | 2,881 | -- | 2,881 | 61 | -- | 61 |
| August | 21 | 2,918 | 2,858 | -- | 2,858 | 60 | - | 60 |
| August | 28 | 2,918 | 2,858 | $\cdots$ | 2,858 | 60 | -- | 60 |
| September | 4 | 2,819 | 2,751 | 9 | 2,760 | 59 | -- | 59 |
| September | 11 | 2,826 | 2,751 | 16 | 2,767 | 59 | -- | 59 |
| September | 18 | 2,673 | 2.595 | 19 | 2,614 | 59 | -- | 59 |
| September | 25 | 2,672 | 2,595 | 18 | 2,613 | 59 | --- | 59 |
| October | 2 | 2.618 | 2,541 | 20 | 2,561 | 57 | $\cdots$ | 57 |
| October | 9 | 2,624 | 2,541 | 26 | 2,567 | 57 | --- | 57 |
| October | 16 | 2,524 | 2,418 | 49 | 2,467 | 57 | $\cdots$ | 57 |
| October | 23 | 2,563 | 2,418 | 89 | 2,507 | 56 | --- | 56 |
| October | 30 | 2,530 | 2,317 | 157 | 2,474 | 56 | (4) | 56 |
| November | 6 | 2,582 | 2,316 | 211 | 2,527 | 55 | $\binom{4}{4}$ | 55 |
| November | 13 | 2,567 | 2,240 | 272 | 2,512 | 55 | ( ${ }^{4}$ ) | 55 |
| November | 20 | 2,762 | 2,240 | 466 | 2,706 | 54 | 2 | 56 |
| November | 27 | 2,905 | 2,208 | 641 | 2,849 | 53 | 3 | 56 |
| December | 4 | 3,109 | 2,208 | 845 | 3,053 | 52 | 4 | 56 |
| December | 11 | 3,201 | 2,165 | 982 | 3,147 | 47 | 7 | 54 |
| December | 18 | 3,414 | 2,165 | 1,194 | 3,359 | 47 | 8 | 55 |
| December | 25 | 3,414 | 2,033 | 1,326 | 3,359 | 47 | 8 | 55 |
| January | 1 | 3,525 | 2,033 | 1,434 | 3,467 | 47 | 11 | 58 |
| January | 8 | 3,859 | 2,009 | 1,795 | 3,804 | 43 | 12 | 55 |
| January | 15 | 3,991 | 2,009 | 1,925 | 3,934 | 39 | 18 | 57 |
| January | 22 | 3,957 | 1,975 | 1,929 | 3,904 | 34 | 19 | 53 |
| January | 29 | 3,937 | 1,975 | 1,909 | 3,884 | 32 | 21 | 53 |
| February | 5 | 3,814 | 1,874 | 1,887 | 3,761 | 31 | 22 | 53 |
| February | 12 | 3,752 | 1,874 | 1,827 | 3,701 | 30 | 21 | 51 |
| February | 19 | 3,445 | 1,637 | 1,758 | 3,395 | 30 | 20 | 50 |
| February | 26 | 3,370 | 1,637 | 1,682 | 3,319 | 30 | 21 | 51 |
| March | 5 | 3,073 | 1,431 | 1,591 | 3,022 | 30 | 21 | 51 |
| March | 12 | 2,991 | 1,431 | 1,510 | 2,941 | 30 | 20 | 50 |
| March | 19 | 2,794 | 1,347 | 1,397 | 2,744 | 30 | 20 | 50 |
| March | 26 | 2,736 | 1,347 | 1,340 | 2,687 | 30 | 19 | 49 |
| April | 2 | 2,564 | 1,285 | 1,230 | 2,515 | 30 | 19 | 49 |
| April | 9 16 | 2,463 | 1,285 | 1,129 | 2,414 | 30 | 19 | 49 |
| April April | 16 | 2,298 | 1,183 | 1,067 | 2,250 | 30 | 18 | 48 |
| April | 23 | 2,244 | 1,183 | 1,013 | 2,196 | 30 | 18 | 48 |
| April | 30 | 2,037 | 1,064 | 926 | 1,990 | 30 | 17 | 47 |
| May May | 7 | 1,945 | 1,064 | 834 | 1,898 | 30 | 17 | 47 |
| May May | 14 | 1,757 | 940 | 771 | 1,711 | 30 | 16 | 46 |
| May May | 21 | 1,681 | 940 | 696 | 1,636 | 30 | 15 | 45 |
| May | 28 | 979 | 400 | 538 | 938 | 30 | 11 | 41 |
| June | 4 | 968 | 400 | 527 | 927 | 30 | 11 | 41 |
| June June | 11 | 912 | 386 | 485 | 871 | 30 | 11 | 41 |
| June | 18 | 869 | 386 | 442 | 828 | 30 | 11 | 41 |
| June July | 25 | 768 | 370 | 359 | 729 | 30 | 9 | 39 |
| July July | 2 | 727 | 370 | 318 | 688 | 30 | 9 | 39 |
| July July | 9 | 678 | 364 | 276 | 640 | 30 | 8 | 38 |
| July Juty | 16 | 627 | 364 | 225 | 589 | 30 | 8 | 38 |
| Juty | ${ }_{23}{ }^{5}$. | 492 | 265 | 189 | 454 | 30 | 8 | 38 |
| July | $30^{5}$ | 308 | 262 | 13 | 275 | 30 | 3 | 33 |

[^12]Table 15.-Commodity Credit Corporation stocks of cotton, United States, August 1, 1969 - July 31, 1970

|  | Date | Total | Upland |  |  | Extra-long staple ${ }^{\text {l }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Owned ${ }^{2}$ | Under joan | Total | Owned ${ }^{3}$ | Under Ioan | Total |
|  |  | 1,000 bales |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1969 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 112 |
| August | 1 | 2,911 | 2,799 2799 | --- | 2,799 2,799 | 112 | --- | 112 |
| August | 8 15 | 2,911 | 2,799 | --- | 2,799 2,799 | 112 | --- | 112 |
| August | 15 | 2,911 | 2,799 | -- | 2,799 | 112 | --- | 112 |
| August | 22 | 2,911 | 2,799 | 6 | 2,805 | 106 | --- | 106 |
| August | 29 | 2,931 | 2,793 | 39 | 2.832 | 99 | --- | 99 |
| September | 5 | 2,936 | 2,786 | 56 | 2,842 | 94 | -- | 94 |
| September | 12 | 3,035 | 2,786 | 65 | 2,943 | 92 | - | 92 |
| September | 19 | 2,938 | 2,775 | 72 | 2,847 | 91 | --- | 91 |
| September | 26 | 2,941 | 2,775 | 77 | 2,852 | 89 | - | 89 |
| October | 3 | 2,881 | 2,700 | 94 | 2,794 | 87 | -- | 87 |
| October | 10 | 2,910 | 2,700 | 123 | 2,823 | 87 | --- | 87 |
| October | 17 | 2,939 | 2,653 | 200 | 2,853 | 86 | $4^{4}{ }^{--}$ | 86 |
| October | 24 | 3,056 | 2,653 | 318 | 2,971 | 85 | $\binom{4}{4}$ | 85 |
| October | 31 | 3,162 | 2,558 | 519 | 3,077 | 85 | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ | 85 |
| November | 7 | 3,374 | 2,558 | 730 | 3,288 | 85 | 1 | 86 |
| November | 14 | 3,422 | 2,333 | 1,004 | 3,337 | 83 | 2 | 85 |
| November | 21 | 3,736 | 2,333 | 1,317 | 3,650 | 83 | 3 | 86 |
| November | 28 | 3,859 | 2,237 | 1,534 | 3,771 | 83 | 5 | 88 |
| December | 5 | 4,078 | 2,237 | 1,749 | 3,986 | 83 | 9 | 92 |
| December | 12 | 4,215 | 2,142 | 1,982 | 4,124 | 82 | 9 | 91 |
| December | 19 | 4,421 | 2,142 | 2,188 | 4,330 | 82 | 9 | 91 |
| December | 26 | 4,509 | 2,112 | 2,306 | 4,418 | 81 | 10 | 91 |
| 1970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 2 | 4,590 | 2,112 | 2,387 | 4,499 | 81 | 10 | 91 |
| January | 9 | 4,998 | 2,105 | 2,799 | 4,904 | 78 | 16 | 94 |
| January | 16 | 5,179 | 2,105 | 2,983 | 5,088 | 72 | 19 | 91 |
| January | 23 | 5,229 | 2,101 | 3,035 | 5,136 | 71 | 22 | 93 |
| January | 30 | 5,240 | 2,101 | 3,045 | 5,146 | 71 | 23 | 94 |
| February | 6 | 5,236 | 2,086 | 3,055 | 5,141 | 71 | 24 | 95 |
| February | 13 | 5,222 | 2,086 | 3,040 | 5,126 | 71 | 25 | 96 |
| February | 20 | 5,158 | 2,063 | 2,997 | 5,060 | 71 | 27 | 98 |
| February | 27 | 5,095 | 2,063 | 2,934 | 4,997 | 71 | 27 | 98 |
| March | 6 | 5,049 | 2,045 | 2,905 | 4,950 | 71 | 28 | 99 |
| March | 13 | 4,996 | 2,045 | 2,853 | 4,898 | 71 | 27 | 98 |
| March | 20 | 4,885 | 2,019 | 2,769 | 4,788 | 71 | 26 | 97 |
| March | 27 | 4,815 | 2,019 | 2,700 | 4,719 | 71 | 25 | 96 |
| April | 3 | 4,742 | 1,999 | 2,647 | 4,646 | 71 | 25 | 96 |
| April | 10 | 4,673 | 1,999 | 2,579 | 4,578 | 71 | 24 | 95 |
| April | 17 | 4,606 | 1,994 | 2,517 | 4,511 | 72 | 23 | 95 |
| April | 24 | 4,522 | 1,994 | 2,435 | 4,429 | 72 | 21 | 93 |
| May | 1 | 4,434 | 1,980 | 2,362 | 4,342 | 72 | 20 | 92 |
| May | 8 | 4,313 | 1,980 | 2,243 | 4,223 | 72 | 18 | 90 |
| May | 15 | 4,215 | 1,968 | 2,158 | 4,126 | 72 | 17 | 89 |
| May | 22 | 4,137 | 1,968 | 2,081 | 4,049 | 72 | 16 | 88 |
| May | 29 | 4,045 | 1,954 | 2,003 | 3,957 | 72 | 16 | 88 |
| June | 5 | 3,962 | 1,954 | 1,921 | 3,875 | 72 | 15 | 87 |
| June | 12 | 3,817 | 1,928 | 1,803 | 3,731 | 72 | 14 | 86 |
| June | 19 | 3,711 | 1,928 | 1,700 | 3,628 | 71 | 12 | 83 |
| June | 26 | 3,624 | 1,906 | 1,638 | 3,544 | 71 | 9 | 80 |
| July July | 3 10 | 3,562 | 1,906 | 1,576 | 3,482 | 71 | 9 | 80 |
| July | 10 17 | 3,472 3,404 | 1,895 1,895 | 1,498 1,430 | 3,393 3,325 | 71 | 8 | 79 79 |
| July | 24 | 3,316 | 1,895 | 1,343 | 3,238 | 71 | 7 | 78 |
| July | 31 | 3,030 | 1,890 | 1,067 | 2,957 | 71 | 2 | 73 |

[^13]from the national stockpile. ${ }^{4}$ Less than 500 bales.
Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

Table 16.-Estimated percent of production sold each month of the crop marketing year, 1968, 1969 and 1970 crops

| State | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Total ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct, |
| 1968 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N,C. | 0 | 4 | 14 | 17 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 66 |
| S.c. | 1 | 11 | 22 | 20 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 81 |
| Ga. | 1 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 51 |
| Tenn. | 0 | 5 | 35 | 41 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 |
| Ala. | 0 | 6 | 22 | 30 | 13 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 92 |
| Mo. | 0 | 5 | 47 | 32 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 |
| Miss. | 0 | 1 | 11 | 21 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 71 |
| Ark. | 0 | 1 | 23 | 32 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 76 |
| La. | 0 | 3 | 8 | 14 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 50 |
| Okla. | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 28 | 24 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 86 |
| Texas ${ }^{2}$ | 4 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 17 | 18 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 79 |
| N. Mex. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 46 |
| Ariz. | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 22 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 56 |
| Calif. | 0 | 1 | 7 | 14 | 15 | 12 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 78 |
| U.S. ${ }^{2}$ | 1.4 | 2.8 | 11.3 | 17.7 | 14.7 | 11.8 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 3.7 | 74.5 |
| 1969 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N.C. | 0 | 2 | 27 | 16 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 92 |
| S.C. | 0 | 3 | 16 | 24 | 14 | 11 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 92 |
| Ga. | 1 | 3 | 12 | 19 | 17 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 93 |
| Tenn. | 0 | 5 | 35 | 37 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 96 |
| Ala. | 0 | 5 | 25 | 33 | 17 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 97 |
| Mo. | 0 | 7 | 42 | 29 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 95 |
| Miss. | 0 | 0 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 80 |
| Ark. | 0 | 1 | 20 | 23 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 83 |
| La. | 0 | 3 | 13 | 17 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 70 |
| Okla. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 35 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 95 |
| Texas ${ }^{2}$. | 9 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 27 | 23 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 96 |
| N. Mex. | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 17 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 84 |
| Ariz. | 0 | 0 | 6 | 15 | 20 | 15 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 77 |
| Calif. | 0 | 0 | 9 | 18 | 17 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 11 | 93 |
| U.S. ${ }^{2}$ | 2.6 | 3.2 | 12.9 | 16.7 | 17.5 | 12.2 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 5.8 | 89.2 |
| $1970^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N.C. | 0 | 1 | 35 | 18 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 74 |
| S.C. | 0 | 9 | 26 | 23 | J 6 | 15 | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 96 |
| Ga. | 0 | 6 | 15 | 24 | 21 | 9 | 8 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 89 |
| Tenn. | 0 | 3 | 27 | 44 | 21 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 99 |
| Ala. | 0 | 6 | 27 | 31 | 22 | 9 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 99 |
| Mo. | 0 | 3 | 34 | 32 | 24 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 99 |
| Miss. | 0 | 3 | 11 | 23 | 20 | 12 | 4 | 8 |  |  |  |  | 81 |
| Ark. | 0 | 1 | 21 | 33 | 26 | 5 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 93 |
| La. | 0 | 2 | 16 | 29 | 24 | 10 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 90 |
| Okla. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 35 | 19 | 4 | 8 |  |  |  |  | 82 |
| Texas ${ }^{2}$. | 4 | 7 | 8 | 18 | 25 | 20 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 89 |
| N. Mex. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 19 | 11 | 10 | 14 |  |  |  |  | 64 |
| Ariz. | 0 | 1 | 10 | 30 | 21 | 19 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 88 |
| Calif. | 0 | 1 | 10 | 16 | 19 | 11 | 7 | 11 |  |  |  |  | 75 |
| U.S. ${ }^{2}$ | 1.4 | 4.3 | 14.2 | 24.3 | 23.1 | 13.2 | 3.5 | 4.8 |  |  |  |  | 88.8 |

Percent of five tenths or less shown as "o"
${ }^{1}$ Excludes unredeemed loans on August 1, 1969 and 1970. ${ }^{2}$ A small percent for July is included in August. ${ }^{3}$ Total sales through

March 31, 1971. Excludes unredeemed loans and cotton still in producers' hands on April 1, 1971.

Crop Reporting Board, Statistical Reporting Service.

Table 17.-Cotton: American Middling White, spot prices in designated U.S. markets, loan rates, and prices received by farmers for upland cotton, August 1967 to date

${ }^{1}$ Excludes domestic allotment payments, price support and diversion payments. ${ }^{2}$ Weighted average. ${ }^{3}$ Spot market loan rates exclude 14-point premium in 1965, 20 -point premium in 1966, 30 -point premium in 1967,35 -point premium in 1968 , and 45 -point premium in 1969 and 1970 for 3.5-4.9 micronaires. Spot prices are for cotton with micronaire
readings of 3.5 through 4.9. ${ }^{4}$ Average of the crop. ${ }^{5}$ Average of six markets, October 1968 to date. N.A. - Not avallable.

Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, Consumer and Marketing Service, and Statistical Reporting Service.

Table 18.-Cotton and cottonseed: Season average price received by farmers and value of production, 1969 and 1970 crops ${ }^{1}$

| State | Cotton |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Price per pound |  | Value of production |  | Price per pound plus price support payments ${ }^{2}$ |  | Value of production plus price support payments |  |
|  | $1963^{3}$ | $1970^{4}$ | 1969 | 1970 | $1969{ }^{3}$ | $1970^{4}$ | 1969 | 1970 |
|  | cents | cents | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1,000 } \\ & \text { dollars } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { dollars } \end{aligned}$ | cents | cents | $1,000$ dollars | 1,600 dollars |
| N.C. | 21.78 | 22.0 | 10,836 | 17,057 | 44.37 | 36.9 | 22,074 | 28,584 |
| S.C. | 21.30 | 22.3 | 21,881 | 23,569 | 48.65 | 51.2 | 49,977 | 54,154 |
| Ga. | 19.71 | 21.3 | 27,837 | 31,127 | 42.88 | 45.2 | 60,566 | 66,046 |
| Tenn. | 21.34 | 22.0 | 45,047 | 43,242 | 35.96 | 38.8 | 75,902 | 76,299 |
| Ala. | 21.09 | 21.9 | 48,617 | 55,710 | 39.59 | 39.6 | 91,266 | 100,754 |
| Mo. | 21.96 | 22.5 | 35,740 | 25,301 | 34.09 | .42 .0 | 55,484 | 47,229 |
| Miss. | 22.18 | 21.3 | 146,555 | 170,862 | 38.34 | 36.2 | 253,356 | 290,266 |
| Ark. | 21.86 | 22.1 | 124,629 | 116,007 | 34.37 | 37.0 | 195,979 | 194,284 |
| La. | 22.52 | 21.8 | 54,377 | 56,867 | 36.31 | 36.8 | 87,682 | 96,058 |
| OKlà. | 18.92 | 19.6 | 26,380 | 18,898 | 33.98 | 44.0 | 47,380 | 42,404 |
| Texas | 18.68 | 20.4 | 267,207 | 328,467 | 37.40 | 39.4 | 534,927 | 633,834 |
| N. Mex. | 25.34 | 24.3 | 19,886 | 17,317 | 42.03 | 44.0 | 32,982 | 31,403 |
| Ariz. | 22.44 | 23.6 | 70,886 | 57,755 | 34.92 | 41.5 | 110,325 | 101,656 |
| Calif. | 23.25 | 23.6 | 152,861 | 137,215 | 35.42 | 39.0 | 232,925 | 226,727 |
| Other States ${ }^{5}$ | 21.50 | 22.8 | 2,242 | 1,833 | 40.96 | 49.2 | 4,271 | 3,956 |
| U.S. | 21.09 | 21.6 | 1,054,981 | 1,101,227 | 37.08 | 39.2 | 1,855,096 | 1,993,654 |
| Amer. Pima ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas | 40.40 | 42.5 | 5,362 | 3,866 | 49.43 | 51.7 | 6,560 | 4,699 |
| N. Mex. | 41.10 | 43.3 | 2,406 | 2,213 | 49.74 | 52.8 | 2,912 | 2,697 |
| Ariz. | 40.30 | 43.7 | 7,173 | 5,886 | 49.27 | 52.9 | 8,769 | 7,121 |
| Calif. | 38.50 | 39.3 | 86 | 53 | 47.32 | 47.8 | 106 | 64 |
| Total | 40.45 | 43.2 | 15,027 | 12,018 | 49.39 | 52.4 | 18,347 | 14,581 |
|  | Cottonseed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1969 |  |  |  | 1970 |  |  |  |
|  | Price per ton |  | Value of production |  | Price per ton |  | Value of production |  |
|  | Dollars |  | 1,000 dollars |  | Dollars |  | 1,000 dollars |  |
| N.C. | 40.70 |  | 1,669 |  | 51.00 |  | 3,315 |  |
| S.C. | 40.40 |  | 3,434 |  | 50.80 |  | 4,420 |  |
| Ga. | 39.20 |  | 4,194 |  | 47.60 |  | 5,760 |  |
| Tenn. | 40.10 |  | 6,657 |  | 53.80 |  | 8,608 |  |
| Ala. | 40.20 |  | 7,276 |  | 50.80 |  | 10,516 |  |
| Mo. . | 38.30 |  | 5,056 |  | 47.60 |  | 4,522 |  |
| Miss. | 43.20 |  | 22,896 |  | 56.40 |  | 35,363 |  |
| Ark. | 42.10 |  | 19,450 |  | 57.30 |  | 24,524 |  |
| La. . . | 41.40 |  | 8,073 |  | 54.10 |  | 11,091 |  |
| Okla. | 45.30 |  | 5,119 |  | 58.20 |  | 4,598 |  |
| Texas | 41.80 |  | 49,742 |  | 55.00 |  | 69,245 |  |
| N. Mex. | 45.50 |  | 2,912 |  | 61.00 |  | 3,294 |  |
| Ariz. . | 39.80 |  | 10,069 |  | 60.00 |  | 12,000 |  |
| Calif. . | 37.70 |  | 20,358 |  | 66.90 |  | 33,383 |  |
| Other States ${ }^{5}$ | 39.80 |  | 349 |  | 50.70 |  | 345 |  |
| U.S. | 41.10 |  | 167,254 |  | 56.50 |  | 230,984 |  |

${ }^{1} 1970$ crop preliminary. ${ }^{2}$ Does not include payments for acreage diversion, conservation practices, etc. ${ }^{3}$ Includes allowance for unredeemed loans. ${ }^{4}$ Average price to April 1, 1971 ; includes allowance for outstanding Ioans. ${ }^{5}$ Data not shown separately for Virginia, Florida, lllinors, Kentucky and Nevada. ${ }^{6}$ American-

Egyptian prior to July 1, 1970. Included in U.S. price for all kinds.

Crop Reporting Board, Statistical Reporting Service.

Table 19.-Upland cotton: Percentage harvested by hand and mechanically, by States and United States, 1965-70

| Location | 1965 crop |  |  | 1966 crop |  |  | 1967 crop |  |  | 1968 crop |  |  | 1969 crop |  |  | 1970 crop |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | By hand |  | Me-chanically ${ }^{1}$ | By hand |  | Me-chanically ${ }^{1}$ | By hand |  | Me-chanically ${ }^{1}$ | By hand |  | Me-chanically ${ }^{1}$ | By hand |  | Me-chanically ${ }^{1}$ | By hand |  | Me-chanically ${ }^{1}$ |
|  | Picked | Snapped |  | Picked | Snapped |  | Picked | Snapped |  | Picked | Snapped |  | Picked | Snapped |  | Picked | Snapped |  |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| United States . | 11 | 4 | 85 | 8 | 3 | 89 | 5 | 1 | 94 | 3 | 1 | 96 | 3 | 1 | 96 | 2 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 98 |
| Alabama | 22 | 5 | 73 | 19 | 6 | 75 | 16 | 3 | 81 | 13 | 3 | 84 | 8 | 4 | 88 | 3 | 2 | 95 |
| Arizona | 2 | ( ${ }^{2}$ ) | 98 | 1 | 1 | 98 | (2) | - | 100 | ( ${ }^{2}$ ) | - | 100 | ( ${ }^{2}$ ) | --- | 100 | --- | -- | 100 |
| Arkansas | 14 | 3 | 83 | 11 | 2 | 87 | 6 | 1 | 93 | 4 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 96 | 3 | 1 | 96 | 1 | 1 | 98 |
| California | 2 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 98 | 2 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 98 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 100 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | ( | 100 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | - | 100 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | -- | 100 |
| Florida | 19 | 5 | 76 | 10 | 1 | 89 | 3 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 97 | 1 | 1 | 98 | 2 | 2 | 96 | 3 | -- | 97 |
| Georgia . | 21 | 1 | 78 | 19 | 1 | 80 | 11 | $\binom{2}{2}$ | 89 | 12 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 88 | 10 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 90 | 3 | $\cdots$ | 97 |
| Louisana . | 17 | ${ }^{1}$ | 82 | 11 | ${ }^{1}$ | 88 | 7 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 93 | 4 | $\binom{2}{2}$ | 96 | 3 | $\binom{2}{2}$ | 97 | 1 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 99 |
| Mississippi . | 24 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 76 | 18 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 82 | 13 | (2) | 87 | 7 | (2) | 93 | 6 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 94 | 3 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 97 |
| Missouri . . . | 8 | 2 | 90 | 5 | 1 | 94 | 4 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 96 | 4 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 96 | 1 | $\binom{2}{2}$ | 99 | ( ${ }^{2}$ ) | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 100 |
| New Mexico . | 5 | 3 | 92 | 3 | 2 | 95 | 5 | 4 | 91 | 2 | 1 | 97 | 2 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 98 | 1 | ( ${ }^{2}$ ) | 99 |
| North Carolina. | 35 | ${ }^{2}$ ) | 65 | 29 | - | 71 | 20 | - | 80 | 10 | $\cdots$ | 90 | 2 | $\binom{2}{2}$ | 94 | 7 | --- | 93 |
| Oklahoma . . . | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 16 | 84 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 5 | 95 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 2 | 98 | --. | 1 | 99 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 100 | -- | 1 | 99 |
| South Carolina. | 27 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 73 | 27 | --- | 73 | 27 | --- | 73 | 12 | --- | 88 | 9 | --- | 91 | 13 | -- | 87 |
| Tennessee | 22 | 8 | 70 | 19 | 9 | 72 | 10 | 7 | 83 | 9 | 4 | 87 | 6 | 2 | 92 | 3 | 2 | 95 |
| Texas . . . . . . | 2 | 8 | 90 | 1 | 4 | 95 | 1 | 2 | 97 | 1 | 1 | 98 | 1 | 1 | 98 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 1 | 99 |

[^14]Economic Research Service and Consumer and Marketing Service.

Table 20.-Raw cotton equivalent of U.S. imports for consumption of cotton manufactures, 1965 to date

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { month } \end{aligned}$ | Yarn, thread, and cloth |  |  |  |  |  | Primarily manufactured products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yarn | Sewing thread crochet, knitting yarn | Cloth |  | Total |  | Pile fabrics and mfrs. ${ }^{2}$ | Table damask and mfrs. | Bedclothes and towels ${ }^{3}$ | Gloves hosiery and hdkf | Other wearıng apparel ${ }^{4}$ | Lace fabric and articles $^{5}$ | Household and clothing artıcles ${ }^{6}$ | Misc. products? | Floor covering | Total |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Prımarily cotton | Other ${ }^{1}$ | Werght | Bales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Weight | Bales | Weight | Bales |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { pounds } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { pounds } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales }^{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1,000 } \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales }^{8} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1965 | 24,414 | 324 | 173,359 | 5,038 | 203,135 | 423.2 | 5,349 | 3,315 | 16,885 | 2,944 | 116,947 | 1,198 | 6,682 | 2,295 | 1,960 | 157,575 | 328.3 | 360,710 | 751.5 |
| 1966 | 101,919 | 345 | 218,210 | 10,012 | 330,486 | 688.5 | 5,929 | 3,174 | 27,302 | 3,090 | 124,910 | 1,306 | 9,498 | 2,913 | 1,689 | 179,811 | 374.6 | 510,297 | 1,063.1 |
| 1967 | 43,620 | 277 | 201,531 | 12,385 | 257,813 | 5371 | 6,162 | 2,410 | 28,577 | 3,126 | 129,966 | 1,323 | 9,178 | 3,386 | 1,444 | 185,572 | 386.6 | 443,385 | 923.7 |
| 1968 | 57,217 | 456 | 194,143 | 16,775 | 268,591 | 559.6 | 7,080 | 1,857 | 34,539 | 3,555 | 136,492 | 1,610 | 12,002 | 4,633 | 3,487 | 205,255 | 427.6 | 473,846 | 987.2 |
| 1969 | 31,049 | 337 | 220,245 | 23,531 | 275,162 | 573.3 | 8,269 | 2,511 | 34,339 | 3,320 | 139,396 | 1,852 | 13,213 | 5,756 | 4,079 | 212,735 | 443.2 | 487,897 | 1,016 5 |
| 1970 | 24,338 | 377 | 211,792 | 24,260 | 260,767 | 543.3 | 8,671 | 1,943 | 32,348 | 2,860 | 139,847 | 1,472 | 12,124 | 8,176 | 4,078 | 211,519 | 440.7 | 472,286 | 983.9 |
| 1969 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. | 2,397 | 16 | 22,876 | 2,191 | 27,480 | 57.2 | 800 | 185 | 2,513 | 281 | 14,641 | 162 | 1,178 | 462 | 353 | 20,575 | 42.9 | 48,055 | 100.1 |
| Sept. | 1,592 | 24 | 18,369 | 1,706 | 21,691 | 45.2 | 850 | 235 | 2,287 | 273 | 11,531 | 111 | 1,024 | 543 | 214 | 17,068 | 356 | 38,759 | 80.7 |
| Oct. | 1,821 | 30 | 16,935 | 1,952 | 20,738 | 43.2 | 1,003 | 315 | 2,258 | 251 | 10,154 | 180 | 1,101 | 639 | 413 | 16,314 | 34.0 | 37,052 | 77.2 |
| Nov. | 2,128 | 17 | 19,621 | 1,706 | 23,472 | 48.9 | 559 | 261 | 2,790 | 283 | 8,964 | 139 | 1,072 | 494 | 440 | 15,002 | 31.3 | 38,474 | 80.2 |
| Dec. | 2,589 | 36 | 16,872 | 1,619 | 21,116 | 44.0 | 691 | 230 | 2,625 | 327 | 8,446 | 123 | 1,049 | 552 | 219 | 14,262 | 29.7 | 35,378 | 73.7 |
| 1970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 2,341 | 27 | 21,110 | 1,796 | 25,274 | 52.7 | 535 | 284 | 3,378 | 265 | 12,828 | 133 | 1,153 | 598 | 366 | 19,540 | 40.7 | 44,814 | 93.4 |
| Feb. | 2,461 | 40 | 19,901 | 1,527 | 23,929 | 49.9 | 503 | 74 | 2,312 | 131 | 10,899 | 144 | 1,008 | 466 | 327 | 15,864 | 33.0 | 39,793 | 82.9 |
| Mar. | 2,674 | 46 | 19,917 | 2,338 | 24,975 | 52.0 | 606 | 238 | 3,287 | 196 | 12,244 | 146 | 1,093 | 647 | 362 | 18,819 | 39.2 | 43,794 | 91.2 |
| Apr. | 2,373 | 24 | 15,040 | 2,098 | 19,535 | 40.7 | 603 | 121 | 2,927 | 129 | 9,181 | 136 | 835 | 653 | 320 | 14,905 | 31.1 | 34,440 | 71.7 |
| May | 1,978 | 46 | 19,803 | 3,119 | 24,946 | 52.0 | 823 | 109 | 3,374 | 419 | 9,707 | 123 | 1,179 | 837 | 303 | 16,874 | 35.2 | 41,820 | 87.1 |
| June | 1,745 | 37 | 15,552 | 2,894 | 20,228 | 42.1 | 1,014 | 154 | 2,493 | 324 | 12,056 | 110 | 1,051 | 728 | 394 | 18,324 | 38.2 | 38,552 | 80.3 |
| July | 2,315 | 23 | 19,856 | 3,012 | 25,206 | 52.5 | 1,167 | 193 | 2,443 | 229 | 13,696 | 135 | 1,228 | 901 | 328 | 20,320 | 42.3 | 45,526 | 948 |
| Aug. | 1,506 | 28 | 14,026 | 2,283 | 17,843 | 37.2 | 971 | 144 | 2,416 | 278 | 11,177 | 115 | 718 | 745 | 338 | 16,902 | 352 | 34,745 | 72.4 |
| Sept. | 1,875 | 12 | 14,505 | 1,821 | 18,213 | 37.9 | 801 | 197 | 1,968 | 182 | 11,325 | 97 | 938 | 686 | 225 | 16,419 | 34.2 | 34,632 | 721 |
| Oct. | 957 | 39 | 14,867 | 1,139 | 17,002 | 35.4 | 746 | 141 | 2,268 | 213 | 10,065 | 132 | 889 | 620 | 359 | 15,433 | 32.2 | 32,435 | 67.6 |
| Nov. | 2,350 | 14 | 21,666 | 1,326 | 25,356 | 52.8 | 534 | 209 | 2,774 | 273 | 17,551 | 101 | 1,081 | 640 | 329 | 23,492 | 48.9 | 48,848 | 101.8 |
| Dec. | 1,770 | 40 | 15,558 | 909 | 18,277 | 38.1 | 368 | 79 | 2,709 | 222 | 9,125 | 99 | 953 | 656 | 427 | 14,638 | 30.5 | 32,915 | 68.6 |
| $1971{ }^{9}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 1,974 | 27 | 15,714 | 1,357 | 19,072 | 39.7 | 544 | 112 | 2,946 | 262 | 13,192 | 125 | 854 | 730 | 423 | 19,188 | 40.0 | 38,260 | 79.7 |
| Feb. | 1,331 | 26 | 16,499 | 1,205 | 19,061 | 39.7 | 562 | 114 | 2,993 | 222 | 12,897 | 90 | 1,060 | 615 | 307 | 18,860 | 39.3 | 37,921 | 79.0 |
| Mar. | 2,091 | 17 | 14,685 | 1,256 | 18,049 | 37.6 | 560 | 78 | 2,644 | 170 | 13,456 | 120 | 1,176 | 761 | 362 | 19,327 | 40.3 | 37,376 | 77.9 |
| Apr. | 2,690 | 27 | 18,760 | 1,726 | 23,203 | 48.3 | 882 | 115 | 3,299 | 124 | 10,903 | 162 | 1,207 | 830 | 448 | 17,970 | 37.4 | 41,173 | 85.8 |
| May | 2,020 | 24 | 16,438 | 1,649 | 20,131 | 41.9 | 1,048 | 116 | 3,252 | 164 | 10,340 | 89 | 1,262 | 861 | 385 | 17,517 | 36.5 | 37,648 | 78.4 |
| June | 2,851 | 40 | 20.131 | 1,589 | 24,611 | 51.3 | 1,013 | 107 | 3,328 | 153 | 14,202 | 112 | 1,330 | 827 | 381 | 21,453 | 44.7 | 46,064 | 96.0 |
| $1970$ <br> Jan-June | 13,572 | 220 | 111,323 | 13,772 | 138,887 | 289.3 | 4,084 | 980 | 17,771 | 1,464 | 66,915 | 792 | 6,319 | 3,929 | 2,072 | 104,326 | 217.3 | 243,213 | 506.7 |
| $1971^{9}$ Jan-June | 12,957 | 161 | 102,227 | 8,782 | 124,127 | 258.6 | 4,609 | 642 | 18,462 | 1,095 | 74,990 | 698 | 6,889 | 4,624 | 2,306 | 114,315 | 238.2 | 238,442 | 496.8 |

 and cloths in chief value cotton containing other fibers. chenilles, and manufactures of pile fabrics. ${ }^{3}$ Includes blankets, quilts, and bedspreads, sheets and pillow cases. ${ }^{4}$ Includes knit and woven underwear and outerwear (collars and cuffs, shirts,
embroideries, etc., and lace window curtains. ${ }^{6}$ Includes braids (except hat braids), tubing, labels, lacing, wicking, loom harness, table and bureau covers, polishing and dust cloths, fabrics with fast edges, cords and tassels, garters, suspenders
belting, fish nets and netting, and coated, filled or waterproof abrics. ${ }^{8} 480$ pound net weight bales. ${ }^{9}$ Prelıminary

Compled from reports of the Bureau of the Census.

Table 21.-Raw cotton equivalent of U.S. exports of domestic cotton manufacturers, 1965 to date

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { month } \end{aligned}$ | Yarn, thread, twine, and cloth |  |  |  |  |  |  | Manufactured products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yarn | Sewing thread crochet, darning and embroidery cotton | Twine and cordage | Cloth |  | Total |  | House furn ishings |  |  |  | Wearing apparel |  | Other house hold and clothing articles ${ }^{6}$ | Industrial prodducts ${ }^{7}$ | Total |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Standard constructions and tire cord ${ }^{1}$ | Other ${ }^{2}$ | Weight | Bales | Blankets | Quilts, spreads, pillow cases, and sheets | Towels | Other ${ }^{3}$ | Knit ${ }^{4}$ | Other ${ }^{5}$ |  |  | Weight | Bales | Weight | Bales |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $1,000$ <br> pounds | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1,000 } \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $1,000$ pounds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1,000 } \\ & \text { bales }^{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1,000 } \\ \text { pounds } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { pounds } \end{gathered}$ | $1,000$ pounds | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { pounds } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales }{ }^{8} \end{aligned}$ | $1,000$ <br> pounds | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales }^{8} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1965 | 7,104 | 1,832 | 1,237 | 85,509 | 24,792 | 120,474 | 251.0 | 851 | 4,955 | 6,370 | 2,838 | 2,838 | 15,197 | 9,953 | 10,256 | 53,258 | 111.0 | 173,732 | 361.9 |
| 1966 | 6,518 | 2,049 | 1,303 | 95,473 | 27,370 | 132,713 | 276.4 | 724 | 5,128 | 6,514 | 3,037 | 2,962 | 17,451 | 10,155 | 10,842 | 56,813 | 118.4 | 189,526 | 394.8 |
| 1967 | 5,737 | 1,806 | 1,342 | 86,244 | 33,553 | 128,682 | 268.1 | 691 | 5,885 | 6,435 | 3,104 | 2,694 | 20,458 | 11,216 | 9,234 | 59,717 | 124.4 | 188,399 | 392.5 |
| 1968 | 4,442 | 1,754 | 1,464 | 79,302 | 35,900 | 122,862 | 256.0 | 593 | 5,671 | 5,536 | 3,878 | 2,809 | 24,666 | 11,914 | 10,271 | 65,338 | 136.1 | 188,200 | 392.1 |
| 1969 | 37,432 | 1,821 | 1,193 | 85,344 | 32,827 | 158,617 | 330.5 | 523 | 4,670 | 5,176 | 3,686 | 2,756 | 33,014 | 12,081 | 11,540 | 73,446 | 153.0 | 232,063. | 483.5 |
| 1970 | 15,180 | 1,641 | 921 | 85,459 | 28,473 | 131,674 | 274.3 | 596 | 4,666 | 5,290 | 3,618 | 2,769 | 27,200 | 10,661 | 12,875 | 67,675 | 141.0 | 199,349 | 415.3 |
| 1969 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. .. | 2,066 | 145 | 110 | 7,590 | 3,116 | 13,027 | 27.1 | 47 | 447 | 414 | 346 | 251 | 2,145 | 1,242 | 1,188 | 6,080 | 12.7 | 19,107 | 39.8 |
| Sept. | 902 | 190 | 82 | 8,606 | 2,846 | 12,626 | 26.3 | 51 | 405 | 500 | 225 | 243 | 2,142 | 1,161 | 1,146 | 5,873 | 12.2 | 18,499 | 38.5 |
| Oct. | 2,255 | 177 | 93 | 7,997 | 3,708 | 14,230 | 29.6 | 63 | 449 | 586 | 263 | 250 | 2,634 | 877 | 1,107 | 6,229 | 13.0 | 20,459 | 42.6 |
| Nov. | 5,538 | 115 | 75 | 10,019 | 3,037 | 18,784 | 39.1 | 48 | 426 | 458 | 309 | 202 | 2,622 | 731 | '930 | 5,726 | 11.9 | 24,510 | 51.1 |
| Dec. | 7,185 | 158 | 88 | 7,077 | 2,245 | 16,753 | 34.9 | 29 | 378 | 426 | 322 | 185 | 3,351 | 724 | 980 | 6,395 | 13.3 | 23,148 | 48.2 |
| 1970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. . | 3,301 | 121 | 108 | 7,293 | 2,701 | 13,524 | 28.2 | 32 | 290 | 348 | 177 | 205 | 2,716 | 1,015 | 935 | 5,718 | 11.9 | 19,242 | 40.1 |
| Feb. | 2,345 | 148 | 34 | 6,852 | 1,702 | 11,081 | 23.1 | 32 | 256 | 322 | 288 | 209 | 3,275 | 897 | 887 | 6,166 | 12.8 | 17,247 | 35.9 |
| Mar. | 2,548 | 126 | 102 | 8,841 | 2,364 | 13,981 | 29.1 | 27 | 371 | 368 | 222 | 196 | 3,502 | 737 | 1,070 | 6,493 | 13.5 | 20,474 | 42.7 |
| Apr. .... | 2,849 | 133 | 73 | 7,297 | 3,092 | 13,444 | 28.0 | 34 | 350 | 344 | 250 | 219 | 2,683 | 807 | 954 | 5,641 | 11.8 | 19,085 | 39.8 |
| May . . . | 1,634 | 118 | 59 | 6,886 | 3,319 | 12,016 | 25.0 | 25 | 494 | 443 | 319 | 274 | 1,983 | 834 | 1,010 | 5,382 | 11.2 | 17,398 | 36.2 |
| June | 325 | 116 | 110 | 7,094 | 2,508 | 10,153 | 21.2 | 43 | 387 | 362 | 315 | 221 | 2,265 | 999 | 1,149 | 5,741 | 12.0 | 15,894 | 33.1 |
| July | 220 | 125 135 | 75 71 | 7,085 5,490 | 1,745 1,922 | 9,250 | 19.3 | 41 | 324 | 459 | 400 | 290 | 1,841 1,739 | 779 | 1,129 | 5,263 | 11.0 | 14,513 | 30.2 |
| Aug. | 288 | 135 | 71 | 5,490 | 1,922 | 7,906 | 16.5 | 81 | 372 | 607 | 209 | 215 | 1,739 | 886 | 1,228 | 5,337 | 11.1 | 13,243 | 27.6 |
| Sept. | 363 | 150 | 59. | 6,126 | 2,212 | 8,910 | 18.6 | 88 | 333 | 426 | 266 | 225 | 1,509 | 956 | 1,100 | 4,903 | 10.2 | 13,813 | 28.8 |
| Oct. | 392 | 185 | 61 | 8,162 | 2,253 | 11,053 | 23.0 | 67 | 503 | 642 | 332 | 291 | 2,036 | 972 | 1,080 | 5,923 | 12.3 | 16,976 | 35.4 |
| Nov. | 465 | 153 | 101 | 7.489 | 2,689 | 10,897 | 22.7 | 92 | 648 | 529 | 364 | 240 | 1,898 | 959 | 1,157 | 5,887 | 12.3 | 16,784 | 35.0 |
| Dec. | 448 | 131 | 67 | 6,843 | 1,966 | 9,455 | 19.7 | 35 | 337 | 439 | 478 | 185 | 1,753 | 820 | 1,233 | 5,280 | 11.0 | 14,735 | 30.7 |
| 19719 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. . . . . | 425 | 160 | 39 | 7,067 | 2,036 | 9,727 | 20.3 | 31 | 356 | 339 | 334 | 157 | 1,749 | 877 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | 310 | 108 | 110 | 7,352 | 1,968 | 9,848 | 20.5 | 13 | 265 | 376 | 479 | 224 | 2,083 | 851 8 | 1,092 | 5,383 | 11.2 | 15,231 | 31.7 |
| Mar. | 1,545 | 166 | 101 | 8,439 | 2,180 | 12,431 | 25.9 | 20 | 491 | 565 | 489 | 252 | 3,212 | 1,098 | 1,964 | 8,091 | 16.9 | 20,522 | 42.8 |
| Apr. | 1,651 | 180 | 134 | 8,699 | 1,514 | 12,178 | 25.4 | 37 | 427 | 503 | 366 | 228 | 2,013 | +895 | 1,419 | 5,888 | 12.3 | 18,066 | 37.6 |
| May | 3,077 | 143 | 96 | 7,536 | 1,758 | 12,610 | 26.3 | 23 | 413 | 489 | 417 | 228 | 2,525 | 918 | 1,942 | 6,955 | 14.5 |  | 40.8 |
| June | 2,039 | 142 | 107 | 7,644 | 1,351 | 11,283 | 23.5 | 25 | 440 | 612 | 617 | 193 | 2,234 | 1,026 | 1,332 | 6,479 | 13.5 | 17,762 | 37.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1970 \\ & \text { Jan.-June . . } \end{aligned}$ | 13,002 | 762 | 486 | 44,263 | 15,686 | 74,199 | 154.6 | 193 | 2,148 | 2,187 | 1,571 | 1,324 | 16,424 | 5,289 | 6,005 | 35,141 | 73.2 | 109,340 | 227.8 |
| $1971^{\circ}$ <br> Jan.-June .. | 9,047 | 899 | 587 | 46,737 | 10,807 | 68,077 | 141.8 | 149 | 2,392 | 2,884 | 2,702 | 1,282 | 13.8 | 5.665 | 9,068 | 37958 | 79.1 | 106035 | 220 |
| Includes fabrics, tire cord, and cloth for export to the Philippines to be embroidered and otherwise manufactured and returned to the United States. ${ }^{2}$ Includes tapestry and upholstery fabrics, table damask, pile fabrics and remnants. ${ }^{3}$ Includes curtains and draperies, house furnishings not elsewhere specified. ${ }^{4}$ Includes gloves and |  |  |  |  |  | mitts of woven fabric. ${ }^{5}$ Includes underwear and outerwear of woven fabric, handkerchiefs, and wearing apparel containing mixed fibers (corsets, brassieres, and girdles, garters, armbands and suspenders, neckties and cravats). 'Includes canvas articles and manufactures, knit fabric in the piece, braids and narrow fabrics, |  |  |  |  |  |  | elastic webbing, waterproof garments, and laces and lace articles. <br> ${ }^{7}$ Includes ribberized fabrics, bans, and industrial belts and belting. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 22.-Man-made fiber equivalent of U.S. imports for consumption of man-made fiber manufactures, 1965 to date

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { month } \end{aligned}$ | Tops, yarn, thread, and cloth |  |  |  |  |  |  | Primarily manufactured products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total <br> manu-factured imports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sliver tops and roving | Yarns thrown plied ${ }^{1}$ | Yarns spun | Sewing thread and handwork yarns | Rayon tire fabric including cord fabric | Fabric woven | Total | Wearing apparel |  | Handkerchiefs | Laces and lace articles $^{3}$ | Narrow fabrics ${ }^{4}$ | Knit fabric in the piece | Other manu-factures ${ }^{5}$ | Total |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Knit ${ }^{2}$ | Not knit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,000 pounds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1965 | 53 | 279 | 503 | 389 | 569 | 26,094 | 27,887 | 12,832 | 17,749 | 217 | 1,587 | 4,960 | 2,634 | 11,166 | 51,145 | 79,032 |
| 1966 | 759 | 926 | 2,596 | 334 | 1,739 | 44,198 | 50,552 | 18,788 | 19,636 | 189 | 2,119 | 4,132 | 3,370 | 24,279 | 72,513 | 123,065 |
| 1967 | 147 | 4,604 | 3,957 | 328 | 990 | 32,714 | 42,740 | 30,692 | 30,194 | 170 | 2,185 | 4,057 | 4,441 | 24,339 | 96,078 | 138,818 |
| 1968 | 70 | 11,032 | 6,526 | 709 | 5,298 | 38,086 | 61,721 | 50,310 | 41,019 | 182 | 2,344 | 4,752 | 5,169 | 27,828 | 131,604 | 193,325 |
| 1969 | 780 | 4,510 | 10,848 | 700 | 3,419 | 48,322 | 68,579 | 76,851 | 66,696 | 507 | 2,778 | 5,292 | 7,213 | 29,544 | 188,881 | 257,460 |
| 1970 | 1,790 | 10,449 | 11,114 | 2,569 | 2,120 | 54,989 | 83,031 | 96,583 | 91,337 | 346 | 4,783 | 5,327 | 19,615 | 28,370 | 246,361 | 329,392 |
| 1970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 127 | 394 | 1,070 | 182 | 203 | 4,830 | 6,806 | 5,011 | 8,060 | 57 | 232 | 548 | 1,094 | 2,238 | 17,240 | 24,046 |
| Feb. | 43 | 449 | 673 | 168 | 138 | 3,006 | 4,477 | 5,050 | 6,783 | 48 | 148 | 347 | 836 | 2,006 | 15,218 | 19,695 |
| Mar. | 265 | 954 | 1,348 | 102 | 450 | 4,842 | 7,961 | 5,852 | 7,274 | 34 | 189 | 488 | 1,299 | 2,207 | 17,343 | 25,304 |
| Apr. | 373 | 898 | 1,220 | 231 | 363 | 4,701 | 7,786 | 6,104 | 6,378 | 27 | 226 | 502 | 1,309 | 2,366 | 16,912 | 24,698 |
| May | 275 | 1,001 | 838 | 197 | 488 | 4,352 | 7,151 | 7,261 | 6,322 | 17 | 219 | 431 | 1,307 | 2,197 | 17,754 | 24,905 |
| June | 88 | 1,105 | 1,126 | 269 | 41 | 4,527 | 7,156 | 9,609 | 7,721 | 29 | 376 | 480 | 1,626 | 2,024 | 21,865 | 29,021 |
| July | 143 | 1,002 | 1,073 | 288 | 1 | 4,966 | 7,473 | 10,607 | 8,902 | 24 | 512 | 436 | 1,636 | 2,303 | 24,420 | 31,893 |
| Aug. | 149 | 953 | 1,139 | 188 | 103 | 5,274 | 7,806 | 11,113 | 9,225 | 20 | 629 | 425 | 1,541 | 2,745 | 25,698 | 33,504 |
| Sept. | 155 | 767 | 631 | 231 | 147 | 4,745 | 6,676 | 9,900 | 8,655 | 16 | 663 | 462 | 1,747 | 2,767 | 24,210 | 30,886 |
| Oct. | 58 | 1,129 | 573 | 218 | 40 | 5,133 | 7,151 | 9,710 | 8,007 | 20 | 730 | 358 | 2,128 | 2,662 | 23,615 | 30,766 |
| Nov. | 104 | 936 | 642 | 215 | 146 | 4,187 | 6,230 | 7.538 | 6,665 | 26 | 512 | 377 | 2,497 | 2,783 | 20,398 | 26,628 |
| Dec. | 10 | 861 | 781 | 280 | 0 | 4,426 | 6,358 | 8,828 | 7,345 | 28 | 347 | 473 | 2,595 | 2,072 | 21,688 | 28,046 |
| $1971{ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 43 | 744 | 786 | 430 | 209 | 5,552 | 7,764 | 8,829 | 8,255 | 22 | 257 | 446 | 3,437 | 2,359 | 23,605 | 31,369 |
| Feb. | 26 | 681 | 817 | 313 | 369 | 4,405 | 6,611 | 9,681 | 8,481 | 23 | 141 | 393 | 3,445 | 2,072 | 24,236 | 30,847 |
| Mar. | 80 | 657 | 1,406 | 503 | 412 | 5,352 | 8,410 | 11,191 | 8,492 | 15 | 212 | 505 | 4,674 | 2,411 | 27,500 | 35,910 |
| Apr. | 42 | 581 | 1,270 | 346 | 338 | 5,879 | 8,456 | 10,624 | 7,727 | 19 | 223 | 491 | 5,644 | 2,635 | 27,363 | 35,819 |
| May | 16 | 513 | 1,311 | 305 | 1,021 | 5,430 | 8,596 | 12,053 | 7,985 | 11 | 348 | 458 | 5,447 | 2,544 | 28,846 | 37,442 |
| June | 9 | 538 | 1,401 | 350 | 643 | 6,115 | 9,056 | 14,847 | 10,925 | 15 | 512 | 459 | 5,798 | 2,919 | 35,475 | 44,531 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1970 \\ & \text { Jan.-June } \end{aligned}$ | 1,171 | 4,801 | 6,275 | 1,149 | 1,683 | 26,258 | 41,337 | 38,887 | 42,538 | 212 | 1,390 | 2,796 | 7,471 | 13,038 | 106,332 | 147,669 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1971^{6} \\ & \text { Jan.-June } \end{aligned}$ | 216 | 3,714 | 6,991 | 2,247 | 2,992 | 32,733 | 48,893 | 67,225 | 51,865 | 105 | 1,693 | 2,752 | 28,445 | 14,940 | 167,025 | 215,918 |

${ }^{1}$ Not included in these data are quantities of imported textured non-cellosic singles yarn not over 20 turns per inch. The quantities of such fiber imported since 1967 yarn
are:
${ }^{2}$ Includes gloves, hosiery, underwear, outerwear, and hats. ${ }^{3}$ Includes veils and veilings, nets and nettings, lace window curtains, edgings, insertings, flouncings, allovers, etc., embroideries, and ornamented wearing apparel. 4 Includes braids (except hat braids), fabrics with fast edges not over 12 inches wide, garters suspenders, braces, tubings, cords, tassels, gill nets, webs, seines, and other nets for fishing. ${ }^{5}$ Not elsewhere classified. ${ }^{6}$ Preliminary.

Compiled from reports of the Bureau of the Census.


[^15]${ }^{3}$ Not elsewhere classified. ${ }^{4}$ Preliminary.

Table 24.-Cotton finters: Supply and disappearance, United States, 1950 to date

| Year beginning August 1 | Supply |  |  |  | Disappearance |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stocks <br> August 1 | Production ${ }^{1}$ | Net imports | Total | Consumption | Exports | Destroyed | Total |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales }^{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,000 \\ \text { bales } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { bales }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales }{ }^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1950. | 455 | 1,244 | 103 | 1,803 | 1,396 | 92 | 1 | 1,488 |
| 1951 | 264 | 1,767 | 113 | 2,144 | 1,306 | 226 | 2 | 1,534 |
| 1952 | 548 | 1,799 | 339 | 2,686 | 1,359 | 107 | 2 | 1,469 |
| 1953 | 1,111 | 2,003 | 164 | 3,278 | 1,324 | 237 | 2 | 1,563 |
| 1954 | 1,543 | 1,699 | 186 | 3,428 | 1,474 | 258 | 25 | 1,757 |
| 1955 | 1,491 | 1,703 | 204 | 3,398 | 1,789 | 396 | --- | 2,185 |
| 1956 | 1,026 | 1,507 | 135 | 2,668 | 1,438 | 334 | --- | 1,773 |
| 1957 | 824 | 1,256 | 139 | 2,219 | 1,102 | 185 | ... | 1,287 |
| 1958 | 810 543 | 1,347 | 172 | 2,329 | 1,210 | 243 | -- | 1,453 |
| 1959 | 543 | 1,665 | 164 | 2,373 | 1,446 | 329 | --- | 1,775 |
| 1960. | 465 | 1,595 | 124 | 2,184 | 1,281 | 339 | --- | 1,619 |
| 1961 | 468 | 1,639 | 183 | 2,290 | 1,338 | 250 | .-- | 1,588 |
| 1962 | 576 | 1,657 | 113 | 2,346 | 1,328 | 351 | --- | 1,679 |
| 1963 | 550 | 1,607 | 164 | 2,322 | 1,358 | 322 | ... | 1,680 |
| 1964 | 601 | 1,661 | ${ }_{5}^{5} 153$ | 2,415 | 1,386 | 301 | -.. | 1,687 |
| 1965 | 671 | 1,581 | ${ }_{5}^{5} 193$ | 2,444 | 1,453 | 283 | --- | 1,736 |
| 1966 | 641 | 1,129 | ${ }_{5}^{5} 202$ | 1,971 | 1,157 | 179 | --- | 1,336 |
| 1967 | 637 | 898 | ${ }_{5}^{5} 131$ | 1,666 | 1,091 | 176 | --- | 1,267 |
| 1968 | 365 | 1,307 | ${ }^{5} 132$ | 1,804 | 1,130 | 171 | --. | 1,301 |
| $1969{ }^{1970}{ }^{6}$ | 432 342 | 1,176 1,145 | ${ }_{5}^{5} 155$ | 1,763 1,559 | 1,129 | 186 | --- | 1,315 |
| $1970^{6}$ | 342 | 1,145 |  | 1,559 | 921 | 179 | --- | 1,100 |

[^16]Bureau of the Census.

Table 25.-Prices for specified qualities of cotton linters, by months, August 1968 to date ${ }^{1}$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { month } \end{aligned}$ | Felting grade |  |  |  |  |  | Chemical grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade and Staple ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 73 percent cellulose base | Cellulose differential |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  |
|  | Cents per pound | Cents per pound | Cents per pound | Cents per pound | Cents per pound | Cents per pound | Cents per pound | Cents per pound |
| 1968 August | 8.81 | 8.25 | 7.44 | 6.81 | 6.00 | 5.63 | 3.50 | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ |
| September . | 8.69 | 8.00 | 7.06 | 6.38 | 5.31 | 4.75 | 3.50 | ( ${ }^{3}$ ) |
| october .. | 8.75 | 7.88 | 6.94 | 6.19 | 5.19 | 4.75 | 3.50 | $\binom{3}{3}$ |
| November | 8.69 | 7.75 | 6.88 | 6.06 | 5.13 | 4.75 | 3.50 | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ |
| December | 8.69 | 7.75 | 6.88 | 6.06 | 5.06 | 4.75 | 3.50 | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ |
| January | 8.69 | 7.75 | 6.81 | 6.00 | 5.06 | 4.75 | 3.50 | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ |
| February | 8.63 | 7.69 | 6.75 | 5.94 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 3.50 | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ |
| March . . | 8.31 | 7.50 | 6.56 | 5.75 | 4.81 | 4.75 | 3.50 | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ |
| April . | 8.25 | 7.44 | 6.50 | 5.69 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 3.50 | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ |
| May . . | 7.81 | 7.00 | 6.06 | 5.50 | 4.56 | 4.50 | 3.50 | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ |
| June | 7.56 | 6.88 | 5.81 | 5.19 | 4.38 | 4.00 | 3.44 | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ |
| July . . . | 7.19 | 6.63 | 5.63 | 5.00 | 4.19 | 4.00 | 3.25 | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ |
| Average | 8.34 | 7.54 | 6.61 | 5.88 | 4.95 | 4.68 | 3.47 | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ |
| 1969 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August. | 6.94 | 6.44 | 5.44 | 4.75 | 4.06 | 4.00 | 3.13 | $\left({ }^{3}\right.$ ) |
| September | 6.56 | 6.06 | 5.19 | 4.63 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 2.75 | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ |
| October . . | 6.56 | 6.06 | 5.13 | 4.50 | 3.94 | 3.50 | 2.75 | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ |
| November | 6.63 | 6.13 | 5.19 | 4.56 | 4.00 | 3.63 | 2.75 | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ |
| December | 6.69 | 6.13 | 5.19 | 4.63 | 4.06 | 3.63 | 2.75 | ( ${ }^{4}$ ) |
| January | 6.69 | 6.19 | 5.19 | 4.63 | 4.06 | 3.63 | 2.75 | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ |
| February | 6.63 | 6.13 | 5.13 | 4.56 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 2.75 | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ |
| March . . | 6.56 | 6.06 | 5.00 | 4.44 | 3.88 | 3.38 | 2.75 | $\left({ }_{4}^{4}\right)$ |
| Apris | 6.69 | 6.06 | 5.06 | 4.50 | 3.94 | 3.38 | 2.75 | $\binom{4}{4}$ |
| May . | 6.69 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 4.44 | 3.88 | 3.25 | 2.75 | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ |
| June | 6.75 | 6.06 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 3.94 | 3.38 | 2.75 | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ |
| July . | 6.75 | 6.06 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 3.94 | 3.38 | 2.75 | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ |
| Average | 6.68 | 6.12 | 5.13 | 4.55 | 3.98 | 3.51 | 2.78 | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ |
| 1970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August . . | 6.69 | 6.06 | 5.00 | 4.44 | 3.88 | 3.38 | 2.75 | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ |
| September | 6.81 | 6.13 | 5.06 | 4.56 | 3.94 | 3.63 | 2.75 | $\left({ }^{5}\right)$ |
| October . | 6.94 | 6.25 | 5.19 | 4.69 | 4.00 | 3.63 | 2.75 | $\left({ }_{5}^{5}\right)$ |
| November | 7.13 | 6.38 | 5.25 | 4.69 | 4.00 | 3.63 | 2.75 | ( ${ }^{5}$ ) |
| December | 7.31 | 6.63 | 5.38 | 4.75 | 4.13 | 3.75 | 2.75 | $\left({ }^{5}\right.$ ) |
| January . | 7.44 | 6.75 | 5.63 | 5.06 | 4.38 | 3.75 | 2.75 | $\left({ }^{5}\right)$ |
| February | 7.44 | 6.75 | 5.63 | 5.06 | 4.38 | 3.75 | 2.75 | $\left({ }^{5}\right)$ |
| March . . | 7.44 | 6.75 | 5.63 | 5.06 | 4.25 | 3.75 | 2.75 | $\left({ }^{5}\right.$ ) |
| April . | 7.50 | 6.81 | 5.69 | 5.19 | 4.31 | 3.75 | 2.75 | $)^{5}$ ) |
| May. | 7.50 | 6.81 | 5.81 | 5.31 | 4.38 | 4.00 | 2.75 | $\left({ }^{5}\right.$ ) |
| June | 7.81 | 7.25 | 6.19 | 5.63 | 4.75 | 4.25 | 2.75 | $\left({ }^{5}\right)$ |
| July. | 7.88 | 7.31 | 6.31 | 5.75 | 4.88 | 4.50 | 2.75 | ( ${ }^{5}$ ) |
| Average | 7.32 | 6.66 | 5.56 | 5.02 | 4.27 | 3.81 | 2.75 | $\left({ }^{5}\right)$ |

Monthly averages of prices quoted at Atlanta, Memphis, Dallas, and Los Angeles, for linters uncompressed in car lots f.o.b. cottonseed oil mill points, excluding ports. ${ }^{2}$ Grade 2, Staple 2 ; Grade 3, etc. ${ }^{3}$ Differentials for variation in cellulose content range from 0.08 to 0.20 cent. ${ }^{4}$ Differentials for variation in
cellulose content range from 0.08 to 0.14 starting September 1969. ${ }^{5}$ Premiums above 73 percent range from 0.08 to 0.02 cent per pound; discounts below 73 percent range from 0.08 to 0.15 cent per pound.

Cotton Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

Table 26.-Cotton: Average prices ${ }^{1}$ of selected growths and qualities, c.i.f. Liverpool, England,
1968-70, and April 1970 to date

| Year and month | M 1' |  | SM 1/16" |  |  |  |  |  |  | SM 1-1/8" |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U.S. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pakistan } \\ 289 \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | U.S. | Mexico | Nicaragua | Syria | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S.S.R. } \\ \text { Pervyi } \\ 31 / 32 \\ \mathrm{~mm} . \end{gathered}$ | Iran | Turkey (izmir) | U.S. | Uganda <br> - BP 52 |
|  | Equivalent U.S. cents per pound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1968 | 28.22 | 28.28 | 33.07 | 30.89 | 29.40 | 32.29 | 32.46 | 32.00 | 31.14 | 34.85 | 37.74 |
| 1969 | 25.53 | 27.15 | 28.47 | 28.45 | 26.70 | ${ }^{2} 29.21$ | 29.39 | 28.52 | 27.88 | 29.97 | 33.55 |
| 1970 | 27.46 | 29.61 | 29.67 | 30.71 | 28.45 | ${ }^{2} 29.26$ | 32.47 | 29.22 | 28.35 | 31.32 | 33.15 |
| 1970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April | 27.31 | 29.75 | 29.31 | 30.02 | 27.90 | ${ }^{2} 28.88$ | 31.99 | 28.75 | 27.78 | 30.81 | 32.25 |
| May | 27.40 | 29.44 | 29.40 | 30.14 | 27.81 | ${ }^{2} 28.81$ | 31.75 | 28.75 | 28.32 | 30.90 | 32.62 |
| June | 26.95 | 29.75 | 29.45 | 30.21 | 27.75 | ${ }^{2} 28.88$ | 31.44 | 28.75 | 28.14 | 31.20 | 32.75 |
| July | 27.06 | 29.40 | 29.70 | 30.49 | 27.92 | ${ }^{2} 29.00$ | 31.53 | 28.80 | 27.94 | 31.50 | 33.60 |
| August | 27.31 | 28.84 | 29.75 | 30.96 | 28.20 | ${ }^{2} 29.15$ | ${ }^{3} 33.75$ | 29.25 | 28.06 | 31.50 | 32.69 |
| September | 28.16 | 29.00 | 30.26 | 31.38 | 29.15 | ${ }^{2} 29.44$ | 33.75 | 29.25 | 28.62 | 32.01 | 34.20 |
| October. | 28.60 | 29.76 | 30.70 | 31.64 | 29.66 | 29.77 | 34.00 | 29.54 | 28.87 | 32.45 | 34.50 |
| November | 28.82 | 30.85 | 30.58 | 32.16 | 30.38 | 30.48 | 33.50 | 30.31 | 29.36 | 32.28 | 34.31 |
| December | 27.83 | 31.40 | 30.39 | 32.50 | 30.50 | 30.80 | 33.00 | 31.17 | 30.75 | 32.09 | 35.00 |
| 1971 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{3}$ | 28.85 | 31.57 | 30.95 | 33.00 | 30.50 | 30.80 | 32.92 | 32.05 | 30.92 | 32.75 | 35.42 |
| February | 29.68 | ${ }^{3} 32.02$ | 31.52 | 33.44 | 30.85 | 30.96 | 32.69 | 32.22 | 30.88 | 33.21 | 36.62 |
| March | 30.52 | 31.80 | 32.02 | 33.00 | 31.12 | 31.06 | 32.50 | 32.00 | 30.52 | 33.56 | 37.62 |
| April | 30.67 | 31.35 | 32.30 | 32.91 | 31.05 | 31.30 | 32.75 | 32.00 | 31.07 | 33.83 | 37.75 |
| May | 31.82 | 32.42 | 33.48 | 34.19 | 32.62 | 32.30 | 33.14 | 32.59 | 32.81 | 35.12 | 38.38 |
| June | 31.82 | 33.20 | 33.48 | 35.94 | 33.72 | 33.40 | 34.00 | 33.12 | 32.94 | 34.22 | 39.00 |
| July . . | 32.95 | 33.69 | 34.60 | 36.13 | 33.90 | 33.85 | 34.00 | 33.68 | 33.05 | 35.60 | 39.75 |

${ }^{1}$ Generally for prompt shipment. ${ }^{2}$ Including War surcharge. ${ }^{-3}$ Average of 3 quotations.
Foreign Agricultural Service.

Table 27.-Cotton: Average prices ${ }^{1}$ of selected growths and qualities, c.i.f. Bremen, Germany, annual 1968-70, and April 1970 to date

| Year and month | M Lt. Spot 1-1/32" |  | SM 1-1/16" |  |  |  |  |  |  | SM 1-1/8" |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U.S. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Brazil } \\ & \text { Type } 4 / 5 \end{aligned}$ | U.S. | Mexico | Nicaragua | Syria | U.S.S.R. <br> Pervyi 31/32 mm . | Iran | Turkey (Izmir) | U.S. | Uganda BP 52 |
|  | Equivalent U.S. cents per pound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1968 | 26.32 | 27.63 | 32.10 | 30.52 | 28.72 | 30.87 | 32.00 | 30.80 | 30.31 | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ | 36.71 |
| 1969 | 24.33 | 24.64 | 28.48 | 27.80 | 26.14 | 28.71 | 28.81 | 28.64 | 27.76 | 31.21 | 33.46 |
| 1970 | 26.51 | 26.76 | 29.54 | 30.20 | 28.05 | 29.00 | 31.86 | 29.17 | 28.49 | 31.28 | 33.08 |
| 1970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apris | 25.95 | 27.44 | 29.30 | 29.70 | 27.65 | ${ }^{3} 28.15$ | ${ }^{5} 31.07$ | 28.80 | 28.31 | 31.40 | 32.20 |
| May. | 26.19 | 27.62 | 29.45 | 29.72 | 27.76 | 28.75 | 31.15 | 28.99 | 27.94 | 31.40 | 31.82 |
| June ${ }^{2}$ | 26.38 | 27.00 | 29.26 | 30.05 | 27.64 | 28.90 | 31.15 | 28.87 | 28.10 | 30.95 | 31.98 |
| July | 26.38 | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ | 29.30 | 30.12 | 27.98 | 28.90 | 31.15 | ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) | 28.26 | 30.90 | 32.70 |
| August | 26.45 | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ | 29.38 | 30.35 | 28.15 | 29.01 | 31.15 | ${ }^{3} 28.65$ | 28.45 | 30.98 | 33.29 |
| September | 26.81 | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ | 29.79 | 30.66 | 28.54 | 29.28 | 32.40 | 28.94 | 28.65 | 31.39 | 34.58 |
| October | 27.49 | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ | 30.11 | 31.18 | 28.93 | 29.47 | 32.68 | 29.34 | 29.04 | 31.57 | 34.71 |
| November | 27.65 | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ | 30.25 | 31.40 | 29.12 | 29.97 | 32.83 | 29.92 | 29.47 | 31.68 | 34.95 |
| December ${ }^{5}$ | 28.58 | 28.15 | 30.60 | 31.42 | 29.32 | 30.30 | 32.35 | 30.25 | 30.72 | 31.80 | 34.95 |
| 1971 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 28.05 | 29.99 | 30.48 | 31.82 | 29.71 | 30.48 | 32.60 | 30.71 | 30.70 | 32.19 | 35.55 |
| February | 28.51 | 30.80 | 30.95 | 32.20 | 30.20 | 30.54 | 32.62 | 31.00 | 30.08 | 32.60 | 35.85 |
| March | 29.18 | 31.20 | 31.40 | 32.54 | 30.25 | 30.81 | 32.01 | 31.21 | 30.75 | 32.65 | 37.56 |
| Aprsl | ${ }^{5} 29.68$ | 31.76 | 31.50 | 32.68 | ${ }^{2} 30.57$ | 31.34 | 32.08 | 31.60 | 31.10 | 32.69 | 38.44 |
| May ${ }^{2}$ | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ | 32.85 | 34.02 | 33.73 | ${ }^{3} 31.50$ | 32.20 | 533.22 | 532.90 | 32.25 | ${ }^{5} 35.50$ | 38.83 39.38 |
| June ${ }^{2}$ | ( ${ }^{4}$ ) | 33.20 | 33.80 | 35.15 | 33.10 | 33.47 | 34.30 | 33.70 | 33.00 | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ | 39.38 |
| July | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ | 33.08 | 33.91 | 35.16 | 33.24 | 33.56 | 34.90 | 33.74 | 33.55 | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ | 39.53 |

${ }^{1}$ Generally for prompt shipment. ${ }^{2}$ Average of 3 quotations. ${ }^{3}$ One quotation. ${ }^{4}$ Not quoted. ${ }^{5}$ Average of 2 quotations.
Foreign Agricultural Service.

Table 28.-Foreign spot prices per pound including export taxes ${ }^{1}$ and U.S. average spot export prices, Aprit-July 1971 and crop year averages 1970/71

${ }^{1}$ includes export taxes where applicable. ${ }^{2}$ Quiotations on net ${ }_{4}$ Weight basis. ${ }^{3}$ Averages of prices collected once each week. Average spot market gross weight price divided by 0.96 to convert price to a net weight basis. ${ }^{5}$ Quality of U.S. cotton generally considered to be most nearly comparable to the foreign cotton. ${ }^{6}$ Torreon-Coahuila District cotton
delivered uncompressed ex-warehouse Brownville, Texas, Mexican export taxes paid. Net weight price-actual price divided by 0.96. ${ }^{7}$ Based on El Paso market. ${ }^{8}$ Based on average of Fresno, Greenwood, Memphis and El Paso markets. ${ }^{9}$ Average of 10 months. N.A. Not available. *Average of less than 4 quotations.

Table 29.-Cotton: Exports by staple length and by countries of destination, United States,
April, May, June 1971 and cumulative totals, August 1970June 1971

| Country of destination | Aprit 1971 |  |  |  | May 1971 |  |  |  | June 1971 |  |  |  | August 1970 June 1971 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-1/8 <br> inches and over ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { inch } \\ \text { to } \\ 1-1 / 8 \\ \text { inches } \end{gathered}$ | Under 1 inch | Total | 1-1/8 <br> inches <br> and <br> over ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { inch } \\ & \text { to } \\ & 1-1 / 8 \\ & \text { inches } \end{aligned}$ | Under <br> 1 inch | Total | 1-1/8 inches and over ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { inch } \\ & \text { to } \\ & 1-1 / 8 \\ & \text { inches } \end{aligned}$ | Under 1 inch | Total | 1-1/8 inches and over ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { inch } \\ & \text { to } \\ & 1-1 / 8 \\ & \text { inches } \end{aligned}$ | Under 1 inch | Total |
|  | Running bales | Running bales | $\begin{gathered} \text { Running } \\ \text { bales } \end{gathered}$ | Running bales | Running bales | Running bales | Running bales | Running bales | Running bales | Running bales | Running bales | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Running } \\ & \text { bales } \end{aligned}$ | Running bales | Running bales | Running bales | Running bales |
| Europe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United Kıngdom | 0 | 13,822 | 980 | 14,802 | 300 | 2,829 | 0 | 3,129 | 93 | 9,653 | 0 | 9,746 | 1,568 | 81,013 | 5,479 | 88,060 |
| Belglum and Luxembourg . | 1,490 | 3,921 | 0 | 5,411 | 278 | 1,159 | 0 | 1,437 | 250 | 901 | 0 | 1,151 | 11,190 | 30,587 | 1,675 | 43,452 |
| Ireland (Eire). | , 0 | 1,019 | 0 | 1,019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,350 | 0 | 5,350 |
| France | 1,072 | 11,795 | 695 | 13,562 | 800 | 1,671 | 250 | 2,721 | 0 | 2,978 | 99 | 3,077 | 8,837 | 46,327 | 2,450 | 57,614 |
| Germany (West) | 200 | 3,157 | 90 | 3,447 | 0 | 1,309 | 50 | 1,359 | 398 | 376 | 0 | 774 | 9,693 | 53,078 | 387 | 63,158 |
| Italy | 100 | 5,067 | 500 | 5,667 | 0 | 1,897 | 12 | 1,909 | 0 | 3,828 | 0 | 3,828 | 1,376 | 47,925 | 3,488 | 52,789 |
| Netherlands | 400 | 5,643 | 0 | 6,043 | 300 | 1,637 | 0 | 1,937 | 0 | 1,119 | 0 | 1,119 | 7,849 | 26,037 | 88 | 33,974 |
| Norway | 0 | 0 | 493 | 493 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,599 | 990 | 2,589 |
| Portugal | 0 | 3,503 | 0 | 3,503 | 0 | 540 | 0 | 540 | 450 | 0 | 0 | 450 | 450 | 4,283 | 0 | 4,733 |
| Spain.. | 600 | 6,628 | 0 | 7,228 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 1,675 | 16,793 | 91 | 18,559 |
| Sweden | 0 | 2,666 | 1,033 | 3,699 | 0 | 260 | 7 | 267 | 0 | 2,048 | 0 | 2,048 | 490 | 23,014 | 5,165 | 28,669 |
| Switzerland | 60 | 1,815 | 481 | 2,356 | 0 | 499 | 956 | 1,455 | 0 | 274 | 50 | 324 | 7,939 | 20,757 | 4,529 | 33,225 |
| Greece | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,458 | 0 | 2,458 | 0 | 4,486 | 0 | 4,486 | 0 | 12,520 | 300 | 12,820 |
| Rumania | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32,932 | 0 | 32,932 |
| Yugoslavia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,947 | 0 | 1,947 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,947 | 0 | 1,947 |
| Other . . | 200 | 500 | 0 | 700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,331 | 0 | 1,331 | 200 | 2,936 | 0 | 3,136 |
| Total Europe . | 4,122 | 59,536 | 4,272 | 67,930 | 1,678 | 16,206 | 1,275 | 19,159 | 1,491 | 26,994 | 149 | 28,634 | 51,267 | 407,098 | 24,642 | 483,007 |
| Other Countries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 601 | 20,433 | 11,414 | 32,448 | 873 | 18,368 | 7,007 | 26,248 | 1,334 | 17,656 | 8,302 | 27,292 | 12,783 | 182,993 | 83,473 | 279,249 |
| Chile | 375 | 114 | 0 | 489 | 111 | 347 | 0 | 458 | 134 | 274 | 0 | 408 | 841 | 757 | 0 | 1,598 |
| Thailand | 59 | 6,635 | 13,876 | 20,570 | 0 | 3,416 | 1,951 | 5,367 | 67 | 6,086 | 1,276 | 7,429 | 8,888 | 55,781 | 67,604 | 132,273 |
| S. Viet Nam | 3,615 | 15,717 | 0 | 19,332 | 0 | 6,528 | 0 | 6,528 | 888 | 11,931 | 0 | 12,819 | 21,176 | 92,963 | 246 | 114,385 |
| India | 5,965 | 6,749 | 0 | 12,714 | 162 | 172 | 0 | 334 | 20,542 | 10,792 | 0 | 31,334 | 110,414 | 88,051 | 0 | 198,465 |
| Pakistan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 777 | 0 | 0 | 777 | 4,452 | 230 | 0 | 4,682 | 5,229 | 230 | 0 | 5,459 |
| Indonesia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 617 | 32,004 | 8,118 | 40,739 | 716 | 37,380 | 3,801 | 41,897 | 2,748 | 127,808 | 22,963 | 153,519 |
| Korea . | 2,485 | 45,594 | 14,176 | 62,255 | 2,593 | 44,097 | 7,303 | 53,993 | 2,717 | 28,695 | 9,915 | 41,327 | 17,025 | 326,059 | 120,566 | 463,650 |
| Hong Kong. | 0 | 2,365 | 4,397 | 6,762 | 0 | 3,406 | 10,527 | 13,933 | 0 | 1,243 | 4,050 | 5,293 | 1,930 | 33,487 | 156,687 | 192,104 |
| Taiwan (Formosa) | 2,468 | 64,404 | 48,974 | 115,846 | 1,659 | 41,626 | 14,504 | 57,789 | 1,768 | 15,647 | 7,267 | 24,682 | 9,408 | 227,603 | 149,741 | 386,752 |
| Japan | 1,362 | 50,714 | 33,391 | 85,467 | 299 | 42,142 | 35,228 | 77,669 | 552 | 35,854 | 11,366 | 47,772 | 5,517 | 465,163 | 356,274 | 826,954 |
| Ghana | 0 | 9,349 | 0 | 9,349 | 0 | 856 | 0 | 856 | 0 | 3,553 | 0 | - 3,553 | 0 | 40,551 | 0 | 40,551 |
| Morocca | 0 | 2,439 | 0 | 2,439 | 0 | 174 | 0 | 174 | 0 | 1,578 | 0 | 1,578 | 0 | 21,339 | 52 | 21,391 |
| Republic of South Africa | 0 | 1,267 | 597 | 1,864 | 0 | 1,035 | 786 | 1,821 | 0 | 1,811 | 473 | 2,284 | 1,918 | 12,405 | 4,851 | 19,174 |
| Republic of the |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Philippınes | 528 | 11,264 | 834 | 12,626 | 1,666 | 6,602 | 721 | 8,989 | 1,117 | 12,641 | 4,875 | 18,633 | 5,448 | 86,412 | 19,331 | 111,191 |
| Other | 2,081 | 14,704 | 192 | 16,977 | 2,682 | 8,454 | 1,500 | 12,636 | 520 | 6,5,62 | 293 | 7,375 | 9,945 | 71,560 | 15,621 | 97,126 |
| World Total . | 23,661 | 311,284 | 132,123 | 467,068 | 13,117 | 225,433 | 88,920 | 327,470 | 36,298 | 218,927 | 51,767 | 306,992 | 264,537 | 2,240,260 | 1,0,22,051 | 3,526,848 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes American Pima and Sea Island Cotton which totaled 9,665 bales, August 1970June 1971.
Bureau of the Census.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Preliminary. ${ }^{2}$ Seasonally adjusted. ${ }^{3} 5$-week perıod. ${ }^{4}$ Combined upland and extra-long staple. ${ }^{5}$ End of montin. "On

[^1]:    The Cotton Situation is published in January, March, May, August, and October.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ In cotton-equivalent bales. ${ }^{2}$ Numbers in parentheses indicate number of weeks in period. ${ }^{3}$ Based on a cotton-equivalent factor of 1.10 for rayon and acetate and 1.37 for non-cellulosic. ${ }^{4}$ Running bales. ${ }^{5}$ Cotton equivalent of monthly consumption divided by $480 .{ }^{6}$ Sum of monthly consumption not adjusted to August 1 -July 31 marketing year basis. ${ }^{7}$ Preliminary.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Estimated value of fabric obtainable from a pound of raw fiber. ${ }^{2}$ Monthly average prices per pound for four territory growths, even running lots, mike $3.5-4.9$, prompt shipment, delivered Group 201. Mifi Points (Group B). ${ }^{3}$ Difference between fabric values and fiber prices.

    Consumer and Marketing Service.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Average of the 6 cheapest growth of SM 1-1/16 inch cotton activity traded for the
    period in Liverpool market. ${ }^{2}$ Based on offers of minimum micronaire of 3.5 to 4.9.
    ${ }^{3}$ Average of 3 quotations.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ This article is the fourth in a series on the domestic cotton industry's structure and the supply and demand for raw cotton, The first article, "The Cotton Fiber-Textile Apparel Complex Structure and Outlook for the 1970 's," was published in the May 1970 Cotton Situation, CS-246; the second article, "Yield and Acreage Implications for U.S. Cotton," appeared in the August 1970 Cotton Situation, CS-247; the third artıcle," $\cup S$ Demand for Cotton: Trends and Prospects," appeared in the March 1971 Cotton Situatron, CS-250.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Preliminary. 2 Estimated. 3 Excludes cotton afloat, in transit, Foreign Agricultural Service. and in free ports. ${ }^{4}$ Includes exports to United States, net exports to communist countries and destroyed.

[^7]:    ${ }^{2}$ The Liverpool price of U.S. SM 1-1/1t inch cotton was used in the analysis rather than an average of several quotations so that we could measure the ultimate effect of a U.S. price change on U.S. exports. Prices of foreign growths generally were closely related to the U.S. price during the 1960's.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cathcart, William E. and Donald, James R. "Analysis of Factors Affecting U.S. Cotton Exports," Agri. Econ. Rpt. 90, ERS, USDA, May 1966.

[^8]:    4 Dudley, George E., Donald, James R., and Barlowe, Russell G. "Yield and Acreage Implications for U.S. Cotton," Cotton Situation CS-247, August 1970.
    ${ }^{5}$ Using an average of several foreign cotton price quotations at Liverpool, the study, U.S. Upland Cotton's Competition in Foreign Markets, FAS-M-229, April 1971, estimated a 600,000 bale production response to a penny per pound change. This is equivalent to about a 400,000 bale response to a 1 cent change in U.S. cotton

[^9]:    ${ }^{6}$ Blakely, Leo U. "Quantitative Relationships in the Cotton E-
    Conomy with Implications for Economic Policy," Okla. State
    Univ. Tech. Bull. T-95, 1962.
    "Donald, James R., Lowenstein, Frank and Simon, Martin S.
    "The Demand for Textile Fibers in the United States," ERS,
    USDA Tech. Bull. 1301, 1963.

[^10]:    ${ }_{3}^{1}$ August 1 estimate. ${ }^{2}$ Bales of 480 pounds net weight.
    Crop Reporting Board, report of August 8, 1971.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ January-December.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes American Pima and Sea Island. ${ }^{2}$ Excludes cotton sold
    July 22 to date for delivery in the 1971 marketing year.
    ${ }^{3}$ Includes American Pima cotton transferred to CCC from the
    national stockpile. ${ }^{4}$ Less than 500 bales. ${ }^{5}$ Preliminary.
    Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

[^13]:    Includes American-Egyptian and Sea Island. ${ }^{2}$ Excludes cotton sold September 9 to date for delivery in the 1969 marketing Year. ${ }^{3}$ Includes American-Egyptian cotton transferred to CCC

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes machine-picked, machine stripped, and machine-scrapped. ${ }^{2}$ Indicated 0.5 percent or less.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes products made from waste. ${ }^{2}$ Includes
    ribbons, trimmings, and braids (except hat braids).

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Since 1941 includes production at gins and delinting plants. Beginning 1965, such data not available. ${ }^{2}$ Running bales.
    ${ }^{3}$ Running bales through September 1958; 600 pound equivalent
    gross weight bales thereafter. ${ }^{4}$ Bales of 500 pounds. ${ }^{5} 1$ mporis for consumption. ${ }^{6}$ Preliminary.

