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An extremely tight supply-demand balance is in store for U.S. cotton during 1980/81. Prospective supplies are down sharply from last season due to lower beginning stocks and a smaller crop. Expected disappearance of 12.9 million bales-about in line with the 1980 crop-is also well below last season. So, the carryover at the end of this season is likely to remain close to the beginning level of 2.8 million bales.

Based on August 1 conditions, the 1980 U.S. crop is forecast at 12.8 million bales, down from 14.6 million last year. Although harvested acreage is up 4 percent, hot, dry weather in the Southwest and in parts of the Delta and Southeast have reduced yield prospects 16 percent from last season's level.

Based on historical differences between the August forecast and final estimates, odds are 2 out of 3 that cotton production will be between 11.8 and 13.8 million bales.
U.S. cotton exports this season are forecast at 6.8 million bales, down from last season's unusually high 9.4 million. Factors behind the anticipated decline include increased cotton production in foreign countries, sluggish world textile activity, and reduced U.S. export availability.

By August 7, the 1980/81 U.S. export committment was nearly 3.5 million bales, including about 850,000 bales carried over from last season. The People's Republic of China which took 2.2 million bales of U.S. cotton last season had committments for around 1.1 million bales by August 7 .

Domestic textile mills are expected to use around 6 million bales of cotton this season, down from 6.5 million in 1979/80. The seasonally-adjusted annual rate of use fell below 6.4 million bales in June, down from rates of 6.5 to 6.7 million bales in previous months. Cotton use is likely to decline further this fall and winter due to adverse economic conditions and tight cotton supplies.

During the first half of 1980, the recession affected U.S. manmade fiber consumption more severely than it did cotton and wool. Manmade fiber use was down 10 percent from the first half of 1979 , reflect-
ing slumps in housing construction and automobile production. In contrast cotton use was 3 percent higher and wool use was 12 percent higher. Record cotton textile exports have been significant in maintaining cotton use.

World cotton production is forecast at 64.9 million bales in 1980/81, down slightly from last season. Foreign production of 52.1 million bales is expected, nearly 3 percent above 1979/80. Production in the USSR is expected to increase to 13.3 million bales from 13.1 million last year; production in China is forecast at 10.7 million bales, a 0.6 million increase over last year.

World cotton consumption is also expected to total around 65 million bales this season. Foreign consumption of 59.1 million bales is forecast, 0.7 million above last season. Of note, consumption in China is
expected to total a record 14 million bales. As a result, China's cotton imports are likely to be around 3.5 million bales again this season.

These early season forecasts of a close balance between cotton production and use suggest that prices will be particularly sensitive to changes in production prospects and economic conditions. By mid August, spot prices (SLM 1-1/16-inch cotton) in the U.S. were 85 cents a pound, over a third above the year-earlier.
Textile mill consumption of raw wool during the first half of 1980 was 65.1 million pounds, 13 percent above the average comparable period of the past six years. Reflecting a sustained mill demand after most of the clip was sold, the average farm price in June and July was about 91 cents a pound, two cents above May 1980.

## COTTON AND WOOL SITUATION

## TEXTILES AND THE ECONOMY

The Nation's real Gross National Product (GNP) declined at a seasonally adjusted annual rate of 9.1 percent in the second quarter of 1980 . This rate was sharply below the 1.2 -percent annual rate of increase during the first quarter and the 2 percent gain in the fourth quarter of 1979.
Inflation continued to advance during the second quarter. The GNP price deflator, a broadbased measure of inflation, increased at an annual rate of 10.4 percent, compared with 9.5 percent in the previous quarter. The Consumer Price Index (CPI), however, rose at a slower pace -13.2 percent versus 16.9 percent in the first quarter.

Most forecasts call for the recession to abate by the end of 1980, followed by a gradual recovery. However, inflation as measured by the GNP price deflator is likely to remain around 10 percent and unemployment could be around 8.5 percent by late 1980 and remain at that level for most of 1981. Textile mill activity is likely to parallel the course of the general economy, that is, sluggish for the remainder of 1980 and unspectacular growth in 1981.

The effects of the slumps in housing construction and automobile production on total fiber use, espe-
cially of manmade fibers, were very pronounced during the first half of 1980 . Mill consumption of all fibers totaled 6.1 billion pounds, down from 6.5 billion during the first half of 1979; manmade fiber use was down 10 percent, cotton use increased 3 percent, and wool use increased 12 percent.

Cotton's share of mill consumption increased to 27.7 percent in the second quarter of this year, 4 percentage points above the year-earlier quarter. A rising market share for cotton is, of course, fully expected in a recession.
Reflecting the domestic economic slowdown and a general weakness in the dollar, the U.S. textile trade deficit has declined significantly in recent months. During January-June 1980, the U.S. textile trade deficit for all fibers was only 46 million pounds, well below the 158 million-pound deficit for the comparable period of 1979. The U.S. had a surplus in manmade fiber textile trade of 125 million pounds this January-June, compared with a surplus of 28 million in the year-earlier period. Deficits in cotton and wool textile trade during January-June of 134 and 37 million pounds, respectively, were also below yearearlier levels.

## COTTON SITUATION

## U.S. OUTLOOK FOR 1980/81

Based on a survey, taken about August 1, the USDA forecast all cotton production for 1980/81 at 12.8 million bales, 12 percent below last season. The survey indicated that producers expect to harvest 13.3 million acres out of 14.4 planted, an abandonment of 7 percent. Average yield was forecast at 461 pounds per harvested acre, well below last season's record-high 548 pounds (table 19). Hot, dry conditions in Texas, Oklahoma, and parts of the Delta and Southeast account for the lower yields.
Since less than 2 percent of the crop was harvested by August 1 (preseason ginnings were about $\mathbf{2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ running bales), much uncertainty still surrounds 1980 cotton production. Based on historical differences between the August 1 forecasts and final estimates, the odds are 2 out of 3 that final production will be in the range of 11.8 to 13.8 million bales.
In the Delta States a crop of 2.96 million bales is forecast, compared with 3.06 million in 1979. Harvested acres are estimated at 3 million, 24 percent above 1979. But average yield, forecast at 480
pounds per harvested acre, is sharply below last season's exceptional 614 pounds.
Producers in the Southeast expect to harvest 672,000 acres, slightly above last year. Estimated average yield of 446 pounds per harvested acre is, however, well below last season. Consequently, production is expected to be around 624,000 bales, 2 percent below 1979.
Texas and Oklahoma production is forecast at 4.71 million bales, 22 percent below 1979. Dryland cotton in the High Plains and Oklahoma is suffering from lack of moisture and extreme heat. As a result, average yield in these states is forecast at 308 pounds per harvested acre, compared with 393 pounds last season. Additionally, the hot, dry weather caused the crop of South Texas to mature sooner than usual. Classification of this early-harvested crop indicated a potential low-micronaire problem.

Cotton production in Arizona, California, and New Mexico is expected to total around 4.52 million bales, compared with 4.87 million bales in 1979. This expected decline results from a reduction in California yields of 91 pounds per harvested acre.

Upland cotton production costs per planted acre (excluding land costs) are estimated at $\$ 359$ in 1980, up from $\$ 305$ last year. Per pound costs will increase more sharply this season, however, due to expected lower yields. Based on the current estimate of 427 pounds per planted acre, per pound costs total 84 cents (excluding land) in 1980, compared with 60 cents in 1979. Adjusted for cottonseed value, costs this year are around 74 cents a pound, up from 50 cents last season.
By July 31, producers had forward contracted 24 percent of this year's cotton acreage according to informal surveys conducted by the Agricultural Marketing Service. This acreage accounts for about one-third of prospective production. Contracting percentages ranged from 13 percent of Texas, Oklahoma acreage to 51 percent of acreage in the Delta States. By July 31, 1979, 15 percent of acreage was forward contracted.
U.S. cotton disappearance this season is expected to be well below last season's estimated 15.9 million bales, declining to around 12.9 million.

Cotton used in domestic textile mills is expected to total around 6 million bales in 1980/81, down from 6.5 million last season. This forecast assumes U.S. cotton production around the level indicated by the

August 1 survey and a gradual recovery in the U.S. economy beginning in late 1980 or early 1981.

Another good season is shaping up for U.S. raw cotton exports with about 6.8 million bales expected to be shipped in 1980/81, sharply below last season's unusually high 9.4 million bales, but 17 percent above the 1975-79 average.
Factors causing the expected reduction in U.S. exports include sluggish textile activity worldwide, an increase in production in foreign countries, and a smaller U.S. crop (see 1980/81 World Outlook section of this report for details).
By August 7, 3.5 million bales of U.S. cotton were committed for export during 1980/81, including nearly 850,000 bales carried over from 1979/80. Of this total, China accounted for slightly over 1 million bales. During recent weeks sales activity has been relatively low.
These early season forecasts of cotton supply ( 15.7 million bales) and use 12.9 ( million bales) indicate that cotton stocks on August 1, 1981 will remain around the beginning level of 2.8 million bales. Ending stocks are forecast in the range of 2.4 to 3.9 million bales, most likely being about 2.9 million.

Since U.S. cotton supplies are likely to be tight during the balance of 1980 and throughout most of

## Cotton: Supply, Demand, Price



- Mill use + exports divided by beginning stocks + production; estimated for 1979/80.
$\Delta$ Average price of SLM 1-1/16" cotton, October-March.
- Likely range based on forecast estimate of August 1, 1980.

1981, prices will be especially sensitive to changes in world cotton production prospects and somewhat less sensitive to economic developments (figure 3). Since the 1980/81 season began with low stocks, the upward pressure on prices will be extremely strong until the 1980 harvest gets into full swing this fall. Potential quality problems with the early-harvested crop may further tighten the supply/demand balance of good-quality cotton.

The loan rate for the 1980/81 crop is 48 cents a pound (SLM 1-1/16-inch average location), down from 50.23 cents. The target price is 58.4 cents a pound, up from 57.7 cents last season (table 1).

Table 1- Cotton: Loan rates, selected staple

| Year beginning August 1 | Upland |  |  |  | Extra-long staple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SLM } \\ 15 / 16^{\prime \prime} \end{gathered}$ | M 1'' | $\underset{1-1 / 16^{\prime \prime}}{\text { SLM }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SLM } \\ 1-1 / 8^{\prime \prime} \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Cents per pound |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 16.85 | 20.25 | 21.55 | 22.50 | 40.50 |
| 1971 | 16.65 | 19.50 | 20.55 | 21.40 | 38.40 |
| 1972 | 16.95 | 19.50 | 20.75 | 21.35 | 38.50 |
| 1973 | 16.80 | 19.50 | 20.65 | 21.40 | 38.20 |
| 1974 | 22.06 | 25.26 | 27.06 | 27.76 | 49.72 |
| 1975 | 30.87 | 34.27 | 36.12 | 36.77 | 67.74 |
| 1976 | 33.72 | 37.12 | 38.92 | 39.57 | 73.24 |
| 1977 | 39.18 | 42.58 | 44.63 | 45.28 | 76.70 |
| 1978 | 42.75 | 45.95 | 48.00 | 48.65 | 83.20 |
| 1979 | 44.78 | 47.88 | 50.23 | 50.93 | 92.95 |
| 1980 | 42.00 | 45.10 | 48.00 | 48.75 | 93.50 |

Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

## 1979/80 WORLD SITUATION

World cotton production in 1979/80 was an estimated 65.5 million bales, an increase of 9 percent or 5.5 million bales over the previous season's output. Harvested area of nearly 80 million acres was less than 1 percent above 1978/79, but record-high yields of about 395 pounds per harvested acre were achieved. Most of the production increase occurred in the United States where a 3.8 -million-bale larger crop was harvested. Foreign cotton production was an estimated 50.8 million bales, up from 49.2 million in 1978 (table 20).

Production in foreign cotton net-exporting countries was around 33.1 million bales, 1.4 million above 1978. The most notable increases from 1978 to 1979 were in the USSR and Pakistan: 12.3 million to 13.1 million and 2.1 million to 3.4 million bales, respectively. Output in the net-exporting countries in the Western Hemisphere declined 0.4 million bales to 7.4 million.
Output in foreign net-importing countries is estimated at 17.7 million bales, 0.2 million above the 1978 level. Estimated production in China of 10.1
million bales was up slightly from 1978. Production in India declined 0.2 million bales from 1978 to 6.1 million.

World cotton consumption for 1979/80 is estimated at a record-high 65 million bales, 2.1 million above 1978/79. Virtually all of the increase occurred outside the United States. Foreign cotton consumption was an estimated 58.5 million bales, up from 56.6 million in 1978/79. While general increases in consumption were noted, larger gains were recorded in the textile-exporting nations of Asia. Consumption in China, the leading importer of U.S. cotton in 1979/80, increased from 12.6 to 13.5 million bales. In the non-Communist Asian nations which import significant quantities of U.S. cotton-primarily, Japan, South Korea, Taiwan, and Hong Kong-consumption was 6.8 million bales, compared with 6.5 million in 1978/79. All told, consumption in the cotton netimporting countries increased to about 38 million bales from 36.3 million in the previous season. On the other hand, consumption in the net-exporting nations, including the United States, rose only slightly, from 26.7 to 26.9 million bales.
World cotton stocks on August 1, 1980 were around 21.5 million bales, practically unchanged from a year earlier. Stocks in foreign countries increased from 17.7 to 18.7 million bales during 1979/80, about offsetting a 1.2 million-bale reduction in U.S. stocks. However, as they equal only a 4 month's supply at current rates of use, foreign stocks are still relatively tight.
Stocks in net-importing countries, on August 1, at 9.3 million bales, were little changed from a year earlier. Of note, stocks in Western Europe declined slightly, from 1.8 to 1.7 million bales; India showed a decline from 1.6 to 1.3 million bales, but stocks in China increased nearly 0.35 million bales, to over 2.4 million. Stocks in foreign net-exporting countries were about 9.3 million bales on August 1, 1980, compared with 8.5 million a year earlier. The most significant changes occurred in the USSR where stocks increased from 1.8 to 2.5 million bales, and in Pakistan where stocks nearly doubled, totaling over 0.7 million bales on this August 1.
World cotton exports reached a record 22.8 million bales in 1979/80, 3 million above 1978/79. Low beginning stocks in many importing nations, coupled with increases in consumption were responsible for the expansion. China, which increased imports to 3.7 million bales from 2.2 million in 1978/79, and the noncommunist Asian nations which took about 0.6 million more bales in 1979/80 accounted for most of the increased trade.
The United States, which in 1979/80 produced 22 percent of the world's cotton crop while accounting for only 10 percent of consumption, was the primary beneficiary of the expanded trade. U.S. exports were an estimated 9.4 million bales, 3.2 million above

1978/79. Exports from the United States accounted for 42 percent of world trade, compared with a share of 31 percent in 1978/79 and an average share of around 25 percent during 1973/74-1977/78. Another prime beneficiary of last season's record trade was

Table 2. Index of prices of selected cotton growths and qualities, and price per pound of U.S. SN 1-1/16" c.i.f. Northern Europe

| Month | 1978 |  | 1979 |  | 1980 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Index ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { SM } \\ 1-1 / 16^{\prime \prime} \end{gathered}$ | Index ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { SM } \\ 1-1 / 16^{\prime \prime} \end{gathered}$ | Index ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { SM } \\ 1 \cdot 1 / 16^{\prime \prime} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Cents |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 64.06 | 64.75 | 77.00 | 76.00 | 88.72 | 89.85 |
| February. | 66.38 | 66.00 | 76.10 | 75.25 | 97.05 | 98.06 |
| March. | 68.51 | 68.30 | 75.27 | 74.30 | 93.54 | 95.19 |
| April | 69.26 | 69.38 | 73.53 | 72.88 | 90.56 | 95.06 |
| May. | 70.71 | 72.12 | 75.21 | 76.45 | 88.40 | 95.30 |
| June | 71.36 | 72.35 | 76.18 | 77.06 | 84.14 | 85.38 |
| July. . | 70.65 | 71.38 | 76.83 | 77.06 | 88.87 | 93.50 |
| August | 73.17 | 74.50 | 77.46 | 77.85 |  |  |
| September | 74.00 | 75.06 | 77.98 | 78.44 |  |  |
| October | 76.85 | 77.75 | 77.98 | 78.44 |  |  |
| November | 79.38 | 79.40 | 80.12 | 80.65 |  |  |
| December | 79.08 | 79.25 | 82.22 | 82.25 |  |  |
| Average | 71.95 | 72.52 | 77.16 | 77.22 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Outlook 'A' index of Liverpool Cotton Services. Average of the 5 lowest priced of 10 selected growths.

Cotton Outlook, Liverpool Cotton Services.

Pakistan which shipped 1.2 million bales, compared with only 0.25 million in 1978/79. Unaccountably, exports from the USSR, where a sizeable increase in seed-cotton production was noted, were 3.7 million bales, unchanged from 1978/79.

Reflecting strong demand relative to supplies of the better grades of cotton, world prices as measured by the Outlook "A" Index (SM 1-1/16-inch cotton, c.i.f. N. Europe) averaged 89 cents a pound in July, 12 cents above a year earlier, but still below the record monthly average of 97 cents a pound in February 1980. Prices of U.S. SM 1-1/16-inch cotton, c.i.f. N. Europe, averaged 94 cents a pound in July, nearly 5 cents above the "A" Index. In April and May, U.S. cotton had been priced 5 to 7 cents a pound above the Index (tables 2 and 3 ).

Polyester staple prices in late July ranged from about 90 cents a pound in Japan and Italy to around $\$ 1$ a pound in West Germany and the United King. dom.

## 1980/81 WORLD OUTLOOK

Higher prices at planting time encouraged producers in the Northern Hemisphere to increase cotton area this Spring. Indications are that cotton area in the Southern Hemisphere will also be larger this fall. All told, harvested cotton area could be nearly 3 per-

Tatile 3-Cotton: Average prices ${ }^{1}$ of selected growths and qualities, c.i.f. Northern Europe

| Calendar year and month | SM 1-1/16' |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U.S. | Mexico | Nicaraqua | Syria | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S.S.R. } \\ \text { Perv:1 } \\ 31 / 32 \\ \text { mm. } \end{gathered}$ | Iran | Turkey (Izmir) |
|  | Equivalent U.S. cents per pound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 72.52 | 72.94 | 70.21 | 72.08 | 72.55 | 75.10 | 73.46 |
| 1979 | 77.22 | 77.43 | 73.97 | 81.08 | 78.73 | 80.77 | 82.53 |
| 1979 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August. | 77.85 | 77.65 | N.Q. | N.Q. | 78.30 | N.Q. | N.Q. |
| September. | 78.44 | 77.94 | N.Q. | N.Q. | 78.38 | N.Q. | N.Q. |
| October . . | 78.44 | 77.81 | N.Q. | 79.80 | 78.94 | N.Q. | 82.00 |
| November | 80.65 | 80.05 | 78.88 | 81.08 | 81.85 | 82.70 | 83.55 |
| December | 82.25 | 82.25 | 79.83 | 83.50 | 84.67 | 85.17 | 85.33 |
| 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 89.85 | 88.15 | 86.35 | 84.50 | 90.10 | N.Q. | 86.75 |
| Febrùary. | 98.06 | 95.88 | 93.75 | N.Q. | 99.50 | N.Q. | N.Q. |
| March . | 95.19 | 92.94 | 90.25 | N.Q. | 94.88 | N.Q. | 96.00 |
| April. | 95.06 | 91.44 | 85.75 | N.Q. | 89.19 | $N . Q$. | 95.63 |
| May. | 95.30 | 89.45 | 84.35 | N.Q. | 86.90 | N.Q. | 92.60 |
| June | 85.38 | 86.38 | 81.88 | N.Q. | 83.50 | N.Q. | 88.38 |
| Juty. | 93.50 | 90.55 | 87.40 | N.Q. | 86.40 | N.Q. | N.Q. |

[^0]Cotton Outlook, Liverpool Cotton Services.
cent above that for 1979/80, totaling around 82 million acres.
World cotton production is forecast at 64.9 million bales, down slightly from 1979/80. The largest change is occurring in the United States where, based on August 1 conditions, a crop of 12.8 million bales is forecast, a 1.8 million-bale decline from 1979/80.
Foreign cotton production is expected to be around 52.1 million bales, 1.3 million above 1979/80. Production in foreign net-importing countries is forecast at 18.7 million bales, up from 17.8 million last season. Production in China is expected to total 10.7 million bales, a 0.6 million bale increase from 1979/80. India's cotton production may increase slightly this season, to around 6.3 million bales.
In foreign net-exporting countries, cotton production of around 33.4 million bales is forecast for 1980/81, up only 0.2 million from last season. Output in the USSR may be 13.3 million bales, 0.2 million above 1979/80; elsewhere, little change is expected.
World cotton consumption is expected to remain around $1979 / 80$ 's 65 million bales. While mill use in the U.S. is likely to decline, foreign consumption is forecast around 59 million bales, a gain of 0.7 million over last season. In the net-importing countries, cotton mill use is expected to be about 38 million bales. China is expected to increase its cotton use to a record 14 million in 1980/81. Consumption in India is expected to hold at around 6 million bales. Less cotton is likely to be consumed in the other netimporting countries of Asia. Cotton use in Western Europe is also expected to decline slightly this season.
In foreign net-exporting countries, cotton use is likely to be around 21 million bales, compared with 20.4 million in 1979/80. Most of this expected increase is in Pakistan and Turkey.
These early season forecasts suggest a fairly close balance between cotton production and use in 1980/81. Although use is expected to be unchanged increased production in the net-importing nations, coupled with a smaller exportable surplus in the United States, could cause a reduction in world trade from last season's record 22.8 million bales; world exports in 1980/81 are likely to be around 20.6 million bales.

## REVIEW OF U.S. SITUATION FOR 1979/80

USDA's Crop Reporting Board's final estimate of all cotton production for $1979 / 80$ was 14.6 million bales, 35 percent above the previous season, and the largest since $1965 / 66$. Producers harvested 12.8 million acres out of 13.9 million planted, an abandon-
ment of over 8 percent. Yields averaged a recordhigh 548 pounds per harvested acre, well above 1978/79's abnormally low 421 pounds (table 19).
The Southwest (Texas and Oklahoma) and West (Arizona, California, New Mexico) accounted for 75 percent of the cotton production last season. This is the largest share ever for these regions, and the first time it exceeded 70 percent.

About 22 percent of the 1979 cotton crop stapled 1-1/4 inches and longer, a record-high proportion for these staple lengths. The predominant lengths were staples 34 and 35 , accounting for 41 percent of ginnings, the smallest proportion since 1957.
The costs per planted acre of producing cotton continued to increase. But, higher yields and proportionally more cotton in the lower cost Southwest and West regions resulted in lower average costs per pound than in 1978. Excluding land costs, upland cotton production costs in 1979 were an estimated 60 cents a pound, 7 cents lower than in 1978. Subtracting the estimated value of cottonseed gives a net average cost of 50 cents a pound, also 7 cents less than in 1978. Production costs, excluding land, ranged from 55 cents a pound in the Southwest to 83 cents in the Southeast.
Last season's record yield combined with relatively strong cotton prices boosted the estimated gross revenue from an acre of cotton to $\$ 398$, up from $\$ 285$ in 1978 and $\$ 302$ in 1977. The value of the 1979/80 crop was a record $\$ 5.1$ billion, including a value of $\$ 705$ million for cottonseed.
U.S. cotton disappearance during 1979/80 increased sharply to an estimated 15.9 million bales, compared with 12.5 million in 1978/79, and was the largest since 1959/60 (tables 21 and 22).

For the 1979/80 season which ended this July 31, U.S. cotton exports were an estimated 9.4 million bales, over 3 million above 1978/79 and the most since $1926 / 27$. Exports to China were 2.2 million bales, more than 3 times 1978/79 shipments. While the Asian nations continued to account for around 80 percent of U.S. exports, increased exports around the world were noted last season. Led by Romania, exports to Eastern Europe, for example, were nearly 180,000 bales, compared to around 40,000 bales during 1978/79. Exports to the European Community also were sharply above 1978/79 totals. In addition to increased exports to China, U.S. exports were boosted by: The large exportable surplus arising from record yields in this country, low beginning stocks in many foreign countries, record cotton consumption in foreign countries, and the USSR's passive role in world cotton trade.
U.S. textile mills used an estimated 6.5 million bales of cotton in 1979/80, 2 percent above the previous season's total. During August-June, mill use totaled around 6 million bales, slightly above the
year-earlier period. The seasonally adjusted annual rate of use for June was 6.39 million bales, down from 6.5 million in May and 6.7 million during March and April (tables 4 and 5).

Cotton used in denim fabric during the first half of 1980 totaled 618,000 bales, an increase of 26 percent over a year earlier. Cotton used in corduroy production was 267,000 bales, 14 percent above the first half of 1979. Strong demand for these end-uses boosted cotton mill use during January-June to 3.36 million bales, 3 percent above a year-earlier (table 25).

More competitive cotton price relative to manmade fibers in 1979 and an improved textile trade picture helped to maintain cotton use during 1979/80 despite a sluggish general economy.

The difference between cotton and manmade fiber prices narrowed significantly during 1979. In January 1979 , mills paid 16 cents a pound more for cotton than for polyester staple; by December, the difference was only 7 cents a pound. This July, mills were paying about 84 cents a pound for cotton and 78 cents a pound for polyester. However, cotton spot

Table 4-Upland cotton and manmade staple fibers: Mill consumption on cotton-system spinning spindles

${ }^{1}$ Numbers in parentheses indicate number of weeks in period. ${ }^{2}$ Prellminary.
Compiled from reports of the Bureau of the Census.

Table 5-Cotton and manmade fibers: Daily rate of mill consumption on cotton-system spinning spindles, unadjusted and seasonally adjusted

| Month | Upland cotton |  |  |  | Manmade staple |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1978/79 |  | 1979/80 ${ }^{1}$ |  | 1978/79 |  |  |  | 1979/80 ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Unadjusted | Adjusted | Unadjusted | Adjusted | Rayon and acetate |  | Noncellulosic ${ }^{2}$ |  | Rayon and acetate |  | Noncellulosic ${ }^{2}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Unadjusted | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ad- } \\ & \text { justed } \end{aligned}$ | Unadjusted | Adjusted | Unadjusted | Adjusted | Unadjusted | Adjusted |
|  | Bales ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  | 1,000 pounds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August | 23,668 | 23,597 | 24,355 | 24,138 | 1,375 | 1,345 | 6,150 | 6,077 | 1,216 | 1,192 | 6,392 | 6,335 |
| September | 23,468 | 23,633 | 24,828 | 25,155 | 1,374 | 1,370 | 6,151 | 6,206 | 1,200 | 1,196 | 6,480 | 6,559 |
| October | 24,830 | 23,898 | 25,930 | 24,813 | 1,465 | 1,360 | 6,453 | 6,199 | 1,338 | 1,239 | 6,887 | 6,623 |
| November | 24,461 | 23,934 | 24,743 | 24,187 | 1,280 | 1,286 | 6,470 | 6,300 | 1,238 | 1,247 | 6,626 | 6,427 |
| December | 22,432 | 24,017 | 22,298 | 24,002 | 1,193 | 1,327 | 5,658 | 6,163 | 1,073 | 1,196 | 5,846 | 6,361 |
| January. | 24,823 | 24,194 | 24,789 | 24,161 | 1,458 | 1,424 | 6,212 | 6,231 | 1,308 | 1,274 | 6,859 | 6,866 |
| February. | 24,251 | 23,386 | 26,230 | 24,287 | 1,295 | 1,286 | 6,164 | 6,073 | 1,287 | 1,276 | 6,903 | 6,794 |
| March. . . | 26,037 | 24,916 | 26,567 | 25,521 | 1,331 | 1,315 | 6,503 | 6,253 | 1,298 | 1,280 | 7,051 | 6,773 |
| April | 24,090 | 23,899 | 25,683 | 25,404 | 1,332 | 1,320 | 6,316 | 6,091 | 1,165 | 1,155 | 6,792 | 6,543 |
| May. | 24,919 | 24,240 | 25,660 | 24,840 | 1,253 | 1,177 | 6,562 | 6,261 | 1,205 | 1,133 | 6,606 | 6,309 |
| June | 25,181 | 24,639 | 24,589 | 24,321 | 1,300 | 1,268 | 6,397 | 6,247 | 1,182 | 1,157 | 6,221 | 6,081 |
| July.. | 20,745 | 24,964 |  |  | 1,078 | 1,253 | 5,485 | 6,431 |  |  |  |  |

[^1]Table 6- Upland cotton: Legally applicable parity price ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Month | $1977 / 78$ | $1978 / 79$ | $1979 / 80$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Cents |  |  |
| August . . . . . . | 83.70 | 90.60 | 99.70 |
| September . . . | 83.60 | 91.50 | 101.00 |
| October . . . . | 83.60 | 92.10 | 102.00 |
| November . . . . | 83.80 | 92.30 | 102.00 |
| December . . . . | 84.10 | 93.20 | 103.00 |
| January. . . . . | 85.40 | 94.40 | 104.00 |
| February . . . . | 86.60 | 96.20 | 105.00 |
| March. . . . . . | 88.00 | 98.20 | 106.00 |
| ApriI . . . . . . | 89.10 | 99.20 | 106.00 |
| May. ....... | 90.00 | 100.00 | 107.00 |
| June . . . . . . | 90.40 | 101.00 | 108.00 |
| July. ....... | 90.60 | 99.90 | 109.00 |

${ }^{1}$ Effective following month.
prices in mid-August of around 85 cents a pound (SLM 1-1/16-inch cotton) implied a mill-delivered price close to 90 cents a pound (table 26).

Cotton textiles were exported from the United States at a record-high rate during the first half of 1980. As a result, the trade deficit in cotton textiles during January-June was 5 percent below the yearearlier period. In June, the trade deficit was double that for May, reflecting the release of embargoed PRC textile products in the United States. In calen-
dar 1979, the trade deficit was 560,000 bales, raw fiber equivalent, down from a deficit of 1 million bales in 1978 (tables $27-30$ ). The economic slowdown in the U.S. and the relatively weak dollar restrained textile imports during recent months.

Preliminary estimates of U.S. cotton supply and disappearance indicate that stocks declined to a relatively low 2.8 million bales on August 1, 1980, compared with beginning stocks of 4 million. Of the estimated 2.8 -million-bale carryover, 0.8 million were committed for export. So, "free" stocks were around the 2.0 -million-bale level. This level of stocks suggests that prices in the coming weeks will be extremely sensitive to 1980 cotton production prospects.
Responding to strong export demand for U.S. cotton, international economic and political uncertainties, and deteriorating new crop prospects, spot prices rose sharply during recent weeks. During July, spot prices for SLM 1-1/16-inch cotton of 3.5 to 4.9 micronaire increased nearly 12 cents a pound, reaching a high of 86 cents on August 1. By mid-August, prices were averaging around 85 cents a pound, and December 1980 futures were nearly 87 cents a pound.

Upland cotton farm prices averaged 62.3 cents a pound during the first 8 months of 1979/80, about 4

Oalk Y-Commodity Credit Corporation stocks of cotton. United States


[^2]Agricultural Stabillzation and Conservation Service.
cents above the 1978/79 average price. In June and July, farm prices were down, averaging 56 and 50 cents a pound, respectively. However, these prices reflect only limited quantities of mostly low-quality cotton (table 31 and figure 4).

## ELS COTTON SITUATION

Based on August 1 conditions, the 1980/81 extralong staple (ELS) cotton crop is forecast at 99,700 bales, 1 percent above last season. Acres for harvest are estimated at 77,100 , down from 89,100 last season. Average yield is expected to be 621 pounds per harvested acre, compared with 531 pounds in 1979. Arizona is expected to produce 70,000 bales this year, 70 percent of the U.S. total.

ELS cotton disappearance during 1980/81 is expected to be around 100,000 bales, down from 117,000 in 1979/80. Exports of 40,000 bales are forecast, compared with 52,000 last season. Expected mill use of 60,000 bales would be 5,000 below 1979/80. Stocks on August 1, 1981 are forecast at 31,000 bales, unchanged from the beginning level.

The loan rate for $1980 / 81$ is 93.5 cents a pound, up from 92.95 cents for 1979/80. Farm prices for the

1979/80 crop averaged 99.8 cents a pound, compared with 91.7 cents in 1979/80. Current farm prices are around $\$ 1$ to $\$ 1.05$ a pound.

## MANMADE FIBER REVIEW

Manmade fiber output during April through June of this year reflected the economic decline occurring in the automobile, construction, and other industries. Production of all manmade fibers (including glass) in the second quarter 1980 was about 2.28 billion pounds, 12 percent below the previous quarter and 14 percent below a year earlier. Sharp declines were noted in both staple and filament production (table 35).
Manufacturing capacity for all manmade fibers was 3.06 billion pounds ( 1.31 billion staple and 1.74 billion filament) in the second quarter, little changed from the previous two quarters. On the average manmade fiber plants operated at about 75 percent of capacity in the second quarter, compared to 85 percent in the first quarter and 88 percent during 1979. Staple plants operated at 80 percent in the second quarter of 1980 ; filament plants, at 70 percent. However, nylon staple producers only used 51

## U.S. Cotton Prices


percent of capacity in the second quarter, reflecting declining sales to the carpet market. Depressed tire cord and textile (mostly double knit) fiber demand caused polyester filament to be produced in the second quarter 1980 at 64 percent of its capacity, compared to 75 percent in the previous quarter and an average of 81 percent during 1979.
Table 35 shows quarterly estimates of fiber manufacturing capacity for 1980 and an annual estimate for 1981. Total capacity in 1980 is estimated at about 12.3 billion pounds, less than 2 percent above 1979. Manufacturing capacity is expected to rise to 12.7 billion pounds in 1981. The fiber group expected to show the largest relative capacity increase in 1981 over 1980 is olefin staple, reflecting expected growing uses in carpets, nonwoven products, and apparel. Nylon staple capacity is expected to expand about 7 percent, reflecting the belief that over the long run increasing quantities of this fiber will be used in carpet.
Total fiber shipments (excluding glass) by producers during the first half of 1980 were 4.34 billion pounds, $7-1 / 2$ percent below the comparable 1979 period, and 11 percent below the first quarter of 1980. Of these fibers, non-cellulosic were 91 percent and cellulosic were 9 percent.
Domestic shipments of 3.81 billion pounds were recorded in the first half of 1980 , comprising about 88 percent of total shipments. The downturn in manmade fiber domestic shipments occurred during the second quarter of 1980 , falling to 1.76 billion pounds, 17 percent less than a year earlier and 14 percent below the first quarter. The specific fiber groups in April-June 1980 experiencing major declines from a year earlier were nylon staple, 43 percent; polyester filament, 23 percent; nylon filament, 19 percent; and rayon staple, 16 percent. The major end-uses of these fibers are in depressed industries such as automotive (tire cord), housing (carpets), and apparel items using knit and textured woven fabric.
Export shipments of fibers in the first half of 1980 were 0.52 billion pounds, 9 percent more than during
the first half of 1979. Favorable currency ratios and manufacturing efficiencies have been factors in large sales to countries in the Far East who also import large quantities of raw cotton. A record amount of polyester staple, 0.11 billion pounds, was exported during the second quarter 1980 , 40 percent of all fiber exports. Other important fiber exports have been acrylic staple and nylon and polyester filament.

Table 34 shows the quantities of each fiber (filament plus staple) being used during the five quarters ending with the first quarter 1980 in the three most important manmade fiber textile domestic markets: Knit, woven, and carpets. A significant feature of these three markets is their dominance by one fiber. Nylon fibers constitute about 72 percent of the total quantity of manmade fibers used in carpets. Almost two-thirds of the manmade fiber market for broad and narrow woven textile products and blankets is polyester, and about $50-55$ percent of the manmade fiber knit textile market is held by polyester fibers.

Noncellulosic fiber producers in the second quarter 1980 experienced some relief in feedstock costs. There have been moderate price reductions in the basic petroleum building blocks which in turn make the chemical raw materials for many of the major noncellulosic fibers. Paraxylene's price dropped, according to industry reports, from about 30 cents a pound in April to 26 cents in late June. Xylene's major use is in gasoline blending to raise the octane rating. Its demand for this market has fallen with the decline in summer driving and the resulting larger than normal gasoline supplies. Excess ethylene, another polyester fiber basic building block, is now priced at $21-22$ cents a pound, down from 24 cents. Benzene, a starting point for nylon is selling for about $\$ 1.55$ a gallon, down from a recent high of $\$ 1.75$. Acrylic staple and the olefinic fibers use large amounts of propylene which is now being traded for 18 or 19 cents a pound, down from 21 cents. Market sources tend to believe that these lower prices will probably prevail into the fourth quarter 1980, depending upon the extent of the expected economic recovery.

## WOOL SITUATION

## WORLD OVERVIEW

The most recent estimate of $1979 / 80$ world wool production is 3.42 billion pounds, clean, 2.9 percent above the preceding season and 3.2 percent above the previous five year average. This relatively large clip reflected an expansion in world sheep numbers to about 980 million, the level of a decade earlier. Favorable range conditions, higher prices, and
attractive profitability contributed to this increase. The Australian sheep population was estimated to be 134 million in September 1979, 2 percent more than a year earlier. New Zealand sheep numbers increased $2-1 / 2$ percent during $1979-80$ to 64 million due to exceptional growing conditions and good profits. Sizable flock increases were also reported by China, Pakistan, Russia, Romania, and Uruguay. Russia is now the leading sheep producer, having surpassed

Australia which ranks second. Recent data indicate that China is third and New Zealand is fourth.
Despite an increase in wool production, the world wool supply during $1979-80$ of 3,635 million pounds, clean, was slightly lower than the preceding year. This smaller availability resulted from consumption exceeding the new clip for four seasons in a row. The beginning carry-in for $1979 / 80$ was 212 million pounds, clean, including the Australian Wool Corporation's (AWC) stocks on July 1, 1979, of 77 million pounds. This was the smallest AWC stockpile since their floor price scheme began in 1974. Australia and Argentina together accounted for threefourths of these world stocks.

Mill use of raw wool in major non-Communist wool-consuming countries advanced by an estimated 6 percent in 1979. Recovery of wool usage in centrally-planned countries was believed to be about 4 percent. The countries showing the strongest increases during 1979 were Italy (which contains the largest wool textile industry in Western Europe), South Africa, the Korean Republic, and Taiwan. Russia which ranks second to Italy as a wool textile producer experienced a rise in yarn output of 2 percent and in fabric production of 3 percent.
Australian wool prices during the past season responded to a variety of economic forces. The year began with a brisk demand which, by mid-October, brought prices for both merino ( 60 's and finer) and crossbred (less than 60's) wools up to levels not reached for eight years. This rather strong demand reflected a speculative element, and, at the same time, relatively large purchases by Japan because of currency devaluations. By November, a decline of prices took place with a plunge in Japanese purchases because of a slowing down of general world business. A two month strike by Australian warehouse-men ending in mid-March caused prices to increase sharply. After a season of ups and downs the Market Indicator rose to an average of 404 in June, compared to 365 at the beginning of the season. AWC stocks at the end of the season were 210,453 bales, 41 percent less than at the beginning.
Wool supply prospects for $1980 / 81$ seem to indicate a smaller availability than existed for the season just completed. Australian wool production is expected to fall $5-1 / 2$ percent to about 905 million pounds, clean. As a result of the worst drought in 80 years, the Official Forecasting Committee estimates that there will be about 129 million sheep or $5-1 / 2$ million fewer than 1979/80. In addition the average clip per head is expected to drop 2 to 3 percent. This lower shorn production may be offset by a small increase in pulled wool production because of anticipated higher slaughter and farm deaths. The other major wool exporting countries look forward to increased production. New Zealand is confident of breaking
previous records. Uruguay plans to expand its pastoral area to increase its wool production from about 161 million pounds in 1979 to 176 million in 1984, an average annual growth rate in excess of 1.8 percent. In South Africa, where income from wooled sheep is double that of beef cattle, sheep numbers are expected to rise which together with better weather could increase their output to pre-drought levels. The number of sheep shorn in United States increased 1.2 percent over last year while the lamb crop increased 2.9 percent. Sheep numbers in China at the beginning of 1980 showed a rise of $7-1 / 2$ percent.
The Australian government announced in July that the average floor price for the $1980 / 81$ season will be $A \$ 3.65$ per kgm , clean, which is 15 percent more than last season's level. From October 1979 through June 1980, the average weekly price of wool sold by Australian brokers fell below A $\$ 3.65$ only twice.
The demand for wool throughout the world should be dampened in the coming year by the reduced rates of economic growth and consumer spending being experienced in all countries. However, a recession of the severity of $1974-1975$ seems unlikely. Western Europe is expected to have a 1-percent growth rate in real GNP during 1980. The Far East may experience no economic growth due to their rising energy costs and a lessening of exports. In the United States the effect on the textile industry may be rather mild because apparel and other textile products' stock has not been built up unduly.
While gradually declining world-wide textile mill activity may indicate that the quantity of raw wool purchases in 1980/81 may be moderate, some rebuilding of stocks may occur because raw wool stocks in major consuming countries are slightly less than last year.

## U.S. SITUATION

The sheep population in the United States is larger in 1980 than last year. The lamb crop on January 1 was estimated to be 8.3 million, up 3 percent from last year. The inventory of breeding ewes 1 year old and older at the year's beginning was 8.4 million head, 1.7 percent more than last year. The lambing rate per 100 ewes was 99 compared to 98 the year before and 94 in 1978. This high lambing rate resulted from a warmer than usual winter. Lamb prices declined in the first and second quarters of 1980 , to $\$ 66$ per hundredweight and $\$ 61$, respectively, compared to $\$ 70$ and $\$ 69$ in the respective quarters in 1979. Increased lamb slaughter and lower prices for beef and pork were causes of the lower lamb price.
The number of sheep shorn in 1980 was 13 million, up 1 percent from 1979, and 3-1/2 percent more than
in 1978. The quantity of shorn wool in 1980 was 102.1 million pounds, greasy basis, slightly less than last year but 1 percent more than 1978. This difference occurred because the average fleece weight declined to 7.87 pounds in 1980 from 8.02 in 1979 and 8.08 in 1978. The average farm price for raw wool in July was 90.3 cents per pound, 0.5 cent less than in June, but 2.1 cents above the May price (table 8). The higher price in June and July resulted from a sustained mill demand after most of the clip was sold. The average farm price for raw wool should remain in the low 90 's during the last half of 1980 .

Mill consumption of raw wool during the first six months of 1980 was 65.1 million pounds, clean, 10 percent above last year and 13 percent above the average comparable period over the past six years (table 9). Carpet consumption of raw wool continued its downward trend. In the January-June period, 4.9

Table 8- Average U.S. farm prices per pound for shorn wool, grease basis

| Month | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | $1980^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cents |  |  |  |  |
| January | 50.7 | 72.9 | 72.6 | 78.7 | 83.6 |
| February | 58.4 | 72.5 | 68.9 | 77.3 | 82.3 |
| March | 59.5 | 72.4 | 71.2 | 79.5 | 91.6 |
| April | 64.4 | 72.5 | 73.7 | 86.9 | 92.9 |
| May | 65.1 | 71.9 | 73.9 | 88.0 | 88.2 |
| June | 68.1 | 73.7 | 76.2 | 89.4 | 90.8 |
| July | 68.3 | 72.3 | 74.8 | 87.7 | 90.3 |
| August | 67.0 | 70.4 | 74.6 | 81.8 |  |
| September | 68.2 | 66.4 | 72.7 | 84.9 |  |
| October. | 70.8 | 71.3 | 77.1 | 87.5 |  |
| November | 71.2 | 70.6 | 81.2 | 89.0 |  |
| December | 69.5 | 69.3 | 73.6 | 86.5 |  |
| Weighted season average . | 65.7 | 72.0 | 74.5 | 86.3 |  |

${ }^{1}$ Preliminary.

Table 9- U.S. mill consumption of raw wool, scoured basis

| Year | Apparel wool | Carpet wool | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000 pounds |  |  |
| 1970 | 163,652 | 76,609 | 240,261 |
| 1971 | 116,310 | 75,151 | 191,461 |
| 1972 | 142,233 | 76,368 | 218,601 |
| 1973 | 109,872 | 41,394 | 151,266 |
| 1974 | 74,856 | 18,595 | 93,451 |
| 1975 | 94,117 | 15,908 | 110,025 |
| 1976 | 106,629 | 15,117 | 121,746 |
| 1977 | 95,485 | 12,526 | 108,011 |
| 1978 | 102,246 | 13,009 | 115,255 |
| 1979 | 101,206 | 9,846 | 111,052 |
| Jan.-June |  |  |  |
| 1979. | 53,548 | 5,698 | 59,246 |
| $1980^{1}$ | 60,233 | 4,851 | 65,084 |

[^3][^4]million pounds were used, 15 percent less than last year, and 36 percent less than the previous six-year average. Apparel wool consumption through June was 60.2 million pounds, 12 percent above last year and 20 percent more than the average of the previous six years. Consumption of wool is forecast to lessen in the third quarter but to pick up in the fourth quarter. Two factors underlie this demand. The popularity of wool clothing this winter is expected to be as great as last year, and with lower interest rates, mills will tolerate larger raw wool stocks.

Imports of raw wool in the first six months of 1980 totaled 30.8 million pounds, clean, 30 percent above the average of the last two years (table 10). Dutyfree grades were 15.4 million pounds, 35 percent above the average of 1978 and 1979. Dutiable grades amounted to 15.4 million pounds, 25 percent above the average of 1978 and 1979. A relatively high level of apparel wool demand by domestic mills and a reduction of the duty from 25.5 to 20 cents a pound, clean, beginning in 1980 are factors relating to the increase of imports this year. There has been a trend toward importing finer grades of wool. Most of the duty-free finer grades came from New Zealand, and most of the dutible finer grades came from Australia and Argentina. The raw wool content of textile products' imports for the first six months of 1980 totaled 49.6 million pounds, about 88 percent of the average for comparable periods of 1977-1979 (table 36).

Exports of raw wool in the first half of 1980 were 202 thousand pounds compared to an average of 215 thousand pounds for the years 1977-1979. About 127,000 pounds have been sent so far this year to Mexico and 63,000 pounds to Canada. The raw wool

Table 10-U.S. imports of dutiable and duty-free raw wool for consumption, clean content

| Year | Dutiable | Duty-free | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000 pounds |  |  |
| 1969 | 93,230 | 95,664 | 189,187 |
| 1970 | 79,810 | 73,325 | 153,134 |
| 1971 | 42,682 | 83,893 | 126.575 |
| 1972 | 24,790 | 71,849 | 96,639 |
| 1973 | 19,587 | 40,694 | 69,281 |
| 1974 | 11,800 | 15,147 | 26,947 |
| 1975 | 16,605 | 17,021 | 33,626 |
| 1976 | 38,387 | 19,076 | 57,463 |
| $1977^{1}$ | 34,175 | 18,780 | 52,955 |
| $1978{ }^{2}$ | 27,000 | 23,404 | 50,404 |
| 1979 | 20,283 | 22,047 | 42,330 |
| Jan.June |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 11,980 | 11,382 | 23,362 |
| 1980 | 15,404 | 15,411 | 30,815 |

[^5]content of textile products exports in January-June 1980 was 12.2 million pounds, 86 percent above the average for the three years of 1977-1979 (table 37). Continued favorable currency exchange ratios should foster increased exports of textile products.

Prices of both domestic and foreign raw wool improved slightly in June and July from their lows in April and May. Australian wool Type 62 (micron 21) reached a low of $\$ 2.79$ a pound in April after the strike in Australia had been settled. The price peaked at $\$ 3.01$ a pound in June and fell off to $\$ 2.91$ by July as the season closed. Type 423 (micron 25 ) rose from a low of $\$ 2.23$ a pound in May to $\$ 2.29$ in July. Graded Territory wool, 64's, went down to $\$ 2.25$ a pound in May as the spring clip became available and as interest rates climbed, encouraging growers to accept lower bids. The price rose to $\$ 2.45$ a pound in July as mill demand continued firm. Territory 58's followed the same path. Reports from the trade indicate that the prices of the 60's and finer grades and the medium wools will continue to advance during the remainder of the year because of a continued firm demand by mills for these grades.

Table 11 presents data concerning the supply and disappearance of wool for the years 1978 and 1979 and estimates for 1980 and 1981. Mill consumption of raw wool is expected to be about 110 million pounds clean, in 1980, a 9 percent increase over last year and 115 million pounds in 1981, a 5 percent increase over this year. A continued improvement in sheep profitability should result in a larger wool production in 1981 which is estimated around 56 million pounds, clean, 4 percent more than 1980 output. The quantity of apparel wool imports in 1981 is expected to be 33 million pounds, 10 percent over this year. The Bureau of Census report, MA22M, showing data on raw wool stocks as of January, 1980 should be out later this year.

The Food and Agriculture Act of 1977 set the support price for wool at $\$ 1.15$ per pound for wool marketed in 1979. The support price for 1980 for shorn

Table 11-Wool supply and disappearance, annually, 1978-1981

| Item | 1978 | 1979 | $1980^{1}$ | $1981{ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Million pounds, clean basis |  |  |  |
| Apparel stocks, Jan. 1 | 35.1 | 28.0 | 18.5 | 21.7 |
| Production. . . . . . . | 53.9 | 54.5 | 54.0 | 56.3 |
| Apparel wool imports | 27.0 | 20.3 | 30.0 | 33.0 |
| Difference unaccounted | 14.7 | 17.2 | 29.7 | 29.5 |
| Total supply. | 130.7 | 120.0 | 132.2 | 140.5 |
| Mill use of apparel wool | 102.3 | 101.2 | 110.0 | 115.0 |
| Exports. . . . . . . . | .4 | . 3 | . 5 | . 5 |
| Total use. | 102.7 | 101.5 | 110.5 | 115.5 |
| Apparel stocks, Dec. 31 | 28.0 | 18.5 | 21.7 | 25.0 |

[^6]Complled from the Bureau of the Census.
wool is $\$ 1.23$ per pound. Payments through May 27, 1980 of $\$ 25.2$ million were made to 80,230 producers on about 92.1 million pounds of wool. These data indicate that most of the wool producers have small flocks and that the relatively few large-flock sheep producers have most of the sheep. The sheep producers who received payments of less than $\$ 100$ in 1979 were 68 percent of the producers receiving payments. The total amount of these payments were 8 percent of the total wool program payments. On the other hand, those sheep producers who received payments of $\$ 1,000$ and more were 5 percent of all the producers receiving payments. The total amount of these payments was 67 percent of the total wool program payments. Data from the 1974 Census of Agriculture show a similar picture about flock size.
On July 4, 1980 the Act To Amend The Wool Products Labeling Act, Public Law 96-242, became effective as noted in 45 Federal Register 44260 July 1, 1960. It requires the word "recycled" to be used instead of "reused" or "reprocessed" on labels describing the wool content of the textile product.

## Mohair Situation

The number of Angora goats clipped in 1980 is estimated to be 1.3 million compared to 1.28 million in 1979, an increase of about 2 percent. More than 90 percent of these goats are in Texas. Production of mohair in 1980 is estimated to be about 9.3 million pounds, greasy, about the same as last year. The prolonged dry weather in Texas may reduce the quantity and quality of mohair. Although angora goats are able to exist in dry ranges longer than cattle or sheep, unless rain comes by early September, the Angora goat business will be severely affected.

By May, the spring 1980 clip had moved quite well, almost depleting the stock of adult hair. The price in July rose to $\$ 3.10$ a pound from the low of $\$ 2.90$ in May. Some kid hair moved in June and July at $\$ 4.75$ to $\$ 5.00$ a pound but, in general, there has been little interest in young goat and kid hair. Only about one-fourth of the mohair stock is adult hair. To be competitive, the price of Texas adult hair must remain below the support price level of the South African Mohair Board which is $\$ 3.20-\$ 3.30$ a pound.

Exports of mohair in the first six months 1980 totaled 3.2 million pounds of which 72 percent went to the United Kingdom and about 6 percent each to the Federal Republic of Germany, France, and Spain.
Most of the mohair trade looks forward to increased demand this fall because of an expected better economic situation and lower mill inventories. With prices considerably lower than last year's, world demand should strengthen, especially in the major consuming countries of Japan and the United Kingdom.

The 1979 average price received by producers for mohair was $\$ 5.10$ per pound compared with $\$ 4.59$ in 1978. Value of production in 1979 was $\$ 47.4$ million. Total producers' receipts were $\$ 10.1$ million more than in 1978 because of higher prices and more goats.
, The supply of mohair in South Africa is about 4.3 million pounds, consisting of their unsold current
clip of 3.3 million pounds and a 1 million pound carry-over. The spring clip was about 6 million pounds and the fall clip is expected to be the same quantity. There is little information from Turkey. Their spring clip was reported to be about 10 million pounds and the carry-over was about 2 million pounds.

# EXAMINING GROWTH IN U.S. COTTON EXPORTS 
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#### Abstract

An aggregate view of the U.S. export market for cotton is presented. Major factors determining export supply and import demand in non-communist countries are identified and used to estimate a relation for U.S. export demand. Implications are drawn for U.S. cotton exports in the eighties.
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## INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this article is to identify some of the major factors that explain the record of U.S. cotton exports over the last two decades. Although year-to-year variations in cotton exports were often large from the early sixties to the mid-seventies, no upward growth trend over this period is evident. Over the last few years, however, rising exports have
suggested an upward trend and many analysts see this trend extending through the eighties. This analysis 1) presents statistically estimated relations that provide an overview of the forces shaping the U.S. export market for cotton and 2) suggests how certain factors need to behave if the export growth of the past few years is to continue.

## STRUCTURE OF U.S. COTTON EXPORT DEMAND

Total U.S. cotton exports may be defined in terms of the cotton trade of foreign countries. The Foreign Agricultural Service (FAS) of the USDA classifies foreign countries as either cotton importers or exporters (see Foreign Agricultural Circular, Cotton). Using FAS designations, a meaningful way to define U.S. exports as the difference between foreign imports and exports is
(1) $\mathrm{USX}=\mathrm{NM}+\mathrm{NMI}+\mathrm{NMC}-\mathrm{NX}+\mathrm{ADJ}$
U.S. exports, USX, equal the total net cotton imports of foreign non-communist importing countries (less India), NM, plus the net cotton imports of India, NMI, plus the net cotton imports of all communist countries, NMC, less the net cotton exports of foreign non-communist exporting countries, NX. Since importers' data on world imports do not equal exporters' data on world exports, an adjustment fac-
tor, ADJ, must be added to equate U.S. export data with the difference between foreign imports and exports.

In order to identify the major factors affecting U.S. export growth, NM and NX are estimated as demand and supply relations, using regression over the 1961-1978 crop years. The estimates are presented in the next two sections. The estimated relations are then combined with past values of NMI, NMC, and ADJ to simulate U.S. cotton exports over the last two decades. NMI is separated from total non. communist net imports and is not estimated because India's trade levels are difficult to relate to supplies. Net imports are low relative to its production, consumption, and stock levels and to changes in these levels. Net imports of communist countries are primarily policy determined thus NMC, and the statistical discrepancy, ADJ, are not estimated.

## NET IMPORT DEMAND OF NON-COMMUNIST IMPORTERS

The estimated net import demand for cotton by importing market economies (less India) is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { (2) } \quad \mathrm{NM}=12.21 \cdot .025 \mathrm{PCM}_{-1} \cdot \\
(-1.14)  \tag{-1.41}\\
+10.88 \mathrm{YD} \quad-.85 \mathrm{QMBS} \\
(2.31)  \tag{-2.81}\\
\mathrm{R}^{2}=.79
\end{gather*}
$$

Total net imports, NM, are measured in million bales. PCM is the group B mill price of U.S. cotton SLM 1-1/16-inches, in cents per pound, multiplied by a trade weighted index of foreign importers' exchange rates. Movements in this price are assumed to be representative of movements in cotton prices in foreign countries; it is a proxy for world price. The cotton price that prevailed in the previous season provided the best fit and this one year lag is indicated by the subscript, minus one. QMMF is the total quantity of cellulosic and noncellulosic staple and yarn produced by foreign countries, in billion pounds. YD is an index of real gross domestic product (GDP) in developed countries, $1972=1.0$ QMBS is cotton production plus beginning stocks in the importing
countries, in million bales.
The $t$ statistics in parentheses below the estimated parameters provide a measure of the strength of the relationship between foreign imports and the explanatory variables. Importers are influenced most by their levels of overall economic activity, measured by GDP, and their available supplies, measured by production plus carryin.

The estimated parameters provide some interesting insights. They suggest that over the last two decades a one bale increase in importers' available supply caused a reduction in their net imports of cotton by .85 bales. The substitution rate of manmade fiber for cotton may also be computed; an increase in foreign manmade fiber production of 7.7 pounds has been associated with a reduction in net imports of cotton of 1.0 pounds. Price and income elasticities of import demand may also be computed. Evaluated at the means, the price elasticity is -.1 and the income elasticity is .55 . For example, this means a 10 percent decline in importers' income reduces their imports by 5.5 percent.

A plot of actual imports of non-communist importers and imports estimated using equation (2) is presented in figure 5.
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## NET EXPORT SUPPLY OF NON-COMMUNIST EXPORTERS

The estimated net export supply of cotton for exporting market economies is
(3) $\mathrm{NX}=-1.91+.14 \mathrm{NX}_{-1}+.019 \mathrm{PCX}-6.56 \mathrm{YLDC}$
+.66 QXBS
2.59D7374
(-5.57)
Because of commitments carried into a marketing year, total net exports, NX, measured in million bales, depend partially on the previous season's level of exports, $\mathrm{NX}_{-1}$ PCX is the group B mill price multiplied by a trade weighted index of exporters' exchange rates. Although the price relationship is weak, price in the current season is included since exporters' mill consumption is assumed to be unaf-
fected by changes in current or lagged cotton prices. The exporters are primarily less developed countries where prices are often fixed and economic growth determines consumption. The decision to export or hold stocks, however, does depend on current price. The export supply price elasticity is .1.
Several variables show strong relationships with exports. An index of GDP in less developed countries, YLDC, is negatively related with exports because rising income causes production to be marketed internally, at the expense of exports. The export supply income elasticity is -8 . A one bale increase in production plus carryin, QXBS, increases total exports by .66 bales. Finally, a dummy variable is used to account for unusually large carryout levels in exporting countries in 1973/74 and 1974/75. D7374 is 1 in those years and 0 in all others.

Actual exports and exports estimated using equation (3), are plotted in figure 6.
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## NET IMPORTS OF COMMUNIST COUNTRIES

Net imports of communist countries are an extremely important factor determining U.S. export growth. Net imports have ranged from -.2 million bales (net exports) in $1976 / 77$ to over 3 million bales this past season (figure 7). Until the past few sea-
sons, net import growth had a negative trend; the communist countries were moving toward a net export balance. However, this trend changed in 1978/79 and $1979 / 80$ with a consequent impact on U.S. exports.

## Net Imports of Cotton By Communist Countries
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## U.S. COTTON EXPORTS

Using historical data for the explanatory variables in equations (2) and (3) and for NMI, NCP, and ADJ, U.S. exports were estimated over the 1961-1979 crop years (Figure 8). The largest error is a million bale overestimate occurring in 1965/66; there are several half million bale errors, including 1979/80. There is only one turning point error, 1971/72. Although the estimated equations produce a fairly tight fit, they do require knowledge of foreign production and stocks and net imports of communist countries.

The estimated equations may be used to forecast U.S. exports for 1980/81. The following data, assumed for $1980 / 81$, are used to make this forecast:
U.S. cotton price of 90 cents per pound, a 1 percent increase in income in developed countries, a 3.5 percent increase in income in less developed countries, production of 22.2 billion pounds of foreign manmade fiber, and available supplies of 6.2 million bales in importing countries and 27.5 million bales in exporting countries. Using the above model, these data imply U.S. exports of 7.4 million bales. This compares with the current official USDA forecast of 6.8 million bales. The forecasts probably differ because the USDA forecast is able to place a stronger emphasis on the effects of tighter U.S. supply than is possible with the model presented.

Figures 5.7 provide some indication of U.S. export
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trends for the eighties. Growth in net imports of foreign importers (figure 5) rose rapidly during the sixties, but net imports have grown only marginally since due to increases in manmade fiber consumption and cotton textile imports. Foreign imports have also demonstrated much sensitivity to overall economic activity as in 1974/75. Foreign exporters have shown a strong decline in net exports beginning about $1970 / 71$. Continued competition for land from food crops, rising cotton production cost, and increased cotton mill consumption suggest a reversal of this trend is unlikely. Slow growing net imports by foreign importers and stable, or even declining, net exports by foreign exporters imply potential export opportunities for U.S. cotton producers in the eighties.

The major destabilizing factor could be the communist countries. There is a startling similarity
between figure 7 and actual exports in figure 8. The peaks and troughs are nearly coincident. The ability to project communist consumption and production behavior is probably the key to projecting U.S. export performance in the eighties. Some relevant issues are whether 1) cotton production in the USSR will resume the positive growth experienced in the past, 2) growth in manmade fiber consumption in the USSR will come at the expense of cotton consumption, 3) import growth in East Europe will continue, 4) China will continue to emphasize cotton textile exports, and 5) China's cotton production will continue to decline from the 1973/74 peak of 11.7 million bales. If a $2-3$ million bale net import balance for the communist countries can be maintained, the export market for U.S. cotton will be exceedingly strong in the eighties.

# COSTS AND RETURNS OF PRODUCING COTTON LINTERS 

By Don. E. Ethridge and Billy R. Hise<br>National Economics Division and Texas Tech University


#### Abstract

Estimates of cottonseed oil mill costs of producing linters are presented for six hypothetical oil mills. The oil mills were designed to represent different mill sizes, extraction technologies and geographical regions. Per pound costs of producing linters are compared to regional prices received for linters.
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## Introduction

Cottonseed oil mills produce two primary products-cottonseed oil and cottonseed meal, each accounting for about 44 percent of value of products produced-and two secondary products-hulls and linters, each accounting for about 6 percent of value of products (Kromer). Cottonseed linters, the product of specific interest here, have two types of uses: (1) in materials such as surgical dressings, stuffing for mattresses and upholstery, and in paper stock, twine, and carpets and (2) in chemical processes producing items such as rayon, tire cord, camera film, and explosives (Howell; Kromer).
Linters are removed from cottonseed prior to the removal of hulls and the extraction of oil, although some in the industry have begun to examine alternatives to traditional delintering. The standard machine for linter removal is a saw delinter which has a series of saws which cut the lint from the seed. Most mills delint until around three percent lint remains on the seed, which is typically accomplished with at least two separate cuts of lint.
The purpose of this paper is to present the results of an analysis of the costs and returns associated with the production of linters independent of other
cottonseed oil mill products. A processing plant simulation model (Hise, Ethridge, and Shaw) was used to estimate processing costs and returns and to develop breakeven prices for linters. Breakeven prices are equivalent to average total costs of producing linters.
The cottonseed processing industry in the U.S. is characterized by plants in different cotton producing regions with different processing capacities and different extraction technologies. Therefore, 6 simulated mill situations with various levels of capacity, alternative extraction technologies, and located in different regions of the U.S. were selected for analysis. The 6 mill situations are: a 100 tons-perday (TPD) screwpress extraction mill and a 300 TPD direct solvent extraction mill in the South, ${ }^{1}$ a 300 TPD and a 600 TPD direct solvent mill in the Southwest, and a 300 TPD and a 600 TPD pre-press solvent mill in the West.
${ }^{1}$ Cotton belt regions are defined as follows: South: East of Texas and Oklahoma, Southwest: Texas and Oklahoma, West: West of Texas and Oklahoma.

## Assumptions

Some assumptions were made to place the hypothetical mills on the same basis for analysis. First, the fixed costs of machinery and equipment
are based on the cost of contructing a new mill. Thus, all mills have similar depreciation schedules for the analysis. This assumption may result in
higher depreciation costs and lower repair costs than most industry mills experience.

The daily average processing capacity (TPD) is based on 24 -hour per day processing, and the processing year at 100 percent capacity is assumed to be 330 days. The remaining 35 days are considered necessary for major repairs and cleaning the processing plant prior to the start of the next processing year. Reductions in capacity utilization are achieved by reducing the number of operating days. As capacity utilization decreases, the number of days needed for cleaning and repairs also decrease, but not in the same proportion.

Due to differences in cost structures and tax rates
of mills with individual, cooperative, or corporate ownership, only processing and direct mill management costs are considered in the analysis.

The analysis also assumes constant yields of linters per ton of cottonseed processed, although yield varies within regions. The assumed linters yield per ton of seed are: 185 pounds in the South; 158 pounds in the Southwest; and 190 pounds in the West (Ethridge). The differences in the regional average yields are due primarily to cotton varietal factors rather than differences in oil mill processing practices.

More specific assumptions about mill operations are specified in Hise and Ethridge.

## Results

Summaries of costs and breakeven linters prices are shown in tables 12 to 17 . The fixed costs of removing linters include the costs of the necessary building, machinery, and equipment plus the taxes and insurance associated with those items plus the fixed labor associated with the delintering and baling and storage operations. The fixed costs of building, machinery, and equipment are expressed as annual equivalency costs, which includes depreciation, interest, and fixed repair costs. A property tax
rate of $\$ 1.40$ per $\$ 100$ of value of investment was used for all mills and regions. An insurance rate for machinery, buildings, and equipment of $\$ 8$ per $\$ 1000$ value of investment was used for all mill situations; land was not insured.

Variable costs include labor (production, repair, maintenance, and cleaning labor), electricity, repair parts, brokerage fees, bagging and ties, interest on operating capital, and some miscellaneous costs. Average hourly wage rates used in the analysis were

Table 12- Cost summary and breakeven linters prices for a 100
TPD screwpress mill, Southern region

| Item | Capacity utilization (percent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |
|  | Dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed costs associated with delintering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual equivalency cost of machinery and buildings. . | 129,419 | 129,419 | 129,419 | 129,419 | 129,419 | 129,419 | 129,419 | 129,419 |
| Fixed labor . . . . . . . . . | 25,960 | 25,960 | 25,960 | 25,960 | 25,960 | 25,960 | 25,960 | 25,960 |
| Taxes. . | 8,452 | 8,452 | 8,452 | 8,452 | 8,452 | 8,452 | 8,452 | 8,452 |
| Insurance . . . . | 7,244 | 7,244 | 7,244 | 7,244 | 7,244 | 7,244 | 7,244 | 7,244 |
| Total fixed cost. . . . . . | 171,075 | 171,075 | 171,075 | 171,075 | 171,075 | 171,075 | 171,075 | 171,075 |
| Variable costs associated with delintering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delintering. . . . . . . | 56,885 | 75,319 | 95,337 | 112,187 | 132,205 | 150,638 | 170,656 | 187,506 |
| Baling and storage | 22,721 | 29,972 | 38,191 | 44,474 | 52,693 | 59,944 | 68,163 | 74,446 |
| Miscellaneous . . . . . . . . . | 5,585 | 7,393 | 9,361 | 11,010 | 12,978 | 14,787 | 16,755 | 18,403 |
| Sub-total. . . . . . . . . . . . | 85,191 | 112,684 | 142,889 | 167,671 | 197,876 | 225,369 | 255,574 | 280,355 |
| interest on oper. cap.. . . . . | 8,519 | 11,268 | 14,289 | 16,767 | 19,788 | 22,537 | 25,557 | 28,036 |
| Total variable cost . | 93,710 | 123,952 | 157,178 | 184,438 | 217,664 | 247,906 | 281,131 | 308,391 |
| Total cost . | 264,785 | 295,027 | 328,253 | 355,513 | 388,739 | 418,981 | 452,206 | 479,466 |
|  |  |  |  | Poun |  |  |  |  |
| Linters production . | 1,831,500 | 2,442,000 | 3,052,500 | 3,663,000 | 4,273,500 | 4,884,000 | 5,494,500 | 6,105,000 |
|  |  |  |  | Cents per | ound |  |  |  |
| Breakeven price of linters . . . | 14.5 | 12.1 | 10.8 | 9.7 | 9.1 | 8.6 | 8.2 | 7.9 |

Table 13--Cost summary and breakeven linters prices for a 300 TPD direct solvent mill, Southern region

| Item | Capacity utilization (percent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |
|  | Dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed costs associated with delintering Annual equivalency cost of machinery and bidgs. . . . . | 292,013 | 292,013 | 292,013 | 292,013 | 292,013 | 292,013 | 292,013 | 292,013 |
| Fixed labor. | 37,655 | 37,655 | 37,655 | 37,655 | 37,655 | 37,655 | 37,655 | 37,655 |
| Taxes | 19,054 | 19,054 | 19,054 | 19,054 | 19,054 | 19,054 | 19,054 | 19,054 |
| Insurance. | 16,332 | 16,332 | 16,332 | 16,332 | 16,332 | 16,332 | 16,332 | 16,332 |
| Total fixed cost | 365,054 | 365,054 | 365,054 | 365,054 | 365,054 | 365,054 | 365,054 | 365,054 |
| Variable costs associated with delintering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delintering . . . . . | 137,955 | 182,916 | 230,949 | 272,838 | 320,871 | 365,832 | 413,865 | 455,754 |
| Bailing and storage | 53,146 | 70,134 | 89,305 | 104,109 | 123,280 | 140,268 | 159,439 | 174,354 |
| Miscellaneous | 14,195 | 18,820 | 23,765 | 28,070 | 33,015 | 37,640 | 42,585 | 46,890 |
| Sub-total | 205,296 | 271,870 | 344,019 | 405,017 | 477,166 | 543,740 | 615,889 | 676,998 |
| Interest on oper. cap. . | 20,530 | 27,187 | 34,402 | 40,502 | 47,717 | 54,374 | 61,589 | 67,700 |
| Total variable cost. | 225,826 | 299,057 | 378,421 | 445,519 | 524,883 | 598,114 | 677,478 | 744,698 |
| Total cost . . | 590,880 | 664,111 | 743,475 | 810,573 | 889,937 | 963,168 | 1,042,500 | 1,109,752 |
|  | Pounds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Linters production . . . | 5,494,500 | 7,326,000 | 9,157,500 | ,989,000 | ,820,500 | 14,652,000 | 6,483,500 | 18,315,000 |
|  |  |  |  | Cents | pound |  |  |  |
| Breakeven price of linters . | 10.8 | 9.1 | 8.1 | 7.4 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 6.1 |

Table 14-Cost summary and breakeven linters prices for a 300 TPD direct solvent mill, Southwest region

| Item | Capacity utilization (percent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |
|  | Dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed costs associated with delintering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual equivalency cost of machinery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and buildings .... | 292,013 | 292,013 | 292,013 | 292,013 | 292,013 | 292,013 | 292,013 | 292,013 |
| Fixed labor . . . . | 40,260 | 40,260 | 40,260 | 40,260 | 40,260 | 40,260 | 40,260 | 40,260 |
| Taxes. . | 19,054 | 19,054 | 19,054 | 19,054 | 19,054 | 19,054 | 19,054 | 19,054 |
| Insurance . . . . . . | 16,332 | 16,332 | 16,332 | 16,332 | 16,332 | 16,332 | 16,332 | 16,332 |
| Total fixed cost. . . | 367,659 | 367,659 | 367,659 | 367,659 | 367,659 | 367,659 | 367,659 | 367,659 |
| Variable costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| associated with |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delintering. . . . . . . | 140,172 | 185,832 | 234,684 | 277,152 | 326,004 | 371,664 | 420,516 | 462,984 |
| Bailing and storage . . | 55,450 | 73,158 | 93,193 | 108,573 | 128,608 | 146,316 | 166,351 | 181,842 |
| Miscellaneous . . . . | 14,810 | 19,656 | 24,854 | 29,295 | 34,493 | 39,312 | 44,510 | 48,951 |
| Sub-total. . . . . . | 210,432 | 278,646 | 352,731 | 415,020 | 489,105 | 557,292 | 631,377 | 693,777 |
| interest on |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| oper. cap. . . . . . . . Total | 21,043 | 27,865 | 35,273 | 41,502 | 48,911 | 55,729 | 63,138 | 69,378 |
| variable cost. . . . . | 231,475 | 306,511 | 388,004 | 456,522 | 538,016 | 613,021 | 694,515 | 763,155 |
| Total cost . . . . . . | 599,134 | 674,170 | 755,663 | 824,181 | 905,675 | 980,680 | 1,062,174 | 1,130,814 |
|  |  |  |  | Poun |  |  |  |  |
| Linters production . . . | 4,692,600 | 6,256,800 | 7,821,000 | 9,385,200 | 10,949,400 | 12,513,600 | 14,077,800 | 15,642,000 |
|  |  |  |  | Cents per | pound |  |  |  |
| Breakeven price of linters | 12.8 | 10.8 | 9.7 | 8.8 | 8.3 | 7.8 | 7.5 | 7.2 |

$\$ 3.10$ in the South, $\$ 3.50$ in the Southwest, and $\$ 5.40$ in the West. Wage rates were increased by 25 percent to include fringe benefits. An interest rate of 10 percent was assumed. It should be noted that the costs (and breakeven prices) shown in tables 12 to 17 include only processing costs and do not include the cost of seed.

Breakeven prices ranged from a high of 14.5 cents per pound for the 100 TPD screwpress mill in the South operating at 30 percent capacity to a low of 6.1 cents for the 300 TPD direct solvent mill in the South operating at 100 percent capacity. The 600 TPD plants have an advantage over the 300 TPD plants in the Southwest and Western regions, due largely to the fact that they can spread their fixed
costs over a larger volume of linters production. The Western region has a slightly higher variable cost of removing lint from seed. However, this is compensated for by the increased linter yield in the Western region over the Southwestern region. The 100 TPD plant has the highest breakeven cost due largely to its inability to recover fixed cost as rapidly as the mills operating at large capacities. The 300 TPD plant in the Southern region has the best capability of recovering lint. This was due to the region's lower variable cost, primarily from lower wage rates, and the region's higher lint yield per ton of seed processed, especially when compared to the Southwestern region.

Table 15-Cost summary and breakeven linters prices for a 600 TPD direct solvent mill, Southwest region

| Item | Capacity utilization (percent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |
|  | Dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed costs associated with delintering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual equivalency cost of machinery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and buildings | 515,007 | 515,007 | 515,007 | 515,007 | 515,007 | 515,007 | 515,007 | 515,007 |
| Fixed labor | 49,198 | 49,198 | 49,198 | 49,198 | 49,198 | 49,198 | 49,198 | 49,198 |
| Taxes. . | 16,693 | 16,693 | 16,693 | 16,693 | 16,693 | 16,693 | 16,693 | 16,693 |
| insurance .. | 14,309 | 14,309 | 14,309 | 14,309 | 14,309 | 14,309 | 14,309 | 14,309 |
| Total fixed cost. | 595,207 | 595,207 | 595,207 | 595,207 | 595,207 | 595,207 | 595,207 | 595,207 |
| Variable costs associated with delintering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delintering. . | 253,080 | 335,880 | 423,360 | 501,480 | 588,960 | 671,760 | 759,240 | 837,360 |
| Bailing and storage | 108,588 | 143,448 | 182,316 | 213,168 | 252,036 | 286,896 | 325,764 | 356,616 |
| Miscellaneous | 26,004 | 34,496 | 43,516 | 51,480 | 60,500 | 68,992 | 78,068 | 85,976 |
| Sub-total. | 387,672 | 513,824 | 649,192 | 766,128 | 901,496 | 1,027,648 | 1,163,072 | 1,279,952 |
| interest on |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| oper. cap. . . . . . . . <br> Total | 38,767 | 51,382 | 64,919 | 76,613 | 90,150 | 102,765 | 116,307 | 127,995 |
| variable cost. . . . . | 426,439 | 565,206 | 714,111 | 842,741 | 991,646 | 1,130,413 | 1,279,379 | 1,407,947 |
| Total cost | 1,021,646 | 1,160,413 | 1,309,318 | 1,437,948 | 1,586,853 | 1,725,620 | 1,874,586 | 2,003,154 |
|  |  |  |  | Pou |  |  |  |  |
| Linters production. | 9,385,200 | 2,513,600 | 15,642,000 | 18,770,400 | 21,898,800 | 25,027,200 | 28,155,600 | 31,284,000 |
|  |  |  |  | Cents per | pound |  |  |  |
| Breakeven price <br> of linters. | 10.9 | 9.3 | 8.4 | 7.7 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 6.4 |

Table 16-Cost summary and breakeven linters prices for a 300 TPD pre-press solvent mill, Western region

| Item | Capacity utilization (percent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |
|  | Dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed costs associated with delintering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual equivalency cost of machinery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and buildings ... | 292,013 | 292,013 | 292,013 | 292,013 | 292,013 | 292,013 | 292,013 | 292,013 |
| Fixed labor . . . . . | 46,700 | 46,700 | 46,700 | 46,700 | 46,700 | 46,700 | 46,700 | 46,700 |
| Taxes. . . | 19,054 | 19,054 | 19,054 | 19,054 | 19,054 | 19,054 | 19,054 | 19,054 |
| Insurance . . . | 16,332 | 16,332 | 16,332 | 16,332 | 16,332 | 16,332 | 16,332 | 16,332 |
| Total fixed cost. . . | 374,099 | 374,099 | 374,099 | 374,099 | 374,099 | 374,099 | 374,099 | 374,099 |
| Variable costs associated with delintering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delintering. . . . . . . | 180,708 | 239,085 | 303,085 | 355,839 | 419,793 | 478,170 | 542,124 | 594,924 |
| Bailing and storage . . | 78,522 | 103,449 | 132,117 | 153,303 | 181,971 | 206,898 | 235,566 | 256,752 |
| Miscellaneous . . . . | 17,753 | 23,501 | 29,758 | 34,996 | 41,254 | 47,002 | 53,260 | 58,497 |
| Sub-total. . . . . . Interest on | 276,983 | 366,035 | 464,960 | 544,138 | 643,018 | 732,070 | 830,950 | 910,173 |
| Interest on oper. cap. . . . . . . . Total | 27,698 | 36,604 | 46,496 | 54,414 | 64,302 | 73,207 | 83,095 | 91,017 |
| variable cost. . . . | 304,681 | 402,639 | 511,456 | 598,552 | 707,320 | 805,277 | 914,045 | 1,001,190 |
| Total cost . . . . . . . | 678,780 | 776,738 | 885,555 | 972,651 | 1,081,419 | 1,179,376 | 1,288,144 | 1,375,289 |
|  |  |  |  | Poun |  |  |  |  |
| Linters production . . . | 5,643,000 | 7,524,000 | 9,405,000 | 1,286,000 | 13,167,000 | 15,048,000 | 16,929,000 | 18,810,000 |
|  |  |  |  | Cents per | pound |  |  |  |
| Breakeven price of linters | 12.0. | 10.3 | 9.4 | 8.6 | 8.2 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 7.3 |

Table 17-Cost summary and breakeven linters prices for a 600 TPD pre-press solvent mill, Western region

| Item | Capacity utilization (percent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |
|  | Dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed costs assoclated with delintering Annual equivalency costs of machinery and buildings . . . | 515,007 | 515,007 | 515,007 | 515,007 | 515,007 | 515,007 | 515,007 | 515,007 |
| Fixed labor | 56,200 | 56,200 | 56,200 | 56,200 | 56,200 | 56,200 | 56,200 | 56,200 |
| Taxes. | 16,693 | 16,693 | 16,693 | 16,693 | 16,693 | 16,693 | 16,693 | 16,693 |
| Insurance | 14,309 | 14,309 | 14,309 | 14,309 | 14,309 | 14,309 | 14,309 | 14,309 |
| Total fixed cost. | 602,209 | 602,209 | 602,209 | 602,209 | 602,209 | 602,209 | 602,209 | 602,209 |
| Variable costs associated with dellintering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delintering. . | 315,672 | 418,131 | 528,885 | 623,049 | 733,803 | 836,262 | 947,016 | 1,041,179 |
| Bailing and storage | 142,107 | 192,074 | 244,938 | 284,883 | 337,746 | 384,150 | 437,013 | 476,958 |
| Miscellaneous | 32,233 | 42,705 | 53,993 | 63,650 | 74,938 | 85,410 | 96,698 | 106,355 |
| Sub-total | 490,012 | 652,910 | 827,816 | 971,582 | 1,146,487 | 1,305,822 | 1,480,727 | 1,624,492 |
| Interest on oper. cap. . . . Total | 49,001 | 65,291 | 82,782 | 97,158 | 114,649 | 130,582 | 148,073 | 162,449 |
| varlable cost. | 539,013 | 718,201 | 910,598 | 1,068,740 | 1,261,136 | 1,436,404 | 1,628,800 | 1,786,941 |
| Total cost | 1,141,222 | 1,320,410 | 1,512,807 | 1,670,949 | 1,863,345 | 2,038,613 | 2,231,009 | 2,389,150 |
|  | Pounds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Linters production | 11,286,000 | 15,048,000 | 18,810,000 | 22,572,000 | 26,344,000 | 30,096,000 | 33,585,000 | 37,620,000 |
|  |  |  |  | Cents per | pound |  |  |  |
| Breakeven price of linters . . . . | 10.1 | 8.8 | 8.0 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.4 |

Prices received for linters are shown in table 18. Comparing the 5 -year average prices with breakeven prices generally indicates that returns from linters cover their processing costs when oil mills operate at high rates of annual capacity. However, the percent of capacity utilization at which mills must operate for linters production to be profitable varies by plant size and region. A comparison of 5 -year average linters prices from table 18 and the breakeven prices in tables 12 to 17 , suggest that (1) larger mills can better afford to operate at lower rates of capacity utilization, and (2) since linters prices are lower in the West, plants located there must operate at a higher percent of capacity utilization to be as profitable as plants of comparable size in other regions. The percent of capacity utilization at which the average cost of producing linters (breakeven price) falls below the 5 -year regional price, ranges from a high of about 90 percent for the 100 TPD plant in the South, to a low of 55 percent for the 600 TPD plants in the Southwest and West.

Table $1 \%$ - Average prices received for linters ${ }^{1}$ by region, 1974-1978

| Calendar year | Region |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | South | Southwest | West |
|  | Cents per pound |  |  |
| 1974 | 8.58 | 8.96 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ |
| 1975 . . . . | 6.94 | 6.89 | 7.00 |
| $1976 . .$. | 8.56 | 8.00 | 7.68 |
| 1977 . . . . | 8.25 | 8.54 | 7.93 |
| 1978..... | 8.42 | 8.60 | 8.19 |
| 5-year average. | 8.15 | 8.18 | 7.70 |

[^7]
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Table 19-Cotton: Acreage, planted and harvested, production, and yield per acre on harvested acreage, by regions


[^8]Table 20- Cotton: World supply and distribution*

| Year beginning August 1 | Supply |  |  | Distribution |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Beginning } \\ & \text { stocks }^{1} \end{aligned}$ | Production | Imports | Consumption ${ }^{2}$ | Exports | Ending stocks ${ }^{1}$ |
|  | - Million bales ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | United States |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 3.3 | 13.7 | (4) | 7.8 | 5.3 | 4.2 |
| 1973 | 4.2 | 13.0 | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ | 7.5 | 6.1 | 3.8 |
| 1974 | 3.8 | 11.5 | (4) | 5.9 | 3.9 | 5.7 |
| 1975 | 5.7 | 8.3 | . 1 | 7.3 | 3.3 | 3.7 |
| 1976. | 3.7 | 10.6 | (4) | 6.7 | 4.8 | 2.9 |
| 1977 | 2.9 | 14.4 | (4) | 6.5 | 5.5 | 5.3 |
| 1978. | 5.3 | 10.9 | (4) | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.0 |
| $1979^{5}$ | 4.0 | 14.6 | (4) | 6.5 | 9.4 | 2.8 |
| $1980^{6}$ | 2.8 | 12.8 | (4) | 6.0 | 7.0 | 2.7 |
|  | Forelgn non-communist |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 12.0 | 28.3 | 15.3 | 29.7 | 12.5 | 13.2 |
| 1973 | 13.2 | 27.5 | 14.7 | 31.1 | 10.0 | 14.1 |
| 1974 | 14.1 | 29.0 | 12.7 | 29.0 | 9.7 | 16.8 |
| 1975 | 16.8 | 23.2 | 15.0 | 31.2 | 11.6 | 12.0 |
| 1976 | 12.0 | 24.7 | 13.7 | 30.6 | 8.3 | 11.1 |
| 1977 | 11.1 | 27.5 | 14.8 | 30.2 | 9.4 | 13.5 |
| 1978. | 13.5 | 26.8 | 14.2 | 31.7 | 9.8 | 12.8 |
| $1979^{5}$. | 12.8 | 27.5 | 15.1 | 32.6 | 9.5 | 13.2 |
| $1980^{6}$. | 13.2 | 28.0 | 14.3 | 32.7 | 9.7 | 13.0 |
|  | Communist |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 6.6 | 20.9 | 5.6 | 22.9 | 3.3 | 6.8 |
| 1973 | 6.8 | 22.8 | 5.4 | 23.9 | 3.5 | 7.7 |
| 1974 . . . . . | 7.7 | 23.8 | 4.4 | 23.8 | 3.8 | 8.3 |
| 1975. | 8.3 | 22.4 | 4.4 | 22.7 | 4.1 | 8.3 |
| 1976 | 8.3 | 22.1 | 4.3 | 23.6 | 4.5 | 6.7 |
| 1977 . | 6.7 | 22.2 | 5.2 | 24.3 | 4.3 | 5.5 |
| 1978. | 5.5 | 22.3 | 5.7 | 24.9 | 3.8 | 4.8 |
| $1979^{5}$ | 4.8 | 23.4 | 7.2 | 25.9 | 3.7 | 5.7 |
| $1980^{\circ}$ | 5.7 | 24.0 | 7.0 | 26.5 | 4.1 | 6.2 |
|  | Foreign total |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972. | 18.6 | 49.2 | 20.9 | 52.6 | 15.8 | 20.0 |
| 1973 | 20.0 | 50.3 | 20.1 | 55.0 | 13.5 | 21.8 |
| 1974 | 21.8 | 52.8 | 17.1 | 52.8 | 13.5 | 25.1 |
| 1975 | 25.1 | 45.6 | 19.4 | 53.9 | 15.7 | 20.3 |
| 1976 | 20.3 | 46.8 | 18.0 | 54.2 | 12.8 | 17.8 |
| 1977 | 17.8 | 49.7 | 20.0 | 54.5 | 13.7 | 19.0 |
| 1978. | 19.0 | 49.1 | 19.9 | 56.6 | 13.6 | 17.6 |
| $1979^{5}$ | 17.6 | 50.9 | 22.3 | 58.5 | 13.2 | 18.9 |
| $1980{ }^{6}$ | 18.9 | 52.0 | 21.3 | 59.2 | 13.8 | 19.2 |
|  |  |  | Wor |  |  |  |
| 1972... | 21.9 | 62.9 | 20.9 | 60.4 | 21.1 | 24.2 |
| 1973.... | 24.2 | 63.3 | 20.1 | 62.5 | 19.6 | 25.6 |
| 1974 . | 25.6 | 64.3 | 17.1 | 58.7 | 17.4 | 30.8 |
| 1975. | 30.8 | 53.9 | 19.5 | 61.2 | 19.0 | 24.0 |
| 1976...... | 24.0 | 57.4 | 18.0 | 60.9 | 17.6 | 20.7 |
| 1977 | 20.7 | 64.1 | 20.0 | 61.0 | 19.2 | 24.3 |
| 1978. | 24.3 | 60.0 | 19.9 | 63.0 | 19.8 | 21.6 |
| $1979^{5}$ | 21.6 | 65.5 | 22.3 | 65.7 | 22.6 | 21.7 |
| $1980^{\circ}$. . . . . | 21.7 | 64.8 | 21.3 | 65.2 | 20.8 | 21.9 |

${ }^{1}$ Excludes preseason ginnings. ${ }^{2}$ Includes cotton destroyed and unaccounted for. ${ }^{3}$ Bales of 480 -pound net. ${ }^{4}$ Less than 50,000 bales. ${ }^{5}$ Preliminary. ${ }^{6}$ Estimated.
*Foreign data as of August 11, 1980.
Bureau of the Census, and Foreign Agricultural Service.

Table 21-Cotton: Supply and disappearance, by type, United States

| Year beginning August 1 | Supply |  |  |  | Disappearance |  |  | Difference unaccounted ${ }^{5}$ | Ending stocks July 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Beginning stocks August $1^{1}$ | Pro. duction ${ }^{2}$ | Imports | Total ${ }^{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIII } \\ \text { con- } \\ \text { sumption } \end{gathered}$ | Exports | Total ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
|  | 1,000480-pound net weight bales ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All kinds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 5,843 | 10,192 | 37 | 16,072 | 8,204 | 3,897 | 12,101 | 232 | 4,203 |
| 1971 | 4,203 | 10,477 | 72 | 14,752 | 8,259 | 3,385 | 11,644 | 150 | 3,258 |
| 1972 | 3,258 | 13,704 | 34 | 16,996 | 7,769 | 5,311 | ${ }^{7} 13,080$ | 305 | 4,221 |
| 1973 | 4,221 | 12,974 | 48 | 17,243 | 7,472 | 6,123 | 13,595 | 160 | 3,808 |
| 1974 | 3,808 | 11,540 | 34 | 15,382 | 5,860 | 3,926 | 9,786 | 112 | 5,708 |
| 1975. | 5,708 | 8,302 | 92 | 14,102 | 7,250 | 3,311 | 10,561 | 140 | 3,681 |
| 1976 | 3,681 | 10,581 | 38 | 14,300 | 6,674 | 4,784 | 11,458 | 86 | 2,928 |
| 1977 | 2,928 | 14,389 | 5 | 17,322 | 6,483 | 5,484 | 11,967 | -8 | 5,347 |
| 1978 | 5,347 | 10,856 | 4 | 16,207 | 6,352 | 6,180 | 12,532 | 283 | 3,958 |
| 1979. | 3,958 | 14,629 | 5 | 18,592 | 6,465 | 9,402 | 15,867 | 106 | 2,831 |
| $1980^{8}$ | 2,831 | ${ }^{10} 12,812$ | 35 | 15,678 | 6,010 | 6,840 | 12,850 | 103 | 2,931 |
|  | Upland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 5,727 | 10,135 | 11 | 15,873 | 8,105 | 3,885 | 11,990 | 251 | 4,134 |
| 1971 | 4,134 | 10,379 | 42 | 14,555 | 8,163 | 3,376 | 11,539 | 166 | 3,182 |
| 1972 | 3,182 | 13,608 | 22 | 16,812 | 7.670 | 5,306 | ${ }^{7} 12,976$ | 317 | 4,153 |
| 1973 | 4,153 | 12,896 | 26 | 17,075 | 7,384 | 6,111 | 13,495 | 173 | 3,753 |
| 1974 | 3,753 | 11,450 | 24 | 15,227 | 5,797 | 3,914 | 9,711 | 133 | 5,649 |
| 1975 | 5,649 | 8,247 | 36 | 13,932 | 7,160 | 3,300 | 10,460 | 143 | 3,615 |
| 1976 | 3,615 | 10,517 | 19 | 14,151 | 6,595 | 4,779 | 11,374 | 102 | 2,879 |
| 1977 | 2,879 | 14,277 | 1 | 17,157 | 6,416 | 5,459 | 11,875 | -4 | 5,278 |
| 1978 | 5,278 | 10,762 | 2 | 16.042 | 6,286 | 6,150 | 12,436 | 299 | 3,905 |
| 1979. | 3,905 | 14,530 | 3 | 18,438 | 6,400 | 9,350 | 15,750 | 112 | 2,800 |
| $1980^{\circ}$ | 2,800 | ${ }^{10} 12,712$ | 25 | 15,537 | 5,950 | 6,800 | 12,750 | 113 | 2,900 |
|  | Extra-long staple ${ }^{9}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 116 | 57 | 26 | 199 | 99 | 12 | 111 | -19 | 69 |
| 1971 | 69 | 98 | 30 | 197 | 96 | 9 | 105 | -16 | 76 |
| 1972 | 76 | 96 | 11 | 183 | 99 | 5 | 104 | -11 | 68 |
| 1973 | 68 | 78 | 21 | 167 | 88 | 12 | 100 | -12 | 55 |
| 1974 | 55 | 90 | 10 | 155 | 63 | 12 | 75 | -21 | 59 |
| 1975 | 59 | 55 | 56 | 170 | 90 | 11 | 101 | -3 | 66 |
| 1976 | 66 | 64 | 19 | 149 | 79 | 5 | 84 | -16 | 49 |
| 1977 | 49 | 112 | 4 | 165 | 67 | 25 | 92 | -4 | 69 |
| 1978 | 69 | 93 | 2 | 164 | 66 | 30 | 96 | -15 | 53 |
| 1979. | 53 | 99 | 2 | 154 | 65 | 52 | 117 | -6 | 31 |
| $1980^{\circ}$ | 31 | ${ }^{10} 100$ | 10 | 141 | 60 | 40 | 100 | -10 | 31 |

[^9]channels. For ELS cotton, this difference reflects, in part, reporting discrepencies for stocks, mill consumption, and exports. ${ }^{6}$ Factors used to convert running bales to equivalent 480 -pound net weight bales for carryover and consumption of domestic cotton are based on the relationship between 480 pounds and the gin weight of a running bale, raised by 1 percent (moisture factor). ${ }^{7}$ Includes small amount destroyed. ${ }^{8}$ Preliminary and estimated. ${ }^{9}$ includes American Pima, sea Island, and foreign grown ELS cotton. ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Crop}$ Reporting Board report of August 11, 1980.

Taible 22-Cotton: Supply and disappearance of all kinds; by months, United States ${ }^{1}$


[^10]Table 23-Cotton: Exports by staple length and by countries of destination, United States

|  | April 1980 |  |  |  | May 1980 |  |  |  | June 1980 |  |  |  | Cumulative August 1979 - June 1980 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-1/8 <br> inches <br> and <br> over' | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { inch } \\ \text { to } \\ 1-1 / 8 \\ \text { inches } \end{gathered}$ | Under 1 inch | Total | 1-1/8 <br> inches <br> and <br> over ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { inch } \\ \text { to } \\ 1-1 / 8 \\ \text { inches } \end{gathered}$ | Under 1 inch | Total | 1-1/8 <br> inches and over ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { inch } \\ & \text { to } \\ & 1-1 / 8 \\ & \text { inches } \end{aligned}$ | Under 1 inch | Total | 1-1/8 <br> inches and over ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { inch } \\ & \text { to } \\ & 1-1 / 8 \\ & \text { inches } \end{aligned}$ | Under 1 inch | Total |
|  | Running bales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Europe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United Kingdom | 925 | 2,311 | 981 | 4,217 | 510 | 1,715 | 369 | 2,594 | 3,565 | 4,421 | 0 | 7,986 | 22,701 | 42,575 | 1,906 | 67,182 |
| Belgium and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Luxembourg | 1,384 | 2,346 | 0 | 3,730 | 1,044 | 766 | 52 | 1,862 | 323 | 652 | 0 | 975 | 9,120 | 15,687 | 202 | 25,009 |
| Ireland (Erie). | 2,969 | 1,753 | 0 | 4,722 | 7,322 | 2,184 | 0 | 9,506 | 6,461 | 1,150 | 0 | 7.611 | 31,473 | 13,404 | 0 | 44,877 |
| France . . . . | 2,537 | 2,152 | 462 | 5,151 | 2,257 | 3,555 | 79 | 5,891 | 646 | 3,186 | 0 | 3,832 | 37,468 | 45,953 | 2,499 | 85,920 |
| Germany (West). | 4,699 | 7,430 | 130 | 12,259 | 1,000 | 9,609 | 54 | 10,663 | i,398 | 19,347 | 100 | 20,845 | 48,667 | 135,519 | 808 | 184,994 |
| Italy . . . . . . | 3,392 | 17,115 | 1,308 | 21,815 | 728 | 8,881 | 185 | 9,794 | 1,031 | 16,406 | 892 | 18,329 | 21,872 | 133,316 | 7,623 | 162,811 |
| Netherlands | 0 | 0 | 159 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 219 | 0 | 350 | 2,141 | 7,865 | 719 | 10,725 |
| Norway | 0 | 82 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 173 | 0 | 173 | 0 | 355 | 0 | 355 | 85 | 5,991 | 48 | 6,124 |
| Portugal | 858 | 5,543 | 0 | 6,401 | 0 | 7,002 | 11 | 7,013 | 533 | 1,814 | 0 | 2,347 | 16,032 | 44,339 | 288 | 60,659 |
| Spain. . | 1,820 | 8,043 | 0 | 9,863 | 4,085 | 8,938 | 0 | 13,023 | 8,194 | 11,249 | 512 | 19,955 | 52,614 | 58,079 | 1,497 | 112,190 |
| Sweden. | 0 | 2,281 | 0 | 2,281 | 0 | 5,549 | 0 | 5,549 | 0 | 435 | 0 | 435 | 0 | 19,662 | 0 | 19,662 |
| Switzerland | 1,748 | 6,055 | 636 | 8,439 | 1,926 | 8,562 | 1,316 | 11,804 | 931 | 6,185 | 0 | 7,116 | 35,920 | 63,841 | 4,406 | 104,167 |
| Greece | 9,112 | 6,890 | 0 | 16,002 | 8,254 | 7.271 | 133 | 15,658 | 6,491 | 7.514 | 0 | 14,005 | 82,810 | 29,848 | 955 | 113,613 |
| Romania . | 0 | 4,900 | 0 | 4,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30,317 | 89,658 | 0 | 119,975 |
| Poland | 2,981 | 0 | 0 | 2,981 | 1,505 | 0 | 0 | 1,505 | 197 | 0 | 0 | 197 | 6,691 | 17,563 | 998 | 25,252 |
| Other. | 0 | 146 | 0 | 146 | 0 | 1,642 | 0 | 1,642 | 0 | 1,063 | 0 | 1,063 | 5,986 | 18,628 | 0 | 24,614 |
| Total Europe | 32,425 | 67,047 | 3,676 | 103,148 | 28,631 | 65,847 | 2,199 | 96,677 | 29,901 | 73,996 | 1,504 | 105,401 | 403,897 | 741,928 | 21,949 | 1,167,774 |
| Other countries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada. | 4,103 | 16,582 | 1,180 | 21,865 | 2,672 | 16,350 | 1,866 | 20,888 | 4,138 | 16,575 | 859 | 21,572 | 35,197 | 195,309 | 21,899 | 252,405 |
| Chile | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 427 | 0 | 0 | 427 |
| Thailand | 1,575 | 16,882 | 8,608 | 27,065 | 2,388 | 8,121 | 4,076 | 14,585 | 587 | 12,148 | 6,898 | 19,633 | 10,115 | 152,260 | 50,183 | 212,558 |
| Malaysia | 292 | 1,987 | 0 | 2,279 | 190 | 4,615 | 535 | 5,340 | 96 | 5,705 | 0 | 5,801 | 3,200 | 35,871 | 2,293 | 41,364 |
| India | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pakistan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 144 | 326 | 200 | 153 | 0 | 353 | 668 | 203 | 144 | 1,015 |
| Indonesia | 1,650 | 27,912 | 100 | 29,662 | 3,064 | 27,217 | 1,373 | 31,654 | 5,507 | 27,035 | 389 | 32,931 | 41,669 | 221,164 | 6,468 | 269,301 |
| Korea. | 14,041 | 97,543 | 6,208 | 117,792 | 7.278 | 129,202 | 16,209 | 152,689 | 7,783 | 128,286 | 10,953 | 147,022 | 142,681 | 1,040,481 | 87,740 | 1,270,902 |
| Hong Kong | 2,349 | 48,162 | 8,934 | 59,445 | 2,129 | 70,241 | 13,910 | 86,280 | 672 | 45,421 | 12,415 | 58,508 | 27,246 | 477,352 | 68,714 | 573,312 |
| Taiwan (Formosa) | 4,802 | 40,306 | 69,146 | 114,254 | 954 | 32,479 | 57,281 | 90,714 | 1,656 | 31,385 | 38,875 | 71,916 | 16,278 | 288,407 | 317.718 | 622,403 |
| Japan. | 3,007 | 115,203 | 16,963 | 135,173 | 2,284 | 95,172 | 25,568 | 123,024 | 2,406 | 104,699 | 8,795 | 115,900 | 38,317 | 1,191,464 | 169,719 | 1,399,500 |
| China (mainland) | 2,982 | 277,824 | 2,019 | 282,825 | 0 | 259,116 | 0 | 259,116 | 2,699 | 57,849 | 0 | 60,548 | 318,564 | 1,785,148 | 5,419 | 2,109,131 |
| Morocco . | 0 | 842 | 0 | 842 | 0 | 5,073 | 0 | 5,073 | 0 | 2,474 | 0 | 2,474 | 0 | 25,912 | 0 | 25,912 |
| Republic of South Africa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Republic of the |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Philippines. | 1,737 | 6,168 | 1,126 | 9,031 | 492 | 17,239 | 3,274 | 21,005 | 1,422 | 20,708 | 7,099 | 29,229 | 7,209 | 92,351 | 26,547 | 126,107 |
| Other . . | 8,428 | 4,422 | 0 | 12,850 | 317 | 3,300 | 68 | 3,685 | 2,311 | 12,304 | 192 | 14,807 | 32,275 | 131,904 | 2,710 | 166,889 |
| World total. | 77,391 | 720,880 | 117,960 | 916,231 | 50,581 | 733,972 | 126,503 | 911,056 | 59,378 | 538,738 | 87,979 | 686,095 | 1,077,743 | 6,379,754 | 781,503 | 8,239,000 |

Compiled from reports of the Bureau of the Census.

Table 24-American upland cotton: U.S. mill consumption by staple length

| Year and month ${ }^{1}$ | Less than 1 " |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 " \text { and } \\ & 1-1 / 32^{\prime \prime} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1-1 / 16^{\prime \prime} \text { and } \\ 1-3 / 32^{\prime \prime} \end{gathered}$ |  | Longer than$1-1 / 32^{\prime \prime}$ |  | Total ( ${ }^{2}$ ) | Total con-sumption ${ }^{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Share of total | Quantity | Share of total | Quantity | Share of total | Quantity | Share of tota! | Quan- <br> tity |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales }^{4} \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | 1,000 bales ${ }^{4}$ | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | 1,000 | bales ${ }^{4}$ |
| 1977/78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. (4) | 38.1 | 7.7 | 134.1 | 27.2 | 294.9 | 59.7 | 26.6 | 5.4 | 493.7 | 504.9 |
| Sept. (5) | 49.9 | 8.3 | 165.4 | 27.3 | 356.4 | 58.9 | 33.1 | 5.5 | 604.9 | 619.3 |
| Oct. (4) | 39.1 | 7.7 | 138.6 | 27.2 | 303.1 | 59.4 | 29.1 | 5.7 | 510.0 | 523.3 |
| Nov. (4) | 36.2 | 7.3 | 138.6 | 27.7 | 297.8 | 59.5 | 28.1 | 5.5 | 500.7 | 516.7 |
| Dec. (5) | 44.6 | 7.9 | 153.6 | 27.1 | 335.5 | 59.3 | 32.4 | 5.7 | 566.1 | 580.6 |
| Jan. (4) | 36.9 | 7.5 | 130.6 | 26.6 | 297.8 | 60.5 | 26.8 | 5.4 | 492.2 | 507.2 |
| Feb. (4) | 37.5 | 7.4 | 133.8 | 26.6 | 303.3 | 60.3 | 28.6 | 5.7 | 503.2 | 515.6 |
| Mar. (5) | 41.7 | 6.7 | 175.3 | 28.1 | 372.3 | 59.7 | 34.5 | 5.5 | 623.8 | 639.2 |
| Apr. (4) | 33.9 | 6.9 | 128.3 | 26.2 | 299.7 | 61.3 | 27.1 | 5.6 | 488.9 | 499.7 |
| May (4) | 32.6 | 6.7 | 128.6 | 26.5 | 296.2 | 61.0 | 28.1 | 5.8 | 485.5 | 498.6 |
| June (5) | 38.4 | 6.7 | 147.8 | 25.6 | 353.6 | 61.3 | 36.9 | 6.4 | 576.6 | 593.3 |
| Juiy (4) | 24.7 | 6.4 | 99.6 | 25.8 | 237.2 | 61.7 | 23.3 | 6.1 | 384.7 | 395.7 |
| Total ${ }^{2}$ | 453.5 | 7.3 | 1,674.3 | 26.9 | 3,747.9 | 60.1 | 354.5 | 5.7 | 6,230.1 | 6,394.1 |
| 1978/79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. (4) | 28.5 | 6.2 | 113.8 | 24.8 | 289.1 | 62.9 | 28.2 | 6.1 | 459.6 | 473.4 |
| Sept. (5) | 35.0 | 6.1 | 149.6 | 26.3 | 350.7 | 61.5 | 34.5 | 6.1 | 569.9 | 586.7 |
| Oct. (4) | 29.5 | 6.1 | 126.5 | 26.2 | 299.5 | 62.1 | 26.9 | 5.6 | 482.4 | 496.6 |
| Nov. (5) | 33.0 | 5.5 | 172.7 | 29.0 | 357.7 | 60.1 | 31.9 | 5.4 | 595.3 | 611.5 |
| Dec. (4) | 25.8 | 5.9 | 117.2 | 26.8 | 270.0 | 61.9 | 23.6 | 5.4 | 436.7 | 448.6 |
| Jan. (4) | 32.9 | 5.5 | 164.8 | 27.3 | 374.1 | 62.1 | 31.0 | 5.1 | 602.8 | 620.6 |
| Feb. (4) | 24.6 | 5.2 | 131.9 | 27.9 | 291.5 | 61.7 | 24.7 | 5.2 | 472.8 | 485.0 |
| Mar. (4) | 27.0 | 5.3 | 134.4 | 26.5 | 320.0 | 63.0 | 26.2 | 5.2 | 507.6 | 520.7 |
| Apr. (5) | 32.4 | 5.5 | 159.0 | 27.2 | 361.9 | 61.8 | 31.9 | 5.5 | 585.2 | 602.3 |
| May (4) | 26.3 | 5.4 | 127.7 | 26.3 | 302.4 | 62.3 | 29.2 | 6.0 | 485.6 | 498.4 |
| June (4) | 25.4 | 5.2 | 133.6 | 27.2 | 301.0 | 61.3 | 30.9 | 6.3 | 490.9 | 503.6 |
| July (5) | 26.6 | 5.3 | 141.0 | 28.0 | 305.6 | 60.6 | 30.9 | 6.1 | 504.1 | 518.6 |
| Total ${ }^{2}$ | 346.9 | 5.6 | $1,672.3$ | 27.0 | 3,823.6 | 61.7 | 350.0 | 5.7 | 6,192.8 | 6,366.0 |
| 1979/80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. (4) | 26.2 | 5.5 | 125.5 | 26.5 | 292.8 | 61.9 | 28.8 | 6.1 | 473.2 | 487.1 |
| Sept. (4) | 25.2 | 5.2 | 130.7 | 27.0 | 299.3 | 61.9 | 28.6 | 5.9 | 483.7 | 496.6 |
| Oct. (5) | 31.2 | 5.0 | 178.0 | 28.2 | 384.3 | 60.9 | 36.9 | 5.9 | 630.4 | 648.3 |
| Nov. (4) | 24.0 | 5.0 | 137.0 | 28.4 | 292.8 | 60.7 | 28.9 | 5.9 | 482.7 | 496.6 |
| Dec. (4) | 22.1 | 5.1 | 119.5 | 27.4 | 269.6 | 61.7 | 25.5 | 5.8 | 436.8 | 446.0 |
| Jan. (5) | 27.4 | 4.5 | 169.2 | 27.9 | 372.0 | 61.3 | 38.1 | 6.3 | 606.8 | 619.7 |
| Feb. (4) | 21.3 | 4.2 | 140.3 | 27.5 | 317.0 | 62.1 | 31.4 | 6.2 | 509.9 | 524.6 |
| Mar. (4) | 20.5 | 3.9 | 145.8 | 28.0 | 318.5 | 61.1 | 36.5 | 7.0 | 521.2 | 531.3 |
| Apr. (5) | 24.1 | 3.8 | 174.9 | 28.0 | 385.7 | 61.8 | 39.7 | 6.4 | 624.4 | 642.1 |
| May (4) | 19.0 | 3.8 | 135.6 | 27.2 | 313.8 | 62.5 | 30.5 | 6.1 | 498.8 | 513.2 |
| June ${ }^{\text {s }}$ (4) | 17.5 | 3.6 | 123.2 | 25.9 | 307.1 | 64.5 | 28.6 | 6.0 | 476.3 | 491.8 |
| July (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ${ }^{2}$. . . . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^11]Bureau of the Census, as reported by mills.

Table 25-. Estimated mill consumption of raw cotton by major type of iextile product

| Textile products | 1978 | 1979 | 1979 |  | 1980 |  | ChangeApr.-June1979 toApr.-June1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Jan.-Mar. | Apr.-June | Jan.-Mar. | Apr.-June ${ }^{1}$ |  |
|  | 1,000 bales ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Percent |
| cotton broadwoven fabrics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duck and allied | 179 | 158 | 43 | 41 | 36 | 35 | -15 |
| Sheeting and allied coarse | 690 | 632 | 170 | 150 | 149 | 141 | -6 |
| Print cloth yarn | 465 | 460 | 117 | 113 | 113 | 110 | -3 |
| Corduroys | 402 | 480 | 115 | 120 | 133 | 134 | +12 |
| Denims | 916 | 1,009 | 243 | 246 | 305 | 313 | +27 |
| Other carded colored yarn | 51 | 40 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 10 | -9 |
| Toweling . . . . . . . . . | 625 | 663 | 165 | 167 | 175 | 170 | +2 |
| Blanketing and napped | 112 | 101 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 24 | -8 |
| Fine cotton . . . . . . . | 76 | 78 | 20 | 21 | 19 | 18 | - 14 |
| Other fabrics | 154 | 156 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 39 | -2 |
| Total ... | 3,670 | 3,777 | 949 | 935 | 1,007 | 994 | +6 |
| Polyester/cotton blended fabrics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batiste . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 31 | 32 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| Bed sheeting | 479 | 518 | 130 | 132 | 135 | 128 | -3 |
| Broadcloth | 71 | 73 | 20 | 18 | 20 | 19 | +6 |
| Twills | 182 | 198 | 51 | 50 | 51 | 50 | 0 |
| Poplins | 62 | 63 | 18 | 16 | 15 | 15 | -6 |
| Yarn dyed fabrics | 110 | 144 | 35 | 33 | 40 | 41 | +24 |
| Other fabrics ... | 308 | 321 | 90 | 85 | 78 | 75 | -12 |
| Total | 1,243 | 1,349 | 353 | 342 | 347 | 336 | -2 |
| Other textile products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rayon/cotton blends. | 62 | 60 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 0 |
| Knit cloth | 1,186 | 1,120 | 285 | 280 | 287 | 275 | -2 |
| Narrow woven fabrics | 102 | 95 | 25 | 25 | 23 | 23 | -8 |
| Thread.. | 125 | 98 | 27 | 25 | 23 | 21 | -16 |
| Rope, cordage, and twine | 66 | 58 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 12 | -20 |
| Total | 1,541 | 1,431 | 368 | 360 | 362 | 346 | -4 |
| Grand total | 6,454 | 6,557 | 1,670 | 1,637 | 1,716 | 1,676 | +2 |
| Actual mill consumption | 6,335 | 6,411 | 1,645 | 1,622 |  | 1,663 | +3 |
| Residual ${ }^{3}$. . . . . . . . . . . | +119 | +146 | + +25 | +15 | 1,698 +18 | +13 |  |

[^12]Table 26- Fiber prices: Landed Group $B$ mill points, cotton prices and manmade staple fiber prices at f.o.b. producing plants, actual and estimsted raw fiber equivalent

| Year beginning January 1 | Cotton ${ }^{1}$ |  | Rayon ${ }^{2}$ |  | Polyester ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Raw fiber equivalent ${ }^{4}$ | Actual | Raw fiber equivalent ${ }^{4}$ | Actual | Raw fiber equivalent ${ }^{4}$ |
|  | Cents per pound |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1978. | 64 | 71 | 58 | 61 | 54 | 57 |
| 1979 . . . . . | 69 | 77 | 65 | 68 | 60 | 63 |
| 1977 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 71 | 79 | 58 | 60 | 53 | 55 |
| February. . | 77 | 85 | 58 | 60 | 53 | 55 |
| March . . . . | 80 | 89 | 58 | 60 | 53 | 55 |
| Aprll . . . . . | 79 | 88 | 58 | 60 | 57 | 59 |
| May. . . . . | 77 | 85 | 61 | 64 | 57 | 59 |
| June . | 67 | 74 | 59 | 61 | 57 | 59 |
| July. . . | 64 | 71 | 59 | 61 | 57 | 59 |
| August. . | 59 | 65 | 58 | 60 | 57 | 59 |
| September. . | 55 | 61 | 58 | 60 | 57 | 59 |
| October . . . . | 54 | 60 | 57 | 59 | 57 | 59 |
| November . | 53 | 59 | 56 | 58 | 57 | 59 |
| December . | 54 | 60 | 56 | 58 | 55 | 57 |
| 1978 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January . . . | 56 | 63 | 56 | 58 | 56 | 58 |
| February. | 59 | 65 | 56 | 58 | 56 | 58 |
| March . | 60 | 67 | 56 | 58 | 56 | 58 |
| April . . | 60 | 67 | 58 | 60 | 56 | 58 |
| May. . . . | 64 | 71 | 58 | 60 | 55 | 57 |
| June . . . | 64 | 71 | 58 | 60 | 55 | 57 |
| July. . | 63 | 70 | 58 | 60 | 53 | 55 |
| August . . | 65 | 73 | 58 | 60 | 53 | 55 |
| September. | 66 | 73 | 58 | 60 | 53 | 55 |
| October . . | 70 | 78 | 61 | 64 | 53 | 55 |
| November . | 72 | 80 | 61 | 64 | 53 | 55 |
| December . | 73 | 81 | 61 | 64 | 53 | 55 |
| 1979 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January . | 69 | 77 | 61 | 64 | 53 | 55 |
| February. | 68 | 76 | 61 | 64 | 53 | 55 |
| March | 67 | 74 | 61 | 64 | 56 | 58 |
| April . . | 65 | 72 | 65 | 68 | 56 | 58 |
| May. . | 68 | 75 | 65 | 68 | 61 | 64 |
| June . | 70 | 78 | 65 | 68 | 61 | 64 |
| July. . . | 70 | 77 | 65 | 68 | 61 | 64 |
| August. | 69 | 76 | 65 | 68 | 61 | 64 |
| September. | 69 | 76 | 65 | 68 | 65 | 68 |
| October . | 69 | 77 | 70 | 73 | 65 | 68 |
| November . | 71 | 79 | 70 | 73 | 66 | 69 |
| December . . . | 73 | 81 | 70 | 73 | 66 | 69 |
| 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January . | 79 | 88 | 70 | 73 | 66 | 69 |
| February. | 87 | 97 | 70 | 73 | 66 | 69 |
| March . | 87 | 97 | 70 | 73 | 73 | 76 |
| April . . . . . . | 87 | 97 | 76 | 79 | 73 | 76 |
| May. . . . . . . . | 85 | 94 | 76 | 79 | 73 | 76 |
| June . . | 78 | 87 | 76 | 79 | 73 | 76 |
| July. . . . . . . | 84 | 93 | 76 | 79 | 78 | 81 |

[^13]Agricultural Marketing Service and Trade reports.

Table 27-R Raw cot ton equivalent of U.S. imports for consumption of cotton manufactures

${ }^{1}$ includes tapestry and uphoistery fabrics, tire cord fabrics, and cloths in chief value cotton contalning other fibers. ${ }^{2}$ Includes velvets and velveteens, corduroys, plushes and chenilles, and manufactures of plle fabrics. ${ }^{3}$ Includes blankets, quilts, bedspreads, sheets and pillow cases. "Includes knlt and woven underwear and outerwear (collars and cuffs, shirts, coats, vests, robes, pajamas, and ornamented wearing apparel). SIncludes nets and nettings, veils and vellings, edgings, embrolderies, etc., and tace window curtains. ${ }^{6}$ Includes braids (except hat braids) tubing, labels, lacing, wicking, loom harness, table and bureau covers, polishing and dust cloths, fabrics with fast edges, cords and tassles, garters, suspenders and braces, corsets and brassieres, etc. ${ }^{7}$ Includes belts and belting, fish nets and netting, and coated, filled or water proof fabrics. ${ }^{2} 480$-pound net weight bales. ${ }^{9}$ Preliminary.

[^14]Table 28-Raw cotton equivalent of U.S. exports of domestic cotton manufactures

${ }^{1}$ Includes fabrlcs, tire cord and cloth for export to the philippines to be embroidered and otherwise manufactured and returned to the United States. ${ }^{2}$ Includes tapestry and upholstery fabrics, table damask, pile fabrics and remnants. ${ }^{3}$ Includes curtains and draperies, house furnishings not elsewhere specified. ${ }^{4}$ Includes gloves and mitts of woven fabric. ${ }^{5}$ Includes underwear and outerwear of woven fabric, hankerchlefs, and wearing apparel containing mixed fibers (corsets, brassleres, and girdles, garters, armbands and suspenders, necktles and cravats). Includes canvas articles and manufactures, braids and narrow fabrics, elastic webbing, water proof garments, and laces and lace articles. ${ }^{6}$ Includes rubberized fabrics, bags, and industrial belt and belting. $480-p o u n d$ net weight bales. ${ }^{9}$ Preliminary.

Complied from reports of the Bureau of the Census.

Table 29-Manmade fiber equivalent of U.S. imports for consumption of manmade fiber manufactures

| Year and month | Tops, yarn, thread, and woven fabric |  |  |  |  |  |  | Primarlly manufactured products |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sliver, tops, and roving | Yarns thrown or plied ${ }^{1}$ | Yarns spun | Sewing thread and handwork yarns | Rayon tire fabric including cord fabrics | Woven fabric | Total | Wearing apparel |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $K \mathrm{nlt}{ }^{2}$ | Not knlt |
|  | 1,000 pounds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 7.556 | 4,242 | 45,378 | 2,516 | 100 | 87,760 | 147,552 | 2 242,397 | 182,786 |
| 1979 | 6,653 | 2,590 | 25,648 | 2,615 | 97 | 64,577 | 102,180 | 184,497 | 175,111 |
| 1979 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 591 | 261 | 2,065 | 228 | 0 | 6,875 | 10,020 | 15,644 | 15,992 |
| February | 365 | 249 | 1,849 | 189 | 3 | 4,576 | 7,231 | 111,717 | 12,993 |
| March . . | 1,078 | 115 | 2,671 | 314 | 28 | 6,719 | 10,925 | 11,162 | 11,710 |
| April | 630 | 182 | 2,321 | 265 | 50 | 6,510 | 9,958 | 811,897 | 11.018 |
| May . . | 1,213 | 121 | 2,645 | 174 | 7 | 5,608 | 9,768 | 16,384 | 14,062 |
| June | 523 | 158 | 2,443 | 264 | 0 | 6,293 | 9,681 | 1 19,993 | 17,271 |
| July . | 853 | 265 | 2,124 | 187 | 0 | 4,911 | 8,340 | - 20,031 | 18,404 |
| August | 274 | 229 | 2,058 | 171 | 1 | 6,337 | 9,061 | 18,234 | 18,307 |
| September | 249 | 194 | 1,469 | 191 | 0 | 4,688 | 6,791 | 1 16,499 | 15,416 |
| October . . | 179 | 181 | 2,158 | 233 | 2 | 4,142 | 6,895 | 516,994 | 13,776 |
| November | 458 | 399 | 1,452 | 180 | 6 | 3,839 | 6,334 | 4 14,250 | 14,340 |
| December | 240 | 245 | 2,393 | 219 | 0 | 4,079 | 7,176 | -11,692 | 11,822 |
| 1980 ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 282 | 139 | 2,192 | 249 | 7 | 4,957 | 7,826 | -9,201 | 14,752 |
| February | 115 | 142 | 2,386 | 195 | 0 | 4,876 | 7,714 | 4 14,506 | 12,772 |
| March | 269 | 146 | 2,717 | 269 | 0 | 6,427 | 9,828 | 812,110 | 12,020 |
| April . | 163 | 184 | 2,014 | 202 | 1 | 6,022 | 8,586 | 611,660 | 11,945 |
| May . . | 366 | 300 | 2,220 | 155 | 0 | 5,597 | 8,638 | 816,848 | 15,539 |
| June | 359 | 179 | 2,308 | 149 | 0 | 6,408 | 9,403 | 3 21,809 | 18,888 |
|  | Primarlly manufactured products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hand chie |  |  | Narrow fabrices ${ }^{4}$ | Knit fabric | Other manufactures ${ }^{5}$ |  | Total | manufactured imports |
|  |  |  |  |  | 000 pounds |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 447 |  |  | 9,387 | 12,443 | 37,108 |  | 495,035 | 642,587 |
| 1979 | 179 |  |  | 8,947 | 8,011 | 41,022 |  | 422,793 | 524,973 |
| 1979 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 33 |  |  | 722 | 911 | 3,369 |  | 37,049 | 47,069 |
| February | 18 |  |  | 800 | 638 | 2,600 |  | 29,082 | 36,313 |
| March | 13 |  |  | 911 | 495 | 3,549 |  | 28,131 | 39,056 |
| April . | 11 |  |  | 939 | 787 | 3,452 |  | 28,509 | 38,467 |
| May . . | 17 |  |  | 916 | 441 | 3,199 |  | 35,426 | 45,194 |
| June | 10 |  |  | 869 | 722 | 3,908 |  | 43,351 | 53,032 |
| July . | 10 |  |  | 593 | 784 | 3,537 |  | 43,910 | 52,250 |
| August . . | 16 |  |  | 739 | 715 | 3,218 |  | 41,782 | 50,843 |
| September | 10 |  |  | 715 | 644 | 3,903 |  | 37,791 | 44,582 |
| October. | 14 |  |  | 557 | 656 | 3,045 |  | 35,457 | 42,352 |
| November | 12 |  |  | 562 | 599 | 3,771 |  | 33,846 | 40.180 |
| December | 15 |  |  | 624 | 619 | 3,471 |  | 28,459 | 35,635 |
| $1980^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January . | 13 |  |  | 882 | 407 | 3,109 |  | 28,568 | 36,394 |
| February |  |  |  | 792 | 506 | 3,331 |  | 32,182 | 39,896 |
| March | 12 |  |  | 857 | 603 | 3,956 |  | 29,790 | 39,618 |
| April . |  |  |  | 861 | 453 | 3,602 |  | 28,783 | 37,369 |
| May. | 8 |  |  | 694 | 531 | 4,067 |  | 38,087 | 46,725 |
| June | 10 |  |  | 560 | 389 | 4,463 |  | 46,516 | 55,919 |

${ }^{1}$ Not included in these data are quantities of imported textured non-cellulosic singles yarn not over 20 turns per inch. ${ }^{2}$ Includes gloves, hosiery, underwear, outerwear, and hats. ' Includes veils and vellings, nets and nettings, lace window curtains, edgings, insertings, flouncings, allovers, etc., embroderies, and ornamented wearing apparel. ${ }^{\text {A }}$ Includes braids (except hat braids), fabrics with fast edges not over 12 Inches wide, garters, suspenders, braces, tubings, cords, tassels, gill nets, webs, seines, and other nets for fishing. ${ }^{5}$ Not elsewhere classified. "Prelliminary.

Table 30-Manmade fiber equivalent of U.S. exports of domestic manmade fiber manufactures

${ }^{1}$ Includes products made from waste. ${ }^{2}$ Includes plle and tufted fabric such as corduroy. ${ }^{3}$ Includes ribbons, trimmings, and braids (except hat braids). ${ }^{4}$ Not elsewhere classified. ${ }^{5}$ Preliminary.

Complled from reports of the Bureau of the Census.

Table 31-Cotton: Strict low midding, spot prices in designated U.S. markets, loan rates, and prices received by farmers for upland cotton

| Year beginning August 1 | Average spot market prices per pound (net weight) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Price per pound recelved by farmers for upland cotton (net weight) ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 15 / 16 \\ \text { inch } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { inch } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1-1 / 32 \\ & \text { inches } \end{aligned}$ | 1-1/16 inches | 1-3/32 inches | $\begin{aligned} & 1-1 / 8 \\ & \text { Inches } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Cents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977/78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August. | 47.88 | 49.57 | 51.25 | 52.54 | 52.72 | 53.89 | 58.30 |
| September. | 44.95 | 46.65 | 48.03 | 49.30 | 49.48 | 50.48 | 59.10 |
| October | 44.63 | 46.29 | 47.75 | 49.06 | 49.24 | 50.17 | 53.60 |
| November | 43.20 | 44.80 | 46.47 | 47.98 | 48.16 | 49.17 | 52.10 |
| December | 43.21 | 44.52 | 46.88 | 48.42 | 48.65 | 49.92 | 48.70 |
| January . | 45.16 | 46.42 | 49.52 | 51.05 | 51.28 | 52.75 | 49.10 |
| February. | 46.58 | 47.90 | 51.33 | 52.89 | 53.12 | 54.50 | 51.40 |
| March | 48.45 | 49.86 | 53.49 | 55.01 | 55.24 | 57.16 | 51.10 |
| April. | 48.26 | 49.67 | 53.19 | 54.72 | 54.95 | 56.71 | 52.20 |
| May. | 50.03 | 51.44 | 56.06 | 57.59 | 57.82 | 60.48 | 53.70 |
| June . | 49.63 | 51.04 | 55.82 | 57.35 | 57.58 | 59.97 | 54.80 |
| July. . | 49.56 | 50.97 | 55.45 | 56.99 | 57.22 | 59.42 | 56.50 |
| Average | 46.80 | 48.26 | 51.27 | 52.74 | 52.96 | 54.55 | ${ }^{3} 52.1$ |
| Loan rate | 39.42 | 41.32 | 43.37 | 44.87 | 45.17 | 45.52 | 44.63 |
| 1978/79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August. | 51.82 | 53.24 | 58.20 | 59.78 | 60.01 | 61.79 | 57.40 |
| September. | 52.66 | 54.26 | 58.46 | 60.04 | 60.27 | 61.80 | 56.20 |
| October . . | 56.27 | 58.10 | 62.50 | 64.08 | 64.31 | 66.24 | 59.60 |
| November | 57.45 | 59.32 | 64.03 | 65.65 | 65.94 | 68.09 | 61.10 |
| December | 56.31 | 58.20 | 62.76 | 64.39 | 64.68 | 66.92 | 59.00 |
| January . | 53.52 | 55.25 | 59.90 | 61.48 | 61.77 | 64.49 | 57.00 |
| February. | 52.46 | 54.18 | 59.06 | 60.59 | 60.88 | 63.85 | 55.60 |
| March | 50.61 | 52.50 | 57.18 | 58.70 | 59.03 | 61.59 | 53.50 |
| April . | 50.02 | 51.93 | 56.35 | 58.05 | 58.44 | 60.99 | 54.70 |
| May. | 52.32 | 54.23 | 59.05 | 60.90 | 61.30 | 64.42 | 56.00 |
| June . | 54.35 | 56.26 | 61.52 | 63.38 | 63.79 | 67.61 | 58.80 |
| July. . | 53.42 | 55.37 | 60.04 | 61.87 | 62.26 | 65.41 | 61.90 |
| Average | 53.43 | 55.24 | 59.92 | 61.58 | 61.89 | 64.43 | ${ }^{3} 58.1$ |
| Loan rate | 43.06 | 44.86 | 46.81 | 48.31 | 48.61 | 48.96 | 448.00 |
| 1979/80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August. . | 54.11 | 56.20 | 60.25 | 62.08 | 62.47 | 64.98 | 59.20 |
| September. | 54.83 | 56.94 | 60.32 | 62.15 | 62.54 | 64.63 | 57.30 |
| October . | 55.33 | 57.44 | 61.05 | 62.88 | 63.28 | 64.61 | 61.30 |
| November | 55.90 | 57.87 | 61.55 | 63.40 | 63.81 | 64.84 | 61.00 |
| December | 59.15 | 61.09 | 64.33 | 66.20 | 66.58 | 67.53 | 59.90 |
| January . | 63.93 | 66.01 | 70.50 | 72.40 | 72.78 | 75.05 | 59.80 |
| February. | 68.62 | 71.39 | 78.68 | 80.66 | 81.05 | 84.27 | 62.90 |
| March | 66.34 | 69.37 | 77.18 | 79.24 | 79.63 | 82.60 | 60.70 |
| April. | 63.32 | 67.27 | 76.99 | 79.05 | 79.44 | 82.31 | 58.50 |
| May. . | 62.04 | 66.29 | 76.21 | 78.27 | 78.66 | 80.87 | 59.60 |
| June | 60.08 | 64.21 | 70.35 | 72.41 | 72.80 | 73.51 | 56.30 |
| July. . |  |  |  | 79.00 |  |  | 50.20 |
| Average . . . . . |  |  |  | 71.48 |  |  | ${ }^{5} 62.3$ |
| Loan rate | 45.19 | 46.99 | 49.14 | 50.64 | 50.94 | 51.34 | ${ }^{4} 50.23$ |

[^15]Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, and Agricultural Marketing Service.

Table 32-Commodity Credit Corporation loan schedule: Premiums and discounts for eligible qualities of 1980 crop American upland cotton (Basis Strict Low Middling 1-1/16 inches)

| Grade | Staple length (inches) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13/16 thru 29/32 | 15/16 | 31/32 | 1 | 1-1/32 | 1-1/16 | 1-3/32 | 1-1/8 | 1-5/32 and longer |
|  | Points per pound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WHITE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SM AND BETTER . . . | -600 | -505 | -400 | -260 | 20 | 195 | 225 | 260 | 365 |
| MID PLUS. | -615 | -520 | -420 | -280 | 0 | 170 | 205 | 245 | 345 |
| MID . . . . | -625 | -535 | -430 | -290 | -15 | 150 | 185 | 230 | 325 |
| SLM PLUS. | -670 | -570 | -480 | -360 | -105 | 65 | 95 | 130 | 230 |
| SLM . . . . | -695 | -600 | -510 | -405 | -165 | 0 | 35 | 75 | 165 |
| LM PLUS | -780 | -695 | -610 | -510 | -325 | -190 | -165 | -125 | -55 |
| LM . . . . | -830 | -745 | -655 | -565 | -405 | . 280 | -255 | -220 | -190 |
| SGO PLUS. | -1045 | -975 | -905 | -850 | -735 | -670 | -660 | -635 | -635 |
| SGO . . . . | -1090 | -1030 | -955 | -900 | -805 | -750 | -745 | -720 | -720 |
| GO PLUS . | -1280 | -1225 | -1170 | -1125 | -1045 | -1000 | -990 | -970 | -970 |
| GO . . . . | -1325 | -1270 | -1215 | -1170 | -1100 | -1065 | -1055 | -1035 | -1035 |
| LIGHT SPOTTED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SM AND BETTER . | -650 | -560 | -465 | -350 | -75 | 75 | 110 | 145 | 240 |
| MID . | -690 | -605 | -505 | -400 | -165 | -10 | 20 | 65 | 160 |
| SLM . | .785 | -720 | .630 | -535 | -390 | -275 | -255 | -210 | -180 |
| LM. | -990 | -915 | -855 | -800 | -750 | -700 | -690 | -670 | -670 |
| SPOTTED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SM AND BETTER | -840 | -780 | -725 | -655 | -495 | -410 | -405 | -375 | -375 |
| MID | -920 | -865 | -810 | -735 | -635 | -565 | -560 | -540 | -540 |
| SLM | -1035 | -990 | -940 | -895 | -850 | -820 | -815 | -800 | -800 |
| LM . | -1190 | -1140 | -1100 | -1060 | -1030 | -1020 | -1015 | -1000 | -1000 |
| TINGED ${ }^{\text {I }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SM. | -1085 | -1040 | -1005 | -975 | -950 | -935 | -935 | -935 | .935 |
| MID | -1135 | -1085 | -1050 | -1020 | -995 | -985 | -985 | -985 | -985 |
| SLM | -1210 | -1175 | -1150 | -1135 | -1110 | -1105 | -1100 | -1075 | -1075 |
| LM. | -1335 | -1295 | -1270 | -1250 | -1225 | -1215 | -1210 | -1190 | -1190 |
| LIGHT GRAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SM AND BETTER. | -790 | -695 | -605 | -475 | -200 | -20 | 25 | 75 | 170 |
| MID . | -940 | -840 | -760 | -650 | -470 | -295 | -270 | -215 | -190 |
| SLM . . . . . . . . . | -1205 | -1105 | -1035 | . 970 | -850 | -770 | -740 | -695 | -695 |
| GRAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SM AND BETTER . | -945 | -845 | -775 | -670 | -510 | -365 | -340 | -290 | -260 |
| MID. | -1215 | -1115 | -1045 | -970 | -900 | -825 | . 800 | -770 | -770 |
| SLM | -1495 | -1400 | 1325 | -1275 | -1225 | -1160 | -1140 | -1110 | -1110 |

[^16]Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

Tabla 35 - Commodity Credit Corporation schedule of minimum loan rates for eligible qualities of extra-long staple cotton (American-Pima), by grade and staple length

| Grade | Staple length (Inches) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-3/8 |  | 1-7/16 and longer |  |
|  | Cotton stored in approved warehouses |  | Cotton stored in approved warehouses |  |
|  | Arizona and Callfornia | New Mexico, Texas and other states | Arizona and Callfornia | New Mexico, Texas and other states |
|  | Cents per pound, net weight |  |  |  |
| 1977 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 82.00 | 82.50 | 82.35 | 82.85 |
| 2 | 81.20 | 81.70 | 81.55 | 82.05 |
| 3 | 80.05 | 80.55 | 80.35 | 80.85 |
| 4 | 78.50 | 79.00 | 78.65 | 79.15 |
| 5 | 75.65 | 76.15 | 75.80 | 76.30 |
| $6 \ldots$ | 57.90 | 58.40 | 58.10 | 58.60 |
| 7 . . | 47.80 | 48.30 | 47.90 | 48.40 |
| 8 . | 46.00 | 46.50 | 46.10 | 46.60 |
| 9 | 44.95 | 45.45 | 45.10 | 45.60 |
| 1978 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 89.70 | 90.30 | 90.20 | 90.80 |
| 2. | 88.80 | 89.40 | 89.25 | 89.85 |
| 3 | 86.80 | 87.40 | 87.25 | 87.85 |
| 4. | 84.80 | 85.40 | 85.05 | 85.65 |
| 5. | 82.80 | 83.40 | 83.00 | 83.60 |
| 6 | 60.40 | 61.00 | 60.65 | 61.25 |
| 7. | 48.00 | 48.60 | 48.20 | 48.80 |
| 8. | 45.40 | 46.00 | 45.65 | 46.25 |
| 9. | 44.00 | 44.60 | 44.25 | 44.85 |
| 1979 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 . . . | 96.50 | 97.20 | 97.00 | 97.70 |
| $2 \ldots$ | 96.05 | 96.75 | 96.50 | 97.20 |
| 3. | 95.60 | 96.30 | 96.05 | 96.75 |
| 4. | 94.45 | 95.15 | 94.70 | 95.40 |
| 5 | 89.40 | 90.10 | 89.65 | 90.35 |
| 6 | 73.10 | 73.80 | 73.35 | 74.05 |
| 7. | 57.65 | 58.35 | 57.90 | 68.60 |
| 8. | 54.00 | 54.70 | 54.25 | 54.95 |
| 9. | 51.85 | 52.55 | 52.10 | 52.80 |
| $1980^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1 . . | 97.75 | 98.65 | 98.25 | 99.15 |
| $2 \ldots$ | 97.30 | 98.20 | 97.75 | 98.65 |
| 3.... | 96.80 | 97.70 | 97.30 | 98.20 |
| 4. | 95.70 | 96.60 | 96.10 | 97.00 |
| $5 \ldots$ | 91.60 | 92.50 | 91.85 | 92.75 |
| $6 \ldots$ | 78.85 | 79.75 | 79.10 | 80.00 |
| 7 . | 64.95 | 65.85 | 65.15 | 66.05 |
| 8 .... | 57.35 | 58.25 | 57.60 | 58.50 |
| 9 .... | 55.25 | 56.15 | 55.50 | 56.40 |

[^17]Table 34-Major manmade fiber markets ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$

${ }^{1}$ Filament plus staple.
Complled from Textile Organon.

Table 35-Manmade fiber production and capacity, quarterly, 1979 and $1980^{4}$

${ }^{1}$ Includes spandex capacity and production not shown. ${ }^{2}$ Includes rayon filament and acetate staple capacity and production not shown. ${ }^{3}$ Estimated. ${ }^{4}$ Capacity data as of May 1980.
Compiled from Textile Organon.

Table 36-Raw wool content of United States imports for consumption of wool manufacturers ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$

| Year and month | Nolls | Wastes ${ }^{6}$ | Tops and advanced wool | Yarns | Woven fabrics ${ }^{2}$ | Wool blankets ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000 pounds |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 . . | 19,426 | 11,289 | 842 | 5,804 | 18,651 | 407 |
| $1978{ }^{7}$. | 23,067 | 14,130 | 563 | 5,550 | 25,830 | 572 |
| $1979^{7}$ | 17,216 | 11,778 | 368 | 3,801 | 21,687 | 457 |
| $1979{ }^{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 1,723 | 1,349 | 18 | 306 | 1,651 | 38 |
| February. | 1,050 | 733 | 11 | 266 | 1,687 | 16 |
| March | 1,539 | 888 | 25 | 261 | 2,880 | 14 |
| April . | 1,456 | 988 | 18 | 394 | 2,902 | 34 |
| May. | 1,897 | 1,039 | 39 | 287 | 2,344 | 32 |
| June . | 1,754 | 1,176 | 62 | 405 | 2,712 | 38 |
| July. . | 1,578 | 1,136 | 76 | 313 | 1,843 | 39 |
| August. | 1,255 | 1,010 | 21 | 402 | 1,832 | 55 |
| September. | 1,106 | 874 | 4 | 248 | 1,052 | 64 |
| October . | 1,015 | 819 | 2 | 341 | 877 | 38 |
| November | 1,603 | 844 | 46 | 298 | 792 | 62 |
| December . | 1,240 | 922 | 46 | 280 | 1,115 | 27 |
| 1980 | =- |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 985 | 780 | 73 | 70 | 1,766 | 36 |
| February. | 1,092 | 856 | 1 | 302 | 1,995 | 11 |
| March | 1,370 | 780 | 142 | 427 | 2,881 | 22 |
| April. | 930 | 703 | 2 | 408 | 2,451 | 27 |
| May. . | 903 | 824 | 16 | 520 | 2,418 | 33 |
| June | 942 | 631 | 36 | 308 | 2,195 | 35 |
|  | Wearing apparel |  | Other manufacturers ${ }^{5}$ |  | Carpets and rugs | Total |
|  | Knit | Other than knIt ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,000 pounds |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 . | 25,808 | 18,264 | 1,224 |  | 14,838 | 116,553 |
| $1978^{7}$. | 22,339 | 22,559 | 895 |  | 13,914 | 129,369 |
| $1979{ }^{7}$ | 19.114 | 20,072 | 1,113 |  | 13,937 | 109,543 |
| $1979{ }^{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 476 | 1,109 | 56 |  | 886 | 7,522 |
| February. . | 581 | 975 | 98 |  | 686 | 6,103 |
| March | 410 | 1,031 | 100 |  | 1,027 | 8,175 |
| April . | 641 | 1,084 | 85 |  | 1,389 | 8,991 |
| May. | 1,272 | 1,382 | 91 |  | 1,156 | 9,539 |
| June | 2,311 | 2,183 | 96 |  | 1,337 | 12,074 |
| July. | 2,848 | 3,417 | 89 |  | 1,193 | 12,532 |
| August . . | 2,909 | 2,994 | 143 |  | 1,233 | 11,854 |
| September. | 2,527 | 2,404 | 83 |  | 1,468 | 9,830 |
| October | 2,075 | 1,692 | 67 |  | 909 | 7,835 |
| November | 1,805 | 1,096 | 73 |  | 1,202 | 7,821 |
| December . | 1,259 | 795 | 132 |  | 1,451 | 7,267 |
| 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 802 | 818 | 79 |  | 780 | 6,189 |
| February. . . | 827 | 816 | 74 |  | 1,384 | 7,358 |
| March . | 640 | 854 | 64 |  | 1,504 | 8,684 |
| April . . . . . . | 758 | 800 | 35 |  | 1,616 | 7,730 |
| May. . . . . | 1,568 | 1,022 | 65 |  | 1,606 | 8,975 |
| June . . . . . . . . . | 3.216 | 1,848 | 93 |  | 1,356 | 10,660 |

[^18]Compiled from reports of the Bureau of the Census.

Table 37-Raw wool content of United States exports of domestic wool manufactures ${ }^{1}$

| Year and month | Nolls wastes ${ }^{2}$ | Tops and advanced wool | Yarns | Woven fabrics | Wool blankets | Wearing apparel knit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000 pounds |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 1,591 | 1,702 | 1,476 | 677 | 706 | 586 |
| 1978 | 929 | 1,299 | 1,266 | 1,094 | 33 | 4,305 |
| 19794 | 1,323 | 3,213 | 951 | 1,162 | 22 | 4,573 |
| 19794 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 103 | 177 | 60 | 96 | 1 | 433 |
| February. | 98 | 229 | 105 | 77 | 1 | 351 |
| March | 124 | 151 | 80 | 125 | 2 | 373 |
| Aprll . | 90 | 145 | 122 | 104 | 2 | 353 |
| May. | 177 | 217 | 49 | 69 | 2 | 320 |
| June . | 132 | 145 | 74 | 115 | 2 | 553 |
| July. | 63 | 291 | 51 | 84 | 2 | 330 |
| August. | 132 | 268 | 58 | 69 | 3 | 428 |
| September. | 43 | 389 | 4 | 55 | 1 | 264 |
| October | 93 | 451 | 138 | 95 | 2 | 421 |
| November . | 156 | 347 | 63 | 135 | 2 | 439 |
| December | 112 | 403 | 147 | 138 | 2 | 309 |
| 19804 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 149 | 159 | 91 | 89 | 2 | 370 |
| February. | 53 | 196 | 42 | 103 | 3 | 664 |
| March | 48 | 121 | 50 | 112 | 5 | 734 |
| Aprll . | 29 | 135 | 28 | 119 | 4 | 1,983 |
| May. | 85 | 589 | 21 | 101 | 6 | 1,782 |
| June | 23 | 501 | 27 | 109 | 6 | 1,590 |
|  | Wearing apparel other than knit | Felts | Other manufactures ${ }^{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Carpets s } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { rugs } \end{aligned}$ | Knit fabrtcs | Total |
|  | 1,000 pounds |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 1,830 | 233 | 2,054 | 1,986 | 201 | 13,042 |
| 1978 | 1,235 | 274 | 1,247 | 733 | 152 | 12,567 |
| 19794 | 1,335 | 192 | 1,867 | 297 | 297 | 15,590 |
| 19794 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 64 | 8 | 95 | 60 | 17 | 1,114 |
| February. | 93 | 28 | 94 | 123 | 10 | 1,209 |
| March | 81 | 8 | 132 | 93 | 77 | 1,244 |
| April . | 91 | 26 | 138 | 72 | 12 | 1,153 |
| May. | 127 | 19 | 184 | 39 | 13 | 1,216 |
| June | 96 | 14 | 189 | 96 | 25 | 1,441 |
| July. | 109 | 37 | 145 | 14 | 13 | 1,137 |
| August . | 118 | 13 | 140 | 15 | 4 | 1,247 |
| September. | 140 | 8 | 189 | 20 | 26 | 1,140 |
| October | 156 | 23 | 153 | 27 | 42 | 1,602 |
| November . | 128 | 3 | 119 | 24 | 38 | 1,454 |
| December . | 132 | 5 | 289 | 74 | 21 | 1,633 |
| $1980^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 83 | 42 | 114 | 44 | 18 | 1,160 |
| February. | 51 | 40 | 267 | 19 | 11 | 1,450 |
| March | 153 | 14 | 130 | 14 | 8 | 1,389 |
| April. | 121 | 14 | 187 | 12 | 3 | 2,635 |
| May. . | 121 | 33 | 172 | 11 | 68 | 2,989 |
| June . . . . . . . . . | 136 | 12 | 138 | 14 | 10 | 2,565 |

${ }^{1}$ includes manufacturers of mohair, alpaca, and other wool-ilke specialty hair. ${ }^{2}$ Not Including rags. ${ }^{3}$ Census Bureau's Schedule B classification designated manufactures, n.e.c. ${ }^{\text {P Prellminary. }}$

Compiled from reports of the Bureau of the Census.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Generally for prompt shipment. N.Q. $=$ No quotations.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Prellminary. ${ }^{2}$ Includes nylon, acrylic and modacrylic, polyester, and other manmade fibers. ${ }^{3} 480$-pound net weight bales.
    Compiled from reports of the Bureau of the Census.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Currently represents American-Pima cotton; earlier years Included Sea island and Sealand. ${ }^{2}$ Includes cotton from 1977 , 1978 , and 1979 crops. ${ }^{3}$ Less than 500 bales. ${ }^{4}$ includes cotton from 1978 and 1979 crops.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Preliminary.

[^4]:    Compiled from reports of the Bureau of the Census.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Beginning November 1977 duty-free woois include all 46 's and coarser grades of wool by Public Law $95-162 .^{2}$ Preliminary.

    Compiled from reports of the Bureau of the Census.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Estimated.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Calendar year average price for grade 4, staple 4 linters, at the following points: Memphis, Dallas, and Los Angeles.
    ${ }^{2}$ Data not reported; four years used to compute average price.

    Monthly Cotton Linters Review, USDA.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ California, Arizona, New Mexico, and Nevada. ${ }^{2}$ Texas and Oklahoma. ${ }^{3}$ Missouri, Arkansas, Tennessee, Mississippi, Louisiana, llinois, and Kentucky. ${ }^{4}$ Virginia, North Carolina. South Carolina, Georgia, Ftorida, and Alabama. ${ }^{5}$ Not adiusted
    for final acreage compllance with allotments. ${ }^{6} 480$-pound net welght bales. ${ }^{7}$ Actual yield per acre. ${ }^{8}$ Yleld trend the 5 -year centered average. ${ }^{9}$ Crop Reporting Board report, August 11, 1980.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Complled from Bureau of the Census data and adjusted to an August 1 480-pound net weight basis. Excludes preseason ginnings. ${ }^{2}$ includes preseason ginnings. ${ }^{3}$ Totals made from unrounded data. ${ }^{4}$ Adjusted to August I-July 31 marketing year. ${ }^{5}$ Difference between ending stocks based on Census data and preceding season's supply less disappearance. For uptand cotton, this difference primarily reflects an Increase of an estimated 1 percent in average bale weights due to moisture absorbtion once cotton is ginned and begins to flow through marketing channels. Additional moisture is absorbed by cotton moving in export

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compiled from Bureau of the Census data and adjusted to a 480 -pound net weight basis. ${ }^{2}$ August stocks adjusted to an August 1 basis and exclude preseason ginnings. ${ }^{3}$ August date include preseason ginnings. ${ }^{4}$ Adjusted to a calendar month. ${ }^{5}$ Supply less disappearance. End of season stocks adjusted by Bureau of the Census data. Differences primarily reflect varying bale weights. ${ }^{6}$ Adjusted to 480 -pound bales by use of monthly conversion factors for mill stocks. ${ }^{7}$ Primarily cotton on farms and in transit. Estimated by substracting public storage and mill stocks from total stocks. ${ }^{8}$ Less than 500 bales. ${ }^{\circ}$ Prellminary.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Numbers in parentheses indicate number of weeks in month. ${ }^{2}$ Totals made from unrounded data. ${ }^{3}$ Includes data for which breakdown by staple length was not obtained. ${ }^{4} 480$-pound net weight bales. ${ }^{5}$ Prellminary.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Estimated. ${ }^{2} 480$-pound net weight. ${ }^{3}$ Difference between sum of estimated raw cotton consumption in itemized products and reported total mill consumption. Refiects cotton consumption in minor uses, such as tire cord, as well as inventory changes and lags between raw cotton consumption and production of textile products.

    Based on data reported in Current Industrail Reports, Bureau of the Census, and Cotton Counts its Customers. National Cotton Council of America.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ SLM-1-1/16" at Group B Mill points, net weight. ${ }^{2} 1.5$ and 3.0 denier, regular rayon staple. ${ }^{3}$ Reported average market price for 1.5 denier polyester staple for cotton blending. ${ }^{4}$ Actual prices converted to estimated raw fiber equivalent as follows; cotton, divided by 0.90 , rayon and polyester, divided by 0.96 .

[^14]:    Compiled from reports of the Bureau of the Census.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Spot market loan rates and prices are for cotton with micronaire readings of 3.5 through 4.9. ${ }^{2}$ Excludes domestic allotment payments, price support and diversion payments. ${ }^{3}$ Weighted average. ${ }^{4}$ SLM $1-1 / 16$ " average location. ${ }^{5}$ Average price to April 1 , 1980 with no allowance for unredeemed ioans.

[^16]:    "Cotton classed as "Yellow Stained"' (Middling and better grades) will be ellgible for loan, if otherwise eligible, at a discount 200 points greater than the discount applicable to the comparable quality in the color group "Tinged."

    Discounts for micronaire in points per pound are: 5.3 and above, -145 5.0-5.2, .70. 3.5-4.9, zero; 3.3-3.4, 95 3.0-3.2, -285 2.7-2.9, 490; 2.6 and below, -720.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ A micronaire premium of 75 points ( 0.75 cent) per pound is included in the loan rate for each ellglble quality; thus, the national average loan rate reflected in the above schedule is 94.25 cents per pound. Discounts for micronaire in points per pound are: 3.5 and above, zero; 3.3-3.4, -160; 3.0-3.2, -305; 2.7-2.9, -570.

    Agricultural Stabllization and Conservation Service.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes manufacturers of mohair, alpaca, and other wool-like specialy hair. ${ }^{2}$ Includes pile fabric and manufacturers, tapestry and uphoistery goods, press and billard cloths. ${ }^{3}$ Includes carriage and automoblie robes, steamer rugs, etc. ${ }^{4}$ Includes laces, lace articles, vells and vellings, nets and nettings, when reported in pounds. ${ }^{5}$ includes knit fabrics in the plece and miscellaneous manufacturers not elsewhere specified. ${ }^{6}$ Not including rags. ${ }^{7}$ Preliminary.

