

United States Department of Agriculture

Economic Research Service

**CWS-34** 

March 1983

# **Cotton and Wool**

ALBERT R. MANN LIBRARY TTHACA, N. Y. 14850

MAR 2 4 1983



# In This Issue

Textiles and the Economy
Cotton Situation:
U.S. Outlook for 1982/83 4
ELS Cotton Situation
Outlook for 1983/84 8
World Outlook for 1982/83 9
Manmade Fiber Review11
Wool Situation:
U.S. Situation
World Overview
Mohair Situation 14
Special Articles:
An Economic Analysis of The 1983 Upland
Cotton Program
Raw Cotton Equivalent of U.S. Textile
Imports, by Country of Origin

Page

Cotton and Wool Outlook and Situation is published in March, May, August, and November.

Approved by The World Agricultural Outlook Board and Summary released March 7, 1983

Situation Coordinator: Keith Collins

Principal Contributors: (202) 447-8776 Keith Collins John V. Lawler (Wool and Mohair) Mildred V. Jones

National Economics Division Economic Research Service U,S. Department of Agriculture Washington, D.C. 20250

# Summary

Despite the prospect of burdensome ending stocks, U.S. cotton prices have strengthened in recent weeks. Spotmarket prices averaged nearly 65 cents a pound in early March, 4 cents above a month earlier and the highest level this season.

Many factors are behind this price strength, including the prospect of high participation in the cotton payment-in-kind (PIK) program, a pickup in economic and textile activity since Christmas, and recent unexpected sales to the Soviet Union, which are further tightening supplies of higher-quality cotton. So, prospects are improving for mill use and exports. During the first half of this season, both domestic use and exports fell short of the pace needed to reach the total use forecast of 10.4 million bales. However, with economic recovery emerging, cotton-textile activity will likely rise moderately this spring. Also, recent additional funds for USDA's blended credit program, a reduction in the USSR's export offerings, and more competitive prices may help stimulate this season's sagging export sales. The 1983 PIK program is especially attractive to cotton producers for several reasons. The PIK is exempt from the \$50,000 limit per person on cash program payments, encouraging additional participation among the larger cotton farms. Also, PIK provides an opportunity to avoid some of cotton's high production costs, which among major field crops are only exceeded by rice. In addition, producers receive a guaranteed yield on PIK acreage—an important feature because cotton's yield is one of the most variable of all crops.

The February prospective plantings survey indicated that cotton farmers' participation in the PIK program will be high. The survey showed that growers intend to plant 9.3 million acres in 1983—a 19-percent drop from 1982. The final outcome may change, however, depending on how many whole-base bids USDA accepts and farmers' final planting decisions.

If cotton plantings are around intentions, weather is normal, and total use grows moderately, 1983/84 ending stocks would drop a couple of million bales from this season's expected 8.4 million. So, PIK has the potential to reduce burdensome stocks. Nevertheless, unless weather is extremely bad or demand surges dramatically, stocks will remain high for many qualities.

U.S. mills used cotton at an annual rate of only 5.23 million bales during August-January, compared with the season's forecast of 5.4 million. Per capita cotton consumption—mill use plus the net cotton-textile trade balance—was 13.5 pounds in 1982, a 6-percent drop from 1981. So, a rebounding general economy could unmask pent-up textile demand. However, any demand boost in 1983 will continue to be limited by high imports and low exports. Imports of cotton textiles arrived at an annual rate of 1.9 million equivalent bales during August-December, almost equal to a year earlier. Meanwhile, exports fell to less than a half million equivalent bales, down 29 percent from a year earlier and 60 percent from 1980.

U.S. cotton export commitments—exports plus outstanding sales—stood at 4.5 million bales in late February, 2 million below a year earlier. For 1982/83, exports are forecast at 5 million bales, 1.6 million below a year earlier. An increase in foreign supplies relative to use provides the fundamental reason for this season's decline in exports. Also, U.S. cotton was generally priced 2 to 3.5 cents a pound above foreign cotton during August-December. However, during January and February, the gap steadily closed to less than a cent as supplies of higher quality foreign cotton—particularly Soviet cotton—became tighter.

The 1982/83 foreign cotton outlook continues to be dominated by stagnant consumption, higher supplies, and lower imports. Mill use is forecast at 61.1 million bales, 0.6 million above last season. However, foreign use, excluding China, has been flat since 1979/80. Foreign production, at 55.9 million bales, is 0.4 million above last season. A 2-million-bale gain in China more than offset steep drops in Mexico and the USSR. Most of this season's drop in world imports will occur in the Far East, where the U.S. trade share historically has been large. China will likely reduce imports by 1.5 million bales to just 0.7 million. Korea, Japan, Hong Kong, and Taiwan are expected to lower their combined purchases by almost a half million bales.

Declining total use of extra-long staple (ELS) cotton, coming at the same time as the largest American-Pima crop since 1977, will cause ELS stocks to skyrocket this season. Mill use is forecast at 47,000 bales, nearly equal to a year earlier. With U.S. prices above foreign ones, U.S. exports are forecast at 13,000 bales, up only marginally from a year ago and 40 percent below 2 years earlier. Ending stocks are expected to build to 116,000 bales, nearly 80 percent above beginning stocks. Reflecting reduced allotments, farmers' February planting indications were 64,000 acres, down 12 percent from 1982. This acreage, coupled with trend yields, could cause ELS stocks to build again next season.

Low production, use, and prices mark this season's wool market. Sheep numbers on January 1, 1983, were 10.3 million, 10 percent below a year earlier and the lowest since recordkeeping began in 1867. Mill use in 1982 was 115 million pounds, 17 percent below 1981. February farm prices averaged 57.7 cents a pound, greasy, the lowest price for that month since 1975.

This issue of the Cotton and Wool Situation contains two special articles. The first article, "An Economic Analysis of the 1983 Upland Cotton Program," concludes that, for an average farm, participation in the PIK program is the more profitable planting strategy over a wide range of expected market prices and yields. The second article, "The Raw Cotton Equivalent of U.S. Textile Imports by Country of Origin," presents data on the volume of U.S. imports for 1982. Of total imports of 1.9 million equivalent bales, over 83 percent came from Asian nations.

## **Cotton and Wool Situation**

## TEXTILES AND THE ECONOMY

The U.S. output of goods and services in fourth-quarter 1982 continued the sluggish performance that began in the third quarter. The real gross national product (GNP) dropped at an annual rate of 1.9 percent from the third quarter, which in turn increased only 0.7 percent from the second. The major cause of the lower fourth-quarter GNP was a 37-percent annual-rate decline in gross private domestic investment, which accounted for 12 percent of GNP. Private domestic investment fell because of a sharp reduction (\$18.7 billion) in real business inventories, two-thirds of which were in motor vehicles. By comparison, real inventories increased \$3.4 billion in the third quarter and decreased \$4.4 billion in the second. In contrast, real personal consumption expenditures, which accounted for almost two-thirds of GNP, rose at an annual rate of 4.8 percent. Half of this spending was in the retail sales of motor vehicles and parts.

Other fourth-quarter data also indicated low economic activity. The index of industrial production declined at an annual rate of 8.4 percent; it has declined every quarter since third-quarter 1981. The civilian unemployment rate increased or stayed constant every month since August 1981, until it reached 10.8 percent in December.

On the other hand, here are some economic factors that foretell business recovery. The index of leading indicators rose 3.6 percent in January, the largest monthly increase in over 30 years. It went up 9 of the past 12 months. The coincident index, a companion index that measures current economic activity, rose for the second time in 3 months. Consumer spending and housing starts rose sharply in January 1983, while interest rates declined. Manufacturers' inventories in December 1982 were at a 2-year low, suggesting inventory disposal may be about over. In January 1983, civilian unemployment fell 0.4 percent to 10.4. The consumer prices index has declined since mid-1982. Retail sales of nondurable goods, seasonally adjusted, rose each month of the fourth quarter.

The textile industry, in contrast to the general economy, experienced a mild recovery in the latter part of 1982. The quarterly index of textile production rose at annual rates of 2, 0.8, and 4 percent in the second, third, and fourth quarters, respectively. The unemployment rate in textile mills dropped from 19.3 percent in July 1982 to 10.1 percent in January 1983, and unemployment in apparel manufacturing went from 15.5 percent in September to 13 percent in January.

Mill consumption of fibers in 1982 was the lowest in several years. Total fiber consumption was 10.1 million pounds, 13 percent below 1981. Cotton use, 2.49 billion pounds, was 8 percent less than in 1981 and the lowest level in 50 years. In addition to the depressed economy, one of the major causes of lower mill use of fibers has been the relatively large quantity of textile imports at time of declining exports. As the dollar became stronger, textile trade reacted accordingly. Imports of cotton textiles averaged a record-high 933 million pounds over the last 2 years, while 1982 exports fell to less than half of the 1980 high of 528 million pounds. Last year's imports of manmade fiber textiles climbed to a record 807 million pounds, while exports dropped one-third from the previous 3-year average.

These data indicate that, when the global economy rebounds, a restoration of mill consumption of fibers to prerecession levels could mean an increase of up to 20 percent.

## **COTTON SITUATION**

## **U.S. Outlook for 1982/83**

## Mill Use Probably Bottomed in December; January's Rebound Expected To Continue

U.S. mill use plunged to a seasonally adjusted annual rate of only 5.04 million bales during December. However, because there continues to be a basis for optimism during the second half of this season, mill use is still expected to total 5.4 million bales for 1982/83 (tables 14 and 15). Evidence of future mill strength came in Janu. ary, when the monthly rate jumped to 5.35 million bales. Many economic indicators (as described in the previous section) suggest that the trough of the recession has past, and quarterly real GNP growth rates should be up sharply during most of 1983. The abnormally low per capita consumption of cotton during 1982-13.5 pounds. compared with 14.4 pounds in 1981-suggests that a rebounding economy may unmask substantial pent-up demand for textiles. Because the annual rate of mill use averaged only 5.23 million bales during the first half of this season, mill use would have to jump to an average of 5.47 million bales during February-July to reach this season's forecast. Obviously, this expectation is tied to the emerging optimism for the U.S. economy.

Data for the third quarter of 1982—the start of the cotton marketing season—reveal the types of textile products that have suffered the greatest drops in cotton use (table 16). Among chiefly cotton items, declines from a year earlier include: sheeting and allied coarse fabrics, 37 percent; toweling, 20 percent; and denim and corduroy, 19 percent. Among primarily polyester fabrics, bed sheeting saw the largest drop in cotton use, down 30 percent. Knit fabrics—which account for a quarter of total cotton mill use—were off 16 percent. These data also indicate the importance of household furnishings. Recent sharp gains in housing construction should provide help after some lag in time.

Additional factors that are important for monitoring mill use include the following:

• Textile trade—The raw fiber equivalent of cotton textile imports was 1.94 million bales at an annual rate during the first 5 months of this season, compared with 2.03 million a year earlier. Cotton textiles were exported at an annual rate of 0.47 million bales, down from 0.66 million a year earlier and nearly 60 percent below 1980. The decline in exports is especially damaging to U.S. mill use. So, a severe cotton-textile trade deficit is likely again this season. Furthermore, prospects now are not bright for a weakening of the dollar, which could help change this situation. Any major boost in U.S. mill use will likely need to be linked to improvement in the cotton-textile trade deficit (tables 17 to 20).

 Table 1 – Upland cotton and manmade staple fibers: Mill consumption

 on cotton-system spinning spindles

Yoor boginning			Manmade			Cotton's
August 1	Cotton	Rayon and acetate	Non- cellulosic	Total	Total fibers	share of total
			1,000 pounds			Percent
1981/82	2,503,788	234,321	1,450,365	1,684,686	4,188,474	59.8
1982/83						
August	193,941	15,575	108,335	123,910	317.851	61.0
September	235,629	18,909	135,000	153,909	389,538	60.5
October	207,127	16.747	113.879	130.626	337.753	61.3
November	194.028	17.644	109.023	126.667	320,695	60.5
December <sup>1</sup>	213,960	17.013	118.077	135.090	349.050	61.3
January	N.A.	16,823	114,856	131,679	N.A.	

<sup>1</sup>Preliminary. N.A. = not available.

Compiled from reports of the Bureau of the Census.

	Upland cotton				Manmade staple							
- Né-méh	198	1/82	1982	2/83 <sup>1</sup>		198	1/82			1982	2/83 <sup>1</sup>	0
Monta	Unad-	Ad-	Unad-	Ad-	Rayo ace	n and tate	No cellu	on- Iosic <sup>2</sup>	Rayo ace	n and tate	No cellu	on- losic²
	Justea	Justeu	justed j	Justeu	Unad- justed	Ad- justed	Unad- justed	Ad- justed	Unad- justed	Ad- justed	Unad- justed	Ad- justed
		Bai	les <sup>3</sup>					1,000	oounds			
August September October November December January February March April May June June	22,147 21,399 23,156 20,763 16,367 19,406 20,488 20,550 21,391 20,395 19,000 16,419	21,971 21,836 22,011 20,276 17,618 18,914 18,970 19,741 21,158 19,744 18,793 19,711	20,202 19,636 21,576 20,211 17,830	20,042 20,037 20,510 19,737 19,193	1,172 1,132 1,090 1,078 764 887 843 812 852 820 752 651	1,150 1,129 1,007 1,087 852 864 836 801 844 771 736 758	6,448 6,312 6,391 5,737 4,692 5,585 5,595 5,595 5,508 5,267 5,066 4,536	6,403 6,395 6,151 5,554 5,591 5,773 5,375 5,403 5,031 4,952 5,324	779 756 837 882 681 841	765 754 774 889 759 819	5,417 5,400 5,694 5,451 4,723 5,743	5,379 5,471 5,480 5,277 5,139 5,749

# Table 2-Cotton and manmade fibers: Daily rate of mill consumption on cotton-system spinning spindles, unadjusted and seasonally adjusted

<sup>1</sup>Preliminary. <sup>2</sup>Includes nylon, acrylic and modacrylic, polyester, and other manmade fibers. <sup>3</sup>480-pound net weight bales.

Complied from reports of the Bureau of the Census.

Cotton's market share-During the last several . years, changes in cotton mill use amounting to as much as several hundred thousand bales have been related to changes in cotton's share of total fiber use. The price of cotton relative to polyester is a factor. However, changes in relative prices have a lagged effect, because it takes time to recognize the changes, assess their permanence, and turn a new mill order into a finished textile. Figure 1 shows the relationship between 1) the ratio of the Group B mill price of cotton to the price of polyester staple, f.o.b., producing plants, and 2) cotton's share of the fiber used on the cotton system (spindles designed for cotton-like staple fibers). The data are for 6-month periods, January-June and July-December, and the price ratio lags behind the market share variable by one period. For example, the share for July-December 1982 is plotted against the price ratio for January-June 1982. The figure shows that cotton's share generally rises as cotton becomes relatively cheaper. During July-December 1982, cotton's price was 91 percent of polyester, compared with 86 percent during January-June (table 21). These prices suggest a market share of around 61 percent during the first half of 1983. While cotton's share of the textile pie will likely remain up, total mill use will continue to depend on how large the pie gets during 1983.

## Export Prospects Approach 6-Year Low

U.S. cotton exports are forecast at 5 million bales this season, which is below expectations early in the season and nearly a quarter below last year. This season's outlook is a sharp departure from the export-driven market growth once anticipated for the early 1980's. What happened? A combination of factors—some of which are likely to prevail for a few years—have called into question the general presumption that export growth would

Cotton's Share of Fiber Used on the Cotton System<sup>1</sup> Percent





follow the path of the 1970's. That trend implied that demand growth would exceed increases in productivity and lead to real price rises.

The current worldwide recession has reduced growth in foreign cotton mill use. Stagnant consumption is a major factor behind smaller world cotton trade. Most U.S. exports go to developing countries, where real GNP growth averaged about 2.5 percent in 1982, well below the 5 to 6 percent of the 1970's. Because of high inflation, low commodity prices that limit export earnings, and credit problems, GNP growth in developing countries is now expected to continue below that of the 1970's during the next several years. Furthermore, foreign cotton exporters will likely continue to increase production as yields grow and foreign exchange needs keep acreage in cotton. So, the stage is set for a slow-growing, highly competitive export market during the next couple of years.

Specific factors affecting the size of U.S. exports in future years and their relationship to this season's export forecast include the following:

- Foreign supply/use gap—This gap represents foreign excess supplies, which are inversely correlated with U.S. exports (figure 2). Foreign excess supplies are expected to total 15.6 million bales, 0.5 million above 1981/82. While there is not a perfect unit-for-unit relationship between U.S. exports and foreign excess supplies, the relationship is strong enough to indicate that, with U.S. exportable supplies about the same as last season, the rise in foreign excess supplies this year largely explains the soft export market.
- U.S. market share—The shrinking of world trade means any export strength has to come from a larger trade share for the United States. Unfortunately, the U.S. share is expected to drop to 28.8 percent, from 32.4 percent last season. The expected drop is mainly caused by less competitive U.S. prices, which have exceeded foreign prices all season. The premium has narrowed recently, which may help export sales in the second half of this season.
- Exchange rates and freight costs—These are two major factors determining the foreign currency price of U.S. cotton delivered to overseas mills. The trade-weighted value of the dollar, using cotton exports as the weights, averaged 148.7 (April 1971=100) during the first 5 months of this season, compared with 135.2 a year earlier. This 10-percent gain is equivalent to a 10-percent rise in the foreign currency price of U.S. cotton and has been a factor in this season's loss of market share. Its importance has been mitigated somewhat by dropping ocean freight rates. Freight capacity increased during 1982, and use fell, so cheaper transportation costs have prevented the appreciating dollar from having an even greater negative impact on trade.
- Export credit-The blended credit program was announced in the fall of 1982, with financing for this season's agricultural exports amounting to \$500 million. That initial allocation was totally committed within 1 month. Two programs were for cotton-185,000 bales to Yugoslavia and 14,000 to Portugal. Coinciding with the announcement of the PIK program, President Reagan authorized an additional \$1.25 billion in blended credit for this season. most of which has not yet been committed. Many trade analysts place U.S. cotton exports between 4.5 and 5 million bales this season. Different assumptions about the extent of blended credit used for cotton exports is a major factor explaining the range in forecasts. The popularity of the initial \$500 million authorization suggests that the new authorization will likely boost cotton sales during the next couple of months, providing support for a 5-million-bale export forecast.
- Export commitments—Exports plus outstanding sales were 4.5 million bales in late February, 2 million below a year earlier. The export forecast for this season is only 1.6 million bales below last season. However, more competitive U.S. prices, a







USDA Figure 2 Neg. ERS 264-83(2)

strengthening world economy, and additional export financing are expected to help sales. Recent Soviet purchases of cotton and a reduction in their export offerings may also help push U.S. exports to the forecast level this season.

## Stocks Continue High, With Most Under Loan

This season's production estimate of 12 million bales and carryin stocks of 6.6 million bring the total supply to 18.7 million. With total use expected to be only 10.4 million bales, carryover stocks would build to 8.4 million-27 percent above last season, more than double the desirable level, and the most since 1966/67.

Following redemptions of about 1 million bales during the first 6 months of this season, redemptions of 1980and 1981-crop cotton from Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) loans have nearly stopped. The most profitable option now for most of this cotton is forfeiture to the CCC. However, 1982-crop cotton will likely continue to be placed under loan as the remainder of the crop is ginned. Some will be redeemed whenever market prices spike upward. The distribution of CCC and loan stocks of upland cotton on February 23 was:

Quantity
Million bales
4.244
2.649
.094
.095
7.082

High participation in the payment-in-kind (PIK) program will mean a large proportion of the 1980 and 1981 loans that mature this spring and summer will be extended and used to satisfy PIK requirements. It is likely that a portion of the 1982 loans will have to be used to cover PIK needs. The final amount will depend on program enrollment and the number of whole-base bids that USDA accepts.



The PIK program will probably need to use 3 to 4 million bales for payments. Even with PIK cotton at the upper end of this range and as much as 2 million bales of 1982 cotton remaining in outstanding loans, there would still be almost 2-1/2 million bales of free stocks on August 1, 1983. Although overall cotton supplies are excessive, prices have already shown strength among the better qualities, and it is likely that only these qualities have much chance of continuing to be bid up this spring and summer. For most qualities, price gains will be limited to carrying costs. The extent of tight farmer holdings and PIK enrollment in California and Arizona will be key factors determining the availability of the better qualities. High enrollment in these States would mean more of the better qualities of the 1982 crop would have to be kept off the market to satisfy PIK entitlements.

## PIK Helps Move Spot Prices; Farm Prices Exceed Expectations

The expectation that PIK will tie up a significant portion of the cotton under loan while simultaneously removing several million acres from production, a pickup in economic and textile activity since Christmas, and recent unexpected sales to the USSR have helped boost spot prices. Loan placements of higher quality cotton have also restricted such supplies and added price strength. During early March, spot prices for SLM 1-1/16-inch cotton reached nearly 65 cents a pound, 5 cents above prices prevailing at the time PIK was announced and nearly 8 cents above a year earlier.

Farm prices have been slightly above expectations during the first 5 months of this season, averaging 58.4 cents a pound (figure 3). Normally, sales during December and January are dominated by lower priced Texas cotton. However, this season, prices for those 2 months averaged 57 cents a pound. The relatively stronger prices probably reflect proportionally lower marketings of Texas cotton, which were only 23 percent of total U.S. production, compared with 36 percent a year ago. Some Texas marketings were probably reflected in the early February farm price, which fell to 53.7 cents a pound.

**Cotton Use/Supply and Farm Price** 





Farm Price Loan Rate 1.3 67 1.2 1982/83 Stocks-to-use 1.1 Forecast • 70 81 1.0 63 66 • 62 61 64 69 40 60 80 100 120 Percent

<sup>1</sup>Data are for crop years. In 1965, stocks-to-use ratio was 135 percent, and the price ratio was 0.97. Figure 5

#### **Farm-Price Fundamentals Are Weak**

Farm-price relationships are presented in figures 4 and 5. Figure 4 shows the relationship between the use/supply ratio and the season-average farm price. This season's use/supply ratio is 56 percent, down from 64 percent last season. Over the past 6 years, a 1-percentagepoint change in the ratio has been associated with a 1cent-a-pound change in price in the same direction. If this relationship were to hold this year, it would imply an average farm price below 50 cents a pound. However, the loan rate, designed to function as a "safety net," has been doing just that.

Over the past two decades, the loan rate has served as a fairly effective floor on cotton prices. Figure 5 shows the ratio of ending stocks to total use plotted against the ratio of the season-average farm price to the loan rate. Only data for years when the stocks-to-use ratio exceeded 40 percent are used. The figure clearly shows that the relationship becomes flat (the loan rate about equals the farm price) at stocks-to-use ratios in excess of 50 percent. This season's ratio is expected to be 81 percent well onto the flat portion of the curve. This figure also shows that the stocks-to-use ratio has to be reduced some 25 to 35 percentage points before major price impacts can be expected.

## **ELS Cotton Situation**

## Mill Use Fails To Pick Up; Huge Stocks in Prospect

Mill use of extra-long staple (ELS) cotton is expected to total 47,000 bales this season. This forecast is down sharply from forecasts earlier in the season. Through the first 5 months of 1982/83, mill use averaged only 45,000 bales at a seasonally adjusted annual rate, compared with 53,000 a year earlier. The 47,000-bale forecast represents a substantial deterioration in ELS mill use, which averaged 64,000 bales during 1979/80-1980/81. Mill use will have to stage a moderate gain this spring even to reach this season's forecast. Strength in the overall economy and in the upland cotton market, combined with some new products, such as Pima sheets and shirts, could provide the impetus to boost ELS use during the second half of this season.

Declining total use, coming at the same time as the largest American-Pima crop since 1977, will cause ELS carryover stocks to skyrocket this season. Exports are expected to total 13,000 bales, up marginally from a year ago, but only 40 percent of 2 years earlier. U.S. prices are not competitive with foreign ELS prices, such as those for Peruvian ELS, which is similar in quality to American-Pima. Total ELS use is expected to be 60,000 bales-a third of this season's supply of 181,000. Consequently, carryover stocks will likely build to 116,000 bales, compared with beginning stocks of 65,000 and a more desirable level of around 50,000. ELS prices averaged \$1.04 a pound during August-December, compared with the average loan rate of 99.89 cents a pound. It is likely that superior gualities were marketed while others were put under loan. Some 35 to 40 percent of this season's carryover may be owned by the CCC, as large loan forfeitures are expected this spring.

Faced with prospects for excessive stocks, the 1983 ELS acreage allotment has been lowered to 80,131, down from last season's 120,200 acres. This allotment is expected to be restrictive in Arizona and will likely cause 1983 plantings to drop 10,000 acres from the 73,000 planted in 1982. The February prospective plantings survey showed grower intentions of 64,000 acres. Even with such an acreage drop and trend yields, production would still top 80,000 bales. Total use will likely be up only moderately from this season's 60,000 bales, suggesting that ELS stocks could build again in 1983/84.

## Outlook for 1983/84

#### Effectiveness of PIK Dominates Outlook

President Reagan announced the PIK program on January 11, 1983. This is a diversion program designed to put acreage into conserving uses in addition to the area diverted under the acreage reduction (ARP) and paid land diversion (PLD) programs. Participants in the PIK program have the option to idle not less than an additional 10 percent or more than 30 percent of a farm's base acreage for cotton and receive 80 percent of the farm's program yield as payment for each acre idled. In addition, a producer may submit a bid to withdraw a farm's entire base from production. The whole-base bid cannot exceed the offer rate of 80 percent of the program yield that is in effect for the 10- to 30-percent PIK.

Another program feature especially relevant for cotton is the availability of a special PIK for producers exceeding the \$50,000 limit for cash program payments. Producers whose cash payments are reduced because of the limit may request a reduction in their conservation use requirement for the acreage reduction program. Or, they may forgo the reduction and receive PIK on this acreage. The PIK compensation per reduced acre would be 50 percent of the farm's program yield.

As the first special article in this issue points out, the ARP and PIK programs provide strong incentives to participate in one or both. The incentives are even stronger in the West (where participation is usually lower) because PIK is not counted against the \$50,000 limit and because of the special PIK provisions for producers affected by the limit.

Program participation and yield will be the primary factors that determine the extent to which 1983/84 carryin stocks—forecast at 8.4 million bales—may be reduced during next season. The following table provides some insight on the production impact of alternative levels of planted acreage and yield, assuming harvested area is 95 percent of planted area:

Yield		Р	lanted ar	ea	
Lbs/acre		Λ	Aillion act	res	
	7.8	8.3	8.8	9.3	9.8
		]	Productio	n	
		Ν	Aillion ba	les	
475	7.3	7.8	8.3	8.7	9.2
550	8.5	9.0	9.6	10.1	10.7
625	9.6	10.3	10.9	11.5	12.1

## Prospective Plantings Report Suggests PIK Impact

The USDA survey of growers' prospective plantings, taken around February 1, indicated cotton producers intend to plant 9.28 million acres this spring, a 19percent drop from 1982 (table 3). This is consistent with the previous table, which shows a range of the most likely production outcomes. However, the survey intentions fall near the middle of the acreage range. The survey results are only a rough guide, because many farmers had not decided on their final planting strategy by February 1. Still, the survey results provide evidence of the strong participation expected. A major qualification of the survey results is that they probably do not fully account for whole-base bids. The final outcome will depend on how many whole-base bids USDA accepts and farmers' final planting decisions.

Table 3-Cotton: All kinds, U.S. acreage planted by States

State	1982	Indicated 1983 <sup>1</sup>	1983 as a percentage of 1982
	1,000	acres	Percent
Upland			
Alabama	302	225	75
Arizona	490	310	63
Arkansas	435	375	86
California	1,380	1,100	80
Georgia	180	145	81
Louisiana	610	400	66
Mississippi	1,050	800	76
Missouri	158	150	95
New Mexico	79	70	89
North Carolina	74	55	74
Oklahoma	480	450	94
South Carolina	97	85	88
Tennessee	275	240	87
Texas	5,800	4,800	83
Other states <sup>2</sup>	15.9	12.2	77
Total	11,425.9	9,217.2	81
American-Pima			
Texas	19.5	22.0	113
New Mexico	9.5	11.0	116
Arizona	44.1	31.0	70
Total	73.1	64.0	88
Total			
(all cotton)	11,499.0	9,281.2	81

<sup>1</sup>Prospective plantings report of February 17, 1983. <sup>2</sup>Virginia, Florida, Illinois, Kentucky, and Nevada.

## Demand Rise May Not Be Enough To Balance Market

It is clear that unless unusual circumstances prevail, production in 1983 will fall short of this season's 12 million bales. To reduce stocks, demand must exceed production, which is likely in 1983/84. Mill use and exports are both likely to rise. With the textile trade balance expected to remain about the same as this season, a stronger U.S. economy could boost mill use by 0.2 to 0.4 million bales in 1983/84. Foreign area will likely remain about the same, and with trend yields and a rise in foreign use of 1 to 2 million bales, the foreign supply/use gap could narrow by 0.5 to 1.5 million bales, causing a similar gain in U.S. exports. What would be the impact on carryover stocks? The following table shows carryover levels in 1983/84 for three demand and yield alternatives, assuming plantings of 8.8 million acres and an unaccounted for difference of 0.1 million bales:

Yield		Total use	
Lbs/acre		Million bales	
	11.0	11.5	12.0
		Carryover stock	<i>s</i>
475	5.8	5.3	4.8
550	7.1	6.6	6.1
625	8.4	7.9	7.4

Only under a fairly unlikely set of conditions—a 12million-bale total use and low yields—would carryover stocks fall below 5 million bales. And, only under the low-yield alternative would the stocks-to-use ratio fall enough to cause very significant price reactions.

## World Outlook for 1982/83

## **Recession Continues to Restrain Mill Use**

World cotton consumption is forecast at 66.5 million bales this season, 0.8 million above 1981/82 (table 4). China's mill use is expected to rise 0.6 million bales to 16.4 million. So, foreign mill use, excluding China, is essentially as flat as it has been since 1979/80. By January, there was little evidence of any strength in foreign textile activity, which apparently will follow, but only with a lag, a general rebound in the U.S. economy.

Among the importing countries, there are only a few substantive changes from last season's mill use. Consumption in Eastern Europe is expected to fall 0.1 million bales to 3.35 million, with 60 percent of the decline in Poland. In China, retail prices of cotton goods have been raised relative to manmade-fiber items, a development likely to further reduce China's future import needs for cotton. In Korea, Japan, Hong Kong, and Taiwan—the primary U.S. cotton buyers—mill use is placed at 6.43 million bales, more than 0.2 million below last season. Only Korea is expected to register a slight gain. Most of the drop will likely occur in Japan, where imported textiles continue to weaken domestic use. The Japanese Spinners Association is expected to ask for an increase in the voluntary production restraints on mills.

Among exporting countries, the sharpest gains in mill use are expected in the USSR and Argentina. Soviet mills will likely use 9.5 million bales this season, up 0.1 million from 1981/82. Argentina is expected to use 435,000 bales, an 18-percent gain. With this season's crop greatly curtailed, Mexican mill use is forecast at 500,000 bales, 120,000 below 1981/82.

Global production is expected to total 67.9 million bales this season. Foreign production, at 55.9 million, is 0.4 million above last season. This rise reflects growth in Chinese area and yield, with production now forecast at 15.6 million bales, 2 million above 1981. Small gains were registered in Brazil, Pakistan, and the Sudan. Steep declines in output occurred in Mexico, 0.6 million

Vaar			World less United	States		World
beginning August 1	United States	Major importers <sup>1</sup>	Major exporters <sup>2</sup>	Other	Total	worlds
			Million 480-poun	d bales		
1981/82 Supply Beginning stocks Production Imports	2.7 15.6 (4)	9.1 14.5 17.1	4.7 24.5 .2	6.3 16.4 2.6	20.1 55.5 19.8	22.8 71.1 19.9
Use Mill use Exports Ending stocks	5.3 6.6 6.6	31.0 .4 9.3	15.1 9.2 4.8	14.3 4.1 6.8	60.5 13.8 20.9	65.7 20.3 27.5
1982/83 <sup>5</sup> Supply Beginning stocks Production Imports	6.6 12.0 (4)	9.3 16.3 14.9	4.8 23.2 .2	6.8 16.3 2.6	20.9 55.9 17.7	27.5 67.9 17.7
Use Mill use Exports Ending stocks	5.4 5.0 8.4	31.3 .4 8.7	15.3 7.7 4.9	14.6 4.4 6.8	61.1 12.5 20.5	66.5 17.5 28.9

Table 4-Cotton: Supply and use; U.S., major importers, major exporters and world

<sup>1</sup>Includes Western Europe, Eastern Europe, Japan, PRC, Korea, Taiwan, and Hong Kong. <sup>2</sup>Includes the USSR, Pakistan, Egypt, Sudan, Turkey, Central America, and Mexico. <sup>3</sup>Total trade of individual countries, including intra-regional trade. World imports and exports may not balance due to cotton in transit and reporting discrepancies in some countries. <sup>4</sup>Less than 50,000 bales. <sup>5</sup>February projections.

Totals may not add and stocks may not balance due to rounding, a small quantity of cotton destroyed, and differences unaccounted.

bales, and in the USSR, 0.5 million. India, Greece, Egypt, and Australia also witnessed smaller outturns this season.

World exports are forecast at 17.5 million bales, down 2.8 million from last season and the lowest since 1974. Greater self-sufficiency in China will likely reduce import needs by 1.5 million bales to just 0.7 million. Korea, Japan, Hong Kong, and Taiwan are expected to reduce their combined imports by about 450,000 bales. So, most of this season's drop in world imports will take place in the Far East, where the U.S. trade share historically has been large.

Foreign carryover stocks are likely to drop slightly to 20.5 million bales, compared with 1981/82's 20.9 million. With consumption stagnant and exporters' supplies up, many importing countries are choosing to use up stocks. Most of the drop in stocks will likely occur in China-200,000 bales-and in Western European importing countries-150,000.

## **U.S. Prices Becoming More Competitive**

Last season, when U.S. prices were near the loan rate, quotations in Northern Europe for Memphis Middling 1-3/32-inch cotton averaged 2.11 cents a pound above the Outlook "A" index. This season, because U.S. prices remained near a higher loan rate, U.S. cotton became even more expensive relative to foreign cotton. During December, the spread widened to 3.58 cents a pound (table 5).

The spread began to narrow in late January as supplies of better quality foreign cotton became tighter and the "A" index moved up. By January 20, the premium on U.S. cotton was down to 2 cents a pound, and a week later, it fell to 0.85 cents. The premium fell again during early February but began rising later that month as

#### Table 5—Index of prices of selected cotton growths and qualities, and price per pound of U.S. M-1-3/32" c.i.f Northern Europe

	19	982	1.9	983
Month	Index <sup>1</sup>	U.S. M 1-3/32"	Index <sup>1</sup>	U.S M 1-3/32"
		Ce	nts	
January February March April May June July August September October November December Average	69.98 69.98 70.44 71.52 76.69 75.64 78.47 76.40 72.75 70.21 69.04 69.67 72.57	72.75 72.50 74.69 77.40 78.88 75.38 80.60 77.13 74.10 73.38 72.00 73.25 75.17	71.88	74.25

<sup>1</sup>Outlook "A" index of Liverpool Cotton Services. Average of the 5 lowest priced of 10 selected growths.

Cotton Outlook, Liverpool Cotton Services.

U.S. spot prices rose. By early March, the premium was up to 2 cents a pound.

A factor that has helped narrow the spread since December has been light offerings of Soviet cotton. Soviet mill demand appears to be strengthening, and with a smaller and poorer quality crop, there has been a reduction in the amount of Soviet cotton available for export. During the first 2 months of 1983, very little Soviet cotton was offered for sale in Western Europe. Furthermore, the USSR-the world's second largest exporter-may have recently purchased for importation over a half million bales.

## MANMADE FIBER REVIEW

#### Fourth Quarter Improves

Manmade fiber production (including glass) in fourthquarter 1982 was 2 billion pounds, 2 percent more than the third quarter but 10 percent below a year earlier (table 25). Fourth-quarter staple production was about 0.96 billion pounds, 4 percent above the third quarter but 9 percent less than a year earlier. Filament production was about 1.04 billion pounds, the same as the third quarter but 11 percent below a year earlier. Manmade fiber production in 1982 was 7.97 billion pounds, 19 percent less than in 1981. Staple fiber production totaled 3.76 billion, and filament fiber output was 4.2 billion, both 19 percent below 1981.

Manmade fiber capacity in the fourth quarter was 3 billion pounds, the same as the third quarter but 2 percent less than a year earlier. Staple capacity was about 1.33 billion pounds, and filament capacity was 1.67 billion. Manmade fiber capacity for 1982 was 12.1 billion pounds, 2 percent more than in 1981. Staple capacity was 5.39 billion pounds, almost 1 percent greater than the previous year. Filament capacity was 6.68 billion pounds, fractionally less than in 1981. Manmade fiber plants operated at an average rate of 66 percent during 1982, compared with 82 percent in 1981. Staple plants operated at 70 percent, while filament plants produced at 63 percent. To obtain a desired rate of return on investment, fiber producers like to operate at 85 to 90 percent of capacity. Manmade fiber plant capacity in 1984 is expected to increase at an average annual rate of 1.2 percent from 1982. The average annual expansion rate of plant capacity for staple fibers will likely be about 0.7 percent; the rate for filament fiber plants will be 1.6 percent. The major fiber types with their higher capacity growth rates and growth markets are: olefin filament, 5 percent, upholstery and carpets; glass filaments, 3.2, reinforced plastics and roofing shingles; nylon staple, 2.9, cut pile carpets; and olefin staple, 2.2, carpets and nonwovens. The major fiber types with a shrinking capacity and their declining markets are: polyester filament, 0.5 percent, doubleknit outerwear, and acetate filament, 0.3 percent, knit tricot apparel.

Total shipments (domestic plus exports) of nonglass manmade fibers in fourth-quarter 1982 were 1.76 billion pounds, almost 2 percent above the third quarter but 10 percent less than a year ago. Total shipments for 1982 were 7.07 billion pounds, 18 percent less than in 1981. They were divided between noncellulosic fibers, 6.51 billion pounds or 92 percent, and cellulosic fibers, 0.56 billion pounds or 8 percent.

Domestic shipments of noncellulosic fibers were 1.49 billion pounds in the fourth quarter, 1.5 percent below the previous quarter and 1 percent less than a year earlier. Cellulosic fibers were 0.11 billion pounds, the same as in the third quarter and 18 percent below a year earlier. Domestic shipments of noncellulosic fibers for 1982 were 5.92 billion pounds, 13 percent below 1981. Filament shipments experienced a greater decline, 17 percent, than did staple fiber, 9 percent. Filament markets in textured woven and doubleknit apparel experienced continued consumer dissatisfaction. Cellulosic fiber shipments were 0.46 billion pounds, 26 percent below 1981. Use of acetate and rayon fibers is declining because of depressed consumer demand and loss of markets to noncellulosic fibers.

3Q .	4Q	1Q	2Q	30	10
					4Q
	Million	pounds			
	Woven p	products			
614.6	553.8	480.5	491.0	476.8	ΝΔ
410.2	358.8	318.1	322.1	318.6	N A
57.6	52.4	38.2	34.4	35.1	N A
57.5	55.2	49.3	53.6	48.8	N A
44.0	44.9	41.3	43.5	39.8	N A
31.8	27.2	23.2	24.0	21.9	N A
13.5	15.3	10.4	13.4	12.6	N.A.
	Knit pr	oducts			
384.1	325.6	318.7	332.6	318.9	
189.5	160.1	153.4	153.8	152 0	N A
76.7	73.6	63.6	60.2	61.0	N.A.
90.5	727	79.1	95.6	95.1	IN.A.
24.8	16.9	20.6	21.2	17 1	IN.A.
2.6	2.3	2.0	1.8	1.8	N.A.
	Car	pets			
200 6	200 5	050 4	440.0	(00.0	
299.0	333.5	359.4	412.9	439.2	N.A.
202.9	210.0	248.7	291.5	319.8	293.9
07.3	84.4	86.1	89.2	91.7	N.A.
29.2	30.2	24.6	32.0	27.6	30.6
		-		_	N.A.
	614.6 410.2 57.6 57.5 44.0 31.8 13.5 384.1 189.5 76.7 90.5 24.8 2.6 399.6 282.9 87.3 29.2 - 0.2	614.6 553.8 410.2 358.8 57.6 52.4 57.5 55.2 44.0 44.9 31.8 27.2 13.5 15.3 Knit pr 384.1 325.6 189.5 160.1 76.7 73.6 90.5 72.7 24.8 16.9 2.6 2.3 Carr 399.6 333.5 282.9 218.8 87.3 84.4 29.2 30.2 - 0.2 0.1	$\begin{array}{c cccc} & \text{woven products} \\ \hline 614.6 & 553.8 & 480.5 \\ \hline 410.2 & 358.8 & 318.1 \\ \hline 57.6 & 52.4 & 38.2 \\ \hline 57.5 & 55.2 & 49.3 \\ \hline 44.0 & 44.9 & 41.3 \\ \hline 31.8 & 27.2 & 23.2 \\ \hline 13.5 & 15.3 & 10.4 \\ \hline \\ $	614.6         553.8         480.5         491.0           410.2         358.8         318.1         322.1           57.6         52.4         38.2         34.4           57.5         55.2         49.3         53.6           44.0         44.9         41.3         43.5           31.8         27.2         23.2         24.0           13.5         15.3         10.4         13.4           Knit products           384.1         325.6         318.7         332.6           189.5         160.1         153.4         153.8           76.7         73.6         63.6         60.2           90.5         72.7         79.1         95.6           24.8         16.9         20.6         21.2           2.6         2.3         2.0         1.8           Carpets           399.6         333.5         359.4         412.9           282.9         218.8         248.7         291.5           87.3         84.4         86.1         89.2           29.2         30.2         24.6         32.0            -         -         -	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 6-Major manmade fiber markets<sup>1</sup>

'Filament plus staple.

N.A. = Not available.

Exports of manmade fibers, particularly polyester staple, were the lowest in 5 years. Overseas shipments in 1982 were 0.69 billion pounds, 42 percent less than in 1981. The smaller shipments primarily resulted from reduced sales to the Far East.

# Major Markets: Textile and Carpet Uses Down

The three major manmade fiber markets are shown in table 6. The largest market, woven textiles, consumed 477 million pounds in third-quarter 1982, 3 percent less than the second quarter and 22 percent less than a year earlier. Polyester fibers continue to dominate (67 percent) this market. Polyester staple was 77 percent of the manmade staple fibers used in weaving, while polyester filament made up 53 percent of the filament fibers.

Carpet use of manmade fibers, 439 million pounds in the third quarter, increased 6 percent from the second quarter and was 10 percent greater than a year earlier. The increase reflects the improvement in construction activity, particularly residential housing.

Preliminary fourth-quarter data indicate that nylon use in carpets declined about 6 percent because of rising inventories. At 73 percent, nylon is the most important manmade fiber used in carpets. Nylon staple accounts for 78 percent of the manmade staple fibers used in carpets, while nylon filament makes up 68 percent of total filament fibers used in carpets.

The quantity of manmade fibers used to make knitted products, 319 million pounds, declined 4 percent from the second quarter and 17 percent from a year earlier. Most of this decline occurred in filament knit fibers, which are used to make doubleknit and tricot apparel.

The market for the chemicals that go into the making of manmade fibers has been mixed. Virgin xylene, a precursor for polyester fibers, has recently been in short supply. In the last 2 years, some production facilities have been closed because of reduced fiber output. The price dropped from \$1.25 to \$1.30 a gallon last summer to \$1.18 in mid-January. However, low inventories and rather strong interest in xylenes in the Far East caused the price to rise to \$1.20 in early February.

The demand for caprolactam promises to improve if construction activity rises. Caprolactam, one of the major raw materials for nylon, has been selling for 85-1/2 to 86-1/2 cents a pound since last summer, with some discounting reported. Propylene, used to make polypropylene and acrylic fibers, has been quoted by producers at 18-1/2 cents for chemical grade and 20 cents for polymer grade. Nevertheless, spot sales are reportedly taking place below these levels.

## WOOL SITUATION

## **U.S. Situation**

## **Fine Wool Use Strong**

Mill consumption of raw wool in 1982 was 114.8 million pounds, clean, 17 percent below the previous year (table 7). The quantity of raw wool used in carpet manufacture was 9.8 million pounds, 10 percent less than in 1981. Wool use in apparel was 105 million pounds, down 18 percent from 1981. The strong mill demand for the finer grades continues. Compared with a year earlier, the consumption of raw wool in the worsted system

Table 7–U.S. mill consumption of raw wool, scoured basis

Year	Apparel wool	Carpet wool	Total	
		1,000 pounds		
1975	94,117	15,908	110.025	
1976	106,629	15,117	121,746	
1977	95,485	12,526	108,011	
1978	102,246	13,009	115,255	
1979	106,533	10,513	117,046	
1980	113,423	10,020	123,443	
1981	127,752	10,896	138,648	
1982 <sup>1</sup>	105,009	9,825 114,8		

<sup>1</sup>Preliminary

Compiled from reports of the Bureau of the Census.

Table 8—Wool supply and disappearance, annually, 1979-84, clean content

Item	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983 <sup>1</sup>	1984 <sup>1</sup>
			Million	pounds	;	
Stocks, Jan. 1	48.5	46.8	50.6	52.0	56.8	53.4
Production	56.0	56.4	58.8	58.6	53.1	53.9
Imports	42.3	56.5	74.3	64.4	60.0	60.0
Diff. unacc.	17.3	14.6	7.2	_		-
Total supply	164.1	174.3	190.9	173.0	169.9	167.3
Mill use	117.0	123.4	138.6	114.8	112.0	115.0
Exports	0.3	0.3	0.3	1.4	4.5	0.7
Total use	117.3	123.7	138.9	116.2	116.5	115.7
Stocks, Dec. 31	46.8	50.6	52.0	56.8	53.4	51.6

<sup>1</sup>Estimated.

Compiled from reports of the Bureau of the Census.

Table 9–U.S. imports of dutiable and duty-free raw wool for consumption, clean content

		• •	
Year	Dutiable	Duty-free	Total
	-	1,000 pounds	
1975	16,605	17,021	33,626
1976	38,387	19,076	57,463
1977 <sup>1</sup>	36,303	22,655	<sup>2</sup> 58,958
1978	27,000	23,404	50,404
1979	20,283	22,047	42,330
1980	30,491	25,992	56,483
1981	48,106	26,146	74,252
1982	39,989	21,433	61,422

<sup>1</sup>Beginning November 1977 duty-free wools include all 46's and coarser grades of wool by Public Law 95-162. <sup>2</sup>Revised.

Compiled from reports of the Bureau of the Census.

declined less (10 percent) than in the woolen system (25 percent). In both 1981 and 1982, about 60 percent of the raw wool for apparel in both the woolen and worsted systems was 60's and finer. By comparison, the percent for 1978-80 was 56 percent. Depressed consumer demand is expected to limit mill consumption in 1983 to around 112 million pounds (table 8).

Imports of raw wool were 61.4 million pounds, clean, in 1982, compared with 74.3 million in 1981 (table 9). Duty-free imports were 21.4 million pounds, 82 percent of 1981. Most of this type of raw wool comes from New Zealand (71 percent), the United Kingdom (15 percent), and Argentina (7 percent). Dutiable raw wool imports were 40 million pounds, 83 percent of 1981. About 92 percent came from four countries: Australia (62 percent), the Republic of South Africa (12 percent), Argentina (11 percent), and Uruguay (8 percent).

The finer grades of imported raw wool continue to be important. In 1982, raw wool finer than 58's was 81 percent of the dutiable grades, compared with an average of 79 percent for the previous 4 years. The raw wool content of imported textile products was 112 million pounds, 1 percent less than last year (table 26).

The inventory of stock sheep on January 1, 1983, was reported to be 10.3 million, 10 percent below the previous year. It was the lowest number since estimates were started in 1867. Therefore, wool production in 1983 is forecast at 53 million pounds, clean, compared with 58.8 million in 1981 and an estimated 58.6 million in 1982 (table 8).

Exports of raw wool in 1982 were 1.35 million pounds, clean, four times the average of the previous 4 years. Four countries received 87 percent of these shipments: France (36 percent), Canada (19 percent), Uruguay (16 percent), and the United Kingdom (16 percent). The relatively large overseas shipments are due to a halving of the price from last year. The raw wool content of exported textiles was 11.9 million pounds in 1982, 3 percent less than in 1981 (table 27).

#### **Wool Prices Remain Low**

The market for wool so far this current season has been characterized by smaller purchases. The quantity of territory wool (Texas, Rocky Mountains, and the Pacific Coast) purchased by mills has been insufficient to identify a market price since early September. Market prices for fleece wools (east of the Rocky Mountains) have not been quoted since January 1982. Because of the oligopsonistic nature of the domestic wool business, the mills have been operating with a minimum inventory, causing wool stocks to be maintained by wholesalers and producers.

In December 1982, USDA's Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service announced the support price for 1983 marketings, \$1.53 a pound, shorn wool. Pulled wool will continue to be supported at a level comparable to the support price for shorn wool through payments on unshorn lambs.

The average farm price in January dropped to 53.2 cents a pound, greasy, from an average of 61 cents during September-December. It rose to 57.7 in February (table 10). This relatively low price reflected a predominance of medium and coarser wool sales. The January price was the lowest since January 1976, when it was 51 cents. In February, the price of territory wool varied from 46 to 80 cents, while the fleece wool price ranged from 31 to 50 cents. By late February, shearing was underway in most of the United States, although it was still only in the early stages.

The 1982 price for the finer grades of imported wool declined about 17 to 20 percent from the spring high to the season's low during November-December. Meanwhile, the medium and coarser grades of imported wool declined about 14 percent. Since then, the price of all types of imported wool has risen an average of about 4 percent.

ľable 10−/	Average	U.S.	farm	prices	per
pound fo	r shorn v	wool,	grea	se basi	5

-						
Month	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982 <sup>1</sup>	19831
			C	ents		
January February March April May June July August September	72.6 68.9 71.2 73.7 73.9 76.2 74.8 74.6 72.7	78.7 77.3 79.5 86.9 88.0 89.4 87.7 81.8 84.9	82.1 86.8 93.5 92.2 86.6 86.5 85.8 85.5 84.7	84.6 88.3 91.8 101.0 99.8 101.0 94.4 84.8 84.3	80.4 80.4 83.4 89.1 88.5 79.6 74.5 68.3 66.7	52.3 57.7
October November December Weighted season average	77.1 81.2 73.6 74.5	87.5 89.0 86.5 86.3	89.4 92.1 90.9 88.1	87.3 91.1 84.2 94.5	59.2 61.6 57.1 70.0	
<sup>1</sup> Droliminary						

'Preliminary

## **World Overview**

#### Drought Affects Flock Size

The latest information indicates that world raw wool production for 1982/83 is 3.58 billion pounds, clean. The plus and minus changes from last season in the individual wool-producing countries largely balanced each other, making the current world clip only slightly more than last season's.

The revised forecast of this season's Australian wool production is 1.53 million pounds, clean, about 3 percent less than in 1981/82. The decline resulted from the worst Australian drought in a century. Sheep numbers there this spring are expected to be 3 percent less than a year earlier, resulting in a lower clip next year, at least 6 percent smaller.

Wool output in the Soviet Union, the largest sheepraising country, is expected to show a slight increase because slaughterings have not been as high as earlier reported. Despite a good lambing season in New Zealand (the main source of crossbred and carpet wools), a long winter drought has limited the clip to 816 million pounds, greasy, only a 2-percent increase from last season. Dry weather will also keep wool production in South Africa the same as last year. Sheep numbers and wool production in China, Pakistan, and Uruguay are expected to continue their earlier growth trends.

Because of the smaller Australian output, the merino share of this season's clip, 39 percent, is less than 1 percent smaller than last season. The shares for the coarser grades are: crossbred, 34 percent, and carpet wools, 27 percent—both about the same as last year.

This season's world carryin of 364 million pounds, the highest in 5 years, was mainly the result of the purchasing by wool marketing authorities to maintain prices in the first half of 1982. About two-thirds of the carryin was held by wool marketing authorities in Australia, New Zealand, and South Africa.

## **Moderate Price Rise Expected**

World wool prices and demand continue to be very sensitive to economic conditions. The major wool-consuming countries are experiencing high unemployment and subdued consumer spending. Yet, falling interest and inflation rates point to some economic recovery.

The season-average price in the Australian market, as measured by its market indicator (a weighted-average index across 11 wool categories), is expected to be about 440, 2 percent above 1981/82. In fall 1982, the market indicator averaged about 433 to 432 before dropping to 426 in December. To maintain prices above the 422 floor, the Australian Wool Corporation had to purchase almost one-third of the wool offered for sale in the first half of the season. As a result, corporation stocks doubled, ending the year at almost 1.1 million bales.

Australian prices in January and early February rose to 438 as a result of increased buying from Eastern Europe, the European Community, and China. They are expected to strengthen in the season's second half because of an improvement in world economic activity and, therefore, in wool demand. Other factors also influencing higher prices are a decline in Australian wool production (especially if the drought continues beyond next fall), a possible increase in the price of noncellulosic fiber, and a relatively strong Australian dollar.

In fourth-quarter 1982, New Zealand prices weakened almost continuously, reaching a low of 231 as measured by the New Zealand market indicator in mid-January. At that point, it was 11 percent below the season's high in August. With moderate interest from European, Chinese, and Japanese buyers, the market indicator rose about 4 percent to 240 by mid-February. Because the New Zealand Wool Board allowed their wool to flow steadily on to the world market, they were able to reduce their stockpile 8 percent from the season's opening level.

The South African wool market was characterized last fall by an abnormal supply of wool being available at a time of depressed demand. The market indicator fell 9 percent from the season's high of 556 in September to a low of 504 in December. Stocks at the end of 1982 were 76 percent higher than at the season's opening. However, in January, there was a much better tone to the market, with the indicator rising to 513 at month's end. By mid-February, the market indicator climbed to 535 as a combined result of the continually improving demand for wool and a weakening in the exchange rate of the Rand.

## MOHAIR SITUATION

The U.S. angora goat inventory on January 1, 1983, was estimated at 1.14 million head, up almost 1 percent from a year earlier. Shearing started in mid-January and should be completed by late March. Because of dry range conditions last fall, the clip, which is forecast at 4.25 to 4.5 million pounds, will be finer than usual, contain less grease, and have less hair per fleece. At yearend, U.S. mohair stocks were estimated at 500,000 pounds, the lowest in several years.

Mohair sales were quite strong in late November and December. The price of adult hair rose from \$1.70 in November to \$1.90 at year's end; it stood at \$2.05 to \$2.10 in late January. Support prices for mohair in 1983 will be \$4.627 a pound, up 16.3 percent from last year. Mohair is being supported at the same percent of parity as wool. The weighted-average price of mohair during 1982 was probably \$2.60, 74 percent of the 1981 price.

Returns from a referendum held in December 1982 among mohair producers show that over 78 percent voted to authorize deductions from CCC incentive payments to finance promotion efforts. The voters in favor of the deductions represented almost 85 percent of the angora goats. The proposed agreement authorizes deductions from payments made under the National Wool Act on mohair marketed during 1982-85.

Mohair exports in 1982 were 7.74 million pounds valued at \$28.5 million. The export volume was about 9 percent above 1981, while the value was 7 percent less. Four countries received most of U.S. exports: the United Kingdom (60 percent), the Federal Republic of Germany (15 percent), Italy (11 percent), and Spain (7 percent).

In South Africa, the yearend supply of mohair was 3.2 million pounds. However, heavy sales in January reduced it to about 1 million pounds at month's end. Prices ranged from \$2.40 to \$2.80 a pound. Because of poor range conditions, this spring's clip, at 8.4 million pounds, will be a little smaller than last year. Most of the clip is reported to have been purchased by a top dealer who later exported it to Japan.

World demand for mohair has been quite strong, with Japan and Eastern Europe among the principal buyers. The Soviet Union has been importing substantial quantities by way of India for hand-knitting yarns.

## An Economic Analysis of the 1983 Upland Cotton Program

## Sam Evans

## Agricultural Economist National Economics Division Economic Research Service

**ABSTRACT**: This article examines factors affecting participation in the 1983 acreage reduction, cash diversion, and payment-in-kind (PIK) programs for upland cotton. Net returns are calculated for a sample farm under different planting strategies. Participation in the PIK is shown to give the highest returns above variable costs for a wide range of expected prices and yields. The formula for cotton deficiency payments, which uses a calendar-year average farm price, boosts the incentive to participate because farm prices in early 1983 are well below the target price.

**KEYWORDS**: Upland cotton, acreage reduction, cash diversion, payment-in-kind (PIK), target price, participation.

Although U.S. upland cotton production fell sharply in 1982, it still exceeded use. As a result, 1982/83 carryover stocks are expected to reach 8.4 million bales, about double the amount generally considered to be an adequate carryover. In spite of an announced 20-percent acreage reduction and a 5-percent cash diversion program, there was little prospect in late 1982 for a reduction in stocks during 1983/84. So, on January 11, 1983, USDA announced a payment-in-kind (PIK) program, giving producers who participate in the 20-percent reduction an opportunity to idle an additional 10 to 30 percent of their cotton base acreage in return for a payment in cotton. The PIK program strengthens the prospects for a reduction in cotton stocks during 1983/84 and sets the stage for further improvement in the supply/demand picture for 1984/85.

#### **Program Example**

The minute details of the 1983 cotton program are not presented in this article. Rather, examples are developed to illustrate how the basic provisions of the program may be assessed by growers in making planting decisions. The examples should also be of interest to market analysts who must make judgments about the aggregate effects of the 1983 cotton program. The basic provisions of the 1983 program are:

- Acreage reduction—Eligibility for program benefits and for participation in the PIK program requires growers to participate in the 20-percent acreage reduction program.
- Acreage diversion—Although diversion is not required for program benefits, as in the grain programs, a farmer may idle up to an additional 5 percent of the base acreage in return for a cash diversion payment rate of 25 cents a pound.
- Target price and loan rate—The 1983 target price will be 76 cents a pound, and the national average loan rate will be 55 cents a pound for SLM 1-1/16inch cotton at average location.

- Payment limitation—A person is limited to a maximum of \$50,000 in cash payments from all crop programs, including both deficiency and diversion payments.
- PIK program-Growers may reduce acreage by 10 to 30 percent of the farm's cotton base. The payment-in-kind amount is determined by multiplying the designated PIK acreage by 80 percent of the farm progrm yield. They may also submit bids to take the entire cotton base out of productionwhole-base bids will be accepted at the discretion of USDA. The PIK entitlement does not count against the \$50,000 cash payment limit.

The program provisions are further explained in table 11, which shows returns above variable costs for different planting strategies. Basic assumptions for the table include the following:

- The example farm has 100 acres of cotton base. options considered: Four planting are (1) nonparticipation—the whole base is planted; (2) 80 acres are planted and 20 are idled under the acreage reduction program - 20/0/0 option; (3) 75 acres are planted, 20 are idled under the acreage reduction, and 5 are idled under the cash diversion program - 20/5/0 option; and (4) 50 acres are planted, 20 are idled under the acreage reduction, and 30 are idled for PIK-20/0/30 option. The cash diversion is not considered in option 4 because a PIKcotton market price of just 31.3 cents a pound (cash diversion payment rate divided by the PIK payoff rate, or 25 cents/0.8) will match returns from diversion.
- Yields per acre increase as more acreage is idled, because producers idle the less productive land.
- The program payment yield is 600 pounds an acre, close to the projected U.S. average payment yield for 1983.
- The average farm price next fall is assumed to be 55 cents a pound, the national average loan rate.

	Nonparticipant		Participant	
		20/0/0	20/5/0	20/0/30
Income: 1. Acres planted 2. Yield per acre (lbs) 3. Production (lbs) 4. Average price (\$/lb) 5. Market receipts (\$)	100 x500 50,000 x.50 27,500	80 x530 42,400 x.55 23,320	75 x530 39,750 x.55 21,863	50 ×550 27,500 ×.55 15,125
<ul> <li>6. Payment yield (lb)</li> <li>7. Acres planted</li> <li>8. Program prod. (lb)</li> <li>9. Deficiency payment rate (\$/lb)</li> </ul>		600 x80 48,000 x.20	600 x75 45,000 x.20	600 ×50 30,000 ×.20
10. Deficiency payments (\$)		9,600	9,000	6,000
<ol> <li>Payment yield (lb)</li> <li>Acres diverted</li> <li>Diverted production (lb)</li> <li>Diversion payment</li> </ol>			600 x5 3,000	
rate (\$/Ib) 15. Diversion payments (\$)			x.25 750	
16. PIK payoff rate (Ib) 17. PIK acres 18. PIK (Ib) 19. PIK price (\$/Ib) 20. PIK value (\$)				480 x30 14,400 x.50 7,200
21. Gross income (5 + 10 + 15 + 20, \$)	27,500	32,921	31,613	28,325
Expenses: 22. Acres planted 23. Variable costs (\$/acres) 24. Total (\$)	100 x245 24,500	80 x245 19,600	75 x245 18,375	50 x245 12,250
25. Conservation use acres 26. Cover costs (\$/acres) 27. Total (\$)		20 x20 400	25 x20 500	50 x20 1,000
28. Total variable costs (24 + 27, \$)	24,500	20,000	18,875	13,250
Net return: 29. Income less variable costs (21 minus 28, \$)	3,000	12,921	12,738	15,075

#### Table 11-Returns above variable costs on 100 acres of cotton base

The average farm price for January-July 1983 is assumed to be 57 cents a pound. Therefore, the assumed deficiency payment on eligible 1983 production is 20 cents a pound-76 cents less the calendar 1983 average farm price.

- Variable production costs—excluding ginning costs, which are expected to be matched by the value of cottonseed—are \$245 per planted acre. The cost of putting the idled acres in an approved conservation use is \$20 an acre.
- The value of the PIK cotton is 90 percent of the 1983 loan rate, or 50 cents a pound. In February, PIK cotton was reportedly being contracted for about 90 percent of the loan rate.

#### **Participation Pays**

The decision to participate in the program requires the cotton grower to weigh potential program benefits against the net revenue that is given up by idling land. Table 11 shows that the nonparticipant, who relys solely on market receipts, nets \$3,000 above variable costs. By participating in the 20-percent acreage reduction, the example producer increases net returns to \$12,921. However, if the grower diverts an additional 5 percent, the net return drops slightly to \$12,738. Although the cash diversion payment rate is 25 cents a pound, the grower gives up the 20-cent deficiency payment plus returns from the cash market on production from the diverted acres.

The example clearly shows the attractiveness of the PIK program—the 20/0/30 option. When the participant idles an additional 30 percent of the base for PIK, net returns jump to \$15,075, 17 percent more than returns from the second-best alternative. Under the 20/0/30 option, the cost savings greatly outweigh the sacrificed gross income.

The whole-base bid was not considered in the example. If the grower produces no cotton in return for PIK on the entire base acreage, deficiency payments on normal production from 50 percent of the base are forgone, as are net returns from cash sales. The bid percentage (of program yield) which makes net returns from PIK on 100 percent of the base equal to net returns under the most attractive option in the example—the 30 percent PIK is: Breakeven bid = net returns, 20/0/30 option + cover-crop costs, 100 acres

100 acres x program yield x PIK price

$$= \frac{\$15,075 + 100 \times \$20}{100 \times 600 \times \$0.50} = 0.57$$

The payoff rate for the 10- to 30-percent PIK is 80 percent of the program yield. Because the breakeven whole-base bid is well under 80 percent, the whole-base reduction option may be attractive for many cotton producers. Thus, a high proportion of the growers signing up for the regular PIK program are likely submitting whole-base bids.

## Importance of Assumptions

The estimated gains from participation implied by table 11 greatly depend on certain assumptions, particularly those concerning: (1) the relationship between the expected yield and the payment yield, (2) the expected market price, and (3) the value of the PIK cotton. Obviously, gains from participation decline as the expected yield and the market price increase and as the price of the PIK cotton drops relative to the market price.

The following data show how net revenues per 100 acres of cotton base differ as the assumptions change. Because participation in the PIK program is by far the better strategy under the basic assumptions, the purpose of the changes shown in table 12 is to gain some idea of the combination of circumstances that would favor nonparticipation.

The data in table 12 show that, even if the average farm price equals the 76-cent target price, and yields per planted acre equal the national average payment yield an unlikely combination of high price and high yield participation in the PIK program is still the more profitable planting strategy. Participation in the PIK program is uneconomical when the expected yield exceeds the program yield by 5 percent or more. Even in this case, however, participation in the 20-percent acreage reduction is more profitable than nonparticipation.

The gains from participating in the PIK program are much more sensitive to changes in the ratio of expected yield to program yield than to changes in expected price. This results from the price for PIK cotton being positively related to new-crop prices and from the reduction in the cotton deficiency payment rate being less than the increase in the expected price.

Because cotton deficiency payments are calculated as the difference between the target price and the higher of the loan rate or the average farm price for the calendar year, payments would be made even if the farm price averages 76 cents a pound next fall; prices are currently 20 to 22 cents below the 1983 target price. If deficiency payments were calculated for cotton as they are for the grains-if the average farm price for the first 5 months of the marketing year were used instead of a calendaryear average-net returns under the 20/0/0 option would be lower by \$4,560 when the expected price is 76 cents a pound (table 12). Participation in the 20/0/0 option would yield smaller net returns under all the yield assumptions. The breakeven market price-the price at which returns from participation in the 20/0/0 option equal those of nonparticipation-is about 10 cents a pound higher under the cotton formula than under the one for grains. So, the formula for cotton deficiency payments provides an additional participation incentive when prices during the early months of the calendar year are below the new-crop target price, as is the case in 1983.

Although a small number of growers may find participation undesirable for economic or other reasons, current economic conditions point to the participation rate exceeding the 78 percent of the 1982 program. Because the value of the PIK does not count against the \$50,000 limitation, the PIK program should particularly encourage higher participation in the West-California and Arizona-where participation was lowest last year.

## **Other Factors Affecting Participation**

The above analysis focuses primarily on the participation incentives provided by program payments. There are numerous other factors that make participation attractive. The program reduces the risk of a low net return. The deficiency payment, diversion payment, and payment-in-kind are not affected by the actual yield, so these provisions provide insurance against crop failure. However, a higher price risk is assumed by the grower, because PIK cotton is not eligible for price support. The regular loan is a participation incentive because it provides price protection for what is produced. In addition, the Federal Crop Insurance Corporation provides higher yield coverage and lower premiums for PIK participants. Also, fewer planted acres mean more time to manage the farm and less wear and tear on machinery.

Expected yield Payment yield	<sup>2</sup> 0/0/0	<sup>1</sup> 55 20/0/0	20/0/30	0/0/0	<sup>1</sup> 76 20/0/0	20/0/30
0.833 <sup>3</sup>	3,000	12,921	15,075 <sup>4</sup> (14,211)	13,500	16,784	20,350 (19,256)
.90	5,200	14,680	16,175 (15,311)	16,540	19,216	21,870 (20,775)
1.0	8,500	17,320	17,825 (17,591)	21,100	22,864	24,150 (23,055)
1.1	11,800	19,960	19,475 (18,611)	25,660	26,512	26,430 (25,334)

Table 12-Returns above variable cost, dollars per 100 acres of base

<sup>1</sup>Expected market price, cents per pound. <sup>2</sup>0/0/0 - nonparticipant; 20/0/0-20-percent acreage reduction; 20/0/30-20-percent acreage reduction plus 30-percent PIK. <sup>3</sup>From table 11: 500/600 = .833. <sup>4</sup>Dollars in parentheses are based on assumption that the PIK price is 80 percent of market price.

## The Raw Cotton Equivalent Of U.S. Textile Imports By Country Of Origin

## by

## Edward H. Glade, Jr. John V. Lawler National Economics Division

**ABSTRACT**: This article introduces a new data series that provides for the first time country-of-origin detail on the quantity of raw cotton contained in U.S. textile imports. These data will appear annually in the March issue of the Cotton and Wool Outlook and Situation report. Estimates for calendar 1982 are presented in this article.

KEYWORDS: Textile imports, raw cotton equivalent, foreign exports, cotton trade.

## Introduction

The rapid growth in U.S. textile imports and our worsening trade deficit have caused much concern in recent years. The increasing strength of the U.S. dollar in relation to other currencies, in addition to the decline in economic conditions in foreign importing nations, has limited the expansion of U.S. textile exports. In the meantime, U.S. imports of foreign textile products have increased by record amounts. In calendar 1982, imports of cotton textiles accounted for almost 30 percent of total U.S. domestic cotton consumption—or the equivalent of about 1.9 million bales of domestically produced cotton.

To more closely monitor these conditions and to provide information for analysis of the wide-ranging issues surrounding international textile trade problems, import data must be developed on a country-by-country basis and by type of textile product involved.

A critical element in analyzing the full impact of U.S. textile imports on domestic cotton producers, the textile industry, and consumers is the means of estimating how much of the raw cotton contained in imported foreign textiles is actually U.S. raw cotton returning as processed products. For example, since countries such as Korea and Taiwan obtain most of their raw cotton requirements from the United States, it must be assumed that imported textile products from these countries contain, for the large part, U.S.-produced cotton.

Currently, USDA estimates only show the raw cotton equivalent of U.S. imports (in pounds) from all countries combined; i.e., world totals. Textile products are grouped into four categories of semimanufactured products and nine categories of primarily manufactured products. Approximately 2,200 individual textile items are contained in the 13 categories. The data are calculated monthly and have been published quarterly for many years in the *Cotton and Wool Outlook and Situation* report (see tables 17 to 20).

However, beginning with this issue, cotton textile import data will also be published by country of origin. Cumulative totals for the previous calendar year and for each of the 13 broad product categories will be shown. The data, when combined with information on foreign mill consumption and U.S. raw cotton exports to each country, will provide a basis for approximating the U.S. raw cotton content of these imported textile products.

## Method of Measurement

The Bureau of the Census reports textile import and export data in both units (such as 1,000 dozen) and in actual pounds. For trade negotiations and other purposes, the U.S. Department of Commerce converts the pounds of product into an equivalent square-yard basis. The USDA, however, uses the reported pounds of product and adjusts these data to their "raw fiber equivalent," and then expresses the results in terms of a standard 480-pound bale. For commodity analysis, this procedure facilitates comparisons with other data series, such as production, mill consumption, and exports. The basic procedure is as follows:

- A cumulative import data tape for all commodities is obtained from the Bureau of the Census and then sorted to create a new tape containing only apparel and other textile items.
- (2) For each of the approximately 2,200 apparel and other textile items, a conversion factor is applied to the number of pounds to obtain a raw fiber equivalent. This factor adjusts the actual weight of the textile products by adding the waste resulting from the various manufacturing operations. That is, all textile products are adjusted to allow for the waste loss in the yarn-making operation. In addition, fabric weights are corrected for any known sizing remain ing on the fabric as it leaves the mill. For apparel, the loss of fabric from cutting operations is also accounted for. Where it is known that the imported product is a blend of cotton with manmade, wool, or other fibers, the percent of cotton is included in the conversion factor for the particular textile product or apparel item. In cooperation with apparel and tex tile manufacturers, USDA has developed and modified these factors over the years.
- (3) The raw fiber equivalent data for each item are then grouped into one of the appropriate 13 import categories by country of origin.

(4) Country of orgin data are then aggregated into region and world totals.

## Results

The raw fiber equivalents of U.S. textile imports by country of origin for 1982 are shown in table 13. Data are in units of pounds (raw fiber basis) and can be converted directly to 480-pound bales.

During 1982, the United States imported textiles totaling about 0.9 billion pounds of cotton or about 1.9 million bales from 103 countries. The 35 countries listed in the tables, account for over 97 percent of total textile imports, with the remaining minor countries aggregated into "all other" groupings for the appropriate region of the world.

Imports from countries in the Western Hemisphere accounted for about 12 percent of total textiles imported, with most products in the form of yarns and cloth. About 4 percent of the total was imported from Western and Eastern Europe (3 percent and 1 percent, respectively), and 83 percent was from countries grouped under Asia and Oceania. The remaining 1 percent originated in African nations.

Over 26 percent of all cotton textiles were imported into the United States from Hong Kong during 1982—or the equivalent of 490,000 bales. China, Korea, and Taiwan also accounted for a major share of total imports. In most cases, these Far Eastern countries purchase large quantities of U.S. raw cotton. However, data in table 13 reveal that a significant volume of cotton textiles was imported from countries that purchase very little or no U.S. cotton, such as India, Pakistan, and Egypt. For 1982, approximately 35 to 40 percent of all U.S. cotton textile imports came from countries that accounted for only about 8 to 10 percent of total U.S. exports of raw cotton.

As the country-of-origin import series are developed and monitored over time, further analysis of the U.S. raw cotton content of imported cotton products will be possible. This will provide useful information for investigating various trade policy implications, such as market development efforts and alternative export promotion programs.

Country of origin         Sewing thread, rochet, warn         Woven fabric cotion         Total manufacture red         Table and mrs.         Bad mask and mrs.         Bad chask and mrs.         Bad chask and mrs.         Bad chask and chotes         Bad holes           Western Hemisphere: Canada         163         -         3.621         95         3.879         40         -         548         5           Mexico         2.223         -         2.455         -         -         89         10           Landica         1.445         -         2.2         -         2.476         -         -         6         71           Dominican Republic         2         -         2.555         7         2.84         -		Yarr	n, thread, a	nd woven f	abric	<u></u>	Primarily	/ manufactured	products			
Country of origin         Yarr         Inclusion (note)         100 percent yarr         Semial Blends         Pile mad         Tables damakt and nd mfrs.         Tables damakt and and mfrs.         Bedds and mfrs.         Gloves, and howes           Western Hemisphere:         -         3.621         95         3.879         40         -         548         5           Maxico         2.232         -         2.455         -         -         89         10           El Salvador         1.045         -         2.22         -         4.47         -         -         6         71           Dominican Republic         -         2.25         7.44         -         -         6         71           Dominican Republic         -         2.25         7.736         111         16.5678         227         2.6378         327         -         2.85         169           Total         8.093         19         7.736         131         16.5678         227         -         2.85         169           Total         2.299         45         41.844         520         65.379         324         -         -         10         7         -         7         7         7	Country of		Sewing	Woven	fabric	Tatal		<u> </u>				
1.000 pounds         Western Hemisphere:         Canada       163       2 <th 2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"<="" colspan="2" th=""><th>· origin</th><th>Yarn</th><th>thread, crochet, knitting yarn</th><th>100 percent cotton</th><th>Blends</th><th>- Iotai semi- manufactu- red</th><th>Pile fabrics and mfrs.</th><th>Table damask and mfrs.</th><th>Bed clothes and towels</th><th>Gloves, hosiery and hdkfs.</th></th>	<th>· origin</th> <th>Yarn</th> <th>thread, crochet, knitting yarn</th> <th>100 percent cotton</th> <th>Blends</th> <th>- Iotai semi- manufactu- red</th> <th>Pile fabrics and mfrs.</th> <th>Table damask and mfrs.</th> <th>Bed clothes and towels</th> <th>Gloves, hosiery and hdkfs.</th>		· origin	Yarn	thread, crochet, knitting yarn	100 percent cotton	Blends	- Iotai semi- manufactu- red	Pile fabrics and mfrs.	Table damask and mfrs.	Bed clothes and towels	Gloves, hosiery and hdkfs.
Western Hemisphere: Canada 1, 163 - 3,621 95 3,879 40 548 5 Mexico 2,223 - 3,22 - 2,465 89 10 El Salvador 1,045 - 2 - 1,047 1 - 2,108 2 Jamaica 2 - 47 6,71 Dominican Republic 2 - 2,555 7 264 7 6 Colombia 304 25 5,170 250 5,749 61 - 374 84 Peru 8,098 - 21,554 26 29,678 7 2 Brazil 8,693 19 7,736 131 16,561 224 26 6,825 341 Western Europe: United Kingdom 37 13 1,136 142 1,328 11 - 257 5 West Germany 225 22 1,639 291 2,177 314 2 206 5 Switzerland 22 27 7 10 58 817 1 22 Spain 431 64 454 192 1,141 - 2 81 4 Pertugal 221 33 3,158 45 3,527 3 - 558 43 Italy 20 59 1,142 2,508 95 - 47 22 Spain 431 64 1,027 709 1,826 242 57 247 3 Hort 1,162 1,167 10,368 2,744 15,441 742 72 1,493 142 Eastern Europe: East Germany - 2 13 2,168 2,744 15,441 742 72 1,493 142 Eastern Europe: East Germany - 1 13 1,126 1,277 10 2,508 95 - 477 22 All other 45 46 1,027 709 1,826 242 57 247 34 Italy 20 59 1,147 709 1,826 242 57 247 34 Italy 20 59 1,147 10,368 2,744 15,441 742 72 1,493 142 East Germany - 1 13 2,15 2 Poland - 1 19 1 20 - 2 Poland - 1 19 1 20 - 2 All other - 1 239 19 286 49 98 328 6 All other - 1 330 2 15 2 All other - 1 330 2 15 2 Poland 1 19 1 20 - 2 Poland 1 19 1 20 - 2 All other 1 330 2 15 2 All other - 1 330 2 15 2 Poland 1 19 1 20 - 2 All other 330 23 403 5 98 378 6 Asia Coceania: India 1,03 8 1,537 1 Bomania 1,03 8 1,537 1 Bomania 1,03 8 1,537 1 Poland 1,03 8 1,537 1 Poland 1,03 8 1,537 1 Poland 2,7915 1,330 41,305 815 115 2,444 74 All other 2,7915 1,330 41,305 815 115 2,444 74 All other 2,7915 1,330 41,305 815 115 2,444 74 All other 2,7165 1,330 41,305 815 115 2,447 4,648 Korea 233 - 10,828 4,999 16,006 133 - 4416 1,207 Total 7,24 31 181,711 38,200 20,0087 5,219 266 55,139 22,157 All other 1,6,70 344 2,034 72 - 403 172 Total						1,000 p	ounds					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Western Hemisphere: Canada	163	_ ·	3,621	95	3,879	40	_	548	5		
Li salvadori (1,945) - 2 2 - 4,7 - 1 - 2,105 2 Halli - 7 - 2 - 47 6 71 Dominican Republic 2 - 255 7 264 6 71 Dominican Republic 2 - 255 7 264 6 71 Brazil 8,093 19 7,736 131 16,581 224 26 3,416 - All other 2,395 - 3,272 11 5,678 27 - 265 169 Total 22,969 45 41,844 520 65,379 364 26 6,825 341 Western Europe: United Kingdom 37 13 1,136 142 1,326 11 - 257 5 Ireland 1 - 2 13 16 2 - 20 - France 90 902 955 153 2,100 74 11 77 7 West Germany 225 22 1,639 291 2,177 314 2 206 5 Switzerland 22 27 710 58 817 - 1 2 81 4 Portugal 291 33 3,158 45 3,527 3 - 558 43 Italy 20 59 1,287 1,142 2,508 95 - 47 22 All other 45 46 1,027 709 1,826 242 57 247 34 Total 1,162 1,167 10,368 2,744 15,441 742 72 1,493 142 Eastern Europe: East Germany - 1 13 2 15 2 Romania - 1 19 1 20 239 - Romania - 1 19 1 20 239 - Romania 119 1 20 239 - Romania 110 1 111 2 12 - Romania 110 1 111 2 12 - Romania 1380 23 403 5 98 578 6 Asia Goreania: India 2,7915 13,300 41,302 44 - 1 18 392 6 Asia Goreania: India 130 6 2,744 15,441 742 72 1,493 142 Eastern Europe: East Germany 13 2 15 2 Romania 110 1 111 12 - Romania 130 2 15 2 Romania 2 Romania 138 2 15 2 Romania 138 2 15 2 Romania 138 2 25 - 2 Romania 128 - Romania 138 2 25 - 2 Romania 208 - Romania 208 - Rom	Mexico El Salvador	2,223	_	232	_	2,455	- 1		89	10		
	Jamaica		_	2		-	_ '	-	2,100	- 2		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Haiti	45		2	-	47	-	—	6	71		
Colombia         304         25         5,170         280         5,749         0         -         -         374         84           Brazil         8,693         19         7,736         131         16,581         224         26         3,416         -           Brazil         22,969         45         41,844         520         65,379         354         26         6,825         341           Western Europe:         United Kingdom         37         13         1,136         142         1,328         11         -         257         5           France         90         902         955         153         2,100         74         11         77         7           West Germany         222         27         710         58         817         -         -         1         22           Spain         431         64         454         192         1,141         -         2         81         4           Portugal         221         3         3,158         45         3,527         3         -         558         43           Italy         20         59         1,287         1,142         2,5	Dominican Republic	2	-	255	7	264	_	-	-	-		
Inst.5.003 Brazil197.73613116.561224263.416All other2.395 Total3.272115.67627 5.67.7285169Western Europe: United Kingdom285341Western Europe: United Kingdom2675Western Europe: United Kingdom2675Western RungWest Germany </td <td>Colombia</td> <td>8 008</td> <td>25</td> <td>5,17U 21.554</td> <td>250</td> <td>5,749 29.678</td> <td>- 61</td> <td>_</td> <td>374</td> <td>84</td>	Colombia	8 008	25	5,17U 21.554	250	5,749 29.678	- 61	_	374	84		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Brazil	8,693	19	7,736	131	16,581	224	26	3,416	_		
Western Europe:       United Kingdom       37       13       1,136       142       1,328       11       -       257       5         Ireland       1       -       2       13       16       2       -       20       -         France       90       902       955       153       2,100       74       11       77       7         West Germany       225       2       1,639       291       2,177       314       2       206       5         Switzerland       22       27       710       58       817       -       -       1       22         Spain       431       64       454       192       1,141       -       2       81       4         Portugal       291       33       3,158       45       3,827       3       -       558       43         Italy       20       59       1,267       709       1,826       242       57       247       34         Total       1,162       1,167       10,368       2,744       15,441       742       72       1,493       142         East Germany       -       -       110       1 <td>All other Total</td> <td>2,395 22,969</td> <td> 45</td> <td>3,272 41,844</td> <td>11 520</td> <td>5,678 65.379</td> <td>27 354</td> <td> 26</td> <td>285 6.825</td> <td>169 341</td>	All other Total	2,395 22,969	 45	3,272 41,844	11 520	5,678 65.379	27 354	 26	285 6.825	169 341		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Western Europe					·			-,	•		
	United Kingdom	37	13	1,136	142	1,328	11		257	5		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ireland	1	-	2	13	16	2	-	20	_		
West Germany Switzerland225 22227 710710 58817 817 8142 206206 817 817 8171 207 818207 817 818208 817 818201 81	France	90	902	955	153	2,100	74	11	77	7		
Spain4316444541921,141-2814Portugal291333,158453,5273-55843Italy20591,2871,1422,50895-4722All other45461,0277091,8262425724734Total1,1621,16710,3682,74415,441742721,493142East Germany19120238-Romania110111112-All other239192584983286Total380234035985786Asia/Oceania:21-India6,3074436,7501-18Asia/Oceania:19,894486Sri Lanka19,894486Sri Lanka1,32918Macao13,53719Philippines111-1329China-Maintand27,5367,90634,654731424,080Macao- <td>West Germany Switzerland</td> <td>225</td> <td>22</td> <td>1,639</td> <td>291</td> <td>2,177</td> <td>314</td> <td>2</td> <td>206</td> <td>5</td>	West Germany Switzerland	225	22	1,639	291	2,177	314	2	206	5		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Spain	431	64	454	192	1.141	_	_2	81	4		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Portugal	291	33	3,158	45	3,527	3	_	558	43		
All other45461,0277091,8262425724734Total1,1621,16710,3682,74415,441742721,493142Eastern Europe:142East Germany13215 </td <td>Italy</td> <td>20</td> <td>59</td> <td>1,287</td> <td>1,142</td> <td>2,508</td> <td>95</td> <td>-</td> <td>47</td> <td>22</td>	Italy	20	59	1,287	1,142	2,508	95	-	47	22		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	All other Total	45 1,162	46 1,167	1,027 10,368	709 2,744	1,826 15,441	242 742	57 72	247 1,493	34 142		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Eastern Europe:											
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	East Germany	-	-	13	2	15	_	-	-	-		
Homania       -       -       -       110       1       111       -       -       -       12       -         All other       -       -       239       19       258       4       98       328       6         Total       -       -       380       23       403       5       98       578       6         Asia/Oceania:       -       -       -       -       -       5916       392         Pakistan       18       4       17,938       -       17,959       2,225       -       19,694       466         Sri Lanka       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       110       1       -       18       414         Singapore       -       -       1,530       8       1,557       -       -       19       -         Philippines       -       -       10       1       12       1       -       34       1,151         Macao       -       -       -       7.915       13,300       41,305       815       115       21,447       8,468         Korea       233       -       10,828       4,99	Poland	-	-	19	1	20	-	-	238			
All other239192584983286Total380234035985786Asia/Oceania:8,1056658,770220-5,916392Pakistan18417,938-17,9592,225-19,694486Sri Lanka21712Thailand122-8,1574,94913,22844-163414Singapore6,3074436,7501-1838Indonesia101121-341,151Macao3-1329China-Mainland27,91513,39041,30581511521,4478,468Korea233-10,8284,99916,060133-4161,204Hong Kong-14,3063,69547,503426122,7266,497Taiwan50327,5367,06634,654731424,080783Japan301237,9102,65110,8845501161991,512All other16,71138,230200,6975,21928655,13822,157All other3	Romania	-	-	_110	1	111	-	-	12	-		
Asia/Oceania:<	All other	-		239	19	258	4	98	328	6		
Asia/Oceania: India8,1056658,770220-5,916392Pakistan18417,938-17,9592,225-19,694486Sri Lanka21712Thailand122-8,1574,94913,22844-163414Singapore6,3074436,7501-1838Indonesia101121-341,151Macao3-1329China-Mainland27,91513,39041,30581511521,4478,468Korea233-10,8284,99916,060133-4161,204Hong Kong-143,8063,69547,503426122,7266,497Taiwan50327,5367,06634,654731424,080783Japan301237,9102,65110,8845501161991,512All other1,6703642,03472-403172Total72431161,71138,230200,6975,21928655,13822,157Alrica:7-1122-32Egypt2,406				300	20	403	5	90	576	Ð		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Asia/Oceania:	_	_	8 105	665	8 770	220		5 9 1 6	392		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pakistan	18	4	17.938		17.959	2.225	-	19.694	486		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sri Lanka	-	-	_	_				21	712		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Thailand	122	-	8,157	4,949	13,228	44		163	414		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Singapore		-	6,307	443	6,750	1	-	18	- 38		
Macao1329China-Mainland27,91513,39041,30581511521,4478,468Korea233-10,8284,99916,060133-4161,204Hong Kong-143,8063,69547,503426122,7266,497Taiwan50327,5367,06634,654731424,080783Japan301237,9102,65110,8845501161991,512All other1,6703642,03472-403172Total72431161,71138,230200,6975,21928655,13822,157Africa:7-1122-32Total3-7-1122-32World total27,2641,244218,61941,518288,6456,34248164,06022,652	Philippines		_	1,000	1	1,557	- 1	-	34	1.151		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Macao			_		-	3		1	329		
Korea23310,8284,99916,0601334161,204Hong Kong143,8063,69547,503426122,7266,497Taiwan50327,5367,06634,654731424,080783Japan301237,9102,65110,8845501161991,512All other1,6703642,03472-403172Total72431161,71138,230200,6975,21928655,13822,157Africa:4,308-6,71425-All other3-7-1122-32Total2,409-4,315-6,72522-282World total27,2641,244218,61941,518288,6456,34248164,06022,652	China-Mainland	-	-	27,915	13,390	41,305	815	115	21,447	8,468		
Hong Kong-140,8063,99547,503426122,7260,437Taiwan50327,5367,06634,654731424,080783Japan301237,9102,65110,8845501161991,512All other1,6703642,03472-403172Total72431161,71138,230200,6975,21928655,13822,157Africa:4,308-6,71425-All other3-7-1122-32Total2,409-4,315-6,72522-282World total27,2641,244218,61941,518288,6456,34248164,06022,652	Korea Hong Kong	233	- 1	10,828	4,999	16,060	133		416	1,204		
Japan30123 $7,910$ $2,651$ $10,884$ $550$ $116$ $199$ $1,512$ All other $  1,670$ $364$ $2,034$ $72$ $ 403$ $172$ Total $724$ $31$ $161,711$ $38,230$ $200,697$ $5,219$ $286$ $55,138$ $22,157$ Africa:Egypt $2,406$ $ 4,308$ $ 6,714$ $  25$ $-$ All other $3$ $ 7$ $ 11$ $22$ $ 3$ $2$ Total $2,409$ $ 4,315$ $ 6,725$ $22$ $ 28$ $2$ World total $27,264$ $1,244$ $218,619$ $41,518$ $288,645$ $6,342$ $481$ $64,060$ $22,652$	Taiwan	- 50	3	27 536	7 066	34 654	420 731	42	4,080	783		
All other       -       -       1,670       364       2,034       72       -       403       172         Total       724       31       161,711       38,230       200,697       5,219       286       55,138       22,157         Africa:       Egypt       2,406       -       4,308       -       6,714       -       -       25       -         All other       3       -       7       -       11       22       -       3       2         Total       2,409       -       4,315       -       6,725       22       -       3       2         World total       27,264       1,244       218,619       41,518       288,645       6,342       481       64,060       22,652	Japan	301	23	7,910	2,651	10,884	550	116	199	1,512		
Total         724         31         161,711         38,230         200,697         5,219         286         55,138         22,157           Africa:         Egypt         2,406         -         4,308         -         6,714         -         -         25         -           All other         3         -         7         -         11         22         -         3         2           Total         2,409         -         4,315         -         6,725         22         -         28         2           World total         27,264         1,244         218,619         41,518         288,645         6,342         481         64,060         22,652	All other		_	1.670	364	2.034	72	-	403	172		
Africa:       Egypt       2,406       -       4,308       -       6,714       -       -       25       -         All other       3       -       7       -       11       22       -       3       2         Total       2,409       -       4,315       -       6,725       22       -       28       2         World total       27,264       1,244       218,619       41,518       288,645       6,342       481       64,060       22,652	Total	724	31	161,711	38,230	200,697	5,219	286	55,138	22,157		
Egypt       2,406       -       4,308       -       6,714       -       -       25       -         All other       3       -       7       -       11       22       -       3       2         Total       2,409       -       4,315       -       6,725       22       -       28       2         World total       27,264       1,244       218,619       41,518       288,645       6,342       481       64,060       22,652	Africa:											
All other       3       -       7       -       11       22       -       3       2         Total       2,409       -       4,315       -       6,725       22       -       28       2         World total       27,264       1,244       218,619       41,518       288,645       6,342       481       64,060       22,652	Egypt	2,406	-	4,308	_	6,714	-	-	25	-		
World total 27,264 1,244 218,619 41,518 288,645 6,342 481 64,060 22,652	All other Total	3 2,409	-	7 4.315	_	11 6.725	22 22	-	3 28	2 2		
	World total	27,264	1,244	218,619	41,518	288,645	6,342	481	64,060	22,652		

.

## Table 13-Raw cotton equivalent of U.S. imports by country, 1982

Continued-

			Primarily man	factured produ	cts		
Country of origin	Other wearing apparel	Lace fabrics and articles	Household and clothing articles	Misc. products	Floor covering	Total primarily manufactu- red	- Total
				1,000 pounds	5		
Western Hemisphere: Canada Mexico El Salvador	1,807 7,205 218	9 _4	39 62	309 133 3	1 	2,758 7,504 2,331	6,637 9,958 3,379
Jamaica Haiti Dominican Republic Colombia	1,406 4,955 7,822 233		 8 1	- 64 58 1	- 1 -	1,406 5,115 8,203 756	1,406 5,162 8,467 6,505
Peru Brazil	302 1,954	1 8	45 449	- 16	 672	349 6,764	30,026 23,345
All other Total	4,263 30,164	6 355	22 634	10 593	_ 674	4,781 39,967	10,459 105,346
Western Europe: United Kingdom Ireland France	588 23 889	99 2 32	130 10 221	296 1 120	1 	1,389 58 1,430	2,716 74 3,530
West Germany Switzerland Spain Portugal Italy	336 36 189 528 1 523	22 16 1 1 64	180 21 80 3 150	213 10 19 8 116	 4	1,278 106 377 1,147 2,016	3,455 923 1,519 4,674 4,524
All other Total	658 4,769	14 251	98 892	130 912	1,034 1,041	2,513 10,314	4,339 25,755
Eastern Europe: East Germany Poland Romania	442 3,167 4,575	- -	_ _ 1 _	 117		443 3,523 4,587	458 3,542 4,698
All other Total	544 8,729	-	172 172	20 136	1 1	1,173 9,725	1,431 10,128
Asia/Oceania: India Pakistan Sri Lanka Thailand Singapore Indonesia	16,260 8,736 11,699 6,976 17,297 15,064	1,976 1  15 	1,035 1,208 1 126 1	141 41 - 31 1	425 7   	26,365 32,398 12,433 7,769 17,355 15,097	35,135 50,357 12,434 20,997 24,105 16,635
Philippines Macao China-Mainland Korea Hong Kong Taiwan	17,000 11,316 58,446 30,129 173,654 47,536	98  1,089 8 63 127	50 	273 16 2,018 1,227 1,618 2,542	- 21 2 - 3	18,607 11,665 93,887 33,785 187,852 56,357	18,618 11,665 135,192 49,844 235,355 91,011
Japan All other Total	18,189 9,299 441,602	43 19 3.440	119 878 8.928	454 10 8.378	226 4 688	21,409 10,858 545,837	32,293 12,893 746 534
Africa:	005	-, / • -	-,	-,			
All other Total	241 626	_	- 1	- 1	3 — 2	423 271	7,137 282 7,410
World total	487,867	4,046	10,628	10,053	2,408	608,537	897,182 <b>*</b>

## Table 13-Raw cotton equivalent of U.S. imports by country, 1982-Continued

-=0

Totals may not add because of rounding.

Veer		Supp	ly		Dis	sappearance			
beginning August 1	Beginning stocks August 1 <sup>1</sup>	Pro- duction <sup>2</sup>	Imports	Total	Mill con- sumption <sup>3</sup>	Exports	Total	Difference unac- counted <sup>4</sup>	Ending stocks July 31
				1,000 480	-pound net wei	ght bales <sup>5</sup>			
					All kinds				
1980 1981 1982 <sup>7</sup>	3,000 2,668 6,632	11,122 15,646 <sup>8</sup> 12,019	28 26 23	14,150 18,340 18,674	5,891 5,264 5,397	5,926 6,567 5,013	11,817 11,831 10,410	335 123 152	2,668 6,632 8,416
					Upland				
1980 1981 1982 <sup>7</sup>	2,962 2,614 6,567	11,018 15,566 <sup>8</sup> 11,911	27 18 15	14,007 18,198 18,493	5,828 5,216 5,350	5,893 6,555 5,000	11,721 11,771 10,350	328 140 157	2,614 6,567 8,300
				E	xtra-long staple	9 <sup>6</sup>			
1980 1981 1982 <sup>7</sup>	38 54 65	104 80 <sup>8</sup> 108	1 8 8	143 142 181	63 48 47	33 12 13	96 60 60	7 -17 -5	54 65 116

Table 14-Cotton: Supply and disappearance, by type, United States

<sup>1</sup>Compiled from Bureau of the Census data and adjusted to an August 1 480-pound net weight basis. Excludes preseason ginnings. <sup>2</sup>Includes preseason ginnings. <sup>3</sup>Adjusted to August 1 - July 31 marketing year. <sup>4</sup>Difference between ending stocks based on Census data and preceding season's supply less disappearance. For upland cotton, this difference primarily reflects an increase of an estimated 1 percent in average bale weights due to moisture absorbtion once cotton is ginned and begins to flow through marketing channels. Additional moisture is absorbed by cotton moving in export channels. For ELS cotton, this difference reflects, in part, reporting discrepancies for stocks, mill consumption, and exports. <sup>5</sup>Factors used to convert running bales to equivalent 480-pound net weight bales for carryover and consumption of domestic cotton are based on the relationship between 480 pounds and the gin weight of a running bale, raised by 1 percent (moisture factor). <sup>6</sup>Includes American Pima, Sea Island, and foreign grown ELS cotton. <sup>7</sup>Preliminary and estimated. <sup>8</sup>Crop Reporting Board report of January 11, 1983.

				Supply					Disappe	arance	
Date		Beginning	stocks <sup>2</sup>		-			Mill con-			
Duit	At mills	In public storage <sup>6</sup>	Other <sup>7</sup>	Total	Gin- nings <sup>3</sup>	Imports	Total	sump- tion <sup>4</sup>	Exports	Total	Ending stocks <sup>5</sup>
				1,0	000 480-p	ound net w	eight bales				
1982/83											
August	865	5,495	272	6,632	468	2	7,102	448	360	808	6,294
September	788	5,259	247	6,294	1,112	2	7,408	435	370	805	6,603
October	700	5,521	382	6,603	3,886	1	10,490	455	308	763	9,727
November	639	7,919	1,169	9,727	3,638	3	13,368	448	399	847	12,521
December	663	10,644	1,214	12,521	1,809	0	14,330	404	395	799	13,531
January <sup>8</sup>	731	11,619	1,181	13,531	743			444			
February <sup>8</sup>	807	11,590									
Season	865	5,495	272	6,632							

 Table 15-Cotton: Supply and disappearance of all kinds; by months,

 United States<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Compiled from Bureau of the Census data and adjusted to a 480-pound net weight basis. <sup>2</sup>August stocks adjusted to an August 1 basis and exclude preseason ginnings. <sup>3</sup>August data include preseason ginnings. <sup>4</sup>Adjusted to a calendar month. <sup>5</sup>Supply less disappearance. End of season stocks adjusted by Bureau of the Census data. Differences primarily reflect varying bale weights. <sup>6</sup>Adjusted to 480-pound bales by use of monthly conversion factors for mill stocks. <sup>7</sup>Primarily cotton on farms and in transit. Estimated by subtracting public storage and mill stocks from total stocks. <sup>8</sup>Preliminary.

			1981				1982	
Item								
	1 Q	2 Q	3 Q	4 Q	Year	1 Q	2 Q	3 Q
				1,00	0 bales <sup>1</sup>			
Wholly or chiefly cotton								
Duck	26	34	34	33	127	38	33	33
Sheeting & allied coarse	128	126	121	119	494	109	92	76
Print cloth	73	69	70	84	296	85	83	77
Denim	239	248	255	227	969	207	194	207
Toweling	146	143	133	138	560	122	130	107
Blanketing	24	25	21	17	87	14	17	16
Fine cotton	8	10	11	10	39	23	27	24
Corduroy	73	73	68	61	275	67,	68.	55.
Drapery	7	6	5	4	22	(2)	(2)	(2)
Miscellaneous	5	11	10	5	31	17	19	18
Total	729	745	728	698	2,900	682	663	613
Polyester/cotton fabrics								
Batiste	13	12	12	11	48	11	10	9
Bed sheeting	105	103	105	95	408	92	82	73
Broadcloth	11	12	16	14	53	14	13	12
Twills	53	53	49	46	201	53	57	48
Oxfords	10	10	10	10	40	3	3	4
Poplins	22	25	29	27	103	23	22	19
Sateens	4	3	2	2	11	2	2	2
Yarn dved fabric	26	26	23	22	97	23	21	21
Print cloth	44	42	46	44	176	45	35	33
Cordurov	11	11	10	Ŕ	40	(3)	(3)	(3)
Other	40	36	30	27	133	32	30	26
Total	339	333	332	306	1,310	298	275	247
Other textile products								
Knit fabric	395	420	340	328	1.483	314	312	287
Narrow	19	19	18	17	73	14	14	10
Thread	26	26	23	20	95	20	20	16
Rope	15	15	13	12	55	12	12	8
Total	455	480	394	380	1,709	360	358	321
Grand Total	1.523	1,558	1.454	1.384	5.919	1.340	1.296	1.181
Actual mill consumption	1,451	1.467	1.412	1.327	5,657	1.299	1.325	1.252
Residual	+72	+91	+42	+57	+262	+41	-29	_71
		1.01		-01	202	1.44	20	-11

## Table 16-Estimated mill consumption of raw cotton by major type of textile product

<sup>1</sup>1/480-pounds, net weight. <sup>2</sup>Included in miscellaneous". <sup>3</sup>Included in other".

Based on data from Bureau of the Census reports and National Cotton Council.

-

-

;

		Yarn,	thread, and	l woven fa	abric		Prir	narily manul	actured proc	lucts
Year and		Sewing thread, -	Woven	abric	T	otal	Pile - fabrics	Table damask	Bed clothes	Gloves, hosiery
month	Yarn	crochet, knitting yarn	100 percent cotton	Blends <sup>1</sup>	Weight	Bales	and mfrs. <sup>2</sup>	and mfrs.	and towels <sup>3</sup>	and hdkf.
		1,	000 pounds	3		1,000 bales <sup>8</sup>		1,000	pounds	
1981 1982	23,048 27,264	1,035 1,244	296,607 218,619	47,179 41,518	367,869 288,645	766.4 601.3	6,484 6,342	475 481	56,460 64,060	23,113 22,652
1982 January February March April May June July August September October November December	2,171 953 1,990 1,476 3,281 2,901 2,384 2,800 2,670 1,691 2,645 2,302	119 91 136 128 169 168 62 75 68 56 85 85 87	25,028 21,331 16,937 16,747 19,257 16,344 14,604 16,834 17,479 16,370 19,960 17,728	4,604 4,075 3,669 3,450 3,266 3,550 2,834 3,677 3,434 3,212 3,032 2,715	31,922 26,450 22,732 21,801 25,973 22,963 19,884 23,386 23,651 21,329 25,722 22,832	66.5 55.1 47.4 45.4 54.1 47.8 41.4 48.7 49.3 44.4 53.6 47.6	478 357 311 434 664 716 498 803 528 382 524 647	35 15 43 21 53 17 10 41 17 26 107 96	4,878 4,404 5,580 4,608 7,096 6,374 4,108 6,204 5,298 4,894 5,614 5,002	1,832 1,832 1,772 1,662 2,218 2,266 1,347 2,355 2,096 2,287 1,794 1,191
			Prima	rily manu	factured pro	oducts			То	+ol
	<b>2</b> 11	Lace	Househ	old			Тс	otal	- 10	lai
	Other Wearing apparel <sup>4</sup>	and articles <sup>5</sup>	and clothir article	ng pr s <sup>6</sup>	Misc oducts <sup>7</sup>	covering	Weight	Bales	Weight	Bales
			1,	000 poun	ds			1,000 bales <sup>8</sup>	1,000 pounds	1,000 bales <sup>8</sup>
1981 1982	480,864 487,867	4,730 4,046	10,48 10,62	3 8	8,861 10,053	2,561 2,408	594,031 608,537	1,237.6 1,267.8	961,900 897,182	2,004.0 1,869.2
January February March April May June July August September October November	34,052 35,369 32,739 26,761 39,442 51,590 46,021 60,537 46,366 39,251 42,206	265 362 327 328 382 442 270 315 364 317 338	94 80 1,03 66 1,01 87 86 96 80 88	0 0 1 4 8 9 0 9 2 2 8	918 769 801 638 <sup>9</sup> 636 <sup>9</sup> 1,027 <sup>9</sup> 636 <sup>9</sup> 854 <sup>9</sup> 1,088 <sup>9</sup> 931 <sup>9</sup> 937	155 228 114 223 208 242 258 193 134 246	43,553 44,136 42,718 35,310 <sup>9</sup> 51,732 <sup>9</sup> 63,519 <sup>9</sup> 53,992 <sup>9</sup> 72,336 <sup>9</sup> 56,752 <sup>9</sup> 49,104 <sup>9</sup> 52,814	90.7 92.0 89.0 73.6 <sup>9</sup> 107.8 <sup>9</sup> 132.3 <sup>9</sup> 112.5 <sup>9</sup> 150.7 <sup>9</sup> 118.2 <sup>9</sup> 102.3 <sup>9</sup> 110.0	75,475 70,586 65,450 57,111 <sup>9</sup> 77,705 <sup>9</sup> 86,482 <sup>9</sup> 73,876 <sup>9</sup> 95,722 <sup>9</sup> 80,403 <sup>9</sup> 70,433 <sup>9</sup> 70,433	157.2 147.1 136.4 119.0 <sup>9</sup> 161.9 <sup>9</sup> 180.2 <sup>9</sup> 153.9 <sup>9</sup> 199.4 <sup>9</sup> 167.5 <sup>9</sup> 146.7 <sup>9</sup> 163.6
December	33,533	336	73	5	<sup>9</sup> 818	213	<sup>9</sup> 42,571	<sup>9</sup> 88.7	<sup>9</sup> 65,403	<sup>9</sup> 136.3

#### Table 17-Raw cotton equivalent of U.S. imports for consumption of cotton manufactures

<sup>1</sup>Includes tapestry and upholstery fabrics, tire cord fabrics, and cloths in chief value cotton containing other fibers. <sup>2</sup>Includes velvets and velveteens, corduroys, plushes and chenilies, and manufactures of pile fabrics. <sup>3</sup>Includes blankets, guilts, bedspreads, sheets and pillow cases. <sup>4</sup>Includes knit and woven underwear and outerwear (collars and cuffs, shirts, coats, vests, robes, pajamas, and ornamented wearing apparel). <sup>5</sup>Includes nets and nettings, veils and veilings, edging, embroideries, etc., and lace window curtains. <sup>6</sup>Includes braids (except hat braids) tubing, labels, lacing, wicking, loom harness, table and bureau covers, polishing and dust cloths, fabric with fast edges, cords, and tassels, garters, suspenders and braces, corsets and brassieres etc. <sup>7</sup>Includes belts and belting, fish nets and netting, and coated, filled or waterproof fabrics. <sup>8</sup>480-pound net 891, 894, 726, 1,362, 711, 481, 690, and 854 thousand pounds respectively.

Compiled from reports of the Bureau of the Census.

		Yarn, thread, twine, and woven fabric Manufactured products								S	
-		Sewing		Woven fa	abric	Tot	al		House, fur	nishings	
Year and month	Yarn	crochet, darning and em- broidery cotton	Twine and cordage	Standard construc- tions and tire cord <sup>1</sup>	Other <sup>2</sup>	Weight	Bales	Knit fabrics	Blankets, spreads, pillow cases, and sheets	Towels	Other <sup>3</sup>
			1,000	pounds			1,000 bales <sup>8</sup>		1,000 pe	ounds	
1981 1982	21,800 17,981	15,199 11,277	1,073 822	75,401 71,570	52,346 13,186	165,817 114,838	345.5 239.3	6,632 4,720	20,789 14,092	8,886 6,222	2,413 3,241
1982 January February March April May June July August September October November December	1,347 1,713 1,343 1,357 2,178 1,981 829 994 1,293 1,952 1,562 1,432 	1,087 741 1,137 1,322 860 734 1,374 1,409 885 813 484 431 Wearing ap	39 79 64 65 62 106 58 95 46 85 81 42 0parel	5,078 5,375 6,027 5,887 7,250 7,250 7,803 4,056 5,609 5,977 5,995 5,263 Manufactu Other household & clothing articles <sup>6</sup>	1,170 1,001 1,214 1,273 1,326 1,854 831 975 1,024 1,098 723 697 ured prod	8,722 8,909 9,785 9,904 11,677 11,925 10,895 7,529 8,857 9,924 8,845 7,866 ucts	18.2 18.6 20.4 24.3 24.8 22.7 15.7 18.5 20.7 18.4 16.4 T Weight	451 388 463 402 479 574 395 360 419 330 213 246 otal Ba	1,012 932 1,271 1,432 1,148 1,268 1,115 1,051 1,148 1,360 1,155 1,200	338 456 351 947 430 674 588 373 578 553 637 297 Total	124 192 205 154 153 297 432 370 494 266 216 338 Bales
				1,000 poun	ds			- 1,0 bal	000 1, es <sup>8</sup> po	000 unds	1,000 bales <sup>8</sup>
1981 1982	60,3 34,7	333 713	62,603 45,321	22,319 15,918	11 14	7,505 4,277	201,480 138,506	41 28	9.8 36 8.6 25	7,300 3,342	765.2 527.8
1982 January February March April May June July August September October November	2,7 4,0 3,3 3,1 3,0 2,3 2,0 2,7 2,7 2,7	792 161 111 147 08 050 050 099 113 166 333	3,467 4,929 5,142 4,831 4,386 4,189 3,265 3,265 3,232 3,746 3,179 1,950	1,701 1,317 1,544 1,512 1,617 1,830 1,025 1,215 1,215 1,147 943		1,011 1,314 1,204 1,449 1,345 1,307 1,375 1,311 1,285 841	10,896 13,589 13,492 13,788 12,770 13,225 10,432 9,756 11,625 10,887 8,587	2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2	2.7       15         8.3       21         8.1       22         8.7       23         6.6       24         7.6       25         1.7       21         0.3       11         4.2       22         2.7       24         2.7       24         7.9       11	9,617 2,498 3,277 3,692 4,446 5,150 1,327 7,285 7,285 7,285 0,482 0,811 7,432	40.9 46.9 48.5 49.4 50.9 52.4 44.4 36.0 42.7 43.4 36.3

## Table 18-Raw cotton equivalent of U.S. exports of domestic cotton manufactures

<sup>1</sup>Includes fabrics, tire cord and cloth for export to the Philippines to be embroidered and otherwise manufactured and returned to the United States. <sup>2</sup>Includes tapestry and upholstery fabrics, table damask, pile fabrics and remnants. <sup>3</sup>Includes curtains and draperies, house furnishings not elsewhere specified. <sup>4</sup>Includes gloves and mitts of woven fabric. <sup>5</sup>Includes underwear and outerwear of woven fabric, handkerchiefs, and wearing apparel containing mixed fibers (corsets, brassieres, and girdles, garters, armbands and suspenders, neckties and cravats). <sup>6</sup>Includes canvas articles and manufactures, braids and narrow fabrics, elastic webbing, waterproof garments, and laces and lace articles. <sup>7</sup>Includes rubberized fabrics, bags, and industrial beit and belting. <sup>8</sup>480-pound net weight bales.

.

Complied from reports of the Bureau of the Census.

			Tops, yarr	n, thread, and	woven fabric			Prima manufa produ	arily ctured ucts
Year and month	Sliver tops and	Yarns thrown or	Yarns spun	Sewing thread and hand-	Rayon tire fabric including	Woven fabric	Total	Wearing	apparel
	roving	plied <sup>1</sup>		work yarns	cord fabrics			Knit	Not knit
					1,000 poun	ds			
1981 1982	3,736 2,724	4,793 6.642	23,479 26,470	2,854 2,324	277 1.087	95,382 93,335	130,521 132,582	184,704 193,087	252,162
1982	-,	-,		_,	.,				202,224
Januarv	448	622	1.877	169	28	7,740	10.884	12,464	24 012
February	320	143	1,408	208	65	6.583	8,727	11,222	22,724
March	207	434	1,648	191	29	6,818	9,327	10,548	21.744
April	118	326	2,114	231	2	6,788	9,579	8,565	16.823
May	82	477	2,774	196	0	8,739	12,268	15,317	25,132
June	138	520	2,438	239	1	9,143	12,479	21,755	31,280
July	348	330	2,050	115	80	6,581	9,504	17,801	25,780
August	192	611	2,847	176	135	10,438	14,399	26,414	34,499
September	423	618	2,566	147	106	9,087	12, <del>9</del> 47	21,522	26,856
October	68	515	2,337	231	84	7,413	10,648	20,041	20,546
November	209	1,080	2,280	241	280	7,693	11,783	16,642	21,174
December	171	966	2,131	180	277	6,312	10,037	10,796	21,653
			P	rimarily manu	factured prod	lucts			Total
			Laces and			Ot	her		notal menu-
	Hand	lker-	lace	Narrow	Knit	ma	inu-	Total	factured
	chie	əfs	articles <sup>3</sup>	fabrics4	fabric	fact	ures <sup>5</sup>		imports
					1,000 pour	nds			
1981	1	92	4,497	8,703	2,149	56.	148	508.555	639.076
1982	1,1	62	4,782	10,089	2,284	61,	749	565,377	697,959
1982									
January		81	343	761	220	) 4,	,418	42,300	53,184
February	1	08	277	821	141	4	,052	39,345	48,072
March		82	295	847	243	3 4	,650	38,409	47,736
April		65	213	943	187	່ 3	,767	30,563	40,142
May		90	452	1,158	161	°5	,303	°47,613	°59,881
June	1	28	529	1,060	214	°6	,595	°61,561	°74,040
July	1	45	384	774	159	°5	,586	°50,629	°60,133
August	]	38	536	931	242	°5	732	°68,492	600,770
September	1	00	561	801	236	> <sup>0</sup> 5	,749	°55,831	~00,//0 657.047
Nevember		01 55	405	006	101	5 6	,353	<sup>4</sup> 7,199	-07,047 656 100
December		77	350	600	242	5 6	474	<sup>6</sup> 20 010	640 056
	hoop data	r r	e of imported	taxtured aca a		-0	,+/+	21-21-40- 21-21-40-	+0,000

## Table 19-Manmade fiber equivalent of U.S. imports for consumption of manmade fiber manufactures

<sup>1</sup>Not included in these data are quantities of imported textured non-cellulosic yarn not over 20 turns per inch. <sup>2</sup>Includes gloves, hoslery, underwear, outerwear, and hats. <sup>3</sup>Includes vells and vellings, nets and nettings, lace window curtains, edging, insertings, flouncings, allovers, etc., embroderles, and ornamented wearing apparel. <sup>4</sup>Includes braids (except hat braids), fabrics with fast edges not over 12 inches wide, garters suspenders, braces, tubing, cords, tassels, gill nets, webs, seines, and other nets for fishing. <sup>5</sup>Not elsewhere classified. <sup>6</sup>Does not include quantities in the TSUSA 706 luggage categories. These raw fiber equivalent quantities for May-December 1982 are 7,965, 17,894, 17,203, 17,160, 13,969 12,289, 12,558, and 10,099 thousand pounds respectively.

Compiled from reports of the Bureau of the Census.

		Tops	, yarn, thread,	and woven	fabric		Primarily manufactured products			
Year and month	Sliver tops, and roving	Yarns spun	Sewing thread and handwork	Tire cord and tire cord fabric	Woven fabric <sup>2</sup>	Total	Hosiery	Under- wear and night- wear	Outer wear	
					1,000 po	unds				
1981 1982	11,046 6,730	45,693 28,169	5,522 5,270	48,155 27,854	208,478 132,569	318,894 200,589	4,896 3,813	16,970 12,884	98,783 58,537	
1982										
January February	811 995	2,111 2,936	433 367	3,126 2,703	9,117 10,130	16,197 17,132	293 342	985 1,134	5,405 6,476	
March April	712 336	2,554 2,153	561 483	2,794 2,108	11,484 10,588	18,104 15,669	305 245	1,090 1,156	5,486 5,809	
May	375 506	2,427 3,561	446 706	3,059 2,522	12,110 13,359	18,417 20,654	328 447	1,208 1,192	5,433 5,496	
July August	957 334	1,882 2,728	311 343	2,311 1,976	10,664	16,125 14,698	464 359	971 987	4,544 4,097	
September	571 397	1,939 1,859	372 550	1,890 2.051	11,292 12.029	16,063 16,886	313 276	1,199 1,172	4,969 4,500	
November December	503 233	1,928 2,091	381 317	1,438 1,876	11,529 10,350	15,778 14,866	240 201	934 856	2,301 4,021	
			Prin	narily manuf	actured pro	ducts				
	Ho furnis	use shings	Knit or crocheted	Nar fabr	Narrow fabrics <sup>3</sup>		Other manufactures <sup>4</sup>		Total manufactured exports	
					1,000	oounds				
1981 1982	84 65	,189 ,904	21,673 15,645	26,2 26,6	210 614	66,116 54,566		318,839 237,960	637,733 438,551	
1982 January	4	537	1.142	2.8	316	3.527		18,705	34 902	
February March	6	039 706	978 1.474	1,7	737 303	4,513 4,749		21,219 21,613	38,351 39,717	
April May	4	,673 ,905	1,023 1,307	2,e 2,0	623 083	4,761 5,325		20,290 23,589	35,959 42,007	
June July	7	,202 ,397	1,193 1,219	2,7 1,9	755 989	5,273 4,218		23,557 17,802	44,211 33,927	
August September	4 5	,218 ,511	1,395 1,600	2,9 1,7	945 743	4,434 4,460		18,436 19,795	33,134 35,858	
October November	4	526 043	1,903 1,373	2,6 1,7	514 764	4,992 4,109		19,982 16,763	36,868 32,542	
December	4	,147	1,038	1,7	742	4,205		16,209	31,075	

## Table 20-Manmade fiber equivalent of U.S. exports of domestic manmade fiber manufactures

<sup>1</sup>Includes products made from waste. <sup>2</sup>Includes pile and tufted fabric such as corduroy. <sup>3</sup>Includes ribbons, trimmings, and braids (except hat braids). <sup>4</sup>Not elsewhere classified.

Compiled from, reports of the Bureau of the Census.

#### Table 21 – Fiber prices: Landed Group B mill points, cotton prices and manmade staple fiber prices at f.o.b. producing plants, actual and estimated raw fiber equivalent

	C	Cotton <sup>1</sup>	F	layon <sup>2</sup>	Polyester <sup>3</sup>	
Year beginning January 1	Actual	Raw fiber equivalent <sup>4</sup>	Actual	Raw fiber equivalent <sup>4</sup>	Actual	Raw fiber equivalent <sup>4</sup>
			Cents	per pound		
1982	68	76	85	88	77	80
1982						
October	66	74	82	85	75	78
November	65	73	82	85	73	76
December	68	75	80	83	73	76
1983						
January	69	76	78	81	72	75

<sup>1</sup>SLM-1-1/16" at Group B Mill points, net weight. <sup>2</sup>1.5 and 3.0 denier, regular rayon staple. <sup>3</sup>Reported average market price for 1.5 denier polyester staple for cotton blending. <sup>4</sup>Actual prices converted to estimated raw fiber equivalent as follows; cotton, divided by 0.90, rayon and polyester, divided by 0.96.

Agricultural Marketing Service and Trade reports.

Year beginning		Average spot market prices per pound (net weight)'								
	15/16 inch	1 inch	1-1/32 inches	1-1/16 inches	1-3/32 inches	1-1/8 inches	upland cotton (net weight) <sup>2</sup>			
,				Cent	s					
1981/82	49.92	54.13	58.28	60.48	60.89	62.07	<sup>3</sup> 54.00			
1982/83										
August	50.86	54.82	58.21	60.38	60.76	61.71	52.80			
September	49.81	53.89	56.71	58.98	59.36	60.10	55.50			
October	49.12	53.14	56.35	58.58	58.97	59.62	59.80			
November	48.87	52.80	55.98	58.20	58.57	59.09	59.90			
December	50.14	54.04	57.40	59.65	60.02	60.90	57.30			
January				60.16		-				
February										
March										
April										
May										
June										
July										
Average							<sup>3</sup> 58.10			
Loan rate	48.73	52.68	55.73	57.73	58.13	58.38	<sup>4</sup> 57.08			

#### Table 22—Cotton: Strict low middling, spot prices in designated U.S. markets, loan rates, and prices received by farmers for upland cotton

<sup>1</sup>Spot market loan rates and prices are for cotton with micronaire readings of 3.5 through 4.9. <sup>2</sup>Excludes domestic allotment payments, price support and diversion payments. <sup>3</sup>Weighted average. <sup>4</sup>SLM 1-1/16" average location.

Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, Agricultural Marketing Service, and Statistical Reporting Service.

Year beginning August 1	Planted		Harv	arvested Produc		uction	Yie	Yield	
	1,000 acres	Percent of total	1,000 acres	Percent of total	1,000 bales <sup>1</sup>	Percent of total	Pounds <sup>2</sup>	Pounds <sup>3</sup>	
West <sup>4</sup>									
1980	2,302	15.8	2,259	17.1	4,650	41.8	988	969	
1981	2,318	16.2	2,276	16.4	5,287	33.8	1,115		
1982 <sup>8</sup>	2,003	17.4	1,980	20.0	4,367	36.4	1,059		
Southwest <sup>5</sup>	·				•				
1980	8,588	59.2	7.438	56.3	3.550	31.9	229	317	
1981	8,128	56.7	7.858	56.8	6.103	39.0	373		
1982 <sup>8</sup>	6.300	54.8	4.779	48.3	2.972	24.7	298		
Deita <sup>6</sup>	-,				_,				
1980	2.955	20.3	2.846	21.5	2.424	21.8	409	556	
1981	3.107	21.7	2.943	21.3	3.394	21.7	554		
19828	2.528	22.0	2.490	25.1	3.716	30.9	716		
Southeast <sup>7</sup>					-,				
1980	689	4.7	672	5.1	498	4.5	355	515	
1981	777	5.4	764	5.5	862	5.5	541		
1982 <sup>8</sup>	668	5.8	656	6.6	964	8.0	705		
U.S.									
1980	14.534	100.0	13.215	100.0	11.122	100.0	404	499	
1981	14.330	100.0	13.841	100.0	15.646	100.0	543		
1982 <sup>8</sup>	11,499	100.0	9,906	100.0	12,019	100.0	582		

Table 23-Cotton: Acreage, production and yield per acre on harvested acreage

<sup>1</sup>480-pound bales. <sup>2</sup>Actual. <sup>3</sup>5-year centered average. <sup>4</sup>California, Arizona, New Mexico, and Nevada. <sup>5</sup>Texas and Oklahoma. <sup>6</sup>Missouri, Arkansas, Tennessee, Mississippi, Louisiana, Iliinois, and Kentucky. <sup>7</sup>Virginia, N. Carolina, S. Carolina, Georgia, Florida, and Alabama. <sup>8</sup>Crop Reporting Board Report, January 11, 1983.

## Table 24-Cotton: Acreage, production, and yield, by States

		Harveste	d acres		Lint y	vield per h	arvested	acre	Production			
State	Average 1976-80	1981	1982 <sup>1</sup>	Change from 1981	Average 1976-80	1981	1982 <sup>1</sup>	Change from 1981	Average 1976-80	1981	1982 <sup>1</sup>	Change from 1981
	1,000 acres	1,000 acres	1,000 acres	Percent	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Percent	1,000 bales <sup>2</sup>	1,000 bales <sup>2</sup>	1,000 bales <sup>2</sup>	Percent
Alabama	351	372	300	-19.4	420	545	736	+35.1	303	422	460	+9.0
Arizona	542	633	533	-15.8	1,054	1,221	1,104	-9.6	1,183	1,610	1,226	-23.9
Arkansas	763	560	420	-25.0	444	518	606	+17.0	704	604	530	-12.3
California	1,428	1,530	1,370	-10.5	936	1,109	1,069	-3.6	2,746	3,535	3,050	-13.7
Georgia	167	175	175	-	367	436	631	+44.7	126	159	230	+44.6
Louisiana	527	695	605	-12.9	523	512	690	+34.8	567	742	870	+17.3
Mississippi	1,237	1,200	1,040	-13.3	533	626	812	+29.7	1,351	1,565	1,760	+12.5
Missouri	216	183	155	-15.3	428	441	650	+47.4	184	168	210	+25.0
New Mexico	120	113	76	-32.7	476	600	564	-6.0	118	141	90	-36.2
North Carolina	61	82	72	-12.2	429	558	667	+19.5	53	95	100	+5.3
Oklahoma	517	640	460	-28.1	310	330	261	-20.9	339	440	250	-43.2
South Carolina	128	118	95	-19.5	432	667	783	+17.4	112	164	155	-5.5
Tennessee	281	305	270	-11.5	380	496	615	+24.0	218	315	346	+9.8
Texas	6,182	7,218	4,319	-40.2	336	377	302	-19.9	4,303	5,663	2,722	-51.9
Other States <sup>3</sup>	7	18	15	-16.7	501	607	651	+7.3	8	23	20	-13.0
Upland	12,456	13,783	9,833	-28.7	470	542	581	+7.2	12,221	15,566	11,911	-23.5
American-Pima <sup>4</sup>	71	58	73	+25.9	647	659	710	+7.7	95	80	108	+35.0
United States	12,527	13,841	9,906	-28.4	471	543	582	+7.2	12,315	15,646	12,019	-23.2

<sup>1</sup>Preliminary. <sup>2</sup>Bales of 480-pounds net weight. <sup>3</sup>Includes Virginia, Florida, Illinois, Kentucky, Kansas, and Nevada. <sup>4</sup>Included in State and United States totals.

Crop Reporting Board report of January 17, 1983.

	1981			1982					1983			Projected 1984	Average annual
Fiber	Year	1Q	2Q	ЗQ	4Q	Year	1Q	2Q	3Q	4Q	Year	capacity	change 1984/1982
						Millio	n pound	's					Percent
Grand total <sup>1, 2</sup>								-					
all fibers													
Cap	12,042	3,033	3,027	3,009	2,996	12,065	3,005	3,020	3,030	3,047	12,102	12,357	1.2
Prod	9,819	2,028	1,975	1,962	2,001	7,966							
Total staple <sup>2</sup>	02	07	05	00	07	00							
Сар	5,347	1,362	1,360	1,341	1,325	5,388	1,326	1,330	1,331	1,334	5,321	5,464	0.7
Prod	4,657	963	919	921	959	3,762							
Percent Total filement <sup>1, 2</sup>	87	71	68	69	72	70							
Cap	6.695	1.671	1.667	1.668	1.671	6.677	1.679	1,690	1,699	1.713	6,781	6,893	1.6
Prod	5,162	1,065	1,056	1,041	1,042	4,204							ŧ
Percent	77	64	63	62	62	63							
Can	4.616	1 132	1 105	1 091	1 076	4 404	1 078	1.081	1.082	1 082	4 323	4 389	-0.2
Prod	4,176	870	778	728	793	3,169	1,010	1,001	1,002	.,	,,020	1,000	0.2
Percent	90	77	70	67	74	72							
Staple	0 707	707	704	600	675	0 776	677	600	690	690	0 71 7	0 770	•
Cap Prod	2,707	539	460	447	510	2,776	6//	680	680	680	2,111	2,110	U
Percent	94	76	65	65	76	70							
Filament													
Cap	1,849	425	401	401	401	1,628	401	401	402	402	1,606	1,611	-0.5
Prod Percent	1,569	78	79	201	203	1,213							
Nylon total					• •								
Сар	2,946	740	739	731	723	2,933	726	729	733	736	2,924	3,008	1.3
Prod	2,333	441	478	503	510	1,932							
Staple	79	60	05	00	71	00							
Сар	989	249	247	246	245	987	245	244	246	247	982	1,045	2.9
Prod	752	141	169	191	185	686							
Percent	76	57	68	78	76	70							
Cap	1,957	491	492	485	478	1,946	481	485	487	489	1,942	1,963	0.4
Prod	1,581	300	309	312	325	1,246							
Percent	81	61	63	63	68	64							
Olefin total	1 102	315	317	322	327	1 281	331	334	337	340	1 342	1.397	4 4
Prod	785	190	178	180	176	724	001	-004	557	040	1,042	1,007	7.7
Percent	66	60	56	56	54	57							
Staple		~~~	~~	~~	70	070		~~		~~~		005	• •
Cap Brod	239	68	69	58	70	138	68	68	68	68	282	285	2.2
Percent	59	53	45	54	50	51							
Filament													
Cap	953	247	248	254	259	1,008	263	266	269	272	1,070	1,112	5.0
Prod	643	154	147	143	142	586							
Acrylic staple	07	02	00	00	00	00							
Cap	833	210	211	209	208	838	208	209	209	210	836	842	0.2
Prod	691	150	171	158	145	624							
Percent Non-cellulosic	83	/1	81	76	70	74							
non-glass total1													
Cap	9,610	2,403	2,380	2,360	2,342	9,485	2,350	2,361	2,368	2,376	9,455	9,666	1.0
Prod	8,007	1,658	1,612	1,576	1,631	6,477							
Percent	83	69	68	67	70	68							
Cap	4.828	1.234	1.231	1.213	1,196	4.874	1,198	1,201	1.203	1.205	4.807	4.950	0.7
Prod	4,192	866	831	833	874	3,404		.,		.,	.,	.,	
Percent	87	70	68	69	73	70							
Filament'	4 790	1 160	1 1 40	1 1 4 7	1 146	4 611	1 160	1 1 60	1 165	1 171	4 6 4 9	A 716	4 4
Prod	3 815	792	781	743	757	3.073	1,152	1,100	1,100	1,171	4,040	4,710	1.1
Percent	80	68	68	65	66	67							
Rayon staple											<b></b>	<b>.</b>	-
Cap	512	127	128	127	128	510	127	128	127	128	510	510	0
Percent	461 90	96	87 68	69	84 66	355 70							
Acetate filament	50	, 0		03	00	,0							
Сар	330	81	80	79	80	320	79	80	79	80	318	318	-0.3
Prod	257	53	53	46	43	195							
Percent Glass filement	78	65	66	58	54	61							
Cap	1.525	407	424	427	429	1,687	432	434	440	446	1,752	1,796	3.2
Prod	1,041	208	210	241	<sup>3</sup> 230	<sup>3</sup> 889					, <b>-</b>		- • •
Percent	68	51	50	56	54	53							

## Table 25—Manmade fiber production and capacity, quarterly, 1981-84<sup>4</sup>

.

<sup>1</sup>Includes spandex capacity and production not shown. <sup>2</sup>Includes rayon fliament and acetate staple capacity and production not shown. <sup>3</sup>Estimated. <sup>4</sup>Capacity data as of December 1982. Complied from *Textile Organon*.

Table 26-Raw wool content c	United States imports fo	r consumption of wool manufactures
-----------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------------------

	Tops and										
year and month	Noils	Wastes <sup>6</sup>	wool	Yarns	fabrics <sup>2</sup>	blankets <sup>3</sup>					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	1,000	pounds							
1977	19.426	11.289	842	5,804	18,651	407					
1978	23.067	14,130	563	5,550	25,830	572					
1979	17.216	11,778	368	3,801	21,687	457					
1980	10.638	7,546	311	3,864	21,152	375					
1981	12,299	8,233	326	4,720	27,783	400					
1982	7,174	4,569	466	7,239	25,633	315					
1982											
January	808	574	69	555	1,628	12					
February	480	382	25	634	1,843	13					
March	1,064	543	103	715	2,643	10					
April	702	389	25	680	2,629	21					
May	429	445	83	951	3,419	25					
June	591	562	111	593	3,487	14					
July	424	303	1	650	2,368	9					
August	527	317	14	776	2,814	21					
September	388	215	5	459	1,763	34					
October	625	315	10	380	1,174	86					
November	503	309	12	479	959	34					
December	633	215	8	367	906	36					
	Wea	ring apparel									
	Knit	Other than knit <sup>4</sup>	C manut	other factures <sup>5</sup>	Carpets and rugs	Total					
	······································		1,000	) pounds							
1977	25 808	18 264	1	224	14.838	116.553					
1978	22,339	22,559		895	13,914	129,369					
1979	19,114	20.072	1	.113	13,937	109,543					
1980	24,431	17.252		788	16,931	103,228					
1981	22,789	18.098		902	18,076	113,626					
1982	25,649	20,714		839	19,642	112,240					
1982											
January	775	816		74	1,632	6,943					
February	1,011	769		66	1,267	6,490					
March	829	732		92	1,595	8,326					
April	1,065	937		99	1,368	7,915					
May	1,569	1,009		64	1,764	9,758					
June	2,768	2,006		76	1,692	11,900					
July	3,192	2,345		68	1,543	10,903					
August	4,644	4,020		53	1,912	15,098					
September	3,482	3,237		64	1,352	10,999					
October	2,974	2,247		62	1,799	9,672					
November	2,285	1,682		70	1,756	8,089					
December	1,055	914		51	1,962	6,147					

<sup>1</sup>Includes manufactures of mohair, alpaca, and other wool-like specialy hair. <sup>2</sup>Includes pile fabric and manufactures, tapestry and upholstery goods press and billard cloths. <sup>3</sup>Includes carriage and automobile robes, steamer rugs, etc. <sup>4</sup>Includes laces, lace articles, veils and veilings, nets and nettings, when reported in pounds. <sup>5</sup>Includes knit fabrics in the piece and miscellaneous manufactures not elsewhere specified. <sup>6</sup>Not including rags.

Compiled from reports of the Bureau of the Census.

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20250

## OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

To stop mailing or to change your address send this sheet with label intact, showing new address, to EMS Information, Rm. 440-GHI, USDA, Washington, D.C. 20250.



FIRST CLASS



# Table 27—Raw wool content of United States exports of domestic wool menufactures<sup>1</sup>

Year and	Noils & wastes <sup>2</sup>	Tops and advanced wool	Yarns	Woven	Wool <sup>2</sup> blankets	Wearing apparel kpit
					Dialikoto	
			1,000 poul	nds		
1977	1,591	1,702	1,476	677	706	586
1978	929	1,299	1,266	1,094	33	1,218
1979	1,323	3,213	951	1,162	22	1,471
1980	566	4,258	577	1,342	65	2,689
1981	537	2,641	994	1,652	88	2,031
1982	1,069	4,283	663	1,297	47	1,762
1982						
January	6	119	123	87	8	547
February	91	200	90	162	2	122
March	117	380	40	128	3	125
April	95	291	74	106	5	128
Мау	76	435	56	101	3	142
June	103	560	141	108	7	138
July	36	357	34	102	5	74
August	67	359	22	181	2	114
September	35	501	46	88	4	173
October	161	342	28	56	3	101
November	96	317	3	75	3	28
December	186	422	6	103	2	70
	Wearing		Other	Carnets		
	apparel other	Felts	manufac-	and	Knit	Total
	than knit	10110	tures <sup>3</sup>	rugs	fabrics	( otu)
			1,000 pour	nds		
1977	1.830	233	2 054	1 986	201	13 042
1978	1 235	274	1 247	733	152	9 480
1979	1,335	192	1.867	297	297	12,488
1980	1,903	198	1.878	301	214	13,989
1981	1,945	294	1,729	201	211	12,332
1982	1,131	235	1,173	180	107	11,945
1982						
January	71	15	176	26	4	1,185
February	81	27	91	5	15	887
March	70	21	76	15	22	995
April	83	9	76	22	3	892
May	91	16	88	18	7	1,032
June	262	15	173	12	29	1,549
July	70	2	109	6	3	798
August	113	44	95	15	3	1,013
September	104	16	92	7	6	1,072
October	65	1	80	7	7	852
November	33	31	47	37	7	675
December	88	38	70	10	1	995

<sup>1</sup>Includes manufactures of mohair, alpaca, and other wool-like speciality hair. <sup>2</sup>Not including rags. <sup>3</sup>Census Bureau's Schedule B classification designated manufactures, n.e.c.

Compiled from reports of the Bureau of the Census.