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## Summary

The 1988 U.S. cotton crop is estimated at 15.4 million bales. Upland production is estimated at 15.1 million bales, and extra-long staple at a record 338,200 bales. Harvested area, at 11.9 million acres, was the largest since the 1981/82 crop year, reflecting the smaller 1988 acreage reduction program. Yields likely averaged 623 pounds per harvested acre, an 83pound drop from 1987's record. Cotton ginned prior to February 1 totaled 15.3 million bales. Revised acreage, yield, and production estimates will be released on May 11 in the final 1988 crop report.
U.S. mills consumed 7.6 million bales of cotton in 1987/88, more than at any time in the past 15 years. This season, mill consumption is expected to fall to 6.9 million bales. Reduced denim demand and consumer preference for more fine-count yarn products are lowering mill use. Mill consumption for August through January averaged near 7.1 million bales on a seasonally adjusted annual rate. Cotton's share of fibers used on the cotton system has represented almost two-thirds of total fibers this season.

Although cotton textile imports remain very high, foreign shipments have declined. U.S. imports of foreign cotton textiles in 1988 totaled 4.4 million bale-equivalents, 9 percent below 1987. In addition, U.S. cotton textile exports increased over 10 percent above a year earlier (and 20 percent above 1986). The deficit in textile trade probably was near 3.7 million bale-equivalents for calendar 1988, or about onethird of total domestic consumption. Even so, the cotton textile trade deficit dropped over 500,000 bale-equivalents for 1988.
U.S. cotton exports for 1988/89 are forecast at 5.2 million bales, 21 percent below last season. Aggressive pricing by major foreign exporters and heavy movement of U.S. cotton under loan have been largely responsible for the decline. Although the Secretary of Agriculture made several changes in the upland cotton program to make U.S. cotton more competitive in world markets, U.S. price quotations still remain above competitors'. In January, Memphis Territory-A type cotton averaged 68 cents per pound c.i.f. Northern Europe, while the lowest price quotations for foreign growths were 61 cents. On the Northern European market, U.S. pricequotations have been among the lowest five for only 21 days since February 1988.
U.S. cotton prices moved lower last season, reflecting larger U.S. and foreign production, stable consumption, and adequate stocks. The adjusted world price (U.S. equivalent of world prices) dropped below the loan rate during July and has remained below the loan level during the first 6 months of the 1988/89 marketing year. The adjusted world price (AWP) continued to fall through the end of August. Since then, the AWP has increased to near 51 cents per pound, up more than 9 cents from the early-season low, partly because of tightening foreign supplies.

The calendar 1988 national average price was 56.5 cents per pound. Upland cotton producers who participated in the 1988 acreage reduction program received a deficiency payment rate of 19.4 cents (the difference between the established target price and the calendar year average price). Some producers received advance payments of 6.4 cents per pound, leaving a 13 -cent balance that was paid in cash. Producers who did not request advance payments received the full amount. Final deficiency payments of $\$ 725$ million for 1988 upland cotton were made during February.

World cotton ending stocks in 1988/89 are projected at 33 million bales, up slightly from 1987/88 but well below the 36 -million-bale average of the preceding 5 years. World stocks-to-use ratios are estimated at 40 percent, since 1988/89 world consumption is estimated to be down about 700,000 bales from 1987/88 to 82.6 million bales.

World production in 1988/89, estimated at 83.7 million bales, will be the largest since the 1984/85 crop. Foreign production is projected up about 4 percent from 1987/88, while U.S. production is estimated 5 percent ahead of last season.
U.S. wool consumption in 1988 was 144.1 million pounds, clean, slightly more than 6 percent greater than the previous 5 -year average. Raw wool use in woolen mills during 1988 was 10 percent less than the 1983-87 average. In contrast, worsted raw wool use, 72.7 million pounds, was more than 17 percent above the previous 5 years' average. Carpet mills used 15.8 million pounds of wool in 1988, up 31 percent from the 1983-87 average.

## Textiles and the Economy

In 1988 the United States recorded a nominal merchandise trade deficit of $\$ 137.3$ billion on imports of $\$ 459.5$ billion and exports of $\$ 322.2$ billion. The annual figures for 1986 and 1987 were $\$ 155.1$ and $\$ 170.3$ billion, respectively. The recent data represent the first improvement in the deficit since 1980 and reflect strong growth in exports which offset continued growth in imports. Exports in 1988 increased about 26.8 percent, while imports rose a more modest 8.3 percent.

The U.S. textile trade picture also improved last year, as measured by volume and value of imports. In square yards equivalent, U.S. imports of textiles were $12.8,13.1$, and 12.4 billion in 1986, 1987, and 1988, respectively. In 1988 versus 1987, imports of yarns, fabrics, and apparel were down $14.4,13.3$, and 1.4 percent by volume, respectively. By fiber type, imports over the same period of cotton, wool, and manmade fiber were down 9.9, 7.3, and 1.8 percent by volume, respectively. In 1988, imports by value were down .4 percent from the previous year. By value and fiber type, 1988 imports of cotton textiles ( $\$ 9.6$ billion), wool textiles ( $\$ 2.4$ billion), and manmade fiber textiles ( $\$ 10.1$ billion) were down .3 percent, up .4 percent, and up 2.9 percent, respectively, from 1987. The largest decline in 1988 was in imports of vegetable fibers ( $\$ 1.3$ billion), down 22.2 percent from 1987.

Exports of cotton, wool, and manmade fiber apparel products by value were up $44.9,70.9$, and 30.9 percent, respectively, over 1987. Overall, apparel exports were up 39 percent by value in 1988. The value of U.S. exports of textiles, not including apparel, were up 25.9 percent.

Real disposable personal income last year increased 3.8 percent from the previous year-a sizable gain over the 1.7-percent rise in 1987. At the end of 1988, real annual per capita disposable personal income was about $\$ 11,500$ (1982 dollars), compared with $\$ 9,750$ in 1982 . During 1988 , real personal consumption expenditures increased 2.8 percent overall, with most of the increase in durable goods purchases (up 4.5 percent) versus nondurable goods (up 1 percent).

Continuing strong demand for consumer goods and the falling value of the U.S. dollar versus foreign currencies have helped push prices up. In 1988, import prices rose 6.9 percent, after increasing 8.9 and 8.2 percent in 1987 and 1986, respectively. The more modest increase last year was due perhaps to a less rapid depreciation in the U.S. dollar over the year.

Rising import prices have also bouyed the trade deficit. As a result of the persistent deficit and strong consumer demand, recent monetary policy has favored higher interest rates.
U.S. industry capacity utilization in January 1989 was 84.4 percent, unchanged from the previous month and 1.9 percentage points ahead of a year earlier. The January rate was last exceeded in October 1979. In 1988, total industry capacity utilization averaged 83.3 percent. The average rates for durable and nondurable manufacturing were 81.1 and 86.1 percent, respectively.

Capacity utilization in the textile mill products sector was 88.6 percent in December and averaged 89.7 percent for the 1988 calendar year. Unlike the rates for durable and nondurable manufacturing, and contrary to the patterns for most industrial sectors in general (which showed a steady quarterly increase in capacity utilization), the quarterly pattern for textile mill products decreased during 1988. The rates were $91.1,89.5,89.4$, and 88.9 percent in the first through fourth quarters, respectively. While capacity in the textile mill products sector increased in proportion to that for all nondurable manufacturing, rising 4.1 percent, the level of output was essentially unchanged during 1988, compared with a 5percent increase for nondurable manufacturing.

Some analysts would view favorably a slowdown in the rate of U.S. industry capacity utilization. The latest monthly data do not yet indicate a general downturn, as most of the decline is in durable goods-automobiles in particular.

Industrial production rose .3 percent in January, following a .5-percent rise in December. The index of textile mill industrial output stood at $117.2(1977=100)$ in December 1988. The index was at 118.2 in December 1987, and averaged 116.3 for calendar 1988. During the course of the year, output of fabrics tended to decline, while output of knitted goods increased. For instance, for December of 1987 and 1988, respectively, the index for fabrics was at 107.6 and 102.2, and the index for knitted goods was at 114.1 and 123.7. Among fabrics considered by fiber type, the index for cotton fabric fell from 128.5 in December 1987 to 114.1 in November 1988, the latest month for which data are available. Output of apparel products has been stable during 1988. The index for apparel products in November 1988 had risen to 110.1, from 107.8 in December 1987.

During 1988, civilian labor force unemployment continued to decline, maintaining the trend begun in late 1982. In December, the rate was 5.3 percent, its lowest since mid1974. The rate seems to have stabilized at about 5.3-5.4 percent recently, indicating that any further improvement will be hard won. Unemployment in the apparel products sector averaged 7.8 percent in 1988 , down from the 9.6 -percent rate of 1987. Unemployment in the textile mill products sector averaged 5.4 percent in 1988, down from 5.7.

## U.S. Cotton Situation and Outlook

## Upland Cotton Situation

## Lower Yields But Large Crop

The 1988 upland cotton crop is estimated at 15.1 million bales, 4 percent above 1987 and almost 500,000 bales above the August 1 estimate. Upland cotton ginned prior to February 1 totaled 15.0 million bales. Revised acreage, yield, and production estimates will be released on May 11 in the final 1988 crop report.

Upland production was the largest since 1981, when 15.6 million bales were produced. Total area for harvest is estimated at 11.7 million acres, up 18 percent from 1987 and 2 percent above the August 1 estimate. Upland yields likely averaged 620 pounds per harvested acre, 82 pounds below the previous season's record 702.

In the Southwest, upland production likely was 5.5 million bales, up 10 percent from the preceding year and 11 percent above the August 1 projection. Excellent weather in the late fall allowed harvesting of remaining acreage with good yields and good harvest conditions (table A). Upland production in the Delta States probably totaled 4.7 million bales, 3 percent above the preceding year and 4 percent above the August 1 forecast. A decrease in Mississippi production was offset by an increase in Missouri and Tennessee.

The Western States likely harvested 3.8 million bales, down 3 percent from the previous year and 4 percent below the August 1 estimate. Significantly lower yields account for the decline. The Southeastern States probably harvested 1.1 million bales, up 9 percent from the preceding year.

## Lower Mill Use This Season

During the 1987/88 marketing year, U.S. mills used more upland cotton than at any time in the last 15 years. This season, mill consumption is expected to fall to 6.8 million bales, compared with 7.6 million a year ago. Larger textile inventories, reduced demand for denim, and consumers' preference for more fine-count yams and products are contributing to declining mill use.

Upland consumption on a seasonally adjusted annual rate for August through January averaged 6.9 million bales. Annualized consumption rates dropped between August and November (figure 1). However, the annual rate for January, 7.4 million bales, was almost 400,000 above the previous month. Cotton's share of fibers used on the cotton system has represented almost two-thirds of total fibers this season.

Lower cotton prices relative to manmade fibers may result in some substitution of cotton in blends later this marketing year. During January, polyester staple prices, on a raw fiber equivalent basis, rose to 84 cents per pound, while basequality cotton delivered to Group B mills averaged 71 cents. Although rayon prices fell slightly during January, the cot-ton-rayon price spread exceeded 30 cents per pound (figure 2).

## Textlie Imports Fall

Although cotton textile imports remain very high, foreign shipments have declined. U.S. imports of foreign cotton textiles in 1988 totaled 4.4 million bale-equivalents, 9 percent below the same period in 1987. In addition, U.S. cotton textile exports increased over 10 percent above year-earlier levels (and 20 percent above 1986 shipments).

| Region | Planted | Harvested | Yield | Production |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---1,000 | acres--- | Lbs./acre | 1,000 bales |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Southeast } 2 / \\ & 1987 \\ & 1988 \end{aligned}$ | 832 1,032 | 823 968 | 571 527 | 979 1,063 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Delta } 3 / \\ 1987 \\ 1988 \end{gathered}$ | 1,032 2,810 3,440 | 2,784 3,282 | 791 692 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,587 \\ & 4,730 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Southwest 4/ } \\ 1987 \\ 1988 \end{gathered}$ | 5,121 | 4,801 5,701 | 498 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,982 \\ & 5,491 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { West } 5 / \\ 1987 \\ 1988 \end{gathered}$ | 1,506 1,777 | 1.491 1.753 | 1,264 | 3,927 3,823 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ 1987 \\ 1988 \end{array}$ | 10,269 12,310 | 9,899 11,704 | 702 620 | $\begin{aligned} & 14,475 \\ & 15,107 \end{aligned}$ |

1/ Based on January Crop Production. 2/ Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Virginia. 3/ Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, and Tennessee. 4/ Kansas, Óklahoma, and Texas. 5/ Arizona, California, and New Mexico.

Figure 1
Upland Mill Use and Cotton's Share Stabilize


1/ Cotton's share of total fibers used on the cotton system.
2/ Seasonally adjusted annual rate.

Figure 2
Manmade Fiber Prices Continue High


- Raw fiber equivalent.

Figure 3
U.S. A-Type Cotton Prices Rise Significantly


[^0]The deficit in textile trade likely was near 3.7 million baleequivalents for calendar 1988, or about one-third of total domestic consumption. Even so, the cotton textile trade deficit dropped over 500,000 bale-equivalents in 1988.

## Upland Cotton Exports Drop

Total 1988/89 exports of upland cotton are forecast at 4.9 million bales, 22 percent below last season. Aggressive pricing by major foreign exporters has been largely responsible for the decline. Although the Secretary of Agriculture made several changes in the upland cotton program to make U.S. cotton more competitive in world markets, U.S. price quotations still remain above competitors'. U.S. prices for 1988crop cotton delivered on the Northern European market rose $5-7$ cents per pound above foreign prices last summer. In January, Memphis Territory-A type cotton averaged 68 cents per pound c.i.f. Northern Europe, while the lowest price quotations for foreign growths were 61 cents (figure 3). Since 1988 -crop price quotations have been reported, Memphis Territory cotton has been included in the A index for only 8 days last May and 10 days in July. Similarly, for coarse-count cottons, Orleans/Texas price quotations ranged $5-7$ cents per pound above Pakistani quotes last spring and early summer. However, since September the price difference has narrowed to $2-3$ cents per pound (figure 4).
U.S. export shares as well as shipments to major U.S. markets are expected to fall this season (table B). Lower market shares are likely in the Pacific Rim textile-producing countries as well as in Western Europe. The important exception is China. China has booked almost 400,000 bales of a total 1988/89 cotton import projection of 1 million from the United States. Despite shipments to China, though, the U.S. share of global trade is projected at 21 percent, compared with 28 percent in 1987/88. However, China's larger imports, lower foreign supplies, and changes in the upland

## Floure 4 <br> U.S. Coarse Count Cottons Are Not Competitive



[^1]cotton program have increased export prospects over 500,000 bales since August.

## Cotton Prices Strengthen

U.S. cotton prices moved lower last season, reflecting larger U.S. and foreign production, stable consumption, and adequate stocks. The adjusted world price (U.S. equivalent of world prices) dropped below the loan rate during July and has remained below during the first 6 months of the 1988/89 marketing year.

The adjusted world price (AWP) continued to fall through the end of August. Since then, it has increased to near 51 cents per pound, up more than 9 cents from the early-season low. The U.S. average spot price and March futures have followed a similar pattern. However, the spread between March futures prices and the AWP has increased from nearly 5 cents per pound in early August to between 9-11 cents since then (table C). Several changes made in the 1988/89 upland cotton program altered these relationships. The spread between the average spot price and the AWP has narrowed from 11 cents during late August to near 5 cents in January.

Despite cotton prices' strengthening during late December and January, record CCC loan entries have been made this season. Producers have placed 10.3 million bales under


Government loan (table D). The previous record for one crop year was set in 1963, with 8.1 million bales entered.

The 1988 calendar year national average price was 56.5 cents per pound. Upland cotton producers who participated in the 1988 acreage reduction program received a deficiency payment rate of 19.4 cents per pound (the difference between the established target price and the calendar year average price). Some producers received advance payments of 6.4 cents per pound, leaving a balance of 13 cents which was paid in cash. Producers who did not request advance

| Month and day | Average spot market price 1/ | March futures price 1/ | Adjusted world price 2/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  | Cents/pound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aug. $\begin{array}{r}4 \\ 11 \\ 18 \\ 25\end{array}$ | 57.23 | 53.95 | 48.27 |
|  | 57.27 | 54.23 | 47.49 |
|  | 56.08 | 51.21 | 45.44 |
|  | 51.93 | 49.47 | 41.62 |
| Sept. $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 8 \\ 15 \\ 22 \\ 29\end{array}$ | 50.93 | 51.50 | 41.82 |
|  | 51.08 | 52.70 | 42.68 |
|  | 52.06 | 53.70 | 43.61 |
|  | 51.66 50.42 | 51.40 51.03 | 42.94 42.25 |
| Oct. $\begin{array}{r}18 \\ 13 \\ 20 \\ 27\end{array}$ | 50.56 | 52.35 | 43.25 |
|  | 52.07 | 55.65 | 44.06 |
|  | 52.13 | 54.28 | 44.70 |
|  | 53.51 | 56.00 | 45.07 |
| Nov. $\begin{array}{r}3 \\ 10 \\ 17 \\ 23\end{array}$ | 53.99 | 56.50 | 44.92 |
|  | 53.61 | 56.62 | 45.07 |
|  | 52.73 | 54.52 | 45.89 |
|  | 52.74 | 54.69 | 44.90 |
| Dec. $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 8 \\ 15 \\ 22\end{array}$ | 54.31 | 56.88 | 45.96 |
|  | 54.78 | 58.09 | 47.37 |
|  | 55.02 | 58.38 | 48.66 |
|  | 55.25 54.07 | 59.25 57.85 | 49.02 |
| Jan. $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 12 \\ 19 \\ 26\end{array}$ | 54.27 | 58.13 | 48.91 |
|  | 55.55 | 59.42 | 50.72 |
|  | 56.11 | 59.05 | 51.11 |
|  | 56.48 | 59.57 | 50.90 |
| 1/ Spot and March futures prices are for SLM 1-1/16 inch cotton, the U.S. base quality. 2/ Adjusted world price is the Northern Europe price adjusted to SLM 1-1/16 inch at average U.S. producing location. Adjusted world prices are applicable for the week following the date shown. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

inch cotton, the U.S. base quality. 2/ Adjusted World price is the Northern Europe price adjusted to Adjusted world prices are applicable for the week following the date shown.

Table D--Cotton loan statistics 1/

| Region | Loans made |  |  | Loans repaid |  |  | Loans outstanding |  |  | Loans forfeited |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| 1,000 running bales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Southeast 2/ | 550.0 | 281.3 | 639.0 | 545.5 | 216.1 | 95.5 | 3.1 | 64.2 | 543.5 | 1.6 | 1.0 |  |
| Delta 3/ | 2,553.5 | 1,811.3 | 3,948.0 | 2,518.3 | 1,706.4 | 790.3 | 30.2 | 103.5 | 3,157.7 | 5.1 | 1.4 |  |
| Southern Plains 4/ | 1,860.3 | 2,196.1 | 4,050.4 | 1,846.2 | 1,559.5 | 326.1 | 10.2 | 634.8 | 3,724.3 | 4.0 | 1.8 | -- |
| West 5/ | 1,204.0 | 1,073.4 | 1,695.5 | 1,200.2 | 931.5 | 392.7 | 3.4 | 141.9 | 1,302.8 | -- | 61 | .- |
| U.S. | 6,167.8 | 5,362.1 | 10,332.9 | 6,110.2 | 4,413.5 | 1,604.6 | 46.9 | 944.4 | 8,728.3 | 10.7 | 4.2 | -- |
| $1 /$ Loans through Louisiana, Mississ 6/ Less than 100 b | Feb. 15 , pes have |  | Alabama, <br> Tenness eited. | Florida, <br> 4/ Ká | Georgia nses, Ok | North Ca homa, and | ina, exas. | th Caro / Arizo | na, cali | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rinini } \\ & i a, ~ a r \end{aligned}$ | 3/ Arkan ew Mexi |  |

payments received the full amount. Final deficiency payments of $\$ 725$ million for 1988 upland cotton were made during February.

## Carryover Stocks Are Near Record

The intent of the marketing loan program was to boost exports, allow U.S. mills to purchase competitively priced cotton, and eliminate surplus stocks. Initially, the program appeared to be accomplishing these goals. At the end of the 1986/87 season, upland cotton stocks were reduced by almost 50 percent and were less than 1 million bales above the desired carryover level. However, by the end of last season, cotton carryover increased by over three-quarters of a million bales, and by the end of this season, upland stocks are expected to increase an additional 3.4 million bales to 9.2 million, the second largest surplus in 20 years.

Many factors have contributed to the buildup in upland stocks. Record yields in 1987/88, a lower acreage reduction requirement, and another large crop in 1988/89 resulted in 30 million bales of production the past two seasons. U.S. upland production has not reached this magnitude since the mid-1960's. U.S. upland prices generally have not been competitive this season. This is due in part to the world price formula, which is based on price quotations that reflect offering prices rather than actual sales prices and which, despite recent revisions, does not fully reflect actual transportation costs. In addition, the 18 -month nonrecourse loan term-combined with current procedures eliminating storage and interest charges on loan cotton when the world price is below the loan rate-has provided a disincentive to move cotton out of loan and into domestic and foreign mills. As a result, the high stock levels dominate the outlook for the remainder of the season as well as the 1989/90 marketing year.

## Slight Improvement in Stock Levels Expected in 1989/90

The early-season outlook for upland cotton in 1989/90 points to a slight improvement in excessive stock levels. This outlook is based on the 1989 upland cotton program, which again will limit planted acreage, and on a recovery in both U.S. mill use and exports.

The Secretary of Agriculture announced that the maximum 25 -percent acreage reduction program will be in effect, double 1988's 12.5 percent. The target price will be 73.4 cents per pound (down 2.5 cents) and the loan rate for base quality will be the minimum 50 cents (down 1.8 cents). Marketing loan plan B will be implemented when the adjusted world price is below the loan rate. Under plan B, 1989-crop cotton pledged as collateral for a price support loan may be repaid at the lower of the AWP or the loan level.

Enrollment in the 1989 upland cotton program may exceed the previous year's 88 percent because of large supplies. If participation increases to 1986 and 1987 levels (or near 93 percent of the base), planted acreage could decrease to between 9.5 and 11.5 million acres, with approximately 1 million planted outside the program.

Area idled in the cotton program could increase to near 3 million acres, about the same as in 1986 and 1987. Assuming a normal season, harvested acreage might fall to the lowest in 3 years. Depending on yields, the 1989 upland crop could range between 12 and 15 million bales. Trend yields would indicate an upland crop approaching 13 million bales, over 2 million below this season's production.

## Mill Use and Exports May Rebound

Demand prospects may also improve next season. Competitive cotton prices relative to manmade fibers, continued strong consumer demand for cotton products, and strengthening textile activity next season should lead to increased cotton consumption by U.S. mills. Mill use will again be limited by competition from textile imports, but still should top 7 million bales.

Despite the changes made last August in the upland cotton program to make U.S. growths competitive in world markets, exports have not responded. Fierce price competition from foreign competitors has limited the potential for U.S. exports this season. However, prospects for 1989/90 are better. Increased world import demand and lower foreign exportable supplies should lead to larger U.S. upland cotton shipments. Upland cotton exports could range between 5.5 and 7.0 million bales.

Disappearance could exceed expected production by nearly 500,000 bales. However, ending stocks likely will remain well above the 4-million-bale level. Unless crop problems

arise, either in the United States or overseas, or additional program changes are implemented, it may take several years to reduce carryover supplies to the desired level.

## ELS Cotton Situation

## ELS Mill Use and Exports Strong

Extra long staple (ELS) cotton production in 1988/89 is estimated at 338,200 bales, up 19 percent from last season (table E). The record production this season is due to larger acreage ( 36 percent above 1987/88), which is offsetting yields 13 percent below 1987/88. Based on January 1 conditions, this season's average yield is estimated at 869 pounds per harvested acre. While down from last season's record yield, this amount is still above the 858 pound-per-harvestedacre average of the previous five seasons. Harvested area for $1988 / 89$ is estimated at about 187,000 acres.

Total ELS disappearance is expected to reach 340,000 bales, 18 percent above last season. Domestic mill use for the first 5 months of the 1988/89 marketing year indicates stronger demand than last season. Mill use of ELS may reach 65,000 bales this season, 25 percent above last. ELS exports, bolstered by increased demand for stronger, finer yarns (for which ELS is well suited), are expected to reach a record 275,000 bales for $1988 / 89$. Based on current estimates of domestic mill use and exports, total disappearance should about equal production. As a result, ELS ending stocks may fall to 45,000 bales - down 15 percent from last season's low level.
The Secretary of Agriculture has announced the 1989 ELS cotton program provisions. In response to the continuing tight stock situation, the acreage reduction provision (ARP) has been set at 5 percent-down from 1988's 10 percent. The target price for $1989 / 90$ will be 96.7 cents per pound

| Year beginning August 1 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | $\begin{aligned} & 1987 \\ & \text { prel } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1988 \\ & \text { proj. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1989 \\ & \text { proj } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000 bales |  |  |  |  |  |
| BEGINNING STOCKS 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Egypt, L. Stpl. | 13 | 37 | 71 | $12^{2}$ | 13 | $18$ |
| India | 82 | 375 | 244 | 121 | 5 5 | $25$ |
| Israel | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Peru | 48 | 34 | 15 | 45 | 22 | 27 |
| PRC | 14 | 19 | 16 | 25 | 32 | 25 |
| Sudan | 200 | 209 | 201 | 283 | 136 | 133 |
| USSR | 32 | 34 | 80 | 81 | 74 | 74 |
| Other producers | 32 | 20 | 28 | 38 | 33 | 30 |
| Subtotal | 426 | 703 | 660 | 600 | 320 | 337 |
| Egypt, ELS | 98 524 | 772 | 62 722 | 10 610 | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 329 \end{array}$ | 12 349 |
| Total | 524 | 775 | 722 | 610 | 329 | 349 |
| PRODUCTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Egypt, L. Stpl. | 1297 | 1558 | 1324 | 1218 | 1066 | 1319 |
| India | 1758 | 1300 | 1499 | 1119 | 1420 | 1469 |
| Israel | 23 | 33 | 73 | 58 | 85 | 142 |
| Peru | 71 | 102 | 129 | 49 | 106 | 100 |
| PRC | 170 | 173 | 198 | 257 | 243 | 257 |
| Sudan | 361 | 309 | 341 | 195 | 237 | 253 |
| USSR | 908 | 1008 | 1076 | 1134 | 1276 | 1215 |
| Other producers | 26 | 40 | 47 | 59 | 60 | 59 |
| Subtotal | 4614 | 4523 | 4688 | 4088 | 4493 | 4814 |
| Egypt, ELS | 511 | 417 4940 | 502 5190 | 379 4467 | 374 4867 | 401 5215 |
| Total | 5125 | 4940 | 5190 | 4467 | 4867 | 5215 |
| CONSUMPTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Egypt, L. Stpl. | 1040 | 1172 | 1062 | 1030 | 900 | 1035 |
| India | 1427 | 1420 | 1222 | 1100 | 1250 | 1131 |
| Israel | 7 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 15 |
| Peru | 51 | 69 | 48 | 51 | 41 | 55 |
| PRC | 155 | 160 | 170 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| Sudan | 52 | 61 | 41 | 11 | 40 | 45 |
| USSR | 925 | 1030 | 1116 | 1183 | 1310 | 1228 |
| Other producers Subtotal | 40 3697 | 36 3957 | 39 3708 | 44 3629 | 47 3803 | 47 3757 |
| Subtotal <br> Egypt, ELS | 3697 158 | 3957 110 | 3708 231 | 3629 148 | 3803 140 | 3757 154 |
| Egyotal | 3855 | 4067 | 3939 | 3777 | 4066 | 3911 |
| EXPORTS 311 Stpl 346 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Egypt, L. Stpl. | 311 | 346 | 350 | 195 | 175 | 285 |
| India | 38 | 11 | 400 | 135 | 150 | 350 |
| Israel | 16 | 25 | 63 | 48 | 70 | 125 |
| Peru | 34 | 51 | 52 | 20 | 60 | 50 |
| PRC | 10 | 15 | 20 | 50 | 50 | 45 |
| Sudan | 301 | 256 | 218 | 331 | 200 | 230 |
| USSR | 9 | 9 | 11 | 33 | 55 | 75 |
| Other producers | 725 | 26 | 129 | 52 | 48 | 48 |
| Subtotal | 744 359 | 739 | 1143 303 | 863 | 808 | 1208 |
| Egypt, ELS | 359 1103 | 316 1055 | 303 1446 | ${ }_{1}^{233}$ | 1230 | 250 |
| Total | 1103 | 1055 | 1446 | 1096 | 1038 | 1458 |
| Source: International Cotton Advisory Committee, Washington, D.C. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

and the loan rate will be 81.77 cents. The ELS loan rate is equal to 85 percent of the simple average price received by farmers during 3 of the previous 5 years, excluding the years of highest and lowest prices. The target price for 1989 is 118.3 percent of the loan rate, and for 1990,120 percent of the loan rate.

## Larger Acreage and Production Expected Next Season

The early-season outlook for ELS cotton indicates increased acreage and production in 1989/90. With market prices high relative to target prices, enrollment in the 1989 program is likely to remain low. Planted area for 1989/90 could increase by about 50,000 to 70,000 acres to over 250,000 . While the 5-percent ARP could entice increased enrollment in the 1989 program, the prospective enrollment increase should not significantly reduce acreage expansion. Assuming trend yields, production could approach 500,000 bales.

Strong demand for ELS cotton is expected in 1989/90, with domestic mill use stabilizing or increasing slightly and exports rising significantly. ELS exports in 1989/90 could total well above this year's use, assuming an expansion in world trade.

## Foreign Production Increases Slowly

According to International Cotton Advisory Committee estimates for major ELS-producing foreign countries, both production and consumption are expected to increase in 1988/89 (table F). Foreign production is expected to approach 4.9 million bales, up about 9 percent from 1987/88. This increase is well below the 19-percent expansion in U.S. production for the same period.

Among individual foreign producers, total production in 1988/89 versus $1987 / 88$ is expected to decline about 10 percent ( 157,000 bales) in Egypt, increase 12.5 percent ( 142,000 bales) in the Soviet Union, and increase 27 percent ( 301,000 bales) in India.

In 1989/90, foreign production is projected to gain about 7 percent, with the vast majority of the increase attributable to larger Egyptian outturn. Projections of a slight downturn in 1989/90 Soviet production are offset by projections of a slight expansion in India.

Consumption in foreign producing countries is projected to be up about 8 percent to 4.1 million bales for 1988/89. Among major foreign producers, 1988/89 domestic consump-tion-to-production ratios are estimated at .72 for Egypt, .88 for India, and 1.03 for the Soviet Union. In 1989/90, these ratios are estimated at $.69, .77$, and 1.01 , respectively. With foreign producing countries consuming a large portion of their 1988/89 production, conditions appear favorable for U.S. exports this season. However, foreign producers are likely to respond to currently strong demand with increased
production in 1989/90, so the U.S. export share could come under greater pressure from foreign sources.

## World Cotton Situation and Outlook

At the end of $1987 / 88$, foreign stocks-to-use ratios fell to the lowest since 1983/84, indicating a fairly tight supply. Despite higher foreign cotton production in 1988/89, sharply higher foreign exports and continued relatively high consumption are expected to offset production gains and draw foreign ending stocks down by another 10 percent this season. Stocks are likely to become especially tight among foreign export competitors (figure 5).

## Production Gains Raise Foreign Supplies

World cotton production is forecast up 4 percent in 1988/89, with most of the gain in area, up 6 percent (table G). Foreign area rose 1.3 million hectares, pushing foreign production up an estimated 2.5 million bales. Yields also improved in many countries, but a sharp drop in China pulled total foreign yields down.

Among the major producers, gains occurred in the Soviet Union, India, the West African countries, Turkey, Syria, Greece, Spain, and Mexico. Increases are expected in Paraguay and Peru also. Many of these producers experienced better weather in 1988/89 than in the previous season. Pakistan's production is estimated to be about the same.

China, Egypt, and Sudan harvested smaller crops in 1988/89. Adverse weather cut yields in China and Sudan; low domestic prices in Egypt continue to discourage cotton planting.

Three important Southern Hemisphere producers-
Australia, Brazil, and Argentina-are also expected to har-

Figure 5
Competitors' Stocks Tighten


Major competitors: China, Brazll, Paraguay, Mexico, Central Amertca, Soviet Union, Egypt. Turkey, Sudan, India, Pakistan, Australia, and West African countries.

Table G--World cotton supply and use, 1987/88 and 1988/89 1/

|  | Horld less United States |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| beginning August | United States | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Major } \\ & \text { importers } \end{aligned}$ | Major exporters | Other | Total foreign | World |


|  | Million 480-pound bales |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1987/88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning stocks | 5.0 | 5.6 | 15.9 | 8.0 | 29.5 | 34.5 |
| production | 14.8 | 1.3 | 45.0 | 19.5 5.3 | 24.8 |  |
| 1 mports | $4 /$ | 18.0 | 1.0 | 5.3 | 24.3 | 24.3 |
| Mill use | 7.6 | 18.4 | 37.5 | 19.8 | 75.7 | 83.3 |
| Exports | 6.6 | 0.8 | 11.1 | 5.1 | 17.0 | 23.6 |
| Ending stocks | 5.8 | 5.6 | 13.1 | 7.7 | 26.4 | 32.2 |
| 1988/89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supply |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning stocks |  |  |  | 27.7 |  | 32.2 |
| Production Imports | 15.4 | 17.6 | 45.8 | 20.9 5.4 | 68.3 24.4 | 83.7 24.4 |
| Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mill use | 6.9 | 17.9 | 37.8 | 20.0 | 75.7 | 82.6 |
| Ending stocks | 9.2 | 5.4 | 10.8 | 7.6 | 23.8 | 33.0 |

1/ Based on Feb. 9, 1989, Horld Agricultural Supply and Demand Estimates. 1987/88 estimated and 1988/89 projected. Totals may not add and stocks may not balance because of rounding, a small quantity of cotton destroyed, and differences unaccounted. 2'/ Eastern Europe, Western Europe Japan, Hong Kong, Republic of Korea, and Taiwan. 3/ Australia, China, Central America, Egypt, Mexico, Pakistan, sudan, Turkey, and the USSŔ. 4/ Less than 50,000 bales.
vest smaller crops this season. Comparatively low world cotton prices, particularly relative to the big gains this season in international prices of soybeans and grains, resulted in less cotton area planted in these countries. In Brazil and Argentina, early-season drought also delayed planting beyond the optimal period.

## Consumption Growth Weakens But Use Remains Relatlvely High

Rising foreign production has increased supply. However, weak textile demand has reduced consumption growth and increased export competition. World consumption forecasts in 1988/89 are off fractionally, while foreign consumption is projected to be virtually identical to $1987 / 88$.

Use continues to expand among producers with good 1988/89 crops, such as India, Pakistan, Turkey, and Greece. However, this growth is being offset by several factors, among which are weak demand among major importers in Western Europe and East Asia; tightening supplies that may keep consumption unchanged in China, the Soviet Union, and Egypt; and lack of domestic economic growth in debtridden countries such as Brazil and Mexico.

Despite reduced consumption, projected at 83 million bales, use remains high and import demand is still expected to be relatively large (figure 6). Imports are now projected at 24.4 million bales, 160,000 over last year. Import forecasts recently were raised because a smaller-than-expected crop led China to meet part of its strong demand with an estimated 1 million bales of previously unanticipated imports.

Floure 6
Import Demand Remains Strong, Despite Leveling Consumption


## Compettive Prices Pushed Foreign Exports Up Sharply

Foreign exports show dramatic gains this year, and are forecast at 12 percent ( 2.1 million bales) above 1987/88. Except for China, Egypt, and Brazil, exports are estimated up in nearly every foreign country because foreign prices remain below U.S. prices in world markets.
U.S. exports are expected to be off 21 percent, contributing to the rapid gain in U.S. stocks. The U.S. share of world cotton exports will fall from last year's more normal 28 percent to only 21.

## Forelgn Stocks Tighten

While the U.S. is building stocks, foreign stocks are expected to fall 2.6 million bales. In 1988/89, foreign ending stocks-to-use ratios will slip below 1987/88 to a projected 31 percent, indicating tighter foreign supply.

Several key producing countries may have tight stocks by the end of $1988 / 89$, including China, the Soviet Union, India, and Egypt. Low stocks in these countries are particularly critical because they reflect both potential reductions in next season's competing exportable supplies and unexpected import demand that often arises from these countries if production is lower than anticipated when supplies are short.

## 1989/90 Trade May Rise, Absorbing Some U.S. Stocks

World production in 1989/90 is likely to be only slightly above that of $1988 / 89$, as rising foreign production is mostly offset by a decline in U.S. output resulting from reduced area. Increases in foreign production are particularly likely among the countries with the tightest stocks.

Pakistan and India are both likely to continue obtaining yield increases from improving cultivation practices; India could also raise area next season. China wants to increase area and production; its recently announced 19-percent domestic cotton price rise, more than the planned increase in grain prices, should aid in accomplishing this goal. As it has for the last several seasons, Egypt plans increased area. But, the Egyptians are likely to fail to meet that goal again in 1989/90 because of their low domestic cotton prices. The Soviet Union probably will reduce area again in 1989/90 in line with its long-range agricultural plans, so production gains there will depend upon better yields.

Foreign area and production gains could also be tempered by the still relatively low world cotton prices and the compara-

Figure 7
Prices Up, But Below Last Year

tively high international prices for competing crops. Although world market prices for cotton, represented by the A index, have risen since September, they are still below equivalent levels at the same time in 1987/88 (figure 7). Furthermore, cotton prices are 7 percent below last February, while prices for soybeans, wheat, and corn are respectively 25,33 , and 35 percent above last February.

World consumption in 1989/90 also may rise. In the developed countries, which are the major importers of cotton, 1989 income growth is projected higher than 1988. As incomes improve, textile demand tends to rise, raising cotton imports. Continued consumption growth among major cotton producers is expected, but because of their low beginning stocks, this growth may absorb much of their own production gains.

In addition, tremendous price increases for rayon and polyester fibers occurred recently in the international market. These relative prices should continue to favor cotton in 1989/90, encouraging more substitution of cotton for other fibers.

Currently it seems possible that import demand will rise, but tight foreign stocks and the absorbing of competitors' production by consumption gains may leave foreign exportable supplies insufficient to keep export competition as strong in 1989/90 as it was in 1988/89. If so, the U.S., with its large stocks, may be able to increase its exports and its export market share to more normal levels in 1989/90. A continuation of the current gradual upward trend in cotton prices could also help make U.S. prices more competitive in world markets.

## U.S. Wool Situation and Outlook

## Sheep Numbers Up

Recent data indicate the number of all sheep and lambs on January 1, 1989, was 10.8 million, almost 2 percent above the annual average of the previous 5 years. The 12 States producing predominately 60 's-and-finer wool had 7.4 million head, an increase of 0.7 percent over the 1984-88 average. In contrast, the number of sheep in the remaining 38 States, 3.4 million, increased more than 4 percent. The average flock size in the finer wool States was 208, ranging from 48 in Oklahoma to 608 in Wyoming. The average flock size in the 38 States producing medium-grade wool was 24.

Raw wool mill consumption in the fourth quarter of 1988 , 34.2 million pounds, clean, was 2 percent below the previous quarter and 1 percent below a year earlier (table H). Woolen system mill consumption in the fourth quarter, 13.7 million pounds, was up 13 percent from the third quarter but 9 percent below the average of the first and second quarters.

Table H--U.S. mill consumption of raw wool, scoured basis

| Year | Apparel wool | Carpet wool | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000 pounds |  |  |
| Jan.-Dec. 128.982 |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 106,051 | 10,562 | 116,613 |
| 1986 | 126,768 | 9,960 | 136,728 |
| 1987 | 129,677 | 13,092 | 142,769 |
| 1988 2/ | 128,317 | 15,826 | 144,143 |
| Jan.-Mar. |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 36,623 | 3,438 | 40,061 |
| 1985 | 26,846 | 3,000 | 29,846 |
| 1986 | 32,465 | 2,583 | 35,048 |
| 1987 | 33,801 | 2,828 | 36,629 |
| 1988 1/ | 33,723 | 4,527 | 38,250 |
| Apr.-June |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 36,252 | 3,940 | 40,192 |
| 1985 | 27,882 | 2.537 | 30.419 |
| 1986 | 33,653 | 2,387 | 36,040 |
| 1987 | 34,175 | 3,333 | 37,508 |
| 1988 2/ | 33,337 | 3,867 | 37,204 |
| July-Sept. 29,326 |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 29,326 | 2,721 | 32,047 |
| 1985 | 25,025 | 2,887 | 27,912 |
| 1986 | 30,106 | 2,739 | 32,845 |
| 1987 | 30,041 | 3,748 | 33,789 |
| 1988 | 30,001 | 4,462 | 34,463 |
| Oct.-Dec. |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 26,781 | 2,989 | 29,770 |
| 1985 | 26,298 | 2.138 | 28,436 |
| 1986 | 30,544 | 2, 251 | 32,795 |
| 1987 | 31,660 | 3,183 | 34,843 |
| 1988 | 31,256 | 2,970 | 34,226 |
| 1/ Revised. 2/ Preliminary. |  |  |  |
| Source: B | of the |  |  |

Traditionally, the third-and fourth-quarter woolen system wool use is less than the first half.

Fourth-quarter worsted mill consumption, 17.6 million pounds, had little change, down 2 percent from the previous quarter and up less than 2 percent from a year earlier. Carpet use, 2.97 million pounds, was two-thirds of the third quarter and 3 percent less than a year earlier.

Preliminary data indicate 1988 mill consumption, 144.1 million pounds, clean, was slightly more than 6 percent greater than the previous 5 -year average. Raw wool use during 1988 in woolen mills, 55.6 million pounds, was 10 percent less than the 1983-87 average. Fashion trends have caused more worsted fabric to be used than woolen fabrics in women's skirting. Also, demand for sports coats using woolen fabrics was weaker.

In contrast, worsted system raw wool use, 72.7 million pounds, was more than 17 percent above the previous 5 years' average because of the continued strong demand for finer wool fabric.

Both the woolen system and the worsted used a smaller share of the 60 's and finer in 1988 because of their higher prices. In the woolen system, the use of the finer wools dropped from 53 percent of total raw wool use in 1987 to 46 percent in 1988. In the worsted system, the share of the finer
wools in 1988 was 74 percent, down from 78 in 1987. The use of manmade fibers increased in both systems. Manmade fiber use in 1988 was 28 percent more than total apparel raw wool mill consumption, compared with 17 percent more the previous year. Total raw wool mill use in 1989 is forecast to be 137 million pounds, 5 percent less than 1988 (table I).

In 1988 , about 15.8 million pounds of raw wool was used by the carpet industry, 31 percent above the previous 5 -year average. Domestic wool carpet production has been stimulated by larger exports resulting from a weaker dollar and by higher priced imports.
U.S. imports of raw wool in the fourth quarter were 22.0 million pounds, clean, 37 percent above the third quarter and 9 percent below a year earlier (table J). Dutiable wool imports in the fourth quarter were 16.5 million pounds, 66 percent more than the third quarter. About 93 percent came from two countries: Australia, 88 percent, and New Zealand, 5 percent.

Duty-free imports were 5.6 million pounds, 9 percent below the third quarter. About 93 percent came from two countries: New Zealand, 72 percent, and the United Kingdom, 21 percent.

Raw wool imports in 1988 totaled 96.7 million pounds, clean, 6.6 percent above the previous 5 -year average. Dutiable imports were 72.3 million pounds, more than 19 percent above the 1983-87 average. About 94 percent came from three countries: Australia, 85 percent; New Zealand, 6 percent; and Uruguay, 3 percent.

Duty-free imports, 24.4 million pounds, were 19 percent below the previous 5 -year average. About 96 percent came from three countries: New Zealand, 78 percent; the United Kingdom, 13; and Argentina, 5.

The share of raw wool imports entering the United States through the New England and Middle Atlantic customs districts has declined from 45 percent in 1985 to 30 percent in

Table :--Wool supply and disappearance, clean content

| 1 tem | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988* | 1989* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Million pounds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stocks, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January 1 | 58.4 | 58.9 | 51.6 | 50.7 | 46.9 | 45.4 | 46 |
| production | 55.1 | 51.1 | 47.2 | 45.5 | 46.0 | 48.9 | 49 |
| Imports | 78.1 | 94.2 | 79.5 | 97.0 | 105.1 | 96.7 | 85 |
| Diff. unace. | 8.9 | -10.0 | -9.6 | -8.8 | -8.8 | 0 | 0 |
| Total supply | 200.5 | 194.2 | 168.7 | 184.4 | 189.2 | 191.0 | 189 |
| Mill use | 140.6 | 142.1 | 116.6 | 136.7 | 142.8 | 144.1 | 137 |
| Exports | 1.0 | 0.5 | 11.4 | 0.8 | 1.10 | 1.2 | 131 |
| Total use | 141.6 | 142.6 | 118.0 | 137.5 | 143.8 | 145.3 | 138 |
| Stocks, December 31 | 58.9 | 51.6 | 50.7 | 46.9 | 45.4 | 45.7 | 42 |
| * Estimated | Y USDA | All | roject | ions a | roun | . |  |
| Source: USDA | nd Bur | au of | he Cen | Us. |  |  |  |

1987 and 1988 (table K). Conversely, the percentage entering through the South Atlantic and other districts has risen from 55 percent to 73 .

During 1988, about 64 percent of the duty-free wool came through the New England and Middle Atlantic regions, compared with 14 percent of the dutiable. In contrast, most of
Table J-U.S. imports of dutiable and duty-free
raw wool for consumption, clean content

| Year | Dutiable | Duty-free | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1,000 pounds |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan. -Dec. } \\ 1982 \end{gathered}$ | 39,988 | $21.433$ | 61,421 |
| 1983 | 49,371 | 28,688 | 78,059 |
| 1984 | 63,271 | 30,906 | 94,177 |
| 1985 | 50,164 | 29,308 | 79,472 |
| 1986 | 66,090 | 30,901 | 96,991 |
| 1987 | 74,054 | 31,066 | 105,120 |
| 1988 | 72,323 | 24,418 | 96,741 |
| Jan.-Mar. 1982 | 15,356 | 5,515 | 20,871 |
| 1983 | 10,549 | 5,639 | 16,188 |
| 1984 | 20,665 | 7,303 | 27,968 |
| 1985 | 15,139 | 7,397 | 22,536 |
| 1986 | 19,749 | 6,910 | 26,658 |
| 1987 | 20,434 | 5,805 | 26,239 |
| 1988 | 26,763 | 6,753 | 33,516 |
| Apr.-June 1982 | 10,798 | 6,620 | 17,418 |
| 1983 | 12,216 | 6,902 | 19, 118 |
| 1984 | 16,761 | 8,126 | 24,887 |
| 1985 | 9,661 | 7,951 | 17,612 |
| 1986 | 16,744 | 7,401 | 24,145 |
| 1987 | 21,829 | 9,126 | 30,954 |
| 1988 | 19,150 | 5,965 | 25,115 |
| July-Sept. 1982 | 7,417 | 5.464 | 12,881 |
| 1983 | 10,818 | 6,614 | 17,432 |
| 1984 | 12,035 | 10,003 | 22,038 |
| 1985 | 11,573 | 7,158 | 18,731 |
| 1986 | 12,922 | 8,235 | 21.157 |
| 1987 | 13,974 | 9,761 | 23,735 |
| 1988 | 9,940 | 6,141 | 16,081 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct, Dec. } \\ 1982 \end{gathered}$ | 6,418 | 3,834 | 10,252 |
| 1983 | 15,788 | 9,533 | 25,321 |
| 1984 | 13,810 | 5,474 | 19,284 |
| 1985 | 13,790 | 6,803 | 20,593 |
| 1986 | 16,676 | 8,355 | 25,032 |
| 1987 | 17,818 | 6,374 | 24,192 |
| 1988 | 16,470 | 5,558 | 22,028 |
| rce: B | Bureau of the Census. |  |  |

the dutiable raw wool, 86 percent, entered through the South Atlantic and other customs districts, together with 36 percent of the duty-free.
U.S. prices of territory wool remained fairly constant from December into February. The 64's remained at $\$ 4.50$, clean basis, as did the 62's at $\$ 3.63$. The 58's were at $\$ 2.53$ in early February, up 5 percent from the December-January average, while the 56's remained at $\$ 2.18$ from December. The simple unweighted average price received by farmers in February for raw wool, grease basis, was $\$ 1.23$, up from $\$ 1.07$ in January and \$0.93 a year earlier (table L).

Domestic prices for the finer grades of Australian wool, clean basis, tended to rise slowly in January and early February from the December low. The 80's averaged $\$ 8.33$ in January, up 5 percent from December, then softened to $\$ 8.30$ in early February. The 70's rose 6 percent to $\$ 5.46$, then settled back to $\$ 5.30$ in February. The 64's and 62's increased 8 and 9 percent, respectively, then both declined 2 percent to $\$ 4.89$ and $\$ 4.54$ in early February. The 58 's increased 10 percent to $\$ 3.79$ before softening to $\$ 3.72$ in February.

Table L--Average U.S. farm prices per pound

| Month | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cents |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 58.4 | 59.2 | 52.2 | 58.7 | 75.2 | 107.0 |
| February | 67.1 | 58.7 | 54.4 | 69.1 | 93.3 | 123.0 |
| March | 79.3 | 61.0 | 61.9 | 78.7 | 118.0 |  |
| April | 87.9 | 67.9 | 70.0 | 99.7 | 153.0 |  |
| May | 86.5 | 68.5 | 73.7 | 106.0 | 165.0 |  |
| June | 86.6 | 69.8 | 75.5 | 108.0 | 161.0 |  |
| July | 82.3 | 64.0 | 67.5 | 87.0 | 133.0 |  |
| August | 78.5 | 60.2 | 65.9 | 83.1 | 128.0 |  |
| September | 74.3 | 59.5 | 57.6 | 93.6 | 111.0 |  |
| October | 80.2 | 66.6 | 69.7 | 95.5 | 135.0 |  |
| November | 67.5 | 58.5 | 64.0 | 84.1 | 116.0 |  |
| December | 69.4 | 56.8 | 59.4 | 81.4 | 101.0 |  |
| Average | 79.5 | 63.3 | 66.8 | 91.7 | 124.1 |  |

* Weighted market average price.

Source: Agricultural Prices, National Agricultural Statistics Service.

Table K-Raw wool imports by regions 1/

|  | Duty-free |  |  |  | Dutiable |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
|  | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New England Middle Atlantic | 34 36 | 34 33 | 30 38 | 30 34 | 28 3 | 25 2 | 16 2 | 13 | 30 15 | 28 | 120 | 17 10 |
| South Atlantic and other $2 /$ | 30 | 33 | 32 | 36 | 69 | 73 | 82 | 86 | 55 | 60 | 67 | 73 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

[^2] Mexican border, the Pacific Coast, and the Canadian border.
Source: Bureau of the Census.

## World Wool Situation and Outlook

The latest data indicate Australian wool production in 1988/89 to be 2.07 billion pounds, greasy, of which shom wool was around 1.92 billion. Revised wool production totals for the 2 previous years were 2.02 billion in 1987/88 and 1.96 in 1986/87.

The Australian wool market was generally weaker as the season progressed into the third quarter. The first-quarter market indicator (a weighted average price in Australian cents per kilogram of 13 wool categories) stood at 1,052 , the third highest of record. With softer demand, the average market indicator of the second quarter declined 5 percent to 999. During this period, the Australian Wool Corporation (AWC) stockpile almost tripled, going from 20,326 bales to 57,354 at the year's end.

While the market indicator in January averaged 999, demand weakened in late January and early February and the market indicator slipped to about 960 . The AWC stockpile reached a low of 32,125 bales in mid-January and rose to 40,000 bales in early February. The year-earlier January stockpile was 41,153 bales.

During the first half of the season, Australia exported 720 million pounds of raw wool, greasy basis, 10.5 percent less than last year. Six countries accounted for almost twothirds: Japan, 19 percent; the Soviet Union, 14; France, 10; Italy, 9; China, 8, and West Germany, 7.

The New Zealand wool market in the second quarter declined almost continuously. The market indicator, after reaching a season high of 741 in early October, ended the year at 666. The market indicator averaged 677 for the first half of the season, 10 percent above last year. Of the total of 298,122 bales offered during the last 7 weeks, the trade cleared 88 percent and the New Zealand Wool Board (NZWB) purchased 9 percent. The NZWB stockpile increased 12 percent during this period to 61,782 bales, from 54,969.

Six countries accounted for more than two-thirds of New Zealand's wool exports during July-November 1988: China, 36 percent; the United Kingdom, 8; the Soviet Union and Japan, 7 each; Belgium, 5; and the United States, 4.

In the new year, the market firmed slightly, with the market indicator averaging 677 in January and 694 in early February. The stockpile at mid-February was 76,000 bales, the highest since September.

The South African market, after reaching a record high in mid-October, began a decline that continued into late December. In this period, the market indicator dropped 18 percent from a season high of 2,363 to 1,948 on December 9 . The
portion of the offering sold to the trade averaged 89 percent in November and December, compared with 94 percent in October.

The market rallied in January, with the market indicator averaging $2,203,10$ percent above the December average. About 92 percent of the January offering was sold to the trade.

## Mohair

U.S. mohair exports in the fourth quarter were 4.2 million pounds, clean, 44 percent above the previous quarter and 15 percent more than a year earlier. About 86 percent of the fourth quarter overseas shipments went to three countries: the United Kingdom, 73 percent; India, 9; and Taiwan, 4.

Mohair exports in 1988 were 14.4 million pounds, clean, 2.4 percent above 1987 and 31 percent above the average of the previous 5 years. The value of the 1988 exports was $\$ 36.2$ million, 19 percent below 1987. The average 1988 export price was $\$ 2.52$ per pound, clean, compared with $\$ 3.19$ in 1987.

Mohair prices in January have declined since last fall. Adult hair was $\$ 1.50-\$ 1.60$ per pound, compared with $\$ 1.90$ in November. Young goat was $\$ 2.25$, down from $\$ 2.75$. Kid was $\$ 5.55$, down from $\$ 5.75$.

The world market for mohair continues strong. About 85 percent of the South African clip has been sold. The demand results from the lower priced mohair being used as a substitute for the higher priced cashmere fiber.

## Manmade Fibers

Production of nonglass manmade fibers in the fourth quarter was 2.31 billion pounds, more than 3 percent above the third quarter and 2 percent more than a year earlier (table 14). End-of-the-year stocks in producers' plants were down 10 percent from September. Almost all this stock decline occurred in textile nylon and polyester filament and textile polyester staple. Mill consumption in the fourth quarter was 2.37 billion pounds, almost 5 percent above the third quarter and more than 5 percent greater than a year earlier.

Production of nonglass manmade fibers in 1988 was 9.2 billion pounds, almost 2 percent more than in 1987. Polyester staple and filament output was up 4 percent, reflecting increased use in textiles and carpets. Nylon filament production grew almost 2 percent, resulting from moderate growth in carpets and apparel. Nylon staple production decreased almost 5 percent because of slower shipments to carpet mills. Olefin staple output did not change in 1988 from 1987. Its uses in carpet and nonwoven markets were the same. Olefin
filament production was up 5 percent, principally because of growth in carpets and broadwoven fabric; acrylic did not change. Cellulosic filament was up 12 percent from improved broadwoven fabric markets, while cellulosic staple production was down more than 3 percent because of slower use of nonwoven and broadwoven fabrics.

Recent data indicate that planned capacity of all nonglass manmade fiber plants in 1990 will be 10.4 billion pounds, which represents an average annual growth of 1 percent. Staple fiber capacity is expected to decline 0.2 percent annually while filament capacity will grow 2.3 percent. The fiber types which will have the largest average annual growth rates in planned capacity are olefin filament, 5 percent; olefin staple, 3.9 percent; nylon filament, 3.9; and cellulosic staple, 2.6. Polyester filament capacity is expected to decline 2.5 percent annually and the staple 2.0 annually.

Plants producing nonglass manmade fibers operated in 1988 at about the same capacity as in 1987,89 percent. Staple fiber plants operated at an average capacity of 89 percent, while filament plants were at 88 percent. To obtain desired return on investment, producers need to operate at 85 to 90 percent of capacity.

Fourth-quarter consumption data for the major fiber groups are shown in table 15. The carpet market, which takes about one-third of manmade fiber domestic shipments, continues to be the largest user, consuming 733 million pounds in the third quarter, 1 percent above the average of the first half of 1988 and 4 percent of the 1987 average. Nylon fiber, at 468 million pounds, constituted 64 percent of the carpet market and olefin fibers 28 percent. Estimates for the fourth quarter indicate that 460 million pounds of nylon went into carpets, 1 percent above the average of the previous 3 quarters.

Woven textiles continue as the second largest (27 percent) manmade fiber market. In 1988, this market had no growth. About 565 million pounds were used in the third quarter, no change from the first two quarters and 1 percent above the 1987 average. Polyester, at 57 percent, and olefin fibers, at 16 percent, together constitute 72 percent of this market.

The knit market used about 327 million pounds in the third quarter. Polyester's share, 175 million pounds, was 54 percent; nylon, at 64 million pounds, took 20 percent. Acrylic fibers, at 81 million pounds, accounted for 25 percent.

Prices of raw materials used to make noncellulosic fibers continued to rise in late 1988 and early 1989 (table M). Firm domestic sales and overseas shipments, aided by a weak dollar, supported price increases.

Prices of para-xylene (a raw material for polyester fiber) have increased $2-3$ cents per pound since last fall. This demand has been strengthened by the growing use of polyester plastic in container applications. The price of ethylene glycol (also a raw material for polyester fiber) continued to rise into early 1989 because of many needs for ethylene-derived chemicals. The price of cyclohexane (a raw material for nylon) is closely related to the price of benzene, which has been rising.

The acrylonitrile price rose 3 cents in December, the first increase in more than 7 months. Price increase has been infrequent because of sluggish acrylic fiber sales and infrequent increases in the price of propylene, a precursor. Propylene's price rose to $\$ 0.20$ per pound in January, from $\$ 0.17$ during most of 1988.

| Product | Jan. | Feb. | March | April | May | June | July |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Para-xylene 1/ | 17.5 | 17.5 | 17.5 | 17.5-21.5 | 21.5 | 22.8-23.5 | 23.5-25.5 |
| Propylene 1/ | 18 | 18 | 17 | 17. | 17 | 17 | 17. |
| Ethylene glycol 1/ | 22 | 26-28 | 30-32 | 30-32 | 27-27.5 | 30-32 | 42-45 |
| Cyclohexane Acrylontrile | 1.054 $37-38$ | NA | $\mathrm{TAA}^{199}$ | 1.260 | $\frac{1}{36}{ }^{219}$ | $1{ }_{36}{ }^{1} 219$ | 1.219 |
| Caprolactam 2 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85-87 | 87-90 |
|  | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. |
| Para-xylene 1/ | 23.5-25.5 | 25.5-26.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Propylene 1/ | 17. |  | 17.5-26. | 17.5-26.5 | ${ }_{19}$ | 20 | NA |
| Ethylene glycol 1/ | 42-45 |  | 45-50 | 44-48 | 44-48 | 44-60 | NA |
| cyclohexane 2/ | 1.219 | 1.3017 | 1.3017 | 1.3017 | 1.3017 | 1.75545 | 1.75545 |
| Acrylonitrile 1/ | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 39 | $3{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $3{ }^{39}$ |
| Caprolactam 2/ | 87-90 | 87-90 | 87-90 | 87-90 | NA | NA | NA |
| 1/ Cents per pou | Dollars | gallon. | = not ava | lable. |  |  |  |

# China's Cotton Industry 

by
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#### Abstract

China is a country with a history of cotton production dating back 2000 years. China's position as the world's largest producer of cotton and a major exporter of cotton and textiles is a recent phenomenon resulting from flexible policies adopted at the third Plenum of the Party Central Committee in 1978. This report outlines China's cotton production, use, and trade policies, and their effects on the Chinese cotton industry and its practices.
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China's cotton production during the last 10 years can be categorized in three distinct phases. The first occurred during 1978-84 and was characterized by rapidly rising output. This period culminated in 1984, when China produced a record crop of 28.7 million bales. The second period took place from 1985 to 1986, when output declined sharply as a result of policies to reduce surplus production. The current phase, which began in 1987, again emphasizes expanded output. This phase, however, is more concerned with satisfying rapidly accelerating domestic consumption, maintaining raw cotton exports, and increasing exports of finished textile garments.

During the first phase, the production responsibility system was instituted in 1980, and became the catalyst behind the phenomenal growth in China's cotton output. In fact, the responsibility system has been so successful that China, once a major cotton importer, has now become a major cotton exporter. Under this program, price incentives stimulated cotton production. For example, in 1980 China produced 12.4 million bales. By 1984, economic incentives, to a large ex-
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* Agricultural economists, Foreign Agricultural Service, USDA.
tent, were responsible for lifting the crop to a record 28.7 million bales. Cotton production was also encouraged by popularizing the use of improved varieties, utilizing more chemical fertilizers, intensifying cultivation practices, and granting farmers more decision-making authority.

After the record crop of 1984, the Government adopted a new policy which emphasized restricted output in order to bring supply and demand back into balance. In particular, the Government felt that the supply of cotton exceeded domestic utilization and export demand, resulting in a large stock buildup. In accordance with this new policy, the Government announced under the Seventh Five-Year Plan for National Economic and Social Development (1986-90) a national procurement target of 19.5 million bales. In contrast, the preceding policy automatically procured all cotton produced. Under this new policy, the Government's Cotton and Hemp Corporation would purchase cotton from individual households only as specified in their cotton contract. Cotton contracts specified the quantity and quality of cotton to be produced, the varieties to be grown, the purchase price, and the time of procurement.

Figure 2
China's Cotton Production and Trade, 1980-88


Above-quota production was to be used for making highquality quilts and padding clothing. This cotton was to be in addition to the 1.4 to 1.6 million bales that are normally used for padding but not included in total textile consumption figures.

This policy successfully reduced production to 19.0 million bales of cotton in 1985 and was continued during the 1986/87 cotton season. However, policymakers did not anticipate the rapid rise in consumption during this second phase, which continued to emphasize decreased output. Consequently, the third or current phase was implemented, again emphasizing expanded production through economic incentives. But this time adequate supplies are needed to fulfill rapidly rising domestic consumption, raw cotton export commitments, and textile exports, which earn lucrative foreign exchange. Whether all of these aims will be accomplished remains to be seen. Nevertheless, one outcome of this policy is that planted area increased from 4.8 million hectares in 1987 to an estimated 5.5 million in 1988. Production, however, is currently estimated at 18.7 million bales, or 4 percent below a year earlier, because of unfavorable weather conditions during the growing season.

This season, all cotton farmers will be paid for 70 percent of their cotton at the regular price and for 30 percent at the set bonus price. Unlike the past, no differential will be given according to producing region. Farmers will also receive a bonus for fertilizer and diesel fuel. For every 100 kilos of cotton sold to the state, the state has agreed to provide 70 kilos of fertilizer and 5 kilos of diesel fuel at a fixed price. In addition, the provincial government will receive a 128 yuan subsidy for each ton of cotton sent from a producing province to a nomproducing province. Above-quota cotton production can be sold only to the local Cotton and Hemp Corporation. It cannot be exported or sold on the free market. This year the state is the sole agency to buy cotton. This means that the state Cotton and Hemp Corporation must purchase all cotton produced by farmers. The only year an open market existed for producers was during the 1985/86 cotton season.

## Producer MarketIng

Cotton is produced at the farm level by direct contract between the producer and the local office of the Cotton and Hemp Corporation. Contracts are signed annually, including designated area and variety to be planted. Reportedly, cotton is sold on a lint cotton basis. Some producers were reported to be holding back cotton deliveries this season because a shortage of funds was preventing some procurement stations from paying upon receipt of the cotton and because many farmers expected a price increase. The Central Government announced that prices would not be increased. However, it was reported to be providing additional funds to the procurement system plus setting up a program of partial payment in cash and partial payment in coupons to be used
in purchasing crop inputs, or in bank deposits. In several provinces, the cotton mills and/or local government were providing bonuses to producers delivering cotton this season.

In China, cotton is picked by hand, usually 7 to 10 times. Because the 1988 growing season was delayed in many areas where double cropping is practiced, plants were pulled out of the ground while still holding many unopened bolls. The cotton plants were placed against trees, buildings, and along the road, where bolls were removed as they opened. The emptied fields were then planted with winter wheat.

Cotton is placed in bags as it is harvested, then emptied onto sections of plastic or tarps. The cotton is taken to the procurement station by carts, either pushed by hand or pulled by horses or tractors. Deliveries are scheduled in advance. However, waits of 2 hours or more are not unusual during harvest. Each delivery is sampled, weighed, and graded. The sample is given a code number to ensure anonymity. The sample is ginned and tested in a laboratory at the procurement station. Moisture content of 10 percent is the standard. Deliveries must not exceed a maximum moisture content of 12 percent.

The cotton is unloaded and stacked by pitchfork into modules at the station. The modules are covered with tarps until the cotton is ready for ginning. After ginning, the cotton is stored in bales under a tarp at the procurement station or moved to centralized storage at the provincial level. Cotton can move from the centralized storage direct to mills, to export stations, or to cotton-deficient provinces.

## Domestic and Export Marketing Situation and Policy

China is the world's largest consumer of cotton. Consumption has grown significantly in recent years to fuel the domestic textile industry. Estimated at 15.1 million bales in marketing year 1980/81, consumption has grown to an estimated 20 million bales annually in 1987/88 and 1988/89.

The large textile mill sector is the principal outlet for China's cotton. During 1987/88, the textile industry accounted for about 86 percent of China's cotton use; raw cotton exports took roughly 14 percent. China's textile policy demands that the industry supply both a large domestic population, which is demanding better and more stylish textile products, and an equally important export market that generates scare foreign exchange.

Textile industry capacity is reported at 26 million spindles and about 700,000 looms. Approximately 80 percent of the spindles are in state mills and 20 percent in local rural industry mills. China's current policy reportedly stresses the need for a steady flow of cotton to state mills. Small, uneconomical rural mills which produce poor-quality goods were reportedly facing cutbacks in electric power and other


inputs. The Central Government's State Planning Commission has mandated the closure of all unproductive mills with less than 100,000 spindles.

Textile production has increased substantially in recent years. Yarn production, which was reported at 2.9 million tons in 1980, had risen to 4.4 million in 1987, while cloth production shows a lesser growth, from 13.5 billion meters in 1980 to 17.3 billion in 1987. Rationing of cotton goods was lifted in November 1983. Stores and open markets are currently well supplied with textile products for retail sales. Reports indicate annual per capita consumption of 3.7 kilograms of textile goods.

Furthermore, textile exports have risen rapidly in conjunction with production. Exports of cotton yarn were reported at 147.4 million meters in 1984/85, but had risen to 250.4 million by 1986/87. Cloth exports had risen similarly from 1.67 billion meters in 1985 to 2.34 billion in 1987.

China has developed quality bases to consolidate and develop the infrastructure needed for an improved raw cotton export system. The bases are located in Shangdong, Jiangsu, Hebei, Henan, and Hubei provinces and the Xinjiang Autonomous Region. These counties are located along railroad lines where they receive a priority on rail transportation, warehousing, improved ginning equipment, and facilities capable of producing international-size bales.

China's raw cotton trade has changed dramatically in recent years. Prior to the $1983 / 84$ marketing season, China was a net importer of cotton and a sizable market for U.S. and other non-Chinese growths. During 1983/84, Chinese exports increased sharply to 760,000 bales. Exports reached a peak of 3.2 million bales in 1986/87 before dropping to 2.3 million in 1987/88 and a forecast 1.5 million in 1988/89. Imports of cotton became insignificant after 1983/84; however, tight supplies have led to significant purchases of foreign
growths this season. U.S. 1988/89 export sales commitments to China totaled 317,700 running bales as of December 29, 1988. Total Chinese 1988/89 imports from all origins are forecast at 1.0 million bales.

## Outlook: China at the Crossroads

Activity this season points out vividly that China has reached a crossroads with respect to cotton production. With rapidly rising domestic consumption, increased output is needed. However, China has limited arable land and there is intense competition for land among the crops. China's current yield is already among the world's highest, so sharp increases in yield in the future are unlikely. To compound this problem, both raw cotton exports and cotton textile products are vital foreign exchange earners, while per capita textile consumption rates are among the world's lowest. As living standards continue to improve, more fiber consumption is likely, and either increased production or more imports will be needed to meet this demand. Exports of raw cotton are likely to continue, but may be limited by available supplies.

As in past years, there is a great deal of uncertainty over how much cotton will be planted in China, the world's largest producer. China recently announced a procurement price increase of 35 yuan per 50 kilos of 1989 -crop cotton. Additional fertilizer will also be available to farmers at official government prices based on cotton plantings. These measures should help China retain its role as a major cotton producer and a major exporter of both raw cotton and textiles, while at the same time meeting its rapidly rising domestic consumption requirements.

However, according to a recent survey, farmers do not perceive these measures as being lucrative enough to increase sowings. Consequently, some cotton area is likely to shift to other crops which, unlike cotton, can be sold profitably on the free market.

# Raw Cotton Processing Capacity and Utilization Rates 

by
Edward H. Glade, Jr.*


#### Abstract

Cotton ginning capacity in each major producing State was estimated for $1987 / 88$. Actual gin operating results are compared with potential volumes to calculate estimated utilization rates for each State. Average gin capacity ranged from a low of 10.6 bales per hour in New Mexico to a high of 21 bales in California. Gin utilization rates averaged only 29 percent in South Carolina, but over 125 percent in California.
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The cotton ginning sector provides the initial transformation of raw cotton into a marketable textile fiber. With the separation of the lint and cotton seed, the first step in the marketing process begins.

This article presents the results of an analysis to estimate the current raw cotton processing capacity in each cotton-growing State, and the extent of capacity utilization during the 1987/88 season. Information was developed from secondary sources and a mail survey of U.S. cotton gins.

Beltwide gin processing capacity is a function of the number of gins, their size (as measured by rated output per hour), and the number of operating hours during the season. When data on capacity are related to records of actual volumes ginned, a measure of gin utilization rates is obtained.

* Agricultural economist, Economic Research Service, USDA.


## Gin Numbers and Volumes

During 1987/88, a total of 1,650 U.S. cotton gins operated (table 1). Approximately 73 percent of these were concentrated in the South Central and Southwest regions. About 14 percent of the gins (228) processed the Western crop, accounting for 27 percent of all ginnings, while in the Southeast, 13 percent of the total ( 225 gins) accounted for only about 6 percent of beltwide ginnings in 1987/88.

The number of cotton gins has declined over the years in response to increasing operating costs, shifts in location of production, and the construction of newer, high-capacity gins. Also, industry adoption of improved harvesting and seed cotton storage systems such as module builders has resulted in fewer ginning facilities being needed. Currently, fewer gins process approximately the same size crop as in earlier years. During the 1987 season, the 1,650 active gins

| Region/State | 1983 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ 1984 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { active } \\ 1985 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{in}_{1986}$ | 1987 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number |  |  |  |  |
| Southeast: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alabama | 87 | 91 | 84 | 82 | 82 |
| Georgia | 36 | 37 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| South Carolina | 51 | 53 | 49 | 48 | 47 |
| Total | 228 | 234 | 230 | 223 | 225 |
| South Central: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arkansas | 138 | 143 | 132 | 129 | 128 |
| Louisiana | 242 | 937 | -89 | -86 | 217 |
| Mississ ippi | 48 48 | 244 | 23 50 | 50 50 | 50 |
| Tennessee | 78 | 79 | 74 | 73 | 70 |
| Total | 603 | 516 | 582 | 561 | 549 |
| Southwest: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oklahoma | 78 33 | 76 33 | 71 | 69 | 69 |
| New Mexico Texas | 33 643 | 33 629 | 31 601 | $\begin{array}{r}30 \\ 545 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 528 |
| Total | 754 | 738 | 703 | 644 | 648 |
| West: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arizona | 98 | 100 | 91 | 85 | 84 |
| California Total | 166 | 169 | 163 | 146 | 144 |
| Total | 264 | 269 | 254 | 231 | 228 |
| United States | 1,849 | 1,857 | 1,769 | 1,659 | 1,650 |
| Source: U.S. Dept. of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. |  |  |  |  |  |

processed 14.1 million bales, compared with 13.9 million bales processed by the 2,685 gins in $1977 / 78$.

The average volume of cotton processed per gin varies between seasons because of different crop sizes and the number of active gins. However, the overall trend has been for a declining number of gins to process an increasing number of bales per gin. Figure 1 shows the number of active gins during the 1972-87 crop years and the corresponding average number of bales processed per gin. In 1972/73, approximately 3,700 bales were ginned by the average cotton gin during the season. By the 1987/88 season, gin volume had increased to an average of over 8,500 bales-representing a 128 -percent increase in average volume, but only a 47 -percent decline in the number of gins during the period.

## Gin Size

Cotton gin size is usually measured in manufacturer's rated capacity-that is, the maximum number of bales which can be ginned per hour under ideal operating conditions. This can range from as low as 2-3 bales per hour to 35 bales or more. Data on the capacities of operating cotton gins during the $1987 / 88$ season were obtained by questionnaire mailed to 950 gins. Responses were analyzed and results used to estimate the size distribution of gins in each producing State grouped by four capacity categories. Table 2 shows the estimated number of U.S. coton gins falling into each size group by State during 1987/88.

Gin size tends to be smaller, on the average, as one moves from West to East, or from the newer to the older production areas. Approximately 21 percent of all gins were rated at 8
bales per hour or less in 1987, with many of these smaller facilities concentrated in Arkansas, Mississippi, and Texas. Most of the modern gins with capacity of 19 bales per hour or over are located in the Western States, especially California. In addition, to increase capacity, many gins in other areas have installed new equipment, including Universal Density presses and improved seed cotton handling and cleaning equipment.

The increasing capacity of U.S. gins in combination with other changing technology has altered industry structure and improved overall ginning efficiency. The use of modules as temporary field storage for seed cotton and the ability to
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Table 2--Size distribution of U.S. cotton gins, 1987/88

| Region/State | Gin capacity (bales per hour) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-8 | -13 | 14-18 : 19 and over : Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Southeast: Al | 28 | 22 | 17 | 15 | 82 |
| Georgia | 15 | 30 | 10 | 5 | 60 |
| North Carolina | 8 | 20 | 4 | 4 | 36 |
| South Carolina | 15 | 17 | 10 | 5 | 47 |
| Total | 66 | 89 | 41 | 29 | 225 |
| South Central: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arkansas | 43 | 18 | 49 | 18 | 128 |
| Louisiana | 4 | 25 | 22 | 33 | 84 |
| Mississippi | 23 | 47 | 71 | 76 | 217 |
| Missouri | 39 | 18 | 20 13 | 3 9 | 50 |
| Tennessee | 110 | 125 | 175 | 139 | 70 549 |
| Southwest: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oklahoma | 27 | 23 | 8 | 11 | 69 |
| New Mexico | 7 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 28 |
| Texas | 112 | 198 | 140 | 101 | 551 |
| Total | 146 | 238 | 152 | 112 | 648 |
| West: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arizona | 22 | 26 | 13 | 23 |  |
| California | ${ }^{6}$ | 40 | 23 | 75 | 144 |
| Total | 28 | 66 | 36 | 98 | 228 |
| United States | 350 | 518 | 404 | 378 | 1,650 |
| Source: Data estimated from unpublished USDA survey. |  |  |  |  |  |

move large loads more quickly over longer distances make the demand for individual gin services more price-elastic, increasing capacity utilization while maintaining charges at competitive rates.

## Utillzation Rates

The trend toward fewer, more efficient gins is expected to continue. However, in most areas, total capacity of gins greatly exceeds annual production requirements. But, like an electric utility, gins must provide service for the peak period during the season. During the normal 13-15 week ginning season, volume builds slowly to a peak 2-3 week period when seed cotton is being received faster than it can be ginned. In many areas, gins may operate 24 hours a day with two shifts during the peak of harvest.

While actual levels of operation vary widely between gins, and the length of time between initial harvest and completion of all activity is also variable, a generally accepted norm of 906 total annual hours of operation is used to measure full seasonal utilization. Moreover, rated gin capacity cannot be maintained throughout the season, as down time is required for repair, maintenance, and training. Therefore, an efficiency rate of 85 percent of rated capacity is assumed to represent a sustained ginning output during the season.

Total potential volume, or 100 -percent gin utilization, was estimated as follows:

- Rated gin capacity $\mathbf{x}$ efficiency rate $=$ hourly volume (bales per hour) (85-percent)
- Hourly volume x total seasonal hours (906) = potential volume

The actual rate of gin capacity utilization over the complete 1987/88 season was estimated by dividing the actual average volume per gin by the calculated potential volume.

Table 3 shows the results of this estimation for each cottongrowing State in 1987/88. In general, as gin size increases, utilization rates are also higher. States in the Southeast had an average capacity of 12 bales per hour, and an average utilization rate of only about 46 percent. Gins in the Southwest operated at over 70 percent of full capacity during 1987/88, except for New Mexico gins, which have been consistently underutilized for a number of years.

In the South Central region, exceptionally large crops in Louisiana and Tennessee strained gin capacity in many areas, resulting in utilization rates exceeding 90 percent. In Mississippi, however, some excess capacity is still evident despite a larger-than-usual 1987 crop. The average rate of

gin utilization was estimated at 72.5 percent-a relatively low level for an area where average gin size is over 17 bales per hour.

California gins operated at a utilization rate of over 125 percent during 1987/88. Based on the established criterion of full capacity utilization ( 85 percent of rated capacity for a seasonal total of 906 hours), the average gin processed approximately a 25 -percent larger volume than expected. The extensive use of cotton module systems for seed cotton storage and handling has allowed California gins effectively to extend the ginning season. Large quantities of seed cotton are stored in the field in covered modules, permitting a more orderly movement to the gin. Over 75 percent of the California crop was ginned from field-stored modules in 1987/88.

## Conclusions

Prospects are for the number of U.S. cotton gins to continue to decline, but at a slower pace than in recent years.
Average gin size (rated capacity) should increase as older, less efficient gins close and others consolidate and install new high-capacity equipment. It is also likely that some new gins will be built, especially in areas where current capacity is not adequate during years of large harvests.

Annual volumes available for processing are expected to total 12-15 million bales in future years. Therefore, rates of capacity utilization in U.S. gins will still vary from season to season, but should continue to improve over time.

# Cotton Acreage in Major Producing Areas 

by<br>Bradley M. Crowder*


#### Abstract

Regional acreage response is estimated for upland cotton from 1960-88 using ordinary least squares. Expected net returns for cotton and competing crops are used to explain fluctuations in plantings. Expected net returns are constructed using a combination of lagged market and support prices and Government program variables. Elasticities of cotton acreage vary considerably among regions with respect to expected net returns from cotton and competing crops. The rate of adjustment to changing economic conditions varies among regions as well. Price and income support, supply control, and other Government programs were important factors for explaining acreage response. Forecasts of 1989 upland cotton acreage range from 10.06 to 10.22 million acres.
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Current estimates of supply response for crops are important for evaluating changes in Government programs, farm prices and incomes, yields and acreage, market structure, and technology. In theory, farmers apportion their acreage among their crops to maximize expected net returns. Numerous factors are considered in forming profit expectations, including expected marketing prices and yields, production costs, support prices, loan rates, and farm program restrictions. This article examines the usefulness of lagged market prices and Government program variables for deriving expected net returns.

Acreage response equations are estimated for the United States and the major cotton-producing USDA farm production regions (FPR's) to evaluate differing responses to farm programs and relative expected returns. The FPR's include the Southwest (Arizona, California), Southern Plains (New Mcxico, Oklahoma, Texas), Delta (Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi), Southeast (Alabama, Florida, Georgia, South
Carolina), and Appalachian (Kentucky, North Carolina, Tennessec, and Virginia).

## Model Structure

Crop prices and price ratios have generally been used to estimate crop acreage response ( $3,5,6,8,10$ ), sometimes deflated by variable costs of production ( 1,11 ) or a producer price index (3). Combinations of lagged, support, and futures prices are commonly used to derive farmers' price expectations. Over time, prices become less reliable as indicators of profitability because of changes in yield growth rates among crops, income support provided through Govern-

[^3]ment programs for crops, and relative costs of producing crops. These factors have given rise to substantially different relationships in the profitability of specific crops in each FPR. Therefore, prices are not a reliable proxy for crop returns.

Government involvement in cotton markets leads one to expect Government programs will affect cotton acreage response when program variables are incorporated. Empirical work has shown that Government programs do affect cotton acreage response $(3,4,5)$. The model used here considers Government variables with lagged market prices to reflect information available to farmers at planting. The estimation approach using Government program variables takes into account not only prices and expected net returns, but also other major factors affecting farmers' profitability and planting decisions.

Market prices lagged one year are used for calculating expected net returns. The general model of cotton acreage response is:

$$
\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{NR} c, \mathrm{NRo}, \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{t}-1}, \mathrm{DIV}, \mathrm{ARP}, \mathrm{TREND}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ is the cotton acreage planted, NRc and NRo are the expected net returns of cotton and other competing crops, respectively, $\mathbf{A}_{t-1}$ is cotton acreage lagged one year, DIV is acreage diverted by set-aside and acreage retirement programs, ARP is a dummy variable used to shift the acreage response function in years when an acreage retirement program was in place for cotton, and TREND is a trend variable. The resulting supply equation is a partial adjustment model in which expected price is simply the previous year's price.

Net returns for a given crop are estimated as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{NR} & =\left[(\mathrm{Pt}-\max (\mathrm{Pm}, \mathrm{Pl}))^{*}(1-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{PLD}) * \mathrm{Yp}\right] \\
& +[\max (\mathrm{Pm}, \mathrm{Pl}) *(1-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{PLD}) * \mathrm{Ye}] \\
& +[\mathrm{PLD} * \mathrm{PLDPMT} * \mathrm{Yp}] \\
& -[\mathrm{VCCROP} *(1-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{PLD})] \\
& -[\mathrm{VCDDLE} *(\mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{PLD})]
\end{aligned}
$$

where:
$\mathrm{Pt}=$ target price,
$P m=$ lagged regional market price,
$\mathrm{Pl}=$ loan rate,
$Y p=$ regional program yields,
$\mathrm{Ye}=$ expected regional yield, as defined earlier,
$S=$ percentage of set-aside acreage,
PLD = percentage of paid land diversion,
PLDPMT = payment rate for paid land diversion,
VCCROP $=$ variable costs of crop production,
VCIDLE $=$ estimated variable costs of idled cropland.
Target price, set aside, and paid land diversion are relevant only for crops and years where such programs were in effect. Paid land diversion is weighted when payments were made on only a portion of the crop acreage base or program yield. Variable cost of idled acreage accounts for land costs that
are still incurred on idle land, such as conservation planting, interest, and taxes.

In addition to cotton and cottonseed production, the competing crops modeled include sorghum (Southern Plains FPR and United States), soybeans (Delta States, Southeast, and Appalachian FPR's), and wheat (Appalachian and Southwest FPR's). The coefficients of expected net returns for all these competing crops were significant in the estimated equations except for wheat in the Southwest FPR.

Data for 1960-88 are used. Expected yields are calculated as a simple average of the 3 previous years' realized yields (17). Variable costs of crop production are USDA estimates for 1975-86 ( $7,12,13,14$ ). Variable costs for 1987-89 and 1960-74 were generated by regression on an index of prices paid by farmers for crop production (16); correlation coefficients between variable costs and the price index for all crops in all regions exceeded 0.9 .

## Results

Net returns are in dollars and acreage is measured in thousands of acres. Coefficients on lagged acreage are Nerlove's "coefficients of adjustment" (see 10).

The relatively small coefficients of adjustment indicate relatively rapid adjustments in acreage in response to changes in cotton's profitability in the United States and the Southeast FPR (table 1). The Southwest and Appalachian equations


| Region | Constant | Lagged acreage | Exp. ret. of cotton | Exp. ret. of sorghum | Exp. ret. of soybeans | Exp. ret. of wheat | Diverted acreage | ARP | Trend | AR(1) | DUMT5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S.A. | $\begin{gathered} 9178.559 * \\ {[1132.209]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .287 * \\ & {[.072\rceil} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.827^{*} \\ & {[3.582]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -53.151^{*} \\ {[5.956]} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .478 * \\ & {[.091]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1772.673 * \\ {[410.0831} \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| Adj. R2 = .890; F-stat. $=37.261$; Serial corr. $=.489(\mathrm{Dh})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Southwest a/ | $\begin{aligned} & -465.080 \\ & {[433.279]} \end{aligned}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{c} .430^{*} \\ {[.103]} \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.243 \star \star \\ & {[.494]} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -.723 \\ & {[.297]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -175.262 \\ {[88.876]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.106^{*} \\ & {[7.816]} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -556.622 \\ {[167.145]} \end{gathered}$ |
| Adj. R2 = ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (848; F-stat. $=26.985 ;$ Serial corr. $=.582(\mathrm{Dh})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Southern Plains | $\begin{aligned} & 7776.174 * \\ & {[1203.003]} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 4.660 \\ {[4.736]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -18.571 * * \\ {[8.784]} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .554 \% \\ & {[.136]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -942.770^{* *} \\ & {[384.387]} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} .852 \\ {[.122]} \end{array}$ |  |
| Adj. R2 = .796; F-stat. $=22.119$; Serial corr. $=2.023$ (DW) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delta States a/ | $\begin{aligned} & 3444.656 * \\ & {[204.248]} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2.717 \\ {[1.706]} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} -12.238 \\ {[2.791} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & .498 \star \star \\ & {[.225]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -667.19{ }^{*} \\ & {[189.221]} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} -1267.789 * \\ {[368.490]} \end{array}$ |  |
| Adj. R2 = . 754 ; F-stet. $=18.177$; Serial corr. $=1.908(\mathrm{DW})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Southeast | 3266.627* | .320** | $3.230^{*}$ |  | -6.63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | [907.604] | [.145] | [.861] |  | [1.85 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -254.762^{\star} \\ {[84.561]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -32.483 \star \\ & {[10.855]} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Adj. R2 = .925; F-stat. $=69.973$; Serial corr. $=-1.353$ (Dh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appalachian | $\begin{gathered} 465.796^{*} \\ {[112.536]} \end{gathered}$ | $\left[.414^{\star}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.966^{\star} \\ & {[.388]} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} -2.29 \\ {[.68} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & -2.879 * * \\ & {[1.295]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.463 * * \\ & {[.176]} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

$$
\text { Adj. R2 }=.905 ; \text { F-stat. }=54.194 ; \text { Serial corr. }=-.075(\mathrm{Dh})
$$

[^4]| Region | Short-run elasticity | Long-run elasticity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | . 341 | . 479 |
| Southwest | . 233 | . 409 |
| Southern Plains | . 078 a/ | $a / b /$ |
| Delta States | . 168 a/ | $a / b /$ |
| Southeast | . 281 | . 413 |
| Appalachian | . 295 | . 503 |
| a/ Coefficien statistically si <br> b/ Coefficien significant. | or expected net retur ficant. <br> or lagged acreage is | cotton is not tatistically |

have larger coefficients of adjustment, indicating somewhat slower acreage adjustments to changing Government program returns for cotton. Lagged acreage is not significant in the Southern Plains and Delta States FPR's. It is likely that planted cotton acreage in the Southern Plains and the Delta is relatively sensitive to market forces. However, variations in upland cotton planting in these regions appear to be affected more by net returns from competing crops and other factors than they are by net returns from cotton production. Other equations indicate high levels of significance with respect to expected net cotton returns.

The acreage retirement program (ARP) variable was entered as a zero-one dummy to determine its effect during years that an ARP was required for cotton program participation. A significant effect was exerted in five of the six equations. A strong trend effect was found in the Southwest (positive) and Southeast (negative) FPR's.

The fitted equations resulted in large outliers (overestimates) for 1975.1/ No sound economic reasons were found to explain this underplanting, however, so it was not desirable to delete the observation for that year. Autocorrelation and/or multicolinearity problems in the Southwest and Delta FPR's could not be corrected without dummying 1975 out of the equations and dropping significant variables out of the equations, so the DUM75 variable was used only in those FPR's.

## Elasticities of Expected Net Returns to Cotton

To determine the relative effects of cotton returms on cotton acreage, own-returns elasticities with respect to the expected net returns of cotton were calculated for each region. Calculated at the means, elasticities are shown in table 2. Elasticities vary widely, indicating their sensitivity to the geographic production region being studied.

[^5]Among the FPR's, own-returns elasticities (both short-run and long-run) are largest in the Appalachian (table 2). This indicates relatively stronger response to changing cotton returns than in other regions. Elasticities are relatively small in the Southern Plains and Delta States FPR's, which is expected given the insignificance of the coefficients on expected net returns to cotton in those regional equations. Other factors exert a stronger statistical effect on cotton acreage in those two regions than in other areas.

Long-run elasticities are calculated according to Nerlove (2), and depend on the rate of regional adjustment to changing economic conditions as measured by the coefficient of adjustment. Long-run elasticities are also highly variable among regions. The Appalachian FPR's long-run elasticity with respect to expected net cotton returns is greater than the elasticity in the United States equation. This is due to the Appalachian FPR's relatively large coefficient of adjustment and short-run elasticity. Long-run elasticities were not calculated for the Southern Plains and Delta States FPR's because the coefficient of adjustment was not significant in those regions' equations.

## Forecast of 1989 U.S. Cotton Acreage Plantings

A preliminary forecast of 1989 U.S. cotton plantings is made using January 1989 information. Only preliminary information on program participation is available for estimating acreage reduction under the set-aside provisions of the 1989/90 cotton program. Potential increases in land diversion under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) and other acreage reduction (0/92 and 50/92) programs are not considered for forecasting purposes. Acreage reduction is estimated based on 1988 program participation, and set-aside acreage should approximately double with the doubling of the set-aside rate ( 12.5 percent in 1988/89, increased to 25 percent in 1989/90). Average prices through January were used as an estimate of 1989/90 season-average market price.

Forecasts for 1989 upland cotton planting are made using different set-aside scenarios, and range from 10.1 to 10.2 million acres (table 3). Estimates from the model have been fairly accurate under 1981 and 1985 farm legislation, with errors of less than 3 percent in most years. The 1989 forecast should be tempered by the preliminary data available.

| Year | Estimated with the model | Actual planted acreage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ----.-.- Thousand acres -----... |  |
| 1989 | 10,063-10,222 |  |
| 1988 | 12,241 | 12,310 |
| 1987 | 10,555 | 10,269 |
| 1986 | 10,714 | 9,933 |
| 1985 | 10,279 | 10,601 |
| 1984 | 11,052 | 11,065 |
| 1983 | 10,584 | 11,275 |
| 1982 | 10,784 | 11,275 |

## Conclusions

Cotton acreage response was estimated using lagged market prices and Government program variables to derive farmers' expectations of net returns from crops. Different expectations of net returns, as they are influenced by price expectations, affect estimates of cotton acreage response. Depending on which prices are used to derive expected net returns, the supply elasticities will vary with respect to explanatory variables such as lagged cotton acreage, expected returns to cotton, and expected returns to competing crops.

Some conclusions can be drawn from estimates reported here. First, regional equations showed that different structural forces are at work in different regions. The importance of lagged acreage varies, suggesting that dynamic adjustment to economic forces differs among regions. The importance of competing crops and the acreage of planted cotton vary as well. As a result, elasticities on expected net returns vary greatly among regions, indicating that a policy for income support or supply control will have differential regional effects on farmers.

Second, cotton acreage has fluctuated in the 1980's because of market movements and Government policies that have increased net returns to cotton relative to competing crops. Acreage is up in southeastern growing regions, and evidence suggests that soybeans cannot compete with program cotton. Cotton acreage base restrictions under current legislation lock up land in the cotton program, and penalize cotton farmers for planting soybeans on their base. Declining profitability of double-cropping soybeans and wheat may be another reason for reduced southern soybean acreage and resulting increases in cotton acreage.

Third, model formulations other than those tried here could possibly yield better results. An extension of this study would be to incorporate a weighted average of lagged market, support, and futures prices for deriving expected net returns. Another possible scheme would be to weight the returns by program participation, such that program returns would be used for farmers participating in the cotton program and market returns would be used for the remainder of upland cotton acreage.

Finally, previous work concluded that models should be specified using program variables when Government policy is an important element in farm prices and income. The supply of cotton not only is affected directly by Government programs, but also appears to be affected significantly by the profitability of other crops, which are supported to varying degrees by farm policy. Government program variables are essential for analyzing and forecasting cotton supply.
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|  | Planted acres |  |  |  | Harvested acres |  |  |  | Lint yield per harvested acre |  |  |  | Production |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & 1983-87 \end{aligned}$ | 1986 | 1987 | $\begin{gathered} 1988 \\ 1 / \end{gathered}$ | Average <br> 1983-87 | 1986 | 1987 | $\begin{gathered} 1988 \\ 1 / \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & 1983-87 \end{aligned}$ | 1986 | 1987 | $\begin{gathered} 1988 \\ / / \end{gathered}$ | Average 1983-87 | 1986 | 1987 | $\begin{gathered} 1988 \\ 1 / \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  | -1,000 | acres |  |  | - |  | -Pa |  | - | --- | 1,000 | ales 2 |  |
| Alabanta | 302 | 315 | 335 | 375 | 299 | 313 | 333 | 355 | 596 | 506 | 572 | 514 | 380 | 330 | 397 | 380 |
| Arizona 3/ | 324 | 250 | 290 | 350 | 322 | 249 | 289 | 349 | 1.28i | 1,301 | 1.410 | 1,197 | 855 | 675 | 849 | 870 |
| Arkansas | 460 | 490 | 555 | 695 | 445 | 480 | 550 | 675 | 664 | 602 | 786 | 747 | 628 | 602 | 901 | 1,050 |
| California 3/ | 1,170 | 1,000 | 1,150 | 1,350 | 1,160 | 990 | 1.140 | 1,335 | 1,095 | 1,088 | 1,259 | 1,025 | 2,646 | 2,245 | 2,989 | 2,850 |
| Florida | 21 | 20 | 30 | 33 | 20 | 19 | 29 | 29 | 700 | 707 | 646 | 497 | 29 | 28 | 39 | 30 |
| Georgia | 205 | 225 | 250 | 350 | 194 | 195 | 245 | 315 | 619 | 455 | 662 | 564 | 257 | 185 | 338 | 370 |
| Kansas | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 333 | 336 | 480 | 427 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Louisiana | 579 | 580 | 605 | 735 | 571 | 570 | 600 | 645 | 665 | 567 | 782 | 707 | 796 | 673 | 977 | 950 |
| Mississippi | 964 | 1,020 | 1,020 | 1,230 | 951 | 1,000 | 1,010 | 1.190 | 714 | 574 | 829 | 738 | 1,428 | 1,190 | 1,745 | 1,830 |
| Missouri | 158 | 178 | 190 | 240 | 151 | 160 | 189 | 237 | 602 | 588 | 838 | 628 | 198 | 196 | 330 | 310 |
| New Mexico 3/ | 66 | 63 | 66 | 77 | 56 | 50 | 62 | 69 | 647 | 595 | 689 | 717 | 76 | 62 | 89 | 103 |
| North Carolina | 85 | 82 | 96 | 126 | 84 | 81 | 95 | 124 | 547 | 646 | 495 | 511 | 97 | 109 | 98 | 132 |
| Oklahorra | 387 | 400 | 420 | 460 | 357 | 350 | 400 | 400 | 390 | 288 | 415 | 348 | 234 | 210 | 346 | 290 |
| South Carolina | 107 | 118 | 120 | 145 | 105 | 113 | 119 | 142 | 142 | 370 | 428 | 500 | 119 | 87 | 106 | 148 |
| Tennessee | 336 | 340 | 440 | 540 | 329 | 335 | 435 | 535 | 540 | 567 | 700 | 529 | 382 | 396 | 634 | 590 |
| Texas 3/ | 4,780 | 4,850 | 4,700 | 5,600 | 4,150 | 3,450 | 4,400 | 5,300 | 392 | 353 | 506 | 471 | 3,428 | 2,535 | 4,635 | 5,200 |
| Virginia | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 452 | 554 | 373 | 560 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Total: Upland | 9,946 | 9,933 | 10,269 | 12,310 | 9,196 | 8,357 | 9,899 | 11,704 | 596 | 547 | 702 | 620 | 11,556 | 9,525 | 14,475 | 15,107 |
| American-Pisa | 95 | 112 | 138 | 199 | 95 | 111 | 137 | 187 | 858 | 890 | 1,000 | 869 | 174 | 206 | 285 | 367 |
| United States | 10,041 | 10,045 | 10,407 | 12,497 | 9,291 | 8,468 | 10,035 | 11,891. | 599 | 552 | 706 | 623 | 11,730 | 9,731 | 14,760 | 15,446 |

1/ Crop Production report, Jan. 11, 1989. 2/ Bales of 480 -pounds net weight. 3/ Upland only.

Table 2--U.S. cotton supply and use, 1980/81-88/89

| Area |  |  |  |  | Supply |  |  |  |  | isappearance |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop year | Planted | Harvested | Yield | Begiming stacks 1/ | Production 2/ | Imports | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mill } \\ \text { Use } \\ 3 / \end{gathered}$ | Exports | Total | Unaccounted 4/ | Ending stocks | Farm price 5/ |
|  | 1,000 | acres | Lbs./ acre |  |  |  | -1,000 | -1b. |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cents/ } \\ & \text { lb. } \end{aligned}$ |
| ALL KINDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 13,215 | 404 | 3,000 | 11.122 | 27 | 14,149 | 5,891 | 5,926 | 11,817 | 336 | 2,668 | 74.7 |
| 1981 | 14,330 | 13, 841 | 542 | 2,668 | 15,646 | 26 | 18,340 | 5,264 | 6,567 | 11,831 | 123 | 6.632 | 54.3 |
| 1982 | 11,345 | 9,734 | 590 | 6,632 | 11,963 | 20 | 18.615 | 5,512 | 5,207 | 10,719 | $\begin{array}{r}41 \\ \hline 23\end{array}$ | 7.937 | 59.4 |
| 1983 | 7.926 | 7,348 | 508 | 7,937 | 7,771 | 12 | 15,721 | 5,928 | 6,786 | 12,714 | -232 | 2.775 | 66.4 |
| 1984 1985 | 11,145 10,685 | 10,380 10,229 | 600 630 | 2,775 | 12.982 | 24 | 15.781 17.567 | 5,540 | 6.215 1.960 | 11.755 8,359 | 76 9 | 4.102 9.348 | 57.8 |
| 1986 | 10,045 | $8, .468$ | 552 | 9,348 | 9.731 | 33 3 | 19,507 | 6,399 | 1,960 | 14,136 | 140 80 | 9,348 | 52.4 |
| 198761 | 10,407 | 10,035 | 706 | 5,026 | 14,760 | 2 | 19,788 | 7,617 | 6,582 | 14,199 | 182 | 5.771 | 64.2 |
| 1988 7/ | 12,497 | 11,891 | 623 | 5,771 | 15,445 | 2 | 21,218 | 6,900 | 5,200 | 12,100 | 82 | 9,200 | 81 |
| UPLAND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14.461 | 13,143 | 402 | 2.962 | 11,018 | 26 | 14,006 | 5,828 | 5.893 | 11,721 | 329 | 2,614 | 74.4 |
| 1981 1982 | 14,272 | 13,783 | 542 | 2,614 | 15,566 | 18 | 18, 198 | 5,216 | 6,555 | 11,771 | 140 | 2,567 | 54.0 |
| 1982 1983 | 11.274 7.863 | 9,663 7,285 | 589 506 | 6,567 7,844 | 11,864 7,676 | 12 | 18,443 15.529 | 5,457 5,861 | 5.194 | 10.651 | 52 -225 | 7.844 2.893 | 59.1 |
| 1984 | 11.865 | -7,285 | 506 | 7.844 | 7,676 | 8 | 15.529 | 5.861 | 6,750 | 12,611 | -225 | 2,693 | 66.0 |
| 1985 | 10,601 | 10,145 | 628 | 4,024 | 13,277 | 33 | 17,334 | 6,438 | 1,855 | 11.616 | 148 | 4,024 | 57.5 |
| 1986 | 9,933 | 8.357 | 547 | 9,289 | 9,525 | + | 18,817 | 7,385 | 6,570 | 13,955 | 80 | 4,942 | 51.5 |
| 1987 6/1 | 10,269 | -9.899 | 702 | 4,942 | 14,475 | 2 | 19,419 | 7,565 | 6,345 | 13,910 | 209 | 5,718 | 63.7 |
| EXTRA-LONG STAPLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 72.5 | 71.7 | 698 | 38 | 104.2 | 1 | 143 | 63 | 33 | 96 | 7 | 54 |  |
| 1981 | 58.6 | 58.0 | 659 | 54 | 79.6 | 8 | 142 | 48 | 12 | 60 | -17 | 65 | 108.9 |
| 1982 | 70.9 | 70.5 | 672 | 65 | 98.7 | 8 | 172 | 56 | 13 | 69 | -10 | 93 | 101.0 |
| 1983 | 63.0 | 62.7 | 725 | 93 | 94.7 | 4 | 192 | 67 | 36 | 103 | -7 | 82 | 107.0 |
| 1984 1985 | 80.1 84.0 | 79.6 83.6 | 786 891 | 82 78 | 130.4 155.1 | 3 | 215 | 49 | 90 105 | 139 | -8 | 78 | 92.8 91.8 |
| 1986 | 111.5 | 111.1 | 8890 | 78 59 | 155.1 | 0 | 233 | 61 67 | 1105 | 166 | -8 | 89 | 91.8 |
| $19876 /$ | 137.9 | 136.6 | 1,000 | 84 | 284.6 | 0 | 369 | 52 | 237 | 289 | -27 | 53 | 103.7 |
| 198871 | 187.4 | 186.9 | 869 | 53 | 338.2 | 0 | 391 | 65 | 275 | 340 | -6 | 45 | $8{ }^{1}$ |

[^6]Table 3--Cotton supply and disappearance of all kinds, by months, United States, 1985/86-88/89 1/

$1 /$ Compiled from Bureau of the Census data and adjusted to $480-1 \mathrm{~b}$. net weight bales. 2/ August stocks adjusted to an August 1 basis, excluding preseason ginnings. 3/ Adjusted to 480-lb. bales by use of monthly conversion factors for mill stocks. 4/ Primarily cotton on farms and in transit. Estimated by subtracting public storage and mill stocks from total stocks. 5/ August data include preseason ginnnings. 6/ Adjusted to a calendar month. $7 /$ Supply less disappearance. End-of-season stocks adjusted by Bureau of the Census data. Differences primarily reflect varying bale weights. Monthly data are rounded. 8/ Preliminary and estimated.

Table 4--Index of prices of selected cotton growths and qualities, and price per pound, U.S. cotton, c.i.f. Northern Europe, 1983-88 1/
Year
beginning Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec. Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May June July Average
August 1


1/ All prices are based on Thursday quotes. 2/ The "A" index is an average of the cheapeast five types of $M 1-3 / 32$ "I staple length cotton offered on the European market. 3/ The Memphis and California/Arizona territories are based on Middling 1-3/32". 4/ The "B" index is based on coarse grades of cotton varying in staple length from 111 to $1-3 / 3^{\prime \prime}$. $5 /$ Based on SLM 11 cotton.

Source: Cotton Outlook, Liverpool Cotton Services LTD.

Table 5--C.i.f. Northern Europe price quotations for principal growth of "A" type cotton

| Month \& week | California/ Arizona | Memphis Territory | Russia | China | Africa | Central America | Australia | Turkey | araguay | Mexico | akistan | $\begin{aligned} & \text { "A"1 } \\ & \text { index 1/ } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | U.S. cents/pound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 65.00 | 61.75 | 59.25 | 62.50 | 63.50 |  | 61.25 | 75.00 |  | 60.75 |  |  |
|  | 66.75 | 63.25 | 59.50 | 63.00 | 62.50 | 59.75 | 61.00 | 75.00 | 63.75 | 61.00 | 57.50 | 59.40 |
| 18 | 63.75 | 60.25 | 57.75 | 60.25 | 59.25 | 55.50 | 58.00 | 75.00 | 60.00 | 58.00 | 55.25 | 56.90 |
| 25 | 61.25 | 57.75 | 55.75 | 58.25 | 58.00 | 53.50 | 56.50 | 70.00 | 58.50 | 56.00 | 52.75 | 54.90 |
| Sept. ${ }^{1}$ | 63.25 | 59.75 | 57.00 | 59.50 | 58.00 | 54.75 | 58.00 | 70.00 | 60.00 | 56.75 | 54.25 | 56.15 |
|  | 64.25 | 60.75 | 57.25 | 60.00 | 58.25 | 55.75 | 58.50 | 70.00 | 60.50 | 57.75 | 55.25 | 56.85 |
|  | 67.25 | 63.75 | 59.25 | 62.00 | 59.75 | 58.25 | 61.50 | 71.00 | 62.50 | 60.25 | 58.25 | 59.15 |
|  | 63.25 | 59.50 | 56.50 | 60.00 | 57.00 | 55.25 | Ne | 64.00 | NO | 57.00 | 54.50 | 56.05 |
|  | 62.50 | 58.50 | 55.00 | 60.00 | 56.50 | 55.00 | NQ | 63.00 | NQ | 57.00 | 54.25 | 55.55 |
| Oct. $\begin{array}{r}6 \\ 13 \\ 20\end{array}$ | 64.25 | 60.25 | 57.00 | 62.50 | 57.50 | 56.25 | NQ | 62.00 | Na | 57.75 | 56.25 | 56.95 |
|  | 66.00 | 62.00 | 57.50 | 63.50 | 57.50 | 57.00 | NO | 58.25 | NQ | 58.50 | 58.00 | 57.65 |
|  | 66.50 | 62.50 | 58.25 | 64.00 | 57.00 | 57.50 | NO | 59.00 | Na | 58.50 | 58.25 | 57.90 |
|  | 67.00 | 63.75 | 57.50 | 64.25 | 58.00 | 58.00 | Na | 59.50 | NQ | 59.50 | 57.25 | 58.05 |
| Nov. $\begin{array}{r}3 \\ 10 \\ 17 \\ 24\end{array}$ | 67.50 | 64.50 | 58.50 | 64.75 | 58.50 | 58.75 | NQ | 58.50 | NQ | 60.25 | 57.75 | 58.40 |
|  | 66.50 | 64.25 | 58.25 | 64.50 | 58.25 | 59.00 | NQ | 59.50 | Na | 60.25 | 57.00 | 58.40 |
|  | 66.00 | 64.00 | 59.00 | 64.25 | 60.50 | 69.00 | NQ | 61.25 | NQ | 60.50 | 56.75 | 59.35 |
|  | 64.50 | 63.00 | 57.50 | 63.15 | 59.00 | 59.25 | N@ | 61.25 | NO | 59.75 | 56.00 | 58. |
| Dec. $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 8 \\ 15 \\ 22\end{array}$ | 66.50 | 64.75 | 60.00 | 65.25 | 59.00 | 60.75 | NQ | 62.00 | Na | 60.75 | 58.50 | 59.80 |
|  | 67.50 | 66.00 | 60.75 | 66.00 | 60.00 | 62.00 | NQ | 64.00 | Na | 62.50 | 60.75 | 61.20 |
|  | 67.50 | 66.00 | 61.00 | 65.50 | 60.50 | 62.50 | NQ | 64.50 | NQ | 62.75 | 61.75 | 61.70 |
|  | 67.75 | 66.50 | 62.00 | 66.75 | 61.00 | 63.00 | NQ | 64.00 | No | 63.25 | 62.50 | 62.35 |
| Jan. $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 12 \\ 19 \\ 26\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 70.00 | 68.00 | 63.00 | 69.75 | 62.25 | 65.25 | 68.75 | 68.50 | 64.75 | 65.00 | 63.50 | 63.70 |
|  | 70.75 | 68.75 | 63.50 | 70.00 | 63.25 | 65.25 | 69.25 | 69.00 | 65.25 | 65.00 | 63.75 | 64.15 |
|  | 69.50 | 67.50 | 63.00 | 69.50 | 63.00 | 64.00 | 68.00 | 69.00 | 63.75 | 63.75 | 63.00 | 63.30 |
| Feb. $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 9 \\ 16\end{array}$ | 71.00 | 69.25 | 64.50 | 70.00 | 63.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 70.25 | 68.50 | 63.00 6200 | 70.00 69 | 62.75 62.00 | 64.50 63 | 69.50 | 68.50 | 62.25 | 64.50 | 62.25 | 62.95 |
|  | 69.00 | 67.25 | 62.00 | 69.50 | 62.00 | 63.50 | 68.50 | 68.50 | 61.00 | 63.5 | 61.25 | 61.95 |

1/ The "A" index is an average of the cheapest five types of $M 1-3 / 32$ " staple length cotton offered on the European market. $N Q=$ no quotes.

Source: Cotton Outlook, Liverpool Cotton Services LTD.

Table 6-C.i.f. Northern Europe price quotations for principal growth of coarse-count cotton

| Month \& week | Orleans/ Texas | Pakistan | China | Russia | Turkey | Southern Brazil | Argentina | $\begin{gathered} \text { "B" } \\ \text { index 1/ } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. cents/pound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. 11 | 61.75 57.00 | 51.50 52.25 | NQ | 56.25 | 62.50 62.00 | NQ | 56.50 | 54.60 |
|  | 54.00 | 50.00 | NQ | 54.75 | 61.00 | NQ | 52.00 | 52.00 |
|  | 51.25 | 47.50 | NQ | 52.75 | 60.00 | NQ | 50.00 | 49.60 |
| Sept. 1 | 53.00 | 49.00 | NQ | 54.00 | 60.00 | NQ | 51.00 | 51.00 |
|  | 53.50 | 50.00 | NQ | 54.25 | 60.00 | NO | 51.00 | 51.50 |
|  | 56.50 | 53.00 | NQ | 56.25 | 61.00 | NQ | 53.00 | 54.10 |
|  | 52.00 | 48.00 | NQ | 53.50 | 57.50 | NQ | NQ | 51.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. $\begin{array}{r}6 \\ 13 \\ 20 \\ 27\end{array}$ | 53.25 | 50.75 | NQ | 53.75 | 56.50 | NQ | NQ | 52.60 |
|  | 54.25 | 52.50 | NQ | 54.25 | 52.50 | NO | NQ | 53.10 |
|  | 54.75 | 53.00 | NQ | 55.00 | 54.00 | NQ | Na | 53.90 |
|  |  |  | NQ |  | 53.50 | NQ | NQ | 53.35 |
| Nov. $\begin{array}{r}3 \\ 10 \\ 17 \\ 24\end{array}$ | 56.00 | 52.50 | NQ | 55.50 | 52.00 | NQ | NQ | 53.35 |
|  | 55.50 | 51.75 | NQ | 55.25 | 52.75 | NQ | NQ | 53.25 |
|  | 55.75 55.00 | 51.50 50.75 | NQ NQ | 56.00 54.50 | 533.50 | NQ | NQ | 53.60 |
| Dec. $\begin{array}{r}18 \\ 85 \\ 15 \\ 22\end{array}$ | 56.75 | 53.25 55.50 | Na Na | 57.00 | 54.00 55.50 | NQ | ${ }_{\text {NQ }} \mathrm{NQ}$ | 54.65 56.15 |
|  | 58.25 | 56.50 | NQ | 58.00 | 55.50 | Na | NQ | 56.65 |
|  | 59.00 | 57.25 | Na | 59.00 | 55.50 | NQ | NQ | 57.25 |
| Jan. $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 12 \\ 19 \\ 26\end{array}$ |  |  | NQ |  | 55.50 | NQ | 57.50 | 56.10 |
|  | 60.50 | 58.25 | Na | 59.75 | 59.75 | NQ | 60.50 | 59.25 |
|  | 61.00 | 58.75 | Na | 60.25 | 59.75 | NQ | 60.75 | 59.60 |
|  | 60.00 | 58.00 | NQ | 60.00 | 59.50 | NQ | 59.00 | 58.85 |
| Feb. $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 9 \\ 16\end{array}$ | 62.00 | 58.50 | NQ | 61.50 | 59.00 | NQ | 59.25 | 58.90 |
|  | 61.00 60.25 | 56.00 | NQ NQ | 60.00 | 58.50 57.50 | NQ | 57.50 | 57.65 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1/ The "B" index is based on coarse grades of cotton varying in staple length from 1" to 1-3/32". It is an average of the cheapest three types of seven styles, so marked. NQ=no quotes.
Source: Cotton Outlook, Liverpool Cotton Services LTD.

Table 7--Cotton: Strict low middling spot prices in designated U.S. markets ioan rates, and prices received by farmers for upland cotton, 1983/84-1988/89

| Year beginning August 1 | Average spot market prices per pound (net weight) 1/ |  |  |  |  |  | Prices received by farmers (net weight) 2/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 15 / 16 \\ & \text { inch } \end{aligned}$ | inch | $\begin{gathered} 1-1 / 32 \\ \text { inch } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1-1 / 16 \\ \text { inch } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1-3 / 32 \\ \text { inch } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1-1 / 8 \\ & \text { inch } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Cents/pound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1983/84 | 62.54 | 66.32 | 70.71 | 73.11 | 73.55 | 75.37 | 3/ 65.3 |
| 1984/85 | 52.39 | 55.98 | 58.30 | 60.51 | 60.29 | 60.49 | 3/ 58.7 |
| 1985/86 | 52.16 44.80 | 55.81 47.77 | 57.87 50.78 | 60.01 53.16 | 59.62 53.81 | 59.77 55.89 | 3/56.8 |
| 1987/88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August | 67.07 | 70.30 | 73.37 | 75.89 | 76.42 | 77.95 | 65.3 |
| September | 63.14 55 | 66.48 | 68.82 | 71.41 | 71.99 | 72.72 65 | 64.9 64.1 |
| October | 55.95 56.30 | 59.31 59.40 | 61.65 62.16 | 64.30 64.66 | 64.84 65.17 | 65.36 65.90 | 64.1 64.4 |
| December | 55.87 | 58.68 | 60.05 | 62.26 | 62.76 | 63.39 | 64.2 |
| January | 54.63 | 55.79 | 57.44 | 59.69 | 60.14 | 60.96 | 60.6 |
| February | 53.97 | 54.80 56.62 | 55.65 57.46 | 57.83 59.64 | 58.28 60.12 | 59.06 61.40 | 56.8 57.7 |
| April | 56.00 | 57.27 | 57.88 | 60.07 | 60.55 | 61.19 | 59.4 |
| May | 57.15 58 | 58.28 | 59.36 60.67 | 61.55 62.86 | 62.03 63.34 | 63.06 64.61 | 58.9 61.2 |
| July | 54.45 | 55.58 | 55.19 | 57.40 | 57.88 | 57.80 | 58.6 |
| Season | 57.38 | 59.33 | 60.81 | 63.13 | 63.63 | 64.45 | 63.7 |
| Loan rate 4/ | 44.55 | 48.00 | 49.95 | 52.25 | 52.75 | 52.85 |  |
| 1988/89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August | 49.97 | 51.58 | 52.61 | 55.20 | 55.69 | 56.43 | 52.6 |
| September October | 41.53 41.60 | 45.30 45.83 | 47.40 | 51.25 52.20 | 51.80 52.66 | 52.96 54.38 | 51.8 54.2 |
| November | 43.05 | 47.41 | 49.46 | 53.40 | 53.80 | 54.86 | 56.5 |
| December | 44.89 | 48.75 | 50.84 | 54.80 | 55.20 | 56.18 | 55.3 |
| January | 47.41 | 50.17 | 51.88 | 55.67 | 56.07 | 57.25 | 53.9 |
| Loan rate 4/ | 45.30 | 48.15 | 49.65 | 51.80 | 52.30 | 52.45 |  |

1/ Spot market loan rates and prices are for cotton with micronaire readings of 3.5 through 4.9.
2/ Prices do not include an allowance for loans outstanding and Government purchases. 3/ Weighted market average. U.S. prices based on U.S. monthly prices weighted by monthly marketings during the period August through the following July. 4/ SLM 1-1/16" average location.
Source: Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, Agricultural Marketing Service, and National Agricultural Statistics Service.

Table 8--Fiber prices: Landed Group B mill points, cotton prices, and manmade staple fiber prices, f.o.b. producing plants, actual and estimated raw fiber equivalent, 1982 to 1988

|  | Cotton 1/ |  | Rayon 21 |  | Polyester 3/ |  | Price ratios 4/ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calendar year | Actual | Raw fiber equivalent 5/ | Actual | Raw fiber equivalent 5/ | Actual | Raw fiber equivalent 5/ | Cotton/ rayon | Cotton/ polyester |


|  |  |  | Cents/pound |  |  |  | Percent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | 76 |  |  |  |  |  | . 95 |  |
| 1985 1986 | 66 61 | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & 68 \end{aligned}$ | $79$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \\ & 79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \\ & 62 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | . 89 | 1.05 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 65 | 73 | 80 | 83 | 62 | 65 | . 88 | 1.12 |
| March | 62 63 | 76 | 80 80 | 83 83 | 62 62 | 65 65 | . 83 | 1.08 |
| April | 66 | 73 | 80 | 83 | 62 | 65 | . 88 | 1.12 |
| May | 75 | 83 | 80 | 83 | 62 | 65 | 1.00 | 1.28 |
| June | 81 | 90 | 80 | 83 | 64 | 67 | 9.08 | 1.34 |
| July | 81 | 90 | 80 | 83 | 69 | 72 | 9.08 | 1.25 |
| August | 84 | 93 | 80 | 83 | 69 | 72 | 1.12 | 1.29 |
| September | 80 | 89 | 83 | 86 | 69 | 72 | 1.03 | 1.24 |
| October | 73 72 | 81 80 | 83 83 | 86 | 69 | 73 | . 93 | 1.11 |
| December | 71 | 79 | 83 | 83 | 69 | 72 | . 93 | 1.10 |
| Average | 73 | 81 | 81 | 84 | 66 | 69 | . 96 | 1.17 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January |  |  |  |  |  | 72 | . 90 | 1.07 |
| February | 66 | 73 | 83 87 | 86 | 69 | 72 | . 81 | 1.01 |
| March | 68 | 76 | 87 | 91 | 72 | 75 | . 84 | 1.01 |
| May | 69 | 77 | 89 | 93 | 74 | 77 | . 85 | 1.00 |
| June | 71 | 79 | 89 | 93 | 74 | 77 | . 85 | 1.03 |
| July | 66 | 73 | 91 | 95 | 76 | 79 | . 77 | . 92 |
| August | 60 | 67 | 91 | 95 | 76 | 79 | . 71 | . 85 |
| September | 68 | 64 67 | 96 | 100 | 76 | 79 | .67 | . 81 |
| November | 61 | 68 | 96 | 100 | 76 | 79 | . 68 | . 86 |
| December | 63 | 70 | 105 | 109 | 76 | 79 | . 64 | . 89 |
| Average | 65 | 72 | 83 | 87 | 74 | 77 | . 77 | . 94 |
| $1989$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/ SLM-1-1/16" at Group B mill points, net weight. 2/ 1.5 and 3.0 denier, regular rayon staple. 3/ Reported average market price for $1: 5$-denier polyester staple for cotton blending. 4/ Raw fiber equivalent. 5/ Actual prices converted to estimated raw fiber equivalent as follows: cotton, divided 0.90 , rayon and polyester, divided by 0.96 . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: US | Agri | Ma | Serv | tra |  |  |  |  |

Table 9--Upland cotton and manmade staple fibers: Mill consumption on cotton-system spinning spindles

| Manmade |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year beginning August 1 | Cotton | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rayon } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { acetate } \end{aligned}$ | cel(lutosic | Total | Total <br> fibers | Cotton's share of fibers |
| 1,000 pounds Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1983/84 $1984 / 85$ | 2,791,905 | 259,441 | 1,594,668 | 1,854, 109 | $4,646,014$ $4,186,477$ | 60.1 62.6 |
| 1985/86 | 3,086,842 | 253,459 | 1,465,'228 | 1,718,687 | 4,805,'529 | 64.2 |
| 1986/87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August | 276,770 | 21,453 20,479 | 116,348 | 137,801 137,457 | 404,183 | 65.9 65.5 |
| October | 340,'287 | 27,216 | 148,697 | 175,913 | 516,200 | 65.9 |
| November | 263,464 | 22,422 | 116, 704 | 139.126 | 402,590 | 65.4 |
| December | 287,383 | 21,089 | 124,745 | 145,834 | 433,'217 | 66.3 |
| January | 272,040 | 20,829 | 111,041 | 131,870 | 403,910 | 67.4 |
| February | 278,811 | 19,017 | 115,407 | 134.424 | 413,235 | 67.5 |
| April | 284,897 | 19,225 | 116,906 | 136.131 | 421,028 | 67.6 |
| May | 291,180 | 18,961 | 116, 363 | 135, 324 | 426,504 | 68.3 |
| June | 354,011 | 23,796 | 142,649 | 166,445 | 520,456 | 68.0 |
| July | 269,166 | 17,348 | 108,007 | 125,355 | 394,521 | 68.2 |
| Season | 3,544,852 | 256,711 | 1,481,822 | 1,738,593 | 5,283,445 | 67.1 |
| 1987/88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August | 302,388 | 20,768 | 118,130 | 138,898 | 441,286 | 68.5 |
| September | 375,691 | 25,497 | 145,385 | 170,882 | 546,573 | 68.7 |
| Novermber | 302,378 | 21,311 | 120,124 | 14,435 | 443,813 | 68.9 |
| December | 304,295 | 24,375 | 121,521 | 145,'896 | 450,191 | 67.6 |
| January | 283,354 | 19,748 | 119,056 | 138,804 | 422,158 | 67.1 |
| February | 293,937 | 21,066 | 116,977 | 138,043 | 431,980 | 68.0 |
| March | 276,'738 | 26,421 | 113, 340 | 135,571 | 412,309 | 67.8 |
| May | 273,904 | 20,457 | 113,977 | 134,434 | 408,338 | 67.1 |
| June | 328,733 | 27,654 | 141,683 | 169,337 | 498,070 | 66.0 |
| July | 214,264 | 18,066 | 99,219 | 117,285 | 331,549 | 68.3 |
| Season | 3,631,397 | 268,813 | 1,481,923 | 1,750,736 | 5,382,133 | 67.7 |
| 1988/89 1/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August | 278,411 | 22,571 | 117,117 | 139,688 | 418,099 | 66.6 |
| September | 334,445 | 28,218 | 141,771 | 169,989 | 504,434 | 66.3 66.4 |
| October November | 261, 315 | 22,207 | 106,980 | 129,137 | 380,952 | 66.4 66.1 |
| December | 273,513 | 24,663 | 115,420 | 140,083 | 413,596 | 66.1 |
| January | 272,268 | 23,203 | 109,131 | 132,334 | 404,602 | 67.3 |

1/ Preliminary.
Source: Bureau of the Census.

Table 10.--Cotton and mannade fibers: Daily rate of mill consumption on cotton-system spinning spindles, unadjusted and seasonally adjusted


| Year | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UPLAND COTT | TON |  |  |  |  | 480-1 | b. bales |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984/85 | 22.204 | 21,125 | 22,168 | 20,205 | 17.571 | 20,732 | 21,731 | 21,599 | 21,785 | 22,792 | 21,818 | 19,187 |
| 1985/86 | 23,765 | 23,334 | 25,556 | 24,752 | 20,186 | 24,724 | 25,851 | 25,570 | 25,775 | 25,689 | 25,371 | 21,644 |
| 1986/87 | 27,748 | 27,200 | 28,357 | 27,444 | 23,949 | 28,338 | 29,043 | 30,381 | 29,676 | 30,331 | 29,501 | 28,038 |
| 1987/88 | 31.498 | 31,307 | 32,246 | 31,735 | 25,358 | 29,516 | 30,618 | 30,515 | 28,826 | 28,532 | 27,394 | 22,462 |
| 1988/89 | 29,001 | 27,870 | 27,743 | 26,232 | 22,793 | 28,361 | 1/' |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984/85 | 21.536 | 20,899 | 20,718 | 19,848 | 20,338 | 20,608 | 20,755 | 20,768 | 21,274 | 21,811 | 22,038 | 22.389 |
| 1985/86 | 22.873 | 23,102 | 23,684 | 24.458 | 23,554 | 24,650 | 24,714 | 24,681 | 25,196 | 24,513 | 25,627 | 25,197 |
| 1986/87 | 26,604 | 26,931 | 26,232 | 26,905 | 28,208 | 28,197 | 27,819 | 29.439 | 29,010 | 29,053 | 29,773 | 32,717 |
| 1987/88 | 29,998 | 30,844 | 30,109 | 31.235 | 29,486 | 29,281 | 29,441 | 29,426 | 28,206 | 27,461 | 27,811 | 26,210 |
| 1988/89 | 27,620 | 27,297 | 25,953 | 25,819 | 26,815 | 28,248 | 1/ |  |  |  |  |  |

MANMADE STAPLE
1,000 pounds
Rayon and acetate

| Unadjusted 1984/85 | 974 | 980 | 1.021 | 872 | 741 | 844 | 881 | 899 | 812 | 932 | 894 | 830 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985/86 | 957 | 931 | 1,078 | 1,028 | 819 | 974 | 978 | 900 | 948 | 1,003 | 974 | 931 |
| 1986/87 | 1,073 | 1,024 | 1,089 | 1.121 | 844 | 1,041 | 951 | 997 | 961 | . 948 | 952 | 867 |
| 1987/88 | 1,038 | 1,020 | 1.061 | 1,066 | 975 | . 987 | 1,053 | 1,057 | 1,092 | 1,023 | 1,106 | 903 |
| 1988/89 | 1.129 | 1,129 | 1.153 | 1.110 | 987 | 1.160 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984/85 | 963 | 977 | 961 | 822 | 864 | 845 | 851 | 852 | 806 | 881 | 861 | 1.016 |
| 1985/86 | 946 | 927 | 1,017 | 971 | 957 | 976 | 945 | 853 | 940 | 948 | 936 | 1,141 |
| 1986/87 | 1,051 | 1,019 | 1,008 | 1.074 | 987 | 1,046 | 914 | 963 | 955 | 902 | 923 | 1.035 |
| 1987/88 | 1,010 | 1,015 | . 984 | 1,003 | 1,144 | . 977 | 1,033 | 1,026 | 1,090 | 998 | 1,110 | 1,011 |
| 1988/89 | 1,098 | 1,109 | 1,061 | 1,044 | 1,165 | 1,152 |  |  |  |  | ,110 | , |

Noncellulosic 2/

| Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1984 / 85$ | 5,678 | 5,438 | 5,605 | 4,939 | 4,267 | 5,050 | 5,392 | 5,159 | 5,237 | 5,275 | 5,233 |
| $1985 / 86$ | 5,369 | 5,498 | 5,915 | 5,868 | 4,805 | 5,565 | 5,951 | 5,719 | 5,679 | 5,721 | 5,582 |
| $1986 / 87$ | 5,817 | 5,849 | 5,948 | 5,835 | 4,990 | 5,552 | 5,770 | 5,919 | 5,845 | 5,818 | 5,706 |
| $1987 / 88$ | 5,907 | 5,815 | 6,254 | 6,006 | 4,861 | 5,953 | 5,849 | 5,897 | 5,789 | 5,699 | 5,667 |
| $1988 / 89$ | 5,856 | 5,671 | 5,599 | 5,437 | 4,617 | 5,457 | 4,961 |  |  |  |  |
| Adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1984 / 85$ | 5,518 | 5,389 | 5,288 | 4,984 | 4,979 | 4,985 | 5,049 | 4,946 | 5,124 | 5,126 | 5,161 |
| $1985 / 86$ | 5,208 | 5,444 | 5,580 | 5,933 | 5,613 | 5,494 | 5,567 | 5,483 | 5,557 | 5,554 | 5,500 |
| $1986 / 87$ | 5,664 | 5,763 | 5,569 | 5,847 | 5,809 | 5,508 | 5,418 | 5,724 | 5,742 | 5,654 | 5,655 |
| $1987 / 88$ | 5,757 | 5,690 | 5,878 | 5,935 | 5,626 | 5,983 | 5,508 | 5,725 | 5,457 | 5,555 | 5,644 |
| $1988 / 89$ | 5,708 | 5,554 | 5,218 | 5,284 | 5,375 | 5,485 | 1, |  |  |  |  |

1/ Preliminary. 2/ Includes nylon, acrylic and modacrylic, polyester, and other manmade staple fibers. Source: Bureau of the Census.


[^7]Table 12--Mill consumption of cotton, wool, and manmade fibers, quarterly, 1984-88


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { year } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { fiber } \end{aligned}$ | U.sili use | Percent of fibers | Textile trade 1/ |  | Total domestic consumption | Percent of fibers | Per capita 3/ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Exports | Imports |  |  | Mill use | Domestic consumption |
|  | Million pounds | Percent | ------- | lion pound | ds--------- | Percent | --- | ounds---..- |
| COTTON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 2,716.1 | 25.1 | 206.1 | 1,465.5 | 3,975.5 | 30.2 | 11.5 | 16.8 |
| 1985 | 2,813.4 | 25.2 | 213.2 | 1,629.2 | 4,229.4 | 30.5 | 11.8 | 17.7 |
| 1986 | 3,256.3 | 27.0 | 274.8 | 1,910.5 | 4,892.0 | 31.0 | 13.5 | 20.2 |
| 1987 | 3,783.7 | 29.2 | 298.0 | 2,335.7 | 5,821.4 | 33.9 | 15.5 | 23.9 |
| 1988 5/ | 3,481.9 | 27.1 | 325.3 | 2,121.7 | 5,278.3 | 31.9 | 14.1 | 21.4 |
| H00L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 142.1 | 1.3 | 12.0 | 210.2 | 340.2 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 1.4 |
| 1985 | 116.6 | 1.0 | 17.8 | 264.8 | 363.6 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 1.5 |
| 1986 | 136.7 | 1.2 | 16.0 | 275.6 | 396.3 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 1.6 |
| 1987 | 142.8 | 1.1 | 23.5 | 276.1 | 395.4 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 1.6 |
| 198851 | 144.2 | 1.1 | 30.7 | 248.7 | 362.2 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 1.5 |
| Manmade fibers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 7,966.1 | 73.5 | 487.9 | 1,342.6 | 8,820.8 | 67.1 | 33.6 | 37.2 |
| 1985 | 8,225.5 | 73.8 | 449.2 | 1,491.0 | 9,267.3 | 66.8 | 34.3 | 38.7 |
| 1986 | 8,652.0 | 71.8 | 519.3 | 1,703.0 | 9,835.7 | 62.4 | 35.8 | 40.7 |
| 1987 | 9,047.9 | 69.7 | 591.9 | 1,805.4 | 10,261.4 | 59.7 | 37.1 | 42.1 |
| 1988 5/ | 9,214.9 | 71.7 | 681.6 | 1,758.9 | 10,292.2 | 62.2 | 37.4 | 41.8 |
| FLAX AND SILK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 7.9 | 0.1 | --- | --- | 7.9 | 0.1 | $4 /$ | 4/ |
| 1985 | 5.1 | 4/ | --- | --- | 5.1 | 4/ | 4/ | 4/ |
| 1986 | 4.8 | 4/ | --- | 632.2 | 637.0 | 4.1 | 4/ | 2.6 |
| 1987 | 4.7 | 4/ | --- | 702.7 | 707.4 | 4.1 | 4/ | 2.9 |
| 1988 5/ | $5.25 /$ | 4/ | --- | 607.5 | 612.7 | 3.7 | 4/ | 2.5 |
| ALL fibers 6/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 10,832.2 | 100.0 | 706.0 | 3,018.3 | 13,144.4 | 100.0 | 45.7 | 55.4 |
| 1985 | 11,160.6 | 100.0 | 680.2 | 3,385.0 | 13,865.4 | 100.0 | 46.6 | 57.9 |
| 1986 | 12,049.8 | 100.0 | 810.1 | 4,521.3 | 15,761.0 | 100.0 | 49.9 | 65.3 |
| 1987 | 12,979.1 | 100.0 | 913.4 | 5,119.9 | 17,185.6 | 100.0 | 53.2 | 70.5 |
| 1988 | 12,846.2 | 100.0 | 1,037.6 | 4,736.8 | 16,545.4 | 100.0 | 52.2 | 67.2 |

1/ Raw fiber equivalent of imports and exports of textile products $2 /$ Total domestic consumption is U. S mill consumption plus net textile product trade balance. 3 / july 1 population for $1984=237.0$ miliion, $1985=239.3 \mathrm{million}, 1986=241.6 \mathrm{million}, 1987=243.9 \mathrm{million}$, and $1988=246.1$. 4/ Less than 0.05 pounds ór 0.1 percent. 5/Éstimated. 6/ Includes flax and silk.

Source: Bureau of the Census.


1/ Capacity data as of Novenber 1988. 2/ Includes saran and spandex. USDA estimates. 3/ Glass fibers are not included.
Source: Compiled from Textile Organon.

Iable 15--Domestic shipnents of marmade fibers by mojor category, 1985-88 1/


Table 16--Rak cotton equivalent of U.S. textile imports, 1983-88

 I/ Includes tapestry and uphotstery fabrics, tire cord fabrics, and clothes in chief value cotton containing other fibers. $2 /$ Includes velvets and
velveteens, corduroys, plushes and chenilles, and manufactures of pile fabrics. 3 / Includes blankets, ouilts, bedspreads, sheets, and pillow cases. $4 /$ Inctudes knit and woven underwear and outerwear (collars and cuffs; shirts, coats, vests, robes, pajamas, and ornamented wearing apparel). 5 , Includes

 and braces, corsets, and brassieres, etc. $7 /$ Includes belts and belting; fish nets and netting; and coated, filled, or waterproof fabrics.
8/ Included in miscel laneous product before 1985. 9/, includes quantities in the TSusk 706 luggage categories. Ihe rav fiber equivalent quantity for Jenuary-December 1983, was 14, 091 thousand pounds; January-December 1984, 18,749 thousand pounds; dannary-December 1985, 25,032 thousand pounds; and January-December 1986, 30,236 thousand pounds.
Source: Bureau of the Census.

| Year and month | Semimanufactured |  |  |  |  |  | Manufactured products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yarn | Sewing thread crochet darning, and embroidery cotton yarn | Twine and cordage | Broadhoven fabric standard constructions 1/ | Other broadwoven fabric 2/ | Total | Knit <br> fabric | Blankets, spreads, pillow cases, and sheets | Towele | Household 3/ | Wearing <br> Knit 4/ | apparel <br> Other than knit 5/ | Other household and clothing articles 6/ | ```Indust- rial products 7/``` | Floor covering | Total | Grand Total exports |
| 1,000 pounds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1985 \\ & 1986 \\ & 1987 \end{aligned}$ |  | 8,466 6,049 5,207 | $\begin{aligned} & 528 \\ & 628 \\ & 927 \end{aligned}$ | 74,919 148,154 99,536 | 5,134 6,202 5,643 | $\begin{aligned} & 105,892 \\ & 140,925 \\ & 124,803 \end{aligned}$ | 2,235 2,091 2,144 | 9.802 8,192 8,516 | 3,582 4,515 6,224 | $\begin{aligned} & 492 \\ & 612 \\ & 905 \end{aligned}$ | 25,326 27,413 47,823 | 30,158 46,437 60,584 | 11,037 13,860 13,189 | 16,541 20,992 21,673 | $\begin{array}{r} 8,155 \\ 9,793 \\ 12,142 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 107,332 \\ & 133 ; 904 \\ & 173,200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 213,224 \\ & 274,828 \\ & 298,004 \end{aligned}$ |
| 198887 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | +966 | 142 | 36 143 | 7.318 | 424 | 8,886 | 168 | 536 | 452 | 53 | 3,804 4,930 | 4.857 | 1.273 | 1.665 | + 952 | 13,760 15,209 | 22,646 |
| Feb. | 1. 2.494 | 1754 | 113 159 | 6,353 8,174 | 505 457 | 8,679 14,458 | 124 321 | 1.774 | 355 722 | 51 80 | 4,930 | 4,564 | 1,069 | 1,915 | 1.427 | 15,209 | 23,888 |
| Mar. | 1.751 | 210 | 105 | 7.349 | 391 | 1. 9.807 | 978 | 1.026 | 464 | 178 | 4.789 | 5.882 | 1,854 | 2,321 | 1.430 | 17,666 | 27,471 |
| Apr. | 1.295 | 268 | 90 | 7,073 | 524 | 9,807 | 457 | 1,042 | 765 | 246 | 4,605 | 5,837 | . 999 | 2,392 | 1.413 | 17.756 | 27,006 |
| June | 1,705 | 200 | 168 | 7,220 | 510 | 9.804 | 354 | 1887 | 605 | 97 | 4,022 | 5,193 | 1,554 | 2,529 | 1,848 | 17.089 | 26,892 |
| July | 1,681 | 416 | 64 | 5,527 | 378 | 8,065 | 234 | 816 | 410 | 59 | 4,312 | 5,025 | 1,052 | 1,986 | 1,733 | 15,619 | 23,685 |
| Aug. | 2.051 | 380 | 127 | 6,358 | 346 | 9,262 | 299 | 1,056 | 436 | 101 | 4.567 | 5,524 | . 986 | 2,178 | 1,805 | 16,954 | 26,214 |
| Sept. | 2.844 | 513 | 75 | 8,073 | 509 | 12,013 | 435 | 982 | 1,032 | 63 | 4,442 | 6,363 | 1.855 | 2,514 | 2,243 | 19,929 | 31,943 |
| oct. | 2.176 | 342 | 53 | 6.834 | 447 | 9.852 | 208 | 930 | 833 | 122 | 4.820 | 5,897 | 1,350 | 2.423 | 2,276 | 18,859 | 28,711 |
| Nov. | 2.068 | 305 | 31 | 7,882 | 637 | 10.924 | 408 | 783 | 879 | 78 | 4,992 | 5,479 | 1,117 | 2,589 | 1,777 | 18, 104 | 29,025 |
| Dec. | 2,090 | 282 | 78 | 9,103 | 619 | 12,171 | 512 | 1,155 | 1,139 | 75 | 4,445 | 6,786 | 1,287 | 2,465 | 2,064 | 19,930 | 32,100 |
| Total | 22,678 | 3,391 | 1,091 | 87,264 | 5,747 | 120,170 | 3,698 | 10,559 | 8,092 | 1,495 | 55,054 | 68,100 | 15,626 | 27,383 | 20,388 | 210,101 | 330,266 |

 2/ includes tapestry and upholstery fabrics, table damask, pile fabrics, and remnants. $3 /$ includes curtains and draperies, house furnishings not elsewhere specified. 4/ Includes gloves and mitts of woven fabric. 5 , includes underwear and outerwear of woven fabric, handkerchiefs, and wearing

 industrial belt and belting. 8/ Some categorjes revised.
Source: Bureav of the Census.

Table 18--Raw manmade fiber equivalent of U.S. textile imports, 1983-88


## 1,000 pounds



Source: Bureau of the Census.

 hat braids). 4/ Not elsewhere classified. 5/ Some categories revised.

Source: Bureau of the Census.

Table 20--Raw wool equivalent of U.S. textile imports, 1983-88 1/

| Year and month | Noils | Wastes 2/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tops } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { advanced } \\ & \text { wool } \end{aligned}$ | Yarns | Broadwoven fabric 3/ | Wool blankets 4/ | Wearing Knit | apparel <br> Other than knit 5/ | Other manufactures | Carpets and rugs | Knit fabric | Narrow fabrics | Grand total imports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,000 | ounds |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 10,065 | 4,509 | 2,012 | 12,072 | 35,828 | 1,314 | 65,586 | 71.527 | 1,288 | 59,228 | 415 | 978 | 264,822 |
| 1986 | 9,898 | 5,205 | 1,838 | 11,814 | 25,058 | 2,373 | 80,193 | 75,375 | 1,829 | 60,572 | 606 | 862 | 275,623 |
| 1987 | 11,370 | 6,417 | . 961 | 13,607 | 29,002 | 1,250 | 81,621 | 77,267 | 1,476 | 51,858 | 732 | 531 | 276,092 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 1,047 | 422 | 70 | 846 | 2,019 | 64 | 2,557 | 4,936 | 135 | 3,911 | 35 | 27 | 16,006 |
| Feb. | 478 643 | 309 519 | 60 24 | 1842 | 2,123 | 63 60 | 1,880 | 4,754 | 106 | 3,480 | 68 34 | 38 54 | 14,201 |
| Mar. | 343 | 760 | 43 | 1,017 | 3,296 | 49 | 2,565 | 3,088 | 143 | 3,580 | 58 | 54 46 | 15,891 |
| May | 241 | 414 | 58 | 1,239 | 3,052 | 21 | 5,303 | 5,847 | 138 | 3,406 | 41 | 48 | 19,808 |
| June | 487 | 600 | 144 | 1,118 | 3,180 | 51 | 8,782 | 7,960 | 133 | 3,696 | 69 | 47 | 26,267 |
| July | 323 | 338 | 39 | 1,116 | 2,849 | 34 | 11,099 | 8,705 | 120 | 2,920 | 32 | 49 | 27,669 |
| Aug. | 226 | 547 | 67 | 1,100 | 2,258 | 120 | 12,805 | 9,921 | 115 | 3,125 | 8 | 35 | 30,327 |
| Sept. | 365 | 426 | 81 | 682 | 1,570 | 44 | 9,542 | 7,838 | 85 | 2,625 | 10 | 40 | 23,308 |
| Oct. | 402 | 500 | 73 | 1,273 | 1.895 | 68 | 8,123 | 6,404 | 121 | 3,336 | 18 | 38 | 22,251 |
| Nov. | 374 | 392 | 72 | 923 | 1,750 | 56 36 | 4,693 | 4,661 | 126 | 3,309 | 8 | 42 | 16,406 |
| Dec. | 829 | 816 | 31 | 984 | 1,934 | 36 | 2,194 | 4,037 | 190 | 3,266 | 17 | 52 | 14,386 |
| Total | 5,721 | 6,043 | 699 | 12,368 | 29,433 | 666 | 71,265 | 73,080 | 1,620 | 40,575 | 398 | 516 | 242,384 |

1/ Includes manufactures of mohair, alpaca, and other wool-like specialty hair. $2 /$ Not including rags.
3/ Includes pile fabric and manufactures, tapestry and upholstery goods, press and billiard cloths. 4/ Includes carriage and automobile robes, steamer rugs, etc. 5/ Includes laces, lace articles, veils and veilings, nets and nettings, when reported in pounds. 6/ Included in "other manufactures" for earlier years.

Source: Bureau of the Census.

Table 21--Raw wool equivalent of U.S. textile exports, 1983-88 1/

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { month } \end{aligned}$ | Noils and wastes 2/ | Topsandadvancedwool | Yarns | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Broad- } \\ & \text { Hoven } \\ & \text { fabric } \\ & \text { yon } \end{aligned}$3/ | Wool blankets | Wearing apparel |  | Felts | Other manufactures 4/ | Carpets and rugs | Knit fabric | Grand total exports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Knit | Other than knit |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,000 pounds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 1,892 | 8,643 | 460 | 1,446 | 30 | 2,158 | 1.661 | 173 | 988 | 107 | 200 | 17,761 |
| 1986 | 1,862 | 5,787 | 465 | 2,146 | 35 | 1,906 | 1,857 | 100 | 1,523 | 156 | 133 | 15,970 |
| 1987 | 2,140 | 12,258 | 489 | 2,206 | 31 | 2,574 | 1,638 | 96 | 1,724 | 138 | 167 | 23,461 |
| 198851 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 173 187 | 767 829 | 28 | 100 | 2 | 550 322 | 201 | 1 | 131 166 | 13 18 | 5 18 | 1,971 |
| Mar. | 206 | 1,227 | 21 | 228 | 5 | 406 | 337 | 11 | 234 | 17 | 21 | 2,713 |
| Apr. | 245 | . 860 | 42 | 180 | 2 | 214 | 251 | 4 | 164 | 29 | 21 | 2,012 |
| May | 344 | 934 | 18 | 315 | 3 | 288 | 251 | 66 | 146 | 15 | 15 | 2,395 |
| June | 210 | 1,792 | 36 | 142 140 | 1 | 288 | 175 | 69 | 308 189 | 17 | 19 | 2,821 |
| Aug. | 189 | 1,212 | 16 | 186 | 6 | 175 | 283 | 3 | 191 | 50 | 46 | 2,357 |
| Sept. | 191 | 1,686 | 39 | 222 | 3 | 398 | 285 | 108 | 300 | 20 | 4 | 3,256 |
| Oct. | 141 | 1,302 | 21 | 159 | 3 | 160 | 263 | 6 | 141 | 33 | 13 | 2,242 |
| Nov. | 151 | 2,503 | 18 | 189 | 3 | 128 | 257 | 6 | 148 | 41 | 7 | 3,451 |
| Dec. | 167 | 1,616 | 121 | 144 | 3 | 66 | 145 | 11 | 139 | 7 | 21 | 2,440 |
| Total | 2,490 | 16,292 | 417 | 2,219 | 37 | 3,216 | 2,874 | 294 | 2,257 | 271 | 227 | 30,594 |

1/ Includes manufactures of mohair, alpaca, and other wool-like specialty hair. 2/ Not including rags. 3/ Includes both broad and narrow woven fabrics. 4/ Census Bureau's Schedule B classification designated manufactures, n.e.c. 5/ some categories revised.

Source: Bureau of the Census.

Table 22-Rew fiber equivalent of u.S. imports for consumption of vegetable fibers other than cotton textile manufactures, 1986-88

|  | Yarn | Cordage, thread, crochet, etc. yarns | Broadhoven fabric | Knit fabric |  |  | appare Not knit | Handkerchiefs | Bedding drapes, and towels | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lace } \\ & \text { articles } \end{aligned}$ | Floor covering | Misc. products | Grand total imports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 18,596 20,968 | 196,761 205,022 | 255,231 | 50 172 | 2,098 | 51,150 79,436 | 21,187 23,746 | 51 | 4,359 | 305 175 | 8,786 10,399 | 30,179 | $\begin{aligned} & 589,113 \\ & 663,524 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 1,719 | 18,520 | 15,647 | 32 | 371 | 3.808 | 5,397 | 1 | 201 | 9 | 545 | 3,872 | 50.122 |
| Feb. | 2,817 | 26,305 | 29,379 | 4 | 217 | 2,570 | 3,816 | 1 | 342 | 8 | 397 | 3,788 | 69,644 |
| Mar. | 2,022 | 56,636 | 18,737 | 2 | 354 | 1,583 | 2,640 | 2 | 241 | 18 | , 781 | 5.032 | 88,048 |
| May | 1,944 | 23,332 | 88.813 | 2 | 112 | 2,983 | 1,057 | 2 | 262 | 33 | 1.793 | 3,088 | 43,421 |
| June | 1,487 | 14,147 | 12,696 | 4 | 225 | 5,124 | 748 | 1 | 443 | 12 | 1,151 | 4,236 | 40,274 |
| July | 1.481 | 7,160 | 9.918 | 1 | 235 | 5,057 | 578 | 2 | 333 | 5 | 1.037 | 3,628 | 29.455 |
| Aug. | 1,568 | 5,725 | 10.733 | 8 | 109 | 4,794 | 734 | 1 | 492 | 18 | 1,238 | 6.172 | 31,584 |
| Sept. | 1,840 | 3,638 | 12,050 | 1 | 239 | 5,804 | , 947 |  | 330 | 14 | 1,077 | 7,215 | 33,157 |
| Oct. | 1,581 | 8,664 | 15,291 | 4 | 783 | 8,228 | 1,231 | 3 | 329 389 | 5 | 1,344 | 4,696 | 42,159 |
| Nov. | 1,793 | 16,343 | 19,052 | 10 | 452 238 | 8,211 | 2,162 | 1 | 389 280 | 6 | 1.416 | 4.185 | 43,694 48,267 |
| Total | 21,593 | 206,360 | 187,260 | 75 | 4,184 | 55,427 | 23,892 | 19 | 4,034 | 147 | 13,245 | 52,389 | 568,625 |

Source: Bureau of the Census.

Table 23--Raw fiber equivalent of U.S. imports for consumption of silk textile manufactures, 1986-88

| Year and month | Yarn | Cordage, thread, crochet etc. yarns | Broadwoven fabric | Knit fabric |  | Heari <br> Knit | apparel Not knit | Handkerchiefs | Bedding, drapes, and towels | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lace } \\ & \text { articles } \end{aligned}$ | Floor covering | Misc. products | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grand } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \text { imports } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,000 pounds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1986 \\ & 1987 \end{aligned}$ | 550 605 | 53 25 | 10,015 10,175 | 2 5 | 70 90 | 14,804 9,593 | 15,090 17,407 | 382 153 | $\begin{aligned} & 162 \\ & 122 \end{aligned}$ | 61 95 | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,830 \\ 813 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43,056 \\ & 39,126 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 48 | 1 | 660 | 0 | 6 | 658 | 2,148 | 14 | 17 | 6 | 8 | 20 | 3,586 |
| Feb. | 87 | 1 | 868 | 0 | 14 | 488 | 2,148 | 11 | 4 | 6 3 | 1 | 35 | 3,660 |
| Mar. | 59 | 9 | 718 | 0 | 25 | 402 | 1,539 | 12 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 74 | 2,848 |
| ${ }_{\text {Apr }}^{\text {Apr }}$ | 64 53 | 3 | 731 | 0 | 6 | 286 | 1,671 | 12 | , 3 | 30 | 1 | 24 | 2,831 |
| June | 150 | 0 | 669 | 0 | 8 | 375 | 1.531 | 8 | 15 | 18 | 0 | 37 | 2,714 |
| July | 71 | 3 | 809 | 0 | 4 | 481 | 1.793 | 13 | 11 | 23 | 1 | 47 | 3,289 |
| Aug. | 54 | 1 | 977 | 1 | 3 | 457 | 1.812 | 16 | 8 | 25 | 3 0 | 58 | 3, 502 |
| Sept. | 29 | 1 | 869 | 0 | 5 | 608 | 1,745 | 8 | 20 | 12 | 2 | 63 | 3,362 |
| Oct. | 46 | 1 | 952 | 0 | 4 | 690 | 2.102 | 25 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 40 | 3,877 |
| Nov. | 18 | 0 | 890 | 0 | 6 | 540 | 2.169 | 12 | 22 | 10 | 0 | 74 | 3,741 |
| Dec. | 64 | 1 | 945 | 0 | 14 | 372 | 2,108 | 9 | 28 | 9 | 0 | 35 | 3,585 |
| Total | 743 | 15 | 9,852 | 1 | 101 | 5,956 | 22,397 | 149 | 158 | 169 | 18 | 542 | 40,101 |
| Source: Bureau of the Census. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Outlook' 89 Proceedings and Charts

Proceedings and charts from USDA's 65th Agricultural Outlook Conference, held in Washington, D.C., last fall are available in two new publications

Outlook '89 Proceedings includes speeches covering the domestic and world agricultural outlook, effective marketing, and prospects for U.S. farming in the years ahead. $\$ 18 ; \$ 22$ to non-U.S. addresses.

Outlook ' 89 Charts offers reproductions of 170 charts and tables shown by Conference speakers. Each black and white chart measures $6 \times 4$ inches for easy reproduction or use in overhead transparencies. $\$ 3$; $\$ 3.75$ to non-U.S. address.

## Please send me

$\qquad$ copy(ies) of Outlook '89 Proceedings
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[^0]:    - Average of the cheapest five types of M 1-3/32 inch staple length offered on the European market.

[^1]:    - Average of the cheapest flive types of cosrse-count cotton offered on the European markel.

[^2]:    1/ Imports entered through customs districts in the respective regions. 2/ Includes customs districts along the Gulf, the

[^3]:    *Agricultural cconomist, Economic Research Scrvice, USDA.

[^4]:    
     without deleting the observation for 1975 from the data set.
    
    
    
    
     variable; $F$ stat. $=$ the $F$-test statistic; and adj. R2 $=R 2$ adjusted for degrees of freedom.

    * denotes significance at the 1 -percent level; ** denotes significanco at the 5-percent level. Standard errors are in brackets.

[^5]:    1/The exceptions were the equations for the Southern Plains, Southeast, and Appalachian FPR's. The residual in the U.S. equation was about one standard deviation from the fitted value. The residuals in the Southwest and Delta FPR's, on the oher hand, were two and three standard deviaions. By dummying 1975, the adjusted $R^{2}$ increases in the U.S. and regional equations from .02 to .15 and increases the significance levels of the explanatory variables. Bounded influence or other estimation methods that minimize/reduce the influence of outliers could also be used, but were not tried in this study.

[^6]:    1/ Compiled from Bureau of the Census data and adjusted to an August $1,480-1 \mathrm{~b}$. net weight basis. Excludes preseason ginnings. 2/ Includes preseason ginnings. 3/ Adjusted to August 1-July 31 marketing year. $4 /$ Difference between ending stocks based on Census data and preceding season's supply less disappearance. 5 , Season average, including ailowance for unredeemed loans. 6/Estimated. $7 /$ Projected. 8/ USDA is

[^7]:    Source: Bureau of the Census.

