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Based on November 1 conditions, 1990 U.S. cotton production is expected to total 14.9 million bales, 22 percent above last season. However, the low beginning stocks in 1990/91 are more than offsetting the increase in production, and total supply, estimated at 17.9 million bales, is down 7 percent. Upland production is estimated at 14.5 million bales, and extra-long staple at 397,000 bales. The rise in production is due largely to a lower upland cotton acreage reduction requirement this season and a substantial rebound in expected yields in Texas. Total 1990/91 area for harvest is estimated at 11.5 million acres, up 20 percent from the past season. Yields in 1990/91 are expected to average 622 pounds per harvested acre, up slightly from the 614-pound average of the previous season.
U.S. mills used nearly 8.8 million bales of cotton in 1989/90, the highest level since $1967 / 68$. This season, the tighter supply is moderating mill use, which is expected to total a stillstrong 8.4 million bales. Early-season 1990/91 monthly mill use for August, September, and October averaged 8.7 million bales on a seasonally adjusted annual rate basis.
U.S. cotton exports in 1990/91 are forecast at 7 million bales, down 700,000 from last season. Exports are being pressured by the smaller supply this season and by relatively strong domestic mill demand for available supplies. Also pressuring U.S. cotton exports in 1990/91 is a much larger foreign outturn. U.S. share of world cotton trade is expected to fall in 1990/91 to a more average 29 percent from 32 percent last year.

Through the first 3 months of 1990/91, cotton prices on the Northern European market have been about the same as a year earlier-with the A-Index averaging about 81 cents per pound and the B-Index averaging 77 cents. U.S. quotes on the Northern European market during the first quarter of the 1990/91 season have been very competitive. In early 1990/91 the adjusted world price (AWP) has stabilized at 64 to 67 cents per pound, compared with the AWP range of 66 to 69 during the first quarter of 1989/90. U.S. spot prices in 1990/91 declined through the first quarter of the season, from about 79 cents per pound in early August to about 70 cents in mid-November.

Based on estimates of production, mill use, and exports, ending stocks for 1990/91 are projected at 2.6 million bales400,000 below last season. The 1990/91 ending stocks-to-use ratio is projected to fall to .17 , the lowest in 40 years. By October 31, 363,000 bales of 1990-crop cotton had been placed under loan, compared with 200,000 bales of 1989 crop cotton at this time last season.

World cotton production in 1990/91 is forecast at 86.7 million bales, about 7 million above last season. Foreign cotton production in 1990/91 is estimated up 9 percent from last season at 71.8 million bales-the second-largest foreign crop on record.

World cotton consumption in 1990/91 is forecast at 86.3 million bales, about 1 percent below last season. Foreign consumption in 1990/91 is estimated at 77.9 million bales, slightly below last season's record. With production up, the gap between foreign production and consumption is expected to narrow dramatically, from nearly 11 million bales in 1989/90 to 6 million in 1990/91. However, foreign stocks are likely to remain extremely tight.

On November 28, 1990, President Bush signed into law the Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act of 1990, which Congress passed in late October. The legislation covers crop years 1991-95. The cotton title continues the mar-ket-oriented provisions developed in the Food Security Act of 1985. A major new provision in the current legislation calls for increased planting flexibility, which should allow producers to better respond to market conditions.

In 1991/92, U.S. cotton consumption is expected to range from 8 to 9 million bales. U.S. cotton exports in 1991/92 are expected to improve modestly over the current season's sup-ply-limited level, ranging from 6 to 8 million bales. Total cotton offtake next season could range from 14 to 17 million bales.

Though the 1991-92 upland acreage reduction program is yet to be announced, basic program provisions suggest larger planted acreage next season. Also, the new planting flexibility provisions are likely to result in increased cotton plantings by producers who view market conditions as favoring cotton over competing crops in their production areas. U.S. cotton plantings in 1991/92 could range between 12 and 16 million acres, and production is expected to range from 15.5 to 19.5 million bales.

Raw wool mill use in the third quarter was 29.5 million pounds, clean, 1 percent below a year earlier. Apparel mill use, 25.4 million pounds, was 2 percent below a year ago. Raw wool imports at 13.9 million pounds were one-third less than a year earlier, reflecting the policy of mills to purchase only enough raw wool to meet current orders at a time when retail apparel sales are slow. Carpet mill use was 4.1 million pounds, almost 6 percent above a year earlier, and top production, 5.1 million pounds, was down 4 percent from last year.

## Textiles and the Economy

As 1990 draws to a close, the U.S. economy continues its sluggish growth. Real gross national product (GNP) rose 1.7 percent ( $\$ 18.0$ billion) in third-quarter 1990, 0.4 percent ( $\$ 4.5$ billion) in the previous quarter, and 1.7 percent in thirdquarter 1989. The GNP rise last quarter was attributable to increases in personal consumption expenditures, particularly services and nondurable goods, and nonresidential fixed investments. Government purchases also increased in thirdquarter 1990, up slightly from the previous-quarter gain of 6.2 percent. While the GNP rose slightly, the composite index of leading economic indicators fell 0.8 percent in the third quarter of 1990 . The index has been falling since July.

Real disposable personal income decreased a slight 0.1 percent in third-quarter 1990 from the previous quarter. This represents the first decline of real disposable personal income in 5 quarters. Personal savings as a percentage of disposable personal income was 4.9 percent for the first 3 quarters of 1990 -up from the 1989 annual average of 4.6 percent. In September, however, the latest available data indicate personal savings dropped to 3.9 percent for the month.

In third-quarter 1990, real personal consumption expenditures increased 0.8 percent ( $\$ 21.0$ billion) above the previous quarter. This is the largest gain since third-quarter 1989. Expenditures on both durable and nondurable goods advanced, rising $\$ 2.4$ and $\$ 7.1$ billion, respectively, compared with declines of $\$ 10.8$ and $\$ 4.4$ billion in second-quarter 1990.

In September, U.S. merchandise exports fell to a seasonally adjusted $\$ 31.8$ billion-the lowest level since February 1990. Combined with a greater reduction in imports, the nominal merchandise trade deficit dropped to $\$ 9.4$ billion from a revised August deficit of $\$ 9.7$ billion. These 2 months are the highest since January. While imports of manufactured goods continue to surpass exports, net trade surpluses in agricultural commodities have helped to soften the overall deficit.

During the first 3 quarters of 1990, U.S. imports (square meter equivalent basis) of cotton, wool, manmade fiber, silk blends, and noncotton vegetable fiber textiles and apparel increased 1.6 percent over the corresponding period in 1989. This increase represented a 2.4 -percent rise in textile imports and a gain of 0.8 percent in apparel imports. During JanuarySeptember, cotton and manmade fiber imports increased 4.8 and 1.2 percent, respectively, from a year earlier, while wool imports fell 7.5 percent. By value, the first 3 quarters of 1990 show the same pattern of movement. Cotton and manmade fiber imports rose 13.9 and 4.8 percent, while the value of wool imports declined 4.6 percent.

In October 1990, U.S. industrial production weakened, falling 0.8 percent below September figures to 109.6 percent of
the 1987 annual average. The decline was widespread among the major industry groups, but the fall in output of motor vehicles and parts was particularly steep- 4.5 percent. However, compared with a year earlier, industrial production was up 1.8 percent.

In third-quarter 1990, clothing production continued to decline, shrinking 4.6 percent from the previous quarter, which was down 11.7 percent from first-quarter 1990. Output of textile materials moved in the opposite direction, however, surpassing first- and second-quarter 1990 by 9.8 and 3.2 percent.
U.S. industries operated at 82.6 percent of capacity in October 1990, down slightly from September and a year ago. The utilities and manufacturing sectors showed the largest declines, with the auto industry taking the brunt of the fall. In October, nondurable manufacturing industries operated at 82.8 percent of capacity. Among these, the textile mill and apparel products sectors each declined from September and a year ago. The capacity utilization rates for these sectors were 82.8 and 75.8 percent, respectively, for October.

The U.S. unemployment rate for the civilian labor force remained unchanged from September to October at 5.7 percent. This, however, is the highest unemployment figure since November 1987. Unemployment rates in the textile mill and apparel products industries have moved in opposite directions recently. Textile mill unemployment dropped 0.8 percent to 5.2 percent in October, the lowest level since May 1990. In the apparel industry, however, unemployment jumped 1.6 percent to 10.0 percent in October, the highest level since April of the current year.

## U.S. Cotton Situation and Outlook

## Upland Cotton Situation

## Higher Yields, Lower Abandonment Likely

Based on November 1 conditions, 1990 upland cotton production is estimated at 14.5 million bales- 3 million ( 26 percent) above last season's crop (fig. 1). A lower upland cotton acreage reduction requirement (ARP) of 12.5 percent (versus 25 percent last season), good planting conditions and early crop development across much of the Cotton Belt, and a substantial rebound in yield and percentage of acreage harvested in Texas are all contributing to this season's larger crop.

Estimated 1990 upland acreage yield per harvested acre is down about 100 pounds in the Southeast, up about 100 pounds in the Southwest, and only marginally changed in the Delta and West from last season's levels (table A). Prospective yields this season are off 100 or more pounds per acre in Alabama, Georgia, and South Carolina due to a host of prob-

| Region | Planted | Harvested | Yield | Production |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---1,000 acres--- |  | Lbs/acre | 1,000 bales |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Southeast: 2/ } \\ & 1989 \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | 853 1,136 | 838 1,127 | 603 506 | 1,052 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Delta: 3/ } \\ 1989 \\ 1990 \end{gathered}$ | 2,984 3,510 | 2,904 3,450 | 664 | 4,019 4,820 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Southwest: } 4 / \\ & 1989 \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | 5,022 | 4,090 5,256 | 357 451 | 3,043 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { West: } 5 / \\ 1989 \\ 1990 \end{gathered}$ | 1,351 | 1,334 | 1,220 | 3,390 |
| Total: 1989 1990 | 10,210 12,078 | 11,166 | 602 | 11,504 14,508 |
| 1/ Based on South Carolina 4/ Kansas, Okl | Crop Virginia and Tex | n report. kansas, Lo Arizona, C | labama, F Missis ia, and | Georgia, Nor Missouri, and ico. |

lems, ranging from drought and plant disease early in the season to heavy late-season insect pressure. Conversely, yields in Texas are projected up 84 pounds per harvested acre. Acreage abandonment, which claimed about 1 of every 5 acres planted in Texas last season, is estimated in 1990/91 at just over 12 percent. Upland production this season in Texas is placed at 4.6 million bales, 60 percent above the 1989/90 Texas crop.

Planted acreage in $1990 / 91$ is estimated at 12.1 million acres. National average upland yield in 1990/91 is estimated at 618 pounds per acre on 11.3 million acres. Abandonment in 1990/91 is estimated at less than 7 percent, compared with 10 percent last season.

## Mill Use Strong, But Lower

After 5 seasons of strong growth, U.S. domestic mill use of upland cotton is projected to remain strong in 1990/91, falling slightly to 8.3 million bales. During August, September, and October of the 1990/91 season, monthly upland cotton mill use, on a seasonally adjusted annual rate basis, was $8.68,8.67$, and 8.59 million bales, respectively. These rates are consistent with current forecasts (fig. 2).

Mill use of upland cotton in 1990/91 is likely to fall from last season's high level due to the tighter supply this season, concern over the health of the economy, more competitive polyester prices, and continued growth in cotton textile imports. During August-October, the cotton/polyester price ratio averaged 1.1, compared with .93 during the first quarter of 1989/90.

While imports of cotton-containing textile manufactures are expected to weigh on mill use this season, U.S. exports are likely to sustain domestic mill use. Through the first 8 months of calendar 1990, the cotton content of U.S. exports


Figure 2
Upland Mill Use On Target for 8.325-million-bale Season


Adjusted to calendar month.
7 bale $=480 \mathrm{lbs}$.
of cotton-containing textile manufactures was 345 million pounds (roughly 719,000 bales), up 31 percent ( 173,000 bales) over the comparable year-earlier level. Exports of yarn, thread, and fabric increased 41 percent; apparel, 20 percent; and home furnishings, 69 percent.

## Upland Cotton Exports To Decline

U.S. upland cotton exports are projected at 6.6 million bales in 1990/91, down 600,000 ( 9 percent) from last season's 7.2 million bales. Exports in 1990/91 are being pressured by the smaller initial supply this season and the relatively strong domestic mill demand for available supplies. Also pressuring U.S. cotton exports this season is a much larger foreign outturn.

Recent sales to Far East destinations, principally China, have pushed upland export commitments for $1990 / 91$ over 5.3 million bales in mid-November (fig. 3). Commitments have not been higher this early in the season since 1979/80.

After a very strong start this season, the pace of U.S. cotton exports slackened considerably (fig. 4). Upland cotton exports for August, September, and October, on a seasonallyadjusted annual rate basis, were $9.7,8.0$, and 6.9 million bales, respectively. The slowing pace is consistent with expectations of lower exports this season.

## U.S. Cotton Prices Competitive, But Export Share To Fall

Through the first 3 months of 1990/91, cotton prices on the Northem European market, as indicated by the A-Index, were about the same as a year earlier, averaging about 81 cents per pound (fig. 5). Quotes for U.S. A-type cotton, as indicated by Memphis Territory prices, were slightly more competitive through the first 3 months of the current season (August-October)--averaging only about 20 points ( 0.20

Figure 3
1990/91 Upland Export Commitments Surge


Shipments plus outstanding sales.
cents) above the A-Index, compared with 1.5 cents during the first 3 months last season.

Northern European coarse-count cotton prices in early-season 1990/91 also were virtually identical to those of last season (fig. 5). For the first 3 months of the current and past season, the B-Index averaged 77 cents per pound. U.S. coarse-count cotton prices, as measured by Orleans/Texas quotes, are very competitive this season-averaging about 20 points below the B-Index for August through October, the same as last season.

Despite competitive prices, U.S. share of world cotton trade is expected to fall this season to a more normal 29 percent from 32 percent last year. The U.S. export share among individual importers is expected to decline virtually across the board. The notable exception is China-for which the

Figure 4
Upland Cotton Export Pace Strong But Slowing


Adjusted to calendar month.

Figure 5
U.S. Cotton Prices Competitive on Northern Europe Market


- $A$ and $B$ Indexes are averages of the five and the three lowest priced types offered on the Northern Europe market.

United States is expected to supply about 60 percent of imports, up from 54 percent last season (table B).

## Adjusted World Price Remains Stable

Since moving higher in the last 3 months of the 1989/90 marketing year, the adjusted world price (AWP) has stabilized at about 64-67 cents per pound and has been averaging nearly 66 cents this season (table C). During the current season, the AWP, U.S. average spot prices, and December futures have followed similar patterns-weakening into September, gain-

Table B-U.S. cotton export shares to selected countries

| Country | 1987/88 | 1988/89 | 1989/90 | 1990/91 1/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent |  |  |  |
| Japan | 46 | 40 | 51 | 48 |
| Korea | 74 | 61 | 70 | 60 |
| Taiwan | 26 | 14 | 28 | 20 |
| Hong Kong |  | 18 | 32 | 32 |
| Italy | -9 | 16 | 32 | 32 |
| Germany | 39 | 24 | 36 | 28 |
| Portugal | 7 | 3 | 6 | 6 |
| Indonesia | 33 | 28 | 40 | 32 |
| Thailand | 28 | 14 | 29 | 23 |
| China | 0 | 69 | 36 | 59 |
| Horld | 28 | 24 | 32 | 29 |
| 1/ Based | mates as | Novembe | 1990 |  |



1/ Spot and Dec. futures prices are for SLM 1-1/16-inch cotton, U.S. base quality. 2/ Adjusted world price is the Northern European price, adjusted to SLM 1-1/16inch at average U.S. producing locations. Adjusted world prices are applicable for the week following
the date shown.
ing in October, and falling off slightly into mid-November. The spread between the average spot price and the AWP has ranged from 291 to 1,255 points for the first 4 months of the season, compared with 34 to 299 points for the corresponding period last season.

## Lower Carryover Stocks Expected

Based on current estimates of production, mill use, and exports, upland ending stocks for 1990/91 are projected at 2.5 million bales- 300,000 below last season. More importantly, the $1990 / 91$ ending stocks-to-use ratio is projected to fall to .17 , the lowest in 40 years. With competitive cotton prices and a continued strong demand this season, CCC loan entries have been reduced substantially from 1989. On October $31,363,400$ bales of 1990 -crop cotton (mostly in the Delta region) had been placed under loan (table D). In addition, only 63,100 bales of 1988 - and 1989-crop cotton remain under loan, with the largest percentage in the West.

## Outlook for 1991/92

## Farm Bill Continues Market-Oriented Provisions

In late October, Congress passed the Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act of 1990, which President Bush signed into law on November 28, 1990. The 1990 legislation covers crop years 1991-95. The cotton title continues the market-oriented provisions developed in the Food Security Act of 1985. However, some provisions have been finetuned to help ensure that U.S. cotton prices are competitive in international markets. In addition, increased planting flexibility rules should encourage producers to plant crops based on market prices rather than government price support programs. Basic provisions of the 1990 act for upland cotton are outlined below.

## Loan Rate

Loan rates will continue to be calculated as under the Food Security Act of 1985. The minimum national average loan rate for the $1991-95$ crops is 50 cents per pound for base quality cotton. The loan rate is the smaller of:

- 85 percent of the weighted-average spot market price during 3 of the preceding 5 marketing years, excluding the years when the average price was highest and lowest; or

Table D--Cotton loan statistics, 1987-89 1/

| Region | -....----Loans made--..-...-. |  |  | -..----Loans repaid.-...... |  |  | -Loans outstanding- |  |  | ---Loans forfeited-.. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 |
| Southeast 2/ | 3665.9 | 182.7 | 5.2 | 663.6 | 182.0 | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 | 0.3 | 5.2 | 2.4 |  |  |
| Delta 3/ Southern Plains 4/ | 3.995 .4 | 1.571 .9 | 356.5 | 3.972 .1 | 1,569.7 | 50.2 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 306.3 | 22.7 | 0.2 | 0 |
| Southern Plains 4/ West $5 /$ | 4,631.4 | 1.890 .1 $1,087.5$ | 1.6 0 | 4.591 .7 $1,936.4$ | 1.866 .2 1.052 .2 | 0 0 | 1.1 | 23.7 35.3 | 1.6 0 | 38.6 2.0 | 0.1 | 0 0 |
| United States | 11,231.2 | 3,732.1 | 363.4 | 11,163.7 | 3,670.1 | 50.2 | 1.8 | 61.3 | 313.1 | 65.7 | 0.7 | 0 |

$\cdots=0$. $1 /$ Producer and cooperative loans through october 31, 1990. Regional statistics do not reflect a backlog of loan payments for 1988 crop . 2/ Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Narth Carolina, South Carolina, and Virginia. 3/ Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, and Tennessee. 4/ Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas. 5/ Arizona, California, and New Mexico.

- 90 percent of the average of the five lowest priced growths among those quoted for Middling 1-3/32 inch cotton, c.i.f. Northern Europe, during the 15 -week period beginning July 1 of the year the loan rate is announced. The average is to be adjusted downward by the average difference during April 15 through October 15 between the Northern Europe prices and U.S. spot market prices of base quality cotton.

If the Northern European calculation is less than the spot market calculation, the loan rate may be set at any level between the two. However, the loan rate may not be reduced by more than 5 percent from the preceding year. The loan level must be announced not later than November 1 of the calendar year preceding the marketing year for which the loan will be effective. For the 1991 crop, the loan rate will be announced as soon as practical after enactment of the 1990 farm bill.

The loan period is 10 months and must be extended 8 more months at the producer's request, unless the spot market price during the preceding month exceeds 130 percent of the preceding 36 -month average price.

## Loan Repayment Rate

The loan repayment rate will be the lesser of (1) the loan rate, or (2) the higher of 70 percent of the loan rate or the world price of upland cotton as determined by the Secretary of Agriculture and adjusted for U.S. quality and location (the AWP). However, the Secretary also has the authority to set the loan repayment rate at a level (but not less than 70 percent of the loan rate) that will minimize potential loan forfeitures, minimize accumulation of CCC stocks, minimize storage costs to the Government and allow U.S. cotton to be marketed competitively. Thus, the minimum loan repayment rate is 70 percent of the announced loan rate. If the AWP falls below 70 percent of the loan rate, or below the loan repayment level set by the Secretary, first-handler certificates will be issued for the difference between the AWP and the loan repayment rate.

The new marketing loan provisions modify and combine Plan A and Plan B established in the Food Security Act of 1985. The new program should continue to make U.S. cotton competitive in world markets. In addition, three competitiveness provisions have been added:

- Adjustment to AWP. The Secretary is allowed to reduce the AWP when the lowest U.S. price quotation c.i.f. Northern Europe exceeds the average of the five cheapest c.i.f. Northem Europe price quotations and the AWP is less than 115 percent of the loan rate.
- Cotton User Marketing Certificates. The Secretary is required to issue certificates to domestic users and exporters when the lowest U.S. price quotation c.i.f. Northern Europe exceeds the average of the cheapest five Northern Europe price quotations by more than 1.25 cents per pound for 4 consecutive weeks.
- Special Import Quota. A special import quota is required if the lowest U.S. price c.i.f. Northern Europe (adjusted for certificate value) exceeds the average of the five cheapest Northern Europe price quotations by more than 1.25 cents per pound for 10 consecutive weeks.


## Target Price

Target prices for upland cotton under the Food Security Act of 1985 were reduced from 81 cents per pound in 1986 to 72.9 cents in 1990 . In contrast, the 1990 Act establishes minimum target prices at the 1990 level for the 1991-95 crops. In addition, a target option program may be used at the discretion of the Secretary. If implemented, the option allows producers to adjust acreage idled under an acreage reduction program (ARP) in exchange for limited increase or decrease in the target price level. For each 1-percent increase or decrease in the ARP percentage, the target price level would be adjusted in the same direction by 0.5-1.0 percent. The idled acreage could be increased by 10 percent or up to a total of 25 percent, or decreased by no more than 50 percent of the announced ARP.

## Acreage Bases and Program Yields

The base acreage provisions of the 1985 law have been changed. Under the new law, the upland cotton acreage base for a farm is calculated as the average of cotton acreage planted and considered planted during the previous 3 years. However, producers who did not participate in the upland cotton program in 1989 and 1990 and certify acreage may use base-building rules established under the 1985 act for 1991. Also, producers who do not participate in the 1990 and 1991 programs may use 1985 act rules for the 1992 crop. However, producers will not be allowed to build base for any crop if they earn a deficiency payment for any crop.

In the 1990 act, the farm program payment yield for crop years 1991-95 is frozen at the 1990 level. Payment yields remain at the level established under the Food Security Act of 1985. In each crop year from 1991 through 1995, if the program payment yield for a farm is more than 10 percent below the 1985 farm program payment yield, a producer will be eligible for payments to maintain the same return as if the yield reduction had not occurred.

## Deficiency Payments

The deficiency payment rate is equal to the target price minus the higher of: the national average market price re-
ceived by producers during the calendar year that includes the first 5 months of the marketing year, or the loan rate determined for the crop.

Deficiency payments will equal the product of the payment rate times the program yield times 85 percent of the acreage base minus any acreage idled under an ARP, not to exceed the acreage planted for harvest. Under the 1990 act, the " $50 / 92$ " underplanting provision is continued. Also, a " $0 / 92$ " planting provision is mandated if the Secretary determines producers are prevented from planting. Unlike the 1985 rules, producers who elect the "50/92" or "0/02" option will receive a payment guaranteed at not less than the projected deficiency rate announced at the time of program signup.

## Payment Limitations

For each year during 1991-95, there is a limit of $\$ 50,000$ per person on the sum of deficiency and diversion payments from all program crops, the same as under the 1985 act. There is a new $\$ 75,000$-per-person limitation on marketing loan gains, loan deficiency payments, and Findley grain payments. An overall $\$ 250,000$ limit applies to the above payments plus any disaster, inventory reduction, resource adjustment or public access payments.

## Acreage Reduction Programs

Acreage reduction programs (ARP) will remain as the primary method for controlling cotton supplies. The Secretary is directed to set an ARP which will achieve a 30-percent ending stocks-to-use ratio. The maximum reduction permitted under an ARP is 25 percent of the upland cotton acreage base and the minimum is 0 percent. The Secretary may allow producers to plant oilseeds, industrial or experimental crops, or other crops (except any fruit or vegetable crop) on up to one-half of the acreage idled under an ARP. Deficiency payments would be reduced by an amount determined by the Secretary. If multiple crops on a farm are involved, the deficiency payment adjustment is prorated among those crops.

Authority for a voluntary paid land diversion (PLD) is continued. However, if at the time of the final announcement of the ARP (January 1) ending cotton stocks are projected to be 8 million bales or more, a PLD of up to 15 percent of the upland base at a rate of not less than 35 cents per pound is required. The Secretary of Agriculture may permit producers to choose any level up to the maximum offered.

## Planting Flexibility and Payment Acres

Producers have more planting flexibility under the 1990 act than in the past. A maximum of 25 percent of a participating producer's crop base may be used as flexibility acres. In general, a producer may plant up to 25 percent of his upland cotton base to another crop (except fruits and vegetables)
without losing cotton base. Producers may also plant upland cotton on up to 25 percent of another program crop base without jeopardizing cotton loans and payments. Cotton planted on the flexibility acres of another program crop, while not eligible for deficiency payments, is eligible for loans.

As in the past, a producer will not receive deficiency payments on ARP acres. In addition, the Agricultural Reconciliation Act of 1990 further limits payment acres for the 1991-95 crops. Fifteen percent of a producer's flexibility acres (normal flexible acres) will not be eligible for deficiency payments, even if planted to upland cotton. A participating producer may choose to plant an alternative crop on an additional 10 percent of upland cotton acreage base (optional flexible acres). Any crop may be planted on flexibility acres except fruits and vegetables. Soybean plantings may not exceed 15 percent of the crop base if the Secretary determines soybean prices will be less than 105 percent of the loan rate. Therefore, a participating producer's maximum payment acres are equal to the crop acreage base minus the ARP minus normal flexible acres minus optional flexible acres. For example, if the ARP is 10 percent and a producer plants all of the flexible acres to an alternative crop, the producer would not receive deficiency payments on 35 percent of his crop acreage base.

## Upland Offtake May Improve

U.S. upland cotton consumption in 1991/92 is expected to continue relatively strong. Mill use may remain near recent historically high rates based on projected adequate cotton supplies, higher polyester staple prices in response to higher oil prices, and continued consumer preference for cotton fiber products. Total upland cotton mill consumption in 1991/92 could range from 8 to 9 million bales, depending to a large extent on the health of the general economy.

Exports of upland cotton in 1991/92 may improve modestly over the current season's supply-limited level. With expected competitive U.S. world market prices and an improved stock situation, exports in 1991/92 could range between 6 and 8 million bales. Based upon estimated mill use and exports, total upland offtake in 1991/92 would range from 14 to 17 million bales.

## Larger Upland Production Expected

The 1991/92 outlook for upland cotton production is obscured by an as-yet-unannounced acreage reduction requirement. However, basic cotton program provisions for 1991/92 suggest substantially larger production. Specifically, the requirement that the acreage reduction program be set to attain ending stocks equivalent to 30 percent of prospective offtake will likely result in a lower ARP level in 1991/92 than in the current season and correspondingly larger production. Also, the flexibility provisions of the new farm legislation are likely to result in increased cotton plant-
ings as producers respond to what many perceive as market conditions favoring cotton over competing crops in their production areas.

With ending stocks for 1990/91 projected at 2.5 million bales, and offtake in 1991/92 estimated to range between 14 to 17 million bales, production will need to exceed offtake in 1991/92 by 1.7 to 2.6 million bales to elevate the ending stocks-to-use level from the projected 17 percent in 1990/91 to 30 percent in 1991/92.

The potentially lower acreage reduction program requirements in 1991/92, versus the current season's 12.5 percent, suggests program enrollment in 1991/92 will closely match this season's 87 percent. Correspondingly larger planting of program acres, together with anticipated cotton sowings in response to flexibility provisions and market conditions, suggest that planted acreage will increase in 1991/92. With potential yields ranging from 550 to 625 pounds per planted acre, 1991/92 acreage planted to cotton will likely range between the 12 to 16 million acres needed to achieve a 1991/92 ending stocks-to-use ratio of 30 percent.

## ELS Cotton Situation

## Production Down, Use Remains Strong

Extra-long staple (ELS) cotton production in 1990/91 is projected at 397,000 bales, down nearly 300,000 from last season's record production. Planted area, estimated at 236,700 acres, was down approximately 40 percent from the 1989/90 season. The average yield this season is estimated at 836 pounds per harvested acre, down 57 pounds from 1989. Lower yields are expected in Arizona and California, with increases projected for the remaining ELS producing States (table E).

Exports of ELS cotton during the first 3 months of the 1990/91 marketing year were nearly 5 times those of the corresponding period a year earlier. ELS exports for August, September, and October reached 85,100 running bales compared with 18,100 in 1989. At the beginning of November, 1990/91 ELS export commitments (shipments plus outstanding sales) were near last season's level (fig. 6). Based on the early-season strength in shipments and sales, 1990/91 ELS exports are expected to reach 425,000480 -pound bales.

Domestic mill consumption of ELS cotton during the first 3 months of the 1990/91 season was 16,692 bales. This season's mill use represents nearly a 10 -percent decline from the 18,523 bales consumed during the corresponding period a year earlier. Nonetheless, current-season monthly mill consumption continues at historically high levels and could reach 75,000 bales for the 1990/91 season.


Shipments plus outstanding sales.

| Table E--ELS cotton acreage, yield, and production, estimated 1990 and actual 1989 1/ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Planted | Harvested | Yield | Production |  |
|  | ----1,0 | cres---- | Lbs/acre | 1,000 bales |  |
| Arizona:     <br> 1989 245.0 244.5 936 477.0 <br> 1990 130.0 123.5 816 210.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas:     <br> 1989 82.0 78.0 794 129.0 <br> 1990 60.0 58.0 828 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Mexico:     <br> 1989 30.3 30.2 707 44.5 <br> 1990 20.0 20.0 720 30.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| California:     <br> 1989 18.0 17.9 1,078 40.2 <br> 1990 25.7 25.5 1,050 55.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mississippi: 2/     <br> 1989 1.6 1.1 436.0 1.0 <br> 1990 1.0 1.0 528.0 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total: <br> 1989 <br> 1990 | 376.9 236.7 | 371.7 228.0 | 893 836 | $\begin{aligned} & 691.7 \\ & 396.9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1/ Based on N | ember Cr | roduction | t. 2/ | mates began | rop. |

Based on current estimates, total supply of ELS cotton this season could approach 604,000 bales. With another season of strong offtake projected at 500,000 bales, ELS ending stocks are expected to shrink over 54 percent from last season to 94,000 bales.

## Farm Bill's ELS Provisions Little Changed from 1985 Act; 1991 Loan Rate Announced

The provisions for ELS cotton under the Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act of 1990 remain essentially unchanged from the previous legislation with several exceptions. The new legislation prohibits strict and limited cross compliance as well as offsetting compliance. An amendment was included prohibiting any increase in the ELS cotton crop acreage base in a subsequent year on farms that receive a deficiency payment. As with upland cotton, authority now exists for a zero ARP for ELS cotton.

The Secretary of Agriculture announced the 1991 ELS cotton loan rate on November 30, 1990. The 1991 rate will be 82.99 cents per pound. Other ELS program provisions are expected to be announced soon.

## Higher ELS Prices, Strong Demand Dominate 1991/92 Outlook

Notwithstanding the 1991 program provisions, continued strong demand will likely dominate the 1991/92 outlook. Early indications show 1991/92 ELS planted acreage expanding 25,000-75,000 acres from this season's level to more than 250,000 acres. Many producers may elect to return to ELS production in 1991 in lieu of upland since ELS/upland price ratios currently favor ELS production (fig. 7).

ELS cotton production in 1991/92, assuming trend yields and normal abandonment, could range from 475,000 to 525,000 bales. With ending stock levels for the current season estimated at 94,000 bales, total ELS supplies in 1991/92 could range from 570,000 to 620,000 bales-near this season's historically strong levels.

Figure 7


[^0]2/ Pima/upland price ratio in percentage.

## Foreign ELS Production and Consumption Expected To Rebound

According to the International Cotton Advisory Committee (ICAC) estimates, 1990/91 ELS production and consumption in foreign-producing countries are expected to rise following last season's decline. ELS cotton production in foreign-producing countries is projected at 4.4 million bales this season, up 2 percent from 1989/90 (table F). Consumption among foreign producers is expected to total 3.5 million bales, up only slightly from last season. As a result, stocks at the beginning of 1991/92 could reach 763,000 bales-up 10 percent from their low level at the beginning of the current season.

In 1991/92, ELS production is estimated to increase 8 percent to 4.7 million bales, while consumption is expected to remain near the 3.5 -million-bale level. Among individual countries, Egypt and the USSR are projected to show the largest increases in production both this season and next. Production in Sudan, however, is expected to fall dramatically due to adverse weather this season. While consumption in Egypt is expected to rise, use in the USSR and other major producing countries is projected to decline.

Exports of ELS cotton among foreign producers are expected to drop 14 percent to 844,000 bales in 1990/91 before returning to more normal levels in 1991/92. Based on ICAC data, the United States will likely remain the world's leading exporter of ELS cotton this season and in 1991/92.

## Foreign Cotton Situation and Outlook

## Production Up Sharply

Foreign cotton production in 1990/91 is estimated to rise 9 percent to 71.8 million bales, second only to the record 76 million produced in 1984/85 (fig. 8). Production is up primarily in response to high prices which reflect low stocks at

Figure 8
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the end of last season. Some of the advance is due to an increase in area, estimated up 2 percent, but the gain primarily reflects the 4 -percent growth in foreign yields.

Production gains are expected in India, Pakistan, the Soviet Union, China, Australia, Brazil, Argentina, Paraguay, and Egypt. China's output is forecast to exceed last year's poor crop, but by less than earlier anticipated. Area was reduced again in the Soviet Union, but yields are up. India is expecting its second consecutive record crop, primarily as a result of expanded irrigation. Record output for Pakistan is also forecast. Egypt expects better yields because it successfully identified and treated a mold that had been attacking the crop in recent years.

Southern Hemisphere producers have just planted, and area in most cases has been increased as much as possible. Plantings in Australia appear to have exceeded expectations. Ar-
gentine area, however, has been inundated by heavy rain and standing water, so area gains there may be less than earlier anticipated.

## Prices Stabilize at a High Level

As of November, 1990/91 world prices as measured by the A-Index on the Northern European market at Liverpool remain steady at about 82 cents per pound (fig. 9). Although prices are below those at the end of last season, they approximate last season's high average price. Tight supplies are expected to continue throughout 1990/91, keeping pressure on prices.

## Consumption and Imports Contract Slightly

Consumption demand remains strong but is projected just under last season's record. Foreign consumption is projected at 77.9 million bales, off nearly 500,000 bales. Contracting demand and prices will hold imports down. Foreign imports

| Year beginning August | 1987 | 1988 | $\begin{aligned} & 1989 \\ & \text { est. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1990 \\ & \text { proj. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1991 \\ & \text { proj. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000 480-1b bales |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning stocks: 181 |  |  |  |  |  |
| India ${ }^{\text {Egypt, }}$ | 89 | 164 | 207 | 282 | 255 |
| Israel | 5 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 10 |
| Pery | 18 | 5 | 11 | 41 | 33 |
| PRC | 189 | 87 | $10^{4}$ | 61 | 39 |
| USSR | 162 | 268 | 340 | 72 | 191 |
| Others | 29 | 27 | 23 | 27 | 21 |
| Subtotal | 703 | 741 | 751 | 630 | 723 |
| Egypt, ELS | 132 835 | 109 850 | 80 831 | 63 693 | 40 763 |
| Production: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Egypt, L. Stpl. | 1,218 | 1,039 878 | 938 979 | 1,102 | 1,146 |
| Israel | , 58 | 85 | 143 | 67 | , 57 |
| Peru | 49 | 106 | 150 | 117 | 198 |
| PRC | 195 | 186 | 222 | 88 | 195 |
| USSR | 1,704 | 1,792 | 1,241 | 1,400 | 1,625 |
| Others | + 59 | + 49 | 1. 50 | 1. 57 | + 61 |
| Subtotal | 4,399 | 4,250 | 3,884 | 3,983 | 4,299 |
| Egypt ${ }_{\text {Potal }}$ | 4,778 | 4,620 | 4,255 | 4,350 | 4,719 |
| Consumption: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Egypt, L. Stpl. | 1,080 | 866 | 903 786 | 980 843 | 1.020 |
| Israel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peru | 54 | 34 | 80 | 70 | 55 |
| PRC | 40 | 65 3 | 93 | 90 | 95 |
| USSR | 1,450 | 1,500 | 1,380 | 1,290 | 1,265 |
| Others | 1,41 |  | 1,322 | , 22 | 1,22 |
| Subtotal | 3,597 | 3,401 | 3,270 | 3,304 | 3,255 |
| Egypt, ${ }_{\text {OLJ }}$ ELS | 163 3,760 | 3,200 | 3,232 3,502 | 3,225 3,529 | 3,495 3,495 |
| Exports: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Egypt, L. Stpl. | 195 | 106 | 117 | 100 | 100 |
| Israel | 58 | 83 | 140 | 67 | 55 |
| Peru | 12 | 51 | 40 | 55 | 38 |
| PRC | 100 | 60 | 83 | -87 | 115 |
| USSR | 218 | 259 | 176 | 37 | 200 |
| Others | 52 | 63 | 57 | 73 | 70 |
| Subtotal | 925 | 863 | 828 | 679 | 844 |
| Egypt, | 1,158 | 1,063 | 984 | 844 | 1,014 |
| Source: International Cotton Advisory Committee, Washington, DC. |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 9
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are projected to fall slightly from 1989/90, reaching only 24.2 million bales. But imports also are still relatively high historically.

Prices of manmade fibers in some foreign markets have risen recently as oil prices skyrocketed. Although cotton prices remain relatively high, the higher prices of competitive fibers favor cotton use.

Use among producing countries is projected marginally below last year (table G). China's consumption is forecast down. Reduced consumption in the Soviet Union is also likely because of the current internal confusion over new
marketing procedures, even though domestic demand may remain strong. But large consumption gains are again expected in Pakistan, India, and Brazil, where use has been expanding rapidly.

A larger drop in importers' use is forecast. Much of the decline will occur in Eastern Europe where textile industries are struggling to find foreign exchange to purchase cotton. Some East Asian textile producers are also expected to cut use because of rising competition in their own markets from imported textiles and because appreciation of their currencies against the dollar is reducing their textile export competitiveness. But use seems to be expanding slightly in Western Europe as the West steps in to supply textiles for the pent-up demand of Eastern European countries which their own industries are as yet unable to meet.

## Foreign Exports Rise Sharply

Foreign exports are expected to rise to 17.3 million bales, up 5 percent from last year. But these exports will remain well below the 19.7 -million-bale record, as tight beginning stocks restrict export gains to equal production gains. Nevertheless, the foreign share of the market is projected at 71.3 percent, up from 68.2 percent last season, and U.S. exports and market share will decline.

Exports from Pakistan and China continue to be off sharply from the levels of recent seasons as rising domestic use absorbs production gains. Despite a larger crop, Soviet exports as well as domestic use are expected to be restrained by the internal confusion over new policies.


Million 480-lb bales

| 1989/90: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supply-Beginning stocks | 7.1 | 5.2 | 9.2 | 8.7 | 23.1 | 30.2 |
| Production | 12.2 | 1.6 | 43.6 | 22.4 | 67.6 | 79.8 |
| Imports | 4/ | 16.0 | 2.9 | 6.0 | 24.9 | 24.9 |
| Use-i | 8.8 | 17.2 | 39.7 | 21.5 | 78.4 | 87.2 |
| Exports | 8.8 | 17.2 1.0 | 39.7 | 21.5 6.9 | 18.4 | 87.2 |
| Ending stocks | 3.0 | 4.4 | 7.2 | 8.6 | 20.2 | 23.2 |
| 1990/91: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supply-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning stocks | 3.0 | 4.4 | 7.2 | 8.6 | 20.2 | 23.2 |
| Production | 14.9 | 1.7 | 46.3 | 23.8 | 71.8 | 86.7 |
| Imports | 4/ | 15.2 | 2.8 | 6.2 | 24.2 | 24.3 |
| Use-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mill use | 8.4 7.0 | 16.1 | 39.4 8.5 | 22.4 | 77.9 | 86.3 |
| Ending stocks | 2.6 | 3.9 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 20.6 | 23.3 |

1/ Based on November 8, 1990, World Agricultural Supply and Demand Estimates report, 1990/91 projected. Totals may not add and stocks may not balance because of rounding, a small quantity of cotton destroyed, and unaccounted differences. 2/ Eastern Europe, Japan, Hong Kong, Republic of Korea, and Taiwan. 3/ Australia, China, Central America, Egypt, Mexico, Pakistan, Sudan, Turkey, and the USSR.
4/ Less than $50,000^{\prime}$ bales.

Exports from India are forecast up markedly because of the record crop. The French-speaking countries of West Africa and the Southern Hemisphere producers are also expected to expand exports significantly as their production rises and supplies of other exporters tighten.

## Stocks Remain Low

A limited recovery is projected for low foreign ending stocks. The stocks-to-use ratio is forecast at the second-lowest level on record, 26.5 percent. This will be up from last season's record low 25.8 percent. Beginning stocks for 1991/92 are forecast to remain nearly as tight as at the beginning of 1990/91.

Gains in foreign stocks may just be sufficient to push world stocks up slightly, despite the considerable tightening of U.S. stocks expected this season. But world stocks-to-use ratios will also still remain the second lowest historically. This tight supply relative to use will encourage production growth.

## Production Expected To Rise Again in 1991/92

Foreign producers are likely to increase output again in 1991/92. Following trends, yield growth is expected to outpace area growth, because expansion for much foreign area is limited by the high cost of adding irrigation.

India, Pakistan, the Southern Hemisphere producers, and the French-speaking countries of west Africa seem most likely to raise output, even though they are producing records or near records this season. India will continue expanding irrigated area, raising yields, and Pakistan's yields are rising because of continued improvements in cropping practices. In the Southern Hemisphere and French-speaking Africa, as long as cotton prices continue strong, cotton seems likely to remain attractive relative to competing crops.

But foreign gains will be exceptional only if the largest pro-ducers-China and the Soviet Union-also increase output. China probably will try again to increase incentives relative to other crops in order to achieve production that at least matches consumption levels. Soviet area likely will be reduced again, so production there will rise only if yield gains remain above average.

But, some of the foreign production gains likely will continue to be absorbed by producers' consumption growth in 1991/92. Thus, unless an offsetting recession sharply depresses importers' demand, overall use is likely to remain strong. And, world stocks would still be relatively tight at the end of 1991/92 unless U.S. production also shows additional gains.

## U.S. Wool Situation and Outlook

## Wool Business Slow

The latest data show third-quarter 1990 raw wool mill consumption at 29.5 million pounds, clean basis, 10 percent below the second quarter and 1 percent less than a year earlier. The worsted system used 15.1 million pounds, 14 percent below the second quarter and 6 percent less than third-quarter 1989. The woolen system used 10.3 million pounds, 18 percent lower than the second quarter but 5 percent above a year earlier (table H). Carpet mills consumed 4.1 million pounds, 40 percent more than the second quarter and 6 percent above the previous year. It is estimated that raw wool mill consumption in 1990 will be 123 million pounds (table I).

Worsted-system mill consumption share of the more expensive 60 's-and-finer grades was 70 percent in the third quarter, down from 73 percent in the second and 76 percent in the first. The woolen-system share of the 60 's-and-finer grades was 52 percent, down slightly from the second-quarter share but above 45 percent in the first. Sixties-and-finer

| Year | Apparel wool | Carpet wool | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000 lbs |  |  |
| Jan.-Dec: $\quad 128,082$ |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 106,051 | 10,562 | 116,613 |
| 1986 | 126,768 | 9,960 | 136,728 |
| 1987 | 129,677 | 13,092 | 142,769 |
| 1988 | 117,069 | 15,633 | 132,702 |
| 1989 | 112,998 | 14,122 | 127,120 |
| Jan.-Mar.: 36,623 - 30,438 |  |  |  |
| $1984$ | 36,623 | 3,438 | 40,069 |
| $1985$ | 26,846 | 3,000 | 29.846 |
| 1986 | 32,465 | 2,583 | 35,048 |
| 1987 | 33.801 | 2,828 | 36,629 |
| 1988 | 30.925 | 4,479 | 35,404 |
| 1989 | 32.103 | 3,294 |  |
| 1990 | 29,948 | 3,779 | 33,727 |
| Apr.-June: |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 36,252 | 3,940 | 40,192 |
| 1985 | 27,882 | 2.537 | 30,419 |
| 1986 | 33,653 | 2,387 | 36,040 |
| 1987 | 34.175 | 3,333 | 37,508 |
| 1988 | 30.087 | 3.819 | 33,906 |
| 1989 | 29.991 | 3.979 | 33,970 |
| 1990 | 29,998 | 2,923 | 32,921 |
| July-Sept: 29326 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1984 \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | 29,326 | 2.721 | 32,047 |
| 1985 | 25,025 30,106 | 2.887 | 27, 312 |
| 1987 | 30,041 | 3,748 | 33,789 |
| 1988 | 27,427 | 4,414 | 31,841 |
| 1989 | 25,983 | 3,865 | 29,848 |
| 1990 1/ | 25,431 | 4,088 | 29,519 |
| Oct.-Dec.: |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 26,781 |  |  |
| 1985 | 26. 298 | 2.138 | 28.436 |
| 1986 | 30,544 | 2,251 | 32,795 |
| $1987$ | 31.660 | 3.183 | 34,843 |
| $1988$ | 28,630 | 2,921 | 31,551 |
| 1989 | 24,921 | 2,984 | 27,905 |
| 1/ Preliminary. |  |  |  |


| 1 tem | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 1/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Million tbs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stocks, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January 1 Production | 58.9 | 51.6 47.1 | 50.6 45.3 | 46.8 45.3 | 45.3 48.0 | 45.9 | 77 51 |
| Imports | 94.2 | 79.5 | 97.0 | 105.1 | 96.7 | 106.9 | 67 |
| Diff. unace. | -10.0 | -9.6 | -8.6 | -8.1 | -0.2 | 205.4 | +195 |
| Total supply | 194.2 | 168.6 | 184.3 | 189.1 | 189.8 | 205.2 | 195 |
| Mill use | 142.1 | 116.6 | 136.7 | 142.8 | 132.7 | 127.1 | 123 |
| Exports | 0.5 142.6 | 118.4 | 137.5 | 143.8 | 133.9 | 128.3 | 125 |
| Stocks, December 31 | 51.6 | 50.6 | 46.8 | 45.3 | 55.9 | 76.9 | 70 |
| 1/ Estimated by USDA. All projections are rounded. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: USD | Bureau | Cens |  |  |  |  |  |

grades are mostly used in the finer suiting and coating fabrics.

Noncellulosic fibers used by apparel mills per pound of raw wool were 1.46 pounds in the third quarter, 1.44 in the second quarter, and 1.42 in the first. A greater share of noncellulosic fibers tends to be used when wool prices are relatively high. This ratio averaged 1.45 in 1989, 1.38 in 1988, and 1.17 in 1987.
U.S. prices of clean, mill-delivered territory raw wool by mid-November declined every week since the end of the last season. The slide in price is a reflection of sluggish mill demand and the unusually large supply overseas. Mid-November prices declined 7 to 14 percent from their June level. The 64's were $\$ 2.30$; the 62 's, $\$ 1.75$; and the 60 's, $\$ 1.40$. The simple average price received by farmers in November for raw wool, greasy basis, was $\$ 0.58$ per pound compared with $\$ 0.835$ in October and $\$ 1.02$ a year earlier (table J).

Australian raw wool prices in the United States during the first 4.5 months of this season peaked in August-September
because of the then relatively strong Australian dollar. By mid-November, however, prices had generally declined to levels of 3 years ago because of a weaker currency and slower demand. The 80 's dropped the most, 27 percent from the average August price to the mid-November \$5.71. The 70 's at $\$ 4.56$ were down 15 percent; the 64 's at $\$ 3.21$, were down 7 percent; the 58 's at $\$ 2.35$ and the 56 's at $\$ 2.09$, both down 7 percent.

## New Farm Bill Provisions

The Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act of 1990 authorizes commodity programs for marketing years 1991 through 1995. A major change is that for the first time, wool and mohair price support payments will be subject to a payment limitation. The following limits will be in effect for the respective marketing years: $\$ 200,000-1991 ; \$ 175,000-$ 1992; \$150,000-1993; \$125,000-1994 and 1995. Separate payment limitations will apply for wool and mohair. For example, in 1991, a person may receive a price support payment of $\$ 200,000$ for wool and another $\$ 200,000$ for mohair. The Secretary of Agriculture is directed to issue regulations

| Month | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 2/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cents/lb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 58.4 | 59.2 | 52.2 | 58.7 | 84.8 | 109.0 | 65.8 |
| February | 67.1 | 58.7 | 54.4 | 69.1 | 109.0 | 131.0 | 70.6 |
| March | 79.3 | 61.0 | 61.9 | 78.7 | 140.0 | 133.0 | 83.4 |
| April May | 87.9 86.5 | 67.9 68.5 | 70.0 | 99.7 106.0 | 153.0 166.0 | 135.0 136.0 | 92.6 |
| June | 86.6 | 69.8 | 75.5 | 108.0 | 161.0 | 134.0 | 93.4 |
| July | 82.3 | 64.0 | 67.5 | 87.0 | 134.0 | 121.0 | 80.4 |
| August | 78.5 | 60.2 | 65.9 | 83.1 | 122.0 | 112.0 | 74.4 |
| September | 74.3 | 59.5 | 57.6 | 93.6 | 113.0 | 115.0 | 71.9 |
| October November | 67.5 | 68.6 58.5 | 69.7 64.0 | 85.9 | 119.0 | 147.0 | 83.5 58.0 |
| December | 69.4 | 56.8 | 59.4 | 81.4 | 116.0 | 94.0 |  |
| Average | 79.5 | 63.3 | 66.8 | 91.7 | 138.0 | 124.0 |  |
| 1/ Weighted average market price. 2/ Preliminary and unweighted prices. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: | cul tu | Price | Nation | Agricu | ral | istics | vice, USDA |

requiring that wool and mohair producers meet a "person" requirement, consistent with that in effect for wheat, feed grains, cotton and rice, in order to qualify for payments. Price support payments for wool and mohair will not count against the payment limit in effect for any other price support commodities.

An amendment was also made to the 1985 provisions concerning the producer referendum held periodically to authorize deduction of a portion of wool and mohair price support payments to fund the advertising and promotion programs of the American Sheep Industry Association and the Mohair Council of America. Previously, for the referendum to pass, approval was required from at least two-thirds of the voting producers, or the producers accounting for two-thirds of the volume of production represented in the referendum. The new legislation changes the requirement from " $2 / 3$ " to "a majority."

The new legislation retained the parity-based formula used to determine the shorn wool support price, and mohair will continue to be supported at a level between 85 and 115 percent of the percentage of shom wool parity.

## Raw Wool Imports Down

U.S. imports of raw wool in the third quarter were 13.9 million pounds, clean, down 23 percent from the second quarter and 33 percent below a year earlier. Imports of the 48 's-andfiner grades (formerly "dutiable"), at 9.6 million pounds, were 37 percent lower than a year earlier (table K). Almost 94 percent came from three countries: Australia, 87 percent; New Zealand, 4 percent; and Uruguay, 3 percent.

Imports of unimproved and other grades not finer-than-46's (formerly "duty-free") were 4.3 million pounds, 22 percent less than a year earlier. More than 94 percent was imported from two countries: New Zealand, 82 percent; and the United Kingdom, 12 percent.

The share of raw wool imports entering the United States in third-quarter 1990 through New England and Middle Atlantic customs districts was 31 percent (table L). The amount
entering through the South Atlantic and other customs districts constituted a 69-percent share.

The share of raw wool imports not finer-than-46's in the third quarter of 1990 entering through the New England and Middle Atlantic customs districts was 62 percent, down from an average of 70 percent in the first 2 quarters.

The share of the 48 's-and-finer entering the United States through the New England and Middle Atlantic customs districts in third quarter was 17 percent, up from 10 percent in both the first and second quarters. This change reflects a

| Year | and finer 1/ | Not finer than 46's 2/ | Misc. 3/ | rotal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000 lbs |  |  |  |
| Jan.-Dec.: 50.164 NA 79408 |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 66,090 | 30,901 | NA | 96,991 |
| 1987 | 74,054 | 31,066 | NA | 105,120 |
| 1988 | 72,323 | 24,418 | NA | 96,741 |
| 1989 | 77,003 | 29,889 | 48 | 106,940 |
| Jan.-Mar.: 15.169 |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 15.169 | 7,397 6.910 | NA | 22,536 |
| 1987 | 20,434 | 5.805 | NA | 26,239 |
| 1988 | 26,763 | 6.753 | NA | 33,516 |
| 1989 | 20,166 | 8,815 | 1 | 28,982 |
| 1990 | 14,465 | 6,697 | 33 | 21,195 |
| Apr_-June: 0.669 |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 16,744 | 7,401 | NA | 24,145 |
| 1987 | 21.829 | 9.126 | NA | 30,954 |
| 1988 | 19.150 | 5,965 | NA | 25,115 |
| 1989 | 22,507 | 9,265 | 17 | 31,789 |
| 1990 | 10,962 | 7,070 | 0 | 18,031 |
| July-Sept: 19.573 |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 12,922 | 8,235 | NA | 21,157 |
| 1987 | 13,974 | 9.761 | NA | 23,735 |
| 1988 | 9.940 | 6.141 | NA | 16,081 |
| 1989 | 15,328 | 5,500 | 30 | 20,859 |
| 1990 | 9,607 | 4,275 | 0 | 13,882 |
| Oct.-Dec.: 13.790 |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 16,676 | 8,355 | NA | 25,032 |
| 1987 | 17.818 | 6,374 | NA | 24,192 |
| 1988 | 16,470 | 5,558 | NA | 22,028 |
| 1989 | 19,002 | 6,309 | -- | 25,312 |

NA = Not available.
1/ Formerly "Dutiable." 2/ Formerly "Duty-free."
$3 /$ Raw wool, not carded or combed but processed beyond the degreased condition e.g. dyed. Grade is not identified. Harmonized TSUSA 5101.21.6000, 5101.29.6000, and 5101.30.6000.

Source: Bureau of the Census.


D = Data withheld to avoid disclosing figures for individual companies.
1/ Imports entered through customs districts in the respective regions. 2/ Data not available for earlier years.
Source: Bureau of the Census.
larger use of finer wool grades in the Northeast States in the third quarter compared with the first 2.

Top production in the third quarter was 14.5 million pounds, down 12 percent from the second and 4 percent less than a year earlier. Top production in the first 3 quarters of 1990 , 48 million pounds, was 1 percent less than a year earlier. Top exports in the third quarter were 2.4 million pounds, down 7 percent from the second but 58 percent more than a year earlier. Top exports in the first 9 months of 1990 were 6.2 million pounds, 32 percent below the period a year ago. Five countries accounted for more than 82 percent of exports: Japan, 52 percent; Korea, 12 percent; Canada, 9 percent; Taiwan and Turkey, 5 percent each. The average unit value of the January-September top exports was $\$ 3.22$ compared with $\$ 4.43$ a year earlier.

Top imports in the January-September 1990 period were 262,000 pounds, down 48 percent from a year ago. Five countries accounted for more than 95 percent: Chile, 30 percent; Uruguay and the United Kingdom, 23 percent each; and Israel and Australia, 10 percent each. The average unit value for the January-September 1990 period was $\$ 3.03$ compared with $\$ 4.08$ a year earlier.

## Foreign Wool Situation and Outlook

## Continued Excess Supply

Four and one-half months into the 1990/91 season, the world wool market continues deeply depressed, with supply greatly exceeding demand. The situation is most acute in Australia, the world's largest producer and exporter. The percent of the offering that had to be purchased by the Australian Wool Corporation (AWC) increased each month this season from 47 percent in July to more than 72 percent in October. By mid-November the proportion purchased averaged 66 percent. This large purchasing effort caused the AWC stockpile to rise to more than 4.3 million bales, 43 percent above the close of last season (fig. 10). Such massive market support was necessary to maintain the Australian Market Indicator (a weighted-average index of 13 wool categories) above the AWC-designated floor price of A700 cents per kg. The market indicator fell from A724 cents in July to A703 cents in October and early November.

The latest Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics forecast estimated 1990/91 wool production to be slightly less than 2.4 billion pounds, 1.7 percent below last season. The number of sheep shorn is expected to be 216 million, just slightly above last year. Average fleece weight will be down more than 3 percent because of dry conditions in some areas. AWC closing stocks for the 1990/91 season are forecast to be 4.7 million bales, 76 percent of the season's production and 55 percent above the June 1990 level. The number of sheep operators is not expected to de-

Fgure 10
Australlan Wool Corporation Stockpile and Market Indicator


November 1990 figures are mid-month.
1/Clean basis. 2/Greasy basis.
cline significantly despite the 25 -percent tax on their gross sales and the lower floor price, because altemative agricultural activities such as cattle or grains offer less economic incentives.

In early November the Australian Wool Corporation announced a series of production control steps "to ensure that the quantity of wool offered for sale next year will not exceed global demand." Individual sheep producers will be assigned a quota calculated from a base period such that the total 1991/92 production will not exceed 1.65 billion pounds ( 750 million kilograms). The 1990/91 production is forecast to be about 2.23 billion pounds, 3.5 percent below last year. The production goal for next season will mean a reduction of 26 percent from this season's production.

To achieve this 1991/92 production ceiling, the AWC plans to remove 15 to 20 million sheep from Australian flocks, which were forecast to be about 175 million at the start of the 1990/91 season. It is estimated that the flock reduction will result in a removal of at least 110 million pounds, greasy basis, from shorn wool offerings.

In order to finance this proposal, the 25 -percent tax on sheep producers' wool sales will be continued and the AWC will borrow up to $\mathrm{A} \$ 2.5$ billion. In addition, negotiations with the USSR will address Soviet financial problems that could otherwise curb their relatively large imports of recent years. The Australian Government and the Australian Council of Wool Exporters plan to offer the Soviet Union a revolving credit plan of $\$$ A 400 million to enable them to buy wool. The discussions will include resolving the current Soviet debt of \$A84 million for previous wool purchases.

New Zealand's wool market exhibited continued sluggish behavior with almost 40 percent of the 1990/91 season completed by mid-November. The New Zealand Wool Board
(NZWB) had to increase its purchases of the offering from 20 percent in August to 25 percent in September and 27 percent in October. In first-half November the purchase was 15 percent. The market intervention caused the NZWB stockpile to rise 14 percent during the season to about 560,000 bales (fig. 11). The New Zealand market indicator dropped from the opening of NZ493 cents per kg. clean, to NZ486 cents in August, NZ473 cents in September, and NZ475 cents through October 19. In late October the NZWB then lowered its support, causing the indicator to drop to NZ418 cents. By mid-November it averaged NZ403 cents.

New Zealand 1990/91 wool production is forecast at 669 million pounds, 2 percent less than last year. This decline resulted from a switch by sheep growers to cattle and a lower clip per head. The sheep population at the start of the season was 58.2 million, down 4 percent from a year earlier.

Figure $H$

## New Zealand Wool Board Stockpile and Market Indicator



Figure 12
South African Wool Board Stockplle and
Market Indicator


November 1990 figures are mid-mionth.
1/ Clean basis. 2/ Greasy basis.

Performance of the South African wool market in September and October was quite similar to the Australian and New Zealand markets. The market indicator opened the season averaging SA1, 467 cents per kg in September, then declined to an average of SA1,403 cents in October. By mid-November it reached SA1,378 cents (fig. 12). The South African raw wool stockpile in this 2.5 -month period rose almost 65 percent, reaching 311,000 bales by mid-November. The South African Wool Board bought 62 percent of the wool offered in August, 75 percent in September, and an average of 70 percent in early November.

## Mohair

U.S. mohair exports in the 9 months January-September 1990 were 9 million pounds, clean, 75 percent more than a year earlier. The value of these shipments was $\$ 11$ million, with an average unit value of $\$ 1.22$ per pound, one-half the average unit value a year earlier. More than 95 percent went to five countries: the United Kingdom, 78 percent; India, 8 percent; Belgium, 4 percent; France and Italy, 3 percent each. Exports in 1990 are expected to be 12.5 million pounds, 17 percent more than last year (table M).

Exports of fine animal hair (including mohair), carded or combed, in the first 9 months of 1990 were almost 1.4 million pounds, almost 4 times a year earlier. The average unit value was $\$ 2.64$ per pound, 23 percent below a year ago. About 90 percent went to five countries: India, 63 percent; Taiwan and the United Kingdom, 8 percent each; Germany, 7 percent; and Mexico, 5 percent.

Mohair sales this year, especially in the third quarter, have been sluggish. This depressed mohair state reflects not only the current low popularity of mohair in the apparel market but also the depressed textile industry conditions worldwide. November adult hair prices averaged $\$ 0.75$ (down 32 percent from last spring); young goat, $\$ 1.10$ (down 25 percent); and kid, $\$ 4.00$ (unchanged).

The depressed world demand had a particularly severe impact on the South African mohair market. Mohair sales have been estimated to be 25 percent of this year's production. Furthermore, the current South African stockpile has been estimated at 25 million pounds, up from 6 million a year earlier. The cumulative clearance for the first six winter season mohair sales (September to mid-November) was 21 percent compared to 38 percent in the previous season (March-July 1990), 49 percent in the September 1989-February 1990 season, and 55 percent in March-July 1989.

| Item | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 1/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Million lbs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stocks ${ }_{\text {jan }}$ |  | 1,020 |  | 1,541 | 1,778 | 1,404 | 1,700 |
| Production | 9,250 | 10,990 | 13,510 | 13,990 | 13,170 | 13,110 | 13,500 |
| Imports Diff. unacc. | -1,035 | -1,035 | 1,43 16.263 | 372 | +975 | -1,317 | 1 -5 |
| Dotal supply | -1,470 | -10,995 | 16,263 | 15,890 | 15,982 | 13,200 | 15,200 |
| Mill use | 7700 | 800 |  | 1100 | 14, 200 | ${ }^{800}$ | 12800 |
| Exports | 7,750 | 8,991 | 14,622 | 14,012 | 14,378 | 10,700 | 12,500 13,300 |
| Total use | 8,450 | 9,691 | 14,722 | 14,112 | 14,578 | 11,500 | 13,300 |
| Stocks ${ }_{\text {dec }}$ | 1,020 | 1,304 | 1,541 | 1,778 | 1,404 | 1,700 | 1,900 |
| 1/ Estimated by USDA. All projections are rounded. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: USDA and Bureau of the Census. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Manmade Fibers

Manmade fiber sales in third-quarter 1990 declined from the second quarter but were about the same level as the first quarter. Production was 6 percent below the second quarter and 5 percent below a year earlier. Fiber stocks in producers' plants at the end of the third quarter were down 1 percent from a year earlier. However, stock change varied by fiber group. Nylon filament and staple stocks rose 20 percent, while polyester filament and staple stocks declined about 16 percent, and acrylic stocks rose 30 percent. The rise in nylon stocks was principally in carpet fibers while the polyester and acrylic stock changes were in apparel-type fibers. Mill consumption in the third quarter was 2.23 billion pounds, 2.3 percent below the second, and 1.4 percent below a year earlier.

Producer plants operated at an average capacity of 80 percent, compared with 86 percent in both the second quarter and a year earlier. Staple plants operated at an average of 79 percent while filament plants were at 82 percent. To obtain a reasonable rate of return, producers must generally operate at 85 to 90 percent of capacity.

The carpet industry continues to be the largest market for manmade fibers (appendix table 15). In second-quarter 1990, it accounted for 37 percent of domestic shipments. About 743 million pounds were used in the second quarter, 1 percent below the first. Most of this decline occurred in polyester staple carpet shipments. Nylon is the most important carpet fiber, but its share, currently about 62 percent, has been declining from a decade ago when the share was more than 70 percent. Olefin fiber is the second-largest carpet fiber, and its second-quarter market share was almost 33 percent, double the share in the late 1970 's. Preliminary data for the third quarter indicate about 454 million pounds of nylon were used, down 1.4 percent from the second quarter.

Woven textiles remain the second-largest manmade fiber market. Noncellulosic fiber use in the second quarter was 504 million pounds, up 9 percent from the first. Polyester fibers in this market, 285 million pounds, were up 6 percent from the previous quarter. However, polyester use in woven textile products is $10-15$ percent below a few years ago, reflecting increased use of cotton. Olefin fiber in woven textiles, at 126 million pounds, was a record high. Its biggest growth has been filament use in upholstery and industrial fabric. Second-quarter filament woven use, 111 million pounds, was almost one-third above the average quarterly use in 1988 and 1989. This increase, however, reflected reporting by new producers rather than growth in end use.

The knit market took 331 million pounds in the second quarter, 4 percent above the first. About 200 million pounds of polyester, the major knit fiber, were used in the second quarter, up 8 percent from the first quarter. Polyester fibers were 60 percent of the knit market. Nylon fibers increased almost 15 percent from the first quarter, accounting for 18 percent of the knit market.

The prices of raw materials used to make noncellulosic fibers continued to be influenced by the crisis in the Middle East. The price of benzene, a starting point for many chemicals, is very sensitive to the volatile oil market as well as to the demand of its derivatives. The average October spot price of oil was double the July price while the price of benzene increased 67 percent (table N ).

In late November, the average price of petroleum dropped 10 percent from the average October price while the comparable benzene price dropped 23 percent. The explanation is that the price of the higher octane gasoline blends has risen more than the lower octane grades, causing less demand for the former and more for the latter. As a result there is more xylene (an octane enhancer) available as a supply source of benzene.

The price of para-xylene (a raw material for polyester fiber) increased in November and October about 28 percent from July to about 28 cents per pound. Producers wanted a higher price but consumers facing poor fiber demand and bottle prices at a ceiling competitive with glass and aluminum caused a compromise. The price of cyclohexane (a basic chemical for nylon production) rose 42 percent in OctoberNovember above the July level. Caprolactam's price remained unchanged because of the depressed nylon demand. Caprolactam is a raw material used to make nylon. Industry
contacts report that the list price of $\$ 0.89$ per pound is discounted as much as 25 percent.

Propylene, a precursor for acrylonitrile (a raw material for acrylic fibers and olefin fibers) rose in price about 50 percent to $\$ 0.25$ per pound from the July average. The price of acrylonitrile remained at 0.35 because of sluggish demand. Ethylene glycol's low price in the fiber market, 26 cents, is unusual. This price has remained at that level because of depressed fiber demand despite the price of 32 cents in the antifreeze and industrial markets.


# U.S. Cotton Mill Consumption During <br> Periods of Economic Contraction and Malaise 

by<br>Leslie A. Meyer and Scott Sanford*


#### Abstract

The domestic mill consumption of cotton has changed dramatically over the past 20 years. This article profiles cotton mill use and cotton's share of fibers consumed, and compares these data with several other economic variables during periods of economic contraction. During the previous 3 contractions, cotton mill use weakened while cotton's share actually expanded.
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Domestic mill consumption of cotton in the United States is forecast to decline in 1990/91, following 5 years of strong growth. Several factors support the anticipated decline. Among them are: a tighter supply of cotton in 1990/91, competition from manmade fibers, and larger cotton textile imports. Perhaps the dominant consideration influencing this season's forecast of lower mill use is extremely limited supply. However, there are now growing concerns about the strength of the general economy. This article qualitatively examines domestic mill consumption of cotton and cotton's share of fibers used over the past 2 decades, with emphasis on the last 3 economic contractions. In addition, the historical movement of mill use and share is presented in comparison with several economic variables that are of current interest.

## Data and Methodology

The principal data presented here are monthly domestic mill use of cotton on a seasonally adjusted annual rate basis, and the share of fibers used on the cotton spinning system. These measures of cotton use are compared with several closely watched leading indicators of the general economy's strength, such as the price of crude oil, the price of common stocks, the level of personal consumption expenditures, and inventory/sales ratios at apparel and accessory stores.

In most cases, the impact of any one of these variables on cotton consumption is neither immediate nor direct, and in some instances the measure presented here may be viewed as a proxy for a more relevant determinant of cotton use. For instance, a rise in current oil prices may be viewed as a harbinger of higher polyester prices in the future, which, taken into consideration with any change in cotton fiber prices, may greatly influence mill use of cotton.

[^1]The data presented here correspond to cotton crop years 1971/72 to date. Data sources include the U.S. Department of Commerce's Bureau of the Census and Bureau of Economic Analysis, and the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Economic Research Service. The periods of economic contraction presented here are those so determined by the National Bureau of Economic Research at Cambridge, Massachusetts and are: November 1973 through February 1975; January 1980 through June 1980; and July 1981 through October 1982 (all months inclusive).

## Issues Considered

Through the first 3 months of the current crop year, domestic mill consumption of cotton has been remarkably strong, causing some apprehension among forecasters over estimates of lower mill use this season. Mill use is expected to declinethe key questions are: When, and in what magnitude? Also, what historical patterns corroborate econometric forecasts of lower mill consumption in 1990/91. These and other questions are considered in this article, among them:

- What has happened to domestic mill use of cotton during economic contractions?
- What has happened to cotton's share of fibers consumed during contractions?
- Are movements in domestic mill use a reflection of the status of the general economy?


## MIII Use and Share Over the Past 20 Years

Domestic mill consumption of cotton on the cotton spinning system has shown significant change over the past 2 decades in response to shifts in consumer tastes and preferences as well as business cycles. Monthly domestic mill consumption of cotton, at a seasonally adjusted annual rate, trended downward in the 1970's, but made a recovery in the 1980's. The general decline and subsequent rise in cotton mill use
during the 1970's and 1980's is largely attributed to polyester's favor among consumers in the first decade and cotton's rise to prominence in the latter.

In addition to the impact of these longer term changes in consumer tastes, relatively short-term changes, such as business cycles, have impacted mill use of cotton. For instance, during the 3 periods of economic contraction shown in fig. A-1, cotton consumption by mills weakened substantially.

A cursory analysis of the declines in cotton mill use since 1971 indicates that in each of the 3 contraction periods mill consumption fell. However, cotton mill use also declined in other periods during the 1980's which were not associated with contractions. Thus, while we may associate contractions with declines in mill use, declines in mill use are not necessarily associated with contractions.

Mirroring domestic mill consumption, cotton's share of fibers consumed on the cotton system declined in the 1970's but made a comeback in the 1980's. However, cotton's share actually increased during the economic contractions irrespective of the divergent overall trends exhibited between the 2 decades. The rise in cotton's share during the contractions, despite an absolute decrease in cotton use, is due to an overall larger reduction in manmade fiber use.

Part of the explanation is that during periods of contraction, consumption of industrial end-use products tends to take a disproportionately large cut as expenditures on durables fall
more rapidly than expenditures on nondurables. Cotton is a relatively small contributor to fiber consumed in industrial end uses. For instance, cotton contributed less than 25 percent of the fiber used in industrial end uses in 1974, while manmade fibers accounted for most of the remainder. In 1980 and 1982, cotton's share of industrial end uses fell to less than 20 percent, and the 1989 estimate is less than 15 percent.

Domestic mill use of cotton and manmade fibers for the first and last 3 months of the most recent economic contractions are presented in table A-1. Cotton consumption by mills during the 1973-75 contraction declined 100 million pounds between the first and last 3 months of the period, a drop of over 33 percent. Manmade fiber use during this contraction also declined dramatically. Although consumption of manmade fibers fell only 58 million pounds during the period, this represents a 37 -percent fall from the first 3 months of the contraction.

During the 6 -month contraction in 1980, cotton use between the first and last half of the period decreased 2 percent while manmade fiber use dropped 7 percent. For the most recent economic contraction (1981-82), cotton mill use declined about 12 million pounds ( 5 percent) between the first and last 3 months of the period, while manmade fiber use for the same period slipped 25 million pounds, a decrease of nearly 16 percent.

Figure A-1
Cotton Mill Use and Share of Fibers Consumed on the Cotton System


| Economic contraction | cotton | Manmade | Unemployment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---Million pounds--- |  | Percent |
| Nov. 1973 - Feb. 1975 |  |  |  |
| First 3 months average | 301.3 | 157.6 | 4.9 |
| Last 3 months average | 201.3 | 99.2 | 7.8 |
| Percent change | -33.2 | -37.1 | 59.2 |
| Jan. 1980 - Jun. 1980 |  |  |  |
| First 3 months average | 271.7 | 178.9 | 6.3 |
| Last 3 months average | 265.8 | 166.1 | 7.3 |
| Percent change | -2. 2 | -7.2 | 15.9 |
| Jul. 1981 - Oct. 1982 |  |  |  |
| First 3 months average | 225.9 | 161.3 | 7.4 |
| Last 3 months average | 214.0 | 136.1 | 10.1 |
| Percent change | -5.3 | -15.6 | 36.5 |

Also presented in table A-1 are the average unemployment rates during the 3 contractions. These figures indicate larger declines in cotton and manmade fiber consumption as unemployment rates surge. During the 1973-75 contraction, unemployment rose from 4.9 percent in the first 3 months to 7.8 percent in the last 3 months-an increase of over 59 percent. To illustrate the severity of this contraction, the most recent economic contractions showed much smaller jumps in unemployment (and correspondingly smaller drops in fiber use). During 1980, unemployment increased about 15.9 percent, while in 1981-82, unemployment rose 36.5 percent. From mid-1988 through mid-1990, monthly unemployment rates averaged 5.3 percent. Since July 1990, however, the rate has been rising and is currently at 5.7 percent.

These examples indicate the differing effects of contractions on domestic mill use of fibers. As previously indicated, cotton increased its share during these periods. Although cotton's share subsequently declined following the first 2 economic contractions and continued its downward trend, this was not the case after the most recent contraction. Cotton share reversed the downward trend and moved upward, reflecting a shift in consumer preference toward cotton fiber.

## Real Personal Consumption Expenditures

The level of real personal consumption expenditures, like cotton mill use and its share, has also experienced some changes over the past 20 years. But unlike cotton consumption, these expenditures have trended upward since crop year 1971 (figs. A-2 and A-3). The level of real personal consumption expenditures on a seasonally adjusted annual rate basis has risen 76 percent to date from August 1971, while cotton consumption on a seasonally adjusted annual rate basis has only recently returned to 1971 levels. Although consumption expenditures have continued upward, the pace stabilized or dipped slightly during the last 3 economic contractions.

A closer look at real personal consumption expenditures reveals that its three components (durables, nondurables, and services) have progressed differently over time and have been affected in various ways during economic contractions (fig. A-4). As illustrated, expenditures on durables, nondurables, and services increased during the past 2 decades; however, each component's share of total expenditures has been altered.

In August 1971, durables, nondurables, and services accounted for approximately 12,42 , and 47 percent of total expenditures, respectively. Currently, the respective percentages are 16,34 , and 50 . As expenditures on nondurables, the principal end use for cotton fiber, become a smaller proportion of total expenditures, economic contractions have a lesser effect on this sector and a greater influence on durables. Service expenditures, even during contractions, have continued to climb as more individuals and families become reliant upon this sector. As expenditures for the services sector continue to rise, they may become more of a stabilizing effect on personal consumption expenditures during economic contractions in the future.

## Inventory/Sales Ratios and Mill Use

Inventory-to-sales ratios (I/S) for apparel and accessory stores are available only since late 1980 and thus provide limited observation on their behavior during economic contractions. However, one would expect these ratios to increase before and/or during a contraction as inventory builds and sales decline. This is what happened during the 1981-82 contraction (fig. A-5).

It is also expected that domestic mill consumption of cotton would exhibit an inverse relationship with the I/S ratio. That is, as inventory builds, mills would cut back on output and consumption of cotton until conditions improve-and respond similarly when sales are soft. On at least two occasions in which mill use declined in the 1980 's, I/S ratios increased-crop years 1981 and 1983.

Since the early 1980's I/S ratios have generally decreased. While they appear to bear some relationship to domestic mill use, I/S ratios may lose some of their significance for this comparison in the future. Advances in computer technology
are enabling retail store managers to monitor stocks more closely than was earlier possible. When the industry's "quick response" inventory management system becomes widely adopted, I/S ratios may stabilize at lower levels and

Figure A-2
U.S. Mill Consumption of Cotton and Personal Consumption Expenditures


Figure A-3
Cotton's Share of Mill Consumption and Personal Consumption Expenditures

lose some of their significance as indicators of future mill use.

## Stock Prices and Mill Use

The level of cotton mill use, and stock prices as measured by the Standard and Poor's index of 500 common stocks (S\&P 500 ), both exhibit a tendency to decline during contractions in the economy (fig. A-6). However, neither has a particularly good track record as an indicator of economic contraction. The obvious case in point is the dramatic dip in each that occurred in 1987, a year when the general economy experienced no contraction.

Since around 1983, the S\&P 500 and mill use of cotton have followed very similar patterns. If this pattern of movement were to persist, then the recent dip in the value of stocks would lend support to the forecasts of lower mill consumption this season.

## The Price of Oil and Cotton Mill Use

The doubling of crude oil prices during the past few months has focused much attention on this commodity and its relationship to the health of the general economy. Based upon the visual evidence of the past 2 decades, concern seems warranted. Each of the last 3 contractions has been either accompanied or preceded by a runup in oil prices.

More complicated is a visual interpretation of the relationship between oil prices and mill use of cotton over this pe-
riod. Through 1985, these variables appear to be inversely related-that is, as oil prices rise, mill use of cotton falls (fig. A-7). However, the changing trends in consumer tastes and preferences during this period may obscure the true relationship. Since 1985, mill consumption of cotton and the index of oil prices have moved in a similar manner. Economic theory, as outlined earlier, suggests that such movement may be expected. Rises in oil prices push manmade fiber prices higher, which, other factors being constant, should induce substitution in favor of cotton, (the relatively lower priced fiber). Thus, the recent rise in oil prices would be expected to boost cotton mill use assuming cotton supplies were adequate and the general economy stable.

Beyond the immediate potential impact upon domestic mill use of cotton through higher manmade fiber prices, rising oil prices may influence cotton use more substantially, and over a much longer period, through their potential impact on the general economy. Higher real oil prices tend to reduce growth in disposable income, which in turn may cause personal consumption expenditures to soften. The graphic evidence presented here lends support to this scenario.

Prior to their recent rise, real oil prices had fallen to levels of the early 1970's, about 70 percent below their peak in the early 1980's. Falling real oil prices were accompanied by substantial growth in personal consumption expenditures and domestic mill use of cotton. While the recent oil price rise is substantial, real prices were higher through much of the 1980's. Thus, while the recent oil price runup may weigh on

Figure A-4
U.S. Personal Consumption Expenditures* on Durables, Nondurables, and Services

cotton mill use this season, recent price levels are relatively modest by historical standards and may not hold mill use down over the long run. Interestingly, current levels of domestic mill use of cotton are nearly identical with those of the early 1970's.

## Concluding Observations

Domestic mill consumption of cotton made dramatic strides in the 1980's. After overcoming the downward trend of the 1970's, cotton mill use and its share generated a comeback

Figure A-5
Cotton Mill Use and the Inventory/Sales
Ratio for Apparel and Accessory Stores


Figure A-6
Cotton Mill Use and the S\&P 500 Index


Figure A-7

## U.S. Mill Consumption of Cotton and Index of Real Domestic Crude Oil Price


as consumers' tastes and preferences increasingly turned to natural fibers. Although cotton mill use and share generally move in the same direction over the period of the data presented, they have moved in opposite directions during economic contractions. Cotton's share rose during periods of contraction due to larger declines in manmade fiber use than in cotton use.

While total personal consumption expenditures stabilized or decreased slightly during economic contractions, expenditures for durable goods have historically seen a larger cutback than for nondurables. Service expenditures, on the other hand, have continued upward, becoming more of a stabilizing factor in total expenditures.

The economic indicators presented here give some insight into the future direction of cotton mill use and its share based on their historical concurrent movement. However, there is little conclusive evidence, and some indicators may be viewed as having offsetting effects. For instance, the recent rise in oil prices should bolster cotton mill use by generating higher polyester prices. However, should the oil price rise induce an economic downturn, mill use may instead decline.

The value of common stocks and mill use of cotton have moved similarly in recent years, and should this pattern persist, mill use of cotton may be expected to decline. Inventory/sales ratios generally move in the opposite direction of mill use, and these ratios are currently low and stable.

Perhaps the most significant indicator, personal consumption expenditures, has been somewhat stagnant recently, implying a similar pattern in mill use. In general, based on the most recent available statistics, few of the indicators presented here imply substantial downturns in domestic mill use of cotton this season, but rather suggest stagnant to slightly declining levels of cotton mill use.
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#### Abstract

Information on U.S. and foreign yarn production capacity and comparative costs of production are presented. Results indicate that U.S. textile mills remain a strong competitor in the global cotton yarn market.
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## Introduction

In textile and apparel manufacturing, the yarn spinning operation is the most critical step in turning individual fibers into usable consumer products. Virtually all fiber is spun into yarn before further fabrication. Costs associated with this process and the quality of the yarn produced are key factors in the competitiveness of textile firms and of textile producing nations.

Two primary methods of yarn spinning are used throughout the world: ring spinning and open-end spinning. Approximately $80-85$ percent of cotton yarn is produced by ring spinning, and $15-20$ by the open-end process.

The traditional ring spinning process involves passing fibers through rollers of the spinning frame where the strands are twisted $10-30$ times per inch to form a strong yarn. The yarn is then wound onto conical, foot-long bobbins. Yam produced by this method ranges from the coarsest yarns for such products as mops and ropes, to the finest yarns for use in specialty fabrics and fine apparel. Improvements in technology over the years have greatly increased processing speeds and yarn quality while significantly reducing labor requirements. Modern ring spinning equipment operates at approximately $10,000-20,000$ revolutions per minute, more than double the speeds of 20 years ago.

Open-end spinning eliminates some of the earlier steps in ring spinning, resulting in lower processing costs and shorter manufacturing runs. With speeds of 60,000 revolutions per minute, the production rate of open-end equipment is significantly higher than with ring spinning.

To produce open-end spun yarn, fibers are drawn into the system, where a small roller pulls off individual fibers which then enter an airstream and finally a rapidly spinning rotor.

[^2]Fibers are deposited on the perimeter of the rotor where they are evenly distributed in a small groove. Then, using a started yarn, the rotor twists the fibers together with a spinning action. Yarn from open-end spinning is much more uniform than ring-spun yarn but is considerably weaker and has a harsher feel. Its properties are well suited for heavier fabrics such as denim and corduroy.

In recent years, especially since 1980, most major cotton consuming countries have substantially modernized their textile industries. Today, most large mills worldwide use similar processing technologies and equipment, resulting in highly competitive yarn and fabric markets.

This article examines the competitive position of U.S. cotton yarn producers with respect to producers in five other major countries. Information is developed on processing capacity of the different methods of spinning, and on comparative costs of yarn manufacturing among countries. The data were obtained largely from reports of the International Textile Manufacturers Federation, Zurich, Switzerland, and are based on industry information supplied by member countries.

## Global Yarn Spinning Capacity

Cotton yarn processing capacity as measured by the number of spindles and rotors in place in major areas of the world is presented in table B-1. During the period 1983 to 1988, the number of ring spindles increased only about 1.5 percent to 154 million, while open-end rotors grew by over 51 percent to 8 million rotors. Overall, combined world capacity (ring spindles and rotors) increased 3 percent while world cotton consumption increased by over 21 percent during the same period. The significantly higher capacity utilization can be attributed to improvements in machine speed, longer hours operated per spindle or rotor, and especially the continued growth of open-end equipment in most producing countries.

For the major developed textile producing areas (United States and Europe), a sharp decline in the number of ring

| Area | Ring spinning |  |  | Open-end spinning |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1983 | 1988 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change } \\ & 1983-88 \end{aligned}$ | 1983 | 1988 | Change 1983-88 |
|  | Mil. | indtes | \% |  | tors | \% |
| Africa | 6.9 | 7.5 | +8.7 | . 09 | . 14 | +55.6 |
| United States | 14.9 | 12.9 | -13.4 | . 25 | . 66 | +164.0 |
| Other North America | 4.3 | 4.8 | +11.6 | . 05 | . 09 | +80.0 |
| South America | 8.8 | 9.1 | +3.4 | . 13 | . 18 | +38.5 |
| China | 21.4 | 26.1 | +22.0 | . 08 | . 20 | +150.0 |
| Other Asia and Oceania | 54.7 | 59.7 | +9.1 | . 57 | . 76 | +33.3 |
| Western Europe | 13.9 | 12.3 | -11.5 | . 56 | . 68 | +21.4 |
| Eastern Europe | 23.7 | 17.6 | -25.7 | 3.54 | 5.18 | +46.3 |
| Turkey | 3.1 | 3.9 | +25.8 | . 02 | . 11 | +450.0 |
| World | 151.7 | 153.9 | +1.5 | 5.29 | 8.00 | +51.2 |
| Source: Internation | Textil | anufact | ers feder |  |  |  |

spinning spindles has been partially offset by increases in the number of open-end installations. In many cases, especially in the United States, rapidly rising textile imports have displaced domestic production. In the United States, combined capacity shrank by about 10.5 percent over the 5 -year period 1983-89, while the volume of raw cotton spun into yarn increased by over 30 percent. A number of U.S. textile mills have closed, although those remaining have operated at a high level of capacity. Also, the continued strong demand for coarse-yarn fabrics such as denim has encouraged further adoption of open-end spinning technology.

While a number of emerging textile producing nations such as Turkey, China, Brazil, India, and some Caribbean countries continue to develop their textile industries, the United States has also improved its share of world cotton yarn output. For example, in 1983, the United States accounted for about 10 percent of world spinning capacity and about 8.5 percent of global yam production. By 1988, the U.S. share of capacity dropped to just over 8 percent, but its share of world output totaled over 10 percent. In contrast, China, the world's largest cotton producer and consumer, experienced an increase in share of world spinning capacity from 13.7 percent in 1983 to about 16.3 percent by 1988. However, China's share of output did not grow, remaining at about 23 percent of the total.

## U.S. Average Yarn Costs

The level of costs associated with spinning yarn is a primary competitive factor within the U.S. textile sector as well as among foreign producers. One pound of yarn can yield between 1.5 and 4.5 square yards of fabric depending on fabric type or construction. Therefore, cost of yarn production plays a critical part in the selling price of fabric and apparel.

Data from the International Textile Manufacturers Federation (TTMF) survey indicate that in the United States, raw materials, or cotton, represented about 55 percent of total yarn manufacturing costs in 1990 (fig. B-1). Labor, the nextlargest single cost, accounted for 16 percent, while interest and depreciation, power, and other costs combined were 29 percent of spinning costs. The ITMF cost data for the United States and other member nations surveyed are for the production of ring-spun 20 's yarn, using mid-South type SLM 1-1/16 inch cotton to facilitate comparisons among countries.

On a per-pound basis, U.S. yarn costs have increased from $\$ 1.03$ in 1985 to $\$ 1.28$ a pound in 1990 (fig. B-2). Between

Figure B-1 Raw Materials a Major Part of U.S. Cotton Yarn Costs, 1990


Figure B-2

## U.S. Cotton Yarn Production Costs Rising



1985 and 1989, however, raw materials or cotton costs were almost constant at 60 cents a pound, labor increased only about 5 cents, while other costs rose by 11 cents a pound. Most of the increase in "other costs" since 1985 reflects higher interest and depreciation associated with continued investment in new equipment by the textile industry. The sharply higher U.S. cotton prices in 1990, shown in fig. B-2, accounted for all of the increase in total spinning costs from a year earlier.

The United States is not an isolated producer in the world yarn market. Many of the same factors affecting domestic costs are also felt by foreign competitors.

## Comparative Yarn Costs

Since most producing countries have access to similar modern equipment, the cost of raw materials (cotton) and labor are the two primary inputs determining the relative levels of costs among countries. The ITMF reported cost data from six open- or free-market textile producing countries. They include the United States, Korea, Japan, India, Germany, and Brazil. In 1990 these countries accounted for over 31 percent of world yarn output, and if China and the USSR are excluded from the world total, these six countries represent nearly 50 percent of all output.

A breakdown of the comparative cost per pound of producing cotton yarn in the six countries is presented in table B-2 for 1985, 1989, and 1990. In each of the 3 years shown, only two countries, Korea and India, have a lower cost of production than the United States. Higher raw materials
costs in both Korea and India were more than offset by the substantially lower labor costs which averaged only 3 to 6 cents a pound compared with 15 to 20 cents in the United States.

Since the early 1980 's, the Japanese textile industry has experienced sharp increases in costs of raw materials, labor, and energy. Total reliance on imported fibers and oil supplies, combined with strong appreciation of the yen, has significantly reduced Japan's competitiveness in world textile production. Currently, Japanese raw cotton imports have been reduced while imports of cotton yarn, fabric, and finished goods have increased sharply. Because of high manufacturing costs, it is now less expensive to import selected intermediate goods and apparel than to produce them locally from imported raw cotton.

In Germany and Brazil, total yarn costs are also above those of the United States. Higher raw material costs in Germany, where all cotton supplies must be imported, account for the entire difference, offsetting somewhat lower labor costs per pound of yarn produced. Most cotton yarn produced in Germany, however, is for domestic consumption, with very little sold on the international market. Brazil grows most of its raw cotton, with mills able to obtain supplies at competitive prices. Also, labor costs per pound are comparatively low, averaging less than one-half those in the United States. But Brazil's "other" costs are significantly above similar costs in other producing countries. High interest rates and inflation have pushed up the cost of producing cotton yarn in Brazil to about $\$ 1.40$ per pound in 1990.


Data presented in table $\mathrm{B}-2$ show the relative importance of raw fiber costs to the total cost of producing cotton yarn. For each of the countries shown, raw materials accounted for about 50 to 70 percent of total costs, depending on the country and year involved (fig. B-3). From 1985 to 1989, raw cotton costs as a share of total manufacturing costs have declined overall, but the sharp runup in world cotton prices during 1990 added about 10 cents a pound to total costs, causing the fiber share to increase.

The relative impact of changing fiber prices among yam producing countries affects the final prices of finished goods, and consequently the degree of competition among producers.

## Conclusions

The United States is a strong competitor in the world yarn market. While the U.S. share of global production capacity has fallen since 1985, high levels of utilization and investment in new equipment have enabled U.S. mills to account for a growing share of world yarn output.

Yarn manufacturing costs in the United States are also competitive with those in other major countries, despite generally higher labor costs. This helps account for the growth of U.S. exports of yarn and fabric in recent years.
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Appendix table 1--Cotton acreage, production, and yield, by State, 1984-89

|  |  | Planted acres |  |  | Harvested acres |  |  |  | Lint yield per harvested acre |  |  |  | Production |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Average 1984-88 | 1987 | 1988 | $\begin{gathered} 1989 \\ 1 / \end{gathered}$ | Average 1984-88 | 1987 | 1988 | $\begin{gathered} 1989 \\ 1 / \end{gathered}$ | Average 1984-88 | 1987 | 1988 | $\begin{gathered} 1989 \\ 1 / \end{gathered}$ | Average 1984-88 | 1987 | 1988 | $\begin{gathered} 1989 \\ 1 / \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  | . 000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ---- |  | 000 | b bale |  |
| Al abama | 336 | 335 | 390 | 350 | 331 | 333 | 375 | 340 | 612 | 572 | 486 | 551 | 420 | 397 | 380 | 390 |
| Arizona 3/ | 336 | 290 | 350 | 240 | 335 | 289 | 349 | 239 | 1,274 | 1,410 | 1,190 | 1,326 | 883 | 849 | 865 | 660 |
| Arkansas | 535 | 555 | 695 | 610 | 522 | 550 | 675 | 595 | 706 | 786 | 742 | 686 | 772 | 901 | 1,044 | 850 |
| California 3/ | 1,248 | 1,150 | 1,350 | 1,050 | 1,237 | 1,140 | 1,335 | 1,040 | 1,099 | 1,259 | 1,015 | 1,223 | 2,817 | 2,989 | 2,824 | 2,650 |
| Florida | 25 | 30 | 33 | 26 | 23 | 29 | 29 | 25 | 692 | 646 | 566 | 653 | 33 | 39 | 34 | 34 |
| Georgia | 251 | 250 | 350 | 270 | 234 | 245 | 315 | 265 | 638 | 662 | 564 | 634 | 309 | 338 | 370 | 350 |
| Kansas | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 359 | 480 | 373 | 400 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Louisiana | 642 | 605 | 735 | 645 | 618 | 600 | 645 | 620 | 681 | 782 | 705 | 677 | 879 | 977 | 948 | 875 |
| Mississippi | 1,073 | 1,020 | 1,230 | 1,050 | 1,054 | 1,010 | 1,190 | 1,020 | 733 | 829 | 736 | 734 | 1,613 | 1,745 | 1,825 | 1,560 |
| Missouri | 188 | 200 | 245 | 214 | 183 | 199 | 242 | 209 | 640 | 796 | 607 | 618 | 245 | 330 | 306 | 269 |
| New Mexico 3/ | 71 | 66 | 77 | 61 | 61 | 62 | 69 | 55 | 646 | 689 | 710 | 698 | 82 | 89 | 102 | 80 |
| North Carolina | 98 | 96 | 126 | 112 | 97 | 95 | 124 | 110 | 580 | 495 | 515 | 611 | 115 | 98 | 133 | 140 |
| Oklahoma | 411 | 400 | 460 | 380 | 381 | 385 | 435 | 330 | 333 | 431 | 334 | 262 | 265 | 346 | 303 | 180 |
| South Carolina | 122 | 120 | 145 | 120 | 120 | 119 | 142 | 118 | 553 | 428 | 473 | 631 | 137 | 106 | 140 | 155 |
| Tennessee | 399 | 440 | 535 | 455 | 392 | 435 | 530 | 450 | 579 | 700 | 529 | 505 | 474 | 634 | 584 | 473 |
| Texas 3/ | 5,100 | 4,700 | 5,600 | 4,600 | 4,500 | 4,400 | 5,300 | 3,700 | 422 | 506 | 472 | 376 | 3,995 | 4,635 | 5,215 | 2,900 |
| Virginia | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 482 | 373 | 510 | 6091 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Total: Upland | 10,837 | 10,259 | 12,325 | 10,187 | 10,091 | 9,894 | 11,759 | 9,120 | 618 | 702 | 615 | 609 | 13,041 | 14,475 | 15,077 | 11,570 |
| American-Pima | 121 | 138 | 190 | 374 | 120 | 137 | 189 | 390 | 883 | 1,000 | 848 | 861 | 222 | 285 | 334 | 663 |
| United States | 10,957 | 10,397 | 12,515 | 10,561 | 10,211 | 10,030 | 11,948 | 9,489 | 622 | 706 | 619 | 619 | 13,263 | 14,760 | 15,412 | 12,233 |
| 1/ Crop Produc | n repor | Novem | 8, 1990 | 2/ B | s of 480 | pounds | weight. | 3/ Upl | d only. |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |


$1 /$ Compiled from Bureau of the Census data and adjusted to an August $1480-$ lb. net weight basis. Excludes prreseason ginnings. includes preseason ginnings. 3/ Adjusted to August 1 -July 31 marketing year. 4/ Difference between ending stocks basedd on census data and preceding season's supply less disappearance. 5/ smarketing year average, with no allowance for unredeemed loans. 6/ Estimated. and preceding season's supply less disappearance. 5/ SMarketing year

|  |  |  |  | upply |  |  |  | Disappearance |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | mitls | -Beginning Public storage 3/ | stocks 0the 4/ | Total | $\underset{5 /}{\operatorname{Ginnings}}$ | Imports | Total supply | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mill } \\ \text { use } \\ 6 / \end{gathered}$ | Exports | Total use | Unaccounted | Ending stocks 7/ |
| 1,000 480-1b bales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988/89: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug | 737 | 4,863 | 170 | 5,771 | 8826 | 0 | 6,597 | 692 | 265 | 957 |  | 5,639 |
| Sep | 677 | 4,614 | 448 | 5,639 | 4,515 | 0 | 11,154 | 634 603 | 265 | 8898 |  | 10, 151 |
| Nov | 589 | 8,569 | 992 | 10, 151 | 4,940 | 0 | 15,092 | 597 | 398 | 995 |  | 14,096 |
| Dec | 580 | 12,241 | 1,275 | 14,096 | 2,618 | 0 | 16,714 | 512 | 670 | 1.182 |  | 15,533 |
| Jan | 596 | 14,074 | 863 | 15,533 | 674 | 1 | 16,208 | 648 | 483 | 1.131 |  | 15,077 |
| Feb | 614 | 12,677 | 787 | 15,077 | 104 | 0 | 15,181 | 609 | 738 | 1,347 |  | 13.834 |
| Apr | 636 | 11,029 | 819 | 12,484 | 0 | 0 | 12,484 | 650 | 627 | 1,277 |  | 11,207 |
| May | 652 | 9,744 | 810 | 11,207 | 0 | 1 | 11,208 | 771 | 682 | 1,453 |  | 9,755 |
| Jun | 671 | 8,501 | 583 | 9,755 | 0 | 1 | 9,756 | 731 | 254 | . 985 |  | 8,770 |
| Jul | 631 | 7,085 | 1,054 | 8,770 | 0 | 1 | 8,771 | 613 | 902 | 1,515 | (165) | 7,092 |
| Season | 737 | 4,863 | 170 | 5,771 | 15,411 | 5 | 21,187 | 7,782 | 6,148 | 13,930 | (165) | 7,092 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug | 632 | 6,179 | 281 330 | 7.092 | 392 | 0 | 7,484 | 831 | 507 | 1,338 | 0 | 6.146 |
| Sep | 616 | 5,658 | 240 | 5,514 | 4,944 | 0 | 10,458 | 792 | 522 | 1,314 | 0 | 9,144 |
| Nov | 575 | 7,694 | 875 | 9,144 | 4,658 | 0 | 13,802 | 731 | 520 | 1,251 | 0 | 12,551 |
| Dec | 566 | 10,997 | 988 | 12,551 | 1,224 | 0 | 13,775 | 579 | 682 | 1,261 | 0 | 12.514 |
| Jan | 607 | 11.187 | 720 | 12,514 | 229 | 0 | 12,743 | 754 | 875 | 1.629 | 0 | 11.114 |
| \%eb | 717 | 8,371 | 575 | 9,763 | 130 | 1 | 1,764 | 757 | 997 | 1, 754 | 0 | 8,010 |
| Apr | 723 | 6,822 | 465 | 8,010 | 0 | 0 | 8,010 | 711 | 734 | 1,445 | 0 | 6,565 |
| May | 712 | 5,662 | 191 | 6,565 | 0 | 0 | 6,565 | 800 | 590 | 1,390 | 0 | 5.176 |
| Jun | 701 694 | 4,385 | (90) | 5,176 | 0 | 1 | 3,917 | 721 | 538 440 | 1,259 | 163 | 3,918 |
| Season | 632 | 6,179 | 281 | 7,092 | 12,196 | 2 | 19,290 | 8,759 | 7,694 | 16,453 | 163 | 3,000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1990/91: } \\ & \text { Aug } \\ & \text { Sep } \end{aligned}$ | $8 /$ 697 644 | 2,270 | (93) | 3,000 2,224 | 2,083 | 0 | 3,597 | 829 692 | 544 | 1,373 | 0 | 2,224 |

1/ Compiled from Bureau of the Census data and adjusted to 480-16. net weight bales. 2/ August stocks adjusted to an August 1 basis, excluding preseason ginnings. 3/ Adjusted to 480 - 16 . bales by use of monthly conversion factors for mili stocks. 4, Primarily cotton on farms and in transit. Estimated by subtracting public storage and mill stocks from total stocks. 5/ August data include preseason ginnnings. 6/Adjusted to a calendar month $7 /$ supply less disappearance. End-of-season stocks adjusted by Bureau of the Census data. Differences primarily reflect varying bale weights. Monthly data are rounded. 8/ Preliminary and estimated.

Appendix table 4--Index of prices of selected cotton growth and qualities, and price per pound of U.S. cotton,


Appendix table 5--C.i.f. Northern Europe price quotations for principal growth of A-type cotton, weekly, August 1990 to date

| Month <br> \& week | Cal ifornia/ Arizona | Memphis territory | USSR | Chine | Africa | Central America | Australia | Turkey | Paraguay | Mexico | Pakistan | $\stackrel{A}{\text { Index } 2 /}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. cents/lb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. 2 | 85.50 | 81.25 | 83.00 | 85.00 | 80.50 | 80.50 | NQ | NQ | NQ | 83.50 | 82.00 | 81.45 |
| Aug. 9 | 86.25 | 81.75 | 82.50 | 85.00 | 81.00 | 81.25 | NQ | NQ | NQ | 84.00 | 82.50 | 82.05 |
| 16 | 83.75 | 78.25 | 81.50 | 83.50 | 78.75 | 79.00 | NQ | NQ | NQ | 81.00 | 79.75 | 79.35 |
| 23 | 85.00 | 79.75 | 81.75 | 83.50 | 79.50 | 80.25 | NQ | NQ | NQ | 82.25 | 80.50 | 80.35 |
| 30 | 86.75 | 81.50 | 82.50 | 85.00 | 80.75 | 81.25 | NQ | NQ | NQ | 83.75 | 82.25 | 81.65 |
| Sept. 6 | 87.25 | 82.00 | 81.00 | 85.50 | 80.25 | 81.00 | NQ | NO | NQ | 83.50 | 82.75 | 81.40 |
| Sept. 13 | 87.25 | 81.50 | 81.00 | 85.50 | 80.50 | 81.00 | NO | NQ | No | 83.00 | 82.50 | 81.30 |
| 20 | 88.00 | 82.25 | 82.00 | 86.50 | 81.50 | 82.25 | NQ | NQ | No | 84.00 | 83.00 | 82.20 |
| 27 | 86.75 | 81.00 | 80.50 | 85.00 | 80.25 | 80.75 | NQ | NQ | No | 83.00 | 81.25 | 80.75 |
| Oct. 4 | 86.50 | 80.75 | 80.50 | 85.00 | 79.50 | 80.25 | NQ | 87.00 | NO | 82.75 | 81.00 | 80.40 |
| 11 | 88.00 | 82.50 | 81.00 | 86.00 | 80.50 | 81.25 | NQ | 87.00 | NQ | 83.50 | 82.00 | 81.45 |
| 18 | 88.50 | 83.00 | 81.50 | 86.25 | 80.50 | 81.75 | NO | 86.00 | NO | 85.50 | 82.25 | 81.80 |
| 25 | 89.00 | 83.50 | 82.00 | 87.00 | 81.00 | 82.25 | NQ | 87.50 | NQ | 83.50 | 83.25 | 82.40 |
| Nov. 1 | 89.50 | 84.00 | 83.00 | 87.00 | 81.50 | 83.00 | NQ | 87.50 | NQ | 84.25 | 83.75 | 83.05 |
| 8 | 88.00 | 83.00 | 83.00 | 86.50 | 81.50 | 83.00 | NQ | 87.50 | NQ | 83.25 | 82.25 | 82.55 |
| 15 | 88.00 | 83.00 | 83.00 | 86.50 | 82.00 | 82.75 | NQ | 86.00 | NQ | 83.00 | 82.25 | 82.60 |

$N Q=$ No quotes.
1/ Since August 1, 1987 Pakistan type 1505 has been included in the A-index selection.
2/ The A-Index is an average of the five cheapest types of SLM 1-3/32 in. staple cotton offered on the European market.
Source: Cotton Outlook, Liverpool Cotton Services, Ltd.

Appendix table 6--C.i.f. Northern Europe price quotation for principal growth of coarse count cotton, weekly, August 1990 to date

| Month <br> \& week | Orleans/ Texas | Pakistan | China | USSR | Turkey | Southern Brazil | Argentina | $\stackrel{\text { B }}{\text { Index }} 1 /$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. cents/lb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. 2 | 76.75 | 76.75 | NQ | 81.00 | NQ | NQ | NQ | 78.15 |
| Aug. 9 | 77.25 | 77.25 | NO | 81.75 | NQ | NQ | NQ | 78.75 |
| 16 | 74.00 | 74.50 | NQ | 79.50 | NQ | NQ | NQ | 76.00 |
| 23 | 75.50 | 75.25 | NQ | 79.75 | NQ | NQ | NQ | 76.85 |
| 30 | 77.50 | 76.50 | NQ | 80.50 | NQ | NQ | NQ | 78.15 |
| Sept. 6 | 78.00 | 77.00 | NQ | 78.00 | 79.50 | NQ | NQ | 77.65 |
| 13 | 77.50 | 76.75 | NQ | 78.00 | 79.50 | NQ | NQ | 77.40 |
| 20 | 78.00 | 77.25 | NQ | 79.00 | 80.00 | NQ | NQ | 78.10 |
| 27 | 76.75 | 75.50 | NQ | 77.50 | 78.00 | NQ | NQ | 76.60 |
| Oct. 4 | 76.50 | 75.25 | NQ | 77.50 | 78.00 | NQ | NQ | 76.40 |
| $11$ | 78.00 | 76.25 | NQ | 78.25 | 76.75 | NQ | NQ | 77.00 |
| $18$ | 78.00 | 76.50 | NQ | 78.75 | 76.00 | NQ | NQ | 76.85 |
| 25 | 78.50 | 77.50 | NQ | 79.00 | 77.00 | NQ | NQ | 77.65 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $8$ | 77.50 | 76.50 | NQ | 80.50 | $78.50$ | NQ | NQ | $77.50$ |
| 15 | 77.00 | 76.50 | NQ | 80.00 | 79.00 | NQ | NQ | 77.50 |

$N Q=$ No quotes.
$1 /$ The 8 -Index is based on coarse grades of cotton varyng in staple length from 1 in. to 1-3/32 in. It is an average of the three cheapest types of seven styles, so marked.

Source: Cotton Outlook, Liverpool Cotton Services, Ltd.

Appendix table 7 --Strict low middling spot prices in designated U.S. markets, loan rates, and prices received by farmers for upland cotton, 1984/85-1990/91

| Year beginning August 1 | Average spot market prices per pound (net weight) 1/ |  |  |  |  |  | ```Price received by farmers (net weight) 2/``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 15 / 16 \\ & \text { inch } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { inch } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1-1 / 32 \\ \text { inch } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1-1 / 16 \\ \text { inch } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1-3 / 32 \\ \text { inch } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1-1 / 8 \\ \text { inch } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Cents/lb |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984/85 | 52.39 | 55.98 | 58.30 | 60.51 | 60.29 | 60.49 | 3/ 58.7 |
| 1985/86 | 52.16 | 55.81 | 57.87 | 60.01 | 59.62 | 59.77 | $3 / 56.8$ |
| 1986/87 | 44.80 | 47.77 | 50.78 | 53.16 | 53.81 | 55.89 | $3 / 51.5$ |
| 1987/88 | 57.38 | 59.33 | 60.81 | 63.13 | 63.63 | 64.45 | $3 / 63.7$ |
| 1988/89 | 49.02 | 52.32 | 53.99 | 57.67 | 58.14 | 59.51 | 3/ 55.6 |
| 1989/90: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August | 61.03 | 64.79 | 66.63 | 69.88 | 70.42 | 72.27 | 60.2 |
| September | 60.56 | 63.79 | 65.37 | 68.46 | 69.00 | 70.29 | 63.9 |
| October | 61.11 | 64.85 | 66.28 | 69.40 | 69.89 | 70.94 | 65.8 |
| November | 61.54 | 64.33 | 65.34 | 68.33 | 68.75 | 68.85 | 65.4 |
| December | 57.37 | 59.82 | 60.54 | 63.56 | 63.99 | 64.08 | 61.4 |
| January | 55.19 | 58.24 | 59.20 | 62.21 | 62.63 | 62.72 | 59.9 |
| February | 56.87 | 60.69 | 61.90 | 64.95 | 65.37 | 65.46 | 61.0 |
| March | 59.43 | 63.21 | 64.79 | 68.06 | 68.48 | 68.57 | 64.1 |
| April | 61.33 | 66.09 | 68.08 | 71.31 | 71.73 | 71.82 | 65.0 |
| May | 62.77 | 68.60 | 71.28 | 74.61 | 75.03 | 75.12 | 65.4 |
| June | 63.37 | 70.77 | 73.57 | 77.06 | 77.48 | 77.57 | 62.3 |
| July | 68.23 | 73.45 | 76.51 | 79.53 | 79.95 | 80.04 | 62.9 |
| Season | 60.73 | 64.89 | 66.62 | 69.78 | 70.23 | 70.64 | 63.6 |
| 1990/91: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August | 66.02 | 71.01 | 73.30 | 76.27 | 76.69 | 76.78 | 64.6 |
| September | 59.22 | 66.21 | 67.50 | 71.01 | 71.43 | 71.52 | 65.0 |
| October | 58.99 | 66.13 | 67.09 | 70.54 | 70.97 | 71.06 | 66.9 |
| Loan rate 4/ | 43.87 | 45.52 | 48.32 | 50.27 | 50.72 | 50.87 |  |

$1 /$ Spot market loan rates and prices are for cotton with micronaire readings of 3.5 through 4.9 . $2 /$ Prices do not include an allowance for loans outstanding and Goverment purchases. 3/ Weighted market average. U.S. prices based on U.S. monthly prices weighted by monthly marketings from August through the foliowing july. 4/ SLM 1-1/16 in. average location.

Source: Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, Agricultural Marketing Service, and National Agricultural Statistics Service, USDA.

| Appendix tab | $\begin{gathered} -F i b e l \\ \text { f.0. } \end{gathered}$ | ices: Landed roducing | Group <br> s, act | ill points, and estimat | raw pr | s, and manm equivalent | $\begin{array}{r} \text { de stapl } \\ 1984-90 \end{array}$ | fiber pric |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | on 1/ | R | ( 21 | Pol | ter 3/ | Pric | ratios 4/ |
| Calendar year | Actual | Raw fiber equivalent 5/ | Actual | Raw fiber equivalent 5/ | Actual | Raw fiber equivalent 5/ | Cotton/ rayon | Cotton/ polyester |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 76 | 84 | 84 | 88 | 79 | 82 | . 95 | 1.02 |
| 1985 | 66 | 73 | 79 | 82 | 66 | 69 | . 89 | 1.06 |
| 1986 | 61 | 88 | 76 81 | 79 | 62 | 65 | . 86 | 1.04 |
| 1988 | 65 | 72 | 91 | 94 | 74 | 77 | . 77 | . 94 |
| 1989: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 64 | 71 | 100 | 104 | 81 | 84 | . 68 | . 84 |
| February | 63 | 70 | 100 | 104 | 81 | 84 | .67 | . 83 |
| March | 66 | 73 77 | 1100 | 1104 | 81 81 | 84 84 | . 67 | . 87 |
| May | 72 | 80 | 110 | 115 | 81 | 84 | . 70 | . 95 |
| June | 73 | 81 | 110 | 115 | 89 | 93 | . 71 | . 87 |
| Juty | 76 | 88 | 110 | 115 | 89 | 93 | . 74 | . 91 |
| August | 76 | 88 | 110 | 115 | 89 | 93 | . 77 | . 91 |
| October | 78 | 87 | 119 | 124 | 89 | 93 | . 70 | . 93 |
| November | 76 | 84 | 119 | 124 | 89 | 93 | . 68 | . 91 |
| December | 72 | 80 | 119 | 124 | 89 | 93 | . 65 |  |
| Average | 72 | 80 | 110 | 114 | 86 | 89 | . 70 | . 89 |
| 1990: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 70 | 78 | 119 | 124 | 89 | 93 | . 63 | . 84 |
| February | 72 | 80 84 | 119 119 | 1124 | 89 | 93 93 | . 65 | . 86 |
| April | 78 | 87 | 119 | 124 | 89 | 93 | . 70 | . 94 |
| May | 82 | 91 | 119 | 124 | 85 | 89 | . 74 | 1.03 |
| June | 87 | 97 | 119 | 124 | 82 | 85 | . 78 | 1.13 |
| August | 84 | 93 | 119 | 124 | 78 | 81 | . 78 | 1.14 |
| September | 79 | 88 | 119 | 124 | 78 | 81 | . 71 | 1.08 |
| October | 78 | 87 | 122 | 127 | 78 | 81 | . 68 | 1.07 |
| 1/ SLM 1-1 | at Gro | B mill point | net we | ht. 2/ 1.5 | 3.0 | er, regular | yon st |  |
| 3/ Reported <br> 5/ Actual pr <br> polyester, | rage ma conve ded by | price for to estimat | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S-deniee } \\ & \text { raw fit } \end{aligned}$ | olyester sta equivalent | for co follows | on blending cotton, di | ided by | iber equi 90; rayon |
| Source: | cul tural | rketing Serv | e, USDA | d trade rep |  |  |  |  |

Appendix table 9--Upland cotton and manmade staple fibers: Mill consumption on cotton-system spinning spindles

| Year beginning August 1 | Cotton | Rayon and acetate | Manmade Noncellulosic | Total | Total <br> fibers | Cotton's share of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1,000 lbs |  |  | Percent |
| 1984/85 | 2,618,685 | 231.197 | 1,336,595 | 1,567,792 | 4,186,477 | 62.6 |
| 1985/86 | 3,086,842 | 253,459 | 1,465,228 | 1,718,687 | 4,805,529 | 64.2 |
| 1986/87 | 3,544,852 | 256,711 | 1.481,822 | 1,738,593 | 5,283,445 | 67.1 |
| 1987/88 | 3,631,397 | 268,813 | 1,481,923 | 1,750,736 | 5,382,133 | 67.5 |
| 1988/89 | 3,687,330 | 285,742 | 1,397,434 | 1,683,176 | 5,370,506 | 68.7 |
| 1989/90: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August | 341,268 | 22,314 | 110,610 | 132,924 | 474,192 | 72.0 |
| September | 426,587 | 27,016 | 139,980 | 166,996 | 593,583 | 71.9 |
| October | 342,841 | 22,158 | 108,625 | 130,783 | 473,624 | 72.4 |
| November | 318,521 | 21,230 | 100,920 | 122,150 | 440,671 | 72.3 |
| December | 338,660 | 24,509 | 115.126 | 139,635 | 478,295 | 70.8 |
| January | 311,880 | 20,837 | 103,387 | 124,224 | 436,104 | 71.5 |
| February | 326,173 | 21,676 | 106,984 | 128,660 | 454,833 | 71.7 |
| March | 408,802 | 28,199 | 129,921 | 158,120 | 566,922 | 72.1 |
| April | 322,064 | 22,513 | 107,950 | 130,463 | 452,527 | 71.2 |
| May | 330,531 | 24,393 | 107,200 | 131,593 | 462,124 | 71.5 |
| June | 410,050 | 28,345 | 126,947 | 155,292 | 565,342 | 72.5 |
| July | 277,834 | 18,640 | 87,503 | 106,143 | 383,977 | 72.4 |
| Season | 4,155,211 | 281,830 | 1,345,153 | 1,626,983 | 5,782,194 | 71.9 |
| 1990/91: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August | 338,321 | 24,197 | 105,064 | 129,261 | 467,582 | 72.4 |
| September | 414.261 | 30,511 | 126,528 | 157,039 | 571,300 | 72.5 |
| October 1 | 333,106 | 23,364 | 101,389 | 124,753 | 457,859 | 72.8 |
| 1/ Preliminary. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: | eau of the | Us. |  |  |  |  |

Appendix table 10-- Cotton and manmade staple fibers: Daily rate of mill consumption, on cotton-system
spinning spindles, unadjusted and seasonally adjusted
Year Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May June July

Upland cotton


Rayon and acetate:


1/ Preliminary. 2/ includes nylon, acrylic and modacrylic, polyester, and other manmade fibers.
Source: Bureau of the Census.


1/ Preliminary.
Source: Bureau of the Census.

| Year | Cotton | Hool | Cellulosic | Noncel lulosic | Total manmade | Total fibers | Cotton's share of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | -Million |  |  |  | Percent |
| 198510 | 663.8 | 29.9 | 127.0 | 1,818.7 | 1,945.7 | 2,639.4 | 25.1 |
| 20 30 | 695.6 710.3 | 30.4 | 132.5 | 1,934.4 | 2,066.9 | 2,792.9 | 24.9 |
| 30 40 | 710.3 | 27.9 28.4 | 138.2 | 1,956.7 | 2,094.9 | 2,833.1 | 25.1 |
| Total | 2,810.5 | 116.6 | 545.6 | 7,679.9 | 8,225.5 | 11,152.6 | 25.2 |
| 198610 | 790.6 | 35.0 | 150.8 | 1,944.4 | 2,095.2 | 2,920.8 | 27.1 |
|  | 810.7 | 36.0 32 | 153.5 | 1,976.1 | 2,129.6 | 2,976.3 | 27.2 |
| 40 | 808.0 849.7 | 32.9 32.8 | 153.6 150.4 | 2,049.1 | 2,202.7 | 3,043.6 | 27.5 |
| Total | 3,259.0 | 136.7 | 608.3 | 8,044.4 | 8,652.7 | 12,048.4 | 27.0 |
| 1987 10 | 904.4 | 36.6 | 140.2 | 2,095.2 | 2,235.4 | 3,176.4 | 28.5 |
|  | 939.8 | 37.5 | 143.2 | 2,152.2 | 2,295.4 | 3,272.7 | 28.7 |
| 30 40 | 967.5 | 33.8 34.9 | 146.2 | $2,134.3$ $2,098.4$ | 2,280.5 | $3,281.8$ $3,230.8$ | 29.5 |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3,753.2 | 142.8 | 585.6 | 8,480.1 | 9,065.7 | 12,961.7 | 29.0 |
| 1988 10 | 950.7 | 35.4 | 152.3 | 2,100.4 | 2.252 .7 | 3,238.8 | 29.3 |
| 20 | 883.5 | 33.9 | 159.0 | 2,152.2 | 2,311.2 | 3,228.6 | 27.4 |
| 30 $4 Q$ | 852.1 821.7 | 31.8 31.6 | 151.7 | 2,108.6 | 2,260.3 | 3,197.2 | 26.7 25.4 |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3,508.0 | 132.7 | 612.9 | 8,595.0 | 9,207.9 | 12,901:6 | 27.2 |
| 1989 10 | 949.9 | 35.4 | 165.7 | 2,166.0 | 2,331.7 | 3,317.0 | 28.6 |
| 20 | 1.033 .3 | 34.0 298 | 159.7 | 2,225.7 | 2,385.4 | 3,452.7 | 29.9 |
| 4 C | 1.054 .2 | 29.8 27.9 | 143.7 | 2,126.5 | 2,260.2 | 3,231.2 | 31.5 31.2 |
| Total | 4,046.1 | 127.1 | 600.0 | 8,584.5 | 9,184.5 | 13,357.7 | 30.3 |
| 1990 1a | 1,056.6 | 33.7 | 143.1 | $2,066.5$ |  | 3,299.9 | 32.0 |
| 20 30 | 1,071.1 | 32.9 29.5 | 146.0 144.8 | 2,140.8 | 2,286.8 | $3,290.8$ $3,302.0$ | 31.6 31.4 |

Source: Bureau of the Census, and Fiber Organon.

| Fiber and year | Mili use | Percent of fibers | Textile trade 1/ |  | rotal domestic consumption 2/ | Percent of fibers | Per capita 3/ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Exports | Imports |  |  | Mill use | Domestic consumption |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Million } \\ \text { lbs } \end{gathered}$ | Percent |  | Million | ------- | Percent |  | bs |
| Cotton: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 3,259.0 | 27.0 | 274.8 | 1,910.5 | 4,894.7 | 31.0 | 13.5 | 20.3 |
| 1987 | 3,753.2 | 29.0 27.4 | 298.0 325.3 | 2,335.7 | 5,790.9 | 33.7 32.1 | 15.4 14.3 | 23.7 21.6 |
| 1989 | 4,046.1 | 29.6 | NA | ${ }^{1} \times{ }^{\circ}$ | 5, ${ }_{\text {NA }}$ | NA | 16.0 | NA |
| Hool: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 136.7 | 1.2 | 16.0 | 275.6 | 396.3 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 1.6 |
| 1987 1988 | 142.8 132.7 | 1.1 | 23.5 30.7 | 276.1 | 395.4 350.7 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 1.6 |
| 1989 | 127.1 | 0.9 | NA | HA. | NA | NA | 0.5 | $N{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Manmade fibers: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 8,652.7 | 71.8 | 519.3 | 1,703.0 | 9,836.4 | 62.4 | 35.8 | 40.7 |
| 1987 1988 | 9,065.7 | 69.9 | 591.9 681.6 | 1,805.4 | $10,279.2$ $10,285.2$ | 59.9 62.1 | 37.2 | 42.1 41.8 |
| 1989 | 9,184.5 | 68.3 | NA | , NA | , NA | NA | 36.9 | NA |
| Flax and silk: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 4.8 | $4 /$ | NA | 632.2 | 637.0 | 4.0 | $4 /$ | 2.6 |
| 1987 1988 | 4.7 | $4 /$ | NA | 702.7 607.5 | 707.4 612.5 | 4.1 | $4 /$ | 2.9 |
| 1989 | 160.4 | 1.2 | NA | NA ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | NA | NA | 0.6 | $N{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| All fibers: 6/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 12,053.2 | 100.0 | 810.1 | 4.521 .3 | 15,764.4 | 100.0 | 49.9 | 65.3 |
| 1987 | 12,986.4 | 100.0 | 913.4 | 5.119.9 | 17.172.9 | 100.0 | 53.2 | 70.4 |
| 1988 | 12,901.6 | 100.0 100.0 | $1,037.6$ | 4,736.8 | 16,565.1 | 100.0 | 52.2 | 67.3 |
| $N A=$ Not available. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/ Raw fiber equivalent of imports and exports of textile products. 2/ Total domestic consumption is $U$. $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}$ mill |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1986=241.6 \mathrm{millinn} 1987=243.9 \mathrm{million}, 1988=246.3 \mathrm{million}$, and $1989=248.8 \mathrm{million}$. $4 /$ Less than 0.05 pounds, or 0.1 percent. 5/ Estimated. 6/ Includes flax and silk. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: Bureau of the Census. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix table 14--Manmade fiber production and capacity, 1989-91 1/


Appendix table 14--Manmade fiber production and capacity, 1989-91 1/-continued



Appendix table 16--Raw cotton equivalent of U.S. imports for consumption of cotton-containing textile manufactures, $1989-90$ 1/

|  |  | Yarn, | ead, | fabr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hous | furnishi |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year and month | Yarn thread, cordage, and rope | Broadwoven fabric 100\% | Broadwoven fabric blends | Knit fabric | Narrow, industria and misc. fabric | Total | Tops | Bottoms | Suits and coats | Sweaters | Other apparel | Total | Blankets | Bedsheets pillowcases etc. | Tablecloths placemats, napkins, etc. | Bath room, and kitchen toweling | Curtains, drapes, etc. | Bedspreads, quilts, and misc | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,000 | lbs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989: | 3,815 | 44,551 | 8,748 | 586 | 1,229 | 58,929 | 49.678 | 34,348 | 7.742 | 6,103 | 13.426 | 111,298 | 479 | 1.937 | 1,618 | 7,730 | 304 | 907 |  |
| Feb | 3,206 | 40,379 | 6,707 | 608 | 1,127 | 52,026 | 46,'293 | 36,356 | 5,503 | 3,581 | 9,480 | 101,213 | 267 | 2,751 | 1,282 | 7,692 | 292 | 846 | 13, 130 |
| Mar | 3,606 | 32,440 | 7,770 | 623 | 1,308 | 45,747 | 46,380 | 35,176 | 4.104 | 2,610 | 9.202 | 97,473 | 784 | 2,504 | 1,070 | 8,185 | 189 | 611 | 13,343 |
| Apr | 3,088 | 30,364 | 9,253 | 651 | 1,090 | 44,446 | 38,374 | 29,932 | 3,808 | 3,182 | 7,597 | 82,893 | 287 | 2.330 | 1.153 | 6.110 | 316 | 950 | 11,146 |
| May | 3,367 | 30,179 | 9,105 | 711 | 1, 1,377 | 44,665 | 47,381 | 38,706 | 7,468 | 5,282 | 7,726 | 106,564 | 215 | 2,912 | 1,308 | 7,987 | 629 | 627 | 13,678 |
| Jun | 3,883 | 36,283 | 10,239 | 728 | 1, 377 | 52,510 | 55,721 | 48,439 | 10,617 | 6,804 | 9,886 | 131,467 | 239 | 3,715 | 1.482 | 5,749 | 356 | 2,800 | 14,340 |
| jug | 3,640 | 38,664 | 13,734 | 883 | 1.304 | 58,325 | 62,976 65,194 | 54,335 | 17,515 | 7,575 | 9,805 | 149, 1508 | 278 413 | 4,865 | 1,470 | 7,908 | 260 | 1,294 | 16,075 |
| Sep | 3,022 | 29,351 | 10,242 | 718 | 1,032 | 44,365 | 54,181 | 42,024 | 11,483 | 6,309 | 7,768 | 121,766 | 198 | 4,268 | 1,769 | 7,677 | 208 | 1,066 | 15,185 |
| Oct | 5,215 | 47,765 | 12,976 | 799 | 1.159 | 67,914 | 62,120 |  | 10,325 | 9,781 | 7,508 | 137,128 | 509 | 5,620 | 1,711 | 11,591 | 474 | 1,030 | 20,935 |
| Nov | 3,922 | 38,464 32,670 | 11,369 10,282 | 645 607 | 1,208 | 55,609 | 52,010 | 39,830 34,906 | 8,389 7,301 | 7,909 | 7,583 10,065 | 115,720 | 331 167 | 5,460 | 842 658 | 10,251 9,898 | 310 299 | 739 | 17,934 14,588 |
| Total | 42,847 | 436,350 | 122,006 | 8,300 | 14,740 | 624,245 | 628,008 | 493,827 | 108,257 | 71,767 | 109,700 | 1,411,559 | 4,167 | 45,501 | 16,261 | 100,623 | 4,126 | 12,936 | 183,611 |
| 1990: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan | 3,390 | 47.410 32.967 | 12,893 | 763 566 | 1,095 | 65,550 | 66,354 58,082 | 44,650 47663 | 9,144 5 | 4.913 2.677 | 12,372 | 137,433 124,198 | 115 | 4.245 3.184 | , 827 | 13,947 | 214 | 1. 665 | 20,014 |
| Mar | 2,534 | 32,967 | 8,019 | 568 570 | 1,113 | 46,174 | 53,982 | 47,663 | 3,844 | 2, 1,444 | 10,294 | 111, 015 | 412 | 3,469 | 1,535 | 10,336 | 404 | 1, 1,523 | 16,078 |
| Apr | 2,598 | 26,247 | 9,189 | 691 | 1.112 | 39,837 | 50,542 | 34,686 | 4,165 | 2,327 | 8,557 | 100,277 | 525 | 2,672 | 1,591 | 9,837 | 306 | 1.033 | 15,963 |
| May | 2,563 | 35,052 | 10,809 | 831 | 1.169 | 50,425 | 53,468 | 41,434 | 8,321 | 4,437 | 8.833 | 116,493 | 175 | 2,126 | 1,691 | 9,398 | 300 | 1,102 | 14,792 |
| Jun | 2,837 | 31,097 | 8,929 | 845 | 1,284 | 44,991 | 60,925 | 51,085 | 13,799 | 6,402 | 8,911 | 141.122 | 287 | 3.661 | 1,433 | 8,187 | 243 | 1,045 | 14,855 |
| Jul | 3,088 | 42,068 | 9,487 10,608 | 793 920 | 1,993 | 53,648 | 73,104 | - 45,269 | 15,258 | 7,857 | 8,536 | 160,024 148,410 | 505 412 | 6,542 | 1,718 | 7,714 9,640 | 386 | 1,226 | 17,892 |
| Sep | 2,415 | 35,244 | 9,357 | 919 | 983 | 48,919 | 54,531 | 33, 631 | 10,367 | 6,989 | 7,819 | 113,337 | 396 | 5,086 | 1,668 | 7,672 | 341 | , 852 | 16,015 |

1/ Preliminary. Totals may not add due to rounding.
Source: Bureau of the Census, U.S. Department of Commerce.

Appendix table 17-Rau linen equivalent of U.S. imports for consumption of linen-containing textite manufactures, 1989-90 1/


[^3]

[^4]Source: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

Appendix table 19--Raw silk equivalent of U.S. imports for consumption of silk-containing textile manufacturers, 1989-90 1/

| Yarn, thread, and fabric |  |  |  |  |  | Appare! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | House furnishings |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year and month | Yarn, thread, cordage and rope | Broadwoven (inc. pile) fabric |  | triá | Total | Tops | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bot - } \\ & \text { toms } \end{aligned}$ | Suits and coats | Sweat ers | Other apparel | Total | $\begin{aligned} & 81 \operatorname{lan-} \\ & \text { kets } \end{aligned}$ | Bedsheets, pillowcases, etc. | Tablecloths, placemats, napkins, etc. | Bathroom. and kitchen toweling | Curtains, drapes, etc. | Bedspreads, quilts, and misc. | Total |
| 1,000 lbs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan | 36 60 | 579 | -- | 15 | 630 | 1.945 | 1.277 | 1.081 | 1.522 | 452 | 13,276 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 52 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 60 |
| Mar | 34 | 580 580 | -- | 11 | 645 | 1,850 | 1,176 | 1.032 | $\begin{array}{r}8,357 \\ \hline 884\end{array}$ | 664 447 | 13,276 4,760 | 78 | 0 | 21 | 3 1 | 11 | 4 | 39 119 |
| Apr | 35 | 546 | -- | 10 | 591 | 1,458 | *977 | 768 | 798 | 397 | 4,398 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 58 |
| May | 45 | 530 | -- | 9 | 584 | 1.431 | 833 | 705 | 1.812 | 551 | 5.332 | 0 | 2 | 64 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 81 |
| Jun | 33 | 606 603 | -- | 13 | 652 | 1.460 | 590 | 722 | 2.238 | 953 | 5,963 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 49 |
| Aug | 26 | 735 | -- | 14 | 775 | 1,522 | 538 616 | 743 | 3,167 | 650 573 | 6,089 | 0 | 1 | 57 45 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 72 56 |
| Sep | 20 | 631 | -- | 12 | 662 | 1,321 | 565 | 712 | 2,790 | 477 | 5,866 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 34 |
| Oct | 23 | 645 | -- | 15 | 683 | 1,611 | 942 | 820 | 3,431 | 556 | 7,360 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 24 |
| Nov | 24 | 668 | -- | 9 | 702 | 1.511 | 925 | 890 | 1.913 | 525 | 5.764 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 22 |
| Dec | 17 | 495 | -- | 15 | 527 | 1,220 | 1,011 | 770 | 751 | 491 | 4,242 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 18 |
| Total | 395 | 7,266 | -- | 164 | 7,825 | 18,146 | 10,823 | 9,937 | 30,326 | 6,716 | 75,947 | 78 | 5 | 394 | 7 | 61 | 83 | 628 |
| 1990: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan | 33 | 557 | 0 | 9 | 598 | 2,006 | 1.542 | 1.106 | 943 | 686 | 6,282 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 21 |
| Feb | 10 | 395 | 0 | 10 | 415 | 1,294 | 1, 262 | 826 | 576 | 659 | 4.816 | -- | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 30 |
| Apr | 13 | 437 | 0 | 10 | 456 | 1,443 | 1.014 | 618 | 538 | 456 | 4.205 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 20 |
| May | 11 | 469 | - | 13 | 492 | 1,458 | . 690 | 599 | 1.307 | 477 | 4.531 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 26 |
| Jun | 7 | 483 | 0 | 10 | 501 | 1,353 | 663 | 659 | 1,985 | 463 | 5:124 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 19 |
| Jut | 10 | 574 | 0 | 12 | 592 | 1,564 | 708 | 970 | 2,530 | 456 | 6,227 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 17 |
| Aug | 10 | 532 | 0 |  | 650 578 | 1,670 | 786 861 | 977 | 2.917 | 415 | 6.766 | 0 | 1 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 32 |

= An absence of trade for any given month.
$0=$ Levels of trade less than 500 (b.
1/ Prel iminary. Totals may not add due to rounding.
Source: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

Appendix table 20--Raw manmade fiber equivalent of U.S. imports for consumption of manmade fiber-containing textile manufacturers, $1989-90$ 1)


Appendix table 21-Raw cotton equivalent of U.S. export of cotton-containing textile manufactures, 1989-90 $1 /$

| Yarn, thread, and fabric |  |  |  |  |  |  | Appare! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | House furnishings |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year and month | Yarn, thread, cordage. and rope | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Broad- } \\ & \text { Hoven } \\ & \text { fabric } \\ & \text { 100\% } \end{aligned}$ | Broadwoven blends blend | Knit fabric | Narrow, industria and misc. fabric | Total | Tops | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bot- } \\ & \text { toms } \end{aligned}$ | Suits and coats | Sweat ers | Other appare! | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Btan- } \\ & \text { kets } \end{aligned}$ | Bedsheets, pilloncases etc. | Tablecloths placemats, napkins, etc. | Bathroom, and kitchen toweling | Curtain drapes etc. | Bedspreads. quilts. and misc. | Total |
| $1,000 \mathrm{lbs}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan | 1.187 | 3,902 | 4,412 | 527 | 2.236 | 12,263 | 4,161 | 5,259 | 685 | 120 | 1.862 | 12,087 | 69 | 449 | 18 | 191 | 12 | 238 | . 978 |
| Feb | 1,305 | 4, 232 | 4.720 | . 828 | 2,661 | 13, 747 | \%,898 | 7,227 | 648 | 76 | 3,135 | 18.955 | 51 | 707 | 24 | 438 | 43 | 185 | 1.440 |
| Mar | 1.302 | 4,656 | 5:767 | 1,073 | 2,929 | 15,129 | 6,411 | 6,158 | 577 | 131 | 2,953 | 16,229 | 41 | 625 | 25 | 516 | 78 | 253 | 1,539 |
| May | 1.100 | 4,012 | 5,436 | 1,053 | 2,959 | 14,560 | 7,460 | 5,988 | 772 | 56 | 2,840 | 17,116 | 37 | 729 | 107 | 711 | 42 | 193 | 1.820 |
| Jun | 1,488 | 4.490 | 4.552 | 903 | 2,946 | 14,380 | 8,186 | 8,006 | 865 | 251 | 2,578 | 19,886 | 55 | 960 | 35 | 682 | 65 | 149 | 1,947 |
| Jut | 1.042 | 3,979 | 5,328 | 596 | 3, 202 | 12,931 | 7,360 | \% 7,426 | 765 855 | 133 257 | 2, 118 | 15,759 | 3 | + 683 | 45 | 769 803 | 95 | 421 | 2,245 |
| Sep | 1.288 | 3,758 | 5:260 | 783 | 2,733 | 13,822 | 7,362 | 7,146 | 780 | 178 | 2, 543 | 18,010 | 48 | . 793 | 54 | 751 | 58 | 209 | 1,913 |
| Oct | 1,370 | 4,541 | 6,004 | 637 | 3,507 | 16,059 | 8,569 | 7.426 | 998 | 126 | 2,555 | 19,675 | 47 | 901 | 56 | 864 | 51 | 114 | 2,031 |
| Hov | 1,793 | 3,924 4,320 | 5,746 | 575 | 3,786 | 15,223 | 7,194 | 6,632 | 8181 | 193 295 | 2,245 | 17,975 | 53 35 | 848 585 | 41 | 617 | 14 39 | 112 102 | 1,683 |
| Total | 15,751 | 51,384 | 64,098 | 9,064 | 34,644 | 174,941 | 84,568 | 82,582 | 9.320 | 1,910 | 30,154 | 208, 534 | 553 | 9,042 | 532 | 7.575 | 583 | 2,301 | 20,586 |
| 1990: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan | 1.476 | 5,497 | 8,423 | 1.1933 | 2,693 | 19,221 | 7,184 | 6,374 7,990 | 793 833 | 111 | 2,665 2,639 | 16,992 18,996 | 60 59 | 858 | 45 | 982 | 33 63 | 132 | 2,102 |
| Mar | $1: 828$ | 6,212 | 9,510 | 1.440 | 3,064 | 22,054 | 9,067 | 9,360 | 1,076 | 162 | 2.823 | 22,488 | 66 | 1,097 | 32 | 1,435 | 80 | 144 | 2,856 |
| Apr | 2, 288 | 5,735 | 8.181 | 1,260 | 2.119 | 19,631 | 8,221 | 88.439 | + 94.097 | 246 | 2,605 | 20,452 | 1.238 | 1,423 | 44 | 1,309 | 73 | 141 | 3,848 |
| Jun | 2,193 | 5,320 | 9,437 | 1,351 | 3,265 | 21,567 | 8,913 | 9,374 | . 999 | 388 | 3.152 | 22,825 | . 845 | 1,751 | 87 | 1,066 | 54 | 136 | 3,339 |
| Jul | 1.419 | 5.408 | 7.951 | 1,037 | 2,850 | 18,666 | 8,143 | 6.844 | 1. 158 | 193 | 2.199 | 18,536 | 239 | 828 | 40 | 879 | 58 | 130 | 2,172 |
| Aug | 1,801 | 5,5053 | 8,605 | 1,411 | 3,763 | 20,071 | 8,873 | 8,886 | 1,247 | 179 | 3,021 | 21,473 | 297 | 8812 | 5 | 885 | 79 | 153 | 2,196 |
| 1/ Preliminary. Totals may not add due to rounding. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Yarn, thread, and fabric |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Apparel |  |  |  | House furnishings |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year and month | Yarn, thread, cordage, and rope | $\qquad$ | Knit fabric | Narrow industri and misc. fabric | ál, Total | Tops | Bottoms | Suits and coats | Sweaters | Other apparel | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Btan- } \\ & \text { kets } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bed- } \\ & \text { sheets, } \\ & \text { pillowcases } \\ & \text { ett. } \end{aligned}$ | ```Table- cloths, placemats, napkins, etc.``` | Bathroom, and kitchen toweling | Curtains, drapes, etc. | Bedspreads, quilts, and misc. | Total |
| 1,000 lbs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| dan | 138 | 287 | 87 | 1,874 | 2,306 | 161 | 181 | 324 | 21 | 31 | 717 | 2 | 149 | 1 | 3 | 34 | 43 | 232 |
| Feb | 163 | 303 | 87 | + 389 | . 9427 | 224 309 | 121 | 364 | 5 | 98 | 8812 | 4 | 92 | 3 | 24 | 17 | 50 | 190 |
| Mar Apr | 246 178 | 480 | 86 116 | 1, 5275 | 1,887 | 399 | 145 | 310 480 | 10 | 120 | 979 | 4 | 121 | 0 | 22 | 18 | 59 | 216 |
| May | 216 | 500 | 87 | 460 | 1',264 | 285 | 230 | 609 | 4 | 130 | 1,258 | 2 | 159 | 14 | $\underline{6}$ | 37 | 120 | 339 |
| Jun | 173 | 570 | 119 | 461 | 1,323 | 265 | 313 | 403 | 13 | 138 | 1,132 | 3 | 70 | 1 | 3 | 36 | 44 | 157 |
| sul | 184 | 463 | 67 | 392 | 1,106 | 260 | 231 | 326 | 32 | 114 | . 964 | 2 | 82 | - | 33 | 53 | 37 | 208 |
| Aug | 184 | 357 | 58 | 423 | 1.023 | 212 | 194 | 497 | 26 | 132 | 1,061 | 3 | 44 | 0 | 11 | 46 | 42 | 147 |
| Sep | 265 | 396 501 | 1989 | 393 2,065 | 1.1581 | 174 | 178 | 496 525 | 12 | 147 | 1.123 | 15 | 63 40 | 1 | 8 | 46 | 63 47 | 187 180 |
| Nov | 278 | 406 | 112 | 2,620 | 1,417 | 243 | 206 | 573 | 22 | 74 | 1.119 | 5 | 93 | - | 9 | 47 | 29 | 183 |
| Dec | 166 | 504 | 87 | 691 | 1.448 | 221 | 163 | 571 | 9 | 60 | 1,026 | 8 | 48 | 4 | 16 | 52 | 21 | 149 |
| Total | 2,446 | 5,259 | 1.065 | 9,366 | 18,137 | 2,972 | 2,269 | 5.478 | 212 | 1,191 | 12,122 | 59 | 1.127 | 25 | 144 | 487 | 630 | 2,471 |
| 1990: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan | 224 | 530 | 64 | 1,533 | 2,351 | 192 | 116 | 749 | 5 | 40 | 1.103 | 4 | 32 | 4 | 4 | 173 | 11 | 227 |
| Feb Mar apr | 274 216 | 586 488 | 56 98 | 1,512 | 2,429 | 220 334 | 195 258 | 726 839 | 2 | 102 | 1.247 | 1 8 | 72 124 | 0 | 13 | 75 80 | 27 | 178 269 |
| Mar | 286 | 558 | 150 | 1,566 | 2,054 | 311 | 253 | 839 | 7 | 64 101 | 1,504 | 558 | 124 | 1 | 10 | 88 | 15 | 269 |
| May | 307 | 525 | 116 | 1, 492 | 2,440 | 290 | 286 | 563 | 11 | 55 | 1. 205 | 597 | 36 | 4 | 16 | 110 | 27 | 790 |
| Jun | 948 | 634 | 119 | 1,263 | 2,963 | 256 | 357 | 701 | 4 | 46 | 1,365 | 292 | 54 | 3 | 4 | 125 | 29 | 507 |
| Jul | 305 | 486 | 122 | 867 | 1,774 | 194 | 206 | 602 | 18 | 197 | 1.048 | 81 | 42 | 1 | 2 | 95 | 21 | 241 |
| Aug | 233 | 747 410 | 106 | 872 | 1,963 1,670 | 325 243 | 288 179 | 784 690 | 12 | 191 | 1,601 | 81 76 | 50 47 | 28 | 21 | 59 63 | 45 | 265 |

- $=$ Absence of trade for any 9 iven month.
$0=$ Levels of trade less than 500 tbs.
1/ preliminary. Totals may not add due to rounding.

Source: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

Appendix table 23-Raw wool equivalent of U.S. exports of wool-containing textile manufacturers, 1989-90 1/

= Absence of trade for any given month.
0 Levels of trade less than 500 tb.
$1 /$ Preliminary. Totals may not add due to rounding.
Source: Bureau of the Census., Department of Commerce.

| Yarn, thread, and fabric |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Apparel |  |  |  |  | House furnishings |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year and month | Yarn. thread, cordage. and rope | $\begin{gathered} \text { Broad- } \\ \text { Woven } \\ \text { (inc. pile) } \\ \text { fabric } \end{gathered}$ | Knit fabric | Narrow, industrial. and misc. fabric | Total | Tops | Bottoms | Suits and coats | Sweat ers | 0ther apparel | Total | Blankets | Bedsheets, pillowcases, etc. | Tablecloths, placemats, napkins, etc. | Bathroom. and kitchen toweling | Curtains, drapes, etc. | Bedspreads, quilts, and misc. | Total |
| 1,000 lbs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan | 61 | 96 | 10 | 318 | 485 | 184 | 99 | 20 | 25 | 160 | 488 | -- | 142 | 9 | 2 | -- | 24 | 176 |
| Feb | 53 | 153 | 127 | 313 | 646 | 268 | 49 | 28 | 42 | 272 | 659 | -- | 85 | 18 | 3 | $\cdots$ | 32 | 139 |
| Mar | 40 | 270 | 98 | 216 | 624 | 310 | 42 | 26 | 58 | 219 | 655 | - | 110 | 9 | 1 | - | 37 | 157 |
| Apr | 38 | 295 | 149 | 260 | 743 | 237 | 40 | 33 | 107 | 219 | . 625 | - | 141 | 91 | 9 | -- | 31 | 272 |
| Jun | 30 | 414 | 184 | 314 | 942 | 303 | 25 | 21 | 123 | 509 | . 989 | -- | 63 | 10 | 1 | -- | 25 | 89 |
| jul | 61 | 302 | 142 | 346 | 850 | 269 | 51 | 30 | 43 | 301 | 693 | -- | 72 | 18 | 5 | .- | 22 | 118 |
| Aug | 96 | 223 | 102 | 235 | 655 | 243 | 32 | 27 | 108 | 472 | 882 | -- | 41 | 44 | 3 | -- | 29 | 117 |
| Sep | 52 | 189 | 135 | 219 | 595 | 302 | 28 | 32 | 62 | 283 | 707 | - | 56 | 28 | 3 | -- | 39 | 125 |
| Oct | 44 | 346 | 187 | 385 168 | 962 | 297 | 22 | 29 | 34 | 278 | 660 | -- | 35 | 16 | 1 | -- | 32 | 85 |
| Dec | 38 | 252 | 155 | 301 | 745 | 257 | 45 | 13 | 211 | 249 | 775 | -. | 38 | 3 | 1 | -- | 15 | 56 |
| Total | 605 | 2,987 | 1.618 | 3,364 | 8,574 | 3,271 | 507 | 302 | 887 | 3,983 | 8,950 | -- | 1,010 | 292 | 31 | -- | 377 | 1.711 |
| 1990: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan | 59 | 374 355 | 128 | 193 | 754 | 221 | 22 | 18 | 70 | 300 | 631 | -- | 29 | 5 | 1 | -- | 17 | 43 |
| Feb | 92 40 | 375 293 | 143 <br> 234 | 173 | 764 | 258 | 49 | 47 | 284 86 | 226 189 | 843 775 | -- | 156 | 88 | 1 | -- | 17 | 182 |
| Apr | 74 | 303 | 235 | 190 | 802 | 392 | 9 | 52 | 159 | 142 | 754 | -. | 70 | 43 | 0 | -- | 11 | 124 |
| May | 37 | 361 | 199 | 236 | 833 | 290 | 69 | 37 | 120 | 197 | 714 | -- | 29 | 34 | 2 | -- | 17 | 83 |
| Jun | 26 | 297 | 202 | 133 | 657 939 | 288 | 74 | 41 | 331 | 181 | 914 | $\cdots$ | 48 | 37 | 2 | -- | 15 | 102 |
| Jul | 74 92 | 334 370 | $\underline{261}$ | 270 | 939 | 339 301 | 34 60 | 49 54 | 128 64 | 155 249 | 706 729 | -- | 38 43 | 27 | 1 | -- | 33 | 123 |
| Sep | 42 | 263 | 167 | 343 | 815 | 290 | 36 | 44 | 70 | 187 | 626 | .. | 43 | 104 | 2 | -- | 7 | 156 |

[^5]1/ Preliminary. Totals may not add due to rounding.
Source: Bureau of the Census, U.S. Department of Commerce.

Appendix table 25--Raw mannade fiber equivalent of U.S. exports of manmade fiber-containing textite manufactures, 1989-90 1/


# Get these timely reports from USDA's Economic Research Service! 

## Only a phone call away. Toll Free: 1-800-999-6779



Agricultural Outlook. Presents USDA's farm income and food price forecasts. Emphasizes the short-term outlook, but also presents longterm analyses of issues ranging from international trade to U.S. land use. 11 issues $\$ 26$.

Farmline. Concise, fact-filled articles focus on economic conditions facing farmers, how the agricultural environment is changing, and the causes and consequences of those changes for farm and rural people. 11 issues $\$ 12$.

Economic Indicators of the Farm Sector. Updates economic trends in U.S. agriculture. Explores different aspects of income and expenses; national and State financial summaries, production and efficiency statistics, and costs of production. 5 issues $\$ 14$.

Rural Development Perspectives. Crisp, nontechnical articles on the results of new rural research and what they mean. 3 issues $\$ 9$.

National Food Review. Offers the latest developments in food prices, product safety, nutrition programs, consumption patterns, and marketing. 4 issues $\$ 11$.

Foreign Agricultural Trade of the United States. Updates the quantity and value of U.S. farm exports and imports, plus price trends. 8 issues $\$ 25$.

The Journal of Agricultural Economics Research. Technical research in agricultural economics, including econometric models and statistics focusing on methods employed and results of USDA economic research. 4 issues $\$ 8$.

Situation and Outlook Reports. These reports provide timely analyses and forecasts of all major agricultural commodities and related topics such as finance, farm inputs, land values, and world and regional developments. Specific titles are listed on the order form on reverse.


## Subscribe to

## Rural Conditions and Trends

A new quarterly periodical from USDA's Economic Research Service.
Track rural events on a variety of subjects in this new quarterly periodical: macroeconomic conditions, employment and underemployment, industrial structure, earnings and income, poverty, and population.

Quick-read text and sharp graphics will help you get the information you need efficiently and effectively.
To receive a sample copy of the premier issue, call 1-800-999-6779 toll free.

## Save by subscribing for up to 3 years!

## Agricultural Outlook <br> Economic Indicators of the Farm Sector <br> Farmline <br> Foreign Agricultural Trade of the United States <br> Journal of Agricultural Economics Research <br> National Food Review <br> Rural Conditions and Trends <br> Rural Development Perspectives <br> Situation and Outlook Reports:

Agricultural Exports (4 issues)
Agricultural Income and Finance (4 issues)
Agricultural Resources ( 5 issues, each devoted to one topic, including inputs, agricultural land values and markets, and cropland, water, and conservation)
Agriculture and Trade ( 5 issues--Western Europe, Pacific Rim, China, Developing Economies, and USSR).

Aquaculture (2 issues)
Cotton and Wcol (4 issues)
Dairy (5 issues)
Feed (4 issues)
Fruit and Tree Nuts (4 issues)
Livestock and Poultry (8 issues)
Livestock and Poultry Update (monthly)
Oil Crops (4 issues)
Rice (3 issues)
Sugar and Sweetener (4 issues)
Tobacco (4 issues)
U.S. Agricultural Trade Update (monthly)

Vegetables and Speciatties (3 issues)
Wheat (4 issues)
World Agriculture (4 issues)
REPORTS CATALOG

| 1 year $\qquad$ \$26 | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { years } \\ \quad \$ 51 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3 years $\qquad$ $\$ 75$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| _\$14 | -\$27 | $\ldots 39$ |
| \$12 | _\$23 | \$33 |
| _\$25 | $\ldots 49$ | \$72 |
| \$8 | _\$15 | \$21 |
| \$11 | \$21 | \$30 |
| _\$14 | \$27 | \$40 |
| \$9 | _\$17 | \$24 |
|  |  |  |
| \$12 | _\$23 | \$33 |
| _\$12 | _\$23 | \$33 |
| \$12 | _\$23 | \$33 |
| \$12 | _\$23 | _ \$33 |
| \$12 | \$23 | \$33 |
| \$12 | _\$23 | \$33 |
| \$12 | _\$23 | \$33 |
| \$12 | _\$23 | \$33 |
| \$12 | \$23 | \$33 |
| $\ldots \$ 17$ | $\ldots 33$ | \$48 |
| \$15 | _ \$29 | \$42 |
| \$12 | \$23 | \$33 |
| \$12 | _\$23 | \$33 |
| \$12 | _\$23 | \$33 |
| \$12 | _\$23 | \$33 |
| _\$15 | \$29 | \$42 |
| \$12 | _\$23 | \$33 |
| \$12 | \$23 | \$33 |
| _\$12 | \$23 | \$33 |

FREE Check here

For fastest service, call toll free, 1-800-999-6779
(8:30-5:00 E.T. in the U.S. and Canada; other areas please call 301-725-7937)

- Use purchase orders, checks drawn on U.S. banks, cashier's checks, or international money orders.
- Make payable to ERS-NASS.
- Add 25 percent for shipments to foreign addresses (including Canada).

Mail to: ERS-NASS
Name $\qquad$
Organization
Address $\qquad$
City, State, Zip $\qquad$
P.O. Box 1608

Rockville, MD 20849-1608
Daytime phone $\qquad$
Bill me. Enclosed is \$ $\qquad$ . $\square$ MasterCard VISA Total charges \$ $\qquad$ .

Credli card number:


[^6]```
Mण%%%&
```



```
mmuy=itumm evvi=imm
FH%W= N% 4.4Es
```


## What's Your Subscription Situation?

Your subscription to Cotton and Wool expires in the month and year shown on the top line of your mailing label. The expiration date will appear in one of two formats: FEB91 (for February 1991) or 910430 (for April 30, 1991). Disregard this notice if no renewal date appears. Renew today by calling, toll free, 1-800-999-6779, or return this form with your mailing label attached.

## Cotton and Wool Situation and Outlook

Bill me.
Enclosed is \$ $\qquad$ .

Mail to:
ERS-NASS
P.O. Box 1608

Rockville, MD 20849-1608
Credit Card Orders:MasterCard $\square$ VISA
$\square$ Expiration date:



[^0]:    $1 /$ Pima (46-03) and Desert SW Spot.

[^1]:    *Agricultural economists, Commodity Economics Division, Economic Research Service, USDA.

[^2]:    *Agricultural economist, Commodity Economics Division, Economic Research Service, USDA.

[^3]:    Source: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce

[^4]:    $-=$ An absence of trade for any given month.
    $0=$ Levels of trade less than 500 l $b$.
    1/ Preliminry. Totals may not add due to rounding.

[^5]:    - $=$ Absence of trade for any given month.

[^6]:    Moving? To change your address, send this sheet with label intact, showing new address, to EMS Information, Rm. 228, 1301 New York Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20005-4788.

