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## Larger U.S. Cotton Crop and Stocks Projected in 1999/2000

The first official USDA forecast for the 1999 season projects U.S. cotton production at 18 million bales. Based on the March Prospective Plantings report, cotton planted area in 1999 is expected to reach 13.9 million acres, 4 percent above 1998. In addition, assumptions of average abandonment and yield were made in reaching the production forecast. Projected harvested area of 13 million acres is based on the 1988-97 average acreage abandonment weighted by State. The projected yield of 665 pounds per harvested acre is based on the 1994-98 average yield weighted by State, dropping the lowest yield for each State; the resulting aggregate yield is slightly below the long-term trend. If realized, the 1999 U.S. cotton crop would rise 4 million bales ( 29 percent) from 1998's weather-reduced crop.

With U.S. cotton stocks estimated at 3.6 million bales by the start of the 1999 season and production projected to exceed total demand, stocks are expected to increase significantly next season. In 1999/2000, domestic mill use is forecast to rise only slightly, to 10.6 million bales, as the continued rise in textile imports is expected to nearly offset the anticipated growth in retail cotton consumption. On the other hand, U.S. raw cotton exports are projected to jump by onethird to 5.5 million bales next season as a result of the large U.S. crop expectations and the improved world consumption demand outlook. With global trade projected above the current season, the U.S. share of world cotton trade is expected to rise 5 percentage points to 22 percent in 1999/2000. Based on these initial U.S. cotton supply and demand estimates, stocks would rise nearly 2 million bales to 5.5 million by July 31, 2000, a stocks-to-use ratio of 34 percent, which would be the highest since 1988/89.

As of May 9, U.S. cotton planting progress was running behind both last year and the 5-year average. Thirty-five percent of the crop was planted as of May 9, compared with 37 percent in 1998 and an average of 42 percent. Delays were most noticeable in Arizona, Georgia, and South Carolina, where the percent planted is lagging the 5 -year average by $15-20$ percentage points. In contrast, progress in California and Missouri show plantings above the average and well ahead of last season.

## Foreign Production Falling, Consumption Rising in 1999/2000

Foreign cotton production is expected to fall about 1 million bales in 1999/2000, to 69 million, while foreign consumption is expected to rise 1.6 million bales to about 76 million. Higher foreign imports are also expected in 1999/2000, up about 900,000 bales to 25.3 million, but foreign exports are forecast about unchanged from a year earlier, at 19.5 million bales. Lower foreign stocks are expected in 1999/2000, at 36.2 million bales, or 48 percent of consumption. Compared with 1998/99, expected foreign stocks in 1999/2000 are lower by 1.4 million bales or 3 percent of consumption.

Foreign production is expected to slip below 70 million bales in 1999/2000 for the first time since 1994/95, as low prices during the first three-quarters of the current marketing year drive production lower in a number of countries. Production is expected to rebound in a few countries where 1998/99 yields were damaged by unusual weather.

China's cotton price reforms have reduced its expected return on cotton production, and lower planting intentions have been reported there for 1999/2000. However, as in the United States, price prospects for competing crops are also poor, and production could easily overshoot the government's target of about 17 million bales. Cotton production in China has fallen below 19 million bales only once during the 1990s--in 1993/94, when the bollworm crisis first struck the North China Plain. China's reported yields have trended up strongly since then, and in 1998/99 were the second highest ever despite wide-spread flooding. China's plans to remove official procurement price floors beginning September 1 and to at least partly end the government procurement monopoly, add a large degree of uncertainty for its cotton producers.

India's production in 1999/2000 could be lower than 1998/99's 12.9-million-bale estimate. With a year-to-year increase in production in 1998/99, and lower consumption, India's 1998/99 ending stocks-to-use ratio is estimated at 44 percent. This is well above the 32-percent ratio estimated for the preceding 2 years. This would suggest reduced prospects for Indian cotton producers in general. Producers in the high-yielding northern states are particularly likely to reduce area in the wake of problems with yields.

Higher production is possible in Uzbekistan in 1999/2000, assuming more normal weather than in 1998/99, when an unusually cool June reduced yield potential. The percentage of cotton replanted in 1999/2000 may not be particularly lower than the year before, but, assuming normal weather, yields should at least partly recover from 1998/99's 14-percent decline.

## Foreign Consumption To Rebound

Foreign cotton consumption is expected to rise 2.2 percent from the year before in 1999/2000, partly rebounding from a 4.7-percent decline over the preceding 2 years. As a partial recovery in global economic activity boosts consumer demand and as the impact of a 25-percent decline in world cotton prices over the last 2 years increases the profitability of utilizing cotton, foreign cotton use is expected to return to its 1996/97 growth rate.

Foreign cotton consumption has declined more often than increased during the 1990s, falling in each year except 1995/96 and 1996/97. In contrast, foreign cotton consumption rose 18.7 million
bales, or 31 percent, during the 1980s. The difference between the two decades was largely due to the collapse of Russia's and Eastern Europe's textile industries after 1989 and stagnation in China's cotton consumption after a surge during the 1980s. Since 1989, foreign cotton consumption has fallen 3.8 million bales or about 5 percent.

China's consumption in 1999/2000 seems likely to be similar to 1998/99's 20 million bales. On the one hand, China reports continued progress in the spindle reduction campaign that has reportedly destroyed millions of cotton spindles during calendar 1999. Also, textile exports continue to deteriorate compared with year-earlier levels. Both factors have been important considerations in forecasting a decline in China's cotton consumption during 1998/99 than a year earlier. They also highlight reasons for expecting a continued decline in China's consumption in 1999/2000 in the absence of changes in China's policies toward textile restructuring and regarding exchange rates. On the other hand, total production of yarns of all fibers has begun gaining on year-ago levels in recent months, and concern with rising unemployment has reportedly altered some previously anticipated economic policies. The cotton share of China's total textile production has been falling in recent years, and the textile restructuring efforts have singled out spindles used for cotton. However, China opened mill purchase prices of cotton to market forces this year, removing the official floor but maintaining a provision that marketing agencies could not sell at a loss. Prices to mills have fallen, and the government has reportedly offered a subsidy for mills using cotton from Xinjiang. It is not clear that prices of other fibers have fallen as fast as cotton prices this year, so it is reasonable to expect the cotton share of textile production to perhaps stabilize.

Prospects for cotton consumption in Russia and elsewhere in the New Independent States (NIS) in 1999/2000 are very uncertain, and are far less relevant to the world outlook than they were a decade ago. The quality of statistics available for current levels of cotton consumption in the NIS is not very good, but it is believed that Russian consumption has fallen in the wake of 1998's financial crisis, and it is difficult to foresee a significant recovery coming within the next year. Since USDA estimates 1998/99 Russian cotton consumption at only 800,000 bales, or 1 percent of foreign consumption--compared with 5.8 million bales in 1989/90, 7 percent of foreign consumption--the ability of events in Russia to directly influence foreign cotton consumption has greatly diminished.

## Consumption Returning Closer to Trend in 1999/2000

Subtracting China, the New Independent States, and Eastern Europe (EE) from the total for foreign cotton consumption gives a better aggregate perspective on likely developments during 1999/2000. Excluding these countries, foreign cotton consumption rose steadily until 1997/98--increasing 9 million bales or 16 percent between 1989/90 and 1996/97, to 63.7 million bales. The financial disruptions that began in Asia in 1997 led to lower consumption in the following 2 years, and foreign (excluding China, NIS, and EE) consumption fell 2.6 million bales, largely during 1998/99. Financial stability and economic growth seem to be returning to much of Asia during calendar 1999, and the process should continue during 2000. Asian consumers should resume more normal consumption of apparel. Also, the lagged effects of earlier declines in exchange rates for textile exporting countries in Asia and elsewhere should help reduce the costs of apparel imports for the European Union and the United States, helping stimulate consumption there as well.

Growth in this adjusted foreign mill consumption total averaged 1.3 percent during the 1990s through 1998/99, or 2.2 percent through 1996/97. For illustrative purposes, assume that China and the NIS consume the same amount of cotton in 1999/2000 as the year before; then a forecast of total foreign consumption growing 2.2 percent from the year before implies an increase in adjusted foreign consumption of 2.7 percent. This growth rate was achieved each year during 1994/95-1997/98, and is a reasonable mid-point forecast for 1999/2000 given the recovery in world economic growth and the decline in cotton prices.

## World Trade and U.S. Exports Forecast Higher in 1999/2000

With both world cotton consumption higher in 1999/2000 and exportable supplies in the world's two largest exporters--the United States and Uzbekistan--higher as well, world trade is expected to rise 1.3 million bales to 25 million. Excluding 1998/99, this would be the lowest level of world trade since 1976/77, and is below the 27 -million-bale average of the 1990s. As with consumption, the likely prospect of little change in imports by China and Russia from their low 1998/99 levels constrains the outlook for world trade increases.

Instead of total world trade, historical comparisons are better performed with a world trade figure adjusted by NIS imports. Since the NIS import market was largely closed to the outside world until recently, the collapse of NIS imports distorts historical global figures. Again, for illustrative purposes, assume no change in NIS activity in 1999/2000 from the year before; then, adjusted world trade in 1999/2000 is forecast at 23.6 million bales, about equal to the average for the 1990s of 23.8 million, and slightly below the 1995/96 peak of 25.9 million.
U.S. exports are expected to increase 1.4 million bales from the year before in 1999/2000, to 5.5 million, as improved yields boost U.S. exportable supplies and growing world demand improves prospects for U.S. sales. The U.S. share of world trade is expected to rebound to 22 percent, compared with 17 percent in 1998/99. During the 1990s to date, the United States has averaged 25 percent of world trade.

Adjusting world trade for NIS imports, the U.S. share of adjusted world trade is expected to rise from 18 percent to 23 percent. The average adjusted U.S. share during 1990/91-1998/99 was 29 percent. Thus, while the U.S. share is rebounding strongly from 1998/99, it remains weaken than this decade's average performance.

The forecast of 5.5 million bales of U.S. exports in 1999/2000 assumes a continuation of current U.S. policies, a standard assumption. Similarly, for China, it is reasonable to assume there is little change in imports in 1999/2000 than the year before. China is currently importing at about a 400,000-bale annual rate, and Chinatex officials have reportedly indicated that the import quota for calendar 1999 would be of a similar order of magnitude. Therefore, in 1999/2000, China is likely to import significantly less than its 1990/91-1998/99 average of 2 million bales per year.

China buys about half of its imports from the United States, about double the U.S. share purchased by other countries. Thus, lower than average imports by China probably mean a lower than average U.S. share of world trade. Similarly, the United States has had at least potential access to Step 2 during most of the 1990s to date, and Step 2 has generally operated in a manner that increased U.S. exports. Since an active Step 2 is precluded by current policies, it is
reasonable to expect the absence of Step 2 would mean a lower than average U.S. share of world trade in 1999/2000 compared with the rest of the 1990s.

## 1998/99 U.S. Cotton Estimates Revised Slightly

Small revisions were made this month to each of the 1998/99 U.S. cotton supply and demand estimates. First, the National Agricultural Statistics Service finalized 1998 U.S. production at 13.92 million bales, up marginally from April's estimate. For details of State and regional data, see the table in this report. In addition to production, supply was also elevated slightly by a 50,000 -bale increase in the import estimate. As of early May, about 250,000 bales have been imported, according to reports from the Customs Service, with most of these imports attributable to the Step 3 import quotas which have been established since early March. As a result, total supply of U.S. cotton for 1998/99 is estimated at 18.2 million bales.

In contrast, total demand, at 14.6 million bales, did not change this month, but offsetting adjustments did occur. While mill use was raised 100,000 bales to 10.5 million, exports were lowered 100,000 bales to 4.1 million. These revisions were made as a result of the most recent data on consumption and shipment rates, respectively. Based on these total supply and demand estimates, U.S. ending stocks on July 31, 1999 are currently estimated at 3.6 million bales, up 100,000 from last month but nearly 300,000 below the beginning level. As a result, the implied stocks-to-use ratio is 24.7 percent, compared with last season's 20.6 percent.

## U.S. Textile Imports and Exports Expand in February

February textile imports, at 892 million (raw-fiber equivalent) pounds, were about 4 percent above January and 14 percent above February 1998. Increased imports of apparel and home furnishings more than offset reductions in the yarn, thread, and fabric and floor coverings categories. While linen, wool, and silk imports declined from January, February textile imports of cotton and manmade fibers increased. Cotton imports, at 510 million pounds, accounted for 57 percent of the February total and were 16 percent above a year earlier. U.S. cotton textile imports from Asia still account for about half of the total, however, increased imports from other North American countries have boosted the region's percentage to 42 percent in February 1999, compared with 39 percent the year before.

Similarly, February 1999 textile exports, at 355 million pounds, rose nearly 8 percent from January but were slightly below a year earlier. U.S. textile exports expanded for all major fibers and end-use categories. Cotton exports, at 164 million pounds, was the single largest fiber shipment and accounted for 46 percent of the February total. In addition, February cotton textile exports were 10 percent above January and 8 percent above a year ago. For February 1999, all categories, except home furnishings, increased from the previous month.

As usual, U.S. cotton textile exports head mainly to other North American countries, accounting for 88 percent of the February 1999 total. And, Mexico continues to be the leading destination, receiving over 40 percent of the region's total. Although cotton textile exports to other North American countries increased from the year before, all other regions have registered declines.

Overall, the cotton textile trade deficit during the first 2 months of 1999 has expanded from a year
ago as imports have risen 14 percent while exports have risen only 7 percent. As a result, the deficit for January and February 1999 totaled 673 million pounds, compared with 576 million a year earlier.

## Special Article:

California Cotton Planting Progress and Yields: A Review of 1998 and Implications for 1999
by Leslie Meyer
Year in and year out, an abundant cotton crop in California may be the closest thing to a sure bet in U.S. cotton production. With its desert climate, total irrigation, and low abandonment, California upland yields averaging over 1,200 pounds per harvested acre have been commonplace for more than a decade. However, inclement weather has delayed cotton planting there in two of the last four years and, subsequently, yields during these years were reduced more than 20 percent to less than 1,000 pounds per harvested acre.

The most recent incident occurred in 1998 when California experienced a wet spring that significantly delayed cotton planting there. Despite the approval for an earlier allowable planting date, California producers had only 25 percent of their 1998 cotton planted by late April, compared with a "normal" average of 70 percent. And by May 10, only 60 percent of the crop was reported planted, compared with an average of over 90 percent. As a result of these delays, an analysis was conducted to determine the relationship between California's cotton planting progress and the State's upland yield and to determine the implications, if any, for average yields in 1998.

A double-log function was estimated with ordinary least squares (OLS) using data from the 198797 crops. The estimated equation was $\mathrm{LN}(\mathrm{Y})=7.13814+0.59198 \mathrm{LN}(\mathrm{X})$, where $\mathrm{LN}(\mathrm{Y})$ is the natural $\log$ of California yields per harvested acre and $\mathrm{LN}(\mathrm{X})$ is the natural log of the percentage of California cotton planted nearest to May 10 of the respective years. The equation accounts for 63 percent of the variation in upland yields in California during 1987-97, with a standard error of the estimate equal to 0.068596 . For more details about the regression equation, see the May 1998 Cotton and Wool Outlook report (CWS-0298).

Based on the results of the OLS regression and the 1998 planting progress, a projection for California's 1998 average upland yield was estimated at 931 pounds per harvested acre. And, based on the standard error of the estimate, chances were two out of three that California's yield would range between 865 and 997 pounds. As of this month, final estimates for 1998 cotton production indicate that California's upland yield equaled 887 pounds per harvested acre, about 5 percent below the equation's projected average but well within the calculated range.

While falling behind again early this season, concerns about a repeat of 1998 surfaced. However, planting progress in California has improved dramatically over the past month and is now above the 5-year average (Table 1). So, what are the implications for yield in 1999 with planting progress much improved from a year ago? Based on the estimated equation as presented last year, and incorporating the 90 percent planted as of May 9, 1999, indications are for California's upland yield to average 1,183 pounds per harvested acre in 1999. The standard error around this yield indicates that chances are two out of three that yields will range between 1,099 and 1,267
pounds.
Will California's 1999 upland yield fall within this range? Only time will tell once again, but planting progress has been proven to play a significant role in influencing yields in California. A year ago, yields were examined when planting progress was reported 95 percent complete near May 10. During the 5 years where applicable, yields were within the range three of those years and above the upper bound during the remaining two years. Therefore, based on past history and the assumption of "normal" weather for the remainder of the 1999 growing season, implications are for California upland yields to exceed 1,100 pounds per harvested acre in 1999/2000, a much improved outlook from a year ago.

Table 1--Cumulative Percent Planted for California Cotton.

| Date | 1998 | Date | 1999 | 5-Year Avg. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 5 | 5 | April 4 | 2 | 9 |
| April 12 | 6 | April 11 | 2 | 18 |
| April 19 | 10 | April 18 | 30 | 36 |
| April 26 | 25 | April 25 | 40 | 55 |
| May 3 | 45 | May 2 | 70 | 72 |
| May 10 | 60 | May 9 | 90 | 82 |

Source: Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletin, USDA.

The next Cotton and Wool Outlook (CWS-0599) will be released on June 14, 1999.

| Item | 1998/99 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1997/98 | Mar | Apr | May |
| Upland: Million acres |  |  |  |  |
| Planted | 13.648 | 13.088 | 13.088 | 13.064 |
| Harvested | 13.157 | 10.486 | 10.486 | 10.449 |
|  | Pounds |  |  |  |
| Yield/harvested acre | 666 | 612 | 617 | 619 |
|  | Million 480-lb. bales |  |  |  |
| Beginning stocks | 3.920 | 3.822 | 3.822 | 3.822 |
| Production | 18.245 | 13.366 | 13.470 | 13.476 |
| Total supply 1/ | 22.178 | 17.528 | 17.627 | 17.678 |
| Mill use | 11.234 | 10.290 | 10.275 | 10.365 |
| Exports | 7.060 | 3.900 | 3.900 | 3.800 |
| Total use | 18.294 | 14.190 | 14.175 | 14.165 |
| Ending stocks | 3.822 | 3.300 | 3.400 | 3.488 |
|  | Percent |  |  |  |
| Stocks-to-use ratio | 20.9 | 23.3 | 24.0 | 24.6 |
| Extra-long staple: |  | 1,000 acres |  |  |
| Planted | 250 | 330 | 330 | 328 |
| Harvested | 249 | 237 | 237 | 235 |
|  | Pounds |  |  |  |
| Yield/harvested acre | 1,056 | 873 | 893 | 904 |
|  |  | 1,000 | b. bal |  |
| Beginning stocks | 51 | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| Production <br> Total supply 1/ | 548 | 430 | 440 | 442 |
|  | 599 | 505 | 520 | 527 |
| Mill use | 115 | 110 | 125 | 135 |
| Exports | 440 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Total use | 555 | 410 | 425 | 435 |
| Ending stocks | 65 | 100 | 100 | 112 |
|  | Percent |  |  |  |
| Stocks-to-use ratio | 11.7 | 24.4 | 23.5 | 25.7 |

Based on USDA estimates. 1/ Includes imports.

WORLD COTTON SUPPLY AND USE ESTIMATES

| Item | 1998/99 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1997/98 | Mar | Apr | May |
| Supply: | Million 480-lb. bales |  |  |  |
| Beginning stocks |  |  |  |  |
| World | 38.16 | 41.51 | 41.41 | 41.17 |
| Foreign | 34.19 | 37.62 | 37.52 | 37.29 |
| Production |  |  |  |  |
| World | 91.60 | 85.29 | 84.68 | 84.07 |
| Foreign | 72.80 | 71.49 | 70.77 | 70.15 |
| Imports |  |  |  |  |
| World | 26.66 | 24.64 | 24.72 | 24.80 |
| Foreign | 26.65 | 24.29 | 24.37 | 24.40 |
| Use: |  |  |  |  |
| Mill use |  |  |  |  |
| World | 88.40 | 85.01 | 84.72 | 84.79 |
| Foreign | 77.05 | 74.61 | 74.32 | 74.29 |
| Exports |  |  |  |  |
| World | 26.59 | 23.93 | 23.84 | 23.74 |
| Foreign | 19.09 | 19.73 | 19.64 | 19.64 |
| Ending stocks |  |  |  |  |
| World | 41.17 | 42.17 | 41.92 | 41.23 |
| Foreign | 37.29 | 38.77 | 38.42 | 37.63 |
| Stocks-to-use ratio | Percent |  |  |  |
| World | 46.6 | 49.6 | 49.5 | 48.6 |
| Foreign | 48.4 | 52.0 | 51.7 | 50.7 |

Based on USDA estimates.

| Item | 1999 |  |  | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Mar |
| Cotton: | 1,000 480-lb. bales |  |  |  |
| Ginnings | 408 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| Imports since August 1 | 28.3 | 40.5 | NA | 2.7 |
| Stocks, beginning | 10,223 | 9,597 | 8,694 | 11,995 |
| At mills | 568 | 586 | 605 | 685 |
| Public storage | 9,127 | 8,677 | 7,500 | 9,824 |
| CCC stocks | 3,530 | 3,488 | 1,522 | 2,564 |
| Manmade: | Million pounds |  |  |  |
| Production | 834.6 | 801.0 | 864.9 | 902.7 |
| Noncellulosic | 809.1 | 778.3 | 840.1 | 868.7 |
| Cellulosic | 25.5 | 22.7 | 24.8 | 34.0 |
| Total since January 1 | 834.6 | 1,635.6 | 2,500.5 | 2,641.8 |
|  | 1998 | 1999 |  | 1998 |
|  | Dec | Jan | Feb | Feb |
| Raw fiber imports $\quad$ Noncellulosic ${ }^{\text {Cellulosic }}$ Total since January 1 | Million pounds |  |  |  |
|  | 123.7 | 125.8 | 121.6 | 114.3 |
|  | 117.5 | 120.3 | 116.2 | 105.4 |
|  | 6.2 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 8.9 |
|  | 1,500.2 | 125.8 | 247.4 | 241.1 |
| Wool and Mohair: |  |  |  |  |
| Raw wool imports, clean | 1,000 pounds4,3436,1513,553 |  |  |  |
| 48's-and-finer | 2,676 | 4,416 | 1,649 | 3,945 |
| Not-finer-than-46's | 1,666 | 1,735 | 1,905 | 1,445 |
| Total since January 1 | 70,508 | 6,151 | 9,704 | 14,180 |
| Wool top imports | 217 | 35 | 131 | 60 |
| Mohair imports, clean | 2,378 | 35 | 166 | 343 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total since January 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

NA $=$ Not available.

COTTON SYSTEM FIBER CONSUMPTION

| Item | 1999 |  |  | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Mar |
| Cotton: |  | 1,000 480-lb. bales |  |  |
| All consumed by mills 1/ | 882 | 824 | 933 | 972 |
| Total since August 1 1/ | 5,215 | 6,039 | 6,972 | 7,625 |
| SA annual rate 2/ | 10,489 | 10,461 | 10,333 | 11,241 |
| SA daily rate 2/ | 40.3 | 40.2 | 39.7 | 43.1 |
| Daily rate | 42.0 | 41.2 | 40.6 | 44.2 |
| Upland consumed by mills 1/ | 870 | 811 | 920 | 962 |
| Total since August 1 1/ | 5,145 | 5,956 | 6,876 | 7,550 |
| SA daily rate 2/ | 39.8 | 39.6 | 39.2 | 42.6 |
| Daily rate | 41.4 | 40.6 | 40.0 | 43.7 |
| Spindles in place | 5,230 | 5,212 | 5,221 | 5,437 |
| Active spindles | 4,896 | 4,871 | 4,821 | 5,107 |
| 100 percent cotton | 2,615 | 2,608 | 2,542 | 2,566 |
| 100 percent manmade | 744 | 753 | 766 | 774 |
| Blends | 1,537 | 1,510 | 1,513 | 1,767 |
|  | Percent |  |  |  |
| Cotton's share of fibers | 79.2 | 79.7 | 79.3 | 77.9 |
| Manmade: | 1,000 pounds |  |  |  |
| Total consumed by mills 1/ | 110,957 | 100,718 | 116,682 | 132,049 |
| Total since August 1 1/ | 641,924 | 742,642 | 859,323 | 994,112 |
| Daily rate | 5,284 | 5,036 | 5,073 | 6,002 |
| Noncellulosic staple | 4,944 | 4,689 | 4,728 | 5,527 |
| Cellulosic staple | 340 | 347 | 345 | 475 |

1/ Adjusted to calendar month. 2/ SA = seasonally adjusted.

| Item | $1998$ <br> Dec | 1999 |  | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Jan | Feb | Feb |
| Cotton: | 1,000 480-lb. bales |  |  |  |
| Upland exports | 1,007 | 110 | 148 | 718 |
| Total since August 1 | 2,710 | 2,820 | 2,968 | 3,768 |
| Sales for next season | 22 | 27 | 50 | 93 |
| Total since August 1 | 171 | 198 | 247 | 392 |
| ELS exports | 19.9 | 46.4 | 33.7 | 59.4 |
| Total since August 1 | 59.4 | 105.8 | 139.5 | 255.8 |
| Sales for next season | 4.4 | 11.9 | 3.4 | 11.9 |
| Total since August 1 | 13.7 | 25.6 | 29.0 | 39.2 |
| Manmade: | Million pounds |  |  |  |
| Raw fiber exports | 80.9 | 71.9 | 72.7 | 82.6 |
| Noncellulosic | 67.4 | 69.2 | 70.0 | 79.1 |
| Cellulosic | 1.9 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 3.5 |
| Total since January 1 | 1,014.5 | 71.9 | 144.6 | 258.3 |
| Wool and Mohair: | 1,000 pounds |  |  |  |
| Raw wool exports, clean | 55.0 | 9.0 | 124.3 | 30.8 |
| Total since January 1 | 1,720.6 | 9.0 | 133.3 | 412.6 |
| Wool top exports | 222.9 | 401.0 | 356.7 | 271.4 |
| Total since January 1 | 5,556.7 | 401.0 | 757.7 | 1,138.8 |
| Mohair exports, clean | 404.7 | 256.0 | 166.3 | 30.1 |
| Total since January 1 | 2,273.7 | 256.0 | 422.3 | 75.4 |

FIBER PRICES

| Item | 1999 |  |  | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Feb | Mar | Apr | Apr |
| Domestic cotton prices: | Cents per pound |  |  |  |
| Adjusted World Price | 42.11 | 42.64 | 43.97 | 51.29 |
| May'99 futures | 58.72 | 61.09 | 59.34 | 71.04 |
| Dec'99 futures | 60.32 | 59.80 | 59.63 | 71.35 |
| Upland spot 41-34 | 55.46 | 58.17 | 57.01 | 61.88 |
| Pima spot 03-46 | 94.50 | 86.37 | 84.57 | 102.57 |
| Avg. price received by: |  |  |  |  |
| Upland producers | 56.00 | 55.30 | 55.70 | 63.60 |
| Mill delivered: |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton |  |  |  |  |
| Actual | 63.39 | 66.31 | 64.69 | 69.50 |
| Raw fiber equivalent | 70.43 | 73.68 | 71.88 | 77.22 |
| Rayon staple |  |  |  |  |
| Actual | 101.00 | 101.00 | 101.00 | 115.00 |
| Raw fiber equivalent | 105.21 | 105.21 | 105.21 | 119.79 |
| Polyester staple |  |  |  |  |
| Actual | 51.00 | 51.00 | 51.00 | 65.00 |
| Raw fiber equivalent | 53.13 | 53.13 | 53.13 | 67.71 |
| Price ratios | Percent |  |  |  |
| Cotton/rayon | 66.9 | 70.0 | 68.3 | 64.5 |
| Cotton/polyester | 132.6 | 138.7 | 135.3 | 114.1 |
| Northern Europe cotton quotes: |  | Cents | pound |  |
| A Index | 56.26 | 56.74 | 57.86 | 65.08 |
| Memphis Territory | NQ | NQ | NQ | 71.75 |
| California/Arizona | 69.25 | 71.63 | 68.40 | 72.33 |
| $B$ Index | 53.30 | 53.28 | NQ | 63.85 |
| Orleans/Texas | 61.38 | 64.00 | NQ | 66.45 |
| Wool prices (clean) : |  | Dolla | per pound |  |
| U.S. 56's | 0.70 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 1.12 |
| Australian 56's 1/ | 1.33 | 1.36 | 1.36 | 1.71 |
| U.S. 60's | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.87 | 1.50 |
| Australian 60's 1/ | 1.42 | 1.47 | 1.44 | 1.80 |
| U.S. 64's | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.10 | 1.88 |
| Australian 64's 1/ | 1.50 | 1.57 | 1.56 | 2.05 |

$\mathrm{NQ}=\mathrm{No}$ quotes.
1/ In bond, Charleston, SC.

| Item | $1998$ <br> Dec | 1999 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1998 \\ \text { Feb } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Jan | Feb |  |
| Imports: | 1,000 pounds 1/ |  |  |  |
| Yarn, thread, and fabric | 204,841 | 211,651 | 210,837 | 199,034 |
| Cotton | 88,033 | 87,787 | 87,858 | 86,309 |
| Linen | 22,956 | 26,678 | 22,587 | 23,809 |
| Wool | 3,303 | 3,114 | 3,404 | 3,976 |
| Silk | 809 | 736 | 656 | 695 |
| Manmade | 89,740 | 93,337 | 96,332 | 84,245 |
| Apparel | 545,979 | 559,038 | 591,226 | 505,530 |
| Cotton | 342,541 | 340,605 | 372,491 | 309,664 |
| Linen | 14,661 | 18,818 | 16,260 | 13,840 |
| Wool | 12,432 | 12,371 | 12,891 | 12,368 |
| Silk | 12,037 | 15,148 | 13,400 | 12,220 |
| Manmade | 164,309 | 172,096 | 176,385 | 157,439 |
| House furnishings | 48,220 | 51,081 | 54,455 | 45,180 |
| Cotton | 34,913 | 38,059 | 40,911 | 34,858 |
| Linen | 151 | 289 | 184 | 107 |
| Wool | 210 | 93 | 82 | 117 |
| Silk | 23 | 35 | 24 | 42 |
| Manmade | 12,923 | 12,606 | 13,254 | 10,055 |
| Floor covering | 32,414 | 31,508 | 29,166 | 24,256 |
| Cotton | 4,934 | 5,134 | 4,528 | 3,871 |
| Linen | 4,897 | 4,283 | 3,832 | 2,948 |
| Wool | 10,080 | 9,752 | 7,074 | 7,212 |
| Silk | 572 | 627 | 385 | 499 |
| Manmade | 11,931 | 11,712 | 13,347 | 9,725 |
| Total imports 2/ | 838,819 | 860,074 | 892,425 | 780,370 |
| Cotton | 474,768 | 475,496 | 509,873 | 438,279 |
| Linen | 42,690 | 50,126 | 42,907 | 40,752 |
| Wool | 26,090 | 25,436 | 23,318 | 23,727 |
| Silk | 13,442 | 16,547 | 14,466 | 13,457 |
| Manmade | 281,829 | 292,469 | 301,861 | 264,155 |
| Exports: |  | 1,000 | unds 1/ |  |
| Yarn, thread, and fabric | 137,087 | 149,985 | 164,714 | 166,550 |
| Cotton | 47,945 | 55,517 | 63,831 | 55,380 |
| Linen | 3,778 | 4,412 | 5,419 | 4,534 |
| Wool | 3,487 | 3,382 | 4,709 | 4,361 |
| Silk | 1,537 | 1,540 | 1,640 | 1,932 |
| Manmade | 80,341 | 85,133 | 89,115 | 100,343 |
| Apparel | 142,779 | 143,649 | 153,768 | 153,969 |
| Cotton | 85,027 | 86,649 | 93,470 | 88,452 |
| Linen | 1,642 | 1,270 | 1,658 | 1,543 |
| Wool | 5,861 | 6,097 | 6,823 | 8,986 |
| Silk | 3,217 | 3,235 | 3,873 | 4,029 |
| Manmade | 47,033 | 46,398 | 47,944 | 50,959 |
| House furnishings | 7,591 | 6,083 | 6,264 | 7,298 |
| Cotton | 4,853 | 3,952 | 3,858 | 4,417 |
| Linen | 221 | 203 | 196 | 455 |
| Wool | 49 | 40 | 54 | 126 |
| Silk | 49 | 67 | 137 | 190 |
| Manmade | 2,418 | 1,821 | 2,019 | 2,110 |
| Floor covering | 35,719 | 28,923 | 29,805 | 35,179 |
| Cotton | 2,881 | 2,404 | 2,410 | 3,352 |
| Linen | 1,538 | 1,483 | 1,584 | 1,941 |
| Wool | 2,989 | 2,352 | 2,849 | 2,968 |
| Silk | 73 | 82 | 91 | 131 |
| Manmade | 28,239 | 22,601 | 22,871 | 26,786 |
| Total exports 2/ | 323,392 | 328,835 | 354,826 | 363,312 |
| Cotton | 140,769 | 148,581 | 163,643 | 151,671 |
| Linen | 7,186 | 7,375 | 8,866 | 8,481 |
| Wool | 12,395 | 11,881 | 14,446 | 16,454 |
| Silk | 4,875 | 4,924 | 5,741 | 6,283 |
| Manmade | 158,166 | 156,074 | 162,130 | 180,423 |


| Item | 1998 | 1999 |  | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec | Jan | Feb | Feb |
|  | 1,000 pounds 1/ |  |  |  |
| North America | 209,927 | 166,338 | 213,528 | 171,560 |
| Canada | 15,908 | 16,612 | 18,828 | 15,238 |
| Costa Rica | 8,971 | 6,174 | 9,287 | 7,461 |
| Dominican Republic | 23,327 | 10,407 | 20,380 | 17,250 |
| El Salvador | 15,845 | 11,931 | 15,193 | 12,865 |
| Guatemala | 10,776 | 8,425 | 10,695 | 7,921 |
| Haiti | 3,470 | 2,185 | 3,365 | 2,368 |
| Honduras | 30,958 | 23,473 | 30,744 | 23,233 |
| Jamaica | 5,137 | 3,647 | 4,360 | 6,580 |
| Mexico | 92,008 | 79,999 | 96,356 | 75,557 |
| Nicaragua | 2,988 | 3,094 | 3,701 | 2,650 |
| South America | 8,379 | 7,327 | 7,129 | 6,055 |
| Argentina | 10 | 26 | 28 | 27 |
| Brazil | 2,336 | 2,637 | 1,512 | 1,970 |
| Chile | 32 | 26 | 7 | 53 |
| Colombia | 2,891 | 1,935 | 2,873 | 2,083 |
| Peru | 2,722 | 2,225 | 2,147 | 1,568 |
| Europe | 24,830 | 25,107 | 27,054 | 25,558 |
| Estonia | 915 | 639 | 446 | 686 |
| France | 490 | 599 | 685 | 606 |
| Germany | 780 | 433 | 700 | 655 |
| Italy | 3,510 | 2,696 | 3,302 | 2,806 |
| Portugal | 2,709 | 2,203 | 2,336 | 2,204 |
| Russia | 675 | 707 | 648 | 1,115 |
| Spain | 1,251 | 828 | 983 | 828 |
| Turkey | 9,156 | 12,284 | 13,483 | 12,056 |
| United Kingdom | 1,609 | 948 | 1,005 | 1,043 |
| Asia | 216,104 | 260,792 | 247,540 | 222,022 |
| Bahrain | 1,143 | 1,017 | 1,188 | 927 |
| Bangladesh | 11,907 | 21,566 | 17,102 | 17,840 |
| China | 27,589 | 32,767 | 32,477 | 32,660 |
| Hong Kong | 28,083 | 28,773 | 28,700 | 21,805 |
| India | 23,742 | 31,732 | 32,072 | 30,045 |
| Indonesia | 10,467 | 14,912 | 13,868 | 15,826 |
| Israel | 2,797 | 3,145 | 2,998 | 2,143 |
| Japan | 1,479 | 1,295 | 1,224 | 1,079 |
| Macao | 6,535 | 6,756 | 6,483 | 3,834 |
| Malaysia | 5,942 | 6,576 | 5,116 | 3,972 |
| Nepal | 1,642 | 1,940 | 2,178 | 1,488 |
| Oman | 1,592 | 1,878 | 1,789 | 1,521 |
| Pakistan | 28,143 | 30,533 | 31,208 | 35,509 |
| Philippines | 9,207 | 12,038 | 11,178 | 8,379 |
| Qator | 977 | 1,208 | 1,765 | 1,300 |
| Singapore | 2,605 | 2,433 | 2,434 | 2,296 |
| South Korea | 7,233 | 9,330 | 8,575 | 5,537 |
| Sri Lanka | 6,394 | 10,084 | 9,144 | 7,412 |
| Taiwan | 12,854 | 13,764 | 12,141 | 8,707 |
| Thailand | 11,884 | 12,841 | 10,645 | 10,501 |
| U Arab Em | 1,631 | 2,590 | 2,552 | 2,188 |
| Oceania | 1,429 | 2,456 | 1,593 | 1,515 |
| Australia | 1,044 | 654 | 794 | 820 |
| Fiji | 106 | 1,546 | 584 | 526 |
| Africa | 14,099 | 13,476 | 13,029 | 11,569 |
| Egypt | 6,124 | 5,671 | 6,660 | 6,019 |
| Lesotho | 1,722 | 1,560 | 1,288 | 1,263 |
| Mauritius | 2,064 | 2,079 | 1,647 | 1,548 |
| Morocco | 1,263 | 900 | 919 | 974 |
| South Africa | 963 | 1,173 | 529 | 363 |
| Tunisia | 55 | 85 | 82 | 73 |
| World 2/ | 474,768 | 475,496 | 509,873 | 438,279 |


| Country | 1998 | 1999 |  | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec | Jan | Feb | Feb |
|  | 1,000 pounds 1/ |  |  |  |
| North America | 124,049 | 129,573 | 144,006 | 123,734 |
| Canada | 21,870 | 24,826 | 25,924 | 27,049 |
| Costa Rica | 5,195 | 6,166 | 6,286 | 6,970 |
| Dominican Republic | 12,764 | 12,945 | 14,143 | 14,550 |
| El Salvador | 5,081 | 5,282 | 6,194 | 6,382 |
| Guatemala | 2,779 | 2,620 | 2,703 | 3,334 |
| Haiti | 2,123 | 2,022 | 1,576 | 1,420 |
| Honduras | 17,139 | 15,754 | 19,448 | 16,309 |
| Jamaica | 3,988 | 2,765 | 3,784 | 4,739 |
| Mexico | 51,019 | 55,782 | 62,414 | 41,493 |
| South America | 3,658 | 3,210 | 2,963 | 4,791 |
| Argentina | 182 | 141 | 188 | 231 |
| Brazil | 312 | 404 | 379 | 684 |
| Chile | 1,022 | 949 | 321 | 726 |
| Colombia | 1,198 | 1,076 | 939 | 1,467 |
| Peru | 133 | 82 | 79 | 70 |
| Venezuela | 426 | 279 | 703 | 1,301 |
| Europe | 5,094 | 7,804 | 7,997 | 12,649 |
| Belgium | 586 | 1,824 | 2,513 | 3,473 |
| France | 459 | 292 | 315 | 317 |
| Germany | 807 | 752 | 727 | 1,172 |
| Ireland | 145 | 90 | 91 | 946 |
| Italy | 238 | 760 | 235 | 470 |
| Netherlands | 492 | 695 | 552 | 803 |
| United Kingdom | 1,225 | 2,568 | 2,161 | 3,862 |
| Asia | 6,387 | 6,757 | 7,052 | 8,583 |
| China | 271 | 115 | 230 | 160 |
| Hong Kong | 881 | 717 | 798 | 1,184 |
| Israel | 534 | 686 | 514 | 1,125 |
| Japan | 2,511 | 2,955 | 3,397 | 3,816 |
| Philippines | 124 | 267 | 241 | 290 |
| Saudi Arabia | 514 | 527 | 386 | 279 |
| Singapore | 288 | 192 | 185 | 193 |
| South Korea | 285 | 323 | 273 | 149 |
| Taiwan | 235 | 149 | 208 | 295 |
| U Arab Em | 198 | 235 | 193 | 350 |
| Oceania | 752 | 623 | 680 | 932 |
| Australia | 610 | 484 | 476 | 672 |
| New Zealand | 72 | 50 | 105 | 97 |
| Africa | 828 | 613 | 945 | 982 |
| Egypt | 53 | 3 | 18 | 77 |
| Ghana | 13 | 2 | 1 | 20 |
| Ivory Coast | 19 | 44 | 90 | 30 |
| Nigeria | 276 | 179 | 185 | 298 |
| South Africa | 179 | 84 | 286 | 175 |
| World $2 /$ | 140,769 | 148,581 | 163,643 | 151,671 |

1/ Raw fiber equivalent. 2/ Totals may not add due to rounding.

| State/ <br> Region | Planted | Harvested | Yield | Production |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000 | acres | Lbs./ <br> harvested acre | $1,000$ bales |
| Upland: |  |  |  |  |
| Alabama | 495 | 475 | 559 | 553 |
| Florida | 89 | 80 | 489 | 81 |
| Georgia | 1,370 | 1,280 | 578 | 1,542 |
| N. Carolina | 710 | 705 | 699 | 1,026 |
| S. Carolina | 290 | 286 | 587 | 350 |
| Virginia | 92 | 91 | 765 | 145 |
| Southeast | 3,046 | 2,917 | 608 | 3,697 |
| Arkansas | 920 | 900 | 645 | 1,209 |
| Louisiana | 535 | 525 | 586 | 641 |
| Mississippi | 950 | 940 | 737 | 1,444 |
| Missouri | 370 | 357 | 471 | 350 |
| Tennessee | 450 | 445 | 589 | 546 |
| Delta | 3,225 | 3,167 | 635 | 4,190 |
| Kansas | 17 | 17 | 404 | 14 |
| Oklahoma | 160 | 120 | 560 | 140 |
| Texas | 5,650 | 3,300 | 524 | 3,600 |
| Southwest | 5,827 | 3,437 | 524 | 3,754 |
| Arizona | 250 | 248 | 1,177 | 608 |
| California | 650 | 620 | 887 | 1,146 |
| New Mexico | 66 | 60 | 640 | 80 |
| West | 966 | 928 | 949 | 1,834 |
| Total Upland | 13,064 | 10,449 | 619 | 13,476 |
| Pima: |  |  |  |  |
| Arizona | 16 | 15 | 830 | 27 |
| California | 200 | 180 | 941 | 353 |
| New Mexico | 7 | 7 | 658 | 10 |
| Texas | 105 | 32 | 791 | 53 |
| Total Pima | 328 | 235 | 904 | 442 |
| Total All | 13,392 | 10,684 | 625 | 13,918 |

Based on USDA's May 1999 Crop Production report.

