115TH CONGRESS	C	
1st Session	J.	

To strengthen the position of the United States as the world's leading innovator by amending title 35, United States Code, to protect the property rights of the inventors that grow the country's economy.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

Mr.	Coons	(fo	r himself,	Mr.	Сотт	on, 1	Ir. D	URBIN	, and	d Ms. I	IIRO	NO)	intro-
	duced	the	following	bill;	which	was	read	twice	and	referre	d to	the	Com-
	mittee	on											

A BILL

- To strengthen the position of the United States as the world's leading innovator by amending title 35, United States Code, to protect the property rights of the inventors that grow the country's economy.
 - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
 - 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.
 - 4 (a) Short Title.—This Act may be cited as the
 - 5 "Support Technology and Research for Our Nation's
- 6 Growth and Economic Resilience Patents Act of 2017" or
- 7 the "STRONGER Patents Act of 2017".

1 (b) Table of Contents.—The table of contents for 2 this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

TITLE I—STRONGER PATENTS ACT

Class	1//1	Findings.
>000	1111	RIMAIMOS

- Sec. 102. Inter partes review.
- Sec. 103. Post-grant review.
- Sec. 104. Composition of post-grant review and inter partes review panels.
- Sec. 105. Reexamination of patents.
- Sec. 106. Restoration of patents as property rights.
- Sec. 107. Elimination of USPTO fee diversion.
- Sec. 108. Infringement of patent.
- Sec. 109. Institutions of higher education.
- Sec. 110. Assisting small businesses in the U.S. patent system.

TITLE II—TARGETING ROGUE AND OPAQUE LETTERS

- Sec. 201. Definitions.
- Sec. 202. Unfair or deceptive acts or practices in connection with the assertion of a United States patent.
- Sec. 203. Enforcement by Federal Trade Commission.
- Sec. 204. Preemption of State laws on patent demand letters and enforcement by State attorneys general.

3 TITLE I—STRONGER PATENTS

\mathbf{ACT}

- 5 SEC. 101. FINDINGS.
- 6 Congress finds that—
- 7 (1) the patent property rights enshrined in the
- 8 Constitution of the United States provide the foun-
- 9 dation for the exceptional innovation environment in
- the United States;
- 11 (2) strong patent rights encourage United
- 12 States inventors to invest their resources in creating
- new inventions;
- 14 (3) patent protection has led to patient cures,
- positive changes to the standard of living for all peo-

1	ple in the United States, and improvements to the
2	agricultural, telecommunications, and electronics in-
3	dustries, among others;
4	(4) the United States patent system is an es-
5	sential part of the country's economic success;
6	(5) strong patent protection improves the
7	chances of success for small companies and increases
8	their chances of securing financing from investors;
9	(6) intellectual property-intensive industries in
10	the United States generate tens of millions of jobs
11	for individuals in the United States;
12	(7) intellectual property-intensive industries in
13	the United States account for more than one-third
14	of the country's gross domestic product;
15	(8) in the highly competitive global economy,
16	the United States needs to uphold strong patent
17	protections to maintain its position as the world's
18	premier innovative country;
19	(9) Congress last enacted comprehensive re-
20	forms of the patent system just recently, in 2011;
21	(10) unintended consequences of the com-
22	prehensive 2011 reform of patent laws are con-
23	tinuing to become evident, including the strategic fil-
24	ing of post-grant review proceedings to depress stock
25	prices and extort settlements, the filing of repetitive

1	petitions for inter partes and post-grant reviews that
2	have the effect of harassing patent owners, and the
3	unnecessary duplication of work by the district
4	courts of the United States and the Patent Trial
5	and Appeal Board;
6	(11) the Judicial Conference of the United
7	States has made significant revisions to rules gov-
8	erning pleadings and discovery in the Federal Rules
9	of Civil Procedure, which took effect in December
10	2015;
11	(12) the Supreme Court recently issued rulings
12	in Octane Fitness, LLC v. Icon Health & Fitness,
13	Inc., 134 S.Ct. 1749 (2014) and Highmark Inc. v
14	Allcare Health Management System, Inc., 134 S.Ct.
15	1744 (2014) that significantly reduced the burden
16	on an alleged infringer to recover attorney fees from
17	the patent owner, and increased the incidence of fees
18	shifted to the losing party; and
19	(13) efforts by Congress to reform the patent
20	system without careful scrutiny create a serious risk
21	of making it more costly and difficult for legitimate
22	innovators to protect their patents from infringe-
23	ment, thereby weakening United States companies
24	and the United States economy.

1	~ ~ ~		-		
	SHICE	102	INTER	PARTES	REVIEW

2	(a) CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.—Section 316(a) of title
3	35, United States Code, is amended—
4	(1) in paragraph (9), by inserting after "sub-
5	stitute claims," the following: "including the stand-
6	ard for how substitute claims should be construed,";
7	(2) in paragraph (12), by striking "; and" and
8	inserting a semicolon;
9	(3) in paragraph (13), by striking the period at
10	the end and inserting "; and; and
11	(4) by adding at the end the following:
12	"(14) providing that for all purposes under this
13	chapter—
14	"(A) each challenged claim of a patent
15	shall be construed as the claim would be con-
16	strued under section 282(b) in an action to in-
17	validate a patent, including by construing each
18	challenged claim of the patent in accordance
19	with—
20	"(i) the ordinary and customary
21	meaning of the claim as understood by a
22	person having ordinary skill in the art to
23	which the claimed invention pertains; and
24	"(ii) the prosecution history per-
25	taining to the patent; and

1	"(B) if a court has previously construed a
2	challenged claim of a patent or a challenged
3	claim term in a civil action to which the patent
4	owner was a party, the Office shall consider
5	that claim construction.".
6	(b) Burden of Proof.—Section 316(e) of title 35
7	United States Code, is amended to read as follows:
8	"(e) EVIDENTIARY STANDARDS.—
9	"(1) Presumption of Validity.—The pre-
10	sumption of validity under section 282(a) shall apply
11	to a previously issued claim that is challenged dur-
12	ing an inter partes review under this chapter.
13	"(2) Burden of Proof.—In an inter parter
14	review instituted under this chapter, the petitioner
15	shall have the burden of proving a proposition of
16	unpatentability of a previously issued claim by clear
17	and convincing evidence.".
18	(c) Standing.—Section 311 of title 35, United
19	States Code, is amended by adding at the end the fol-
20	lowing:
21	"(d) Persons That May Petition.—
22	"(1) Definition.—In this subsection, the term
23	'charged with infringement' means a real and sub-
24	stantial controversy regarding infringement of a pat-
25	ent exists such that the petitioner would have stand-

1	ing to bring a declaratory judgment action in Fed-
2	eral court.
3	"(2) Necessary conditions.—A person may
4	not file with the Office a petition to institute an
5	inter partes review of a patent unless the person, or
6	a real party in interest or privy of the person, has
7	been—
8	"(A) sued for infringement of the patent
9	or
10	"(B) charged with infringement under the
11	patent.".
12	(d) Limitation on Reviews.—Section 314(a) of
13	title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as fol-
14	lows:
15	"(a) Threshold.—
16	"(1) Likelihood of prevailing.—Subject to
17	paragraph (2), the Director shall not authorize an
18	inter partes review to be instituted unless the Direc-
19	tor determines that the information presented in the
20	petition filed under section 311 and any response
21	filed under section 313 shows that there is a reason-
22	able likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with
23	
	respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the

"(2) Previous institution.—The Director
shall not authorize an inter partes review to be insti-
tuted on a claim challenged in a petition if the Di-
rector has previously instituted an inter partes re-
view or post-grant review with respect to that
claim.".
(e) Appeals From Institution Decisions.—Sec-
tion 314 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by
striking subsection (d) and inserting the following:
"(d) No Appeal.—A determination by the Director
not to institute an inter partes review under this section
shall be final and nonappealable.
"(e) Interlocutory Appeal.—
"(1) Right of Appeal.—A determination by
the Director to institute an inter partes review under
this section may be appealed to the United States
Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.
"(2) Timing.—A party shall file a notice of in-
terlocutory appeal under paragraph (1) not later
than 7 days after the date on which the Director en-
ters the institution decision.
"(3) Limitation.—An interlocutory appeal
filed under paragraph (1) may challenge the institu-
tion decision on any basis except for the determina-
tion made under section 314(a)(1).

1	"(4) Effect on proceedings.—An interlocu-
2	tory appeal filed under paragraph (1) shall not stay
3	proceedings in the inter partes review unless the Di-
4	rector or the United States Court of Appeals for the
5	Federal Circuit so orders.
6	"(5) Decision.—An interlocutory appeal filed
7	under paragraph (1) may be granted at the discre-
8	tion of the United States Court of Appeals for the
9	Federal Circuit, which may include consideration of
10	whether—
11	"(A) the institution decision appears to be
12	in error and mere institution presents a risk of
13	immediate, irreparable injury to the patent
14	owner;
15	"(B) the institution decision presents an
16	unsettled and fundamental issue of law, impor-
17	tant both to the specific proceeding and gen-
18	erally, that is likely to evade end-of-the-pro-
19	ceeding review; or
20	"(C) the institution decision is manifestly
21	erroneous.".
22	(f) Eliminating Repetitive Proceedings.—Sec-
23	tion 315(e) of title 35, United States Code, is amended
24	to read as follows:
25	"(e) Estoppel.—

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

OLL17433 S.L.C.

"(1) Proceedings before the office.—A person petitioning for an inter partes review of a claim in a patent under this chapter, or the real party in interest or privy of the petitioner, may not petition for a subsequent inter partes review before the Office with respect to that patent on any ground that the petitioner raised or reasonably could have raised in the initial petition, unless, after the filing of the initial petition, the petitioner, or the real party in interest or privy of the petitioner, is charged with infringement of additional claims of the patent.

"(2)CIVIL ACTIONS AND OTHER PRO-CEEDINGS.—A person petitioning for an inter parter review of a claim in a patent under this chapter that results in an institution decision under section 314, or the real party in interest or privy of the petitioner, may not assert either in a civil action arising in whole or in part under section 1338 of title 28 or in a proceeding before the International Trade Commission under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) that the claim is invalid based on section 102 or 103 of this title, unless the invalidity argument is based on allegations that the claimed invention was in public use, on sale, or oth-

1	erwise available to the public before the effective fil-
2	ing date of the claimed invention.".
3	(g) Real Party in Interest.—
4	(1) CLARIFICATION OF DEFINITION.—Section
5	315 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by
6	adding at the end the following:
7	"(f) Petitioner.—For purposes of this chapter, a
8	person that directly or through an affiliate, subsidiary, or
9	proxy, makes a financial contribution to the preparation
10	for, or conduct during, an inter partes review on behalf
11	of the petitioner shall be considered a real party in interest
12	of the petitioner.".
13	(2) Discovery of Real Party in Inter-
14	EST.—Section 316(a)(5) of title 35, United States
15	Code, is amended to read as follows:
16	"(5) setting forth standards and procedures for
17	discovery of relevant evidence, including that such
18	discovery shall be limited to—
19	"(A) the deposition of witnesses submitting
20	affidavits or declarations;
21	"(B) evidence identifying the petitioner's
22	real parties in interest; and
23	"(C) what is otherwise necessary in the in-
24	terest of justice;".

1	(h) Priority of Federal Court Validity De-
2	TERMINATIONS.—
3	(1) In general.—Section 315 of title 35
4	United States Code, as amended by subsection (h)
5	is amended—
6	(A) by redesignating subsections (c)
7	through (f) as subsections (d) through (g), re-
8	spectively; and
9	(B) by inserting after subsection (b) the
10	following:
11	"(c) Federal Court Validity Determina-
12	TIONS.—
13	"(1) Institution barred.—An inter parter
14	review of a patent claim may not be instituted if, in
15	a civil action arising in whole or in part under sec-
16	tion 1338 of title 28 or in a proceeding before the
17	International Trade Commission under section 337
18	of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337), a court
19	has entered a final judgment—
20	"(A) that decides the validity of the patent
21	claim with respect to section 102 or 103; and
22	"(B) from which an appeal under section
23	1295 of title 28 may be taken, or from which
24	an appeal under section 1295 of title 28 was
25	previously available but is no longer available.

"(2) Stay of proceeding	3S.—
-------------------------	------

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"(A) IN GENERAL.—If, in a civil action arising in whole or in part under section 1338 of title 28 or in a proceeding before the International Trade Commission under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337), a court has entered a final judgment that decides the validity of a patent claim with respect to section 102 or 103 and from which an appeal under section 1295 of title 28 may be taken, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board shall stay any ongoing inter partes review of that patent claim pending a final decision of the court or Commission, as applicable. "(B) TERMINATION.—If the validity of a patent claim described in subparagraph (A) is finally upheld by a court or the International Trade Commission, as applicable, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board shall terminate the inter partes review.". (2)TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING MENTS.—Chapter 31 of title 35, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in section 315(b), by striking "sub-

section (c)" and inserting "subsection (d)";

1	(B) in section 316(a)—
2	(i) in paragraph (11), by striking
3	"section 315(c)" and inserting "section
4	315(d)"; and
5	(ii) in paragraph (12), by striking
6	"section 315(c)" and inserting "section
7	315(d)"; and
8	(C) in section 317(a), by striking "section
9	315(e)" and inserting "section 315(f)".
10	(i) Amendment of Claims.—
11	(1) Inter partes review amendment prac-
12	TICE.—Chapter 31 of title 35, United States Code,
13	is amended by inserting after section 316 the fol-
14	lowing:
15	"§ 316A. Inter partes review amendment practice
16	"(a) Actions Permitted.—During an inter parter
17	review instituted under this chapter, the patent owner may
18	move to take 1 or more of the following actions with re-
19	spect to any challenged patent claim being reviewed:
20	"(1) Cancel the claim.
21	"(2) Propose a reasonable number of substitute
22	claims whose patentability will be adjudicated by the
23	Patent Trial and Appeal Board in accordance with
24	subsection (c).

1	"(3) Propose a reasonable number of substitute
2	claims to be examined in an expedited IPR reexam-
3	ination in accordance with subsection (d).
4	"(b) Scope of Claims.—An amendment to a patent
5	under this section may not enlarge the scope of the claims
6	of the patent or introduce new matter.
7	"(c) Amendment Practice Before the Patent
8	Trial and Appeal Board.—
9	"(1) Patent owner's initial motion.—For
10	each challenged claim for which the patent owner
11	seeks to propose a reasonable number of substitute
12	claims, the patent owner shall be required to make
13	a prima facie showing that each substitute claim—
14	"(A) responds to each ground of
15	unpatentability on which the inter partes review
16	was instituted;
17	"(B) meets the written description require-
18	ment under section 112(a); and
19	"(C) meets the requirement under sub-
20	section (b) of this section.
21	"(2) New evidence.—
22	"(A) Petitioner.—The petitioner may re-
23	spond to the patent owner's initial motion
24	under paragraph (1) by presenting new evi-
25	dence.

1	"(B) Patent owner.—The patent owner
2	shall have not less than 1 opportunity to re-
3	spond to any new evidence presented under sub-
4	paragraph (A).
5	"(3) Expedited patentability report.—
6	"(A) In General.—Upon the filing of a
7	motion under paragraph (1), the Patent Trial
8	and Appeal Board, in its discretion, may order
9	an expedited patentability report from a patent
10	examiner on a substitute claim.
11	"(B) Contents of Report.—In ordering
12	an expedited patentability report, the Patent
13	Trial and Appeal Board may—
14	"(i) order examination of any ground
15	of patentability that is assessed by a pat-
16	ent examiner under chapter 12; and
17	"(ii) request a non-binding rec-
18	ommendation as to the patentability of a
19	substitute claim.
20	"(C) Right of Reply.—Any party to the
21	inter partes review may file supplemental brief-
22	ing, including new evidence, addressing the ex-
23	pedited patentability report.
24	"(D) TIMING.—The production of the ex-
25	pedited patentability report shall be expedited

1	"(E) Good cause.—The ordering of a
2	patentability report shall constitute good cause,
3	for purposes of section 316(a)(11), to extend
4	the 1-year period for the inter partes review.
5	"(4) Adjudication of patentability.—
6	"(A) No rebuttal.—A patent owner
7	shall be entitled to a substitute claim if the
8	prima facie showing required under paragraph
9	(1) is not rebutted.
10	"(B) Additional Evidence.—If addi-
11	tional evidence of record is presented, the pat-
12	ent owner shall be entitled to a substitute claim
13	unless a preponderance of that evidence proves
14	that the patent owner is not so entitled.
15	"(5) Patent trial and appeal board's dis-
16	CRETION TO ORDER EXPEDITED IPR REEXAMINA-
17	TION.—Upon issuing a final written decision with
18	respect to each challenged claim, the Patent Trial
19	and Appeal Board may order an expedited IPR reex-
20	amination to be conducted under section $(d)(2)$ to
21	consider a substitute claim in lieu of issuing a final
22	written decision on that claim.
23	"(6) Amendments to advance settle-
24	MENT.—The Patent Trial and Appeal Board may
25	consider additional motions to amend upon the joint

1	request of the petitioner and the patent owner to
2	materially advance the settlement of a proceeding
3	under section 317 if the patent owner makes the
4	prima facie showing required under paragraph (1).
5	"(d) Amendment Practice Before a Patent Ex-
6	AMINER.—
7	"(1) MOTION TO CONVERT TO EXPEDITED IPR
8	EXAMINATION.—
9	"(A) IN GENERAL.—If the Director deter-
10	mines to institute an inter partes review on a
11	challenged claim under section 314, a patent
12	owner may, before any further substantive
13	briefing on the patentability of an instituted
14	claim, move to terminate the inter partes review
15	in favor of an expedited IPR reexamination
16	under paragraph (2) of this subsection.
17	"(B) Contents of Motion.—A patent
18	owner shall be required to show good cause for
19	why an expedited IPR reexamination would fur-
20	ther the goals of the patent system, including
21	consideration of whether there are substantial—
22	"(i) investments in research directly
23	related to the claimed invention;
24	"(ii) secondary indicia of non-obvious-
25	ness, such as commercial success, long-felt

I	but unsolved needs, or failures of persons
2	skilled in the art to develop the claimed in-
3	vention; or
4	"(iii) changes in case law governing
5	relevant substantive patentability require-
6	ments since the patent was issued.
7	"(C) NEW EVIDENCE ALLOWED.—The
8	opening motion and opposition briefs under this
9	paragraph may include new evidence, and fur-
10	ther rebuttal evidence may be allowed at the
11	discretion of the Patent Trial and Appeal
12	Board.
13	"(2) Conduct of expedited IPR examina-
14	TION.—
15	"(A) IN GENERAL.—If the Patent Trial
16	and Appeal Board grants a motion filed under
17	paragraph (1), the patent owner shall cancel
18	each instituted claim and submit a reasonable
19	number of substitute claims for consideration
20	by the patent examiner in an expedited IPR ex-
21	amination under this paragraph.
22	"(B) TIMING.—A patent examiner shall
23	complete an expedited IPR examination, exclud-
24	ing any time for appeals, within 18 months.

1	"(C) Procedures.—Consideration of the
2	substitute claims in an expedited IPR examina-
3	tion shall follow the procedures established for
4	initial examination under sections 132 and 133,
5	subject to subsection (b) of this section and
6	modified as necessary to ensure that the proce-
7	dures are expedited.
8	"(D) Appeal.—
9	"(i) Patent examiner decision.—
10	If the final decision of a patent examiner
11	in an expedited IPR examination is ad-
12	verse to the patentability of a substitute
13	claim, the patent owner may appeal the de-
14	cision to the Patent Trial and Appeal
15	Board under section 134(c).
16	"(ii) Patent trial and appeal
17	BOARD DECISION.—If the final decision in
18	an appeal of an expedited IPR reexamina-
19	tion to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board
20	under section 134(c) is adverse to the pat-
21	entability of a substitute claim, the patent
22	owner may appeal the decision to the
23	United States Court of Appeals for the
24	Federal Circuit in accordance with sections
25	141 through 144.

"(E) CERTIFICATE.—If the patent exam-
iner determines in an expedited IPR examina-
tion that a substitute claim is patentable, and
the time for appeal has expired or any appeal
proceeding has terminated, the Director shall
issue and publish a certificate incorporating in
the patent the substitute claim.
"(F) Intervening rights.—Any sub-
stitute claim determined to be patentable and
incorporated into a patent following an expe-
dited IPR examination shall have the same ef-
fect as that specified in section 252 for reissued
patents on the right of any person who made,
purchased, or used within the United States, or
imported into the United States, anything pat-
ented by the substitute claim, or who made sub-
stantial preparation therefor, prior to issuance
of a certificate under subparagraph (E).".
(2) Technical and conforming amend-
MENTS.—
(A) Expedited IPR examination ap-
PEALS.—
(i) Appeals to patent trial and
APPEAL BOARD.—Section 134 of title 35

1	United States Code, is amended by adding
2	at the end the following:
3	"(c) Patent Owner in Expedited Examina-
4	TION.—A patent owner may appeal from the final rejec-
5	tion of any substitute claim by the primary examiner in
6	an expedited IPR examination under section 316A(d) to
7	the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, having once paid the
8	fee for such appeal.".
9	(ii) Appeals to federal circuit.—
10	Section 141 of title 35, United States
11	Code, is amended—
12	(I) by redesignating subsections
13	(c) and (d) as subsection (d) and (e);
14	and
15	(II) by inserting after subsection
16	(b) the following:
17	"(c) Expedited Examinations.—A patent owner
18	who is dissatisfied with the final decision in an appeal to
19	the Patent Trial and Appeal Board under section 134(c)
20	of an expedited IPR examination may appeal the Board's
21	decision to the United States Court of Appeals for the
22	Federal Circuit.".
23	(B) CONDUCT OF INTER PARTES RE-
24	VIEW.—Section 316 of title 35, United States
25	Code, is amended—

1	(i) in subsection $(a)(9)$, by striking
2	"subsection (d)" each place that term ap-
3	pears and inserting "section 316A";
4	(ii) by striking subsection (d); and
5	(iii) by redesignating subsection (e) as
6	subsection (d).
7	(C) Decision of the board.—Section
8	318(a) of title 35, United States Code, is
9	amended—
10	(i) by striking "If" and inserting "Ex-
11	cept as provided in section 316A(c)(5), if";
12	and
13	(ii) by striking "section 316(d)" and
14	inserting "section 316A".
15	(D) Table of sections.—The table of
16	sections for chapter 31 of title 35, United
17	States Code, is amended by inserting after the
18	item relating to section 316 the following:
	"316A. Inter partes review amendment practice.".
19	SEC. 103. POST-GRANT REVIEW.
20	(a) CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.—Section 326(a) of title
21	35, United States Code, is amended—
22	(1) in paragraph (9), by inserting after "sub-
23	stitute claims," the following: "including the stand-
24	ard for how substitute claims should be construed.":

1	(2) in paragraph (11), by striking "; and" and
2	inserting a semicolon;
3	(3) in paragraph (12), by striking the period at
4	the end and inserting "; and; and
5	(4) by adding at the end the following:
6	"(13) providing that for all purposes under this
7	chapter—
8	"(A) each challenged claim of a patent
9	shall be construed as the claim would be con-
10	strued under section 282(b) in an action to in-
11	validate a patent, including by construing each
12	challenged claim of the patent in accordance
13	with—
14	"(i) the ordinary and customary
15	meaning of the claim as understood by a
16	person having ordinary skill in the art to
17	which the claimed invention pertains; and
18	"(ii) the prosecution history per-
19	taining to the patent; and
20	"(B) if a court has previously construed a
21	challenged claim of a patent or a challenged
22	claim term in a civil action to which the patent
23	owner was a party, the Office shall consider
24	that claim construction.".

1	(b) Burden of Proof.—Section 326(e) of title 35,
2	United States Code, is amended to read as follows:
3	"(e) Evidentiary Standards.—
4	"(1) Presumption of Validity.—The pre-
5	sumption of validity under section 282(a) shall apply
6	to a previously issued claim that is challenged dur-
7	ing a proceeding under this chapter.
8	"(2) Burden of proof.—In a post-grant re-
9	view instituted under this chapter, the petitioner
10	shall have the burden of proving a proposition of
11	unpatentability of a previously issue claim by clear
12	and convincing evidence.".
13	(c) Standing.—Section 321 of title 35, United
14	States Code, is amended by adding at the end the fol-
15	lowing:
16	"(d) Persons That May Petition.—
17	"(1) Definition.—In this subsection, the term
18	'charged with infringement' means a real and sub-
19	stantial controversy regarding infringement of a pat-
20	ent exists such that the petitioner would have stand-
21	ing to bring a declaratory judgment action in Fed-
22	eral court.
23	"(2) Necessary conditions.—A person may
24	not file with the Office a petition to institute a post-
25	

1	real party in interest or privy of the person, dem-
2	onstrates—
3	"(A) a reasonable possibility of being—
4	"(i) sued for infringement of the pat-
5	ent; or
6	"(ii) charged with infringement under
7	the patent; or
8	"(B) a competitive harm related to the va-
9	lidity of the patent.".
10	(d) Limitation on Reviews.—Section 324(a) of
11	title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as fol-
12	lows:
13	"(a) Threshold.—
14	"(1) Likelihood of prevailing.—Subject to
15	paragraph (2), the Director may not authorize a
16	post-grant review to be instituted unless the Director
17	determines that the information presented in the pe-
18	tition filed under section 321, if such information is
19	not rebutted, would demonstrate that it is more like-
20	ly than not that at least 1 of the claims challenged
21	in the petition is unpatentable.
22	"(2) Previous institution.—The Director
23	may not authorize a post-grant review to be insti-
24	tuted on a claim challenged in a petition if the Di-

1 rector has previously instituted an inter partes or 2 post-grant review with respect to that claim.". 3 (e) Appeals From Institution Decisions.—Section 324 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by 5 striking subsection (e) and inserting the following: 6 "(e) No Appeal.—A determination by the Director not to institute a post-grant review under this section shall 8 be final and nonappealable. 9 "(f) Interlocutory Appeal.— 10 "(1) RIGHT OF APPEAL.—A determination by 11 the Director to institute a post-grant review under 12 this section may be appealed to the United States 13 Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. 14 "(2) TIMING.—A party shall file a notice of in-15 terlocutory appeal under paragraph (1) not later 16 than 7 days after the date on which the Director en-17 ters the institution decision. 18 "(3) LIMITATION.—An interlocutory appeal 19 filed under paragraph (1) may challenge the institu-20 tion decision on any basis except for the determina-21 tion made under section 324(a)(1). 22 "(4) Effect on proceedings.—An interlocu-23 tory appeal filed under paragraph (1) shall not stay

proceedings in the post-grant review unless the Di-

24

1	rector or the United States Court of Appeals for the
2	Federal Circuit so orders.
3	"(5) Decision.—An interlocutory appeal filed
4	under paragraph (1) may be granted at the discre-
5	tion of the United States Court of Appeals for the
6	Federal Circuit, which may include consideration of
7	whether—
8	"(A) the institution decision appears to be
9	in error and mere institution presents a risk of
10	immediate, irreparable injury to the patent
11	owner;
12	"(B) the institution decision presents an
13	unsettled and fundamental issue of law, impor-
14	tant both to the specific proceeding and gen-
15	erally, that is likely to evade end-of-the-pro-
16	ceeding review; or
17	"(C) the institution decision is manifestly
18	erroneous.".
19	(f) Eliminating Repetitive Proceedings.—Sec-
20	tion 325(e)(1) of title 35, United States Code, is amended
21	to read as follows:
22	"(1) Proceedings before the office.—A
23	person petitioning for a post-grant review of a claim
24	in a patent under this chapter, or the real party in
25	interest or privy of the petitioner, may not petition

1	for a subsequent post-grant review before the Office
2	with respect to that patent on any ground that the
3	petitioner raised or reasonably could have raised in
4	the initial petition, unless, after the filing of the ini-
5	tial petition, the petitioner, or the real party in in-
6	terest or privy of the petitioner, is charged with in-
7	fringement of additional claims of the patent.".
8	(g) Real Party in Interest.—
9	(1) Clarification of Definition.—Section
10	325 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by
11	adding at the end the following:
12	"(g) Real Party in Interest.—For purposes of
13	this chapter, a person that directly or through an affiliate,
14	subsidiary, or proxy, makes a financial contribution to the
15	preparation for, or conduct during, a post-grant review on
16	behalf of the petitioner shall be considered a real party
17	in interest of the petitioner.".
18	(2) DISCOVERY OF REAL PARTY IN INTER-
19	EST.—Section 326(a)(5) of title 35, United States
20	Code, is amended to read as follows:
21	"(5) setting forth standards and procedures for
22	discovery of relevant evidence, including that such
23	discovery shall be limited to—
24	"(A) the deposition of witnesses submitting
25	affidavits or declarations;

1	"(B) evidence identifying the petitioner's
2	real parties in interest; and
3	"(C) what is otherwise necessary in the in-
4	terest of justice;".
5	(h) Priority of Federal Court Validity De-
6	TERMINATIONS.—
7	(1) In general.—Section 325 of title 35
8	United States Code, as amended by subsection (h)
9	is amended—
10	(A) by redesignating subsections (c)
11	through (g) as subsections (d) through (h), re-
12	spectively; and
13	(B) by inserting after subsection (b) the
14	following:
15	"(c) Federal Court Validity Determina
16	TIONS.—
17	"(1) Institution barred.—A post-grant re-
18	view of a patent claim may not be instituted if, in
19	a civil action arising in whole or in part under sec-
20	tion 1338 of title 28 or in a proceeding before the
21	International Trade Commission under section 337
22	of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337), a court
23	has entered a final judgment—
24	"(A) that decides the validity of the patent
25	claim with respect to section 102 or 103; and

1	"(B) from which an appeal under section
2	1295 of title 28 may be taken, or from which
3	an appeal under section 1295 of title 28 was
4	previously available but is no longer available.
5	"(2) Stay of proceedings.—
6	"(A) IN GENERAL.—If, in a civil action
7	arising in whole or in part under section 1338
8	of title 28 or in a proceeding before the Inter-
9	national Trade Commission under section 337
10	of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337), a
11	court has entered a final judgment that decides
12	the validity of a patent claim with respect to
13	section 102 or 103 and from which an appeal
14	under section 1295 of title 28 may be taken
15	the Patent Trial and Appeal Board shall stay
16	any ongoing post-grant review of that patent
17	claim pending a final decision of the court or
18	Commission, as applicable.
19	"(B) Termination.—If the validity of a
20	patent claim described in subparagraph (A) is
21	finally upheld by a court or the International
22	Trade Commission, as applicable, the Patent
23	Trial and Appeal Board shall terminate the

post-grant review.".

24

1	(2) Technical and conforming amend-
2	MENTS.—Chapter 32 of title 35, United States
3	Code, is amended—
4	(A) in section 326(a)(11), by striking "sec-
5	tion 325(c)" and inserting "section 325(d)"
6	and
7	(B) in section 327(a), by striking "section
8	325(e)" and inserting "section 325(f)".
9	(i) Amendment of Claims.—
10	(1) Post-grant review amendment prac-
11	TICE.—Chapter 32 of title 35, United States Code
12	is amended by inserting after section 326 the fol-
13	lowing:
14	"§ 326A. Post-grant review amendment practice
15	"(a) Actions Permitted.—During a post-grant re-
16	view instituted under this chapter, the patent owner may
17	move to take 1 or more of the following actions with re-
18	spect to any challenged patent claim being reviewed:
19	"(1) Cancel the claim.
20	"(2) Propose a reasonable number of substitute
21	claims whose patentability will be adjudicated by the
22	Patent Trial and Appeal Board in accordance with
23	subsection (c).

1	"(3) Propose a reasonable number of substitute
2	claims to be examined in an expedited PGR reexam-
3	ination in accordance with subsection (d).
4	"(b) Scope of Claims.—An amendment to a patent
5	under this section may not enlarge the scope of the claims
6	of the patent or introduce new matter.
7	"(c) Amendment Practice Before the Patent
8	Trial and Appeal Board.—
9	"(1) Patent owner's initial motion.—For
10	each challenged claim for which the patent owner
11	seeks to propose a reasonable number of substitute
12	claims, the patent owner shall be required to make
13	a prima facie showing that each substitute claim—
14	"(A) responds to each ground of
15	unpatentability on which the post-grant review
16	was instituted;
17	"(B) meets the written description require-
18	ment under section 112(a); and
19	"(C) meets the requirement under sub-
20	section (b) of this section.
21	"(2) New evidence.—
22	"(A) Petitioner.—The petitioner may re-
23	spond to the patent owner's initial motion
24	under paragraph (1) by presenting new evi-
25	dence.

1	"(B) Patent owner.—The patent owner
2	shall have not less than 1 opportunity to re-
3	spond to any new evidence presented under sub-
4	paragraph (A).
5	"(3) Expedited patentability report.—
6	"(A) In General.—Upon the filing of a
7	motion under paragraph (1), the Patent Trial
8	and Appeal Board, in its discretion, may order
9	an expedited patentability report from a patent
10	examiner on a substitute claim.
11	"(B) Contents of Report.—In ordering
12	an expedited patentability report, the Patent
13	Trial and Appeal Board may—
14	"(i) order examination of any ground
15	of patentability that is assessed by a pat-
16	ent examiner under chapter 12; and
17	"(ii) request a non-binding rec-
18	ommendation as to the patentability of a
19	substitute claim.
20	"(C) RIGHT OF REPLY.—Any party to the
21	post-grant review may file supplemental brief-
22	ing, including new evidence, addressing the ex-
23	pedited patentability report.
24	"(D) TIMING.—The production of the ex-
25	pedited patentability report shall be expedited.

1	"(E) Good cause.—The ordering of a
2	patentability report shall constitute good cause,
3	for purposes of section 326(a)(11), to extend
4	the 1-year period for the post-grant review.
5	"(4) Adjudication of patentability.—
6	"(A) No rebuttal.—A patent owner
7	shall be entitled to a substitute claim if the
8	prima facie showing required under paragraph
9	(1) is not rebutted.
10	"(B) Additional Evidence.—If addi-
11	tional evidence of record is presented, the pat-
12	ent owner shall be entitled to a substitute claim
13	unless a preponderance of that evidence proves
14	that the patent owner is not so entitled.
15	"(5) Patent trial and appeal board's dis-
16	CRETION TO ORDER EXPEDITED PGR REEXAMINA-
17	TION.—Upon issuing a final written decision with
18	respect to each challenged claim, the Patent Trial
19	and Appeal Board may order an expedited PGR re-
20	examination to be conducted under section (d)(2) to
21	consider a substitute claim in lieu of issuing a final
22	written decision on that claim.
23	"(6) Amendments to advance settle-
24	MENT.—The Patent Trial and Appeal Board may
25	consider additional motions to amend upon the joint

1	request of the petitioner and the patent owner to
2	materially advance the settlement of a proceeding
3	under section 327 if the patent owner makes the
4	prima facie showing required under paragraph (1).
5	"(d) Amendment Practice Before a Patent Ex-
6	AMINER.—
7	"(1) MOTION TO CONVERT TO EXPEDITED PGR
8	EXAMINATION.—
9	"(A) IN GENERAL.—If the Director deter-
10	mines to institute a post-grant review on a chal-
11	lenged claim under section 324, a patent owner
12	may, before any further substantive briefing on
13	the patentability of an instituted claim, move to
14	terminate the post-grant review in favor of an
15	expedited PGR reexamination under paragraph
16	(2) of this subsection.
17	"(B) Contents of Motion.—A patent
18	owner shall be required to show good cause for
19	why an expedited PGR reexamination would
20	further the goals of the patent system, includ-
21	ing consideration of whether there are substan-
22	tial—
23	"(i) investments in research directly
24	related to the claimed invention;

1	"(11) secondary indicia of non-obvious-
2	ness, such as commercial success, long-felt
3	but unsolved needs, or failures of persons
4	skilled in the art to develop the claimed in-
5	vention; or
6	"(iii) changes in case law governing
7	relevant substantive patentability require-
8	ments since the patent was issued.
9	"(C) NEW EVIDENCE ALLOWED.—The
10	opening motion and opposition briefs under this
11	paragraph may include new evidence, and fur-
12	ther rebuttal evidence may be allowed at the
13	discretion of the Patent Trial and Appeal
14	Board.
15	"(2) Conduct of expedited pgr examina-
16	TION.—
17	"(A) In General.—If the Patent Trial
18	and Appeal Board grants a motion filed under
19	paragraph (1), the patent owner shall cancel
20	each instituted claim and submit a reasonable
21	number of substitute claims for consideration
22	by the patent examiner in an expedited PGR
23	examination under this paragraph.

1	"(B) TIMING.—A patent examiner shall
2	complete an expedited PGR examination, ex-
3	cluding any time for appeals, within 18 months.
4	"(C) Procedures.—Consideration of the
5	substitute claims in an expedited PGR examina-
6	tion shall follow the procedures established for
7	initial examination under sections 132 and 133,
8	subject to subsection (b) of this section and
9	modified as necessary to ensure that the proce-
10	dures are expedited.
11	"(D) Appeal.—
12	"(i) Patent examiner decision.—
13	If the final decision of a patent examiner
14	in an expedited PGR examination is ad-
15	verse to the patentability of a substitute
16	claim, the patent owner may appeal the de-
17	cision to the Patent Trial and Appeal
18	Board under section 134(c).
19	"(ii) Patent trial and appeal
20	BOARD DECISION.—If the final decision in
21	an appeal of an expedited PGR reexamina-
22	tion to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board
23	under section 134(c) is adverse to the pat-
24	entability of a substitute claim, the patent
25	owner may appeal the decision to the

1	United States Court of Appeals for the
2	Federal Circuit in accordance with sections
3	141 through 144.
4	"(E) CERTIFICATE.—If the patent exam-
5	iner determines in an expedited PGR examina-
6	tion that a substitute claim is patentable, and
7	the time for appeal has expired or any appeal
8	proceeding has terminated, the Director shall
9	issue and publish a certificate incorporating in
10	the patent the substitute claim.
11	"(F) Intervening rights.—Any sub-
12	stitute claim determined to be patentable and
13	incorporated into a patent following an expe-
14	dited PGR examination shall have the same ef-
15	fect as that specified in section 252 for reissued
16	patents on the right of any person who made,
17	purchased, or used within the United States, or
18	imported into the United States, anything pat-
19	ented by the substitute claim, or who made sub-
20	stantial preparation therefor, prior to issuance
21	of a certificate under subparagraph (E).".
22	(2) Technical and conforming amend-
23	MENTS.—
24	(A) Expedited PGR examination ap-
25	PEALS.—

1	(i) APPEALS TO PATENT TRIAL AND				
2	APPEAL BOARD.—Section 134 of title 35,				
3	United States Code, is amended by adding				
4	at the end the following:				
5	"(c) Patent Owner in Expedited Examina-				
6	TION.—A patent owner may appeal from the final rejec-				
7	tion of any substitute claim by the primary examiner in				
8	an expedited PGR examination under section 326A(d) to				
9	the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, having once paid the				
10	fee for such appeal.".				
11	(ii) Appeals to federal circuit.—				
12	Section 141 of title 35, United States				
13	Code, is amended—				
14	(I) by redesignating subsections				
15	(c) and (d) as subsection (d) and (e);				
16	and				
17	(II) by inserting after subsection				
18	(b) the following:				
19	"(c) Expedited Examinations.—A patent owner				
20	who is dissatisfied with the final decision in an appeal to				
21	the Patent Trial and Appeal Board under section 134(c)				
22	of an expedited PGR examination may appeal the Board's				
23	decision to the United States Court of Appeals for the				
24	Federal Circuit.".				

1	(B) CONDUCT OF POST-GRANT REVIEW.—
2	Section 326 of title 35, United States Code, is
3	amended—
4	(i) in subsection (a)(9), by striking
5	"subsection (d)" each place that term ap-
6	pears and inserting "section 326A";
7	(ii) by striking subsection (d); and
8	(iii) by redesignating subsection (e) as
9	subsection (d).
10	(C) Decision of the board.—Section
11	328(a) of title 35, United States Code, is
12	amended—
13	(i) by striking "If" and inserting "Ex-
14	cept as provided in section 326A(c)(5), if";
15	and
16	(ii) by striking "section 326(d)" and
17	inserting "section 326A".
18	(D) Table of sections.—The table of
19	sections for chapter 32 of title 35, United
20	States Code, is amended by inserting after the
21	item relating to section 326 the following:
	"326A. Post-grant review amendment practice.".
22	SEC. 104. COMPOSITION OF POST-GRANT REVIEW AND
23	INTER PARTES REVIEW PANELS.
24	Section 6(c) of title 35, United States Code, is
25	amended to read as follows:

- 1 "(e) 3-Member Panels.—
 2 "(1) In general.—Each appeal, derivation
 3 proceeding, post-grant review, and inter partes re4 view shall be heard by at least 3 members of the
- 5 Patent Trial and Appeal Board, who shall be des-
- 6 ignated by the Director.
- 7 "(2) INELIGIBILITY TO HEAR REVIEW.—A
 8 member of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board who
 9 participates in the decision to institute a post-grant
 10 review or an inter partes review of a patent shall be
 11 ineligible to hear the review.
- 12 "(3) Rehearings.—Only the Patent Trial and 13 Appeal Board may grant rehearings.".
- 14 SEC. 105. REEXAMINATION OF PATENTS.
- 15 (a) REQUEST FOR REEXAMINATION.—Section 302 of 16 title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as fol-17 lows:

18 "§ 302. Request for reexamination

- 19 "Any person at any time may file a request for reex-
- 20 amination by the Office of any claim of a patent on the
- 21 basis of any prior art cited under the provisions of section
- 22 301. The request must be in writing and must be accom-
- 23 panied by payment of a reexamination fee established by
- 24 the Director pursuant to the provisions of section 41. The
- 25 request must identify all real parties in interest and certify

- 1 that reexamination is not barred under section 303(d).
- 2 The request must set forth the pertinency and manner of
- 3 applying cited prior art to every claim for which reexam-
- 4 ination is requested. Unless the requesting person is the
- 5 owner of the patent, the Director promptly will send a
- 6 copy of the request to the owner of record of the patent.".
- 7 (b) Reexamination Barred by Civil Action.—
- 8 Section 303 of title 35, United States Code, is amended
- 9 by adding at the end the following:
- 10 "(d) An ex parte reexamination may not be instituted
- 11 if the request for reexamination is filed more than 1 year
- 12 after the date on which the requester or a real party in
- 13 interest or privy of the requester is served with a com-
- 14 plaint alleging infringement of the patent.".
- 15 SEC. 106. RESTORATION OF PATENTS AS PROPERTY
- 16 RIGHTS.
- 17 Section 283 of title 35, United States Code, is
- 18 amended—
- 19 (1) by striking "The several courts" and insert-
- ing the following:
- 21 "(a) IN GENERAL.—The several courts"; and
- (2) by adding at the end the following:
- "(b) Injunction.—Upon a finding by a court of in-
- 24 fringement of a patent not proven invalid or unenforce-
- 25 able, the court shall presume that—

1	"(1) further infringement of the patent would					
2	cause irreparable injury; and					
3	"(2) remedies available at law are inadequate to					
4	compensate for that injury.".					
5	SEC. 107. ELIMINATION OF USPTO FEE DIVERSION.					
6	(a) Funding.—Section 42 of title 35, United States					
7	Code, is amended—					
8	(1) in subsection (b), by striking "Patent and					
9	Trademark Office Appropriation Account" and in-					
10	serting "United States Patent and Trademark Of-					
11	fice Innovation Promotion Fund";					
12	(2) in subsection (c)—					
13	(A) in paragraph (1)—					
14	(i) by striking "To the extent" and all					
15	that follows through "fees" and inserting					
16	"Fees"; and					
17	(ii) by striking "shall be collected by					
18	and shall, subject to paragraph (2), be					
19	available to the Director" and inserting					
20	"shall be collected by the Director and					
21	shall be available to the Director until ex-					
22	pended";					
23	(B) by striking paragraph (2); and					
24	(C) by redesignating paragraph (3) as					
25	paragraph (2);					

1	(3) by redesignating subsections (d) and (e) as
2	subsections (e) and (f), respectively;
3	(4) by inserting after subsection (c) the fol-
4	lowing:
5	"(d) Revolving Fund.—
6	"(1) Definitions.—In this subsection—
7	"(A) the term 'Fund' means the United
8	States Patent and Trademark Office Innovation
9	Promotion Fund established under paragraph
10	(2); and
11	"(B) the term 'Trademark Act of 1946
12	means the Act entitled 'An Act to provide for
13	the registration and protection of trademarks
14	used in commerce, to carry out the provisions
15	of certain international conventions, and for
16	other purposes', approved July 5, 1946 (15
17	U.S.C. 1051 et seq.) (commonly referred to as
18	the 'Trademark Act of 1946' or the 'Lanham
19	Act').
20	"(2) Establishment.—There is established in
21	the Treasury a revolving fund to be known as the
22	'United States Patent and Trademark Office Inno-
23	vation Promotion Fund'.

1	"(3) DERIVATION OF RESOURCES.—There shall
2	be deposited into the Fund any fees collected
3	under—
4	"(A) this title; or
5	"(B) the Trademark Act of 1946.
6	"(4) Expenses.—Amounts deposited into the
7	Fund under paragraph (3) shall be available, with-
8	out fiscal year limitation, to cover—
9	"(A) all expenses to the extent consistent
10	with the limitation on the use of fees set forth
11	in subsection (c), including all administrative
12	and operating expenses, determined in the dis-
13	cretion of the Director to be ordinary and rea-
14	sonable, incurred by the Director for the contin-
15	ued operation of all services, programs, activi-
16	ties, and duties of the Office relating to patents
17	and trademarks, as such services, programs, ac-
18	tivities, and duties are described under—
19	"(i) this title; and
20	"(ii) the Trademark Act of 1946; and
21	"(B) all expenses incurred pursuant to any
22	obligation, representation, or other commitment
23	of the Office.";

1	(5) in subsection (e), as redesignated, by strik-					
2	ing "The Director" and inserting "Refunds.—The					
3	Director"; and					
4	(6) in subsection (f), as redesignated, by strik-					
5	ing "The Secretary" and inserting "Report.—Th					
6	Secretary''.					
7	(b) Effective Date; Transfer From and Termi-					
8	NATION OF OBSOLETE FUNDS.—					
9	(1) Effective date.—The amendments made					
10	by subsection (a) shall take effect on the first day					
11	of the first fiscal year that begins on or after the					
12	date of enactment of this Act.					
13	(2) Remaining balances.—There shall be de-					
14	posited in the Fund, on the effective date described					
15	in paragraph (1), any available unobligated balances					
16	remaining in the Patent and Trademark Office Ap-					
17	propriation Account, and in the Patent and Trade-					
18	mark Fee Reserve Fund established under section					
19	42(c)(2) of title 35, United States Code, as in effect					
20	on the date before the effective date.					
21	(3) Termination of Reserve fund.—Upon					
22	the payment of all obligated amounts in the Patent					
23	and Trademark Fee Reserve Fund under paragraph					
24	(2), the Patent and Trademark Fee Reserve Fund					
25	shall be terminated.					

1	OTTO	100	TATEMEN	GEMENT	OFD	A CENTER A
	SH:(:	108	INERIN	1 (→ H; IVI H; IVI I ;	OR P	A 1 H N 1

- 2 Section 271 of title 35, United States Code, is
- 3 amended—
- 4 (1) by striking subsection (b) and inserting the
- 5 following:
- 6 "(b) Whoever actively induces infringement of a pat-
- 7 ent shall be liable as an infringer upon a showing that
- 8 the accused infringer intended to cause the acts that con-
- 9 stitute infringement, without regard to whether the ac-
- 10 cused infringer knew of the patent.";
- 11 (2) in subsection (f), by adding at the end the
- following:
- 13 "(3)(A) Whoever, without authority, supplies or
- 14 causes to be supplied in or from the United States a de-
- 15 sign for a product embodying a patented invention in such
- 16 manner as to actively induce the making of that product
- 17 outside the United States in a manner that would infringe
- 18 the patent if made in the United States, shall be liable
- 19 as an infringer.
- 20 "(B) Whoever, without authority, supplies or causes
- 21 to be supplied in or from the United States a specification
- 22 for performing a patented process or method in such man-
- 23 ner as to actively induce the performance of that process
- 24 or method outside the United States in a manner that
- 25 would infringe the patent if performed in the United
- 26 States, shall be liable as an infringer."; and

1	(3) by adding at the end the following:				
2	"(j) For a finding of liability for actively inducing in-				
3	fringement of a process patent under subsection (b), or				
4	for contributory infringement of a process patent under				
5	subsection (c), it shall not be a requirement that the steps				
6	of the patented process be practiced by a single entity.".				
7	SEC. 109. INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION.				
8	Section 123(d) of title 35, United States Code, is				
9	amended to read as follows:				
10	"(d) Institutions of Higher Education.—For				
11	purposes of this section, a micro entity shall include an				
12	applicant who certifies that—				
13	"(1) the applicant's employer, from which the				
14	applicant obtains the majority of the applicant's in-				
15	come, is an institution of higher education as de-				
16	fined in section 101(a) of the Higher Education Act				
17	of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001(a));				
18	"(2) the applicant has assigned, granted, con-				
19	veyed, or is under an obligation by contract or law,				
20	to assign, grant, or convey, a license or other owner-				
21	ship interest in the particular applications to such				
22	an institution of higher education;				
23	"(3) the applicant is an institution of higher				
24	education as defined in section 101(a) of the Higher				
25	Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001(a)); or				

1	"(4) the applicant is an organization described				
2	in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of				
3	1986 and exempt from taxation under section				
4	501(a) of such Code that holds title to patents and				
5	patent applications on behalf of such an institution				
6	of higher education for the purpose of facilitating				
7	commercialization of the technologies of the patents				
8	and patent applications.".				
9	SEC. 110. ASSISTING SMALL BUSINESSES IN THE U.S. PAT-				
10	ENT SYSTEM.				
11	(a) Definition.—In this section, the term "small				
12	business concern" has the meaning given the term in sec-				
13	tion 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632).				
14	(b) Small Business Administration Report.—				
15	Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this				
16	Act, the Small Business Administration, using existing re-				
17	sources, shall submit to the Committee on Small Business				
18	and Entrepreneurship of the Senate and the Committee				
19	on Small Business of the House of Representatives a re-				
20	port analyzing the impact of—				
21	(1) patent ownership by small business con-				
22	cerns; and				
23	(2) civil actions against small business concerns				
24	arising under title 35, United States Code, relating				
25	to patent infringement.				

1	(c) Expansion of Patent Pilot Program in Cer-
2	TAIN DISTRICT COURTS.—
3	(1) In General.—Not later than 180 days
4	after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director
5	of the Administrative Office of the United States
6	Courts shall designate not fewer than 6 of the dis-
7	trict courts of the United States that are partici-
8	pating in the patent cases pilot program established
9	under section 1 of Public Law 111–349 (28 U.S.C.
10	137 note) for the purpose of expanding that pro-
11	gram to address special issues raised in patent in-
12	fringement suits against individuals or small busi-
13	ness concerns.
14	(2) Procedures for small businesses.—
15	Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment
16	of this Act, each district court designated under
17	paragraph (1) shall develop procedures for expe-
18	diting cases in which an individual or small business
19	concern is accused of patent infringement.
20	(3) Participating judges.—
21	(A) In general.—In each district court
22	designated under paragraph (1), each district
23	court judge participating in the patent cases
24	pilot program established under section 1 of
25	Public Law 111–349 may appoint 1 additional

1	law clerk or secretary in excess of any other
2	limitation on the number of such employees.
3	(B) EDUCATION AND TRAINING.—The
4	Federal Judicial Center, using existing re-
5	sources, shall prepare educational and training
6	materials to assist district court judges de-
7	scribed in subparagraph (A) in developing ex-
8	pertise in patent and plant variety protection
9	cases.
10	(4) Funds.—There are authorized to be appro-
11	priated such sums as may be necessary to carry out
12	paragraph $(3)(A)$.
13	(d) Free Online Availability of Public Search
14	Facility Materials.—Section 41(i) of title 35, United
15	States Code, is amended by adding at the end the fol-
16	lowing:
17	"(5) Free online availability of public
18	SEARCH FACILITY MATERIALS.—The Director shall
19	make available online and at no charge all patent
20	and trademark information that is available at the
21	Public Search Facility of the Office located in Alex-
22	andria, Virginia, including, except to the extent that
23	licenses with third-party contractors would make
24	such provision financially unviable—
25	"(A) search tools and databases;

1	"(B) informational materials; and
2	"(C) training classes and materials.".
3	TITLE II—TARGETING ROGUE
4	AND OPAQUE LETTERS
5	SEC. 201. DEFINITIONS.
6	In this title:
7	(1) Bad faith.—The term "bad faith" means,
8	with respect to section 202(a), that the sender—
9	(A) made knowingly false or knowingly
10	misleading statements, representations, or omis-
11	sions;
12	(B) made statements, representations, or
13	omissions with reckless indifference as to the
14	false or misleading nature of such statements,
15	representations, or omissions; or
16	(C) made statements, representations, or
17	omissions with awareness of the high prob-
18	ability of the statements, representations, or
19	omissions to deceive and the sender inten-
20	tionally avoided the truth.
21	(2) Commission.—The term "Commission"
22	means the Federal Trade Commission.
23	(3) Final determination.—The term "final
24	determination" means, with respect to the invalidity
25	or unenforceability of a patent, that the invalidity or

1	unenforceability has been determined by a court of
2	the United States or the United States Patent and
3	Trademark Office in a final decision that is
4	unappealable or for which any opportunity for ap-
5	peal is no longer available.
6	SEC. 202. UNFAIR OR DECEPTIVE ACTS OR PRACTICES IN
7	CONNECTION WITH THE ASSERTION OF A
8	UNITED STATES PATENT.
9	(a) In General.—It shall be an unfair or deceptive
10	act or practice within the meaning of section 5(a)(1) of
11	the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 45(a)(1))
12	for a person, in connection with the assertion of a United
13	States patent, to engage in a pattern or practice of send-
14	ing written communications that state or represent that
15	the recipients are or may be infringing, or have or may
16	have infringed, the patent and bear liability or owe com-
17	pensation to another, if—
18	(1) the sender of the communications, in bad
19	faith, states or represents in the communications
20	that—
21	(A) the sender is a person with the right
22	to license or enforce the patent at the time the
23	communications are sent, and the sender is not
24	a person with such a right;

1	(B) a civil action asserting a claim of in-
2	fringement of the patent has been filed against
3	the recipient;
4	(C) a civil action asserting a claim of in-
5	fringement of the patent has been filed against
6	other persons;
7	(D) legal action for infringement of the
8	patent will be taken against the recipient;
9	(E) the sender is the exclusive licensee of
10	the patent asserted in the communications;
11	(F) persons other than the recipient pur-
12	chased a license for the patent asserted in the
13	communications;
14	(G) persons other than the recipient pur-
15	chased a license, and the sender does not dis-
16	close that such license is unrelated to the al-
17	leged infringement or the patent asserted in the
18	communications;
19	(H) an investigation of the recipient's al-
20	leged infringement occurred; or
21	(I) the sender or an affiliate of the sender
22	previously filed a civil action asserting a claim
23	of infringement of the patent based on the ac-
24	tivity that is the subject of the written commu-
25	nication when the sender knew such activity

1	was held, in a final determination, not to in-
2	fringe the patent;
3	(2) the sender of the communications, in bad
4	faith, seeks compensation for—
5	(A) a patent claim that has been held to
6	be unenforceable due to inequitable conduct, in-
7	valid, or otherwise unenforceable against the re-
8	cipient, in a final determination;
9	(B) activities undertaken by the recipient
10	after expiration of the patent asserted in the
11	communications; or
12	(C) activity of the recipient that the sender
13	knew was authorized, with respect to the patent
14	claim or claims that are the subject of the com-
15	munications, by a person with the right to li-
16	cense the patent; or
17	(3) the sender of the communications, in bad
18	faith, fails to include—
19	(A) the identity of the person asserting a
20	right to license the patent to, or enforce the
21	patent against, the recipient, including the iden-
22	tity of any parent entity and the ultimate par-
23	ent entity of such person, unless such person is
24	a public company and the name of the public
25	company is identified;

1	(B) an identification of at least one patent
2	issued by the United States Patent and Trade-
3	mark Office alleged to have been infringed;
4	(C) an identification, to the extent reason-
5	able under the circumstances, of at least one
6	product, service, or other activity of the recipi-
7	ent that is alleged to infringe the identified pat-
8	ent;
9	(D) a description, to the extent reasonable
10	under the circumstances, of how the product,
11	service, or other activity of the recipient in-
12	fringes an identified patent and patent claim; or
13	(E) a name and contact information for a
14	person the recipient may contact about the as-
15	sertions or claims relating to the patent con-
16	tained in the communications.
17	(b) Affirmative Defense.—With respect to sub-
18	section (a), there shall be an affirmative defense that
19	statements, representations, or omissions were not made
20	in bad faith (as defined in subparagraphs (B) and (C) of
21	section $201(1)$) if the sender can demonstrate that such
22	statements, representations, or omissions were mistakes
23	made in good faith. Evidence that the sender in the usual
24	course of business sends written communications that do
25	not violate the provisions of this title shall be sufficient

- 1 to demonstrate good faith. Good faith may also be dem-
- 2 onstrated by other evidence.
- 3 (c) Rule of Construction.—For purposes of sec-
- 4 tions 203 and 204, the commission of an act or practice
- 5 that is declared under this section to be an unfair or de-
- 6 ceptive act or practice within the meaning of section
- 7 5(a)(1) of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C.
- 8 45(a)(1)) shall be considered to be a violation of this sec-
- 9 tion.
- 10 SEC. 203. ENFORCEMENT BY FEDERAL TRADE COMMIS-
- 11 SION.
- 12 (a) VIOLATION OF RULE.—A violation of section 202
- 13 shall be treated as a violation of a rule defining an unfair
- 14 or deceptive act or practice prescribed under section
- 15 18(a)(1)(B) of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15
- 16 U.S.C. 57a(a)(1)(B)).
- 17 (b) Powers of Commission.—The Commission
- 18 shall enforce this title in the same manner, by the same
- 19 means, and with the same jurisdiction, powers, and duties
- 20 as though all applicable terms and provisions of the Fed-
- 21 eral Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 41 et seq.) were
- 22 incorporated into and made a part of this title. Any person
- 23 who violates section 202 shall be subject to the penalties
- 24 and entitled to the privileges and immunities provided in
- 25 the Federal Trade Commission Act.

1	(c) Effect on Other Laws.—Nothing in this title
2	shall be construed in any way to limit or affect the author-
3	ity of the Commission under any other provision of law.
4	SEC. 204. PREEMPTION OF STATE LAWS ON PATENT DE-
5	MAND LETTERS AND ENFORCEMENT BY
6	STATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL.
7	(a) Preemption.—
8	(1) In general.—This title preempts any law,
9	rule, regulation, requirement, standard, or other pro-
10	vision having the force and effect of law of any
11	State, or political subdivision of a State, expressly
12	relating to the transmission or contents of commu-
13	nications relating to the assertion of patent rights.
14	(2) EFFECT ON OTHER STATE LAWS.—Except
15	as provided in paragraph (1), this title shall not be
16	construed to preempt or limit any provision of any
17	State law, including any State consumer protection
18	law, any State law relating to acts of fraud or decep-
19	tion, and any State trespass, contract, or tort law.
20	(b) Enforcement by State Attorneys Gen-
21	ERAL.—
22	(1) In general.—In any case in which the at-
23	torney general of a State has reason to believe that
24	an interest of the residents of that State has been
25	adversely affected by any person who violates section

1	202, the attorney general of the State, may bring a
2	civil action on behalf of such residents of the State
3	in a district court of the United States of appro-
4	priate jurisdiction—
5	(A) to enjoin further such violation by the
6	defendant; or
7	(B) to obtain civil penalties on behalf of
8	recipients who suffered actual damages as a re-
9	sult of such violation.
10	(2) MAXIMUM CIVIL PENALTY.—Notwith-
11	standing the number of actions which may be
12	brought against a person under this subsection, a
13	person may not be liable for a total of more than
14	\$5,000,000 for a series of related violations of sec-
15	tion 202.
16	(3) Intervention by the ftc.—
17	(A) NOTICE AND INTERVENTION.—The at-
18	torney general of a State shall provide prior
19	written notice of any action under paragraph
20	(1) to the Commission and provide the Commis-
21	sion with a copy of the complaint in the action,
22	except in any case in which such prior notice is
23	not feasible, in which case the attorney general
24	shall serve such notice immediately upon insti-

1	tuting such action. The Commission shall have
2	the right—
3	(i) to intervene in the action;
4	(ii) upon so intervening, to be heard
5	on all matters arising therein; and
6	(iii) to file petitions for appeal.
7	(B) Limitation on state action while
8	FEDERAL ACTION IS PENDING.—If the Commis-
9	sion has instituted a civil action for violation of
10	section 202, no State attorney general may
11	bring an action under this subsection during
12	the pendency of that action against any defend-
13	ant named in the complaint of the Commission
14	for any violation of such section alleged in the
15	complaint.
16	(4) Construction.—For purposes of bringing
17	any civil action under paragraph (1), nothing in this
18	title shall be construed to prevent the attorney gen-
19	eral of a State from exercising the powers conferred
20	on the attorney general by the laws of that State
21	to—
22	(A) conduct investigations;
23	(B) administer oaths or affirmations; or

62

1 (C) compel the attendance of witnesses or 2 the production of documentary and other evi-3 dence.