

1. HILBERT POLYNOMIALS

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a projective variety. We define the Hilbert Polynomial of X to be

$$(1.1) \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_X(m)) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i h^i(\mathcal{O}_X(m))$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ is the hyperplane bundle on \mathbb{P}^n and $\mathcal{O}_X(1) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ is its restriction to X .

We need a list of vanishing results:

Theorem 1.1 (Grothendieck). *For a scheme X ,*

$$(1.2) \quad H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$$

for $i > \dim X$ and all coherent sheaves \mathcal{F} on X .

Note that this is not true for sheaves \mathcal{F} that are not coherent. For example, if X is a smooth complex variety of dimension n , then

$$(1.3) \quad H^i(X, \mathbb{Z}) = H^i(X)$$

for $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{Z}$, where $H^i(X)$ is the i -th singular cohomology. When X is projective, $H^{2n}(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 1.2 (Serre). *For every projective scheme $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ and every coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} , there exists a number N , depending on X and \mathcal{F} such that*

$$(1.4) \quad H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(m)) = H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m)) = 0$$

for all $i > 0$ and $m \geq N$.

Theorem 1.3 (Kodaira). *For every projective complex manifold $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$,*

$$(1.5) \quad H^i(\mathcal{O}_X(-m)) = 0$$

for all $0 \leq i < \dim X$ and $m > 0$.

For $P = \mathbb{P}^n$, $H^0(\mathcal{O}_P(m))$ can be identified with the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree m in $n + 1$ variables

$$(1.6) \quad H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(m)) = \left\{ \sum_{d_0+d_1+\dots+d_n=m} a_{d_0 d_1 \dots d_n} X_0^{d_0} X_1^{d_1} \dots X_n^{d_n} \right\} \\ \cong \mathbb{C}^{\binom{m+n}{n}}.$$

We have Kodaira-Serre duality

Theorem 1.4 (Kodaira-Serre). *Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n . Then*

$$(1.7) \quad H^i(E) \times H^{n-i}(E^\vee \otimes K_X) \longrightarrow H^n(K_X) = \mathbb{C}$$

is a perfect pairing for all vector bundles E on X , where $K_X = \wedge^n \Omega_X$ is the canonical bundle of X . Therefore,

$$(1.8) \quad H^i(E)^\vee \cong H^{n-i}(E^\vee \otimes K_X).$$

The canonical bundle of $P = \mathbb{P}^n$ can be computed from the Euler sequence

$$(1.9) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_P \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_P(1)^{\oplus(n+1)} \longrightarrow T_P \longrightarrow 0$$

by which we have

$$(1.10) \quad (\wedge^n \Omega_P)^\vee = \wedge^n T_P = \mathcal{O}_P \otimes \wedge^n T_P \cong \wedge^{n+1} \mathcal{O}_P(1)^{\oplus(n+1)} = \mathcal{O}_P(n+1)$$

and hence

$$(1.11) \quad K_P = \mathcal{O}_P(-n-1).$$

Combining Kodaira-Serre duality and Kodaira vanishing, we can compute $h^i(\mathcal{O}_P(m))$ as follows:

$$(1.12) \quad h^i(\mathcal{O}_P(m)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq 0, n \\ \binom{m+n}{m} & \text{if } i = 0 \\ h^0(\mathcal{O}_P(-n-1-m)) & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

By Serre's vanishing theorem,

$$(1.13) \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_X(m)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(m))$$

for m sufficiently large. So we may use the right hand side (RHS) of (1.13) as the definition of Hilbert polynomial since the left hand side (LHS) of (1.13) is indeed a polynomial of m :

Theorem 1.5. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a projective scheme. Then*

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi(\mathcal{O}_X(m)) &= d \binom{m+r}{r} + c_{r-1} \binom{m+r-1}{r-1} \\ &\quad + c_{r-2} \binom{m+r-2}{r-2} + \dots + c_0 \end{aligned}$$

for some constants $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $r = \dim X$ and $d = \deg X$.

Proof. We prove by induction on r . When $r = 0$, X is a 0-dimensional scheme of degree d . Namely, \mathcal{O}_X is an artin ring of $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_X = d$ over \mathbb{C} as a vector space. So $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(m)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_X)$ and $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X(m)) = d$.

For $r > 0$, let Λ be a general hyperplane. Then $Y = X \cap \Lambda$ is a scheme of dimension $r-1$ of degree d . We have the exact sequence

$$(1.15) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow 0.$$

It follows that

$$(1.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi(\mathcal{O}_X(m)) - \chi(\mathcal{O}_X(m-1)) &= \chi(\mathcal{O}_Y(m)) \\ &= d \binom{m+r-1}{r-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} b_i \binom{m+i}{i} \end{aligned}$$

by induction hypothesis for some $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \chi(\mathcal{O}_X(m)) &= \chi(\mathcal{O}_X(0)) + \sum_{k=0}^m \chi(\mathcal{O}_Y(k)) \\
 (1.17) \quad &= d \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{k+r-1}{r-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} b_i \left(\sum_{k=0}^m \binom{k+i}{i} \right) + c_0 \\
 &= d \binom{m+r}{r} + \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} b_i \binom{m+i+1}{i+1} + c_0
 \end{aligned}$$

and (1.14) follows. \square

For a projective scheme $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, if we have a free resolution of \mathcal{O}_X

$$(1.18) \quad 0 \rightarrow E_l \rightarrow E_{l-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_0 = \mathcal{O}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0$$

with each E_i being a direct sum $\oplus \mathcal{O}_P(e_{ij})$, then the Hilbert polynomial of X can be easily computed by

$$(1.19) \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_X(m)) = \sum_{i=0}^l (-1)^i \chi(E_i(m)).$$

Such resolution always exists, which is the consequence of the famous Hilbert's Syzygy Theorem:

Theorem 1.6 (Hilbert's Syzygy). *Every coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}^n has a free resolution, i.e., a long exact sequence*

$$(1.20) \quad 0 \rightarrow E_l \rightarrow E_{l-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0$$

with each E_i being a direct sum $\oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(e_{ij})$ and $l \leq n$.

In particular, a complete intersection $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ has a well-known free resolution, called *Koszul Complex*. A complete intersection $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of type (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r) is a scheme $X = X_1 \cap X_2 \cap \dots \cap X_r$ cut out by hypersurfaces $X_i \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of degree d_i with $\dim X = n - r$. For such X , we have a free resolution

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 (1.21) \quad 0 & \rightarrow & \wedge^r E & \rightarrow & \wedge^{r-1} E & \rightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_P & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & \parallel & & & & & & \\
 & & & & & & & & \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_P(-d_i) & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

of \mathcal{O}_X , called the *Koszul complex* induced by the map

$$(1.22) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{O}_P \\ \parallel & & \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_P(-d_i) & & \end{array}$$

sending (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r) to $g_1 F_1 + g_2 F_2 + \dots + g_r F_r$ with F_i the defining equation of X_i . Then the Hilbert polynomial of X is very easy to compute:

$$(1.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi(\mathcal{O}_X(m)) &= \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \chi(\wedge^i E \otimes \mathcal{O}_P(m)) \\ &= \sum_{I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, r\}} (-1)^{|I|} \binom{m - d_I + n}{n} \end{aligned}$$

where we use the notations $|I| = i$ and $d_I = d_{a_1} + d_{a_2} + \dots + d_{a_i}$ for an index set $I = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i\}$.

Of particular interest to us is the Hilbert polynomial of a plane curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ of degree d . By (1.23), it is given by

$$(1.24) \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_C(m)) = \binom{m+2}{2} - \binom{m-d+2}{2} = md - \binom{d-1}{2} + 1.$$

As another example, let us consider the Hilbert polynomial of a rational normal curve. A rational normal curve C in \mathbb{P}^n is the image of the embedding $f: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ given by $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n))$:

$$(1.25) \quad f(Z_0, Z_1) = \sigma(Z_0^n, Z_0^{n-1}Z_1, \dots, Z_0Z_1^{n-1}, Z_1^n)$$

where σ is an automorphism of \mathbb{P}^n , i.e., a $\mathbb{P}GL(n+1)$ action. When $n = 2$, it is a conic and when $n = 3$, it is a *twisted cubic*.

A rational normal curve is not linear degenerated, i.e., it is not contained in a hyperplane of \mathbb{P}^n ; otherwise,

$$(1.26) \quad c_0 Z_0^n + c_1 Z_0^{n-1} Z_1 + \dots + c_{n-1} Z_0 Z_1^{n-1} + c_n Z_1^n = 0$$

for all (Z_0, Z_1) and some constants c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n , not all zero, which is impossible. It has degree n since (1.26) has n solutions in \mathbb{P}^1 . When $n \geq 3$, it is NOT a complete intersection; otherwise, $C = X_1 \cap X_2 \cap \dots \cap X_{n-1}$ for some hypersurfaces X_i of degree d_i ; since C is linear non-degenerate, $d_i \geq 2$ and then $\deg C = n \geq 2^{n-1}$, which is impossible. The Hilbert polynomial of C is very easy to compute since $f^* \mathcal{O}_P(m) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(mn)$ and hence

$$(1.27) \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_C(m)) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(mn)) = mn + 1.$$

Hilbert polynomials are deformational invariants.

Theorem 1.7. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times B$ be a flat family of closed subschemes of \mathbb{P}^n over a variety B . Then there exists a polynomial $\phi(m)$ such that*

$$(1.28) \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_{X_b}(m)) \equiv \phi(m)$$

for all $b \in B$, where X_b is the fiber of X over $b \in B$.

Question 1.8 (Flattened Twisted Cubics' Puzzle). *Let*

$$(1.29) \quad \varphi : \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{A}^1$$

be the map given by

$$(1.30) \quad \varphi(s, t) = (1, st, s^2, s^3) \times (t).$$

Let X be the closure of $\varphi(\mathbb{A}^2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{A}^1$. Then X is irreducible and dominates \mathbb{A}^1 so it is flat over \mathbb{A}^1 . The fiber X_1 of X over $t = 1$ is

$$(1.31) \quad X_1 = \overline{\{(1, s, s^2, s^3)\}}$$

which is a twisted cubic in \mathbb{P}^3 . So its Hilbert polynomial is

$$(1.32) \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(m)) = 3m + 1.$$

The fiber X_0 of X over $t = 0$ seems to be

$$(1.33) \quad X_0 = \overline{\{(1, 0, s^2, s^3)\}} = \Lambda \cap W$$

where Λ is the hyperplane $\{Z_1 = 0\}$ and W is the cubic surface $\{Z_2^3 = Z_3^2\}$. So its Hilbert polynomial is

$$(1.34) \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_{X_0}(m)) = \binom{m+3}{3} - \binom{m+2}{3} - \binom{m}{3} + \binom{m-1}{3} = 3m.$$

So $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(m)) \neq \chi(\mathcal{O}_{X_0}(m))$! What went wrong?

2. A NOTE ON KOSZUL COMPLEX

The idea of Koszul complex comes from a very simple observation in linear algebra. Let V be a vector space over a field k and $\phi : V \rightarrow k$ be a linear functional. We have a map $\partial_m : \wedge^m V \rightarrow \wedge^{m-1} V$ defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \partial_m(v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \dots \wedge v_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^i \phi(v_i) v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{v}_i \wedge \dots \wedge v_m$$

It is easy to check that $\partial_{m-1} \circ \partial_m = 0$. Therefore, we have a complex

$$(2.2) \quad \dots \rightarrow \wedge^m V \xrightarrow{\partial_m} \wedge^{m-1} V \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-1}} \wedge^{m-2} V \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow V \xrightarrow{f} k \rightarrow 0$$

which is called the Koszul complex associated to (or induced by) $\phi : V \rightarrow k$.

It is easy to check that (2.2) is actually exact as long as ϕ is surjective, i.e., $\phi \neq 0$. If $\dim V = r$, this sequence has length $r + 2$.

More generally, let R be a commutative ring, M be a module over R and $\phi : M \rightarrow R$ be a homomorphism of R -module. Then we can form a Koszul complex $\wedge^m M \rightarrow \wedge^{m-1} M$ just as in the case of vector spaces.

When $M = R^{\oplus r}$, we assume that $\phi : M \rightarrow R$ is given by

$$(2.3) \quad \phi(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) = a_1 f_1 + a_2 f_2 + \dots + a_r f_r.$$

We say that f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r is a *regular sequence* of R if f_i is not a zero divisor of $R/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{i-1})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

Then the Koszul complex $\wedge^\bullet M$ is exact if f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r is a regular sequence of R . This can be proved by induction on r and the observation that the sequence

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow R/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{i-1}) &\xrightarrow{\times f_i} R/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{i-1}) \\ &\rightarrow R/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{i-1}, f_i) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is exact.

Translating this geometrically, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r being a regular sequence means $\text{Spec } R/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)$ is a complete intersection in $\text{Spec } R$. Hence the Koszul complex $\wedge^\bullet M$ gives a free resolution of $R/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)$. This explains (1.21).

One special case is that $R = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)$, where ϕ is surjective and $\wedge^\bullet M$ is exact. It follows that if we have a surjective map $E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ between vector bundles on X , then the corresponding Koszul complex $\wedge^\bullet E$ is exact.

Koszul complex has several generalizations. One generalization is that $\phi : M \rightarrow R$ is replaced by $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ for two R -modules M and N . In this case, we have a complex

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \wedge^l M \rightarrow \wedge^{l-1} M \otimes N \rightarrow \wedge^{l-2} M \otimes \text{Sym}^2 N \\ \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M \otimes \text{Sym}^{l-1} N \rightarrow \text{Sym}^l N \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

where the differential maps are defined in the obvious way.

632 CENTRAL ACADEMIC BUILDING, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, ALBERTA
T6G 2G1, CANADA

E-mail address: xichen@math.ualberta.ca