To Type or Not to Type: Quantifying Detectable Bugs in JavaScript

Zheng Gao+, Christian Bird*, Earl Barr+


*University College London, *Microsoft Research

Static Typing vs. Dynamic Typing





Static Typing vs. Dynamic Typing



Static Typing vs. Dynamic Typing







Engine of the Web



is dynamically typed;

has a large set of long-running projects.

Engine of the Web



is dynamically typed;

has a large set of long-running projects.


3,599,113 JavaScript repos on GitHub


Static Typing for JavaScript








Static Typing for JavaScript















Central Finding


Central Finding

15%


Central Finding











edit-time bugs











Type System Detectable

Definition (ts-detectable): Given a static type system ts, a bug is ts-detectable when

 adding or changing type annotations causes the program containing the bug to fail to type check on a line a fix changes.

Problem

When the type of b is nullable number, annotating

$$var a = b + 1;$$

to

$$var a:boolean = b + 1;$$

"trivially" triggers a type error.

Consistency

Definition (Consistency): The added or changed type annotations are consistent with a fixed version of the program containing the bug f, if they carried to f type check, and the type of every annotated term is a supertype of that term's type when an oracle precisely annotates it in f.

Type System Detectable

Definition (ts-detectable): Given a static type system ts, a bug is ts-detectable when

- adding or changing type annotations causes the program containing the bug to fail to type check on a line a fix changes;
- 2. the new annotations are *consistent* with a fixed version of the program containing the bug.

Example of Detection

```
// addNumbers in JavaScript
function addNumbers(x, y) {
    return x + y;
}
console.log(addNumbers(3, "0"));
```

Error-free in JavaScript, and unexpectedly displays an string, 30

```
1  // addNumbers in TypeScript
2  function addNumbers(x:number) y:number
3   return x + y;
4  }
5  console.log(addNumbers(3, "0"));
```


TypeScript throws the following error:


```
t.ts(5,27): error TS2345: Argument of type 'string' is not
assignable to parameter of type 'number'.
```

Research Question


What percentage of public bugs are detectable under Flow or TypeScript?

Experiment Overview







- What is the sample size?
- How to identify public bugs?



- What is the sample size?
- How to identify public bugs?



Sample Size Calculation



s: sample size


X²: a constant for the confidence level of 95%

N: population size, 3910969

P: population proportion, 0.5


d: degree of accuracy, 0.05

- What is the sample size?
- How to identify public bugs?





Bug Identification

Bug Identification





Bug Identification






Bug Identification








Fix Identification





Fix Identification














Size Statistics of the Corpus


	Max	Min	Mean	Median
Project	1144440	32	18117.9	1736
Fix	270	1	16.2	6


The sizes are in lines of code.














a is the annotation function




Annotation



Annotation



Annotation



Annotation Sources








bug fixes

bug reports

project documentation

Expert Source



```
var t = {x:0, z:1};
t.x = t.y; // the error is y does not exist on t
t.x = t.z;
```

What is the type of variable t?

```
var t = {x:0, z:1};
t.x = t.y; // the error is y does not exist on t
t.x = t.z;
```

What is the type of variable t?

Seems to be {x: number, z: number}?

```
var t = {x:0, z:1};
t.x = t.y; // the error is y does not exist on t
t.x = t.z;
```

What is the type of variable t?

Seems to be {x: number, z: number}?

```
var t = {x:0, z:1};
t.x = t.y; // the error is y does not exist on t
t.x = t.z;
```

Not necessarily!

What is the type of variable t?

Seems to be {x: number, z: number}?

```
var t = {x:0, z:1};
t.x = t.y; // the error is y does not exist on t
t.x = t.z;
...
Not necessarily!
...
t.x = "a";
```

What is the type of variable t?

Seems to be {x: number, z: number}?

```
var t = {x:0, z:1};
t.x = t.y; // the error is y does not exist on t
t.x = t.z;
...
Not necessarily!
...
t.x = "a";
```

Now becomes {x: number | string, z: number}.

Type Shims

A set of type bindings for the free identifiers that

- 1). is *consistent* with but
- 2). may not exist in

a fixed version of the program containing the bug.

Shim Example

```
var t = {x:0, z:1};
t.x = t.y; // y does not exist on t
t.x = t.z;
```


annotate

This shim is consistent, as T must be the supertype.

```
interface T {
    x:any;
    z:any;
}
var t:T = {x:0, z:1};
t.x = t.y;
t.x = t.z;
```


Annotation Quality

we add the same annotations to pi



84% of the annotated fixed versions type check.

Results



Both Flow and TypeScript detect **15%** of the collected bugs; the confidence range is **[11.5%,18.5%]**, at a 95% confidence level.

Implications

"That's shocking. If you could make a change to the way we do development that would reduce the number of bugs being checked in by 10% or more overnight, that's a no-brainer. Unless it doubles development time or something, we'd do it."

- An engineering manager at Microsoft


Experimental Artefacts




OVERVIEW

JavaScript is growing explosively and is now used in large mature projects even outside the web domain. JavaScript is also a dynamical typed language for which static type systems, notably Facebook's Flow and Microsoft's TypeScript, have been written. What benefits of these static two extems provide?

Loveraging JavaScript project histories, we select a fixed bug and check out the code just prior to the fix. We manually add type annotations to the buggy code and test whether Flow and TypeScript report an error on the buggy code, thereby possibly prompting a developer to fix the bug before its public inclease. We then report the proportion of bugs on which these type systems reported an error. Evaluating static type systems against public bugs, which have survived testing and review, is conservative: it understates their effectiveness at deceting bugs during private development, not to mention their other benefits such as facilitating code search/completion and serving as documentation. Despite this uneven playing field, our central finding is that both static type systems find an important percentage of justile bugs both Flow Q30 and TypeScript c.2 Successfully detect 15%?







http://ttendency.cs.ucl.ac.uk/projects/type_study/index.html

Research Question

What is the percentage of public bugs that are detectable under Flow or TypeScript?

