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#### Abstract

A general characterization of connected graphs on $n$ vertices having the maximum possible independent domination number of $\lfloor n+2-2 \sqrt{n}\rfloor$ is given. This result leads to a structural characterization of such graphs in all but a small finite number of cases. For certain situations, one of which occurs when $n$ is a perfect square, the extremal graphs have a particularly simple structure.
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## 1. Introduction

A subset $S$ of vertices of a graph $G$ is a dominating set if each vertex in the graph is either in $S$ or is adjacent to some vertex of $S$. The independent domination number, $i(G)$, of graph $G$ is the smallest size of a dominating set which also is an independent set. An extensive discussion of this parameter can be found in [6].

Bollobas and Cockayne [1] proved that $i(G) \leqslant n-\gamma(g)+1-\lceil(n-\gamma(g)) / \gamma(g)\rceil$ for any connected graph. Favaron [2] maximized the right-hand side of this inequality to show that for any connected graph $i(G) \leqslant n-2 \sqrt{n}+2$, which clearly can be sharpened to $\lfloor n-2 \sqrt{n}+2\rfloor$. In this paper we restrict our attention to connected graphs and define a graph to be extremal if it obtains the Favaron bound.
Favaron [2] conjectured that $i(G) \leqslant n-2 \sqrt{n \delta}+2 \delta$ where $\delta$ is the minimum degree of $G$. This conjecture has been proven in the case of $\delta=2$ by Glebov and Kostochka [4] and for any $\delta$ by Sun and Wang [7].
A related parameter, total matching, is a collection of edges and vertices of $G$ such that no two elements of the matching are adjacent or incident. The minimum size of
a maximal total matching is denoted $\beta_{2}^{\prime}(G)$. Gimbel and Vestergaard [3] showed that, except for a few small graphs involving an odd number of at most seven vertices, the minimum size of a maximal total matching in a connected graph obeys the Favaron bound, i.e. $\beta_{2}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant n-2 \sqrt{n}+2$. They also showed that when $n=m^{2}$ the graph formed by joining $m-1$ pendant vertices to each vertex of a $K_{m}$ achieves this bound. We shall use GV to designate this class of graphs.

It is not hard to see that the graphs in GV also obtain the Favaron bound for independent domination number. In particular, if $I$ is an independent set of vertices which dominate a graph $G$ in GV then $I$ contains at most one vertex in $K_{m}$. If $I$ contains no vertex in the $K_{m}$ then $I$ must contain all of the pendant vertices and $|I|=m(m-1)$. If $I$ contains one vertex $v$ in the $K_{m}$ then $I$ must contain all of the $(m-1)(m-1)$ pendant vertices not adjacent to $v$ and $|I|=(m-1)(m-1)+1$. If $m \geqslant 2$ then $(m-1)(m-1)+1 \leqslant m(m-1)$ and $i(G)=(m-1)(m-1)+1=m^{2}-2 m+2$. If $m=1$ then $n=1$ and, once again, $|I|=1=m^{2}-2 m+2$. For graphs in GV we have $m=\sqrt{n}$ so $m^{2}-2 m+2=n-2 \sqrt{n}+2$ and the graphs in GV are extremal.

Observation 4 in Section 2 provides a non-structural characterization of extremal graphs. Theorem 14 of Section 3 provides insight into the structure of extremal graphs while Theorems 17, 21, and 23 of Section 4 give a structural characterization for all but a finite number of cases.

## 2. Preliminaries and characterization

We begin with a reformulation of Favaron's bound. Let $G$ be a graph on $n$ vertices and $m=\lceil\sqrt{n}\rceil$. Define $t$ by $t=1$ if $(m-1)^{2}<n \leqslant m^{2}-m$ and $t=0$ if $m^{2}-m+1 \leqslant$ $n \leqslant m^{2}$.

Lemma 1. For any $n$, $\lfloor n-2 \sqrt{n}+2\rfloor=n-2 m+2+t$.
Proof. If $t=1$, then $(m-1)^{2}<n$ implies $n-2 \sqrt{n}+2<n-2(m-1)+2=n-2 m+4$. Also, $n \leqslant m^{2}-m$ implies $n<m^{2}-m+\frac{1}{4}=\left(m-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}$ or $2 \sqrt{n}<2 m-1$. Hence, $n-2 \sqrt{n}+2>n-(2 m-1)+2=n-2 m+3$. Thus, $\lfloor n-2 \sqrt{n}+2\rfloor=n-2 m+3=n-$ $2 m+2+t$. If, on the other hand, $t=0$, then $m^{2}-m+1 \leqslant n$, so $4 m^{2}-4 m+1<4 n$ or $2 m-1<2 \sqrt{n}$. Hence, $n-2 \sqrt{n}+2<n-(2 m-1)+2=n-2 m+3$. Also, $n \leqslant m^{2}$ implies $n-2 \sqrt{n}+2 \geqslant n-2 m+2$. Thus, $\lfloor n-2 \sqrt{n}+2\rfloor=n-2 m+2=n-2 m+2+t$.

By Lemma 1, a graph $G$ is extremal if and only if $i(G)=n-2 m+2+t$. We shall see that the extremal graphs in GV are a special case of a more general structure. Before proceeding we introduce some terminology.

Let $G$ be a connected graph on $n$ vertices, let $I$ be a maximum independent set in $V(G)$, and let $G_{r}$ be the subgraph of $G$ induced by the $r=n-|I|$ vertices in $V(G)-I$. Vertices of $I$ will be called outvertices. Observe that any independent dominating set of $G$ has the form $X \cup(I-N(X))$ where $X$ is an independent set of vertices in $G_{r}$ and $N(X)$ denotes the set of vertices in $G$ adjacent to at least one vertex of $X$. For a set $X \subseteq V\left(G_{r}\right)$, we define the outneighborhood of $X$, denoted $N^{\prime}(X)$, to be $N(X) \cap I$.

If $X$ is just a single vertex, $v$, we write $N^{\prime}(v)$. The outdegree of a vertex $v$ in $V\left(G_{r}\right)$ is the size of $N^{\prime}(v)$. An independent set $M$ of vertices in $V\left(G_{r}\right)$ is a full independent set, denoted FIS, if $M \cup\left(I-N^{\prime}(M)\right)$ dominates $G$. Finally, for any vertex $v$ in $V\left(G_{r}\right)$, let $X_{v}=\left\{x \in V\left(G_{r}\right): N^{\prime}(x) \subseteq N^{\prime}(v)\right\}$. The next lemma shows that there is a sense in which every vertex in $V\left(G_{r}\right)$ generates an FIS.

Lemma 2. If $M$ is a maximal independent subset of $X_{v}$ which contains $v$, then $M$ is an FIS.

Proof. Observe that $N^{\prime}(M)=N^{\prime}(v)$. Suppose $w$ is a vertex of $G$ which is not dominated by any vertex in $M$, so $w \notin M$. If $w$ is in $I$, then $w$ must be in $I-N^{\prime}(M)$. If $w$ is in $G_{r}$, then, since $M$ is maximal and hence a dominating set of $X_{v}, w$ is not in $X_{v}$ and some vertex in $N^{\prime}(w)$ must not be in $N^{\prime}(M)$. Therefore, either $w$ is in $I-N^{\prime}(M)$ or $w$ is adjacent to a vertex in $I-N^{\prime}(M)$. It follows that $M \cup\left(I-N^{\prime}(M)\right)$ is an independent dominating set of $G$.

For each vertex $v \in V\left(G_{r}\right)$, we fix a particular maximal independent subset of $X_{v}$ which contains $v$ and denote it by $M(v)$. Notice that every independent dominating set consists of some FIS, $M$, along with all vertices of $I-N^{\prime}(M)$. In the case of graphs in GV, each vertex of the complete graph, (our $G_{r}$ ), is an FIS. The concept of full independent sets leads to the characterization of extremal graphs via the following lemma.

Lemma 3. Let $G$ be a graph on $n$ vertices and let $G_{r}$ be an induced subgraph of $G$ such that $\left|V\left(G_{r}\right)\right|=r$ and $I=V(G)-V\left(G_{r}\right)$ is a maximum independent set of vertices in $G$. For an integer $a, i(G) \geqslant n-a$ if and only if for every FIS $M$ of $G\left|N^{\prime}(M)\right| \leqslant|M|+a-r$.

Proof. Suppose $i(G) \geqslant n-a$. Let $M$ be an FIS in $G_{r}$. By definition, $M \cup\left(I-N^{\prime}(M)\right)$ is an independent dominating set. Hence $|I|-\left|N^{\prime}(M)\right|+|M| \geqslant i(G) \geqslant n-a$. Since $|I|=n-r$, we have $n-r-\left|N^{\prime}(M)\right|+|M| \geqslant n-a$ or $\left|N^{\prime}(M)\right| \leqslant|M|+a-r$.

Suppose every FIS, $M$, of $V\left(G_{r}\right)$ satisfies $\left|N^{\prime}(M)\right| \leqslant|M|+a-r$. Let $D$ be an independent dominating set in $G$ and $Y=D \cap G_{r}$. By the independence of $D, Y \cup$ $\left(I-N^{\prime}(Y)\right)=D$; hence, $Y$ is an FIS. By hypothesis, $\left|N^{\prime}(Y)\right| \leqslant|Y|+a-r$. Hence $|D|=|Y|+n-r-\left|N^{\prime}(Y)\right| \geqslant n-r+r-a=n-a$. Therefore, every independent dominating set has size at least $n-a$ which implies $i(G) \geqslant n-a$.

The following characterization is an easy consequence of Lemma 3 .
Corollary 4. Let $G$ be a graph on $n$ vertices and let $G_{r}$ and $I$ be as previously defined. $G$ is extremal if and only if every full independent set $M$ of $V\left(G_{r}\right)$ satisfies $\left|N^{\prime}(M)\right| \leqslant|M|+2 m-2-t-r$.

Proof. By Lemma 1, $G$ is extremal if and only if $i(G)=n-2 m+2+t$. Take $a=2 m-2-t$ in Lemma 3 and the result follows.

## 3. Restrictions on the order of $\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{r}}$ for extremal graphs

Corollary 4 characterizes extremal graphs in terms of full independent sets. To obtain a structural characterization we need to establish bounds on $r$ the order of $G_{r}$. The first such bound given in the following lemma is easy but useful.

Lemma 5. If $G$ is extremal, then $r \leqslant 2 m-2-t$.
Proof. For any graph $G$, a maximum independent set dominates the entire graph. Consequently, we have $i(G) \leqslant n-r$. Thus, if $G$ is extremal, $n-2 m+2+t \leqslant n-r$ and the result follows.

We define $r$ to be feasible if and only if $r+\lceil n / r\rceil=2 m-t$. In this section we will demonstrate that, if $G$ is extremal then $r$ must be feasible. The next lemma shows that $r+\lceil n / r\rceil$ is never less than $2 m-t$.

Lemma 6. For any positive integer $r, r+\lceil n / r\rceil \geqslant 2 m-t$.
Proof. Suppose $r+\lceil n / r\rceil<2 m-t$, so $r+\lceil n / r\rceil \leqslant 2 m-t-1$ in which case $n / r \leqslant 2 m-t-$ $r-1$. This leads to the inequalities $0 \geqslant r^{2}-(2 m-2) r+n$ if $t=1$ and $0 \geqslant r^{2}-(2 m-1) r+n$ if $t=0$. Because $n>(m-1)^{2}$ if $t=1$ and $n \geqslant m^{2}-m+1$ if $t=0$, both of the quadratics in $r$ have negative discriminants. As the coefficient of $r$ is positive, the inequalities cannot be satisfied by any value of $r$.

Not surprisingly, $r$ is significantly less than $n$ for extremal graphs, as is shown in the following lemma.

Lemma 7. If $G$ is extremal with $n=9$ or $n \geqslant 11$, then $r<n / 2$.
Proof. We consider two cases depending on the value of $t$.

1. Assume $t=0$ which implies $(m-1)^{2}+m \leqslant n \leqslant m^{2}$. If $n \geqslant 11$ then $m \geqslant 4$. Therefore, $n>(m-1)^{2}+m-1=(m-1) m \geqslant 4(m-1)=2(2 m-2)$. Also, by Lemma 5, $r \leqslant 2 m-2-t=2 m-2$, hence $r<n / 2$. If $n=9, m=3$ and $2 m-2-t=4$; and again by Lemma $5, r \leqslant 4<n / 2$.
2. Assume $t=1$. In this case, $n$ cannot be 9 . Thus, $n \geqslant 11$ and $n^{2}-12 n+20=(n-2)(n-$ 10) $>0$ implying $n^{2}+4 n+4>16 n-16$ or $n+2>4 \sqrt{n-1}$. Using the inequality $(m-1)^{2}+1 \leqslant n$, we have $n+2>4(m-1)$. Therefore, $n>4 m-6=2(2 m-3)$. Once more by Lemma $5, r \leqslant 2 m-2-t=2 m-3$ and $r<n / 2$.

Before we can show that only feasible values for $r$ yield extremal graphs, we need several lemmas which describe the outneighborhoods of vertices in $G_{r}$.

Lemma 8. If $S$ is an independent set of vertices in $G_{r}$, then $|S| \leqslant\left|N^{\prime}(S)\right|$.

Proof. The set $S \cup\left(I-N^{\prime}(S)\right)$ is independent and so has size no more than $|I|=n-r$. Thus, $|S|+n-r-\left|N^{\prime}(S)\right| \leqslant n-r$.

For each vertex $v \in V\left(G_{r}\right)$ recall that $M(v)$ denotes a fixed FIS containing $v$ as described following Lemma 2.

Lemma 9. Suppose $G$ is extremal. If $v \in G_{r}$ and $\left|N^{\prime}(v)\right|=\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+q$ for $q \geqslant 0$, then $|M(v)| \geqslant q+1$.

Proof. Since $N^{\prime}(M(v))=N^{\prime}(v)$, we have $i(G)=n-2 m+2+t \leqslant\left|M(v) \cup\left[I-N^{\prime}(M(v))\right]\right|=$ $|M(v)|+n-r-(\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+q)$. By Lemma 6, $r+\lceil n / r\rceil \geqslant 2 m-t$ and the result follows.

Note that if $r+\lceil n / r\rceil>2 m-t$ then we obtain the strict inequality $|M(v)|>q+1$. For any set of vertices $P$ in $G_{r}$, it will be convenient to define the exclusive outneighborhood of $P$, denoted $E N^{\prime}(P)$, as the subset of vertices in $N^{\prime}(P)$ whose neighborhoods are contained in $P$.

Lemma 10. If $G$ is extremal, then $\left|E N^{\prime}(P)\right| \leqslant|P|\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil$ for any $P \subseteq V\left(G_{r}\right)$.
Proof. We proceed by induction on the size of $P$. Suppose $P=\{v\}$. If $\left|N^{\prime}(v)\right| \leqslant\lceil(n-$ $r) / r\rceil$, the result follows. Therefore, assume $\left|N^{\prime}(v)\right|=\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+q, q \geqslant 1$. By Lemmas 8 and $9,\left|N^{\prime}(M(v)-\{v\})\right| \geqslant|M(v)-\{v\}| \geqslant q$. All the vertices in $N^{\prime}(M(v)-\{v\})$ are in $N^{\prime}(v)$ and none of them are exclusive neighbors of $v$. Therefore, $\left|E N^{\prime}(v)\right| \leqslant\left|N^{\prime}(v)\right|-$ $\left|N^{\prime}(M(v)-\{v\})\right| \leqslant\left|N^{\prime}(v)\right|-q=\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil$.
Let $k \geqslant 1$, assume the result for any set, $P \subseteq V\left(G_{r}\right)$, of size no larger than $k$, and consider adding an arbitrary vertex $x \in V\left(G_{r}\right)-P$. If $\left|E N^{\prime}(P \cup\{x\})\right| \leqslant\left|E N^{\prime}(P)\right|+$ $\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil$, we are done by the inductive hypothesis, so suppose $\left|E N^{\prime}(P \cup\{x\})\right|=$ $\left|E N^{\prime}(P)\right|+\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+q, q \geqslant 1$. The vertices in $E N^{\prime}(P \cup\{x\})$ which are not in $E N^{\prime}(P)$ must be in $N^{\prime}(x)$. Hence, $\left|N^{\prime}(x)\right|=\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+q+p$, where $p \geqslant 0$ of the vertices of $N^{\prime}(x)$ have an edge to some vertex of $G_{r}-P$ as well as to $x$. By Lemma $9,|M(x)| \geqslant q+p+1$.

Let $S$ be the set of vertices in $M(x)-\{x\}$ which are not in $P$ and let $Q$ be those which are in $P$. By the choice of $S$, any vertex in $N^{\prime}(S)$ cannot be in $E N^{\prime}(P \cup\{x\})$ but since $N^{\prime}(S) \subseteq N^{\prime}(x),\left|N^{\prime}(S)\right| \leqslant p$. Thus, by Lemma $8,|S| \leqslant p$ which implies that $|Q| \geqslant q$.

Since $N^{\prime}(Q) \subseteq N^{\prime}(x)$ and $x \notin P$, the set $N^{\prime}(Q)$ is disjoint from $E N^{\prime}(P)$. Thus, $\left|E N^{\prime}(P)\right|=\left|E N^{\prime}(P-Q)\right|$ and $\left|E N^{\prime}(P \cup\{x\})\right|=\left|E N^{\prime}(P)\right|+\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+q=\mid E N^{\prime}(P-$ $Q) \mid+\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+q \leqslant(|P|-q)\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+q \leqslant(|P|+1)\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil$, where the first inequality follows from induction and the second from $\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil \geqslant 1$.

Lemma 11. Let $G$ be extremal with $n=9$ or $n \geqslant 11$. If $r+\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil>2 m-t-1$ then, for any $P \subseteq G_{r},\left|E N^{\prime}(P)\right| \leqslant|P|(\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil-1)$.

Proof. Observe that $r+\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil>2 m-t-1$ is equivalent to $r+\lceil n / r\rceil>2 m-t$ so as was noted following Lemma $9|M(v)|>q+1$. We parallel the proof of Lemma 10. If $P=\{v\}$ and $\left|N^{\prime}(v)\right|=\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+q, q \geqslant 0$, then $\left|N^{\prime}(M(v)-\{v\})\right| \geqslant q+1$ so $\left|E N^{\prime}(v)\right| \leqslant\left|N^{\prime}(v)\right|-q-1=\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil-1$.

Assume that upon adding an arbitrary vertex $x$ to set $P$, we get $\left|E N^{\prime}(P \cup\{x\})\right|=$ $\left|E N^{\prime}(P)\right|+\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+q, q \geqslant 0$. Then $\left|N^{\prime}(x)\right|=\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+q+p$ for some $p \geqslant 0$ and so $|M(x)| \geqslant q+p+2$. If $S$ is the set of vertices in $M(x)-\{x\}$ which are not in $P$ and $Q$ are those in $P$, then just as in the previous proof, $|S| \leqslant p$ which implies in this case that $|Q| \geqslant q+1$. Thus, we arrive at the inequality $\left|E N^{\prime}(P \cup\{x\})\right| \leqslant(|P|-$ $q-1)(\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil-1)+\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+q \leqslant(|P|+1)(\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil-1)$ where the first inequality follows from induction and the second by Lemma 7.

Corollary 12. If $G$ is extremal with $n \geqslant 11$ or $n=9$, then $r$ is feasible.
Proof. By Lemma 6, $r+\lceil n / r\rceil \geqslant 2 m-t$. If $r$ is not feasible, then $r+\lceil n / r\rceil>2 m-t$. Therefore, by Lemma 11, the entire set $V\left(G_{r}\right)$ can dominate at most $r(\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil-$ 1) $<r((n-r) / r+1-1)=n-r$ vertices. However, $G$ is connected so all of the $n-r$ independent vertices in $V(G)-V\left(G_{r}\right)$ must be dominated by a vertex in $V\left(G_{r}\right)$.

The remaining cases are handled individually.

Lemma 13. If $G$ is extremal with $n=7,8$, or 10 , then $r$ is feasible.

Proof. If $n=7$ or $n=8$, then $m=3, t=0$, and feasible values for $r$ are 2, 3, and 4. If $r=1, G$ is $K_{1, n-1}$ and $G$ clearly is not extremal. Furthermore, by Lemma 5, $r \leqslant 2 m-2-t=4$. If $n=10$, then $m=4, t=1$, and feasible values are $2,3,4$, and 5. Again, it is clear that $r$ can not be 1 for an extremal graph and, by Lemma 5, $r \leqslant 2 m-2-t=5$.

Theorem 14. If $G$ is extremal then $r$ is feasible.

Proof. By examining all graphs with $n \leqslant 6$, as shown in [5], we have found those which are extremal and observed that $r$ is feasible for each of them. The case $n \geqslant 7$ follows by Corollary 12 and Lemma 13.

If we let $\beta(G)$ be the independence number of a graph then, for extremal graphs, $\beta(G)=n-r$. Also, by Lemma $1, i(G)=n-2 m+2+t$. By definition $r$ is feasible if and only if $r+\lceil n / r\rceil=2 m-t$. These equalities lead to the following corollary to Theorem 14 which relates $i(G)$ to $\beta(G)$.

Corollary 15. If $G$ is extremal then $i(G)=\beta(G)-\lceil n /(n-\beta(G))\rceil+2$.

## 4. Special cases and structural characterizations

When $r$ divides a sufficiently large $n$, we can obtain a strong bound on the outdegree of any vertex in $G_{r}$.

Lemma 16. Suppose $G$ is extremal with $n \geqslant 11$ or $n=9$. If $r$ divides $n$, then $\left|N^{\prime}(v)\right| \leqslant$ $(n-r) / r$ for all $v \in V\left(G_{r}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose there is a vertex $v \in V\left(G_{r}\right)$ with $\left|N^{\prime}(v)\right|=(n-r) / r+q$ and $q \geqslant 1$. By Lemma $9,|M(v)| \geqslant q+1$. By the connectivity of $G$, there are at most $r-|M(v)| \leqslant r-$ $(q+1)$ vertices which can have the vertices in $I-N^{\prime}(v)$ as their exclusive neighborhood. Therefore, by Lemma 10, $(r-(q+1))(n-r) / r \geqslant n-r-\left|N^{\prime}(v)\right|=n-r-(n-r) / r-q$. Simplifying results in the inequality $n \leqslant 2 r$ which contradicts Lemma 7.

When $n=9$ or $n \geqslant 11$, extremal graphs for which $r$ divides $n$ have a unique structure.

Theorem 17. Assume $n=9$ or $n \geqslant 11$. If $r$ divides $n$, then $G$ is extremal if and only if $G_{r}$ is complete and each $v \in G_{r}$ has $\left|N^{\prime}(v)\right|=\left|E N^{\prime}(v)\right|=(n-r) / r$.

Proof. Suppose $G$ is extremal and let $v \in V\left(G_{r}\right)$. We have $\left|N^{\prime}\left(V\left(G_{r}\right)-\{v\}\right)\right| \leqslant$ $\sum_{x \in V\left(G_{r}\right)-\{v\}}\left|N^{\prime}(x)\right| \leqslant(r-1)(n-r) / r$, where the second inequality follows from Lemma 16. Hence, $|I|-\left|N^{\prime}\left(V\left(G_{r}\right)-\{v\}\right)\right| \geqslant n-r-(r-1)(n-r) / r=(n-r) / r$. By the definition of exclusive neighborhood, $\left|E N^{\prime}(v)\right|=|I|-\left|N^{\prime}\left(V\left(G_{r}\right)-\{v\}\right)\right|$. Therefore, again using Lemma 16 for the first of the following inequalities, we obtain $(n-r) / r \geqslant\left|N^{\prime}(v)\right| \geqslant\left|E N^{\prime}(v)\right| \geqslant(n-r) / r$. Thus, the inequalities may be replaced with equalities.

If $G_{r}$ is not complete then there exist vertices $v$ and $w$ in $V\left(G_{r}\right)$ which are not adjacent. Taking the union of $v, w$, and the outvertices not dominated by $v$ or $w$ we obtain an independent dominating set of size $2+n-r-2(n-r) / r=2+n-(n-$ $r) / r-(r+(n-r) / r)=2+n-(n-r) / r-(2 m-t-1)$. Therefore, $i(G) \leqslant n-2 m+$ $2+t+(1-(n-r) / r)$. This contradicts $G$ being extremal since $n>2 r$ and $r$ divides $n-r$ imply that $(n-r) / r \geqslant 2$.

For the converse, observe that a minimum independent dominating set is formed from a vertex $v$ of $G_{r}$ and the $(r-1)((n-r) / r)$ outvertices not adjacent to $v$. There are $1+(r-1)(n-r) / r=n-(r+n / r)+2=n-2 m+t+2$ vertices in this dominating set so $G$ is extremal.

According to Theorem 17, when $r$ divides $n$ then up to isomorphism there is a unique extremal graph which is obtained by joining $(n-r) / r$ pendant vertices to each vertex of a $K_{r}$. This is precisely the method used by Gimble and Vestergaard to construct the graphs in GV. As a consequence of the following corollary we see that these graphs are the only extremal graphs when $n=m^{2}$.

Corollary 18. Suppose $n=m^{2}$ with $m \geqslant 3$ or $n=m^{2}-m$ with $m \geqslant 4$. Then $G$ is extremal if and only if $G_{r}$ is complete and each $v \in G_{r}$ has $\left|N^{\prime}(v)\right|=\left|E N^{\prime}(v)\right|=(n-r) / r$.

Proof. It is easy to see that the only feasible values for $r$ are $r=m$ if $n=m^{2}$, and $r=m$ or $r=m-1$ if $n=m^{2}-m$. The result follows from Theorem 17.

The following two lemmas show that the structure in the $n=m^{2}$ case is very similar to a more general situation and allow us to determine a structural characterization for most extremal graphs. Indeed, most of the time $G_{r}$ is complete and each vertex has outdegree of no more than $\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil$.

Lemma 19. If $G$ is extremal and $m \geqslant 5,(n \geqslant 17)$ no vertex in $G_{r}$ has outdegree greater than $\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+1$. Furthermore, if $m \geqslant 5$ and some vertex in $G_{r}$ has outdegree equal to $\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+1$, one of the following holds:
(a) $n=m^{2}-m+1$ and $m \leqslant r \leqslant m+1$,
(b) $n=m^{2}-m+2$ and $m \leqslant r \leqslant m+1$,
(c) $n=m^{2}-2 m+2$ and $m-1 \leqslant r \leqslant m+1$,
(d) $n=m^{2}-2 m+3$ and $r=m$.

Proof. Suppose some vertex, $v$, in $G_{r}$ has outdegree $\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+q$ with $q \geqslant 1$. By Lemma $9,|M(v)| \geqslant q+1$. Let $P$ be the at most $r-q-1$ vertices of $G_{r}-M(v)$. The outneighborhood of $P$ must include the $n-r-\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil-q$ vertices of $I-N^{\prime}(v)$. Thus, by Lemma 10, it must be true that $(r-q-1)\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil \geqslant n-r-\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil-q$. Since $r$ is feasible and $\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil=\lceil n / r\rceil-1$, we obtain $(r-q-1)(2 m-r-t-$ $1) \geqslant n-2 m+t+1-q$ which can be transformed into the following quadratic in $r$ : $-r^{2}+(2 m-t+q) r-2 m q+q t+2 q-n \geqslant 0$. We note that $t=t^{2}$ and compute the discriminant as $q^{2}+(2 t+8-4 m) q+4 m^{2}-4 m t+t-4 n$.

If $t=0$ (which implies $n \geqslant m^{2}-m+1$ ), this discriminant is non-negative when $q \geqslant 2 m-4+2 \sqrt{n+4-4 m}$ or $q \leqslant 2 m-4-2 \sqrt{n+4-4 m}$. If the first inequality holds then $q \geqslant 2 m-4+2 \sqrt{n+4-4 m} \geqslant 2 m-4+2 \sqrt{m^{2}-5 m+5}>2 m-4+2(m-$ 3) $=4 m-10$. By Lemma $5, q<r \leqslant 2 m-2$ which implies $2 m-2>4 m-10$ contrary to the assumption $m \geqslant 5$. Thus, $q \leqslant 2 m-4-2 \sqrt{n+4-4 m}<2 m-4-2(m-3)=2$ which implies $q=1$.

If $t=1$ (which implies $n \geqslant m^{2}-2 m+2$ ), the discriminant is non-negative when $q \geqslant 2 m-5+2 \sqrt{n+6-4 m}$ or $q \leqslant 2 m-5-2 \sqrt{n+6-4 m}<2 m-5-2(m-4)=3$. Once again, the first inequality leads to a contradiction, so $q \leqslant 2$. However, substituting $t=1$ and $q=2$ along with the condition $n \geqslant m^{2}-2 m+2$ into our original inequality of $-r^{2}+(2 m-t+q) r-2 m q+q t+2 q-n \geqslant 0$, we obtain $-r^{2}+(2 m+1) r-m^{2}-2 m+4 \geqslant 0$ which has a negative discriminant when $m \geqslant 5$. Hence, once again $q=1$.

Finally, we substitute $q=1$ into our inequality to obtain $-r^{2}+(2 m-t+1) r-$ $2 m+t+2-n \geqslant 0$. It is easy to see that the discriminant of this quadratic is negative if $t=0$ and $n \geqslant m^{2}-m+3$ or if $t=1$ and $n \geqslant m^{2}-2 m+4$ and hence, in these cases, there can be no solution. Thus the value of $n$ is restricted to the ones given in conditions $a$ through $d$ and the corresponding integer solutions of the inequality provide the specified ranges for $r$.

Lemma 20. If $G$ is extremal, $m \geqslant 5(n \geqslant 17)$, and $M$ is a maximum independent set in $G_{r}$, then $|M| \leqslant 2$. Furthermore, if none of conditions (a)-(d) of Lemma 19 hold then $|M|=1$.

Proof. Choose a maximum independent set, $M$, in $G_{r}$. If $|M|=1$, we are done so assume that $|M|=1+q, q \geqslant 1$. Then, because any maximal independent set in $G_{r}$ is also an FIS, by Corollary $4,\left|N^{\prime}(M)\right| \leqslant(q+1)+2 m-2-t-r$ which, because $r$ is feasible, is equal to $\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+q$. As in the proof to Lemma 19 , let $P$ be the $r-q-1$ vertices of $G_{r}-M(v)$. Again, the outneighborhood of $P$ must include the at least $n-r-\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil-q$ vertices of $I-N^{\prime}(M)$, and we have the same quadratic inequality as in Lemma 19.

Most extremal graphs do not satisfy conditions (a)-(d) of Lemma 19. We immediately obtain a structural characterization of these graphs.

Theorem 21. If $n \geqslant 17$ and none of conditions (a)-(d) of Lemma 19 hold, then $G$ is extremal if and only if $r$ is feasible, $G_{r}$ is complete and each vertex, $v$, of $G_{r}$ has $\left|N^{\prime}(v)\right| \leqslant\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil$.

Proof. Theorem 14 along with Lemmas 19 and 20 prove necessity. To show sufficiency, let $M$ be an FIS in $G_{r}$. The completeness of $G_{r}$ implies $M=\{v\}$ for some vertex $v$ in $V\left(G_{r}\right)$. Therefore, $\left|N^{\prime}(M)\right|=\left|N^{\prime}(v)\right| \leqslant\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil=|M|+\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil-$ $1=|M|+2 m-2-t-r$ where the last equality follows from the feasibility of $r$. Thus, $G$ is extremal by Corollary 4 .

From this theorem we see that when $n \geqslant 17$ and none of conditions (a)-(d) of Lemma 19 hold the general construction of an extremal graph is similar to the construction when $r$ divides $n$. First join $n-r$ pendant vertices to the vertices of a $K_{r}$, being careful not to join more than $\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil$ vertices to any particular vertex. Then add additional edges between the $n-r$ vertices and the vertices of the $K_{r}$ as long as $\left|N^{\prime}(v)\right| \leqslant\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil$ for each $v$ in the $K_{r}$. Note that the joined vertices in the resultant graph do not need to be monovalent. Also, these graphs are not unique up to isomorphism for a particular $n$ and $r$. For example there are two non-isomorphic extremal graphs with $n=19$ and $r=5$.

We can also give a structural characterization of graphs which do satisfy conditions (a)-(d) of Lemma 19. Here, the structure of $G_{r}$ is slightly more complicated as specified in the following lemma.

Lemma 22. If $G$ is extremal and $m \geqslant 5(n \geqslant 17)$, then either $G_{r}$ is complete or one of conditions (a)-(d) of Lemma 19 hold and $G_{r}$ contains $K_{r-1}$ as a subgraph.

Proof. Choose a maximum independent set, $M$, in $G_{r}$. If $|M|=1$ then $G_{r}$ is complete and we are done. Otherwise, by Lemma 20, $|M|=2$ and one of conditions (a)-(d) of Lemma 19 must hold. Suppose $G_{r}$ does not contain $K_{r-1}$ as a subgraph in which case $V\left(G_{r}\right)$ contains two disjoint sets of independent vertices, $\{u, v\}$ and $\{x, y\}$. These
sets are maximum independent sets in $V\left(G_{r}\right)$ so each must be an FIS. It follows that $2+n-r-\left|N^{\prime}(\{u, v\})\right| \geqslant n-2 m+2+t$ which simplifies to $2 m-t-r \geqslant\left|N^{\prime}(\{u, v\})\right|$. Similarly, $2 m-t-r \geqslant\left|N^{\prime}(\{x, y\})\right|$. Let $P=V\left(G_{r}\right)-\{u, v, x, y\}$ so $|P|=r-4$ and $\left|E N^{\prime}(P)\right|=n-r-\left|N^{\prime}\{u, v, x, y\}\right| \geqslant n-r-2(2 m-t-r)$. Thus by Lemma 10, $(r-4)\lceil(n-$ $r) / r\rceil \geqslant n-r-2(2 m-t-r)$ or $(r-4)\lceil n / r\rceil+4 \geqslant n-4 m+2 t+2 r$. If condition (a) of Lemma 19 holds, then $n=m^{2}-m+1, t=0$, and $r=m$ or $r=m+1$. If $r=m+1$, we see that $\lceil n / r\rceil=\left\lceil\left(m^{2}-m+1\right) /(m+1)\right\rceil=\left\lceil\left(m^{2}+m-2 m-2+3\right) /(m+1)\right\rceil=m-1$. In this case, our inequality becomes $(m-3)(m-1) \geqslant m^{2}-m+1-4 m+2 m+2$ which reduces to $4 \geqslant m$, contradicting $m \geqslant 5$. The other seven cases specified by conditions (a)-(d) of Lemma 19 imply contradictions by similar reasoning and the result follows.

The more complicated structure of $G_{r}$ for graphs which satisfy conditions (a)-(d) of Lemma 19 leads to the following more complicated structure theorem for extremal graphs.

Theorem 23. If $n \geqslant 17$ and one of the four conditions of Lemma 19 holds, then $G$ is extremal if and only if $r$ is feasible, $G_{r}$ contains $K_{r-1}$ as a subgraph, every pair of independent vertices $\{v, w\}$ in $G_{r}$ satisfies $\left|N^{\prime}(\{v, w\})\right| \leqslant\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+1$, and each vertex, $v$, of $G_{r}$ has $\left|N^{\prime}(v)\right| \leqslant\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+1$ with equality only if $v$ is adjacent to at most $r-2$ vertices of $G_{r}$.

Proof. To prove necessity, we suppose $G$ is extremal. Theorem 14 along with Lemmas 22 and 19 imply, respectively, $r$ is feasible, $G_{r}$ contains $K_{r-1}$ as a subgraph, and each vertex, $v$, of $G_{r}$ has $\left|N^{\prime}(v)\right| \leqslant\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+1$. Suppose $v$ is a vertex of $G_{r}$ with $\left|N^{\prime}(v)\right|=\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+1$ and $v$ is adjacent to all of the other $r-1$ vertices of $G_{r}$. Then $v$ is an FIS with $\left|N^{\prime}(v)\right|=\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+1>\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+|v|-1=|v|+2 m-2-t-r$ which contradicts $G$ being extremal by Corollary 4. A similar contradiction is obtained from any pair of independent vertices, $\{v, w\}$ in $G_{r}$ with $\left|N^{\prime}(\{v, w\})\right| \geqslant\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+2$.

For sufficiency, let $M$ be an FIS in $G_{r}$. The structure of $G_{r}$ ensures that $|M| \leqslant 2$. Suppose $|M|=1$, so $M=\{v\}$ for some vertex $v$ in $G_{r}$. If $\left|N^{\prime}(v)\right|=\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil+1$ then $v$ is adjacent to at most $r-2$ vertices in $G_{r}$, so there exists a vertex $u$ in $G_{r}$ such that $\{u, v\}$ is independent. We observe that $N^{\prime}(u)$ is not contained in $N^{\prime}(v)$ because $M$ is an FIS; hence, $\left|N^{\prime}(u, v)\right| \geqslant\lceil n-r / r\rceil+2$, a contradiction. Therefore, if $M=\{v\}$ we have $\left|N^{\prime}(v)\right| \leqslant\lceil(n-r) / r\rceil$ and $\left|N^{\prime}(M)\right| \leqslant|M|+2 m-2-t-r$ follows just as in the proof to Theorem 21. If, on the other hand, $M=\{u, v\}$ then the condition $\left|N^{\prime}(\{u, v\})\right| \leqslant\lceil(n-$ $r) / r\rceil+1$ and $r$ being feasible also ensures that $\left|N^{\prime}(M)\right| \leqslant|M|+2 m-2-t-r$. We conclude by Corollary 4 that $G$ is extremal.

When one of the four conditions of Lemma 19 holds we can construct the extremal graphs by first joining $n-r$ pendant vertices to a graph $G_{r}$ on $r$ vertices that contains a $K_{r-1}$ and then adding additional edges between the $k-r$ vertices and the vertices of $G_{r}$, being careful that each additional edge abides by the bounds of the theorem on outdegrees and that the final graph is connected. These graphs are also not unique up to isomorphism for a particular $n$ and $r$.

The only extremal graphs not covered by the results in this section or by Theorem 14 are those where $r$ does not divide $n$ and $n=7,8,10,11,13,14$, or 15 . Each of these graphs can be constructed on a case by case basis.
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