Minutes of the Two Hundred Twenty-fourth Regular Meeting of the State Highway Commission, held in the Stato Highway Building with the following Members being present:

| Harry Hines | Chairman |
| :--- | :--- |
| D. K. Martin | Member |
| John Wood | Member |
| Gibb Gilchrist | State Hi ghway Engineer |


| 13117 13118 | Motion by Mr. Hines, seconded by Mr. Wood, that a regular month ly meeting of the State Hizhway Commission was opened at 10:00 A. M. Decomber 21, 1936. <br> It is ordered by the Commission that each of the following contracts having been examined by the Commission and it being found that it complies with the order of award by the Commission, is hereby approved in accordance with Section 12, Chapter 186, General Laws of Texas as passed by the 1925 Legislature, 39th Regular Session. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COUNTY | CONTROL/H | PROJECT\# | L.ENGTH | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { DATE } \\ & \text { AWARDED } \end{aligned}$ | CONTRACTOR | AMOUNT |
| Atasc | 17-4-3 | WPH 332 | 3.952 | 12-2-36 | Lone Star Con |  |
| \& Bexar | 17-3-9 | WPH 31-V |  |  |  |  |
| Collings- | 31-3-4 | FAP 573-F |  |  |  |  |
| worth | 31-2-3 | FAP 573-G | 15.955 | 12-2-36 | Texas Bitulithic Co. | 0,263.07 |
| Colorado | 26-4-8 | FAP 70-Reo. |  |  |  |  |
| \&Fay ette | 26-3-10 | FAP 37-Reo. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 26-3-9 | FAP 37-B | 6.168 | 12-2-36 | ng-Kies, Inc. | 53 |
| Ector | 5 | FAP 235-D |  |  |  |  |
|  | $4-7-5$ | Reop. | 11.470 | 12-2-36 | Morgan Construction Co. | 98,417.05 |
| Harrison | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 96-8-5 \\ & 96-9-8 \end{aligned}\right.$ | FAP 142-Reo FAP 142-F |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Reopened | 12.095 | 12-2-36 | A. L. Cook | 9,109.75 |
| Hays | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 16-2-11 \\ & 16-3-4 \end{aligned}\right.$ | FAP 8-Reop. | . 17.077 | 12-2-36 | A. L. Cook | 14,513.80 |
| Jasper | 65-1-3 | FAP 30-C |  |  |  |  |
|  | 64-8-6 | FAP 238 | 8.833 | 11-5-36 | J. S. Moore \& Sons | 99,166.00 |
| Jasper | 65-1-4 | FAP 30-C |  |  |  |  |
|  | 64-8-7 | FAP 238 | 359.75 Ft | .11-5-36 | Brown \& Root, Inc. | 28,801.94 |
| Lamb | 145-3-5 | FAP 568 m | 7.481 | 12-2-36 | Lone Star Const. Co. | 84,111.61 |
| MoCullooh | 128-3-3 | FAP 584-D | 11.832 | 12-2-36 | Morgan Const. Co. | 75,887.80 |
| Menard | 35-5-6 | FAP 616-F | 6.034 | 12-2-36 | J. C. Gilstrap | 47,538.47 |
| Randall | 168-11-3 | WPGM 959-A | 0.232 | 12-5-36 | Austin Bridge Company | 73,446.43 |
| Rusk | 207-2-5 | FAP 481-A | 7.999 | 12-2-36 | H. L. Butler | 37,744.03 |
| Tarrant | 14-2-7 | FAP 473-A |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14-2-8 | FAP 473-B | 1.868 | 12-2-36 | Baker Brothers | 3,441.25 |
| Upshur | 96-3-9 | FAP 109-B | 8.601 | 12-2-36 | A. L. Cook | 10,816.65 |
| Grayson | 47-2-5 | FAP 539-C | 7.050 | 10-29-36 | A. Lyman Egan | 6,491.30 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { San Pa- } \\ & \text { tricio } \end{aligned}$ | 74-5-8 | WPGH 657-F | 0.435 | 12-2-36 | R. W. Briggs \& Company | 76,601.18 |
| Parker | 8-2-7 | FAP 132-B |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Reop. III | 15.160 | 12-2-36 | Fred Hall | 46,080.21 |
| Milam | 185-2-4 | WPGH 129 | 0.660 | 10-25-36 | Fred Hall | 71,197.20 |
| Denton | 134-9-4 | FAP 623-H | 6.602 | 10-25-36 | Fred Hall | 71,162.67 |
| Burnet \& | 150-5-1 | FAF 809 ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | 7.977 | 10-25-36 | Allhands \& Davis | 119,287.47 |
| Llano | 150-4-1 | FAP 809-B | 2.167 | 10-25-36 | Allhands \& Davis | 33,876.05 |
| Burnet | 150-5-3 | FAP 809-A | 0.110 |  |  | 55. |

It is ordered by the Commission that the following contracts on Grade Crossing Protection Projects having been examined by the Commission and it being found that each contract complies with the order of award by the Commission, is hereby approved in accordance with Section 12, Chapter 186, General Laws of Texas as passed by the 1925 Legislature, 39th Regular Session:

Contract of
General Railway Signal Company
Uni.on Switoh \& Signal Company
Graybar Electric Company
Union Switch \& Signal Company Group 1 on 7 Projects on M.K.T. Railroad

December 21, 1936
For Furnishing Materials under
Group 2 on 7 Projects on M.K.T.Rail. road
Group 3 on 7 Frojects on M.K.\&T.Railroad
Group 1 on 3 Projects on MissouriPacific Lines
Group 3 on 3 Projects on MissouriPacific Lines
Group 1 on 3 Projects on St. L. \& S.W. Railroad
Group 3 on 3 Projects on St.L. \& S.W. Railroads
Group 1 on 3 Projects on T.C.T.Railroap Group 3 on 3 Projects on T.C.T.Rail. road
Group 1 on 33 Projects on T. \&N, O. Railroad
Group 3 on 33 Projects on T. \& N. 0 . Railroad
Group $2 \& 3$ on 3 Projects on T. \& P. Railroad

December 22, 1936

General Rajlway Signal Company Westinghouse Electric Company

Union Switch \& Signal Company
General Electric Company
Graybar Electric Company

The following agreements supplemental to contracts previously approved and entered into, having been examined and the terms and conditions having been found satisfactory, and it appearing that an additional appropriation of funds will not be required, are hereby approved:

Palo Pinto County, SP 1029-A, Panhand le Construction Company, Contractors providing for increased quantity of rook road excavation; funds for which were provided by Minute No. 13038, dated November 24, 1936.

San Patricio County, Control 87-4-3, Briggs-Killian Company, Contractors, providing for substitution of concrete base course for caliche base and asphalt surface, funds for which were provided by Minute No. 13047, dated November 24, 1936.

It is ordered by the Commission that each of the following projects, having been satisfactorily completed in accordance with the plans and specifications and approved changes is hereby accepted as recommended in the report of the engineer, and that all moneys due on each final estimate be paid the respective contractor.

| COUNTY | PROJECT NO. | CONTRACTOR | COMPLETED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clay | FAP 596-C | Standard Paving Company | 11-11-36 |
| Clay | FAP 596-A | Standard Paving Company | 11-11-36 |
| Montague | WPGH 128-B | Oran Speer | 11-7-36 |
| Wilbarger | Control 124-4-4 | Lone Star Const. Company | 11-9-36 |
| Wilbarger | Control 43-6-6 | Oran Speer | 10-29-36 |
| Dawson | Control 294-3-4 | Lone Star Const. Company | 11-5-36 |
| Floyd | FAP 568-C | Morgan Const. Company | 11-21-36 |
| Floyd | Control 145-9-2 | Morgan Const. Company | 11-21-36 |
| lymn | Control 297-5-2 | Lone Star Const. Co. | 11-30-36 |
| Peoos | FAP 618-D | R. W. MoKinney | 11-24-36 |
| Hill | WPGM 516-G | Oran Speer | 8-24-36 |
| Cherokee | WPGS 692-A | Ernest Loyd | 10-31-36 |
| Houston | Control 340-1-4 | A. L. Mays | 11-12-36 |
| Polk | Control 213-3-6 | W. S. Crawford Company | 11-5-36 |
| Shelby | Control 175-2-6 | Austin Bridge \& Road Co. | 9-21-36 |
| Shelby | WPGH 224-D | Austin Bridge \& Road Co. | 9-21-36 |
| Trinity | FAP 225 | Gaylord Const. Co. | 10-23-36 |
| Harris | WPMS 839-A | Brown \& Root, Inc. | 10-29-36 |
| Milam | WPGS 191-D | Cage Bros. \& J. C. Ruby | 11-21-36 |
| Bexar | FAP 131 | H. B. Zachry Company | 11-20-36 |
| Nueces | FAP 657-I | Heldenfels Brothers | 11-21-36 |
| Burleson | WPGH 628-B | Uvalde Const. Company | 10-21-36 |
| B urleson | Control 186-3-2 | Uvalde Const. Company | 10-21-s6 |
| Burleson | WPGM 628-D | Uvalde Const. Company | 11-18-36 |
| Dallas | WPGS 835-A | R. W. Briggs \& Company | 11-7-36 |
| Ellis | WPGH 385-E | Allhands \& Davis | 9-25-36 |
| Morris | WPSO 923-A | H. L. Butler | 10-28-36 |


| COUNTY | PROJECT NO. | CONTRACTOR | COMPLETED |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Panola | WPSO 89:-A | Jno. T. Leslie | $10-7-36$ |
| Liberty | WPSS 820-B | Jensen Const. Co. | $10-24-36$ |
| Cameron | WPSO 926-A | Dodds \& Wedegartner | $10-19-36$ |
| Cameron | FAP 93-B, Pt. 3 | Austin Road Company | $11-23-36$ |
| Cameron | FAP 93-C, Pt. 4. | Austin Road Company | $11-23-36$ |
| Hidalgo | Control 342-2-1 | Jensen Const. Company | $11-20-36$ |
| Motley | Control 145-8-5 | H. B. Zachry Company | $11-20-36$ |
| Motley | Control 105-5-6 | H. B. Zachry Company | $11-27 m 36$ |
| Motley | Control 105-7-2 | H. B. Zaohry Company | $11-27-36$ |

In Anderson County, it is ordered by the Cormission that an appropriation or $6,076.35$ be made to cover the cost of placirg sufficient iron ore gravel and cutback asphalt to make that section of Highway No. 7, from Elkhart to a point 1.75 miles west, passable in all weather. This work is to be done under the direction of the State Highway Enginear through the Maintenance Division.
A.F.E. 36-519

In Angelina County, it is hereby agreed that funds will be appropriated to be expended under the direction of the State Highway Engineer, for the construction of grading, drainage structures and reclaimed base course on Control 199, Section 4, a connection between old Highway No. 40 and proposed Highway 40 at the west city limits of Lufkin, a distance of 0.128 miles, and the State Highway Ingineer i.s hereby authorized to advertise for bids for this construction in conjunction with work to be undertaken on the adjoining 1937 Regular Federal Aid Program projects No. FAP 353-A, B \& F.

In Baylor County, it is ordered by the Commission that an appropriation of $\$ 150.00$ per mile, or a total appropriation of $\$ 1,561.80$, be made to cover the cost of filling and backsloping ditches and flattening slopes on that section of Highway No. 24, from Archer County line west, a distance of 10.412 miles. This work is to be done under the direction of the State Highway Engineer through the Maintenance Division.
A.F.E. 36-520

In Bell County, it is ordered that en appropriation of \$155.00, or as much thereof as may be necessary, be made to pay the cost of relopating an H-frame of a transmission line owned by the l'exas Fower and Light Company, to effect its removal from the right-of-way of WPH 40, Highways 95 and 36 , between the South City Limits of Temple and M-K-T Underpess. It is understood that the Texas, Power and Light Company will be reimbursed for only the actual cost of this work not to exceed $\$ 155.00$.
A.F.E. 36-521

WHEREAS, a State Highway has been oonstructed in Bexar County rom State Highway No. 2, U. S. No. 81 to the entrance to Randolph Field; nd

WHEREAS, Pat Booker, a close friend and flying companion of W. M. Randolph, for whom Randolph Field was named, crashed and has gone to join his friend in that secred place reserved for intrepid fliers;

THEREFORE, in memory of Pat Booker, it is ordered that the State Fighway from State Highway No. 2 to Randolph Field be designated as "Pat Booker Road" and that it be so marked.

It is also ordered that a copy of this minute be sent to Colonel Henry W. Harms, Commanding Officer of Randolph Field; Honorable Frost Noodhull, County Judge of Bexar County, and Honorable C. K. Quin, Mayor of the City of San Antonio, with the request that they advise the nearest elatives of Pat Booker of this action.

In Bowie County, it is ordered by the Commission that an increasde appropriation of $\$ 2,387.49$ be made to cover the cost of completing the pesectioning and widening of small structures on that section of Highway No. 1, from Simms to Bassetts, a distance of 3.714 miles, this overrun beng necessary primarily because of an overrun for widening the structures. This project will be completed as a Force Account Construction Froject fnder the dircction of the State Highway Engineer through the Maintenance pivision.

In Brazos County, an increased appropriation of $\$ 1,559.98$ is here by made for the completion of underpass and paving on WFGS 944-B, Control 116-4-11, Highway Feeder. This overrun is caused by increased quantity of Concrete Pavement brought about by revision of plans for wye connections.
A.F.E. 36-523

In Cottle County, it is ordered by the Commission that Minute No. 12381, whereby an appropriation of $\$ 300.00$ was made to cover the cost of purchasing lots Nos. 13, 14, 15 and 16 and parts of Lots Nos. 17, 18, and 19, in Block No. 102 in the town of Paducah for use as a County Warehouse Site, be amended to provide the same appropriations for the purchase of Lots Nos. 13, 14 and 15 and parts of Lots Nos. 16,17 and 18, in Block No. 102, this correction being made because of an error in the original Minute.
A.F.E. 36-524

In Dallas County, it is ordered by the Commission that an appropriation of $\$ 1,311.88$ be made to cover the cost of placing a light asphaltic preservative on several sections of relocation, totalling .69 of a mile in length, of Highway No. 15 , from a point 1400 foet east of the east city limits of Dallas to the end of the new location 2.2 miles east. This work is to be done under the direction of the State Highway Engineer through the Maintenance Division.

$$
\text { A.F.E. } 36-525
$$

In Dickens County, it is ordered by the Commission that an approf priation of $\$ 150.00$ per mile, or a total sppropriation of $\$ 1,050.60$, be made to cover the cost of widening the shoulders, filling the ditches, and flattening the slopes on that section of Highway No. 18 from 3 miles north of Dickens to 10 miles north of Dickens, a distance of 7 miles. This work is to be done under the direction of the State Hiphway Engineer through the Maintenance Division.

## A.F.E. 36-526

In Ector County, it is ordered by the Commission that an appropriation of $\$ 1,329.19$ be made to cover the cost of roadway maintenance on that section of Highway No. 216, from the intersection with Highway No. 51 to the Ector-Midland County line, a distance of 9.383 miles, for the balance of the fiscal year, and the State Highway Ingineer is instructed to take this section of road over for maintenance, inasmuch as all conditions imposed in this designation have been complied with.
A.F.E. 36-527

In Erath County, it is ordered by the Cormission that an appropriation of $6,602.10$ be made to cover the cost of placing sufficient gravel on those sections of Highway No. 67, between Dublin and the junction of Highways Nos. 66 and 67, which become impassable in bad weather to place this road in condition to take care of traffic in all weather. This work is to be done under the direction of the State Highway Engineer through the Maintenance Division.
A.F.E. 36-528

In Fannin County, an initial construction appropriation of State and National Recovery (NRS 1935) Funds of $\$ 184,530.64$ is hereby made for the construction of the bridge over Red River on State Highway No. 78 between Bonham in F'annin County, Texas and Durant in Bryan County, Oklahome same boing lexas-Oklahona Froject NRS 955. The above appropriation is one-half the total contract cost of this bridge which is to be financed equally by each State.
A.F.E. 36-529

In Fannin and Delta Counties, it is ordered that State Highway \#154 from Cooper to Ladonia, which was cancelled by Minute \#11000, be reinstated.

In Fayette County, it is ordered that Minute No. 13113 be amended by cancelling the second paragraph which reads as follows:

[^0]In Galveston County, PWA Docket No. Texas 1968 R , Galveston Causeway, it having been brought to attention that it became desirable and necessary to make several changes in the plans and specifications, namely as follows:

PWA Approved Change
Order Number

## Description of Change

18-A-18
Splicing Piling to secure experimental lone pile.
20-A-20
22-A-21
Construction of supplementel field office. Revision of expansion pier cap to provide seat for jacks to support superstructure falsework.

And jit being noted that the above Change Orders have been approved by the Contrector, the Supervising Eingineer and by the State Director, Public Works Administration; said Change Orders are hereby approved.
to the Oklahoma State line is routed over an overpass over the M. K. \& $T$. Railroad Company tracks northwest of Denison, this overpass having been constructed prior to the time the State Highways were taken over by the State for maintenance; and

WHEREAS, this overpass was constructed by the M. K. \& T. Railroad Company when new M. K. \& T. Switch Yards were built at that point in Grayson County and the M. K. \& T. Railroad Company at the time entered into a contract whereby the railroad company was to construct and maintain this overhead structure as long as the public road of which it is a part shall be used as now located and thereafter the structure for any under grade crossing that may be substituted for it if said road should not be abandoned; and

WHEREAS, the Legislature, subsequent to this contract has directed that all state Highways be constructed and maintained by the State Highway Department;

IT IS, THERFFORE, ordered by the Commission that the M. K. \& T. Railroad Company be relieved of the obligation to maintain this structure and that it be taken over and maintained by the State Highway. Department as directed by statutes. This order, however, is made contingent on the M. K. \& T. Railroad Company placing this structure in first-class condition prior to the time it is taken over for maintenance by this Department.

In Harrison County, it is ordered by the Commission that the 1.4 acres of land located in $x^{L o t}$ No. 164-B in the City of Marshall, which the Chamber of Commerce offers to donate to the Department for use as a Section Warehouse Site be accepted contingent on the Attorney General approving the abstract and deed, and an appropriation of $\$ 45.00$ is hereby made to cover the cost of preparing abstract on this property.
A.F.E. 36-530

In Hidalgo County, it is ordered by the Commission that an appropriation of $\$ 1,519.20$ be made to cover the cost of roadway maintenance on that section of Highway $\mathbb{N}_{0}$. 107, from the Cameron County line to La Villa, a distance of 5.064 miles, for the balance of the fiscal year, and the State Highway Engineer is instructed to take this section of road over for maintenance, inasmuch as all conditions imposed in this designation have been complied with.

$$
\text { A.F.E. } 36-531
$$

In Hood County, it is ordered by the Commission that an approriation of $\$ 1,859.17$ be made to cover the cost of repairing a bridge and installing corrugated metal pipe under those portions of Highway No. 144 south of Cranbury where the water runs over the road after rains. This work is to be done under the direction of the State Highway Engineer through the Maintenance Division.
A.F.E. 36-532

In Jeff Davis County, it is ordered by the Commission that an increased appropriation of $\$ 779.09$ be made to cover the cost of completing the construction of the two miles of rock grade in Limpia Canyon on Highway No. 17 as authorized by Minute No. 11262, this increase being necessary on account of an overrun in channel excavation and an overrun in the estimated unit price for the grading.
A.F.E. 36-533

Johnson County, having agreed to secure the required rights-ofway or Highway No. 122, from a point approximately one (1) mile Southeast of Godley to a point approximately two and one-half ( $2 \frac{1}{2}$ ) miles Northwest of Godley to eliminate two railrosd grade crossings, Johnson County is hereby requested to secure by purchase or condemnation the required rights-of-way on Highway 122 from a point approximately two and one-half ( $2 \frac{1}{2}$ ) miles Northwest of Godley, on location approved by the State Hichway Engineer, and the State Highway Engineer is hereby ordered to furnish to Johnson County the required field notes and maps.

In Llano County, on Highway 29, Project No. FAP 809m \& \& C, the condemnation proceedings against A. G. Murchison by Llano County, as requested by Minute No. 13031 dated November 24, 1936 having been completed it is ordered that an appropriation of $\$ 322.30$ be, and same is hereby made to pay the cost of the award made in this suit. This appropriation is made as an advance to Colorado River Authority with the understanding that the Highway Department is to be reimbursed as agreed to in C.R.A. Retter dated October 5, 1936.

## A.F.E. 36-534

It having been brought to the attention of the Cormission that the citizens of Llano desire to illuminate the Roy Inks Bridge just completed across the Llano River, U. S. Emergency Relief Project No. E.R.P. 1. Section 3, Highways 29 and 81, and that the City of Llano will provide for the installation and maintenance of the lights, and the furnishing bf electricity according to plans approved by the State Highway Engineer, Ft is ordered that the City of Llano be authorized to proceed with the installation; and provided a satisfactory contract is entered into coverng this matter.

In Madison County, it is ordered by the Commission that an appropriation of ${ }^{W} 6,707.80$ be made to cover the cost of reflooring and widenIng nine timber structures on the old San Antonio Road, between a point 4.5 miles east of Normangee and the intersection with Highway No. 32, a fotal length of 379 feet. This work is to be done under the direction pf the State Highway Ingineer through the Maintenance Division.

## A.F.E. 36-535

In Matagorda County, it is ordered by the Commission that an in -

In Mills County, an increased appropriation of $\$ 11,500$ is herem by made for the completion of grading and drainage structures on S.F. 1083-A, Highway 74-A. This overrun in cost was caused by increased quantity of rock road excavation due to reclassification on construction.
A.F.E. 36-538

In Mitchell County, it is ordered by the Commission that an appropriation of ${ }^{2} 500.00$ be made to cover the cost of purchasing a Warehouse Site in the City of Colorado, and the State Highway Engineer is hereby authorized to purchase Lots Nos. 3, 4, 5 and 6, in Block No. 29 in the Dunn, Snyder and Moore Addition in Colorado from R. W. Mann for this purpose.

## A.F.E. 36-539

In Montgomery County, on liighway No. 19, NRH 370-B, in the matter of claim of Froemming Brothers, it is ordered by the Comission that an amount of $\$ 175.91$ be paid. This is in accordance with the recommendation of the Committee on Clains.
A.F.E. 36-540

In Morris County, it is ordered by the Comission that an appro-

In Polk County, it is ordered by the Comission that an appropriation of $\$ 5,000.00$ per month, not to exceed a total appropriation of $\$ 15,000.00$ be made for clearing and grubbing that section of Highway No. 146, beginning at the end of the present graded section about three miles southeast of Livingston and extending to the Polk-Liberty Country line, a total distance of approximately 16.375 miles. This work is to be done under the direction of the State Highway Fngineer through the Maintenance Division.

In Bell County, since it now appears that funds will not be available in the grade crossing elimination program to finance the Adams Street grade separation project on Highway No. 2 in Temple, it is ordered that Minute No. 12914, dated September 22, 1936, which provided for the programing of this project, be and is hereby cancelled.

In Tarrant and Milam Counties, it is hereby ordered that item 7, division 2, of the 1936 Regular Federal Aid Program providing for the landscaping of Highway \#1 at Arlington with an assignment of $\$ 5,000.00$ ( $\$ 2,500.00$ Federal funds and $\$ 2,500.00$ State funds) be withdrawn from the program since funds are not available for resection work that must be done in addition and prior to the landscape work. It is hereby further ordered that an item be added to this same program as item 5, division 17, providing for landscaping of park and roadway along Highway $\# 43$ adjacent to the Brazos River in Milam County with an assignment of $\$ 5,000,00$ ( $\$ 2,500.00$ Federal funds and $\$ 2,500.00$ State funds), and the State Highway Engineer is hereby directed to submit a request to the Bureau of Public Roads providing for this program adjustment.

In Terrell County, it is ordered by the Commission that an appropriation of $\$ 100.00$ be made to cover the cost of four lots in Block No. 7 in the town of Dryden to be used as a Maintenance Camp Site at that point, and the State Highway Engineer is hereby authorized to purchase this property from J. M. Bassett for this purpose.
A.F.E. 36-547

In ferry County, it is ordered that a location survey be made and right-of-way deeds prepared on Highway \#84 from Brownfield west to the Yoakum County line, on condition that Terry County agrees in advance to furnish not less than 100 right-of-way on location approved by the State Highway Engineer.

In Titus County, since the approved 1937 Regular Federal Aid Program includes a project providing for the construction of grading and dreinage structures on Highway No. 49, extending from the Franklin County line to approximately 7.5 miles north of Mt. Pleasant, a distance of 11.5 miles, and since this construction cannot proceed until Titus County has provided the necessary right-of-way, it is hereby ordered that the County be requested to furnish to the state such right-of-way as may be needed for this project on approved location, and the State Highway Engineer is directed to send a copy of this order to the constituted. authority of Titus County requesting a reply thereto.

In Trinity County, it is ordered by the Commission that an appropriation of $\$ 3,772.37$ be made to cover the cost of reflooring seven timber bridges on Highway No. 94 between Groveton and Trinity with a tota length of 382 feet. This work is to be done under the direction of the State Highway Engineer through the Maintenance Division.

> A.F.E. 36-548

In Upshur County, it is ordered by the Commission that an appropriation of $\overline{7}, 348.87$ be made to cover the cost of widening two narrow bridges and increasing the sight distance on two hills on Highway No. 65 at two points between Gilmer and the Camp-Upshur County line. This work is authorized in order to reduce the serious traffic hazards at these points and it is to be done under the direction of the State Highway Engineer through the Majntenance Division as a Special Maintenance Job.

$$
\text { A.F.E. } 36-549
$$

In Uvalde and Real Counties, it is ordered by the Commission that an appropriation of 29,000 in Uvalde County and 6,000 in Real County be made to cover the cost of placing additional caliche on High way No. 4, from the north edge of Leakey to Uvalde, to make this road passable in all weather. This work is to be done under the direction of the State Highway Engineer through the Maintenance Division.

## A.F.E. 36-550

In Val Verde County, an increased appropriation of $111,740.00$ State and Federal Funds is hereby made for the completion of grading, drainage structures, select material and bituminous seal coat on FAP 392-B, Control 160-7-4, Highway 30. This overrun in cost is due to plan sources for select material being found unsatisfactory, necessitating use of rock instead of caliche with an increase in unit cost.
A.F.E. 36-551

In Victoria and Calhoun Counties, a location has been made of the site of old Fort St. Louis as established by La Salle in 1685 on Garcitas Creek leading into Lavaca Bay; and

Whereas, it is planned that in the Spring of 1937, the French Government will send a Commission to visit the Victoria County site of old Fort St. Louis in cormemoration of the 'lwo Hundred and Fiftieth anniversary of the death of La Solle; and

Whereas, it is considered necessary that preparations be made leading to the construction of a road to this important point of historyp

It is, therefore, ordered that a State Highway be designated from an intersection with Highway $\# 12$ at or near Inez, via the site of old Fort St. Louis, to a connection with Highway \#35 at or near Port Lavaca.

This designation is made on condition that the Counties agree in advance to furnish not less than 100' right-of-way on location approved by the State Highway Engineer; and on further condition that maintenance will not be assumed until the road is constructed.

The State Highway Engineer is instructed to proceed with surveys and preparation of right-of-way deeds from Highway $\# 12$ to Highway \#35, and to submit right-of-way deeds to the Counties.

In Washington County, it is ordered by the Commission that an appropriation of $\$ 10,514.90$ be made to cover the cost of improving the highway in the old Washington State Park as designated by the Highway Commission in session May 19, 1936. This work is to be done under the direction of the State Highway Engineer through the Maintenance Division.
A.F.E. 36-552

In Wichita and Wilbarger Counties, a State Highway is designated from Burkburnett, in Wichita County, to a connection with State Highway \#5, at or near Harrold, in Wilbarger County, on condition Wichita and Wilbarger Counties acree in advanoe to furnish not less than $100^{\prime}$ right-of-way on location approved by the State Highway Engineer. The State Highway Engineer is instructed to take over for maintenance the surfaced section of this road.

In Wilbarger County, it is ordered by the Commission that an appropriation of $\$ 150.00$ per mile, or a total appropriation of $\$ 1,198.50$, be made to cover the cost of filling and backsloping ditches and flattening slopes on that section of Highway No. 28, from 5 miles west of Vernon to the Foard County line, a distance of 7.984 miles. This work is to be done under the direction of the State Highway Engineer through the Maintenance Division.

## A.F.E. 36-553

In Williamson County, it is ordered by the Commission that the Bureau of Fublic Roads be requested to switch the Federal Aid designation on State Highway No. 195 between a connection of this highway with No. 2 north of Georgetown to Briggs to State Highway ${ }^{N}$ o. 74 between Liberty Hill and Briggs.

In Wilson County, an increased appropriation of $\$ 4,000$ is hereby made for the completion of gravel and crushed stone base and asphalt surfacing on Control 328-1-2, Highway 97. This overrun is brought about by necessary increases in various contract items, principally common road excavation, stripping, and crusher run stone base course.
A.T.E. 36-554

In Wise County, it is ordered by the Commission that an appropriation of $\$ 11,629.40$ be made to cover the cost of placing additional drains and repairing damage to the surface of that section of Highway No. 2, from 3.045 miles south of Decatur to Hogsett, a distance of 5.494 miles, this work be ing necessary because of seepage of water under the roadway. This work is to be completed under the direction of the state Highway Engineer through the Maintenance Division.
A.F.E. 36-555 Works rogram of grade crossing projects providing for minor relocation of Highway No. 67 east of present location at South Bend, to permit construction of the highway above high water and flashing light signal protection at the proposed intersection of the highway and railroad, the county is hereby requested to secure such right-of-way as may be needed to permit construction of this project.

It is ordered by the Commission that the description of the State $H_{i g h w a y ~ S y s t e m ~ a s ~ a p p r o v e d ~ o n ~ M a r c h ~ 19, ~ 1930, ~ a n d ~ a s ~ s u b s e q u e n t l y ~}^{\text {a }}$ modified, be further modified so that the description of State Highway No. 2 shall be as follows:

> "From the Oklahoma State line near Ringgold via Ringgold, Bowie, Decatur, Fort Worth, Burleson, Itasca, Hillsboro, Waco, Temple, Belton, Georgetown, Round Rock, Austin, San Marcos, New Braunfels, San Antonio, Pearsall and Cotulla to Laredo with loops through Buda and Kyle, and with bypass routes around Waco and New Braunfels."
13177 It is ordered by the Comnission that the State Highway Engineer
WHEREAS, the Game, Fish and Oyster Commission has requested
this Department to lend to them a mudjack machine to be used on the fish
hatchery dam at Huntsville for two or threo days; and

Whereas, our Division Engineer, Mr. John E. Blair, states that although the machine in that vicinity is busy at this time, it will be convenient to lend this machine to the Game, Fish and Oyster Corraission after the present job on which it is being used has been finished;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ordered by the Commission that as soon as this machine is not needed a sufficient length of time to allow the Game, Fish and Oyster Commission to make the necossary repairs on their dam that it be lent to them for that purpose.

On the following projects, on which bids were received on November 23 rd and 24 th, 1936 and on which contracts have now been awarded, initial construction appropriations of State, Regular Federal Aid, Works Progrann Highway and Works Frogram Grade Crossing Funds are hereby made, in the amounts shown below conditioned upon the counties furnishing and fencing without cost to the State, such right-of-way as may be required.
COUNTY
B

Atascosa
Bexar
Burnet
Burnet
Burnet-
Llano
Llano
Colorado
Fayette
$\begin{array}{rrrr}\text { FAP } & 809-B & 29 & 2.167 \\ \text { FAP } & 70 & 3 & 1.300 \\ \text { FAP } & 37 \& & 3 & 4.899\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { FAP } 37 \& \\ 37-B & 3 & 4.899\end{array}$
FAP 573-FG 414.955
FAP 623-H $24 \quad 6.602$
FAP 235-D 110.470
Gr.Dr.Str.-
Denton West to Santa Fe RR 78,200.00
worth
Denton
FAP 235-D I $10.470 \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Gr.Dr.Str.- } \\ & \text { Caliche Bs. }\end{aligned}$ Asphalt Surf.
Harrison
FAP 142 \& 1512.095 Road Impvt.
Marshall to Hallsville $\quad 10,000.00$
Hays
Lamb
MoCulloch $242-\mathrm{F}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { FAP } 8 & 217.077\end{array}$
Road Imput.
Caliche Bs-
3.0 S. of Buda to Comal Co. 15, 880.00

Center to 2.5 Fist of
Circle
92,520.00
Colorado R.So. 11. 8 Mi.
83,400.00

| 3179 con | inued-- |  | December 22, 1936 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TYPE OF |  |
| COUNTY | FROJ.\# HWY. | LENGTH | CONSTR. LOCAPION | APPR. |
| Menard | AP 616-F 4 | 6.034 | Gr.evr.Str. Concho Co.So.6.0 Mi. | \$ 51, 260.00 |
| Parker | F'AP 132-B 2 | 4.056 | Gr.iLr.Str. Weatherford West 4.0 Mi . | 50,651.04 |
| Rusk | FAP 481-A 43 | 7.999 | Iron Ore Bs. 7.1 S.W. of Tatum to 5.4 \& Asp.Surf. N.W. of Henderson |  |
| Sabine | FAP 327-AB 8 | 13.878 | \& Asp.Surf. N.W. of Henderson Conerete Pot.Jasper Co. to Bronson | $41,460.00$ $318,000.00$ |
| San Pat. | WPGH 657-F 9 | 0.435 | S.A.U.\&G U- |  |
|  |  |  | Pass Near Edroy | 89,280.00 |
| Tarrant | FAP 412 | 1.305 | Gr.Dr.Str.Bs.Hicks North 1.3 Mi . | 22,560.00 |
| Tarrant | FAP 473-AB 2 | 1.868 | Roadside Imp.Ft. Worth So. 1.87 Mi . | 3,780.00 |
| Upshur | FAP 109-B 15 | 8.601 | Roadside Imp.Gregg Co. to Big Sandy | 11,900.00 |
| Crosby | FAP 443-C 24 | 0.233 | Roadside Imp.4.0 E. of Crosbyton | $\begin{array}{r} 9,680,00 \\ 1,953,696.24 \end{array}$ |

It is ordered by the State Highway Commission, that in view of the very substantiel increase in the cost of the necessities of life generally over the state, that the following changes in the schedule in salaries and wages as recommended by the Division Engineers to the State Highway Engineer, at, their meeting in Tyler in November, be adopted; the increase in hourly wages to be effective as of December lst, 1936 and the increase in the salaried positions to be effective December 16th;

DIVISION OFFICE, FIELD AND
ENGINEERING FORCES
(1) The average salary of all Division Engineers and Resident Engineers is not to exceed $\$ 3672$ per annum. This is"a Legislative restriction. The maximum for any Division Engineer, according to the Appropriation Bill, is $\$ 4896$ per annum. The range for any Resident Engineer has been fixed by the State Highway Comnission at from $\$ 200$ to $\$ 320$ per month.
(2) Eaoh Division Engineer may employ one Assistant Division Engineer a.t a salary not to exceed $\$ 3060$ per annum.

Maximum Proposed Maximum
(3) Chief Division Accountant............... $\$ 185.00-\$ 200.00$
Division Bookkeeper......................... 150.00 154.50
Stenographer................................. 105.00 none
Typist......................................... 94.50 none
PBX Operator................................. 78.75 none
Warehcuseman................................ 140.00 150.00

Division Mechanic.......................... 175.00 200.00
General Foreman (To be used in
Divisions where no Asst.Div.Engr.).. $200.00 \quad 225.00$

| Section Foreman |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Special Job Foreman) | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 125.00 to 140.00 to |
| Special Foreman $\{150.00$-Max.AvE. | $160.00-M a x . A v g . ~$ |  |

Utility Foreman $140.00 \quad 150.00$

(4) Division Office Engineer.
150.00 to 200.00 165.to220.

Assistant Resident Engineer.................
Office Engineer................................
Assist. Office Engineer....................
Office Assistant
Instrumentman. . . ...............................
Rodman.
150.00 to 200.00165 " 220 .
140.00 to 175.00 150." 190.
110.00 to $140.00120 . " 150$.
90.00 to 110.00 100." 120 .
115.00 to 150.00150 .1175.
75.00 to $105.00100 . " 125$.

Asphalt Treatment Inspector..............
Chief Paving Inspector.....................
Plant Inspector................................
Mix Inspector.
115.00 to 150.00 140." 180.
160.00 to 200.00 190." 240.
140.00 to 175.00170 .1210.
140.00 to 175.00 170." 210.

Surface Inspector...............................................
Bridge Inspector.
100.00 to 125.00 120." 150.

Base Inspector....
Materials Inspector.
125.00 to 160.00 150." 190.
100.00 to 135.00 120." 150.
100.00 to $135.00120 . " 150$.
100.00 to 135.00 120." 150 .

Maximum Proposed Maximum
Soils Treatment Inspector........ \$115.00 to 150 . 1140 . to $\$ 180$. Checker.............................. 75.00 to 90 . 85. to 100.
Laborer............................... 3.50 per day Maximum
(5) Maintenance, Laborer . 05 per hour raise
(All hourly pajd labor)
It is moved by the State Highway Commission that an appropriation be made to cover the purchase of the following equipment:

From Lockhart Motor Company, Lockhart, Texas
2 Latest model Ford Sedans,

F.O.B. Camp Mabry, Texas, | List Price $\$ 635.27 \$ 1270.54$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | Discount |
|  | Net Price |
| 1270.54 |  |

Less allowance on trade in of:
1 Ford coupe, State \#3B Motor \#18-599520 Allowance 316.50
1 Chev. " " \#15B Ser. \#3DA07-35907 " $\quad$ NET DIFFERENCE $-\ldots \frac{633.00}{\$ 637.54}$
From Raney \& Company, Lockhart, Texas
1 Latest model Oldsmobile 6 Sedan
F.O.B. Camp Mabry, Texas, Net Price
$\$ 750.00$
Less allowance on trade in of:
1 Model 32-66 Buick Coupe, State \#72-A, Ser. \#2647554 Allowance $\frac{51.00}{6699.00}$ NET DIFFERENCE - - - $\$ 699.00$
S UMMARY

| Division - Camp Hubbard |  | Net Difference | $\begin{aligned} & 637.54 \\ & 699.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

NOTE: The "Net Difference" price is the amount the Department pays out and covers the cost of the new machines, less discount and allowance on the old machines traded off. All bids received are tabulated showing the "Net Difference" price.
A.F.E. 36-557

It is moved by the State Highway Commission that an appropris. tion be made to cover the purchase of the following equipment:

From Garrett Motors, Inc., Houston, Texas
3 Latest model Ford trucks, F.O.B. Paris, Texas, $\begin{array}{ll}\text { List Price } \$ 725.09 & \$ 2175.27 \\ \text { Discount } & \$ 275.27\end{array}$
Less allowance on trade in of:

$\begin{array}{lllllllll}1 & " & " & " & \# 4492 & " & \# 3 N B 075747 & " & 75.00 \\ 1 & " & " & " & \# 4498 & " & \# \# N B O 75750 & " & 75.00\end{array}$
225.00

NET DIFFERENCE- - -
From Becker Motor Company, New Braunfels, Texas
1 Latest model Dodge coupe,
F.O.B. Wichita Falls, Net Price $\% 745.00$

Less allowance on trade in of:

From Garrett Motors, Inc., Houston, Texas
2 Latest model Ford pickups, F.O.R. Wichita Falls, Texas

| List Price 4454.70 | $\$ 909.40$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Discount | $\$ 909$ |
| Net Price |  |

Less allowance on trade in of:
1 Ford pickup, State $\# 4203$ Motor $\# A 4762212$ Allowance 112.50


From Garrett Motors, Inc., Houston, Texas
10 Latest model. Ford trucks, F.O.B. Amarillo, Texas List Price \$736.66
\$7366.60 Discount Net Price
7366.60

Less allowance on trade in of :


From Beeker Motor Company, New Braunfels, Texas
1 Latest model Dodge coupe,
F.O.B. Fecos, Texas, Net Price $\$ 745.00$

Less allowance on trade in of:

From International Harvester Company, San Antonio, Texas
3 Latest model Internatl.pickups, F.O.B.Tyler, Texas, LP 685.002055 .00
2 " " " trucks F.O.B. Tyler,Texas 1125.002250 .00

1 Truck as above except without body, " " " 875.00 875.00
Tote 1 List Price 5180.00
Discount
Net Price $\quad \overline{5102.30}$
Less allowance on trade in of:



From International Harvester Company, San Antonio, Texas
5 Latest model International pickups,
F.O.B. San Antonio, Texas, List Frice 685.00 \$3425.00 Discount Net Erice
423.00
2997.00

Less allowance on trade in of:


Less allowance ontrade in of:

| 1 Ford pichup | State | /4555 Motor | /B5139533 | Allowance | 140.00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 " " | " | *4.554 " | 4B5139532 | " | 140.00 |  |
| 1 | " | / $/ 4553$ | H35139535 | " | 140.00 | 420.00 |
|  |  |  | NET | DFFERENCE | - - - | \%1001.70 |
| From Browning-Ferris Machinery Company, Dallas, Texas |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Latest model Galion 112 Leaning Wheel 12 Grader |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F.O.B. Prownwood, Texas, Jist Price ${ }^{\text {bit }} 1727.00$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Net Price |  | 1727.00 |

Less allowance on trade in of:
1 Russell. Super-Mogul. Grader, State $/ / 6482$ Allowance $\frac{238.00}{2300}$ NET IIFFPRINCE - - - - 1489.00

From Garrett Motors, Incorporated, Houston, Texas
I Latest model Ford coupe,
F.O.E. Brownwood, Texas, List Price 444.92


From Garrett Motors, Inc., Houston, Texas
2 Latest model Ford trucks, F'O. B. Brownwood, Texas,
List Price $\$ 727.44, \quad$ W 1454.88
Discount

Net Price $\quad 1464.88$
Less allowance on trade in of:
1 Ford pickup, State $\# 4049$, Motor 44645496 Allow. 110.00110 .00
NET DIFFERENCE- - - - -
SUMMARY

| Division | No. | Faris, Texas | Net | Difference | H1950.27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| " | " 3 | Wichita Falls, Texas | " | " | 420.00 |
| " | " 3 | Wichita Talls, Texas | " | " | 684.40 |
| " | 14 | Amarillo, Texas | " | " | 6981.60 |
| " | " 6 | Pecos, Texas | " | " | 420.00 |
| " | " 9 | Waco, Texas | " | " | 348.00 |
| " | " 10 | Tyler, Texas | " | " | 2705.08 |
| " | " 12 | Houston, Texas | " | " | 310.60 |
| " | " 13 | Yoakum, Texas | " | " | 1043.21 |
| " | 15 | San Antonio, Texas | " | " | 283.80 |
| " | 15 | San Antonio, Texas | "" | " | 1597.00 |
| " | 19 | Atlanta, Texas | " | " | 545.00 |
| " | 21 | Pharr, Texas | " | " | 1001.70 |
| " | 23 | Brownwood, Texas | " | " | 1489.00 |
| " | 23 | Brownwood, Texas | " | " | 259.92 |
| " | " 23 | Brownwood | " | " | 1344.88 |

NOTE: The "Net Difference" is the amount the Department pays out and covors the cost of the new machines, less discount and allowance on the old machines traded off. All bids received are tabulated showing the "Net Difference" price.

## A.F.E. 36-558

It is moved by the State Ifighway Commission that an appropriation be made to cover the purchase of the following equipment:

From Leland Eguipment Company, Dallas, Texas
1 Latest model Insley Type "K" $3 / 8$ yd. crawler type Power Shovel,
F.O. B. Waco, Texas, List Prjce $\$ 5492.80$ Discount NMT PRICE - $-\frac{1043.30}{4449.00}$

From Norvell-Wjlder Supply Company, Beaumont, Texns
1 Lincoln SA 200 Amp . Special Portablo gasoline engine driven Aro Welding cutfit,
F.O.3. Del Eio, Mexas, NET FRICE $-\ldots-\ldots$ - 903.95

From the Burch Corporation, Crestline, Ohio
3 Burch Side-0-Truck Shoulder Builder and Maintainer,
F.O.B. Brownwood, Texas, NET PRICE $\$ 300.00 \$ 900.00$

From Lewis-Patten Company, San Antonio, lexas
1 Atlas 7-C Revolving Scraper,
F.O.B. Brownwood, Texas, NTT PRICE - - - 4444.00

From Russell \& Berington Hardware Company, Del Rio, Texas
1 Jatest model Garnder-Denvor WBF-2lo Air Compressor,
F.O.B. Del Rio, Texas, NMT PRICE - - - - $\$ 3925.00$

SUMMARY


Less allowance on trado in of:

NUT DIFPTRENCE - . . . - 1977.60
From International. Harvester Company, San Antonio, Texas
1 Latest model International station wagon,
F.0.B. Brownood, Texas, List Price $\$ 875.00$ Discount $\frac{130.60}{741.10}$

Less allowance on trade in of:
I Ford station wagon, State $/ 4218$, Motor \#A4753616 Allowance $\frac{240.00}{\text { NET DIFPERENCE }-\frac{-1504.40}{}}$


NOTE: The "Net Difference" is the amount the Department pays out and covers the cost of the new machines, less discount and allowance on the old machinos traded of'f. All bids received are tabulated showing the "Net Difference" price.

## A.F.E. 36-560

In Atascosa Bexar Counties, on bids received November 24, 1936 contract for construction of grading, drainage structures and gravel base course with asphaltic prime coat from the Medina County line to a point 2 miles north of Atascosa-Bexar County line, a distance of 3.952 miles, on State Highway No. 2, Control 17 Section 4 \& 3 Job 3 \& 9 WPH 332 - 31 Part $V$ is awarded to Lone Star Construction Company, San Antonio, Texas, for $\$ 81,386.28$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Burnet County, on bids received November 23, 1936 contract for construction of large drainage structures a distance of 581.73 feet, on State Highway No. 29 Control 150 Section 5 Job 3 FAP 809-A is awarded to Clarence Jones Constr. Co., Ft. Worth, Texas, for $55,069.13$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Burnet-Llano Counties, on bids received November 24, 1936 contract for construction of Colorado River Bridge a distance of 1379.32 feet, on State Highway No. 29, Control 150 Section 5 Job 2 FAP 809-C is awarded to Austin Bridge Company, Dallas, Texas, for $1182,393.39$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Burnet and Llano Counties, on bids received November 23 , 1936 contract for construction of grading and drainage structures from a point 1.5 miles west of Buchanan Dam east to a point 2.7 miles West of Burnet, a distance of 10.254 miles, on State Highway No. 29, Control 150 Section $5 \& 4 \mathrm{JOb} 1 \& 1 \mathrm{FAP} 809-\mathrm{A} \& \mathrm{~B}$, is awarded to Allhands \& Devis, Dallas, Texas, for $153,163.52$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Collingsworth County, on bids received November 23, 1936 contract f'or construction of resectioning and concrete pavement from one mile north of Salt Fork of Red River to the Wheeler County line a distance of 1.5 .955 miles, on State Highway No. 4, Control 31 Section $3 \& 2$ Job 4 \& 3 FAP $573-\mathrm{F} \& G$ is awarded to Texas Bitulithic Company, Dallas, Texas for $\$ 310,263.07$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Colorado \& Fayette Counties, on bids received November 23, 1936 contract for construction of concrete pavement from a point $3 / 4 \mathrm{mile}$ east of Schulenburg to Weimar city limits, a distance of 6.168 miles, on State Highway No. 3, Control 26 Section4 \& 3 Job 8, $9 \& 10$ FAP 70-Reop. U. II $37-\mathrm{B}$ \& 37 -Reop. Unit II, is awarded to Fromming-Kies, Inc., Victoria, Texas, for $\$ 108,562.53$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Denton County, on bids received November 23, 1936 contract for construction of grading and drainage structures from G. C. \& S. F. Railroad to Denton a distance of 6.602 miles, on State Highway No. 24 Control 134 Section 9 Job 4 FAP $623-H$ is awarded to Fred Hall, Waco, Texas, for $\$ 71,162.67$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Eetor County, on bids received November 23, 1936 contract for construction of grading, drainage structures, caliche base course \& sealed double asphalt surface treatment (with an alternate of Duraco and Tack Coat) from Odessa to 0.6 miles West of Douro a distance of 11.4 .70 miles, on State Highway No. 1, Control 5 \& 4 Section 1 \& 7 Job 6 \& 5 FAP 235-D-Reop. U-II is awarded to Morgan Construction Company, Brady, Texas, for $\$ 98,417.06$, which is the lowest and best bid.

County,
In Bryan/ Oklahoma, -Fannin County, Texas, on bids received November 10, 1936 contract for construction of Red River Bridge between Bonham, Texas, and Durant, Oklahoma, a distance of 0.399 miles, on State Highway No. 78 (Texas) Control 279 Section 1 Job 2 NRS 955 (1935) is awarded to Kansas City Bridge Company, Kansas City, Missouri, for $\$ 335,510.25$, which is the lowest and best bid. for furnishing and delivering one hundred forty-two (142) cast steel roller bearing unjts for Galveston Causeway, on State Hiighways Nos. 38 and 146, Control 51 Section 4 PWA 1968 R is awarded to Texas Electric Steel Casting Company, Houston, Texas, for \$31,098.00, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Harrison County, on bids received November 24, 1936 contract for construction of rodside improvement (grading and planting) from Hallsville to Marshall, a distance of 12.095 miles, on State Highway No. 15, Control 96 Section $8 \& 9$ Job $5 \& 8$ FAP 142-Reop. Unit III, 142-FReop. Unit II, is awarded to A. L. Cook, Ottawa, Kansas, for \$9,109.75, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Hays County, on bids received November 23, 1936 contract for construction of roadside improvement (grading and planting) from 3 miles south of Buda to Comal County line, $r$ distance of 17.077 miles, on State Highway No. 2, Control 16 Section $2 \& 3$ Job $11 \& 4$, FAP 8-Reop. Unit II, is awarded to A. I. Cook, Ottawa, Kansas, for $14,513.80$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Jasper County, on bids received October 20, 1936 contract for construction of grading and small drainage structures from 0.36 miles north of South City limits of Jasper to approximately 8 miles North of Jasper, a distance of 8.833 miles, on State Highway No. 8, Control. 65-64 Section 1-8 Job 3-6 FAP 30-C, FAP 238-Reop. Unit II is awarded to J.S. Noore \& Sons, Lufkin, Texas, for $\$ 99,766.00$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Jasper County, on bids received October 20, 1936, contract for construction of large drainage structures a distance of 359.72 ft . or State Highway No. 8 Control 65-64 Section l-8 Job 4-7 FAP 30-C, 238-Reop. Unit II, is awarded to Brown and Root, Inc., Austin, Texas, for \$28,801.价, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Lamb County, on bids received November 24, 1936 contract for construction of caliche base course and modiffed triple asphalt surface treatment from Center to 2.5 miles east of Circle, a distance of 7.481 miles, on State Highway No. 28, Control 145 Section 3 Job 5 FAP 568-I is awarded to I,one Star Construction Company, San Antonio, Texas, for $\$ 84,111.61$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In MoCulloch County, on bids received November 24, 1936 oontraot for construction of crading, drainage structures and select material, from 12 miles North of Brady to the Colorado River, a distance of 11.832 miles, on State Highway No. 23, Control 128 Section 3 Job 3 FAP 584-D is awarded to Morgan Construction Company, Brady, Texas, for $775,887.80$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Menard County, on bids received November 24, 1936 contract for construction of grading, drainage structures and select material from the Concho County line to 4.9 miles North of Menard, a distance of 6.034 miles, on State Highway No. 4, Control 35 Section 5 Job 6 FAP 616m is awarded to J. C. Gilstrap, Taylor, Texas, for $\$ 47,538.47$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Milam County, on bids received August 1, 1936 contract for construction of G.C. \&S. F. Underpass and roadway approaches a dism tance of 6487.9 feet, on State Highway No. 36, Control 185 Section 2 Job 4 WPGH 129 is awarded to Fred Hall, Waco, Texas, for $\$ 71,197.20$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Parker County, on bids received November 24, 1936 contract for construction of grading and drainage structures from 4.1 miles West of Weatherford to Weatherford, a distance of 4.103 miles, on State Highway No. 1, Control 8 Section 2 Job 7 FAP 132-B-Reop. Unit III is awarded to Fred Hall, Waco, Texas, for $46,080.21$, winch is the lowest and best bid.

In Randall County, on bids recejved October 20, 1936 contract for construction of P. \& S. F. Railway Underpass and roadway approaches a distance of 0.232 miles, on State Highway No. 33 -Loop Control $168 \mathrm{Sec}-$ tion 11 Job 3 WPGM 959-A is awarded to Austin Bridge Company, Dallas, Texas for $73,446.43$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Rusk County, on bids received November 24, 1936 contract for construction of iron ore top soil base course and cutback asphalt surface treatment (with an altornate of concrete pipe underdrain) from 13.4 miles Northeast of Henderson to 5.4 miles northeast of Henderson, a distance of 7.999 miles, on State Highway No. 43, Control 207 Section 2 Job 5 FAP 481-A-Reop. Unit II is awarded to H. L. Butler, Dallas, Texas, for $\$ 37,744.03$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Sabine County, on bids received November 24, 1936 contract for construction of concrete pavement (with on alternate of concrete pipe underdrain) from the Jasper County line to Bronson, a distance of 13.878 miles, on State Highway No. 8, Control 64 Section $6 \& 5$ Job $5 \& 8$ FAP 327-A \& B-Reop. Unit II, is awarded to Harrison Engineering \& Construction Corp., Kansas City, Missouri, for $\$ 297,585.07$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In San Patricio County, on bids received November 24, 1936 contract for construction of limestone rook asphalt surface course from Nueces River Bridge to State Highway No. 12 near Mathis, a distance of 17.921 miles, on State Highway No. 9, Control 74 Section 5 \& 4 Job 7 \& 6 FAP 657-F \& E Cont. 3 is awarded to R. W. Briggs \& Company, Pharr, Texas, for $\$ 61,443.87$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Tarrant County, on bids received November 23, 1936 contract for construction of roadside improvement (grading and planting) from 2 miles south of Fort Worth to south city limits of Fort Worth, a distance of 1.868 miles, on State Highway No. 2, Control 14 Section 2 Job $7 \& 8$ FAP 473-A-Reop. Unit II, 473-B, is awarded to Baker Brothers, Fort Worth, Texas, for $\$ 3,441.25$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Tarrant County, on bids received November 24, 1936 contract for construction of grading, drainage structures, sledged and crushed conglomerate base course frome point on present Hwy. No. 2 at Hicks north to beginning of WPGH 41-Part II, a distance of 1.305 miles, on State Highway No. 2, Control 13 Section 10 Job 6 FAP 4l-Reop. Unit IT, is awarded to Ted Smith, Hamlin, Texas, for $\$ 20,509.16$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Upshur County, on bids received November 23, 1036 contract for construction of rodside improvement (grading and planting) from Big Sandy to Gregg County line, a distance of 8.601 miles, on State Highway No. 1E, Control 96 Section 3 Job 9 FAP 109-B-Reop. Unit II is awarded to A. L. Cook, Ottawa, Kanses, for $\$ 10,816.65$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In San Patricio County, on bids received November 23, 1936, contract for construction of S.A.U. \& G. R.R. Underpass and roadway approaches, a distance of 2300 feet, on State Fighway No. 9, Control 74 Section 5 Job 8 WPGF $657-\mathrm{F}$ is awarded to R. W. Briggs, Pharr, Texas, for $\$ 76,601.18$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Smith and Upshur Counties, it is ordered by the Cormission that a highway be designated from Gilmer, in Upshur County, by way of Bi Sandy and Winona in Smith County, to intersect Highway No. 31 north of Tyler and that a survey be made as soon as practicable upon receipt of official orders from the respective counties that they will agree to secure right-of-way on location made by the State Highway Department.

In Gainos County, it is ordered by the Conmission that the natural soil on that section of Highway Ho. 15 from Seminole to the New Mexico State line, a distance of 25 miles, be stabilized by road mixing it with asphaltic road oil and that an asphaltic seal coat be placed on this stabilized natural soil, and the State Highway Engineer is heroby authorized to begin this work through the Maintenance Divisjon at such time as climatic conditions will permit. An appropriation of $\$ 50,000,00$ is mado to covor the cost of this wrork, but only one-half, or $\$ 25,000.00$, of this appropriation is to be expended prior to April 1, 1937.

$$
\text { A.F.E. } 36-561
$$

In Brewster County, it is ordered by the Cormission that an appropriation of $26,059.61$ be made to cover the cost of backfilling
In Hemphill County it is ordered by the Commission that an appropriation of $56,612.02$ be made to cover the cost of replacing the floor system and repairing damaged trusses and abutments of the Canadian River Bridge on Highway $\mathbb{N}_{0}$. 4. This work is to be done under the direc. tion of the State Highway Engineor through the Maintenance Djwision and contracts are to be awarded on such featuros of this work as are practical.
A.F.F. 36-563
In Young County, it is ordered by the Commission that an approprietion of $\$ 45,410.10$ be made to cover the cost of replacing the floor system and repairing damaged trusses and abutments of the Brazos River Bridge on IIfhway No. 79. This work is to be done under the direction of the State Ilighwa Pngineer through the Naintenance Dfvision and contracts are to be awarded on such features of this work as are practical.
A.F.E. 36-564
In Bee and Refugio Counties, a Stete Highway is designatied from Beeville to Kefugio on oondition that Refugio County agrees in advance to furnish not less than 100 right-of-way on location approved by the State Ifighway Engineer. The State Highway Ingineer is authorized to take over this road for maintenance.
In Brewster County, it is ordered by the Commission that the prosent road from Alpine south to a connection with Highway No. 227, at or near Terlingua, be designated as a State Highway.
This road will be taken over for maintenance as soon as Brewster County, by an order of its Commissioners' Court, grants to the State such right-of-way as it may have along this route and agrees to furnish additional right-of-way if required.
The Commissioners Courts of Karnes and Wilson Counties, having agreed by official order of the Commissioners Courts to furnish not less than 100 ' right-of-way on State Highway No. 123 from Stockdale south toward Karnes City on a location approved by the State Highway Engineer, i.t is ordered that the state Highway Fingineer proceed with a location surf vey and the preparation of right-of-way deeds and that ripht-or-way deeds be delivered to the Countios.
In Kaufman and Van Zandt Counties, a State Wighway is designated from Kaufmen via Canton to Van on condition that the Counties agree in advance to furnish not less than 1.00 ' right-of-way on location approved by the State Highway lingineer, and on further condition that no maintenance will be assumed until the road is constructed.
In Rusk and Panola Counties, it is ordered that Highway No. 215 from Mt. Enterprise to Carthage, which was cancelled by Minute No. 11000, be reinstated with the same conditions that existed prior to cancellation

Ir Shelby Country, a wSo project having been completed from Timpson to Center, it is ordered that this road be dosignated as a state Highway, and the State Highway Enginoer is authorized to take over this road for maintenance.

In Zavalla and Frio Counties, a State Iliqhway is desifnated fror La Fryor via Batesville to a connection with Stato Mighway No. 2 at or near Moore on condition that the Counties agree in advance to furnish not less than 100' right-of-way on location approved by the state lliehway Fngineer, and on further condition that maintensnce will not be assumed until the road is constructed.

In Comanche and Fastland Counties, a state highway is designater from De leon via Jesdemona to a comection with State llighway No. 1, at or near intersection of Hichways No. 1 and No. 89 on condition that the Counties agree in advence to furnish not less than 1001 right-of-way on location approved by the State IIighway Engineer and on condition that maintenance will not be assumed until the road is constructed.

In Hall County, it is ordered that Stato Highway No. 86 be oontimed from Turkey oast to listelline over Hichway now numbered Id, and that the highway markers be changed to conforn.

In Karnos and Goliad Counties, a state highway is designated from Kenedy to Goliad on condition that the Counties agree in advance to furnish not less than 100 'right-of-way on location approved by the State Highway Engineer and on condition that no maintenance will be performed until construction is completed.

In Waller and Fort Bend Counties, a State Highway is designated from Highway No. 6 at or near Hempstead to a connection with Highway No. 38 at or near Sugarland. This designation is made on condition that the Counties agree in advance to furnish not less than 1001 right-of-way on location approved by the State Highway Engineer and on further condition that no maintenance will be assumed until the road is constructed.

In Dallas County, it is ordered that, a proiect be added to the contingent 1937 Regular Federal hid Frogram as Item 7, Division 18 (to be financed if and when sufficient funds acorue from other programed projects), providing for tho construction of grading and drainage structures on Highway No. 40 extending from approximately 2 miles east of Dallas to near the Kaufman County line, a distance of approximately 10.5 miles at a total estimated cost of $142,000.00,50 \%$ being Federal funds and $50 \%$ statie funds, and the state Highway Encinoer is directed to submit a request to the U. S. Bureau of Public Roads providing for this program addition.

In Jeff Lavis County, it is ordered that a project be added to the contingent 1937 Regular Federal Aid Frogram as Item 5, Division 24, (to be added if and when sufficient funcls accrue from ather progremed projects) providing for the construction of 4 bridees and approaches on Highway No. 17 between Fort Davis and Limpia, at a totel ostimated cost of $\$ 105,000.00$, $50 \%$ being Federsl funds and $50 \%$ State funds, and the State Highway Engineer is dirocted to submit a request to the Bureau of Fublic Foads providing for this program addition.

In Lampasas County, it is ordered that a project be added to the contingent 1937 Regular Fedoral Ajd Frogram as Item 5, Division 14 (to be financed if and when sufficient funds accrue from other programed projects) providing for the construction of grading and drainage structures on Highway No. 74, extending from a connection with Highway No. 66 near Lampasas north-west, a distance of approximately 7 miles, at a total estimated cost of $\$ 130,000.00$, $50 \%$ being Federel funds and $50 \%$ state fund , ond the State Highway Engineer is directed to submity a request to the Burf eau of Fublic Roads for this Irogram addition.

In Knox County, an appropriation of $15,000.00$ is ordered by the Commission to be expenfled in an amount not to exceed $\$ 7,500.00$ per month to construct Unit II on IIighvay No. 16 , begirning at a point 4.2 miles north of the town of Benjamin and extconding south three miles. This


[^0]:    "It is ordered that State Highway No. 72 from La Grange to a connection with Highway No. 20 South of Carmine be renumbered Highway No. 128."

    It is further ordered that the highway from La Grange to a connection with Highway No. 20 South of Carmine be assigned No. 237.

