Minutes of the Two Hundred Fiftyminth Regular Meeting of the State Highway Commission, held in the State Highway Building, with the following Members being present:

| Robert Lee Bobbitt | Chairman |
| :--- | :--- |
| Harry Hines | Member |
| John Wood | Member |
| Julian Montgomery | State Highway Engineer |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 15564

15565 \& \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{| Moved by Judge Bobbitt, seconded by Mr. Hines, that a regular meeting of the State Highway Commission was opened at 9:30 A. M., Octobe 24, 1938. |
| :--- |
| It is ordered by the Commission that each of the following contracts having been examined by the Commission and it being found that it oomplies with the order of award by the Commission, is hereby approved is accordance with Section 12, Chapter 186, General Laws of Texas as passed by the 1925 Legislature, 39th Regular Session. |} \\

\hline COUNTY \& PROJECT \& LENGTH \& DATE AWARDED \& CONTRACTOR \& AMOUNT OF CONTRACT \\
\hline Bee \& FAS 32-A (1) \& 20.795 \& 8-24-38 \& W. W. Vann \& Company \& \$192,931.85 \\
\hline Karnes \& \& FAS 32-C (1) \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Live Oak \& FAS 32-B (1) \& \& \& \& \\

\hline Anderson \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { FAGM } 810-\mathrm{B}(1) \\
& \text { FAGS } 810-C(1)
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \& 9-15-38 \& Gaylord Construotion Co. \& 36,532.82 \\

\hline Bowie \& FAP 313 II \& 1.799 \& 9-15-38 \& Baker Brothers \& 1,632.00 \\
\hline Brewster \& FAP 378-AB (2) \& 0.767 \& 9-15-38 \& Cage Bros. \& L. A. Turner \& 11,986.48 \\
\hline Burnet \& \& FAP 809-D (2) \& 17.554 \& 9-15-38 \& H. B. Zachry Company \& 67,517.34 \\

\hline Williamson \& $$
\text { FAP } 257-\mathrm{B}(2)
$$ \& \& \& \& \\

\hline Caldwell \& FAP 79 (3) \& 2.632 \& 9-15-38 \& Emmett Sachs \& 10,184.25 \\
\hline Carson \% \& FAP 720A(1) \& 20.370 \& 9-15-38 \& Austin Construation Co. \& 100,179.31 \\

\hline Hutchinson \& $$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { FAP } & 720-\mathrm{B} & (3) \\
\text { FAP } & 720-\mathrm{C} & (2) \\
\text { FAP } & 720-\mathrm{D} & (2)
\end{array}
$$ \& \& \& \& \\

\hline Collin \& FAP 98Reop. IV \& 9.900 \& 9-15-38 \& Winston \& Griffith \& 14,499.97 \\

\hline Colorado \& $$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { FAP } 506-G(2) \\
\text { FAP } & 506-\mathrm{J} \\
\text { FAGH } & 506-\mathrm{I}
\end{array}(1)
$$ \& 1.889 \& 9-15-38 \& Russ Mitchell, Inc. \& 192,583.25 \\

\hline Denton \& $$
\text { FAP } 623-\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{I}
$$ \& 6.427 \& 9-15-38 \& Baker Bros. Company \& 15,120.32 \\

\hline Denton \& FAP 117-C (1) \& 4.043 \& 9-15-38 \& Austin Bridge Co. \& Austin Road Company \& 232,572.93 \\
\hline Floyd \& FAS 56-A (1) \& 6.570 \& 9-15-38 \& Bel 11 \& Braden \& 37,754.40 \\
\hline Gaines \& FAP 1016-A (1) \& 25.865 \& 9-15-38 \& Bell \& Braden \& 147,022. 27 \\
\hline Hemphill \& FAP 158-A (4) \& 0.134 \& 9-15-38 \& Jensen Construction Co., Inc. \& 63,929.14 \\
\hline Hemphill \& FAP 158-A (4) \& 6.322 \& 9-15-38 \& Austin Construction Co. \& 160,226.72 \\
\hline Henderson \& Pas 890-B (2) \& 7.057 \& 9-15-38 \& Holland Page \& 27,144.10 \\
\hline Hopkins \& FAGH 431-B (2) \& 0.568 \& 9-15-38 \& MoCall Engr. Company \& 30,274.66 \\
\hline Kinney \& FAP 484-C (3) \& 5.868 \& 9-15-38 \& Cage Bros. \& L. A. Turner \& 16,093.40 \\
\hline Milam \& FAP 191-G (1) \& 5.472 \& 9-15-38 \& Winston \& Griffith \& 18,386.32 \\
\hline Palo Pinto \& FAP 825-D (1) \& 2.984 \& 9-15-38 \& Cage Bros. \& L. A. Turner \& 28,378.90 \\
\hline Real \& FAP 1010 (1) \& 7.104 \& 9-15-38 \& Cage Bros. \& L. A. Turner \& 151,648.75 \\
\hline Sabine \& FAS 90-A (1) \& 3.354 \& 9-15-38 \& Holl land Page \& 21,742.39 \\
\hline Titus \& fap 227- (2) \& 11.257 \& 9-15-38 \& Standard Paving Co. \& 119,147.38 \\
\hline Culberson \& SP 233-1-3 \& 11.885 \& 9-15-38 \& L. J. Miles Const. Co. \& 234,332.51 \\
\hline El Paso \& SP 167-1-6 \& 0.407 \& 9-15-38 \& Lee Moor Contracting Co. \& 8,005.78 \\
\hline Fayette \& SP 114-8-6 \& 2.420 \& 9-15-38 \& Brown \& Root, Inc. \& 18,942.61 \\
\hline Hamilton \& SP 183-3-8 \& 5.888 \& 9-15-38 \& Desn Word \& 109,237.85 \\
\hline Hardin \& SP 65-6-7 \& 0.052 \& 9-15-38 \& Jensen Construction Co. \& 28,851.48 \\
\hline Jefferson \& SP 368-4-1 \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Newton \& SP 244-4-8 \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Hidalgo \& SP 255-7-5 \& 18.318 \& 9-15-38 \& R. W. Briggs \& Co. \& 70,272.80 \\
\hline Hidalgo \& SP 255-8-4 \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Freestone \& SP 166-2-9 \& 0.067 \& 9-15-38 \& Gaylord Construction Co. \& 30,073.77 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



|  | od-- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COUNTY | PROJECT | LENGTH | DATE AWARDED | CONTRACTOR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AMOUNT OU } \\ & \text { CONTRACT } \end{aligned}$ |
| Franklin | FAP 900-C (1) | 10.453 | 9-28-38 | Land Construction CO. | \$ 39,470.24 |
| Wichita | FAP 119-A (4) | 8.274 | 10-11-38 | L. H. Lacy Company | 218,624.08 |
| Kinney | FAS 42-A (1) | 12.327 | 9-28-38 | Colglazier \& Hoff, Inc. | 38,094.22 |
| Cherokee | FAS 954-B (2) | 4.384 | 9-28-38 | Ben Sira \& Company | 18,412.40 |
| Bowie | FAGH 202-A (3) | 5.397 | 9-28-38 | Cage Bros. \& F. M. Reeves \& Sons, Inc. | 128,519.53 |
| Chambers | SP 242-3-10 | 0.048 | 9-28-38 | Carl Wall Bldg. Mat1. Co. | 13,617.14 |
| Culberson | 233-2-4 | 10.141 | 9-28-38 | Lee Moor Const. Co. | 29,956.95 |
| Gonzales | SP 154-1-9 | 0.059 | 9-28-38 | Sam Leal, Jr. | 1,400.00 |
| Grege | SP 424-2-6 | 3.921 | 9-28-38 | Austin Road Company | 100,406.25 |
| Hall | SP 42-9-20 | 0.255 | 9-28-38 | Sam Leal, Jr. | 8,950.59 |
| Jack | SP 249-6-3 | 5.748 | 9-28-38 | Brown \& R Root, Inc. | 118,042.13 |
| Jefferson | SP 65-8-14 | 1.204 | 9-28-38 | Carl Wall ${ }^{\text {B }}$ dg. Mat1. Co. | 22,578.15 |
| Kent | SP 106-3-6 | 3.106 | 9-28-38 | R. W. McKinney | 25,403.84 |
| Palo Pinto | SP 314-6-1 | 2.537 | 9-28-38 | John W. Abbott Const. Co. | 23,959.91 |
| Wichita | SP 249-1-5 | 1.865 | 9-28-38 | Austin Construction Co. | 44,925.78 |
| Coke | SP 406-4-4 | 2.304 | 9-28-38 | Cage Bros. \& L. A. Turner | 42,699.02 |

The following agreements supplemental to oontracts previously approved and entered into, having been examined and the terms and conditions having been found satisfactory, are hereby approved:

Delta County, FAP 146-Keop., Austin Bridge Co. and Austin Road Co., Contraotors, providing for use of rail grade instead of new billet reinforc ing steel in sizes over $3 / 4$-inch with unit price reduction of $1 / 10 \phi$ per pound; estimated decrease in cost \$106.21.

Rains County, WPSS 153, Cooke \& Braden, Contractors, providing for the added items of common channel excavation at unit price of $18 \phi$ per cubic yard and blading embenkment at unit price of $\$ 4.00$ per hour ;estimated cost of additional work $\$ 1,000.00$.

Shackelford County, FAP 477 B , Jensen Const. Co., Contractors, providing for leaving part of old pavement in place until completion of new road and for placing of 980 cu. yds. of base material at $50 \notin$ per cubic yard; no change in total cost.

Dimmit County, FAS 730, M. E. Worrell, Contractor, providing for the sub stitution of pneumatic tire roller for tamping roller at same unit price and for added item of blading embenkment at unit price of $\$ 2.50$ per hour with no ohange in total cost.

King County, FAP 910-A \& B, Cage Bros., Contractors, providing for added work of applying seal coat at unit prices of $8-1 / 2 \phi$ per gallon for asphalt and $\$ 4.00$ per cu. yd. for aggregate; estimated cost of additional work $\$ 12,500.00$, funds for which are provided by Minute No. 15593.

It is ordered by the Commission that each of the following projects, having been satisfactorily completed in acoordance with the plans and specifications and approved changes, is hereby aocepted as recommended in the $r$ gport of the engineer, and that all moneys due on each final estimate be paid to the respective contractor.

| COUTTY | PROJECT NO. | COMTRACTOR COMPLETED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hopkins | FAP 946-A | A. C. Campbell 8-15-38 |
| Red River | FAP 311-3 | Gaylord Const. Co. 8-6-38 |
| Eastland | Control 314-5-4 | R. W. Briggs \& Co. and Public Const. Co. $8-18-38$ |
| Erath | Control 314-4-5 | R. W. Briggs \& Co. and Public Const. Co. $8-18-38$ |
| Hood | FAS 3-B | Crouch \& Noland and $\quad 8-23-38$ E.F.Bucy \& Son \& C.T.Childs |
| Palo Pinto | Control 314-2-5 | R.W.Briggs \& Co. and Public Const. Co. $8-18-38$ |
| Palo Pinto | Control 314-3-5 | R.W.Briggs \& Co. and <br> Public Const. Co. $8-18-38$ |
| Palo Pinto | Control 8-1-4 | Texas Bitulithic Co. and Uvalde Const. Co. 8-2-38 |


| 15567 continqu | d-- | October 24, 1938 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | COUNTY | PROJECT NO. C | CONTRACTOR | COMPLETED |
|  | Palo Pinto | FAS 3-A C | Crouch \& Noland and E. F. Buoy \& Son \& C. T. Childs | 8-23-38 |
|  | Parker | Control 314-1-6 | R.W.Briggs \& Co. and Public Const. Co. | 8-18-38 |
|  | Parker | FAP 132-B | Texas Bitulithic Co. and Uvalde Const. Co. | 8-2-38 |
|  | Parker | FAP 132-B S | Standard Paving Co. | 8-18-38 |
|  | Tarrant | Control 13-10-4 T | Texas Bitulithic Co. | 8-24-38 |
|  | Baylor | Control 133-4-7 H | Haden \& Austin, Inc. | 8-6-38 |
|  | Baylor | Control 157-1-9 H | Haden \& Austin, Inc. | 8-6-38 |
|  | Montague | FAS 39-A H | Harry L. Campbell | 8-9-38 |
|  | Throckmorton | Control 361-1-5 R | R. W. McKinney | 8-5-38 |
|  | Throokmorton | Control 284-2-4 H | Haden \& Austin, Inc. | 8-6-38 |
|  | Throckmorton | Control 125-2-5 H | Haden \& Austin, Inc. | 8-6-38 |
|  | Throckmorton | Control 360-5-4 H | Haden \& Austin, Inc. | 8-6-38 |
|  | Wi chita | FAS 6-A T | T. E. Sanderford. | 8-10-38 |
|  | Wilbarger | FAS 6-B T | T. E. Sanderford | 8-10-38 |
|  | Young | Control 256-1-7 A | Austin Bridge Co. | 7-31-38 |
|  | Young | Control 256-1-6 H | Haden \& Austin, Inc. | 8-6-38 |
|  | Young | Control 284-1-3 H | Haden \& Austin, Inc. | 8-6-38 |
|  | Carson | Control 169-5-3 A | Allhands \& Davis | 9-24-38 |
|  | Carson | Control 169-4-3 A | Allhands \& Davis | 9-24-38 |
|  | Carson | Control 169-3-3 A | Allhands \& Davis | 9-24-38 |
|  | Gray | Control 169-6-5 A | Allhands \& Davis | 9-2.4-38 |
|  | Gray | Control 397-1-6 | Cocke \& Braden | 8-6-38 |
|  | Hansford | Control 308-2-6 | Cocke \& Braden | 8-29-38 |
|  | Hansford | Control 308-3-1 | Cocke \& Braden | 8-29-38 |
|  | Hemphill | Control 170-1-3 A | Austin Bridge Company | 8-23-38 |
|  | Hutchins on | Control 356-1-4 A | Allhands \& Davis | 8-26-38 |
|  | Lipscamb | Control 355-1-7 | Cocke \& Braden | 7-20-38 |
|  | Lipscomb | Control 355-2-5 | Cocke \& Braden | 7-20-38 |
|  | Moore | Control 425-2-4 F | Field Bros. | 9-17-38 |
|  | Crosby | FAS 8-A B | Bell \& Braden | 8-17-38 |
|  | Garza | Control 298-1-8 P | Public Const. Co. | 9-17-38 |
|  | Hockley | Control 130-3-3 U | Uvalde Const. Co. | 9-12-38 |
|  | Lubbock | FAS 10-A | W. R. West | 7-20-38 |
|  | Swi sher | Control 302-4-5 H. | H. B. Zachry Co. | 9-6-38 |
|  | Terry | Control 297-3-2 | Uvalde Const. Company | 9-21-38 |
|  | Rumnels | FAS 13-A P | Purvis \& Bertram and John F. Buckner | 9-3-38 |
|  | Tom Green | FAS 14-A F | P. B. Keller | 8-24-38 |
|  | Callahan | Control 126-2-4 | E. F. Bucy \& Son and <br> C. T. Childs | 8-27-38 |
|  | Heskell | Control 98-7-4 P | Public Const. Co. | 9-3-38 |
|  | Haske 11 | Control 360-3-5 P | Public Const. Co. | 9-2-38 |
|  | Howard | Control 5-5-8 | T. M. Brown \& Son | 8-20-38 |
|  | Howard | Control 5-6-7 T | T. M. Brown \& Son | 8-20-38 |
|  | Kent | Control 263-2-4 | Public Const. Co. | 8-27-38 |
|  | Kent | Control 298-2-5 P | Public Const. Co. | 8-23-38 |
|  | Kent | Control 298-3-4 | Public Const. Co. | 8-24-38 |
|  | ditchell | Control 6-1-10 | Public Const. Co. | 8-31-38 |
|  | Tolan | Control 53-12-4 | Public Const. Co. | 8-31-38 |
|  | Scurry | Control 53-8-9 P | Public Const. Co. | 8-29-38 |
|  | scurry | Control 53-7-5 P | Public Const. Co. | 8-29-38 |
|  | Shackelford | Control 126-1-5 P | Public Const. Co. | 9-3-38 |
|  | Shackelford | Control 11-6-4 | E. F. Bucy \& Son and <br> C. T. Childs | 8-27-38 |
|  | Shackelford | Control 11-5-6 | E. F. Bucy \& Son and C. T. ${ }^{\text {Childs }}$ |  |
|  | paylor | Control 54-1-4 | Public Const. Company | 9-3-38 |
|  | Bosque | Control 258-7-10 | Haden \& Austin, Inc. | 8-26-38 |
|  | Bosque | Control 258-4-4 B | Brown \& Root, Inc. | 9-3-38 |
|  | poryell | FAP 601-A | Cage Bros. | 8-16-38 |
|  | foryell | FAP 601-I Ca | Cage Bros. | 8-16-38 |
|  | Roryell | FAP 601-G Can | Cage Bros. | 8-16-38 |
|  | foryell | FAP 601-E-2 | Cage Bros. | 8-16-38 |
|  | \#ramilton | Control 258-3-9 | Brown \& Root, Inc. | 9-3-38 |
|  | Hamilton | Control 258-3-8 | Brown \& Root, Inc. | 8-31-38 |
|  | tamilton | Control 251-2-4 | Brown \& Root, Inc. | 8-25-38 |
|  | familton | Control 183-3-7 | Brown \& Root, Inc. | 9-3-38 |


| COUNTY | PROJECT NO. | NTRACTOR | COMPLETED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hill | Control 121-3-10 | Oran Spoer | 8-9-38 |
| MoLennan | Control 258-8-4 | Haden \& Austin, Inc. | 8-26-38 |
| Cherokee | FAP 954-B | Eugene Field | 8-17-38 |
| Greg\% | FAP 899-A | J. S. Moore \& Sons and <br> W. T. Todd, Inc. | 8-16-38 |
| Gregg | Control 424-2-4: | J. S. Moore \& Sons and <br> W. T. Todd, Inc. | 8-16-38 |
| Henderson | FAS 890-B | Eugene Field | 7-20-38 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\text {mi th }}$ | FAS 23-A | Gifford-Hill \& Co. | 8-18-38 |
| Smith | Control 165-2-8 | Public Const. Co. | 8-26-38 |
| Angelina | Control 200-2-4 | R. C. Buckner | 8-20-38 |
| Angelina | Control 200-1-5 | R. C. Buckner | 8-20-38 |
| Angelina | FAP 606-D | Harris on Engr. \& Const. Corp. | 8-3-38 |
| Ang dina | FAP 606-E | Harrison Engr. \& Const.Corp. | 7-9-38 |
| Sabine | Control 431-1-3 | Holland Page | 9-7-38 |
| San Augustine | Control 64-3-4 | Holland Page | 9-7-38 |
| Shelby | Control 304-1-4 | Ben Sira\& Co, and A.L.Mays | 7-21-38 |
| Fort Bend | Control 111-3-6 | Gulf Bit. Company | 8-29-38 |
| Fort Bend | Control 27-6-8 | J. C. Gilstrap | 9-21-38 |
| Galveston | Control 500-1-7 | Froemming-Kies, Inc. | 8-17-38 |
| Galveston | Control 51-4-11 | Froemming-Kies, Inc. | 8-17-38 |
| Harris | Control 389-5-2 | Gulf Bit. Company | 8-20-38 |
| Colorado | FAP 805-A | J. S. Moore \& Sons and <br> W. T. Todd, Inc. | 8-9-38 |
| Gonzales | Control 154-1-8 | R. B. Smith | 9-1-38 |
| Gonzales | Control 347-2-4 | R. B. Smith | 8-29-38 |
| Gillespie | Control 291-1-3 | Holland Page | 9-5-38 |
| Lee | Control 211-4-2 | Brown \& Root, Inc. | 9-17-38 |
| Williamson | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {ontrol }}$ 321-1-4 | J. C. Gilstrap | 8-19-38 |
| Atascosa | Control 328-3-1 | R. W. Briggs \& Co. | 9-13-38 |
| Bexar | Control 291-9-4 | Cage Bros. | 8-20-38 |
| Bexar | Control 24-7-4 | Colglazier \& Hoff, Inc. | 8-18-38 |
| Bexar | Control 24-8-9 | Colglazier \& Hoff, Inc. | 8-18-38 |
| Bexar | Control 25-2-10 | Texas Bitulithic $\mathrm{C}_{0}$. | 9-17-38 |
| Bexar | Control 16-7-10 | Texas Bitulithic Co. | 9-17-38 |
| Lesalle | FAP 548-A | H. B. Lachry Co. | 8-27-38 |
| LaSalle | FAP 548-B | H. B. Zaohry Co. | 8-27-38 |
| LaSalle | FAP 548-D | H. B. Zachry Co. | 8-27-38 |
| Medina | Control 24-4-9 | Colglazier \& Hoff, Inc. | 8-18-38 |
| Medina | Control 24-5-6 | Colglazier \& Hoff, Inc. | 8-18-38 |
| Wils son | Control 328-2-1 | L. J. Miles Const. Co. | 8-3-38 |
| Aransas | Control 180-5-8 | R. B. Smith | 9-10-38 |
| San Patrioio | Control 180-6-6 | R. B. Smith | 8-18-38 |
| Collin | Control 91-5-2 | H. B. Zaohry Company | 8-6-38 |
| Collin | Control 135-8-1 | H. B. 2 achry Company | 8-6-38 |
| Collin | Control 91-3-2 | H. B. Zachry Company | 8-6-38 |
| Collin | Control 91-4-2 | H. B. Zachry Company | 8-6-38 |
| Collin | Control 135-2-6 | H. B. $2_{\text {a.chry }}$ Company | 8-6-38 |
| Hill | FAP 516-D | L. H. Lacy Co. | 9-17-38 |
| Kaufman | FAP 136-6 | Briggs-Darby Const. Co. | 8-18-38 |
| Navarro | FAP 516-B | L. H. Lacy Co. | 9-17-38 |
| Rockwall | Control 451-1-4 | Willians \& Whittle, Inc. | 8-29-38 |
| Jasper | FAP 30 | Austin Road Co. | 8-8-38 |
| Jasper | FAP 238 | Austin Road Co. | 8-10-38 |
| Jefferson | Control 306-3-8 | Brown \& Root, Inc. | 8-5-38 |
| Jefferson | WFMS 949-A | Brown \& Root, Inc. | 8-26-38 |
| Orange | Control 306-2-3 | Brown \& R Root, Inc. | 8-5-38 |
| Cameron | FAS 41-A | Dodds \& Wedegartner, Inc. | 9-8-38 |
| Cameron | Control 220-5-2 | Cage Bros. | 8-11-38 |
| Kenedy | FAP 913-A | Heldenfels Bros. | 7-20-38 |
| Uvalde | Control 235-4-2 | Heldenfols Bros. | 8-23-38 |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\text {val de }}$ | Control 235-5-2 | Holdenfols Bros. | 8-23-38 |
| Uvalde | Control 36-8-8 | Colglazier \& Hoff, Inc. | 9-23-38 |
| Coleman | Control 99-2-4 | Brown \& Root, Inc. | 9-10-38 |
| Comanche | Control 288-1-5 | Brown \& $\mathrm{R}_{\text {oot, }}$, Inc. | 8-22-38 |
| Comanche | Control 288-2-6 | Brown \& Root, Inc. | 8-22-38 |
| McCulloch | Control 70-6-7 | Brown \& Root, Inc. | 9-10-38 |
| McCulloch | Control 99-2-4 | Brown \& Root, Inc. | 9-10-38 |
| San Saba | FAP 931-B | Allhands \& Davis | 8-20-38 |
| Stephens | Control 11-9-5 | Cage Bros. | 8-15-38 |


| COUNTY | PROJECT NO. | CONTRACTOR | COMPLETED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brewster | Control 20-8-8 | P. B. Keller | $\frac{9-3-38}{}$ |
| Brewster | Control 20-9-3 | P. B. Keller | 9-3-38 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ Paso | Control 1-2-7 | Lee Moor Contr. Co. | 8-29-38 |
| El Paso | Control 167-1-5 | Lee Moor Contr. $\mathrm{C}_{0}$. | 8-29-38 |
| El Paso | Control 167-2-2 | Lee Moor Contr. Co. | 8-29-38 |
| Presidio | Control 20-10-3 | P. B. Keller | 9-3-38 |
| Presidio | Control 20-11-6 | P. B. Keller | 9-3-38 |
| Dickens | Control 106-2-7 | Ernost Loyd | 8-22-38 |
| Dickens | Control 106-9-2 | Ernest Loyd | 8-22-38 |
| Dickens | FAP 687ma | Oran Speer and Jas. Spencer \& Son | 9-6-38 |
| Foard | Cantrol 98-3-7 | Ernest Loyd | 9-6-38 |
| Foard | Control 146-5-7 | Brown \& Root, Inc. | 9-9-38 |
| Foard | Control 98-2-4 | Brown \& Root, Inc. | 9-9-38 |
| Foard | Control 146-4-5 | Brown \& Root, Inc. | 9-9-38 |
| Hardeman | Control 98-1-6 | Brown \& Root, Inc. | 9-9-38 |
| Knox | Control 98-4-70 | Ernest Loyd | 9-22-38 | priation of $7,899.10$ be made to cover the cost of reconditioning sections of U. S. 69 between Lufkin and Zavalla. This reconditioning work is to consist in heavy patching on about 10 miles of the road north of Zavalla, and in scarifying, adding base material, placing asphalt surface treatment, raising and widening shoulders where necessary, on a 1.3 mile section a short distance south of Lufkin. This work is to be done under the direction of the State Highway Engineer through the Maintenance Division as a Day Labor Project.

$$
\text { A.F.E. } 38-354
$$

In Bee County, it is ordered that a project be added to the contingent 1936 Works Program of Grade Crossing Projects providing for the construction of grade separation and approaches on U. S. Highway 181 at its intersection with the S. P. Railroad, approximately 1.6 miles south of Normanna, at a total estimated cost of $\$ 145,000$, with an assignment of Works Frogram Grade Cros sing (WPGS) funds in the full available amount and with an assignment of such additional State funds as may be needed to completely finance the project. This is done with the understanding that State funds assigned will be replaced with WPGS funds if and when they accrue. Appropriate appropriations will be made at such time as the contract cost becomes known.

The State Highway Engineer is directed to submit requests to the S. P. Railroad Company, the Works Progress Administration, the National Emergency Council, and the U. S. Bureau of Public Roads providing for this program addition.

It is further ordered that plans and specifications be prepared and contract let for the construction of grading and drainage structures on U. S. Highway 181 extending from approximately 1.3 miles south of Normanna south to a connection with the present road, a distance of approximately 2.0 miles, all as needed to provide a through travel facility connecting the southern end of the approved Federal Aid project with the present road via the grade separation project authorized above. An appropriation of $\$ 16,000$ or so much thereof as may be necessary will be made for this construction at such time as the contract cost becomes known.

In Bexar and Comal Counties, on U.S. Highway 81, an appropriation is hereby made in the emount of $\$ 19,510$ to be expended by the State Highway Engineer through the Maintenanoe Division covering the cost of repairing certain sections of this highway which have become exceedingf ly rough and hazardous to traffic.

## A.F.E. 38-355

In Bowie County, it is ordered by the $C_{\text {ormission }}$ that the State Highway System as approved on March 19, 1930 and as subsequently modified be further modified to include a loop connection at Texarkana between U. S. Highway No. 82 at or near the K.C.S. Railway thence to Ghio-Fish Boulevard and Texas Avenue, thence along or near Ghie-Fish Boulevard and MeCartney Lane to U. S. Highway No. 71 and No. 59 north of Texarkana.

In Fisher County, in view of altered conditions being brought to attention subsequent to approval date of the Asphalt Program, it is ordered that the $\$ 11,096.00$ plus a settlement of $\$ 12,000.00$ from accruals be utilized on Highway 15 extending from Roby west 5.0 miles for correction of a drainage condition and oil surfacing, instead of an asphalt surfacing as originally proposed.

In Foard County，an increased appropriation of $\$ 1,300.00$ is here by made for completing work of reconditioning base and applying asphalt surface on Control 98－3－7，State Highway No．16．This added cost is caused by necessity for more work than estimated in reconditioning base． A．F．E．38－361

In Galveston County，it is ordered by the Commission that $\$ 1,800.00$ ，or as much thereof as may be necessary，be appropriated for the installation of radio transmitting and receiving equipment in connec⿻二丨䒑口 tion with the operation of the Galveston－Bolivar ferries．

It is further ordered that the State Highway Engineer be directed to make application to the Interstate Commerce Commission for appropriat license for the operation of this equipment．

A．F．E．38－362
In Galveston County，PWA Docket Texas 1968－R，Galveston Cause－ way，it having been brought to attention that it was necessary and desirable to make adjustments in the contract items and change orders by the following change order：

| PWA Contract Change | Accounting for and |
| :---: | :--- |
| Order Approval No． | Explanation of Over－ |
| $79-A-68$ |  |

$A_{\text {nd }}$ it being noted that the above change order has been approved by the Contractor，the Supervising Engineer，and the Regional Director， Public Works Administration；said Change Order is hereby approved．

The following resolution，requesting the War Department to grant a permit to Galveston County for the construction of a bridge from Galveston Island to Bolivar Peninsula，is hereby ordered to be passed and approved：

WHEREAS，the State Highway Commission is cognizant of an appli－ cation by Galveston County to the Secretary of War for the construction of a bridge－Galveston Island to Port Bolivar dated September 30，1938； and

WHEREAS，it is not the intention of the State Highway Commission to make an appropriation for the construction of this bridge，as the same is expected to be financed through Federal Grant and Loan；and

WHEREAS，the importance and necessity of this link in the Hug the Coast Highway，on which increasing traffic demands and development are and will be more and more necessary and of great value to the State of Texas；

THEREFORE，BE IT RESOLVED that because of these apparent reasons the Highway Commission of the State of Texas requests that the applica－ tion of Galveston County for a bridge－Galveston Island to Bolivar Peninsula－to the Secretary of War receive favorable consideration and a permit to be granted．

WHEREAS，Garza County，has officially requested the State High－ way Department to make location surveys and prepare right－of－way on a road extending from a connection with U．S．Highway 380 or 84 at or near Post in a northerly di rection toward Ralls in Crosby County；and

WHEREAS，it is the desire of the State Highway Department to assist the County in this manner，now，therefore，

IT IS ORDERED in Garza and Crosby Countios that a highway be designated from a connection with U．S． 380 or 84 at or near Post north to a connection with U．S．Highway 82 at or near Ralls，and the State Highway Engineer is directed to proceed with location surveys and pre－ paration of right－of－way deeds．Right－of－way deeds，when written，are to be given to the proper County Officials when and if requested，but with the understanding that State Highway Department funds are not now available for improvements on this highway，and securing of this right－ of－way by the counties involved will not be considered as an obligation of the State Highway Department to proceed with construction．

WHEREAS, in Hardeman County, an appropriation having been made by Minute No. 14787 for constructing the gravel base and asphalt surface on a .4 mile section of State Highway $N_{0}$. 16 extending from the Pease River north, and this work having been completed at a lesser cost than was originally estimated; and

WHEREAS, it has been found desirable to extend the limits of this work to include the reconditioning of the shoulders and slopes on a $1 / 4 \mathrm{mile}$ section immediately north of the north end of this project,

IT IS THEREFORE OFDERED BY THE COMMISSION, that the limits of this proje ot be extended north a distance of 0.264 mile and that this grading work be done in order to eliminate a dangerous condition. No additional funds are to be appropriated and the original appropriation is not to $b e$ exceeded in performing this additional work.

It is ordered by the Commission that the State Highway System as approved on March 19, 1930 and as subsequently modified, be further modified to include the drives in Sen Jacinto Battle Ground as shown on the attached sketch.
(Sketch attached to Orig.Min.)
In Hidalgo County, it is ordered by the Commission that an appropriation of $\$ 11,000.00$ be and is hereby made to cover the cost of enlarging the Division Office Building and making necessary ground improvements to the Division Headquarters Site located in Pharr. It is further ordered that the work of enlarging the building be done by the contract method through the Maintenance Division, and that the ground improvenents be done by the day labor method.
A.F.E. 38-363

In Hill and Limestone Counties, it is ordered by the Commission that the secti on of State Highway No. 171 extending from Hubberd to Cooledge, a distance of approximately 10.8 miles , be taken over for maintenance. It is further ordered that an appropriation of $\$ 3,840.00$ be and is hereby made to cover the cost of reconditioning this road for maintenance, and of maintaining it for the balance of this fiscal year. A.F.E. 38-364

In Jefferson County, it is ordered by the Commission that an appropriation of $\$ 12,993.23$ be made to cover the cost of placing new pase material and asphaltic surface treatment on that section of State狼gh way $\mathbb{N}_{0} .87$ from a point 6.8 miles west of Sabine Pass to 7.84 miles, frest of Sabine Pass, a distance of 1.041 miles. This work is to be done fonder the directi on of the State Highway Engineer through the Maintenance Pivi si on as a day labor projeot.
A.F.E. 38-365

WHEREAS, in Jones County, under Commission Minute ${ }^{[1}{ }_{0}$. 14878, an appropriation of $\$ 80 \overline{0} .00$ was made to cover the cost of constructing cer fain small drainage structures in the town of Hamlin on U. S. Highway 83, and under Commission Minute No. 15505 an appropriation of $\$ 9,240.00$ Jas made to cover the cost of improving the roadway section and stabilizfing the shoulders on a .944 mile section of the same highway through Hamlin; and

WHEREAS, it now appears that W.P.A. labor is available for this rork and can be used to advantage,

IT IS ORDERED by the Commission that the two appropriations menfioned above be cambined, and that this fund of $\$ 10,040.00$ be set up as the State's portion of a joint W.P.A. Project covering the construcfion of the drainage structures in Hamlin and the resectioning work and tabilizing of shoulders on U. S. Highway 83 from a point approximately $\$ .75 \mathrm{mile}$ south of Hamlin to a point approximately 1 mile north of Hamin. a total distance of approximately 1.75 miles ; the W.F.A. to furmish all fommon labor for this work, the total estimated cost of the work, includng W.P.A. 1 abor, being $\$ 21,200.00$.

This work is to be done under the di rection of the State Highway ngineer through the Maintenance Division.
A.F.E. $38-366$

In Jones County，State Highway No．92，S．R．W．R．161，Section 2， the grading and drainage structure work from Hamlin to the Fisher County line cannot be completed with the present allotment of State funds．

It is therefore ordered by the Commission that $\$ 2,500$ additional State funds be appropriated to permit the completion of the originally appr oved work．

$$
\text { A.F.E. } 38-367
$$

In Kenedy County，an appropriation of $⿰ ⿰ 三 丨 ⿰ 丨 三 一$ ， $2,000.00$ is hereby made for running a traffic test with loaded trucks on a onemile section of the recently completed soil－cement base course on Control 327－2－3， State $H_{i \text { ghway }} N_{0}$ ．96．The purpose of this test is to determine whether soil－cement stabilization has sufficient advantages to justify further construction of this type．

$$
\text { A.F.E. } 38-368
$$

WHEREAS，the 1939 Regular Federal Aid Program contains a project in Kimble County on U．S． 290 from Junction to Johnson Fork，a distance of 8.5 miles，covering surfacing at a total estimated cost of $\$ 76,000.00$ ； and

WHEREAS，the present road is not in such condition at this time as to require this additional work for the adequate handing of traffic；

THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this project be withdrawn from the 1939 Regular Federal Aid Program；and

WHEREAS，the 1939 Regular Federal Aid Program contains a project in Kimble County on U．S． 290 from the Gillespie County line to Highway 27，a distance of 14.0 miles at a total estimated cost of $\$ 130,000.00$ ； and

WHEREAS，it is now found that due to unexpected construction difficulties，this project is estimated to cost $\$ 200,000.00$ ；and

WHEREAS，the 1939 Regular Federal Aid Program contains a projoct in Mason County on Highway 29 from Mason toward the Llano County line covering grading and drainage structures at a total estimated cost of $\$ 98,000.00$ ；and

WHEREAS，it is now found that due to the necessity for enlarged drainage structures，this project is estimated to cost $\$ 104,000.00$ ；

THEREFORE IT IS ORDERED that the program allotments on these two projects be revi sed to show a total estimated cost of $\$ 200,000.00$ in Kimble County on U．S．290，and $\$ 104,000.00$ in Mason County on Highway 29 the funds for such revised cost estimates to be derived from the with－ drawal of the Kimble County project previously mentioned；and

The State Highway Engineer is directed to submit a request to the U．S．Bureau of Public Roads providirg for these program modifications．

It is hereby ordered that an additional appropriation in the amount of ${ }^{\alpha} 12,500$ be made to provide a light asphalt seal coat on FAP 910－A \＆B，U．S．Highway 82，King County，to protect the base through the coming winter．This is made necessary due to early completion of this contract．

A．F．E．38－369
In Knox County，an increased appropriation of \＄1，500．00 is here－ by made for completing work of reconditioning baso and applying asphalt surface on Control 98－4－10，State Highway No．16．This added cost is caused by neoessity for more work than estimated in reconditioning base． A．F．E．38－370

In Matagorda County，it is ordered by the Commission that an ap－ propriation of $\$ 16,312.21$ be made to cover the cost of reconstructing a 114 foot section of the bridge over the Colorado River on State Highoy No． 35 near Bay City which was badly damaged by reoent floods，and that an appropriation of $\$ 9,695.40$ be made to cover the cost of installing a system of steel jetty bank protection at this same point．This work is to be done under the direction of the State Highway Engineer through the Maintenance Divi sion by the contract method．

A．F．E．38－371

It is ordered by the Highway $\mathrm{C}_{\text {ommission }}$ that H.R.F. Helland be paid the sum of $\$ 236.20$ to cover arbitration services on the claim of Fred Hall, W.P.G.H. 129, Control 185-2-4.

$$
\text { A.F.E. } 38-372
$$

In Milam County, WPGH 129, Control 185-2-4, Grade Separation, on Highway No. 36 in the matter of the claim of Fred Hall, Contractor, it is ordered by the Commission that Fred Hall be paid the sum of One Thousand Four Hundred Twenty Dollars and Eighty-five Cents (\$1,420.85).

This isin aocordance with the recommendation of the members of the Board of Arbitration appointed by the Contractor and the Highway $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}$ partmont to pass on the merits of the olaim.

$$
\text { A.F.E. } 38-373
$$

In Montague County, State Highway No. 59, N.R.W.R. 174, Section 1, cannot be carried to completion with the funds previously appropriatef, due to excess cost brought on by the selection and placing of the better materials in the upper layers of the embankment.

It is, therefore, ordered by the Commission that an additional $\$ 10,000.00$ be appropriated to pemit the completion of the originally proposed work now estimated to cost $\$ 142,037.00$.
A.F.E. $38-374$

In Nueces County, it is ordered by the Commission that an appropriation of $\$ 2,472.52$ be made to cover the cost of the following work on Division No. 16 Headquarters buildings and grounds at Corpus Christi; place concrete flooring in Paint and Carpentry Shop; construct 30' $\times 42$, wood frame and galvanized netal building to connect present stock rooms; place shell surfacing on storage lot, and repair floor and woodwork in Division Office Building. This work is to be done under the direction ol the State Highway Engineer through the Maintenance Division as a day labor project.
A.F.E. 38-375

Whereas, in Peoos County, on U. S. Highway 67, extend ing from U. S. Highway 290 ne ar Fort Stockton to the Brewster County line, Minute 13378 dated February 10, 1937 did allocate funds for the purchase of the new right-of-way on the new location; and

WHEREAS, Minute 13722 datod May 18, 1937 did suspend Minute 13378 due to the County's opposition to secure the right-of-way at such time; and

Whereas, Pecos County has now requested that they be permitted to secure this right-of-way at this time, with the understanding that funds are not now available for the construction of this section of th highway; and

THEREFORE, it is hereby ordered that an appropriation of $\$ 10,000$ or as much the reof as may be neoessary be made to cover the cost of securing and fencing right-of-way on the approved location of U.S. $H_{\text {ighway }} 67$ from the Brewster County line to an intersection with U. S. Highway 290.
A.F.E. 38-376

In Potter County, U. S. Highway No. 66, N.R.W.R. I, Section 5, the originally approved work cannot be carried to completion with the original allotments.

It is, therefore, ordered by the Commission that $\$ 11,500$ Additional State Funds be appropriated to cover the sponsor's portion of the cost of completing the project and that additional W.P.A. funds be requested.
A.F.E. 38-377

Whereas, certain right-of-way was secured from Mr . H. B. Young for the construction of State Highway $\mathbb{N}_{0} .64$ in Rusk County; and

Whereas, the routing of this highway has been ohanged so that a portion of this right-of-way previously aaquired from H. B. Young is no longer needed for highway purposes.

Whereas, this right-of-way was donated by H. B. Young, no money having been paid to him for it.

It is, therefore, ordered by the Commission that the Governor be requested to execute a deed conveying this abandoned portion of rightoof-way baok to H. B. Young.

In Sabine County, State $H_{i g h w a y}$ No. 87, from a point 3000 feet south of intersection of $\mathrm{H}_{\text {ighways }} 87$ and 21 north 7.4 miles, it is ordered by the Commission that the clearing and grubbing be done as a State-sponsored W.P.A. Force Acount project (S.R.W.R. 44, Section 4). It is further ordered by the Commission that an appropriation be made in the amount of $\$ 6,000$, or as much the reof as may be necessary to cover the Sponsor's portion of the cost.
A.F.E. 38-378

It is ordered by the Commission, that the following contract havt ing been examined by the $\mathrm{C}_{\text {ormission }}$ and it being found to comply with the Order of Award by the Commission, is hereby approved in accordance with Section 12, Page 186, General Laws of Texas, as passed by the 1925 Legislature, 39th Regular Sossion.

| COUNTY | PROJECT NO. | DATE ANARDED | CONTRACTOR | AMOUNT OF |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stephens | $M-23-M-3$ | $9-20-38$ | Spalding \& Butler | $\$ 2,875.00$ |

Whereas, Minute No. 14973 authorizes construction of grading and drainage struotures and flexible base from Swisher-Hale County line to $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ar}}$, in Castro County, and appropriated $\$ 6,602.00$ for Swi sher County and $\$ 19,291$ for Castro County; and

Whereas, the change in material sources will increase the oost of the Swisher County project s cme $\$ 4,500.00$ and will decrease the cost of the Castro County project in like amount,

It is therefore ordered by the Commission that the appropriation for S.R.W.R. 24-3, Castro County, be reduced in the amount of $\$ 4,500.00$, and S.R.W.R. 95-3, Swisher County, be increased in the same amount.

In Tarrant County, an increased appropriation of $\$ 1,314.00$ is hereby made for final payment of railroad bills on WPGS 947, Control $900-4-1$, Highway "Feeder". The original appropriati on is exceeded by reason of increases in contract items of quarter haul and structural steel.
A.F.E. 38-379

In $T_{\text {itus County, }}$ an increased appropriation of $\$ 3,248.49$ is hereby made for the completion of grading and drainage structures on FAP 227,-U-IV, Control 221-5-6, State Highway No. 49. The purpose of this increase is to permit construction of bridges with 26-foot roadway instead of 24 -foot roadway, as shown by plans.
A.T.E. 38-380

In Tom Green and Lubbock Counties, funds having been programed and plans authorized for the construction of a grade soparation on Pulliam Street (U.S. Highway 67) at its intersection with the P. \& S. F. Railway in San Angelo, it is ordered that materials purchased under the 1936 Works Program for flashing-light signals at this location be transferred and used on College Avenue (U.S. Highway 84) at its intersection wi th the P. \& S.F. Railway near the north city limits of Lubbock.

The P. \& S. F. Railway Company having conourred in this proposed transfer, the State $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ghway Engineer is directed to submit a request to the U.S. Bureau of Public Roads for the necessary Program modification.

In Ward County, an increased appropriation of $\$ 3,500.00$ is her eby made for completion of grading, flexible base, and asphalt surfacing on Control 354-2-2, State Highway $N_{0}$. 115. This added cost is due to necessity for increased quantities of scarifying and blading, flexible base and haul on base naterial.

$$
\text { A.F.E. } 38-381
$$

In Wheeler County, it is ordered by the Commission that the short spur extending from State Highway No. 152 to the town of Old Mobeetie be taken over for maintenance and that funds for maintaining this section of road be provided from the State Maintenance Contingent Budget.

In Young County, as a matter or proceeding in proper sequence to best serve traffic and minimize hazards, it is ordered that the $\$ 39,200$ appropriated for base and surface widening on Highway 24,from Graham to the Jack County line, a distance of 9.8 miles, be used to widen bridges, flatten slopes, and fill borrow ditches; the work to begin at Graham and extend toward the Jaok County line as far as the funds will permit.
A.F.E. 38-382

It is ordered by the Commission that an appropriation of $\$ 1,521.00$ be made to cover salary of a draftsman for the Traffic Divisiop of the State Highway Dopartment for the portion of the fiscal year, beginning September 23, 1938 through August 31, 1939. This appropriatiop is a supplement to Minute No. 15349.
A.F.E. 38-383

It is ordered by the Commission that the American Association of State Highway Officials be requested to extend U. S. Highway No. 183 into Texas following U. S. Highway No. 283 where it enters Texas, to Albany and then from Albany via Baird, Colaman, Brady and Mason to Junction; also that U. S. Highway No. 283 be extended from Brownood via Brady and Mason to Junction.

It is ordered by the Commission that $\$ 3,600$ be appropriated for the fisoal year ending August 31, 1939, for two Pay Roll Auditors at $\$ 1,800$ each.

$$
\text { A.F.E. } 38-384
$$

It is ordered by the Commission that $\$ 1,925.00$ be appropriated to cover salary of Mark Swain, Office Engineer, at the rate of $\$ 175.00$ per month, from October 1, 1938 to August 31, 1939. This appropriation is to cower the revision of all Construction and Maintenance Job files in the General File Room from the beginning of the State Highway Department to date.
A.F.E. 38-385

It is ordered by the Commission that the following sections of road be taken over for maintenance inasmuch as all conditions imposed in the designations have been complied with.

| COUNTY | HWY. | LIMITTS | LENG TH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bastrop | 95 | Elgin to l M. E. of Sayersville | 8.029 Mi . |
| Llano | 261 | $13.5 \mathrm{M}_{\text {i }}$. E. of Liano to 2.63 |  |
|  |  | Mi. W. of Burnet Co.Line | 7.565 Mi. |
| Sabine | 87 | Shelby County line South | 5.1 Mi . |
| Fisher | 92 | Rotan East | 4.25 Mi . |
| Shackelf ord | 191 | 3.3 Mj . S. of Albany South | 4.945 Mi . |
| Taylor | 36 | 4.5 Mi. S.E. of Jet.U.S.Iwy . 80 to Callahan County line | 3.06 Mi . |
| Gonzales | 200 | From 7 Mi . E. of Gonzales to $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Mi}$. E. of Peach Creek | 1.357 Mi . |
| Some rville | 144 | Hood County line to 1.235 Mi . North of Jot. U. S. 67 | 3.82 Mi . |
| Hood | 144 | 4 Mi . South of Granbury to Somerville |  |
|  |  | Countyline | 5.36 Mi. |

It is further ordered by the Commission that the necessary funds for maintaining these sections of road for the balance of this fiscal year be taken from the State Maintenance Contingent Budget.

It is ordered by the Commission that the sum of $\$ 9,950.00$ be and is hereby appropriated to pay the cost of continuing in operation Courtesy Stations at Texarkana, Wichita Falls, Shamrock, El Paso and Laredo, which shall include necessary administrative expense and the maintenance of the buildings and grounds.

$$
\text { A.F.E. } 38-386
$$

It is ordered by the Commission that the sum of $\$ 3,300.00$ be and is hereby appropriated to pay the cost of preparing, printing, and distributing, not to exceed 100,000 copies, of the September 1, 1938 Edition Official Map of the Highway System of Texas.
A.F.E. 38-387

It is ordered by the Commission that the State Highway Engineer be authorized to enter into an agreement with Mr. E. V. Manning, Architect, Austin, Texas, whereby Mr. Manning is to prepare complete plans and specifications for the remodeling of the Division Office Building at Pharr, and to exercise general supervision over the project during construction, such supervision to consist of not less than three inspection trips during the construction of the building and one final inspection trip upon completion of the work.

Under this agreement, the payment for the work of preparing the plans and specifications and supervision, as described above, is to be $5 \%$ of the total contract price for the projeot.

WHEREAS, the Port Arthur-Orange Bridge, being P.W.A. Docket No. 8080-R, is now entirely complete except some painting, which will consume a certain amou nt of time but will not require the services of the Supervising Engineer, Mr. G. G. Wickline, except at stated intervals and

WHEREAS, Mr. Herbert Eldridge has been acting as Bridge Engineer during the absence of Mr. Wickline;

THEREFORE, BE IT ORDERED by the $C_{\text {Ommis sion }}$ that, beginning November 1, 1938, Mr. Wickline will assume his former duties as Bridge Engineer of the Highway Department, at a salary of $\$ 408.00$ per month, and $M_{r}$. Eldridge will resume his former status of Special Resident Bridge Engineer, at a salary of $\$ 350.00$ per month.

In order to handle the increase in work now being assigned to the traveling auditors, it is ordered by the Highway Comnission that the number of travel ing auditors be increased from three to five, offective $\mathbb{N}_{\text {ovember }} 1,1938$.

October 25, 1938
In Cooke County, it is ordered by the Commission that all bids received on October 25 for the construction of a standard County Warehouse Building in the City of Gainesville, be rejected, and it is further ordered that this building be constructed under the direction of the State Highway Engineer through the Maintenanoe Division by the Day Labor Method.

This action is being taken because it is estimated that the work can be proformed in this manner at a material saving.

In Bell County, on Highway 36 from Highway 95 to the Milam County line, a distance of 12.9 miles, it is ordered that the project included on the 1939 Regular Federal Aid Program be modified to provide an initial stage of surfacing at a cost of $\$ 80,000$, and that the remaining $\$ 100,000$ in the program allotment be allocated to other projects added to current programs.

The State Highway Engineer is directed to submit a request to the U. S. Bureau of Public Roads providing for these program modifications.

In Brown County, on Highway 23, U. S. 283 and U. S. 67, in accordance with the request of Brown County, it is ordered that a business route be numbered through the City of Brownwood, extending from an intersection with present traveled U.S. 67 just west of the intersection with U.S. 283, and extending along the old highway across Pecan Bayou and al ong South Broadway to Main treet.

This order is made with the definite understanding that this route is not to be maintained by the State Highway Department, and the State Highway Department is not obligated in any way for any present or future construction on suoh route.

In Brown County, it is hereby ordered that a highway be designated from intersection with US 67 at Brownwood northwest to the Lake Brownood State Park, a total distance of approximately 19.4 miles; and

It is further ordered that this section of highway be assumed fo State maintenaree, and an appropriation is hereby made in the amount of $\$ 3,880$ to cover the cost of regular maintenance for the remainder of the fiscal year.

This order is conditioned upon Brown County agreeing in advance to secure such right-of-way as may be considered necessary by the State Highway Engineer, and is further conditioned upon the definite under standing that funds are not now available for further construction on this designation.

## A.F.E. 38-388

Whereas, in Dawson, Martin, Midland and Upton Counties, request has been made of the State Highway Department for designation, location surveys, and right-of-way deeds on a road oxtending from a connection with State Highway 15 or U. S. Highway 87 at or near Lamesa south to a oonnection with U. S. Highway 67 at or near Rankin crossine U. S. Highway 80 at or near Midland, now therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that a highway be designated extending from State Highway 15 or $U$. S. Highway 87 at or near Lamesa south to a connection with U. S. Highway 67 at or near Rankin crossing U. S. Highway 80 at or near Midland, and the State Highway Engineer is directed to proceed with location surveys and preparation of right-of-way deods.

This order is conditioned upon each of the counties involved agreeing in advanoe to secure the right-of-way as may be considered necessary by the State Highway Engineer on location approved by the State Highay Commission. This order is further conditioned on the understanding that State Highway Department funds are not now available for improvements on this highway, and no portion of this designation will be fundertaken for maintenance by the State Highway Department until such time as it may be constructed in accordance with State Highway Department standards.

In Erath County, it is ordered by the Commission that the section of road from ste phonville to Lingleville, a distance of approximately twelve miles, be designated as a State Highway.

It is further ordered by the Commission that the grading and drainagh struc ture $t_{\text {wor }}^{\text {wot }}$ betwean Stephenville and Lingleville, which was startad as ad county sponsored W. P.A. project, S.R.W.R. 139, Section 1, and that $\$ 10,000$ be appropriated to oover the sponsor's portion of the costs.

$$
\text { A.F.E. } 38-389
$$

In Grimes County, on Highway 105 from Navasota east to Plantersville, a distance of approximately 12.7 miles , it is ordered that a project be added to the 1939 Regular Federal Aid Program oovering the onstruction of surfacing at a total estimated cost of \$70,000.

The State High way Engineer is directed to submit a request to the U. S. Bureau of Public Roads providing for this program addition.

In Hockley County, on Highway 51 from Levelland south to the Hockley-Terry County line, a distance of approximately 16.0 miles, the State Highway Engineer is directed to proceod with plans, specifications and construction of grading and drainage structures at a total ostimated cost of $\$ 51,600$, such work to be done in conjunction with the Works Progress Administration, and an appropriation is hereby made in the amount of $\$ 28,400$, or so much the reof as may be necessary as the State's portion of such work.

$$
\text { A.F.E. } 38-390
$$

In Howard County, on Highway 9, it is ordered that the project on the 1939 RegularFederal Aid Program extending from Big Spring south 10.5 miles covering grading and drainage structures be included on the 1940 Regular Federal Aid Program at a total estimated cost of $\$ 154,000$.

The State Highway Engineer is directed to submit a request to the U.S. Bureau of Public Roads providing for these program modifications.

In Hunt and Fannin Counties, on Highway 11 between Cormerce and Ladonia, an appropriation is hereby made in the amount of $\$ 347.00$ to cover the cost of an application of an asphaltic prime coat on that portion of this highway extending from a point 0.6 mile north of the intersection with State Highway 24 north of Commerce to Ladonia, a distance of 10.95 miles.

Due to the emergency nature of this work, it is ordered that the State Highway Engineer proceed with the construction of same with State forces through the Maintenance Division.

This work is a portion of the project approved on the 1939 State Program, and accordingly, funds appropriated in this minute are to be subtracted from the total allocation of $\$ 68,000.00$ for this project.

In Karnes County, on Highway 123 from the Wilson County line to Karnes City, a distance of 13.4 miles, it is ordered that the program allotment for this project covering grading and drainage structures be increased from $\$ 205,000$ to $\$ 317,000$ on the 1939 Regular Federal Aid Program.

The State Highway Engineer is directed to submit a request to the U. S. Bureau of Public Roads providing for this program modification

In Lampasas County, on U. S. 190, it is ordered that a project be added to the 1939 Regular Federal Aid Program covering surfacing extending from Lometa south to the junction of $H_{i g h w a y ~}^{66}$ at Lampasas, a total distance of approximately 16.0 miles , at a total estimated cost of $\$ 78,000$.

The State Highway Engineer is directed to submit a request to the U. S. Bureau of Public Roads providing for this program addition.

In Lee County, on U. S. 77 from Giddings to the Fayette County line, a distance of approximately 7.3 miles, it is ordered that a project be added to the 1939 Regular Federal Aid Program covering surfacing at a total estimated cost of $\$ 56,000.00$.

The State Highway Engineer is directed to submit a request to the U. S. Bureau of Public Roads providing for this program addition.

In Montgomery County, on Highway 105 from the end of the pavement in Conroe to 5.8 miles east of Conroe, it is orderee that a project be added to the 1939 Regular Federal Aid Program covering surfacing for a distance of approximately 6.3 miles at a total estimated cost of \$63,000.

The State Highway Engineer is directed to submit a request to the U. S. Bureau of Public Roads providing for this program modification.

In Runnels County, State Highway No. 109, from end of F.A.S. 13-A to the Coke County line, it is ordered by the Commission that the grading and small drainage structures within the se limits, authorized in the 2939 State Program, be constructed as a State-sponsored W.P.A. Force hocount Project (S.R.W.R. 184, Section 3) and that the Oak Creek Bridge and Approaches, also aut horized in the 1939 State Program, be constructed as a State-sponsored W.P.A. project or as a State funds project, as the Highway Engineer may find to be to the best interest of the Highway $\mathrm{D}_{\theta}$ partment.

It is further ordered by the Commission that $\$ 93,000$ be appropriated to cover the State's portion of the cost of constructing the grading and Prainage structures from F.A.S. 13-A to the Coke County line.
A.F.E. 38-392

In Rusk and Smith Counties, on Hichway 64 from a point 6.3 miles ast of the Smith-Kusk county line to a point 2.8 miles northwest of the Fmith-Rusk County line, a total distance of 9.1 miles, it is ordered that the projects included on the 1939 Regular Federal Aid Program be modified oo provide the initial stage of surfacing construction at a total estimated post of $\$ 52,000$, and that the remaining funds be withdrawn from this pro\#ram and allocated to other projects added to this program, and it is fur-
ther ordered that the final surfacing on the sections described above be included on the 1940 Regular Federal Aid Program at a total estimated cost of $\$ 250,000$.

The State Highway Engineer is di rected to submit a request to the U. S. Bureau of Public Roads providing for the se program modifications.

In Tarrant, Parker and Hood Counties, on U. S. 377 extending from Benbrook to the Brazos River near Granbury, a distance of 26.4 miles, the State Highway Engineer is directed to proceed with plans, specifications and construction of grading and drainage structures at a total estimated cost of $\$ 198,870$, such construction to be done in conjunction with the Works Progress Administration, and an appropriation is hereby made in the amount of $\$ 79,700$, or so much thereof as may be necessary for the State's portion of the cost of such projeot.

This order is conditioned upon Tarrant, Parker and Hood Counties agreeing in advance to secure such right-of'-way as may be considered necessary by the State Highway Engineer on the approved location.
A.F.E. 38-393

In Van $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {and }}$ County, State Hi ghway No. 19, from a point approximately five miles north of $C_{\text {ant }}$ on north to connection with $U$. S. Highway No. 80, it is ordered by the Commission that the grading and drainage structures authorized in the 1939 State Program be constructed as a State-sponsored W.F.A. Force Account Project (S.R.W.R. 218,Section 3) and that $\$ 30,000$ be appropriated to cover the Sponsor's portion of the cost. A.F.E. 38-394

In Williamson County, it is ordered by the Commission that an

In Zapata County, in order to properly provide for stage construction, it is ordered that the 1939 Regular Federal Aid Program be modified to withdraw $\$ 36,000$ from Item 3, Division 21 , leaving $\$ 154,000$,
which is ample for grading and drainage structures wi thout select material subbase on U. S. Highway 83 extending from Starr County line to Arroyo Valeno, a distance of 19.0 miles.

It is further ordered that Item 4, Division 21 of the same program be modified to eliminate surfacing on the above described section; the revised item providing for surfacing of U. S. Highway 83 extending from Arroyo $V_{R}$ leno to Arroyo Salado, a distance of 25.0 miles at a totel estimated cost of $\$ 100,000$.

Additicnally, commitment is made to include the eliminated portions of the items as described above in the onticipated 1940 Regular F'ederal Aid Program.

The State Highway Engineer is directed to submit a request to the U. S. Bureau of Public Roads providing for these program modifications.

In Zavala and Frio Counties, in accordance wi th the request by such Counties, it is ordered that surveys be completed and right-of-way deeds be prepared and delivered to each County on Highway 55 from Batesville to U. S. 81 at or near Dilley.

It is ordered by the Commission that the State Highway System as approved on March 19, 1930 and as subsequently modified, be further modi fied to include that portion of highway between Hubbard and Mexia.

The correct description of State Highway No. 171 therefore
15649

From Hillsboro via $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ubb}}$ bard, Munger and Coolidge to Mexia.
15650
On the following projects on which bids were received on September 7, 1938 and on which contracts have been awarded, an initial construction appropriation of $\$ 2,224,423.00$ of State, Regular Federal Aid, Secondary High way and Grade Crossing Funds is hereby made to be distributed to the projects shown below. This appropriation is conditioned upon the respective counties furnishing and fencing without cost to the State, such right-of-way as may be required.

| COUNTY | PROJECT NO. | HWY. | LENGTH | TYPE OF CONST. | LOCATION | A.SET-U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\text { Bell }}$ | FAP 40 Reop. | 2 | 0.945 | Roadside Impry. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Temple North } \\ & 1 \mathrm{Mi} \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | $3,930.00$ |
| Brazoria | $\begin{array}{lll} \mathrm{FAP} & 598-\mathrm{B} & (2) \\ \mathrm{FAP} & 637-\mathrm{C} & (2) \end{array}$ | 38 | 3.720 | Roadside Imprv. | Alvin to Galveston Co. | 4,640.00 |
| Collin | FAP 98-B,Reop. | 6 | 9.900 | Roadside Impry. | Grayson Co.So. | 15,900.00 |
| Denton | FAP 623-G, H | 24 | 6.427 | Roadside Imprv. | Denton West | 16,570.00 |
| Kerr | FAP 405 | 27 | 1.324 | Roadside Imprv. | Kerrville East | 6,600.00 |
| Kinney | FAP 484-C (3) | 3 | 5.868 | Roadside Improv. | E.\&N. of Brackettville | 17,670.00 |
| Milam | FAP 191-G (1) | 44 | 5.472 | Roadside Improv. | Minerva to Hwy. 36 | 20,200.00 |
| Bowie | FAP 313-A | 1 | 1.799 | Roadside Imprv. | Simms East | 1,790.00 |
| Brewster | FAP 378-AB (2) | $3 * 10$ | 0.767 | Rosdside Imprv. | At Junct. 3\& 10 | 13,170.00 |
| Caldwell | FAP 79-(3) | 3 A | 2.632 | Roadside Impry. | Luling West to Co. Line | 11,200.00 |
| Gaines | FAP 1016-A(1) | 15 | 25.865 | Gr.Dr.Str.Flex. Base \& Soal Coat | Seminole East to Co. line | $161,700.00$ |
| Hemphill | FAP 158-A (4) | 4 | 6.456 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gr.Dr.Str. } \\ & \text { Conc.Pvt. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Horse Cr. to } \\ & \text { U.S. } 60 \end{aligned}$ | 246,480.00 |
| Titus | FAP 22.7 | 49 | 11.257 | Gr.mDr.Strs. | Mt. Pleasant North | 131,000.00 |
| Burnet \& | FAP 809-D (2) | 29 | 17.555 | Flex. Base and | 2.0 West of |  |
| Williamson | $\text { FAP } 257-(2)$ |  |  | Base Preserv. | Burnot S.E. <br> 17.6 Miles | 74,196.00 |
| Carson \& | FAP 720-A (1) | 209 | 20.370 | Flex. Base \& 8 Dbl. | Borger to Car- |  |
| Hutchinson | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { FAP } & 720-\mathrm{B} & (3) \\ \text { FAP } & 720-\mathrm{D} & (2) \\ \text { FAP } & 720-\mathrm{C} & (2) \end{array}$ |  |  | Asp.Surf. | son-Gray Co.L. | 110,110.00 |



October 25, 1938


October 25, 1938


Special Maintenance or Betterment Program

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| County | N |
| DIVISION NO. | 5 |
| 13. Bailey | Uubbock |
| 14. Lubbook | US |
| 15. Luble |  |
| 16. Hale |  |
| 17. Lubbock |  |
| DIVISION NO. |  |


| DIVISION NO. | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18. Ward | US80 | Pyote West $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Mile}$ | 0.5 | Relocation to e liminate bad curves | 6,721.80 |
| 19. Ward | US80 | 7.4 Mi.E.Barstow E. | 0.2 | Changing Grede to Secure Sight Distance | 4,607.10 |
| 20. Reeves | US80 | 4.1 Mi.E. Jeff Davis County Line east | 0.5 | Reduce Sharp Curves | 8,477.70 |
| 21. Terrell | US90 | Sanderson West | 0.75 | Relocation to Reduce Curves \& Increase Sight Distanoe | 8,800.70 |

DIVISION NO. 7

| 22. Kimble |
| :--- |
| 23. Mason |
| DIVISION NO. |
| 24. Nolan |








From Smith Notor Company, Taylor, Texas
1 Ford 1939 Model Deluxe Sedan
Less allowance on trade in of:
1 Ford Sedan State \#51-B Motor \#18-2010272 Allowance
245.07

NET DIFFERENCE - - - - $\$ 503.93$
From Conley-Lott-Nichols Machine ry Company, Dallas, Texas
1 Bros 364 Stendard Rubber Tired Road Roller


From Lewis-Patten Compeny, San Antonio, Texas
1 Latest Model Allis-Chalmers Maintainer F.O.B. Waco, Texas LP $\$ 1399.30$

| 1 | $"$ | $"$ | $"$ | $"$ | $"$ | $" H o u s t o n, ~ T e x a s ~ " ~$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $"$ | $"$ | $"$ | $"$ | $" 1399.30$ |  |


| $\quad$ " Austin, Texas |
| :--- |
| Total List Price |
| Discount <br> NET PRICE |
| 1399.30 |
| 4197.90 |

## SUMMARY

| Division | No. 2 | Fort Worth, Texas | Not | Difference | \$ | 7,342.94 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| " | " 2 | " " " | Not | Diferonce |  | + 467.68 |
| " | " 3 | Wiohita Falls, Texas | " | " |  | 374.11 |
| " | " 4 | Amarillo, Texas | " | Price |  | 1,323.50 |
| " | 8 | Abilene, Texas | " | Difference |  | 3,759.55 |
| " | " 9 | Waco, Texas | " | " |  | 1,798.28 |
| " | " 10 | Tyler, Texas | " | " |  | 5,817.00 |
| " | 11 | Lufkin, Texas | " | " |  | 4,977.35 |
| " | 11 | " " | " | " |  | 5,635.00 |
| " | 11 |  | " | " |  | 15,728.00 |
| " | " 13 | Yoakum, Texas | " | " |  | 1,897.93 |
| " | -14 | Camp Mabry, Texas | " | " |  | 788.00 |
| " | 14 | " ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | " | " |  | 1,278.42 |
| " | 16 | Corpus Chriati, Texas | " | " |  | 2,157.06 |
| * | 16 | " " " | " | Price |  | 1,617.33 |
| " | 16 | " | " | Difforence |  | 7,936.60 |
| " | 18 | Dallas, Texas | 1 | " |  | 2,625.18 |
| " | 18 |  | " | " |  | 12,548.00 |
| " | 19 | Atlanta, Texas | " | " |  | 1,167.33 |
| " | 20 | Beaumont, Texas | " | " |  | 7,488.23 |
| " | " 20 | " " | " | Price |  | 1,018.40 |
| " | " 21 | Pharr, Texas | , | Difference |  | 473.93 |
| " | " 22 | Del Rio, Texas | " | " |  | 5,669.61 |
| 19 | " 25 | Childress, Texas | \% | " |  | 6,022.54 |
| " | " 25 | "' " | " | " |  | 503.93 |
| " | " 25 | " " | " | Price |  | 858.48 |
| " | " 9 | Waco, Texas |  |  |  |  |
| " | " 12 | Houston, Texas |  |  |  |  |
| " | 14 | Austin, Texas | 4 | 11 |  | 4,197.90 |
|  |  |  |  | TAL: |  | 105,472.28 |

NOTE: The "Net Difference" is the amount the Department pays out and covers the cost of the new machines, less di scount and allowance on the old machines traded off. All bids received are tabulated showing the "Net Differenoe" price.

## A.F.E. 38-399

In Bowie County, on bids received September 20, 1938 contract for construction of gr., dr. strs. and select material from Redwater to Maud, a distance of 5.397 miles, on U. S. Highway 67, Control 10 Section 12 Job 8 FAGH 202-A (3) is awarded to Cage Bros. \& F. M. Reeves \& Sons, Inc., Bishop and Austin, Texas for $\$ 128,519.53$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Chambers County, on bids received September 20, 1938 contract for construction of Albritton Gully Bridge and roadway approaches a distance of 0.048 mile , on State Highway $\mathbb{N}_{0}$. 61, Control 242 Section 3 Job 10 is awarded to Carl Wall Building Material Co., Beaumont, Texas for $\$ 13,617.14$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Cherokee County, on bids received September 20, 1938 contract for construction of flexible base and seal coat from Holcomb's Store S.W 4.4 Miles to an Int. with proposed Hwy . 7, a distance of 4.384 miles , on State Highway No. "F"Control 910 Section 10 Uob 2 FAS $954-\mathrm{B}$ (2) is awarded to Ben Sira \& Company, Dollas, Texas for $\$ 18,412.40$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Coke County, on bids received September 20, 1938 oontract for construction of gr. Zdr. strs. from Bronte to 1.231 Mi . W. and fr. a pt. 4.545 Mi . W. of Bronte to 5.757 Mi . W. of Bronte, a distance of 2.30 h miles, on State Hi ghway $\mathbb{N}_{0} .158$ Control 406 Section 4 Job 4 is awarded to Cage Bros. \& L. A. Turner, Bishop, Texas for $\$ 42,699.02$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Culberson County, on bids received September 20, 1938 contract for construction of reshaping and reconditioning flexible base and base preservative from junction Hwy, 54 to Pine Springs a distance of 10.141 miles, on U. S. Highway 62 (130) Control 233 Soction 2 Job 4 is awarded to Lee Moor Contr. Company, E1 Paso, Texas for $\$ 29,956.95$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Franklin County, on bids received September 20, 1938 contract for construction of gr. \& bit. roadbed treatment from Mt. Vernon to Hagansport, a distance of 10.4 .53 miles, on State Highway ${ }^{W} 0.37$ Control 189 Section 5 Job 9 FAP 900-C (1) Cont. I is awarded to Land Construction Company, St. Joseph, Missouri for $\$ 39,470.24$, whi ch is the lowest and best bid.

In Gonzales County, on bids received September 20, 1938 contract for removal of OldGuadalupe River Bridge a distance of 0.059 mile , on State Highway No. 29 Control 154 Section 1 Job 9 is awarded to Sam Leal, Jr., Ft. Wor th, Texas for $\$ 1,400.00$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Grege County, on bids received September 20, 1938 contract for construction of concrete pavemont and asphaltic edges (with alternat of block sodding in lieu of mulch sodding) from near int. of present $H_{i}$ ghway 135 to int. wi th Highway 26, a distance of 3.921 miles, on Stath Highway No. 176, Control 424 Soction 2 Job 6 is awarded to Austin Road Company, Dallas, ${ }^{\text {exas for } \$ 100,406.25 \text {, which is the lowest and best bid }}$

In Hall County, on bids received September 20, 1938 contract for construction of grading and concrete pavement looated in Memphis near the East City Limits, a distance of 0.255 mile , on U. S. Highway 370 (5) Control 42 Section 9 Job 20 is awarded to Sam Leal, Jr., Fort Worth, Texas for $\$ 8,950.59$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Hardin County, all bids received September 7, 1938 moxtcest for construction of grading and drainage structures from end of Pine Island Bayou Bridge to Sour Lake a distance of 8.191 miles, on State Highway No. 105 Control 339 Section 4 Job I FAP 925-B (1) are hereby rejected and the State Highway Enginoer is directed to redesign and readvertise this project for bids.

In Jack County, on bids received September 20, 1938 contract for construction of reconstructing grading, drainage structures, flexible base and cut-back asphaltic concrete pavement from fot. Hwy. 199 to Lynn Creek a distance of 5.748 miles , on U. S. Highway 281, Control 249 Section 6 Job 3 is awarded to Brown \& Root, Inc., Austin, Texas for $\$ 118,042.13$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Jefferson County, all bids reoeived September 7, 1938 ( for oonstruction of grading and drainage structures from Rosedale to West End of Pine Island Bayou Bridge a distance of 8.714 miles, on State Highway No. 105, Control 339 Section 5 Job 1 FAP 925-A (1) are hertby rejected and the State Highway Engineer is directed to redesign and readvertise this project for bids.

In Jefferson County, on bids received September 20, 1938 contrac for construction of widening present concrete pavement from sta. 765\%86. to UP at W. City Limits of Port Arthur a distance of 1.204 miles , on U. S. Highway No. 59, Control 65 Section 8 Job 14 is awarded to Carl Wail Building and Material Company, Beaumont, 'lexas for $\$ 22,578.15$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Kent County, on bids received September 20, 1938 contract for construction of reconditioning flexible base and double asphalt surface treatment from 0.65 mi . north of Jayton to 1.3 miles west of stonewall County line, a distance of 3.106 miles, on U. S. Highway 380 Contr $\$ 1$ 106 Section 3 Job 6 is awarded to R. W. MoKinney, Nacogdoches, Texas for $\$ 25,403.84$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Kinney County, on bids received September 20, 1938 contract for construction of grading and drainage structures from int. with Hwy. U.S. $90,5.8$ miles west of Cline to a point 3.9 miles east of Spofford, a distance of 12.327 miles, on State $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ghway $\mathrm{N}_{0}$. " F " Control922 Sedion 1 Job 1 FAS $42-A(1)$ is awarded to Colglazier \& Hoff, Inc., San Antonio, Texas for $\$ 38,094.22$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Palo Pinto County, on bids received September 20, 1938 contract for construction of grading and drainage structures from State $H_{i}$ ghway 89 to Santo a distance of 2.537 miles, on State Highway No. "F" Control 314 Section 6 Job 1 is awarded to John W. Abbott Const. Co., For Worth, Texas for $\$ 23,959.91$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In San Saba County, all bids recelved September 7, 1938 for con struction of grading, drainage structures and select material from 2 mi . north of Che rokee Worth to 2.776 miles a distance of 2.776 miles, on State Hichway No. 81 Control 289 Section $6 \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{ob}} 5$ are hereby rejected and the State Highway Engineer is directed to redesign and readvertise this proje ot for bids.

In Stonewall County, on bids received June 21, 1938 contract for construction of gradine, drai nage structures, flexible base and seal coat from Peacock to int, with $H_{i g h w a y ~} 18$ - U. S. 380 a distance of 2.21 miles, on State Highway $N_{0}$. "F" Control 106 Section 10 Job 1 FAS 17-A (1 is awarded to T. M. Brown \& Son, Archer City, Texas for \$20,105.34, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Wichita County, on bids received September 20, 1938 contract for construction of concrete pavement from 36 th St . to jct. with Highway US 82 in Wichita Falls (Virginia St. Cut-off) a distance of 1.865 miles on U. S. Highway 281, Control 249 Section 1 Job 5 is awarded to Austin Const. Company, Dallas, Texas for $\$ 44,925.78$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In Wichita County, on bids received September 20, 1938 contract for construotion of grading, ext. structures and concre te pavement (with al ternate covering concrete base with asphaltic surface) from West City limits of Wichita Falls to East city limits of Iowa Park a distance of 8.275 milos, on U. S. Highway 82, Control 43 Section 9 Job 5 FAP 119-A(4 is awarded to L. H. Lacy Company, Dallas, Texas for $\$ 218,624.08$, which is the lowest and best bid.

WHEREAS, in Hale, Lubbook and Lymn Counties, requests have been made by the counties involved that location surveys be made by the State Highway Department for a road extending from Plainview in HaleCounty via $P_{e}$ tersburg and Slaton to Tahoka in Lynn County in order that the countis may secure right-of-way and proceed with construction on portions the re of with their locel funds; and

WIEREAS, the State Highway Commission desires to render assistance to these counties in furnishing proper location for the proposed road; the refore

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a highway be designated extending from Plainview in Hale County via Petersburg and Slaton to Tahoka in Lynn County, and the State Highway Engineer is directed to proceed with location surveys and preparation of right-of-way deeds. The right-ofway deeds are to be delivered to the countios involved upon completion of the work.

Moved by Judge Bobbitt, seconded by Mr. Hines, that the regular meeting of the State Highway Commission was closed at 5:00 P. M., October 25, 1938.

APPROVED:

5tate Highway Engineer.

> Chairman

ATTEST:

Member
Secre tary


I hereby certify that the above and foregoing pages constitute the Full, true, and correct record of all proceedings and official orders of the State Highway Commission at its Two Hundred Fifty-ninth Meeting (a Regular Meeting) held at Austin, Texas, on Octobor 24, and 25, 1938.


THEXAS STAIE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

