## Analysis of numerical dissipation and dispersion

Modified equation method: the exact solution of the discretized equations satisfies a PDE which is generally different from the one to be solved

Original PDE $\quad$ Modified equation $\quad A u^{n+1}=B u^{n}$

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+\mathcal{L} u=0 \quad \approx \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+\mathcal{L} u=\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{2 p} \frac{\partial^{2 p} u}{\partial x^{2 p}}+\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{2 p+1} \frac{\partial^{2 p+1} u}{\partial x^{2 p+1}}
$$

Motivation: PDEs are difficult or impossible to solve analytically but their qualitative behavior is easier to predict than that of discretized equations

- Expand all nodal values in the difference scheme in a double Taylor series about a single point $\left(x_{i}, t^{n}\right)$ of the space-time mesh to obtain a PDE
- Express high-order time derivatives as well as mixed derivatives in terms of space derivatives using this PDE to transform it into the desired form


## Derivation of the modified equation

Example. Pure convection equation $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=0, \quad v>0$
BDS in space, FE in time: $\frac{u_{i}^{n+1}-u_{i}^{n}}{\Delta t}+v \frac{u_{i}^{n}-u_{i-1}^{n}}{\Delta x}=0 \quad$ (upwind)
Taylor series expansions about the point $\left(x_{i}, t^{n}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{i}^{n+1}=u_{i}^{n}+\Delta t\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)_{i}^{n}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}\right)_{i}^{n}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{3}}{6}\left(\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial t^{3}}\right)_{i}^{n}+\ldots \\
& u_{i-1}^{n}=u_{i}^{n}-\Delta x\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)_{i}^{n}+\frac{(\Delta x)^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}\right)_{i}^{n}-\frac{(\Delta x)^{3}}{6}\left(\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}\right)_{i}^{n}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitution into the difference scheme yields
$\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)_{i}^{n}+v\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)_{i}^{n}=-\frac{\Delta t}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}\right)_{i}^{n}-\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{6}\left(\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial t^{3}}\right)_{i}^{n}+\frac{v \Delta x}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}\right)_{i}^{n}-\frac{v(\Delta x)^{2}}{6}\left(\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}\right)_{i}^{n}+\ldots$
original $P D E$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}[\Delta t, \Delta x] \quad \text { truncation error } \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next step: replace both time derivatives in the RHS by space derivatives

## Derivation of the modified equation

Differentiate ( $*$ ) with respect to $t$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}+v \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial t}=-\frac{\Delta t}{2} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial t^{3}}-\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{6} \frac{\partial^{4} u}{\partial t^{4}}+\frac{v \Delta x}{2} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{2} \partial t}-\frac{v(\Delta x)^{2}}{6} \frac{\partial^{4} u}{\partial x^{3} \partial t}+\ldots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiate $(*)$ with respect to $x$ and multiply by $v$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t \partial x}+v^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}=-\frac{v \Delta t}{2} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial t^{2} \partial x}-\frac{v(\Delta t)^{2}}{6} \frac{\partial^{4} u}{\partial t^{3} \partial x}+\frac{v^{2} \Delta x}{2} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}-\frac{v^{2}(\Delta x)^{2}}{6} \frac{\partial^{4} u}{\partial x^{4}}+\ldots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subtract (2) from (1) and drop high-order terms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=v^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\Delta t}{2}\left[-\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial t^{3}}+v \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial t^{2} \partial x}+\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)\right]+\frac{\Delta x}{2}\left[v \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{2} \partial t}-v^{2} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}+\mathcal{O}(\Delta x)\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiate formula (3) with respect to $t \quad \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial t^{3}}=v^{2} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{2} \partial t}+\mathcal{O}[\Delta t, \Delta x]$
Differentiate formula (2) with respect to $x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{2} \partial t}=-v \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}+\mathcal{O}[\Delta t, \Delta x] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiate formula (3) with respect to $x \quad \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial t^{2} \partial x}=v^{2} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}+\mathcal{O}[\Delta t, \Delta x]$

## Derivation of the modified equation

Equations (4) and (5) imply that $\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial t^{3}}=-v^{3} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}+\mathcal{O}[\Delta t, \Delta x]$
Plug (5)-(7) into (3) $\Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=v^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+v^{2}(v \Delta t-\Delta x) \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}+\mathcal{O}[\Delta t, \Delta x]$
Substitute (7) and (8) into (*) to obtain the modified equation

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=-\frac{v^{2} \Delta t}{2}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+(v \Delta t-\Delta x) \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}\right]+\frac{v^{3}(\Delta t)^{2}}{6} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}+\frac{v \Delta x}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}-\frac{v(\Delta x)^{2}}{6} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}+\ldots
$$

which can be rewritten in terms of the Courant number $\nu=v \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x}$ as follows

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=\underbrace{\frac{v \Delta x}{2}(1-\nu) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}}_{\text {numerical diffusion }}+\underbrace{\frac{v(\Delta x)^{2}}{6}\left(3 \nu-2 \nu^{2}-1\right) \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}}_{\text {numerical dispersion }}+\ldots
$$

Remark. The CFL stability condition $\nu \leq 1$ must be satisfied for the discrete problem to be well-posed. In the case $\nu>1$, the numerical diffusion coefficient $\frac{v \Delta x}{2}(1-\nu)$ is negative, which corresponds to a backward heat equation

## Significance of terms in the modified equation

Exact solution of the discretized equations

$$
A u^{n+1}=B u^{n} \longleftrightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+\mathcal{L} u=\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{2 p} \frac{\partial^{2 p} u}{\partial x^{2 p}}+\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{2 p+1} \frac{\partial^{2 p+1} u}{\partial x^{2 p+1}}
$$

Even-order derivatives $\frac{\partial^{2 p} u}{\partial x^{2 p}}$ cause numerical dissipation

smearing (amplitude errors)

Odd-order derivatives $\frac{\partial^{2 p+1} u}{\partial x^{2 p+1}}$ cause numerical dispersion

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=0
$$

Qualitative analysis: the numerical behavior of the discretization scheme largely depends on the relative importance of dispersive and dissipative effects

## Stabilization by means of artificial diffusion

Stability condition (necessary but not sufficient)
The coefficients of the even-order derivatives in the modified equation must have alternating signs, the one for the second-order term being positive

If this condition is violated, it can be enforced by adding artificial diffusion:
Stabilized methods $\quad+\delta(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)^{2} u \quad$ streamline diffusion
Nonoscillatory methods $\quad+\delta(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)^{2} u+\epsilon(u) \Delta u \quad$ shock-capturing viscosity
Remark. In the one-dimensional case both terms are proportional to $\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}$

Free parameters

$$
\delta=\frac{c_{\delta} h}{1+|\mathbf{v}|}, \quad \epsilon(u)=c_{\epsilon} h^{2} R(u)
$$

where $h$ is the mesh size and $R(u)$ is the residual

Problem: how to determine proper values of the constants $c_{\delta}$ and $c_{\epsilon} ? ? ?$
Alternative: use a high-order time-stepping method or flux/slope limiters

## Lax-Wendroff time-stepping

Consider a time-dependent PDE $\quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+\mathcal{L} u=0 \quad$ in $\Omega \times(0, T)$

1. Discretize it in time by means of the Taylor series expansion

$$
u^{n+1}=u^{n}+\Delta t\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{n}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}\right)^{n}+\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)^{3}
$$

2. Transform time derivatives into space derivatives using the PDE

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=-\mathcal{L} u, \quad \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(-\mathcal{L} u)=-\mathcal{L} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\mathcal{L}^{2} u
$$

3. Substitute the resulting expressions into the Taylor series

$$
u^{n+1}=u^{n}-\Delta t \mathcal{L} u^{n}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{2} \mathcal{L}^{2} u^{n}+\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)^{3}
$$

4. Perform space discretization using finite differences/volumes/elements

## Lax-Wendroff scheme for pure convection

Example. Pure convection equation $\quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=0 \quad$ (1D case)
Time derivatives

$$
\mathcal{L}=v \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=-v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \quad \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=v^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}
$$

$$
u^{n+1}=u^{n}-v \Delta t\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^{n}+\frac{(v \Delta t)^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}\right)^{n}+\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)^{3}
$$

Central difference approximation in space

$$
\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)_{i}=\frac{u_{i+1}-u_{i-1}}{2 \Delta x}+\mathcal{O}(\Delta x)^{2}, \quad\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}\right)_{i}=\frac{u_{i+1}-2 u_{i}+u_{i-1}}{(\Delta x)^{2}}+\mathcal{O}(\Delta x)^{2}
$$

Fully discrete scheme (second order in space and time)

$$
\frac{u_{i}^{n+1}-u_{i}^{n}}{\Delta t}+v \frac{u_{i+1}^{n}-u_{i-1}^{n}}{2 \Delta x}=\frac{v^{2} \Delta t}{2} \frac{u_{i+1}^{n}-2 u_{i}^{n}+u_{i-1}^{n}}{(\Delta x)^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left[(\Delta t)^{2},(\Delta x)^{2}\right]
$$

Remark. LW/CDS is equivalent to FE/CDS stabilized by numerical dissipation due to the second-order term in the Taylor series (no adjustable parameter)

## Forward Euler vs. Lax-Wendroff (CDS)

Modified equation for the FE/CDS scheme

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=-\frac{v \Delta x}{2} \nu \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}-\frac{v(\Delta x)^{2}}{6}\left(1+2 \nu^{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}+\ldots \quad \text { where } \quad \nu=v \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x}
$$

- unconditionally unstable since the coefficient $-\frac{v \Delta x}{2} \nu=-\frac{v^{2} \Delta t}{2}$ is negative

Modified equation for the LW/CDS scheme

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=-\frac{v(\Delta x)^{2}}{6}\left(1-\nu^{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}-\frac{v(\Delta x)^{3}}{8} \nu\left(1-\nu^{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{4} u}{\partial x^{4}}-\frac{v(\Delta x)^{4}}{120}\left(1+5 \nu^{2}-6 \nu^{4}\right) \frac{\partial^{5} u}{\partial x^{5}}+\ldots
$$

- conditionally stable for $\nu^{2} \leq 1$ in $1 \mathrm{D}, \nu^{2} \leq \frac{1}{8}$ in $2 \mathrm{D}, \nu^{2} \leq \frac{1}{27}$ in 3D
- the second-order derivative (leading dissipation error) has been eliminated
- the negative dispersion coefficient corresponds to a lagging phase error i.e.
- harmonics travel too slow, spurious oscillations occur behind steep fronts
- the leading truncation error vanishes for $\nu^{2}=1$ (unit CFL property)


## Forward Euler vs. Lax-Wendroff (FEM)

Galerkin FEM $\quad\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)_{i} \approx \mathcal{M} \frac{u_{i}^{n+1}-u_{i}^{n}}{\Delta t}, \quad$ where $\mathcal{M} u_{i}=\frac{u_{i+1}+4 u_{i}+u_{i-1}}{6}$ Modified equation for the FE/FEM scheme

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=-\frac{v \Delta x}{2} \nu \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}-\frac{v(\Delta x)^{2}}{3} \nu^{2} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}+\ldots \quad \text { where } \quad \nu=v \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x}
$$

- unconditionally unstable since the numerical diffusion coefficient is negative
- the leading dispersion error due to space discretization has been eliminated

Modified equation for the LW/FEM scheme

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=\frac{v(\Delta x)^{2}}{6} \nu^{2} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}-\frac{v(\Delta x)^{3}}{24} \nu\left(1-3 \nu^{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{4} u}{\partial x^{4}}+\frac{v(\Delta x)^{4}}{180}\left(1-\frac{15}{2} \nu^{2}+9 \nu^{4}\right) \frac{\partial^{5} u}{\partial x^{5}}+\ldots
$$

- conditionally stable for $\nu^{2} \leq \frac{1}{3}$ in $1 \mathrm{D}, \nu^{2} \leq \frac{1}{24}$ in $2 \mathrm{D}, \nu^{2} \leq \frac{1}{81}$ in 3 D
- the positive dispersion coefficient corresponds to a leading phase error i.e.
- harmonics travel too fast, spurious oscillations occur ahead of steep fronts
- the truncation error does not vanish for $\nu^{2}=1$ (no unit CFL property)


## Lax-Wendroff FEM in multidimensions

Pure convection equation $\quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla u=0 \quad$ in $\Omega \times(0, T) \quad \mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})$
Boundary conditions $\quad u=g$ on $\Gamma_{\mathrm{in}}=\{\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma: \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}<0\} \quad$ inflow boundary
Time derivatives $\quad \mathcal{L}=\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=-\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla u \quad$ streamline derivative

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)^{2} u \quad \text { streamline diffusion (second derivative in the flow direction) }
$$

Semi-discrete scheme $\quad u^{n+1}=u^{n}-\Delta t \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla u^{n}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{2}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)^{2} u^{n}+\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)^{3}$
Weak formulation for the Galerkin method

$$
\int_{\Omega} w\left(u^{n+1}-u^{n}\right) d \mathbf{x}=-\Delta t \int_{\Omega} w \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla u^{n} d \mathbf{x}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega} w(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)^{2} u^{n} d \mathbf{x}
$$

Integration by parts using the identity $\nabla \cdot(a \mathbf{b})=a \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}+\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla a$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} w \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla u d \mathbf{x}=-\int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot(w \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla u d \mathbf{x}+\int_{\Gamma_{\text {out }}} w \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla u d s \\
& =-\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla w \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla u d \mathbf{x}-\int_{\Omega} w \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla u d \mathbf{x}+\int_{\Gamma_{\text {out }}} w \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla u d s
\end{aligned}
$$

## Taylor-Galerkin methods

Donea (1984) introduced a family of high-order time-stepping schemes which stabilize the convective terms by means of intrinsic streamline diffusion

Convection-dominated PDE $\quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+\mathcal{L} u=0 \quad$ in $\Omega \times(0, T)$
Taylor series expansion up to the third order

$$
u^{n+1}=u^{n}+\Delta t\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{n}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}\right)^{n}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{3}}{6}\left(\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial t^{3}}\right)^{n}+\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)^{4}
$$

Time derivatives $\quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=-\mathcal{L} u, \quad \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(-\mathcal{L} u)=-\mathcal{L} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\mathcal{L}^{2} u$

$$
\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial t^{3}}=\mathcal{L}^{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\mathcal{L}^{2} \frac{u^{n+1}-u^{n}}{\Delta t}+\mathcal{O}(\Delta t) \quad \text { to avoid third-order space derivatives }
$$

Substitution $\quad u^{n+1}=u^{n}-\Delta t \mathcal{L} u^{n}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{2} \mathcal{L}^{2} u^{n}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{6} \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(u^{n+1}-u^{n}\right)+\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)^{4}$
Remark. The Lax-Wendroff scheme is recovered for $u^{n+1}=u^{n}$ (steady state)

## Euler Taylor-Galerkin scheme

Semi-discrete FE/TG scheme

$$
\left[\mathcal{I}-\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{6} \mathcal{L}^{2}\right] \frac{u^{n+1}-u^{n}}{\Delta t}=-\mathcal{L} u^{n}+\frac{\Delta t}{2} \mathcal{L}^{2} u^{n}
$$

Space discretization: Galerkin FEM (finite differences/volumes also feasible)
The third-order term results in a modification of the consistent mass matrix
Example. Pure convection in 1D $\quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=0, \quad \mathcal{L}=v \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$
Modified equation for the FE/TG scheme (Galerkin FEM, linear elements)

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=-\frac{v(\Delta x)^{3}}{24} \nu\left(1-\nu^{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{4} u}{\partial x^{4}}+\frac{v(\Delta x)^{4}}{180}\left(1-5 \nu^{2}+4 \nu^{4}\right) \frac{\partial^{5} u}{\partial x^{5}}+\ldots
$$

- conditionally stable for $\nu^{2} \leq 1$ in $1 \mathrm{D}, \nu^{2} \leq \frac{1}{8}$ in $2 \mathrm{D}, \nu^{2} \leq \frac{1}{27}$ in 3D
- the leading dispersion error is of higher order than that for LW/FEM
- the leading truncation error vanishes for $\nu^{2}=1$ (unit CFL property)


## Leapfrog Taylor-Galerkin scheme

Taylor series $\quad u^{n \pm 1}=u^{n} \pm \Delta t\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{n}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}\right)^{n} \pm \frac{(\Delta t)^{3}}{6}\left(\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial t^{3}}\right)^{n}+\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)^{4}$
It follows that $u^{n+1}-u^{n-1}=2 \Delta t\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{n}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{3}}{3}\left(\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial t^{3}}\right)^{n}+\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)^{4}$
Time derivatives $\quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=-\mathcal{L} u, \quad \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial t^{3}}=\mathcal{L}^{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\mathcal{L}^{2} \frac{u^{n+1}-u^{n}}{\Delta t}+\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)$

Semi-discrete LF/TG scheme

$$
\left[\mathcal{I}-\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{6} \mathcal{L}^{2}\right] \frac{u^{n+1}-u^{n-1}}{2 \Delta t}=-\mathcal{L} u^{n}
$$

Modified equations for leapfrog schemes with $\quad \mathcal{L}=v \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$
LF/CDS $\quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=-\frac{v(\Delta x)^{2}}{6}\left(1-\nu^{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}+\frac{v(\Delta x)^{4}}{120}\left(1-10 \nu^{2}+9 \nu^{4}\right) \frac{\partial^{5} u}{\partial x^{5}}+\ldots$
LF/FEM $\quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=\frac{v(\Delta x)^{2}}{6} \nu^{2} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}+\frac{v(\Delta x)^{4}}{360}\left(2-27 \nu^{4}\right) \frac{\partial^{5} u}{\partial x^{5}}+\ldots$
$\mathrm{LF} / \mathrm{TG} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=\frac{v(\Delta x)^{4}}{360}\left(2+5 \nu^{2}-7 \nu^{4}\right) \frac{\partial^{5} u}{\partial x^{5}}+\ldots$

- fourth-order accurate, non-dissipative and conditionally stable for $\nu^{2} \leq 1$
- the truncation error shrinks as compared to that for 2nd-order LF schemes
- the unit CFL property is satisfied for phase angles in the range $0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$


## Crank-Nicolson Taylor-Galerkin scheme

Taylor series expansions up to the fourth order

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{n+1}=u^{n}+\Delta t\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{n}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}\right)^{n}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{3}}{6}\left(\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial t^{3}}\right)^{n}+\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)^{4} \\
& u^{n}=u^{n+1}-\Delta t\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{n+1}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}\right)^{n+1}-\frac{(\Delta t)^{3}}{6}\left(\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial t^{3}}\right)^{n+1}+\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $\quad u^{n+1}=u^{n}+\frac{\Delta t}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{n}+\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{n+1}\right]$

$$
+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{4}\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}\right)^{n}-\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}\right)^{n+1}\right]+\frac{(\Delta t)^{3}}{12}\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial t^{3}}\right)^{n}+\left(\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial t^{3}}\right)^{n+1}\right]+\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)^{4}
$$

Time derivatives $\quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=-\mathcal{L} u, \quad \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(-\mathcal{L} u)=-\mathcal{L} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\mathcal{L}^{2} u$

$$
\left(\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial t^{3}}\right)^{n}+\left(\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial t^{3}}\right)^{n+1}=\mathcal{L}^{2}\left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{n}+\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{n+1}\right]=2 \mathcal{L}^{2} \frac{u^{n+1}-u^{n}}{\Delta t}+\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)
$$

Fourth-order accurate Crank-Nicolson time-stepping

$$
u^{n+1}=u^{n}-\frac{\Delta t}{2} \mathcal{L}\left(u^{n}+u^{n+1}\right)+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{4} \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(u^{n}-u^{n+1}\right)+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{6} \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(u^{n+1}-u^{n}\right)
$$

## Crank-Nicolson Taylor-Galerkin scheme

Semi-discrete CN/TG scheme

$$
\left[\mathcal{I}+\frac{\Delta t}{2} \mathcal{L}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{12} \mathcal{L}^{2}\right] \frac{u^{n+1}-u^{n}}{\Delta t}=-\mathcal{L} u^{n}
$$

Modified equations for Crank-Nicolson schemes with $\quad \mathcal{L}=v \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$
$\mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{CDS} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=-\frac{v(\Delta x)^{2}}{6}\left(1+\frac{\nu^{2}}{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}+\frac{v(\Delta x)^{4}}{120}\left(1+5 \nu^{2}+\frac{3}{2} \nu^{4}\right) \frac{\partial^{5} u}{\partial x^{5}}+\ldots$
CN/FEM $\quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=-\frac{v(\Delta x)^{2}}{12} \nu^{2} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}+\ldots$
$\mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{TG} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=\frac{v(\Delta x)^{4}}{720}\left(4-5 \nu^{2}+\nu^{4}\right) \frac{\partial^{5} u}{\partial x^{5}}+\ldots$

- fourth-order accurate, non-dissipative and unconditionally stable
- cannot be operated at $\nu^{2}>1$ since the matrix becomes singular
- the phase response is far superior to that for 2 nd-order CN schemes
- the leading truncation error vanishes for $\nu^{2}=1$ (unit CFL property)

Remark. Both LF/TG and CN/TG degenerate into the unstable Galerkin discretization if the solution reaches a steady state so that $u^{n+1}=u^{n}$

## Multistep Taylor-Galerkin schemes

Fractional step algorithms of predictor-corrector type lend themselves to the treatment of (nonlinear) problems described by PDEs of complex structure Purpose: to avoid a repeated application of spatial differential operators to the governing equation and/or enhance the accuracy of time discretization

Taylor series $\quad u^{n+1}=u^{n}+\Delta t\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{n}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}\right)^{n}+\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)^{3}$
Factorization $\quad \mathcal{I}+\Delta t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}=\mathcal{I}+\Delta t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\mathcal{I}+\frac{\Delta t}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right]$
Richtmyer scheme (two-step Lax-Wendroff method)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{n+1 / 2}=u^{n}+\frac{\Delta t}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{n} \\
& u^{n+1}=u^{n}+\Delta t\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{n+1 / 2}
\end{aligned} \Rightarrow \quad u^{n+1 / 2}=u^{n}-\frac{\Delta t}{2} \mathcal{L} u^{n}
$$

- second-order RK method (forward Euler predictor + midpoint rule corrector)
- stability and phase characteristics as for the single-step Lax-Wendroff scheme


## Multistep Taylor-Galerkin schemes

Taylor series $\quad u^{n+1}=u^{n}+\Delta t\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{n}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}\right)^{n}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{3}}{6}\left(\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial t^{3}}\right)^{n}+\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)^{4}$
Factorization $\quad \mathcal{I}+\Delta t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{3}}{6} \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial t^{3}}=\mathcal{I}+\Delta t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\mathcal{I}+\frac{\Delta t}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{6} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\right]$

$$
=\mathcal{I}+\Delta t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\mathcal{I}+\frac{\Delta t}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\mathcal{I}+\frac{\Delta t}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\right] \quad \text { no high-order derivatives }
$$

Three-step Taylor-Galerkin method (Jiang and Kawahara, 1993)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{n+1 / 3}=u^{n}+\frac{\Delta t}{3}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{n} \\
& u^{n+1 / 2}=u^{n}+\frac{\Delta t}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{n+1 / 3} \quad \Rightarrow \quad u^{n+1 / 2}=u^{n}-\frac{\Delta t}{2} \mathcal{L} u^{n+1 / 3} \\
& u^{n+1}=u^{n}+\Delta t\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{n+1 / 2} \\
& u^{n+1}=u^{n}-\Delta t \mathcal{L} u^{n+1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- third-order time-stepping method, conditionally stable for $\nu^{2} \leq 1$ (optimal)
- no improvement in phase accuracy as compared to the two-step TG algorithm
- lagging phase error at intermediate and short wavelengths, unit CFL property


## High-order Taylor-Galerkin schemes

Multistep TG methods involving second time derivatives offer high accuracy and an isotropic stability domain for nonlinear multidimensional problems

Two-step third-order TG scheme (Selmin, 1987)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u^{n+1 / 2}=u^{n}+\frac{\Delta t}{3}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{n}+\alpha(\Delta t)^{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}\right)^{n} & \text { predictor } \\
u^{n+1}=u^{n}+\Delta t\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{n}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}\right)^{n+1 / 2} & \text { corrector }
\end{array}
$$

- $\alpha$ is chosen so as to obtain the desired stability/accuracy characteristics
- excellent phase response of the FE/TG method is reproduced for $\alpha=\frac{1}{9}$
- stable for $\nu^{2} \leq \frac{3}{4}$ in $1 \mathrm{D} / 2 \mathrm{D} / 3 \mathrm{D}$ (no loss of stability in multidimensions)

Underlying factorization vs. Taylor series expansion
$\mathcal{I}+\Delta t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\left[\mathcal{I}+\frac{\Delta t}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\alpha(\Delta t)^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\right]=\mathcal{I}+\Delta t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{3}}{6} \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial t^{3}}+\alpha \frac{(\Delta t)^{4}}{2} \frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial t^{4}}$
Remark. A fourth-order accurate time-stepping method is recovered for $\alpha=\frac{1}{12}$

## Two-step fourth-order TG schemes

TTG-4A scheme (Selmin and Quartapelle, 1993)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u^{n+1 / 2}=u^{n}-\frac{\Delta t}{3} \mathcal{L} u^{n}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{12} \mathcal{L}^{2} u^{n} & \text { predictor } \\
u^{n+1}=u^{n}-\Delta t \mathcal{L} u^{n}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{2} \mathcal{L}^{2} u^{n+1 / 2} & \text { corrector }
\end{array}
$$

- fourth-order accurate in time, isotropic stability condition $\nu^{2} \leq 1$
- poor phase response at intermediate and short wavelengths as $|\nu| \rightarrow 1$

TTG-4B scheme $\quad \alpha \approx 0.1409714, \quad \beta \approx 0.1160538, \quad \gamma \approx 0.3590284$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u^{n+1 / 2}=u^{n}-\alpha \Delta t \mathcal{L} u^{n}+\beta(\Delta t)^{2} \mathcal{L}^{2} u^{n} & \text { predictor } \\
u^{n+1}=u^{n}-\Delta t \mathcal{L} u^{n+1 / 2}+\gamma(\Delta t)^{2} \mathcal{L}^{2} u^{n+1 / 2} & \text { corrector }
\end{array}
$$

- fourth-order accurate in time, isotropic stability condition $\nu^{2} \leq 0.718$
- excellent phase response in the whole range of Courant numbers


## Semi-implicit Taylor-Galerkin schemes

Problem: fully explicit schemes are doomed to be conditionally stable

Semi-implicit Lax-Wendroff method (Hassan et al., 1989)

$$
u^{n+1}=u^{n}-\Delta t \mathcal{L} u^{n}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{2} \mathcal{L}^{2} u^{n+1}+\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)^{3} \quad \text { unconditionally stable }
$$

High-order multistep TG schemes (Safjan and Oden, 1993)

$$
\left[\mathcal{I}-\lambda(\Delta t)^{2} \mathcal{L}^{2}\right] u^{n+\alpha_{i}}=u^{n}+\sum_{j=0}^{i-1}\left[-\mu_{i j} \Delta t \mathcal{L}+\nu_{i j}(\Delta t)^{2} \mathcal{L}^{2}\right] u^{n+\alpha_{j}}, \quad i=1, \ldots, s
$$

Here $0=\alpha_{0} \leq \ldots \leq \alpha_{s}=1$, the free parameter $\lambda$ is to be chosen from stability considerations and the coefficients $\alpha_{i}, \mu_{i j}, \nu_{i j}$ must satisfy the order conditions

$$
\alpha_{i}^{k}-k \sum_{j=1}^{s}\left[\mu_{i j} \alpha_{j}^{k-1}+\nu_{i j}(k-1) \alpha_{j}^{k-2}\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\mu_{i 0}, & i=1 \\
2 \nu_{i 0}, & i=2 \\
0, & \text { otherwise } & i=1, \ldots, s \\
& k=1, \ldots, p
\end{array}\right.
$$

for an $s$-step scheme to be of $p$-th order ( $p=2 s$ is the highest possible accuracy)

## Padé approximations

Taylor series expansion
(Donea et al., 1998)

$$
u^{n+1}=\left[1+\Delta t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{(\Delta t)^{3}}{6} \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial t^{3}}+\ldots\right] u^{n}=\exp \left(\Delta t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) u^{n}
$$

Padé approximations of order $p=m+n$ to the exponential of $x=\Delta t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$

$$
R_{n, m}(x):=\frac{P_{n}(x)}{Q_{m}(x)} \approx \exp (x) \quad \text { multistage Taylor-Galerkin methods }
$$

Example. $\quad R_{2,0}=1+x+\frac{x^{2}}{2} \quad$ (second order) $\quad R_{2,0}$ - Richtmyer scheme

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
u^{n+1}=\left(1+x\left(1+\frac{x}{2}\right)\right) u^{n}=u^{n}+\Delta t\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{n+1 / 2} & R_{3,0}-\text { Jiang-Kawahara } \\
\text { where } \quad u^{n+1 / 2}=u^{n}+\frac{\Delta t}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{n} & R_{1,1}-\text { Crank-Nicolson } \\
& R_{2,2}-\text { CNTG scheme }
\end{array}
$$

## Padé approximations

| $m, n$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | $1+x$ | $1+x+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}$ | $1+x+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+\frac{1}{6} x^{3}$ |
| 1 | $\frac{1}{1-x}$ | $\frac{1+\frac{1}{2} x}{1-\frac{1}{2} x}$ | $\frac{1+\frac{2}{3} x+\frac{1}{6} x^{2}}{1-\frac{1}{3} x}$ | $\frac{1+\frac{3}{4} x+\frac{1}{4} x^{2}+\frac{1}{24} x^{3}}{1-\frac{1}{4} x}$ |
| 2 | $\frac{1}{1-x+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}}$ | $\frac{1+\frac{1}{3} x}{1-\frac{2}{3} x+\frac{1}{6} x^{2}}$ | $\frac{1+\frac{1}{2} x+\frac{1}{12} x^{2}}{1-\frac{1}{2} x+\frac{1}{12} x^{2}}$ | $\frac{1+\frac{3}{5} x+\frac{3}{20} x^{2}+\frac{1}{60} x^{3}}{1-\frac{2}{5} x+\frac{1}{20} x^{2}}$ |
| 3 | $\frac{1}{1-x+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}-\frac{1}{6} x^{3}}$ | $\frac{1+\frac{1}{4} x}{1-\frac{3}{4} x+\frac{1}{4} x^{2}-\frac{1}{24} x^{3}}$ | $\frac{1+\frac{2}{3} x+\frac{1}{20} x^{2}}{1-\frac{3}{5} x+\frac{3}{20} x^{2}+\frac{1}{60} x^{3}}$ | $\frac{1+\frac{1}{2} x+\frac{1}{10} x^{2}+\frac{1}{10} x^{3}}{1-\frac{1}{2} x+\frac{1}{10} x^{2}-\frac{1}{120} x^{3}}$ |

$m=0 \quad$ explicit TG schemes, $\quad m>0$ implicit TG schemes

