New Trier Twp HSD 203 Northfield, ILLINOIS



State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

This year, we have updated the report card to provide a full picture of school performance beyond just test scores. A display of this data designed with parents and communities in mind is available on illinoisreportcard.com. All of the metrics posted on illinoisreportcard.com are also included in this report.

STUDENTS

RACIAL/I	RACIAL/ETHNIC BACKGROUND AND OTHER INFORMATION													
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	Americar	More	Percent Low- Income	Percent Limited- English- Proficient	Percent IEP	Percent Homeless	Chronic Truancy Rate	Attendance Rate	Total Enrollment
District	83.8	0.6	3.9	7.8	0.0	0.2	3.6	3.3	0.6	15.4	0.1	0.1	94.8	4,006
State	48.5	17.0	25.7	4.9	0.1	0.4	3.4	50.2	10.7	13.9	2.1	10.8	94.0	2,028,162

Low-income students come from families receiving public aid; live in institutions for neglected or delinquent children; are supported in foster homes with public funds; or are eligible to receive free or reduced-price lunches. **IEP** students are those students eligible to receive special education services.

Limited-English-proficient students are those students eligible for transitional bilingual programs. **Total Enrollment** is based on <u>Serving School</u>.

Homeless students are students who do not have permanent and adequate homes.

Chronic truants are students who are absent from school without valid cause for 9 or more of the last 180 school days.

STUDENT	Γ MOBILIT	Y RATE											
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Percent Limited- English- Proficient	Percent IEP	Percent Low- Income
District	1.3	1.4	1.2	1.2	3.8	2.5	2.2			1.4	12.5	2.3	4.1
State	6.9	7.3	6.5	4.5	13.4	6.8	6.8	8.1	7.5	8.0	9.3	10.1	9.6

INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING

PARENTAL CONTACT*			TOTAL SCH	OOL DAYS
	Percent			Days
District State	100.0 94.9		District State	177 175

STUDENT-TO-STAFF RATIOS							
Pupil- Teacher Elementary	Pupil- Teacher Secondary	Pupil- Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrator				
	13.2 19.4	8.6 11.2	250.4 189.6				

HEALTH AND WELLNESS (days per week)				
District	5.0			
State	4.0			

^{*} Parental contact includes parent-teacher conferences, parental visits to school, school visits to home, telephone conversations, and written correspondence.

AVERAGE C	AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (as of the first school day in May)										
Grades	к	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12	Overall
District State										22.1 19.5	22.1 20.2

TEACHER	TEACHER INFORMATION (Full -Time Equivalents)										
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	UnKnowr	Male	Female	Total Number
District	91.1	0.8	2.3	5.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	46.4	53.6	344
State	83.3	5.8	5.6	1.5	0.1	0.2	0.8	2.7	23.3	76.7	129.575

TEACHER	INFORMATION			
		Average Teaching Experience (Years)	% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Masters's & Above
District:	All Schools	15.5	5.3	94.7
	High Poverty Schools			
	Low Poverty Schools	15.5	5.3	94.7
State:	All Schools	13.1	38.5	60.9
	High Poverty Schools	12.0	39.6	59.9
	Low Poverty Schools	13.6	31.3	68.5

Poverty (low-income) is defined on page 1 of all report cards. High- and low-poverty schools include those in the top and bottom quarters of the poverty distribution of schools in the state.

TEACHER RETENTION RATE					
District	89.8				
State	86.3				


PRINCIPAL TURNOVER (Count)					
District	2.0				
State	2.0				


TEACHER ATTENDANCE				
District	92.1			
State	75.3			

TEACHER EVALUATION				
District	99.5			
State	96.7			

2

SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES





REVENUE BY SOURCE 2015-16						
	District	District %	State %			
Local Property Taxes	\$109,444,683	91.4	63.2			
Other Local Funding	\$5,393,211	4.5	4.8			
General State Aid	\$876,843	0.7	17.1			
Other State Funding	\$1,800,262	1.5	7.1			
Federal Funding	\$2,249,878	1.9	7.8			
TOTAL	\$119,764,877					

	District	District %	State %
Education	\$87,686,882	53.8	73.4
Operations & Maintenance	\$7,249,121	4.4	6.2
Transportation	\$1,814,027	1.1	3.8
Debt Service	\$10,894,438	6.7	8.2
Tort	\$0	0.0	1.2
Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	\$3,279,071	2.0	2.1
Fire Prevention & Safety	\$1,527,062	0.9	0.5
Capital Projects	\$50,456,927	31.0	4.6
TOTAL	\$162,907,528		

3

OTHER FINANCIAL INDICATORS						
	2014 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2014 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2015-16 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2015-16 Operating Expenditure per Pupil		
District	\$1,230,873	2.27	\$15,535	\$25,007		
State	**	**	\$7,853	\$12,973		

^{**} Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.


Equalized assessed valuation includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.

Total school tax rate is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.

Instructional expenditure per pupil includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.

Operating expenditure per pupil includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE



ACT is no longer a component of the state assessment. College and career readiness will be redefined next year due to ESSA. As a result, the college and career readiness measure for the 2016-17 Report Card has not been changed for consistency. Beginning with the 2016-17 school year, grade 11 students take the SAT as the high school accountability assessment. However, the College Course Work Readiness data point on the Illinois Report Card reports on the graduating class of the reporting year. The data represents the most recent ACT score earned by a 2017 graduate.

READY FOR COLLEGE COURSE WORK

District 93.5
State 50.5

PERCENT OF STUDENTS MET ACT BENCHMARKS							
	English Math Read Science ALL 4 Subject						
District	96.0	85.8	89.6	81.6	74.2		
State	64.5	42.6	46.2	37.7	28.2		

COLLEGE ENROLLMENT						
12 Months 16 Months						
District	83.6	87.8				
State	69.5	73.2				

FRESHMEN ON TRACK					
District 96.0					
State 87.1					

8TH GRADERS PASSING ALGEBRA I *					
District					
State	29.5				

^{*} For the 8th graders passing algebra I, if the percentage is 0, it means that an Algebra I course is not offered.

CTE ENROLLMENT				
District	1,296			
State	277,461			

ADVANCED COURSE WORK (AP/IB/DUAL CREDIT)							
	Grade 10 Grade 11 Grade 12						
District	2	264	590				
State 30,084 57,402 73,171							

		CED PLACEMEN			IAL BACCALA				
		OURSE WORK			OURSE WORK		DUAL CRE	DIT COURSE V	VORK
	Grade10	Grade11	Grade12	Grade10	Grade11	Grade12	Grade10	Grade11	Grade12
All									
District	2	264	590	0	0	0	0	0	0
State	22,366	42,705	51,434	3,713	3,305	2,970	5,374	17,055	31,731
White									
District	0	222	489	0	0	0	0	0	0
State	12,886	23,938	29,439	524	633	623	3,003	10,921	19,992
Black	,	-,	-,				.,	.,.	.,
District	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
State	1,413	3,535	4,470	1,158	898	763	815	1,859	3,645
	1,410	3,333	4,470	1,130	030	700	010	1,009	3,043
Hispanic									
District	0	6	23	0	0	0	0	0	0
State	4,170	9,355	11,234	1,782	1,497	1,317	1,150	2,956	5,737
Asian									
District	2	26	57	0	0	0	0	0	0
State	2,992	4,401	4,694	192	217	207	217	799	1,434
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander									
District	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
State	34	52	56	7	9	0	5	15	31
American Indian									
District	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
State	51	106	109	10	10	5	25	33	76
Two or More Races									
District	0	9	16	0	0	0	0	0	0
State	790	1,312	1,409	40	41	55	159	472	815
LEP									
District	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
State	73	211	161	305	127	11	159	238	264
		211	101	000	121		100	200	201
Non LEP	_					_	_	_	
District	2	264	590	0	0	0	0	0	0
State	22,293	42,494	51,273	3,408	3,178	2,959	5,215	16,817	31,467
IEP									
District	0	4	15	0	0	0	0	0	0
State	209	470	861	432	199	189	520	1,200	2,086
Non IEP									
District	2	260	575	0	0	0	0	0	0
State	22,157	42,235	50,573	3,281	3,106	2,781	4,854	15,855	29,645
Low Income	<u> </u>		•						
District	0	4	15	0	0	0	0	0	0
State	5,068	11,705	14,549	3,079	2,348	2,108	2,079	5,868	10,553
		11,700	11,040	0,010	2,0 10	,100	_,010	3,000	10,000
Non Low Income									
District	2	260	575	0	0	0	0	0	0
State	17,298	31,000	36,885	634	957	862	3,295	11,187	21,178

ADVANCE PLACEMENT (AP) EXAMS						
GRADE 10						
	No. of AP Exams Taken	No. of AP Exams Passed	Took One or More AP Exams	Passed One or More AP Exams		
District	55	52	49	47		
State	29,796	19,566	20,167	13,574		

GRADE 11								
	No. of AP Exams Taken No. of AP Exams Passed Took One or More AP Exams Passed One or More AP Exams							
District	655	625	274	268				
State	99,391	63,822	37,893	25,821				

		GRADE 12	2	
	No. of AP Exams Taken	No. of AP Exams Passed	Took One or More AP Exams	Passed One or More AP Exams
District	2,021	1,918	567	543
State	167,009	110,934	38,607	29,530

POST-SECONI	DARY REMEDIATION (CLASS OF 2015)
District	32.7
State	46.8

HIGH SCHO	OL 4-YEAF	R GRADUA	ATION RAT	Έ										
		Ger	nder			Ra	ace / Ethni	icity						
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disad- vantaged
District	98.0	97.7	98.4	97.7		97.9	100.0			100.0			85.6	100.0
State	87.0	84.5	89.5	90.6	78.9	83.5	94.7	81.8	81.3	86.2	73.6	68.8	71.2	79.4


HIGH SCHO	OL 5-YEAF	R GRADUA	ATION RAT	Έ										
		Ger	nder			Ra	ice / Ethn	icity						
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disad- vantaged
District	99.5	99.2	99.8	99.6	90.9	100.0	98.8			100.0			97.8	100.0
State	88.4	86.3	90.7	91.7	80.9	85.5	95.8	88.4	83.8	87.6	79.0	82.6	75.5	81.8


HIGH SCHO	OL 6-YEAF	R GRADUA	ATION RAT	E										
		Gen	der			Ra	ace / Ethni	city						
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disad- vantaged
District	99.2	98.6	100.0	99.4		97.8	98.9			94.7			94.4	98.0
State	88.6	86.4	90.8	91.6	81.3	85.7	95.7	89.1	84.6	87.9	80.4	40.0	76.9	83.3

HIGH SCHO	OL DROPO	OUT RATE												
		Ger	nder			Ra	ice / Ethni	city						
	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific All Male Female White Black Hispanic Asian Islander Indian Races											Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disad- vantaged
District	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0		0.2	0.0
State	2.1	2.3	1.8	1.2	4.2	2.7	0.4	1.2	2.7	2.1	3.6	2.5	3.6	3.6

OVERALL STUDENT PERFORMANCE

These charts present the overall percentage of state test scores categorized as meeting or exceeding the PARCC expectations, SAT standards or DLM-AA Standards for your district, and the state.







DLM-AA scores in the Progressing and Attaining performance levels count the same, respectively, as meeting or exceeding state standards.

8

SAT PERFORMANCE


These show the percentage of student scores meeting or exceeding Standards for the grades and subjects tested on SAT





DLM-AA PERFORMANCE

These show the percentage of student scores meeting or exceeding Standards for the grades and subjects tested on DLM-AA



PERFORMANCE ON STATE ASSESSMENTS

Federal law requires that student achievement results for schools providing Title I services be reported to the general public.

The PARCC is administered to students in grade 3 through 8. SAT is administered to students in grade 11. The DLM-AA is administered to students in grade 3 through 8 and 11 with disabilities whose Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) indicate that participation in the PARCC or SAT would not be appropriate.

Students with disabilities have an IEP. An IEP is a written plan for a child with a disability who is eligible to receive special education services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

In order to protect students' identities, test data for groups of fewer than ten students are not reported.

PERCE	NTAGE OF S	TUDENTS N	OT TESTE	D IN STAT	E TESTING	G PROGRA	AMS FOR E	LA							
			Gei	nder		R	acial/Ethni	c Backgr	ound						
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disadv- antaged
	*Enrollment	958	486	472	862	1	24	51	0	1	19	1	0	141	27
District	Reading	0.9	1.4	0.4	0.9		0.0	2.0			0.0			2.8	0.0
State	*Enrollment	1,044,459	533,974	510,468	505,668	175,154	271,875	51,312	1,081	4,730	34,569	90,576	126	143,863	530,039
Jule	Reading	1.8	1.8	1.8	3 1.7 2.4 1.7 1.2 3.4 1.1 2.1 1.9 1.6 3.0 1.										1.9

^{*} Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3-8 and grade 11

Number of LEP Students who have attended schools in the U.S. for less than 12 months and are not assessed on the State's reading/language arts test: 0

PERCE	NTAGE OF ST	TUDENTS	NOT TEST	ED IN STA	ATE TEST	ING PROG	RAMS FOR	R MATHE	MATICS						
			G	ender			Racial/Eth	nic Back	ground						
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Disadv-
	*Enrollment	958	486	472	862	1	24	51	0	1	19	1	0	141	27
District	Mathematics	0.9	1.4	0.4	0.9		0.0	2.0			0.0			2.8	0.0
State	*Enrollment	1,046,615	535,114	511,484	506,264	175,213	272,641	52,002	1,091	4,739	34,592	93,048	127	143,785	531,314
	Mathematics	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.7	2.5	1.7	1.0	2.7	1.1	2.2	1.4	1.6	3.1	1.8

^{*} Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3-8 and grade 11

Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC)

Each Performance Level is a broad, categorical level defined by a student's overall scale score and used to report overall student performance by describing how well students met the expectations for their grade level/course. Each Performance Level is defined by a range of overall scale scores for the assessment. There are five Performance Levels for PARCC assessments:

- •• Level 1: Did not yet meet expectations
- •• Level 2: Partially met expectations
- Level 3: Approached expectations
- Level 4: Met expectations
- Level 5: Exceeded expectations

Students performing at levels 4 and 5 met or exceeded expectations, have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and, ultimately, are on track for college and careers. Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs) describe the knowledge, skills, and practices that students should know and be able to demonstrate at each Performance Level in each content area (ELA and mathematics), and at each grade level/course. PLDs are available at http://avocet.pearson.com/PARCC/Home.

SAT

Each Performance Level is a broad, categorical level defined by a student's score and used to report overall student performance by describing how well students met the expectations for their grade level/course. Each Performance Level is defined by a range of overall scores for the assessment. There are four Performance Levels for SAT:

Level 1 -- Partially Meets Standards

The student has only partially met standards & demonstrates a **minimal** understanding of the knowledge & skills needed relative to the Illinois Learning Standards.

Level 2 -- Approaching Standards

The student is approaching the proficiency level & demonstrates an **incomplete** understanding of the knowledge & skills needed relative to the Illinois Learning Standards.

Level 3 -- Meets Standards The student has met the proficiency level & demonstrates **adequate** understanding of the knowledge & skills

needed relative to the Illinois Learning Standards.

Level 4 -- Exceeds Standards The student has exceeded the proficiency level & demonstrates a **thorough** understanding of the knowledge & skills needed relative to the Illinois Learning Standards.

SAT

SAT- All

		EL	A			Math	ematics	
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
District	2.7	9.5	35.2	52.7	5.3	14.6	42.9	37.2
State	22.8	37.4	26.7	13.1	31.0	32.6	28.5	7.9

SAT - Ger	nder								
			E	LA			Mathe	ematics	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Male	District State	3.2 26.1	8.9 36.4	34.6 25.0	53.3 12.5	4.9 30.6	10.4 31.0		43.9 9.5
Female	District State	2.1 19.5	10.1 38.4	35.8 28.5	52.0 13.6	5.8 31.3	18.8 34.3		30.4 6.2

SAT - Raci	ial/Ethnic Bac	kground							
			<u>EL</u>	<u> </u>			<u>Mathe</u>	matics	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
White									
	District	2.4	9.5	36.3	51.8	5.0	14.7	43.9	36.4
	State	14.7	34.2	33.2	17.8	20.9	31.9	36.8	10.4
Black									
	District		44.0	40.0				40.0	
	State	41.4	41.8	13.8	3.0	56.3	32.0	10.9	0.8
Hispanic		40.5	40 =	0.5.0	45.0			^- -	
	District	12.5	16.7	25.0	45.8	20.8	20.8	37.5	20.8
	State	31.5	44.4	19.4	4.7	41.1	37.3	19.5	2.1
Asian		0.0	40.0	00.0	04.0	0.0	40.0	00.0	540
	District	0.0	10.0	26.0	64.0	2.0	12.0	32.0	54.0
	State	10.2	24.5	33.5	31.8	10.7	22.1	38.8	28.3
	vaiian/Pacific								
Islander	District								
		16.2	39.7	26.5	17.6	28.7	27.2	33.1	11.0
	State	10.2	33.1	20.0	17.0	20.1	21.2	33.1	11.0
American I									
	District	28.2	41.7	20.4	9.7				
	State	20.2	41.7	20.4	9.1	40.9	29.6	24.7	4.8
Two or Moi		10.5	0.0	21.1	68.4	10.5	5.3	36.8	47.4
	District	18.8	35.9	27.9	17.5	28.1	31.6	29.8	10.6
	State	10.0	33.9	21.3	17.3	20.1	31.0	29.0	10.0

SAT - Stud	dents with Dis	abilities							
			EL	.A			Mathe	matics	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
IEP	District State	16.7 66.1	27.8 25.6	37.3 6.3	18.3 2.1	27.8 76.0	29.4 17.1	29.4 5.8	13.5 1.1
Non-IEP	District	0.5	6.7	34.9	58.0	1.8	12.3	45.0	40.9
	State	17.5	38.8	29.2	14.4	25.5	34.5	31.3	8.7

		ELA				Mathematics			
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Free/Reduced Price Lunch District State	7.4 36.1	33.3 42.9	33.3 17.1	25.9 3.9	22.2 47.0	25.9 34.6	29.6 16.5	22.2 1.9	
Not Eligible									
District State	2.5 13.2	8.8 33.4	35.2 33.8	53.5 19.7	4.8 19.3	14.3 31.2	43.2 37.3	37.7 12.2	

12

Dynamic Learning Maps - Alternative Assessment (DLM-AA)

DLM results are not based on raw or scale scores; all data is based on diagnostic classification modeling. Standard setting allows us to look at patterns of number of linkage levels mastered across the tested Essential Elements, to which we can apply cut points to define categories of student performance. This performance are reported using the four performance levels approved by the consortium:

Level 1 -- Emerging The student demonstrates **emerging** understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.

Level 2 -- Approaching The student's understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is approaching the target.

Level 3 -- At Target - The student's understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is at target

Level 4 -- Advanced The student demonstrates **advanced** understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.

High School

High School - All

		ELA				Mathematics			
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
District	27.3	9.1	45.5	18.2	36.4	54.5	9.1	0.0	
State	41.2	31.9	24.1	2.8	67.8	27.1	5.1	0.0	

High School- Gender

			EL	.Α		Mathematics			
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Male	District State	41.1	32.1	23.8	3.0	66.8	27.2	6.0	0.0
Female	District State	41.4	31.5	24.7	2.4	69.9	26.7	3.4	0.0

13

High School - Racial/Ethnic Background

			EL	Α			Mathen	natics	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
White									
	District	27.3	9.1	45.5	18.2	36.4	54.5	9.1	0.0
	State	38.3	31.3	27.2	3.2	65.4	28.3	6.4	0.0
Black									
	District								
	State	41.6	33.4	22.4	2.6	68.0	29.4	2.6	0.0
Hispanic									
	District								
	State	44.4	33.9	19.8	1.9	72.8	22.0	5.3	0.0
Asian									
	District								
	State	52.8	25.0	18.1	4.2	69.4	27.8	2.8	0.0
Native Hav	waiian/Pacific								
Islander	District								
	State								
American	Indian								
	District								
	State								
Two or Mo	re Races								
	District								
	State	44.2	30.2	23.3	2.3	69.8	25.6	4.7	0.0

14

RACE/ETHNICITY AND DISABILITY CATEGORY FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

Percent of Students with Disabilities by Race / Ethnicity

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian	Native American	Two or More Races		
District	All Students	83.8	0.6	3.9	7.8	0.0	0.2	3.6		
	Students with IEPs	86.7	1.2	4.8	4.1	0.0	0.5	2.8		
All Peer	All Students	54.6	13.5	22.6	6.0	0.1	0.3	2.9		
Districts *	Students with IEPs	50.4	20.7	23.4	2.4	0.1	0.3	2.7		
State	All Students	48.5	17.0	25.7	4.9	0.1	0.4	3.4		
State	Students with IEPs	47.9	20.4	25.2	2.4	0.1	0.4	3.6		

Percent of Students with IEPs in Each Disability Category

Developed of Charles of Charles of Charles of Charles to IEDe									
Percei		udents	Percent	of Students	with IEPs				
	'			All Peer					
District	Districts*	State	District	Districts*	State				
1.2	1.4	1.2	8.1	10.3	8.4				
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.2				
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	12.6				
2.7	1.9	0.9	18.1	13.9	6.4				
0.0	0.2	0.1	0.2	1.1	1.0				
0.6	1.3	0.8	4.0	9.6	5.6				
0.2	0.2	0.1	1.5	1.5	1.0				
0.0	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.4				
4.1	2.1	1.7	27.0	15.9	12.2				
5.8	6.0	5.0	38.4	44.3	34.9				
0.3	0.3	2.4	1.8	1.9	16.8				
0.0	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.2				
0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.4				
	District 1.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.6 0.2 0.0 4.1 5.8 0.3 0.0	All Peer Districts	District Districts* State 1.2 1.4 1.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.8 2.7 1.9 0.9 0.0 0.2 0.1 0.6 1.3 0.8 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.1 4.1 2.1 1.7 5.8 6.0 5.0 0.3 0.3 2.4 0.0 0.1 0.0	District All Peer Districts* State District 1.2 1.4 1.2 8.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.0 2.7 1.9 0.9 18.1 0.0 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.6 1.3 0.8 4.0 0.2 0.2 0.1 1.5 0.0 0.1 0.1 0.3 4.1 2.1 1.7 27.0 5.8 6.0 5.0 38.4 0.3 0.3 2.4 1.8 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.2	District All Peer Districts* State District All Peer Districts* 1.2 1.4 1.2 8.1 10.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 0.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.0 0.0 2.7 1.9 0.9 18.1 13.9 0.0 0.2 0.1 0.2 1.1 0.6 1.3 0.8 4.0 9.6 0.2 0.2 0.1 1.5 1.5 0.0 0.1 0.1 0.3 0.5 4.1 2.1 1.7 27.0 15.9 5.8 6.0 5.0 38.4 44.3 0.3 0.3 2.4 1.8 1.9 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.2 0.4				

^{*}Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary School, High School, or Unit District

^{**} Peer districts for Unit Districts do not include Chicago Public Schools

^{***} Students who are receiving special education and/or related services via an Individualized Service Plan (ISP) have been removed from all calculations

15

EDUCATIONAL ENVIRONMENTS FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

Educational environment refers to the extent to which students with disabilities receive special education and related services in classes or schools with their non-disabled peers. Research has shown that students with disabilities who received their special education and/or related services in the general education environment displayed increased motivation, higher self-esteem, improved communication and socialization skills, and greater academic achievement as compared to students who received their special education and/or related services in a more restrictive, or segregated, environment.

The educational environments in which students with disabilities ages 6-21 receive their special education and/or related

services are generally classified into four settings:

- 1. Served inside the general education classroom 80% or more of the day
- 2. Served inside the general education classroom 40% to 79% of the day
- 3. Served inside the general education classroom less than 40% of the day
- 4. Served in separate educational facilities

The following information is provided for students ages 6 through 21.

Percent of Students with Disabilities in Various Educational Environments									
	Inside ≥ 80% Inside 40-79% Inside <40% Separate Facility								
All Chudanta	District	46.0	38.9	5.8	9.4				
All Students with a Disability	All Peer Districts*	41.1	29.5	16.0	13.5				
	State	53.2	26.8	13.6	6.4				

Educational	Environments by	Race / Ethnicity			
		Inside <u>></u> 80%	Inside 40-79%	Inside <40%	Separate Facility
White	District All Peer Districts* State	47.7 45.6 57.2	37.3 26.3 24.7	5.9 14.8 11.6	9.1 13.2 6.5
Black	District All Peer Districts* State	30.1 43.6	33.6 31.0	18.9 17.3	17.4 8.1
Hispanic	District All Peer Districts* State	17.2 41.7 53.7	69.0 33.3 28.1	6.9 15.0 13.7	6.9 10.0 4.5
Asian	District All Peer Districts* State	44.0 36.0 54.3	36.0 22.4 19.5	4.0 24.2 19.1	16.0 17.5 7.1
Native Hawaiian	District All Peer Districts* State	35.3 47.1	29.4 24.8	14.7 18.0	20.6 10.2
Native American	District All Peer Districts* State	37.0 53.6	35.2 25.3	17.6 16.5	10.2 4.7
Two or More Races	District All Peer Districts State	52.9 41.6 54.4	35.3 29.1 24.5	0.0 15.3 14.3	11.8 14.0 6.9

^{*}Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary School, High School, or Unit District
** Peer districts for Unit Districts do not inlcude Chicago Public Schools

17

-		Inside <u>></u> 80%	Inside 40-79%	Inside <40%	Separate Facility
	District	16.3	44.9	20.4	18.4
Autism	All Peer Districts*	25.8	23.3	25.2	25.7
	State	30.6	22.4	31.2	15.8
	District	49.1	19.1	3.6	28.2
Emotional Disability	All Peer Districts*	31.3	20.6	12.8	35.4
·	State	33.4	21.1	15.7	29.8
	District	4.2	66.7	25.0	4.2
Intellectual Disability	All Peer Districts*	2.4	23.6	50.1	23.9
Í	State	4.3	28.3	51.5	16.0
	District	61.0	29.3	4.3	5.5
Other Health Impairment	All Peer Districts*	55.6	26.6	10.0	7.7
	State	58.0	27.7	9.7	4.6
Specific Learning Disability	District	45.5	49.4	3.0	2.1
-p	All Peer Districts*	50.4	37.9	9.2	2.5
	State	54.8	37.3	6.8	1.0
Speech or Language					
Impairment	District	72.7	27.3	0.0	0.0
	All Peer Districts*	72.9	17.8	6.2	3.2
	State	96.7	2.3	0.9	0.1

Early Childhood Educational Environments (ages 3-5)

Educational environments for children ages 3 through 5 can be generally classified into one of the following settings:

- A. Children attending a regular early childhood program and receives the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program.
- B. Children attending a regular early childhood program and receives the majority of special education and related services in some other location.
- C. Children receiving special education or related services full time in a separate class/facility.
- D. Children receiving special education or related services full time in the child's home.
- E. Children receiving special education or related services from a service provider, and who do not attend an early childhood or special education program.

Percent of Students with Disabilities in Various Educational Environments									
	Regular Early Ch	nildhood Program	Separate		Service				
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Class/ Facility	Home	Provider				
District									
All Peer Districts*									
State	40.0	26.1	26.8	0.3	6.9				

18

Educational Environments by Race/Ethnicity

	Regular Early C	hildhood Program	Separate		
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider
White District All Peer District State	cts* 35.5	31.2	23.6	0.3	9.5
Black District All Peer District State	cts* 42.5	23.0	31.6	0.4	2.6
Hispanic District All Peer Distric State	cts* 49.2	17.6	29.2	0.1	3.8
Asian District All Peer District State	cts* 39.5	17.0	37.3	0.1	6.2
Native Hawaiian District All Peer District State	cts* 47.7	22.7	22.7	0.0	6.8
Native American District All Peer District State	cts* 47.7	20.6	27.1	0.9	3.7
Two or More Races District All Peer Distric State	cts* 36.2	30.1	27.9	0.1	5.7

^{*}Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary, High School , or Unit ** Peer districts for Unit Districts do not include Chicago Public Schools

Educational Envi	ronments for Selec	cted Disabilities			
	Regular Early Ch	nildhood Program	Separate		
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider
Autism District All Peer Districts*					
State	25.5	11.6	61.9	0.0	0.9
Developmental Delay					
District All Peer Districts* State	44.2	15.8	39.0	0.1	0.9
Emotional Disability District All Peer Districts*					
State	15.7	27.1	54.3	0.0	2.9
Intellectual Disability					
District All Peer Districts*					
State	21.1	16.9	62.0	0.0	0.0
Other Health Impairment District All Peer Districts* State	40.6	14.6	42.3	1.0	1.4
Specific Learning	10.0	11.0	12.0	1.0	
Disability District All Peer Districts*					
State	42.2	25.0	26.6	0.0	6.3
Speech or Language Impairment					
District All Peer Districts*					
State	38.3	41.3	4.7	0.4	15.3

^{*}Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary, High School , or Unit ** Peer districts for Unit Districts do not include Chicago Public Schools

20

STATE PERFORMANCE PLAN INDICATORS FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA 2004) requires states to develop and submit a State Performance Plan (SPP) to the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) at the U.S. Department of Education. The SPP is designed to evaluate the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of IDEA and describe how the State will improve its implementation. The plan consists of several priority areas with specific indicators defined for each area. Measurable and rigorous targets are defined for each indicator to show progress throughout the life of the SPP. States are required to publicly report on SPP Indicators 1-14. A link to the Illinois State Performance Plan, Part B can be found at: https://www.isbe.net/Pages/State-Performance-Plan-Data-and-Accountability.aspx

The table below shows how this school district performed on specific indicators and whether or not it met the annual state targets for those indicators as defined in the Illinois State Performance Plan. Some indicators require a minimum number of students before comparing district data to the state targets. "N/A" indicates that either the district did not have enough students to report on the district's performance for that particular indicator or the district does not serve students of the ages measured by the indicator.

The State Test Participation Rate is calculated by dividing the number of participants by the Tested Enrollment. At least 95% of students should be tested in ELA and mathematics with at least 10 students. If the state test participation rate is less than 95%, a 95% confidence interval is applied and the student group can meet the 95% target through the confidence interval.

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2016 - 2017 District Data	2016 - 2017 State Target	District Met State Target
1	Graduation Rate for students with IEPs (Data lag one year)	93.5	84.0	YES
2	Dropout Rate for students with IEPs (Data lag one year)	0.3	4.7	YES
3a	Made adequate yearly progress (AYP) for students with IEPs	N/A	N/A	N/A
3b	Reading assessment participation rate for students with IEPs	97.2	95.0	YES
3b	Math assessment participation rate for students with IEPs	97.2	95.0	YES
3c	Students with IEPs meeting or exceeding standards on state reading assessments	56.2	42.0	YES
3c	Students with IEPs meeting or exceeding standards on state math assessments	40.1	40.0	YES
4a	Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days for children with IEPs (Data lag one year)	NO	NO	YES
4b	Significant discrepancy by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions greater than 10 days of children with IEPs and have policies, procedures and practices that contributed to the significant discrepancy (Data lag one year)	NO	NO	YES
5a	Students with IEPs ages 6-21 served inside the general classroom ≥ 80% of the time	46.0	56.0	NO
5b	Students with IEPs ages 6-21 served inside of the general classroom < 40% of the time	5.8	16.5	YES
5c	Students with IEPs ages 6-21 served in separate educational facilities	9.4	3.9	NO

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2016 - 2017 District Data	2016 - 2017 State Target	District Met State Target
6 a	Children ages 3-5 in regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program		32.7	
6b	Children ages 3-5 in separate special education class, separate school or residential facility		30.7	
7a	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program below age expectations who substantially increased their rate of growth with improved functioning in positive social-emotional skills		86.1	
7a	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program who were functioning within age expectations with positive social-emotional skills by the time they exited the program		55.4	
7b	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program below age expectations who substantially increased their rate of growth with improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills by the time they exited the program		86.8	
7b	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program who were functioning within age expectations with acquisition and use of knowledge and skills by the time they exited the program		53.7	
7c	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program that substantially increased their rate of growth in the use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs by the time they exited the program		87.9	
7c	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program that were functioning within age expectations regarding the use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs by the time they exited the program		64.1	
8	Parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities		60.0	
9	Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that was the result of inappropriate identification	NO	NO	YES
10	Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that was a result of inappropriate identification	NO	NO	YES

22

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2016 - 2017 District Data	2016 - 2017 State Target	District Met State Target
11	Children with parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 60 days	94.7	100.0	NO
12	Children referred by early intervention prior to age three who were found eligible for special education services and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays		100.0	
13	Youth age 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	100.0	100.0	YES
14a	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school		35.0	
14b	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school		57.0	
14c	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education or some other post secondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school		73.0	

SPP Indicators 1 - 8 and 14 are Results Indicators

SPP Indicators 9 - 13 are Compliance Indicators