

# SWEDISH TRENDS

1986-2015

Henrik Oscarsson & Annika Bergström (ed.)





# GÖTEBORGS UNIVERSITET

# **Contents**

SOCIAL TRENDS		Terrorism & Organized crime	25	Support for new and old value issues	51				
Assessment of Swedish economy	4	More refugees & Social divisions	26	MEDIA TRENDS					
Assessment of personal financial situation	5	POLITICAL TRENDS		Exposure to news	54				
Confidence in institutions:		Political interest and party membership	28	Morning papers: readership and subscription	55				
Police & courts	6	Party sympathy	29	Listening to public service vs. commercial radio	56				
Swedish Royal Court Swedish armed forces	7	Strength of party conviction through electoral cycles	30	Listening to public service radio channels	57				
Church of Sweden		Voter assessments of the party leaders:		Watching different TV channels	58				
Bank of Sweden, Banks, Big business & Trade	8	The leader of the Left Party (V)	31	Household access to media technology	59				
unions Parliament, Government, Local governments,	9	The leader of the Social Democratic Party (S)	32	Internet usage	60				
Political parties	9	The leader of the Center Party (C)	33	Areas of use on the internet					
United nations, EU commission & EU parliament	10	The leader of the Liberal Party (FP)	34	Daily use of mobile phone	62				
Daily press & Radio/Television	11	The leader of the Christian Democratic Party (KD)	35	Read a book	63				
Health care, universities & elementary schools	12	The leader of the Conservatives (M)	36						
Confidence in some professional groups	13	The leader of the Green Party (MP)	37	SWEDEN AND THE WORLD	65				
Confidence in research in different research areas	14	The leader of the Sweden Democratic Party (SD)	38	Less foreign aid?	65				
Satisfaction with social insurance systems	15	Left-right political self-placement	39	Reduce defence spending	66				
Leisure activities:		Assessing the government's job performance	40	Swedish membership in the European union Swedish membership in the European monetary	67 68				
Cinema, theatre & restaurant	16	Satisfaction with the way democracy works	41	union	08				
Sports, Outdoor life & Exercise	17	General trust in swedish politicians	42	Swedish membership in NATO	69				
Attended church service or religious meeting &	18								
Prayed to God		POLITICAL ISSUES		BACKGROUND					
Drinking liquor/wine/strong beer regularly	19	Important issues for swedes	44	Subjective family class	71				
Trust in people	20	Nuclear power	45	Employment	72				
Rokeach terminal values	21	Invest in different energy sources	46	Participation in civil society	73				
Satisfaction in life	22	Attitudes toward the public sector	47						
What swedes worry about:		Attitudes towards proposals for privatization in sweden	48						
Unemployment & Economic Crises	23	Attitudes towards introducing six-hour work day	49						
Climate change & Environmental deterioration	24	Accepting fewer refugees	50						



# GÖTEBORGS UNIVERSITET

### Swedish Trends 1986–2015

#### The SOM Institute

The SOM Institute at the University of Gothenburg, founded in 1986, conducts interdisciplinary survey research and organizes seminars on the topics of Society, Opinion and Media (hence the name SOM). The Institute is jointly managed by the Department of Journalism and Mass Communication and the Department of Political Science at the University of Gothenburg.

The Institute is headed by Professor Henrik Ekengren Oscarsson. Members of the steering committee are Professor Bengt Johansson, The Department of Journalism, Media and Communication, University of Gothenburg, Professor André Jansson, The Department of Media and Communication Science, University of Karlstad, Associate Professor Maria Oskarson, The Department of Political Science, University of Gothenburg and Professor Karin M Ekström, The Department of Business Administration and Textile Management, University of Borås.

#### The National SOM Surveys

From 1986, the core of the SOM Institute has been an annual nationwide survey, *National SOM*, carried out every autumn in the form of a mail questionnaire addressed to randomly selected persons between the ages of 15 and 85 living in Sweden. Since 2008 the sample is limited to ages 16–85. The survey consist of several sub-surveys, which since 2014 each comprise a sample of 3 400 respondents. 2015 there were four such sub-surveys, resulting in a total sample size of 17 000 persons.

#### Research

The central questions addressed in *National SOM* are attitudes toward mass media, politics and public services. A report summarizing the main results of each year's survey is published annually and available from the SOM Institute web page (www.som.gu.se). The data files from the surveys are deposited at the Swedish National Data Service (www.snd.gu.se) in Gothenburg and may be ordered from them for the purpose of scientific research. The results on the following pages are based on data from *National SOM*.

#### Infrastructure

The SOM Institute is part of the Swedish national research infrastructure. Researchers and research projects are invited to co-operate with the surveys, and thereby access high quality data, mainly within the area of social sciences. The SOM staff plan the surveys, design questionnaires and work with quality control and refinement of the gathered data. The questionnaires are constructed in close collaboration with participating research projects.

It is of great importance to the SOM Institute to be transparent in all parts of our work. Questionnaires and reports are made available on the web page and each survey is well documented in methods sections of our publications.

Contact us: Seminariegatan 1b P.O Box 710 SE-405 30 Gothenburg SWEDEN

Phone: +46 31 786 3300 E-mail: info@som.gu.se Web: www.som.gu.se








# SOCIAL TRENDS


www.som.gu.se 3 info@som.gu.se





# **ASSESSING SWEDISH ECONOMY**





Comment: All respondents are included in the calculations.

Source: The National SOM survey 1986-2015


Question: "According to your view, during the last twelve months, has the Swedish economy gotten better, remained the same, or gotten worse?"





# ASSESSING PERSONAL FINANCIAL SITUATION

Personal financial situation compared to twelve months ago




Comment: All respondents are included in the calculations.

Source: The National SOM survey 1986-2015

Question: "According to your view, during the last twelve months, has your personal financial situation gotten better, remained the same, or gotten worse?"








**Comment**: The lines show the percentage of respondents indicating very or fairly much confidence minus the percentage indicating fairly or very little confidence (opinion balance). The percentages are based on the respondents answering each individual item. Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Very much; Fairly much; Neither much nor little; Fairly little; Very little".

**Question**: "How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions/groups do their job?"










**Comment**: The lines show the percentage of respondents indicating very or fairly much confidence minus the percentage indicating fairly or very little confidence (opinion balance). The percentages are based on the respondents answering each individual item. Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Very much; Fairly much; Neither much nor little; Fairly little; Very little".

Question: "How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions/groups do their job?"










**Comment**: The lines show the percentage of respondents indicating very or fairly much confidence minus the percentage indicating fairly or very little confidence (opinion balance). The percentages are based on the respondents answering each individual item. Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Very much; Fairly much; Neither much nor little: Fairly little; Very little".

**Question**: "How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions/groups do their job?"









**Comment**: The lines show the percentage of respondents indicating very or fairly much confidence minus the percentage indicating fairly or very little confidence (opinion balance). The percentages are based on the respondents answering each individual item. Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Very much; Fairly much; Neither much nor little; Fairly little; Very little".

Question: "How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions/groups do their job?"



-40

1998

1999


-60

-80

-100



# **CONFIDENCE IN INSTITUTIONS**



**Comment**: The lines show the percentage of respondents indicating very or fairly much confidence minus the percentage indicating fairly or very little confidence (opinion balance). The percentages are based on the respondents answering each individual item. Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Very much; Fairly much; Neither much nor little; Fairly little; Very little".

2002

2003

2005

2006

2007

2008

2009

2010

**Question**: "How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions/groups do their job?"


2011 2012 2013 2014 2015

Year





Daily press & Radio/Television




**Comment**: The lines show the percentage of respondents indicating very or fairly much confidence minus the percentage indicating fairly or very little confidence (opinion balance). The percentages are based on the respondents answering each individual item. Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Very much; Fairly much; Neither much nor little; Fairly little; Very little".

Question: "How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions/groups do their job?"










**Comment**: The lines show the percentage of respondents indicating very or fairly much confidence minus the percentage indicating fairly or very little confidence (opinion balance). The percentages are based on the respondents answering each individual item. Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Very much; Fairly much; Neither much nor little; Fairly little; Very little".

**Question**: "How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions/groups do their job?"





# **CONFIDENCE IN SOME PROFESSIONAL GROUPS**



**Comment**: Six response alternatives to the question asked: "Very much; Fairly much; Neither much, nor little; Fairly little; Very little, No opinion". The results are percent indicating very or fairly much confidence. The percentages are based on the respondents answering each individual item, including those who marked "No opinion".


**Question**: "How much confidence do you have in the way the following professional groups do their job?"

Source: The National SOM survey 2002-2015





# CONFIDENCE IN RESEARCH IN DIFFERENT RESEARCH AREAS



**Comment**: Six response alternatives to the question asked: "Very much; Fairly much; Neither much, nor little; Fairly little; Very little; No opinion". The results show percent answering "Very" or "Fairly much" confidence.


Question: "How much conficence do you have in the following research areas?"

Source: The National SOM survey 2002-2015





# SATISFACTION WITH SOCIAL INSURANCE SYSTEMS



**Comment**: Four response alternatives to the question asked: "Very satisfied; fairly satisfied; Not very satisfied; Not at all satisfied". The results show percentages resonding "Very" or "Fairly satisfied" among people answring the questions.

Question: "How satisfied are you in how the following social insurance


systems work?"

Source: The National SOM-survey 2004-2014



# **LEISURE ACTIVITIES**

Cinema, theatre & restaurant




**Comment**: The cinema and theatre figures indicate attendence/activity at least once every six months, while restaurant figures indicate attendance at least once a month. The percentages include all respondents in the survey.


**Question**: "How often have you engaged in the following activities during the past twelve months?"





# **LEISURE ACTIVITIES**





**Comment**: The figures indicate attendance/activity at least once a week. The percentages include all respondents in the survey.


**Question**: "How often have you engaged in the following activities during the past twelve months?"





# LEISURE ACTIVITIES






**Comment**: Attended church service figures indicate attendance at least once a month, while 'prayed to God' figures indicate activity at least once a month. The percentages include all respondents in the

**Question**: "How often have you engaged in the following activities during the past twelve months?"





# DRINKING LIQUOR/WINE/STRONG BEER REGULARLY



**Comment**: The figures indicate activity at least once a week. Percentages are based on respondents answering at least one item of a multi-item question on lifestyle and leisure activities.


Question: "How often have you engaged in the following activities

during the past twelve months?"





# TRUST IN PEOPLE



Comment: The scale runs between 0 and 10 with 0 labeled "It is not possible to trust people in general" and 10 labeled "It is possible to trust people in general". Percentages are based on all respondents in the survey including non-opinion (varies between 2 and 6 percent).

Question: "According to your view, to what extent is it possible to trust people in general? Please answer using this scale."





# **ROKEACH TERMINAL VALUES (Percent)**

	l								•	•						
Year	1988	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1998	2000	2002	2004	2006	2008	2011	2014
Health	91	92	90	90	88	86	85	86	87	88	89	87	85	85	83	86
Freedom	82	88	86	85	82	84	80	82	81	83	84	82	82	81	81	81
Honesty	-	-	-	89	86	84	86	85	85	86	86	84	80	81	79	80
Family security	80	84	78	81	78	77	77	83	80	82	84	82	79	79	79	78
A world at peace	88	91	87	89	84	87	83	84	86	86	87	84	79	81	77	81
Love	75	75	76	75	76	76	75	75	75	75	77	75	76	75	75	70
Justice	76	82	79	83	78	83	75	79	76	79	79	75	73	71	71	72
Inner harmony	75	77	76	76	76	75	74	76	74	75	76	74	70	72	69	67
True friendship	-	78	76	79	75	72	71	72	73	73	75	73	70	68	67	69
Happiness	67	69	70	70	68	66	68	68	68	66	69	66	65	65	64	63
National security	69	75	71	72	71	72	64	66	67	67	72	65	60	61	60	67
A comfortable life	52	54	53	57	56	53	59	57	59	58	63	61	61	60	59	58
Equality	48	53	48	54	46	52	45	48	49	54	58	52	53	48	52	56
A clean world	80	78	71	75	70	69	69	67	61	63	68	56	54	56	51	54
A world of beauty	57	57	54	56	54	56	52	52	51	49	53	46	46	43	42	45
Self-respect	42	44	42	44	42	41	40	43	41	42	45	41	40	36	36	36
Wisdom	29	36	36	38	36	37	34	36	34	35	37	32	34	30	34	36
A life full of pleasure	22	25	26	26	29	30	29	29	27	29	34	31	29	28	27	26
Self-fulfillment	26	32	28	31	30	32	32	30	29	31	30	28	29	23	25	22
An exciting life	21	25	22	24	28	28	27	27	25	23	28	25	28	23	25	24
Technical advances	21	33	23	26	29	32	24	21	22	23	25	23	23	22	20	21
Social recognition	15	17	17	19	20	19	19	18	18	17	22	18	1	16	15	17
Wealth	7	9	9	8	9	10	9	11	9	8	10	9	7	8	7	8
Salvation	9	7	9	7	9	9	8	9	9	8	9	8	7	7	7	7
Power	5	6	6	5	6	8	6	7	6	5	8	6	5	6	5	6

**Comment**: Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Very important; Fairly important; Neither important, nor unimportant; Not very important; Not at all important". The results show percent respondents answering "Very important". Percentages are based on those answering at least one item.

Question: "How important do you consider the following things to be to yourself?"


Source: The National SOM survey 1988-2011

www.som.gu.se 21 info@som.gu.se





# SATISFACTION IN LIFE




Comment: Four response alternatives to the question: "Very satisfied; Fairly satisfied; Not very satisfied; Not at all satisfied". Percentages are calculated among respondents who have answered the question. The two negative response alternatives are combined into "Not satisfied" in the figure.

Question: "On the whole, how satisfied are you with the life you lead?"





Unemployment & Economic Crises




**Comment**: Four response alternatives to the question asked: "Very worrying; Somewhat worrying; Not particularly worrying; Not at all worrying". The results show percent answering "Very worrying" among persons who answered at least part of the question.

Question: "Looking at today's situation, what worries you most?"







**Comment**: Four response alternatives to the question asked: "Very worrying; Somewhat worrying; Not particularly worrying; Not at all worrying". The results show percent answering "Very worrying" among persons who answered at least part of the question.

Question: "Looking at today's situation, what worries you most?"








**Comment**: Four response alternatives to the question asked: "Very worrying; Somewhat worrying; Not particularly worrying; Not at all worrying". The results show percent answering "Very worrying" among persons who answered at least part of the question.

Question: "Looking at today's situation, what worries you most?"







**Comment**: Four response alternatives to the question asked: "Very worrying; Somewhat worrying; Not particularly worrying; Not at all worrying". The results show percent answering "Very worrying" among persons who answered at

Question: "Looking at today's situation, what worries you most?"






# POLITICAL TRENDS

www.som.gu.se 27 info@som.gu.se





# POLITICAL INTEREST AND PARTY MEMBERSHIP



Comment: Four response alternatives to the question asked: "Very interested; Fairly interested; Not especially interested; Not at all interested". The results show percent "Very much" or "Fairly interested" in politics and percent party members among all respondents. 'Discussed politics' show the percentage who discuss politics at least once a week.

Question: "In general, how interested are you in politics?", "Are you a member of a political party?"





# PARTY SYMPATHY (Percent)

Year	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2002	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
The Left Party	3,2	2,8	4,6	7,4	7,2	4,7	3,8	3,0	6,9	13,4	13,1	9,6	12,7	14,6	15,7	12,2	8,6	9,6	8,9	5,9	5,9	5,7	6,2	5,3	4,4	5,4	5,3	6,4	6,3	7,4
The social Democratic Party	45,4	43,2	43,4	34,5	29,1	31,9	42,5	45,0	43,0	30,7	31,2	33,4	35,8	31,2	32,0	38,7	41,5	37,6	35,4	36,1	32,8	38,9	39,2	28,9	26,8	28,4	33,0	30,6	30,5	26,4
The Green Party	5,4	8,3	8,2	7,4	5,2	3,6	3,1	3,1	5,4	12,4	8,7	8,4	5,8	6,1	4,9	3,7	4,3	5,7	5,6	6,3	7,8	8,4	7,8	10,6	11,1	11,8	9,8	11,1	8,9	7,2
The Center Party	7,9	6,1	10,3	8,1	8,8	7,3	5,9	5,4	7,3	6,0	6,4	4,8	4,5	3,8	4,0	6,3	6,6	7,6	6,9	6,6	7,7	6,0	5,5	4,4	5,0	4,5	3,8	3,2	6,9	8,4
The Liberal Party	17,9	20,4	12,4	15,5	13,1	9,1	7,3	8,7	8,2	5,1	6,5	6,2	5,1	5,1	4,9	4,2	16,6	12,3	10,5	9,4	7,2	9,0	6,9	7,7	7,9	6,7	6,0	6,1	7,0	5,9
The Christian Democratic Pa	1,0	1,9	3,4	3,0	5,3	8,4	2,6	3,6	3,4	3,2	3,9	4,1	11,6	12,6	12,8	10,8	7,8	7,5	5,1	4,5	6,8	4,8	4,1	3,7	4,1	2,9	3,0	3,9	4,3	3,7
The Conservatives	18,0	16,7	15,7	21,8	27,9	21,7	21,8	21,6	21,8	26,6	27,0	29,5	22,1	24,5	23,3	21,5	11,6	16,8	23,1	26,9	27,1	22,3	23,9	28,7	33,3	33,6	30,2	27,1	22,6	22,3
The New Democratic Party	-	-	-	-	-	7,3	10,6	6,4	1,4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
The Sweden Democratic Par	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,2	2,5	4,5	3,8	4,2	5,2	7,2	8,6	9,6	14,8
Other parties	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0,7	0,3	0,4	0,3	2,5	2,3
Sum percent	1,2	0,7	2,1	2,5	3,4	6,2	2,4	3,3	2,6	2,6	3,2	4,0	2,4	2,1	2,4	2,5	3,1	3,0	4,6	4,4	2,5	2,5	2,0	6,9	2,6	1,2	1,3	2,8	1,5	1,6
No party	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
	8,7	11,6	9,8	12,0	14,9	11,1	7,7	7,7	5,1	8,8	11,4	12,7	6,6	11,2	10,1	11,0	7,3	9,5	10,9	10,2	7,9	8,6	7,2	9,0	6,8	8,4	8,7	7,4	8,0	10,8
							20	11	6,1	10			7,1	13		13	7,5		11	12	8,3	8,3	7,7	6,5	4,8	7,1	6,9			
																												fi	2,5	
																											ö	vriga	1,9	


**Comment:** The results are unweighted and calculated among eligible voters (18 years or older and Swedish citizens).

Question: "Which party do you like best at the present time?"





# STRENGTH OF PARTY CONVICTION THROUGH ELECTORAL CYCLES




**Comment**: The question is given to respondents stating a party preference. Response alternatives to the question asked: "Yes, very convinced", "Yes, somewhat convinced", "No". The results show percent very convinced or somewhat convinced party supporters among all respondents

Question: "Do you consider yourself a convinced suporter of your party?"










Comment: The results are based on answers on a dislike-like scale running between -5 ("Dislike") and +5 ("Like"). The numbers have been multiplied by ten to avoid decimals. Consequently, the scale runs between -50 ("Dislike") and +50 ("Like").

Question: "Generally speaking, how much do you like or dislike the party leaders? Using this scale, where would you like to place the different party leaders?"





The leader of the Social Democratic Party (S)




Comment: The results are based on answers on a dislike-like scale running between -5 ("Dislike") and +5 ("Like"). The numbers have been multiplied by ten to avoid decimals. Consequently, the scale runs between -50 ("Dislike") and +50 ("Like").

Question: "Generally speaking, how much do you like or dislike the party leaders? Using this scale, where would you like to place the different party leaders?"










Comment: The results are based on answers on a dislike-like scale running between -5 ("Dislike") and +5 ("Like"). The numbers have been multiplied by ten to avoid decimals. Consequently, the scale runs between -50 ("Dislike") and +50 ("Like").

Question: "Generally speaking, how much do you like or dislike the party leaders? Using this scale, where would you like to place the different party leaders?"










**Comment**: The results are based on answers on a dislike-like scale running between -5 ("Dislike") and +5 ("Like"). The numbers have been multiplied by ten to avoid decimals. Consequently, the scale runs between -50 ("Dislike") and +50 ("Like").

**Question**: "Generally speaking, how much do you like or dislike the party leaders? Using this scale, where would you like to place the different party leaders?"





The leader of the Christian Democratic Party (KD)




Comment: The results are based on answers on a dislike-like scale running between -5 ("Dislike") and +5 ("Like"). The numbers have been multiplied by ten to avoid decimals. Consequently, the scale runs between -50 ("Dislike") and +50 ("Like").

Question: "Generally speaking, how much do you like or dislike the party leaders? Using this scale, where would you like to place the different party leaders?"










Comment: The results are based on answers on a dislike-like scale running between -5 ("Dislike") and +5 ("Like"). The numbers have been multiplied by ten to avoid decimals. Consequently, the scale runs between -50 ("Dislike") and +50 ("Like").

Question: "Generally speaking, how much do you like or dislike the party leaders? Using this scale, where would you like to place the different party leaders?"










Comment: The results are based on answers on a dislike-like scale running between -5 ("Dislike") and +5 ("Like"). The numbers have been multiplied by ten to avoid decimals. Consequently, the scale runs between -50 ("Dislike") and +50 ("Like").

Question: "Generally speaking, how much do you like or dislike the party leaders? Using this scale, where would you like to place the different party leaders?"





The leader of the Sweden Democratic Party (SD)




**Comment**: The results are based on answers on a dislike-like scale running between -5 ("Dislike") and +5 ("Like"). The numbers have been multiplied by ten to avoid decimals. Consequently, the scale runs between -50 ("Dislike") and +50 ("Like").

**Question**: "Generally speaking, how much do you like or dislike the party leaders? Using this scale, where would you like to place the different party leaders?"

Source: The National SOM survey 2007-2015








**Comment:** Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Clearly to the left; Somewhat to the left; Neither to the left, nor to the right; Somewhat to the right; Somewhat to the left". No-answers (3-5 percent on average every year) are excluded from the analysis.

**Question**: "It is sometimes said that political opinions can be placed on a scale from left to right. Where would you place yourself on such a left-right scale?""





#### ASSESSING THE GOVERNMENT'S JOB PERFORMANCE




**Comment**: Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Very well; Fairly well; Neither well, nor badly; Fairly badly; Very badly". The results show percent respondents answering "Very or "Fairly well/bad". Question: "How well do you think the Government is doing its job?"





#### SATISFACTION WITH THE WAY DEMOCRACY WORKS



**Comment**: Four response alternatives to the question asked: "Very satisfied; fairly satisfied; Not very satisfied; Not at all satisfied". The results show percentages resonding "Very" or "Fairly satisfied" among people answring the questions.

Question: "On the whole, are you satisfied with the way democracy works?"





#### **GENERAL TRUST IN SWEDISH POLITICIANS**



**Comment**: Four response alternatives to the question asked: "Very much; Fairly much; Fairly little; Very little". The results show percent answering "Very much" or "Fairly much" among all respondents. No-answers vary between 1 to 4 percent, and are included in the percentage base.

Question: "In general, how much do you trust Swedish politicians?"





## POLITICAL ISSUES

www.som.gu.se 43 info@som.gu.se





#### **IMPORTANT ISSUES FOR SWEDES (Percent)**

Year	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2002	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Immigrants/Refugees	7	8	11	14	13	19	26	12	14	13	10	10	12	11	13	20	12	11	15	15	14	13	15	19	14	20	22	27
Education	12	8	10	10	10	8	10	8	7	10	22	32	37	36	38	32	23	18	19	24	21	22	21	26	25	24	30	40
Health care	24	22	23	23	20	19	22	18	15	24	35	30	41	39	42	38	42	32	27	29	25	24	24	26	24	23	28	33
Employment	17	8	3	8	40	49	60	59	51	59	50	52	30	16	15	16	15	21	34	46	23	22	38	35	30	38	33	24
Pensions/Elderly care	10	10	16	14	17	14	17	12	10	17	20	16	21	24	23	24	21	20	20	16	14	16	13	14	17	16	17	18
Social policy	14	18	18	13	14	10	8	13	12	12	9	21	6	9	9	11	12	14	11	12	15	11	11	16	17	14	14	12
Environment/Energy	61	68	55	42	39	22	19	21	30	12	12	11	11	10	10	11	7	8	12	15	23	24	23	15	15	14	13	12
Swedish economy	8	10	9	33	24	40	30	40	29	14	7	9	6	4	8	8	10	8	6	6	8	17	14	8	15	11	7	7
Housing/Constructions	6	7	6	5	5	3	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	2	2	3	2	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	3	3	4	3
Law and order	22	14	40	12	16	9	9	12	26	15	14	16	17	16	13	12	18	19	17	15	15	16	10	9	6	7	7	4
Family/Child care	9	10	11	11	8	9	11	8	6	7	9	9	8	10	9	11	10	9	7	7	7	6	6	4	5	4	4	3
Taxes	6	9	14	12	6	2	2	2	2	3	5	7	5	8	7	7	6	7	9	7	5	4	5	4	3	3	3	2
Democracy/Human rights	1	3	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	3	3	3	4
Swedish foreign policy	3	2	2	5	2	2	2	1	3	5	3	3	2	2	1	2	2	2	3	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	4
Infrastructure	1	1	1	3	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	3	1	2	3	3	2	2
Public service	1	1	1	3	1	2	1	2	3	6	1	1	3	3	2	2	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	3	2
Politics	1	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	0	1	0	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2
Morality/Ethics	4	5	3	8	7	12	9	16	7	3	2	5	4	5	5	4	8	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1
Number of respondents	1672	1643	1578	1582	1573	1889	1857	1777	1707	1779	1754	3561	3503	3546	3638	3609	3675	3612	3499	3336	3435	3259	4926	5007	4720	6289	6688	3431

**Comment**: The percentages are based on all respondents. The respondents were asked to provide a maximum of three issues/societal problems in an open-ended question.

**Question**: "Which issue(s) or societal problem(s) do you think is/are the most important in Sweden today?"


Source: The National SOM survey 1987-2013

www.som.gu.se 44 info@som.gu.se





#### **NUCLEAR POWER**




**Comment**: All respondents are included in the percent calculations. Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Abolish nuclear power quickly; Abolish nuclear power, but not until our present reactors have done their job; Use nuclear power and renew the reactors when they are worn out; Use nuclear power and build additional reactors in the future; No definite opinion".

**Question**: "What is your view on the long term use of nuclear power as an energy source in Sweden?"





#### **INVEST IN DIFFERENT ENERGY SOURCES**




Comment: Five response alternatives to the question asked: "More than today; About the same as today; Less than today; Abolish/Give up the energy source completely; No opinion". All respondents who answered each question are included in the percentage base.

Question: "During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources?"





#### ATTITUDES TOWARD THE PUBLIC SECTOR




**Comment**: All respondents who answered any item in the battery of questions are included in the percent calculations. Six response alternatives to the question asked: "Very good proposal; Fairly good proposal; Neither good, nor bad proposal; Fairly bad proposal; Very bad proposal".

Question: "Reduce the size of the public sector?"





### ATTITUDES TOWARDS PROPOSALS FOR PRIVATIZATION IN SWEDEN



**Comment**: In all four cases response alternatives were: "Very good proposal; Fairly good proposal; Neither good, nor bad proposal; Fairly bad proposal; Very bad proposal". The results are percent in favour of


Source: The National SOM survey 1987-2015

**Question**: "Convert public utitilites like Swedish Telecom into private enterprises; Increase the proportion of health care operated by private interests; Let private enterprises handle care for the elderly; Give more resourses to independent schools"."





#### ATTITUDES TOWARDS INTRODUCING SIX-HOUR WORK DAY




**Comment**: Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Very good idea; fairly good idea; Neither good, nor bad idea; Fairly bad idea; Very bad idea". The results show percent respondents answering "Very good" or "Fairly good" among women and men. The percentages are based on the number of respondents answering the question.

Question: "Introduce six-hour work day for all gainfully employed"








**Comment**: Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Very good proposal; Fairly good proposal; Neither good, nor bad proposal; Fairly bad proposal; Very bad proposal". The results show percent answering "Very good/bad" or "Fairly good/bad" among respondents who answered the question.

Question: "Accept fewer refugees into Sweden"





#### SUPPORT FOR NEW AND OLD VALUE ISSUES




**Comment**: Six response alternatives to the question asked: "Very good proposal; Fairly good proposal; Neither good, nor bad proposal; Fairly bad proposal; Very bad proposal; No opinion". The results show percent answering "Very good" or "fairly good" proposal among persons who answered each item.

**Question**: "Here are a number of proposals. What is your view on them?"





#### SUPPORT FOR NEW AND OLD VALUE ISSUES



**Comment**: Six response alternatives to the question asked: "Very good proposal; Fairly good proposal; Neither good, nor bad proposal; Fairly bad proposal; Very bad proposal; No opinion". The results show percent answering "Very good" or "fairly good" proposal among persons who answered each item.

**Question**: "Here are a number of proposals. What is your view on them?"






## MEDIA TRENDS

www.som.gu.se 53 info@som.gu.se







**Comment**: The results show percent of all respondents reading a morning paper in print or online at least five days a week, reading an evening tabloid in print or online at least three days a week, and watching the specified television and radion news programs at least five days a week.

**Question**: "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following programmes on radio or television? If you read a morning paper regularly - about how many times a week do you usually read? How often do you read an evening tabloid in print or online?"





#### MORNING PAPERS: READERSHIP AND SUBSCRIPTION 100 90 81 80 77 70 60 Percent 52 Subscription in 50 household Reading at least 40 5 days a week 30 20 10 Reading 1-2 days a week 0 Year $^{4996} \, ^{4991} \, ^{4998} \, ^{4999} \, ^{2000} \, ^{200^4} \, ^{200^2} \, ^{200^3} \, ^{200^4} \, ^{200^5} \, ^{2006} \, ^{200^1} \, ^{2008} \, ^{2009} \, ^{20^{10}} \, ^{20^{10}} \, ^{20^{10}} \, ^{20^{12}} \, ^{20^{13}} \, ^{20^{14}} \, ^{20^{15}} \,$

**Comment:** The results show percent of all respondents subscribing to a morning paper in print; reading at least one morning paper (in print) at least five days a week.

Source: The National SOM survey 1990-2015

**Question**: "Do you read or look in a morning paper regularly? If yes, write down the name of the paper or papers and state how many days you usually read or look into it"; "Do you or anybody else in your household subscribe to a newspaper?"




20

10



Any commercial radio channel



**Comment**: Six response alternatives to the question asked: "Daily; 5-6 days a week; 3-4 days a week; 1-2 days a week; More seldom; Never".

Question: "How often do you listen to the following radio channels?"


Source: The National SOM survey 1994-2015

1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015





#### LISTENING TO PUBLIC SERVICE RADIO CHANNELS




Comment: Six response alternatives to the question asked: "Daily; 5-6 days a week; 3-4 days a week; 1-2 days a week; More seldom; Never". The results show percent of all erspondents listening at least five days a week.

Question: "How often do you listen to the following radio channels?"








**Comment**: Results show the percentage watching at least 5 days a week.

Question: "How often do you watch:"





#### HOUSEHOLD ACCESS TO MEDIA TECHNOLOGY




**Comment**: The results show the percent among all respondents indicating access to the secified equipment in the household. Minor changes in the age composition of the sample over the years affect the level of penetration of media with a few percentage points.

**Question**: "Among the following, what kinds of equipment do you have access to in your household?"








**Comment**: Seven response alternatives to the question asked: "Never; About once/twelve months; About once/six months; About once every month; About once every week; Several times a week". The results show percent among all respondents, using the Internet several times a seek.

**Question**: "During the last twelve months, how often have you used the Internet?"







**Comment**: Seven response alternatives to the question asked: "Never; About once/twelve months; About once/six months; About once a month; About once a week; Several times a week; Daily". The results show percent among all respondents, active in each area at least once a week.


**Question**: "During the last twelve months, how often have you done the following?"

**Source**: The National SOM survey 2005-2015





#### DAILY USE OF MOBILE PHONE



**Comment**: Seven response alternatives to the question asked: "Never; About once/twelve months; About once/six months; About once a month; About once a week; Several times a week; Daily". The results show percent among all respondents, active in each area at least once a day


**Question**: "During the last twelve months, how often have you used a mobile phone to do the following?"

**Source**: The National SOM survey 2007-2015





#### **READ A BOOK**



**Comment**: Six response alternatives to the question asked: "Never; About once/twelve months; About once/six months; About once a month; About once a week; Several times a week". The percent reading a book on at least a weekly basis among men and women who answered at least parts of a multi-item question on leisure activities.

**Question**: "How often have you engaged in the following activities during the past twelve months?"




# SWEDEN AND THE WORLD

www.som.gu.se 64 info@som.gu.se





#### **LESS FOREIGN AID?**




Comment: Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Very good proposal; Fairly good proposal; Neither good, nor bad proposal; Fairly bad proposal; Very bad proposal". The results show percent answering "Very/fairly good" or "Very/fairly bad" among respondents who answered the question.

Question: "Reduce foreign aid?"





#### **REDUCE DEFENCE SPENDING**




**Comment**: Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Very good proposal; Fairly good proposal; Neither good, nor bad proposal; Fairly bad proposal; Very bad proposal". The results show percent answering "Very/fairly good" or "Very/fairly bad" among respondents who answered the question.

Question: "Reduce defence spending?"





#### SWEDISH MEMBERSHIP IN THE EUROPEAN UNION



**Comment**: Three response alternatives to the question asked: "On the whole in favour; On the whole against; No definite opinion". All respondents answering the question are included in the percentage base.


Question: "What is your opinion on the Swedish membership in the

European Union?"





#### SWEDISH MEMBERSHIP IN THE EUROPEAN MONETARY UNION




**Comment**: Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Very good proposal; Fairly good proposal; Neither good, nor bad proposal; Fairly bad proposal; Very bad proposal". The results show percent answering "Very/fairly good" or "Very/fairly bad" among respondents who answered the question.

Question: "Sweden should introduce the Euro as currency"





#### **SWEDISH MEMBERSHIP IN NATO**



**Comment**: Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Very good proposal; Fairly good proposal; Neither good, nor bad proposal; Fairly bad proposal; Very bad proposal". The results show percent answering "Very/fairly good" or "Very/fairly bad" among respondents who answered the question.

Question: "Sweden should apply for membership in NATO"






## BACKGROUND

www.som.gu.se 70 info@som.gu.se





#### SUBJECTIVE FAMILY CLASS




**Comment**: Percentages are based on respondents answering the question.

**Question**: If you describe your home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?





#### **EMPLOYMENT**




**Comment**: Based on self-classification. Unemployment includes people in relief work or training programs. The percent calculations are based upon respondents who have answered the questions.

Question: "Which of the following groups do you belong to?"







**Comment**: All respondents are included in the percent calculations.

Source: The National SOM survey 1986-2015

**Question**: "List which associations you are a member of, and how active you are in those associations."

## SOM-INSTITUTET SAMHÄLLE OPINION MEDIER

## 2016

SOM-institutet vid Göteborgs universitet genomför årligen nationella och lokala frågeundersökningar och anordnar seminarier på temat Samhälle, Opinion och Medier.

SOM-institutet | Seminariegatan 1B | Box 710, 405 30 Göteborg 031 786 3300 | info@som.gu.se | www.som.gu.se

