



U.S. Department of Justice

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco,
Firearms and Explosives

Martinsburg, WV
25405

www.atf.gov

907010:AG
3311/302663

FEB 23 2015

Jason Davis, Esq.
The Law Offices of Davis & Associates
41593 Winchester Rd, Suite 200
Temecula, California 92591

Dear Mr. Davis,

This is in reference to your submitted item, an AR-15 pattern receiver casting, along with supporting correspondence recently received by the Firearms Technology Industry Services Branch (FTISB), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF). You have submitted this item (see photo, last page) on behalf of your client, POLYMER 80, INC. (P80) for classification under the Gun Control Act of 1968 (GCA).

As you are aware, FTISB has previously determined that an AR-15 type receiver casting which is completely solid in the area of the trigger/hammer (fire-control) recess might not be classified as a firearm. Such a receiver casting could incorporate all other features of a functional firearm receiver, including pivot-pin and takedown-pin hole(s) and clearance for the takedown-pin lug, but must be completely solid in the fire-control recess area. We have determined that in order to be considered "completely solid in the fire-control recess area," the takedown-pin lug clearance area must be no longer than .800 inch, measured from immediately forward of the front of the buffer-retainer hole. In addition, ATF has held that "indexing" of the fire-control area, to include molding a polymer receiver in stages instead of as a single (homogenous) piece, is sufficient to require classification as a firearm receiver.

Our examination of the submitted item confirmed that the receiver casting has been cast from black polymer, and includes several features of a complete AR-15 type receiver, including a takedown pin hole and clearance for the takedown-pin lug. Our examination confirmed that the takedown-pin lug clearance area is less than .800 inch, measured from immediately forward of the front of the buffer-retainer hole. The sample has been cast entirely from a single type of polymer, to include the fire control recess area.


The submitted item was cut into several pieces in order to observe the internal configuration. This operation revealed that the submitted item incorporates a solid fire control cavity area, and was cast in a homogenous manner.

Your current correspondence, as well as supplemental information you provided in a letter dated February 3, 2015, confirmed that the submitted item was cast using "a single shot of molten material."

Based on our examination of the submitted item and your description of the manufacturing process used to produce it, we have determined that this item is NOT a firearm receiver, or a firearm.

We thank you for your inquiry and trust the foregoing has been responsive to your request.

Sincerely yours,



Michael R. Curtis

Acting Chief, Firearms Technology Industry Services Branch

Attachment



U.S. Department of Justice

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco,
Firearms and Explosives

Martinsburg, WV 25405

www.atf.gov

907010:WJS
3311/303738

NOV 02 2015

Mr. Jason Davis
The Law Offices of Davis & Associates
41593 Winchester Road, Suite 200
Temecula, California 92590

Mr. Davis:

This is in reference to your correspondence, with enclosed samples, to the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), Firearms Technology Industry Services Branch (FTISB). In your letter, you asked for a classification of an AR10-type item identified by you as a "WARRHOGG BLANK" as well as a Glock-type "GC9 Blank" on behalf of your client, Polymer 80, Incorporated (see enclosed photos). Specifically, you wish to know if these items would be classified as a "firearm" under the Gun Control Act of 1968 (GCA).

You state the submitted **WARRHOGG BLANK** incorporates the following design features:

- *Magazine well.*
- *Magazine catch.*
- *Receiver extension/buffer tube.*
- *Pistol grip area.*
- *Pistol-grip screw hole.*
- *Pistol grip upper receiver tension hole.*
- *Pistol grip tension screw hole.*
- *Bolt catch.*
- *Front pivot-pin takedown hole.*
- *Rear pivot-pin takedown hole.*

As a part of your correspondence, you describe design features and the manufacturing process of the submitted "WARRHOGG Blank" to include the following statements:

Mr. Jason Davis

- *The submitted WarrHogg .308 blank lower receiver blank is a solid core unibody design made out of a single casting without any core strengthening inserts. Moreover, it is void of any indicators that designate or provide guidance in the completion of the firearm. This submitted item incorporates a solid fire control cavity area, and was cast in a homogenous manner using a "single shot of molten material."*

For your reference in this matter, the amended Gun Control Act of 1968 (GCA), 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(3), defines the term "**firearm**" *to include any weapon (including a starter gun) which will or is designed to or may be readily converted to expel a projectile by the action of an explosive...[and] ...the frame or receiver of any such weapon...*

Also, 27 CFR § 478.11 defines "**firearm frame or receiver.**" *That part of a firearm which provides housing for the hammer, bolt or breechblock, and firing mechanism, and which is usually threaded at its forward portion to receive the barrel.*

Also, the AECA, 27 CFR § 447.11, defines "**defense articles**" as—

...Any item designated in § 447.21 or § 447.22. This includes models, mockups, and other such items which reveal technical data directly relating to § 447.21 or § 447.22.

The USMIL § 447.22, **FORGINGS, CASTINGS, and MACHINED BODIES** states:

Articles on the U.S. Munitions Import List include articles in a partially completed state (such as forgings, castings, extrusions, and machined bodies) which have reached a stage in manufacture where they are clearly identifiable as defense articles. If the end-item is an article on the U.S. Munitions Import List, (including components, accessories, attachments and parts) then the particular forging, casting, extrusion, machined body, etc., is considered a defense article subject to the controls of this part, except for such items as are in normal commercial use.

During the examination of your sample, FTISB personnel found that the following machining operations or design features present or completed:

1. Front and rear pivot/take down pin holes.
2. Front and rear pivot/ take down detent retainer holes.
3. Front and rear pivot/take down lug clearance areas.
4. Selector-retainer hole.
5. Magazine-release and catch slots.
6. Trigger-guard formed.
7. Rear of receiver present and threaded to accept buffer tube.
8. Buffer-retainer hole.
9. Pistol-grip mounting area faced off and drilled, but not threaded.
10. Magazine well.
11. Receiver end-plate recess.

Mr. Jason Davis

Machining operations or design features not yet present or completed:

1. Complete removal of material from the fire-control cavity area.
2. Machining or indexing of selector-lever hole.
3. Machining or indexing of trigger slot.
4. Machining or indexing of trigger-pin hole.
5. Machining or indexing of hammer-pin hole.

As a part of this evaluation, FTISB personnel noted the following markings:

Left Side

- 308
- POLYMER80

FTISB has determined that an AR-10 type receiver blank could have all other machining operations performed, including front receiver pivot-pin and rear take down pin hole and clearance for the front receiver lug and rear take down pin lug clearance area (not to exceed 1.60 inches), but must be completely solid and un-machined in the fire-control recess area. The rear take down pin lug clearance area must be no longer than 1.60 inches, measured from immediately forward of the front of the buffer-retainer hole.

The FTISB examination of your submitted item, found that the most forward portion of the rear take down pin lug clearance area measures approximately 1.32 inches in length, less the maximum allowable 1.60 inch threshold. As a result, the submitted item is not sufficiently complete to be classified as the frame or receiver of a firearm; and thus, is not a "firearm" as defined in the GCA. Consequently, the aforementioned item is therefore not subject to GCA provisions and implementing regulations.

To reiterate the conclusion of FTISB's evaluation, our Branch has determined that the submitted Polymer 80, Incorporated AR10-type receiver blank incorporating the aforementioned design features is not classified as the frame or receiver of a weapon designed to expel a projectile by the action of an explosive; and thus, it is not a "firearm" as defined in (GCA), 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(3)(B).

As a part of your correspondence, you describe design features and the manufacturing process of the submitted "CG or CG9" to include the following statement:

- *The submitted GC9 blank is a solid core unibody design made out of a single casting without any core strengthening inserts. Moreover, it is void of any indicators that designate or provide guidance in the completion of the firearm.*

Mr. Jason Davis

Please note, while not indicated in the accompanying correspondence, the submitted CG or CG9 appears to have been made utilizing additive manufacturing or 3-D printing technology and not “made out of a single casting.”

During the examination of your sample “CG or CG9,” FTISB personnel found that the following machining operations or design features present or completed:

1. Slide lock lever location indexed.
2. Upper portion of slide lock spring recess.
3. Trigger slot.
4. Capable of accepting Glock 17 trigger mechanism housing.
5. Capable of accepting Glock 17 trigger bar.
6. Capable of accepting Glock 17 locking block.
7. Magazine well.
8. Magazine catch.
9. Accessory rail.
10. Slide-stop lever recess.
11. Magazine catch spring recess.

Machining operations or design features not yet present or completed:

1. Trigger-pin hole machined or indexed.
2. Locking block-pin hole machined or indexed.
3. Devoid of front or rear frame rails.
4. Barrel seat machined or formed.

As a result, the submitted “CG or CG9” is not sufficiently complete to be classified as the frame or receiver of a firearm; and thus, is not a “firearm” as defined in the GCA. Consequently, the aforementioned item is therefore not subject to GCA provisions and implementing regulations.

To reiterate the conclusion of FTISB’s evaluation, our Branch has determined that the submitted Polymer 80, Incorporated Glock-type receiver blank incorporating the aforementioned design features is not classified as the frame or receiver of a weapon designed to expel a projectile by the action of an explosive, thus it is not a “firearm” as defined in (GCA), 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(3)(B).

Please be aware, while not classified as a “firearm”; the submitted items are each classified as a “defense article” as defined in 27 CFR § 447.11. The U.S. Department of State (USDS) regulates all exports from, and particular imports into, the United States. Firearms, parts, and accessories for firearms are all grouped as “defense articles” by the USDS and overseen by their Directorate of Defense Trade Controls. Information regarding import/export of defense articles can be found on their web site at www.pmdtdc.state.gov.

In conclusion, correspondence from our Branch is dependent upon the particular facts, designs, characteristics or scenarios presented. Please be aware that although other cases (submissions to our Branch) may appear to present identical issues, this correspondence pertains to a particular

Mr. Jason Davis

issue or item. We caution applying this guidance in this correspondence to other cases, because complex legal or technical issues may exist that differentiate this scenario or finding from others that only appear to be the same.

Also, this determination is relevant to the items as submitted. If the design, dimensions, configuration, method of operation, or utilized materials or processes such as changing from additive manufacturing to injection molding, this classification would be subject to review and require a submission to FTISB of an exemplar utilizing the new manufacturing process.

We thank you for your inquiry and trust the foregoing has been responsive to your evaluation request. Please do not hesitate to contact us if additional information is needed.

Sincerely yours,
~~MA~~





Michael R. Curtis
Chief, Firearms Technology Industry Services Branch


Enclosures


Polymer 80, Inc. WARRHOGG Receiver Blank














Polymer 80, Inc; GC or CG9 Receiver Blank












Capable of Accepting Glock 17 Trigger Mechanism and Trigger Bar Assemblies



Capable of Accepting Glock 17 Locking Block, Trigger Assembly and Slide Stop Lever



Internal Frame Comparison to NFC Glock 17



Frame Comparison to NFC Glock 17



Frame Comparison to NFC Glock 17

