Motion by Judge mly, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that the contract for construction of concrete girder bridge consisting of 14.31 ft . girders on concrete bents over the Double Mountain Fork of the Brasos River on High way No. 7, S. A.P. 755, in Garga County, be awarded, according to the recommendation of the State Highway Engineer, to Austin Bridge Company, Dallas, Texas,for $\$ 23,944.03$; same being the lowest and best bid. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Sterling; that the contract for construction of a creosoted timber btidge and earth approaches over the Washita River on Highway No. 4, S.A.P. 301, in Hemphill County, be awarded according to the recommendation of the State Highway Engineer, to Austin Bridge Company, Dalles, Texas, for $\$ 17,755.62$; and that an additional allotment of $\$ 5,000,00$ is made to aupplement the original allotment of May 10, 1928. Kotion carried.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that the contract for construction of small drainage structures, rock asphalt surface treatment from Sayere to Wilson County line on Highway No. 81, S.A.P. 783, in Bexar County, be awarded according to the recommendation of the State Highway Engineer, to Colglazier \& Hoff, San Antonio, Texes, for $\$ 40,280$. 22 same being the lowest and best bid. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that the contract for conetruction of grading, drainage structures and concrete pevement, from Marr St. in El Paso to Womble Road on Highway No. 130, S.A.P. 776 mA in Hi Paso County, be awarded, according to the recommendation of the State Highway Engineer, to BI Paso Bitulithic Company, El Paso, Texes, for $\$ 25,306$. 34 ; same being the lowest and best bid. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge 耼 $y$, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that the contract for construction of grading and mall drainage structures from Wharton County line to Ganado on Highway No. 12e F.A.P. No. $518 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{I}_{\text {, }}$ in Jackson. County, be awarded according to the recommendation of the State Highway Figineer, to Walsh, Burney \& Moore, San Antonio, Texa.s, for \$13,681,27; and the contract for the large drainage structures on the ame project be awarded to Sullivan \& Davise Granger, Texes, for \$46,837.72; same being the lowest and best bids and said awards are concurred in by Judge John T. Vance, County Judee of Jackson County, and Mr. F. H. Iverett, Highway Ingineer, Federal Bureau of Public Roads. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Fly , seconded by Mr. Sterling, that the contract for construction of grading and drainage structures from 6 miles north of Canyon to Amarillo on Highway No. 9, F.A.P. 6QG, in Randall County, be awarded, according to the recommendation of the State Highway Enginee to R. B. Stroupe, Chillicothe, Texas, for $\$ 13,236.16$; same being the lowest and best bid and said award is concurred in by Mr. P. H. Everett, Highway Engineer, Federal Bureau of Public Roads. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that the contract four construction of grading and drainage structures from Sherman to Denison on Highway No. 6, F.A.P. 539.C, in Grayson County; be awarded, according to the recomendation of the State Highway lingineer, to K. Be Stroupe. Chililicothe, Texas, for $\$ 45,630.25$; eame being the lowest and best bid, and said award is concurred in by Mr. P. H. Everett, Highway Engineer, Federal Bureau of Public Roads. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that the contract for construction of grading and drainage structures from Collin County line to Howe, on Highway No. 6, F.A.P. 539 m , in Grayson County, be awarded according to the recommendation of the State Highway Engineer, to Culyerhouse, Jones \& Shilling, Itasce, Texas, for $\$ 18,335.21$; same being the lowest and best bid and said award is concurred in by Mr. P. H. Evereth Highwey Engineer, Federal Bureau of Public Roads. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that the contract for construction of caliche base and bituminous macadam surface from Roscoe to Mitchell County line on Highway No. 7, F.A.F. 498, in Nolan County, be awarded, according to the recomendation of the State Highway Hagineer, to Cocke \& Turner, Marshall, Texas, for $\$ 120,346.27$; mame being the lowes fand best bid and said award is concurred in hy Mr. P. H. Everett, Highway Bngineer, Federal Bureau of Public Roads. Motion Carried.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that the contract fpr construction of gravel and crusher run base and bituminous macadam surfacp course, in the City of Stamford on Highway No. 18, S.A.P. 785, in Jonee County, be awarded, according to the mecommendation of the flate Highway Bngineer, to Dexter Construction Company, Dallas, Texas, for $\$ 7,211,60$; same being the lowest and best bid and said award is concurred in by $M_{r}$. J. W. Fuckett. County Engineer of Jones County. Motion carried.
589.

Motion by Judge $E l y$, seconded by $W_{r}$. Sterling, that the contract for construction of grading and drainage structures from Uvalde City limite to Zavalla County line on Highway No. 55, S.A.P. 671, in Uvalde County, be law arded according to the recomendation of the State Highway Engineer, to He P. Zachery, Laredo, Texas, for \$26,829.42; same being the lowest and best bid. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that the contract for constraction of gravel and crusher run broicen stone base and bitumio nous macadam surface from Shackelford County line to Stamford on Highway No. 18, F.A.P. 477 mC , in Jones County, be awarded, according to the recommendation of the State Highway Ingineer, to Dezter Construction Co. Dallas, Texas, for $\$ 220,830,69$; bame being the lowest and best bid and said award is concurred in by Mr. P. H. Everett, Highway Hgineer, Tederai Bureau of Public Roads, and Mr. J. W. Fuckett, County Engineer of Jones County. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge iliy, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that the contract fof construction of grading and small drainage structures from Seguin to onem half mile east of Kingebury on Highway No. $3-A$, S.A.P. 787-A, in Guadalup. County, be awarded according to the recommendation of the state Highway Fugineer, to H, B, Zachery, Laredo, Texas, for \$36,040.40; and the contract for the large drainage structures is awarded to Paul Schriawer, Seguin, Pexas, for $\$ 29,900.75$; same being the lowest and best bids. Motion carriod

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by $M_{\text {r }}$. Sterling, that the State Highway Bingineer be authorized to advertise for bide for the construction of Unit II of Highway No. 19, from the south city limits of the City of Pelestine to the end of F.A.P. 403 mG , approximately 10.222 miles, on condition that first and last, AndersonCounty shall contribute not less than one-third of the total cost of such construction and furaish not less than 100 ft. righto of=way. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Kly, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that the application of Winkler and Ward Counties for the designation of a State Highway, from a point on the Bankhead Highway (No, 1) in Ward County, to Kermit, in Finkler County, be referred to the State Highway lingineer for an immediate investigation and report covering location and type of highway to be conftructed; and the State Highway Engimer is authorized to make the location and advertise for bide for the construction of said Highway, on condition that Wincler and Ward Counties furnish fifty per cent of the cost of auch construction and not less than 100 ft . right-of-way; with the understanding that the StateHighway Engineer taki into consideration, in making the focation in Ward County, the ability of the towns affected to furnish their pert of the cost of the construction of said Highway. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Hiy, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that the application of Hardeman County for the purchase of bridge over the Red River on Highway No. 51, be referred to the State Highway lingineer for further negotiation with Hardeman County and Jackson County, Okla., and the owner of the bridge, with a view, if a proper understanding cannot be arrived at with the owner of the bridge, then that the State Highwey Eingineer be authorized to advertise for bids for the construction of a bridge; providing it will not cost over $\$ 35,000.00$; with the understanding that Jackson County, Oklahoma, will pay one-half and the State of Texas and Hardeman County one-helf of such construction. Motion carried.

May 25, 1928.

## Members present:

R. S. Sterling, Chairman,
W. R. Tly, Member
Cone Johneon, Member
Gibb Gilchrist, State Highway Hngineer.

Motion by Judge Fily, seconded by Mr. Johnson, that the State High way Ingineer be authorised to advertise for bids for the construction of Unit II on Highway NO. 1aA, in Sheckelford County from a point eight miles west of Albany to the Jones County line; on condition that Shackelp ford County furnish not less than 80 ft . rightmefway, and that, firgt and last, Shackelford County will contribute onemthird of the cost, Motion carried.

Motion by Mr. Johngon, seconded by Judge miy, that the State High way Ingineer be authorized to advertise for bids for the construction of grading and drainage structures on Highway No. 131, from Brackettville to Spofford, in Kinney County, with the understanding that Kinney County will contribute the entire cost and be credited in the construction of Unit II on basis of twomthirds State and Fedaral aid; and on the further condition that Kinney County furnish not less than 100 ft . righteoforay. Motion carried.

Motion by Mr. Johnson, seconded by Judge miy, that a survey of about 17 miles of Highway No. 80, in Caldwell County, from Iuling to San Marco in Hays County, be made to ascertain the location and probable cost of improvement, on basis of $50 \%$ aid, for the information and guidance of the County and Precinct; and on Highway No. 3mA, from Luling to the Gonzales County line. Motion carried.

Motion by Juage E1y, seconded by Mr. Johnson, that, without any commitment on the part of the Commision as to whether or not the design nation will be made, the State Highway Engineer be authorized to make a survey from Marfa to Fort Davis for the proper location of a highway. approximately 22 miles ; and the State Highway Engineer shall furnish Jeff Davis and Presidio Counties the probablo cost and requirements as to grading and drainage structures fer proper highway. Motion carried.

Motion by Mr. Johnson, seconded by Judge BIy, that the Highway Engineer be authorized to make plans and specifications with estimate of cost of hard surfacing approximately 7.5 miles of Highway No. 108-A, in Fells County, and recommend to the Commission the type of road which, with the $\$ 100,000,00$ to be contributed by Falls County, the Highway Comm mission ought to authorize to be constracted; the total cost thereof to be approximately on a fiftymifty per cent basis. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge mly, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that $\$ 3,295,00$ be appropriated for relocating approximately two miles of state Highway \#49 between Johnstown and the Sulphur River, in Red River County, to eliminatp two railroad grade crossings, the improvement to consist of the necessary grading and drainage structures. This appropriation is made contingent upon the county's furnishing at least a $100^{\prime}$ right-of-way on the proper location. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that in accordance With action taken at the January meeting an 80 ft . rightwofwway having begn secured on State Highway No. 40, from Lewisville, in Denton County, south to the Dallas County line, that the State Highway Engineer proceed to prepare plans and award contract for the grading and drainage structures on this gection, the expense of Unit 1 to be borne by this Department; al o that the State Highway Engineer, under authority granted April 2, 1928, make this survey from Lewisville north to intersect the present improved road from Denton and to furnish Denton County a map showing the rightebifo way that ghould be secured. Motion carried.

May 25, 1928.

Motion by Judge Mly, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that action taken on Feb. 22, 1928, be amended to read as follows: That the State Highway Department will, first and last, contribute 66 and $2 / 3$ per cent of the total cost of Units 1 and II of State Highway No. 24, between Seymour and the Archer County line, provided Baylor County advances the entire cost of Unit 1; and on the further condition that Baylor County provide not less than onewthird of the cost of the construction of a durable type lon Highway No, 30, from the town of Seymour to the Archer County line, and in addition furnish a 100 ft . right-ofway and the preliminary engineering expense, and that the bond order for the construction of Highway No. 30 , be submitted to the State Highway Engineer before the election is called. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Bly , seconded by Mr. Sterling, that the minute of the Highway Commission of January 17, 1928, relative to an allotment of twomthirds aid on Highway No. 5, in Hardaman County be amended in this: That the allotment of two-thirds aid on Highway No. 5 heretofore made be confirmed with the understanding that any amount left over from the bond issue of said County be applied to the improvement of Highway No. 51. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Hiy, seconded by Mr. Johnson, that an allotment of $\$ 15,000,00$ be made for a special bridge maintenance project in Dallam County across Rita Blanca Creek on State Highway No. 5, to cover cost of bridge and earth approaches. Motion carried.
605.

Motion by. Mr. Sterling, seconded by Judge Ely, that the State Highe Way Engineer appoint a Resident Engineer for Motley County and that surveds be made and plans completed on Highway No. 18, south of Matador to the pickens County line, and on $H_{i}$ ghway No. 28 across Motley County; the cost of plans and engineering to be charged againtt Motiey County, but to be paid by this Depertment. Motion carried.
606.

No. 134 be in Hockley County, to the New Mexico line, on condition that a 100 ft . rightmofmway be provided on a location approved by the State Highway Engineer; and the further condition that this highway will not be taken pver for maintenance except from Lubbock to Levelland at this time, with the remainder of the route to be taken over as conditions may justify but po portion to be accepted until it is on the proper location with the proper width of right-of-way and proper grade and drainage to be approved by the State Highway Engineer. Motion carried. pppropriated for general maintenance for the balance of this fiscal year po State Highway No. 118, from Marfa to Presidio, in Presidio County. Motion carried.
608.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that the State Highway Engineer be instructed to inform the Commissioners' Court of Fpunels Countly that if Runnels County will provide a net sum of money not less than $\$ 635,000,00$ to be used for paying for rightoof-way and the County's $1 / 3$ bf the cost of construction of the two State Highways, Nos. 23 and 30, pacross Runnels County, that the State Highway Department will, as funds become available, complete this system. This action is taken on condition that Runnels County will furnish the above amount of money, plus interest pn same, without restriction as to location of State Highways or as to type. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that a $100 \%$ approm priation, approximately $\$ 16,250,00$ be made to cover cost of a concrete pavement and bituminous surface on bridges on 6.5 miles of Highway No. l-A. through the corner of Callahan County. Motion carried. and Federsl Aid be appropriated to cover the cost of Unit I and II of State Highway No. 7, from Mexia west along a route to be approved by the State Highway Inginear, crossing over the T. \& B. Va Bailroad at a point approxes Imately 4000 feet west of the present grade crossing, thence to a point at or near where the present road turns to the right in the direction of Rehuacana; this all being on condition that Limestone County will furnish fot this time to the State Highway Department a right-of-way not leas than 30 ft . in width along the route to be approved by the State Highway Enginear along the most practical line from the underpass heretofore mentioned and the point where present State Highway No. 7 cromess Elm Creek and the line pf Road District No. 4; it being understood that the State Highway Departpent reserves the right at any time the condition may justify to abandon as a State Highway that portion leading through Tehuacana and construct along the rightwof-way which will be furnished by Limestone County.

Motion by Juage IHI, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that an appropriation of $\$ 4,000,00$ be made for repairs and painting of the Sabine River Bridge near Logansport on Highway No. 35, in Shelby County, aame being 50\% of the cost of these repairs. Motion carried.

Motion by Mr. Sterilag, eeconded by Judge Ely, that the Chairman make application to the Secretary of War for permission to reconstruct bridge across Irinity River, on State Highway No. 3, in Iibery County. Motion carried.

Motion by Mr. Sterling, seconded by Mr. Johnson, that the Minutes of the State Highway Cormission, dated May 23, 1927, allotting oneothird State Aid and one-third Federal Aid for the grading and drainage structuras on Highway No. 3, in Fort Bend County, from Hosenberg to the Bernard River be cancelled and that Bufficient state and Federal Funds be approw priated for the construction of grading, drainage structures and surfacing on this highway, provided that the County will contribute $\$ 125,000.00$ toward this improvement. Motion carried.

2 Lotion by Judge Hly , seconded by Mr. Sterling, that $50 \%$ Federal Montgomery County, and State A1d aufficient to complete the project, be allotted for the construction of the Spring Creek Bridge on State Highway No. 19, at the Harrismontgomery County line, the estimated cost of which ps \$80,537.09. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that the action of the Commisbion on June 20, 1927, granting $\$ 500.00$ per mile State Aid to State Highway No. 72 between Cuero and the Karnes County line in DeWitt County be cancelled; a new allotment having been made to this section of road at the last meeting. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that the action of the Commission on January 17, 1928, granting aid to Austin County for constructing a bridge across Mill Creek on State Highway No. 7k-A, be amended so as to apply to the construction of this bridge on State Highm way \#36, instead of $\# 73-\mathrm{A}$. Motion carried.

Motion by Mr. Sterling, seconded by Judge Ely, that the sum of $\$ 26,873.50$ be appropriated to cover the cost of gradings structures and right-of-way on State Highway No. 2 opposite Buda, Texas, in Haya County the route to be constructed along the new location of aid State Highway No. 2, cutting out two dangerous railroad grade crossings at Buda. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge mly , seconded by Mr. Sterling, that $\$ 5,000.00$ be appropriated to cover the cost of completing S.A.P. 784, Highway No. 102 in Lee County, the work to be done by State forces and charged against the cost of S.A.P. 734. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that the Sta,te Highway Fngineer is authorized and directed to make such reconnaissance and surveys of the State Highweys in Bell County as will ens.ble him to advisp the Bell County Officials, under the policy of this Department, Just what funds Bell County should furnigh as their portion of durable construction and that the sum of $\$ 1,000.00$ is hereby appropriated to cover the cost of making such estimates and surveys. Motion carried.

Motion by Mr. Sterling, seconded by Judge Ely, that the sum of $\$ 24,000.00$ be appropriated to pay the cost of replacing 22 dips on High way No. 9 in Gillespie County with concrete box culverts, on condition that Gillespie County furnish not less than an 80 ft . rightmofmay on State Highway No. 9; the State Highway Engineer is directed to prepare plans and advertise such work for construction. Motion carried.

Motion by Mr. Johnson, seconded by Judge Ely, that the contract for the construction of a bridge, approaches and underpass at New Braunfels, on Highwoy No. 46, in Comel County, be awarded to the low bidder, in accordance with the recomendation of the State Highway Engineer; that is to say, to the low bidders relatively on the different types of bridge, on condition that Comal County will contribute the necessary funds over and above the ostimated cost of said bridge; said County to select the type of bridge it desires to be built, and. will put the necessary funds in escrow; the said award to take effect upon Comal County putting said funds in escrow; pwovided that Comel County shall act promptly without unnecessary delay. Motion carried.

Motion by Mr. Sterlinge seconded by Judge Ely, that the State High way Enineer be authorized and drected to proceed with the preparation of plans, the advertising for bide and the construction of approximately 1.06 miles of State Highway No. 2, in Travig County, beginning on the north bank of Williamson Creek, about 5 miles south of the State Cepitol, and extending south, estimated to cost $\$ 40,836.00$; also the section beginning approximately 11.5 miles south of the Capitol at Austin and extending south a distance of 3.567 miles to a point about one-half mile north of the Travis-Hays County line, estimated to cost $\$ 190,800,00$; both of these sections and estimates being for Unit 1 only but including several large structures; this authority conditioned on Travis County furnishing $\$ 44,000,00$ and 00 operating in other ways in securing right-cf-way for this improvement. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge $\operatorname{mly}$, seconded by Mr. Johnson, that $50 \%$ Aid be allotted to Guadalupe County for the improvement of $10 \frac{1}{2}$ miles of $\mathrm{H}_{\text {ighway }}$ No. 3-A, in Guadolupe County from Seguin to a point east of Kingsbury, with the understanding that, first and last, the State Highway Department will furnish aid to the extent of two-thirds of the total cost of the completed road; conditioned that Guadalupe County: will furnish right-ofo way not less than 801 wide, and the State Highway Engineer is hereby authorized to advertise for bids on said project.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that 50\% State Ald be allotted to quadalupe county for the construction of Unit 1 on 8.219 miles of Highway No. 123, from Seguin north to a point at or near the town of Geronimo, with the understanding that, first and last, the State Heghway Department will pay two-thirds of the total cost of the completed Highway, and that the County will furnish right-of-way not less than 80 it wide. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge mly, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that the State Highwaly Engineer be instructed to prepare plans and specifications on State Highe way No. 30, in gawards County and that the sum of $\$ 3,000,00$ be appropriated for this purpose. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that the sum of $\$ 210,000,00$ from the State Highway Fund be appropriated to cover improvement with grading and structures and to eliminate railroad grade crossings on approximately 12 miles of State Highway No. 3. in Val Verde County. beginning approximately 6 miles west of the Pecos River and extending west to point about three miles west of Langtry; conditioned that Val Verde County put in escrow the sum of $\$ 31,561,51$ as County Aid on this project; and the State Highway Hngineer is authorized to prepare plans and specifications and advertise for bids. Motion carried.

Motion by Mr. Sterling, seconded by Juage Mly, that the amount of $\$ 8,000.00$ be appropriated from the $S_{t}$ ate Highw fy Find to cover the cost of making preliminary surveys and plens on State Highway No. 3, from San Antonio, in gexar County, to Del Rio, in Jal Verde County; this work to include the cep in Medina County, bridges over Frio and Nueces Rivers, and over the county line creek between Kinney County and Val Verde County Motion carried.

Motion by Judge my , seconded by Mr. Sterling, that the action of the Commission of April 25; 1928, in regard to the type of road on State Highway No. 12mA, in Webb County, be amended to read as follows: "That the $\int$ State Highway Engineer shall carefully consider the types of road for the project under contemplation, in Webb County, and shall select the most economical type within the money which has been appropriated. Motion carried.

Motion by Mr. Sterling, seconded by Judge Eily, that the State Highway Hingineer be directed to inform the Commissioners' Court of San Patricio County, that if said Court will secure the right $\begin{gathered}\text { of } \\ \text { may } \\ \text { which is required }\end{gathered}$ by this Department, through the City of Portland ahe to the Nueces County line, that this Department will construct of suitable type the gap in State Highway beginning in the town of Portland and extending to the Bay Bridge at the Nueces County line. Any monies remaining in the County's fund after paying for this right-of-way may be used as they desire in building lateral roads or in paining as an alternate route the present travelled road through Portland. Motion carried.
632.

Motion by Judge Bly, seconded by Mr. Sterling that authorization \#42 making a total of $\$ 2,500,00$ be transferred to authorization No. 41, making a total of $\$ 4,000,00$ and that this amount be increased to $\$ 9,822.45$ to provide for the relocation of apprombetizy 1.4 miles of State Highwey No. 6, south of Calvert, in Robertwon County in order to eliminate two grade crossings with the H. \& T. C. Railroadi Motion carried. $\$ 70,000.00$ be appropriated to cover the cost of approximately one mile of construction, beginning about onembalf mile from the Brazongrimes County line the $H . \& T$. C. Railway with a temporary connection with the present slab on the west side of the H. \& T. C. Reilroad, conditioned that Grange and Brazos Counties furnish a total of $\$ 20,000.00$ as their portion of this improvement; and that the State Highway Ingineer be authorized to prepare plans and take bids on this imprdvement. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that $\$ 3,500.00$ be appropriated for the preparation of plans on 17 miles of State Highway No. 32 from Fairfield, in Freestone County, to the Leon County line. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that the order passed by the Commission on March 19, 1928, covering aurveys to be made of certain Highways, Page 271 of the Minute Boods, be amended to include $12-1,39,18,22,28,29,34,35,37,57,2 m, 33,58,68,71$ and 73. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Hly, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that $\$ 5,000.00$ be pppropriated for spicial tests and mupplementary research work on conc rete pavement to be made in Taylor County; all equipment purchased for these -fests to revert to the Material and Test Division. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that $\$ 2,500,00$ be appropriated for the Materials and Tests Division to replace this amount used by the Maintenance Department from Appropriation Amll3. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Sterling, that $\$ 6,000,00$ be appropriated for maintaining Highway No. 9, from a point north of the Santa Fe Tracks to Lubbock, in Iubbock County, on the new location. Motion Carried.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Johnson, that the contract for construction of Bituminous Macadam Surface Course from 6 miles west of Seymour to the Znox County line on Highway No. 24 , F.A.P. 455 m , in $B$ Beylor County, be awarded, according to the recomandation of the State Highway Engineer, to He_Ke_McCollum, Fort Worth, Texas, for \$61,905.14! some being the lowest and best bid and said award is concurred in by $\mathbb{M}_{r}$. P. H. Fiverett, Highway Engineer, Federal Bureau of Public Roeds. Motion carried.
640. Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Johnson, that contract for M-1-G consisting of 268,280 square yards of one course asphalt surface treatment on 25.5 miles of Highway No. I, from 1.4 miles west of the Mortis-Titus County line northeast through Omaha in Morris County, Naples in Cass County, to Sime in Bowie County, be awarded according to the recommendation of the State Highway Fngineer, to Dexter Construction Company Dallas, Texas, for $\$ 44,802,76$; same being the lowest and best bid. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Johnson, that cont ract for M-1-H, consisting of 202,963 square yards of one course asphat surface treatment on 19.22 miles of Highway No. 5 , from 2.22 miles east of kalta, in Bowie County west 3,22 miles and from 11 miles east of Clarksville west 16 mile through Clarksville in Red River County, be awarded according to the recon mendation of the State $\bar{H} i g h w a y$ Kingineer, to Dexter Construction Compeny, Dallas, Texas, for \$34,503.71; same being the lowest and best bia. Motion parried.

May 25, 1938.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Johnson, that contract for $\mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~L}$ consisting of 41.184 square yards of one course asphalt surface treatment on 3,9 miles of Highway No. 34, from Midlothian, in M11is County, east to the end of the present asphalt surfacing, be awarded, according to the recommendation of the State Highway Engineer, to J. E. Ward Companye Dallas, Texas, for $\$ 6,560,61$; same being the lowest and best bid. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Fly , seconded by Mr. Johnson, that contract for $\operatorname{sm} 2 \mathrm{~m}$. consisting of 92,086 square yards of one course asphalt surface treatment on 9.81 miles of Highway NO. lmA, from the Junction of Highway No. 1 and 1-A west to the Stephens-Palo Pinto County line, this being a seal coat on top of the present asphalt surface through Palo Pinto County, be awardad according to the recommendation of the State Highway Engineer, to L . W. Pelphrev \&e Company, Breckenridge, Texas, for $\$ 19,338,06$; same being the lowest and best bid. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Johnson, that contract for Mman consisting of 67,586 square yards of one course asphalt surface treatment on 7. 2 miles of Highway No. 2, from Alvord, in Wise County, northwest to the Montaguemise County lime, be awarded, according to the recommendation of the State Highway Engineer, to McClung Construction Company, Fort Worth Pexas, for $\$ 10,462.31$; same being the lowest and best bid. Motion carried

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Johnson, that contract for M-3nconsisting of 58,512 square yards of one course asphalt surface treatment on 2.7 miles of Highway 10,40 , from Gainesville south to the end of the present asphalt surfacing, in cook County, be awarded according to the recommendation of the State Highway masineer, to J. E. Ward Company, Pallas, Texas, for $\$ 5.254 .76$; same being the lowest and beat bid. Motion carried.

May 25, 1928.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Johnson, that contract for Mm 10 L , consisting of 105,600 square yards of one course asphalt surface treatment on 5 miles of Highway No. 11, from five miles east of Winnsboro in Hood County, east to the Compmood County line, and 5 miles of Highway TNo. 15, from Mineola east, be awarded, according to the recomendation of the State Highway Engineer, to Haden \& Austin, Houston, Texas, for $\$ 18,902.40$; same being the lowest and best bid. Motion carried.

Motion by Mr. Johnson, seconded by Judge Ely, that contract for the construction of $5=101 \mathrm{ft}$. $\times 20 \mathrm{ft}$. through steel trusses with $6-40 \mathrm{ft}$. x 20 ft . concrete girder approaches; the abutments, piers and bents to be of reinforced concrete, on Highway No. 55, S.A.P. 750, in Zavalla County, be awarded, according to the recommendation of the State Highway Engineer to Wel bh, Burney \& Moore, San Antonio, Texas, for $\$ 77,081.28$; same being the lowest and best bid. Motion carried.

Motion by Mr. Johnson, seconded by Judge Ely, that contract for the construction of $47-17^{1}$ panels of timber pile trestle over Sulphur River, on Highway No. 1, in Bowie and Cass Counties, be awarded, according to the recommendation of the State Highway Engineer, to Austin Bridge Co, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{a}}$ llas, Texas, for $\$ 2 \mathrm{O}_{,} 239.26$; same being the lowest and best bid. Motion carried.

Motion by Mr. Johnson, seconded by Judge Ely, that contract for the construction of concrete pavement from Jacks on County line to 3 miles west of Wharton on Highway No. 12, F.A.P\& 518 m \& $B$, in Wharton County, be awarded, according to the recommendation of the Stete Highway Engineer to Russmitchell, Housto $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}$ Texas, for $\$ 510,354.39$; amme being the lowest and best bid and said award is concurred in by Judge John Norris, County Judge of Wharton County, and Mr. P. H. Everett, Highway Engineer, Federal Bureau of Public Raads. Motion carried. onstruction of grading, drainage structures and concrete pavement from San Angelo to Runnels County line on Highway No. 30, F.A.F. 229, in Tom Green County, be awarded, according to the recomendation of the state Highway Engineer, to Davis H. Ryan. San Diego, Calif., for $\$ 340,013,21 ;$ same being the lowest and best bid and said award is concurred in by Mr. P. H. Everett, Highway Engineer, Federal Bureau of Public Roads, and Mr. T. J. Kelly, County Engiheer, of Tom Green County. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Johnson, that the contract for conatruction of grading and drainage structures from Sabine River to the Louibiana State line, on Highwey No. 64, S.A.P. 773-B \& C, in Panola Co.. be awerded, according to the recommendation of the State Highway Engineer; to J. S. Moore \& Son, Luflcin. Texas, for \$81,391.93; same being the lowest and best bid. Motion carried.

Motion by Mr. Johnson, seconded by Judge Bly, that contract for the construction of 16,760 miles of concrete pavement from Sulphur Springs to the Franklin County line on Highway No. 1, F.A.P. $431-C$ \& $D_{2}$ in Hopkins County, be awarded, according to the recomondation of the State Highway Engineer, to Jagoe Construction Company, Dallas, Texas, for \$397,642.00; same being the lowest and best bid and said award is concurred in by the County Kngineer of Hopkins County and Mr. P. H. Everett. Highway Engineer Federal Bureau of Public Roads, Motion carried.

Motion by Mr. Johnson, seconded by Judge Mly, that the contract for construction of grading and small drainage structures from Liberty County Dine to the Harris County line on Highway No. 35, in Montgomery County, T.A.P. 514-A, be awarded, according to the recommendation of the state Highway Engineer, to Charles Epps, San Augustine, Texas, for $\$ 75,239.54 ;$ and the contract for the timber pile trestles is awarded to Brown-Abbott Copipany, Dellas, Texas, for $\$ 82,048.75$; same being the lowest and best bide. (This project was recommended for approval by the Austin Officem and the District Engineer on May 23, 1928. As it is not on the preferred program as agreed to by the State Highway Department and Mr. McDonald, the: faward of contract to Charles IPps. is concurred in subject to the final ppproval of the project by the Chief of Bureau) (See letter of May 25, 1928, from Mr. Everett, Highway Engineer, Federal Bureau of Public Roads, in general files.) Motion carried.
663.

Motion by Mr. Johnson, seconded by Judge Ely, that the contract for construction of grading and etructures and gravel surfacing connecting Highway No: 85, with city limits of Hagle Pass on Highway No. 85, S.A.s. 779, in Maverick County, be awarded, according to the recomendation of the State Highway Engineer, to Welah, Burney and Moore, San Antonio, Texad, for \$7,711.39; same being the lowest and best bid. Motion carried.

Motion by Mr. Johnson, seconded by Judge Ely, that the contract for construction of 127 foot steel span and concrete approaches on Highway No. 19, between Earris and Montgomery Counties, be awarded, according to the recommendation of the State Highway Engineer, to Sullivan \& Davisi Grainger, Texas, for $\$ 60,416,47$; same being the lowest and best bid and said award is concurred in by Judge Norman Adkinson, County Judge, of Harris County and Mr. P. H. Bverett, Highway Engineer, Federal Bureau of Public Roads. Motion carried.

May 25, 1928. for construction of grading and gravel surfacing from Hearse 1.6 miles west on Highway No. $43, S, A, P$. 766 , in Robertson County, be awarded, according to the recommendation of the State Highway Engineer, to Austin Bridge Company, Dallas, Texas, for $\$ 37,791,92$; same being the lowest and best bid. Motion carried.

Motion by Mr. Johnson, seconded by Judge Hill, that the contract for construction of $12-17 \mathrm{ft}$. timber panel and earth approaches on High m Way No. 5, Job $k-4-C$, in Dallam County, be awarded, according to the recon mendation of the State Highway Engineer, to Austin Bridge Company. Dallas, Texas, for $\$ 10,473.12$; same being the lowest and best bid. Motion carried.

Motion by Mr. Johnson, seconded by Judge Ely, that the contract for construction of grading and drainage structures from Abilene to 4 miles past of Tuscola on Highway No. 30, in Taylor County, be awarded, according to the recommendation of the State Highway Engineer, to $\mathbb{E}_{0} F_{0}$ Bury \& Son and R. Te Child, Rising Ster, Texas, for $\$ 52,224,12$; same being the lowest and best bid and said award is concurred in by Mr. P. H. Everett, Highway engineer, Federal Bureau of Public Roads, Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Ely, seconded by Mr. Johnson, that State Highway No. 14 from the Dallas County line shall pass through miles County on the east side of the H. \& T. C. Railway and shall continue in Navarro County through Corsican to Richland on the east side of said railway, passing through the city of Corsican on the most practical route; from Richland, State Highway No. 14 shall cross the H. \&. T. C. Railway, joining the construction work now going on in Limestone County; from Richland, Highway No. 32 shall go on the most practical route to Streetman, thence on the most practical route to be determined by the State Highway Engineer to the town of Fairfield. Motion carried.

Motion by Judge Hly , seconded by Mr. Sterling, that Highway Mo, 83 be extended from Lomesa, in Damson County, through seminole in gaiman Comity, to the New Mexico State line. Motion carried.


