Minutes of the One Hundred Sixty-Seventh Meeting of the State Highwe Comission held in the State Office Building with the following members present:

| John Wood, | Chairman |
| :--- | :--- |
| W. R. تhy, | Member |
| D. K. Martin, | Member |
| Gibb Gilchrist, | State Highway Zngineer |

It is ordered by the Commission that each of the following contracts having been examined by the Commission, and it being found that it comples with the order of award by the Commission, is hereby approved in accordance with section 12, Chapter 186, General Laws of Texas as passed by the 125 Legislature, 39th, Regular Session.

| COUNTIY | PROJ. WO. | LENTGTH | DATE OF ATARD | USIT | NAME OF CONTRACTOR | $\begin{aligned} & A M O N N T \\ & \text { CONPRACT } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kendall | S 139-A | 14.842 | 5-2-33 | III | Brown \& Root, Inc. | \$100,858. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ 9 |
| Kendall | S 491-B | 1.4 .55 | 5-2-33 | III | Brown \& Root, Inc. | 9,704.76 |
| Kery | S 491 | 13.201 | 5-2-33 | III | J. P. Poty | 84,568. 66 |
| Eerr | S 491-C | . 111 | 5-2-33 | III | J. P. Foty | 757.41 |
| Val Verde | F 212-Rev. | . 317 | 5-15-33 |  | Virginia Bridge \& Iron | C085,791.44 |
| Cheroke | F 555-D | 9.267 | 7-3-33 | III | Haden and Austin | 40,963.83 |
| Coleman | F 600-C | 0.594 | 7-3-33 | III | Cage Brothers | 1,473.80 |
| Crockett | F 617-A | 15.065 | 7-3-33 | III | Colglazier \& Hoff | 37,223.47 |
| Jack | S 859m H | 10.994 | 7-3-33 | III | Morgan Const. Co. | 27,073.89 |
| Randall | F 60-4 | 8.262 | 7-3-33 | III | Cocke \& Braden | 16,895,40 |
| Rendall | F 546-G | 8.345 | 7-3-33 | III | Cocke \& Braden | 16,970.98 |
| Runnels | F 600-4 | 0.508 | 7-3-33 | III | Cage Brothers | 1,317.27 |
| Punnels | F 600-8 | 13.971 | 7-3-33 | III | Cage Brothers | 33,994.44 |
| Swisher | S 848-7 | 0.360 | 7-3-33 | III | Cocke \& Braden | 934.8 | and entered into, having been examined and the terms and conditions found satisfactory, and it appearing that an additional appropriation of funds will not be required, are hereby approved:

Bexar County, F.A.P. 633-A, R. W. Briggs \& CO., Contractors, providing for change in type of guard fence; estimated increase in cost $\$ 1,241.10$.

Bexar County, F.A.P. 633-A, R. W. Briges \& Co., Contractors, providing for added items of clearing and grabbing material pits; estimated cost of additional work $\$ 250,00$.

Bowie County, F.A.P. 313-A, Sullivan, Long \& Haggerty, Contractors, providing for increased quantities of various contract items; estimated cost of additional work $\$ 2,371.67$.

Brown County, F.A.P. 583-C, Bucy \& Childs, Contractors, covering additional compensation on 24,600 cubic yards of stone for base course, also for allowance of $\$ 1,114.00$ for moving crushing plant; total increase in cost \$6,034,00.

Concho County, F.A.P. 590-C, Southwest Constraction Materials Co., Contractor providing for increased quantity of stripping material pitas estimated cost of additional work $\$ 1,560.00$.

Dallas County, S.P. 230, Gifford-Hill \& Co., Contractors. providifg for construction of conerete pipe culvert, also inlets for street drainese egtimated cost of additional work $\$ 269.60$.

Hastland County, S.P. 175-H, Gifford-Hill \& Co., Contractors, prom viding for use of right of way markers from Highway Department stock; agreed decrease in contract cost $\$ 19.68$.

Frath County, S.P. 175-T, Gifford-Hill \& Co., Contractors, providing for use of right-of-way markers from Highway Department tock; agreed reduction in contract cost. $\$ 59.04$.

Continued:
Garza County, F.A.P. $635-\mathrm{D}$, Hannah \& Hall, Contractors, providing for added item of solid rock roadway excavation; estimated cost of additional work $\$ 6,726.80$.

Guadalupe County, F.A.P. 537-D, R. W. Briggs \& Co., Contractors, providing for change in type of guard fence; estimated increase in cost \$1,325.70.

Live Oak County, S.P. 931-B, F.P. McE1rrath Congtruction Co., Contractors, providing for construction of concrete pavement on spur from highway No. 66 into the town of George West; estimated cost of additionel work $\$ 5,253.01$.

McLemnan County, S.P. 943-A, Arcole Construction Co., Contractors, providing for construction of 177.53 square yards high early strength concrete pa.vement; estimated increase in cost $\$ 62.14$.

Nueces County, S.P. 498-D, Brown \& Root, Contractors, providing for added item of grouted rip raps estimated cost of additional work \$183.20.

Pecos County, F.A.P. 618-B, Brom \& Root, Contractors, providing for increased quantity of backfill embankment; eatimated cost of additional work $\$ 3,069.00$.

Reeves County, F. A.P. 607-A, C. D. Armstrone \& Sons, Contractors, providing for increased quantity of water for sprinking; estimated cost of additional work $\$ 1,454,34$.

Upshur County, F.A.P. 109-B, J. G. Nevicirk, Contractor, providing for alimination of work from station $1248 \not \& 20$ to $1256 \not f 88.2$; estimated reduction in cost $\$ 5,569,03$.

It is ordered by the Commission that each of the following projects having been satisfactorily completed in accordance with the plans and specifications and approved changes, is hereby accepted as recommended in the report of the Engineer, and that all monies due on each final estimate be paid the respective contractor.

| County | Project ${ }^{\text {No. }}$ | Contractor | Date Comploted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bexar \& | F633-A | D. F. Jones Const. Co. | 3-28-33 |
| Guadelupe |  |  |  |
| Caldwell | 1522-8 ME' | $S$ \& H Const. Co. | 6-19-33 |
| Caldwell | FY9-Reop. U-5 | UE" S \& H Const. Co. | 6-19-33 |
| Caldwell | S932-A | S \& H Const. Co. | 6-19-33 |
| Cal dwell | F522-A "EM | S \& H Const. Co. | 6-19-33 |
| Childregs | S854-G | I F Whitham \& Co. | 6-15-33 |
| Childress | 5728-I | I E Whitham \& Co. | 6-15-33 |
| Colorado | S945-C | Farl Yates \& Son | 4-6-33 |
| Concho | 7626-8 | Trinity Parm Gravel Co. | 6-10-33 |
| Conoho. | 7626-A | Trinity Farm Gravel Co. | 6-10-33 |
| Delta | S950-7I | Geo. W. Condon Co. | 4-4-33 |
| Erath | S 983-4 | Cage Bros. | 4-25-33 |
| Garza | F635-D $\mathrm{NE}^{\text {n }}$ | Hannah \& Hell | 6-13-33 |
| Gerza | F635-D MIH | Dozier Const. Co. | 5-30-33 |
| Garza | F635-A ${ }^{\text {ME }}$ | Hannah \& Hall | 6-10-33 |
| Garza | S755-C | J. S. Harrison Const. Co. | $6-14-33$ |
| Jim Mells \& |  |  |  |
| San Patric | cio S700-C | R. T. Briggs \& Co. | 4-19-33 |
| Kerr | F520-B | Brown \& Root | 5-18-33 |
| Lipscomb | S997-D | F. S. Cramford | 6-10-33 |
| Mcennan | S943-A | arcole Const. Co. | 6-17-33 |
| McLennan | F605-A | Arcole Const. Co. | 6-17-33 |
| Panola | S502-Reop. | T. G. Powell | 6-1-33 |
| Panola | F481-C Reop. MIN | 1 I. G. Powell | 6-1-33 |
| Parker | S175-B | J. B. Gl ardy | 6-15-33 |
| Pecos \& Crockett | S903-C | M. H. Ryland | 6-3-33 |

Continued:

| Reagan | S904-B | R. W. Briggs | 7-1-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reeves | S229-C | Dozier Const. Co. | 6-3-33 |
| Runnels | 7600-8 | Cage Bros. | 6-10-33 |
| San Jacinto | 5751- | A. I. Mays | 6-8-33 |
| Uvalde | F360-0 | Missour1 Valley B \& I Co. | 5-23-33 |
| Val Verde | P212-Rev. \& | Ext. James Spencer \& Son | 5-23-33 |
| Wharton | F506-B "E" | Russ Mitchell Inc. | 5-19-33 |
| Wharton | IT5-Reop. " | $\mathrm{E}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$ Russ Mitchell, Inc. | 5-19-33 |

In Sutton County on bids received June 29th 1933, contract for completion of Grading and Drainage Structures from 10 miles west of Sonora and extending to Sonora, in Sutton County, a distance of 10.045 miles, on State Highway No. Z7 F.A.P. No. 617-C, Unit No. I is awarded to Womack-Henning Const. Co., Abilene, Texas, for $\$ 18,844.23$, which is the lowest and best bid.

In gaplahan and gavlor Counties it is ordered by the Commission thet State Highway \#36 be extended from Cross Plains to Abilene, on condition that counties furnish not less than 100' rightoof-way on location to be approved by the State Highway Ingineer.

In Colorado, Fayette and Caldwell Counties the State Highway Ingina $r$ is directed to proceed with surveys for reconstructing such short sections of State Highway 43 in said counties in order to eliminate grade crossings the counties affected having agreed to secure the necessary right-of-way on such short sections.

In Comanche and Eastland Counties the State Highway Ingineer is directed to make location of State Highway \#36 from Comanche to Riging Star, on condition that the counties affected will agroe that they will secure not less than 100' right-of-way on location to be approved by the State Highway Ingineer.

In Grimes County it appearing that State Highway \#105 from Navasote to the Montgomery County line is the only State Highway not completed, it is ordered by the Commission that plans be prepared for Unit II Construction.

In Hill and Limestone Counties it is ordered by the Comnission that location be made on State Highway \#171 from Hillsboro to Cooledge, on condition that counties furnish not less than 100 right-of-way on location to be approved by the State Hignway Ingineer.

In Iiberty, Hardin and Jefferson Counties it is ordered by the Commission that State Highway \#105 be definitely located from Cleveland vis Sour Lake to Beaumont, on condition that counties affected provide necessary right-of-way on approved location.

In Montgomery County the County Judge and delegation appearing before the commission being of the opinion that construction of State Highway \#105 from Conroe to Cleveland is of first importance, it is ordered by the Comission that plans be dram for Unit II conatruction for such road.

In San Saba, Lleno and Gillespie Counties it is ordered by the Com mission that State Highmay \#81 be recomended to the Bureau of Public Roads for improvement, provided caunties furnish necessary right-of-way on location to be ap proved by the State Highway Bngineer.

$$
\text { July 11, } 1933
$$

In Atascosa County it is ordered by the Commission that approximat 1 y ten miles of Highway No. 9 from the Bexar County line to Pleasanton be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. I, at a cost of approximately $\$ \mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0 . 0 0}$.

In Bastrop County it is ordered by the Commission that grading and drainage structures on the 01d San Antonio Road from Bastrop to Paige be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1; the grade separation on Hignwas No. 71 below Smithville as Project Mo. 2, and the construction of Highway No. 95 as Project No. 3.

In Bell County it is ordered by the Commission that the construction of Highway No. 2 from Temple north be recomended to the Bureau of Pablic Roads as Project No. 1 and Highway No. 36 as project No. 2 in said county.

In Blanco County, it is ordered by the Commission that an asphalt topping on Highway No. 66 from Johnson City to the Burnet County be row commended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1 to cost approximately $\$ 30,000.00$.

In Breweter County, it is ordered by the Commission that Highway \#3 be recomended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1 and Highwar No. 99 through county as Project No. 2.

In Bromn County, it is ordered by the Comission that the improvement of a road from Brownrood to the Callahan County line be recomended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1, on condition that county will make the necessary agreement as to maintenance.

In Bumpet County, it is ordered by the Comnission that Highway No. 68 from Burnet south be recommended to the Bureau of Fublic Roads as Project No. 1 and such work as can be done on Highway No. 66 north as Project No. 2.

In Callahan County, it is ordered by the Commission that approximataly fifteen miles of grading and structures of Highway No. 191 from Baird south to the Coleman County line be recomended to the Bureau of Pablic Roads as Project No. 1.

In Cameron County it is ordered by the Commission that the County receive its pro rata part of Federal Aid funds for the relief of unemployment, and that the County Commissioners Court and the Division Engineer of the State Highway Department jointly recommend to the State Highway Commission, in the order of their importance, projects to be constructed in Cameron County, to cost approximately $\$ 220,000.00$

In Cameron County it is ordered by the Commission that $\$ 71,000$ in bonds escrowed in State National Bank of Brownsville, Texas, be released to the County, on condition that the Attorney General will approve such release, and with the further understanding that all of that part of the $\$ 71,000,00$ which was escrow ed in the Merchants National Bank of Brownsville which is not colsctable will not be a charge against the State Highway Department and the Board of County and District Bond Indebtadnesis.

In Carson County, it is ordered by the Commission that the condruction of the lateral road from the Hutchinson County line across the northwe corner of Carson County to connection with the concrete pavement at the Gray County line; approximately 13 miles, be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Froject No. 1.
tople

In Castro County, it is ordered by the Commission that Highway No. 194 in Castro County be recomended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1.

In Coleman County, it is ordered by the Commission that four miles of Highway No. 16 from Rockwood to the Colorado River, including a bride of the river, be recomended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1 ; and nine miles of grading and drainage structures on Highway No. 191 from Coleman north be recommended as Project No. 2.

In Collingsworth County it is ordered by the Commission that bridge over Salt Fork of Red River and Grading and drainage structures on Highway No. 4 from Red River north be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as projects to be constructed out of the National Recovery Highway Fond; and that contract be let, as soon as funds are available, for Unit II on Highway \#4 from the River to the Childress County line.

In Comal County, it is ordered by the Commission that the completion of Highway No. 2 through the county be recommended to the Bureau of Publif Roads as Project No. 1 and Highway No. 46 through county as Project No. 2

In Comanche County it is ordered by the Commission that the topping of Highway No. 10 through the county be recommended to the Bureaul of Public Roads as Project No. 1 and the grading and drainage structures on Elghway No. 36 as Project No. 2.

In Crosby County the Highway Conmission will recommend to the Bureav of Public Roads that funds to be allotted to said county under the National Recovery Act should be used towards the completion of State Highway \#24 in said county.

In Dallam County , it is ordered by the Commission that the completion of Highway No. 5 from Dalhart to Texl ine be recommended to the Bureau of Fublic Roads as Project No. 1 and the construction of Highway No. 56 from Dalhart to Sherman County line as Project No. 2.

In Dalias County, it is ordered by the Commission that Unit II on Highway No. 1 from Rosemont Street to Tarrant County line be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1, including an underpass on the Texas \& Pacific Rail road between Dallas and the Tarrant County line to cost approximately $\$ 60,000.00$; and Highway No. 1 between Dallas and Garland as Project No. 2.

In Deaf Smith County it is ordered by the Commission that the improvement of Highway No. 33 from the Randall County line to the Castro County I ine be recommended to the Bureau of Public $\mathrm{R}_{\text {oads }}$ as Project No. 1.

In Deita County, it is ordered by the Commission that improvement and widening of State Highway \#24 in said county be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as \#l preference project for said county; and that Unit II on State Eighway \#154 from Cooper east be recormended as Project No. 2.

It is ordered by the Commission that in the City of Hastlemd, in Bastiand County, that the application to improve Highway No. 67 and change the location of the highway be postponed until eatimates can be secured on the cost of the improvement question.

In Hastiand County, it is ordered by the Commission that the building of Highway No. 187, the reconditioning of Highway No. 67 from Carbor to the Comenche County line and the reconstruction of Highway No. 23 fror four miles west of Rising Star to Rising Star and from Cisco south, a distance of approximately eight or nine miles, be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roeds as Project No. 1; with the understanding that the average cost will not exceed $\$ 90,000.00$, and with the further understand ing that Ilastland County will furnish such right-of-way as may be required.

In \#1is County, it is ordered by the Commission that the improvement of Highway No. 68 be recommended to the Bureau of Public Koads as Project No. 1 and Highway No. 34 as Project No. 2.

In Mrath County, it is ordered by the Conmission that Highway No. 10 through the county be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1 and Highway No. 66 through the county as roject No. 2.

In Grege County it is ordered by the Commission that Highway No. 26 from Longriew to Kilgore be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1; Highwey No. 15 from Longview to Gladewater as Project No. 2 ; Highway No. 176 from Kilgore to Tyler as Project No. 3, and Highway No. 135 from Gladewater to Kilgore as Project No. 4.

In Harrison County it is ordered by the Commission that gravel base on approximately ten miles of Highway No. 155 from Marshall northwest be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1; and the extension of Highway No. 43 from Marshall to Caddo Lake be recommended as Project No. 2.

In Hays County it is ordered by the Commission that Highway No. 80 from Wimberly to an intersection with Highway No. 66 at Blanco be recomended to the Buresu of Public Roads as Project No. 1 and the improvement of Highway No. 2 as Project No. 2.

In Henderson County, it is ordered by the Commission that a road be constructed from Lallue to New York, approximately 4 miles, on condition that Henderson County will construct Unit I on stendard specifications of the Highway Department; when Unit I has been constructed by the County the Department will gravel and asphalt the road, with the understanding that after it is constructed Henderson County will assume the perpetual maintenance, and with the further inderstanding that the State Highway Department will not be required to build the road from New York to Baxter.

In Hood County it is ordered by the Commission that Highway No. 144 be extended from Glenrose, via Granbury and Lipan to a point on Highway No. 89 near Brendons Bridge and that the improvement of said road be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1 in Hood County

In Hutchinson County, it is ordered by the Commission that the completion of epproximately six miles of Highway No. 117 from Stinnett north be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1, and the construction of a section of the lateral road from near the town of Borger to the Carson County line as Project No. 2.

In Irion County it is ordered by the Commission that Highway No. 99 from Reagan County line east, a distance of 10 miles be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1; and the continuation of the road on to Mertion as roject No. 2.

In Jeff Davis County it is ordered by the Commission that Highway Np. 3 through County be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as roject No. 1 and finishing of bridge over Limpia Canyon on Highway No. 17 as Project No. 2 and Highway No. 118 through county as Project No. 3.

In Ximble County it is ordered by the Commission that the construction of a bridge over North Llano River, in Kimble County on Highway No. 27 , and the grading and drainage structures on Highway No. 4 from junction to the Menard County line as Project No. 2.

In Lamperas County, it is ordered by the Commission that the gradins and drainage structures on Highway No. 66 and the overpass north of Lampasas be recomended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1 and any improvement that can be done on Highways 53 and 74 as Project No. 2.

In Lee County, it is ordered by the Commission that Highway No. 44 be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1, particularly from Giddinge north to a point that will require an expenditure of approximately $\$ 75,000,00$; and Project No. 2 will he the second section north.

In Ifipscomb County it is ordered by the Commission that the overpass and underpass on Highway No. 117 be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1.

In Mason County, it is ordered by the Commission that the construction of a bridge over Comanche Creek on Highway No. 9 be recommended to the Bureau of Public foads as Project No. 1 and the completion of the section from Mason south to the pavement, approximately 4 miles, as Project No. 2.

It is ordered by the commission that the completion of all the projects on Highway No. 2, in Ma Lemnan County aggregating \$220,000.00 be recommended for approval of the Bureau of Public Koads as Project Na 1 in said county, and that the first unit of Highway No. 7 west of Waco be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads for Project No. 2 in McLennan County.

In Milam County, it is ordered by the Commission that the construation of an underpass at Rockiale at a cost of approximately $\$ 54,000,00$ be recomended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1 and Highway No. 44 north of Cameron as Project No. 2.

In Moore County, it is ordered by the Comission that the completion of Highway No. 9 from the Pot ter County 1 ine to Dumas be recomended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1; and Highway No. 178 from Damas to the Hartley County line as Project No. 2. - angelina

In Nacogdoches County application for extension of State Highway No. 103 from Iuficin to Chireno was referred to the State Highway Inginear for investigation and report.

In Qaham County it is ordered by the Oommisaion that Highway No. 13, from the Potter County line to the town of Vega be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. I; and from Vega to Adrian as Project No. 2.

In Pariker County, it is ordered by the Commission that the construction of Highway No. 1 through Weatherford, in Parker County, be recormended to the Bureau of Public Hoads as Project No. 1 ; and Highway No. 122 from Weatherford to Cresson as Project No. 2.

In Pecos County, it is ordered by the Highway Commission that the completion of Highway No. 27 from Fort Stockton east be recommended to the Bureau of Pablic Roads as Project No. 1 and Highway No. 99 from Fort Stockton east as Project No. 2.

In Pregidio County, it is ordered by the Cormission that the completion of Highway No. 3 through the county be recommended to the Bureap of Public Roads as Project No. 1, and Highway No. 17 through the county as Project No. ?.

In Gannels County, it is ordered by the Commission that State High fay Wo. 4 frora Winters south to concrete pavenent, be recomended for approval by the Bureau of Public Koads; on condition that County will furnish not less than 100' right-of-way on location to be approved by the State Highway Engineer.

In gannels and Coke Counties it is ordered by the Commission that location be made of State Highway H109 from Bronte to Ballinger with the understanding that the counties will furnish not 1 ess than $100^{\prime}$ right-of-way on approved location.

In Sherman County, it is ordered by the Commission that Highway No. 56 from the Dallam County line to the OKlahoma State line be rem comended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1 and the completion of Highway No. 9 from Stratford south to the Moore County Iine as Project No. 2.

In Somervell County, it is ordered by the Commission that topping 12 miles of Highway No. 68 from the Erath County 1 ine toward Glenrose be recomended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1.

In Stephens County it is ordered by the Conmission that recomendetion be mede to the Burean of Public $\mathrm{R}_{\text {oads }}$ to improve a highway from Caddo south to the Eastiand County line as a lateral or feeder road, on condition that Stephens County will onter into an agreement with the Bureau of Fublic $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ ads to maintain such road after it has been improved, this being the only project Stephens County is requesting.

In Stonemall and Dickens Counties it is ordered by the Cormission that location be made of State Highway No. 18 from Anpermont to Spur, on condition that counties affected will agree in advance to furnish not less than 100' right-of-way on location to be approved by the State Highway Engineer.

In Travis County, it is ordered by the Comission that drainage etructures on Highway No. 2 south of Aastin be reconmended to the Bureav of Pablic Roads as Project No. 1; Welmut Creek Bridge north of Austin as Project No. 2, and the improvement of Onion Creek Bridge as Project No. 3.

In Upghur County, it is ordered by the Commission that grading and drainage Structures on Highway No. 155 from Gilmer to the Harrison County 1 ine be recommendea to the Bureau of Public Roads as roject No. 1, provided that the projects be 1 imited to cost, not more than $\$ 100,000$; and that an underpass at Gilmer be recomended as Project No. 2, to cost approximately $\$ 20,000.00$.

In Upton County, it is ordered by the Commission that grading and drainage structures on Highway No. 137 be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1; with the understanding that the Highway Department, through the maintenance forces; will construct a caliche base following the grading and drainage structures.

In Yan Zandt County it is ordered by the Cormission that grading and drainage structures on Highway No. 19 from Henderson County line to Canton be recomnended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1 and grading and drainage structures on Highway Ho. 19 from $\mathrm{C}_{a}$ nton to Grand Saline as Profect No. 2.

In Washington County, it is ordered by the Comission that the widening of Highway No. 20 through the county be recomended to the Bureau of Public $\mathrm{R}_{0 a d s}$ as Project No. 1.

In WIIson County, it is ordered by the Compission that grading and drainage structufes on Highway No. 168 from Floresville to connection with Highway No. 81 be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1; and the construction of Highway No. 123 from Stockdale to the Wilson County line as Project No. 2.

## July 12, 1933

It is ordered by the Comission that maintenance contracts to be let as per 1 ist approved by the Highwoy Comission be advertised immediately so that contracts may be awarded in as short time as possible.

In Archer County, it is ordered by the Commission that construction of State Highway \#66 be recommended to the Bureau of Fublic Roads as Project \#1 in said county.

In Austin Corinty it is ordered by the Commission that erainage structures in gap on State Highway \#36 at Sealy be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads, involving an expenditure of a minimum of $\$ 40,000$ and maximum of $\$ 50,000$, to be constructed as Project \#1 in said county.

In Baylior County, it is ordered by the Commission that the recomendation of the Division Ingineer for an expenditure of approximately $\$ 2500.00$ for draining Highway \#24 adjacent to the city limits of Seymour be approved.

In Baylor County, it is ordered by the Cormission that application of the County Officials and officials of the City of Seymour for construction of a bridge on State Highway \#30, be referred to the State Highway lagineer for investigation and report.

In Beylor County it is ordered by the Conmission that construction of Highmay \#23 from Maybelle north to Wilbarger County line, involving an expenditure of approximately $\$ 50,000.00$, be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project \#l.

In Brazoria County it is ordered by the Commission that Unit I Construction on State Highway \#38 be recommended to the Bureau of Fublic Foads as Project \#l in said county; and $t$ hat the amount to be expended be based on estimated neretofore made to cher counties $a$ basis of $\$ 3.00$ to $\$ 4.00$ per population.

In Collin County, it is ordered by the Commission that construction of Hignway \#6 from 4 miles south of Mckinney to the Dallas County line be recomended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project \#l; and Highway \#6, from McKinney to the Grayson County line, as project \#2; and Highway No. 24 through County as Froject \#3; with the understanding that there is to be expended in Collin County out of the National Recovery Act fund, a minimum of $\$ 150,000$ and maximum of $\$ 200,000$.

In Collin County, it is ordered by the Comission that State Highway No. 24 be located through sald county on condition that county will agree in advance, to secure not less than 1001 rightof-way on location to be approved by the State Highway Ingineer.

In Cass County, it is ordered by the Cormission that construction of addit ional 9 ft . of concrete on State Highway \#47 from Iinden west be recomended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1.

In Dawson County, it is ordered by the Commission that location be made on State Highway \#83 from Lamesa to the Borden County line, on condition that County will agree in adrance to furnish not less than 100' right-of-way on approved location.

In Dawson County the Cormission will recommend to the Bureaul of Public Roads Hignway \#9, Unit I construction, from the Lynn County line to the Martin County line, as Project \#l for Dawson County.

In Fort Bend County, it is ordered by the Commission that construction of State Highway \#38 be recomnended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project \#1, and foot path on the bridge on State Highway \#3 at Richmond as Project \#2; and a lateral road from Rosenberg north, as Project \#3.

In Foard County, it is ordered by the Commission that com struction of grading and drainage structures on State Highway \#16 from Crowell to Pease River, approximately 7.5 miles , involving on expenditure of appros imately $\$ 36,000.00$, be recomended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project \#1 in said county.

In Frankl in County, the Coumission will recommend to the Bureau of Public Roads construction of grade separation on State Highway \#37 as Project No. 1 for said county.

In Hamilton County, it is ordered by the Conmission that construction of State Highway \#67 from Hico to the Hamilton County line, approximately 3 mileg , involving an expenditure of approximately $\$ 25,000.00$ be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as roject \#1.

In Howard County the State Highway Engineer is directed to have location made of State Highway \#9 from Big Spring northwest to County line on condition that county will furmish not less then 100 right-of-hay on location to be approved by the State Highway Ingineer.

In Hensford County, it is ordered by the Commission that State Higk way \#88 be located from Spearman northwest on line in the direction of Texhoma, on the Texag-Oklahoma line in Sherman County, on condition that county will agree to furnish not less than 100' right-of-way on location to be approved by the State Highway Engineer.

In Hartley County, it is ordered by the Comission that contract be let on such projects on State Highway \#5 as have completed plans, in volving an expenditure of a minimum of $\$ 50,000$ and marimum of $\$ 80,000.00$.

In Hansford County, the Commission will recommend to the Bureau of Public Roads construction of State Highway \#88 from Spearman northwest, involving an expenditure of approximately $\$ 50,000.00$.

In Jack County it is ordered by the Commission that construction of State Highway \# 66 in said county be recomended to the Bureau of Pablic Roads as Project No. 1 in said county.

In Jim Fells County it is ordered by the Comission that construction of 2.2 miles of State Highway \#141 from Highway \#66 to the Kleberg County line, be recomnended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Projeot \#I.

In Meberg County, it is ordered by the Cormission that an expenditure of posaibly $\$ 40,000$ on Elghway \#141 beginning at the town of Kinge ville and running west be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project \#1 in said county.

In Knox County, it is ordered by the Comaission that construction of State Highway \#16 from Haskell County line north, involving an expenditure of approximately $\$ 40,000.00$, be recommended to the Burean of Public Roads as Project \#l in said county.

In Keufmen County, it is ordered by the Commission that construction of Highway \#34 be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as roject \#1.

NHBREAS, at the regular session of the Forty-Third Legislature of Texas the Senate of Texas passed a resolution on May 29, 1933, requestins the Highway Department of Texas to make a survey and report covering two suggested routes for the Hug-The-Coast Elehway through Kenedy County; anp

WHERTAS, in compliance with guch remolution the Highwey Department began a survey and investigation of the two suggested routes, and is now engages in an investigation of such matter;

Therefore, BI IT ORDBRHD by the Highway Commission that such survey and investigation will contimue until completed and report adopted in due order.

In Iemar County, the Commission will $r$ ecommend to the Bureau of Public Roads as rroject No, 1 construction of State Highway \#49 from Red River to Paris.

In Martin County, it is ordered by the Commission that construction of a highway from Stanton north, approximately 10 miles will be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads to involve an expenditure of approximat ely $\$ 15,000$, on condition that Counties will agree to maintain the road.

In Madison County it is ordered by the Commission that construction of Highway \#90 from Madisonville south, involving a minimum expenditure of $\$ 60,000,00$, be recomended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project \#1 in said county.

In Naverro County, it is ordered by the Commission that construction of State Eighway \#2z from Corsicana west be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1 in said county.

In Panola County, it in ordered by the Commission that the proposition of Panola County to improve State Highway 8 -A from Highway \#8 to Gary, approximately 4 milea, be accepted, on condition that County furnish right-of-way and $\$ 4,000.00$, with the understanding that when the road is completed the deaignation will be canceled. This action was concurred in by Judge Nelson, of Panola County.

In Panole County, Highway \#8 will be recommended to the Burean of Public Roads as Project \#l for said county, involving an expenditure of approximately $\$ 75,000.00$.

In Rocinall County, it is ordered by the Commission that gap on State Highway \#1; known as the "Hamp Adams Gap" be recommended to the Bureau of Publ ic Roade for construction as Project \#1 in aaid county, involving an expenditure of minimum of $\$ 4,000.00$.

In Rockwall County it is ordered by the Commission that construction of a road ronning south from some point on State Highway \#l in Rockwall County towards Kaufmen county line be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project \#2 in said county; the highway to be improved to be selected by the State Highway Department, with a view of later considering the same as a state highway, and involving an expenditure of approximately $\$ 40,000.00$.

In Red River County the Commission will recommend to the Bureau of Public Roads, as Project \#2, construction of section of State Highway \#5 east of Detroit.

In Red River County it is ordered by the Cominission that Highway \#49 from Bogata to the Lemar County line, a distance of approximately 7 miles be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project No. 1 in said county.

In San Patricio County, it is ordered by the Commission that application for designation of a road from Refugio to Goliad, to be an extension of State Highway \#119, be referred to the State Highway Ingineer for investigation and report.

In Tom Green County, it is ordered by the Commission that construction of State Highway \#70 from connection with Highway \#30 to the Coke County IIne be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project \#1, for grading and drainage structures involving an expenditure of approximately $\$ 93,000.00$.

In Tyler County it is ordered by the Commission that construction of Highway \#40 in said county be recomended to the Hureau of Public Roads as Project \#1 in said county, and to include paving of Highway \#40 through the town of Woodville.

In Victoria County, it is ordered by the Commission that improvement of certain street sections in the City of Victoria traversed by Federal Highways, involving the expenditure of approximately $\$ 25,000$, be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project \#l for said county.

The application of $V_{i c t o r i a}$ County for designation of a road from Hallettsvilie, in Lavaca County to Victoria, in Victoria County, was referred to the State Highway $\mathrm{ln}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{gineer}$ for investigation and report. and Gomzoles
In Fileon/ County, it is ordered by the Commisaion that the four mile gap in Highwey \#112 from Nixon to the Wilson County line be constructed out of State Funds when available. This to be Project \#2 in said county

In Wichite County, it is ordered by the Commission that construction of a short section of paving on State Highway \#5, and two underpasses east of Wichita Falls, involving an expenditure of approximately $\$ 83,000,00$ be recommended to the Bureau of Public Roads as Project \# for said country.

In Wichite County, it is ordered by the Comission that the State Highway Engineer be directed to make an investigation of such projects in Wichita County and the City of Wichita Falls as may be oligible for letting under the National Recovery Act, and make his report and recomendaation to the Commission as soon as possible.

In Anderson County, it is ordered by the Commission that Minute No. 7478 dated April 12th 1933, appropiriating $\$ 3,451.20$ for oil treatment on F.A.P. 403 m , Highway No. 19, be cancelled, this oil treatment having been completed by the Maintenance Division under Job $M-10-A-7$, Authorization No. 346 in the amount of $\$ 4,675,44$.

It is ordered by the commission that $\$ 8500.00$ be appropriated and set aside for the construction of a division office building on the site furnished free of cost to the Department in the City of Lufkin.

## A. H. He $_{2}-33-223$

It is ordered by the Comission that a sixty-foot street be dedicatad and maintained for public use through the site deeded to the Departinent for warehouse and office purposes in the City of Luficin and located in a tract known as the Fairchild-Boynton property, said street to extend from an intersection with Highway No. 35 just east of the rail road under pass in the City of Lufkin to the north 1 ine of said Fairchild-Boynton property, a distance of epproximately 1000 feet. It is further understopd that when Highway No. 35 is romrouted to eliminate this underpass said street will be extended along the new location. Only that portion adjaonnt to the highway property is to be improved until \#35 is relocated.

In Beatrop County, on Highway Mo. 71, Maintenance Job No. M-14-D-5; it has come to our attention that Mr. D. P. Holland executed an easement for right-of-way, and after the instrument was recorded it was found to be expedient to make a line change across Mr. Holland's land, which in turn requires a new easement. In order to clear the deed records and to release back to Mr . Holland the property described in the original easement which is not to be used by the Highway Department, it is hereby ordered that the original easement be cancelled, provided Mr. Holland executes another easement covering the right-of-way to be actually used, and further that no money consideration for either conveyance is involved.

It is ordered by the Commission that an appropriation in the anount of $\$ 31,963.55$ be hereby made to cover the cost of reloceting short sections constructing grading and drainage structures, place rock asphalt surface on such relocated sections and placing a nonskid seal coat on Highway No. 81 In Bexar County from the east City limite of San Antonio to the Bexar County line, a distance of 15.4 miles. This work is to be done under the direction of the State Highway Engineer through the Maintenance Division as Special Job M-15-Im4, and this appropriationis made on condition that such right-of-way as may be required be furaished by the


In Bexar County, an initial appropriation of $\$ 9,500.00$ is hereby made to be expended under the direction of the State Highway lingineer on State Highway 3-A, extending from Salado Creek to the east oity limits of San Antonio, a distance of approximately three-fourths of a mile, for the construction of Grading and Drainage Structures on condition that said county will furnish such fenced right-of-way as may be required.
A. $\mathbb{F}_{2} \mathbb{Z}_{.}-33-225$

In Bexar County, it is ordered by the Commission that relocation of Hishway No. 2 from the Bexar-Atascosa County line via Van Ormy to San Antonio be made; that surveys be made and plans completed for construction of Unit I from the Bexar-Atascosa County line to Van Orm wheqe a tentative connection is to be made with a local road conmonly known as the Quitana Road. This order is pansed and approved on condition that Bexar County will furnish a right-of-way $120^{\prime}$ in width from the Bexar-Atascosa County line to Van Ormy along a location to be made and approved by the State Highway Ineineer, and with the further understanding that Bexar County will agree to furnish a right-of-way 120' in width along a route to be located and approved from Van Ormy to San Antonio at a later date when requested.

This order is not to become effective until Bexar County Comnissioners? Court has passed an order and spread on their minutes that they will agree to furnish necessary right-of-way on approved location from Van Orray into $\operatorname{sen}$ Antonio when the State Highway Commission is ready to build this section.

It is ordered by the Comaisaion that an appropriation of $\$ 845.00$ be made to repair the Brazos County end of Pitts Bridge on Old Highway No. 21. This appropriation is made because this section of highway was used as a detour during construction of the present Highway No. 21 and this structure was turned back to the county in bad condition. It is understood that when these repairs are made the department will assume no further responsibility for work on this detour route. A.F.E.-33-226

In Childress County, it is ordered that location survey be made from or about the point where State Highway No. 138 intersects the present Highway No. 4, westwardly to an intersection with the new location of State Highwey No. 4; this locetion to be made when Childress County Cormissioners' Court passes an order agreeing to secure right-of-way on location to be approved by the State Highway Ingineer. In connection with this survey, such information shall be prepared to enable the county to secure all necessary right-of-wey from the Okilahoma State line to new State Highway No. 4.

It is ordered by the Commission that an additional appropriation of $\$ 54,907,3^{77}$ be made to complete grading and drainage structures on Highway No. 36 in Coryell County, on that section betwee $n$ the Coryell-Eemiliton County 1 ine and a point 3.5 miles north of the city of Gatesville, a distance of 13.26 miles. This is an increase to an appropriation of $\$ 5,000.00$ made in Minute No. 7392, (Job No. M-9-k -1 ), wh tch authorized this mork, but directed that only $\$ 5,000.00$ be appropriated at that time.

## $\mathrm{AcF}_{c} \mathrm{~F}_{0} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}-33-226$

It is ordered by the Commission that an appropriation in the amount of $\$ 6,949.91$ State Funds be made to cover the cost of constructing approsches to the Green Creek and Bosque River Bridges on Highwey No. 67 in Erath County; this work to be done under the direction of the State Highway Engineer through the Maintenance Division, and on condition that all the necessary right-of-way be furnished, fencing to be done by the County. Special Job No. M-2-17-4.
A. F. H. $_{2}-33-227$

In Guadalupe County, on Highway No. $3-A$ through the tom of Seguin, the State Highway Engineer is authorized and directed to prepare plans for the construction of 20 foot concrete pavement with caliche shoulders as constracted on the adjoining project west of Seguin, on condition that thecity of Seguin will eatablish an 80 foot building line for subsequent improvements throughout the length of Kingsbury Street, will provide a mininum of 50 feet between curbs from Austin $S_{t}$ reet west 1100 feet and a minimum of 56 feet between curbs on the balance of the Highway through tom, will pass satisfactory city ordinances requiring parallel parking and will forbid the erection of signs, semaphores, and signels giving preference to locel routes or intersecting streets which will hinder or delay traffic on Highway 3-A. The construction of curb and gutter where required and any additional pavement in excess of the 20 foot concrete pavement and caliche shoulders provided for above shall be constructed by the City or County.

In Guadalupe County, Highway No. 3, is hereby extended from the intersection of Court and Austin Streets at the Court Fouse in Seguin, to a connection with the approved location of Highway No. 3-A west of Seguin and the State Highway Bngineer is authorized to prepare plans for reconditioning the present road and construction of surfacing between the point of intersection m th Highway No. 3 - $A$ and the end of city pavement at the rest city 1 imits of Seguin, a distance of approximately 1.1 mile on condition that the County will furnish, fully fenced, free of cost to the State, a mininum of 80 foot right-of-way on approved location.

It is ordered by the Commission that an additional appropriation of $\$ 10,000.00$ be hereby made to cover the cost of graveling and widening the roadway of Highway No. 34 in Hrut County from the Colling County line to a point 6 miles west of Greenvilie, a diatance of 5.3 miles. This appropriation is an increase to the $\$ 21,859.38$ authorized in Minute No. 4161 and is neceasary because of an increase in amount of bese material being placfod, and because of the grading work being done which was not originally authorized. It is also understood that this $\$ 10,000.00$ will not inally complete the worl as planned, but another increase appropriation in approximately the amount of $\$ 6,000.00 \mathrm{wlll}$ be necessary to complete the grading and base work. This work is to be done under the direction of the State Highway ligineer through the Maintenance Division as an increase to Special Job No. M-I-Q-5.
A. F. E. $-33-228$

It is ordered by the Comission that $\$ 5,409.40$ State Funds be approp riated to cover the cost of constructing a bridge for relief opening across the east fork of the Trinity River on Highway No. 66 in Jack County, this work to be done under the direction of the State Highway Engineer through the Maintenance Division as Special Job No. M-2-X-4. AeF. $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}-33-229$

Moved by Mr. Hily and seconded by $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}$. Martin, O1d Highwey \#30 from Anson southeast to the Taylor County line, be recomended as Project No. 1 in Jones County.

In the matter of the claimof J. P. Foty, contractor for Unit I Construction on F.A.P. 631-C, Highway 9, Kendali County, it is ordered by the Commission that the contractor be paid 75 cents per cabic yard for all solid rock excavation in excess of the contract quantity plus $20 \%$ less the amount already paid on the semi-final estimate at the rate of 30 cents per cubic yard. The amount to be paid is on 9.243 cubic yards at 45 cents per cubic yard, a total amount of $\$ 4,159.35$. This payment is as recommended by the Committee on Claims.

In the matter of the claim of J. P. Foty, contractor for Unit I Construction on F.A.P. 631-D, Highway 9, Rerr County, it is ordered by Commission that the contractor be paid 75 cents per cubic yard for all solid rock excavation in excess of the contract quantity plus 20\%, less the amount already paid on the semi-final estimate at the rate of 30 cent|s per cubic yard. The amount to be paid is on 5576 cubic yards at 45 cents per cubic yard, a total amount of $\$ 2,509.20$. This payment is as recommended by the Committee on Claims.

It is ordered by the Commission that an additional appropriation of $\$ 13,470.00$ be made to complete the gravelling of the old San Antonio Road in Leon and Madison Counties; from Normangee westward to the Navasota River, a distance of 9.9 miles. This is an increase to an appropriation of $\$ 6,000.00$ made in Minute No. 7448 (Job No. M-17-Q-4), covering the cost of partially gravelling this section of road. $A_{e} F_{e} \mathcal{F}_{0}-33-230$

In Live Oak County, it is ordered that the Commission approve the request of Live Oak County for the substitution of Iive Oak County Road Bonds in Lieu of the following securities now held in trust in the American National Bank of Austin for the county's deposit in the Security Trust Company.
$\$ 5,000.00$ Cameron Co 6\% T.I.D. Bonds,
7,000,00 City of Hermleigh W. F. Warrant S 1927
5,000.00 Hidalgo Co. 7\% W.I.D. \#4 Notes
5,000,00 Shelby Co. W. C. \& I. D. \#1 Bonds
66,000.00 Willacy Co. W.C. \& I.D. \#1 Bonds
This authorization for the substitution of Live Oak County bonds for the above Securities is made with the understanding that guch substitution shall be in whole or in part whenever it is possible to do so upon written request or requests from the County Judge of Live Oak Oounty, approved by the State Highway Departinent.

It is ordered by the Cormission that $\$ 8500.00$ be appropriated and set aside for the construction of a division office building on the site


It is ordered by the Commission that an appropriation of Two Thousand ( $\$ 2,000.00$ ) Dollars be made to improve Highway \# 108 between Strawn and Mingus, on condition that Stram and Mingus will use their R.F.C. funds to assist in this work.
A. 포 조c.e33-232

It is ordered by the Commission that an appropriation in the amount of $\$ 7,679.46$ State Frunds be made to cover the cost of en asphalitic seal coat on 8.5 miles of Highway No. 64 in Panola County, extending from the Panola-Mask County line east toward Carthage; this work to be done under the direction of the State Highway Mngineer through the Maintenence Division as Special Job No. K-19-
A. F. $H_{1}-33-233$ Highway No. 94 and 106 north of Groveton to the Houston County 1 ine be amended so that this work may be extended 3.5 mlles from the Junction of Highways No. 94 and 106 toward Groveton and from Grovetion southeast on Highway $\mathrm{H}_{0} .1061 .5 \mathrm{miles}$. The extension of this work is authorized on condition that no additional appropfation is necessary due to the fack that the work as originally authorized has been done below the estimated cost.

It is ordered by the Commission that Highway No. 4 from $\mathrm{L}_{\text {aredo }}$ to the Zapata County line be recormended as Project No. In ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ the recondition ing of Highway No. 2 from Laredo to the LaSalle County line be recommended as Project II.

It is ordered by the Commission that an additional appropriation of $\$ 4,000.00$ be made for F.A.P. ME" 537-E, Highwey Ho. 123, Fil son County, on account of the fact that an error was made in the preparation of the plans, and the total number of square yards of concrete pavement should have been 116,189 instead of 107,078.
A.E.E.E. $-33-237$

## COUHIT

Bowie
Famin
Wise
Parker
Dallam Hansford Ochiltree
Ochiltree
naving been examined by the Commission and it being found that it complies witn the order of amard by the Commission are hereby approved in accordance with Section 12, Chapter 186, Generel Laws of Texas as passed by the 1925 Legislature, 39th Regular Session.

In Wise County, an advance of $\$ 7500.00$ is made to said county for the purpose of securing approximately $7 \frac{1}{2}$ miles of right-of-way on State Highway No. 2 in the north end of said county; this advance is made with the understanding that the Comnissioners' Court of Wise County will agree to repay this money to the State Highway Department in two equal installments, the first installment due on or before February 1, 1934 and the second installment due on or before February 1, 1935, said agreement to be in the form of an order spread on the minutes of the Commissioners' Court of Wise County, and a certified copy thereof filed with the Highway Comission of Texas.

It is ordered by the Comnission that an appropriation in the amount of $\$ 2,117.50$ be made to cover the cost of an Asphaltic Seal Coat on the 3.2 mile section of Highwas No. 15 in Hood County, extending from Mineole West; this woris to be done under the direction of the State High way Engineer through the Maintenance Division, as Special Job No. M-10-P-7.
A. $F_{0} \mathbb{H}_{2}-33-238$

It is ordered by the Commission that the State Highway System as approved on March 19th, 1930 and as subsequently modified, be further modified, as follows:

State Highway No. 105 from a connection with Highway \#3 near $\mathbb{H}_{l i z a b e t h ~ v i a ~ S o u r ~ L a k e, ~ B a t s o n, ~ C l e v e l a n d, ~ C o n r o e ~}^{\text {a }}$ and Kontgomery to Navasota.

State Highway No. 133 between a connection with Highway
\#3 near Flizaboth and Moss Hill cancelled.
It is ordered by the Commission that out of the appropriation, as made by the Legislature for the construction of the new Highway Building there be transferred $\$ 12,000.00$ for the purohase of filing equipment, etc. for record space in the new Highway Building as provided in House Bill 847, Section 2-A of the 42nd. Legislature, Regular Session.

With reference to the application of manufacturers of metal center stripsthat their product be exclusively used on Texas Highways in order to rel ieve unemployment, it is ordered by the Commission that $25 \%$ of the mileage of concrete roads to be constructed under the National Recovery Act be submitted to bidders, with provision that metal center strip be used; and that on the other 75\% that center strip be ueed in competition with other types of some arrangement.

It is ordered by the Commission that liquidated damage in the amount of $\$ 160.00$ charged R. W. Briggs \& Company because of overrun in the contract time for the construction of the Division Office Building at Pharr be remitted in accordence with the recomaendation of the Committee on Claims.

It is ordered by the Commission that the sum of Eight Thousand Five Hundred Dollars, or such portion thereof as may be necessary, be appropriated for the purchase of fifteen (15) additional sets of scales, (two scales to a set) for weighing tracks, and fifteen (15) sets of brake testing machines for testing brakes on motor vehicles. A. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{F},-33-239$

It is ordered by the Commission that each of the following contracts

JOB NO. HY. NO. LFHGTH DATE NAME OF CONTRACTO M-1-D-7 M-2-T-4 34 M-2-U-4 M-4-Q-2 M-4-R-2 117 M-4-S-2 M-4-T-2 117
5.45 mi . 6-27-33 Public Const. Co. Dent 5.056 n 6-27-33 Public Const. Co. Denton 6.409 " $" \quad$ Morgan Const. Co. Dallas
$18.772{ }^{\prime \prime} \quad$ Morgan Const. Co. Dallas 6-30-33 Cocke \& Braden, Marshall 6-27-33 Navies \& Sons, Amarillo n Cocke \& Braden, Marshall 6-27-33 Davies \& Sons, Amarillo

AMOUNT
\$9,251.1]
10,312.25
11,849.0
31,950.83
37,186.44
35,630. 23
15,679.32
13,384.6


It is ordered that the Comission approve the following Assumption of Liability Certificates whereby the National Surety Corporation has assumed the liabilities of the original bond executed by the National Surety Company covering the following construction contrects and Heavy Truck Bonds:

CONSTRUCTION BONDS


7924
It is moved by the State Highway Commission that an appropriation of $\$ 574.00$ be made to cover the purchase of the following equipment from the Covert Automobile Company, Austin, this firm being the lowest bidder on same:

1 Latest Model Pontiac Coupe, 1933 Std. 8 Cylinder

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { F.C.B. Atlanta, Texas } \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { List Price } \\
\\
\\
\\
\end{array} \begin{array}{l}
\$ 750.00 \\
\\
\end{array} \text { Net Price } & 750.00
\end{array}
$$

Less allowance on trade in of:
1 Buick Coupe, State \#10A Allowance \$176.00 $\frac{176.00}{574.00}$
NOIE: The "Net Difference" is the amount the Department pays out and covers the price of the new machines, less allowance on the old machine traded in. All bids received are tabulated showing the "Net Difference" price.

It is moved by the State Highway Commission that an appropriation of $\$ 1698.60$ be made to cover the purchase of the following equipment from the Glosserman Chevrolet Company, Lockhart, this firm being the lowest bidder:


Less allowance on trade in of:

| 1 Reo truck, | State $\# 3523$ | Allowance | 200.00 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 Reo truck, | State $\# 8525$ | Allowance | 200.00 |  |
| 1 Reo truck, | State $\# 3278$ | Allowance | 242.90 | 642.90 |
|  |  |  | NEI DIFFERENCE | $\$ 1698.60$ |

NOIE: the "Net Difference" is the amount the Department pays out and covers the price of the new machine, less discount and allowance on the old machines traded in. All bids received are tabulated showing the MNet Difference" price.
A. F. $E_{0}-33-241$

It is moved by the State Highway Conmisaion that an appropriation of $\$ 1274.16$ be made to cover the purchase of the following equipment from the Ford Motor Company, Houston, this firm being the lowest bidder on same:

> | 2 Latest Model $11 / 2$ ton Ford trucks with dump bodies |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | F.O.B. Tyler, Texas | List Price | $\$ 913.71$ | $\$ 1827.42$ |
|  |  | Discount | 75.00 | 150.00 |
|  |  | Net Price |  | $\$ 1677.42$ |

Less allowance on trade-in of:
1 Indiana Truck, State \#3203
Allowance
\#201.63
201.63
NET DIFFHRETVGE
403.26
\$1274.16
NOIE: The Wet Difference" is the amount the Department pays out and covers the price of the new machines, less discount and allowance on the old machines traded in. All bids received are tabulated showing the "Net Difference" price. A. $\mathbb{F}_{0} \mathbb{E}_{0}-33-242$

It is moved by the State Highway Commission that an appropriation of $\$ 2093.99$ bo be made to cover the purchase of the following equipment from the Ford Motor Company, Houston, this firm being the lowest bidder on seme:

1 Latest Model Ford Coupe
F.C.B. Houston, Texas List Price $\$ 643.80$ \$643.80

3 Latest Model Ford 1 1/2 ton trucks
F.O.B. Houston, Texas I1st Price 830.00 \$2490.00 Total L1st Price $\quad 3133.80$ Total Discount Net Price
$\frac{250.80}{2883.00}$
Less allomance on trade-in of:

| 1 Reo truck, State \#3268 | Allowance | 197.25 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Reo truck, State \#3524 | " | 197.25 |  |
| 1 Federal Truck, State \#3186 | " | 100.00 |  |
| 1 Cherrolet Coupe, State \#54A | " | 294.51 | 789.01 |
|  | NET DIFFE | CE | \$2093.99 |

NOTE: The Net Difference" is the amount the Department pays out and covers the price of the new machines, less discount and allowance on the old machines traded in. All bids received are tabulated showing the "Net Difference" price.

AcE $\mathbb{F}_{e} \mathbb{E}_{e}-33-243$

It is moved by the State Highway Commission that an appropriation of $\$ 723.64$ be made to cover the purchase of the following equipment from the Glosserman Chevrolet Company, Lockhart, this firm being the lowest bidder onsame:

2 Chevrolet 1933 Std. 6 cylinder Coupes


Less allowance on trade-in of:
1 Ford Coupe; State \#59A
1 Chevrolet Coupe; State \#46A


NOME: The Net Difference" is the amount the Department pays out and covers the price of the nev machines, less discount and allowance on the old machines traded in. All bids received are tabulated showing the "Net Difference" price.
$A_{2} F_{0} \mathbb{F}_{0}-33-244$
In Orange County it is ordered by the Commission that State Highway No. 3 from Orange to a point near Vidar be widened with State Maintenance forces, on condition that County furnish necessary right-of-way on location approved by the State Highway Engineer.

It is ordered by the Commission that $\$ 3600.00$ be appropriated to cover judgment which was rendered in the case of the Col-Tex Refining Company vs. the State of Texas in the 126th District Court of Travis County. This money is to be charged as a right of way expenditure on Federal Aid Project 7, Mitchell County, State Highway No. 1. A. F. $\mathrm{F}_{2}-33-245$

In Hays County it is ordered by the Commission that location be made and right-of-way maps prepared on Highway No. 2 through Hays County l and the Attorney General be requested to enter condemnation proceedings to secure such right-of-way.

APPROVED:

State Highway migineer

ATTEST:


Secretary

