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#### Abstract

In this note, we study a weak law of large numbers for sequences of exchangeable random variables. As a special case, we have an extension of Kolmogorov's generalization of Khintchine's weak law of large numbers to i.i.d. random variables.


## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

The classical weak law of large numbers due to Khintchine asserts that if $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables, then $S_{n} / n \rightarrow E X_{1}$ in probability whenever $E\left|X_{1}\right|<\infty$ where $S_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$. Of course, this result is itself an immediate consequence of the Kolmogorov's strong law of large numbers. But the Khintchine's weak law of large numbers can hold, in slightly modified from, even if $E\left|X_{1}\right|=\infty$. The weak law of large numbers is sometimes called Kolmogorov's generalization of Khintchine's weak law of large numbers. It was stated in a theorem of Kolmogorov (1929) as follows. If $X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots$, are i.i.d., then there exist constants $a_{n}$ with $S_{n} / n-a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in probability if and only if $n P\left(\left|X_{1}\right|>n\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (Revesz(1968, p.51). In the theorem we can take $a_{n}=E\left(X_{1} I_{\left[\left|X_{1}\right| \leq n\right]}\right)$ (Chow and Teicher(1988, p.128)). However, there appears to have been no discussion on the classical weak law of large numbers of this form for exchangeable random variables. Hence we address this problem in this paper and as a special case we have an extension of Kolmogorov's generalization of Khintchine's weak law of large numbers to i.i.d. random variables.

Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}, \cdots$ be a sequence of random variables. We say that it is exchangeable if the joint distribution of $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$ is the

[^0]same as that of $\left(X_{\pi(1)}, \cdots, X_{\pi(n)}\right)$ for each $n \geq 1$ where $\pi(1), \cdots, \pi(n)$ is a permutation of $\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the class of one-dimensional distribution functions and $\mathcal{U}$ be the $\sigma$-field generated by the topology of weak convergence of the distribution functions. Then, de Finetti's theorem asserts that for an infinite sequence of exchangeable random variables $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ there exists a probability measure $\mu$ on $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{U})$ such that
$$
P\left\{g\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right) \in B\right\}=\int_{\mathcal{F}} P_{F}\left\{g\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right) \in B\right\} d \mu(F)
$$
for any Borel set $B$ and any Borel function $g: \mathcal{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}, n \geq 1$. Moreover, $P_{F}\left\{g\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right) \in B\right\}$ is computed under the assumption that the random variables $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ are i.i.d. with common distribution $F$. We define $E_{F} g\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)=\int g\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right) d P_{F}$. Blum, Chernoff, Rosenblatt, and Teicher (1958) showed that for a sequence of exchangeable random variables $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ such that $E X_{1}=0$ and $E X_{1}{ }^{2}<\infty, n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j} \rightarrow$ $N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$ in distribution if and only if $E_{F} X_{1}=0 \mu$-a.s. and $E_{F} X_{1}{ }^{2}=$ $\sigma^{2} \mu$-a.s., where $E_{F} X_{1}=0 \mu$-a.s. and $E_{F} X_{1}^{2}=\sigma^{2}$ are equivalent to $E X_{1} X_{2}=0$ and $E\left(X_{1}^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right)\left(X_{2}^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right)=0$, respectively. Taylor and Hu (1987) showed that for a sequence of exchangeable random variables $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ such that $E_{F}\left|X_{1}\right|<\infty \mu-$ a.s., $E_{F} X_{1}=0 \mu-$ a.s. if and only if $n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j} \rightarrow 0$ a.s. Hong and Kwon (1993) and Zang and Taylor (1995) showed that for a sequence of exchangeable random variables $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ and for a constant $0<\sigma<\infty, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j} /(2 n \log \log n)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ $=\sigma$ a.s. if and only if $E_{F} X_{1}=0 \mu$-a.s. and $\sigma_{F}{ }^{2}=E_{F}\left(X_{1}-E_{F} X_{1}\right)^{2}=$ $\sigma^{2} \mu$-a.s.

## 2. The weak law of large numbers

In this section, we study a weak law of large numbers for sequences of exchangeable random variables.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of exchangeable random variables such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n P\left\{\left(\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}>n\right\} \rightarrow 0\right. \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $0<p<2$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\left(2-\frac{2}{p}\right)} \sigma_{\mu}^{2}\left(E_{F} X_{1} I_{\left[\left|X_{1}\right|^{p} \leq n\right]}\right)=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{\mu}^{2}\left(E_{F} X_{1} I_{\left[\left|X_{1}\right|^{p} \leq n\right]}\right)=\int_{\mathcal{F}}\left\{E_{F} X_{1} I_{\left[\left|X_{1}\right|^{p} \leq n\right]}-E X_{1} I_{\left[\left|X_{1}\right|^{p} \leq n\right]}\right\}^{2} d \mu(F)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S_{n}-n E X_{1} I_{\left[\left|X_{1}\right|^{p} \leq n\right]}}{n^{1 / p}} \xrightarrow{P} 0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Set $X_{j}^{\prime}=X_{j} I_{\left[\left|X_{j}\right|^{p} \leq n\right]}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $S_{n}^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j}^{\prime}$. Then, for each $n \geq 2$ and for $\epsilon>0, P\left\{\left|\left(S_{n} / n^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)-\left(S_{n}^{\prime} / n^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)\right| \geq \epsilon\right\} \leq$ $P\left\{S_{n} \neq S_{n}^{\prime}\right\} \leq P\left\{\cup_{j=1}^{n}\left[X_{j} \neq X_{j}^{\prime}\right]\right\} \leq n P\left\{\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}>n\right\}$, so that (2.1) entails $\left(S_{n}^{\prime} / n^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)-\left(S_{n} / n^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \xrightarrow{P} \quad 0$. Thus to prove (2.3) it suffices to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S_{n}^{\prime}-E S_{n}^{\prime}}{n^{1 / p}} \quad \xrightarrow{P} 0 . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By de Finetti's theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(S_{n}{ }^{\prime}-E S_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)^{2} & =\int_{\mathcal{F}} E_{F}\left(S_{n}{ }^{\prime}-E S_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)^{2} d \mu(F) \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{F}} E_{F}\left[\left(S_{n}{ }^{\prime}-E_{F} S_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)+\left(E_{F} S_{n}{ }^{\prime}-E S_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2} d \mu(F) \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{F}}\left[E_{F}\left(S_{n}{ }^{\prime}-E_{F} S_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(E_{F} S_{n}{ }^{\prime}-E S_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] d \mu(F) \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{F}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}{\sigma_{F}}^{2}\left(X_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right) d \mu(F)+\int_{\mathcal{F}}\left(E_{F} S_{n}{ }^{\prime}-E S_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)^{2} d \mu(F) \\
& \leq \int_{\mathcal{F}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{F}\left(X_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right)^{2} d \mu(F)+n^{2} \int_{\mathcal{F}}\left(E_{F} X_{1}{ }^{\prime}-E X_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)^{2} d \mu(F) \\
& =n \int_{\mathcal{F}} E_{F}\left(X_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)^{2} d \mu(F)+n^{2} \sigma_{\mu}{ }^{2}\left(E_{F} X_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right) \\
& =n E\left(X_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)^{2}+n^{2} \sigma_{\mu}{ }^{2}\left(E_{F} X_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.2), $n^{2} \sigma_{\mu}^{2}\left(E_{F} X_{1}^{\prime}\right)=o\left(n^{\frac{2}{p}}\right)$ and hence it remains to show that
$n E\left(X_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}=o\left(n^{\frac{2}{p}}\right)$. Using summation by parts,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n E\left(X_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}=n \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\left\{j-1<\left|X_{1}\right|^{p} \leq j\right\}} X_{1}^{2} d P \\
& \leq n \sum_{j=1}^{n} j^{2 / p}\left[P\left\{\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}>j-1\right\}-P\left\{\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}>j\right\}\right] \\
& =n\left[P\left\{\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}>0\right\}-n^{2 / p} P\left\{\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}>n\right\}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left((j+1)^{2 / p}-j^{2 / p}\right) P\left\{\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}>j\right\}\right] \\
& \leq n\left[1+c \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left((j+1)^{\frac{2}{p}-1}-j^{\frac{2}{p}-1}\right) j P\left\{\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}>j\right\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c$ is a constant independent of $n$. By the hypothesis $(2.1), j P\left\{\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}\right.$ $>j\}$ goes to zero as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left((j+1)^{\frac{2}{p}-1}-j^{\frac{2}{p}-1}\right)=(n+1)^{\frac{2}{p}-1}-1$. Thus, by Toeplitz Lemma (see $\operatorname{Ash}(1972, \mathrm{p} .270), n E\left(X_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}=o\left(n^{2 / p}\right)$, which implies (2.4) and hence establishes (2.3).

If $X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots$, are i.i.d., $\left(E X_{1}\right)^{2}=E\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)=\int_{\mathcal{F}} E_{F}\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right) d \mu(F)=$ $\int_{\mathcal{F}}\left(E_{F} X_{1}\right)^{2} d \mu(F)$ and hence $\sigma_{\mu}^{2}\left(E_{F} X_{1} I_{\left[\left|X_{1}\right|^{p} \leq n\right]}\right)=\int_{\mathcal{F}}\left(E_{F} X_{1} I_{\left[\left|X_{1}\right|^{p} \leq n\right]}\right)^{2}$ $d \mu(F)-\left(E X_{1} I_{\left[\left|X_{1}\right|^{p} \leq n\right]}\right)^{2}=0$ for all $n$. Thus (2.2) holds and we have the following result for i.i.d. case.

Corollary 2.1. Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables such that $n P\left\{\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}>n\right\} \rightarrow 0$ for some $0<p<2$. Then

$$
\frac{S_{n}-n E X_{1} I_{\left[\left|X_{1}\right|^{p} \leq n\right]}}{n^{1 / p}} \xrightarrow{P} 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
We now consider a converse of Theorem 2.1. In this case we need stronger conditions.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of exchangeable random variables such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S_{n}-n E X_{1} I_{\left[\left|X_{1}\right|^{p} \leq n\right]}}{n^{1 / p}} \quad \xrightarrow{P_{F}} \quad 0 \quad \mu-a . s . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $0<p<2$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathcal{F}} \sup _{n}\left\{n P_{F}\left\{\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}>n\right\}\right\} d \mu(F)<\infty . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n P\left\{\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}>n\right\} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. Suppose (2.5) and (2.6) holds. If we set $C_{n}=n E X_{1} I_{\left[\left|X_{1}\right|^{p} \leq n\right]}$ and $d_{n}=C_{n}-C_{n-1}, n \geq 1, C_{0}=0$,

$$
\frac{X_{n}-d_{n}}{n^{1 / p}}=\frac{S_{n}-C_{n}}{n^{1 / p}}-\frac{(n-1)^{1 / p}}{n^{1 / p}}\left(\frac{S_{n-1}-C_{n-1}}{(n-1)^{1 / p}}\right) \xrightarrow{P_{F}} \quad 0 \quad \mu-a . s .
$$

whence $\left(X_{n}-d_{n}\right) / n^{1 / p} \xrightarrow{P_{F}} 0$, necessitating $d_{n}=o\left(n^{1 / p}\right)$. By Lévy's inequality (see Chow and Teicher(1988), Lemma 3.3.5), for any $\epsilon>0$ and $\mu$-a.s. $F$

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{F}\left\{\max _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left|S_{j}-C_{j}-m_{F}\left(S_{j}-C_{j}-S_{n}+C_{n}\right)\right| \geq \frac{1}{2} n^{1 / p} \epsilon\right\}  \tag{2.8}\\
& \quad \leq 2 P_{F}\left\{\left|S_{n}-C_{n}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2} n^{1 / p} \epsilon\right\}=o(1)
\end{align*}
$$

where $m_{F}(X)$ is a median of $X$ with respect to $P_{F}$.
But, taking $X_{j}=S_{j}-C_{j}$ in Exercise 3.3.7 of Chow and Teicher(1988),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left|m_{F}\left(S_{j}-C_{j}-S_{n}+C_{n}\right)\right|=o\left(n^{1 / p}\right) \quad \mu-a . s . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (2.8) and (2.9), for all $\epsilon>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{F}\left\{\max _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left|S_{j}-C_{j}\right|<n^{1 / p} \epsilon\right\}=1 \quad \mu-a . s \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for $\max _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left|d_{j}\right|<n^{1 / p} \epsilon$ and hence for all large $n$ and for $\mu-a . s . F$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{F}\left\{\max _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left|S_{j}-C_{j}\right|<n^{1 / p} \epsilon\right\} & \leq P_{F}\left\{\max _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left|X_{j}-d_{j}\right|<2 n^{1 / p} \epsilon\right\} \\
& \leq P_{F}\left\{\max _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left|X_{j}\right|<3 n^{1 / p} \epsilon\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

which, in conjuction with (2.10), yields

$$
P_{F}^{n}\left\{\left|X_{1}\right|<3 n^{1 / p} \epsilon\right\}=P_{F}\left\{\max _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left|X_{j}\right|<3 n^{1 / p} \epsilon\right\} \longrightarrow 1 \quad \mu-\text { a.s. }
$$

equivalently, for all $\epsilon>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \log \left[1-P_{F}\left\{\left|X_{1}\right| \geq 3 n^{1 / p} \epsilon\right\}\right] \rightarrow 0 \quad \mu-a . s . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\log (1-x)=-x+o(x)$ as $x \rightarrow 0,(2.11)$ entails

$$
\begin{equation*}
n P_{F}\left\{\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}>n\right\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \mu-a . s . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, by the Dominated Convergence Theorem using (2.6) and (2.12), we have the desired result.

Corollary 2.2. Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables such that $\left(S_{n}-n E X_{1} I_{\left[\left|X_{1}\right|^{p} \leq n\right]}\right) / n^{1 / p} \xrightarrow{P} 0$ for some $0<p<2$. Then we have $n P\left\{\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}>n\right\} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Combining Corollary 2.1 and 2.2 , we have an extension of the Kolmogorov's generalization of Khintchine's weak law of large numbers to i.i.d. random variables.

Corollary 2.3. If $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables and $0<p<2$, then $\left(S_{n}-n E X_{1} I_{\left[\left|X_{1}\right|^{p} \leq n\right]}\right) / n^{1 / p} \xrightarrow{P} 0$ iff $n P\left\{\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}\right.$ $>n\} \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
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