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#### Abstract

In this paper we establish correlation theorem for the two-sided quaternion Fourier transform (QFT). A consequence of the theorem is also investigated.
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## I. Introduction

The quaternion Fourier transform (QFT) is a nontrivial generalization of the classical Fourier transform (FT) using quaternion algebra. A number of already known and useful properties of this extended transform are generalizations of the corresponding properties of the FT with some modifications (see, for example, [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]). One of the most powerful properties of the QFT is the convolution theorem. Recently, in [6] authors proposed the convolution theorem for the two-sided QFT, which describes the relationship between the two-sided QFT and convolution of two quaternion function.

Therefore, the main objective of the present paper is to establish the correlation for the two-sided QFT, which is a generalization of correlation theorem of the classical FT. We find that the correlation theorem does not work well for the right-sided quaternion Fourier transform and left-sided quaternion Fourier transform.

The quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{R}$, denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{H}=\left\{q=q_{0}+\boldsymbol{i} q_{1}+\boldsymbol{j} q_{2}+\boldsymbol{k} q_{3}\right\}, \quad q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an associative non-commutative four-dimensional algebra, which the quaternion units $\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j}$, and $\boldsymbol{k}$ obey the following multiplication rules:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{i}^{2}=\boldsymbol{j}^{2}=\boldsymbol{k}^{2}=-1, \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{j}=-\boldsymbol{j} \boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{k}=-\boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j} \boldsymbol{k}=-\boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{j} \text {, and } \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{j} \boldsymbol{k}=-1 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quaternion conjugate is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{q}=q_{0}-\boldsymbol{i} q_{1}-\boldsymbol{j} q_{2}-\boldsymbol{k} q_{3} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is an anti-involution, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\bar{p}}=p, \quad \overline{p+q}=\bar{p}+\bar{q}, \overline{p q}=\bar{q} \bar{p} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The norm of a quaternion is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
|q|=\sqrt{q \bar{q}}=\sqrt{q_{0}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}+q_{3}^{2}} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not difficult to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|q p|=|p \| q|, \quad \forall p, q \in \mathbb{H} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We further get the inverse

$$
q^{-1}=\frac{\bar{q}}{|q|^{2}}
$$

This fact shows that $\mathbb{H}$ is a skew field, that means, every nonzero element has a multiplicative inverse.

For the sake of further simplicity, we will use the real vector notations

$$
\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, f(\boldsymbol{x})=f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), f(\boldsymbol{\omega})=f\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right),
$$

and so on when there is confusion.

## 2. Main Results

In this section we introduce the definition of the two-sided QFT and establish the correlation of two quaternion-valued functions associated with the two-sided QFT.

Definition 2.1 (Two-sided QFT) Let $f$ be in $\in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} ; \mathbb{H}\right)$. The two-sided QFT of the quaternion function $f$ is the transform given by the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{q}\{f\}(\boldsymbol{\omega})=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-i \omega_{1} x_{1}} f(\boldsymbol{x}) e^{-\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} x_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{x} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.1 (Inverse two-sided QFT) Suppose that $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} ; \mathbb{H}\right)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{q}\{f\} \in$ $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} ; \mathbb{H}\right)$. Then the two-sided QFT is an invertible transform and its inverse is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\boldsymbol{x})=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{i \omega_{1} x_{1}} \mathcal{F}_{q}\{f\}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) e^{\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} x_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{\omega} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.2 (Quaternion Correlation) Suppose $f$ and $g$ are quaternion functions in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} ; \mathbb{H}\right)$. The quaternion correlation of the functions is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f \otimes g)(\boldsymbol{x})=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} f(\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{y}) \overline{g(\boldsymbol{y})} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following theorem is the main result of this paper, which describes how the two-sided QFT behaves under correlations.

Theorem 2.2 Let $f, g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} ; \mathbb{H}\right)$ be two quaternion-valued functions. The two-sided QFT of correlation of $f$ and $g$ takes the form
$\mathcal{F}_{q}\{f \otimes g\}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \left(\mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{f_{0}\right\}(\boldsymbol{\omega})+\boldsymbol{i} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{f_{1}\right\}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\right)\left(\mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{0}\right\}(-\boldsymbol{\omega})-\boldsymbol{j} \mathcal{F}\left\{g_{2}\right\}\left(-\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +\left(\mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{f_{0}\right\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)+\boldsymbol{i} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{f_{1}\right\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)\right)\left(-\boldsymbol{i} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{1}\right\}(-\boldsymbol{\omega})-\boldsymbol{k} \mathcal{F}\left\{g_{3}\right\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +\left(\boldsymbol{j} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{f_{2}\right\}\left(-\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)+\boldsymbol{k} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{f_{3}\right\}\left(-\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)\right)\left(\mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{0}\right\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)-\boldsymbol{j \mathcal { F } \{ g _ { 2 } \} ( - \boldsymbol { \omega } ) )}\right. \\
& +\left(\boldsymbol{j} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{f_{2}\right\}(-\boldsymbol{\omega})+\boldsymbol{k} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{f_{3}\right\}(-\boldsymbol{\omega})\right)\left(-\boldsymbol{i} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{1}\right\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)-\boldsymbol{k} \mathcal{F}\left\{g_{3}\right\}(-\boldsymbol{\omega})\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Applying the two-sided QFT definition gives
$\mathcal{F}_{q}\{f \otimes g\}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$
$=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-i \omega_{1} x_{1}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} f(\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{y}) \overline{g(\boldsymbol{y})} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y}\right) e^{-j \omega_{2} x_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{x}$.
By inserting the change of variables $\boldsymbol{z}=\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{y}$ to the above expression we immediately obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}_{q}\{f \otimes g\}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-i \omega_{1}\left(z_{1}-y_{1}\right)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} f(\mathbf{z}) \overline{g(\boldsymbol{y})} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y}\right) e^{-\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2}\left(z_{2}-y_{2}\right)} d^{2} \boldsymbol{z} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-\boldsymbol{i} \omega_{1}\left(z_{1}-y_{1}\right)}\left(\left(f_{0}(\mathbf{z})+\boldsymbol{i} f_{1}(\mathbf{z})\right)+\left(\boldsymbol{j} f_{2}(\mathbf{z})+\boldsymbol{k} f_{3}(\mathbf{z})\right)\right) \\
& \left(\left(g_{0}(\boldsymbol{y})-\boldsymbol{j} g_{2}(\boldsymbol{y})\right)+\left(-\boldsymbol{i} g_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})-\boldsymbol{k} g_{3}(\boldsymbol{y})\right)\right) e^{-\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2}\left(z_{2}-y_{2}\right)} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y} d^{2} \boldsymbol{z} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-\boldsymbol{i} \omega_{1}\left(z_{1}-y_{1}\right)}\left(f_{0}(\mathbf{z})+\boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{f}_{1}(\mathbf{z})\right)\left(g_{0}(\boldsymbol{y})-\boldsymbol{j} g_{2}(\boldsymbol{y})\right) e^{-\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2}\left(z_{2}-y_{2}\right)} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y} d^{2} \mathbf{z} \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-\boldsymbol{i} \omega_{1}\left(z_{1}-y_{1}\right)}\left(f_{0}(\mathbf{z})+\boldsymbol{i} f_{1}(\mathbf{z})\right)\left(-\boldsymbol{i} g_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})-\boldsymbol{k} g_{3}(\boldsymbol{y})\right) e^{-\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2}\left(z_{2}-y_{2}\right)} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y} d^{2} \boldsymbol{z} \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-\boldsymbol{i} \omega_{1}\left(z_{1}-y_{1}\right)}\left(\boldsymbol{j} f_{2}(\mathbf{z})+\boldsymbol{k} f_{3}(\mathbf{z})\right)\left(g_{0}(\boldsymbol{y})-\boldsymbol{j} g_{2}(\boldsymbol{y})\right) e^{-\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2}\left(z_{2}-y_{2}\right)} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y} d^{2} \mathbf{z}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-\boldsymbol{i} \omega_{1}\left(z_{1}-y_{1}\right)}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{j} f_{2}(\mathbf{z})+\boldsymbol{k} f_{3}(\mathbf{z})\right)\left(-\boldsymbol{i} g_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})-\boldsymbol{k} g_{3}(\boldsymbol{y})\right)\right) e^{-\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2}\left(z_{2}-y_{2}\right)} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y} d^{2} \mathbf{z} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-\boldsymbol{i} \omega_{1} z_{1}}\left(f_{0}(\mathbf{z})+\boldsymbol{i} f_{1}(\mathbf{z})\right) \\
& \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{i \omega_{1} y_{1}} g_{0}(\boldsymbol{y}) e^{j \omega_{2} y_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-i \omega_{1} y_{1}} g_{2}(\boldsymbol{y}) e^{\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} y_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y}\right) e^{-\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} z_{2}} d^{2} \mathbf{z} \\
& \left(-\boldsymbol{i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{\left.i \omega_{1} y_{1}\right)} g_{1}(\boldsymbol{y}) e^{\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} y_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{\left.-i \omega_{1} y_{1}\right)} g_{3}(\boldsymbol{y}) e^{j \omega_{2} y_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y}\right) e^{-\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} z_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{z} \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-i \omega_{1} z_{1}}\left(\boldsymbol{j} f_{2}(\mathbf{z})+\boldsymbol{k} f_{3}(\mathbf{z})\right) \\
& \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{\left.-i \omega_{1} y_{1}\right)} g_{0}(\boldsymbol{y}) e^{\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} y_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{i \omega_{1} y_{1}} g_{2}(\boldsymbol{y}) e^{j \omega_{2} y_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y}\right) e^{-\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} z_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{z} \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-i \omega_{1} z_{1}}\left(\boldsymbol{j} f_{2}(\mathbf{z})+\boldsymbol{k} f_{3}(\mathbf{z})\right) \\
& \left(-\boldsymbol{i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{\left.-\boldsymbol{i} \omega_{1} y_{1}\right)} g_{1}(\boldsymbol{y}) e^{\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} y_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{\left.i \omega_{1} y_{1}\right)} g_{3}(\boldsymbol{y}) e^{\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} y_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y}\right) e^{-\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} z_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{Z} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-\boldsymbol{i} \omega_{1} z_{1}}\left(f_{0}(\mathbf{z})+\boldsymbol{i} f_{1}(\mathbf{z})\right)\left(\mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{0}\right\}(-\boldsymbol{\omega})-\boldsymbol{j} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{2}\right\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)\right) e^{-\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} z_{2}} d^{2} \mathbf{z} \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-\boldsymbol{i} \omega_{1} z_{1}}\left(f_{0}(\mathbf{z})+\boldsymbol{i} f_{1}(\mathbf{z})\right)\left(-\boldsymbol{i} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{1}\right\}(-\boldsymbol{\omega})-\boldsymbol{k} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{3}\right\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)\right) e^{-\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} z_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{z} \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-\boldsymbol{i} \omega_{1} z_{1}}\left(\boldsymbol{j} f_{2}(\mathbf{z})+\boldsymbol{k} f_{3}(\mathbf{z})\right)\left(\mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{0}\right\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)-\boldsymbol{j} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{2}\right\}(-\boldsymbol{\omega})\right) e^{-\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} z_{2}} d^{2} \mathbf{z} \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-\boldsymbol{i} \omega_{1} z_{1}}\left(\boldsymbol{j} f_{2}(\mathbf{z})+\boldsymbol{k} f_{3}(\mathbf{z})\right)\left(-\boldsymbol{i} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{1}\right\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)+\boldsymbol{k} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{1}\right\}(-\boldsymbol{\omega})\right) e^{-\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} z_{2}} d^{2} \mathbf{z} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-\boldsymbol{i} \omega_{1} z_{1}}\left(f_{0}(\mathbf{z})+\boldsymbol{i} f_{1}(\mathbf{z})\right) e^{-\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} z_{2}} d^{2} \mathbf{z}\left(\mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{0}\right\}(-\boldsymbol{\omega})-\boldsymbol{j} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{2}\right\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-\boldsymbol{i} \omega_{1} z_{1}}\left(f_{0}(\mathbf{z})+\boldsymbol{i} f_{1}(\mathbf{z})\right) e^{\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} z_{2}} d^{2} \mathbf{z}\left(-\boldsymbol{i} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{1}\right\}(-\boldsymbol{\omega})-\boldsymbol{k} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{3}\right\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-\boldsymbol{i} \omega_{1} z_{1}}\left(\boldsymbol{j} f_{2}(\mathbf{z})+\boldsymbol{k} f_{3}(\mathbf{z})\right) e^{-\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} z_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{z}\left(\mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{0}\right\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)-\boldsymbol{j} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{2}\right\}(-\boldsymbol{\omega})\right) \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-\boldsymbol{i} \omega_{1} z_{1}}\left(\boldsymbol{j} f_{2}(\mathbf{z})+\boldsymbol{k} f_{3}(\mathbf{z})\right) e^{\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} z_{2}} d^{2} \mathbf{z}\left(-\boldsymbol{i} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{1}\right\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)-\boldsymbol{k} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{3}\right\}(-\boldsymbol{\omega})\right) \\
& =\left(\mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{f_{0}\right\}(\boldsymbol{\omega})+\boldsymbol{i} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{f_{1}\right\}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\right)\left(\mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{0}\right\}(-\boldsymbol{\omega})-\boldsymbol{j} \mathcal{F}\left\{g_{2}\right\}\left(-\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +\left(\mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{f_{0}\right\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)+\boldsymbol{i} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{f_{1}\right\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)\right)\left(-\boldsymbol{i} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{1}\right\}(-\boldsymbol{\omega})-\boldsymbol{k} \mathcal{F}\left\{g_{3}\right\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +\left(\boldsymbol{j} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{f_{2}\right\}\left(-\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)+\boldsymbol{k} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{f_{3}\right\}\left(-\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)\right)\left(\mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{0}\right\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)-\boldsymbol{j} \mathcal{F}\left\{g_{2}\right\}(-\boldsymbol{\omega})\right) \\
& +\left(\boldsymbol{j} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{f_{2}\right\}(-\boldsymbol{\omega})+\boldsymbol{k} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{f_{3}\right\}(-\boldsymbol{\omega})\right)\left(-\boldsymbol{i} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{1}\right\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)-\boldsymbol{k \mathcal { F }}\left\{g_{3}\right\}(-\boldsymbol{\omega})\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As a consequence of the above theorem, we immediately obtain
Lemma 2.3 Given any two quaternion-valued functions $f, g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} ; \mathbb{H}\right)$. If we assume that $\mathcal{F}_{q}\{f\}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} ; \mathbb{R}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{q}\{f \otimes g\}(\boldsymbol{\omega})= & \mathcal{F}_{q}\{f\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)\left(\mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{0}\right\}(-\boldsymbol{\omega})-\boldsymbol{i} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{1}\right\}(-\boldsymbol{\omega})\right) \\
& -\mathcal{F}_{q}\{f\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{j} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{2}\right\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)+\boldsymbol{k} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{3}\right\}\left(-\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Straightforward computations show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}_{q}\{f \otimes g\}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-\boldsymbol{i} \omega_{1} x_{1}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} f(\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{y}) \overline{g(\boldsymbol{y})} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y}\right) e^{-\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} x_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{x} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-\boldsymbol{i} \omega_{1}\left(z_{1}-y_{1}\right)}\left(f(\mathbf{z})\left(g_{0}(\boldsymbol{y})-\boldsymbol{i} g_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})\right)-f(\mathbf{z})\left(\boldsymbol{j} g_{2}(\boldsymbol{y})+\boldsymbol{k} g_{3}(\boldsymbol{y})\right)\right) e^{-\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2}\left(z_{2}-y_{2}\right)} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y} d^{2} \mathbf{z} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-\boldsymbol{i} \omega_{1}\left(z_{1}-y_{1}\right)} f(\mathbf{z}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(g_{0}(\boldsymbol{y})-\boldsymbol{i} g_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})\right) e^{\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} y_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y} e^{-\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} z_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{z} \\
& \quad-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-\boldsymbol{i} \omega_{1}\left(z_{1}-y_{1}\right)} f(\mathbf{z}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{j} g_{2}(\boldsymbol{y})+\boldsymbol{k} g_{3} y\right) e^{\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} y_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y} e^{-\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} z_{2}} d^{2} \mathbf{z} \\
& = \\
& \mathcal{F}_{q}\{f\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-\boldsymbol{i} \omega_{1} y_{1}}\left(g_{0}(\boldsymbol{y})-\boldsymbol{i} g_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})\right) e^{-\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} y_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-\mathcal{F}_{q}\{f\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-i \omega_{1} y_{1}}\left(\boldsymbol{j} g_{2}(\boldsymbol{y})+\boldsymbol{k} g_{3}(\boldsymbol{y})\right) e^{-\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} y_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y}
$$

We further obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{q}\{f \otimes & g\}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \\
= & \mathcal{F}_{q}\{f\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{i \omega_{1} y_{1}}\left(g_{0}(\boldsymbol{y})-\boldsymbol{i} g_{1}(\boldsymbol{y})\right) e^{j \omega_{2} y_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y} \\
& -\mathcal{F}_{q}\{f\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{i \omega_{1} y_{1}}\left(\boldsymbol{j} g_{2}(\boldsymbol{y})+\boldsymbol{k} g_{3}(\boldsymbol{y})\right) e^{\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} y_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y} \\
= & \mathcal{F}_{q}\{f\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{i \omega_{1} y_{1}} g_{0}(\boldsymbol{y}) e^{\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} y_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{i \omega_{1} y_{1}} \boldsymbol{i} g_{1}(\boldsymbol{y}) e^{\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} y_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y}\right) \\
& -\mathcal{F}_{q}\{f\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{i \omega_{1} y_{1}} \boldsymbol{j} g_{2}(\boldsymbol{y}) e^{\boldsymbol{j} \omega_{2} y_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{i \omega_{1} y_{1}} \boldsymbol{k} g_{3}(\boldsymbol{y}) e^{j \omega_{2} y_{2}} d^{2} \boldsymbol{y}\right) \\
= & \mathcal{F}_{q}\{f\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)\left(\mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{0}\right\}(-\boldsymbol{\omega})-\boldsymbol{i} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{1}\right\}(-\boldsymbol{\omega})\right) \\
& -\mathcal{F}_{q}\{f\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{j} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{2}\right\}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right)+\boldsymbol{k} \mathcal{F}_{q}\left\{g_{3}\right\}\left(-\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

which was to be proved.
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