# **ENVIRONMENTAL PRODUCT DECLARATION**

as per ISO 14025 and EN 15804

Owner of the Declaration	ARGE; European Federation of Associations of Lock and Builders Hardware Manufacturers
Programme holder	Institut Bauen und Umwelt e.V. (IBU)
Publisher	Institut Bauen und Umwelt e.V. (IBU)
Declaration number	EPD-ARG-20160188-IBG1-EN
ECO EPD Ref. No.	ECO-000004729
Issue date	16/12/2016
Valid to	15/12/2021

# Electromechanical hardware ARGE; European Federation of Associations of Lock and Builders Hardware Manufacturers

(This EPD is valid for ARGE associations' member companies only)



www.ibu-epd.com / https://epd-online.com





Assa AB Box 371 631 05 Eskilstuna helpdesk.assa@assaabloy.com Telefon: 0771-640 640



# ARGE

# General Information

#### ARGE

#### Programme holder

IBU - Institut Bauen und Umwelt e.V. Panoramastr. 1 10178 Berlin Germany

#### Declaration number FPD-ARG-20160188-IBG1-EN

#### This Declaration is based on the Product Category Rules: Building Hardware products, 07.2014

(PCR tested and approved by the SVR)

### Issue date

16.12.2016

#### Valid to 15.12.2021

Wiemanes

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Horst J. Bossenmayer (President of Institut Bauen und Umwelt e.V.)

Mann

Dr.-Ing. Burkhart Lehmannt (Managing Director IBU)

# Electromechanical hardware

#### **Owner of the Declaration**

ARGE; European Federation of Associations of Lock and Builders Hardware Manufacturers Offerstraße 12, 42551 Velbert Germany

# Declared product / Declared unit

# 1 kg of electromechanical hardware **Scope:**

This ARGE EPD covers electromechanical hardware security devices used to control or enable door opening by means of electrical impulse. The reference product used to calculate the impact this group of products has on the environment is an item of electromechanical hardware such as a lock, handle or push button device. It is composed of steel, stainless steel and electric/electronic components. The product assessed for this EPD has 2 different configurations (grid-connected and battery-powered) and serves as a reference to cover all products within this family. The product has been confirmed in accordance with ARGE and the market share as the most representative product of the family.

The owner of the declaration shall be liable for the underlying information and evidence, but the ARGE programme holder (IBU) cannot be held responsible for manufacturer information, life cycle assessment data or evidence.

#### Verification

The CEN Norm /EN 15804/ serves as the core PCR

Independent verification of the declaration according to /ISO 14025/

externally

internally x

Dr. Frank Werner (Independent verifier appointed by SVR)

### 2. Product

#### 2.1 Product description

This ARGE EPD covers electromechanical security devices designed to control or enable door opening and which are integrated into electronic control systems such as alarms, surveillance, remote access, fingerprint scanners etc.

There are 2 types of configurations:

- battery-powered
- grid-connected.

#### 2.2 Application

These products are designed to be integrated into door assemblies of various materials and applications. They may be used for either interior or exterior doors, in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.

### 2.3 Technical Data

Ideally, products should comply with a suitable technical specification. /prEN 16867/ Mechatronic door

furniture (still in draft form) is an example of such a specification and some products will comply with this.

### 2.4 Placing on the market / Application rules

Since prEN 16867 will not be a harmonized standard, it will not be subject to the terms of the CPR and compliance with the standard will be purely voluntary. National provisions however (e.g. Building Regulations) may still apply. In addition it should be noted that these types of product are subject to the terms of other EU Directives (e.g. EMC, RE & LV) for which compliance is mandatory.

#### 2.5 Delivery status

The products are sold by unit. Deliveries of a single unit might be possible but will be an exception. Regular deliveries will cover a larger amount of devices as they are put on the market as "B2B" product and not for a final customer.



#### 2.6 Base materials / Ancillary materials

#### Composition of product analysed for this EPD:

The values given in the table below are for the product analysed for this EPD :

Name	Value	Unit
Steel	71.93	%
Stainless steel	20.8	%
Zinc-based alloy	3.63	%
Motor	1.37	%
PCB	1.08	%
ABS	0.92	%
Acetal	0.26	%
Neodymium	0.0000159	%

The product does not contain substances cited on the REACH list of hazardous substances.

**Steel** is produced by combining iron with carbon as well as other elements depending on the desired characteristics. The subcomponents made of steel are formed by stamping.

Stainless steel is produced by combining iron with chromium as well as other elements depending on the desired characteristics. The subcomponents made of steel are formed by stamping.

**Zinc-based alloy** is an alloy of four separate metals: zinc, aluminium, magnesium and copper.

Subcomponents of the device which are made from zinc-based alloy are diecast.

**ABS** is a thermoplastic polymer produced from propylene and ammonia. Subcomponents made of ABS are made by injection moulding.

**Acetal**, or polyoxymethylene, is produced via polymerisation of anhydrous formaldehyde. Subcomponents made of acetal are also formed by injection moulding.

**Acrylic**, used in resin form, is a thermoplastic derived from acrylic acid. Subcomponents made of acrylic are made by injection moulding.

**Motor, PCB**, electronic elements, including copper wiring, etched copper sheets on non-conductive substrates, resistors, transistors etc.

**Neodymium** alloy is a mix of pure neodymium, iron and boron used in high quality permanent magnets. **Battery** (in case of battery-powered Electromechanical devices) : AA 1.5V Lithium

#### 2.7 Manufacture

The production of an electromechanical hardware rnormally follows a 3 step procedure:

1. Prefabrication of the semi-finished products (usually by stamp punching or laser cutting) This step might include a surface treatment on factory site or by external manufacturers.

Preassembly of assembly modules (onsite factory)
 Final assembly (onsite factory)

# 2.8 Environment and health during manufacturing

Regular measurements of air quality and noise levels are performed by ARGE member manufacturers. The results shall be within compulsory safety levels. In areas where employees are exposed to chemical products, prescribed safety clothes and technical safety devices shall be provided. Regular health checks are mandatory for employees of production sites.

#### 2.9 Product processing/Installation

The installation of the product could vary depending on the type of door and the specific situation but products shall not require energy consumption for installation.

#### 2.10 Packaging

The product assessed for this EPD is packaged in paper. The product is then packed by batch in a cardboard box and stacked on wooden pallets for transport to the customer. Waste from product packaging is collected separately for waste disposal (including recycling).

#### 2.11 Condition of use

Once installed, the products shall require no servicing during their expected service lives. There shall be no consumption of water linked to their use, and they shall not cause any emissions.

#### 2.12 Environment and health during use

No environmental damage or health risks are to be expected during normal conditions of use.

#### 2.13 Reference service life

The Reference Service Life is 7 years under normal working conditions. This corresponds to passing a mechanical endurance test of 10.000 cycles as specified in the /EN 14846/. The Reference Service Life is dependent on the actual frequency of use and environmental conditions. It is required that installation, as well as maintenance of the product, must be done in line with instructions provided by the manufacturer.

#### 2.14 Extraordinary effects

#### Fire

Specific needs on fire resistance are addressed by individual manufacturers, no classes are defined as no EN or national standards are available.

#### Water

The declared product is intended to be used in buildings under normal conditions (indoor or outdoor). It shall not emit hazardous substances in the event of flooding.

#### **Mechanical destruction**

Mechanical destruction of the declared product shall not materially alter its composition or have any adverse effect on the environment.

#### 2.15 Re-use phase

Removal of electromechanical hardware (for re-use or re-cycling) shall have no adverse effect on the environment.

#### 2.16 Disposal

Electromechanical hardware components should be re-cycled wherever possible, providing that there is no adverse effect on the environment. The waste code in accordance with the /European Waste Code/ is 17 04 07.

#### 2.17 Further information

Details of all types and variants to be shown on the manufacturers' websites listed on http://arge.org/members/members-directory.htm.

### 3. LCA: Calculation rules

#### 3.1 Declared Unit

The declared unit for all products covered by ARGE EPD is 1 kg (of product). Since individual products will rarely weigh exactly 1 kg it is necessary to establish the exact weight of the product then use this as a correction factor to determine the true values for 1 kg of product in the tables (Section 5).

#### **Correction factor**

Name	Value	Unit								
Declared unit mass	1	kg								
Mass of declared product	0.63	kg								
Correction factor	Divide	by 0.63								

#### 3.2 System boundary

This type of EPD covers "cradle to grave" requirements.

The analysis of the product life cycle includes the production and transport of the raw materials, manufacture of the product and the packaging materials, which are declared in modules A1-A3. Losses during production are considered as waste and are sent for recycling. No recycling processes are taken into account except transport and an electricity consumption for grinding the metals. When recycled metals are used as raw material, only their transformation process is taken into account and not the extraction of the raw material.

A4 module represents the transport of the finished product to the installation site.

There is no waste associated with the installation of the product. The A5 module therefore represents only the disposal of the product packaging.

B6 module represent the energy consumption of the product during use phase.

For the RSL considered, results of B4 stage consider the use of batteries (battery-powered configuration).

The End-of-Life (EoL) stages are also considered. The transportation to the EoL disposal site is taken into account in module C2. Module C4 covers the disposal of the device. Module C3 covers the recycling of the individual elements according to European averages, with the remaining waste divided between incineration and landfill. The same assumption as for waste to recycling in A3 is used here.

For end of life modules (C1 to C4) the system boundaries from the XP P01-064/CN standard have been followed, for more information appendices H.2 and H.6 of this standard describe the rules that have been chosen.

In practice, the end-of-life has been modelled as follows:

- When material is sent for recycling, generic transport and electric consumption of a shredder is taken into account (corresponding to the process "Grinding, metals"). Only then is the material considered to have attained the "end-of-waste" state.

- Each type of waste is modelled as transport to the treatment site over a distance of 30 km (source: /FD P01-015/). Parts sent for recycling include electricity consumption (grinding) and a flow ("Materials for recycling, unspecified").

Four scenarios for the end-of-life of the products have been declared for this EPD:

1. 100% of the product going to landfill

2.100% of the product going to incineration3. 100% of the product going to recycling4. mixed scenario consisting of the previous three scenarios, values depending on the amount of waste going for recycling.

Module D has not been declared.

#### 3.3 Estimates and assumptions

The LCA data of the declared product had been calculated by the production data from one ARGE member company. This company has been chosen by ARGE as being representative by means of its production processes and its market share. The product is chosen to be as representative as possible. In addition, data was used from another manufacturer to consider battery-powered devices in order to complete the LCA results.

#### 3.4 Cut-off criteria

The cut -off criteria considered are 1% of renewable and non-renewable primary energy usage and 1% of the total mass of that unit process. The total neglected input flows per module shall be at a maximum of 5% of energy usage and mass.

For this study, all input and output flows have been considered at 100%, including raw materials as per the product composition provided by the manufacturer and packaging of raw materials as well as the final product. Energy and water consumption have also been considered at 100% according to the data provided. With the approach chosen, no significant environmental impacts are known to have been cut-off.

#### 3.5 Background data

For life cycle modelling of the considered product, all relevant background datasets were taken from the ecoinvent 3.1 – Alloc Rec database. The life cycle analysis software used is SimaPro (V8.1), developed by PRé Consulting.

#### 3.6 Data quality

The time factor and the life cycle inventory data used comes from:

Data collected specifically for this study on the ARGE manufacturer's site. Data sets are based on 1-year averaged data (time period: 2013 for grid-connected product / 2015 for battery-powered product). In the absence of collected data, generic data is obtained from the ecoinvent V3 database. This is updated regularly and is representative of current processes (the entire database having been updated in 2014).

#### 3.7 Period under review

The data of the LCA is based on the annual production data of an ARGE member company from 2013. Other values, e.g. for the processing of the base materials, are taken from the/ ecoinvent v3/.1 Alloc Rec where the dataset age varies for each dataset, see ecoinvent documentation for more information.

#### 3.8 Allocation

The products covered by this EPD are produced in numerous sites. The product assessed for the calculation of this EPD is produced by one manufacturer on its own site. All data was provided by this manufacturer of the product per unit, and then



divided by the mass of the product to give a value per kg of product produced

In addition, a battery-powered device was considered to complete the evaluation. The data was provided by another manufacturer.

The assumptions relating to the EoL of the product are described in the section System Boundaries.

# 4. LCA: Scenarios and additional technical information

The following technical information is a basis for the declared modules or can be used for developing specific scenarios in the context of a building assessment for Modules Not Declared (MND).

#### Transport to the building site (A4)

Name	Value	Unit
Litres of fuel	0.0045	l/100km
Transport distance	3500	km
Capacity utilisation (including empty runs)	36	%

#### Installation into the building (A5)

Name	Value	Unit
Material loss	0.00949	kg

#### Replacement (B4) / Refurbishment (B5)

When electromechanical hardware devices are battery-powered, the batteries are assumed to be replaced every 2 years which leads to 3 replacements of a set of 2 batteries over an RSL of 7 years.

The transport of the battery is assumed to be done by truck (3.5 tons) over a distance of 30 km.

Name	Value	Unit
Litres of fuel	9	l/100km
Replacement of worn parts	0.089	kg

#### **Reference service life**

Name	Value	Unit
Reference service life (condition of	7	0
use: see §2.13)	1	a

# Operational energy use (B6) and Operational water use (B7)

The following scenario has been taken into account: - 3 operative modes of the product: Active mode, Stand-by and off

- Time share in each mode (in %)
- Average power for each mode (in Watts)

The total energy consumption during the RSL has been calculated with the following formulas:

**Energy consumption mode** (Wh) = Average powermode (W)\*Timemode (%)\*RSL\*365\*24

**Energy consumption of the product** (Wh) = Energy consumptionactive + Energy consumptionstandby + Energy consumptionoff

It is assumed that, throughout its RSL (7 years), the product is active 1% of the time and is in standby or

#### 3.9 Comparability

Basically, a comparison or an evaluation of EPD data is only possible if all the data sets to be compared were created according to /EN 15804/ and the building context, respectively the product-specific characteristics of performance, are taken into account.

sleep mode during 99% of the time (data from companies).

The average power during the active time is 28.8 W (17 660.16 Wh) and during standby is 3.6 W (218 544.48 Wh). A European electricity mix has been taken into account for the energy consumption.

Name	Value	Unit
Electricity consumption	236.2	kWh

#### End of life (C1-C4)

Name	Value	Unit
Collected separately (Mixed	1	kg
scenario)	I	ĸġ
Recycling (Mixed scenario)	0.241	kg
Energy recovery (Mixed scenario)	0.349	kg
Landfilling (Mixed scenario)	0.41	kg
Incineration (100% incineration	1	kg
scenario) Scenario 1	I	ĸġ
Landfilling (Landfill scenario)	1	kg
Scenario 2	I	ĸġ
Recycling (100% recycling	1	kg
scenario) Scenario 3	I	ĸġ

It is assumed that a 16-32 ton truck is used to transport the product over the (up to) 30 km distance between the dismantling site and the next treatment site (source: FD P01-015).

# Reuse, recovery and/or recycling potentials (D), relevant scenario information

As Module D has not been declared, materials destined for recycling have been accounted for in the indicator "Materials for recycling" however no benefit has been allocated.

Unit

Name Value



# 5. LCA: Results

DESC	RIPT	ION C	)F TH	IE S'	YSTE	M BC	UND	ARY	(X = I	NCLU	JDED	IN L	.CA; I	/IND =	MOD	ULE	NOT	DEC	CLARE	ED)
		CONSTRUCTI									ENEFIT LOAI									
PROE	DUCT S	TAGE	ON F	ROCE				ι	ISE ST	AGE				END OF LIFE STAGE BEYONE SYSTI BOUNDA				D THE EM		
			e	-							2	6	Г Ю	~		b				
Raw material supply	port	Manufacturing	Transport from the		licit		Maintenance	air	Replacement	Refurbishment	Onerational energy		Operational water use	De-construction demolition	port	Waste processing	-	sal	ery-	ıng- tial
w mate supply	Transport	ufac	ort 1		Asseriibiy	Use	nten	Repair	lace	rhis		use	tiona use	-constructi demolition	Transport	pro		Uisposal	Reuse- Recovery-	Recycling potential
Raw	Ĕ	Man	ransp	gale A a	AS		Maii	Ľ.	Rep	Refu	nerati		Dpera	De-co dei	Ĕ	Vaste	2		ч ай	a g
A1	A2	A3	⊢ A4	Δ	.5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B		) 36	B7	C1	C2	> C3		24	D	
X	X	X	X	_	-		MND	MND	X	MN	-	-	MND	X	X	X	_	x	MN	D
RESU		OF TH			ENVI	RONI	IENT							chani				<u>~</u>		
Param eter	U	nit	A1-A	3 A4	A5	В4	B6	C1	C2	C2/1	C2/2			C3/1	C3/2	C3/3	C4	C4/1	C4/2	C4/3
GWP	[kg CC	D2-Eq.]	1.02E	+ 5.89				+0.00E							-0.00E+	8.66E-	6.17E-	5.23E	- 4.97E-	0.00E+
ODP		 C11-Eq.]	1 8.22E						3 + 9.26E						0 -0.00E+		2 1.89E-	1 4.02E		0 0.00E+
AP		D <sub>2</sub> -Eq.]	7 6.37E			E- 8.39	5 E- 4.87E								0 -0.00E+	10 3.60E-	9 1.68E-	9 2.58E		0 0.00E+
EP		04) <sup>3</sup> -Eq.]	2 2.23E	- 4.06	5 E- 6.29	3 E- 1.82	1 E- 5.47E	0 - 0.00E	5 + 3.48E	5 - 3.48E	- 3.48E	5 - 3.48	5 E- 2.75E	0 - 0.00E+	0 -0.00E+	5 4.04E-	4 4.37E-	4 7.52E	4 - 5.94E-	0 0.00E+
POCP			2 5.93E	4 - 2.68	6 - 3.22	3 E- 5.45	2 E- 2.69E	0 - 0.00E	6 + 2.30E	6 - 2.30E	6 - 2.30E	6 - 2.30	6 E- 1.35E	0 - 0.00E+	0 -0.00E+	6 1.98E-	5 1.57E-	5 1.60E	4 - 1.41E-	0 0.00E+
		ene-Eq.]	3 4.31E	4	6 E- 0.00	4 E+ 3.82	2 E- 0.00E	0 +0.00E	6 + 1.62E	6 - 1.67E	6 - 1.67E	6	6 E- 2.20E	0 - 0.00E+	0 -0.00E+	6 3.53E-	5 2.15E-	5 4.69E	4 - 2.47E-	0 0.00E+
ADPE		b-Eq.]	3	6	0	5 E- 8.27E	0	0 +0.00F	8	8	8	8	9	0 - 0.00E+	0	9	7	8	8	0 0.00E+
ADPF		1J]	2	0	2	0	3	0	2	2	2	2	2	0	0	1	1	1	1	0
Captio						Forma	tion pot	ential of	tropos	oheric o	zone p	hotoch	emical c		ADPE =				and wate otential fo	
RESU	JLTS	OF TH	IE L(	CA -	RES	OURC	EUS	E: 1 I	kg of	Elect	rome	char	nical ł	nardw	are				1	
Param	eter l		1-A3	A4	A5	B4	B6	C1	C2	C2/1	C2/2	C2/3	C3	C3/1	C3/2	C3/3	C4	C4/1	C4/2	C4/3
PER	E [	MJ] <sup>1</sup>	.61E+ 1	1.12E- 1	2.06E- 3	8.41E- 1	2.33E+ 2	0.00E+ 0	9.61E- 4	9.61E- 4	9.61E- 4	9.61E 4	- 1.17E- 2	0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	1.72E- 2	9.80E- 2	1.14E 2	- 2.11E- 2	0.00E+ 0
PER	м	MJ] <sup>2</sup>	21E+0 0	0.00E+ 0	- 1.40E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	0.00E- 0	+ 0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	0.00E 0	+0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0
PER	т	[MJ] <sup>1</sup>	.84E+ 1	1.12E- 1	- 1.40E+ 0	8.41E- 1	2.33E+ 2	0.00E+ 0	9.61E- 4	9.61E- 4	9.61E- 4	9.61E 4	- 1.17E- 2	0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	1.72E- 2	9.08E- 2	1.14E 2	- 2.11E- 2	0.00E+ 0
PENF	RE [	[MJ] <sup>1</sup>	.71E+ 9 2	9.13E+ 0		8.95E+ 0	2.64E+ 3	0.00E+ 0	7.82E- 2	7.82E- 2	7.82E- 2	7.82E 2	- 1.33E- 1	0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	1.95E- 1	3.23E- 1	3.86E	- 3.53E-	0.00E+ 0
PENF	RM [	[MJ] 6																	+ 0.00E+	
PENF	रा [	[MJ] <sup>1</sup>	72E+9	0 9.13E+ 0	-1.03E- 2	8.95E+ 0			7.82E-	7.82E-	7.82E- 2	7.82E		0.00E+ 0			3.23E-	-	- 3.53E-	0.00E+ 0
SM		[kg] 5					3 0.00E+		2 0.00E+ 0	2 0.00E+ 0		2 0.00E- 0					0.00E+		+0.00E+	
RSF	= [	MJ] 0	1 .00E+( 0	0 0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0								0.00E+		0.00E+ 0	0.00E	+0.00E+ 0	
NRS	-		.00E+(			0.00E+	0.00E+	0.00E+	0.00E+	0.00E+	0.00E+	0.00E	+ 0.00E+	0.00E+		0.00E+		0.00E	+0.00E+	0.00E+
FW			0 .36E-	0 1.72E-			0 8.86E-								0.00E+		4.12E-	0 1.17E	0 - 3.42E-	0 0.00E+
L	P	PERE =																	4 M = Use	
Cantio	n n	on-rene	wable	prima	ry ene	rgy exc	uding r	non-ren	ewable	prima	y ener	gy res	ources	used as	raw ma	aterials	; PENF	RΜ = Ι	ENRE = Jse of n	on-
Caption renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PENRT = Total use of non-renewable primary energy resources; SM = Use of secondary material; RSF = Use of net fresh																				
RESL	water RESULTS OF THE LCA – OUTPUT FLOWS AND WASTE CATEGORIES:																			
1 kg (										AOTE	<b>U</b> A1	200	KILO							

# ARGE

Parameter	Unit	A1-A3	A4	A5	B4	B6	C1	C2	C2/1	C2/2	C2/3	СЗ	C3/1	C3/2	C3/3	C4	C4/1	C4/2	C4/3
HWD	[kg]	1.83E+ 0	5.64E- 3	3.13E- 4	7.33E- 2	8.32E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	4.83E- 5	4.83E- 5	4.83E- 5	4.83E- 5	4.18E- 4	0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	6.14E- 4	1.11E- 2	2.66E- 1	1.24E- 3	0.00E+ 0
NHWD	[kg]	1.13E+ 1	4.68E- 1	2.54E- 2	9.13E- 1	3.75E+ 1	0.00E+ 0	4.01E- 3	4.01E- 3	4.01E- 3	4.01E- 3	1.88E- 3	0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	2.77E- 3	7.22E- 2	1.45E- 2	1.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0
RWD	[kg]	5.67E- 4	6.13E- 5	2.23E- 7	2.19E- 5	1.43E- 2	0.00E+ 0	5.25E- 7	5.25E- 7	5.25E- 7	5.25E- 7	7.17E- 7	0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	1.05E- 6	1.21E- 6	1.35E- 6	2.65E- 6	0.00E+ 0
CRU	[kg]	0.00E+ 0																	
MFR	[kg]	1.93E- 1	0.00E+ 0	9.94E- 2	0.00E+ 0	6.80E- 1	0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	1.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0						
MER	[kg]	0.00E+ 0																	
EEE	[MJ]	0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	3.28E- 2	0.00E+ 0	5.36E- 2	1.39E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0										
EET	[MJ]	0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	6.82E- 2	0.00E+ 0	1.10E- 1	2.85E+ 0	0.00E+ 0	0.00E+ 0										
F Caption	HWD = Hazardous waste disposed; NHWD = Non-hazardous waste disposed; RWD = Radioactive waste disposed; CRU = Components for re-use; MFR = Materials for recycling; MER = Materials for energy recovery; EEE = Exported electrical energy; EEE = Exported thermal energy																		

Other end of life scenarios have been calculated in order to build specific end of life scenario at the building level: - scenario 1: the product is considered to be 100% incinerated

- scenario 2: the product is considered to be 100% landfilled

- scenario 3: the product is considered to be 100% recycled


### 6. LCA: Interpretation

The results concerning grid-connected configuration products are the most impactful (due to the electricity consumption during use), except for the indicator ADP, comparing to battery-powered configuration products. The difference between the impacts of the two configurations on this indicator is significant and comes from the quantity of PCB used.

Thus, to consider the worst case, the results given declares results of the grid-connected product on all

indicators except ADP and results of the batterypowered product on ADP.


Moreover, the battery-powered product is the only one to have impacts on the B4 step; its results are added to the final impacts of the configuration. The end of life of batteries is also more impacting than the other material; therefore the C4 step of the battery-powered product is declared for the EPD.



The majority of the impacts for this group of products are due to the consummation of energy during the use phase, except for the depletion of abiotic non-fossil resources ; the impact for which stems mostly from A1 Extraction and supply of raw materials (PCB and metals).

The results are conservative as complying with the scenario described just above.





### 7. Requisite evidence

No testing results are required by the PCR part B.

#### 8. References

#### ISO 14040

ISO 14040:2006-10, Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – Principles and framework (ISO 14040:2006). German and English version EN ISO 14040:2006

#### **DIN EN ISO 14044**

DIN EN ISO 14044:2006-10, Environmental management — Life Cycle Assessment Requirements and Instructions (ISO 14044:2006); German and English version EN ISO 14044:2006

#### FD P01-015

FD P01-015: 2006, Environmental quality of construction products - Energy and transport data sheet

#### **CEN/TR 15941**

CEN/TR 15941:2010-03, Sustainability of construction works —Environmental Product Declarations — Methodology for selection and use of generic data; German version CEN/TR 15941:2010

#### **European Waste Code**

epa - European Waste Catalogue and Hazardous Waste List - 01-2002.

#### **Ecoinvent 3.1**

Ecoinvent 3.1 - Allocation Recycling database.

#### **IBU PCR part A**

Part A: Calculation Rules for the Life Cycle Assessment and Requirements on the Project report

#### **IBU PCR part B**

Part B: Requirements on the EPD for Locks and fittings, Version 2016-04

#### Institut Bauen und Umwelt

Institut Bauen und Umwelt e.V., Berlin(pub.): Generation of Environmental Product Declarations (EPDs);

#### **General principles**

for the EPD range of Institut Bauen und Umwelt e.V. (IBU), 2013/04 www.bau-umwelt.de

#### ISO 14025

DIN EN ISO 14025:2011-10: Environmental labels and declarations — Type III environmental declarations — Principles and procedures

#### EN 15804

EN 15804:2012-04+A1 2013: Sustainability of construction works — Environmental Product Declarations — Core rules for the product category of construction products

Institut Bauen und Umwelt e.V.	<b>Publisher</b> Institut Bauen und Umwelt e.V. Panoramastr. 1 10178 Berlin Germany		+49 (0)30 3087748- 0 +49 (0)30 3087748- 29 info@bau-umwelt.com www.bau-umwelt.com
Institut Bauen und Umwelt e.V.	<b>Programme holder</b> Institut Bauen und Umwelt e.V. Panoramastr 1 10178 Berlin Germany	Tel Fax Mail Web	- (-)
Cetim	Author of the Life Cycle Assessment CETIM rue de la Presse 7 42952 Saint-Etienne Cedex 1 France	Tel Fax Mail Web	



#### Owner of the Declaration

ARGE; European Federation of Associations of Lock and Builders Hardware Manufacturers Offerstraße 12 42551 Velbert Germany