

THE SYMMETRIES OF THE ${}_2\phi_1$

FOKKO VAN DE BULT

ABSTRACT. We show that the only symmetries of the ${}_2\phi_1$ within a large class of possible transformations are Heine's transformations. The class of transformations considered consists of equation of the form ${}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, z) = f(a, b, c, z) {}_2\phi_1(L(a, b, c, q, z))$, where f is a q -hypergeometric term and L a linear operator on the logarithms of the parameters. We moreover prove some results on q -difference equations satisfied by ${}_2\phi_1$, which are used to prove the main result.

Basic hypergeometric series and their properties have been studied a long time, as they have many applications. One of the interesting properties of such series is the fact that they satisfy certain transformation formulas. We are interested in transformation formulas of one series to a similar series with different parameters. These symmetries of hypergeometric series often arise from symmetries of underlying algebraic structures.

In many important cases transformation formulas are well-known, one of the simplest of which is Heine's transformation of the ${}_2\phi_1$. The ${}_2\phi_1$ is a basic hypergeometric analogue of the Gauß hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1$. In this article we use the notation from Gasper and Rahman [1]. We assume $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$ is some complex number satisfying $|q| < 1$, which ensures all infinite products converge. We define q -shifted factorials by

$$(x; q)_k = \frac{(x; q)_\infty}{(xq^k; q)_\infty}, \quad (x; q)_\infty = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - xq^j),$$

and use the abbreviated notation $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s; q)_k = \prod_{j=1}^s (a_j; q)_k$. The series ${}_2\phi_1$ is now given by the series

$${}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a, b; q)_k}{(q, c; q)_k} z^k.$$

The ${}_2\phi_1$ converges if and only if $|z| < 1$. For arbitrary $|q| < 1$, the ${}_2\phi_1$ can be analytically extended to a meromorphic function for $(a, b, c, z) \in \mathbb{C}^4$, and we will denote this extension simply by ${}_2\phi_1$.

Heine's transformation [1, (III.1)] gives the equality

$$(1) \quad {}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, z) = \frac{(b, az; q)_\infty}{(c, z; q)_\infty} {}_2\phi_1(c/b, z; az; q, b).$$

This symmetry together with the permutation symmetry of a and b generates a symmetrygroup isomorphic to $S_3 \times S_2$ as already noted by Rogers [3]. It is commonly assumed that Heine's transformations and its iterates exhaust all the symmetries of the ${}_2\phi_1$, however this has not yet been proven rigorously. In this article we prove that there exist no other such symmetries, at least not within a certain large class of possible transformations (defined below). As of now we will use the word transformation for an element of the class of allowed transformation, and the word symmetry for an identity relating a ${}_2\phi_1$ with a transformed ${}_2\phi_1$.

The proof of the main theorem was inspired by the ideas of Petkovšek, Wilf and Zeilberger [2] on evaluating hypergeometric sums. Essentially they solve the problem of evaluating a hypergeometric sum in two steps, first they find a recurrence relation satisfied by this sum, and subsequently they find the solutions to this recurrence relation. Within these solutions it is then easy to find the right evaluation of the original sum (if it exists).

The main idea of the proof in this article is similar. We first consider the contiguous relations satisfied by the ${}_2\phi_1$. Subsequently we find all transformed ${}_2\phi_1$'s which satisfy these contiguous relations. From these the symmetries of the ${}_2\phi_1$ are easily determined.

Date: June 21, 2018.

The method used in this article to prove that the known symmetries of the ${}_2\phi_1$ are indeed all the symmetries it satisfies can probably also be used to give a complete classification of the symmetries of other basic hypergeometric series, such as balanced ${}_3\phi_2$'s and very-well poised ${}_8\phi_7$'s. An essential part of the proof uses that we can relate different parameters by the known symmetries (for example Heine's symmetry (1) relates the parameter b and z), to obtain a full set of contiguous relations. If there do not exist such symmetries a balancing condition might be sufficient as well.

The setup of this article is as follows. In the next section we introduce some algebraic constructs which allow us to give precise definitions of transformations. In particular it allows us to state the main theorem. In Section 2 we consider the theory of q -difference equations (or contiguous relations) satisfied by the ${}_2\phi_1$. Finally in Section 3 we prove the main theorem.

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank Jasper Stokman for his helpful comments and proofreading the article.

1. SOME ALGEBRAIC CONSTRUCTS

In order to be able to give a precise and concise statement of the main theorem and the results leading up to the main theorem it is convenient to introduce some notation. In this section we define the group of transformations of a ${}_2\phi_1$ and give an algebraic description of q -difference equations.

The relations between the different objects can get somewhat confusing, hence I included Figure 1, which contains all objects in a single table.

The general form of Heine's symmetry is of multiplication by a q -hypergeometric term (see Definition 1.5 below) and applying a linear transformation on the logarithms of the parameters. Let us first define this group of linear transformations.

Definition 1.1. *The group G is given by*

$$G = \{M \in GL_5(\mathbb{Z}) \mid M^T e_5 = e_5\},$$

where e_5 denotes the fifth unit vector and M^T denotes the transpose of M .

Note that the condition $M^T e_5 = e_5$ signifies that the bottom row of the matrices $M \in G$ is equal to $(0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$. Now we give the action of G on functions of 5 variables. Let \mathcal{M} be the field of meromorphic functions on the variables (a, b, c, q, z) .

Lemma 1.2. *There exists an action of G on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^5$ (elements of which are denoted by (a, b, c, z, q)) given by*

$$\begin{pmatrix} l_{11} & l_{12} & l_{13} & l_{14} & l_{15} \\ l_{21} & l_{22} & l_{23} & l_{24} & l_{25} \\ l_{31} & l_{32} & l_{33} & l_{34} & l_{35} \\ l_{41} & l_{42} & l_{43} & l_{44} & l_{45} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ z \\ q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{l_{11}} b^{l_{12}} c^{l_{13}} z^{l_{14}} q^{l_{15}} \\ a^{l_{21}} b^{l_{22}} c^{l_{23}} z^{l_{24}} q^{l_{25}} \\ a^{l_{31}} b^{l_{32}} c^{l_{33}} z^{l_{34}} q^{l_{35}} \\ a^{l_{41}} b^{l_{42}} c^{l_{43}} z^{l_{44}} q^{l_{45}} \\ q \end{pmatrix}.$$

This action extends to an action of G on \mathcal{M} by field automorphisms given by $L(f)(a, b, c, z, q) = f(L^{-1}(a, b, c, z, q))$.

Proof. The proposed action of G on sets of variables (a, b, c, z, q) is just the normal action of $GL_5(\mathbb{Z})$ on 5-dimensional vectors applied to the logarithms of the variables, thus it is clearly a well-defined action. \square

Note that this description is very similar to the use of homogeneous coordinates to express affine transformations. The affine part of the transformations allows multiplication of some variables by powers of q . Such a multiplication does not occur in Heine's symmetry (1), but it does happen in symmetries of other basic hypergeometric series, as for example the symmetries of the very well poised ${}_8\phi_7$.

As an example we see that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix}; q, z \right) = {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} c/b, z \\ az \end{matrix}; q, b \right)$$

provides exactly the change in arguments of the ${}_2\phi_1$ in Heine's symmetry.

Another important example shows pure q -dilations are contained in G , for example

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} .f(a, b, c, q, z) = f(qa, b, q^{-1}c, q, q^2z).$$

This leads us to define the subgroup of q -dilations in G .

Definition 1.3. We define the group $N = \{L \in G \mid Le_j = e_j (j = 1, 2, 3, 4)\}$. More explicitly N consists of the matrices in $GL_5(\mathbb{Z})$ of the form

$$M_{k_a, k_b, k_c, k_z} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -k_a \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -k_b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -k_c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -k_z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The group N is generated by the elements $A = M_{1,0,0,0}$, $B = M_{0,1,0,0}$, $C = M_{0,0,1,0}$ and $Z = M_{0,0,0,1}$.

Note that A acts as a q -dilation in a , i.e. $Af(a, b, c, q, z) = f(aq, b, c, q, z)$. Similarly B , C , respectively Z act as elementary q -dilations in the variables b , c , respectively z .

Lemma 1.4. The subgroup N is normal in G . Moreover N is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^4 (and thus abelian).

Proof. Note that for $L \in G$ we have

$$\langle Le_j, e_5 \rangle = \langle e_j, L^T e_5 \rangle = \langle e_j, e_5 \rangle = 0$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, i.e. L maps the space V spanned by e_1, e_2, e_3 and e_4 to itself. Thus for any $P \in N$ and $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ we find that $LPL^{-1}e_j = LL^{-1}e_j = e_j$, as P preserves V and $L^{-1}e_j \in V$. Thus for any element $P \in N$, the conjugate LPL^{-1} of P with an element $L \in G$ is also contained in N . Therefore N is a normal subgroup of G .

An isomorphism of N to \mathbb{Z}^4 is given by $M_{k_a, k_b, k_c, k_z} \mapsto (k_a, k_b, k_c, k_z)$. \square

We can now give a concise definition of q -hypergeometric terms.

Definition 1.5. Define $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{C}(a, b, c, q, z) \subset \mathcal{M}$ to be the field of rational function in a, b, c, q and z . The group H of q -hypergeometric terms is given by

$$H = \{f \in \mathcal{M}^* \mid P(f)/f \in \mathcal{R} \text{ for all } P \in N\}.$$

Here a star denotes the group of units of a ring, thus \mathcal{M}^* is the group of meromorphic functions, which are not identically zero. The group action on H is given by multiplication.

Typical examples of q -hypergeometric terms are products of terms of the form $(x; q)_\infty$, where $x \in \mathbb{C}[a^{\pm 1}, b^{\pm 1}, c^{\pm 1}, q^{\pm 1}, z^{\pm 1}]^*$, is some monomial in a, b, c, q and z . In particular the pre-factor $(b, az; q)_\infty / (c, z; q)_\infty$ in Heine's symmetry (1) is a q -hypergeometric term. Moreover it is clear that all non-zero rational functions are q -hypergeometric terms, i.e. $\mathcal{R}^* \subset H$.

Lemma 1.6. The action of G on \mathcal{M} preserves H and \mathcal{R} , i.e. if $L \in G$ and $h \in H$, then also $L(h) \in H$, and similarly for \mathcal{R} . Moreover the group G acts by group automorphisms on H and by field automorphisms on \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{R} .

Proof. As G only changes the variables in which a function is evaluated, it is clear that it commutes with adding or multiplying functions, whether they are elements of \mathcal{M} , H or \mathcal{R} . Thus G acts on \mathcal{M} by field automorphisms

The fact that G preserves rational functions follows from the fact that G sends monomials to monomials. As for \mathcal{M} we find that G acts by field automorphisms.

Since N is a normal subgroup of G we find that $P(L(f))/L(f) = L(P'(f))/L(f) = L(P'(f)/f)$, for $P' = L^{-1}PL \in N$. As G preserves \mathcal{R} this shows that if $f \in H$, so is $L(f)$. As before we find that G acts by group automorphisms. \square

Now we can define the group of transformations.

Definition 1.7. *With the action of G on H as above we define the group T of transformations as the semi-direct product $T := H \rtimes G$. It thus consists of elements fL , $f \in H$, $L \in G$, with multiplication given by $f_1L_1 \cdot f_2L_2 = (f_1L_1(f_2))(L_1L_2)$.*

As a notational convention, when we write something like $fL \in T$ we imply that $f \in H$ and $L \in G$.

The subgroup $W \subset T$ is given by those transformations which leave ${}_2\phi_1$ invariant, or the symmetries of ${}_2\phi_1$, i.e.

$$W = \{t \in T \mid t_2\phi_1(a, b, c, q, z) = {}_2\phi_1(a, b, c, q, z)\}.$$

Our goal is to determine W , the elements of which correspond to transformation formulas of ${}_2\phi_1$. Two special transformations are given by

$$(2) \quad t_h := \frac{(b, az; q)_\infty}{(c, z; q)_\infty} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad t_{ab} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Indeed Heine's transformation (1) exactly says $t_h{}_2\phi_1 = {}_2\phi_1$, so $t_h \in W$. Moreover t_{ab} just interchanges a and b , thus $t_{ab}{}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, z) = {}_2\phi_1(b, a; c; q, z) = {}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, z)$ implies $t_{ab} \in W$.

Let us now state the main theorem

Theorem 1.8. *The symmetry group W of the ${}_2\phi_1$ is generated by t_h and t_{ab} from (2), i.e. Heine's symmetry and the symmetry which interchanges a and b in the ${}_2\phi_1$.*

The proof of this theorem is deferred to the end of this article (Section 3). As it is already well-known that $t_h, t_{ab} \in W$, we only have to show that they generate W . Note that the theorem implies that W is isomorphic to $S_3 \times S_2$ and observe that both t_h and t_{ab} have order 2.

Let us end this section by introducing the ring of q -difference operators.

Definition 1.9. *The ring \mathcal{D} of q -difference operators is defined as the smash product $\mathcal{R}\#N$, using the action of N on $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{M}$ (which is the restriction of the action of G).*

Thus as a vectorspace it equals $\mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[N]$. Multiplication is defined via

$$(3) \quad \left(\sum_k r_k P_k \right) \left(\sum_j s_j Q_j \right) = \sum_{k,j} r_k P_k(s_j) P_k S_j,$$

(where the term $P_k(s_j)$ uses the action of N on \mathcal{R}).

Finally we define the ideal $\mathcal{I} = \{D \in \mathcal{D} \mid D{}_2\phi_1 = 0\}$ of elements which contain ${}_2\phi_1$ in their kernel.

Note that elements of \mathcal{I} correspond to q -difference equations satisfied by ${}_2\phi_1$. We will give a precise description of all the elements in \mathcal{I} at a later stage. An example of the action of a q -difference operator is

$$\begin{aligned} ((1-a)A - 1 + aZ) {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix}; q, z \right) \\ = (1-a) {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} aq, b \\ c \end{matrix}; q, z \right) - {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix}; q, z \right) + a {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix}; q, qz \right). \end{aligned}$$

	Difference operators		Transformations	
Operations on coefficients	N	\triangleleft	G	
	$\#$	\times	\times	
Functions as prefactor	\mathcal{R}	$\mathcal{R}^* \subset$	H	$\subset \mathcal{M}^*$
	$=$	$=$	$=$	
Full algebras	\mathcal{D}	$\mathcal{D}^* \triangleleft$	T	
	\cup		\cup	
Symmetries of ${}_2\phi_1$	\mathcal{I}		W	

FIGURE 1. The algebraic constructs of Section 1 and their relations.

In Proposition 2.1 we show that this expression equals zero, thus $(1-a)A - 1 + aZ \in \mathcal{I}$.

Just as N is a normal subgroup of G we find

Lemma 1.10. *The group \mathcal{D}^* of units in \mathcal{D} equals the semi-direct product $\mathcal{R}^* \rtimes N$. \mathcal{D}^* is a normal subgroup of T . The induced conjugation action is denoted by $t(D) = tDt^{-1}$ for $D \in \mathcal{D}^*$, $t \in T$ and can be uniquely linearly extended to an action of t on \mathcal{D} .*

Proof. To see that $\mathcal{D}^* = \mathcal{R}^* \rtimes N$ we define the degree of some element of $\mathcal{R}^* \rtimes N$ by using the isomorphism between N and \mathbb{Z}^4 and using the lexicographical ordering on \mathbb{Z}^4 . Thus $\deg(M_{k_a, k_b, k_c, k_z}) = (k_a, k_b, k_c, k_z)$ and $\deg(r) = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ for some rational function r . Moreover we set $(k_a, k_b, k_c, k_z) > (l_a, l_b, l_c, l_z)$ if $k_a > l_a$, or $k_a = l_a$ and $k_b > l_b$, etc..

We want to show that the product of two elements of \mathcal{D} can only equal 1 if they are contained in $\mathcal{R}^* \rtimes N$. Note that the right hand side of (3) has a unique term of highest degree (the product of the two respective terms of highest degree on the left hand side) and a unique term of lowest degree. The product can only be 1 if there is just one term on the right hand side, so then the terms of highest and lowest degree are identical, which can only happen if the sums on the left hand side are both single terms. Thus we find $\mathcal{D}^* = \mathcal{R}^* \rtimes N$.

We calculate for $hL \in T$ and $D = rP \in \mathcal{D}^*$ that

$$hL \cdot rP \cdot (hL)^{-1} = hL(r)LPL^{-1}(h^{-1})LPL^{-1}$$

and use that N is a normal subgroup of G to see that \mathcal{D}^* is a normal subgroup of T (where we observe that $hLPL^{-1}(h^{-1}) = h/LPL^{-1}(h)$ is a rational function as h is a q -hypergeometric term). \square

This provides us with the following important corollary, which allows us to easily obtain new q -difference equations satisfied by the ${}_2\phi_1$ from old ones by using symmetries.

Corollary 1.11. *The restriction of the conjugation action of T on \mathcal{D} to W leaves the ideal \mathcal{I} invariant, i.e. for $w \in W$ and $I \in \mathcal{I}$ we have $w(I) \in \mathcal{I}$.*

Proof. Just observe that for $w \in W$ and $I \in \mathcal{I}$ we find

$$w(I) {}_2\phi_1 = w(I(w^{-1}({}_2\phi_1))) = w(I({}_2\phi_1)) = w(0) = 0. \quad \square$$

2. DIFFERENCE OPERATORS

In this section we consider q -difference equations satisfied by the ${}_2\phi_1$. This means we will study the structure of the ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{D}$. The main result (Proposition 2.4 together with Corollary 2.5) is that for any three distinct elements $X_1, X_2, X_3 \in N$ there exists a unique (up to multiplication by rational functions) element in \mathcal{I} which is a linear combination of these X_j . This corresponds to a unique linear relation between three ${}_2\phi_1$'s with q -shifted arguments. In particular it implies that ${}_2\phi_1$ is a solution to second order q -difference equations in all its parameters. This fact is already known (see for example [4]), however we have been unable to find proofs of this result in the literature, which are strong enough for our purposes, hence we give full proofs here.

Let us now define some elements in \mathcal{I} which turn out to be a generating set of \mathcal{I} in Corollary 2.5. Essentially the elements mentioned here correspond to q -contiguous relations satisfied by the ${}_2\phi_1$. Recall Definition 1.3.

Proposition 2.1. *Define*

$$\begin{aligned} P_a &:= (1-a)A - 1 + aZ, \\ P_b &:= (1-b)B - 1 + bZ, \\ P_c &:= z(c-b)(c-a)C + (c-1)(c^2 + abz - (a+b)cz) - (c-1)c(c-abz)Z, \\ Q_a &:= (-cq + ac + aq - a^2z) + a(abz - c)Z + q(c-a)A^{-1}, \\ Q_b &:= (-cq + bc + bq - b^2z) + b(abz - c)Z + q(c-b)B^{-1}, \\ Q_c &:= -q + cZ + (q-c)C^{-1}, \\ R_z &:= -(c+q-az-bz) + (q-z)Z^{-1} + (c-abz)Z. \end{aligned}$$

Then $P_a, P_b, P_c, Q_a, Q_b, Q_c, R_z \in \mathcal{I}$.

Proof. We first explicitly prove two simple q -difference equations satisfied by ${}_2\phi_1$. Subsequently we will use Corollary 1.11, together with the known fact that t_h and t_{ab} are elements of W to find the other relations.

We will first show that $P_a \in \mathcal{I}$. Observe that

$$(1-a) \frac{(qa, b; q)_k}{(q, c; q)_k} z^k - \frac{(a, b; q)_k}{(q, c; q)_k} z^k + a \frac{(a, b; q)_k}{(q, c; q)_k} (qz)^k = 0,$$

which can be proven by simply dividing everything by $\frac{(a, b; q)_k}{(q, c; q)_k} z^k$ and checking that the resulting polynomial equation holds. Summing this equation over all $k \geq 0$ we obtain the relation

$$0 = (1-a) {}_2\phi_1(aq, b; c; q, z) - {}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, z) + a {}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, qz).$$

This equation is equivalent to the statement $P_a = (1-a)A - 1 + aZ \in \mathcal{I}$. Using the $a \leftrightarrow b$ symmetry t_{ab} of ${}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, z)$, we find that $P_b = t_{ab}(P_a) \in \mathcal{I}$ as well. To show that $Q_c \in \mathcal{I}$ we note that

$$-q \frac{(a, b; q)_k}{(q, c; q)_k} z^k + c \frac{(a, b; q)_k}{(q, c; q)_k} q^k z^k + (q-c) \frac{(a, b; q)_k}{(q, c/q; q)_k} z^k = 0$$

for all k , and sum over all $k \geq 0$.

Recall that t_h from (2) is an element of W . Write $t_h = p_h L_h$. We can thus apply Corollary 1.11 to see that

$$\begin{aligned} t_h(P_a) &= t_h((1-a)A) - t_h(1) + t_h(aZ) \\ &= \frac{p_h}{L_h A L_h^{-1}(p_h)} L_h (1-a) L_h A L_h^{-1} - 1 + \frac{p_h}{L_h Z L_h^{-1}(a)} L_h Z L_h^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1-c/b}{1-c} C - 1 + \frac{c/b-c}{1-c} BC \in \mathcal{I}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we find that

$$t_h(t_{ab}(t_h(P_a))) = (1-azb/c)A - 1 + \frac{azb/c(1-c/b)}{1-c} AC \in \mathcal{I}$$

A direct calculation now gives that

$$P_c = \frac{c(1-c)(c-abz)}{a} P_a - \frac{c^2(1-a)(1-c)}{a} t_h(t_{ab}(t_h(P_a))) + az(c-b)(c-1)t_{ab}(t_h(P_a)) \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Note that we took an \mathcal{R} -linear combination of P_a , $t_h(t_{ab}(t_h(P_a)))$ and $t_{ab}(t_h(P_a))$ in which we eliminated the terms containing A and AC .

To find Q_a we first calculate

$$t_h(t_{ab}(t_h(t_{ab}(t_h(P_a))))) = \frac{1-c/a}{1-z} A^{-1} Z - 1 + \frac{c/a(1-abz/c)}{1-z} Z \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Thus we find

$$Q_a = q(a-c)A^{-1}P_a - \frac{a^2}{1-z}t_h(t_{ab}(t_h(t_{ab}(t_h(P_a))))) ,$$

(where we use $A^{-1}P_a = (1-a/q)A^{-1}+a/qA^{-1}Z$). This immediately also gives $Q_b = t_{ab}(Q_a) \in \mathcal{I}$.

Finally we find that

$$R_z = (z-q)Z^{-1}t_h(t_{ab}(t_h(t_{ab}(t_h(P_a))))) - \frac{1}{a}Q_a \in \mathcal{I}. \quad \square$$

Now we will show in a few steps that the set of elements in \mathcal{I} from Proposition 2.1 generate \mathcal{I} . Recall that $\mathcal{D}^* = \mathcal{R}^* \ltimes N$.

Lemma 2.2. *The ideal \mathcal{I} does not contain any units, i.e. $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{D}^* = \emptyset$. Moreover the ideal \mathcal{I} does not contain elements of the form $D_1 + D_2$ with $D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{D}^*$ and $D_1 + D_2 \neq 0$.*

Proof. To show \mathcal{I} does not contain any units, we observe that if $D \in \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{D}^*$, then so is $D^{-1}D = 1$. However ${}_2\phi_1$ is not identically zero, thus $1 \notin \mathcal{I}$.

Now suppose there exists some element $D_1 + D_2 \in \mathcal{I}$, with $D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{D}^*$, and $D_1 + D_2 \neq 0$. Left multiplying by D_2^{-1} we find that $D_2^{-1}D_1 + 1 \in \mathcal{I}$. Thus $\tilde{D} := -D_2^{-1}D_1$ leaves ${}_2\phi_1$ invariant. Recall that \mathcal{D}^* is a subgroup of the group of transformations T . We can therefore view \tilde{D} as an element of T , and, as it leaves ${}_2\phi_1$ invariant, even of W . Now we can use the action of T on \mathcal{D} and Corollary 1.11, to note that $\tilde{D}(R_z) \in \mathcal{I}$. Write $\tilde{D} = \tilde{p}\tilde{Y}$, thus we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}\tilde{Y}(R_z) &= \tilde{Y}(az + bz - c - q) + \frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{Y}Z^1\tilde{Y}^{-1}(\tilde{p})}\tilde{Y}(q-z)Z^{-1} + \frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{Y}Z\tilde{Y}^{-1}(\tilde{p})}\tilde{Y}(c-abz)Z \\ &= \tilde{Y}(az + bz - c - q) + \frac{1}{Z^{-1}(r)}\tilde{Y}(q-z)Z^{-1} + r\tilde{Y}(c-abz)Z \in \mathcal{I}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equation we substitute $r = \frac{\tilde{p}}{Z\tilde{p}}$, and we use that \tilde{Y}, Z are elements of the abelian group N , so $\tilde{Y}Z\tilde{Y}^{-1} = Z$ and $\tilde{Y}Z^{-1}\tilde{Y}^{-1} = Z^{-1}$.

Now there are two possibilities, either this element is a multiple (over the field \mathcal{R}) of R_z , or it is not. If it is, we find that the quotients of the coefficients before 1, Z and Z^{-1} in this element and in R_z have to be equal. Thus we get the equations

$$\frac{\tilde{Y}(az + bz - c - q)}{az + bz - c - q} = \frac{\tilde{Y}(q-z)}{Z^{-1}(r)(q-z)} = \frac{r\tilde{Y}(c-abz)}{c-abz}.$$

The equality between the first and last term gives the following expression for r

$$(4) \quad r = \frac{(c-abz)\tilde{Y}(az + bz - c - q)}{(az + bz - c - q)\tilde{Y}(c-abz)}.$$

The equality between the first and second term gives an expression for $Z^{-1}(r)$

$$Z^{-1}(r) = \frac{(az + bz - c - q)\tilde{Y}(q-z)}{(q-z)\tilde{Y}(az + bz - c - q)},$$

which after letting Z act on both sides of the equation (recall Z and \tilde{Y} commute) becomes

$$(5) \quad r = \frac{(aqz + bqz - c - q)\tilde{Y}(1-z)}{(1-z)\tilde{Y}(aqz + bqz - c - q)}.$$

Equating the right hand sides of (4) and (5) leads to the expression

$$\frac{(c-abz)(1-z)\tilde{Y}(aqz + bqz - c - q)\tilde{Y}(az + bz - c - q)}{(az + bz - c - q)(aqz + bqz - c - q)\tilde{Y}(1-z)\tilde{Y}(c-abz)} = 1.$$

As $\tilde{Y} \in \mathcal{D}^*$ it acts by multiplying the monomials in a, b, c and z by powers of q . In particular corresponding terms of the numerator and denominator can only differ by powers of q . For example there exists some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $q^k(1-z) = \tilde{Y}(1-z)$. As $\tilde{Y}(1-z) = 1 - \tilde{Y}(z)$, we have $k = 0$ and $\tilde{Y}(z) = z$. Next we find either $\tilde{Y}(az + bz - c - q) = q^{k_1}(az + bz - c - q)$ and $\tilde{Y}(aqz + bqz - c - q) =$

$q^{k_2}(aqz + bqz - c - q)$ for some integers k_1 and k_2 , or $\tilde{Y}(az + bz - c - q) = q^{k_1}(aqz + bqz - c - q)$ and $\tilde{Y}(aqz + bqz - c - q) = q^{k_2}(az + bz - c - q)$. In both cases we find (looking at the term q) that $k_1 = k_2 = 0$, and subsequently that $\tilde{Y}(c) = c$. The second case moreover gives $\tilde{Y}(a) = aq$ and $\tilde{Y}(aq) = a$, which gives a contradiction, so we can assume the first case holds. This gives $\tilde{Y}(a) = a$ and $\tilde{Y}(b) = b$. We conclude that $\tilde{Y} = 1$, as it leaves a , b , c and z invariant. However this implies that $D_2 = fD_1$ for some rational function f , so $D_1 + D_2 \in \mathcal{D}^*$. The first part of the proposition now shows that $D_1 + D_2$ is not an element of \mathcal{I} .

Now suppose $\tilde{D}(R_z)$ is not a multiple of R_z . In this case we can find a linear combination (over the field \mathcal{R}) of $\tilde{D}(R_z)$ and R_z in which we eliminate the Z^{-1} term. (We would be unable to cancel the Z^{-1} term if the coefficient before Z^{-1} in either of the equations vanishes identically. However for R_z we know this is clearly not the case. For $\tilde{D}(R_z)$ we find that $\tilde{Y}(q - z)/Z^{-1}(r)$ is also non-zero.) In particular we find a non-zero element $s_1Z + s_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ for some $s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{R}$. Taking a linear combination of this element and P_a in which we cancel the Z -term, we find an element $t_1A - t_2 \in \mathcal{I}$. (Once again the coefficient in front of Z for P_a is obviously non-zero, and $s_1 \neq 0$, as then we would have found an element in \mathcal{I} of only one term, which the first part of the proposition shows is impossible). Without loss of generality we can assume t_1 and t_2 are polynomials with greatest common divisor 1 (if not multiply (on the left) by the denominators of t_1 and t_2 and divide by their common factors).

Now we need the following lemma:

Lemma 2.3. *The function ${}_2\phi_1(q^j, b; c; q, z)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $j > 0$, is not a rational function (i.e. element of $\mathbb{C}(b, c, q, z)$).*

Proof. Suppose ${}_2\phi_1(q^j, b; c; q, z)$ is a rational function. Then $f(b, q, z) = {}_2\phi_1(q^j, b; q^j; q, z)$ is also a rational function. Note that $f(b, q, z) = {}_1\phi_0(b; -; q, z) = (zb; q)_\infty / (z; q)_\infty$ by the q -binomial theorem [1, (II.3)]. However $(zb; q)_\infty / (z; q)_\infty$ is clearly not a rational function of b , q and z (indeed as a function of b it has infinitely many zeros). This is a contradiction, so ${}_2\phi_1(q^j, b; c; q, z)$ can not be a rational function. \square

The fact $t_1A - t_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ implies an equation of the form

$$t_1 {}_2\phi_1(qa, b; c; q, z) = t_2 {}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, z).$$

Inserting $a = 1$ we find that on the right hand side we obtain a polynomial, while the left hand side is a polynomial times a term ${}_2\phi_1(q, b; c; q, z)$, which is not a rational function by Lemma 2.3. Therefore the equation can only hold if $t_1|_{a=1} = 0$. However then the right hand side has to vanish at $a = 1$ as well, and as ${}_2\phi_1(1, b; c; q, z) = 1$, we find $t_2|_{a=1} = 0$. This implies that $(a - 1)|t_1$ and $(a - 1)|t_2$ in contradiction to the assumption that $\gcd(t_1, t_2) = 1$. \square

The previous proposition shows that there exist no first order q -difference equations satisfied by ${}_2\phi_1$ (i.e. elements in \mathcal{I} which are a linear combination of two elements from \mathcal{D}^*). As we have seen in Proposition 2.1 the ${}_2\phi_1$ does satisfy several second order q -difference equations (elements in \mathcal{I} which are a linear combination of three elements from \mathcal{D}^*). The following proposition shows that it even satisfies such an equation for all possible triples of elements from \mathcal{D}^*

Proposition 2.4. *Let \mathcal{I}' be the left ideal of \mathcal{D} generated by $P_a, P_b, P_c, Q_a, Q_b, Q_z$ and R_z . For any three distinct $X_1, X_2, X_3 \in N$ there exist non-zero polynomials p_1, p_2 and p_3 such that $p_1X_1 + p_2X_2 + p_3X_3 \in \mathcal{I}'$. The polynomials are unique up to multiplication by elements of \mathcal{R} .*

Note that $\mathcal{I}' \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ and indeed Corollary 2.5 shows that $\mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{I}$.

Proof. First we prove that there exists for each $X \in N$ an element in \mathcal{I}' of the form $p_{x,x}X + p_{z,x}Z + p_{1,x}$, where $p_{1,x}$, $p_{z,x}$ and $p_{x,x}$ are polynomials, which are not all zero. If $X \in N \setminus \{Z, 1\}$ this implies that all polynomials $p_{x,x}$, $p_{z,x}$ and $p_{1,x}$ are non-zero by Proposition 2.2 and the fact that $\mathcal{I}' \subseteq \mathcal{I}$. We will prove this by induction on the degree of X , which is defined by $\deg(M_{k_a, k_b, k_c, k_z}) = |k_a| + |k_b| + |k_c| + |k_z|$.

The statement holds clearly for $X = 1$ and $X = Z$, for then we can take $p_{x,1} = 1$, $p_{z,1} = 0$ and $p_{1,1} = -1$, respectively $p_{x,z} = 1$, $p_{1,z} = 0$ and $p_{z,z} = -1$. Moreover the definition of \mathcal{I}' implies that the statement holds for all other X of degree 1.

Suppose $X = SY$, for some $S \in \{A^{\pm 1}, B^{\pm 1}, C^{\pm 1}, Z^{\pm 1}\}$ and that $\deg(X) > \max(1, \deg(Y))$. By the induction hypothesis we can assume there exists an element of the form $E_0 := p_{x,y}Y + p_{z,y}Z + p_{1,y} \in \mathcal{I}'$. Moreover from the definition we know that there exists an element $E_1 := p_{x,s}S + p_{z,s}Z + p_{1,s} \in \mathcal{I}'$ (if $S = Z$ we have $S - Z \in \mathcal{I}'$, in each case we can assume $p_{x,s} \neq 0$) and that $R_z = p_{x,z^{-1}}Z^{-1} + p_{z,z^{-1}}Z + p_{1,z^{-1}} \in \mathcal{I}'$. Left multiplying by S , respectively Z now shows that

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 &:= SE_0 = S(p_{x,y})SY + S(p_{z,y})SZ + S(p_{1,y})S \in \mathcal{I}', \\ E_3 &:= ZE_1 = Z(p_{x,s})SZ + Z(p_{z,s})Z^2 + Z(p_{1,s})Z \in \mathcal{I}', \\ E_4 &:= ZR_z = Z(p_{x,z^{-1}}) + Z(p_{z,z^{-1}})Z^2 + Z(p_{1,z^{-1}})Z \in \mathcal{I}'. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these equations shows

$$\begin{aligned} &Z(p_{z,z^{-1}})p_{x,s}Z(p_{x,s})E_2 - Z(p_{z,z^{-1}})p_{x,s}S(p_{z,y})E_3 - Z(p_{z,z^{-1}})S(p_{1,y})Z(p_{x,s})E_1 \\ &\quad + p_{x,s}S(p_{z,y})Z(p_{z,s})E_4 \\ &= Z(p_{z,z^{-1}})p_{x,s}S(p_{x,y})Z(p_{x,s})X \\ &\quad + (p_{x,s}S(p_{z,y})Z(p_{z,s})Z(p_{1,z^{-1}}) - Z(p_{z,z^{-1}})p_{x,s}S(p_{z,y})Z(p_{1,s}) - Z(p_{z,z^{-1}})p_{z,s}S(p_{1,y})Z(p_{x,s}))Z \\ &\quad + (p_{x,s}S(p_{z,y})Z(p_{z,s})Z(p_{x,z^{-1}}) - Z(p_{z,z^{-1}})p_{1,s}S(p_{1,y})Z(p_{x,s})) \in \mathcal{I}' \end{aligned}$$

Note that the coefficient $Z(p_{z,z^{-1}})p_{x,s}S(p_{x,y})Z(p_{x,s})$ before X is non-zero (as product of non-zero polynomials; in the above equations only the polynomials $p_{1,y}$ or $p_{1,s}$ could be zero if Y or S equals Z). Therefore this gives us an appropriate equation for X .

Now let X_1, X_2 and $X_3 \in N$ be arbitrary and distinct. Then we have elements

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &:= p_{x,x_1x_3^{-1}}X_1X_3^{-1} + p_{z,x_1x_3^{-1}}Z + p_{1,x_1x_3^{-1}} \in \mathcal{I}', \\ F_2 &:= p_{x,x_2x_3^{-1}}X_2X_3^{-1} + p_{z,x_2x_3^{-1}}Z + p_{1,x_2x_3^{-1}} \in \mathcal{I}'. \end{aligned}$$

In particular also

$$\begin{aligned} X_3(p_{z,x_2x_3^{-1}}F_1 - p_{z,x_1x_3^{-1}}F_2) &= X_3(p_{z,x_2x_3^{-1}}p_{x,x_1x_3^{-1}}X_1X_3^{-1} - p_{z,x_1x_3^{-1}}p_{x,x_2x_3^{-1}}X_2X_3^{-1} \\ &\quad + p_{z,x_2x_3^{-1}}p_{1,x_1x_3^{-1}} - p_{z,x_1x_3^{-1}}p_{1,x_2x_3^{-1}}) \\ &= X_3(p_{z,x_2x_3^{-1}}p_{x,x_1x_3^{-1}})X_1 - X_3(p_{z,x_1x_3^{-1}}p_{x,x_2x_3^{-1}})X_2 \\ &\quad + X_3(p_{z,x_2x_3^{-1}}p_{1,x_1x_3^{-1}} - p_{z,x_1x_3^{-1}}p_{1,x_2x_3^{-1}})X_3 \in \mathcal{I}'. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $X_1X_3^{-1} \neq 1$ and $X_2X_3^{-1} \neq 1$, so $p_{x,x_1x_3^{-1}}, p_{z,x_1x_3^{-1}}, p_{x,x_2x_3^{-1}}, p_{z,x_2x_3^{-1}} \neq 0$. As both $p_{z,x_2x_3^{-1}}$ and $p_{x,x_1x_3^{-1}}$ are non-zero this implies that the coefficient before X_1 in this equation is non-zero. Thus by Proposition 2.2 all coefficients in this equation are non-zero and this is the desired element of \mathcal{I}' .

Uniqueness of the coefficients p_j of an element $D_1 = p_1X_1 + p_2X_2 + p_3X_3 \in \mathcal{I}'$ follows from the fact that if there also exists an element $D_2 = r_1X_1 + r_2X_2 + r_3X_3 \in \mathcal{I}'$ the element $D_1 - \frac{p_1}{r_1}D_2$ is an element of \mathcal{I}' , of the form $s_2X_2 + s_3X_3$ (with $s_j = p_j - p_1r_j/r_1$), and thus vanishes by Proposition 2.2. \square

Corollary 2.5. *The ideal \mathcal{I} is generated by $P_a, P_b, P_c, Q_a, Q_b, Q_z$ and R_z (i.e. $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}'$).*

Proof. We observe that $\mathcal{I}' \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ so all we have to show is that \mathcal{I} does not contain any more elements. Define the length of an element $\sum_j r_j P_j \in \mathcal{D}$, where $r_j \in \mathcal{R}$ and with distinct $P_j \in N$ to be the number of different terms in the sum. We will prove by induction on the length of the elements in \mathcal{D} that there are no elements of length n in \mathcal{I} which are not also in \mathcal{I}' . Indeed Proposition 2.2 shows that \mathcal{I} does not contain elements of length smaller or equal than 2, so there are definitely no elements of length smaller than or equal to 2 which are not also part of \mathcal{I}' .

Suppose all elements of length smaller than n in \mathcal{I} are also elements of \mathcal{I}' . Moreover suppose $D = \sum_{j=1}^n r_j P_j \in \mathcal{I}$ is an element of length n and $D \notin \mathcal{I}'$. We know there exist non-zero polynomials p_1, p_2 and p_3 such that $D' = p_1P_1 + p_2P_2 + p_3P_3 \in \mathcal{I}'$. Consider the linear combination $D'' = p_1D - r_1D'$. We see that $D'' \in \mathcal{I}$ and $D'' \notin \mathcal{I}'$, while the length of D'' is less than n (as

it is a linear combination of P_2, P_3, \dots, P_n). This is a contradiction, so there exist no elements of length n in \mathcal{I} which are not also an element of \mathcal{I}' .

Thus we conclude that the statement of the corollary holds. \square

Note that the proof of Proposition 2.4 also gives an explicit algorithm to calculate all three term q -difference equations satisfied by ${}_2\phi_1$. However, the resulting calculations become tedious even for small degrees of $X_1, X_2, X_3 \in N$.

Now we determine some properties of the explicit coefficients p_j occurring in these q -difference equations.

Proposition 2.6. *Suppose we have an element $p_1X_1 + p_2X_2 + p_3X_3 \in \mathcal{I}$, where p_1, p_2, p_3 are polynomials with no common divisors and $X_1, X_2, X_3 \in N$. Let $X_j = M_{k_{a,j}, k_{b,j}, k_{c,j}, k_{z,j}}$ for some $k_{a,j}, k_{b,j}, k_{c,j}, k_{z,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j = 1, 2, 3$. Moreover assume that $k_{a,1} > k_{a,2} > k_{a,3}$. Then $a - q^{-j} \mid p_1$ for $k_{a,2} \leq j < k_{a,1}$, and $a - q^{-j} \nmid p_1$ for $k_{a,3} \leq j < k_{a,2}$. Moreover $a - q^{-j} \nmid p_2$ for $k_{a,3} \leq j < k_{a,1}$ and $a - q^{-j} \nmid p_3$ for $k_{a,2} \leq j < k_{a,1}$.*

A similar result holds for b and a interchanged.

Proof. Consider the equation $(p_1X_1 + p_2X_2 + p_3X_3){}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, z) = 0$. If we insert $a = q^{-j}$ for some $k_{a,2} \leq j < k_{a,1}$ then the series $X_2 {}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, z) (= {}_2\phi_1(aq^{k_{a,2}}, bq^{k_{b,2}}; cq^{k_{c,2}}; q, zq^{k_{z,2}}))$ and $X_3 {}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, z)$ terminate (i.e. they are polynomial), while $X_1 {}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, z)$ does not. Indeed by Lemma 2.3 $X_1 {}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, z)|_{a=q^{-j}}$ is not even a rational function of b, c, q and z . However $p_1X_1 {}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, z)|_{a=q^{-j}}$ clearly is a rational function. This can only hold if $p_1|_{a=q^{-j}} = 0$, so if $a - q^{-j} \mid p_1$.

Now suppose $(a - q^{-j})$ is also a factor of p_2 or p_3 (it cannot be both as p_1, p_2 and p_3 have no common divisors). In this case we find that one non-zero polynomial equals zero, which is impossible. Thus $(a - q^{-j})$ does not divide p_2 or p_3 .

If on the other hand we insert $a = q^{-j}$ for some $k_{a,3} \leq j < k_{a,2}$ then $X_3 {}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, z)$ terminates, while the other two series $X_1 {}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, z)$ and $X_2 {}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, z)$ don't. If the polynomials p_1 or p_2 now vanish for this value of a , we again find that the other series should either be a rational function, which it is not, or the polynomial in front of that term (respectively p_2 or p_1) should also vanish for this value of a . However if both p_1 and p_2 vanish for this value of a , then so should p_3 , which is impossible as they have no common divisors. Thus neither p_1 nor p_2 can be divisible by $a - q^{-j}$ for these values of j . \square

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

This section contains the proof of Theorem 1.8. The main ingredients of the proof are Corollary 1.11 and Proposition 2.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. We begin by proving the following important lemma

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $pL \in W$ (recall this implies $p \in H$ and $L \in G$). Call $Y = L^{-1}ZL$ (so $Y \in N$) and let $fY + g + hY^{-1} \in \mathcal{I}$ (note there exists such an element due to Proposition 2.4). Then the following equality between rational functions*

$$(6) \quad \frac{(az + bz - c - q)(aqz + bqz - c - q)}{(c - abz)q(1 - z)} = L \left(\frac{gY(g)}{fY(h)} \right)$$

holds.

Proof. By Corollary 1.11 we find that $pL(fY + g + hY^{-1}) \in \mathcal{I}$. Explicitly we have

$$\begin{aligned} pL(fY + g + hY^{-1}) &= \frac{p}{LYL^{-1}(p)}L(f)LYL^{-1} + L(g) + \frac{p}{LY^{-1}L^{-1}(p)}L(h)LY^{-1}L^{-1} \\ &= \frac{p}{Z(p)}L(f)Z + L(g) + \frac{p}{Z^{-1}(p)}L(h)Z^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

as $LYL^{-1} = L(L^{-1}ZL)L^{-1} = Z$. By Proposition 2.4 and Corollary 2.5 there exists, up to left-multiplication by rational functions, just one element in \mathcal{I} of the form $\cdot Z + \cdot \cdot Z^{-1}$, in particular

the element R_z from Proposition 2.1. Thus $pL(fY + g + hY^{-1})$ must be a multiple of R_z . We obtain the equations

$$\frac{pL(f)}{Z(p)(c - abz)} = \frac{L(g)}{(az + bz - c - q)} = \frac{pL(h)}{Z^{-1}(p)(q - z)}.$$

The first equation implies

$$(7) \quad \frac{Z(p)}{p} = \frac{(az + bz - c - q)L(f)}{(c - abz)L(g)},$$

while the second equation gives

$$\frac{p}{Z^{-1}(p)} = \frac{(q - z)L(g)}{(az + bz - c - q)L(h)}.$$

After applying Z on both sides of the latter equation this becomes

$$(8) \quad \frac{Z(p)}{p} = \frac{q(1 - z)ZL(g)}{(aqz + bqz - c - q)ZL(h)}.$$

Combining (7) and (8) gives

$$\frac{(az + bz - c - q)L(f)}{(c - abz)L(g)} = \frac{q(1 - z)ZL(g)}{(aqz + bqz - c - q)ZL(h)},$$

which can be reduced to (6) by using $ZL = LY$. \square

The previous lemma shows that the coefficients f , g and h in the difference equation $fY + g + hY^{-1}$ are not very difficult. Indeed, applying a operator such as L does not increase the number of terms of some polynomial, and retains any factorization. We can use this to obtain restrictions on the possible $pL \in W$. In particular we reduce the number of possible elements $L^{-1}ZL$.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose $pL \in W$, and $L^{-1}ZL := Y = M_{k_a, k_b, k_c, k_z}$, then $|k_a|, |k_b| \leq 1$.*

Proof. Suppose $k_a > 1$. Let $fY + g + hY^{-1} \in \mathcal{I}$ and assume f , g and h are non-zero polynomials with $\gcd(f, g, h) = 1$.

Using Proposition 2.6 we find that $a - q^{-j} \mid f$ for $0 \leq j < k_a$, and $a - q^{-j} \nmid f$ for $-k_a \leq j < 0$. Moreover $a - q^{-j} \nmid g$ for $-k_a \leq j < k_a$. This implies in particular that $a - 1$ and $a - q^{-1}$ both divide f , while they do not divide g . Moreover note that $Y(a - q^{-j}) = aq^{k_a} - q^{-j} = q^{k_a}(a - q^{-j-k_a})$. In particular we also find that $a - 1$ and $a - q^{-1}$ also do not divide $Y(g)$, as $a - q^{k_a}$ and $a - q^{k_a-1}$ do not divide g . This implies that the expression $gY(g)/fY(h)$ contains the factors $(a - 1)(a - q^{-1})$ in the denominator when expressed as x/y with $\gcd(x, y) = 1$. After applying L to it, we find that the denominator of $L(gY(g)/fY(h))$ should still contain a factor of the form $(x - 1)(x - q^{-1})$, for some monomial $x \in \mathbb{C}[a^{\pm 1}, b^{\pm 1}, c^{\pm 1}, q^{\pm 1}, z^{\pm 1}]^*$. However the left hand side of (6) clearly does not contain such a factor in the denominator, which gives a contradiction.

The proof for $|k_b| \leq 1$ is completely similar. \square

We can now use the known symmetries to further decrease the possible values of $L^{-1}ZL$. Indeed we have

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose $W = pL \in W$, and $L^{-1}ZL := Y = M_{k_a, k_b, k_c, k_z}$, then k_a, k_b, k_c and k_z are one of the following combinations, or one of them with $k_a \rightarrow -k_a$ or with $k_a \leftrightarrow k_b$:*

k_a	k_b	k_c	k_z	k_a	k_b	k_c	k_z	k_a	k_b	k_c	k_z	k_a	k_b	k_c	k_z	k_a	k_b	k_c	k_z
1	1	2	1	1	1	1	-1	1	0	1	-1	1	-1	0	0	0	0	1	1
1	1	2	0	1	1	0	-1	1	0	0	0	1	-1	0	-1	0	0	0	1
1	1	2	-1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	-1	1	-1	0	-1				
1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	-1	0	1	1	-1	-1	0				

Proof. We know that Heine's transformation $t_h \in W$ and the $a \leftrightarrow b$ interchanging symmetry $t_{ab} \in W$. Hence we find that $t_h W, t_{ab} t_h W, \dots, T_h t_{ab} t_h t_{ab} t_h W \in W$. For all of these operators, we can now apply Proposition 3.2, to obtain inequalities for k_a, k_b, k_c and k_z given by

$$|k_a| \leq 1, \quad |k_b| \leq 1, \quad |k_z| \leq 1, \quad |k_b - k_c| \leq 1, \quad |k_a - k_c| \leq 1, \quad |k_a + k_b - k_c + k_z| \leq 1.$$

The list now gives all solutions to these inequalities, where we omitted the cases $k_a < 0$ and $k_a < k_b$ for symmetry reasons. Note that we omitted $(0, 0, 0, 0)$ as $L^{-1}ZL \neq 1$. \square

Since this is a finite set we can exactly calculate the expressions $gY(g)/fY(h)$ for all corresponding Y , and see which are such that the relation (6) can hold (using again that the factorization of such terms is not effected by applying the operator L). This leads to the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose $pL \in W$ and let $Y = LZL^{-1}$, then $Y = Z$ or $Y = AC$ or $Y = BC$.*

Proof. We calculate $gY(g)/fY(h)$ for $Y = A^{k_a} B^{k_b} C^{k_c} Z^{k_z}$ for all possibilities of the last proposition. Then we throw out all cases in which the denominator (after writing it as x/y for some polynomials x and y with $\gcd(x, y) = 1$) can not be written as the product of two terms which are both the sum of two monomials. The calculations are extremely tedious and were therefore performed by computer. \square

We need to further disallow a few possible transformations. Indeed so far all the conditions we set were derived from the fact that if $t \in W$, then $t({}_2\phi_1)$ satisfies the same q -difference equations as ${}_2\phi_1$. However as these are second order q -difference equations, there exists a second independent solution. This solution can also be expressed in terms of ${}_2\phi_1$, so we must give another argument why it is independent of ${}_2\phi_1$.

Lemma 3.5. *There exist no element $pL \in W$, where*

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $p \in H$ is arbitrary.

Proof. Suppose such an equation does exist. As $P_a \in \mathcal{I}$ we find by Corollary 1.11 that $pL(P_a) \in \mathcal{I}$. An explicit calculation now gives (observe that $L^2 = 1$, so $L = L^{-1}$)

$$pL(P_a) = pL((1-a)A)L^{-1}p^{-1} - 1 + pL(aZ)L^{-1}p^{-1} = \frac{p}{A(p)}(1-aq/c)A - 1 + \frac{p}{Z(p)}\frac{aq}{c}Z$$

This element should be a multiple of P_a (due to Proposition 2.4), and as the constant term is identical we find

$$\frac{p}{A(p)} = \frac{1-a}{1-aq/c}, \quad \frac{p}{Z(p)} = \frac{c}{q}.$$

Similarly using P_b instead of P_a we find $p/B(p) = (1-b)/(1-bq/c)$, and using Q_c we find

$$pL(Q_c) = -q + pL(cZ)L^{-1}p^{-1} + pL(q-c)C^{-1}L^{-1}p^{-1} = -q + \frac{p}{Z(p)}\frac{q^2}{c}Z + \frac{p}{ABC(p)}\left(q - \frac{q^2}{c}\right)ABC$$

Now from

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2\phi_1(aq, bq; cq; q, z) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(aq, bq; q)_k}{(q, cq; q)_k} z^k \\ &= \frac{(1-c)}{z(1-a)(1-b)} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(a, b; q)_{k+1}}{(q, c; q)_{k+1}} (1-q^{k+1})z^{k+1} \\ &= \frac{(1-c)}{z(1-a)(1-b)} ({}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, z) - {}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, qz)), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds as the term within the sum vanishes for $k = -1$, we find that

$$ABC + \frac{1-c}{z(1-a)(1-b)}(Z-1) \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Comparing this with (9) we find the equality

$$\frac{p}{ABC(p)} = \frac{qz(1-b)(1-a)}{(q-q^2/c)(1-c)}$$

Now we can find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p}{C(p)} &= \frac{p}{ABC(p)} BC \left(\frac{A(p)}{p} \right) C \left(\frac{B(p)}{p} \right) = \frac{z(1-b)(1-a)}{(1-q/c)(1-c)} \frac{1-a/c}{1-a} \frac{1-b/c}{1-b} \\ &= \frac{z(1-a/c)(1-b/c)}{(1-q/c)(1-c)} = \frac{z(c-a)(c-b)}{c(c-q)(1-c)}. \end{aligned}$$

As the function

$$g(a, b; c; q, z) = \frac{(c/a, c/b, q^2/c; q)_\infty}{(c, q/a, q/b; q)_\infty} \frac{\theta(ab, z; q)}{\theta(c/ab, c/z; q)},$$

where $\theta(x; q) = (x, q/x; q)_\infty$, satisfies the equations $Ag/g = \frac{(c-aq)}{c(1-a)}$, $Bg/g = \frac{(c-bq)}{c(1-b)}$, $Cg/g = \frac{c(c-q)(1-c)}{z(c-a)(c-b)}$ and $Zg/g = q/c$ we find that $p = gh$ for some elliptic function h (i.e. $Ah = h$, $Bh = h$, $Ch = h$, and $Zh = h$). Thus a desired equation is of the form

$$(10) \quad {}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, z) = \frac{(c/a, c/b, q^2/c; q)_\infty}{(c, q/a, q/b; q)_\infty} \frac{\theta(ab, z; q)}{\theta(c/ab, c/z; q)} h(a, b, c, q, z) {}_2\phi_1(aq/c, bq/c; q^2/c; q, z).$$

Now multiplying this equation by $(1 - q/a)$ and subsequently inserting $a = q$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (1 - q/q) {}_2\phi_1(q, b; c; q, z) \\ &= \frac{(c/q, c/b, q^2/c; q)_\infty}{(c, q, q/b; q)_\infty} \frac{\theta(bq, z; q)}{\theta(c/bq, c/z; q)} h(q, b, c, q, z) {}_2\phi_1(q^2/c, bq/c; q^2/c; q, z) \end{aligned}$$

therefore $h(q, b, c, q, z) = 0$ (the other terms on the right hand side do not vanish). On the other hand inserting $a = 1$ in (10) we find

$$1 = {}_2\phi_1(1, b; c; q, z) = \frac{(c, c/b, q^2/c; q)_\infty}{(c, q, q/b; q)_\infty} \frac{\theta(b, z; q)}{\theta(c/b, c/z; q)} h(1, b, c, q, z) {}_2\phi_1(q/c, bq/c; q^2/c; q, z),$$

thus $h(1, b, c, q, z) \neq 0$. However since h is elliptic in a we find $0 = h(q, b, c, q, z) = h(1, b, c, q, z) \neq 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore no equation of the desired form exists. \square

Note that the right hand side of (10) indeed satisfies the same q -difference equations as ${}_2\phi_1$ itself. (This can be shown by proving it is in the kernel of P_a, P_b , etc.)

Now we only have to check the possible $L \in G$ which can occur for $Y = Z$, $Y = AC$ and $Y = BC$. If $Y = L^{-1}ZL = BC$, we can consider $L' = LL_h$ (where $L_h \in G$ occurs in Heine's transformation, from (2)) and find that $Y' = L'^{-1}ZL' = L_h^{-1}YL_h = L_h^{-1}BCL_h = Z$. So without loss of generality we may assume $Y \neq BC$, and similarly $Y \neq AC$. So let us assume $Y = Z$.

Note that for the case $Y = Z$ we have $gY(g)/fY(h)$ equal to the left hand side of (6), so we must find $L \in G$ such that

$$(11) \quad \frac{(az + bz - c - q)(aqz + bqz - c - q)}{(c - abz)q(1 - z)} = L \left(\frac{(az + bz - c - q)(aqz + bqz - c - q)}{(c - abz)q(1 - z)} \right).$$

This implies that we have to look for operators L which preserve the left hand side of the above equation. Moreover we know that $LZL^{-1} = Z$, so we have $ZL(z) = LZ(z) = L(qz) = qL(z)$. Hence we find $L(z) = Kz$ for some monomial $K \in \mathbb{Z}[a^{\pm 1}, b^{\pm 1}, c^{\pm 1}, q^{\pm 1}]^*$. Similarly we can prove that $L(a), L(b), L(c)$ are all monomials in $\mathbb{Z}[a^{\pm 1}, b^{\pm 1}, c^{\pm 1}, q^{\pm 1}]^*$.

Now rewrite the left hand side of (11) as

$$\frac{\left(\frac{a+b}{c+q}z - 1\right)\left(\frac{a+b}{c+q}qz - 1\right)}{\left(\frac{ab}{c}z - 1\right)(z - 1)} \cdot \frac{(q+c)^2}{cq},$$

i.e. as the unique expression of the form $K(A_1z-1)(A_2z-1)/(B_1z-1)(B_2z-1)$, with coefficients $K, A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 \in \mathbb{C}(a, b, c, q)$. As L preserves the constant 1, we find that L has to either interchange the two factors in the numerator and denominator, or leave them invariant. For the numerator we have only one choice as the term which is q times the other term, remains such after application of L . So we have $L((a+b)z/(c+q)) = (a+b)z/(c+q)$. Moreover the remaining constant has to be preserved, so $L((q+c)^2/qc) = (q+c)^2/qc$. In the denominator we find that either $L(z) = z$ (and thus $L(abz/c) = abz/c$) or $L(z) = abz/c$ (and $L(abz/c) = z$). If $L(z) = abz/c$ we can consider $L' = (L_{ab}L_h)^3L$ instead of L , which then satisfies $L'(z) = z$ (and $L'(abz/c) = abz/c$). Thus without loss of generality we assume $L(z) = z$. From the equation $L((q+c)^2/qc) = (q+c)^2/qc$, we find $L(c)/q+q/L(c) = c/q+q/c$, which means that either $L(c) = c$ or $L(c) = q^2/c$.

In the case $L(c) = q^2/c$ we find

$$L(a+b) = L\left(\frac{(a+b)z}{(c+q)}\right)L\left(\frac{c+q}{z}\right) = \frac{(a+b)(q^2/c+q)}{c+q} = \frac{(a+b)q}{c}$$

and $L(ab) = L(abz/c)L(c/z) = abq^2/c^2$. Thus we find the polynomial identity $(x-L(a))(x-L(b)) = x^2 - L(a+b)x + L(ab) = (x-aq/c)(x-bq/c)$. From this we conclude that either $L(a) = aq/c$ and $L(b) = bq/c$ or vice versa. However Lemma 3.5 shows that there exist no elements in W with this L . Thus we can assume from now on that $L(c) = c$.

Now as $L(z) = z$ we find that $L(a+b) = L((a+b)z/(c+q))L((c+q)/z) = (a+b)$, and $L(ab) = L(abz/c)L(c/z) = ab$. Thus we find that $(x-L(a))(x-L(b)) = (x-a)(x-b)$. Therefore either $L(a) = a$ and $L(b) = b$ or $L(a) = b$ and $L(b) = a$. In the first case we end up with the identity, and in the second case with the $a \leftrightarrow b$ shifting symmetry t_{ab} . Note that each L can lead to at most one transformation, as two different transformations corresponding to the same L would only differ in a q -hypergeometric multiplicative term, which then clearly has to equal 1.

Thus there are no other elements in W than those in the group generated by t_h and t_{ab} . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Gasper, M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 96, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004).
- [2] M. Petkovšek, H.S. Wilf, D. Zeilberger, *A = B*, A.K. Peters Ltd, Wellesley, MA (1996).
- [3] L.J. Rogers, *On a three-fold symmetry in the elements of Heine's series*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **24** (1893), 171-179.
- [4] Vidūnas, *Contiguous relations of hypergeometric series* in Proceedings of the Sixth International Symposium on Orthogonal Polynomials, Special Functions and their Applications (Rome, 2001), J. Comput. Appl. Math. **153** (2003), no. 1-2, 507-519.