## Arizona Statewide <br> General Election Topline Report

Conducted: October 1-3, 2018
Number of Respondents: 550
MoE: 4.18\%
Q. Do you have a favorable or unfavorable view of Donald Trump?

| Strongly Favorable | 189 | $34.43 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Somewhat Favorable | 42 | $7.70 \%$ |
| Somewhat Unfavorable | 32 | $5.82 \%$ |
| Strongly Unfavorable | 242 | $43.97 \%$ |
| Neutral/No Opinion | 29 | $5.35 \%$ |
| Undecided | 12 | $2.22 \%$ |
| Refused | 3 | $0.52 \%$ |
| Total | 550 | $100.00 \%$ |

The following set of questions are referencing your likelihood to vote for candidates in the upcoming 2018 General Election.
Q. If the election for Arizona Governor were held today, who would you vote for?

| Garcia | 175 | $31.73 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Ducey | 281 | $51.14 \%$ |
| Torres | 13 | $2.29 \%$ |
| Undecided Leans Garcia | 10 | $1.83 \%$ |
| Undecided Leans Ducey | 5 | $0.99 \%$ |
| Undecided No Leans | 52 | $9.46 \%$ |
| Other | 10 | $1.74 \%$ |
| Refused | 4 | $0.82 \%$ |
| Total | 550 | $100.00 \%$ |

data expertise political intelligence.

## Combined:

| Total Garcia | 185 | $33.56 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total Ducey | 287 | $52.13 \%$ |
| Total Torres | 13 | $2.29 \%$ |
| Undecided | 52 | $9.46 \%$ |
| Other | 10 | $1.74 \%$ |
| Refused | 4 | $0.82 \%$ |
| Total | 550 | $100.00 \%$ |

Q. If the election for Secretary of State were held today, who would you vote for?

| Gaynor | 216 | $39.33 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Hobbs | 166 | $30.23 \%$ |
| Undecided Leans Gaynor | 10 | $1.84 \%$ |
| Undecided Leans Hobbs | 5 | $0.96 \%$ |
| Undecided No Leans | 134 | $24.34 \%$ |
| Other | 16 | $2.95 \%$ |
| Refused | 2 | $0.36 \%$ |
| Total | 550 | $100.00 \%$ |

## Combined:

| Total Gaynor | 226 | $41.17 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total Hobbs | 172 | $31.19 \%$ |
| Undecided | 134 | $24.34 \%$ |
| Other | 16 | $2.95 \%$ |
| Refused | 2 | $0.36 \%$ |
| Total | 550 | $100.00 \%$ |

## DATA ORBITAL

data expertise political intelligence.

## Demographics

## Type of Phone

| Cellphone | 165 | $30.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Landline | 385 | $70.00 \%$ |
| Total | 550 | $100.00 \%$ |

## Gender

| Male | 259 | $47.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 291 | $53.00 \%$ |
| Total | 550 | $100.00 \%$ |


| Age |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 18 to 34 | 74 | $13.50 \%$ |
| 35 to 44 | 61 | $11.00 \%$ |
| 45 to 54 | 83 | $15.00 \%$ |
| 55 to 64 | 113 | $20.50 \%$ |
| 65 and over | 220 | $40.00 \%$ |
| Total | 550 | $100.00 \%$ |


| Personal ID |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Strong Conservative | 146 | $26.60 \%$ |
| Somewhat Conservative | 60 | $10.95 \%$ |
| Moderate | 212 | $38.59 \%$ |
| Somewhat Progressive | 53 | $9.62 \%$ |
| Strong Progressive | 56 | $10.26 \%$ |
| Undecided | 18 | $3.27 \%$ |
| Refused | 4 | $0.73 \%$ |
| Total | 550 | $100.00 \%$ |

## Party Rollup

| Republican | 225 | $41.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Democrat | 176 | $32.00 \%$ |
| Independent | 137 | $25.00 \%$ |

## D DATA ORBITAL
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| Other/Refused | 11 | $2.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 550 | $100.00 \%$ |


| Race Rollup |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| White |  |  |
| Hispanic | 723 | $77.00 \%$ |
| African American | 22 | $13.00 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 11 | $2.00 \%$ |
| Other | 22 | $4.00 \%$ |
| Total | 550 | $100.00 \%$ |

## Region

| Maricopa | 330 | $60.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Pima | 88 | $16.00 \%$ |
| North | 77 | $14.00 \%$ |
| South | 55 | $10.00 \%$ |
| Total | 550 | $100.00 \%$ |

## General Vote History

| 0 | 27 | $4.83 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 50 | $9.17 \%$ |
| 2 | 85 | $15.39 \%$ |
| 3 | 109 | $19.82 \%$ |
| 4 | 279 | $50.79 \%$ |
| Total | 550 | $100.00 \%$ |
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## Methodology and Transparency

## Target Population

## Sampling Frame \& Methodology

Likely, general election voters in Arizona.

Frame:
The sample frame includes registered voters with either a landline telephone or mobile phone. Registered voters without access to either a landline telephone or a mobile phone are excluded from the frame.

Methodology:
Live caller survey; 70/30 landline telephone/mobile phone split.

Contacted voters were selected randomly from a list of registered voters in Arizona purchased by Data Orbital Consulting, LLC from i360. Only voters determined likely to vote in the general election were selected to participate in the survey, based on past general election participation. Of the voters surveyed, $80 \%$ have voted in between two and four of the last four general elections, $10 \%$ have voted in one of the last four general elections, and 10\% have voted in none of the last four general elections or are new voters who have registered to vote since $11 / 9 / 16$. Voters without a valid landline telephone number were selected for the mobile sample.

Selected voters were contacted from October 1-3, 2018 using live agents in a live caller survey presented in English.

## Weighting

The sample was weighted for gender, age, race and party affiliation and geographic region. Weighting benchmarks were determined using the past four general elections (2016, 2014, 2012 and 2010) with greater weight placed on the last two midterm elections.

## Total Number of Respondents

Margin of Error

550
$\pm 4.18 \%$ ( $95 \%$ confidence interval)

