

The First Sin -- Update on State Non-Assumpsit Actions



By Anna Von Reitz

Most people don't read the Bible. They need to, if they want to know what is going on in the world. It is indeed a strange concept that we need to read a book that is derived from ancient history to keep current with today, but as with much else concerning this particular book---- it's true.

Those who read the Bible know that Adam was tasked to be a caretaker of the Earth and granted dominion over the Earth, and he was also tasked to name the animals and trees and other things that he interacted with on Earth.

However, he was never given the task of naming himself or other men.

That task is reserved to our Father. Much later in the Bible, in fact, we are promised that He will give us our true names.

As a result, whatever names we are given are not true names and are not part of Adam's job to give. The practice of applying names to men is the First Sin.

We may well ask, how are we supposed to talk about anything pertaining to men or make reference to anyone without giving them a name?

For many generations we have used descriptions that appear to be names to a greater or lesser extent, and so names typically have additional meanings which create descriptions that are included on a sub rosa basis.

Names like "Anne of Green Gables" and "Geoffry of Malmsbury" are apparent descriptions, but a name like "Anna" which means "Mother" and "Grace" also holds descriptive meaning. This is all because we are not supposed to name each other, but, in practice, we do.

And that is the First Sin.

That it is a sin becomes very clear when you examine the process that has led to our current distress. When we create "persons" and name them, men become identified as "things" and as things they lose their sanctity and dominion, and take on the inert and alien characteristics of say, rocks.

A man is a man, but a person is a thing---- and all the profound differences that exist between men and things apply. It is a crime to murder a man, but there is no law against murdering a thing.

When you deliberately apply the names of living men to PERSONS as a means of deceiving them and harming them without being held accountable, a crime of "personage" occurs.

Personage is the act of reducing a man to a thing via a manipulation of his given name or by changing the agreed upon usage of his name to facilitate such a change.

For example, personage occurs when the function of your Trade Name in the form "John Mark Doe" (which you use for international trade) is arbitrarily redefined as the name of a Foreign Situs Trust operating in international commerce.

This is the kind of personage the FDR committed against our grandparents. It arbitrarily removed their names from the jurisdiction of the land and exposed them to piracy and the loss of their material rights and interests including their constitutional guarantees--- all without telling them.

This change was made by the Territorial United States and could actually only apply to their Citizens, however, since nobody in the actual United States was fully informed, a process of "assumpsit" kicked in. People just continued to use their own familiar Trade Name as they always had, and in the process they became misidentified government employees operating Foreign Situs Trusts belonging to the United States of America, Inc.

Another form of personage occurs when the style of a name is changed to conform with such a change in function.

Having redefined the use and meaning of our Trade Names on the land to serve as the names of Foreign Situs Trusts on the sea, the perpetrators next created so-called Municipal franchises and messed with our names again to create Cestui Que Vie Trusts named after us: JOHN MARK DOE.

Most recently, the Municipal United States has floated a whole new scam and arbitrarily defined names in the form "JOHN M. DOE" to be the names of US Public Transmitting Utilities.

Well, that may be what Mr. Obama thinks they are.

I say it's just more fraud and more personage by our public servants deliberately being used to defraud and foist off foreign debt on us via a process of unwitting assumption.

The State of State organizations in Alaska, Maine, Washington, Michigan, Illinois, Texas, and Utah have received Notice of Non-Assumpsit published in the local newspapers.

These six actual States and their people have told Washington, DC and the world at large that there is a fraud scheme in play and we don't agree with it and we don't assume any debts related to all the names in the form "JOHN M. DOE".

What about the rest of you people and states?

Are you all going to stand around like dumb posts and let the Territorial United States claim that you are one of their "Citizens" and subject to their "law" as if you were born in Puerto Rico? Are you going to assume their debts, knowing that they are already bankrupt?

Get those Notices of Non-Assumpsit published so the Territorial United States can't come back and say, "Hey, these people and their states assumed this debt, so it's theirs to pay."

This is your time to object and put it on the record.

Now, it is possible that what has been done by these states that have published their objections already could be brokered into a claim that all our states are owed equal footing---- but do you really want to leave your state on the list of states that they can say "acquiesced" by being silent?

---With a \$20 trillion debt in the air and looking for a place to land?

See this article and over 500 others on Anna's website here:www.annavonreitz.com
To support this work look for the PayPal button on this website.