### *Liberals 40 Conservatives 28, NDP 19, Green 7, People's 1 in latest Nanos federal tracking*

Nanos Weekly Tracking, ending November 2, 2018 (released November 6, 2018 - 6 am Eastern)





Ideas powered by world-class data

## At a glance

Play with the data at the interactive Nanos portal at <u>http://www.nanos.co/dataportal/</u> > ballot tracking > issue tracking > economic tracking > cut the data by region and demographic and see the trend lines

**Ballot** – The latest Nanos federal ballot tracking has the Liberals at 39.6 per cent support, followed by the Conservatives at 28.1 per cent, the NDP at 19.3 percent, the BQ at 1.9 per cent, the Greens at 6.9 per cent and the newly formed People's Party of Canada (PPC) at 1.2 per cent.

Accessible Voters – Asked whether they would consider voting for each of the federal parties, 52.6 per cent of Canadians say they would consider voting Liberal while 43.8 per cent would consider voting Conservative. Roughly four in ten (41.6%) would consider voting NDP, 31.3 per cent would consider voting Green, 10.9 per cent would consider voting for the People's Party and 21.7 per cent would consider voting for the BQ.

**Preferred Prime Minister** – Nanos tracking has Trudeau as the preferred choice as PM at 41.2 per cent of Canadians followed by Scheer (20.3%), Singh (8.2%), May (6.3%) and Bernier (2.4%). Twenty per cent of Canadians were unsure whom they preferred.

Qualities of a Good Political Leader – Six in ten Canadians (59.5%) believe Trudeau has the qualities of a good political leader while 41.1 per cent believe Scheer has the qualities of a good political leader. One in three (34.7%) say Jagmeet Singh has the qualities of a good political leader, while 35.4 per cent believe the same about May. One in five (19.7%) believe Bernier has the qualities of a good political leader and one in six (16.2) said Beaulieu has the qualities of a good political leader (QC only).

Nanos Party Power Index – The Nanos Index which is a composite of a series of measures including ballot and leadership impressions has the Liberals with 58.6 points, the Conservatives 51.2 points, the NDP 43.8 points, the Greens 36.1 points, the People's Party 27.4 points and the BQ 29.4 points (QC only).

Visit the live <u>Nanos data portal</u> where you can dynamically chart ballot, preferred PM and Nanos Index numbers by region, gender and age. The methodology for the weekly tracking is posted <u>here</u>. PDFs of the polling reports are on the Nanos website.

Contact: Nik Nanos, FMRIA

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 nik@nanos.co Twitter: @niknanos (n) NANOS

# **Party Power Index**

Ballot

A national dual-frame (land+cell) random telephone survey is conducted weekly by Nanos Research using live agents.

The weekly tracking figures are based on a four-week rolling sample comprised of 1,000 interviews. To update the tracking a new week of 250 interviews is added and the oldest week dropped. The margin of error for a survey of 1,000 respondents is  $\pm 3.1$  percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

#### Contact: Nik Nanos

(613) 234-4666 x 237 nnanos@nanosresearch.com Twitter: @niknanos

Category order based on number of seats in the House of Commons



### **Nanos Tracking Data Summary**

	This week La	ast week	Oct 18 (Election Call)	4 Weeks Ago	3 Months Ago	1 Year Ago	12 Month High	12 Month Low
Liberal	39.6%	39.4%	39.1%	37.3%	36.9%	36.6%	42.8%	33.0%
Conservative	28.1%	28.2%	30.5%	31.1%	36.6%	29.6%	36.6%	28.1%
NDP	19.3%	19.3%	19.7%	16.2%	17.8%	19.0%	22.0%	14.9%
Bloc	1.9%	2.8%	5.5%	3.8%	2.3%	5.9%	5.9%	1.8%
Green	6.9%	6.5%	4.6%	6.7%	5.2%	6.4%	8.8%	4.2%
People's	1.2%	1.3%					1.4%	1.0%

(n) NANOS

			Oct 18	4 Weeks	3 Months	1 Year	12 Month	12 Month
Preferred Prime Minister	This week	Last week	2015	Ago	Ago	Ago	High	Low
Trudeau	41.2%	40.8%	35.5%	40.6%	38.7%	43.8%	48.3%	34.3%
Scheer	20.3%	21.3%	29.1%	24.1%	27.7%	21.0%	27.7%	20.3%
Singh	8.2%	8.0%	18.9%	7.2%	6.9%	8.5%	10.0%	6.3%
Beaulieu	1.2%	1.6%	1.8%	0.9%	1.5%	1.9%	2.6%	0.1%
Мау	6.3%	5.5%	5.2%	5.6%	5.1%	5.4%	7.7%	3.9%
Bernier	2.4%	2.7%					3.1%	2.5%
Unsure	20.4%	20.0%	9.6%	19.0%	20.0%	19.3%	23.9%	15.4%

Canada Party Power Index	This week	Last week	Oct 18 2015	4 Weeks Ago	3 Months Ago	1 Year Ago	12 Month High	12 Month Low	
Liberal	58.6	58.3	58.1	57.5	55.9	58.5	63.0	53.7	
Conservative	51.2	50.9	49.3	51.2	53.9	48.6	53.9	48.6	
NDP	43.8	43.5	49.8	41.8	42.7	44.2	46.8	41.0	
Bloc	29.4	32.2	31.5	28.1	24.6	29.4	34.6	23.9	
Green	36.1	35.5	30.4	34.1	34.8	34.3	36.5	33.3	
People's	27.4	27.6					27.8	27.4	

# Leadership Summary () NANOS

A national dual-frame (land+cell) random telephone survey is conducted weekly by Nanos Research using live agents.

The weekly tracking figures are based on a four-week rolling sample comprised of 1,000 interviews. To update the tracking a new week of 250 interviews is added and the oldest week dropped. The margin of error for a survey of 1,000 respondents is  $\pm 3.1$  percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

### **Nanos Tracking Data Summary**

4 14/ - - 1 ---

0 M - --- (h. --

This week L	.ast week	2015	4 weeks Ago	3 Months Ago	1 Year Ago	12 Month High	12 Month Low
52.6%	53.6%	54.7%	52.1%	49.8%	54.6%	57.6%	44.6%
43.8%	44.2%	39.3%	43.6%	52.7%	43.8%	52.7%	43.1%
41.6%	41.5%	40.4%	36.9%	40.6%	39.2%	44.1%	34.2%
21.7%	24.9%	30.4%	24.7%	26.6%	34.2%	37.6%	18.1%
31.3%	31.2%	22.7%	31.1%	27.8%	28.6%	32.0%	25.9%
10.9%	11.5%					11.9%	11.2%
	52.6% 43.8% 41.6% 21.7% 31.3%	43.8%44.2%41.6%41.5%21.7%24.9%31.3%31.2%	This week Last week201552.6%53.6%54.7%43.8%44.2%39.3%41.6%41.5%40.4%21.7%24.9%30.4%31.3%31.2%22.7%	This week Last week2015Ago52.6%53.6%54.7%52.1%43.8%44.2%39.3%43.6%41.6%41.5%40.4%36.9%21.7%24.9%30.4%24.7%31.3%31.2%22.7%31.1%	This weekLast week2015AgoAgo52.6%53.6%54.7%52.1%49.8%43.8%44.2%39.3%43.6%52.7%41.6%41.5%40.4%36.9%40.6%21.7%24.9%30.4%24.7%26.6%31.3%31.2%22.7%31.1%27.8%	This weekLast week2015AgoAgo52.6%53.6%54.7%52.1%49.8%54.6%43.8%44.2%39.3%43.6%52.7%43.8%41.6%41.5%40.4%36.9%40.6%39.2%21.7%24.9%30.4%24.7%26.6%34.2%31.3%31.2%22.7%31.1%27.8%28.6%	This weekLast week2015AgoAgoAgoHigh52.6%53.6%54.7%52.1%49.8%54.6%57.6%43.8%44.2%39.3%43.6%52.7%43.8%52.7%41.6%41.5%40.4%36.9%40.6%39.2%44.1%21.7%24.9%30.4%24.7%26.6%34.2%37.6%31.3%31.2%22.7%31.1%27.8%28.6%32.0%

#### Contact: Nik Nanos

(613) 234-4666 x 237 nnanos@nanosresearch.com Twitter: @niknanos

Category order based on number of seats in the House of Commons

Has Qualities of a Good Political Leader	This week	Last week	Oct 18 2015	4 Weeks Ago	3 Months Ago	1 Year Ago	12 Month High	12 Month Low
Trudeau	59.5%	60.2%	61.3%	56.9%	54.7%	59.6%	66.1%	51.1%
Scheer	41.1%	41.3%	51.8%	41.9%	41.8%	34.1%	43.0%	34.1%
Singh	34.7%	35.7%	57.2%	32.6%	33.6%	33.9%	40.1%	29.4%
Beaulieu	16.2%	18.0%	51.2%	15.6%	17.7%	27.4%	36.6%	13.6%
Мау	35.4%	35.4%	36.4%	33.7%	35.1%	35.5%	41.9%	32.0%
Bernier	19.7%	21.3%					21.3%	20.8%


AO Manuth AO Manuth

## **National Ballot**

Election

**Question:** For those parties you would consider voting for federally, could you please rank your top two current local preferences?

Weekly Tracking – First Ranked Choice – Long Term Trend (Four week rolling average ending November 2, 2018, n=847




## **National Ballot**

**Question:** For those parties you would consider voting for federally, could you please rank your top two current local preferences?

Weekly Tracking – First Ranked Choice – 12 Month Trend (Four week rolling average ending November 2, 2018, n=840

(n) NANOS



### **Federal Party Accessible Voters**

### Nanos Weekly Tracking





A proven track record of accuracy

## **Consider Liberal**

# n NANOS




National – Weekly Tracking (Ending November 2, 2018, n=1,000)

Question: For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] Liberal Party

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com





www.nanos.co

## **Consider Conservative**




**Question:** For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] Conservative Party

#### Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com



National – Weekly Tracking (Ending November 2, 2018, n=1,000)



### **Consider NDP**

# ()) NANOS




**Question:** For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] NDP

Contact: Nik Nanos Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com



National – Weekly Tracking (Ending November 2, 2018, n=1,000)

70%



www.nanos.co


### **Consider Bloc**



**Question:** For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] Bloc Québécois

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com Quebec only – Weekly Tracking (Ending November 2, 2018, 2017, n=248)



## **Consider Green**

80%

# n NANOS




**Question:** For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] Green Party

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com



National – Weekly Tracking (Ending November 2, 2018, n=1,000)



## **Consider People's**

# n NANOS




**Question:** For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] People's Party of Canada

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com




### National – Weekly Tracking (Ending November 2, 2018, n=1,000)



www.nanos.co

### Tracking on the Federal Political Leaders

### Nanos Weekly Tracking





Winning solutions for decision-makers





National – Weekly Tracking – Preferred Prime Minister First Ranked Choice (Ending November 2, 2018, n=1,000)

**Question:** Of the current federal political party leaders, could you please rank your top two current local preferences for Prime Minister? [ROTATE PARTY LEADERS]

### Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com






## Trudeau


National – Weekly Tracking – Qualities of a Good Political Leader (Ending November 2, 2018, n=1,000)

**Question:** For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Justin Trudeau

#### Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com






## Scheer

## n NANOS

National – Weekly Tracking– Qualities of a Good Political Leader (Ending November 2, 2018, n=1,000)



**Question:** For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have do not have the qualities to be a good politica leader? [RANDOMIZE] Andrew Scheer.

### Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com



# Singh

# n NANOS


**Question:** For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Jagmeet Singh

### Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com



### National – Weekly Tracking– Qualities of a Good Political Leader (Ending November 2, 2018, n=1,000)



## Beaulieu


Quebec only – Weekly Tracking – Qualities of a Good Political Leader (Ending November 2, 2018, n=248)


**Question:** For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Mario Beaulieu (interim leader).

70%

#### Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com





www.nanos.co


# May


## (n) NANOS

**Question:** For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Elizabeth May

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com





National – Weekly Tracking – Qualities of a Good Political Leader

(Ending November 2, 2018, n=1,000)

## Bernier


n NANOS


National – Weekly Tracking – Qualities of a Good Political Leader (Ending November 2, 2018, n=1,000)

**Question:** For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Maxime Bernier

Contact: Nik Nanos


Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com





### **Nanos Party Power Index**

### Nanos Weekly Tracking





## **Party Power Index**


The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for Canada is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities.

**Contact: Nik Nanos** 

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com



Canada Weekly Index Tracking Scores (Ending November 2, 2018, n=1,000)







www.nanos.co

## Methodology

The Weekly Nanos Tracking is produced by the Nanos Research Corporation, headquartered in Canada, which operates in Canada and the United States. The data is based on a dual frame (land + cell-lines) random telephone interviews with 1,000 Canadians using a four week rolling average of 250 respondents each week, 18 years of age and over. The random sample of 1,000 respondents may be weighted by age and gender using the latest census information for Canada. The interviews are compiled into a four week rolling average of 1,000 interviews, where each week the oldest group of 250 interviews is dropped and a new group of 250 interviews is added.

A random telephone survey of 1,000 Canadians is accurate  $\pm 3.1$  percentage points, plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

Since voters are not actually able to make a choice between elections, it is interesting to think about party strength and support more broadly. The Nanos Party Power Index fills this need by incorporating more information than just current vote preference.

The Nanos Party Power Index is a weekly composite measurement of federal party brands based on four questions about the federal parties and their leadership. The questions include:

- a ballot question that captures the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> vote preferences;
- a measure of whether the respondent would consider voting for the party;
- the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> preferences for Prime Minister of the current federal leaders; and,
- whether the respondent believes each current leader has the quality to be a good leader.

The views of 1,000 respondents are compiled into a diffusion brand index for each party that goes from 0 to 100, where 0 means that the party has no brand strength and 100 means it has maximum brand strength. A score above 50 is an indication of brand strength for the party and its leader at this time. The important factors in this weekly tracking include the direction of the brand strength or weakness and also the brand strength of one federal party relative to another.

(n) NANOS

### **Technical Note**

Element	Description	Element	Description				
Organization who commissioned the research	Nanos Research	Weighting of Data	The results were weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to ensure a distribution across all regions of Canada.				
Final Sample Size	1,000 Randomly selected individuals, four week rolling average of 250 interviews a week.		See tables for full weighting disclosure				
Margin of Error	±3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.	Screening	Screening ensured potential respondents did not work in the market research industry, in the advertising industry, in the media or a political party prior to administering the survey to ensure the integrity of the data.				
Mode of Survey	RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) telephone survey	Excluded Demographics	Individuals younger than 18 years old; individuals without land or cell lines could not participate.				
Sampling Method Base	The sample included both land- and cell-lines RDD (Random Digit Dialed) across Canada.	Stratification	By age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada. Smaller areas such as Atlantic Canada were marginally oversampled to allow for a minimum				
	Atlantic Canada, Quebec, Ontario, Prairies, British Columbia; Men and Women; 18 years and older.		regional sample.				
Demographics (Captured)	Six digit postal code was used to validate geography.	Estimated Response Rate	Nine percent, consistent with industry norms.				
Demographics (Other)	Age, gender, education, income	Question Order	Question order in the preceding report was asked in the following order – party consideration, unprompted vote preferences, preferred Prime Minister and qualities of a good				
Fieldwork/Validation	Live interviews with live supervision to validate work as per the MRIA Code of Conduct		political leader.				
Number of Calls/	Maximum of five call backs.	Question Content	This was part of a weekly tracking survey. The preceding module included questions about national issues of concern and ballot preferences and economic confidence.				
Time of Calls	Local time 5:00-9:00 pm, on weekends 12:00- 6:00 pm	Question Wording	The wording of questions is as presented in the report with a randomization of the political leaders for the question involving leader gualities.				
Field Dates	Four week period November 2, 2018	Survey Company	Nanos Research				
Language of Survey	The survey was conducted in both English and French.	company	Contact Nanos Research for more information or with any concerns or questions.				
Standards	This report meets the standards set forth by the MRIA which can be found here: <u>https://mria-arim.ca/polling</u>	Contact	http://www.nanosresearch.com Telephone:(613) 234-4666 ext. 237 – Toll Free: (888) 737- 5505 ext. 223. Email: info@nanosresearch.com.				

### About Nanos

Nanos is one of North America's most trusted research and strategy organizations. Our team of professionals is regularly called upon by senior executives to deliver superior intelligence and market advantage whether it be helping to chart a path forward, managing a reputation or brand risk or understanding the trends that drive success. Services range from traditional telephone surveys, through to elite in-depth interviews, online research and focus groups. Nanos clients range from Fortune 500 companies through to leading advocacy groups interested in understanding and shaping the public landscape. Whether it is understanding your brand or reputation, customer needs and satisfaction, engaging employees or testing new ads or products, Nanos provides insight you can trust.



View our brochure

Nik Nanos FMRIA Chairman, Nanos Research Group Ottawa (613) 234-4666 ext. 237 Washington DC (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com (n) NANOS

2015 Federal Election	NANOS Sunday, October 18 <sup>th</sup> , 2015	<b>ELECTION</b> Results Monday, October 19 <sup>th</sup> , 2015	Variance
Liberal	39.1%	39.5%	0.4
<u> </u>	30.5%	31.9%	1.4
*NDP	19.7%	19.7%	0
<b>BLOC</b> Québécois	5.5%	4.7%	0.8
	4.6%	3.4%	1.2
Other	0.5%	0.5%	0
Numbers of interviews (aggregate)	722		
Margin of error	±3.7		

Election results from Elections Canada as of 10:30am EST on October 20<sup>th</sup>, 2015.