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## Abstract

In this study we examine a lunar dome located in Palus Putredinis, termed Putredinis 1. Its diameter corresponds to $7.0 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{~km}$ and its height to $90 \pm 10$ m , resulting in a flank slope of $1.47^{\circ}$ and an edifice volume of $1.78 \mathrm{~km}^{3}$. According to the inferred spectral, morphometric, and rheologic properties, the dome Putredinis 1 belongs to class $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ in the GLR classification scheme of effusive domes.

## 1.Introduction

The mare basins were formed by large impacts which led to large-scale fracturing of the lunar crust. The fractures are commonly interpreted as the conduits along which basaltic magmas ascended to the surface.
Palus Putredinis is a lava-flooded plain bounded by the crater Autolycus to the north and the hills of the Montes Archimedes to the west. The oldest materials visible are those from the impact that pro-
duced the Imbrium basin, a multi-ring structure that formed 3.85 billion years ago. The outermost ring is apparent as the mountain range called Montes Apenninus. Multiple inner rings also formed during the impact event, though only the highest points of these are now visible above the later-forming lavas. Examples of such peaks in the north of Mare Imbrium are Montes Spitzbergen and Mons Piton (Wilhelms, 1987). The oldest visible Imbrium lavas are probably those of the lighter albedo plains units inside the main Imbrium ring. They occur between Archimedes and Montes Apenninus, in a region called the Apennine Bench Formation, and are notable for their roughness, lighter color, tectonic features (grabens) and domes
Lunar domes have formed as effusive shieldlike volcanoes or possibly also as laccoliths if the magma remained subsurface (Head and Gifford, 1980; Wöhler and Lena, 2009). A laccolith is a magma intrusion, without effusive process. The


Figure 1: the dome in an image taken by R. Lena on April 2, 2009, at 19:42 UT using a 180 mm Maksutov Cassegrain telescope.


Figure 2: taken by G. Tarsoudis on March 14, 2008 at 19:43 UT using a using a 250 mm Newtonian telescope.
pressure of the magma is high enough that the overlying strata are forced upward, giving the laccolith a dome or mushroom-like form with a generally planar base. These domes are exceptionally large, and most of them are associated with faults or linear rilles of presumably tensional origin. Due to the low profile of domes, Lunar Orbiter and Clementine images do not show domes very well, due to the typically high solar angle on such images. Hence, as part of our program of observing and cataloguing lunar domes, we have used high resolution telescopic CCD images acquired under oblique illumination conditions
The Consolidated Lunar Dome Catalogue CLDC (Lena and Wöhler, 2008) contains all lunar domes which have been studied in detail by the GLR group and for which reasonably accurate morphometric properties could be determined.
In this article we report measurements and include CCD images of a lunar dome located at latitude $26.30^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and longitude $1.44^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ in Palus Putredinis, which we have termed Putredinis 1 .

## Method and measurement

For each of the observations, the local solar altitude and the Sun's selenographic colongitude were calculated using the LTVT software package by Mosher and Bondo (2006) which requires a calibration of the images by identifying the precise selenographic coordinates of some landmarks on the image. This calibration was performed based on the UCLN 1994 list of control points. All images are oriented with north to the top and west to the left.
Further morphometric data were obtained by a photoclinometric analysis (Horn, 1989; Carlotto, 1996; Wöhler et al., 2006; Lena et al., 2006 and references therein). We furthermore determined a UVVIS five-band spectrum of the dome based on Clementine imagery at the wavelengths of 415 , $750,900,950$, and 1000 nm . The sample area was $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. The reflectance values were derived relying on the calibrated and normalized Clementine UVVIS reflectance data as provided by Eliason et al (1999). The extracted Clementine UVVIS data were examined in terms of 750 nm reflectance (albedo) and the $\mathrm{R}_{415} / \mathrm{R}_{750}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{950} / \mathrm{R}_{750}$ colour ratios.

Albedo at 750 nm is an indicator of variations in soil composition, maturity, particle size, and viewing geometry. The $\mathrm{R}_{415} / \mathrm{R}_{750}$ colour ratio is essentially a measure for the $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ content of mature basaltic soils, where high $\mathrm{R}_{415} / \mathrm{R}_{750}$ ratios correspond to high $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ content and vice versa (Charette et al., 1974). However, for many lunar regions the relation between $\mathrm{R}_{415} / \mathrm{R}_{750}$ ratio and $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ content displays a significant scatter (Gillis and Lucey, 2005). The $\mathrm{R}_{950} / \mathrm{R}_{750}$ colour ratio is related to the strength of the mafic absorption band, representing a measure of the FeO content of the soil and is also sensitive to the optical maturity of mare and highland materials (Lucey et al. 1998).
3. Telescopic CCD images, spectral, morphometric, and rheologic properties

The shallow dome Putredinis 1 was detected in the images shown in Figs. 1-2. Figure 1 displays the dome in an image taken by R. Lena on April 2, 2009, at 19:42 UT using a 180 mm Maksutov Cassegrain telescope. Another image, shown in Fig. 2, was taken by G. Tarsoudis on March 14, 2008 at 19:43 UT using a using a 250 mm Newtonian telescope.

Further morphometric data were obtained by a photoclinometric analysis. The dome height amounts to $90 \pm 10 \mathrm{~m}$, yielding an average flank slope of $1.47^{\circ} \pm 0.10^{\circ}$. The dome volume V was computed by integrating the reconstructed 3D profile over an area corresponding to a circular region of diameter D around the dome summit. A rough quantitative measure for the shape of the dome is given by the form factor $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{V} /\left[\pi \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{D} / 2)^{2}\right]$ (where $\mathrm{V}=$ volume, $\mathrm{h}=$ height, and $\mathrm{D}=$ diameter), where we have $\mathrm{f}=1 / 3$ for domes of conical shape, $f=1 / 2$ for parabolic shape, $\mathrm{f}=1$ for cylindrical shape, and intermediate values for hemispherical shape. For the dome examined in this study, we thus obtain an edifice volume of $1.78 \mathrm{~km}^{3}(\mathrm{f}=0.51)$. A digital elevation map (DEM) of the region is shown in Fig. 3.

The Clementine UVVIS spectral data of the dome reveal a 750 nm reflectance of $\mathrm{R}_{750}=$ 0.07806 , a low value of the UV/VIS colour ratio of $\mathrm{R}_{415} / \mathrm{R}_{750}=0.56338$, indicating a low $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ content, and a weak mafic absorption with $\mathrm{R}_{950} / \mathrm{R}_{750}$ $=1.07864$, suggesting a high soil maturity. The rhe-
ologic model by Wilson and Head (2003) estimates the yield strength $\tau$ (the pressure or stress that must be exceeded for the lava to flow) and the plastic viscosity $\eta$, yielding a measure for the fluidity of the erupted lava, the effusion rate E (the lava volume erupted per second) and the duration T of the effusion process. The computed values for $\tau, \eta, E$, and T are valid for domes that formed from a single flow unit (monogenetic volcanoes). According to the model by Wilson and Head (2003), the yield strength of the dome-forming lava corresponds to $\tau=2400 \mathrm{~Pa}$ and the viscosity to $\eta$ $=8.1 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~Pa} \mathrm{~s}$. The lava erupted at an effusion rate of $107 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ over a period of time of 0.53 years (about 28 weeks). To estimate the magma rise speed and the feeder dike geometry, Wilson and Head (2003)
 apply the dike model by Rubin (1993). Dikes are rising vertical sheets of magma. Rilles often form over rising vertical sheets of magma. A dike can reach the surface and erupt, forming a dome. Based on the estimated lava viscosity and effusion rate, this approach yields a magma rise speed of $\mathrm{U}=1.1 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, a dike width of $W=15 \mathrm{~m}$ and a length of $\mathrm{L}=67 \mathrm{~km}$.
4. Result

Based on the spectral and morphometric data obtained in this study, the dome Putredinis 1 belongs to class $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ in the scheme introduced by Wöhler et al. (2006) and later refined by Lena (2007). It consists of lavas of intermediate to high viscosity and low $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ content, erupting at an intermediate effusion rate. If the effusion of such lava continues over a long period of time, a steep flank slope and high edifice volume may occur as in the case of Archytas

2 , which belongs to class $\mathrm{B}_{1}$, while short durations of the effusion process result in lower and less voluminous edifices, as is the case for domes of class $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ such as the dome H7 near the crater Hortensius (Wöhler et al, 2006; Wöhler et al, 2007; Lena et al, 2007; Lena et al, 2008).
Wöhler et al. (2007) establish three rheologic groups of effusive lunar mare domes. The first group $\mathrm{R}_{1}$, is characterised by lava viscosities of $10^{4}-10^{6}$ Pa s, magma rise speeds of $10^{-5}-10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, dike about 30 and 200 km . Rheologic group $R_{2}$ is characterised
by low lava viscosities between cosities between
$10^{2}$ and $10^{4} \mathrm{~Pa}$ $10^{2}$ and $10^{4} \mathrm{~Pa}$
s , fast magma ascent ( $\mathrm{U}>10^{-3}$ $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ), narrow ( $\mathrm{W}=1-4 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and short ( $\mathrm{L}=7-20$ km ) feeder dikes The third group R 3 , is made up of domes which formed from highly viscous lavas of $10^{6}-10^{8} \mathrm{~Pa} \mathrm{~s}$, ascending at ery low speeds of $10^{-6}-10^{-5} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ through broad dikes of several tens to 200 m width and $100-200$ km length. According to the rheologic properties inferred for the dome Putredinis 1, it clearly belongs to rheologic group $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ like several domes in the Milichius/T. Mayer region and the well-known domes Cauchy $\tau$ and $\omega$ in Mare Tranquillitatis.
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