# Impact of Spatial Filtering on the Least Cost Path Method:

### Selecting a High-Speed Rail Route for Ohio's 3-C Corridor

Amy E. Rock, Kent State University, USA Amanda Mullett, Kent State University, USA Saad Algharib, Kent State University, USA Jared Schaffer, Kent State University, USA Jay Lee, Kent State University, USA

#### **ABSTRACT**

In the face of renewed interest in High-Speed Rail (HSR) projects, Ohio is one of several states seeking federal funding to relieve pressure on aging, overburdened highway infrastructure by constructing passenger rail routes between major cities. This paper evaluates the creation of a new rail route in Ohio's 3-C Corridor utilizing GIS. The authors consider two primary cost factors in construction, slope and land cover, to generate alternative least-cost paths. To assess the importance of the cost factors, two separate paths are created using two different weighting methods for the land cover layer. The land cover is weighted first by difficulty of construction, and second by relative acquisition costs. These two paths are then compared against a path selected by the Ohio Hub Project which uses existing track lines, advantages and disadvantages of each are discussed.

Keywords: 3-C Corridor, High-Speed Rail, Least Cost Path, Ohio Hub Project, Rail Routing

#### INTRODUCTION

High Speed Rail (HSR) has earned widespread acceptance in Europe and Japan, but has been slow to catch on in the United States. Passenger rail in general declined during the era of interstate construction and expansion, and today is concentrated mainly on the East Coast, and

DOI: 10.4018/jagr.2010100103

radiating outward from Chicago in the Midwest. These two areas are connected by only a few routes, and other logical connections, such as the Cleveland-Columbus-Cincinnati (3-C) Corridor in Ohio, remain underserved. As pressure on existing highway infrastructure continues to build, finding alternatives to vehicle travel would seem logical.

High Speed Rail (HSR) is considered to reference passenger rail systems travelling in

excess of 125mph. In the US, the term is more typically used for trains travelling over 90mph (Federal Railroad Administration, 2005). The opening of Japan's Shinkansen in 1964 proved to the world that HSR was a practical method of passenger transport. Since that time, HSR technology has continued to improve, attracting significant ridership in Japan and elsewhere (Nakagawa & Hatoko, 2007).

Opponents of rail projects point to the high cost of constructing an entirely new infrastructure system, but the passage in 2009 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) has breathed new life into projects that have been shelved due to lack of funding. One such project is the Ohio Hub Project (OHP), which has commissioned several studies over the past decade or so to determine the feasibility of creating a rail hub, similar to that of Chicago, in Ohio and surrounding states (Ohio Hub Project, 2007). This collection of rail lines would link up the Chicago hub with the major corridors of the East Coast, as well as linking the cities of the 3-C Corridor with each other and with cities in neighboring states, such as Pittsburgh, Detroit and Indianapolis.

It has also been argued that the revival of rail transit in the United States will bring a number of additional benefits, including availability of more environmentally-friendly travel (Rubin, Moore II, & Lee, 1999), and revival of historic downtown districts originally constructed around train travel (The Redevelopment Project at St. Pancras International Station, 2007). The reconstruction of train stations may bring only temporary employment, but the addition of permanent employment, such as hospitality jobs, are also appealing in terms of ARRA projects (House Committee on Appropriations, 2009).

Of the significant amount of funds allocated for general improvement of transportation infrastructure, \$8 billion of ARRA funds are expressly allocated for the development of high speed rail and passenger rail in general in corridors from Ohio to California (House Committee on Appropriations, 2009). Projects which are "shovel-ready", that is, feasibility and routing studies have already been completed,

will receive first consideration. The specific mention of Ohio in the text of the ARRA and the diligence of the OHP report emphasizing the benefits that HSR will bring to the state make Ohio a likely candidate for rail funding.

The most recent OHP study was an upgrade on an earlier version examining the possibility of upgrading existing rail lines to create a 79mph passenger corridor. The new study recognized that to make rail travel feasible statewide, same-day round trip service would be required between major destinations (Ohio Hub Project, 2007). The proposed OHP route uses existing rail lines, which would still incur multiple costs. Existing track would have to be upgraded to accommodate the higher-speed trains, which includes expanding right-of-ways (ROWs), straightening curves and reinforcing track junctions. At-grade crossings would also have to be reduced or modified, as would signal systems. The lines selected by OHP are currently owned by several different rail companies. If existing track were used, scheduling would have to accommodate existing freight traffic and leasing fees would have to be negotiated with the track owners. If, as they alternately propose, a parallel line were built next to existing freight lines, leasing fees would still apply, as the ROW is also owned by the rail company. The development of a parallel track would also demand that the ROW be even wider, which could be exceptionally challenging in some areas where the track runs through densely developed land.

We hypothesize that a completely independent rail network could be less costly and less complicated, requiring extensive negotiations with rail companies and municipal parties. Given this possibility, we explore the creation of a new rail route, using the Least Cost Path (LCP) methods in ArcGIS 9.2 (Environmental Systems Research Institute, 2009) to plot the new route. We have chosen to evaluate the 3-C Corridor, which connects Cleveland, Columbus and Cincinnati, which is entirely contained within Ohio and therefore does not require cooperation from neighboring states for construction. It is also predicted to be one 11 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the product's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/article/impact-spatial-filtering-least-cost/46934?camid=4v1

This title is available in InfoSci-Journals, InfoSci-Journal Disciplines Engineering, Natural, and Physical Science.

Recommend this product to your librarian:

www.igi-global.com/e-resources/library-recommendation/?id=2

#### **Related Content**

### Quantifying Land Cover Change Due to Petroleum Exploration and Production in the Haynesville Shale Region Using Remote Sensing

Daniel Unger, I-Kuai Hung, Kenneth Farrish and Darinda Dans (2015). *International Journal of Applied Geospatial Research (pp. 1-17).* 

www.igi-global.com/article/quantifying-land-cover-change-due-to-petroleum-exploration-and-production-in-the-haynesville-shale-region-using-remote-sensing/122359?camid=4v1a

### Provision of Web 2.0 Services by Interoperable GIS-Powered Local Administration Portal Systems

Anastasios Tsitsanis, Sotirios Koussouris and Rob Peters (2013). *Geographic Information Systems: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1243-1259).* 

www.igi-global.com/chapter/provision-web-services-interoperable-gis/70503?camid=4v1a

#### Location-Based Services: A Taxonomy on Theory and Practice

Henrik Hanke and Alf Neumann (2009). *Handbook of Research on Geoinformatics* (pp. 269-276).

www.igi-global.com/chapter/location-based-services/20413?camid=4v1a

## Adopting BIM Standards for Managing Vision 2030 Infrastructure Development in Qatar

Fatima Al Mohannadi, Mohammed Arif, Zeeshan Aziz and Phillip A. Richardson (2013). *International Journal of 3-D Information Modeling (pp. 64-73).* 

 $\frac{www.igi\text{-}global.com/article/adopting-bim-standards-for-managing-vision-}{2030\text{-}infrastructure-development-in-qatar/99618?camid=4v1a}$