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There was a man of the Pharisees, named Nicodemus, a ruler of the Jews: the same came to Jous by night...

John 3: 1 2
A lady asked the famous Lord Shaftesbury what religion he was of. He answered the religion of wise men. She asked, what was that? He answered, wise men never tell.

Diary of Viscount Perciral (1730), i. 113

## NEWTON AS HERETIC

Isaac Newton was a heretic. But like Nicodemus, the secret disciple of Jesus, he never made a public declaration of his private faith - which the orthodox would have deemed extremely radical. He hid his faith so well that scholars are still unravelling his personal beliefs. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{I}$ lis one-time follower William Whiston attributed his policy of silence to simple, human far and there must be some truth in this. Every day as a public figure (Lucasian Professor, Warden - then Master - of the Mint, President of the Royal Society) and as the figurchead of British natural philosophy, Newton must have felt the tension of outwardly conforming to the Anglican Church, while inwardly denying much of its faith and practice. He was restricted by heresy laws, religious tests and the formidable opposition of public opinion. Heretics were seen as religiously subversive, socially dangerous and even morally debased. Moreover, the positions he enjoyed were dependent on public manifestations of religious and social orderliness. Sir Isaac had a lot to lose. Yet he knew the scriptural injunctions against hiding one's light under a bushel. Newton the believer was thus faced with the need to develop a modus vivendi whereby he could work within legal and social structures,

[^0]while fulfilling the command to shine in a dark world. This paper recovers and ansesses his strategies for reconciling these conflicting dynamics and, in so doing, will shet light on both the nature of Newton's faith and his agenda for natural philosophy.

As this study attempts to reconstruct Newton's private and public religious worlds, it has been necessary to do thrce things. First, I have demanded more of Newton's manuscripts by expanding the range of theological issucs normally considered and recontextualizing his belicfs against the backdrop of contemporary radical theologies. I also show that the religious ideals expressed in his manuscripes often match his action. Second, I have made cautious use of the surviving oral tradition, personal written aciounts and evidence of rumour-mongering. Much of this material is used here for the first time and its value in fleshing out Newton's religious crises and entanglements will becom apparent below. Finally, I have employed a sociology of heresy as an explanatory tool for Newton's actions. Taken together, these dynamics help reveal why Newton in public differed so much from Newton in prisate. While the vicissitudes of time and the nature of such dealings have rendered Newton's heretical private life obscure and largely ins sible, the evidence presented in this paper will allow us to draw back the curtain a little further on the heterodox conversaziones, clandestine networks, private manuscripts, codd writing and orthodox simulation that comprised the strategies of a Nicodemite.

While Whiston was incredulous as to why someone with Newton's knowlulge of the true faith would not announce it to the world, recent historians have held it un-urprising that Newton should keep quiet in an intolerant age. ${ }^{2}$ At the same time, both Whiston and Newton's biographers agree that the latter's reluctance to preach openly was the result of fear and concern for his position in society. While 1 do outline the restriction placed on him, I want to argue that neither of these responses to Newton's dilemm,i - nor the common explanation of it - are adequate. It is not enough to conclude that Neviton held his tongue and did so because he was a heretic living in an age of orthodoxy. While this period was still relatively intolerant, and alrhough Newton had ample reason to be anxious about exposure, freedom was increasing and a growing number of dissenters were crafting ways of speaking out with decreasingly severe repercussions. So too Newton who, I will show, did not keep his heresy to himself.

This paper will also attempt to counter two misleading constructions: the pertrayal of Newton as a proto-deist on the one hand, and the mollification of his heresy on the other. I will show that these conflicting approaches have deep roots that can be traced back to Newton's lifetime and are formed by the ignorance or suppression of elemonts of the evidence. The first interpretation has been presented most recently by Richard W'estfall,; but its central features are not new. Part of the problem with this approach is that Newton has too often been characterized by how his ideas were later used and adapted by the Enlightenment. Viewed through Voltaire's lens, Newton looks a lot like a philowphe. But

[^1]if Newton was an Enlightenment man, he was also a fundamentalist, as those of this disposition have also represented the great man as one of their own. ${ }^{4}$ The second strategy was first motivated by a desire to save the British saint from the stain of unorthodosy in an age when such propaganda was of great moment. It is epitomized early on by William Stukeley who, responding to assertions that Newton was a heretic, stated that the Church of England intirely claims him as her son, in faith and in practice ${ }^{5}{ }^{5}$ It may le possible to excuse Stukeley, who was never given direct access to Newton's heresy. Aftur viewing the incriminating manuscripts in the mid-nineteenth century, however, Dand Brewster chose to disbelieve his eyes and argue that in fact Newton was a Trinitarian -only of a different sort. ${ }^{6}$ This trend has lost support of late with the availability of Newton's theological papers. Nevertheless, Thomas Pfizenmaier has recently attempted to resurrect Brewster's case. ${ }^{7}$

An important element of my task will be to go beyond these common misreadings, bowdlerizations and hopeful constructions. Because the evidence is compelling and since it helps explain Newton's desire to conceal his beliefs, I want to move in a third direction. Newton was in fact a greater heretic than previously thought, yet by no means a dent, freethinker or anti-scripturalist. Doctrinal and liturgical heresy do not necessarily go h.md in hand with these other radicalisms. Here it is important that we extricate ourselves from the still pervasive rhetoric of the orthodox past. Dissenters saw their own ideas as true and positively corrective of orthodox error, not as deviant or subversive. ${ }^{8}$ At the same time, they also consciously stood apart from those they saw as unbelievers. This process, therefore, will involve defining Newton's 'theological middle'. That is to say, Newton was a heretic - but only to the orthodox; he was a theological dissident - but he was also a devoted believer. To him, the majority were astray and only he and the faithful remnant class held to the original truth. In order to make sense of Newton's faith and actions we must enter this alternative world. We cannot understand Newton's middle unless we move beyond the contemporary orthodox commonplace that antitrinitarianism was a slippety slope to unbelief. A half century is a long time to cling to a slippery slope.

## 'NOT FIT FOR BABES': NEWTON'S HERESIES

Scholars have generally assumed that Newton was a heretical autodidact. I present evidence in this section that will throw this assumption into doubt. Westfall has suggested that Newton's study of theology and Church history was motivated by his 1675 ordinatinn

[^2]deadline, ${ }^{9}$ and this may be the case. Westfall has also said that Newton 'read himself into advanced heresy'. ${ }^{10}$ A dog-eated copy of Christopher Sand's 1669 Nucteu: historiae ecclesiasticae in Newton's library may suggest a more complicated process of inppiration. ${ }^{11}$ The German Arian's Nucleus was a work of great erudition and was respected hy a range of scholars, including the orthodox. ${ }^{12}$ Its chief purpose was 'to reinstate the "Atrian" and "Arianizing" currents in the history of Christianity'. ${ }^{13}$ This is exactly the historiographical programme of Newton. Sand also deals with Athanasius and the Homoousians - concerns that reverberate throughout Newton's writings. ${ }^{14}$ We know that Newton had cmiountered Sand no later than 1690, as he refers to Sand's 1670 Interpretationes paradoxae in his 'Two notable corruptions'. ${ }^{15}$ But this same reference to the Interpretationes appears in Newton's Commonplace Book, ${ }^{16}$ which dates substantially from the early to mid-1680, and likely includes material from the 1670 s$).^{17}$ Newton also had ready access to Isaac Barrow's library, which by the latter's death in 1677 contained copies of both Sand's Nuteleus and Interpretationes. ${ }^{18}$ Mordechai Feingold also points to the possibility that Newtom acquired his copy of the Nucleus from Barrow's library in 1677.'.9 This closen the window between Newton's conversion to antitrinitarianism and his first exposure to Sand to a lew short years at the most.

Significantly, both books by Sand provide references to the Socinians, a movement on which Sand himself was partly dependent. ${ }^{-21}$ Through a massive publication campaign in the seventeenth century, Socinian literature had spread throughout Europe - including England. ${ }^{21}$ The Socinians (or Polish Brethen) were the most intellectually advanced antitrinitarian movement of the age; as such, it would be surprising if Newton had not sought

[^3]out their writings (that is, in the event that he had not already received his inspiration from them). And seek out their writings he did: Newton owned at last eight Socinian works, ${ }^{2}=$ along with an antitrinitarian title by Socinian-influenced György Fnyedies and a copy of the English Socinian-Unitarian The Faith of the One God. ${ }^{2+}$ We know that Newton read these works, for several of the surviving copies show signs of dog-earing. A reference to the Socinians in Newton's 'Two notable corruptions' shows that his reading of these authors was well underway by $1690 .{ }^{25}$ Newton may have first encountered Socinian works in the library of Trinity Collcge. ${ }^{26}$ Also, along with the two works of Sand, Barrow's library contained a copy of the Socinian Racovian Catechism, the English Socinian-Unitarian John Biddle's Brevis disquisitio and the anti-Socinian work Photinianismuts by Josiah Stegmann." ${ }^{\text {Z }}$ His friend and theological interlocutor John Locke also owned an extensive collection of Sociniana - undoubtedly one of the richest in England - which would be important for the period of their friendship (from 1689 until Locke's death in 1704). ${ }^{. *}$ Moreover, from the first decade of the eighteenth century, Newton was in close and sustained contact with his London neighbour, intimate friend and fellow herctic Samuel Clarke, who owned one and possibly two sets of the Socinian collected works (Bibliotheca Fratrum Polonorum). ${ }^{2}$ To give another example, the famous London library of Bishop John Moore, of whish Clarke was chief cataloguer, contained almost seventy Socinian titles. ${ }^{30}$ Therefore, aside from his own books, Newton would have had almost unbroken access to a range of Socinian and Socinian-influenced works from the time of his conversion to antitrinitarianism in the early 1670 s until his death in 1727.

Whether from his own library or those of others, the theological contours of contemporary antitrinitarianism are visible throughout Newton's thought. Newton and other seven-teenth-century antitrinitarians involved themselves in a sustained endeavour to dismantle the history of the Trinitarian victors and replace it with an account that vindicated the legitimacy of the antitrinitarian faith; Sand's Nucleus is a classic in this tradition. In particular, both Newton and the Socinians believed primitive Christianity was simple and

[^4]derived solely from a tight reading of Scripture. This pure faith, however, was corrupted through the obtrusion of Greek philosophy, metaphysics and the credal tradition - the prophesied apostasy. ${ }^{31}$ All unscriptual, post-credal and philosophically articulated dogma was thus suspect. ${ }^{32}$ Both Newton and the Socinians desired to recover the primitive truth of Christianity. ${ }^{33}$ Socinians, like Newton, argued that corruptions of language and novitas verborum were the primary causes of Church division. ${ }^{34}$ In Socinian historiography, as with Newton, the invention of the novel term bomoousia is seen as an evil blight on the Church. ${ }^{35}$ Moreover, in a manner hauntingly similar to Newton, Socinians argued that primitive doctrine was preserved by a remnant, and that only a chosen few can discover the supreme good, which is divine truth ; the masses, on the other hand ... will ne er choose "the best things." ${ }^{36}$ Newton also engaged in antitrinitarian textual criticiom. Sand's Interpretationes is directed to the same end. The Socinians, too, were adept textual critics and early on had recognized that such passages as the comma Johanneum (1 John 5:7) were interpolations. ${ }^{37}$ The Socinians used this discipline to remove apparent scriptural contradictions in order to uphold the Word of God, ${ }^{38}$ and a major element of this involved expunging putative Trinitarian corruptions. This motivation, too, is seen in Newton's 'Two notable corruptions','39 even if it is difficult to determine if Newton was inspired by Socinian textual criticism, or drawn to it.

Some of the most remarkable parallels are between the Christology of Newton and the Socinians. The Polish Brethren and Newton held that only the Father is truly and uniquely God, using the same proof texts, including the pivotal antitrinitarian loctas classicus 1 Corinthians 8:4-6. ${ }^{+11}$ Newton and the Socinians asserted that the unity of the Father and the Son is moral, not metaphysical and substantial. ${ }^{11}$ Newton's presentation of the Father

[^5]as a God of dominion is also a Socinian commonplace, ${ }^{+2}$ as is his belief that Christ was God by office, not nature. ${ }^{13}$

The doctrinal parallels also extend beyond Trinitological issues. Both Newton and the Socinians were mortalists who saw the teaching of the immortal soul as an unwarranted corruption of primitive Christianity. ${ }^{4+}$ Related to his mortalism, but without an explicit Socinian parallel, Newton came to deny (largely on exegetical grounds) the reality of a personal devil and literal demons - the latter of which he equated with departed spirits, whose existence was a doctrinal impossibility for someone who denied that the soul could exist without the body. ${ }^{45}$ The denial of the eternity of hell's torments was also part of the Socinian system, and rumoured to be part of Newton's as well. ${ }^{16}$ Moreover, Newton and the Socinians accepted believers' baptism, holding that baptism can take place only after faith and a process of catechizing. ${ }^{17}$ Furthermore, Newton and the Socinians were committed irenicists and advocates of religious toleration. ${ }^{18}$ Finally, the Socimians were ardent supporters of the separation of Church and state, ${ }^{49}$ and Newton appears to have moved in this direction as well. ${ }^{50}$ This is not to say that Newton was a Socinian. Newtom, like the Arians, believed in the pre-existence of Christ. ${ }^{51}$ Socinians did not. Neverthelw, when Newton is not dealing directly with Christ's pre-existence, his characterizations of God and Christ are virtually indistinguishable from those of Socinianism. Nor did Newton believe that the Socinians-that is, those who denied Christ's pre-existence-were heretics. ${ }^{52}$ This expanded hermencutical profile of Newton's Christology, therefore. suggests a mix of Arian and Socinian elements. ${ }^{3{ }^{3 /}}$

This exercise has moved Newton's theology further away from orthodoxy than Arianism -the traditional designation for his Christology. Thomas Pfizenmaicr has reconly

[^6]attempted to do the opposite, claiming that Newton later in life moved from Arianism towards orthodoxy and was thus in the end not a heretic. ${ }^{51}$ Pfizenmaier rests his argument largely on one of Newton's Mint papers (which he cites through Manuel), which may show that Newton accepted the orthodox tenet of the eternity of the Son at some point of his London period. ${ }^{5,5}$ But this is a precarious enterprise. It is likely that Newton's theology went through minor adjustments and, after all, the Mint paper can only be dated to after 1696. However, a post-1710 manuscript twice demonstrates in unambiguous fashion that Newton belicved the eternal generation was not taught until the fourth century, assigning its diffusion in the Church to his favourite corrupters Athanasius and the monks. ${ }^{56}$ It is doubtful that Newton's opinion, based on decades of historical work, changed substantially so late in life. ${ }^{57}$ Nor, as we have seen, does Newton's heresy hinge on a single element of his Christology or even on Trinitological issues alonc. For, in addition to denial of the Holy Trinity, he also rejected the immortal soul and wil spirits. It is hard to imagine a more heretical combination than these three. Although the latter two beliefs were also rooted in his biblicism, they would have been viewed as tantamount to atheism. ${ }^{\text {t }}$ Measured againsr orthodoxy, Newton was a damnable heretic.
Further scholarship will have to determine whether it is only a coincidence that wirhin four to five years of the appearance of Sand's Nucleus in 1669, Newton began interpreting the Bible and Church history in a manner indistinguishable from Sand. But the books only tell part of the story. While the books may have led him to seek out contemporary antitrinitarians, we must not neglect the possibility that it was the other war around. Newton may have been introduced to such works and ideas through contact with cryptoSocinians or Unitarians; as I show below, he was certainly networking with such in later decades. The oral communication of teachings may rarely survive in the written record, but its importance as a mode for the dissemination of ideas must not be underestimated; Newton himself operated in this way, as we shall see. From the 1670 s onward, the tone and nature of Newton's antitrinitarianism bears a remarkable resemblance to the early arguments of the Socinian-influenced English Unitarians - arguments cventually codified in several publications of the late 1680 s and 1690 s . ${ }^{59}$ For example, a report deriving from Newton's Cambridge period has him believing that God had sent Muhammad to reveal the One God to Arabs, ${ }^{60}$ which echoes the Unitarian bistoria monotheistica of the 1670s1710 s. ${ }^{61}$ Further, Newton's friend Hopton Haynes claimed that Newton had told him that

[^7]'the time will come, when the doctrine of the incarnation shall be exploded as an absurdity equal to transubstantiation ${ }^{1.62}$ This, too, was a commonplace of Unitarian polemics. ${ }^{\text {633 }}$ Even with the remaining uncertainties, these echoes of Unitarian rhetoric, combined with evidence of appropriation from Sand and the Socinians, help locate much of Newton's theology and demonstrate his associations with a greater heresy. ${ }^{\text {.14 }}$

## NEWTON CONTRA MUNDUM: MINORITY FAITH AND THE REMNANT CLASS

As a minority believer, Newton needed to justify his rejection of the majority faith. ()ne confirmation came through his alternative ecclesiastical history, outlined above. Newtur turned the epigram Athanasius contra mutndum on its head; in the reverse world he constructed, it was Newton against the world. Newton's irenicism provided another support. Like the Erasmian distinction between fundamenta and adiaphom, but based more immediately on Hebrews 5, Newton believed that only the 'milk' of simple truth was required for baptism and communion, and that only the mature could attain to the strong meats' of the deeper things in theology $:^{65}$ 'strong meats', wrote Newton, 'are not fit for babes'. ${ }^{66}$ These 'strong meats' for elders included such matters as disputes over Trinitarian dogma. ${ }^{\text {b7 }}$ Newton believed that 'if the strong impose their opinions as conditions of communion they preach another gospel \& become schismaticks'. ${ }^{64}$ So Newton did net disturb the Church with his 'strong meats', revealing them only to a select group of 'strong men'. Moreover, Newton also stated that 'if any man contend for any other sort of worship which he cannot prove to have been practised in the Apostles days, he may use it in his Closet without troubling the Churches with his private sentiments?. ${ }^{64}$ Newton knew many of his beliefs were contentious and in dispute, so it seems likely that his irenic stance aloo helped confine his theology to the private sphere.

Newton's writings also exhibit powerful expressions of remnant theology. He believed that although God revealed the truth through prophecies, these are nevertheless nut intended 'to convert $y^{\prime \prime}$ whole to $y^{\prime \prime}$ truth'. '" Rather, the design of prophecy 'is to try mon \& convert the best'. ${ }^{71}$ 'Tis enough', Newton believed, that prophecy 'is able to move !" assent of those $w^{* i n}$ he hath chosen; \& for $y^{\prime \prime}$ rest who are so incredulous, it is just that they should be permitted to dy in their sins.' What Newton claimed for prophecy, he also

[^8]asserted for the entire Bible, writing that God 'hath so framed $y$ " Scriptures as to discern between $y^{\prime \prime}$ good and $y^{\prime \prime}$ bad, that they should be demonstration to $y^{\prime \prime}$ one $\mathbb{\&}$ foolishness to $y^{\prime \prime}$ other'. The nature of Newton's remnant theology is made explicit a few sentences later, when he speaks of the 'great odds' of chancing to be on ' $y$ " right side'. "] ln Newton's calculus of doctrine, the greater the number of assenters, the greater the likelihood of error. He thus warned against relying on "the judgment of $y$ " multitude, for so thou shalt certainly be deceived. But search the scriptures thy self. ${ }^{3 / 3}$ Newton, who knew firthand the psychological dynamics of leaving the faith of one's fathers, points out that although there are 'so many religions', only one can be true, and argues that it might be 'none of those that thou art acquainted with ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\text {it }}$ He also cautions that 'they will call thee it may be thot headed fellow a Bigot, a Fanatique, a Heretique'. A member of the remnant class must disregard such labelling and remember that ' $y$ " world loves to be deceived' and "are wholly led by prejudice, interest, the prais of men, $\mathbb{\&}$ authority of $y^{\prime \prime}$ Church they live in: as is plain becaus all parties keep close to $y^{\prime \prime}$ Religion they have been brought up in'. ${ }^{\text {in }}$ For Newton, the way was narrow and only a precious fow would find it. ${ }^{76}$

What is more, Newton believed the orthodox would be stubbornly unreceptise to being exposed as heretics. In a passage dealing with the persecuting power of the fourth-century Trinitarians - likely also a gloss on his own day - he wrote:

But I know they who stand accused herely will <still〉 contend they are y" Orthodes Church \& $y^{*}$ Barbarians hereticks... To convince these men of their Heresies would be a vain attempt, it being $y^{\prime \prime}$ nature of hereticks to be inconsiderate $\&$ therefore confident $\mathbb{\&}$ obstinate, "

Here we must remember that for Newton orthodoxy is heresy and heresy truth. I He did not believe that 'all that call themselves Christians' would understand, but that only 'a remnant, a few scattered persons which God hath chosen ... as Daniel hath said that $y^{\text {" }}$ wise shall understand, so he hath said also that none of $y^{*}$ wicked shall understand ." Open preaching would be pointless. ${ }^{79}$

Finally, it is manifest that Newton did not like disputes. This is famously true in the case of his natural philosophy. Nor is it particularly surprising: most of his significant publications from his 1672 paper on colours to the pirated 1725 Abreg brought controversy. Thus Newton told William Derham that he ahhorred all Contests,

72 Yahuda MS 1.1a, f. 19r.

74 Yahuda MS 1.1a, f. 3r.
75 Yahuda MS 1.1a, f. 5r.
76 Newton's conception of the remmant likely owes something not only to certain preseripin foblical texts and his rationalization of his minority fath, but also to the notion of the alchemical adept in poxinson of lost and privileged truths. See Mary S. Churchill, 'The Seven Chapters, with explanatory notes', ( $/$. 9 ynis (1967), 12 , 38-9; Mandelbrote, op. cit. (8), 299. On associations berwcen Newton's heretical theology and ha, interest in alchemy, see Betty Jo Teeter Dobbs, The lums Fates of Gonits: The Role of Mobemy in Nitums Thought, Cambridge, 1991.

77 Yahuda MS 1.4, ff. $67 \mathrm{r}-68 \mathrm{r}$.
78 Yahuda MS 1.1a, f. Ir.
79 See Lawrence Principe's discussion of Newton's aversion co openness in his alchemical pursuit. . L. Principe, "The alchemies of Rohert Boyk and Isaac Newton: alternative approaches and divergent deployments", in Rethinking the Scientific Rewolution (ed. M. J. Osler), Cambridge, 2000, 201-220.
accounting Peace a substantial Good. And for this reason, namely to avoid being baired hy lietle Smatterers in Mathematicks ... he designedly made his Principia abstruse; but yet o as) to be understood by able Mathematicians'. ${ }^{* \prime \prime}$ Similar dynamics applied in his theology. ${ }^{.81}$ John Craig claimed Newton would not publish his religious writings 'in his own time, because they show'd that his thoughts were some times different from thone which are commonly received, which would ingage him in disputes, \& this was a thing which he avoided as much as possible? ${ }^{*-2}$ By restricting his theology to himself and an imer group, he retained control of it and avoided disputes. As we shall see, his theology was moly presented in public after being rendered obscure. Here the philosophical notion of the adept blends with the theology of the remnant. ${ }^{\text {.". }}$ Newton was not about to cast his pearls before swine, lest they trample them underfoot and turn to rend him.

## ‘THE WISE X'ILL UNDERSTAND’: NEXTON, PROPHECY AND TH SECOND REFORMATION

Newton's theological papers reveal that he both desired a further reformation and rhought it providentially inevitable, Yet, although he had power to influence, he never made my open attempts at reform. ${ }^{24}$ Acoording to Haynes, it was fear of persecution and pressures from orthodoxy that stilled Newton's tongue, weakencd his zeal and prevented him from leading this return to primitive Christianity. ${ }^{\alpha \bar{x}}$ Yet we have just seen how Newton's remnant theology and distaste of disputes would have limited his evangelization. Another limitation derives from his interpretation of prophecy. A firm believer in biblial prophecy, Newton read hisory with Daniel and Revelation at his side and with them forecast the end of the age. However, while his antitrinitarian reading of prophecy hid implications for the present, including the contemporary Church, he did not commentate apocalyptically on events of his own day. Past history was profoundly shaped by the Mast High, the future would be charged with providential signs, but the present is deroid of prophetic activity. For Newton, there would be no Apocalypse now. His prophetic chronologies confirm this apocalyptic quiescence toward the present. Although reluctint to set dates, when he did the Millennium was put off to no sooner than the twent it th century. ${ }^{86}$ This was in direct contrast to then common views that the end would ocour in the eighteenth century. In one manuscript he set the end 'in the year of Lord [sic] 20nol, adding:

[^9]I mention this period not to assert it, but only to shew that there is little reason to cxpat it earlier, \& thereby to put a stop to the rash conjectures of Interpreters who are frequently ansigning the time of the end, \& thereby bringing the sacred Prophecies into discredit as often as their conjectures do not come to pass. It is not for us to know the times $\&$ seasons with ( wh hath put in his own breast. ${ }^{47}$

Not only did Newton place the end well beyond his own lifetime, but as he gre: older he pushed the date back further yet. He shifted the date for the onset of the 1260 -rea apostasy from 607 in the 1670 s, to increasingly later dates that suggested the end would come in the twenty-third or twenty-fourth century. ${ }^{\text {. }}$.
The apostasy was prophetically ordained to last for 1260 years, a period of history he believed would be "of all times the most wicked". ${ }^{54}$ Newton belicved the preaching of the everlasting Gospel to every nation and ' $\zeta$ " establishment of 〈true religion〉' would occur only at or after the fall of Babylon. ${ }^{90}$ In its broadest sense, the apostasy was to last from the time of the Apostles until the Second Coming of Christ. ${ }^{41}$ In what Protestant exegetes would have viewed as a shocking decentring of the Reformation, he wrote that the purity of religion' had 'ever since decreased' from the Apostle's time, and would continue to 'decrease more \& more to $y^{\prime \prime}$ end ${ }^{\prime 92}$ and that because the Gentiles have corrupted themselves we may expect that God in due time will make a new reformation Indeed, Whiston relates that Newton had 'a very sagacious Conjecture' that the apostas! 'must be put a stop to, and broken to Picces by the prevalence of Infidelity, for some time, before Primitive Christianity could be restored'. ${ }^{91}$ Only after this 'greatest decay of religion' would there be 'an universal preaching of the Gospel'. In case there could be .my doubt as to the timing of this great event, Newton went on to affirm that this is not yet fulfilled; there has been nothing done in $y^{\prime \prime}$ world like it, \& therefore it is to come'.9.5 No contemporary effort at reformation could pre-cmpt this plan any more than one wuld fight against God. Furthermore, the message would fall on deaf cars. ${ }^{96}$ A long period of corruption lay ahead.

Frustrated that Newton had not lent his great name to the cause of Primitive Christianity, after Newton's death Whiston dropped a bombshell. He surmised that Newton's prophetic notion of 'a long future corrupt State of the Church' might be a discouragement to Newton's 'making publick efforts for the Restoration of Primitive Christianity', just as Whiston's own 'Expectation of the near approach of the ( 1 nelusion of the corrupt State', and by consequence the time when Primitive Christianity was to be restored, greatly encouraged him 'ro labour for its Restoration' ${ }^{9.9}$ For Newton the growth

[^10]250.
of infidelity made open evangelization temporarily futile. Although anxiery over the possibility of exposure must have been a factor, what Whiston and Haynes interpreted straightforwardly as fear and want of zeal was a more complicated stance. It was not lack of faith; it was a strategy based on belicf. Newton did not expect the imminent return of Christ. Instead, he sequestered himself and lived through the dark and evil days in virtual silence. He waited while God waited, and the continuing infidelity of his age was a sign that the end was not nigh. It was not a time for prophetic boldness. ${ }^{98}$

## ‘FOR FEAR OF THE JEWS': LEGAL STRUCTURES AND SOCIAL DANGER

Newton lived in an age when heresy was not only a religious crime, but also a civil offence and a social outrage. When he converted to antitrinitarianism at Cambridge, he opponed a triad of legal structures: civil, coclesiastical and academic. These restrictions have nerer been presented in toto as a backdrop to Newton's actions, yet because the artifices of Nicodemism were in large part responses to such restrictions, these structures are crucial to an understanding of his strategies and manoeurres. First, throughout most of Newton's youth, the 1648 'Ordinance for the punishing of blasphemies and heresies' was in force. This law prohibited, inter alia, the denial of the Trinity or any Person thereof; those who did not abjure this error, would "suffer the pains of death ... without bencfit of Clergy". Imprisonment was mandated for lesser crimes, including mortalism and anti-paedobaptiom (both of which, as we have seen, are apparent in Newton's mature theology.). The 10:89 Toleration Act gave some relief to Protestant dissenters, but specifically excluded Roman Catholics and antitrinitarians. Further measures against antitrinitarianism were taken with the 1698 'Act for the more effectual suppressing of blasphemy and profaneness'. Enacted in the wake of the Trinitarian controversies, this Act ordered that anyone who by Writings, Printing, Teaching, or advised Speaking, deny any one of the Persons in the Holy Trinity to be God', should on the first offence be deprived of legal rights and any ecclesiastical, civil or military office. The second offence brought three year's incarceration. This law was not repealed until 1813.999

As for the orthodox standard of the Thirty-nine Articles, Newton denicd at least five Articles in full or in part, including the central Articles relating to the Trinity ( $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{vin}$, xxyif). ${ }^{100}$ He may have deviated from aspects of up to five others as well ( $\mathrm{xx}, \mathrm{xxI}$, xxili, xxxvi, xxxvif). ${ }^{1{ }^{101}}$ But the Thirty-nine Articles were not a fully comprehensive measure of

98 See also S. Snobelen, Caution, conscience and the Newtomian reformation: the publie and private herente, of Newton, Clarke and Whiston ${ }^{\circ}$, Fnhghtemment and Dissent (1997), 16, 151-84, which includes earlier unc: briefer presentations of some of the arguments made in this paper.

99 Robert Florida, 'British law and Socinianism in the 1 Th and 18 th centuries', in Socinaminn and its $K$ ole in the Culture of XV-th to XVII-th centures (ed. I. Szezucki), Warsaw, 1983, 206-10.
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heresy in the Church of Fngland. Newton's mortalism, for example, does no explicitly contradict any Article, yet would have been viewed as dangerous and heretical. The same can be said for his antitrinitarian view of Church history and rejection of evil virits. Of the three Creeds (Apostles', Nicene and Arhanasian), Newton devated from , ignificant parts of the second (by denying that Christ was 'very God') and from virtualls, the entire text of the third (by denying the co-equality and consubstanciality of the three l'ersons of the Trinity). What is more, the Athanasian Creed anathematized and damed those who denied its formulations.

The University of Cambridge established its own restrictions. In order to aciopt his BA and MA degrees, Newton subscribed to the Prayerbook and the Thirty-mine Xeticles in 1665 and 1668 . ${ }^{1 " 2}$ When he became a minor Fellow at Trinity College, Cambrides in 1667 , he took the oath imposed by the 1662 Act of Uniformity, by which he swore to "enform to the Liturgy of the Church of England . ${ }^{1 n 3}$ When he became a major Fellow in 1668, he swore 'to embrace the truce religion of Christ with all his soul' - that is, the Anglican Church. ${ }^{109}$ Finally, when he became Lucasian Professor of the Universiry in $166^{9}$ he again subscribed to the 1662 Act, ${ }^{105}$ and in signing the statute for the l.ucasian Profesom hip, also committed himself to a morality clause that demanded virtue (bonestas) and uderliness (modestia) and prohibited serious crimes, including heresy and schism, along witi, treason, murder, grand larceny, adultery, fornication and perjury. ${ }^{116 i}$ In addition w these subscriptions, Statute xlv (I)e Concionibus) of the University's Flizabethan Statur of 1570 contains several pertinent clauses relating to heresy, and prohibits public teachng, acting or defending against the Church within the Unisersity on pain of perpenal hanishment from that institution. ${ }^{197}$

As harsh as these restrictions appear, there was disparity hetween the severity of the laws and the leniency of their enforcement. The harshest of them, the 1648 Ordinnce, was repealed with the Restoration. Even while in force, the only person prosecuted under it was the Unitarian John Biddle, who was not put to death, but in the first instance s siled for three years. ${ }^{108}$ As for the 1698 Act, it, too, was rarely invoked. There is only a single known charge (in 1726), and even then the case was thrown out on techmal ground and the accused, who had written an antitrinitarian pamphler, was never indicted again, whough he neither recanted nor concealed his views. ${ }^{16 "}$

Newton may have becn more mindful of actual prosecutions. We now know in retrospect that they were the last antiminitarians to be burnt at the sake, but the cases of Bartholomew Legate and Fdward Wightman, who perished in 1012 at Smititield and Lichfield, were notorious. Although a reprieve was obtained, the English Socinian Paul Best
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was sentenced to hang in 1646. Former Oxford scholar Biddle died in prison in 1662 while Newton was an undergraduate. Other Nonconformists suffered as well. In 1063 a Fellow of Clare Hall (now Clare College) was charged after preaching privately in Cambridge and 'sentenced to abjure the realm in three months or to suffer death 1 a a felon'. Although a reprieve was obtained, he remained in Cambridge Castle until $16^{-2}$. When he again took up preaching on his relcase, he was once more remanded into custody. where he spent further time in Fleet Prison. ${ }^{110}$ In 1668 Daniel Scargill was expelled from Cambridge for ‘asserting impious and atheistic tencts’ and was reinstated only after a public recantation at Great St Mary's Church. ${ }^{11}$ Arthur Bury's Naked Gospel (1690) brought the author a $£ 500$ fine, a public burning of the book and excommunication. William Freke's Brief and Clear Confutation of the Doctrine of the Trinity (1693) brought a fine, a public burning of the book and a forced recantation. The infamous 1697 hansing. of Thomas Aikenhead in Scotland for various blasphemies, including denial of the Trimity. reminded radical dissenters of their precarious situation. ${ }^{11^{2}}$ In Dublin, Thomas Emlyn was fined $£ 1000$ and jailed in 1703 for antitrinitarianism. ${ }^{113}$

The examples of Newton's disciples Whiston and Clarke in the carly 1710s would have hit closest to home. Whiston's proclamation of antirrinitarianism cost him his professorship and any further hope of public office. Clarke's heterodox Scripture-Doctrine of the Trinity (1712) brought on him the compulsion to make peace with the Church and prevented further ecclesiastical preferment. Still, neither man was jailed or fined-let alone defrocked. Whiston went on to obtain patronage from the nobility, while Clarke retained his rectorship at St James's in London. Nor were they without support from W'higs and the low Church. Nevertheless, these outcomes were not predictable and for the rest of the ir lives the two faced a steady stream of immendo, accusations of heresy and polemial rracts. ${ }^{11+}$

This survey reveals a number of diachronic and practical issucs. First, by the carly eighteenth century the fires of Smithfield had long since gone our. There were no convictions during the last two decades of Newton's life and for some time before then had been reserved only for the more extreme cases. The chief intent of the heresy laws wan to discipline religion in the public sphere and as such they were only enforceable "hen heretics publicized their views. Mere rumours and accusations carried no weight in l.aw. Newton and other Nicodemite heretics could avoid prosecution by confining their activities, to the private domain. Being a heretic in one's heart was one thing; shouting blasphemy from the rooftops was another matter altogether. By Newton's London period the social repercussions and career implications of public heresy figured larger than the iron fit of

[^11]the law. However, while socially the confirmed epithet 'heretic" could have hung like a millstone around Newton's neck, he was also shielded by status and powerful allies. By the height of his London period there was simply too much invested in Newton. His exposure certainly would have created a scandal - but it would have been an agonizing one. The President of the Royal Society and his Principia were international propaganda tools of inestimable value. Whiston was expendable; the establishment could not afford to sacrifice Sir Isaac. Nevertheless, Newton never gave them cause to ponder the dilemma of his heresy.

## BOWING THE KNEE IN THE HOUSF OF RIMMON: STRATEGIES OF CONCEALMENT

After becoming a heretic in the early 1670 s, Newton faced a crisis of conscience: subscribe to the Thirty-nine Articles and become ordained in an apostate Church by 1675 or give up his Fellowship. There is evidence that Newton attempted to secure an excmpt Law Fellowship in February $1673 .{ }^{115}$ Westfall finds this plausible and uses it as a terminus ad quem for Newton's conversion to antitrinitarianism. After this attempt failed, Newton chose principle and had been prepared to resign his Fellowship when a last-minute reprieve came from Charles II, allow ing him to stay at Cambridge without taking orders. ${ }^{\text {" } " \text { " Newron }}$ had become a Nicodemite.

In this section I use a reconstruction of Newton's Nicodemism to evaluate his public religious manoeuvres. ${ }^{117}$. At the heart of Nicodemism are what I call strategies of concealment'. Concealment took two forms: simulating conformity and dissimulating (or dissembling) non-conformity. To use a contemporary articulation by Richard Steele, 'Simulation is a Pretence of what is not, and Dissimulation a Concealment of what is. ${ }^{111}$ For roughly fifty-five years, Newton simulated orthodox Anglicanism and disimulated heresy. The Latin term Nicodemites was first used by Calvin to characterize cryptoProtestants in Catholic territories who conformed publicly to the idolatry of Rome ${ }^{1{ }^{19}}$ - a situation not unlike Newton's own. A decades-long tradition of crypto-Socinianism had existed among the diaspora of Polish Brethren in the Low Countries and there were cryptoSocinians in England as well. At some point, Newton, whose friend Locke was himself a crypto-Socinian of sorts, ${ }^{120}$ would have become aware of such underground networks and Nicodemite strategies.

By Newton's day, a repertoire of scriptural texts had been assembled to justify simulation and dissimulation. ${ }^{121}$ The most notable case was the eponym of Nicodemism,

[^12]the disciple of Jesus who came to him by night (John 3:2). Joseph of Arimathea, hike Nicodemus, was a follower of Jesus, 'hut secretly for fear of the Jews' (John 19: 38. Old Testament examples could be invoked as well. After being commanded by God to toar down an offending altar of Baal, Gideon carried our his dury at night, because he fent his father's household, and the men of the city" (Judges 6: 27). After comerting to the (ind of Istael, Naman the Syrian pleaded with Elisha for permission to continue to bow his knee in the house of Rimmon while attending the worship of this pagan idol 2 King - . The prophet's response ('go in peace') was taken as a divine imprimatur of simulation. That this last example was still in currency in the cighteenth century is shown by its we against Whiston for 'frequenting the public service of the Church of Englund.' ${ }^{122}$

Frequent the service of the Church of England Newton certainly did. In Cambritse Newton faithfully attended Sunday service at Great St Mary's Church-although his weekday attendance at chapel was dilatory enough for his chamber-fellow Humphey Newton to comment ' $y$ ' He scarcely 〈knew〉y" House of Prayer'. 1?3 If ant truth can he gleaned from Stukeley's agenda-driven testimony, there was mo such batksliding in his London period. Stukeley noted that the great man could not excuse himself from the weekly solemn adoration of the Supreme Being, both out of principle and a regard to his intluence and example; and he was sensible that many persons were atrentive to his conduct in that respect? ${ }^{\text {. } 24}$ Such a policy would be consistent with his desire to apper God-fearing; Newton hated people thinking he was an infidel. A surviving Sacramenal Certificate from the Middlesex Comnty Records provides legal proof of Newtors attendance at communion in the parish church of St James's on 5 July 1702. ${ }^{12}$ Finall!, Woth his duodecimo bible 'with service Dirty', along with the well-worn praverhook in his octavo bible, provide compelling physical testimony to habitual worship in the idolate: Church. ${ }^{126}$

Perhaps because he was aware many were attentive to his conduct, Sewtom made a pent of involving himself (or allowing himself to be involved) publicly with the establinhed Church. While serving in Parliament as an MP for Cambridge University in 1689, Nowton was appointed to sit on a committee for relieving French Protestant ministers. ${ }^{\text {ET }}$ Thr twenty-two years from 1700 Newton was one of nine trustes of the Colden Syume

[^13]Tabernacle, a new chapel set up in his London parish. ${ }^{12 *}$ His duties involved selecting preachers, as in 1718 when he and six other directors (including Clarke) nominated Arthur Ashley Sykes to the afternoon preachership. ${ }^{1.29}$ In addition, Newton was appointed to the Commission for building fifty new churches in London and Westminster. ${ }^{130}$ He also became a commissioner for the project of completing St Paul's. ${ }^{131}$ By late 1705 , the newly knighted Sir Isaac could also be found dining with hishops at Lambeth Palace. ${ }^{132}$ But most stunning of all was Newton's appointment on 15 . . hay 1689 to a parliamentary committee for considering the 'Bill for Liberty and Indulgence to Protestant Dissenters'. This was none other than the 1689 Toleration Act. The committee members, all of whom were to have a voice in the deliberations, were to meet on 16 May 1689. ${ }^{133}$ When they reported back to Parliament the next day, they included among their recommended additions the requirement that dissenters 'profess Faith in God the Father, and in Jesus Christ his Eternal Son, the true God, and in the Holy Spirit, One Gisd blessed for evermore ${ }^{13+4}$ What could Newton - by this rime a fierce antitrinitarian - do in these pressing circumstances? He dare not raise suspicion by speaking out against the amendment. Perhaps he maintained a cautious silence. Did his implication in the 1689 Act - directed as it was in part against non-trimitarian dissenters like himself - weigh heavy on him and possibly even contribute to the psychological stresses that eventually led to his 1693 breakdown ? Or did he justify his involvement by convincing himself of the Hobbesian distinction between publicly legislated doctrine and the faith one could practicc yuietly in private? It is also possible that he saw the Bill as a positive advance for dissent and a herald of even greater liberties to come. We will never know. ${ }^{13.5}$ On the other hand, imsolvement (intentional or otherwise) in an Act that extended no tolerance to heresy would serve as the ultimate cover for a secret heretic. While Newton himself may not have seen these

[^14]various actions as simulatory，in practice they were（even when and if he did not actively． seek out the appointments）．${ }^{133}$ The favourable impression he made on his orthoden friends stands as stark testimony to their effectiveness．

Newton＇s converts Haynes and Whiston offer useful comparisons．We are told that Haynes made known his opposition to aspects of the Anglican liturgy by sitting down it appropriate moments．${ }^{137}$ Whiston at first contented himself with refraining from repeating the Athanasian Creed and then progressed to sitting down when this Creed was read，＇to shew the whole Congregation［his］disagreeing thereto．${ }^{1388}$ If Newton ever followed sinh practices，it is certain we would have known．Of course，Newton also had the advantape of the collusion of his parish priest：fellow heretic Samuel Clarke．

How did Newton view the sociology of Nicodemism？In his early treatise on Revelation， written in the 1670 s shortly after he became a heretic，Newton alludes to Jewish leaders like Nicodemus and Joseph of Arimathea and lays down a firm anti－Nicodemite polic！：
when thou art convinced be not ashamed to profess the truth．Ffor＜otherwise＞thou mavent become a stumbling hlock to others，\＆inherit the lot of those Rulers of $y^{\prime \prime}$ Jews who beliceded ：n Christ but yet were afraid to confess him least they should be put out of the Symagugue．Wheretine when thou art convinced be not ashamed of $y^{\prime \prime}$ truth but profess it openly ．．${ }^{123 /}$

He goes on to declare，＇rejoyce if thou art counted worthy to suffer in thy reputation ar in any other way for $y^{\prime \prime}$ sake of $y^{\prime \prime}$ Gospel＇．${ }^{11 "}$ Herc，certainly，is an example in whith Newton＇s ideals do not match his actions．Interestingly，Newton uses the verb＇disscmble＇ three times in his＇Paradoxical yuestions＇．${ }^{1+1}$ On the question of whether the Chur， Council of Sardica was Arian despite appearances，he wrote，

If you say they dissembled \＆were Arian in their heart while they were orthodox in tha ir langua［ge I］must ask you how you or any man clse know can know［that］〈For an accusaturn $w^{\text {thout }}$ knowledge is that $w^{\text {ch }} y^{\text {＂}}$ world calls clamour calumn \＆malice．$\rangle$ ．．We have no orther means of knowing men＇s faith but by profession 〈\＆outward〉 communion \＆way f worship．${ }^{1+2}$
Newton，later a victim of such calumny himself，had no trouble seeing the contemporary implications：
［Should any body of men b church of $o^{\text {ar }}$ age aetse any charge［heresy］upon any body of men of her own communion，\＆．．．only reply that notwithstanding＜their communion profesmon \＆practise＞they were hereticks in their hearts \＆only dis．．．such proceedings instly woud by all sober men be accounted as 〈malitious \＆＞barbarous an any we ever heard offan
It seems remarkable that Newton could write such things in a private document．Cleats， he knew from personal expericnce that outward conformity was no guarantee of inmind
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orthodoxy. It is possible that he is arguing that observers only have a right to comment on outward actions, thus preserving the private sphere as the jurisdiction of the individual and his or her God. This reasoning may also have been preparatory to a defence should he ever be exposed. In another manuscript, writing on the terrible excesses of the fourth-century Trinitarian persecuting power, Newton is acutely sensitive to the effects of such oppression on the minority non-Trintarians, writing that "if at $y$ " spoiling them of their Churches only were thus afflicted, what think you was their grief afterward when their worship even in private houses was interdicted [?]'." Newton shared their horror at the forcihle imposition of idolatry and was enraged at the gross impiety of legislating an end to private dissenting worship. As he well knew, the domestic sphere was sacred as the only unpoliced domain in which he himself could excrcise heresy in peace and without opposition.

Newton had to guard his words on a daily basis; a casual slip or an indiscrete turn of phrase could have spelled disaster. Two incidents shed light on Newton's diswimulation. Stukeley was witness to a revealing incident that occurred when Godfrey Kneller was painting his 1720 portrait of Newton. Noting that 'it was Sir lsaac's temper to s.e little ...I was delighted to observe Sir Ciodfry, who was not famous for sentiments of religion, sifting Sir Isaac to find out his notions on that head, who answered him with his usual modesty and caution ․ ${ }^{115}$ Gaetana Dehi, the first wife of Antonio) Cochi (himself known for unorthodoxy), is reputed to have asked Newton his opinion on the immortality of the soul - a litmus test of orthodoxy. Newton replied: 'Madam, I'm an experiment.al philosopher?. 1+6 Given what we now know of his mortalist leanings, this response can be seen as an arftul Nicodemite cvasion: no lie is told and the incriminating question is left unanswered. ${ }^{1 /}$

The caution apparent in Newton's acrions Whiston did not hesitate to label a- fear and suspicion. ${ }^{116}$ Allowing for Whiston's bias, other reports confirm Newton's tight-lipped stance, John Flamsteed wrote of Newton's 'Naturall temper' as "suspitious and too easy to be possest with calumnies'. ${ }^{119}$ In July 1727 Wodrow heard that Newton 'was pealous of himself, and when enquired, or in conversation, he chose to be silent, unles he wer perfectly master of the subject, or sure of what he had to say '. "in Hearne recorded testimony that Newton 'was full of thought, and spoke very little in company, so that his conversation was not agreeable ${ }^{151}$ Similarly, Benjamin Smith (a half-nephew who lived with Newton from 1-18) testifies that his uncle 'was in general silent and reserved'. ${ }^{152}$ Newten's tenant

[^15]at Woolsthorpe said that Newton＇was a man of few words ．．．but that when he did spe．．k ＇twas very much to the purpose＇．${ }^{153}$ But the most relevant testimony comes from Wodren， who in 1729 recorded the observation of Colin Maclaurin，who claimed that Newren ：\％ extremely cautiouse in his discourse＇on religions matters．${ }^{151}$ While these reports may $\ldots .$. something to common expectations of the philosophical rechuse，given the range of whe sources，they nevertheless must reflect a characteristic manifest to those who knew hem well．Ample evidence presented above reveals the practical necessity for Newnes discretion－particularly in theological discussion．Yet it must also be said that what ins for Whiston an evident sign of weakness and failure was a worthy mark of wise contwer for a member of the remnant who had reasons to maintain a Nicodemite stand．Th－jw not mean，however，that Newton never whispered a word while tearing down the dens of Baal at night．

## SOWING THF SEED IN SECRET：NFWTON＇S NFTWORKS AND PROGRAMME OF EVANGELIZATION

Newton opencd his early treatise on Revelation with the words Having searchet a tax the grace of God obtained 〈after〉 knowledge in y＂prophetique soriptures，I hate dwewh my self bound to commmicate it 〈for the benefir〉 of others，remembring ：＂judtmem ，if him who hid his talent in a napkin＇．${ }^{5 \pi}$ Scholars have presented hardly a comment en ane possibility that Newton was engaged in proselytizing．He knew the biblical injuncto，in preach；the above allusion is to Luke 19：20．There are many others，including the w．．．it Commission itself（Matthew 28：19）．While Newton＇s youthful enthusiasm mat inve become jaded through politics，pragmatics and prudence，${ }^{10 / 5}$ he nevertheless did devana a programme of evangelization－albeit barely visible to contemporarics and later histwina．

It may be that for the first fifteen to twenty years after his conversion to anai－ trinitarianism，Newton only＇thundered．．．in the isolation of his chamber．＇is：istue heretics，however，do not leave paper trails．If Newton had come into contad wish a clandestine antitrinitarian network during these years，it may help explate why hiv enty 1689 introduction to Locke so soon involved theological dialogue．Whatever the case in 1690 Newton sent his heretical confidant his＇Two notable corruptions＇with instruen．．．．s that it be published－albeit anonymonsly，on the continent and in French．Howerer，its

[^16]accompanying letter to Locke demonstrates that he was toying with the idea if having it published in English as well. ${ }^{15 x}$ The boldness of Newton's actions must be scen against the backdrop of the then raging Trinitarian controversies, ${ }^{159}$ and it is clear which side he would have been supporting. But the early 1690s were still dangerous times for a heretic and when Newton heard rumours about the publication of the 'Two notable comuptions', he immediately told Locke to suppress it. ${ }^{161}$

After his initial meetings with his fellow heretic Locke, Newton continued to reveal his belicfs to others. The Swiss mathematician Nicholas Fatio de Duillier was one of the first of Newton's theological disciples, ${ }^{161}$ although we cannot be certain of the degrec to which their discussions ventured into heretical theology. To Fatio's name we can add Hopton Haynes, Newton's associate at the Mint. Newton became Haynes's patron and helped him move up the ranks at the Mint to the position of assaymaster. ${ }^{162} \mathrm{~A}$ recently published letter shows that by 1701 Haynes was corresponding with Jean le Clere about Romms 9:5-a pivotal text in antitrinitarian exegesis - and that their correspondence had aroused suspicions among the orthodox. ${ }^{163}$ This exchange may have been prompted hy Newton, for whom direct contact with someone in possession of the 'Two notable corruptions' could have been hazardous. Haynes was also linked with leading Unitarimn Henry Hedworth. ${ }^{164}$ Characterized by Richard Baron as the most zealous uniturian' ho had ever known, ${ }^{\text {this }}$ Haynes's later publications confirm him to have been a strident opponent of Trinitarian doctrine. ${ }^{166}$

Clarke and Whiston followed between 1704 and 1706. Whiston also provider in insight into Newton's proselytization tactics. In what was likely a meeting of Whiston and Clarke with Newton, Whiston refers to 'an excellent Friend' of his opening a discussion ly stating 'that for his part, had it not been for the Church's farther Determination, he had been contented with the Arian Scheme '. ${ }^{167}$ Although Whiston stresses that this man', words at that time a little shock'd us both', both he and Clarke went on to accept views resembling Arianism. Whiston speaks of other occasions when the two were given access to. Newton's theological and prophetic views. ${ }^{16 \times}$ Unlike Whiston, with whom Newton broke in 1714 (probably because of Whiston's transgressions of publicity), Clarke enjoyed uninterrupted theological access to Newton. Indeed, Newton's half-nephew Benjamin Smith tertified that

[^17]Clarke was Newton's closest friend and supporter for the last two decades of the latter's life. ${ }^{169}$ It was through Clarke that Newton's theological network also included royalts in the person of Caroline, Princess of Wales, a supporter of Clarke widely rumoured to be heterodox. ${ }^{170}$ Richard Mead, Newton's friend and personal physician in his final years, knew enough about his patient's beliefs to inform Stukeley that Newton was a Christian who believed in revelation, but 'not all the doctines which our orthodox divines have made articles of faith ${ }^{1 / 71}$ - telling testimony from one of the last men to speak with Newtom.

Others, too, were given privileged knowledge of Newton's theology, including a group of notable Scotsmen. By May 1694, either through direct access or by means of a vertal epitome, Newton made David Gregory aware of his 'Classical Scholia' and perhaps more broadly his 'Origines' and its heterodox contents. ${ }^{172}$ Newton also told Gregory of his vicw that John's Gospel, John's Epistles and Hebrews 'recall the style of the Apocalypse, and are later than it', and revealed his unorthodox notion of successive creations. ${ }^{173}$ In Octuber 1694 another Scot, Archibald Pitcairne, attempted to obtain through the agency of Gregory 'a scheme of Mr Neuton's divine thoughts' as well as his 'thoughts anent differences in religion'. ${ }^{174}$ A year later he sought access through Gregory to Newton's 'papers' on 'the mythologies' and 'Christian religion'. ${ }^{175}$ Pitcairne's request was prefaced by a promis: to translate Newton's Opticks into Latin-possibly an offering to gain the access he desired. ${ }^{176}$ In 1706 Pitcairne reminded Gregory to 'keep Sir Isaac Neuton at work, that wee may have', among other things, 'his thoughts about God'. ${ }^{17 \%}$ The mathematician John Craig, who was aware that in matters of religion Newton's 'thoughts were some times different from those which are commonly receiv'd', ${ }^{1 / 4}$ wrote to Conduitt.

> Lamery eredibly informed 〈And this I know〉 that he was much more sollicitous in his inum: into Religion than into Natural Philosophy... And some persom informed me fhat <Ands: Newton> to make his inquiries into <the Christian> Religion the more successfull he had mad the ancient writers and Ecclesiastical Historians with great exactness, $\mathbb{\&}$ had drawn up in writing great Collections out of both. ${ }^{179}$
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Finally, we now know that late in life, Newton revealed his unorthodox views on the Trinity in a guarded manner to mathematician Colin Maclaurin, who told W whow that he had heard Newton express himself pretty strongly upon the subordination of the Son to the Father, and say, that he did not see that the Fathers, for the first thre or four centuries, had opinions the same with our modern doctrine of the Trinity `. ${ }^{1 \times w}$

In the months before his death Newton met with the Socinian Samuel Crell, grandson of Johann Crell, who was in England to publish an antitrinitarian work. ${ }^{1 \times 1}$ A formerly unavailable letter from Samucl Crell to Newton shows that before their first personal meeting in July 1726 (which had been arranged by another person), Crell had sent Newton a list of propositions for his book, seeking patronage. Nor did Crell shy away from revealing the main thrust of the work: 'if only Christian Theologians had seen and acknowledged that Christ is nowhere in Scripture expressly called God...so many controversies about the Deity of Christ [Christi Deitute] would not have been stirred up. 's. This unequivocally antitrinitarian statement shows that Crell knew Newton's position - knowledge that must have come from someone else (possibly Locke, with whom Crell had stayed in 1699). ${ }^{\text {1xi. }}$ Crell was also careful to assure Newton that his mame would not be revealed. Furthermore, the letter shows that Newton had 'liberally' assisted Crell's return to Germany some fifteen years carlier - a previously unknown contact. ${ }^{\text {¹ }}$ Nor was this the only personal encounter between the ageing heretics, for Crell later recomated that while in England, he had 'spoken at different times' (aliquoties sum locutus) with Newton. Crell also noted that Newtom had 'wished to read my book, and did read it ... hecause it seemed to contain new things. ${ }^{1 \times 5}$ What is more, at some point Newton placed ton guineas in Crell's hand. ${ }^{1 \times 6}$ Since Newton's library contained Crell's volume, ${ }^{1 \times 7}$ thin support possibly related to the latter's subscription drive. Newton's multiple patronage of a known Socinian heretic is revealing. Most significantly of all, both the 1711 and 1726 , contacts presuppose the involvement of unnamed mediators: Newton's sympathies must have been known among the respublica litteraria baereticalis. ${ }^{\text {sis }}$

Such theological networking and proselytizing tactics are confirmed by Whistom, who in 1728 wrote of Newton 'of late communicating his Thoughts' on prophecy and heretical theology to others and testified that he had revealed his beliefs on Arianism and thanasius to 'those few who were intimate with him all along; from whom, notwithstanding his

[^18]prodigiously fearful, cautious, and suspicious Temper, he could not always conceal an important a discovery, ${ }^{189}$ In the case of his above-mentioned meeting with Whiston and Clarke, Newton's strategy is obvious: he spoke favourably of an antitrinitarian form of theology ('Arian' may simply be Whiston's gloss), yet was cautious enough to allow a way out by implying submission to the pronouncements of the Church. We see similar care taken in Newton's discussions with Maclaurin. ${ }^{199}$ Whiston records a heterodox discussion he and Clarke had with Newton on the episcopal system, as well as the fact that by 1-12 Haynes was aware of Newton's anti-paedobaptist and antitrinitarian positions. ${ }^{191}$ It is likels that Newton also worked with other individuals of whom direct evidence is now lost. Onc such example is related by Whiston, who writes that sometime before 1704 or 1705 Newton had steered a tutor at King's College, Cambridge away from Socinianism to Arianism. ${ }^{1,1 / 2}$ While it is impossible to determine whether Whiston or his source were using these labels accurately, this account again suggests that Newton was involved in antitrinitarian networks.

Newton did not stop at private evangelization. We have seen that in 1690 he intended to publish his 'Two notable corruptions'. While he suppressed it in 1692 , there is evidence to show that in later years Newton again considered publication. By 1709 he had commissioned Haynes to translate this document into Latin (or at least the first part on the comma Johanneum). ${ }^{19: 3}$ The appearance of 'Amsterdam. 1709' on the title-page suggent. intended publication. In a letter written after Newton's death, Haynes not only confirmed that he had translated the document 'at the desire of $S^{\prime}$. Isaac', but also disclosed that Newton had 'intended them for the Press, and only waited for a good opportunity ${ }^{1} .{ }^{191}$ While a 'good opportunity' does not seem to have come, Newton's intentions are revealing. Nor were Locke and Haynes the only associates of Newton with privileged knowledge of the 'Two notable corruptions'; sometime before 1715, both Clarke and Whiston were aware of its existence. ${ }^{195}$ Bentley may have known as well. ${ }^{1966}$ Thus, in a manner common to the republic of letters, Newton may have granted an inner group access to this treatise - or even allowed a restricted citculation of manuscript copies of the document. ${ }^{197}$
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As in his natural philosophy, Newton used agents to help achies his theolderal goals and this may have been one of his motivations for revaling his fath to other. Clarke is the most obvious example. We know that Clarke translated Newton's Optick: moto latin and did battle with Gottfried Leibniz on the fommer's hehalf; Newton's involument in the latter affair has been confirmed. ${ }^{\text {tax }}$ A note in the final dition of (larkes Boyl Lectures indicates that two arguments relating to Danicl's Prophecy of the Sceenty Wech had been 'extracted out of a MS communicated by Sir Isara Newton: and was published in his lifetime in the foregoing Editions of this Discourse, with his express consent'. '9." Whiston also claims that Clarke borrowed prophetic interpretations from Newton and that he used
 Ramsay, who had discussions with Clarke shortly before the latter's death, affirmed that Newton 'voulut ... renouvella l'Arianisme par l'organe de son famenx disciple \& interprete M. Clarke'. ${ }^{201}$ If Newton really did want to restore 'Arianism' through (lorke, it is possible that he helped inspire Clarke's Scripture-Doctrine. ${ }^{2 n 2}$ Still. Newton - mame was not attached.

In 1713, however, Newton did publish an element of his theology under his . wn name. Larry Stewart has recently shown that the theological elements in the Gencral sholium to the second edition of the Principia were meant to proclam his antitrinitarian faith and support Clarke; moreover, several theological adepts - friend and foe-- recognined this. ${ }^{2013}$ No scholar has yet identified the exact nature of the theology in the General scholium, other than to note affinities with Arianism. I want to argue that it is also intormed by Socinianism. In 1714 John Fdwards claimed that Newton's notion of God as a rulative term as presented in the General Scholium had been lifted out of the thirteenth, hapter of Johann Crell's De Deo et eius attributtis. ${ }^{201}$ Trinitarians posit that the term (ond a absolute and refers to essence; Socinians argued that it is relative, obtaining its meaning fom office, dominion and power. Crell makes this very point in Chapter 13 of his De Der, uriting that the term God 'is neither by nature particular, nor does it signify Goots essence
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itself ... the term God is principally a name of power and cmpire'. ${ }^{205}$ This is exactly the argument presented in the General Scholium. Newton claims that 'God is a relative word, and has a respect to servants... It is the dominion of a spiritual being which constitutes a God ${ }^{206}$ As Edwards implied, the presentation of God as a relative word and God as God of dominion are found in Crell's De Deo. ${ }^{207}$ Moreover, in his 1726, third edition Newton added a note to this passage that claims persons other than the supreme God can be called God - a classic Socinian argument also found in the same chapter of Crell's De Deo, ${ }^{20 n}$ as are three of the four proof texts Newton employed. ${ }^{209}$ Furthermore, Newton's added argument about false Gods is identical to what we find in another of Crell's writings. ${ }^{211}$ Even if Newton had not used Crell's De Deo specifically, the argumentation and small florilegium of scriptural references are typical of Socinian hermencutics. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{No}$ orthodox theologian was presenting concepts like these. The parallels are simply too close and the theology too distinctly Socinian to be ignored. The General Scholium is a heretical document. ${ }^{212}$

Thus Newton was indeed preaching his faith. ${ }^{213}$ It was a strategy of proselytization carried out almost completely in the private sphere and done so, as we have seen, not only for legal and social reasons. This reconstruction of Newton's actions tallies well with his belief that the deeper things of theology should only be handled by the experienced and mature members of the remnant and, even then, only in private. But there still remains the problem of the vast corpus of heretical manuscripts that Newton left behind at his death. It is possible that their survival is a mere accident of their accumulation for purposes of personal study and edification, along with limited circulation among his followers. The evidence outlined above demonstrates that men like Humphrey Newton, Locke, Gregor?, Haynes, Clarke and Whiston ${ }^{21.4}$ were either given access to, or had knowledge of, Newton's theological manuscripts, thus suggesting one of the uses Newton intended for some of his theological writings, and possibly explaining (along with his almost pathological

[^19]fastidiousness) the existence of multiple drafts of the same material. Alternmely, it is possible that Newton intended to preach posthumonsly through the more formalized and coherent manuscripts he allowed to survive him (such as the material eventually published as the Chronology and the Obseruations), even though the heretical edge had bein written out of these documents. ${ }^{16}$ That this is a real possibility and no mere speculaton is made plain by the similar strategy he hinted at in the 1670 s for his natural philowophical work. Frustrated by the disputes engendered by his 1672 paper on colours in the lhylosophical Transactions, Newton wrote to John Collins that he had 'learnt what's to my convenience, wh is to let what I write ly by till I am out of ye way '. ${ }^{17}$ Similarly, later the wome month he told Henry Oldenhurg that he would 'bid adew' to philosophy' 'eternalls. excepting what I do for my privat satisfaction or leave to come out after men. ${ }^{24}$ Thus Nowton lays out two strategies of private writing and posthumous publication that mar have operated in his theological programme as well. Newton's last act, his refusal of sacrament, ${ }^{219}$ may also have been an attempt to proclaim his faith. Perhaps with this action, and hy bestowing heretical manuscripts to posterity, Newtom intended to remove the mask of Nicodenism only in death.

## 'THE WHITEST SOUL': NFWTON AS BELIFVER

From his Cambridge days Newton gained a reputation as a theologian. In 160, Abraham de la Pryme, then a student at St John's, referred to Newton as a mont excellent mathematician, philosopher, divine, etc. ${ }^{220}$ While the term divine may merel be a loose extension of a characterization of Newton as a polymath, it is not inconceithele that it reflects an image he had acquired by that time. ${ }^{2 \underline{ }=1}$ Around the same time, the wowal critic John Mill, who had become aware of Newton's extensive work in this fidd, wught the latter's assistance with his critical edition of the New Testament. In the late 1670 s Newton prepared and probably delivered at his College one and possibly two lay sermons. ${ }^{223}$ Seeking advice, Thomas Burnet sent Newton a pre-publication draft of

[^20]material from his Telluris theoria sacha in late 1680 and the two men had a shor epistolary exchange on the interpretation of the early chapters of Genesis. ${ }^{2+4}$ We also know that as early as 1680 Newton had held detailed discussions at Cambridge with Henry More over the interpretation of the Apocalypse. ${ }^{23 ;}$ Newton's theological prowess in confirmed ty those who knew him best. Locke described Newton as a very valuable man not onely for his wonderful skill in Mathematicks but in divinity too and his great knowledg in the Scriptures where in I know few his equals'. ${ }^{-26}$ In 1700, when the Mastership of Trinity was offered to Newton on the condition he take orders, Archbishop Tenison 'importuned him to [take] any preferment in the Church', pleading, 'Why will you not? you know more divinity than all of us put together.' Newton replicd equivocally, 'why then...I shall be able to do you more service than if I was in orders'. 27

Testimonies of Newton's Bible-centred faith are also on record. Conduitt wrote of Newton that 'the book commonly laying before him \& $w^{\text {ch }}$ he read often at last was a
 oblivious to the horrible irony of heretical intent, expostulates:

No man in England read the Bible more carefully than he did, none studyd it more, as appears by his printed works, by many picees he left which are not printed, and even by the Bible which he commonly used, thumbd over, as they call it, in an extraordinary degree, with frequene? of use. ${ }^{235}$

Flamsteed also reported secing a bible in Newton's room in 1700. ${ }^{231}$
While Conduitt and Stukeley were attempting to construct an image of Newton as pions student of Scripture, we can cut through the myth-making and corroborate this testimon: with more substantive documentary and physical evidence. First, there is Newton's own expression of the ideal to study the W'ord, writing to his reader of the need to "search the scriptures thy self [through] frequenr reading and constant meditation upon what thon readest'. ${ }^{232}$ Much later in life he wrote that after baptism and admission into communion, men are 'to study the scriptures <to the end of their lives〉\& learn as much as they can out of them \& live accoding $|s i c|$ to what they karn?. 23.3 Newton clearly acted on the ideals, as his theological manuscripts reveal a close and extensive familiarity with Hols Writ, demonstrating, as Manuel says, that 'studying this book was Newton's worship':=1 Another striking measure of biblical studies is Newton's collection of over thirty bible -
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including texts in English, Greek, Latin, Hebrew, French and Syriac. ${ }^{[35}$ One bihle described as annotated by Newton - a 1660 duodecimo and possibly the bible Conduirt saw - is thought to be lost, but the surviving description implies that it was well worn. ${ }^{236}$ One of Newton's surviving bibles, a 1660 octavo, also contains annotations and with its dogearing and heavy soiling shows signs of sustained reading and study. ${ }^{237}$ All of this offers a compelling glimpse of what was an intensely private and individual faith.

Further testimonies highlight Newton's piety. Bishop Gilbert Burnet favoured Newton with the observation that the great man was 'the whitest soul he ever knew', 3 .3. Voltaire, struck during his 1726 consersations with Clarke that the latter always mentioned the name of God with great reverence, was told by Clarke that he had learned the habit from Newton. ${ }^{239}$ This compares well with Newton's manuscripts, which decry maming God 'idly \& without reverence'. ${ }^{211}$ Conduitt, soothing his own alarm over Newton': refusal to receive the sacrament on his dearhbed, wrote that Newton's 'whole life was a preparation for another state' and that 'he had his lamp always ready lit \& his loins girted' - alluding to Luke $12: 36 .{ }^{241}$ Indeed, his apocalyptic chronology notwithstanding, Newton's own words imply that he prayed daily for the Kingdom to come. ${ }^{242}$ Hardly the cold, religious rationalist of some accounts, he also speaks of Jesus as 'our Lord' and of having 'made an attonement for us \& to have <satisfied Gods wrath \& > merited pardon $\mathbb{\&}$ to have washed away our sins in his blood ${ }^{\prime} .^{243}$ Newton concerned himself with the spiritual welfare of others as well. He helped Fatio through a spiritual crisis in 1692, with the Swiss mathematician expressing his gratitude to Newton: 'I thank God my soul is extremely quiet, in which you have had the chief hand. ${ }^{244}$ In 1716 or shortly before, Newton acted as a spiritual advisor to Joseph Morland, who assured the former, 'I have done and will do my best while I live to follow your advice to repent and believe', and then added: 'Pray write me your opinion whether on the whole I may dye with comfort'.$^{.45}$

There was also much untrumpeted charity. The son of Newron's chamber-fellow, Wickins, speaks of 'a Charitable Benefaction' that Newton passed privately through his father and him for the dispensing of 'many Dozens of Bibles sent by him for poor people', which, Wickins's son adds, 'bears $y^{\prime \prime}$ great regard he had to Religion'. ${ }^{246}$ Newtun gave $£ 10$

[^21]towards a scheme to sel up charity shools in Cambridge, ${ }^{247}$ and became a paying subscriber to the Commission for relieving poor proselytes. ${ }^{\text {Wh }}$ He also put money toward the repair of his boyhood parish church in Colsterworth. ${ }^{2+9}$ Aoreover, a note in his octave bible attests that Newton gave it to the woman who nursed him in his final illness. ${ }^{250}$ These are but a few illustratice examples; Westfall records Newton's numerous acts of charity to family and strangers, conduding that it was 'well above average' for a man of ho means. ${ }^{2-11}$

Coupled with these claims and ighs of piety are recollections of Newton's moral austerity and intolerance of levit! in religion. His half-niece Catherine Conduitt recalled how Newton "could not bear to hear any one talk ludicrously of religion", and that he was 'often |a|ngry with D' Halley on that soore' and even 'lessened his affection for Bentley' because of this. Benfamin Smith wontirms this testimony . One ane edote has Halley - talking against Christianity before Sir Isaac, and saying that it wanted mathematical demonstration', at which Newton stopped Halley by saying, 'Mun, you had better hold your tongue; you have never sufficenty considered the matter. ${ }^{2554}$ Catherine Conduitt also related that Newton broke a long standing friendship with the chemist John Francis Vigani, upon the latter's 'telling him a leose story: about a Nun ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{255}$ To this we can add Whiston's claim that Newton had told him that the wicked Behaviour of most modern Courtiers' had been caused by their having laughed themselves out of Reltgion'. ${ }^{2.56}$ l.et there be no mistake; in his biblicism, piety and morality, Newton was a puritan through and through.

Finally, Newton supported apologetic efforts against unbelief. Craig wrote that Newton 'was much more sollicitous in his inquiries into Religion than into Natural Philosophy; 某 that the reason of his showing the ertors of Cartes's Philosophy, was because he thought it was made on purpose to be the foundation of infidelity ${ }^{\prime 257}$ Newton's manuscripts substantiate his hatred of atheism, the proponents of which he had no trouble consigning to God's book of death . ${ }^{254}$ A firm advocate of the Design Argument, ${ }^{259}$ he clamed in his

[^22]famous letters to Bentley that he intended the Principia to reveal the Creator．${ }^{2 i i}$ Newton added to this the Prophecy Argument for revelation．${ }^{261}$ Nor is it insignificant that his two closest disciples，Whiston and Clarke，were among the period＇s most vocal anti－deists．

Certainly，as men like Robert Hooke，Flamsteed and Leibniz could attest，Newton was far from being a perfect saint，and a comprehensive picture must incorporate all of the contradictions．Neverthcless，the material presented in this section has helped locate Newton＇s religious middle：although a heretic，he had a great respect for Scripture and true religion，and was an active opponent of unbelief．With some of the testimony，however，it is difficult to sift between reality and construction．Conduitt was collecting material for a projected biography of Newton and was not prone to record testimony that would contradict what would have been a veritable hagiography．Another problem is the misreading of Newton＇s devotion to the Scriptures as a sign of orthodox．That such misreading could be accidental is revealed by Wodrow，who，on hearing that Locke had been engaged in reading his bible beforc his death，remarked，＇it＇s a most eminent instance of God＇s soveraigne dealing with one of the main propes of the Socinians and Deists，and may be a means to engage his admirers to value the Scriptures＇．${ }^{262}$ For Wodrow，a biblicist antitrinitarian was a contradiction in terms．While it was not possible to provide the world with tokens of Newton＇s orthodoxy，Conduitt could still use Newton as a weapon against unbelief．Thus he writes，

If there be any of so narrow principles as the not to bear with his not going into one point of the highest orthodoxy let them reflect what an advantage it is to Christianity in general 〈in this age of $>$ infidelity ts to have a Layman such a Philosopher \＆$⿻$ \＆have spent so much study upon divinity $\&$ so publick＜$\&$ strenuous〉 an espouser of 〈advocate for〉 it．${ }^{2063}$

As for Stukeley，when he was not able to point to direct confirmations of orthodoxy，he used the best evidence available：Newton＇s piety，Bible－reading and regular church attendance．${ }^{264}$ By reading these things as signs of orthodoxy，interpreter failed to recognize（or acknowledge）the added complication of Newton＇s Nicodemism．The following section will demonstrate another reason why such attestations were deemed so necessary．

## ‘CLAMOUR CALUMNY \＆MALICE’：NEWTON AND THE RU＇MOUR MILL

The degree to which Newton was able to keep the heresy within the private sphere will provide a measure of the strictness of his Nicodemism．For this，the evidence of the ever－ active rumour mill is invaluable to show that even Newton，who meticulously controlled his image and access to his person，could not quell rumours about his heresy．Some of these rumours were initiated by the exploits of his disciples．When Whiston was
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on trial for heresy in 1711, Wodrow wrote that 'it is said [Whiston] has not only much of his Mathematicks, but severall of his other errours from Sir Isaack Neuton, which', Wodrow nevertheless adds, 'I incline not to believe'. ${ }^{265}$ Before long the rumour mill was hinting at conspiracy. Edwards, who may have known more than he made out, surmised in 1712 that Whiston and Clarke were working together to oppose the Trinity. ${ }^{\text {.666 }}$ Two years later Wodrow was told by 'good hands’ that Whiston, Clarke, Newton and Bishop Moore were involved in an Arian conspiracy. ${ }^{267}$ The same year, Edwards accused Clarke and Newton of conferring notes together, 'as it is thought', to add Socinian argumentation in the General Scholium, and that Newton had intended with this to appear in favour of Clarke's unorthodox Scripture-Doctrine. ${ }^{2688}$ In his literary debate with Clarke, Leibniz, two, accused Newton of holding to a Socinian view of God. ${ }^{269}$

Nor did such talk disappear after this turbulent period. In 1725 Wodrow wrote, 'I am told that Dr Clerk is extremly intimat with Sir Isaack Neuton, and had much of what he published from him; particularly what he has writt against [Anthony] Colins and others is all the fruit of his conversation with Sir Isaack'. ${ }^{270}$ Thus innuendoes about Clarke's complicity with Newton were still making the rounds over a decade after the former published his Scripture-Doctrine. Although he believed otherwise, Whiston recorded in 1728 that Newton was rumoured to have been the author of an antitrinitarian tract called 'The History of the Great Athanastus' - a suspicion raised because the views presented therein were 'so very like Sir I.N.'s Notions of that famous Heretick'., ${ }^{-71}$ Since the inner group would have known better, this supicion must have had wider circulation. ${ }^{272}$

But there were worse rumours yet. Once in a heated exchange with Flamsteed, in $1^{-1} 11$, Newton accused the former of calling him 'Atheist'. Although he denied this, Flamsteed recorded that he nevertheless knew 'what other people have said of a paragraph in his Optics; which probably occasioned this suggestion'. ${ }^{273}$ Similarly, alluding to the natural

[^23]philosophy of the Opticks and Principia, Leibniz wrote to Princess Caroline in 1715 expressing his concern about the orthodoxy of Newton's work. ${ }^{\text {it }}$ Nor nete these completely uncommon inferences. In 1713 George Hickes wrote that the Newtonian philosophy ... hath Made Not onely so Many Arrians but Theists'. ${ }^{275}$ Sometime be fore 1726, the Bishop of Oxford claimed that the greatest deists we have now came ix Schola Newtoniana’. ${ }^{276}$ Thomas Hearne wrote in 1731 that Newton had 'little Religion', and 'therefore could not be a good Interpreter of Scripture'. ${ }^{27 \%}$ The following yarr, Hearne expanded on this sentiment by observing that not only was Newton 'a man of very little Religion', but that he was 'ranked with the Heterodox men of the age', some making him 'with respect to belief, of no better principles' than the anti-scriptural deis Thomas Woolston. ${ }^{278}$

As a final indignity, and testifying to the almost infinite capacity of slander to degenerate to outrageous excess, Whiston referred to 'Surmises or Reports which have heen sometimes spread abroad' that Locke and Newton 'had sometimes been, or that they died Infidels, ${ }^{279}$ In a variation of these rumours, Samuel Johnson once affirmed that Newton 'set out an infidel, and came to be a very firm believer'. ${ }^{2 \times N}$ So, even though he nower openly revealed his heretical beliefs, Newton was subject to rumours about his orth doxy-or lack of it. ${ }^{281}$

As slanderous and potentially inaccurate as these rumours are, it is possible to use this oral testimony to gain positive insights into Newton's activities. First, even with the most careful planning, it was incvitable that some of his public actions - or non-action - should arouse suspicions. The rumours were in part artefacts of his Nicodemism. As early as 1705, Newton had to face cries of 'No Occasional Conformity' (a taunt aimed at dissenters) from 'a hundred or more soung students', when he stood as a candidate for P'arliament in Cambridge. ${ }^{232}$ Newton was also exposed to the actions of his followers. Although he became a heretic in the early 1670 s, and had been revealing his faith cauriousl to selcct individuals from as early as 1689 , the first substantial rumours of his unorthodony did not filter into the public sphere until the beginning of the 1710 s . This was the same time that the heterodoxy of Newton's followers Whiston and Clarke became public knowlidge, and nothing could hide the fact that Newton was associated with these men. With Whiston's

[^24]Primitive Christianity Revia'd in 1711-12, followed by Clarke's Scripture-Doctrine in 1-12 and Newton's General Scholium in 1713, it is not hard to see why the heresy watchdogs smelled an Arian conspiracy. Whether or not they were right, in the climate raised by Whiston's publications and prosecution, along with the release of Clarke's ScriptwiDoctrine, Newton's theologically charged General Scholium was a daring enterprise.

Although Newton was careful and selective in sharing his faith, there is no reason w suppose that his followers were committed to the same degree of caution. Thus it is likely that some of the fuel for the rumours came from disciples who leaked information about their master's beliefs to the public. Here Whiston looms large. Apart from the obvions association between the two in the mind of the public, Whiston stirred the flames of suspicion by twice alluding to Newton's similar heterodoxy during his heresy proceedings. Embittered that he had to fight on his own, and almost certainly alluding to Newtom, Whiston declared in 1711 that he was 'shock'd that [this] excellent Person does not mure freely declare the Reasons of such his ancient Sentiments, and more freely endeavour the Alterations of such Things in our Church, as he cannot but know or suspect to the [unsupported] by the Christian Revelation in these Matters?. ${ }^{283}$ In 1712, Whiston went a step further and named names, appealing directly to Newton, Clarke and several other, to leave behind worldly caution and support the cause of Primitive Christianity openls Such public insinuations would not have passed unnoticed by either Newton or orhers. There is also unambiguous evidence from the years immediately after Newton's death that Whiston passed on information about Newton's theology in private conversations; ${ }^{2 n 5}$ it is possible that he had also engaged in this activity while the great man was still alive.

It is also clear that some of the rumours tell us more about people's expectations of heretics. Here the assumptions of the slippery slope go a long way to making sense of the more extreme rumour-mongering. It was commonly believed that Arianism was a steppingstone to 'lower' Christologies, deism and even infidelity. Thus W'odrow claimed that 'the transition and leap' from Arianism to deism 'is very easy and naturall'. 286 Edwards, for his part, saw an 'Atheistick Tang' in Socinianism. ${ }^{287}$ It is also evident that not everyone saw Newton as a hero. Thomas Hcarne, orthodox, Tory and fiercely partisan Oxford man, is a case in point. So is the embittered Pierre Des Maizeaux, who countered testimony about Newton's charity. ${ }^{2 s 8}$ Some drew assumptions of irreligion from Newton's matural philosophy. Negative testimony played a contributing role as well; Newton offered fuw positive signs of orthodoxy. Ironically, Newton's career would have appeared suspicionly secular from the vantage point of an outsider. This lack of public orthodoxy made him . in casy target for slander. Thus, alongside the active industry of representing him as orthodox, pious and orderly, there was a counter-current that perceived and portraved Newton as heretical, irreligious and subversive.

[^25]
## THE STRATEGIES OF A NICODEMITE

Conduitt claimed that 'Sr I. had the happiness of being born in a land of libert! $\mathbb{S}$ in an age〉 where he [could] speak his mind - not afraid of [the] hnquisition as Galiler was’..ss This was not entirely correct of Newton's natural philosophy; it was patently untrue of his religious faith. As Mark Goldie has written, 'Restoration England was a pursecuting socicty". ${ }^{290}$ Although toleration was increasing during the early eighteenth century, Newton still had reason to fear the powerful social inquisition. Barred from public expressions of his heresy, and in response to these restrictive structures, Newton accessed and developed a series of Nicodemite strategies and, with few exceptions, turned inward and contained his religion within the private sphere. Recovering these strategic- is crucial to making sense of his public and private manoeurres.

Attempts to align Newton with any single theological tradition will end in failure. Newton was an eclectic theologian, drawing from Anglicanism, Calvinism, Judaism, ${ }^{291}$ fourth-century Arian sects, seventeenth-century radical theologies and his own cergetical innovation. The last three strands were hererical and his awareness of this hrought the demands of isolation. But no man is an island - not even Newton. The cuphoria of religious discovery and the need for fellowship induced him to seek spiritual communion with Locke, Fatio, Haynes, Whiston, Clarke and - perhaps carliest of all - in the books of Sand and the Polish Brethren. When Newton planned to publish his 'Two notable corruptions' in 1690, and when he did publish his General Scholium in 1713, his arguments and intentions aligned him with these and other antitrinitarian enemies of the Church. Here it is imperative that we understand Newton's theological middle. We must jettison metaphors of verticality - in which orthodoxy is placed at the top and infidelity at the bottom - and reposition the scale horizontally. Newton had a clear sense of where he stood: on his right were the orthodox (who added to the truth) and on his left the infidels (who took away from it). The world around him was corrupt and in his ideali,m he set out to separate himself from it. To buttress his position, he appropriated theologies uncorrupted by homoousianism - ancient and modern - and which emphasized his Creator God of dominion. That Newton himself was no deist, there can be no doubt ; devists do not believe in prophecy or the saving power of the blood of Christ, nor do they secretly donate copies of God's Word to the poor. The inability of most contemporary observers to grasp Newton's middle has led to a great deal of misconstruction. Viewed along the $x$-axis of biblicism and piety, Newton looked orthodox; yet along the $y$-axis of doctrine, he appeared heretical - even dangerously so. Thus from the actions of the same man cmerged the two conflicting (and incorrect) portrayals of committed Anglican and radical infidel.

The utility of the rumours as an explanatory device should now be apparent. Most importantly, they acted as yet another limiting structure within which Newtwn had to operate. For a man who hated disputes, the rumours were confirmation of just how controversial open preaching would have been. The reports of unorthodoxy aloo provide

[^26]an illustrative backdrop to Kneller's insistent prodding and Debi's provocative question. Working under a siege of innuendo, Newton was further entrenched in his policy of silence. A man who believed that philosophy was 'an impertinently litigious Lady ${ }^{29}$ was most unlikely to subject his heretical theology to the maclstrom of public opinion. After his decease, the existence of anti-Newton slander also motivated reactive image-making and attempts to control the jurisdiction of the public Newton. Realizing that the rumours of infidelity were at one level by-products of Newton's positioning of his faith within the private sphere, those with privileged knowledge of his piety wanted to compensate by thrusting this into the public domain. Craig pleaded with Conduitt to publish Newtor's manuscripts, so that 'the world may see that $S^{\prime}$ Is: Newton was as good a Christian as he was a Mathematician \& Philosopher', which would prevent 'the Infidells' from pretending 'that his applying himself to the study of Religion was the effect of Dotage' ${ }^{2.93}$ Whiston had his own partisan reasons for appealing for publication and Unitarian apologists later took up his call. ${ }^{29+}$

Newton's heresy and Nicodemism are also valuable in explaining several aspects of his career. The pressures associated with his secret heresy and Nicodemite ways provide an additional backdrop to the breakdown of $1693^{295}$ The pilgrimage from Cambridge to London in 1696, which Newton had sought for several years, may also be seen ar keast partly in light of his heresy. As Whiston was to discover fourteen years later, London offered a world without oaths or religious tests. Newton cultivated an image of respectability there and also engineered for himself the powerful protection of patronage and social connections. It is worthy of note, therefore, that clear evidence for Newton's heretical networking and proselytizing begins only in the late 1680 s and early 1690 s . PortPrincipia confidence and the relative security of his London period help explain this beginning or increase in clandestine preaching. But security also came through the soothing of conscience, and a degree of reflexivity may be evident in the equivocal functions of his remnant theology and apocalyptic chronology as prescriptive or justificatory of his religious stance and high station in lifc. Ironically, although an ardent premillenarian in eschatology, his confidence in delaying the end to well beyond his lifetime meant that his attitude to his own age bore a troubling resemblance to the Augustinian amillenarim stance. The downfall of the kingdoms of men was remote enough to encourage his entrenchment in the affairs of this world, and to allow him the luxury of living a little liss like a sojourner than his patriarchal namesake. The comfortable cloak of orthodoxy can all too easily ensnare and corrupt a Nicodemite. It is also noteworthy that the satme dynamics that Rob Iliffe has admirably shown applied in Newton's natural philosophical negotiations ${ }^{296}$ also operated in his theological strategies. Newton surrounded himself with

[^27]a coteric of disciples who were given special access to the meaning of his theology and who in turn acted as his agents. Indeed, many of these men were also his most vocal natural philosophical partisans. ${ }^{297}$ As in his natural philosophy, Newton's theolog! was only intended for the adepts.

Newton saw his Principia as a grand effort at reformation and the restoration of the prisca theologia. ${ }^{298}$ Betty Jo Dobbs has suggested that Newton believed the sucuess of this work in restoring the true natural philosophy had also advanced the restoration of the true religion. This success, she argued, may have led Newton to redouble his efforts 'to more study of natural philosophy as the best way to restore truc religion ${ }^{239}$ As valuable as this suggestion is, it is less helpful for explaining why Newton went on after the Principia to plan a publication on antitrinitarian textual criticism, nor does it illuminate his introduction of Socinian hermeneutics in the General Scholium. Newton believed that the corruption of religion and natural philosophy (including alchemy) were related, ${ }^{30 n}$ and his life's work showed that he thought their recovery was two parts of the same reformation. Whiston believed this too and expressed this twin effort in apocalyptic terms, holding the Principia to be a prelude to 'those happy times of restitution' spoken of by the prophets that would, together with his own work in reviving Primitive Christianity, help usher in the Millennium. Crucially, Whiston adds that Newton's 'corollaries relating to religion' in the Principia and Opticks were to this end. ${ }^{301}$ Similarly. Conduitt wrote of Newton:

The only thing he was heard to say with pleasure of his work: was when he dicut he should have the satisfaction of leaving Philosophy |when he died] less mischievous than he found it Those who will consider his Irenicum \& Creed might thes allow him to have ssid the same of revealed religion. ${ }^{302}$
Newton's bold, albeit coded, attack on the corrupt hermeneutics of the Trinitarians in the General Scholium opened a window on his dual agenda for the Principia. Here natural philosophy blends with heresy and Newton's half-century crusade against idolatry in natural philosophy and theology come together. ${ }^{303}$ Whether Cartesian or homoousian, unwarranted obtrusions of hypotheses on the truth were equally sinful. This radical thrust in the Principia underscores a problematic anti-establishment dimension of Newton's programme that both challenges Westfall's claim that Newton moved closer to mainstream Protestantism in the $16805,{ }^{304}$ and adds an ironic layer to the fact that Anglican hegemony after $1689 \ldots$ owed so much to Newtonian science'. ${ }^{305}$ In sum, Newten's heresy cannot be treated as a mere curiosity or irrelevant appendage; it was with him every day

[^28]and every hour, influencing his personal relationships, affecting his career path, guiding his reading practices, shaping his prophetic view of history and even informing the cognitive content of his natural philosophy.

Newton's natural philosophy looms large in at least one other way, for not only did some recognize heresy in the Scholium, but also his Principia was attacked for supponed latent materialistic features. Radicals like Toland were even using the Principia to buttress materialism. ${ }^{3415}$ Others had impugned the Opticks. Insinuations abour Socinianism had cven appeared in print, and rumours of his personal heresy and infidelity were part of coffee-house chat. Newton was also well aware that he had enemies enough who would have pounced on any revelation of doctrimal waywardness. Newton knew the great damage the stain of heresy would do to the cause of his reformation in natural philosophy. Fear of this sort of public relations disaster must have been one of Newton's greatest deterrents to open preaching. He knew a time would come when this would not be so; he was too much a man of the world not to realize that that day had not yet come.
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