

DETECTION OF A WATER TRACER IN INTERSTELLAR COMET 2I/BORISOV

ADAM J. MCKAY,¹ ANITA L. COCHRAN,² NEIL DELLO RUSSO,³ AND MICHAEL A. DISANTI⁴

¹*NASA Goddard Space Flight Center/American University*

²*McDonald Observatory, University of Texas at Austin*

³*Johns Hopkins Applied Physics Laboratory*

⁴*NASA Goddard Space Flight Center*

ABSTRACT

We present high spectral resolution optical spectra obtained with the ARCES instrument at Apache Point Observatory showing detection of the [O I]6300 Å line in interstellar comet 2I/Borisov. We employ the observed flux in this line to derive an H₂O production rate of $(6.3 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{26}$ mol s⁻¹. Comparing to previously reported observations of CN, this implies a CN/H₂O ratio of ~0.3-0.9%. The lower end of this range is consistent with the average value in comets, while the upper end is higher than the average value for Solar System comets, but still within the range of observed values. C₂/H₂O is normal or depleted depending on interpretation of recent results pertaining to C₂. The dust-to-gas ratio is consistent with the normal value for Solar System comets. Using a simple sublimation model we estimate an H₂O active area of 1.7 km², which for current estimates for the size of Borisov suggests active fractions between 1-150%, consistent with values measured in Solar System comets. For the smallest size estimates our derived H₂O production rates suggest Borisov may be hyperactive (> 100% of its surface active). However, these conclusions concerning active fraction are model dependent. More detailed characterization of 2I/Borisov, including compositional information and properties of the nucleus, are needed to fully interpret the observed H₂O production rate.

arXiv:1910.12785v1 [astro-ph.EP] 28 Oct 2019

1. INTRODUCTION

Comets have a primitive volatile composition that is thought to reflect the conditions present in their formation region in the protosolar disk. This makes studies of cometary volatiles powerful for understanding the physical and chemical processes occurring during planet formation. However, observations to date only sample comets with a Solar System origin. While the possibility exists that some comets with anomalous compositions such as 96P/Macholz (Langland-Shula and Smith 2007; Schleicher 2008) and C/2016 R2 (PanSTARRS) (Biver et al. 2018; McKay et al. 2019) could have interstellar origins, the dynamics of these comets do not provide conclusive proof that they originate from a star system other than our own.

The discovery of interstellar comet 2I/Borisov provides an opportunity to sample the volatile composition of a comet that is unambiguously from outside our own Solar System, providing constraints on the physics and chemistry of other protostellar disks. So far the only volatile that has been conclusively detected in Borisov is CN, with upper limits/tentative detections reported for C₂ (Fitzsimmons et al. 2019; Kareta et al. 2019), C₃, and OH (Opitom et al. 2019), and constraints on C₂ showing it is likely depleted compared to Solar System comets. As H₂O is the dominant volatile in most Solar System comets, measuring the H₂O production in Borisov is key for interpretation of all other observations of this comet, including other volatiles.

The [O I]6300 Å line can be used as a proxy for the H₂O production rate in comets (e.g Morgenthaler et al. 2007; Fink 2009; McKay et al. 2018). This line is a forbidden transition whose upper state is most efficiently populated when O I is released into the coma in the ¹D state after photodissociation of a parent molecule. In cometary comae for the ¹D state, this parent molecule is usually H₂O. We present observations of the [O I]6300 Å line in Borisov and employ these observations to provide a measure of the H₂O production rate.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

We obtained spectral observations of Borisov on UT October 11, 2019 using the ARCES instrument mounted on the 3.5-meter Astrophysical Research Consortium (ARC) Telescope at Apache Point Observatory in Sunspot, NM. ARCES is a cross-dispersed, high spectral resolution spectrograph with continuous spectral coverage from 3500-10,000 Å and a resolving power of $R \equiv \frac{\lambda}{\delta\lambda} = 31,500$. This high resolving power is necessary for observations of [O I]6300 Å emission in order to separate the cometary line from the corresponding telluric feature (see Fig. 1). More information about the ARCES instrument can be found elsewhere (Wang et al. 2003).

Details of the observations can be found in Table 1. We obtained two spectra with 1800 second exposure times at airmasses of 2.14 and 1.74. We observed the fast rotating A star HD 80613 to serve as a telluric standard and HR 3454 for flux calibration of the spectra. Both were observed at airmass ~ 2.0 , similar to the airmass of the Borisov observations. Hyades 64 was also observed as a solar standard for removal of solar absorption features, but due to the extremely weak nature of the continuum in the spectra of Borisov and the absence of any detectable absorption features we decided not to apply our solar standard to the cometary observations. We obtained observations of a quartz lamp for flat fielding and a ThAr lamp for wavelength calibration. Spectra were reduced using an IRAF script that performs bias subtraction, flat fielding, cosmic ray removal, spectral extraction, and wavelength calibration. More details of our reduction procedures for cometary ARCES data can be found in McKay et al. (2012, 2014). After reduction and calibration, we co-added the two cometary spectra to increase signal-to-noise.

Table 1. Observation Log

UT Date	R_h (AU)	\dot{R}_h (km s ⁻¹)	Δ (AU)	$\dot{\Delta}$ (km s ⁻¹)	Solar Standard	Tell. Standard	Flux Standard
10/11/19	2.38	-20.5	2.81	+34.2	Hyades 64	HD 80613	HR 3454

ARCES has a small slit (3.2×1.6"). Therefore we accounted for slit losses from our flux standard using aperture photometry on our slitviewer images and the methodology of McKay et al. (2014). For these observations slit losses add a systematic uncertainty in the derived flux of 12%.

We retrieved the observed flux by fitting a Gaussian to the line profile and calculating the corresponding flux. This flux is then used in a Haser model that includes modifications that emulate the vectorial formalism (Festou 1981) and also accounts for collisional quenching of the [O I]6300 Å line emission. More details of this model can be found

in McKay et al. (2012, 2014).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows our detection of the [O I]6300 Å line in Borisov, with a fit to both the cometary and telluric lines overlaid in red. As the continuum baseline was not removed during reduction, we included the continuum level as an additional parameter during the Gaussian fitting process. The cometary line is detected at the 5.0σ level. Assuming that H₂O is the dominant source of the [O I]6300 Å line emission, we derive an H₂O production rate of $(6.3 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{26}$ mol s⁻¹. The uncertainty is dominated by photon statistics in the spectra rather than by the uncertainty in flux calibration.




Figure 1. Spectral region showing the [O I]6300 Å line in Borisov for our co-added spectrum (1 hour total on-source integration time). The telluric feature extends off the top of the plot, with the cometary line being the weaker feature blueward of the telluric line. The red line shows Gaussian fits to both the cometary and telluric features. These spectra do not have the continuum removed, so the continuum level was also a fitting parameter. Error bars represent $\pm 1\sigma$ uncertainties.

The only other reported constraint on the H₂O production rate for Borisov is from Opitom et al. (2019), who report an upper limit on the OH production rate of 2.0×10^{27} mol s⁻¹. Our [O I]6300 Å line detection is consistent with this upper limit.

Fitzsimmons et al. (2019), Kareta et al. (2019), and Opitom et al. (2019) report detections of the CN molecule in the coma of Borisov. Using their measured CN production rates, our H₂O production rate implies a CN/H₂O ratio of $0.59 \pm 0.15\%$ (Fitzsimmons et al. 2019), $0.84 \pm 0.23\%$ (Kareta et al. 2019), or $0.30 \pm 0.07\%$ (Opitom et al. 2019). The values derived from the Fitzsimmons et al. (2019) and Kareta et al. (2019) CN production rates are higher than the mean value of Solar System comets measured to date (A’Hearn et al. 1995; Cochran et al. 2012), but still within the range of values found for Solar System comets. The CN value from Opitom et al. (2019) gives a CN/H₂O ratio consistent with the mean value for Solar System comets. As the measurements of Opitom et al. (2019) are the most contemporaneous with our measurements (closest observation date UT October 13), perhaps these observations provide the most relevant comparison in accounting for possible variability in outgassing. We graphically compare the CN/H₂O ratio in Borisov to the sample of observed comets in A’Hearn et al. (1995) in the left panel of Fig. 2.

For C₂, the upper limit from Fitzsimmons et al. (2019) combined with our result gives $C_2/H_2O < 0.63\%$, while the detection from Kareta et al. (2019) implies $C_2/H_2O = 0.40 \pm 0.12\%$, close to the mean value for Solar System comets. However, their reported C₂ production rate is significantly larger than the upper limit determined by Opitom et al. (2019). Using the upper limit from Opitom et al. (2019) results in $C_2/H_2O < 0.1\%$, which is depleted compared to Solar System comets (A’Hearn et al. 1995; Cochran et al. 2012). We include a graphical comparison of the C₂/H₂O ratio in Borisov to the sample of observed comets in A’Hearn et al. (1995) in the right panel of Fig. 2. More observations are needed as Borisov approaches perihelion in order to resolve this discrepancy and provide a more definitive measure of its C₂ abundance.




Figure 2. Left: CN/H₂O ratio in comets observed by A’Hearn et al. (1995) (blue x’s) as a function of heliocentric distance, with results for Borisov overplotted in red. As A’Hearn et al. (1995) report CN/OH ratios, we have converted to CN/H₂O using the relation from Cochran and Schleicher (1993). The lowest measurement (based on Opitom et al. (2019)) shows a value consistent with the mean value for Solar System comets and other comets observed at this heliocentric distance, while the ratios based on results from Fitzsimmons et al. (2019) and Kareta et al. (2019) suggest a higher than average abundance, but still within the observed range for Solar System comets. Right: Same but for C₂/H₂O. The same conversion from C₂/OH to C₂/H₂O as for CN was performed. The downward facing red triangles depict upper limits based on Fitzsimmons et al. (2019) and Opitom et al. (2019), while the value with error bars shows the value based on the detection of Kareta et al. (2019). The detection by Kareta et al. (2019) suggests a typical C₂/H₂O ratio when compared to Solar System comets, however the upper limit from Opitom et al. (2019) suggests that Borisov is depleted in C₂.

Fitzsimmons et al. (2019) calculated an $Af\rho$ parameter, which serves as a proxy for dust production, of 143 ± 10 cm from their observations. Opitom et al. (2019) determined a very similar number. Using our measured H₂O production rate results in a $\log(Af\rho/Q_{H_2O})$ value of -24.6, which is similar to Solar System comets observed at heliocentric distances similar to these observations (~ 2.5 AU) (A’Hearn et al. 1995). Jewitt and Luu (2019) calculate from photometric observations and a dust model that the dust mass loss rate is approximately 2 kg s^{-1} , while Fitzsimmons et al. (2019) derived a value of 1 kg s^{-1} . Converting our H₂O production rate to mass results in an H₂O mass loss rate of $\sim 19 \text{ kg s}^{-1}$. This is about a factor of three smaller than the rate calculated by Jewitt and Luu (2019) and Fitzsimmons et al. (2019) using the Fitzsimmons et al. (2019) CN production rate and assuming a typical CN/H₂O ratio for Solar System comets. Our result that CN is enhanced in Borisov (at least when the Fitzsimmons et al. (2019) CN production rate is employed) compared to the mean CN/H₂O ratio in Solar System comets explains this discrepancy. Therefore, we conclude that the gas mass loss rate is about an order of magnitude larger than the dust mass loss rate, which would make Borisov incredibly gas-rich compared to the average Solar System comet, but similar to gas-rich endmembers like 2P/Encke (A’Hearn et al. 1995). However, it should be noted that dust mass loss rates are extremely model dependent, and Fitzsimmons et al. (2019) noted that using $20 \mu\text{m}$ grains instead of $1 \mu\text{m}$ grains resulted in a dust mass loss rate of $\sim 30 \text{ kg s}^{-1}$, which would imply a dust to gas mass ratio closer to unity, consistent with the average value in Solar System comets.

It is important to note that our observations are not simultaneous with those of Fitzsimmons et al. (2019), Kareta et al. (2019), and Jewitt and Luu (2019), so any variability in the outgassing behavior of Borisov would complicate interpretation of these mixing ratios. In general, these previously reported observations were at larger heliocentric distance, where it would be expected that gas/dust production would be less. Therefore, it is possible that the CN, C₂, and dust production concurrent with our observations may be larger than the numbers reported for earlier observations,

meaning all mixing ratios may be somewhat higher. However, the [Opitom et al. \(2019\)](#) observations bracket ours and show a fairly constant CN production rate over this time period, so as mentioned earlier perhaps these observations provide the most relevant comparison to our observations.

We use a simple sublimation model based on [Cowan and A’Hearn \(1979\)](#) in order to convert our measured H₂O production rate into an active area. We assume properties typical of Solar System comets: low thermal inertia (justifying the slow rotator approximation, where every facet of the nucleus surface is in thermal equilibrium with the solar radiation incident upon it) and an albedo of 0.04. We also assume a spherical nucleus for simplicity. With these parameters we find an active area of 1.7 km². Using the derived upper limit of 3.8 km from [Jewitt and Luu \(2019\)](#) this results in a lower limit on the active fraction of ~1%, consistent with Solar System comets ([Sosa and Fernández 2011](#); [Lis et al. 2019](#)). However, [Jewitt and Luu \(2019\)](#) argue that the nucleus is likely much smaller than this, perhaps only a few hundred meters in radius. For a 300-meter body, our simple sublimation model implies an active fraction of 140%, which would imply a hyperactive nucleus. This phenomena has been observed for Solar System comets ([A’Hearn et al. 2011](#); [Lis et al. 2019](#)) and is often explained as resulting from an extended source of H₂O-rich ice grains being released into the coma. [Fitzsimmons et al. \(2019\)](#) estimated based on their modeling efforts that the nucleus is 0.7-3.3 km in radius, resulting in active fractions of ~1-25%, similar to Solar System comets. It must be noted however that our sublimation model uses a very simple treatment that is sensitive to thermal properties of the nucleus and albedo, which are not well constrained for Borisov. A more detailed modeling approach is beyond the scope of this Letter, but would be very beneficial for understanding the properties and activity of Borisov.

A possible complication in interpretation of the observed [O I]6300 Å emission is that other volatiles such as CO, CO₂, and O₂ may contribute significantly to the emission if they are present at levels equal to or more abundant than H₂O. A recent example of this is C/2016 R2 (PanSTARRS), for which CO₂ was 30 times more abundant and CO was 300 times more abundant than H₂O, respectively, and it was determined that CO and CO₂ were the dominant contributors to the observed [O I]6300 Å line flux ([McKay et al. 2019](#)). A possible way to constrain the contribution of CO and CO₂ to the [O I] population is through observations of the [O I]5577 Å line ([Festou and Feldman 1981](#); [Bhardwaj and Raghuram 2012](#); [McKay et al. 2012](#); [Decock et al. 2013](#)). While our spectra cover this feature, it was not detected and the upper limit on the flux ratio of the [O I]5577 Å and [O I]6300 Å lines of ~ 1.0 is not sensitive enough to rule out CO and CO₂ as major contributors to the observed [O I]6300 Å line flux. However, modeling of the dust coma by [Jewitt and Luu \(2019\)](#) suggests that activity began at a heliocentric distance of 4.5 AU, much closer to the Sun than would be expected if CO₂ or CO was a dominant driver of activity and more consistent with H₂O sublimation. Observations of CO₂ at IR wavelengths and CO at either IR or sub-mm wavelengths are important for ruling out these potential contributors to the observed [O I]6300 Å line flux.

4. CONCLUSIONS

We present spectra showing detection of [O I]6300 Å emission in interstellar comet 2I/Borisov. This provides the first measurement of the H₂O production rate in this very intriguing object. We determined an H₂O production rate of $(6.3 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{26}$ mol s⁻¹, which when compared to CN measurements suggest Borisov is either enhanced or typical in CN compared to the average value for Solar System comets, though the enhanced numbers are still within the range of observed values. C₂, while likely depleted compared to CN, is either typical or depleted when compared to H₂O. The dust-to-gas ratio based on Af ρ and dust mass estimates are consistent with Solar System comets. Using a simple sublimation model, we find an H₂O active area of 1.7 km², which for current constraints on the nucleus size could imply active fractions from as low as 1% to > 100% (implying a hyperactive nucleus), though these active fractions are highly model dependent. More measurements are needed as Borisov approaches perihelion to fully understand its composition and activity.

Facilities: APO-ARCES

REFERENCES

- M. F. A’Hearn, R. L. Millis, D. G. Schleicher, D. J. Osip, and P. V. Birch. The ensemble properties of comets: Results from narrowband photometry of 85 comets, 1976-1992. *Icarus*, 118:223–270, December 1995. doi:10.1006/icar.1995.1190.
- M. F. A’Hearn, M. J. S. Belton, W. A. Delamere, L. M. Feaga, D. Hampton, J. Kissel, K. P. Klaasen, L. A. McFadden, K. J. Meech, H. J. Melosh, P. H. Schultz, J. M. Sunshine, P. C. Thomas, J. Veverka, D. D. Wellnitz, D. K. Yeomans, S. Besse, D. Bodewits, T. J. Bowling, B. T. Carcich, S. M. Collins, T. L. Farnham, O. Groussin, B. Hermalyn, M. S. Kelley, M. S. Kelley, J.-Y. Li, D. J. Lindler, C. M. Lisse, S. A. McLaughlin, F. Merlin, S. Protopapa, J. E. Richardson, and J. L.

- A. Bhardwaj and S. Raghuram. A Coupled Chemistry-emission Model for Atomic Oxygen Green and Red-doublet Emissions in the Comet C/1996 B2 Hyakutake. *Astrophysical Journal*, 748:13, March 2012. doi:10.1088/0004-637X/748/1/13.
- N. Biver, D. Bockelée-Morvan, G. Paubert, R. Moreno, J. Crovisier, J. Boissier, E. Bertrand, H. Boussier, F. Kugel, A. McKay, N. D. Russo, and M. A. DiSanti. The extraordinary composition of the blue comet C/2016 R2 (PanSTARRS). *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 619:A127, November 2018. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201833449.
- A. L. Cochran and D. G. Schleicher. Observational Constraints on the Lifetime of Cometary H₂O. *Icarus*, 105:235–253, September 1993. doi:10.1006/icar.1993.1121.
- A. L. Cochran, E. S. Barker, and C. L. Gray. Thirty years of cometary spectroscopy from McDonald Observatory. *Icarus*, 218:144–168, March 2012. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2011.12.010.
- J. J. Cowan and M. F. A’Hearn. Vaporization of comet nuclei - Light curves and life times. *Moon and Planets*, 21:155–171, October 1979. doi:10.1007/BF00897085.
- A. Decock, E. Jehin, D. Hutsemékers, and J. Manfroid. Forbidden oxygen lines in comets at various heliocentric distances. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 555:A34, July 2013. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201220414.
- M.C. Festou. The density distribution of neutral compounds in cometary atmospheres I - models and equations. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 95(1):69–79, 1981.
- M.C. Festou and P.D. Feldman. The forbidden oxygen lines in comets. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 103(1):154–159, 1981.
- U. Fink. A taxonomic survey of comet composition 1985-2004 using CCD spectroscopy. *Icarus*, 201:311–334, May 2009. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2008.12.044.
- Alan Fitzsimmons, Olivier Hainaut, Karen Meech, Emmanuel Jehin, Youssef Moulane, Cyrielle Opitom, Bin Yang, Jacqueline V. Keane, Jan T. Kleyna, Marco Micheli, and Colin Snodgrass. Detection of CN gas in Interstellar Object 2I/Borisov. *arXiv e-prints*, art. arXiv:1909.12144, Sep 2019.
- David Jewitt and Jane Luu. Initial Characterization of Interstellar Comet 2I/2019 Q4 (Borisov). *arXiv e-prints*, art. arXiv:1910.02547, Oct 2019.
- Theodore Kareta, Jennifer Andrews, John W. Noonan, Walter M. Harris, Nathan Smith, Patrick O’Brien, Benjamin N. L. Sharkey, Vishnu Reddy, Alessondra Springmann, Cassandra Lejoly, Lunar, Planetary Laboratory, :, and Steward Observatory. Carbon Chain Depletion of 2I/Borisov. *arXiv e-prints*, art. arXiv:1910.03222, Oct 2019.
- L. E. Langland-Shula and G. H. Smith. The Unusual Spectrum of Comet 96P/Machholz. *Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 664:L119–L122, August 2007. doi:10.1086/520839.
- D. C. Lis, D. Bockelée-Morvan, R. Güsten, N. Biver, J. Stutzki, Y. Delorme, C. Durán, H. Wiesemeyer, and Y. Okada. Terrestrial deuterium-to-hydrogen ratio in water in hyperactive comets. *arXiv e-prints*, April 2019.
- A. J. McKay, N. J. Chanover, J. P. Morgenthaler, A. L. Cochran, W. M. Harris, and N. D. Russo. Forbidden oxygen lines in Comets C/2006 W3 Christensen and C/2007 Q3 Siding Spring at large heliocentric distance: Implications for the sublimation of volatile ices. *Icarus*, 220:277–285, July 2012. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2012.04.030.
- A. J. McKay, N. J. Chanover, M. A. DiSanti, J. P. Morgenthaler, A. L. Cochran, W. M. Harris, and N. D. Russo. Rotational variation of daughter species production rates in Comet 103P/Hartley: Implications for the progeny of daughter species and the degree of chemical heterogeneity. *Icarus*, 231:193–205, March 2014. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2013.11.029.
- A. J. McKay, A. L. Cochran, M. A. DiSanti, N. Dello Russo, H. Weaver, R. J. Vervack, W. M. Harris, and H. Kawakita. Evolution of H₂O production in comet C/2012 S1 (ISON) as inferred from forbidden oxygen and OH emission. *Icarus*, 309:1–12, July 2018. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2018.02.024.
- Adam J. McKay, Michael A. DiSanti, Michael S. P. Kelley, Matthew M. Knight, Maria Womack, Kacper Wierzchos, Olga Harrington Pinto, Boncho Bonev, Geronimo L. Villanueva, Neil Dello Russo, Anita L. Cochran, Nicolas Biver, James Bauer, Jr. Vervack, Ronald J., Erika Gibb, Nathan Roth, and Hideyo Kawakita. The Peculiar Volatile Composition of CO-dominated Comet C/2016 R2 (PanSTARRS). *AJ*, 158(3):128, Sep 2019. doi:10.3847/1538-3881/ab32e4.
- J.P. Morgenthaler, W.M. Harris, and M.R. Combi. Large Aperture O I 6300 Å Observations of Comet Hyakutake: Implications for the Photochemistry of OH and O I Production in Comet Hale-Bopp. *Astrophysical Journal*, 657:1162–1171, March 2007. doi:10.1086/511062.

- C. Opitom, A. Fitzsimmons, E. Jehin, Y. Moulane, O. Hainaut, K. J. Meech, B. Yang, C. Snodgrass, M. Micheli, J. V. Keane, Z. Benkhaldoun, and J. T. Kleyna. 2I/Borisov: A C₂ depleted interstellar comet. *arXiv e-prints*, art. arXiv:1910.09078, Oct 2019.
- D. G. Schleicher. The Extremely Anomalous Molecular Abundances of Comet 96p/Machholz 1 from Narrowband Photometry. *Astronomical Journal*, 136:2204–2213, November 2008. doi:10.1088/0004-6256/136/5/2204.
- A. Sosa and J. A. Fernández. Masses of long-period comets derived from non-gravitational effects - analysis of the computed results and the consistency and reliability of the non-gravitational parameters. *MNRAS*, 416:767–782, September 2011. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19111.x.
- S.-i. Wang, R. H. Hildebrand, L. M. Hobbs, S. J. Heimsath, G. Kelderhouse, R. F. Loewenstein, S. Lucero, C. M. Rockosi, D. Sandford, J. L. Sundwall, J. A. Thorburn, and D. G. York. ARCES: an echelle spectrograph for the Astrophysical Research Consortium (ARC) 3.5m telescope. In M. Iye and A. F. M. Moorwood, editors, *Instrument Design and Performance for Optical/Infrared Ground-based Telescopes*, volume 4841 of *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, pages 1145–1156, March 2003. doi:10.1117/12.461447.