



Global Settlement
Foundation

Joseph Ray Sundarsson

Global Settlement Foundation
Global Isles Court of Record
Global Isles Authority

www.global-settlement.org
www.courtofrecord.org.uk

115 George Lane
South Woodford
London E18 1AB

To:

The Right Honourable Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain Kenneth Clarke,
House of Commons,
London SW1A 0AA.

Cc:

Her Majesty The Queen,
Buckingham Palace,
London, SW1A 1AA.

Open Letter

21st January 2012

Dear Mr. Clarke,

From the *Global Isles Court of Record* of our Sovereign Lady of the Common Law, Greeting!

I am pleased to announce that Her Majesty's people have restored the free agency of our Sovereign Lady of the Common Law, Her Majesty The Queen *per se*, in this Court of Record and have prepared the way for the restoration of Common Law Courts and Global Settlement so that Her Majesty The Queen may declare the Peace.

Only when one is fully conscious of the problem and the current state of our Common Law, can one see the real problem of today in stark reality. With this clarity it becomes possible to show the way through these problems that arise, so that one may live with voluntary, deliverable contracts that result in liberty and the Rule of Law. It is in England that, over the course of several hundred years, the juxtaposition of certain ideas regarding the Rule of Law and what-is-money, is what has made manifest the underlying principles and revealed the causes of today's conflict.

The way through that employs the underlying principles has been developed by the English speaking people of Her Majesty's Realms, in anticipation that Her Majesty The Queen and Her Majesty's most loyal Government can use the result of the genius of English civilization to tackle the coming transition. These issues are being talked about at high levels and sometimes the arms of the Government are the last to know.

The way through exists for the return of *finality of settlement* in trade so that Common Law contracts, the Magna Carta and the Bill of Rights 1688, can protect Her Majesty The Queen and Her Majesty's people. This shall end the pervasive failures in 'Banking', 'Justice' and 'Government'.

Those who have developed the way through must necessarily be found outside the walls of the bureaucracy, for a doctor is not found in a butcher's abattoir. The craft of a doctor may seem strange to the butcher, even though the butcher can name and identify organs and many a disease. A butcher's livelihood depends on the beast being dead. The doctor's livelihood depended (until recently) upon the 'beast' being restored to a healthy life.

It becomes clear that it is not crony capitalism that is the problem, but phony capitalism, as there is no money and therefore no 'market failure', rather, it is a staged 'financial crisis' in the virtual reality of today's markets. An end to financial war and a new era of real markets driven by voluntary, deliverable contracts awaits our conscious choice as examined in this letter.

The axe of fraudulent conversion and circular fraud must be thrown away and the scene shifted from the abattoir to the good doctor's hospital. When one leaves the analogy behind and plans the way through, the Magna Carta must be the inspiration. This is the progress of English Civilization, not the 'progressive' policies of failed experiments driven by greed, fear, intimidation and fraud.

Her Majesty The Queen and Her Majesty's people must face the facts and ensure that tyranny clothed in pious fraud is not sold to politicians, irrespective of party or nationality, who hold high office as was clearly documented by Lord Maynard Keynes in 1919 in the case of President Wilson of America.

"Jesuitical exegesis", as Lord Keynes called it, fails to obscure the real issue: are we to be ruled by tyrants, who enforce fraud to achieve their agendas? Or, are we to have **liberty and the Rule of Law?**

Liberty and the Rule of Law

The question becomes, what then is this Liberty and the Rule of Law?

All economic activity amidst a free people, that is, the transformation of natural raw materials into finished goods and services, proceeds on the basis of the exercise of the free liberty of the men and women who comprise these people. Kindly refer to the enclosed diagram.

Our basic right to contract arises with wordless ease. In the example below we trace a chance encounter with a fellow traveller that demonstrates how trust develops in the real world.

Picture a fellow traveller at the ticket counter, while we fill out a form for the ticket, who motions for a pen. This is sufficient to borrow a pen for the task at hand. Right away, a **trust** that is a loan is in effect. The man who has the pen is the trustee, the pen the *res* of the trust, the unwritten terms of the trust are that that the pen be returned to the lender when the task is complete.

We then strike up a conversation with our fellow traveller, who gives his name as "Bob". Trust begins to inhere in this name, "Bob". We find ourselves going to the same destination and discover that Bob owns a bakery. We agree to meet at *Bob's Bakery*. When we meet we discover that it is a very fine establishment, and the trust in *Bob's Bakery* now grows stronger. With the passage of time, as trust blossoms, it will take just a call to *Bob's Bakery* to have the finest desserts delivered.

Bob may have hired a baker, clerk, accountant and cleaner to assist with his business. There are written and unwritten rules of his business, the articles of association that are the bakery rules, as it were. When published as such, it becomes an Express Trust, a Trust expressed in the symbolic language of words on paper. He is, of course, able to change these rules at his wish as he grows his business. He is a living man – it is his power that has organized his liberties, namely his property which is another word for capital, in the form of *Bob's Bakery*.

Our English constitution is an unwritten constitution. Just as with Bob's powers and liberty to run his bakery, it is a basic principle of English Common Law that in Her Majesty The Queen of England, we find all the powers and liberty required to run a Kingdom. It is the genius of English Common Law that Her Majesty's powers are separated, as it were, into a Court of Record, which, in addition, prevents caprice from turning into tyranny. A flick of Her Majesty's wrist indicating displeasure regarding the inappropriateness of a particular plaintiff, would be all that is necessary for Her Majesty's Attorney General to write down the Motion to Dismiss, which would be sealed by the Magistrate designated to run the Court so that the Bailiff shall then remove the visitor from the premises and every action is on the record so no one acts without proper authority.

Let us say, however, that the plaintiff in this case is a serious one, and Her Majesty The Queen decides to hear the Plaintiff's complaint in Her Majesty's Court of Record. In its ancient and traditional form, this would be the House of Lords where the Barons of Her Majesty's Realms, each a mini-King in his own right, each sustained by his own revenues, each supported by his own army of men at arms, would be there as the "Jury of Peers" to enforce the writ of Her Majesty's Court of Record. In the case of the Courts of Justice across Her Majesty's Realms, Her Majesty is represented by the Magistrate and

the tribunal by a jury of the people themselves.

In a Court of Record, the tribunal, that is, the plaintiff or jury, is independent of the magistrate designated to run the court, this is the English custom and the guarantee of the due process of law. The members of the jury are 'ordinary', 'common people', not specialized in law, hence the term, *ordinary, customary Court* to describe a *Common Law Court of Record*. In contradistinction, today's Star Chamber courts, even though it keeps a record, even with a bench of Justices, each of whom is a learned and specialized man or woman whose job it is to maintain the *faith* of the people in the justice they deliver – hence the Royal Courts of today are Ecclesiastical Courts and are considered to be Courts of No Record as their verdicts do not affect the Common Law.

This form, the ordinary, customary English Court is the neutral Court of Record of the Sovereign as The Queen, if Her Majesty is present, or the magistrate who is Her Majesty's representative, only desires justice and the deciding power, the tribunal, is independent.

I believe it is wise to go over this simplified explanation as this is an Open Letter and it is good to review the principles.

This form of Court, a Court of Record, is where the living make conscious choices, as opposed to the administrative tribunal with an 'independent' Judge who enforces corporate (dead) rules. A century of administrative tribunals have left us a legacy of lawyers to whom this practice of living law is foreign.

It is the Common Law that was the secret weapon of the British Empire, allowing a handful of Englishmen to rule a vast planet. Sir William Jones (1746 - 1794), an English judge in India, one of the most learned judges that ever lived, learned in Asiatic as well as European law, remarked:

“It is pleasing to remark the similarity, or, rather, the identity, of those conclusions which pure, unbiased reason, in all ages and nations, seldom fails to draw, in such juridical inquiries as are not fettered and manacled by positive institutions.”

Sir William Jones amongst others contributed to the growth in the awareness of the identity of Truth that is reached when pure reason is applied to the processes of life. England has been blessed with a Church of England which has always embraced Truth. My remarks on this topic are to be found in my Open Letter to the the Most Reverend and Right Honourable The Archbishop of Canterbury. In this letter, I proceed now with an examination of some principles of Justice from one of the most insightful authors of British thought.

David Hume (1711 – 1776), a British philosopher who left his imperishable mark on English thought that has brought us to this day, noted that *property* is not the quality of the *good*¹ itself, but that it arises as a relation of that good with a rational man or woman. His terse summary that “*Justice is defined as the constant and perpetual will of giving everybody his/her due*”, summarises much in little space. He also noted that *rights, obligations and property do not admit gradation (either all or nothing)* and that, “*justice and injustice are not susceptible of degree*”, and that therefore they are *not naturally virtuous or vicious*. It was Hume who first postulated the *is-ought* problem very clearly. To quote from Hume's *Treatise on Human Nature*:

“In every system of morality, which I have hitherto met with, I have always remarked, that the author proceeds for some time in the ordinary ways of reasoning, and establishes the being of a God, or makes observations concerning human affairs; when all of a sudden I am surprised to find, that instead of the usual copulations of propositions, *is*, and *is not*, I meet with no proposition that is not connected with an *ought*, or an *ought not*. This change is imperceptible; but is however, of the last consequence. For as this *ought*, or *ought not*, expresses some new relation or affirmation, 'tis necessary that it should be observed and explained; and at the same time that a reason should be given; for what seems altogether inconceivable, how this new relation can be a deduction from others, which are entirely different from it.”

In our examination of Liberty and the Rule of Law, we must always stick to arguments based on **what is now**, not misled by arguments regarding what-ought-to-be.

1 He used the word 'object', a term today overloaded with other technical meanings. I prefer to use the old fashioned word *good* as in 'goods and services', which can trace its meaning to the Greek concept of the good.

Walter Bagehot (1826 – 1877) who wrote the classic *The English Constitution*, compares the American Presidential form of Government and the then extant English Parliamentary System. His analysis clearly demonstrates the folly of the then American budget surplus². It is this folly multiplied by the passage of time that has brought us this behemoth that cannot seem to restrain itself from going beyond insanity.

Just as when some marriages have reached a timely end where it would serve both parties to go on their way but they continue in *denial of reality*, there are people who are able to puppeteer the course of affairs of insolvent governments and banks, whose attachment to the seeming power of directing the financial war makes it very hard for them to give it up.

An analysis of the current situation shows that Her Majesty The Queen and Her Majesty's people are not at liberty. If one were to write up a complaint, the one put on the record in the House of Commons by Sir Francis Burdett on the 7th of January 1812 would serve. It is attached. It is but a continuation of this long range financial war by the "oligarchy", as Sir Francis Burdett put it, that has brought us to this day. The question is, are these Lords of Money for, or against, the restoration of Liberty and the Rule of Law?

What is now, is that Prime Minister David Cameron is indeed the executive director of **U.K. PLC**, and you, Mr. Clarke, are the Lord High Chancellor of **Great Britain**. Your illustrious and long career has prepared you for this day when the Magna Carta has once again been invoked to *duly* seat our Parliament and this court.

What is now, is that bankrupt and terribly insolvent corporate bodies that are the de-facto face of the governments of many countries have 'AAA' credit ratings and 'low interest rates' which are *prima facie* evidence that the 'banking' system in all these countries is rife with fraudulent conversion.

What is now, is that the House of Commons was incorporated in 1908 and U.K. PLC is the resident corporate body doing business there, which is the maintenance of its dependent people in England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland who live as wards of the State. A legal fiction has no physical extent.

What is now, is that regular 'Government employees' and politicians have a vested interest in the system of 'finance' not limited to their 'income', 'pensions', domination by Roman Law 'regulations', etc., which are an impediment to their ability to see clearly regarding the issues raised by *this court*.

What is now, is that regular politicians, that is, 'legislators' in all countries have failed to object to the loss of the ability of the people to pay their debts at law. This is, in-fact, treason to the people and Her Majesty The Queen. In this, they are indistinguishable from each other, as it was recently pointed out. This also means that those who fancy themselves as the representatives of the people must check their facts and Common Law. The result is private corporations such as U.K. PLC are dispensing private Roman Law, with their 'regulations' only binding on those who accept to be part of this private business, and as-such have no binding force on the people and Her Majesty The Queen.

What is now, is that the Scottish people must re-examine their history, from the collapse of the Darien Scheme that led to the the Act of Settlement 1701 and the Acts of Union 1707 of Scotland with England, to the ongoing financial crisis and its implications, the nature of 'money' and Common Law and thereby ask the right questions of those who propose 'independence'. Any referendum, posing questions without providing the necessary background to an uninformed population is a tangible crime.

What is now, is that Her Majesty The Queen and thus the Crown is bound by duty to protect the people's liberty and freedom from taxation without voluntary consent. There are thus no contradictions raised by 'offshore' vs 'onshore'.

What is now, is that it is impossible for the 'bankers' or the insolvent corporate 'governments' to repay the gold or cash-equivalent of the credit of the people they have borrowed by fraudulent conversion to finance their exotic schemes.

What is now, is that many of the 'elite', so called, have been entrapped by the greed inherent in

² See pages 22 et seq., of *The English Constitution* by Walter Bagehot available as a PDF on www.courtofrecord.org.uk

these exotic schemes.

What is now, is that there is a dearth of lawful capital for business.

What is now, is that the quantity of available liquid money-of-account has plunged precipitously. This is reflected in the Baltic Dry Index.

What is now, is that 'paying off debt' removes money-of-account from circulation and this 'self-squeezing' is causing 'bankruptcy' and the inability of people to honourably discharge their commitments.

What is now, is that the outline of the U.S.A. Exchange Stabilization Fund and the U.S. Treasury financial warfare and propaganda operations are now understood by lay people³. The result is that the worst blinded by the official propaganda and worst affected by a conflict of interest are the people in various governments themselves.

What is now, is that 'banks' the world over are seizing or closing accounts, refusing to move money-of-account, all under the pretext of 'anti-money-laundering'.

What is now, is that this policy is followed because the bankers are liable for the money-of-account they have borrowed by fraudulent conversion from the people.

What is now, is that the Royal Courts, so called, have Judges who officiate under Her Majesty's Coat of Arms in Her Majesty's Courts, without the explicit approval of The Queen in Parliament.

What is now, is that the Royal Courts, so called, are private corporations conducting private business by arrangement with the U.K. PLC Executive, which rules by dispensing power and is passing off these private businesses as an ordinary, customary English Court.

What is now, is that the Royal Courts in their current form are illegal and pernicious, as declared in the Declaration and Bill of Rights 1688.

What is now, is that Her Majesty The Queen has not given conscious consent to Acts of Parliament and they are therefore not Statutes in Parliament **agreed** on.

What is now, is that Her Majesty The Queen, by her silence, cannot be said to have agreed to this disastrous state of affairs. Rather, it is that Her Majesty has withdrawn Her Majesty's Court of Record. Her Majesty The Queen maintains the availability of a perfectly good lawful money of the realm, British Gold Sovereigns which retain their historical purchasing power. It has taken a century for the people to notice and take action against their purported representatives. This, the present action, is the the case of the originals, the people and their Queen vs their purported representatives, where the Bill of Rights 1688 and the Parliamentary Privileges Act 1770 have been invoked regarding the law and customs of the English, which Her Majesty The Queen is sworn to uphold.

What is now, is that Parliament, duly elected by a well informed people, must re-examine the Parliament Act(s) and end the rule by dispensing power which binds the liberties and Rule of Law for Her Majesty and the people.

What is now, is that the lawful money of the people has been replaced by this system of credit.

What is now, is that the 'registration' of children and marriages by the corporate State, is open to abuse by various 'protective', private, corporate services who, keen to collect funds purported to be for child care, are acting with the colour of law. This has torn apart families, frequently forcing children into care for their profit and separating fathers and mothers from their children against their natural rights. This has confiscated the core of their liberties, especially for the poor and the weak, as you have undoubtedly witnessed.

What is now, is that the Council Tax system in the U.K., is operating under Colour of Law, where Councils issue purported Court Orders by computerized printout with no Court process, where corporate, private Bailiffs seize property without an order issued and sealed by the Court system.

What is now, is that 'main-stream' media self-censors truths that true scientific evidence and

³ See www.rayservers.com/answers for links to videos and explanations.

Common Law can validate and that the media is now co-opted by propaganda masquerading as science, news or debate.

What is now, is that children, when they discover that the ‘adults’ have been lying to them on purpose, can create a tantrum that cannot be stopped.

What is now, is that *voluntary, deliverable* contracts for goods and services can serve as lawful currency. The falsehood that there is ‘not enough gold’, is an argument based on palpable ignorance.

What is now, is that we who have studied the predicament of Her Majesty The Queen, Her Majesty’s Government and the people, have prepared the way and put into service the technology for the settlement of trade that does not depend on fraudulent finance.

The following analogy illustrates the situation:

Sherlock Holmes and Dr Watson are camping on an assignment, waiting for the unexpected, the unknown, and they pitch their tent under the stars.

During the night, Holmes wakes his companion and says: “Watson, look up at the stars, and tell me what you can deduce.”

Watson says: “I see millions of stars, and even if a few of those have planets, it’s quite likely there are some planets like Earth, and if there are a few planets like Earth out there, there might also be intelligent aliens.”

Sherlock looked back at him with amazement and replied, “We are lying on the ground, currently safe from rain, as there are stars above instead of canvas, from which I deduce that somebody has stolen our tent”.

What is now, is that we who have taught ourselves engineering, science, computer programming, cryptography, law, financial history, ratios and ‘billions and trillions’, know the why and how and can deduce step by step what is the current reality as it unfolds, but the concerned men and women must speak for themselves in a Court of Record and fulfil the fiduciary trust placed in them by the people.

What is now, is that there is a powerful force of good-will in the countries that were born of Great Britain’s empire and great dissatisfaction with the corruption now in these lands. We do believe that countries with natural resources and entrepreneurs with viable projects stand ready to fund a return to liberty and the Rule of Law.

What is now, is that SWANSat, a project to create a Super Wide Area Network by Satellite and plans to create a worldwide market where deliverable, voluntary contracts can foster honest trade and Common Law awaits lawful funding.

What is now, is that there is the result of a momentous investigation before the U.K. Attorney General.

What is now, is that this historic opportunity for Global Settlement is now before Her Majesty The Queen, Parliament, the President, officers and Governors of the U.S.A.

What is now, is that whilst various written ‘constitutions’, by their nature corporate (dead) legal fictions, have proved unequal to the task of maintaining the liberty of the people, it is that the living Queen of England and the living people in a Court of Record, invoking the Magna Carta 1215 and Bill of Rights 1688, are perfectly capable of announcing the Truth and restoring liberty and the Rule of Law.

What is now, is that as Frederic Bastiat (1801 - 1850) did show in the chapter on Disbanding of Troops⁴ in *That Which is Seen, and that Which is Not Seen*, that when people go back to productive work, society as a whole regenerates.

What is now, is that a process such as this, is the plan around which the Government, the Judiciary, the Secret Service, the people of England, Wales, Scotland, Ireland, America, Europe, India, Africa and the rest of the world can comprehend, endorse and participate in with enthusiasm and cheer in the face of change that is bound to arise.

⁴ <http://www.global-settlement.org/learning#Disba>

What is now, is that out of courtesy and a desire to be perfectly neutral and lawful, we wish to afford those who are in-fact taking steps to protect Her Majesty The Queen and the people, time to complete their job. We have worked quietly and without any desire for 'main-stream' publicity. It is apparent now, that the one hundred year Jekyll Island Accord/Treaty is merely a historic fact and that there is no further authority at Common Law for a continuation of the financial war. Thus, now is the time to do what is our duty and obligation to Her Majesty The Queen and the people.

On behalf of the Plaintiff, the people of England, Wales, Scotland, Ireland, America, Europe, and the rest of the world, I, a living man, Special Master of this court, conscious of the predicament of Her Majesty The Queen, the Lords of Money, and the people, come forward with these means of justice and world peace. Her Majesty The Queen and the people can well organize their affairs in perfect accordance with the Common Law, with deliverable, voluntary contracts and without resort to 'funny money'.

'Truth is the highest thing that Man may keep' – Chaucer.

Malum quo communius eo pejus. (The more common the evil, the worse).

Malus usus est abolendus. (An evil custom is to be abolished).

If it should so please Her Majesty The Queen of England *per se* to further this process of *Liberty and the Rule of Law* for the love of Truth, I request the Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain and Keeper of the Great Seal to impress the Great Seal on these court papers and invite all the right people to this Court of Record of our Sovereign Lady of the Common Law.

I am here to be of service to the people and our Sovereign Lady of the Common Law.

Yours sincerely,

Joseph Ray Sundarsson

Joseph Ray Sundarsson



Enclosures:

Please find enclosed a true copy of the text of the following documents:

1. Open Letter to the Speaker of the House of Commons, U.K.
2. Letter Rogatory to Timothy Geithner, Secretary of the Treasury, U.S.A.
3. Letter to the Lord Chief Justice of England and Wales & The Lord President of Scotland.
4. Open Letter to The Most Reverend and Right Honourable The Lord Archbishop of Canterbury.
5. Letter to Prime Minister David Cameron with pertinent questions regarding the creation of a voluntary, deliverable gold standard by the *Global Settlement Foundation*.
6. Long term Currency and Oil Price Graphs.
7. Diagram of how a free people's liberties result in the entire system of contracts.
8. Speech and resolution of Sir Francis Burdett in Parliament on the 7th of January 1812.

Please note that other open letters related to this case will be posted to the Court website. Extensive source material is available online at:

<http://www.global-settlement.org/resources> and <http://www.courtofrecord.org.uk/>