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## NIR MINOR PLANET PHOTOMETRY FROM BURLEITH OBSERVATORY: 2014 FEBRUARY - JUNE
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Rotation periods were obtained for ten minor planets based on near-IR CCD observations made in 2014 at the urban Burleith Observatory, Washington, DC.

In the city of Washington, DC , increasing light pollution brought on by the installation of "decorative" street lights has severely limited opportunities for minor planet photometry. In 2014 a test selection of bright minor planets of both high and low amplitude were chosen to study the feasibility of differential photometry in the near-infrared (NIR, 700-900nm).

A PlaneWave $0.32-\mathrm{m} f / 8 \mathrm{CDK}$ astrograph was equipped with an SBIG STL-1001E CCD camera and an Astrodon Cousins I-band filter (Ic). Image scale was 1.95 arc-seconds per pixel, unbinned, which is well-matched to the typical 3 to 4 arc-second seeing. All observations were bias and sky flat-field corrected using CCDSoft version 5.00 .217 . Photometry and period analysis was performed using MPO Canopus version 10.4.3.17. (Warner, 2011). The Comp Star Selector (CSS) in MPO Canopus was used to select comparison stars with solar-like spectra.

359 Georgia. The observed rotation is in close agreement with Lagerkvist (1978).

462 Eriphyla. The observing sessions of duration 3.5 hours on 2014 Feb 22 and 3.3 hours on 2014 March 16 appear to indicate that the true rotation period is twice that found by Behrend (2006).

595 Polyxena. The observed rotation is in close agreement with Piironen et al. (1998).

616 Elly. Alvarez-Candal et al.(2004) found a period of 5.301 h .
782 Montefiore. These observations confirm the period of Wisniewski et al. (1997).

953 Painleva. At $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{v}}=14$ and amplitude 0.05 mag , a low confidence in these results should be assumed. Ditteon and Hawkins (2007) found no period over two nights.

1019 Strackea. A member of the high-inclination $\left(i=27^{\circ}\right)$ Hungaria group, Strackea has been an object of much interest of late. The rotation period of Warner (2011) is confirmed.

2014 Vasilevskis. Holliday (1995) found a period of 36.25 h, or $7 / 3$ of the period reported here.

2995 Taratuta. Shor (2013) lists a period of 6.6 hours, which is $3 / 5$ of the period given here.

3523 Arina. Folberth et al. (2012) reported an amplitude of 0.10 but no period determination. The new results for this $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{v}}=14$ object of low amplitude and small phase angle should be treated with appropriate skepticism.

| Number | Name | Date Range (mm/dd) |  | Points | Phase | Period <br> (h) | $\begin{gathered} \text { P.E. } \\ (\mathrm{h}) \end{gathered}$ | Amp Ic mag | A E <br> Ic mag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 359 | Georgia | 02/25 | - 03/14 | 468 | 12.8-16.4 | 5.5341 | 0.0004 | 0.23 | 0.04 |
| 462 | Eriphyla | 02/22 | - 04/24 | 177 | 12.3-19.2 | 17.3700 | 0.0009 | 0.28 | 0.02 |
| 595 | Polyxena | 02/12 | - 04/03 | 510 | 6.2-14.7 | 11.79280 | 0.00015 | 0.75 | 0.04 |
| 616 | Elly | 03/01 | - 03/28 | 128 | 5.3-15.5 | 5.19280 | 0.00003 | 0.35 | 0.02 |
| 782 | Montefiore | 05/19 | - 05/20 | 73 | 6.2-6.8 | 4.0756 | 0.0019 | 0.40 | 0.04 |
| 953 | Painleva | 04/17 | - 05/07 | 119 | 1.8-10.9 | 7.389 | 0.004 | 0.05 | 0.04 |
| 1019 | Strackea | 04/09 | - 04/13 | 119 | 22.9-24.3 | 4.0473 | 0.0013 | 0.29 | 0.06 |
| 2014 | Vasilevskis | 06/15 | - 06/18 | 97 | 22.3-23.3 | 15.63299 | - | 0.31 | 0.03 |
| 2995 | Taratuta | 03/23 | - 04/02 | 155 | 14.9-18.5 | 11.141299 | - | 0.38 | 0.13 |
| 3523 | Arina | 04/21 | - 05/04 | 110 | 4.1-6.2 | 2.671299 | - | 0.07 | 0.03 |

Table I. Observing circumstances.
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Period: $17.3700 \pm 0.0009 \mathrm{~h} \quad$ Amp: $0.28 \quad$ JDo(LTC): 2456710.561727






Period: $15.63299 \pm 0.00001$ h Amp: 0.31 JDo(LTC): 2456823.553018


Period: $11.141299 \pm 0.000001 \mathrm{~h} \quad$ Amp: $0.25 \quad$ JDo(LTC): 2456739.516997
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## ROTATION PERIOD OF 584 SEMIRAMIS
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Photometric observations of main-belt asteroid 584 Semiramis were made at the Mark Evans Observatory (MPC W04) between 2014 July 29 and August 4. The measured period of $5.0689 \pm 0.0001$ is in good agreement with previous values.

A survey of the literature reveals that several period determinations have been made of 584 Semiramis, but the amount of data points used in the lightcurves has been sparse. These lightcurves were used to determine the pole positions of this asteroid. A journal search indicates that the most recent pole determination, by Michalowski (1996), still had a sizable uncertainty. Adding data from an additional phase angle should help to reduce this uncertainty.

All observations were taken at the Mark Evans Observatory using a Celestron CGE Pro 1100 Schmidt-Cassegrain telescope with a $0.28-\mathrm{m}$ aperture. The CCD camera used to capture the images was a Quantum Scientific Imaging model 583C camera. All images were taken at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, binned $2 \times 2$, and had 45 second exposures. In addition, the images were unfiltered and unguided. All images were taken between the nights of July 29 and August 4; however, not all the data from each night were used due to losing sufficiently bright solar comparison stars for accurate photometry. The images were corrected using darks and flats, and subsequently analyzed using MPO Canopus.

Period analysis was completed using the Fourier feature on MPO Canopus, which calculated a period of $5.0689 \pm 0.0001 \mathrm{~h}$ when using a fit of four orders. The period compares well with previous reported values: 5.068 h (Weidenschilling et al., 1987), 5.069 h (Weidenschilling et al., 1990), 5.0689 h (Drummond et al., 1991), 5.0683 h (Harris et al., 1992), and 5.0689 h (Michalowski, 1993). The extensive coverage of this asteroid at lower phase angles than most previous papers should help further constrain the pole position during future studies.
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# ASTEROID LIGHTCURVE ANALYSIS AT ISAAC AZNAR OBSERVATORY ARAS DE LOS OLMOS, VALENCIA SPAIN 
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The Isaac Aznar Observatory conducts astrometric and photometric studies of asteroids. This paper contains the photometric results of four asteroids obtained from 2014 April to August. These asteroids were selected from the Collaborative Asteroid Lightcurve Link (CALL) web site: 1088 Mitaka, 2956 Yeomans, 3894 Williamcooke, and (4555) 1974QL.

The observations reported here were all obtained using a $0.35-\mathrm{m}$ $f / 10$ Schmidt-Cassegrain telescope and SBIG STL-1001E CCD camera. All images were unfiltered, dark and flat field corrected, and had an image scale of 1.45 arc seconds per pixel. Differential photometry measurements were made in MPO Canopus (Bdw Publishing). The asteroid lightcurve database (LCDB; Warner et al., 2009) contains previously reported results for 1088 Mitaka and (4555) 1987QL, but those results are from few years ago. So the results we offer in this paper could be an update for LCDB database because they are slightly different.

1088 Mitaka is a main-belt asteroid of 16.2 km size. This asteroid of 0.15 albedo was discovered by Okuro Oikawa in 1927 and since then has been well analyzed, including a shape model derived from lightcurve inversion. The LCDB and DAMIT (Database of Asteroid Models from Inversion Techniques; Durech, 2014) offers a wealth of information about this minor planet.

The asteroid was observed on two nights, 2014 April 28 and 29. The solar phase angle was $+9.9^{\circ}$ and magnitude $\mathrm{V} \sim 15.2$ on the first night and $+10.2^{\circ}$ and 15.3 magnitude on the second night. A total of 168 points were used to build the lightcurve, which was found to have a period of $3.027 \pm 0.003 \mathrm{~h}$ and an amplitude of 0.38 mag. The LCDB offers many periods, ranging from 3.035 h to 3.049 h . These are within 1 -sigma of the period reported here.

A 3D model of this asteroid was found in the DAMIT database (Durech, 2014). The model reveals an elongated and flat shape from the side view and rounded ellipsoidal shape from the north pole. The elongated shape of this asteroid produces a bimodal lightcurve when observed at relatively low phase angles and if the view is more equatorial than polar.

2956 Yeomans is a main-belt asteroid discovered by E. Bowell at the Anderson Mesa Station of the Lowell Observatory. Its size is unknown. 82 points were obtained over 1 night during 2014 April. The solar phase angle was $+15.3^{\circ}$. The asteroid's magnitude was about 18.2 at the time. The lightcurve shows a period of $3.4 \pm$ 0.1 h and an amplitude of 0.28 mag .

3894 Williamcooke is a main belt asteroid discovered in 1980 by P. Jekabson and M.P. Candy at the Perth Observatory. There is no information about this body in the LCDB or DAMIT files. During the span of observations, the asteroid magnitude varied slightly, from 14.5 on August 26 to 14.6 on September 1. The solar phase angle went from $+2.6^{\circ}$ in first work session to $+4.8^{\circ}$ in last work session. The lightcurve shows a period of $3.10 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{~h}$ with an amplitude of 0.1 mag .
(4555) 1974 QL is a main-belt asteroid of 3.2 km discovered by S . Singer-Brewster in 1987. A total of 111 data points were obtained over 2 nights, 2014 July 21 and 22. The solar phase angle was $+9.0^{\circ}$ and $+8.4^{\circ}$, respectively. The magnitude was 15.3 on both nights. The lightcurve shows a period of $2.872 \pm 0.011 \mathrm{~h}$ and amplitude of 0.23 mag. Chirony et al. (2011) reported a period of 2.8847 h and amplitude of 0.21 mag . This is within 1 -sigma of the period reported here and so, statistically, the two are the same.
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## A NINE MONTH PHOTOMETRIC STUDY OF THE VERY SLOWLY ROTATING ASTEROID 288 GLAUKE
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Fifteen images of the extremely slowly rotating 288 Glauke were obtained every clear night except when the target was very close to the Moon in the interval 2013 Nov. 5-2014 July 27 during which the object was more than 60 degrees from the Sun, a total of 187 nights. Tumbling behavior was found, with possible periods near 1170 hours and 740 hours, respectively, and uncertainties probably no larger than $2 \%$. The reliability of tumbling behavior was assessed as $\mathrm{PAR}=-2$. The color index was also determined as $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{R}=0.48$. Magnitude parameters in the V photometric system $H=9.99 \pm 0.04$ and $G=0.24 \pm 0.02$ were found

Minor Planet 288 Glauke was the first minor planet ever to be found to have an extremely long rotation period. Harris et al. (1999) found on the basis of observations in 1982 a period between 1110 and 1210 hours with a value dependent upon an assumed value of $G$ between 0.12 and 0.34 . Binzel (1987) obtained a period near 1150 hours that Harris et al. (1999) reanalyzed to 1296 hours. Kryszczynska et al. (2003) found an irregular lightcurve which
they suggested as indicative of NPA rotation (tumbling). A stated equivalent single period of 77 days cannot be considered reliable. Ostro et al. (2001) made radar observations of the bandwidth of the echo. Due to uncertainties in the effective diameter of the asteroid, the orientation of its rotation axis relative to the line of sight, and noisy data, the rotation period could only be established as less than 2100 hours.

An ephemeris of 288 Glauke between 2013 November and 2014 August shows that it was consistently farther than 60 degrees from the Sun and brighter than magnitude 15 during this interval, approximately five rotational cycles. The goal of the new observations was to obtain a reliable and fairly accurate rotation period and look for possible tumbling behavior. The observational strategy was to obtain fifteen 60 second exposures every clear night except when the Moon was close during this time frame and establish the R magnitude within a few x 0.01 on each night. A mean magnitude for each night would constitute an effective single data point. On some nights one or more of these fifteen exposures was defective and could not be reliably measured, and on a few nights unusual circumstances recommended obtaining more than fifteen exposures. This observational procedure was made on a total of 187 nights from 2013 Nov. 5 through 2014 July 27, an interval of nearly 9 months.

Author FP made all the observations at the Organ Mesa Observatory with a 0.35 -meter Meade LX200 GPS SchmidtCassegrain (SCT) and SBIG STL-1001E CCD. All exposures were 60 seconds, unguided, with a clear filter. Photometric measurement calibrated in the Cousins R magnitude system by stars with near solar colors was with MPO Canopus software. The Comparison Star Selector in this software identifies stars with near solar colors in the range $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{V}=0.54$ to 0.9 and $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{R}=0.31$ to 0.57 computed from the 2MASS catalog by formulas developed by Warner (2007). A further improvement in the R magnitudes of these stars is achieved by the method of Dymock and Miles (2009) and CMC14 (Carlsbad Meridian Circle) catalog as presented by the VizieR Service (2014). The magnitudes of stars in the CMC14 catalog, and in the CMC15 catalog which became available on line 2014 March from VizieR (2014), have a much better internal consistency than those in the MPOSC3 catalog. The Sloan $\mathrm{r}^{\prime}$ magnitudes of the calibration stars in these catalogs are converted to the R magnitude system by $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{r}^{\prime}-0.22$, and then substituted for their MPOSC3 values. The MPO Canopus software constructs the lightcurve by further adjusting these calibrated R magnitudes to changes in the heliocentric and geocentric distances and an assumed $\mathrm{G}=0.15$. A raw lightcurve based on these values with no adjustments of instrumental magnitudes has a night to night behavior smooth within a few x 0.01 magnitudes which we interpret as the internal consistency of the calibration star R magnitudes for all of the 187 sessions. The raw lightcurve is however quite irregular on a time scale of 10 to 20 days, which suggests large amplitude tumbling.

Color indices were found on two nights, 2013 Dec. 18 at phase angle 25 degrees, and 2014 April 8 at phase angle 3.6 degrees. Fifteen 60 second exposure images were made in with the R filter and converted from CMC14 $\mathrm{r}^{\prime}$ magnitudes to Cousins R magnitudes by $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{r}^{\prime}-0.22$. An additional fifteen 60 second exposure images were made with the V filter and converted from CMC15 r', J, and K magnitudes to Johnson V magnitudes by $\mathrm{V}=$ $0.9947 * r^{\prime}+0.6278 *(\mathrm{~J}-\mathrm{K})$. Both magnitude conversion procedures are from Dymock and Miles (2009). At 25 degrees phase angle $\mathrm{R}=13.97, \mathrm{~V}=14.47$, $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{R}=0.50$. At 3.6 degrees phase angle $\mathrm{R}=11.68, \mathrm{~V}=12.14, \mathrm{~V}-\mathrm{R}=0.46$. I consider the difference between these values of V-R to be within reasonable observational error and
not definitive of a change of color index with phase angle. Hence $I$ adopt the mean $V-R=0.48$.

We present the raw lightcurve of all data points as prepared with MPO Canopus software, in which the calibrated magnitudes are adjusted to changing heliocentric and distances and assumed $\mathrm{G}=$ 0.240 (Figure 1). The lightcurve of each rotational cycle is presented separately in Figures 2-7.

With strong evidence of tumbling indicated in the raw lightcurves, we first used the dual period procedure in MPO Canopus software (Warner, 2012). The dual period procedure removes the Fourier average of the lightcurve for each period from the combined lightcurve to produce that part of the variation due to each period separately. A primary period $1169 \pm 1.5$ hours, amplitude 0.38 magnitudes, and secondary period $737 \pm 0.5$ hours, amplitude 0.22 magnitudes, are clearly shown in Figures 8 and 9, respectively. The scatter is, however, greater than can reasonably be explained by errors of a few x 0.01 magnitude in the CMC14 and CMC15 catalogs and changes in aspect and solar phase angle through the nine-month interval of observation. The largest source of error arises from the dual period procedure of MPO Canopus, which does not model a tumbler's lightcurve fully. There are missing terms with linear combinations of the two tumbling frequencies. See Pravec et al. (2005) for details. The commonly-used technique of adjusting instrumental magnitudes of different sessions for best fit cannot be applied to this data set. In Figure 10 we separately plot the curves of Fourier components of primary and secondary periods, their sum, and include a single data point for each night so that a direct comparison with the data can be made.

If P1 and P2 are, respectively, the primary and secondary periods as found by the dual period procedure above, let the corresponding rotational frequencies be $\mathrm{f}_{-} 1=1 / \mathrm{P} 1$ and $\mathrm{f}_{-} 2=1 / \mathrm{P} 2$. A 3 rd order 2 period Fourier procedure, containing all terms with frequencies ( $i * f \_1+j * f 2$ ) where $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ are integers between -3 and 3 , and described by Pravec et al. (2005), was applied to all the data ignoring, however, effects of changing aspect and solar phase. The largest amplitudes are for $\mathrm{f}_{-} 1,2 * \mathrm{f}_{-} 1,2 * \mathrm{f}_{-} 2$, and $2 * \mathrm{f}_{-} 2-2 * \mathrm{f}_{-} 1$. A primary period 1174 hours and secondary period 747 hours are suggested. Unfortunately the data are not quite sufficient to determine a secure second period by this method. The two evaluations of the primary period agree within $0.5 \%$, and those of the secondary period within $2 \%$. Tumbling behavior is clearly shown, with reliability assessed at $\mathrm{PAR}=-2$. Pravec et al. (2005) define the reliability $\operatorname{PAR}=-2$ as "Non principal axis rotation detected based on deviations from the single periodicity but the second period is not resolved." Figure 11 illustrates the sum of the Fourier components of all of the periodic terms listed above and compares with the data.

The dual period complicated the analysis of the absolute magnitude H and slope parameter G with the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{G}$ calculator tool of MPO Canopus version 10. The calculations were performed in the Cousins R magnitude system of the calibrated data. Values of $H=9.51 \pm 0.03$ ( R magnitude) and $\mathrm{G}=0.24 \pm 0.02$ have been obtained. Given the directly observed $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{R}=0.48$, the value of H as conventionally defined in the V magnitude system is $9.99 \pm$ 0.03 . This agrees closely with the value of 10.00 quoted in Harris et al. (1999). The H-G plot, in the V magnitude system, is presented in Figure 12.
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EDITOR'S NOTE: The enormity of the effort required to fully reveal Glauke's long period lightcurve cannot be understated. To commemorate this accomplishment, two invited commentaries follow. The first is by long-time MPB advisor Dr. Alan W. Harris who gives a perspective on the history of asteroid lightcurve work as recorded on the pages of the $M P B$. The second is a more personal tribute to Dr. Frederick Pilcher by long-time ALPO Minor Planet Section member Frank J. Melillo, who gives a thirty year perspective on Professor Pilcher's broad role in encouraging and promoting amateur astronomers toward minor planet research.


Figure 1. Raw lightcurve of 288 Glauke 2013 Nov. 12-2014 July 27.


Figure 2. One cycle lightcurve of 288 Glauke 2013 Nov. 5 - Dec. 11.


Figure 3. One cycle lightcurve of 288 Glauke 2013 Dec. 14-2014 Jan. 21.


Figure 4. One cycle lightcurve of 288 Glauke 2014 Jan. 26 - Mar. 11.


Figure 5. One cycle lightcurve of 288 Glauke 2014 Mar. 12 - Apr. 30.


Figure 6. One cycle lightcurve of 288 Glauke 2014 May 1 - June 10.


Figure 7. One cycle lightcurve of 288 Glauke 2014 June 11 - July 27.

Phased Plot: 288 Glauke


Figure 8. Lightcurve of 288 Glauke phased to the primary period 1169.0 hours with the contribution of the secondary period subtracted out.

Phased Plot: 288 Glauke


Figure 9. Lightcurve of 288 Glauke phased to the secondary period 733.5 hours with the contribution of the primary period subtracted out.


Figure 10. Graphs of second order Fourier coefficients of the primary 1169 hour period (pink), the secondary 733.5 hour period (orange), and their sum (blue). A single data point representing the mean for each night is shown in red for comparison.


Figure 11. Graph of the third order Fourier components of primary 1174 hour period, secondary 747 hour period, and all other terms as explained in the text, with data points superimposed, all converted to $R(1,15 \mathrm{deg})$ using $\mathrm{G}=0.24$.


Figure 12. H-G plot for 288 Glauke, with pre-opposition data in red and post-opposition data in blue.

## A PERSPECTIVE ON HOW FAR ASTEROID PHOTOMETRY HAS COME IN THE PAST FORTY YEARS
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One of the first papers in the Minor Planet Bulletin reporting photometric lightcurve observations appeared forty years ago - and the contrast of what can be achieved by modern amateur astronomers is beautifully put into perspective by the gargantuan accomplishment on asteroid 288 Glauke published by Pilcher et al. (this issue, page 6). The contrast is with those first MPB asteroid lightcurve observations published by Welch, Binzel and Patterson (MPB 2, 20-21, 1974), reporting photoelectric observations of 18 Melpomene, correcting a previously reported period by professional astronomers. A note following the article from Joseph Patterson, Director of Camp Uraniborg (and recently honored by naming asteroid 8794 Joepatterson), pointed out that the two observers, Douglas Welch and Richard Binzel, were 15 year old high school students when they made the observations. In addition to these familiar names, it can be noted that another, Frederick Pilcher, was a Corresponding Editor of $M P B$ at that time. Now, 40 years later, much has changed, yet much remains the same. All the individuals named are still active and accomplished astronomers, Welch, Binzel and Patterson are full professors of astronomy in their respective universities, and Pilcher is a retired professor who is now a very active amateur observer, as demonstrated by the current paper. Binzel, of course, is the current Editor of MPB, so roles are a bit reversed 40 years on, but the enthusiasm for research and learning is undiminished.

But much has changed, most dramatically the volume of asteroid lightcurve data nowadays, the methods of observation, and the highly computerized methods of analysis. Figure 1 well illustrates this dramatic growth and reveals the key role played by Brian D. Warner in opening a new gateway to the field. Prior to 1974, there were "known" rotation periods for 64 asteroids, and some of those initially published ( 18 Melpomene, for example) were wrong; today we have fairly reliable periods for more than 5000 asteroids. In the 1974 paper, Welch et al. described taking data by
reading the needle position (in the dark) on a microammeter coming from the output of a 1000 volt 1P21 photomultiplier tube. And that was high-tech; Frederick Pilcher at that time was actively doing asteroid photometry - visually! Today, observations are often taken with robotically, sometimes remotely, controlled telescopes, with highly sensitive CCD cameras that allow a "backyard" telescope of modest aperture (0.3-0.4 m) to work targets as faint as could be reached only with major observatory telescopes ( $\sim 2 \mathrm{~m}$ aperture) using a photoelectric photometer. In 1974, data analysis was done by plotting the few preciously derived data points from each night on graph paper and overlaying them on a light table to estimate the cycle period. Welch et al. describe a crude estimation of the pole orientation of Melpomene based on the differences in amplitude of variation at two different longitude aspects in the sky. They were roughly right, not bad for just two observing aspects. The computational power that is now brought to bear on lightcurve analysis, both hardware and software, is truly remarkable and advanced. It is now possible to do "lightcurve inversion" to obtain detailed shape models of asteroids from their lightcurves. The amount of computation to run such solutions boggles the mind and would be impossible with the computing power available even a couple decades ago, now it can be done on a home computer or even a laptop. The Minor Planet Bulletin itself has experienced a similar explosion of volume. In 1974, MPB Volume 2 consisted of 46 pages, for all four issues. The most recent MPB ran 106 pages, the most recent volume (41) concluded with 308 pages. With smaller type and more efficient page layout, the published volume is at least ten times greater. Along with its growth in volume, the MPB has become the journal for publication of asteroid lightcurve results, by both amateurs and professionals and combinations of both, as in the present publication. With its editorial review practices well established, the MPB is recognized as a professional level refereed journal, with articles indexed in the SAO/NASA Astrophysics Data System.

Lastly, it can be noted that with the vast improvement in technology has come greater challenges in observation. We have now discovered asteroids with rotation periods from under a


Figure 1. The growth in the number of lightcurves published in The Minor Planet Bulletin over the past 40 years. Notable growth spurts commencing in 1999 and 2003, respectively, coincide with the release of the popular Canopus software by Brian D. Warner and the subsequent publication of Warner's first edition $A$ Practical Guide to Lightcurve Photometry. An even more popular second edition of Warner's book published in 2006 has certainly helped fuel the ongoing growth and what appear to be sustaining numbers of lightcurve measurements.
minute to approaching 2 months for 288 Glauke, the subject of the current paper. In addition, some have satellites, leading to multiple periods of variation and mutual eclipse events to complicate analysis and require more extensive observations. And perhaps most difficult to observe, some very slowly rotating asteroids are "tumbling" in a non-principal axis rotation state, like a badly passed American football. Glauke is exactly such a case, suspected given its extremely long rotation period, but very difficult to confirm since it takes nearly two months for a single rotation cycle. The non-repetitive nature due to "tumbling" requires a minimum of two cycles to compare, and three or more is better. This represents a truly heroic effort to do right, and the Pilcher et al. paper in this issue is an example of such heroism on the part of the observers, and also illustrates the elaborate computer analysis needed to make sense of the data. It is an example of collaboration between the amateur and professional communities at its best - and a measure of how far the field has come over the past forty years.

## MY THIRTY YEARS' EXPERIENCE WITH THE ALPO MINOR PLANET SECTION

Frank J Melillo<br>Holtsville, Observatory<br>14 Glen-Hollow Dr., E-16<br>Holtsville, NY 11742<br>frankj12@aol.com

(Received: 29 September)

This paper describes the author's early experience with asteroids and thirty years with the ALPO Minor Planets Section.

This year will mark the $30^{\text {th }}$ anniversary of my first paper in the Minor Planet Bulletin, even though I started my astronomy interest on a different path. When I was growing up, I was attracted by the beauty of the universe. But planetary observing was my true passion. I had seen most of the planets, including Comet Kouhotek. But only one part of the solar system wasn't yet observed for me: the asteroids. I had little experience in observing asteroids before I knew about the ALPO Minor Planets Section and its journal The Minor Planet Bulletin.

During the 1970s, I subscribed to Sky \& Telescope magazine, which I still receive. The December 1974 issue mentioned a very unusual asteroid that was coming near Earth. The asteroid 433 Eros made headlines. I was excited about it and Eros was going to be 'the closest' to Earth since 1931! I had a $41 / 2$ - inch Tasco reflector, which was big enough to observe Eros. One of my first observations of an asteroid was on December 6, 1974, when I spotted Eros. I followed Eros until February 1975. I observed and sketched Eros each time and I made notes to describe how it looked through the eyepiece. January 23, 1975 was a night to remember. Eros passed very close to the bright star Kappa Geminorum and I saw real-time motion every 15 minutes through the eyepiece. Also, I noticed Eros change its brightness. Its movement was apparently easy to see due to the close proximity to Earth. In fact, it was around opposition when it moved from north to south in Gemini. I revisited Eros again in 2012 after 37 years!

There was another moment in asteroid observation as I was participating where I witnessed a possible occultation of a star 1 Vulpecula by an asteroid 2 Pallas. On the morning of May 29, 1983, Pallas was predicted to occult this star, which was quite close to the shadow's path. Unfortunately, I did not see anything, but I did see Pallas very close to the occulting star. Also, in 1983, I became involved in photoelectric photometry and I purchased a photometer SSP-3 OPTEC, which I still have today! I became a member of American Association of Variable Star Observers (AAVSO) and started working on variable stars. But the following year I became a member of the Association of Lunar and Planetary Observers (ALPO) to pursue further interest in planetary observing.

Asteroid photometry didn't inspire me until I read the book 'A Complete Manual of Amateur Astronomy' (1981 edition) by Dr. Clay Sherrod. There is a chapter on 'Visual Photometry of the Minor Planets' on page 192. Having a photometer, I knew I could go forward and do more meaningful work on asteroids. But I needed a good start. While I was fairly new to ALPO, I received some quarterly issues of The Minor Planet Bulletin from 1983-84. I read that Richard G. Hodgson was no longer a recorder for the Minor Planets section. I understand that he was a founder for that section, but he moved his title position over to the Remote Planets section. A new Minor Planets Recorder, Dr. Frederick Pilcher, took over the section, and he is still holding his position as of today! It was Dr. Pilcher who got me interested in doing asteroid photometry and other related fields.

Dr. Pilcher was actually the first person I corresponded with to do serious astronomical work. He gave me a good start. In April 1985, I was free to choose one asteroid that could be suitable for my equipment. Asteroid 4 Vesta came to opposition at that time and was a perfect target for a start. I monitored Vesta with my SSP-3 OPTEC photometer on a Celestron 8-inch telescope for two nights and I produced some interesting lightcurves. Dr. Pilcher told me that I was doing first-class astronomical work, and I was quite excited about that. He encouraged me to write a paper and get it published in The Minor Planet Bulletin. That part got me nervous because I had never published a scientific paper before. Later that year in Volume 12 of the MPB, I was completely overwhelmed by seeing my paper on Vesta published for the very first time!

I continued to do more photometry work on asteroids and got my observations published regularly in the MPB following the next ten years. Also, I corresponded with Richard Binzel, who was a graduate student at the University of Texas at the time, and he edited my papers for the MPB. I met him personally at the $40^{\text {th }}$ Division of Planetary Science (DPS) meeting in 2008. Also, I corresponded with Dr. Alan Harris (JPL; now retired) as well for his advice on asteroid photometry, and I also met him at the $40^{\text {th }}$ DPS meeting. In later years, I pursued other astronomical interests but I never really abandoned my work in minor planet photometry. Then, in 2012, I got around to revisit 'my old friend' 433 Eros to do CCD photometry work. Again, with Dr. Pilcher's encouragement, I monitored Eros for four nights and I published those results in the MPB issue 40-2 (2013) - the first time to publish in 17 years!

In 1994, I had a chance to apply for observations with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST). At that time, the top program officers allowed amateur astronomers to participate in some observing time with the HST. My plan with the HST was to observe Vesta near opposition in May 1996 when it was possible to resolve the tiny 0.6 arc second disk diameter. No matter what, it was impossible to discern any details on Vesta from the ground-based observatories. I
asked Dr. Pilcher to be my co-investigator. He accepted it and I wrote a proposal with his help. I turned it in to the amateur working group for the HST project. Unfortunately, I didn't get picked, as only a few amateurs were selected. The reason why my proposal was turned down was because it was then possible that Vesta's disk could be resolved using the adaptive optics on larger telescopes, which could compensate the atmospheric turbulence to discern tiny details.

I'm glad I had a chance to meet Dr. Fred Pilcher at the 2003 ALPO meeting in Boardsman, Ohio. I didn't realize back then, but I do now, how much he helped spark my interest in first-class work in planetary science and other related fields. With Dr. Pilcher's encouragement, I hope other amateurs went through the same experience as I did. I learned a lot from Professor Pilcher. He's a great man and has certainly enriched many people who study minor planets. Without his encouragement, I wouldn't be sitting here and writing this perspective, thirty years later!

## ASTEROIDS AT ETSCORN: 490 VERITAS, 3039 YANGEL, 5492 THOMA

Daniel A. Klinglesmith III, Austin DeHart, Jesse Hanowell, Curtis Alan Warren<br>Etscorn Campus Observatory, New Mexico Tech<br>101 East Road<br>Socorro, NM USA 87801<br>dklinglesmith@mro.nmt.edu

(Received: 13 September)

We present lightcurves and synodic periods for 3 asteroids. 490 Veritas is an inversion model candidate, while 3039 Yangel and 5492 Thoma are new determinations of synodic periods.

The observations of asteroid lightcurves were obtained at the Etscorn Campus Observatory (ECO, 2014) in Socorro, New Mexico. We used 3 Celestron $0.35-\mathrm{m} \mathrm{SCT}$ telescopes on Software Bisque Parmount ME mounts (SB, 2013). Two of the telescopes used SBIG STL 1001E CCDs that have 1024 x 1024 with 24 micron pixels. The third C-14 used a SBIG ST10xme with an Optic 0.5 x focal reducer. The SBIG ST-10XME is binned 2 x 2 providing an image of 1092 by 73613.6 with 13.6 micron pixels. The pixel size for the STL 1001 E cameras is 1.25 arc seconds/pixel. This provides a $22 \times 22$ arc minute field of view. The ST-10 XME pixel size is 1.28 arc seconds/pixel. This provides a $20 \times 16$ arc minute field of view. The asteroid images were obtained through a clear filter. Exposure times varied between 3 and 5 minutes depending on the brightness of the object. Each evening a series of 11 dome flats were obtained and combined into a master flat with a median filter. The telescopes were controlled with Software Bisque's TheSky6 (SB, 2014) and the CCDs were controlled with CCDsoft V5 (SB, 2014). The images were dark subtracted and flat field corrected using image processing tools within MPO Canopus version 10.4.1.9 Warner (2014). The multinight data sets for each asteroid were combined with the FALC routine (Harris et. al., 1989) within Canopus to provide synodic periods for each asteroid.

## Observed Asteroids

490 Veritas is listed as Inversion Model Candidate by Warner et. el. (2014). Our efforts provide another solar bisector than previously observed. The second two asteroids, 3039 Yangel and 5492 Thoma were observed because they have no known synodic periods. Information about asteroid discovery dates and names were obtained for the JPL small bodies Database, JPLSDB (2014). The previously observed solar bisector phase angles were obtained from the lightcurve data base, LCDB, (Warner et.al. 2009).

490 Veritas is a main-belt asteroid discovered by M. Wolf at Heidelberg on 1902 Sep 03. It is also known as 1902 JP. The LCDB (Warner et. al., 2009) reports 3 period determinations. Koff and Brincat, (2001) determined a period of $7.30 \pm 0.005 \mathrm{~h}$ with an amplitude 0.33 mag. from data taken in February and March of 2001. The solar bisector angles (PABL, PABB) were 147.6 and 5.4. Behrend (2007) reported observations of Pierre Antonini which provide a period determination of $7.927 \pm 0.002 \mathrm{~h}$ with an amplitude of 0.33 mag. form data taken in March 2007. The solar bisector angles (PABL, PABB) were 170.5 and -1.5 . Gil-Hutton and Canada (2004) estimated the period to be $9.962 \pm 0.004 \mathrm{~h}$ with an amplitude of 0.577 mag. There observation were obtained on only 3 nights and do not provide complete phase coverage. Their PABL, PABB were 188.3 and 2.5. We observed 490 Veritas on 9 nights between 2014 Jun 06 and 2014 Jun 25 and obtained a period of $7.927 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{~h}$ with an amplitude of 0.21 mag . The solar bisector angles (PABL, PABB) were 256.7 and 11.1 .


3039 Yangel is a main-belt asteroid discovered by L. Zhuravela at Nauchnyj on 1978 Sep 26. It is also known as 1978 SP2 and 1981 EP17. We observed 3039 Yangel on 12 nights between 2014 May 06 and 2014 Jun 07 . While there is a fair amount of noise in the data we determined a period of $12.753 \pm 0.002 \mathrm{~h}$ with an amplitude of $0.16 \pm 0.07 \mathrm{mag}$.


5492 Thoma is a main-belt asteroid discovered by van Houten and Gehrels at Mt. Palomar on 1971 Mar 26. It is also known as 3227 T-1, 1959 TK, 1987 SM27 and 1987 UM8. We observed 5492 Thoma on 3 nights between 2014 Jun 29 and 2014 Jul 08. We estimate the period to be $3.315 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{~h}$ with an amplitude of 0.32 $\pm 0.05 \mathrm{mag}$.


The Etscorn Campus Observatory operations are supported by the Research and Economic Development Office of New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology (NMIMT). Student support at NMIMT is given by NASA EPSCoR grant NNX11AQ35A, the Department of Physics, and the Title IV of the Higher Education Act from the Department of Education.
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## A LIGHTCURVE FOR 788 HOHENSTEINA
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A lightcurve of 788 Hohenstenia was obtained from the Center for Solar System Studies during July and August 2014.

In late July 2014, a request for observations of 788 Hohenstenia was announced by Raoul Behrend. A group of European observers had been observing Hohenstenia and described several eclipse events. Their lightcurve (Behrend, 2014) was phased to a rotational period of 47.856 h and showed two "events" where the magnitude of the asteroid dropped 0.2 mag. over the course of a few minutes. These observing sessions each ended with the event and did not show a corresponding brightening of the asteroid.

Coley started observations on 25 July using a $0.35-\mathrm{m}$ SCT with a SBIG ST-9XE CCD camera. When that telescope was taken offline for maintenance, Stephens continued observations starting on August 1 with a $0.4-\mathrm{m}$ SCT and an FLI-1001E CCD camera. All images were unbinned with no filter and had master flats and darks applied to the science frames prior to measurement. Measurements were made using MPO Canopus, which employs differential aperture photometry to produce the raw data. Period analysis was done using MPO Canopus, which incorporates the Fourier analysis algorithm (FALC) developed by Harris (Harris et al., 1989). Night-to-night calibration of the data (generally $< \pm 0.05$ mag) was done using field stars converted to approximate Cousins V magnitudes based on 2MASS J-K colors (Warner, 2007). The

Comp Star Selector feature in MPO Canopus was used to limit the comparison stars to near solar color.

Our observations produced a lightcurve with an amplitude of $\sim 0.10$ to 0.15 mag. Harris et al. (2014) showed that with an amplitude in this range, it is possible that the lightcurve could have only a single extrema, or three or more extrema. With small zero point adjustments within the comparison star catalog error ( $\sim 0.05 \mathrm{mag}$.), our data could be phased to periods of 29.94 h or 40.87 h . A period spectrum also shows possible periods of more than 50 h and 60 h , but these solutions increasingly become a fit-by-exclusion result, i.e., the RMS error is minimized by reducing the number of overlapping data points in the phased data set. There was a single night (Aug 5) which shows an unexplained brightening at the start of the run. There were no background stars or observed defects on the CCD chip that would explain this anomaly. However, since this was seen only once, we initially assume it to be an observational error.

Oey et al. (2008) observed Hohensteina in 2008 April - May. Their observations spanned 5 weeks resulting in an asymmetric lightcurve with a rotational period of 37.176 h and an amplitude of about 0.2 mag . These observations were uploaded to the ALCDEF database maintained at the Minor Planet Center (Warner et al., 2011) and were not calibrated to an internal standard. Arbitrary night-to-night zero point adjustments were applied to create a best fit in the lightcurve.

We downloaded the Oey observations from ALCDEF and reanalyzed their lightcurve. We found possible fits at 29.14 h and 43.02 h . These were close to, but could not be reconciled with, our 29.94 h and 40.87 h periods. The Behrend period of $\sim 48 \mathrm{~h}$ is synchronized with the Earth's rotational period and can be ruled out by the combination of the Stephens-Coley and Oey et al. observations. The eclipse events, with a 24 hour periodicity and occurring at the end of the observing sessions are possibly an observing artifact.

This object remains a mystery for the moment and begs to be reobserved at a future opposition when longer observing runs can be obtained. Hohenstenia reaches opposition again in 2015 September, 2016 November, and 2018 January.
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Figure 1. Stephens-Coley 2014 observations phased to a rotational period of 29.94 h .


Figure 2. Stephens-Coley 2014 observations phased to a rotational period of 40.87 h .


Figure 3. Period spectrum for the 2014 data.


Figure 4. Oey et al. 2008 data phased to a rotational period of 29.14 h. Arbitrary zero point adjustments were applied to obtain a best fit.


Figure 5. Oey et al. 2008 data phased to a rotational period of 43.02 h . Arbitrary zero point adjustments were applied to obtain a best fit.

## ASTEROID PHOTOMETRY FROM THE PRESTON GOTT OBSERVATORY
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Asteroid period and amplitude results obtained during 2014 at the Preston Gott Observatory in Texas and the Chiron Observatory in Western Australia are presented.

The Preston Gott Observatory is the main astronomical facility of the Texas Tech University. Located about 20 km north of Lubbock, the main instrument is a $0.5-\mathrm{m} f / 6.8$ Dall-Kirkam Cassegrain. An SBIG STL-1001E CCD camera was used with this telescope. Other telescopes used were $0.35-\mathrm{m}$ and $0.3-\mathrm{m}$ SchmidtCassegrains (SCT), and $13-\mathrm{cm}$ refractors. SBIG ST9XE CCD cameras were used with the SCTs and SBIG ST10XE CCD
cameras were used with the refractors. All images were unfiltered and reduced with dark frames and twilight flats.

Chiro Observatory is a private observatory owned by Akira Fuji near Yerecion in Western Australia. (MPC 320). The main instrument is a $0.3-\mathrm{m} f / 6$ Newtonian. An SBIG STL-1001E CCD was used with this telescope. All images were unfiltered and were reduced with dark frames and twilight flats.

Image analysis was accomplished using differential aperture photometry with MPO Canopus. Period analysis was also done in MPO Canopus, which implements the algorithm developed by Alan Harris (Harris et al., 1989). Differential magnitudes were calculated using reference stars from the USNO-A 2.0 catalog and the UCAC3 catalog.

Results are summarized in the table where column 3 gives the range of dates of observations and column 4 gives the number of nights on which observations were undertaken. The lightcurve plots are presented at the end of the paper. The data and curves are presented without additional comment except were circumstances warrant.

1132 Hollandia. Observations of this asteroid were made on 3 nights, when it was in the field of another asteroid being observed. The derived period was 5.360 hours. This agrees closely with the 5.568 hour period obtained by Behrend (2003) and the 5.326 hour period derived by Saupe et al. (2007). As was observed by these other observers, the lightcurve was not a simple bimodal curve, but had a small secondary rise during the fading portions of the lightcurve. More observations of this asteroid at future oppositions would be useful for modeling its shape.

1967 Menzel. Observations of this asteroid were made on 3 nights, when it was in the field of another asteroid being observed. The derived period was 2.8364 hours. This agrees closely with previously reported periods of 2.834 h (Behrend, 2005), 2.834 hour (Lecrone et al., 2006), and 2.8346 h (Higgins, 2007).

3015 Candy. Observations of this asteroid were previously made by the author in 2005 December (Clark, 2007) and 2011 November (Clark, 2011). The latest observations were made in order to gather data for modeling the asteroid's shape. The period determined from these latest observations matches that found previously but with a slightly smaller amplitude.

3089 Oujianquan. Observations of this asteroid were previously made by the author in 2004 June (Clark, 2007). A period of 11.198 hours was derived at the time, however this result was uncertain. The latest observations rule that result out completely, leading to a period of 14.328 hours.
(14501) 1995 YY1. This asteroid was observed on one night when it was in the field of another asteroid. Although the full period was not covered, the results indicated a period of 5.538 hours.

15609 Kosmaczewski. This asteroid was observed on one night when it was in the field of another asteroid. A period of 5.22 hours was derived from the data, however this result is uncertain and more observations are required.
(21486) 1998 HA148. This asteroid was observed on three nights. However, no reliable period could be derived since the data seemed to show the same portion of the lightcurve. This would indicate a period close to a simple fraction of a day. More observations are needed to confirm this. This asteroid would benefit from international collaboration.

| \# | Name | Date Range | Sess | Per (h) | Error <br> (h) | Amp | $\begin{gathered} \text { Erro } \\ \text { r } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1132 | Hollandia | 2013 Dec 11 - 2014 Jan 27 | 3 | 5.360 | 0.001 | 0.30 | 0.03 |
| 1967 | Menzel | 2014 June 14-16 | 3 | 2.8364 | 0.0005 | 0.39 | 0.03 |
| 2704 | Julian Loewe | 2014 Feb 23 - Mar 30 | 3 | 2.091 | 0.001 | 0.35 | 0.1 |
| 2882 | Tedesco | 2014 June 14-22 | 5 | 19.8046 | 0.0075 | 0.76 | 0.02 |
| 3015 | Candy | 2013 Nov 29 - 2014 Mar 9 | 5 | 13.003 | 0.008 | 0.09 | 0.05 |
| 3089 | Oujianquan | 2014 June 23-25 | 3 | 14.328 | 0.008 | 0.52 | 0.02 |
| 4716 | Urey | 2014 Mar 9 - May 4 | 4 | 5.9260 | 0.0001 | 0.59 | 0.05 |
| 4909 | Couteau | 2014 Aug 3-19 | 4 | 5.0505 | 0.0001 | 1.04 | 0.03 |
| 4910 | Kawasato | 2014 June 14-16 | 3 | 4.651 | 0.004 | 0.22 | 0.1 |
| 9182 | 1991 NB4 | 2014 Mar 9-30 | 3 | 5.416 | 0.001 | 0.40 | 0.1 |
| 9837 | Jerryhorow | 2014 June 23-25 | 3 | 17.397 | 0.027 | 0.70 | 0.05 |
| 9854 | Karlheinz | 2014 Aug 31 - Sept 1 | 2 | 4.688 | 0.006 | 0.56 | 0.1 |
| 11048 | 1990 QZ5 | 2014 July 27 - Aug 24 | 7 | 5.9830 | 0.0004 | 0.24 | 0.1 |
| 13903 | 1975 ST | 2014 Aug 3-sept 1 | 5 | 12.933 | 0.002 | 0.64 | 0.1 |
| 14051 | 1995 YY1 | 2014 June 25 | 1 | 5.538 | 0.022 | 0.59 | 0.05 |
| 14299 | $3162 \mathrm{~T}-2$ | 2014 July 27 - Sept 1 | 8 | 17.424 | 0.002 | 0.38 | 0.05 |
| 15609 | Kosmaczewski | Aug 18, 2014 | 1 |  |  | 0.15 | 0.05 |
| 18219 | $6260 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{L}$ | Mar 3 - May 4, 2014 | 5 | 4.710 | 0.002 | 0.16 | 0.05 |
| 21486 | 1998 HA148 | June 15 - June 18, 2014 | 3 | 12.3? |  | 1.3? |  |
| 30770 | 1984 SL4 | July 27, 2014 | 1 | 5.0? |  | 0.42? |  |
| 33684 | 1999 JW119 | June 23 - June 24, 2014 | 2 | 5.87 | 0.01 | 0.66 | 0.1 |
| 38268 | Zenkert | Aug 3, 2014 | 1 | 12? |  | 0.7 ? |  |
| 74338 | 1998 VK15 | Aug 20 - Sept 1, 2014 | 3 | 7.480 | 0.001 | 1.02 | 0.05 |

(30770) 1984 SL4. This asteroid was observed on one night when it was in the field of another asteroid. Only a portion of the lightcurve was obtained and the result has been included here as a guide for future observers.
(33864) 1999 JW119. This asteroid was observed on two nights when it was in the field of another asteroid. The data were quite noisy and difficult to analyze. The result presented here is the best that could be obtained. More observations are required to confirm the reality of this result.

38268 Zenkert. This asteroid was observed on one night when it was in the field of another asteroid. Only a portion of the lightcurve was obtained and the result has been included here as a guide for future observers.
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## ROTATIONAL PERIOD OF 1938 LAUSANNA

Johan Warell<br>Lindby Observatory (K60)<br>Lindby 229, SE-274 93 Skurup, Sweden johan.warell@gmail.com<br>Riccardo Pappini<br>Carpione Observatory (K49)<br>Spedaletto, Florence, Italy

(Received: 23 September)

We report photometric observations of the main-belt asteroid 1938 Lausanna obtained on five nights in 2014 March and April. We determined a synodic rotation period $2.748 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{~h}$ and an amplitude of $0.12 \pm 0.02$ mag.

1938 Lausanna is a main-belt asteroid discovered by P. Wild at Zimmerwald Observatory, Bern, on 1975 April 19. It is a typical main-belt asteroid in an orbit with semi-major axis of 2.24 AU , eccentricity 0.16 , and orbital period of 3.34 years. The diameter is unknown but based on an absolute magnitude $H=12.6$, the likely diameter is in the range $6-19 \mathrm{~km}$ (Minor Planet Center, 2013).

Observations were made on five nights between 2014 March 11 and April 5. At Lindby Observatory (K60) in southernmost Sweden, data were obtained with a $0.25-\mathrm{m}$ f/10 SchmidtCassegrain (SCT) operating at $f / 4.6$, Starlight Xpress SXV-H9 CCD camera, and clear imaging filter. The pixel scale was 2.3 arcsec/pixel, and the exposure time was 45 seconds. At Carpione Observatory (K49), near Florence, Italy, data were obtained using a $0.25-\mathrm{m} f / 10 \mathrm{SCT}$, SBIG ST9-XE CCD camera, and clear filter. The pixel scale was $1.6 \mathrm{arcsec} / \mathrm{pixel}$, and the exposure time was 210 seconds. Lausanna culminated at an altitude of $34^{\circ}$ at Lindby and $46^{\circ}$ at Carpione.

Images were calibrated with bias, flats and darks. Photometric reduction to the R filter band was made with MPO Canopus software using the MPOSC3 star catalog and the Photometry Magnitude Method (Warner 2014).

In the analysis, 526 observations were used, reduced to $4.6^{\circ}$ phase angle. The resulting light curve is double-peaked and quite symmetric. The phased light curve period is $2.748 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{~h}$ and the amplitude is $0.12 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{mag}$. Very similar results were obtained by Skiff (2014) at Lowell Observatory during the same observing period. The MPC Asteroid Lightcurve Data File (2014) and LCDB (Warner et al., 2009) did not have any entries for this asteroid.
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Fig. 1. Phased plot of 1938 Lausanna with 6 th order fit, obtained from observations on 2014 March 11 (red circles) and 12 (green up triangles) at Lindby, and March 12 (blue down triangles), 28 (purple diamonds) and April 5 (cyan plusses) at Carpione. Zero phase occurred at JD 2456728.2988 (light-time corrected).

## LIGHTCURVE OBSERVATIONS OF 1614 GOLDSCHMIDT

Johan Warell<br>Lindby Observatory (K60)<br>Lindby 229, SE-274 93 Skurup, SWEDEN<br>johan.warell@gmail.com

(Received: 23 September)


#### Abstract

Photometric observations of the main-belt asteroid 1614 Goldschmidt were obtained on five nights in 2014 March and April. The lightcurve shows only small variations in amplitude, approximately 0.10 mag , and a best-fit rotation period of $7.74 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{~h}$. The period determination is uncertain and more observations of higher photometric precision are needed to verify the result.


Minor planet 1614 Goldschmidt was discovered by Alfred Schmitt at Uccle Observatory, Belgium, on 1952 April 18. It is a main-belt asteroid in an orbit with semi-major axis of 3.00 AU , eccentricity 0.07 , inclination $14.1^{\circ}$, and orbital period of 5.19 years. The diameter has been determined to about 46 km from IRAS measurements; the absolute magnitude is $H=10.7$ and the geometric albedo 0.043 (JPL Small Body Database Browser 2014).

Observations were made on five nights between 2014 March 11 and April 5 at Lindby Observatory (K60) in southernmost Sweden. Data were obtained with a $0.25-\mathrm{m} f / 10$ Schmidt-Cassegrain (SCT) operating at $f / 4.6$, a Starlight Xpress SXV-H9 CCD camera, and a clear imaging filter. The pixel scale was $2.3 \mathrm{arcsec} / \mathrm{pixel}$ and individual exposure times were 45 seconds. Goldschmidt culminated at an altitude of $39^{\circ}$.

Images were calibrated with bias, flats and darks. Photometric reduction to the R filter band was made with the MPO Canopus software using the MPOSC3 star catalog and the Photometry Magnitude Method (Warner 2014). In the analysis, 394 observations were used, reduced to $4.7^{\circ}$ phase angle. A search of the MPC Asteroid Lightcurve data file (MPC 2014), LCDB (Warner et al., 2009) and CALL web site (2014) did not find any previously reported light curve observations of this object.

The period spectrum of the observations is quite flat with no pronounced RMS minimum, but many small fluctuations. The best fit period for the range of 2-20.5 h is found at $7.74 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{~h}$ (Figure 1). The resulting phased light curve is slowly undulating with two indistinct maxima and minima and an amplitude of $0.10 \pm 0.02$ mag (Figure 2). In the case of the next best solution, 11.64 h , the observations do not cover the full period length and the fit is partially unconstrained. Observations with higher photometric precision seem necessary to resolve if this is the correct period or if another, perhaps longer, rotation period is hiding in the data.
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Figure 1. Period spectrum (logarithm of period in hours vs. RMS) for 1614 Goldschmidt.


Fig. 2. Phased plot for 1614 Goldschmidt with 4th order fit, obtained from observations on 2014 March 10 (black circles), 11 (red up triangles) and 13 (blue diamonds) at Lindby, based on a best fit rotation period of 7.74 h . Zero phase occurred at JD 2456727.3463 (light-time corrected).

## MINOR PLANETS AT UNUSUALLY FAVORABLE ELONGATIONS IN 2015

Frederick Pilcher<br>4438 Organ Mesa Loop<br>Las Cruces, NM 88011 USA<br>fpilcher35@gmail.com

(Received: 26 September)

A list is presented of minor planets which are much brighter than usual at their 2015 apparitions.

The minor planets in the lists that follow will be much brighter at their 2015 apparitions than at their average distances at maximum elongation. Many years may pass before these planets will be again as bright as in 2015. Observers are encouraged to give special attention to those which lie near the limit of their equipment.

These lists have been prepared by an examination of the maximum elongation circumstances of minor planets computed by the author for all years through 2060 with a full perturbation program written by Dr. John Reed, and to whom he expresses his thanks. They were prepared in the years 2009 and earlier, and recently numbered Earth approachers are not included. Planetary positions are from the JPL DE-200 ephemeris, courtesy of Dr. E. Myles Standish.

Any planets whose brightest magnitudes near the time of maximum elongation vary by at least 2.0 in this interval and in 2015 will be within 0.3 of the brightest occuring, or vary by at least 3.0 and in 2015 will be within 0.5 of the brightest occuring; and which are visual magnitude 14.5 or brighter, are included. For planets brighter than visual magnitude 13.5 , which are within the range of a large number of observers, these standards have been relaxed somewhat to include a larger number of planets. Magnitudes have been computed from the updated magnitude parameters published prior to the year 2009, and recent changes incorporated into the Minor Planet Center's catalogs have not been used. The magnitudes should be considered as only approximate.

Oppositions may be in right ascension or in celestial longitude. Here still a third representation is used, maximum elongation from the Sun, instead of opposition. Though unconventional, it has the advantage that many close approaches do not involve actual opposition to the Sun near the time of minimum distance and greatest brightness and are missed by an opposition-based program. Other data are also provided according to the following tabular listings: Minor planet number, date of maximum elongation from the Sun in format yyyy $/ \mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{dd}$, maximum elongation in degrees, right ascension on date of maximum elongation, declination on date of maximum elongation, both in J2000 coordinates, date of brightest magnitude in format yyyy $/ \mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{dd}$, brightest magnitude, date of minimum distance in format yyyy $/ \mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{dd}$, and minimum distance in AU.

Eight numbered minor planets are predicted to make close approaches to Earth at magnitudes brighter than 14.5. A special table of these is provided at the end of this paper.

Users should note that when the maximum elongation is about $177^{\circ}$ or greater, the brightest magnitude is sharply peaked due to enhanced brightening near zero phase angle. Even as near as 10 days before or after minimum magnitude the magnitude is generally about 0.4 greater. This effect takes place in greater time
interval for smaller maximum elongations. There is some interest in very small minimum phase angles. For maximum elongations E near $180^{\circ}$ at Earth distance $\Delta$, an approximate formula for the minimum phase angle $\phi$ is $\phi=\left(180^{\circ}-\mathrm{E}\right) /(\Delta+1)$.

Table I. Numerical Sequence of Favorable Elongations

|  | Max | Max E | RA D |  | Br Mag D Br | Mag | Min Dist D | $n$ Di |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 2015/10/03 | $159.1^{\circ}$ | Oh 0m | +22 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015/10/04 | 7.9 | 2015/10/04 | 1.206 |
| 21 | 2015/08/15 | $174.7^{\circ}$ | 21h45m | -19 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015/08/15 | 9.3 | 2015/08/15 | 1.027 |
| 27 | 2015/12/25 | $179.6^{\circ}$ | 6h12m | $+23^{\circ}$ | 2015/12/25 | 8.4 | 2015/12/24 | 0.959 |
| 49 | 2015/11/25 | $176.3^{\circ}$ | m | $+24^{\circ}$ | 2015/11/25 | . 7 | 2015/11/2 | 450 |
| 62 | 2015/12/02 | $177.0^{\circ}$ | 4 h 35 m | $+19^{\circ}$ | 2015/12/02 | 12. | 2015/11/29 | . 659 |
| 68 | 2015/07/30 | 166. | 20h | - | 2015/07/31 | 9.8 | 2015/08/04 | 56 |
| 69 | 2015/01/16 | $166.7^{\circ}$ | 7 h | $+7^{\circ}$ | 015/01/ | 10.3 | 2015/01/1 | 1.502 |
| 74 | 2015/10/01 | $178.7^{\circ}$ | 0h27m | $+4^{\circ}$ | 2015/10/01 | 10.6 | 2015/10/01 | 1.111 |
| 77 | 2015/11/28 | $176.2^{\circ}$ | 4h13m | $+25^{\circ}$ | 2015/11/28 | 11.2 | 2015/11/27 | 36 |
| 100 | 2015/08/02 | $179.2^{\circ}$ | 20h51m | -180 | 2015/08/02 | 10.8 | 2015/08/02 | 1.555 |
| 106 | 2015/10/3 | $176.9^{\circ}$ | 2 h 24 m | $+11^{\circ}$ | 2015/10/31 | 10.8 | 2015/10/2 | 55 |
| 118 | 2015/11/24 | $176.2^{\circ}$ | h58 | +24 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015/11/25 | 11 | 2015/11/26 | 68 |
| 12 | 2015/11/04 | $174.1^{\circ}$ | 2h44r | $9^{\circ}$ | 2015/11/04 | 11.5 | 2015/11/01 | 2.046 |
| 129 | 2015/06/24 | $164.8{ }^{\circ}$ | 18 h 6 m | $8^{\circ}$ | 2015/06/23 | 9.9 | 2015/06/21 | 1.302 |
| 135 | 2015/07/11 | 176.1 | 19h2 | $-25^{\circ}$ | 2015/07/12 | 9.9 | 2015/07/1 | 0.966 |
| 17 | 2015/09/02 | $179.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 22h42m | $8{ }^{\circ}$ | 2015/09/02 | 11.8 | 2015/09/08 | 1.232 |
| 199 | 2015/07/20 | $167.5^{\circ}$ | 20h13m | -32 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015/07/19 | 11. | 2015/07/16 | 1.651 |
| 201 | 2015/09/10 | $178.1^{\circ}$ | 23h14m | - $6^{\circ}$ | 2015/09/10 | 10.6 | 2015/09/07 | 1.189 |
| 221 | 2015/09/02 | $174.6^{\circ}$ | 22 h 54 m | $-12^{\circ}$ | 2015/09/02 | 11.2 | 2015/09/01 | 1.691 |
| 227 | 2015/04/30 | $165.6^{\circ}$ | 14h 9m | -280 | 2015/05/01 | 12.2 | 2015/05/03 | 1.582 |
| 229 | 2015/10 | 179 | 1h23m | $+8^{\circ}$ | 015/10/16 | 13. | 015/10/12 | 2.047 |
| 24 | 2015/11/24 | $176.8^{\circ}$ | 4 h 0 m | $7^{\circ}$ | 2015/11/24 | 11. | 2015/11/22 | 1.133 |
| 273 | 2015/08/25 | $174.2{ }^{\circ}$ | $22 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{1m}$ | $6^{\circ}$ | 2015/08/24 | 12 | 2015/08/19 | 104 |
| 290 | 2015/03/13 | $162.8^{\circ}$ | 11h44m | $+19^{\circ}$ | 2015/03/10 | 13.3 | 2015/03/05 | 0.911 |
| 306 | 2015/07/11 | $171.9^{\circ}$ | 19h13m | $-14^{\circ}$ | 2015/07/11 | 10.9 | 2015/07/14 | 1.00 |
| 352 | 2015/10/01 | $173.8^{\circ}$ | h19m | $+8^{\circ}$ | 2015/10/02 | 11.5 | 2015/10/03 | 0.888 |
| 35 | 2015/01/06 | $179.1^{\circ}$ | 7h10m | +230 | 2015/01/06 | 12. | 2014/12/28 | 0.975 |
| 354 | 2015/03/04 | $167.3^{\circ}$ | 11h24m | $+17^{\circ}$ | 2015/03/04 | 9.6 | 2015/03/03 | 1.5 |
| 35 | 2015/10/13 | $173.8^{\circ}$ | 1 h 4 m | +13 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015/10/14 | 10.8 | 2015/10/20 | 1.279 |
| 364 | 2015/01/01 | $178.7^{\circ}$ | 6h4 | $+21^{\circ}$ | 2015/01/01 | 11.4 | 201 | 67 |
| 396 | 2015/06/11 | $179.2^{\circ}$ | 17h17m | $-22^{\circ}$ | 2015/06/11 | 12 | 2015/06/13 | 1.296 |
| 40 | 2015/05/28 | $176.2^{\circ}$ | 16h13m | -24* | 2015/05/27 | 10. | 2015/05/21 | 1.045 |
| 413 | 2015/11/04 | 143 | 2h55m | $-20^{\circ}$ | 2015/10/24 | 12.2 | 2015/10/17 | 0.884 |
| 44 | 2015/02/03 | $175.7^{\circ}$ | 9 h 10 m | $+20^{\circ}$ | 2015/02/03 | 11. | 2015/02/03 | 1.124 |
| 454 | 2015/04/02 | $176.2^{\circ}$ | 12 h 49 m | $1^{\circ}$ | 2015/04/02 | 11. | 2015/04/04 | 1.35 |
| 471 | 2015/10/14 | 15 | 1h47m | -140 | 015/10/15 | 9. 6 |  | 1.293 |
| 51 | 2015/11/16 | $176.6^{\circ}$ | 3h19m | $1^{\circ}$ | 2015/11/16 | 12.6 | 2015/11/15 | 97 |
| 523 | 2015/12/01 | $178.5^{\circ}$ | 4 h 27 m | $+23^{\circ}$ | 2015/12/01 | 12.5 | 2015/12/03 | . 491 |
| 532 | 2015/05/19 | $158.4^{\circ}$ | 15h54m | $+1^{\circ}$ | 2015/05/17 | 9.1 | 2015/05/14 | 1.453 |
| 573 | 2015/10/03 | $172.8^{\circ}$ | 0h25m | $+10^{\circ}$ | 2015/10/03 | 13.1 | 2015/10/02 | 1.673 |
| 678 | 2015/11/28 | $173.1^{\circ}$ | 4 h 10 m | +28 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015/11/28 | 11.0 | 2015/11/24 | 1.058 |
| 690 | 2015/10/02 | $163.4{ }^{\circ}$ | Oh 3m | $+18^{\circ}$ | 2015/10/02 | 11.6 | 2015/10/01 | 1.598 |
| 697 | 2015/09/09 | $166.8^{\circ}$ | 23 h 25 m | -180 | 2015/09/09 | 12 | 2015/09/08 | 1.439 |
| 747 | 2015/12/14 | $153.2{ }^{\circ}$ | 5h15m | $3^{\circ}$ | 2015/12/09 | 10 | 2015/12/04 | 25 |
| 769 | 2015/09/02 | 170.0 | 23h 0m | $-17^{\circ}$ | 2015 | 12 | 201 | 713 |
| 783 | 2015/08/15 | $180.0^{\circ}$ | 21h38m | $-14^{\circ}$ | 2015/08/15 | 11.5 | 2015/08/09 | 0.820 |
| 789 | 2015/05/12 | $179.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 15h13m | -180 | 2015/05/12 | 13.5 | 2015/05/17 | 1.370 |
| 846 | 2015/09/10 | 179 | 23h1 | - $4^{\circ}$ | 2015/09/10 | 13.5 | 2015/09/14 | 1.659 |
| 84 | 2015/08/01 | 148.8 | 20h1 | $+12^{\circ}$ | 2015/07/30 | 11.9 | 2015/07/29 | . 61 |
| 854 | 2015/08/16 | 171.0 | 21h26 | $5^{\circ}$ | 2015/08/15 | 14.2 | 2015/08/09 | . 043 |
| 885 | 2015/ | $176.6^{\circ}$ | 29 |  | 015 | 13 | 9/27 | . 523 |
| 88 | 2015/12/19 | $164.6{ }^{\circ}$ | 5h43m | $8^{\circ}$ | 2015/12/18 | 12 | 2015/12/16 | 1.255 |
| 893 | 2015/08/18 | $179.6^{\circ}$ | 21h50 | $-13^{\circ}$ | 2015/08/18 | 12. | 2015/08/21 | . 673 |
| 910 | 2015/05/08 | $179.2^{\circ}$ | 14h59m | $-16^{\circ}$ | 2015/05/08 | 13 | 2015/05/12 | 1.515 |
| 91 | 2015/05/31 | $173.7^{\circ}$ | 16h22 | $-27^{\circ}$ | 2015/06/01 | 10 |  | 1.077 |
| 947 | 2015/10/20 | $175.2{ }^{\circ}$ | h46 | $+5^{\circ}$ | 2015/10/20 | 11.8 | 2015/10/19 | 1.070 |
| 94 | 2015/05/01 | $162.2{ }^{\circ}$ | $14 \mathrm{h10m}$ | -32 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015/05/01 | 13.0 | 2015/05/01 | 1.453 |
| 953 | 2015/09/16 | $169.7^{\circ}$ | 23 h 47 m | -12 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015/09/14 | 13.4 | 2015/09/08 | 1.423 |
| 956 | 2015/08/11 | $169.0^{\circ}$ | 21h 5m | - $5^{\circ}$ | 2015/08/10 | 14.0 | 2015/08/10 | 0.821 |
| 970 | 2015/09/06 | $174.8{ }^{\circ}$ | 22h51m | - $1^{\circ}$ | 2015/09/1 |  | 2015/09/16 | 1.086 |
| 981 | 2015/08/30 | $176.7^{\circ}$ | 22 h 36 m | $-12^{\circ}$ | 2015/08/30 | 13.5 | 2015/08/30 | 1.460 |
| 1052 | 2015/09/18 | $170.8^{\circ}$ | 23h59m | $-10^{\circ}$ | 2015/09/19 | 13.8 | 2015/09/22 | 0.978 |
| 1056 | 2015/08/15 | $172.5^{\circ}$ | 21 h 50 m | $-21^{\circ}$ | 2015/08/15 | 13.0 | 2015/08/13 | 0. 824 |
| 1059 | 2015/06/16 | $162.9{ }^{\circ}$ | 17h44m | $6^{\circ}$ | 2015/06/18 | 13.3 | 2015/06/20 | 1.179 |
| 1082 | 2015/08/26 | $179.4^{\circ}$ | 22h21m | $-10^{\circ}$ | 2015/08/26 | 13.5 | 2015/08/26 | 1.547 |
| 1088 | 2015/09/12 | $164.3^{\circ}$ | 23h41m | -190 | 2015/09/13 | 12.8 | 2015/09/15 | 0.807 |
| 1090 | 2015/02/26 | $171.3^{\circ}$ | 10h57m | +15 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015/02/25 | 14.1 | 2015/02/22 | 0.910 |
| 1126 | 2015/03/22 | $179.5^{\circ}$ | 12 h 2 m | - $0^{\circ}$ | 2015/03/22 | 13.7 | 2015/03/18 | 1.012 |
| 136 | 2015/09/24 | $170.7^{\circ}$ | 23h44m | + $8^{\circ}$ | 2015/09/24 | 12.6 | 2015/09/22 | 0.912 |
| 1151 | 2015/11/15 | $178.4^{\circ}$ | 3h22 | $+16^{\circ}$ | 2015/11/15 | 14 | 2015/11/02 | 0.978 |
| 1153 | 2015/08/17 | $174.9{ }^{\circ}$ | 21 h 40 m | $8{ }^{\circ}$ | 2015/08/17 | 13.4 | 2015/08/14 | 0.839 |
| 1242 | 2015/10/22 | $168.4^{\circ}$ | 1h31m | +220 | 2015/10/22 | 12.7 | 2015/10/23 | 1.242 |
| 47 | 2015/07/10 | $177.6^{\circ}$ | 19h15m | -190 | 2015/07/10 | 13.7 | 2015/07/11 | 1.581 |
| 1275 | 2015/09/28 | $178.4^{\circ}$ | 0h14m | $+3^{\circ}$ | 2015/09/28 | 13.1 | 2015/09/29 | 1.247 |
| 1312 | 2015/09/09 | $156.9^{\circ}$ | 23h58m | $-25^{\circ}$ | 2015/09/11 | 14.4 | 2015/09/14 | 1.575 |
| 25 | 2015/08/14 | $167.6^{\circ}$ | 21h48m | $-26^{\circ}$ | 2015/08/15 | 13.3 | 2015/08/20 | 0.941 |
| 331 | 2015/08/14 | $178.1^{\circ}$ | 21h38m | -15 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015/08/14 | 13.2 | 2015/08/12 | 1.512 |
| 1383 | 2015/08/27 | $179.8^{\circ}$ | 22h21m | $-10^{\circ}$ | 2015/08/27 | 14.5 | 2015/08/29 | 1.518 |
| 1386 | 2015/07/31 | $163.0^{\circ}$ | 20h13m | $2^{\circ}$ | 2015/08/01 | 13.6 | 2015/08/02 | 0.692 |
| 1397 | 2015/06/23 | $178.2^{\circ}$ | 18h 8m | $-25^{\circ}$ | 2015/06/23 | 13.1 | 2015/06/26 | 0.990 |
| 1427 | 2015/08/20 | $163.8^{\circ}$ | 22h21m | $-27^{\circ}$ | 2015/08/19 | 13.3 | 2015/08/18 | 1.179 |
| 30 | 2015/08/19 | $179.9^{\circ}$ | 21h53m | $-12^{\circ}$ | 2015/08/19 | 14.5 | 2015/08/17 | 1.042 |
| 1459 | 2015/10/01 | $163.2^{\circ}$ | 0h48m | -12 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015/10/01 | 13.8 | 2015/10/01 | 1.437 |
| 1537 | $2015 / 11 / 25$ $2015 / 02 / 02$ | $178.5^{\circ}$ 171.4 | 4 h 5 m 8 h 57 m | $+19^{\circ}$ $+8^{\circ}$ | 2015/11/25 $2015 / 02 / 01$ | 14.4 | $2015 / 11 / 16$ $2015 / 01 / 26$ | 1.288 |

Planet Max Elon D Max E RA Dec Br Mag D Br Mag Min Dist min ist

$\begin{array}{lllllllll}1566 & 2015 / 06 / 28 & 130.3^{\circ} & 14 \mathrm{~h} 55 \mathrm{~m} & -17^{\circ} & 2015 / 06 / 18 & 13.5 & 2015 / 06 / 16 & 0.054 \\ 1572 & 2015 / 10 / 14 & 174.0^{\circ} & 1 \mathrm{~h} 10 \mathrm{~m} & +13^{\circ} & 2015 / 10 / 14 & 13.1 & 2015 / 10 & \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}1572 & 2015 / 10 / 14 & 174.0^{\circ} & 1 \mathrm{~h} 10 \mathrm{~m}+13^{\circ} & 2015 / 10 / 14 & 13.1 & 2015 / 10 / 11 & 1.493 \\ 1576 & 2015 / 12 / 09 & 178.5^{\circ} & 5 \mathrm{~h} 2 \mathrm{~m}+21^{\circ} & 2015 / 12 / 09 & 14.4 & 2015 / 12 / 06 & 1.673\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}1576 & 2015 / 12 / 09 & 178.5^{\circ} & 5 \mathrm{~h} 2 \mathrm{~m}+21^{\circ} & 2015 / 12 / 09 & 14.4 & 2015 / 12 / 06 & 1.673 \\ 1584 & 2015 / 02 / 09 & 162.2^{\circ} & 9 \mathrm{~h} 27 \mathrm{~m}-2^{\circ} & 2015 / 02 / 09 & 12.6 & 2015 / 02 / 08 & 0.956 \\ 1607 & 2015 & 208 / 02 & 177.6^{\circ} & & 2 \mathrm{~h} 52 \mathrm{~m} & 9^{\circ} & 2015 / 08 / 02\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}1607 & 2015 / 08 / 02 & 177.6^{\circ} & 20 \mathrm{~h} 52 \mathrm{~m}-9^{\circ} & 2015 / 08 / 02 & 13.0 & 2015 / 08 / 13 & 0.895 \\ 1613 & 2015 / 01 / 22 & 175.1^{\circ} & 8 \mathrm{~h} 21 \mathrm{~m} & +24^{\circ} & 2015 / 01 / 22 & 13.5 & 2015 / 01 / 17 & 1.106\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}1613 & 2015 / 01 / 22 & 175.1^{\circ} & 8 \mathrm{~h} 21 \mathrm{~m} & +24^{\circ} & 2015 / 01 / 22 & 13.5 & 2015 / 01 / 17 & 1.106 \\ 1625 & 2015 / 06 / 11 & 157.0^{\circ} & 16 \mathrm{~h} 59 \mathrm{~m} & -45^{\circ} & 2015 / 06 / 10 & 13.8 & 2015 / 06 / 10 & 1.503\end{array}$ | 1648 | $2015 / 07 / 23$ | $178.1^{\circ}$ | $20 \mathrm{~h} 5 \mathrm{~m}-18^{\circ}$ | $2015 / 07 / 23$ | 13.6 | $2015 / 07 / 16$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | $\begin{array}{rllrlllll}1664 & 2015 / 04 / 07 & 174.1^{\circ} & 13 \mathrm{~h} 10 \mathrm{~m} & -1^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} & 2015 / 04 / 06 & 13.6 & 2015 / 03 / 31 & 0.913 \\ 1672 & 2015 / 01 / 02 & 178.2^{\circ} & 6 \mathrm{~h} 48 \mathrm{~m} & +21^{\circ} & 2015 / 01 / 02 & 13.8 & 2014 / 12 / 28 & 1.366\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllrllllll} & & & & & & & & \\ 1693 & 2015 / 08 / 02 & 159.6^{\circ} & 21 \mathrm{~h} 20 \mathrm{~m} & -37^{\circ} & 2015 / 08 / 01 & 13.3 & 2015 / 07 / 31 & 1.052 \\ 1708 & 2015 / 01 / 29 & 170.1^{\circ} & 8 \mathrm{~h} 32 \mathrm{~m} & +8^{\circ} & 2015 / 01 / 27 & 14.3 & 2015 / 01 / 20 & 1.195 \\ 1710 & 2015 / 08 / 22 & 170.0^{\circ} & 22 \mathrm{~h} 14 \mathrm{~m} & -21^{\circ} & 2015 / 08 / 22 & 14.1 & 2015 / 08 / 22 & 0.692\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}1710 & 2015 / 08 / 22 & 170.0^{\circ} & 22 \mathrm{~h} 14 \mathrm{~m} & -21^{\circ} & 2015 / 08 / 22 & 14.1 & 2015 / 08 / 22 & 0.692 \\ 1730 & 2015 / 11 / 08 & 165.8^{\circ} & 3 \mathrm{~h} 11 \mathrm{~m} & +3^{\circ} & 2015 / 11 / 08 & 14.1 & 2015 / 11 / 06 & 1.194 \\ & 1768 & 2015 / 10 / 29 & 177.5^{\circ} & 2 \mathrm{~h} & 9 \mathrm{~m}+15^{\circ} & 2015 / 10 / 29 & 14.4 & 2015 / 10 / 27 \\ 1.019\end{array}$ 1768 2015/10/29 177.5 ${ }^{\circ} \quad 2 \mathrm{~h} 9 \mathrm{~m}+15^{\circ}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}1795 & 2015 / 06 / 01 & 168.5^{\circ} & 16 \mathrm{~h} 41 \mathrm{~m} & -10^{\circ} \\ 1815 & 2015 / 12 / 31 & 179.1^{\circ} & 6 \mathrm{~h} 1 \mathrm{~m} & +22^{\circ}\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}1819 & 2015 / 08 / 05 & 171.4^{\circ} & 21 \mathrm{~h} 17 \mathrm{~m} & -24^{\circ} \\ 2019 & 2015 / 05 / 28 & 179.2^{\circ} & 16 \mathrm{~h} 18 \mathrm{~m} & -22^{\circ}\end{array}$ | 2019 | $2015 / 05 / 28$ | $179.2^{\circ}$ | 16 h 18 m |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2081 | $2015 / 05 / 25$ | $179.5^{\circ}$ | 16 h 8 m | $\begin{array}{llll}2105 & 2015 / 12 / 17 & 169.4^{\circ} & 5 \mathrm{~h} 27 \mathrm{~m}\end{array} \mathrm{l}^{\circ}+33^{\circ}$ $\begin{array}{llll}2167 & 2015 / 02 / 01 & 170.3^{\circ} & 8 \mathrm{~h} 50 \mathrm{~m}+7^{\circ} \\ 2243 & 2015 / 08 / 16 & 166.6^{\circ} & 21 \mathrm{~h} 56 \mathrm{~m}\end{array} \mathrm{O}^{\circ} 6^{\circ}$

$\begin{array}{llll}2343 & 2015 / 10 / 28 & 179.4^{\circ} & 2 \mathrm{~h} 10 \mathrm{~m}+13^{\circ} \\ 2379 & 2015 / 09 / 05 & 179.8^{\circ} & 22 \mathrm{~h} 55 \mathrm{~m}-7^{\circ}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}2389 & 2015 / 07 / 20 & 169.6^{\circ} & 20 \mathrm{~h} \quad 0 \mathrm{~m}-31^{\circ} \\ 2433 & 2015 / 06 / 22 & 159.8^{\circ} & 18 \mathrm{~h} & 3 \mathrm{~m}-3^{\circ}\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}2433 & 2015 / 06 / 22 & 159.8^{\circ} & 18 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{~m}-3^{\circ} \\ 2569 & 2015 / 12 / 21 & 175.6^{\circ} & 5 \mathrm{~h} 55 \mathrm{~m}+27^{\circ}\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}2839 & 2015 / 11 / 22 & 177.4^{\circ} & 3 \mathrm{~h} 48 \mathrm{~m}+22^{\circ} \\ 2892 & 2015 / 10 / 15 & 157.3^{\circ} & 0 \mathrm{~h} 47 \mathrm{~m}+29^{\circ}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}3000 & 2015 / 10 / 19 & 179.3^{\circ} & 1 \mathrm{~h} 33 \mathrm{~m}+9^{\circ} \\ 3018 & 2015 / 07 / 08 & 171.6^{\circ} & 19 \mathrm{~h} 6 \mathrm{~m}\end{array}$ 3054 2015/09/10 $178.7^{\circ} 23 \mathrm{~h} 13 \mathrm{~m}-6$ $\begin{array}{llll}3080 & 2015 / 09 / 20 & 159.0^{\circ} & 0 \mathrm{~h} 15 \mathrm{~m}-21^{\circ} \\ 3084 & 2015 / 09 / 09 & 176.9^{\circ} & 23 \mathrm{~h} 2 \mathrm{~m}-2^{\circ}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}3093 & 2015 / 08 / 16 & 162.7^{\circ} & 21 \mathrm{~h} 24 \mathrm{~m}\end{array} \mathrm{~F}^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ $\begin{array}{llll}3628 & 2015 / 08 / 28 & 179.2^{\circ} & 22 \mathrm{~h} 23 \mathrm{~m}-9^{\circ} \\ 3679 & 2015 / 10 / 06 & 171.7^{\circ} & 0 \mathrm{~h} 36 \mathrm{~m}+12^{\circ} \\ 3682 & 2015 / 11 / 25 & 174.0^{\circ} & 3 \mathrm{~h} 55 \mathrm{~m}+6^{\circ}\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}3682 & 2015 / 11 / 25 & 174.0^{\circ} & 3 \mathrm{~h} 55 \mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{ta}^{\circ} \\ 3824 & 2015 / 01 / 26 & 177.5^{\circ} & 8 \mathrm{~h} 34 \mathrm{~m}+21^{\circ}\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}3858 & 2015 / 07 / 27 & 166.2^{\circ} & 20 \mathrm{~h} 31 \mathrm{~m}-33^{\circ} \\ 3958 & 2015 / 09 / 23 & 178.0^{\circ} & \\ & \text { Oh } 4 \mathrm{~m} & -1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}3982 & 2015 / 08 / 16 & 168.5^{\circ} & 21 \mathrm{~h} 26 \mathrm{~m}-2^{\circ} \\ 4055 & 2015 / 09 / 07 & 176.4^{\circ} & 22 \mathrm{~h} 48 \mathrm{~m}-5^{\circ}\end{array}$ $4212 \quad 2015 / 10 / 30 \quad 173.4^{\circ} \quad 2 \mathrm{~h} 11 \mathrm{~m}+20^{\circ}$
4287 2015/07/03 $170.8^{\circ} 18 \mathrm{~h} 52 \mathrm{~m}-32^{\circ}$ $\begin{array}{llll}4603 & 2015 / 05 / 20 & 156.1^{\circ} & 15 \mathrm{~h} 24 \mathrm{~m}-43^{\circ} \\ 4919 & 2015 / 09 / 06 & 178.6^{\circ} & 23 \mathrm{~h} 1 \mathrm{~m}-7^{\circ}\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}5231 & 2015 / 11 / 19 & 164.3^{\circ} & 3 \mathrm{~h} 45 \mathrm{~m}+3^{\circ} \\ 5381 & 2015 / 05 / 20 & 145.0^{\circ} & 17 \mathrm{~h} 14 \mathrm{~m}\end{array} \mathrm{So}^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$
$\begin{array}{llll}5392 & 2015 / 04 / 22 & 153.0^{\circ} & 13 \mathrm{~h} 59 \mathrm{~m}+14^{\circ} \\ 5518 & 2015 / 08 / 01 & 178.8^{\circ} & 20 \mathrm{~h} 46 \mathrm{~m}-19^{\circ}\end{array}$
5567 2015/09/24 175.9 $9^{\circ}$ 0h $9 \mathrm{~m}-3^{\circ}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}6146 & 2015 / 07 / 05 & 172.8^{\circ} & 18 \mathrm{~h} 59 \mathrm{~m} & -30^{\circ} \\ 6518 & 2015 / 03 / 28 & 151.8^{\circ} & 13 \mathrm{~h} 17 \mathrm{~m} & \end{array}$
6649 2015/07/30 175 $0^{\circ}-2042 m$
6905 2015/08/19 $155.3^{\circ} \quad 22 \mathrm{~h} 29 \mathrm{~m}-36^{\circ}$

| 6905 | $2015 / 08 / 19$ | $155.3^{\circ}$ | 22 h 2 mm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8270 | $2015 / 07 / 13$ | $170.6^{\circ}$ | 19 h 35 m |

9773 2015/07/20 $165.4^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 20 \mathrm{~h} 1 \mathrm{~m}-6^{\circ}$
4276 2015/09/22 172.40 Oh $5 \mathrm{~m}-7^{\circ}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}18487 & 2015 / 08 / 07 & 179.6^{\circ} & 21 \mathrm{~h} & 8 \mathrm{~m}\end{array} \mathrm{-k}^{\circ} 6^{\circ}$
$\begin{array}{lll}20826 & 2015 / 11 / 30 & 119.5^{\circ} \\ 23120 & 2015 & 5 \mathrm{~h} 5 \mathrm{~m}+81^{\circ} \\ 23 / 28 & 163.7^{\circ} & 22 \mathrm{~h} 7 \mathrm{~m}+5^{\circ}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}23120 & 2015 / 08 / 28 & 163.7^{\circ} & 22 \mathrm{~h} 7 \mathrm{~m} \\ 33342 & 2015 / 12 / 10 & 157.5^{\circ} & 4 \mathrm{~h} 36 \mathrm{~m}\end{array} \mathrm{t}^{\circ}$
$859892015 / 07 / 08125.5^{\circ} 21 \mathrm{~h} 28 \mathrm{~m}+20^{\circ}$
206378 2015/08/07 171.1。 $21 \mathrm{~h} 44 \mathrm{~m}-16^{\circ}$

Table II. Temporal Sequence of Favorable Elongations

| anet | Max Elon D | Max E | RA | Dec | Br Mag D Br | Mag | Min Dist D | in Dist |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 364 | 2015/01/01 | $178.7^{\circ}$ | 6h42m | +21 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015/01/01 | 11.4 | 2014/12/27 | 0.967 |
| 1672 | 2015/01/02 | $178.2^{\circ}$ | 6h48m | +21 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015/01/02 | 13.8 | 2014/12/28 | 1.366 |
| 353 | 2015/01/06 | $179.1^{\circ}$ | 7h10m | +230 | 2015/01/06 | 12.5 | 2014/12/28 | 0.975 |
| 69 | 2015/01/16 | $166.7^{\circ}$ | 7h42m | $+7^{\circ}$ | 2015/01/16 | 10.3 | 2015/01/17 | 1.502 |
| 1613 | 2015/01/22 | $175.1^{\circ}$ | 8h21m | $+24^{\circ}$ | 2015/01/22 | 13.5 | 2015/01/17 | 1.106 |
| 3824 | 2015/01/26 | $177.5^{\circ}$ | 8h34m | +21 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015/01/25 | 14.4 | 2015/01/17 | 0.846 |
| 1708 | 2015/01/29 | $170.1^{\circ}$ | 8h32m | $+8^{\circ}$ | 2015/01/27 | 14.3 | 2015/01/20 | 1.195 |
| 2167 | 2015/02/01 | $170.3^{\circ}$ | 8 h 50 m | $+7^{\circ}$ | 2015/02/02 | 14.4 | 2015/02/06 | 1.149 |
| 1547 | 2015/02/02 | $171.4^{\circ}$ | 8h57m | + $8^{\circ}$ | 2015/02/01 | 13.0 | 2015/01/26 | 1.127 |
| 449 | 2015/02/03 | $175.7^{\circ}$ | 9 h 10 m | $+20^{\circ}$ | 2015/02/03 | 11.6 | 2015/02/03 | 1.124 |
| 1584 | 2015/02/09 | $162.2{ }^{\circ}$ | 9h27m | - $2^{\circ}$ | 2015/02/09 | 12.6 | 2015/02/08 | 0.956 |
| 1090 | 2015/02/26 | $171.3^{\circ}$ | 10h57m | +15 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015/02/25 | 14.1 | 2015/02/22 | 0.910 |
| 354 | 2015/03/04 | $167.3^{\circ}$ | 11h24m | +170 | 2015/03/04 | 9.6 | 2015/03/03 | 1.514 |
| 290 | 2015/03/13 | $162.8^{\circ}$ | 11h44m | +19 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015/03/10 | 13.3 | 2015/03/05 | 0.911 |
| 1126 | 2015/03/22 | $179.5^{\circ}$ | 12h 2 m | - $0^{\circ}$ | 2015/03/22 | 13.7 | 2015/03/18 | 1.012 |
| 141527 | 2015/03/24 | $167.9^{\circ}$ | 11h25m | - $4^{\circ}$ | 2015/03/16 | 14.1 | 2015/03/13 | 0.044 |
| 6518 | 2015/03/28 | $151.8^{\circ}$ | 13h17m | +22 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015/03/25 | 14.2 | 2015/03/24 | 0.876 |
| 454 | 2015/04/02 | $176.2^{\circ}$ | 12 h 49 m | - $1^{\circ}$ | 2015/04/02 | 11.9 | 2015/04/04 | 1.352 |
| 1664 | 2015/04/07 | $174.1^{\circ}$ | 13 h 10 m | - $1^{\circ}$ | 2015/04/06 | 13.6 | 2015/03/31 | 0.913 |
| 5392 | 2015/04/22 | $153.0^{\circ}$ | 13 h 59 m | $+14^{\circ}$ | 2015/04/14 | 14.1 | 2015/04/07 | 0.739 |


|  | Max |  |  |  | Br Mag D |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 227 |  |  |  | $28^{\circ}$ |  | 2.2 | 2015／05／03 | 82 |
| 949 | 2015／05／01 | 162. | 14 | －32 |  | 13.0 |  | 53 |
| 910 | 2015／05／08 | 179. | 14 h 9 m | －16 | 2015 | 13.3 | 201 | 1.515 |
| 789 | 2015／05／12 | 179. | h | －180 | 2015／05／12 | 13.5 | 2015／05／1 | 70 |
| 532 | 2015／05／19 | 158 |  |  | 2015／05／17 | 9.1 | 2015／05／1 | 1.453 |
|  |  |  |  | 43 |  |  |  | ． 081 |
| 5381 | 2015／05／20 | 145.0 | 17 l | －5 | 20 | 14 |  |  |
| 2081 | 2015／05／25 | 179.5 |  | －20 | 2015／05／2 | 14 | 2015／05／31 | 1.129 |
| 405 | 2015／05／28 | $176.2{ }^{\circ}$ | 16h13m | －24 | 2015／05／27 | 1. | 2015／05／21 | ． 45 |
| 2019 | 2015／05／28 |  |  | －22 | 2015／05／28 | 13.2 | 2015／06／02 | 39 |
|  |  |  |  | 27 |  |  |  | ． 077 |
| 1795 | 2015／06／01 | $168.5^{\circ}$ | 16h4 | －10 | 201 | 14. |  |  |
| 396 | 2015／06／11 | $179.2{ }^{\circ}$ | 17h1 | $22^{\circ}$ | 2015／06／1 | 12. | 2015／06／13 | 96 |
| 1625 | 2015／06／11 | 157 | 16 h 5 m | $45^{\circ}$ | 2015／06／10 | 13 | 2015／06／10 | 03 |
| 1059 | 2015／06／16 |  |  |  | 2015／06／18 |  | 2015／06／20 | 1.179 |
| 2433 |  |  |  | $3^{\circ}$ |  |  |  | 2 |
| 1397 | 2015／06／23 | 178.2 | 18 h 8 m | $25^{\circ}$ | 2015／06／21 | 13.1 |  |  |
| 129 | 2015／06／24 | $164.8{ }^{\circ}$ |  | $8{ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／06／23 | 9.9 | 2015／06／21 |  |
| 1566 | 2015／06／28 | 130 | 14h55m | －170 | 2015／06／1 | 13 | 2015／06／16 | 54 |
| 4287 | 2015／07／03 |  |  | －32 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／07／0 |  | 2015 | 0.819 |
|  |  |  |  | 30 |  |  | 2015／07／09 | 97 |
| 3018 | 15 | 171 | 19h | －14 | 015 | 14. | 2015／07／ | 24 |
| 85989 | 2015／07／08 | 125.5 | 21 h 28 | ＋20 | 2015 | 13.8 | 2015／07／2 | 49 |
| 1247 | 2015 | 177 | 19h15 | －1 | 2015 | 3. | 20 | 81 |
| 135 | 2015 |  |  |  | 2015 | 9， | 201 |  |
| 306 |  |  |  |  |  | 10. |  | 08 |
| 8270 | 2015 | 170 | 19h35 | －31 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／07／13 | 14.3 | 7／ | 02 |
| 199 | 2015／07／20 | 167. | h13 | －32 | 015 | 11.9 | 2015／07／1 | 51 |
| 2389 | 201 | 169 | 20 ham | －31 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 20 | 14. | 2015／07／23 | ． 873 |
| 9773 | 2015 |  |  |  | 2015 |  | 2015／07／17 |  |
| 1648 | 2015／07／23 |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |
| 3858 | 2015 | 166. | 20 h 31 | －33 | 2015／07／28 | 14.5 | 2015／08／01 | 1 |
| 68 | 2015／07／30 | $166.2^{\circ}$ | 20h52m | －31 | 2015／07／31 | 9.8 | 2015／08／04 | 56 |
| 6649 | 2015／07／30 | $175.0{ }^{\circ}$ | 2 h 42 | 23 | 2015／07／30 | 14.3 | 2015／08／01 | 76 |
| 1386 | 201 |  |  |  | 2015／08／01 |  |  | 0.692 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5518 | 2015／08／01 | $178.8{ }^{\circ}$ | 2 h 46 | －19 | 2015／08／01 | 14.2 | 2015／07／25 | 17 |
| 100 | 2015／08／02 | ． 2 | 20h51m | －180 | 015 | 10.8 | 2015／08／0 | 55 |
| 1607 | 2015／08／02 | $177.6^{\circ}$ | 20 h 52 | －19 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／08／02 | 13.0 | 201 | 95 |
| 1693 | 2015／08／02 |  |  |  | 2015／08／01 |  | 2015／07／31 | 52 |
|  | 2015／08／05 |  | 21h17m | 24 |  | 3.3 | 2015／08／01 | ． 460 |
| 18487 | 15 | $179.6{ }^{\circ}$ | 21h 8m | －16 |  | 13.8 | 015 |  |
| 6378 | 2015／08／07 | $1.1^{\circ}$ | 21h4 | $16^{\circ}$ | 2015 | 13. | 2015／08／2 | 53 |
| 956 | 2015／08／11 | $169.0^{\circ}$ | 21 h 5 m | － $5^{\circ}$ | 2015／08／10 | 14.0 | 2015／08／10 | 21 |
| 1325 | 201 |  |  | $26^{\circ}$ | 2015／08／15 |  |  | 1 |
| 31 | 15 |  | 21h3 | $15^{\circ}$ | 2015／08／14 | 3. | 01 | ． 512 |
| 21 | 2015／08／15 | 174 | 21 h | $19^{\circ}$ | 215／08／15 | 9.3 | 2015／08／1 | 27 |
| 783 | 2015／08／15 | 180.0 | 21 h 38 | －14 | 2015／08／15 | 11. | 2015／08／ | 20 |
| 1056 | 2015／08／15 | 172.5 | 21 h 5 | －21 | 10 | 13 | 2015／08／13 | 24 |
| 854 | 201 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 仡 |
| 2243 | 2015／08／ |  | 21 h 5 | $26^{\circ}$ | 015 | 4. | 01 | ． 805 |
| 93 | 2015／08／16 | 162. | 21 h 2 | $2^{\circ}$ | 2015／08／17 |  | 2015／08／18 |  |
| 82 | 2015／08／16 | 168.5 | h2 | $2^{\circ}$ | 2015／08／1 | 14. | 2015／08／1 | 76 |
| 1153 | 2015／08／17 | 174.9 |  | $8{ }^{\circ}$ | 10817 |  | 8／14 |  |
| 893 | 201 |  |  |  | 2015／08／18 |  |  |  |
|  | 2015／08／19 |  | 21 h 5 | 12 | 2015／08／19 |  | 2015／08／17 | ． 042 |
|  | 2015／08／19 | $155.3{ }^{\circ}$ | 22h2 | －36 | 015／08／21 |  | 015／08／23 |  |
| 1427 | 2015／08／20 | $163.8^{\circ}$ | 22h21 | －27 | 2015／08／19 | 13 | 2015／08／18 | 79 |
| 1710 | 2015／08／22 | $170.0^{\circ}$ | 22h14 | $-21^{\circ}$ | 015／08／22 | 14. | 2015／08／22 |  |
| 273 | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1082 | 2015／08／26 | 179 | 22h21m | $10^{\circ}$ | 2015／08／26 | 13.5 | 015／08／26 | ． 547 |
|  | 15／08／27 |  | 22h2 | $10^{\circ}$ | 8／27 | 14.5 | 8／29 |  |
| 28 | 2015／08／28 | 179.2 | 22 h 2 |  | 2015／08／28 | 13. | 2015／08／29 | ． 775 |
| 23120 | 2015／08／28 | $163.7^{\circ}$ |  | $5^{\circ}$ | 2015／08／29 | 14．4 | 2015／08／31 | 11 |
| 981 | 2015 |  | 22h |  | 2015 |  |  |  |
| 117 | 2015／09／01 | $177.0^{\circ}$ | 22 h 48 m | －10． | 2015／09／02 |  | 2015／09／08 | ． 904 |
| 1 | 2015／09／02 | $179.4{ }^{\circ}$ |  | $8^{\circ}$ | 2015／09／02 |  | 2015／09／08 | 232 |
| 221 | 2015／09／02 | $174.6^{\circ}$ | 22h5 | $-12^{\circ}$ | 2015／09／02 | 11. | 2015／09／01 | ． 691 |
|  | 2015／09／02 | $170.0^{\circ}$ | 2 h | $7^{\circ}$ | 015／09／01 | 2．6 | 2015／08／27 | ． 713 |
| 939 | 2015 |  |  |  | 2015 |  | 2015／09／01 | ． 296 |
| 970 | 2015／09／06 | $174.8{ }^{\circ}$ | 22h51m |  | 2015／09／07 | 14.5 | 2015／09／16 | ． 086 |
|  | 15／09／06 | $178.6^{\circ}$ | 2h |  | 2015／09／06 | 14.5 | 2015／08／30 | 39 |
| 4055 | 2015／09／07 | $176.4^{\circ}$ | 22 h 48 m | $5^{\circ}$ | 2015／09／07 | 12.5 | 2015／08／31 | 0.239 |
| 697 | 2015／09／09 | $166.8^{\circ}$ | 23 h 25 m | $18^{\circ}$ | 2015／09／09 | 12.8 | 2015／09／08 | ． 439 |
| 1312 | 2015／09／09 | 156. | 23 h | －25 | 2015 |  | 201 | 1.575 |
| 3084 | 2015／09／09 | $176.9^{\circ}$ | $23 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{2m}$ |  | 2015／09／08 | 14.5 | 2015／09／04 | 0.881 |
| 201 | 2015／09／10 | $178.1^{\circ}$ | 边 | $6^{\circ}$ | 2015／09／10 | 10.6 | 2015／09／07 | ． 189 |
| 84 | 2015／09／10 | $179.5^{\circ}$ | 23 h 13 | － $4^{\circ}$ | 2015／09／10 | 13.5 | 2015／09／14 | 1.659 |
| 3054 | 2015／09／10 | $178.7^{\circ}$ | 23h13 | $6^{\circ}$ | 2015／09／10 | 14.2 | 2015／09／07 | ． 445 |
| 1088 | 2015／09／12 | 164. |  | －190 | 2015／09／13 | 硡 | 2015／09／ | ． 8 |
| 53 | 2015／09／16 | $169.7^{\circ}$ | 23 h 47 m | $12^{\circ}$ | 2015／09／14 | 13.4 | 2015／09／08 | ． 423 |
| 1052 | 2015／09／18 | $170.8^{\circ}$ | 23 h 59 m | －10 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／09／19 | 13 | 2015／09／22 | 978 |
| 3080 | 2015／09／20 | $159.0^{\circ}$ | h15m | －21 | 2015／09／20 | 14.2 | 2015／09／19 | 1.132 |
| 14276 | 2015／09／22 | $172.4{ }^{\circ}$ | Oh | $7{ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／09／22 | 14 | 2015／09／23 | 0． 860 |
| 3958 | 2015／09／23 | 178 | 0h 4 | $1{ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／09／24 |  | 2015／09／29 | 1.0 |
| 136 | 2015／09／24 | $170.7^{\circ}$ | 23h44m | $8{ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／09／24 | 12.6 | 2015／09／22 | ． 912 |
| 567 | 2015／09／24 | $175.9{ }^{\circ}$ |  | $3^{\circ}$ | 2015／09／24 | 13.7 | 2015／09／17 | ． 402 |
| 12 | 2015／09／28 | $178.4^{\circ}$ | h14 | $3{ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／09／28 | 13.1 | 2015／09／29 | 1.247 |
| 885 | 2015／09／30 | $176.6^{\circ}$ | Oh29m | $0^{\circ}$ | 2015／09／30 | 13.8 | 2015／09／27 | 1.523 |
| 74 | 2015／10／01 | 178.7 | 0h27m | ＋ | 2015／10／01 | 10 | 2015／10／01 | 1.111 |
| 52 | 硅 | $173.8^{\circ}$ | Oh19m | $8{ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／10／02 | 11.5 | 2015／10／03 | ． 888 |
| 145 | 2015／10／01 | $163.2{ }^{\circ}$ | Oh48m | －120 | 2015／10／01 | 13.8 | 2015／10／01 | 1.437 |
| 690 | 2015／10／02 | $163.4{ }^{\circ}$ | Oh 3m | ＋180 | 2015／10／02 | 11.6 | 2015／10／01 | 1.598 |
| $15$ | 2015／10／03 2015／10／03 | $159.1^{\circ}$ | Oh 0m | ＋22 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／10／04 2015／10／03 |  | 2015／10／04 015／10／02 | 1.206 1.673 |


| net | Max Elon D | Max E | RA | Dec | Br Mag D Br | Mag | Min Dist D | Min Dist |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3679 | 2015／10／06 | $171.7^{\circ}$ | Oh36m | ＋12 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／10／06 | 14.5 | 2015／10／05 | 0.716 |
| 356 | 2015／10／13 | $173.8{ }^{\circ}$ | 1 h 4 m | ＋130 | 2015／10／14 | 10.8 | 2015／10／20 | 1.279 |
| 471 | 2015／10／14 | $156.0^{\circ}$ | 1 h 47 m | －140 | 2015／10／15 | 9.6 | 2015／10／16 | 1.293 |
| 1572 | 2015／10／14 | $174.0{ }^{\circ}$ | 1h10m | ＋130 | 2015／10／14 | 13.1 | 2015／10／11 | 1.493 |
| 2892 | 2015／10／15 | $157.3^{\circ}$ | 0h47m | ＋29 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／10／17 | 13.9 | 2015／10／18 | 1.648 |
| 229 | 2015／10／16 | $179.7^{\circ}$ | 1h23m | $+8^{\circ}$ | 2015／10／16 | 13.1 | 2015／10／12 | 2.047 |
| 3000 | 2015／10／19 | $179.3^{\circ}$ | 1h33m | ＋ $9^{\circ}$ | 2015／10／18 | 14.4 | 2015／10／16 | 0.933 |
| 947 | 2015／10／20 | $175.2^{\circ}$ | 1 h 46 m | $+5^{\circ}$ | 2015／10／20 | 11.8 | 2015／10／19 | 1.070 |
| 1242 | 2015／10／22 | $168.4^{\circ}$ | 1h31m | ＋22 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／10／22 | 12.7 | 2015／10／23 | 1.242 |
| 2343 | 2015／10／28 | $179.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 2h10m | ＋130 | 2015／10／28 | 14.1 | 2015／10／22 | 0.766 |
| 1768 | 2015／10／29 | $177.5^{\circ}$ | 2h 9m | ＋15 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／10／29 | 14 | 2015／10／27 | 1.019 |
| 4212 | 2015／10／30 | $173.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 2h11m | $+20^{\circ}$ | 2015／10／30 | 14 | 2015／10／25 | 1.444 |
| 106 | 2015／10／31 | $176.9^{\circ}$ | 2h24m | ＋11 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／10／31 | 10. | 2015／10／29 | 1.655 |
| 121 | 2015／11／04 | $174.1^{\circ}$ | 2h44m | $+9^{\circ}$ | 2015／11／04 | 11. | 2015／11／01 | 2.046 |
| 413 | 2015／11／04 | $143.5{ }^{\circ}$ | 2h55m | $-20^{\circ}$ | 2015／10／24 | 12.2 | 2015／10／17 | 0.884 |
| 1730 | 2015／11／08 | $165.8^{\circ}$ | 3h11m | ＋ $3^{\circ}$ | 2015／11／08 | 14.1 | 2015／11／06 | 1.194 |
| 1151 | 2015／11／15 | $178.4^{\circ}$ | 3h22m | ＋16 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／11／15 | 14.3 | 2015／11／02 | 0.978 |
| 517 | 2015／11／16 | $176.6^{\circ}$ | 3h19m | ＋21 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／11／16 | 12.6 | 2015／11／15 | 1.597 |
| 5231 | 2015／11／19 | $164.3{ }^{\circ}$ | 3 h 45 m | $+3^{\circ}$ | 2015／11／19 | 14.5 | 2015／11／18 | 1.258 |
| 2839 | 2015／11／22 | $177.4^{\circ}$ | 3h48m | $+22^{\circ}$ | 2015／11／22 | 13 | 2015／11／20 | 0.900 |
| 118 | 2015／11／24 | $176 .{ }^{\circ}$ | 3h58m | ＋24 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／11／25 | 11 | 2015／11／26 | 1.068 |
| 240 | 2015／11／24 | $176.8^{\circ}$ | 4 h 0 m | ＋170 | 2015／11／24 | 11. | 2015／11／22 | 1.133 |
| 49 | 2015／11／25 | $176.3^{\circ}$ | 4 h 0 m | ＋240 | 2015／11／25 | 10. | 2015／11／21 | 1.450 |
| 1537 | 2015／11／25 | $178.5^{\circ}$ | 4h 5m | ＋19 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／11／25 | 14. | 2015／11／16 | 1.288 |
| 3682 | 2015／11／25 | $174.0^{\circ}$ | 3h55m | $+26^{\circ}$ | 2015／11／24 | 13.5 | 2015／11／14 | 1.066 |
| 77 | 2015／11／28 | $176.2^{\circ}$ | 4h13m | ＋25 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／11／28 | 11.2 | 2015／11／27 | 1.336 |
| 678 | 2015／11／28 | $173.1^{\circ}$ | 4 h 10 m | ＋28 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／11／28 | 11.0 | 2015／11／24 | 1.058 |
| 20826 | 2015／11／30 | $119.5^{\circ}$ | 5 h 50 m | ＋81 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2016／03／22 | 14.4 | 2016／04／06 | 0.337 |
| 523 | 2015／12／01 | $178.5^{\circ}$ | 4 h 27 m | ＋23 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／12／01 | 12.5 | 2015／12／03 | 1.491 |
| 62 | 2015／12／02 | $177.0^{\circ}$ | 4h35m | ＋19 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／12／02 | 12 | 2015／11／29 | 1.659 |
| 1576 | 2015／12／09 | $178.5^{\circ}$ | 5h 2m | ＋21 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／12／09 | 14.4 | 2015／12／06 | 1.673 |
| 33342 | 2015／12／10 | $157.5^{\circ}$ | 4 h 36 m | $+1^{\circ}$ | 2015／12／10 | 11.3 | 2015／12／11 | 0.028 |
| 747 | 2015／12／14 | $153.2{ }^{\circ}$ | 5h15m | － $3^{\circ}$ | 2015／12／09 | 10.3 | 2015／12／04 | 1.125 |
| 2105 | 2015／12／17 | $169.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 5h27m | ＋330 | 2015／12／17 | 13.4 | 2015／12／16 | 1.061 |
| 888 | 2015／12／19 | $164.6^{\circ}$ | 5h43m | ＋ $8^{\circ}$ | 2015／12／18 | 12.2 | 2015／12／16 | 1.255 |
| 2569 | 2015／12／21 | $175.6^{\circ}$ | 5h55m | ＋270 | 2015／12／20 | 14.0 | 2015／12／15 | 1.377 |
| 27 | 2015／12／25 | $179.6^{\circ}$ | 6h12m | ＋23 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2015／12／25 | 8.4 | 2015／12／24 | 0.959 |
| 1815 | 2015／12／31 | $179.1^{\circ}$ | 6 h 41 m | $+22^{\circ}$ | 2015／12／31 | 14.5 | 2016／01／01 | ． 588 |

Table III．Numerical Sequence of Close Approaches

| anet | Max Elon | Max E | RA | Dec | Br Mag D Br | Mag | Min Dist | in Dist |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1566 | 2015／06／28 | $130.3^{\circ}$ | 14h55m | －170 | 2015／06／18 | 13.5 | 2015／06／16 | 0.054 |
| 4055 | 2015／09／07 | $176.4^{\circ}$ | 22h48m | － $5^{\circ}$ | 2015／09／07 | 12.5 | 2015／08／31 | 0.239 |
| 5381 | 2015／05／20 | $145.0^{\circ}$ | 17h14m | $-50^{\circ}$ | 2015／05／18 | 14.2 | 2015／05／17 | 0.161 |
| 20826 | 2015／11／30 | $119.5^{\circ}$ | 5 h 50 m | ＋81 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2016／03／22 | 14.4 | 2016／04／06 | 0.337 |
| 33342 | 2015／12／10 | $157.5^{\circ}$ | 4 h 36 m | $+1^{\circ}$ | 2015／12／10 | 11.3 | 2015／12／11 | 0.028 |
| 85989 | 2015／07／08 | $125.5^{\circ}$ | 21h28m | $+20^{\circ}$ | 2015／07／21 | 13.8 | 2015／07／25 | 0.049 |
| 141527 | 2015／03／24 | $167.9^{\circ}$ | 11h25m | － $4^{\circ}$ | 2015／03／16 | 14.1 | 2015／03／13 | 0.044 |
| 206378 | 2015／08／07 | $171.1^{\circ}$ | 21h44m | $-16^{\circ}$ | 2015／08／15 | 13.8 | 2015／08／22 | 0.053 |

## USING THE PARALLAX METHOD TO DETERMINE the distance to an asteroid
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By simultaneously imaging an asteroid from two separate telescopes on Earth, it is possible to use the parallax method to determine its distance. This study outlines the steps necessary and results obtained from using the method on asteroid 166 Rhodope.

The purpose of this project was to measure the distance to an asteroid using its parallax angle as determined by taking synchronized images of it from two different locations on earth.

Telescope time was obtained at the two SARA (Southeastern Association for Research in Astronomy) telescopes, one at Kitt Peak National Observatory in Arizona the other at Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory in Chile on the night of 2014 January 2 UT.

Asteroid 166 Rhodope was chosen for this study because it was fairly bright at $9^{\text {th }}$ magnitude, its location in the sky was optimal for both telescopes, and its proximity to earth allowed a large enough parallax angle for an accurate measurement (Figure 1).


Figure 1. Finding the distance to an asteroid using parallax.
Asteroid 166 Rhodope was discovered in 1876 by C.H.F. Peters (JPL). It is an S-type main belt asteroid with an orbital inclination of $12^{\circ}$. The eccentricity of its orbit is 0.21 with a semi-major axis of 2.68 AU. At the time of the observations Rhodope was at a solar distance of 2.199 AU and an earth distance of 1.460 AU (Figures 2 and 3). These distances were determined using the MPO Canopus software program and Rhodope's known orbital elements. (JPL and Warner, 2011)


Figure 2. Side view of 166 Rhodope's Orbit


Figure 3. Top view of 166 Rhodope's orbit.

## The Parallax Method

The method used to determine the distance to the asteroid using its parallax angle is outlined here. It required determining the parallax angle by operating two telescopes separated by as large a distance as possible. By using images taken simultaneously from both telescopes of the same asteroid and the known physical distance between the telescopes, the distance to the asteroid can then be determined.

The chord length equation was used to find the distance between the two telescopes, see Eq. 1. The known radius of the Earth is $r_{e}$ and angle $\alpha$ was found by using the difference between the longitude and latitude of the two telescopes (see Figure 1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=2 r_{e} \cdot \sin \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. $1, L$ was then used to find $d$. The value $d$ is necessary in order to complete the triangle of which $D$, the distance to the asteroid, is one side.

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\frac{L}{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The angle $p$ was found using the difference in positions of the asteroid on simultaneous images from the two telescopes. Then using trigonometric functions, the distance $(D)$ is found by using equation 3 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\frac{d}{\tan (p)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Data and Analysis

On 2014 January 2 UT, the Southeastern Association for Research in Astronomy (SARA) telescopes were used for observations of 166 Rhodope. The SARA northern telescope (SARA-N) is located at Kitt Peak National Observatory at a longitude of $111^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 59.4^{\prime \prime}$ W and latitude of $31^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 36.0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. The SARA southern telescope (SARA-S) located at Cerro Tololo International Observatory is at a longitude of $70^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 57.0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ and a latitude of $30^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 19.6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$. The SARA-N has a $0.9-\mathrm{m}$ objective mirror and the SARA-S has a 0.6 m objective mirror.

Both telescopes used Apogee Alta E6-1105 CCD cameras cooled with liquid nitrogen to a temperature of $-110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Images were binned $2 \times 2$ pixels which produced effective pixels of $12 \times 12$ microns. Images from SARA-S were shot through a luminance 5 filter and the images from SARA-N were taken through an IRblocking filter. Five images from each telescope were taken. Typical images from both telescopes are shown in Figures 4 and 5.

After reducing the images using calibrated flat fields and biases, it was found that astrometry measurements were unaffected by the reduction process. Thus raw images were actually used to determine the asteroid position in order to measure the right ascension (RA) and declination (Dec). Each image was opened in the software program MPO Canopus and the fields matched to the catalog of stars from the MPOSC3 Catalog, which is a combination of data from the Carlsberg Meridian Catalog 14 (CMC-14) and the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS). The values measured can be found in Table 1. Since there were no significant differences in the right ascension, the difference in declination of each pair of synchronized images was found in arcseconds and averaged. This number was then converted from arcseconds to degrees and divided by two in order to find the parallax angle, $p$.

Using a known value of the radius of the earth, 6378.1370 km , (NASA) and Eq. 1, the distance between the telescopes was determined.

$$
\begin{gathered}
L=2(6378388 \mathrm{~m}) \sin \left(\frac{62.13211111^{\circ}}{2}\right) \\
L=6582827 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

Equation 2 was then used to find $d$, the half-distance of the chord length, $L$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d=\frac{6582827.4}{2} \\
& d=3291415 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation 3 applies $d$ and the angle $p$ to find the distance between Earth and the asteroid, $D$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
D=\frac{3291415}{\tan 8.5 \times 10^{-4}} \\
D=2.21854934 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

The final distance measured $(D)$ was then converted into 1.48 AU . using $1 \mathrm{AU}=149,597,871,000 \mathrm{~m}$ (Astronomical Unit). The known distance from orbital elements was 1.460 AU as given by MPO Canopus (Warner, 2011). The difference in methods gives results similar to within $<2 \%$.


Figure 4. Image taken by SARA North telescope with asteroid position shown.


Figure 5. Image taken by SARA South telescope with asteroid position shown.

| Image <br> Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.A. } \\ & \text { SARA (S) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Dec |  |  | R.A. |  |  | Dec |  |  | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | SARA | (S) |  | SARA | ( N ) |  | SARA | (N) |  |
|  | h | min | sec | - | , | " | h | min | sec | - | , | " | arcsec |
| 1 | $3{ }^{\text {h }}$ | $28^{\mathrm{m}}$ | $39.81^{\text {s }}$ | $2^{\circ}$ | 53' | 41.2" | $3^{\text {h }}$ | $28^{\mathrm{m}}$ | $40.05^{\text {s }}$ | $2^{\circ}$ | 53' | 35.1" | $6.1^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 2 | $3^{\text {h }}$ | $28^{\mathrm{m}}$ | $39.81{ }^{\text {s }}$ | $2^{\circ}$ | 53' | $42.2^{\prime \prime}$ | $3^{\text {h }}$ | $28^{\mathrm{m}}$ | $40.05^{\text {s }}$ | $2^{\circ}$ | 53' | 36.0" | $6.2^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 3 | $3^{\text {h }}$ | $28^{\mathrm{m}}$ | $39.81{ }^{\text {s }}$ | $2^{\circ}$ | 53' | 43.0 " | $3^{\text {h }}$ | $28^{\mathrm{m}}$ | $40.05^{\text {s }}$ | $2^{\circ}$ | 53' | 36.9" | 6.1 " |
| 4 | $3^{\text {h }}$ | $28^{\mathrm{m}}$ | $39.81^{\text {s }}$ | $2^{\circ}$ | 53' | 44.1" | $3^{\text {h }}$ | $28^{\mathrm{m}}$ | $40.05^{\text {s }}$ | $2^{\circ}$ | 53' | 38.0" | $6.1^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 5 | $3^{\text {h }}$ | $28^{\mathrm{m}}$ | $39.81^{\text {s }}$ | $2^{\circ}$ | 53' | 44.6" | $3^{\text {h }}$ | $28^{\mathrm{m}}$ | $40.04^{\text {s }}$ | $2^{\circ}$ | 53' | 38.5" | 6.1" |

Table 1. Measured RA and Declination of the asteroid from two locations and the difference due to parallax.

## Conclusion

The purpose of the project was to test the feasibility of determining the distance to an asteroid using the parallax method with two telescopes and simultaneously imaging it. As this paper shows, it can be done with fairly high precession.
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In this paper, we present results of our first photometric observations of near-Earth asteroids at high-mountain Tien-Shan Astronomical Observatory (TShAO) of Fesenkov Astrophysical Institute (Republic of Kazakhstan). All observations were carried out on the recently modernized 1-meter Zeiss-1000 telescope. For the first target, we chose near-Earth asteroid (387733) 2003 GS, which did not have a known rotation period at the time. Based on the data, we conclude a rotational period of $P=2.46 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{~h}$ with the amplitude $A=0.1$ mag.

Tien-Shan Astronomical Observatory was founded in 1957. It is located 30 km south of Almaty, Republic of Kazakhstan, at 2,750 meters above sea level. The observatory is equipped with several
optical telescopes, including two Zeiss-1000 (1-m $f / 13$ ) in the east and west cupolas. These telescopes were upgraded in 2011-2014. During this work, a new telescope control system was installed along with new CCD cameras and a 5 -element focal reducer designed by A. Yudin (KIAM, ISON) for the east Zeiss-1000. In this configuration, the telescope has $19 \times 19$ arcmin field-of-view. Both telescopes are equipped with Johnson B, V, R filters by Astrodon Photometrics. Analysis of images and possible rotation period was carried out using the MPO Canopus package (Bdw Publishing).
(387733) 2003 GS. Asteroid (387733) 2003 GS is a near-Earth asteroid (Aten family, $q=0.6977 \mathrm{AU}, a=0.8926 \mathrm{AU}, e=0.2184$, $i=12.032^{\circ}$ ), discovered by LONEOS on 2003 Apr. 4 (Marsden, 2003). The asteroid was well-observed during the 2014 apparition, with the rotation period independently defined by several observers: $P=2.467 \mathrm{~h}$ (Benishek, 2014c; Warner, 2014k) and $P=$ 2.469 h (Hicks, 2014e). Observations at TShAO were carried out a week later, 2014 Apr. 18, with a Johnson R filter and 30 -sec exposures in orbital tracking mode. Detailed information about the observational runs is shown in Table 1.

| $t, U T$ | $\Delta, A U$ | $r, A U$ | $\Theta$, deg | mag. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014-04-18T16:17:41 | 0.093 | 1.084 | 29.6 | 15.2 |
| 2014-04-18T21:38:10 | 0.093 | 1.083 | 30.2 | 15.2 |

Table 1. Photometric observations of (387733) 2003 GS.
Based on our analysis, we found a rotation period of $P=2.46 \pm$ 0.02 h with a relatively low amplitude $A=0.1 \mathrm{mag}$. This estimate is comparable with the above values.


Special thanks to all staff of Tien-Shan Astronomical Observatory and personally to Maksim Krugov, who made these successful observations possible. With hope for further collaboration.
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For 978 Aidamina we find a synodic rotation period $10.098 \pm 0.001$ hours and amplitude $0.24 \pm 0.02$ magnitudes.

The two authors started observing 978 Aidamina separately and when they learned of each other's observations agreed to share their data and write a collaborative paper. Observations by F. Pilcher were obtained with a Meade 35 cm LX 200 GPS S-C, SBIG STL 1001-E CCD, clear filter, unguided exposures. Those by A. Ferrero were with a 30 cm f/8 Ritchey-Chretien and SBIG ST9 CCD. Image measurement, lightcurve analysis, and sharing of data were done with MPO Canopus software.

Previous period determinations are by Behrend (2003), 10.100 hours; LeCrone et al. (2004), 10.099 hours; and Clark (2006), a very uncertain 9.5 hours. From the new observations on ten nights 2014 June 11 - July 24 the authors find a synodic rotation period $10.098 \pm 0.001$ hours, amplitude $0.24 \pm 0.02$ magnitudes, with a somewhat asymmetric bimodal lightcurve. This is consistent with previous studies.
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#### Abstract

Lightcurves were determined for the following four asteroids: 2007 McCuskey, 2669 Shostakovich, 3544 Borodino, and 7749 Jackschmitt. From these curves periods of $8.611 \pm 0.003,4.914 \pm 0.004,5.437 \pm 0.001$, and $5.337 \pm 0.008$ hours were found for 2007 McCuskey, 2669 Shostakovich, 3544 Borodino, and 7749 Jackschmitt, respectively.


## Introduction

The purpose of this research was to obtain lightcuves of the chosen asteroids in order to get their rotational periods. The asteroids used in this study were chosen because they fit the following criteria: declination, apparent magnitude, and opposition date. The optimal declination was between $5^{\circ}$ and $-30^{\circ}$, putting the asteroids in the field of view of the telescopes used for the majority of the night. Ideal magnitudes were brighter than 16. Asteroids near opposition allowed the maximum number of images each night. The asteroids which met the above criteria, were 2007 McCuskey, 2669 Shostakovich, 3544 Borodino, and 7749 Jackschmitt.

Asteroid 2007 McCuskey was discovered in 1963 by the Indiana Asteroid Program. It has an orbital eccentricity of 0.115 and its semi-major axis is 2.384 AU (JPL). Asteroid 2669 Shostakovich was discovered on December 16, 1976 by Chernykh, L. and has an eccentricity of 0.219 . Its semi-major axis is 2.780 AU (JPL). Asteroid 3544 Borodino was discovered on September 7, 1977 by Chernykh, N. (JPL). It has an eccentricity of 0.221 and a semimajor axis of 2.401 AU (JPL). Asteroid 7749 Jackschmitt was discovered on May 12, 1988 by Carolyn and Eugene Shoemaker and has an orbital eccentricity of 0.360 (JPL). Its semi-major axis is 2.632 AU (JPL).

## Method

The telescopes used to study these asteroids were from the Southeastern Association for Research in Astronomy (SARA) consortium and the Texas A\&M-University Commerce Observatory (A\&M-Commerce). The SARA-North facility houses a $0.9-\mathrm{m}$ telescope at Kitt Peak National Observatory in Arizona. The SARA-South facility has a $0.6-\mathrm{m}$ telescope at Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory in La Serena, Chile. Both of the cameras, used with these telescopes, are Apogee CCD cameras. The telescope at the A\&M-Commerce observatory, in Commerce, Texas, is a $0.4-\mathrm{m}$ telescope with a SBIG-STX 16803 CCD camera.

The images obtained were reduced and aligned using the program Maxim DL. This reduction was done with flats, biases, and dark frames taken for each night of observation. These were twilight flats for the images taken with SARA equipment and dome flats for the images taken at the A\&M-Commerce observatory. The dark
frames were exposed for three minutes on SARA telescopes and for five minutes at the A\&M-Commerce telescope. These exposure times correspond to the asteroid image exposure times.

After calibration, differential photometry was used to determine the brightness of the asteroid using MPO Canopus v10.2.1.0 (Warner, 2011). Five comparison stars and the asteroid were measured on each image. The difference in magnitude between the comparison stars and the asteroid was averaged for each image. Lightcurves were then created using the differentiated magnitudes plotted versus time. A Fourier transform method was applied to determine the rotation period of the asteroid as well as the error of the period.

## Results

2007 McCuskey. Images were taken of asteroid 2007 McCuskey using the SARA-South telescope. It was imaged 161 times on 2014 August $3^{\text {rd }}$ and 163 times on 2014 August $4^{\text {th }}$. The period was found to be $8.611 \pm 0.003$ hours with an amplitude variation of 0.2 magnitudes. A previous study had found the period to be $8.603 \pm$ 0.001 hours, (Klinglesmith and Franco, 2013).

2669 Shostakovich. Images were taken of asteroid 2269 Shostakovich using the SARA-North telescope. It was imaged 81 times on 2014 January $20^{\text {th }}, 108$ times on 2014 January $21^{\text {st }}$, and 24 times on 2014 January $30^{\text {th }}$ using the A\&M-Commerce telescope. The period was found to be $4.914 \pm 0.004$ hours with an amplitude variation of 0.2 magnitudes. A previous study, with very limited coverage, found a period of $6.24 \pm 1.44$ hours, (Behrend, 2005).

3544 Borodino. Images were taken of asteroid 3544 Borodino using the SARA-South telescope. It was imaged 149 times on 2014 June $23^{\text {rd }}$ and 163 times on 2014 June $24^{\text {th }}$. The data from one of these nights was split into two sets because the asteroid needed to be re-centered in the field of view. The period was found to be $5.437 \pm 0.001$ hours with an amplitude variation of 0.35 magnitudes. A previous study found a period of $5.44 \pm 0.01$ hours, (Torno et al., 2008).

7749 Jackschmitt. Images were taken of asteroid 7749 Jackschmitt using the SARA-South telescope. It was imaged 148 times on 2014 June $25^{\text {th }}$ and 137 times on 2014 June $29^{\text {th }}$. Asteroid 7749 Jackschmitt has a period of $5.337 \pm 0.008$ hours. A search of the Astrophysics Data System and the Asteroid Lightcurve Database did not find any previously reported results.
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# PERIOD DETERMINATION FOR 457 ALLEGHENIA: LOW NUMBERED ASTEROID WITH NO PREVIOUSLY KNOWN PERIOD 
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Lightcurve analysis for 457 Alleghenia was performed using observations during its 2014 opposition. The synodic rotation period was found to be $21.953 \pm$ 0.001 h and the lightcurve amplitude was $0.20 \pm 0.02$ mag.

457 Alleghenia is a main-belt asteroid discovered in 1900 by M.F. Wolf and A. Schwassmann at Heidelberg (Germany); it was named in honor of the Allegheny Observatory, currently an integral part of the University of Pittsburgh (USA). It appeared on the CALL web site as an asteroid photometry opportunity due to it reaching a favorable apparition in 2014 and having no defined lightcurve parameters.

Observations by Álvarez were made at Observatorio Los Algarrobos, Salto, Uruguay (OLASU, MPC Code I38) with a $0.30-$ $\mathrm{m} f / 6.9$ Meade LX-200R telescope and QSI 516wsg NABG CCD camera that was off-axis guided and set to $2 \times 2$ binning. A clear filter with no infrared blocker was used for the 150 -second exposures. Observations by Pilcher were made at the Organ Mesa Observatory (MPC Code G50) with a $0.35-\mathrm{m} f / 10$ Meade LX-200 GPS telescope and SBIG STL-1001E CCD camera that was unguided. A clear filter with infrared blocker was used for the $60-$ second exposures.

Our computers were synchronized with atomic clock time via Internet NTP servers at the beginning of each session. All images were dark and flat-field corrected and then measured using MPO Canopus (Bdw Publishing) version 10.4.3.16 with a differential photometry technique. The data were light-time corrected. Night-to-night zero point calibration was accomplished by selecting up to five comparison stars with near solar colors according to recommendations by Warner (2007) and Stephens (2008). Period analysis was also done with MPO Canopus, which incorporates the Fourier analysis algorithm developed by Harris (Harris et al., 1989).

Observations obtained on 14 nights from 2014 July 27 to September 25 are summarized in Table I. On one of these nights (Aug 24), both observers happened to image the target simultaneously for more than four hours, thus allowing a precise comparison of calibrated magnitudes of the two data sets by means of using the same calibration stars. Pilcher's data were found to be 0.15 magnitudes brighter than the extrapolation of Álvarez's data, which we attributed to different responses of the CCD sensors and to the clear filters, Pilcher's being IR-blocked and Álvarez being non IR-blocked.

More than 80 hours of effective observations and about 3,200 data points were required in order to solve the lightcurve (Figure 1).

Over the span of observations, the phase angle varied from $17.9^{\circ}$ to $7.7^{\circ}$ to $9.3^{\circ}$, the phase angle bisector ecliptic longitude from $346.1^{\circ}$ to $349.7^{\circ}$, and the phase angle bisector ecliptic latitude from $15.6^{\circ}$ to $16.6^{\circ}$ to $16.3^{\circ}$. The rotation period for 457 Alleghenia was determined to be $21.953 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{~h}$ with a lightcurve peak-to-peak amplitude of $0.20 \pm 0.02$ mag. No clear evidence of tumbling or binary companion was seen.

At the time of this study, 457 Alleghenia was the lowest numbered asteroid for which no rotation parameters were found in the literature. There are now rotation periods for all of the first 500 asteroids, although not all of these periods are reliable (i.e., many still have $\mathrm{U}<3$; see Warner et al., 2009), so that ongoing investigations to verify, refine, or revise their values remains an important and pending endeavor.

| Session Data  <br> Sess Observ 2014 | UT Data | Pts |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | FP | Jul 27 | $09: 04-11: 30$ | 128 |
| 2 | FP | Aug 21 | $03: 15-11: 28$ | 438 |
| 3 | EMA | Aug 23 | $01: 44-05: 53$ | 96 |
| 4 | EMA | Aug 24 | $01: 34-07: 24$ | 142 |
| 5 | FP | Aug 24 | $03: 09-11: 45$ | 456 |
| 6 | FP | Aug 25 | $05: 44-11: 45$ | 320 |
| 7 | FP | Aug 28 | $03: 34-11: 51$ | 440 |
| 8 | FP | Aug 29 | $02: 41-06: 28$ | 200 |
| 9 | EMA | Aug 30 | $01: 09-06: 39$ | 127 |
| 10 | FP | Sep 11 | $02: 05-10: 32$ | 397 |
| 11 | EMA | Sep 15-16 | $23: 36-04: 37$ | 116 |
| 12 | EMA | Sep 19-20 | $23: 25-04: 36$ | 122 |
| 13 | EMA | Sep 21-22 | $23: 22-04: 25$ | 119 |
| 14 | EMA | Sep 24-25 | $23: 01-04: 27$ | 120 |

Table I. Observing circumstances. In the Observer column, EMA is Álvarez at OLASU, and FP is Pilcher at Organ Mesa.
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Figure 1. Composite lightcurve of 457 Alleghenia.

# A TRIO OF BINARY ASTEROIDS 
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CCD observations of three asteroids were made at the Center for Solar System Studies in mid-2014. The Hungaria member 1727 Mette is a known binary system. We saw no evidence of the satellite in 2014, which assuming the satellite's orbit is nearly in the primary's equatorial rotational plane - leads to an estimate for the primary's spin axis orientation. The near-Earth asteroid (NEA) (68063) 2000 YJ66 has a primary spin period just at the spin barrier of 2.2 hours. Another NEA, 2014 PL51, looks to be one of a small number of wide binary candidates, which are characterized by a large amplitude lightcurve with a period of hundreds of hours superimposed by a short period, low amplitude lightcurve.

The near-Earth asteroid (NEA) program at the Center for Solar System Studies, located in Landers, CA, is primarily dedicated to finding the rotation period of as many NEAs as possible. This includes checking for and confirming satellites and non-principal axis rotation (NPAR, or tumbling). The results are added to the growing number of rotational statistics for NEAs, which are important to understanding NEA evolution, overall characteristics, and - to some degree - estimation of impact hazards. The program also monitors members of the Hungarias. These inner main-belt asteroids, which are not subject to planetary tidal encounters, serve as a control group to compare and contrast their rotation, binary, and tumbling statistics against those for the NEAs.

During the period of 2013 May 25 through 2014 October 3, the CS3 NEA program determined 190 rotation periods for 181 NEAs. Of these, 15 were binaries (rated as possible, probable, or confirmed) and 13 suspected or confirmed tumblers.

As part of the NEA program, we observed three asteroids: one Hungaria, 1727 Mette, and two NEAs, (68063) 2000 YJ66 and 2014 PL51. Mette was a known binary. To our knowledge, the two NEAs had not been observed before, and so their binary status was not known. Table I lists the equipment that was used by the authors for this study. Table II gives the dates of observations.

| Observer | Telescope |  | Camera |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Warner | $0.50-\mathrm{m}$ | $\mathrm{f} / 8.1$ Ritchey-Chretien | FLI-1001E |
|  | $0.35-\mathrm{m} / 9.6$ | Schmidt-Cass | STL-1001E |
| Stephens | $0.35-\mathrm{m}$ | $\mathrm{f} / 10$ | Schmidt-Cass |
|  | $0.40-\mathrm{m}$ | $\mathrm{f} / 10$ | Schmidt-Cass |

Table I. List of observers and equipment.

| Asteroid | Obs | Date (2014 mmm/dd) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1727 Mette | RDS | Jul 19-22 |
| $(68063) 2000$ YJ66 | BDW | Aug 18-21 |
|  | RDS | Aug 28-31; Sep 01-06 |
| 2014 PL51 | BDW <br> RDS | Aug 31; Sep 02-06, 24 <br> Sep 15-18 |

Table II. Dates of observations for each asteroid. Observations were not necessarily made on every date within a range.

Image processing and measurement were done using MPO Canopus (Bdw Publishing). Master flats and darks were applied to the science frames prior to measurements. The MPO Canopus export data sets were collected by Warner for period analysis, also in MPO Canopus, which incorporates the FALC Fourier analysis algorithm developed by Harris (Harris et al., 1989).

Conversion to an internal standard system with approximately $\pm 0.05 \mathrm{mag}$ zero point precision was accomplished using the Comp Star Selector in MPO Canopus and the MPOSC3 catalog provided with that software. The magnitudes in the MPOSC3 are based on the 2MASS catalog converted to the BVRcIc system using formulae developed by Warner (2007). This internal calibration works well within a given telescope-camera system. However, in some cases, there is a nearly constant offset from one system to the next, as in the case of merging the Stephens data with Warner's. For (68063) 2000 YJ66, an offset of approximately 0.2 magnitudes was applied to the Stephens sessions.

In the lightcurve plots presented below, the "Reduced Magnitude" is Johnson V corrected to unity distance by applying $-5^{*} \log (\mathrm{r} \Delta)$ to the measured sky magnitudes with r and $\Delta$ being, respectively, the Sun-asteroid and Earth-asteroid distances in AU. The magnitudes were normalized to the phase angle given in parentheses, e.g., alpha $\left(68.5^{\circ}\right)$, using $G=0.15$. The horizontal axis is the rotation phase, ranging from -0.05 to 1.05 .

1727 Mette. This asteroid is a member of the Hungaria orbital group, not of the collisional family. Tholen (1984) listed it as a type S asteroid; members of the Hungaria collisional family are type E. Its rotation period was well established (see references in the asteroid lightcurve database; LCDB; Warner et al., 2009) before being discovered as a binary (Warner and Stephens, 2013). The 2014 observations were made as follow-up to confirm, if possible, the satellite's lightcurve and orbital period.


Figure 1. The lightcurve for 1727 Mette showed no obvious signs of the satellite discovered by the authors in 2013.

Analysis of the observations by Stephens from 2014 Jul 19-22 yielded a period of $2.9808 \pm 0.0002 \mathrm{~h}$ and lightcurve amplitude of $0.31 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{mag}$. The period is in excellent agreement with earlier
results. The total time of observations was about 16 hours (4 hours per night). This should have been enough to capture at least one event in the 21 -hour orbital period. However, there were no obvious signs of deviations on the order of 0.05 mag , the level seen in 2013. Events of much less deviation would have been too small to observe reliably.

The satellite discovery observations were made in 2013 January, when the phase angle was about $10^{\circ}$ and the phase angle bisector longitude ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{PAB}}$ ) was $114^{\circ}$ (see the appendix in Harris et al., 1984, for the derivation of the phase angle bisector). The amplitude of the primary was 0.33 mag. Over the years, the amplitude has stayed within the range of $0.22-0.35 \mathrm{mag}$ (Warner et al., 2009). In 2014, the phase angle was $17^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{\text {PAB }}$ was $322^{\circ}$ (the opposing angle being $142^{\circ}$ ). This is about $30^{\circ}$ from the longitude in 2013. Usually, this is not enough of a difference to go from seeing to not seeing events. An exception would be if the 2013 observations were just within the boundaries for event seasons.

Assuming that the satellite's orbital plane is near the primary's equatorial rotational plane, the lack of events in 2014 would imply that the primary's spin axis ecliptic longitude is near $320^{\circ}$, or $140^{\circ}$. This is supported by the fact that lower amplitudes are expected when viewing the asteroid more pole-on and that the lowest amplitudes in the LCDB were made near a $\mathrm{L}_{\text {PAB }}$ of $140^{\circ}$. Observations at future apparitions are encouraged to help model the binary system and primary spin axis.
(68063) 2000 YJ66. By general definition, an NEA is an asteroid with a perihelion distance of $q<1.3 \mathrm{AU}$. As such, 2000 YJ66 is just within the group with a $q \sim 1.27 \mathrm{AU}$. Warner did the initial observations in the middle of 2014 August. Because of observatory structural limitations, the asteroid moved too far north to continue with the available telescopes. Stephens continued the observations, starting in late August and going into early September.


Figure 2. A plot using the full data set for 2000 YJ66 showed a fair amount of scatter that might be periodic instead of random. This prompted a dual period search.

A plot (Fig. 2) using all data phased to an initial best fit in a Fourier analysis shows a large amount of scatter. However, the scatter within the individual data sets was much less, indicating the possibility of a second period. In MPO Canopus, the search for a second period can be done two ways. The one we chose was to find a period using all data. The resulting Fourier model curve was subtracted from the data in a second search. Usually, we start on a likely short period, e.g., between 1 and 6 hours, then search for a longer period. In this case, we tried finding the long period first as well as the short to see if it would change the results. It did not.

When and if a second period is found, that Fourier curve is subtracted from the data and a new search for the short period is run. This iterative process continues until both periods stabilize. There is a bit of self-fulfilling prophecy in this method since each solution depends on the other period being correct. It has happened where, after a more extensive data set was obtained and previous periods put aside, an entirely different set of periods was found. In almost all cases, it was the long period that changed the most dramatically.


Figure 3. The period spectrum for the shorter period slightly favors a solution of 2.11 hours. The sequence of minima represents successive differences of half a rotation over a 24 -hour span.


Figure 4. The lightcurve for the primary in the 2000 YJ66 binary system, obtained after subtracting the effects of the satellite.

The primary's period of 2.1102 h is just at or above the so-called spin barrier of about 2.2 hours, which separates rubble pile and strength-bound bodies. The fact that the there is a satellite indicates that this is almost certainly a rubble pile asteroid that was spun-up by the YORP effect (Yarkovsky-O'Keefe-Radzievskii-Paddack; Rubincam, 2000) to the point where it shed mass that formed the satellite.

We did try forcing the short period to the other periods on either side of 2.11 hours. Even after subtracting the effects of the satellite, the scatter in the primary lightcurve made it difficult to say that any one of the solutions was unique and so a "more ordinary" value of about 2.2 hours is almost as likely. It is important to note that regardless of which short period we used, the long period solution did not change by more than 0.02 hours.


Figure 5. The lightcurve attributed to the tidally-locked satellite of 2000 YJ66.

The secondary lightcurve for 2000 YJ66 does not show any mutual events, i.e., occultations or eclipses, which are considered direct evidence of a satellite. It does show a lightcurve of 0.12 mag amplitude with a period of $15.69 \pm 0.02$ hours. The period is within the expected boundaries given the primary period (Pravec et al., 2010). The shape of the curve fits one for an elongated satellite that is tidally-locked to the orbital period.

2014 PL51. Jacobson and Scheeres (2011) have examined the possibilities for different types of binary asteroid systems. Among them is what are sometimes called wide binaries. In this case, the primary has a long period (due to conservation of energy) while the satellite has a short period and is well-removed from the primary. Unless the rarest of circumstances prevail, the period of the orbit cannot be determined from the observations due to the absence of mutual events, but it is probably long based on the asynchronous rotation of the two components.

In such a system, the primary has a lightcurve with a period of hundreds of hours and an amplitude $A \geq 0.3$ mag. The satellite's lightcurve amplitude is on the order of $A \leq 0.10 \mathrm{mag}$ with a period in the range 2-8 hours. In addition to 2014 PL51, there are seven other such binary candidates (Table III).

| Number | Name | P1 | P2 | Ref |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1220 | Crocus | 785 | 7.97 | Icarus 72, 135-208 |
| 8026 | Johnmckay | 372 | 2.2981 | MPB 38, 33-36 |
| 15778 | 1993 NH | 113 | 3.320 | MPB, this issue |
| 67175 | 2000 BA19 | 275 | 2.7157 | MPB 40, 36-42 |
| 1197442001 YN42 | 624 | 7.24 | MPB 41, 102-112 |  |
| 190208 | 2006 AQ | 182 | 2.621 | MPB, this issue |
| 2181442002 RL66 | 588 | 2.49 | MPB 37, 109-111 |  |
| 2014 | PL51 | 205 | 5.384 | This work |

Table III. List of suspected wide binary asteroids. P1 is the primary's period (hours). P2 is the satellite's, which is not tidally-locked to its orbital period. All references are Warner (et al.) except for 1220 Crocus, which is Binzel and was only recently added to the list.

In most of these, the amplitude of the secondary lightcurve has been weak in comparison to the noise in the data, and so the results were inconclusive. The results for 2014 PL51 make one of the stronger cases to date.

The raw plot of the data for 2014 PL51 shows a very strong, long period component. Because of the possibility for a wide binary, a search is always made for a secondary period by first eliminating
the long period, but with caution to avoid forcing a solution. The period search process described above led to finding a period of $205 \pm 5$ hours for the primary. The precision is somewhat arbitrary given the gaps in the coverage of the lightcurve. Just as much so, when the data set extends for a month or so, there is the chance that the lightcurve evolves due to changing phase angle or phase angle bisector longitude and latitude.


Figure 6. The raw plot of the data obtained for 2014 PL51 clearly shows a long period component.


Figure 7. The long period lightcurve for 2014 PL51. The short period component is not obvious at this point.


Figure 8. The short period lightcurve is attributed to a satellite that is not tidally-locked to a very long orbital period.

The search for a secondary period found 5.384 h with an amplitude of about 0.09 mag. The shape is somewhat asymmetrical, which is not unexpected for a low amplitude lightcurve. More important is that the minimums cannot be attributed to mutual events since this would indicate that the orbital period is also 5.4 hours. This is far too short if typical densities are presumed for the two bodies.
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## LOW AMPLITUDE LIGHTCURVE FOR KM-SIZED NEAR-EARTH ASTEROID (285944) 2001 RZ11
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In this paper we present results of photometric observations of near-Earth asteroid (285944) 2001 RZ11. Observations were carried out at two observatories: ISON-NM (Mayhill, NM, USA) and Tien-Shan Astronomical Observatory (TShAO) (Almaty, Republic of Kazakhstan) with 0.4 -meter Santel-400AN and 1meter Zeiss-1000 telescopes, respectively. Based on the combined data set, we found a period of $P=2.2455 \mathrm{~h} \pm$ 0.0002 with a low amplitude $A=0.07$ mag. Critical bulk density for this object is $\rho \sim 2.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{\wedge} 3$. We did not find any previously published data on the rotation period for this object.

The first observation phase for (285944) 2001 RZ11 was carried out on 2014 August 18-21 at ISON-NM Observatory with the Santel-400AN ( $0.4-\mathrm{m} f / 3$ ) telescope in Johnson R band and 30-60 second exposures. The initial results showed a relatively fast rotation rate for the object with diameter $1.7 \mathrm{~km} \pm$ a factor of two (NEOWISE). Together with the low amplitude, this led us to conclude that confirming observations were required. Unfortunately, the weather at ISON-NM Observatory turned bad for three weeks and the asteroid was too faint for the 0.4 -meter telescope. For confirmation in the second observation phase, we used the 1 -meter telescope Zeiss-1000 (East) at TShAO. To increase the signal-to-noise ratio, all observations were carried out in luminance (clear) filter with $300-\mathrm{sec}$ integration time. More details of observations are shown in Table 1. Analysis of images and possible rotation period were made using MPO Canopus (Bdw Publishing).

| $t, U T$ | $\Delta, A U$ | $r, A U$ | $\Theta$, deg | mag. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014-08-18T08:20:11 | 0.090 | 1.010 | 11.9 | 12.1 |
| 2014-08-21T09:37:04 | 0.114 | 1.108 | 30.8 | 13.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014-09-26T16:43:01 | 0.657 | 1.260 | 52.3 | 17.9 |
| 2014-09-29T17:30:06 | 0.718 | 1.283 | 51.1 | 18.1 |

Table 1. Photometric observations of (285944) 2001 RZ11.
Asteroid (285944) 2001 RZ11 follows a highly inclined orbit (Amor family, $q=1.079 \mathrm{AU}, a=2.191 \mathrm{AU}, e=0.5075, i=$ $53.1107^{\circ}$ ). This object was discovered by LINEAR at 2001 Sept.

10 (Spahr, 2001). (285944) 2001 RZ11 was one of brightest NEAs ).

Based on analysis of our data, we found a synodic rotation period of $P=2.2455 \mathrm{~h} \pm 0.0002$ with very low lightcurve amplitude $A=$ 0.07 mag . Radar echoes received at Goldstone radio observatory, showed a Doppler broadening of about 30 Hz . That suggests rapid rotation of the asteroid (L. Benner, personal communication).


Figure 1. The phased lightcurve for (285944) 2001 RZ11.


Figure 2. A plot showing amplitude versus period (from Warner et al., 2009) shows the location of 2001 RZ11. See the text for additional details.


Figure 3. A plot showing diameter versus period. The blue cross represents 2001 RZ11.

Assuming a critical rotation period as $P \sim 3.3 \sqrt{1+\Delta m / \rho}$ (Pravec and Harris, 2000) and a near spherical form of object of study, due to very low lightcurve amplitude, we can calculate critical bulk 3. Although the relatively high density, asteroid (285944) 2001 RZ11 may still be a "rubble-pile" object, because its bulk density 3 (Pravec and Harris, 2000). Fig. 2 shows the rotation periods vs. lightcurve amplitudes; the blue bold cross is (285944) 2001 RZ11. The blue lines represent the estimated spin rate limits for bulk 3. Fig. 3 shows the distribution of rotation periods vs. object diameters (from Warner et al., 2009).
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Collaborative CCD photometric observations of minor planet 4910 Kawasato (1953 PR) were undertaken by the authors in 2014 September. The resulting synodic rotation period of $4.661 \pm 0.003 \mathrm{~h}$ and amplitude, $\mathrm{A}=0.10 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{mag}$ was determined from four nights of observations.

Cherryvalley observatory (MPC Code I83) and Saronno Observatory are amateur-owned facilities located in Ireland and Italy respectively. Observations from Cherryvalley Observatory were conducted with a $0.2-\mathrm{m}$ Schmidt-Cassegrain Telescope (SCT) operating at $f / 7.6$ using an SBIG STL-1301E CCD camera with a 1280x1024 array of 16-micron pixels fitted with an R-band Bessel photometric filter. The resulting image scale was 2.15 arcsecond per pixel unbinned. Image acquisition was with Software Bisque's TheSky6 Professional and CCDSoft v5. Saronno Observatory employs a $0.24-\mathrm{m} f / 10$ SCT using an SBIG ST8-XME CCD
camera with a 1530x1020 array of 9-micron pixels; no photometric filter was used for observations. The resulting image scale was 1.48 arcsecond per pixel ( $2 \times 2$ binning). All light images were aligned, dark and flat field corrected using either MaxIm DL v5.03 or CCDSoft v5 with mid-exposure times light-time corrected using MPO Canopus v10.4.3.17 (Bdw Publishing). 162 data points were used in the calculations, which were obtained over four nights of observations spanning eight days.

Data produced in MPO Canopus (Bdw Publishing) using differential photometry to facilitate easy exportation. Night-tonight zero point calibration was accomplished by using the "comp star selector" (CSS) feature, which allows selecting up to five near solar colour stars within the field of view for differential photometry. The Cousins R Magnitudes for the comparisons were derived using the 2MASS to BVRI formulae developed by Warner (2007). Period analysis was completed using MPO Canopus, which incorporates the Fourier analysis algorithm (FALC) developed by Harris (Harris et al., 1989).

4910 Kawasato. Kawasato is classed as a Mars-crossing asteroid, being constrained by an orbit of 1.3 AU $<q<1.666 \mathrm{AU}$ and $a<3.2 \mathrm{AU}$ where $q$ is the perihelion distance and $a$ is the orbital semi-major axis. The orbital period is approximately 3.81 years and the absolute magnitude is $H=13.3$ mag. Discovered 1953 August 11 by K. Reinmuth at Heidelberg, it is named in honour of Nobuhiro Kawasato, who co-discovered 1988 VG2, which was identified with the lost minor planet (724) Hapag. The name was proposed by S. Nakano, who found the identifications involving this object. Bus and Binzel (2002) observed 4910 Kawasato during Phase II of the Small Main Belt Asteroid Spectrographic Survey (SMASS II) with the $2.4-\mathrm{m} f / 7.5$ Hiltner telescope at the MDM Observatory on Kitt Peak in Arizona 1994 April 01 with resulting spectral classification of S-type.

The phased plot for 4910 Kawasato (Figure I) demonstrates a classical if shallow bimodal lightcurve. The period solution of $4.661 \pm 0.003 \mathrm{~h}$ is in close agreement with earlier work by Stephens (2014) from 147 data points with a quality rating of 3, Warner et al. (2009). Observations of 4910 Kawasato were made during the period of 2014 September 20 to 2014 September 28. The solar phase angle varied from $+1.70^{\circ}$ through to $+7.0^{\circ}$, a minimum solar phase angle of $0.6^{\circ}$ occurred on September 18. For the first observation on 2014 September 20, 21:47 UT, the phase angle bisector longitude ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{PAB}}$ ) and latitude ( $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{PAB}}$ ) were $355.6^{\circ}$ and $+0.6^{\circ}$ respectively. For the last observation on 2014 September 28, 21:58 UT, the $\mathrm{L}_{\text {PAB }}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\text {PAB }}$ were $357.2^{\circ}$ and $-0.1^{\circ}$ respectively. 4910 Kawasato's Earth distance was approximately 0.68 AU on average during observation time span, (ephemeris data generated from the asteroid data browser, MPO Canopus). See Harris et al. (1984) for the derivation of the phase angle bisector.

4910 Kawasato was reported as a lightcurve opportunity in the Minor Planet Bulletin (Warner et al., 2014).


The author's wishes to express gratitude to Brian Warner for his helpful insights and the MPO Canopus software which really makes asteroid lightcurve work much easier, enjoyable, and faster.
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Analysis of CCD photometric observations of the nearEarth asteroid (399307) 1991 RJ2 made in 2014 AugustSeptember show it to be a binary system with a primary period of $3.4907 \pm 0.0002 \mathrm{~h}$ and orbital period of 15.917 $\pm 0.001 \mathrm{~h}$. The depth of the secondary mutual event indicates a minimum effective diameter ratio ( $\mathrm{Ds} / \mathrm{Dp}$ ) of $0.47 \pm 0.02$. The lightcurve showing the orbital period and mutual events indicates a slightly elongated ( $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b} \sim 1.07 / 1.0$ ) satellite that is tidally-locked to the orbital period.

The near-Earth asteroid (NEA) (399307) 1991 RJ2 was observed as part of the ongoing program at Center for Solar System Studies (CS3) to determine the rotation periods and other photometric characteristics of NEAs and to support radar observations with optical lightcurves. The initial observations were made at the Palmer Divide Station at CS3 (CS3-PDS) from 2014 August 27 through September 6. Analysis of the resulting data showed some intriguing possibilities, including that it was a binary system with one period on the order of 24 -hours.

This particular result was in question because the attenuations of $\sim 0.3 \mathrm{mag}$, presumably due to the satellite, were always near the end of a nightly run. "End-of-run" anomalies are often found to be the result of the telescope aiming at least partly into the observatory walls or dome or some other systematic effect. However, the raw plot of the comparison stars showed no indications of systematic problems or obstructions. To check further, a star in the field on two nights was measured as the target and was found to have a flat lightcurve throughout the night. As yet another safety check, a recently observed NEA by Pollock with a well-defined lightcurve was observed at CS3-PDS to see if the new data indicated any systematic problems. None were found. All
this led to the conclusion that the attenuations in the lightcurve were real and due to the asteroid.

The period of the attenuations was found to be 23.87 hours. For a single station, this meant that it would take nearly a week for the observation window to move by only $4 \%$ of the period. In the meantime, there was concern that the lightcurve would evolve due to changing phase and phase angle bisector. This made obtaining observations from a significantly different longitude imperative, where even just one or two long runs could prove useful.

Pollock was able to arrange time on Sep 22 to observe from two stations in the Southern Hemisphere: the PROMPT telescope in Chile and the R-COP at Perth Observatory in Western Australia. The combined run covered nearly 13 hours. Tables I and II list the equipment and the dates of observations for the different locations.

| OBS | Telescope | Camera |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CS3-PDS | $0.50-\mathrm{m} \mathrm{f} / 8.1$ Ritchey-Chretien <br> $0.35-\mathrm{m}$ <br> $\mathrm{f} / 9.6$ Schmidt-Cass | FLI-1001E <br> STL-1001E |
|  | $0.40-\mathrm{m}$ f/10 Schmidt-Cass | Apogee Alta |
| R-COP | $0.35-0 \mathrm{f} / 10$ Schmidt-Cass | ST-10XME |

Table I. Telescope/cameras used at each location.

| Location | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ \mathrm{mmm} \text { dd } \end{gathered}$ | Phase | Lpab | $B_{\text {PAB }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS3-PDS | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline \text { Aug } & 27-31 \\ \text { Sep } 01-06 \\ \text { Sep } 28 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 21, & 18 \\ 17, & 12 \\ 6 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 349, & 351 \\ 351, & 354 \\ 1 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 6, 4 <br> 4, 2 <br> -4 |
| PROMPT | Sep 22 Sep 28 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 359 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3 \\ & -4 \end{aligned}$ |
| R -COP | Sep 22 | 3 | 359 | -3 |

Table II. Observing circumstances for each location. Phase is the phase angle, in degrees. LPAB and BPAB are, respectively, the phase angle bisector longitude and latitude, also in degrees. For rows with a range of dates, the values are for the first and last date in the range. All values are computed for 0 h UT .

## Analysis and Results: A New Binary

The data from the Sep 22 run provided the critical evidence needed to establish the true nature of the asteroid: a binary system with a relatively large satellite that is tidally locked to its orbital period. Follow-up observations at PROMPT on Sep 28, also overlapped in part at CS3, helped further refine the orbital solution and shape of the mutual events


Figure 1. The lightcurve of 1991 RJ1 when using the full data set and forcing the period to that of the primary. The attenuations due to the mutual events are very apparent.

Figure 1 shows the complete data set of more than 1400 observations when forced to the primary's period. The attenuations
due to the satellite are clearly seen. For our analysis, we used the dual period tool in MPO Canopus, which is based on the FALC algorithm developed by Harris (Harris et al., 1989). The search proceeded by first finding a period between 2 and 10 hours using all data with no subtraction. The resulting Fourier model curve was subtracted in the subsequent search for a long period, one in the range of 5-35 hours. The Fourier curve for this period was subtracted when looking again for the short period. The processing of swapping back and forth continued until both solutions stabilized. The initial results still favored one of 12 or 24 hours. However, there was a strong third candidate in the period spectrum at approximately 16 hours.

Sometimes the Fourier analysis will find a "best" fit that is the result of a fit by exclusion, meaning that the RMS fit is minimized by finding a period that also minimizes the number of overlapping data points. The lightcurves at 12 and 24 hours did not seem to represent something that was physically probable. In the offchance that the two periods were the result of a fit by exclusion, the second period search was limited to the range of 14-18 hours. The results are shown in Figures 2 and 3.


Figure 2. The lightcurve for the primary body of 1991 RJ2. The low amplitude and symmetry indicate a nearly spheroidal body.

Figure 2 shows the data set phased to a period of 3.4807 h after removing the effects due to the satellite, mostly the mutual events due to occultations and/or eclipses. The amplitude of only 0.09 mag and nearly symmetrical shape indicate a nearly spheroidal body. This is very common among small binary systems.


Figure 3. The lightcurve due to the satellite of 1991 RJ2. The deep attenuations are due to occultations and/or eclipses.

In Figure 3, the mutual events are clearly indicated, with drops of 0.35 mag and 0.27 mag. The shallower of the two events allows finding a minimum effective diameter ratio of the two bodies of $\mathrm{Ds} / \mathrm{Dp} \geq 0.47 \pm 0.02$. The event was not total, as would be indicated by a flat instead of rounded minimum, therefore the ratio
is a minimum and could be larger. Figure 3 also shows a slight upward bowing of about 0.07 mag between events. This indicates that the satellite is slightly elongated, $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b} \sim 1.07: 1$. The overall shape of the lightcurve, discounting the mutual events, indicates that the satellite's rotation period matches the orbital period, i.e., it is tidally-locked to the orbital period.
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Synodic rotation periods and amplitudes are reported for three asteroids: 275 Sapientia $14.931 \pm 0.001$ hours, amplitude $0.12 \pm 0.01$ magnitudes with an irregular lightcurve; 309 Fraternitas $22.398 \pm 0.001$ hours, $0.12 \pm$ 0.01 magnitudes; 924 Toni $19.437 \pm 0.001$ hours, $0.24 \pm$ 0.02 magnitudes.

Observations to produce these determinations have been made at the Organ Mesa Observatory with a 35.4 cm Meade LX200 GPS S-C and SBIG STL 1001-E CCD. Photometric measurement and lightcurve construction are with MPO Canopus software. All exposures are 60 second exposure time, unguided, clear filter. To reduce the number of points on the lightcurves and make them easier to read data points have been binned in sets of 3 with maximum time difference 5 minutes.

275 Sapientia. Previous rotation period determinations have been made by Denchev (2000), $>20$ hours; Behrend (2006), 24.07 hours; and Warner (2007), 14.766 hours. New observations on 8 nights 2014 July 25 - Sept. 10 provides a good fit to an irregular lightcurve with period $14.931 \pm 0.001$ hours and amplitude $0.12 \pm$ 0.01 magnitudes. It is noted that segments of the lightcurve between phase 0.95 and 0.10 for the sessions on July 25 and 26 at phase angle near 11 degrees lie about 0.02 magnitudes higher than the for the corresponding phases on Sept. 1 and 4 near phase angle 3 degrees. These phase angle dependent misfits also appear at the same phase on a lightcurve phased to the double period of 29.862 hours. The change can therefore be attributed to the change in phase angle and does not provide evidence in favor of the double period. An irregular lightcurve indicates an irregular shape. The double period lightcurve is not only irregular, segments separated by rotational phase 0.5 are identical within errors of observation.

The probability of a real asteroid having irregular shape symmetric over a 180 degree rotation required by such a lightcurve is extremely small and may be safely rejected. Hence I claim the 14.931 hour period is secure. This period is fairly close to the period by Warner (2007) and disagrees completely with all other previous determinations.

309 Fraternitas. Previous rotation period determinations for 309 Fraternitas have been made by Robinson (2002), 13.2 hours; Shevchenko et al. (2005), 22.39 hours with no published lightcurve, and Shevchenko et al. (2008), 11.205 hours. New observations on 9 nights 2014 Aug. 30 - Oct. 4 provide a good fit to an asymmetric bimodal lightcurve with period $22.398 \pm 0.001$ hours, amplitude $0.12 \pm 0.01$ magnitudes. The new observations rule out the 13.2 hour period by Robinson (2002). They are consistent with the 22.39 hour period stated by Shevchenko et al. (2005), but the lightcurve that they later published (Shevchenko et al. 2008), was the half period lightcurve that features one maximum and minimum per cycle.


924 Toni. Previous rotation period determinations for 924 Toni have been made by Behrend (2006), 21.1 hours; and Behrend (2007), 21.12 hours. New observations on 12 nights 2014 June 12 - July 27 provide a good fit to a slightly asymmetric bimodal lightcurve with period $19.437 \pm 0.001$ hours, amplitude $0.24 \pm 0.02$ magnitudes. This is not in agreement with earlier determinations based on much less dense lightcurves.
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Photometric observations of the main-belt asteroid 2484 Parenago performed by the authors in Italy in 2014 September revealed the bimodal light curve phased to $3.433 \pm 0.001$ hours as the most likely solution representing the synodic rotation rate for this asteroid.

2484 Parenago is a main-belt asteroid discovered on 1928 October 7 by Grigory Neujmin at Simeis and named for the Russian astronomer Pavel Petrovich Parenago (1906-1960). It is a typical main-belt asteroid in an orbit with a semi-major axis of about 2.34 AU, eccentricity 0.25 , and orbital period of 3.59 years. According to the WISE satellite infrared radiometry (Mainzer et al., 2011), the diameter is $6.266 \pm 0.249 \mathrm{~km}$ and has an absolute magnitude $H=14.0$. A search of the asteroid lightcurve database (LCDB; Warner et al., 2009) indicates that these constitute the first reported lightcurve observations of this object.

Observations were made on five nights between 2014 September 15-23. During the interval of 9 days, the phase angle ranged from $7.1^{\circ}$ to $0.8^{\circ}$ pre-opposition. At the Astronomical Observatory of the University of Siena, data were obtained with a $0.30-\mathrm{m} / 5.6$ Maksutov-Cassegrain telescope, SBIG STL-6303E NABG CCD camera and clear filter; the pixel scale was 2.20 arcsec in binning $2 \times 2$. Exposures were 180 seconds. At Saronno, Salvaggio's data were obtained with a $0.23-\mathrm{m} / 10$ telescope, SBIG ST8-XME NABG CCD camera, unfiltered; the pixel scale was 1.6 arcsec in binning $2 \times 2$. Exposure were 150 seconds. The collaborative observations resulted in 6 sessions with a total of 347 data points.

Images were calibrated with bias, flats, and darks. Data processing, including reduction to R band, and period analysis were performed using MPO Canopus (BDW Publishing, 2012) by Papini. Differential photometry measurements were performed using the Comp Star Selector (CSS) procedure in MPO Canopus that allows selecting of up to five comparison stars of near solar color. Additional adjustments of the nightly zero-points were made in order to achieve the best alignment as determined by minimizing the Fourier RMS residual.

The period analysis yielded several possible solutions that clearly stand out in the period spectrum (Fig. 1) with nearly comparable RMS errors; only some of these have a valid physical justification. The complex lightcurve solutions with multiple minima and maxima phased to periods of over 8 hours were immediately ruled out as physically unreasonable, leaving three solutions that were taken into further consideration: a bimodal light curve phased to $3.433 \pm 0.001$ hours (Fig. 2), the double value of this period, i.e. a quadramodal solution of about 6.8 hours, and a trimodal lightcurve
phased to 5.1 hours. A closer examination of the Fourier series coefficients favors 3.433 hours over its double value due to larger values for the even term coefficients with respect to the odd term coefficients in the longer period case. The bimodal solution shows significantly better uniformity between the even and odd term coefficients, which is an indication of its greater adequacy.

We conclude that the most likely value of the synodic period for 2484 Parenago is associated with a bimodal lightcurve phased to $3.433 \pm 0.001$ hours with an amplitude of $0.29 \pm 0.01$ mag. There is a faint possibility of trimodal light curve and period of 5.1 hours; this could be confirmed or rejected by a more thorough analysis of additional data that would be collected at some future apparitions.
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Lightcurves for 45 near-Earth asteroids (NEAs) were obtained at the Center for Solar System Studies-Palmer Divide Station (CS3-PDS) from 2014 June through October. Periods and lightcurve amplitudes were determined for 44 of the objects. No period or amplitude could be found for 2014 SZ144.

CCD photometric observations of 45 asteroids were made at the Center for Solar System Studies-Palmer Divide Station (CS3-PDS) in 2014 June through October. Table I lists the telescope/CCD camera combinations used for the observations. All the cameras use CCD chips from the KAF blue-enhanced family and so have essentially the same response. The pixel scales for the combinations range from 1.24-1.60 arcsec/pixel.

| Desig | Telescope | Camera |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Squirt | 0.30-m f/6.3 Schmidt-Cass | ML-1001E |
| Borealis | 0.35-m f/9.1 Schmidt-Cass | FLI-1001E |
| Eclipticalis | 0.35-m f/9.1 Schmidt-Cass | STL-1001E |
| Australius | 0.35-m f/9.1 Schmidt-Cass | STL-1001E |
| Zephyr | $0.50-\mathrm{m} \mathrm{f/8.1} \mathrm{R-C}$ | FLI-1001E |

Table I. List of CS3-PDS telescope/CCD camera combinations.
All lightcurve observations were unfiltered since a clear filter can result in a 0.1-0.3 magnitude loss. The exposure duration varied depending on the asteroid's brightness and sky motion. Guiding on a field star sometimes resulted in a trailed image for the asteroid.

Measurements were done using MPO Canopus. If necessary, an elliptical aperture with the long axis parallel to the asteroid's path was used. The Comp Star Selector utility in MPO Canopus found up to five comparison stars of near solar-color for differential photometry. Catalog magnitudes were usually taken from the MPOSC3 catalog, which is based on the 2MASS catalog (http://www.ipac.caltech.edu/2mass) but with magnitudes converted from J-K to BVRI using formulae developed by Warner (2007b). When possible, magnitudes are taken from the APASS catalog (Henden et al., 2009) since these are derived directly from reductions based on Landolt standard fields. Using either catalog, the nightly zero points have been found to be consistent to about $\pm 0.05 \mathrm{mag}$ or better, but on occasion are as large as 0.1 mag . This consistency is critical to analysis of long period and/or tumbling asteroids. Period analysis is also done using MPO Canopus, which implements the FALC algorithm developed by Harris (Harris et al., 1989).

In the plots below, the "Reduced Magnitude" is Johnson V as indicated in the Y -axis title. These are values that have been converted from sky magnitudes to unity distance by applying $-5 * \log (\mathrm{r} \Delta)$ to the measured sky magnitudes with r and $\Delta$ being, respectively, the Sun-asteroid and Earth-asteroid distances in AU. The magnitudes were normalized to the given phase angle, e.g.,
alpha $\left(6.5^{\circ}\right)$, using $G=0.15$, unless otherwise stated. The X-axis is the rotational phase, ranging from -0.05 to 1.05 .

For the sake of brevity, only some of the previously reported results may be referenced in the discussions on specific asteroids. For a more complete listing, the reader is directed to the asteroid lightcurve database (LCDB; Warner et al., 2009). The on-line version at http://www.minorplanet.info/lightcurvedatabase.html allows direct queries that can be filtered a number of ways and the results saved to a text file. A set of text files of the main LCDB tables, including the references with bibcodes, is also available for download. Readers are strongly encouraged to obtain, when possible, the original references listed in the LCDB for their work.

## Individual Results

1917 Cuyo. Some previous results for Cuyo include Wisniewski et al. (1997; 2.6905 h), Behrend et al. (2008; 2.6890 h), and Warner ( 2014 b ; 2.684 h ) from data in 2014 May. The observations at PDS two months later led to a period of 2.720 h , beyond the statistical errors and any allowance for sidereal-synodic period offset. The period spectrum for the 2014 July data (shown here) shows only a very weak solution around the earlier results while the spectrum based on the May data shows a weak solution at 2.72 h . More work on this asteroid is planned.


1943 Anteros. Anteros was observed as an early-evening target while waiting for another to rise. This made for short runs that were also in rich star fields, which mean that a good portion of the images were skipped to avoid field stars. As a result, the data set included only 51 observations. This is rarely enough to establish a period with certainty. The original analysis found a period of 4.49 h , significantly different from previous results, e.g., Pravec et
al. (1998; 2.8695 h ) and Warner (2014a; 2.867 h ). With some manipulation of zero points, a solution of 2.735 h was found, also significantly different from the previous results. The period adopted here should not be considered reliable.


4401 Aditi. There were no previous results found in the literature for this NEA. The period is considered secure.


6050 Miwablock. Pravec et al. (2001) reported a period of 5.7566 hours. The CS3-PDS results are in good agreement.

(16636) 1993 QP. A period spectrum covering the range of 5 to 30 hours strongly favored the adopted period of 19.01 hours. However, there was a weaker solution at the half-period of about 9.5 hours that warranted further investigation, especially given the
near symmetry of the 19-hour lightcurve. A split-halves plot (see Harris et al., 2014) proves to be a good tool under these circumstances. In the split-halves plot, the data are phased to the adopted period but the second half of the cycle is superimposed over the first half.



The red data in the plot indicate the second half of the lightcurve. If the two halves are nearly identical, then it is possible but not certain that the half-period solution is valid. In this case, the two halves are sufficiently different so that, combined with the difference in RMS fit in the period spectrum, the half-period was safely rejected.
(24443) 2000 OG. The period spectrum for 2000 OG shows a number of nearly equal solutions, the stronger ones being in the range of 4-5 hours. The two lightcurves, phased to those stronger results, show how either solution might be considered correct.




Note that the periods differ by one rotation over a 24 -hour cycle. This is sometimes called a rotational alias, meaning that there is an uncertainty in the number of rotations over the range of observations. These can often be resolved if the individual observing sessions extend for 1.5 to 2 times the suspected period, or by adding observations from a sufficiently different longitude. In this case, the observing runs were a little less than 4 hours, and thus the rotational alias seen here.
(32814) 1990 XZ . The period of 2.849 h reported here agrees well with previous results from Warner (2007a; 2.8509 h ) and Stephens et al. (2014; 2.84 h ).

(53110) 1999 AR7. There were no previous results found in the literature for 1999 AR7. The asteroid's magnitude was near the limit of producing usable photometry, as evidenced by the large error bars. However, the amplitude was large enough to overcome
the problem. The result should not be considered likely but not secure and serve as a reference point for future observations.

(54686) 2001 DU8. The large amplitude and low noise make the result of 12.552 h secure.

(85713) 1998 SS49. The period spectrum for 1998 SS49 shows numerous nearly equal solutions. This is another case where a rotational alias comes into play.


The assumption about a bimodal lightcurve cannot be made in this case for two reasons. First, the amplitude is not so large as to preclude a monomodal shape at smaller phase angles. Second, the relatively high phase angle of about $35^{\circ}$ could cause shadowing effects to come into play, thus altering the "normal" lightcurve. See Harris et al. (2014) for a discussion of these considerations.

The first lightcurve shows the data phased to a period of 2.686 h . Given the estimated diameter of 1.6 km , this is a reasonable result.

However, since the double period was also possible, the data were forced to a value in the 5-6 hour range, which produced a period of 5.370 hours. A split-halves plot for the longer period showed nearly symmetrical halves, meaning that the shorter period is possible, but not necessarily certain. There is just enough asymmetry in the split-halves plot that the long period is adopted for this paper, but the short period cannot be excluded.

(86819) 2000 GK137. Previous results for this NEA come from Pravec et al. (2000) who reported three separate results over a single apparition. From data in 2000 March, they found $P=$ $3.025 \mathrm{~h}, A=0.16 \mathrm{mag}$, phase angle $(\alpha)=31^{\circ}$. In June, the results were $P=3.0239 \mathrm{~h}, A=0.13 \mathrm{mag}, \alpha=47^{\circ}$. In July, they were $P=$ $3.023 \mathrm{~h}, A=0.24 \mathrm{mag}, \alpha=84^{\circ}$.

The period spectrum for the 2014 data from CS3-PDS shows a strong solution at 4.03 hours and only a much weaker solution near those earlier results. Attempts to force the period near 3 hours
produced the second lightcurve plot, which is far from convincing. It is possible, however, that the longer period is the result of a fit by exclusion. This is where the Fourier analysis finds period by minimizing the number of overlapping data points. This is often seen as a gap in the resulting lightcurve. A hint of a gap is seen in the lightcurve for the longer period. It's probable that the short period around 3.02 hours is correct, but other results should not be excluded without the benefit of additional data.

(87309) 2000 QP. No previous results were found in the literature for 2000 QP. The result is not unique given the low amplitude, slightly unusual shape, and high phase angle where shadowing effects come into play. The period spectrum strongly favors the 2.1 hour period but there is another solution at 2.268 h . Assuming the longer period would make the asteroid a little less "extraordinary" in the sense that the 2.1 hour period is just above the 2.2 hour spin barrier separating rubble piles from strength-bound objects, which
is not expected for an object with an estimated size of about 0.9 km . Either period does make the asteroid a good candidate for being a binary, which should be kept in mind at future observations.

(90075) 2002 VU94. This appears to be the first set of lightcurve parameters to be published for 2002 VU94.

(137799) 1999 YB. There are fits for periods of about 8 and 12 hours in the period spectrum for 1990 YB that are not far different from the one for the adopted period of 9.39 hours. A half-period search, with a monomodal lightcurve, for the three periods is dominated by one at 4.7 hours. The 8 -hour solution produces a too much of an asymmetrical lightcurve while the 12 -hour solution produces a bimodal lightcurve with a large gap. The split-halves plots for 8 and 9.39 hours give even more confidence that the 9.39 hour period is correct.

(143624) 2003 HM16. This NEA was started just before a full moon with the hope that it would have a relatively short period and so could be completed in a few days. The best fit result is a period of 32.1 h and 0.50 mag amplitude.

(154275) 2002 SR41. Benishek (2014) reported a period of 2.75 hours for 2002 SR41. The PDS data cannot be made to fit that period.


The period spectrum actually favors a period of about 3.4 hours, but this produces an asymmetric trimodal lightcurve.
(159857) 2004 LJ1. Galad et al. (2005) reported a period of 2.7247 h for $2004 \mathrm{LJ1}$. The PDS data could not be fit to that period and, in fact, the period spectrum slightly favored a period of 3.991 h , which is not considered a likely solution.

(162980) 2001 RR17. Given the unusual shape of the lightcurve and that the period is nearly commensurate with an Earth day, this period should not be considered secure. If they had been available, data from another longitude might have allowed solving the period with at least some certainty.

(190166) 2005 UP156. Even though there are gaps in the lightcurve, the four extrema points are at least touched. This makes the solution reasonably secure.

(235086) 2003 HW11. This appears to be the first lightcurve reported in the literature for 2003 HW11.

(275611) 1999 XX262. Two of the nightly runs for 1999 XX263 were longer than the reported period, which made for a relatively quick and secure solution. No previous results could be found in the LCDB.

(277616) 2006 BN6. This NEA was started as it was outbound and fading, meaning that the observing opportunity was short-lived. Unfortunately, the period was close to an integral fraction of an Earth day and so the lightcurve coverage is incomplete and the period solution is less than secure.

(333578) 2006 KM103. This asteroid is an excellent study in lightcurve evolution and for the need to sometimes analyze data sets in parts instead of en masse.

The lightcurve above shows the result of a period search using the entire data set. It is a tangled web with several deviations and unusual shape. The results are much different when the data set was divided into two sections, one covering the dates of 2014 Aug 9-14 ( $\alpha \sim 57^{\circ}, \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{PAB}} \sim 323^{\circ}, \mathrm{B}_{\text {PAB }} \sim 34^{\circ}$ ), and other from Aug 31Sep $1\left(\alpha \sim 86^{\circ}, \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{PAB}} \sim 14^{\circ}, \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{PAB}} \sim 31^{\circ}\right)$. With such large changes
in the phase angle and phase angle bisector longitude ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{PAB}}$ ), dramatic changes in the lightcurve were not unexpected.


This lightcurve shows the results using the first section of the data set. Here the amplitude is about 0.71 mag and the shape is bimodal.


Just two weeks later, at higher phase angles, the lightcurve has evolved into a more complex shape with an amplitude of 0.58 mag. Note that the synodic period has changed. The sidereal-synodic difference from Aug 9 to Aug 20 would be about 0.01 hour, less than seen here. Some of the extra difference might be explained by the shadowing effects at high phase angles and the more complete coverage of the second section lightcurve.

## (391033) 2005 TR15.




The data set for this asteroid covers almost one month and clearly shows that the asteroid has a long rotation period. The first plot shows an estimated half period solution of about 400 hours. When the solution is forced to something near the double period, the result is $850 \pm 100$ hours with an amplitude of about 1.0 mag. Since the phase angle is not too large, that and the amplitude make a bimodal solution fairly certain. The period and size of about 0.5 km make this a good candidate for tumbling. However there are no obvious signs of such since the slopes of the individual sessions seem to be in-line with the slopes of the Fourier curve.
(398188) 2010 LE15.



These lightcurves for 2010 LE represent the MPO Canopus rendering of the data using two possible periods found by Petr Pravec (personal communications) for a tumbling asteroid. It is
rated as PAR $=-2$ on the scale developed by Pravec et al. (2005). The solution is not unique, with several other linear combinations of integral multiples of the rotation and precession frequencies being possible. See the Pravec et al. paper for a detailed discussion.
(401998) 2003 MO. There were two solutions that stood out in the period spectrum. The longer one of 50 h is adopted here.


1987 SF3. There were two likely periods for 1987 SF3. A monomodal solution had a period of $21.0 \pm 0.5$ hours while the bimodal solution was $42.0 \pm 0.5$ hours. The split-halves plot for the longer period is very symmetrical, making the shorter period possible. The amplitude is not quite large enough to assume a bimodal solution (Harris et al., 2014). The shorter period is adopted for this paper but the longer period cannot be excluded.


1994 CJ1. Radar observations (Patrick Taylor, personal communications) determined that this is a binary asteroid consisting of two closely-separated bodies. The circumstances were not good to observe the asteroid, but an effort was made nonetheless. The lightcurve shows the data forced to the synchronous system's estimated period of 30 hours. It appears that one of the expected deep events as one body eclipses the other was captured on 2014 July 3 . All other observations were when both bodies were visible. Still, the other sessions do fit with the Fourier model lightcurve, e.g., showing the end of the first eclipse event and the start of the second.


2001 MF1. Previous results for 2001 MF1 include Krugly et al. (2002; 6.572 h) and Pravec et al. ( $2001 ; 6.569$ h). The results from PDS in 2014 are in good agreement with those results.


2002 RB126. The period spectrum for 2002 RB126 shows several nearly likely solutions, one of 8.08 hours and the other at 16.21 hours. The split-halves plot for the longer period shows nearly symmetrical halves, so the 8 hour solution is possible. Even so, the longer period is adopted for this paper while noting that the short period cannot be excluded.


2003 EG16. This appears to be the first published set of lightcurve parameters for 2003 EG16.

 was large enough so that it was necessary to split each night of observing into two sessions. To facilitate zero point adjustments under such circumstances, the last five images in the first session were measured again for the start of the second session. These five anchor points were used to set the zero point of the second session to match that of the first. Any subsequent adjustments involved
changing the zero point values for both sessions by an equal amount in the same direction. While the noise was significant, it was still possible to determine a period of $42.5 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$ for the lightcurve.


2012 TS. The period for this NEA makes it a good binary candidate. No indications of a satellite were seen. High-precision observations in the future are encouraged.


2013 XM24. The raw lightcurve for 2013 XM24 shows a chaotic path that is often seen with a tumbler, i.e., the lightcurve tries to be singly-periodic but doesn't quite manage to do so.


Petr Pravec (personal communications) analyzed the data set and found several solutions sets, i.e., a period of rotation for the body and the period of precession of the spin axis. With data from only one station and the long periods, it is not possible to reach a definitive solution. The true results may be different linear combinations of the integral multiples of entirely different frequencies for the rotation and precession. The plots from MPO

Canopus show the data forced to the periods found by Pravec. It is not possible to say which is the one due to rotation and the one due to precession of the spin axis.


2013 WT67. This was another case where the Fates conspired against the observer. This was started as an early-evening object while waiting for another asteroid to rise. As such, the runs were 3 hours or less. Given that each session showed a slight rise or fall, the likelihood of a short period was discounted. There is always the danger, however, of a modest period, $P<48$ hours, and that what is seen in a phased plot as steadily rising data on a long period solution may actually be of seeing just a little to the left or right along the rotation phase axis of a shorter period. With enough data, the true period comes to light.


Even when taking this possibility into account, any solution that was found showed the tell-tale signs of a tumbling asteroid in that the slope of one or more sessions was contrary to the Fourier
model curve at that point. Petr Pravec (personal communications) found a main period of about 70 hours with possible signs of tumbling. However, it could not be ruled out that the deviations were due to the natural evolution of the lightcurve due to rapidly changing viewing aspect. The period shown here happens to be about double the period found by Pravec; it should not be considered definitive.

2014 SS1. The asymmetrical shape of the lightcurve for 2014 SS1 was of some concern. In the end, no other period, with or without zero point adjustments of reasonable amounts, found a solution that was worth noting.


2014 QO33. No previously reported lightcurve was found in the literature for 2014 QO33.


The period spectrum showed a few other significantly weaker solutions. The results to plot the data to those periods were entirely unconvincing.

2014 PR62. At first glance, the plot with a period 16.42 hours seems fairly symmetrical, which would raise the possibility that the half-period of about 8.2 hours was possible. The split-halves plot shows that this is not likely. On the other hand, the period spectrum covering from 5 to 30 hours found an almost equally likely solution at about 18.1 hours. The spectrum shown here covers the range from 10-20 hours to show the two solutions more clearly. Very minor adjustments of the zero points, $0.01-0.03 \mathrm{mag}$, changed the preferred solution from the shorter to the longer or vice versa. The shorter period of 16.42 h is adopted for this paper despite the small gaps in coverage and the fact that a bimodal solution is not certain singe the amplitude is only 0.17 mag (Harris et al., 2014).



2014 NE63. This appears to be the first published lightcurve.


2014 OT111. This is another case where the period spectrum showed a number of possible solutions. The two most likely are plotted in the lightcurves with the longer period of 19.41 h adopted for this work. This is based on the relatively low phase angle and the amplitude of 0.23 mag. While not quite to the level where a bimodal solution is almost certain, the longer solution seems the better choice. However, the half-period at 9.71 h cannot be formally excluded.


2014 SZ144. Exposures of 10 sec were used for 2014 SZ144 to avoid excessive trailing. Unfortunately, this resulted in a very low SNR for the asteroid. Despite all efforts, it was not possible to find a period for 2014 SZ. The raw plot of the data shows no obvious signs of periodic behavior. The period spectrum covering a range of 0.001 to 10 hours is essentially flat.


In the case of potentially super-fast rotators, a possible explanation for the lack of results is that the exposures were too long and so the rotation data were "smeared" beyond recognition. This concept

| Number | Name | $2014 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{dd}$ | Pts | Phase | $L_{\text {PAB }}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{\text {PAB }}$ | Period | P.E. Amp | A.E. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1917 | Cuyo | 07/17-07/22 | 104 | 26.0,27.4 | 254 | 31 | 2.72 | 0.001 | 0.11 | 0.02 |
| 1943 | Anteros | 09/10-09/13 | 51 | 46.0,45.6 | 296 | 13 | 2.735 | 0.003 | 0.22 | 0.02 |
| 4401 | Aditi | 08/28-08/31 | 109 | 33.0,32.9 | 24 | -11 | 6.683 | 0.005 | 0.64 | 0.05 |
| 6050 | Miwablock | 08/17-08/21 | 98 | $53.0,53.3$ | 23 | -10 | 5.754 | 0.005 | 0.87 | 0.03 |
| 16636 | 1993 QP | 08/15-08/21 | 230 | 17.1,21.3 | 313 | 9 | 19.01 | 0.05 | 0.41 | 0.03 |
| 24443 | 2000 OG | 07/29-08/07 | 149 | 43.8,51.3 | 267 | 40 | 4.069 | 0.005 | 0.11 | 0.02 |
| 32814 | 1990 XZ | 09/26-09/30 | 172 | 28.2,26.8 | 45 | -13 | 2.849 | 0.001 | 0.15 | 0.02 |
| 53110 | 1999 AR7 | 06/04-06/20 | 235 | 33.0, 32.7,33.0 | 230 | 29 | 50.4 | 0.3 | 0.37 | 0.03 |
| 54686 | 2001 DU8 | 07/29-08/16 | 203 | 25.5,16.0 | 337 | 14 | 12.552 | 0.005 | 0.9 | 0.05 |
| 85713 | 1998 SS49 | 09/26-10/01 | 220 | 35.6,35.9 | 49 | -3 | 5.370 | 0.005 | 0.18 | 0.03 |
| 86819 | 2000 GK137 | 04/29-05/03 | 157 | 14.7,17.6 | 206 | 13 | 4.03 | 0.02 | 0.24 | 0.02 |
| 87309 | 2000 QP | 07/04-07/16 | 159 | 43.2,30.1 | 315 | 6 | 2.1651 | 0.0002 | 0.1 | 0.01 |
| 90075 | 2002 VU94 | 08/05-08/15 | 168 | 42.4,38.1 | 14 | 10 | 7.88 | 0.01 | 0.62 | 0.03 |
| 137799 | 1999 YB | 09/17-09/20 | 157 | 17.4,14.7 | 8 | -8 | 9.39 | 0.05 | 0.31 | 0.03 |
| 143624 | 2003 HM16 | 09/02-09/06 | 172 | 59.9,57.1 | 306 | 53 | 32.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.03 |
| 154275 | 2002 SR41 | 06/21-06/24 | 165 | 44.7,41.8 | 253 | 20 | 2.283 | 0.002 | 0.1 | 0.01 |
| 159857 | 2004 LJ1 | 06/26-07/06 | 138 | 18.3,23.3 | 244 | 10 | 2.661 | 0.001 | 0.15 | 0.02 |
| 162980 | 2001 RR17 | 06/04-06/18 | 266 | 56.9,49.5 | 276 | 56 | 12.71 | 0.02 | 0.23 | 0.02 |
| 190166 | 2005 UP156 | 08/09-08/22 | 269 | 47.5,39.3 | 6 | 4 | 40.5 | 0.1 | 0.79 | 0.03 |
| 235086 | 2003 HW11 | 09/25-09/27 | 135 | 18.4,17.0 | 20 | -9 | 6.385 | 0.003 | 0.8 | 0.03 |
| 275611 | 1999 XX262 | 08/28-08/30 | 119 | 10.7,9.1 | 346 | 2 | 3.234 | 0.005 | 0.16 | 0.02 |
| 277616 | 2006 BN6 | 08/17-08/21 | 94 | 50.5,47.2 | 295 | 35 | 5.45 | 0.03 | 0.31 | 0.03 |
| 333578 | 2006 KM103 | 08/09-08/14 | 101 | 54.7,59.1 | 323 | 34 | 5.737 | 0.003 | 0.71 | 0.03 |
| 333578 | 2006 KM103 | 08/31-09/01 | 217 | 87.1,89.5 | 14 | 31 | 5.777 | 0.005 | 0.58 | 0.03 |
| 391033 | 2005 TR15 | 06/26-07/22 | 508 | 29.1,32.3 | 266 | 12 | 850 | 100 | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| 398188 | 2010 LE15 | 07/21-08/02 | 454 | 58.7,57.4,57.9 | 336 | 9 | 21.99 | 0.05 | 1.12 | 0.05 |
| 401998 | 2003 MO | 06/21-06/26 | 139 | 25.5,34.7 | 253 | 19 | 50 | 2 | 0.4 | 0.05 |
|  | 1987 SF3 | 05/23-06/02 | 143 | 8.8,17.8 | 235 | 6 | 21 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.02 |
|  | 1994 CJ1 | 06/27-07/04 | 232 | 66.6,53.5 | 248 | 16 | 30 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.05 |
|  | 2001 MF1 | 07/04-07/22 | 136 | 47.9,54.8 | 341 | 20 | 6.568 | 0.001 | 1.22 | 0.03 |
|  | 2002 RB126 | 09/17-09/20 | 205 | 27.0,21.1 | 16 | -2 | 16.21 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.02 |
|  | 2003 EG16 | 08/22-08/24 | 321 | 66.5,58.6 | 7 | -10 | 7.079 | 0.005 | 1.38 | 0.05 |
|  | 2008 OB9 | 09/10-09/15 | 537 | 43.7,48.6 | 24 | 13 | 42.5 | 0.5 | 0.34 | 0.05 |
|  | 2012 TS | 09/21-09/23 | 193 | 47.4,43.8 | 24 | 12 | 3.57 | 0.02 | 0.2 | 0.03 |
|  | 2013 XM24 | 06/24-07/03 | 1325 | 55.9,23.2 | 267 | 18 | 37.9 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.05 |
|  | 2013 WT67 | 08/22-08/31 | 752 | 85.7,102.1 | 293 | 35 | 135 | 10 | 1.1 | 0.1 |
|  | 2014 SS1 | 09/22-09/25 | 399 | 36.7,36.9 | 21 | 1 | 16.63 | 0.02 | 0.43 | 0.02 |
|  | 2014 Q033 | 09/15-09/20 | 219 | 7.8,11.0 | 352 | 5 | 8.53 | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.03 |
|  | 2014 PR62 | 08/27-09/01 | 255 | 15.8,18.3 | 329 | 8 | ${ }^{\mathrm{A}} 16.42$ | 0.04 | 0.17 | 0.02 |
|  | 2014 NE63 | 09/10-09/15 | 250 | 30.1,0.0,34.5 | 282 | 13 | 4.11 | 0.05 | 0.2 | 0.05 |
|  | 2014 OT111 | 08/01-08/06 | 226 | 21.3,19.8 | 322 | 5 | 19.41 | 0.03 | 0.3 | 0.05 |
|  | 2014 SZ144 | 09/29-09/29 | 388 | 43.7,43.7 | 28 | 0 | ND | ND |  |  |
|  | 2014 SX261 | 09/29-09/30 | 328 | 27.3,25.4 | 21 | -4 | 2.804 | 0.005 | 0.33 | 0.05 |
|  | 2014 OX299 | 08/05-08/07 | 76 | 18.2,23.7 | 304 | 10 | 40.5 | 2 | 0.37 | 0.05 |
|  | 2014 OZ337 | 08/05-08/08 | 149 | 18.9,16.8 | 324 | 0 | 85 | 5 | 0.43 | 0.05 |

Table II. Observing circumstances. ${ }^{\text {A }}$ Preferred period for an ambiguous solution. Pts is the number of data points used in the analysis. The phase angle $(\alpha)$ is given at the start and end of each date range, unless it reached a minimum, which is then the second of three values. If a single value is given, the phase angle did not change significantly and the average value is given. L L $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{PAB}}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\text {PAB }}$ are, respectively the average phase angle bisector longitude and latitude, unless two values are given (first/last date in range). In the period and amplitude columns, "ND" means "not determined".
was explored in detail by Pravec et al. (2000) where they determined that to avoid smearing the data, the exposure must be $E$ $<0.187 P$, where $P$ is the period in the same units of the exposure. Using the Pravec et al. rule of thumb, the 10 -second exposures required the rotation period to be about 60 seconds or more.

There are asteroids with periods shorter than 1-minute, but they are rare: the LCDB lists only 8 objects with $P<1$ minute. The estimated diameter of 2014 SZ144 is 30 meters, which makes it highly probable that it is a super-fast rotator and, so it's possible, but considered not likely, that rotational smearing could explain the lack of periodic behavior in the data.

2014 SX261. The period of 2.804 hours is considered secure and makes the asteroid a good binary candidate should the opportunity
 for photometry arise again. The amplitude of 0.33 mag for a binary's primary is not common but possible, e.g., 1727 Mette (Warner et al., 2013)

2014 OX299, 2014 OZ337. Both of these asteroids were within reach of the PDS telescopes for only a few days. Obviously, this was not enough time to find a reliable period for either one.
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# ASTEROID LIGHTCURVE ANALYSIS AT CS3-PALMER DIVIDE STATION: 2014 JUNE-OCTOBER 

Brian D. Warner<br>Center for Solar System Studies / MoreData! 446 Sycamore Ave.<br>Eaton, CO 80615 USA<br>brian@MinorPlanetObserver.com
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Lightcurves for 24 main-belt asteroids were obtained at the Center for Solar System Studies-Palmer Divide Station (CS3-PDS) from 2014 June through October. Some objects were members of the Hungaria orbital group or collisional family, observed as follow-up to previous apparitions to check for undiscovered satellites, to improve previous binary discovery parameters, or to obtain data for spin axis and shape modeling.

CCD photometric observations of 24 main-belt asteroids were made at the Center for Solar System Studies-Palmer Divide Station (CS3-PDS) in 2014 June through October. Table I lists the telescope/CCD camera combinations used for the observations. All the cameras use CCD chips from the KAF blue-enhanced family and so have essentially the same response. The pixel scales for the combinations range from 1.24-1.60 arcsec/pixel.

| Desig | Telescope | Camera |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Squirt | $0.30-\mathrm{m}$ | $\mathrm{f} / 6.3$ | Schmidt-Cass |
| Borealis | $0.35-\mathrm{mL}-1001 \mathrm{E} / 9.1$ | Schmidt-Cass | $\mathrm{FLI-1001E}$ |
| Eclipticalis | $0.35-\mathrm{m}$ | $\mathrm{f} / 9.1$ | Schmidt-Cass |
| STL-1001E |  |  |  |
| Australius | $0.35-\mathrm{m} / 9.1$ | Schmidt-Cass | $\mathrm{STL}-1001 \mathrm{E}$ |
| Zephyr | $0.50-\mathrm{m} \mathrm{f} / 8.1 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{C}$ | FLI-1001E |  |
| Table I. List of CS3-PDS telescope/CCD camera combinations. |  |  |  |

All lightcurve observations were unfiltered since a clear filter can result in a 0.1-0.3 magnitude loss. The exposure duration varied depending on the asteroid's brightness and sky motion. Guiding on a field star sometimes resulted in a trailed image for the asteroid.

Measurements were done using MPO Canopus. If necessary, an elliptical aperture with the long axis parallel to the asteroid's path was used. The Comp Star Selector utility in MPO Canopus found up to five comparison stars of near solar-color for differential photometry. Catalog magnitudes were usually taken from the MPOSC3 catalog, which is based on the 2MASS catalog (http://www.ipac.caltech.edu/2mass) but with magnitudes converted from J-K to BVRI using formulae developed by Warner (2007). When possible, magnitudes are taken from the APASS catalog (Henden et al., 2009) since these are derived directly from reductions based on Landolt standard fields. Using either catalog, the nightly zero points have been found to be consistent to about $\pm 0.05 \mathrm{mag}$ or better, but on occasion are as large as 0.1 mag . This consistency is critical to analysis of long period and/or tumbling asteroids. Period analysis is also done using MPO Canopus, which implements the FALC algorithm developed by Harris (Harris et al., 1989).

In the plots below, the "Reduced Magnitude" is Johnson V as indicated in the Y-axis title. These are values that have been converted from sky magnitudes to unity distance by applying $-5^{*} \log (\mathrm{r} \Delta)$ to the measured sky magnitudes with r and $\Delta$ being, respectively, the Sun-asteroid and Earth-asteroid distances in AU.

The magnitudes were normalized to the given phase angle, e.g., alpha $\left(6.5^{\circ}\right)$, using $G=0.15$, unless otherwise stated. The X-axis is the rotational phase ranging from -0.05 to 1.05 .

For the sake of brevity, only some of the previously reported results may be referenced in the discussions on specific asteroids. For a more complete listing, the reader is directed to the asteroid lightcurve database (LCDB; Warner et al., 2009). The on-line version at http://www.minorplanet.info/lightcurvedatabase.html allows direct queries that can be filtered a number of ways and the results saved to a text file. A set of text files of the main LCDB tables, including the references with bibcodes, is also available for download. Readers are strongly encouraged to obtain, when possible, the original references listed in the LCDB for their work.

## Individual Results

1103 Sequoia. There are numerous entries for this Hungaria asteroid in the LCDB. The period reported here is in good agreement with the previous results. The data from the 2014 apparition were combined with those from 2011 (Warner, 2012) and sparse data from the Catalina Sky Survey and USNO-Flagstaff to generate a spin axis model (Warner, in preparation). The result indicates retrograde rotation, which agrees with the finding by Hanus et al. (2011).


1823 Gliese. Gliese was observed at CS3 as part of a test of one of the CS3 telescope/camera systems and reduction methods. The results were compared to those reported by Pravec et al. (2014) and found to be in close agreement, enough so to confirm that there were no significant systematic problems with the CS3 system or methods.


2078 Nanking. Previous periods and amplitudes include De Santics et al. (1994; $6.473 \mathrm{~h}, 0.85 \mathrm{mag})$ and Mohamed et al. (1994; 6.50 h , $0.79 \mathrm{mag})$. The period here agrees with those earlier results. The amplitude of $1.19 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{mag}$ is much larger, probably indicating a more equatorial view than at previous apparitions.


2150 Nyctimene. The 2014 apparition was the fifth time the author had observed this Hungaria asteroid (see references in the LCDB). The period of 6.130 h is in good agreement with the earlier results.


The extensive dense lightcurve data set was combined with sparse data to model the asteroid. The result is a well-defined spin axis pole with ecliptic coordinates of $\lambda=292^{\circ}, \beta-72^{\circ}$.


In this spin axis search plot, deep blue indicates the lowest ChiSq value that increases as the colors move to green to orange to red. (Warner, in preparation).

3401 Vanphilos. Pravec (2008), Brinsfield (2008), and Stephens (2008) all reported a period of about 4.225 h for this Mars-crosser. The CS3-PDS period agrees with those results.


4388 Jurgenstock. The period of 2.810 h found for this Phocaea member is in agreement with the one from Behrend et al. (2007).


4531 Asaro. This was the third apparition at which the author observed this Hungaria member. The first was in 2009 when a period of 5.736 h was reported (Warner, 2010), but with a trimodal shape. After observing the asteroid in 2013 (Warner, 2013b) and finding a period of 4.144 h , the 2009 data were re-examined and found to support a period of 4.15 h . The results from 2014 confirm the shorter period.


5841 Stone. This is another multiple-apparition Hungaria for the author, 2014 being the fourth set of observations. The period in all four cases was found to be close to 2.890 hours. Even with a number of dense lightcurves and sparse data, the spin axis modeling produced highly ambiguous results (Warner, in preparation).

(6394) 1990 QM2. The period of 3.686 h reported here is in good agreement with previous findings by the author (e.g., Warner, 2013a). Spin axis modeling reliably establishes retrograde rotation (Warner, in preparation).

(7660) 1993 VM1. Spin axis modeling (Warner, in preparation) using data from 2014 and two previous apparitions (Warner, 2012; Stephens et al., 2014) establishes a retrograde rotation for this Hungaria.


8024 Robertwhite. The period previously reported by the author (Warner, 2013b; 7.067 h ) and the one here are in close agreement.


16641 Esteban. While the lightcurve coverage is not complete, the four extrema were well-defined for this Phocaea member, thus making for a secure period of 79.62 h

(27713) 1989 AA. With a period of almost exactly 4 hours, combined with short northern summer nights, it took several sessions to secure a period of 4.002 h . This is in close agreement with the previous result of 3.999 h (Warner, 2013a). Spin axis modeling (Warner, in preparation) was able to establish that the pole is close to the ecliptic plane. Not unexpectedly, this meant that the pole longitude was ambiguous, being close to $0^{\circ}$ or $180^{\circ}$.

(29242) 1992 HB4. The period found using the 2014 data is in good agreement with that found at three previous apparitions (e.g., Warner, 2013a).

(33324) 1998 QE56. The previous result (Warner, 2011) and that from 2014 are in good agreement. Given the high albedo, $p_{V}=$ 0.635 (Masiero et al., 2011), 1998 QE56 is very likely a member of the Hungaria collisional family and not just the orbital group.


35087 von Sydow. This was the first apparition at which this Hungaria was observed by the author. The period of 36.1 h is somewhat uncertain because of the incomplete coverage, including none at the second minimum near 0.65 rotation phase. Follow-up observations are planned for the next favorable apparition.

(38047) 1998 TC3. The period agrees with earlier results (e.g., Warner, 2011). This was the author's fourth apparition for this Hungaria.


Spin axis modeling (Warner, in preparation) found a retrograde pole of about $-80^{\circ}$. As might be expected with such a low obliquity, the pole longitude is highly ambiguous, i.e., nearly equal solutions were found from $0^{\circ}$ to $360^{\circ}$.
(53424) 1999 SC3. There were no previously reported periods found in the LCDB for this Hungaria. The low amplitude makes the solution somewhat uncertain. With luck, a future apparition will show a larger amplitude and result in a secure period.

(64107) 2001 TK8. There is a chance that this Hungaria is in nonprincipal axis rotation (NPAR; see Pravec et al., 2005). This is indicated by the way the slopes of individual sessions don't always match the outline of the Fourier model.

(67404) 2000 PG26. The results from 2014 agree almost exactly with those found in 2011 (Warner, 2012).

(68537) $2001 \mathrm{VC123}$. This asteroid is also a likely member of the Hungaria collisional family based on the reported albedo (Masiero et al., 2011). The results are nearly identical to those previously found by the author (Warner, 2013a).


96327 Ullmann. When first observed by the author (Warner, 2011), a period of 7.78 hours was reported. Analysis of the 2014 data favored a period of 3.639 hours.


The 2011 data were re-examined and found to support a solution of 3.625 h . The low amplitude at both apparitions as well as the relatively low signal-to-noise (SNR) in 2014 makes any of the solutions ambiguous.


(134422) 1998 QM3. This is a clear case of a tumbling asteroid. However, the periods reported here are not unique. This is often the case when the two periods, that of rotation and that of the spin axis precession, are long and the data come from a single station. For a detailed discussion of non-principal axis rotation (NPAR) and the methods for analysis, see Pravec et al. (2005).

The first two plots were generated by MPO Canopus with the data forced to the two periods found by Pravec (personal communications). Based on the one for 25.4 h , one might suspect that it would be possible to find a single period solution by manipulating the zero point adjustments. This was tried, but it required unreasonable offsets and still showed the data from some sessions to have a slope opposite that of the Fourier model curve.


| Number | Name | 2014 mm/dd | Pts | Phase | $\mathbf{L}_{\text {PAB }}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\text {PAB }}$ | Period | P.E. Amp | A.E. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1103 | Sequoia | 08/08-08/10 | 195 | 35.1,35.1 | 23 | 21 | 3.037 | 0.002 | 0.46 | 0.03 |
| 1823 | Gliese | 09/10-09/11 | 212 | 9.1,9.6 | 332 | 2 | 4.488 | 0.003 | 0.23 | 0.02 |
| 2078 | Nanking | 07/15-07/22 | 183 | 36.9,37.2 | 353 | 20 | 6.459 | 0.001 | 1.19 | 0.03 |
| 2150 | Nyctimene | 08/09-08/14 | 144 | 31.6,31.1 | 17 | 11 | 6.13 | 0.002 | 0.76 | 0.03 |
| 3401 | Vanphilos | 08/15-08/17 | 126 | 29.1,28.9 | 10 | 17 | 4.227 | 0.005 | 0.62 | 0.03 |
| 4388 | Jurgenstock | 07/16-07/22 | 158 | 25.8,25.2 | 339 | 29 | 2.81 | 0.001 | 0.1 | 0.01 |
| 4531 | Asaro | 09/10-09/12 | 105 | 29.8,29.5 | 43 | 7 | 4.16 | 0.01 | 0.27 | 0.02 |
| 5841 | Stone | 08/08-08/10 | 100 | 21.7,20.7 | 345 | -5 | 2.882 | 0.005 | 0.1 | 0.01 |
| 6394 | 1990 QM2 | 08/09-08/14 | 144 | 27.3,28.3 | 281 | 24 | 3.686 | 0.001 | 0.39 | 0.03 |
| 7660 | 1993 VM1 | 08/16-08/23 | 183 | 29.3,27.6 | 11 | -4 | 5.917 | 0.001 | 0.59 | 0.03 |
| 8024 | Robertwhite | 09/22-09/24 | 198 | 13.5,12.5 | 21 | 3 | 7.063 | 0.002 | 0.9 | 0.03 |
| 16641 | Esteban | 07/17-08/27 | 735 | 20.8,15.3,15.9 | 325 | 21 | 79.62 | 0.02 | 1.08 | 0.05 |
| 27713 | 1989 AA | 06/27-06/30 | 97 | 18.8,17.7 | 304 | 13 | 4.002 | 0.002 | 0.72 | 0.03 |
| 29242 | 1992 HB4 | 08/14-08/16 | 160 | 26.9,27.2 | 285 | 29 | 3.942 | 0.005 | 0.58 | 0.03 |
| 33324 | 1998 QE56 | 08/01-08/07 | 192 | 30.5,28.8 | 357 | 10 | 6.183 | 0.001 | 0.86 | 0.03 |
| 35087 | von Sydow | 09/01-09/06 | 375 | 18.9,16.6 | 356 | 18 | 36.1 | 0.2 | 0.55 | 0.05 |
| 38047 | 1998 TC3 | 07/23-07/27 | 112 | 30.8,30.5 | 5 | 20 | 3.764 | 0.001 | 0.78 | 0.03 |
| 53424 | 1999 SC3 | 09/03-09/05 | 153 | 12.6,13.8 | 330 | 12 | 6.4 | 0.02 | 0.1 | 0.01 |
| 64107 | 2001 TK8 | 06/09-06/23 | 228 | 27.7,25.1 | 296 | 23 | 68.5 | 0.5 | 1.31 | 0.05 |
| 67404 | 2000 PG26 | 09/30-10/02 | 237 | 21.9,21.3 | 35 | 23 | 5.396 | 0.002 | 0.66 | 0.03 |
| 68537 | 2001 VC123 | 08/23-08/27 | 118 | 9.5,10.5 | 327 | 15 | 2.994 | 0.002 | 0.16 | 0.02 |
| 96327 | Ullmann | 08/14-08/17 | 308 | 17.6,16.2 | 337 | 18 | 3.639 | 0.005 | 0.08 | 0.02 |
| 134422 | 1998 QM3 | 09/13-09/21 | 307 | 15.1,19.4 | 336 | 14 | $25.4{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 0.1 | 0.65 | 0.05 |
| 306790 | 2001 KB1 | 08/09-08/22 | 357 | 33.0,36.1 | 287 | 34 | 71 | 5 | 0.85 | 0.1 |

Table II. Observing circumstances. ${ }^{A} P_{1}$ for a two-period tumbling (NPAR solution); $P_{2}$ is $34.2 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~h}$. See text for details. The phase angle $(\alpha)$ is given at the start and end of each date range, unless it reached a minimum, which is then the second of three values. If a single value is given, the phase angle did not change significantly and the average value is given. $L_{P A B}$ and $B_{P A B}$ are each the average phase angle bisector longitude and latitude, unless two values are given (first/last date in range).



The last plot was produced by Pravec, whose software is capable of analyzing the complex nature of a tumbling asteroid. Such plots don't show simple, overlapping curves, but do indicate the shapes of the curves for the two periods and the residuals after subtracting both curves from the data set. As noted above, the two periods here are not necessarily the true periods. Pravec found other solution sets involving different linear combinations of integral multiples of two frequencies that produced similar residuals.
(306790) $2001 \mathrm{KB1}$. There were no previous reports of a period in the LCDB for this Mars-crosser. The solution is the best fit to the data, which are strongly suggestive of tumbling.
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## A SEXTET OF MAIN-BELT BINARY ASTEROID CANDIDATES
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Analysis of CCD photometry observations at the Center for Solar System Studies-Palmer Divide Station (CS3PDS) made in 2014 June-October found six main-belt binary candidates. 1355 Magoeba (Hungaria) is a possible binary, showing indications of a secondary period. 2131 Mayall is a known binary. The rotation period of the primary was confirmed. (15778) 1993 NH is a possible member of the subclass of wide binaries that are characterized by a large amplitude, long period lightcurve superimposed by a low amplitude, short period component. (18890) 2000 EV26 is a confirmed new binary, showing mutual events in the satellite's lightcurve. Analysis of data from 2011 shows, at best, only weak evidence of the satellite. (27568) 2000 PT6 is a probable binary with strong evidence of a second period but no confirming mutual events. (30535) 2001 OR5 is a possible binary that shows a secondary period but it may be a harmonic artifact of Fourier analysis.

CCD photometric observations of six main-belt asteroids made at the Center for Solar System Studies-Palmer Divide Station (CS3PDS) in 2014 June through October showed them to be binary candidates. Table I lists the telescope/CCD camera combinations used for the observations. The cameras all use KAF blue-enhanced CCD chips and so have essentially the same response. The pixel scales for the combinations range from 1.24-1.60 arcsec/pixel.

| Desig | Telescope | Camera |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Squirt | $0.30-\mathrm{m}$ | $\mathrm{f} / 6.3$ | Schmidt-Cass |
| Borealis | $0.35-\mathrm{m}$ | $\mathrm{f} / 9.1$ | Schmidt-Cass |
| BLI-101E |  |  |  |
| Eclipticalis | $0.35-\mathrm{m}$ | $\mathrm{f} / 9.1$ | Schmidt-Cass |
| STL-1001E |  |  |  |
| Australius | $0.35-\mathrm{m} / 9.1$ | Schmidt-Cass | $\mathrm{STL}-1001 \mathrm{E}$ |
| Zephyr | $0.50-\mathrm{m} \mathrm{f} / 8.1 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{C}$ | FLI-1001E |  |

All observations were unfiltered since a clear filter can result in a $0.1-0.3$ magnitude loss. The exposure duration depended on the asteroid's brightness and sky motion. Guiding was on a field star, which sometimes caused the asteroid image to trail.

Measurements were done using MPO Canopus. If necessary, an elliptical aperture with the long axis parallel to the asteroid's path was used. The Comp Star Selector utility in MPO Canopus found up to five comparison stars of near solar-color for differential photometry. Catalog magnitudes were usually taken from the MPOSC3 catalog, which is based on the 2MASS catalog (http://www.ipac.caltech.edu/2mass) but with magnitudes converted from J-K to BVRI using formulae developed by Warner (2007). When possible, magnitudes are taken from the APASS catalog (Henden et al., 2009) since these are derived directly from reductions based on Landolt standard fields. Using either catalog, the nightly zero points have been found to be consistent to about $\pm 0.05 \mathrm{mag}$ or better, but on occasion are as large as 0.1 mag . This consistency is critical to analysis of long period and/or tumbling asteroids. Period analysis is also done using MPO Canopus, which implements the FALC algorithm developed by Harris (Harris et al., 1989).

In the plots below, the "Reduced Magnitude" is Johnson V as indicated in the Y -axis title. These are values that have been converted from sky magnitudes to unity distance by applying $-5 * \log (\mathrm{r} \Delta)$ to the measured sky magnitudes with r and $\Delta$ being, respectively, the Sun-asteroid and Earth-asteroid distances in AU. The magnitudes were normalized to the given phase angle, e.g., alpha ( $6.5^{\circ}$ ), using $G=0.15$, unless otherwise stated. The X-axis is the rotational phase, ranging from -0.05 to 1.05 .

For the sake of brevity, only some of the previously reported results may be referenced in the discussions on specific asteroids. For a more complete listing, the reader is directed to the asteroid lightcurve database (LCDB; Warner et al., 2009). The on-line version at http://www.minorplanet.info/lightcurvedatabase.html allows direct queries that can be filtered a number of ways and the results saved to a text file. A set of text files of the main LCDB tables, including the references with bibcodes, is also available for download. Readers are strongly encouraged to obtain, when possible, the original references listed in the LCDB for their work.

## Individual Results

1355 Magoeba. The 2014 apparition was the fifth one observed by the author. Previous results have varied considerably, e.g., 31.65 h (Warner, 2010), 5.99 h (Warner, 2011), and 2.975 h (Warner, 2013) with a possible solution at 5.95 h . Analysis of the 2014 data, which indicated two periods in the data, may help explain some of the disparate solutions.

Figure 1 shows the unsubtracted data from 2014 after a period search covering a range of 2-17 hours. The small deviations at the minimum near 0.2 rotational phase prompted a search for a second period.


Figure 1. The data from the 2014 apparition for 1355 Magoeba are plotted without subtracting any effects due a possible second period. The period is close to the final result for P1


Figure 2. The short period for 1355 after subtracting a second period of 15.05 hours.

| Number | Name | 2014 mm/dd | Pts | Phase | $\mathbf{L}_{\text {Pab }}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\text {PAB }}$ | Period | P.E. Amp | A.E. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1355 | Magoeba | 09/02-09/17 | 569 | 30.4,27.8 | 42 | 9 | 2.9712 | 0.0003 | 0.09 | 0.01 |
| 2131 | Mayall | 07/23-07/31 | 248 | 37.2,37.0 | 8 | 30 | 2.5907 | 0.0005 | 0.07 | 0.01 |
| 15778 | 1993 NH | 08/15-08/28 | 235 | 34.5,36.9 | 282 | 26 | 3.32 | 0.001 | 0.04 | 0.01 |
| 18890 | 2000 EV26 | 07/23-08/02 | 297 | 22.0,18.9 | 330 | 23 | 3.8216 | 0.0005 | 0.11 | 0.01 |
| 18890 | 2000 EV26 | ${ }^{12} 06 / 28-07 / 04$ | 73 | 20.1,20.9 | 264 | 32 | 3.882 | 0.003 | 0.09 | 0.02 |
| 27568 | 2000 PT6 | 08/19-09/06 | 403 | 24.9,17.8 | 10 | -3 | 3.499 | 0.001 | 0.11 | 0.02 |
| 30535 | 2001 OR5 | 06/18-07/03 | 323 | 23.6,25.2 | 255 | 34 | 2.9697 | 0.0002 | 0.12 | 0.01 |

Table II. Observing circumstances. ${ }^{12}$ Dates are in 2012. The period and amplitude are for the primary of the binary system. The phase angle $(\alpha)$ is given at the start and end of each date range, unless it reached a minimum, which is then the second of three values. If a single value is given, the phase angle did not change significantly and the average value is given. $L_{\text {PAB }}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\text {PAB }}$ are each the average phase angle bisector longitude and latitude, unless two values are given (first/last date in range).


Figure 3. The lightcurve for the second period of 15.05 h does not show any obvious mutual events but may indicate a satellite with a rotation period locked to its orbital period.

The dual period search feature in MPO Canopus was used to determine if there was a second period present in the data. The process started by finding a best fit period of all data without subtraction that was restricted to a range of 2-7 hours, the range of the more likely previous results. The resulting Fourier model curve was subtracted from the data when conducting a search for a second period, this time with a range of 2 to 25 hours. This covers typical rotation periods of a fully-asynchronous satellite or the orbital period and rotation period of a tidally-locked satellite. The resulting Fourier model was subtracted from the data for another search of the short period. The process of going back-and-forth was repeated until both periods stabilized.

The result was a short period of 2.9712 h (Figure 2), which shows somewhat less scatter overall compared to Figure 1 as well as near the minimum at 0.2 rotation phase. Figure 2 shows the lightcurve for the second period, 15.05 hours. There are no obvious signs of mutual events, i.e., occultations and/or eclipses, but the lightcurve period is in the proper range for a satellite (see Pravec et al., 2010) and can be reasonably interpreted to be due to an elongated satellite that is tidally-locked to its orbital period. Given the lack of mutual events and the asymmetrical shape of the second period lightcurve, this is listed as a possible instead of probable binary.

2131 Mayall. This Hungaria is likely a member of the orbital group but not the collisional family. Observations by Masiero et al. (2011) show an albedo of about 0.2 , which is more typical of a type S asteroid. The collisional family members are type E. The asteroid was discovered to be a binary in 2009 (Warner et al., 2010) fulfilling the adage of "the third time is a charm" since observations in 2005 (Warner, 2005) and 2006 (Warner et al., 2007a) did not show any signs of a satellite, even with additional analysis after the binary discovery.


Figure 4. The data for 2131 after the initial search for a short period. The result is chaotic at best.


Figure 5. The lightcurve for the primary body of 2131 Mayall.


Figure 6. The lightcurve for 2131 Mayall after subtracting the effects of the primary's rotation. This is hardly a conclusive result but subtracting the Fourier curve produces a good solution for the primary.

Figure 4 shows the data for the asteroid after a preliminary search for a short period in the range of 2-17 hours. Either the data were very bad or there is a second period involved. Figure 5 shows the lightcurve for the primary after the dual period search. To find this, the period for the satellite was assumed to be within a small range around the one found in 2010 , i.e., 23.48 hours. Since this is nearly commensurate with an Earth day, no one station could hope to
cover the entire secondary lightcurve and so it was expected that there would be large gaps in the long period lightcurve.

Since data from another location were not available, the best that could be done was to subtract the result of the search, despite the Fourier model having very large gyrations, when searching for the short period. Once this was found, the search for the second period was "refined". The lightcurve appears to show a deep event near rotation phase 0 , but that was not confirmed. Were it not known that this is a binary system, the best that could be said based on the 2014 data is that Mayall is a probable binary.
(15778) 1993 NH . In the past few years, there has been growing evidence for a subset of binary asteroids known as wide binaries. These are discussed in detail by Jacabson and Scheeres (2011). The photometric observations of such objects show a lightcurve with a long period ( $P>100$ hours) and relatively large amplitude (Figure 8) superimposed by a short period, low amplitude lightcurve (Figure 7).


Figure 7. The short period lightcurve for 1993 NH .


Figure 8. The long period component for 1993 NH. At this scale, the low amplitude, short period lightcurve cannot be seen.

Since the long period lightcurve dominates the data, the search for its period is done first. Once an approximate period is found, often using only a $2^{\text {nd }}$ order Fourier fit, the resulting model lightcurve is subtracted in the search for the short period. Quite often, that lightcurve has a low amplitude and so it is difficult to determine if the Fourier analysis is finding a true period or locking onto noise. In this case, it's believed that the short period is real, especially since it is appropriate for a fully-asynchronous satellite, i.e., one
not tidally-locked to its orbit. Still, this is listed only as a possible binary.

The long period component is not due to the satellite but to the primary, which has a slow rotation period due to conservation of rotational energy. The chances for seeing mutual events and so confirming the orbital period of the satellite, which will be very long, are exceedingly remote.
(18890) 2000 EV26. This Hungaria was first reported to have a period of 10.53 h (Warner, 2012). Analysis of the 2014 data indicated that this is a confirmed binary system with the secondary period showing mutual events (occultations and/or eclipses) that allow determining the orbital period.


Figure 9. The unsubtracted lightcurve of 2000 EV2 after an initial search for a period shows obvious signs of a second period.

Figure 9 shows the 2014 data set when finding a period with no more than a bimodal lightcurve. Searching out to 15 hours found solutions of up to 6 extrema pairs. The deviations from the main curve prompted a second period search.


Figure 10. The lightcurve for 2000 EV26 after subtracting the effects of the satellite. The scatter is considerably less than in Figure 9.


Figure 11. The lightcurve for P2 clearly shows the mutual events and defines the orbital period of the proposed satellite.

Figures 10 and 11 give the final results of the dual period search. The former shows the rotation due to the primary. The low amplitude and symmetrical shape indicate a nearly spheroidal body, which is typical among small binary asteroids. Figure 11 shows the lightcurve due to the satellite with the mutual events at 0.4 and 0.9 rotation phase. The latter event allows giving an effective diameter ratio of the satellite to the primary as $\mathrm{Ds} / \mathrm{Dp} \geq 0.27 \pm 0.02$. Since the secondary event is not total (it is not flat at the minimum point), this makes the estimate a minimum and so the satellite could be larger.

Given the findings from 2014, the data from 2011 were reexamined to see if they also showed signs of the satellite. Unfortunately, that data set had considerably fewer data points per night and, because a satellite was not suspected at that time, it did not have as many nights. This reinforces the advice that when preliminary results for an asteroid show a period of 2-5 hours and in most, but not all cases - a low amplitude, some extra observation time should be allowed to make sure that evidence of a satellite is not overlooked.

Figure 12 shows the 2011 lightcurve for the shorter period after a dual period search where the solutions were forced near those found for 2014. The fit in Figure 12 is within reason and so a new period of 3.882 h has been adopted for the 2011 data. On the other hand, given the sparse data, the solution for the satellite is far from conclusive. If the search were being done without knowledge of the 2014 results, it's highly unlikely that this would be accepted as evidence for even a possible satellite. At best, subtracting the P2 model lightcurve did improve the fit of the P1 lightcurve, but that could also be due to a fit to random noise.


Figure 12. The 2011 data for 2000 EV2 were searched for evidence of the satellite found in 2014. This plot shows the primary lightcurve after forcing the P1 and P2 values near those found in 2014.


Figure 13. The P2 lightcurve from the 2011 data shows what may be a hint of events at 0.1 and 0.6 rotation phase. With no follow-up to support that claim, this could easily be attributed to "bad data."
(27568) 2000 PT6. The author first observed this asteroid in 2011 (Warner, 2012) when a period of 3.624 h was reported. Observations in 2013 (Warner and Stephens, 2013) with five sessions from March 11-19 found a period of 3.4885 h and indicated the possibility of a satellite with an orbital period of 16.356 h . The 2011 data were re-examined but no indications of a satellite were found. The asteroid was observed again in 2014 August and September with the aim of confirming, if possible, the satellite and the orbital period.

The initial search without subtracting the effects due a satellite found a period of 3.499 h . Figure 14 shows that result. The dual period search feature of MPO Canopus found a primary period, also of 3.499 hours (Figure 15). This is good agreement with 2013 results. That is where the similarities ended.

The 2014 data set that consisted of 10 individual nights from August 19 through September 6 led to a secondary period of 11.73 h , or almost a 2:3 ratio of the longer period found in 2013. This might indicate that a rotational alias (i.e., uncertainty about the number of rotations over a given period) had been found with one set or the other since both answers cannot be right. The dual period search was applied to the 2013 and 2014 data sets but forcing the search for P 2 for one data set to be near the value from the other data set, e.g., the search for P2 using the 2014 data was limited to the range of 15-17 hours. For the 2013 data, the closest
fit was to 12.2 h with a gap of about 0.3 rotation phase. The 2014 data could be forced to a period of 15.73 hours and a bimodal lightcurve. Both of these follow-up solutions are significantly off the original periods.


Figure 14. The unsubtracted lightcurve of 2000 PT6.


Figure 15. The lightcurve of 2000 PT6 after subtracting the effects of a second period. This is much cleaner than in Figure 14.


Figure 16. The adopted period for P2. This suggests a tidally-locked satellite with an orbital period of 11.73 h .

An explanation for the different results may be due to what's called a fit by exclusion. This is where the Fourier analysis finds a false best-fit period by minimizing the number of overlapping data points. This result is often seen as a gap in the lightcurve. Such a gap was in the 2013 data when fit to its original period of 16.356 hours. Even though there were parts of the lightcurve that were
covered more than once, a fit by exclusion may explain why the latest data do not support that result.

This brings up another argument for the 2014 results: the 2014 data set covered more nights over a longer period of time. Since the two suspect periods are nearly commensurate with an Earth day, it would be difficult to avoid finding a rotational alias. If the period is just enough off from an integral ratio with a 24 -hour cycle and the range of observations eventually allows seeing more of the lightcurve, the number of rotational aliases can be reduced. The 2014 data may have succeeded in that effort while the 2013 data did not.

It is very likely that 2000 PT6 is a binary asteroid, i.e., it is listed as probable, since subtracting P2 significantly improves the P1 lightcurve. However, the question of the orbital period of the satellite remains very much in question and so follow-up observations are encouraged at future apparitions.
(30535) 2001 OR5. There were no previous results in the LCDB against which to compare the results from the 2014 observations. The difference between a lightcurve with no subtraction and one with the eventual second period removed is very small.


Figure 17. The lightcurve for 2001 OR5 after subtracting the effects of a secondary period is almost the same as with no subtraction.


Figure 18. The lightcurve for P 2 is marginally convincing, if that.
Figure 17 shows the subtracted lightcurve for 2001 OR5. Even without subtraction, the solution is unique and so not in question. The dual period process described previously eventually found a second period of 13.27 hours (Figure 18) with an irregular lightcurve that wouldn't seem to have a reasonable physical counterpart. A telling clue that this may be a false secondary period is that $\mathrm{P} 2: \mathrm{P} 1$ is almost an integral ratio, i.e., $9: 2$. This is the
result of what is sometimes called a harmonic alias, which is where the Fourier analysis locks onto higher orders of noise in a lightcurve when a suspect period is subtracted from the data. The true difference is $8.937: 2.0$, but this is close enough so that when combined with the unconvincing shape of the P2 lightcurve, the best that can be said at this point is this is a possible binary and so additional observations of higher-precision are encouraged.
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#### Abstract

Unfiltered photometry of 1762 Russell at Sonoita Research Observatory and Etscorn Campus Observatory yields a period of $12.797 \pm 0.007$ hours and amplitude of 0.46 magnitudes.


Unfiltered photometry of 1762 Russell was carried out at Sonoita Research Observatory and at Etscorn Campus Observatory on thirteen nights from 2014 March 23 to May 5. Nine nights of data were taken at Sonoita Research Observatory and six at Etscorn Campus Observatory. There was dual coverage from both observatories on two of the nights.

Sonoita Research Observatory employed a $0.51-\mathrm{m} f / 4$ folded Newtonian and an SBIG STL-6303E on a Paramount ME. Images were 5 minutes unfiltered integrations and photometric reduction was carried out in MIRA. Estcorn Campus Observatory employed $0.35-\mathrm{m}$ Celestron Schmidt-Cassegrains on Paramount ME's with several cameras. Details can be found in Klinglesmith et al. (2014). Images were 5 minutes unfiltered integrations and photometric reduction was carried out in MPO Canopus.

Period analysis and phasing of the combined data was carried out in MPO Canopus. The phased light curve has a period of $12.797 \pm$ 0.007 hours and amplitude of 0.46 magnitudes. No previous period or lightcurve determinations for 1762 Russell have been reported.
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CCD photometric observations of the near-Earth asteroid 2009 FG19 were made in 2014 September and October to supplement radar observations made at the same time. Analysis of the CCD data from September only found several possible periods, all commensurate with an Earth day. The most likely period was $8.00 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{~h}$ with an amplitude of $0.80 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{mag}$ with an alternate solution of $9.61 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{~h}$ being possible. The addition of data from October, even though the lightcurve had evolved noticeably, removed the 9.6 hour alias and confirmed the 8 -hour solution. There were no obvious signs of nonprinciple axis rotation (NAPR; tumbling) but that cannot be formally excluded.

CCD photometric observations of the near-Earth asteroid (NEA) 2009 FG19 were made at the request of Lance Benner (private communications) to supplement radar observations being made in 2014 September. The initial observations were made at the Palmer Divide Station at CS3 (CS3-PDS) from 2014 September 21-26. Analysis of the resulting data showed that the period was nearly commensurate with an Earth day. Since the individual observing runs from a single station were too short to resolve the rotational ambiguities, observations were requested of and made by Pray at Sugarloaf Mountain Observatory (SMO). The additional data helped remove some of the alias periods, but not all. It was not until data from early October were added by Pollock et al. using the PROMPT telescope in Chile and the R-COP telescope in Perth, Australia, that a final result was found. Tables I and II give the equipment and observation details.

| Obs | Telescope | Camera |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CS3-PDS | $0.35-\mathrm{m} f / 9.6$ Schmidt-Cass | SBIG STL-1001E |
| SMO | $0.50-\mathrm{m} / 4$ Newtonian | SBIG ST-10XME |
| PROMPT | $0.40-\mathrm{m}$ f/10 Schmidt-Cass | Apogee Alta |
| R-COP | $0.35-0 \mathrm{f} / 10$ Schmidt-Cass | ST-10XME |

Table I. Telescope/cameras used at each location.

| Location | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4} \mathbf{~ m m m ~ d d}$ | Phase | L $_{\text {PAB }}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\text {PAB }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS3-PDS | Sep 21-28 | 93,57 | 47,37 | $29,-12$ |
| SMO | Sep $27-28$ | 66,60 | 41,37 | $-6,-12$ |
| R-COP | Oct 6 | 47 | 16 | -32 |
| PROMPT | Oct 7 | 47 | 15 | -33 |

Table II. Dates of observations from each location. Phase is the phase angle, in degrees, on the first and last dates in the range. The PAB columns are, respectively, the longitude and latitude, also in degrees and on the first and last dates in the range.

Image processing and measurement by Warner and Pray were done using MPO Canopus (Bdw Publishing). Pollock used Mira for his images. Master flats and darks were applied to the science frames prior to measurements. The MPO Canopus export data sets and raw text from Mira were collected by Warner for period analysis in MPO Canopus, which incorporates the FALC Fourier analysis algorithm developed by Harris (Harris et al., 1989).

For Warner and Pray, conversion to an internal standard system with approximately $\pm 0.05 \mathrm{mag}$ zero point precision was accomplished using the Comp Star Selector in MPO Canopus and the MPOSC3 catalog provided with that software. The magnitudes in the MPOSC3 are based on the 2MASS catalog converted to the BVRcIc system using formulae developed by Warner (2007). This internal calibration works well within a given telescope-camera system. In some cases, a nearly constant offset is required to merge data from one system to another. This was not necessary in this case. The data from Pollock were differential magnitudes only. His two data sets were first aligned to produce a best fit (lowest RMS) in a period search from 5 to 20 hours. The zero point for the combined data set was then adjusted as needed to obtain a minimum RMS when merging with the data from Warner and Pray.

## The Initial Campaign



Figure 1. The period spectrum from 4 to 20 hours for 2009 FG19 using data from Warner and Pray shows several almost equally likely solutions.

Figure 1 shows a period spectrum produced by the Fourier analysis ranging from 4 to 20 hours when using only the data from Warner
and Pray. There are several almost equally likely solutions (minimum RMS value), several of which differ by an integral of half-integral number of rotation over 24 hours. The CS3-PDS data alone could not resolve which of the periods was most likely. The two runs by both stations on Sep 27 and 28 extended the total span of observation by about 3 hours and helped reduce the ambiguities down to two probable periods.


Figure 2. The data for 2009 FG19 phased to the favored period of 8.00 hours.

Figure 2 shows the favored solution of 8.00 hours. The amplitude of the lightcurve, $0.80 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{mag}$, is made a little more uncertain by the lightcurve not being complete at the first maximum at 0.4 rotation phase. Evidence of the changing shape of the lightcurve is seen around rotation phase 0.3 .


Figure 3. The data for 2009 FG19 phased to an alternate solution of 9.61 hours.

Figure 3 shows the alternate solution of 9.60 hours, also with a 0.80 mag amplitude. The two periods have an integral ratio of 6:5. Even though Figure 2 shows a significant gap in the lightcurve, it is considered the more likely solution because of the misalignment of the data in Figure 3 near 0.2 rotation phase.

At the time, Petr Pravec (personal communications) reviewed the data set for indications of tumbling. While nothing certain was found, the possibility could not be formally excluded without having data from stations at other longitudes and/or not showing any significant changes in the lightcurve due to changing viewing aspects.

If nothing else, the initial observations indicating a period of several hours helped the radar team plan their observations, which
is a vital service that is easily provided by the backyard astronomy community in addition to astrometry to reduce position uncertainties.

## The Second Campaign

The asteroid moved too far south at the end of September for Warner and Pray to try filling in the lightcurve and solving the rotational alias. Pollock had been contacted in September but, due to the northerly location of the asteroid and other circumstances, could not observe the asteroid until early October, when he arranged runs on Oct 6 UT for R-COP in Perth, Australia, and Oct 7 for the PROMPT telescope in Chile.

Using only his data, a period search strongly favored a solution near 8 hours (Figure 4). Since the lightcurve did evolve so much, we used a combined data set that included the observations from Sep 25 through Oct 7. This excluded the observations at the more extreme phase angles of $\alpha>80^{\circ}$, although the range of angles in the subset still exceeded 30 degrees. Figure 5 shows the resulting period spectrum from 4 to 20 hours. The 9.6 hour solution has been completely eliminated, leaving three solutions, each commensurate with an Earth day and differing by one rotation over a 24 -hour period.


Figure 4. The lightcurve for 2009 FG19 using only the data from Pollock et al. and phased to the best fit period of 8.06 hours. The reduced magnitudes are differential values added to an arbitrary constant.


Figure 5. The period spectrum using a subset of the data ranging from 2014 Sep 25 through Oct 7. The 9.6 hour period is eliminated.


Figure 6. The lightcurve for 2009 FG19 using the subset of data described in the text and phased to the most likely period of 8.016 hours.

The lightcurves in Figures 4 and 6 used data binned 2x10, meaning any given bin used the average of up to 2 unique data points separated by no more than 10 minutes. No data point was used in more than one bin. Figure 6 appears to verify the lower amplitude of the secondary minimum at about 0.8 rotational phase that was suspected during the initial analysis.

We have adopted the period of 8.016 hours for this paper. The lightcurves for the longer periods are either trimodal (12 hours) or quadramodal ( 16 hours). The latter is highly symmetrical over the first and second half, which is physically improbable and so represents the double period.

Photometry observations and analysis of near-Earth asteroids are fraught with difficulties. This campaign showed that even some of the more challenging of circumstances - short-lived campaigns and longer, Earth-day commensurate periods - can be overcome through timely response and coordinated efforts.
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# ASTEROIDS OBSERVED FROM CS3: 2014 JULY - SEPTEMBER 
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CCD photometric observations of 16 asteroids were obtained from the Center for Solar System Studies from 2014 July to September.

During this calendar quarter, the Center for Solar System Studies (CS3, MPC U81) focused on studying asteroids in near Earth space; NEAs, Mars Crossers, Inner Main-belt asteroids, and members of the Hungaria, Vesta and Phocaea families. Some of these targets were selected from the 'Shape/Spin Modeling Opportunities' list in the back of each Minor Planet Bulletin. These are targets with at least one high quality lightcurve which are not in the Database of Asteroid Models from Inversion Techniques (DAMIT).

All images were made with a $0.4-\mathrm{m}$ or a $0.35-\mathrm{m}$ SCT with an FLI1001 E or a SBIG STL-1001E CCD camera. Images were unbinned with no filter and had master flats and darks applied to the science frames prior to measurement. Measurements were made using MPO Canopus, which employs differential aperture photometry to produce the raw data. Period analysis was done using MPO Canopus, which incorporates the Fourier analysis algorithm (FALC) developed by Harris (Harris et al., 1989). Night-to-night calibration of the data (generally $< \pm 0.05 \mathrm{mag}$ ) was done using field stars converted to approximate Cousins V magnitudes based on 2MASS J-K colors (Warner 2007). The Comp Star Selector feature in MPO Canopus was used to limit the comparison stars to near solar color.

474 Prudentia. Behrend (Behrend 2014) reported a period of 8.57 h in 2006 and 8.58 h in 2009. This result is in good agreement with those periods.

654 Zelinda. Zelinda has been a difficult observational target over the years due to its low amplitude. Behrend (Behrend 2014) reported a period of 34.080 h in 2007. Schober (Schober 1975) reported a period of 31.9 h . Warner and Higgins (Warner et al
2008) reported a period of 32.0 h . Finally, the author (Stephens 2009) calculated a period of 31.735 h . Observations were obtained this year to obtain data for a future shape model. Marchis (Marchis et al 2006) observed Zelinda with Keck adaptive optics determining that the $\mathrm{D}=131 \mathrm{~km}$ and that it was roughly circular or perhaps triangular.

1341 Edmee. Because its rotational period is nearly commensurate with the Earth's, Edmee is a very difficult object to obtain a complete phased rotational period. The author (Stephens 2010) observed Edmee in 2004 and 2009 and reported a period of 23.745 h. For 2014, long runs could not be obtained because of the short summer nights. Only a partial lightcurve was created which was consistent with the prior results.

1589 Fanatica. This member of the Vesta Family has a rotational period determined by Warner (Warner 2004) of 2.58 h .2014 had a favorable opposition so observations were obtained to assist in a future shape model. The 2014 rotational period is in good agreement with the previous result.

1747 Wright. Hanus (Hanus et al 2011) previously determined pole-latitude and a shape model for Wright. Its sidereal rotational period was found to be 5.2896 h . Since there was a favorable opposition in 2014, more observations were obtained to improve the shape model. The synodic period of 5.290 h is in good agreement with the previous result.

3332 Raksha. This Main Belt asteroid has been observed twice in the past by Behrend (Behrend 2014) and Klinglesmith (Klinglesmith et al, 2013). Both reported periods within a few thousandths of an hour of this result.

4002 Shinagawa. Shinagawa has never had a period reported in the Asteroid lightcurve database (LCDB; Warner et al., 2009). It is probable that because of its 175 h period, data yet resides in 'dusty file cabinets.'

4910 Kawasato. Unlike Shinagawa it is surprising that no previous period is reported for this Mars crosser (LCDB; Warner et al., 2009). With an amplitude of only 0.11 mag., it is possible that the lightcurve could have only a single extrema, or three or more extrema (Harris et al 2014). However, the extrema on this high quality lightcurve are not symmetrical favoring the 4.662 hour period.

| Number | Name | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ \text { mm } \backslash d d \end{gathered}$ | Pts | Phase | $\mathbf{L}_{\text {Pab }}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{\text {PAB }}$ | Period | P.E. | Amp | A.E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 474 | Prudentia | 08/11-08/23 | 328 | 28.7,26.7 | 22 | -5 | 8.57 | 0.001 | 0.9 | 0.02 |
| 654 | Zelinda | 08/08-08/18 | 3162 | 10.3,8.5 | 332 | 18 | 32.012 | 0.006 | 0.08 | 0.02 |
| 1341 | Edmee | 07/11-07/30 | 295 | 9.6,3.2 | 263 | -3 | 23.75 | 0.01 |  |  |
| 1589 | Fanatica | 08/17-08/18 | 207 | 20.1,19.8 | 2 | -7 | 2.582 | 0.001 | 0.18 | 0.02 |
| 1747 | Wright | 07/16-07/17 | 185 | 19.7,20.4 | 276 | 11 | 5.29 | 0.001 | 0.55 | 0.02 |
| 3332 | Raksha | 07/16-07/18 | 194 | 16.2,16.7 | 255 | 15 | 4.8 | 0.003 | 0.27 | 0.02 |
| 4002 | Shinagawa | 06/24-07/13 | 679 | 10.9,17.0 | 255 | 11 | 175 | 0.2 | 0.97 | 0.03 |
| 4910 | Kawasato | 08/24-08/27 | 333 | 14.9, 16.7 | 351 | 2 | 4.662 | 0.001 | 0.11 | 0.01 |
| 7330 | Annelemaitre | 08/19-08/23 | 218 | 25.0,24.2 | 14 | 5 | 4.438 | 0.001 | 0.32 | 0.02 |
| 9387 | Tweedledee | 08/08-08/16 | 561 | 15.9,11.0 | 337 | -1 | 3.534 | 0.001 | 0.12 | 0.02 |
| 9739 | Powell | 07/24-08/07 | 1048 | 28.7,25.1 | 344 | 19 | 109 | 1 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| 14764 | Kilauea | 07/19-07/23 | 109 | 17.4,17.6 | 299 | 29 | 19.59 | 0.05 | 0.5 | 0.05 |
| 31351 | 1998 SD24 | 09/20-09/21 | 161 | 14.2,13.6 | 13 | -11 | 4.259 | 0.006 | 0.18 | 0.02 |
| 38063 | 1999 FH | 08/24-10/12 | 3896 | 15.0,6.3,17.8 | 349 | 7 | 990 | 50 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| 159493 | 2000 UA | 09/22-09/25 | 388 | 16.2,17.9 | 342 | 15 | 14.517 | 0.005 | 0.36 | 0.02 |
| 285944 | 2001 RZ11 | 09/10-09/14 | 291 | 55.7,55.2 | 322 | 43 | 4.804 | 0.004 | 0.11 | 0.02 |

7330 Annelemaitre. It is also surprising that no previous period is reported in the Asteroid lightcurve database (LCDB; Warner et al., 2009) . The short period of 4.438 h makes this Phocaea family member a candidate to be a binary, but no evidence was detected over three nights.

9387 Tweedledee. Warner (Warner 2007) observed Tweedledee in 2006 and 2013, finding periods of 3.543 and 3.535 respectively. A primary period of 3.534 h was found in good agreement with those results. There is a hint of a secondary period of 15.15 h which cannot be definitively separated from the noise.

9739 Powell. Warner (Warner 2009) observed this Hungaria family asteroid in 2006 and again in 2009. In 2006, an 18.2 h period was reported with a 0.09 mag. amplitude. This period was updated to a 16.7 signal modal period with an alternate 33.5 h bimodal period, both with an amplitude of 0.11 mag. Since any number of extrema are possible with such a low amplitude, there was a possibility that the actual period is something far different. This year's observations had a far greater amplitude of about 0.4 mag. which should provide for a definitive solution. However, a definitive solution yet remains elusive, with the best fit at 109 h . Powell exhibits signs of 'tumbling' (nonprinciple axis of rotation) which can explain the difficulties in determining a rotational period in three attempts. A half-period plot and period spectrum for the 2014 data are included. Warner attempted to replot the 2009 data using these periods, but that data was too sparse to allow any further conclusions.

14764 Kilauea. Warner (Warner et al, 2012) observed this Hungaria family member in 2011 resulting in a three extrema 28.59 h rotational period. He reasoned that the asteroid must be tumbling because the phase angle was relatively low $\left(\sim 17^{\circ}\right)$ and the amplitude of the curve was a high 0.8 magnitude, resulting in an impossible physical shape for a low phase angle. This year the phase angle was similar, but the amplitude was only 0.5 mag. A Period Spectrum reveled two possible periods for the 2014 observations, 13.91 h and 19.59 h . Both of those periods could be aliases to the three extrema period found in 2011. Upon reinvestigating the 2011 observations, it was found that observations from Brian Skiff of Lowell Observatory were in the ALCDEF database (Warner et al 2011) and were obtained a few nights after Warner's run concluded. These observations were combined with Warner's to see if a better solution could be created. The three extrema solution is still present and is an even poorer fit with the Skiff data. The 19 h fit of the Warner-Skiff data produces the best fit, although the asteroid is obviously tumbling. The best fit to the much sparser 2014 data is 19.59 h , but there is not enough observations to revel a secondary period.
(38063) 1999 FH. This Mars Crosser has never had a period reported in the Asteroid lightcurve database (LCDB; Warner et al., 2009). Its near 1000 h period is suggestive that it should have a nonprincipal axis of rotation (tumbling). However, as is typical of these long period asteroids, it could not be observed long enough to prove the case.
(159493) 2000 UA. This Mars Crosser has never had a period reported in the Asteroid lightcurve database (LCDB; Warner et al., 2009).
(285944) 2001 RZ11. Follow up of this Mars Crossing asteroid was requested by Vladimir Benishek (private communication). He had observed 2001 RZ11 from 18 August to 28 August. However, due to the change of phase angle over this time span and the relatively low amplitude, he could not derive a satisfactory
rotational period, finally settling on 9.6 h derived from the last 5 nights of his observing run. The 5 nights in this observing run were at a higher phase angle which did not change much. We found two possible periods of 4.002 h and 4.804 h representing 5 and 6 rotations per Earth day. We slightly favor the 4.804 h period, representing a half period of the Benishek result which assumed a bimodal lightcurve. With an amplitude of only 0.11 mag., Harris (Harris et al 2014) demonstrated the lightcurve could have a single or three or more extrema.

## References

Behrend, R. (2014). Observatoire de Geneve web site, http://obswww.unige.ch/~behrend/page_cou.html.

Hanus, J., Durech, J., Broz, M., Warner, B.D., Pilcher, F., Stephens, R., Oey, J., Bernasconi, L., Casulli, S., Behrend, R., Polishook, D., Henych, T., Lehký, M., Yoshida, F., and Ito, T. (2011). "A study of asteroid pole-latitude distribution based on an extended set of shape models derived by the lightcurve inversion method." Astron. Astrophys. 530, 134-150.

Harris, A.W., Pravec, P., Galád, B. Skiff, B., Warner, B., Világi, J., Gajdoš, S., Carbognani, A., Hornoch, K., Kušnirák, P., Cooney, W., Gross, J., Terrell, D., Higgins, D., Bowell, E., and Koehn, B. (2014). "On the maximum amplitude of harmonics of an asteroid lightcurve." Icarus.235, 55-59.

Harris, A.W., Young, J.W., Bowell, E., Martin, L.J., Millis, R.L., Poutanen, M., Scaltriti, F., Zappala, V., Schober, H.J., Debehogne, H., and Zeigler, K. (1989). "Photoelectric Observations of Asteroids 3, 24, 60, 261, and 863." Icarus 77, 171-186.

Klinglesmith, D.A., Hanowell, J., Risley, E., Turk, J. Vargas, A., and Warren, A.W. (2013). "Inversion Model Candidates." Minor Planet Bul. 40, 190-193.

Marchis, F., Kaasalainen, M., Hom, E.F.Y., Berthier, J., Enriquez, J., Hestroffer, D., Le Mignant, D., and de Pater, I. (2006). "Shape, size and multiplicity of main-bet asteroids: 1." Icarus 15, 39-63.

Schober, H.J. (1975). Astron. Astrophys. 44, 85-893.
Stephens, R. (2010). "Asteroids Observed from GMARS and Santana Observatories: 2009 June - September." Minor Planet Bul. 37, 28-29.

Warner, B.D. (2004). "Lightcurve analysis for numbered asteroids 1351, 1589, 2778, 5076, 5892, and 6386." Minor Planet Bul. 31, 36-39.

Warner, B.D. (2007). "Initial Results from a Dedicated H-G Project." Minor Planet Bul. 37, 57-64.

Warner, B.D. (2009). "Asteroid Lightcurve Analysis at the Palmer Divide Observatory: 2009 September-December." Minor Planet Bul. 34, 113-119.

Warner, B.D., Stephens, R.D., and Harris, A.W. (2011). "Save the Lightcurves." Minor Planet Bul. 33, 172-174.

Warner, B.D. (2013). "Asteroid Lightcurve Analysis at the Palmer Divide Observatory: 2013 January - March." Minor Planet Bul. 40, 137-145.

Warner, B.D. and Higgins, D. (2008). "Lightcurve Analysis of 654 Zelinda." Minor Planet Bul. 35, 32-33.

Warner, B.D., Pravec, P., Kusnirak, P., and Harris, A.W. (2012). "A Trio of Tumbling Hungaria Asteroids." Minor Planet Bul. 39, 89-92.

Warner, B.D., Harris, A.W., and Pravec, P. (2009). Icarus 202, 134-146. Updated 2014 February 28.
http://www.minorplanet.info/lightcurvedatabase.html.
Acknowledgements
This research was supported by National Science Foundation grant AST-1212115 and by NASA grant NNX13AP56G.

The purchase of the FLI-1001E CCD camera was made possible by a 2013 Gene Shoemaker NEO Grant from the Planetary Society.








$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}0.00 & 0.10 & 0.20 & 0.30 & 0.40 & 0.50 & 0.60 & 0.70 & 0.80 & 0.90 & 1.00\end{array}$



0.00








## ROTATION PERIOD DETERMINATIONS FOR 1095 TULIPA, 1626 SADEYA, 2132 ZHUKOV, AND 7173 SEPKOSKI

Vladimir Benishek<br>Belgrade Astronomical Observatory<br>Volgina 7, 11060 Belgrade 38, SERBIA<br>vlaben@yahoo.com

(Received: 15 October)

Observations from 2013 October to 2014 March produced synodic rotation periods and lightcurve amplitudes for the minor planets 1095 Tulipa, 1626 Sadeya, 2132 Zhukov, and 7173 Sepkoski.

Photometric observations of four asteroids were carried out at the Sopot Astronomical Observatory (SAO) from 2013 October through 2014 March employing a $0.35-\mathrm{m} f / 6.3$ Meade LX200GPS Schmidt-Cassegrain (SCT) and SBIG ST-8 XME CCD camera. The camera was operated in $2 \times 2$ binning mode in order to increase signal-to-noise ratio. All observations were unfiltered and unguided. Prior to measurements, all images were corrected using dark and flat field frames. Differential photometry with up to five comparison stars, lightcurve construction, and period analysis were performed using MPO Canopus software (Warner, 2012). Only the instrumental magnitudes were used for all period determinations. To produce best lightcurve fit, the zero-point of each individual data set was adjusted until a minimum Fourier residual was reached. All targets have been selected using the CALL website maintained by Warner (2014a). The individual results for each target are shown below.

1095 Tulipa. This target was previously observed by Benishek during its apparition in 2006 July (Benishek, 2008). The observations at that time, conducted over 5 nights, led to a synodic rotation period of 2.7879 hours. Other authors have also reported quite close values for a period: 2.77 h (Binzel, 1987), 2.78721 h (Behrend, 2005), and 2.787 h (Husarik, 2011). Regardless of many previous rotation period determinations, this target was still listed as a potential lightcurve target on the CALL website in 2013 December. Guided by the idea that new photometric observations may be useful for future shape/spin modeling, the author decided to observe 1095 Tulipa again. The observations were started on 2013 December 03. As of 2014 January 03, a total number of 536 data points in 4 individual data sets were obtained. As expected, the period analysis confirmed the previously found rotation period results. A bimodal lightcurve (Fig. 1) phased to $2.7873 \pm 0.0001$ hours and with an amplitude of $0.21 \pm 0.02$ magnitudes was obtained as the most favorable solution.

1626 Sadeya. The observations of this target were launched as a by-product of a systematic photometric follow-up of the slow rotator 3345 Tarkovskij in 2013 December, Sadeya being in the same field-of-view with 3345 Tarkovskij on 2013 December 21. The asteroid was also listed as a potential lightcurve target for 2013 December on the CALL website. The observations started on 2013 December 21 and lasted until 2014 February 15, encompassing a fairly large range of phase angle of 18.4 to 32.6 degrees. The lightcurve showed a pronounced shape change and increase in amplitude with increasing phase angle due to the changing viewing geometry. For this reason, the overall data were divided into two groups, which were analyzed separately. The first group of data obtained at relatively low phase angles (18.4-21.1
degrees) yielded the bimodal lightcurve phased to $3.418 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{~h}$ with a fairly low amplitude of $0.08 \pm 0.01$ magnitudes (Fig. 2). The analysis of the second group of data obtained at higher phase angles (31.4-32.6 degrees) led to a period of $3.419 \pm 0.001$ hours (which is consistent with the low phase angle result) and significantly larger amplitude of the lightcurve ( $0.14 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{mag}$; Fig. 3). These period values are also in accordance with the period results previously found by other authors: 3.438 h (Florczak, 1997), 3.420 h (Behrend, 2007), 3.42048 h (Behrend, 2009), 3.4200 h (Oey, 2008), 3.414 h (Warner, 2010), and 3.420 h (Warner, 2014b).

2132 Zhukov. No previous period determinations were found for this asteroid. It was listed as a potential lightcurve target on the CALL website in 2014 January (Warner, 2014). Period analysis of the data obtained from 2014 January 12 through March 20 gives a bimodal lightcurve solution phased to $6.836 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{~h}$ and amplitude of $0.45 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{mag}$ (Fig. 4). Such a large amplitude value at fairly low phase angles (8.3-17.7 degrees) virtually assures a bimodal solution.

7173 Sepkoski. A search for previous reports on period determinations for this Hungaria asteroid found Warner (2011, $2.50 \mathrm{~h} ; 2014 \mathrm{c}, 2.5006 \mathrm{~h}$ ), Han (2013, 2.44 h). Warner (2014c) also points to the possibility of a binary nature for this asteroid. Photometric observations carried out at the SAO over 7 nights from 2013 October 27 through November 08 produce a low amplitude ( 0.11 mag .) bimodal lightcurve phased to a period of 2.5002 h (Fig. 5), which is highly consistent with the value recently obtained by Warner (2014c).
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Figure 1. The composite lightcurve plot for 1095 Tulipa.


Figure 2. The composite lightcurve plot for 1626 Sadeya using data from 2013 December.


Figure 3. The composite lightcurve plot for 1626 Sadeya using data from 2014 February.


Figure 4. The composite lightcurve plot for 2132 Zhukov.


Figure 5. The composite lightcurve plot for 7173 Sepkoski.

## TARGET ASTEROIDS! OBSERVING CAMPAIGNS FOR JANUARY THROUGH MARCH 2015
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(Received: 15 October)

Asteroid campaigns to be conducted by the Target Asteroids! program during the period of January through March 2015 are described. In addition to asteroids on the original Target Asteroids! list of easily accessible spacecraft targets, an effort has been made to identify other asteroids that are 1) brighter and easier to observe for small telescope users and 2) analogous to (101955) Bennu, the target asteroid of the OSIRIS-REx sample return mission.

## Introduction

The Target Asteroids! program strives to engage telescope users of all skill levels and telescope apertures to observe asteroids that are viable targets for robotic sample return. The program also focuses on the study of asteroids that are analogous to (101955) Bennu and (162173) 1999 JU3, the target asteroids of the NASA OSIRIS-REx and JAXA Hayabusa-2 sample return missions respectively. Most target asteroids are near-Earth asteroids (NEA) though observations of relevant Main Belt asteroids (MBA) are also requested.

Even though many of the observable objects in this program are faint, acquiring a large number of low $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ observations allows many important parameters to be determined. For example, an asteroid's phase function can be measured by obtaining photometry taken over a wide range of phase angles. The albedo can be constrained from the phase angle observations, as there is a direct correlation between phase function and albedo (Belskaya and Shevchenko (2000). The absolute magnitude can be estimated by extrapolating the phase function to a phase angle of $0^{\circ}$. By combining the albedo and absolute magnitude, the size of the object can be estimated.

An overview of the Target Asteroids! program can be found at Hergenrother and Hill (2013).

## Current Campaigns

Target Asteroids! plans to conduct a number of dedicated campaigns on select NEAs and analog carbonaceous MBAs during the quarter. These campaigns have a primary goal of conducting photometric measurements over a large range of phase angles.

Target Asteroids! objects brighter than $\mathrm{V}=18.0$ are presented in detail. A short summary of our knowledge of each asteroid and 10day (shorter intervals for objects that warrant it) ephemerides are presented. The ephemerides include rough RA and Dec positions, distance from the Sun in AU (r), distance from Earth in AU ( $\Delta$ ), V magnitude, phase angle in degrees ( PH ) and elongation from the Sun in degrees (Elong).

We ask observers with access to large telescopes to attempt observations of spacecraft accessible asteroids that are between V
magnitude $\sim 18.0$ and $\sim 20.0$ during the quarter (contained in the table below).

| Asteroid |  | Peak V | Time of Peak |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number | Name | Mag | Brightness |
| $(89136)$ | 2001 US16 | 19.2 | mid Feb |
| $(136635)$ | 1994 VA1 | 18.8 | mid Jan |
| $(303450)$ | 2005 BY2 | 18.5 | early Jan |
|  | 2002 TD60 | 18.7 | late Mar |

The campaign targets are split up into two sections: 1) carbonaceous MBA that are analogous to Bennu and 1999 JU3 and 4) NEAs analogous to the Bennu and 1999 JU3 or provide an opportunity to fill some of the gaps in our knowledge of these spacecraft targets (examples include very low and high phase angle observations, phase functions in different filters and color changes with phase angle).

The ephemerides listed below are just for planning purposes. In order to produce ephemerides for your observing location, date and time, please use the Minor Planet Center's Minor Planet and Comet Ephemeris Service:

## http://www.minorplanetcenter.net/iau/MPEph/MPEph.html

or the Target Asteroids! specific site created by Tomas Vorobjov and Sergio Foglia of the International Astronomical Search Collaboration (IASC) at
http://iasc.scibuff.com/osiris-rex.php

## Analog Carbonaceous Main Belt Asteroid Campaigns

(19) Fortuna ( $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{2 . 4 4} \mathrm{AU}, \mathrm{e}=0.16, \mathrm{i}=1.6^{\circ}, \mathrm{H}=7.1$ )

Fortuna is one of the larger asteroids in the Main Belt with a diameter of $\sim 220 \mathrm{~km}$. Taxonomically it is classified as a Ch-type or hydrated carbonaceous asteroid. It rotates once every 7.44 hours with a lightcurve amplitude of 0.2-0.3 magnitudes. Though an inner Main Belt carbonaceous asteroid, it does not appear to belong to any obvious collisional family. Such 'background' objects may still be related to objects like Bennu.

From February through April, we have an opportunity to measure the phase function of Fortuna from a maximum phase angle of $20^{\circ}$ to an extremely low $0.02^{\circ}$ on April 23. We request lightcurve and phase function photometry of this object as well as color photometry and low-resolution spectroscopy.

| DATE | RA |  | DEC |  | $\Delta$ | $r$ | V | PH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $02 / 10$ | 14 | 25.1 | -14 | 48 | 2.43 | 2.81 | 12.4 | 20 |
| 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $02 / 20$ | 14 | 28.9 | -15 | 04 | 2.30 | 2.81 | 12.2 | 19 |
| $03 / 02$ | 14 | 30.5 | -15 | 08 | 2.18 | 2.82 | 12.1 | 17 |
| $03 / 12$ | 14 | 29.5 | -15 | 00 | 2.06 | 2.82 | 11.9 | 15 |
| 03131 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $03 / 22$ | 14 | 25.9 | -14 | 39 | 1.97 | 2.82 | 11.7 | 12 |
| $04 / 01$ | 14 | 19.9 | -14 | 05 | 1.89 | 2.82 | 11.4 | 9 | 153

(335) Roberta ( $\mathbf{a}=2.47 \mathrm{AU}, \mathrm{e}=0.17, \mathrm{i}=5.1^{\circ}, \mathrm{H}=8.9$ )

Roberta may be a member of the Eulalia family with a B-type taxonomy and a low albedo of 0.058 (Walsh et al. 2013). Lightcurve observations found a period of $\sim 12$ hours and low amplitude of $0.06-0.17$. Phase function observations are possible from $21^{\circ}$ (in late April) to a minimum of $0.56^{\circ}$ (on Feb 5 UT). As with Fortuna, phase function, lightcurve and color photometry is requested.

| DATE | RA | DEC |  | $\Delta$ | $r$ | V | PH | Elong |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $01 / 01$ | 09 | 40.3 | +11 | 33 | 2.06 | 2.86 | 13.6 | 13 | 136

(3064) Zimmer ( $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{2 . 4 5} \mathbf{A U}, \mathbf{e}=\mathbf{0 . 1 2}, \mathbf{i}=\mathbf{2 . 9}{ }^{\circ}, \mathbf{H}=\mathbf{1 3 . 4}$ ) Many of the Main Belt asteroids observed by Target Asteroids! have been large bodies with diameters on the order of 50 to hundreds of kilometers. Zimmer and Nakamura, the next asteroid listed below, are only on the order of 10 km or so in diameter. Zimmer is a member of either the carbonaceous Eulalia or 'Old' Polana families (Walsh et al. 2013) Surprisingly, no lightcurve parameters have been published for this object. Lightcurve photometry is especially requested in addition to color and phase function photometry. Phase function photometry can be obtained over a range of $27^{\circ}$ to $1.3^{\circ}$.

| DATE | RA |  | DEC | $\Delta$ | $r$ | V | PH | Elong |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $01 / 01$ | 12 | 24.5 | -02 | 29 | 1.86 | 2.16 | 17.6 | 27 | 93 |
| $01 / 11$ | 12 | 35.2 | -03 | 24 | 1.74 | 2.15 | 17.5 | 26 | 100 |
| $01 / 21$ | 12 | 44.0 | -04 | 04 | 1.63 | 2.16 | 17.3 | 25 | 108 |
| $01 / 31$ | 12 | 50.5 | -04 | 26 | 1.52 | 2.16 | 17.1 | 23 | 117 |
| $02 / 10$ | 12 | 54.2 | -04 | 30 | 1.42 | 2.16 | 16.9 | 21 | 126 |
| $02 / 20$ | 12 | 54.9 | -04 | 12 | 1.33 | 2.16 | 16.7 | 18 | 136 |
| $03 / 02$ | 12 | 52.6 | -03 | 34 | 1.26 | 2.16 | 16.4 | 14 | 147 |
| $03 / 12$ | 12 | 47.4 | -02 | 38 | 1.21 | 2.17 | 16.1 | 9 | 158 |
| $03 / 22$ | 12 | 40.1 | -01 | 30 | 1.18 | 2.17 | 15.8 | 4 | 170 |
| $04 / 01$ | 12 | 31.6 | -00 | 18 | 1.18 | 2.18 | 15.7 | 2 | 175 |

(4219) Nakamura ( $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{2 . 4 6} \mathrm{AU}, \mathrm{e}=\mathbf{0 . 1 2}, \mathrm{i}=3.1^{\circ}, \mathrm{H}=13.5$ )

Nakamura is similar to Zimmer in that it is a carbonaceous asteroid and member of either the Eulalia or 'Old' Polana family (Walsh et al. 2013). These families are of interest since they may be the sources of both the OSIRIS-REx and Hayabusa-2 mission targets (Walsh et al. 2013). Nakamura also does not have any published lightcurve data so lightcurves are requested. Lightcurve photometry is especially requested in addition to color and phase function photometry. Phase function photometry is possible this quarter from $22^{\circ}$ down to a very low $0.08^{\circ}$ on Feb 7 .

| DATE | RA |  | DEC |  | $\triangle$ | $r$ | V | PH |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elong |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $01 / 01$ | 09 | 47.7 | +12 | 13 | 1.56 | 2.36 | 17.2 | 17 |
| 135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $01 / 11$ | 09 | 44.7 | +12 | 39 | 1.47 | 2.35 | 17.0 | 13 |
| 146 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $01 / 21$ | 09 | 38.9 | +13 | 22 | 1.39 | 2.33 | 16.7 | 9 |
| 157 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $01 / 31$ | 09 | 30.8 | +14 | 17 | 1.35 | 2.32 | 16.4 | 4 |
| $02 / 10$ | 09 | 21.4 | +15 | 18 | 1.32 | 2.31 | 16.1 | 1 |
| 177 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $02 / 20$ | 09 | 11.9 | +16 | 18 | 1.33 | 2.30 | 16.4 | 6 |
| 164 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $03 / 02$ | 09 | $03.9+17$ | 10 | 1.36 | 2.29 | 16.7 | 11 | 152 |
| $03 / 12$ | 08 | 58.4 | +17 | 48 | 1.41 | 2.27 | 16.9 | 15 |
| 141 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $03 / 22$ | 08 | 55.9 | +18 | 11 | 1.49 | 2.26 | 17.1 | 19 |
| $04 / 01$ | 08 | $56.8+18$ | 18 | 1.57 | 2.25 | 17.3 | 22 | 120 |

## Near-Earth Asteroid Campaign Targets

(1580) Betulia ( $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{2 . 1 9} \mathbf{A U}, \mathrm{e}=\mathbf{0 . 4 8}, \mathrm{i}=\mathbf{5 2 . 1 ^ { \circ }}, \mathrm{H}=\mathbf{1 4 . 5}$ )

Near-Earth asteroid Betulia has been selected as a Target Asteroids! campaign object due to its low albedo (0.077) and taxonomy (C-type). During the current quarter it brightens from V $=17.7$ to 16.8 as its phase angle increases from $31^{\circ}$ to $51^{\circ}$. Observing circumstances improve during the next quarter as Betulia reaches $\mathrm{V}=14.7$ and a maximum phase angle of $63^{\circ}$ in late May/early June.

| DATE | RA |  | DEC |  | $\Delta$ | $r$ | V | PH Elong |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $01 / 01$ | 14 | 45.3 | +74 | 14 | 1.30 | 1.81 | 17.7 | 31 | 104 |
| $01 / 11$ | 16 | 07.7 | +74 | 11 | 1.27 | 1.75 | 17.6 | 33 | 101 |
| $01 / 21$ | 17 | 16.5 | +73 | 12 | 1.25 | 1.69 | 17.6 | 35 | 97 |
| $01 / 31$ | 18 | 08.8 | +71 | 54 | 1.23 | 1.62 | 17.5 | 37 | 93 |
| $02 / 10$ | 18 | 47.6 | +70 | 45 | 1.21 | 1.56 | 17.4 | 39 | 89 |
| $02 / 20$ | 19 | 15.9 | +70 | 01 | 1.18 | 1.49 | 17.4 | 41 | 86 |
| $03 / 02$ | 19 | 36.1 | +69 | 49 | 1.14 | 1.43 | 17.3 | 43 | 84 |
| $03 / 12$ | 19 | 48.7 | +70 | 11 | 1.09 | 1.37 | 17.1 | 45 | 82 |
| $03 / 22$ | 19 | 53.4 | +71 | 09 | 1.03 | 1.32 | 17.0 | 48 | 81 |
| $04 / 01$ | 19 | 47.4 | +72 | 42 | 0.95 | 1.26 | 16.8 | 51 | 80 |

(3200) Phaethon ( $\mathrm{a}=1.27 \mathrm{AU}, \mathrm{e}=\mathbf{0 . 8 9}, \mathrm{i}=22.2^{\circ}, \mathrm{H}=14.6$ )

Phaethon is well known as the parent object of the Geminid meteor shower. Whether the shower was produced by cometary activity or a series of splitting events, the Geminids are now one of the strongest annual showers. Recently Phaethon has been observed to display comet-like activity around perihelion (Jewitt et al. 2013, Li and Jewitt 2013). It is a B-type asteroid similar to Bennu, the OSIRIS-REx target. Though carbonaceous, it is not as dark as many other carbonaceous asteroids (albedo 0.11 ). A rotation period of 3.60 h and amplitude of up to 0.34 magnitudes have been measured for this 5 km near-Earth asteroid.

Phaethon peaks in brightness at $\mathrm{V} \sim 16.1$ in early December. Its phase angle ranges from a minimum of $9^{\circ}$ in late November to over $100^{\circ}$ in early March 2015.

| DATE | RA |  | DEC |  | $\triangle$ | $r$ | V | PH | Elo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/01 | 01 | 48.5 | +22 | 40 | 0.78 | 1.47 | 16.4 | 37 | 112 |
| 01/11 | 01 | 29.8 | +17 | 51 | 0.82 | 1.35 | 16.6 | 46 | 97 |
| 01/21 | 01 | 18.3 | +13 | 50 | 0.85 | 1.22 | 16.7 | 52 | 82 |
| 01/31 | 01 | 11.6 | +10 | 26 | 0.88 | 1.07 | 16.6 | 59 | 69 |
| 02/10 | 01 | 06.6 | +07 | 19 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 16.5 | 66 | 57 |
| 02/20 | 00 | 59.5 | +03 | 55 | 0.87 | 0.71 | 16.2 | 76 | 44 |

(3691) Bede ( $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{1 . 7 7} \mathrm{AU}, \mathrm{e}=\mathbf{0 . 2 8}, \mathrm{i}=20.3^{\circ}, \mathrm{H}=14.6$ )

Bede is a bit of an enigma. Spectra show it to be an Xc or Cgh carbonaceous asteroid. Such objects should be dark and yet its measured albedo is very high at $\sim 0.4$. This quarter Bede will peak near magnitude 14.8 in early March. Color phase photometry is possible over phase angles from $12^{\circ}$ to $52^{\circ}$.

| DATE | RA |  | DEC |  | $\Delta$ | $r$ | V | PH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elong |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $01 / 01$ | 11 | 12.1 | +32 | 27 | 0.98 | 1.70 | 17.0 | 29 |
| 0120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $01 / 11$ | 11 | 16.7 | +32 | 22 | 0.87 | 1.67 | 16.6 | 27 |
| $01 / 21$ | 11 | 15.9 | +32 | 21 | 0.76 | 1.63 | 16.2 | 24 |
| $01 / 31$ | 11 | 08.3 | +32 | 12 | 0.67 | 1.59 | 15.8 | 20 |
| 146 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $02 / 10$ | 10 | 53.3 | +31 | 34 | 0.60 | 1.55 | 15.3 | 15 |
| $02 / 20$ | 10 | 31.5 | +29 | 59 | 0.55 | 1.51 | 15.0 | 12 |
| 160 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $03 / 02$ | 10 | 06.1 | +27 | 05 | 0.51 | 1.48 | 14.8 | 14 |
| $03 / 12$ | 09 | 42.1 | +22 | 50 | 0.50 | 1.44 | 15.0 | 21 |
| 148 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $03 / 22$ | 09 | 23.6 | +17 | 44 | 0.51 | 1.41 | 15.2 | 28 |
| $04 / 01$ | 09 | 12.6 | +12 | 21 | 0.53 | 1.38 | 15.4 | 35 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(141527) 2002 FG7 ( $\mathbf{a}=1.51 \mathrm{AU}, \mathrm{e}=0.62, \mathrm{i}=9.1^{\circ}, \mathrm{H}=18.9$ )

Peaking at magnitude 14.2 in mid-March, 2002 FG7 has an excellent apparition for phase function determination as its phase angle ranges from $>100^{\circ}$ down to $12^{\circ}$. No physical characteristics have yet been published for this object. Phase angle, lightcurve and color photometry are requested.

| DATE | RA |  | DEC |  | $\triangle$ | $r$ | V | PH | Elong |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/09 | 23 | 54.1 | -56 | 53 | 0.06 | 0.95 | 18.1 | 124 | 52 |
| 03/10 | 00 | 03.8 | -64 | 10 | 0.05 | 0.96 | 17.2 | 116 | 60 |
| 03/11 | 00 | 27.3 | -73 | 18 | 0.05 | 0.97 | 16.4 | 107 | 70 |
| 03/12 | 02 | 27.0 | -83 | 39 | 0.04 | 0.98 | 15.6 | 95 | 81 |
| 03/13 | 09 | 41.3 | -79 | 53 | 0.04 | 0.99 | 15.0 | 81 | 95 |
| 03/14 | 10 | 44.9 | -66 | 19 | 0.04 | 1.01 | 14.5 | 67 | 110 |
| 03/15 | 11 | 02.1 | -53 | 11 | 0.04 | 1.02 | 14.3 | 53 | 123 |
| 03/16 | 11 | 10.0 | -41 | 57 | 0.05 | 1.03 | 14.2 | 42 | 135 |
| 03/22 | 11 | 23.3 | -09 | 37 | 0.10 | 1.09 | 14.9 | 12 | 166 |
| 04/01 | 11 | 28.9 | +03 | 06 | 0.21 | 1.20 | 16.8 | 16 | 160 |

(357439) 2004 BL86 ( $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{1 . 5 0} \mathrm{AU}, \mathrm{e}=\mathbf{0 . 4 0}, \mathrm{i}=23.7^{\circ}, \mathrm{H}=19.1$ )

The brightest NEA of 2015 will be (357439) 2004 BL86 at V ~ 9.4 making it an easy visual object in small telescopes. Almost nothing is known about the physical properties of 2004 BL86. This will undoubtedly change as its close approach will allow some of the highest resolution radar imaging yet of an asteroid.

Approaching Earth from the south, BL86 is solely a southern object till the day of its close approach on January 26 UT (when it will pass within 0.008 AU of Earth. A day after close approach it will only be visible from the Northern Hemisphere. Southern observers will be able to pick it up at a phase angle of $\sim 108^{\circ}$. All observers should be able to follow it to a minimum phase angle of $1.2^{\circ}$. Phase angle, lightcurve and color photometry are requested. At $9^{\text {th }}$ magnitude, it will be bright enough for small telescope spectroscopy.

| DATE | RA |  | DEC |  | $\triangle$ | $r$ | V | PH | Elong |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/01 | 23 | 50.9 | -71 | 24 | 0.22 | 0.90 | 19.4 | 103 | 64 |
| 01/11 | 00 | 22.2 | -72 | 24 | 0.14 | 0.93 | 18.7 | 107 | 64 |
| 01/21 | 01 | 46.5 | -74 | 17 | 0.05 | 0.96 | 16.7 | 108 | 69 |
| 01/22 | 02 | 14.3 | -74 | 26 | 0.04 | 0.97 | 16.2 | 106 | 70 |
| 01/23 | 02 | 56.3 | -74 | 14 | 0.03 | 0.97 | 15.6 | 104 | 73 |
| 01/24 | 04 | 01.2 | -72 | 52 | 0.02 | 0.98 | 14.7 | 100 | 78 |
| 01/25 | 05 | 33.1 | -67 | 17 | 0.01 | 0.98 | 13.5 | 91 | 87 |
| 01/26 | 07 | 12.4 | -46 | 13 | 0.01 | 0.98 | 11.5 | 67 | 112 |
| 01/27 | 08 | 29.6 | +08 | 29 | 0.01 | 0.99 | 9.4 | 10 | 169 |
| 01/28 | 09 | 20.4 | +43 | 01 | 0.01 | 0.99 | 11.1 | 25 | 153 |
| 01/29 | 09 | 53.4 | +54 | 49 | 0.02 | 1.00 | 12.4 | 38 | 141 |
| 01/31 | 10 | 15.6 | +59 | 58 | 0.03 | 1.00 | 13.3 | 43 | 135 |
| 02/10 | 11 | 18.5 | +68 | 10 | 0.13 | 1.06 | 16.7 | 50 | 123 |
| 02/20 | 11 | 21.6 | +67 | 56 | 0.22 | 1.12 | 17.9 | 48 | 122 |
| 03/02 | 11 | 17.8 | +66 | 25 | 0.31 | 1.18 | 18.7 | 46 | 120 |
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#### Abstract

Analysis of CCD photometry observations at the Center for Solar System Studies-Palmer Divide Station (CS3PDS) made in 2014 June-October found four main-belt binary candidates. 2012 Tantalus is a probable binary that shows a strong second period but no mutual events. (68348) 2001 LO7 is a probable binary, also showing a strong second period and no confirmed mutual events, but $P_{2}$ is a close to an integral multiple to $P_{1}$, which sometimes indicates a harmonic alias. (190208) 2006 AQ appears to be probable wide binary, showing a long period lightcurve superimposed by a short period, lower amplitude component. (276049) 2002 CE26 is a known binary (Shepard et al., 2004). Pravec et al. (2006) reported $P_{I}=3.293 \mathrm{~h}$ with no indications of the satellite. The 2014 observations at CS3 initially led to $P_{1}=$ 3.088 h . After further analysis and confirmation of the longer period (Pravec, personal communications), a period of $P_{I}=3.928 \mathrm{~h}$ was adopted. Unlike the earlier results, there were indications of a satellite with $P_{\text {orb }}=$ 16.26 h , which agrees with estimates for the orbital period based on the discovery radar observations. The lightcurve data, however, indicate a considerably larger satellite than indicated by radar.


CCD photometric observations of four main-belt asteroids made at the Center for Solar System Studies-Palmer Divide Station (CS3PDS) in 2014 June through October showed them to be binary candidates. Table I lists the telescope/CCD camera combinations used for the observations. All the cameras use CCD chips from the KAF blue-enhanced family and so have essentially the same response. The pixel scales for the combinations range from 1.20$1.60 \mathrm{arcsec} / \mathrm{pixel}$.

| Desig | Telescope | Camera |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Squirt | 0.30-m f/6.3 Schmidt-Cass | ML-1001E |
| Borealis | 0.35-m f/9.1 Schmidt-Cass | FLI-1001E |
| Eclipticalis | 0.35-m f/9.1 Schmidt-Cass | STL-1001E |
| Australius | 0.35-m f/9.1 Schmidt-Cass | STL-1001E |
| Zephyr | $0.50-\mathrm{m} \mathrm{f/8.1} \mathrm{R-C}$ | FLI-1001E |

Table I. List of CS3-PDS telescope/CCD camera combinations.
All lightcurve observations were unfiltered since a clear filter can result in a 0.1-0.3 magnitude loss. The exposure duration varied depending on the asteroid's brightness and sky motion. Guiding on a field star sometimes resulted in a trailed image for the asteroid.

Measurements were made using MPO Canopus. If necessary, an elliptical aperture with the long axis parallel to the asteroid's path was used. The Comp Star Selector utility in MPO Canopus found up to five comparison stars of near solar-color for differential photometry. Catalog magnitudes were usually taken from the MPOSC3 catalog, which is based on the 2MASS catalog (http://www.ipac.caltech.edu/2mass) but with magnitudes converted from J-K to BVRI using formulae developed by Warner

| Number | Name | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4} \mathbf{m m} / \mathbf{d d}$ | Pts | Phase | $\mathbf{L}_{\text {Pab }}$ | B $_{\text {PAB }}$ | Period | P.E. | Amp | A.E. |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2102 | Tantalus | $06 / 19-06 / 22$ | 490 | $55.1,52.8$ | 255 | 46 | 2.384 | 0.001 | 0.12 | 0.01 |
| 68348 | 2001 | LO7 | $06 / 22-06 / 30$ | 176 | $11.8,14.9$ | 265 | 20 | 3.869 | 0.001 | 0.1 |
| 190208 | 2006 AQ | $09 / 18-09 / 25$ | 264 | $8.4,15.2$ | 349 | 4 | 2.621 | 0.001 |  |  |
| 276049 | 2002 CE26 | $08 / 18-08 / 24$ | 245 | $42.0,39.0$ | 337 | 31 | 3.298 | 0.08 | 0.01 |  |

Table II. Observing circumstances. The period and amplitude are for the primary of the binary system. The phase angle ( $\alpha$ ) is given at the start and end of each date range, unless it reached an extremum, which is then the second of three values. If a single value is given, the phase angle did not change significantly and the average value is given. $L_{P A B}$ and $B_{P A B}$ are each the average phase angle bisector longitude and latitude, unless two values are given (first/last date in range).
(2007). When possible, magnitudes are taken from the APASS catalog (Henden et al., 2009) since these are derived directly from reductions based on Landolt standard fields. Using either catalog, the nightly zero points have been found to be consistent to about $\pm 0.05 \mathrm{mag}$ or better, but on occasion are as large as 0.1 mag . This consistency is critical to analysis of long period and/or tumbling asteroids. Period analysis is also done using MPO Canopus, which implements the FALC algorithm developed by Harris (Harris et al., 1989).

In the plots below, the "Reduced Magnitude" is Johnson V as indicated in the Y-axis title. These are values that have been converted from sky magnitudes to unity distance by applying $-5^{*} \log (\mathrm{r} \Delta)$ to the measured sky magnitudes with r and $\Delta$ being, respectively, the Sun-asteroid and Earth-asteroid distances in AU. The magnitudes were normalized to the given phase angle, e.g., alpha $\left(6.5^{\circ}\right)$, using $G=0.15$, unless otherwise stated. The horizontal axis is the rotational phase, ranging from -0.05 to 1.05 .

For the sake of brevity, only some of the previously reported results may be referenced in the discussions on specific asteroids. For a more complete listing, the reader is directed to the asteroid lightcurve database (LCDB; Warner et al., 2009). The on-line version at http://www.minorplanet.info/lightcurvedatabase.html allows direct queries that can be filtered a number of ways and the results saved to a text file. A set of text files of the main LCDB tables, including the references with bibcodes, is also available for download. Readers are strongly encouraged to obtain, when possible, the original references listed in the LCDB for their work.
Individual Results

2102 Tantalus. Pravec et al. (1997) reported a weighted average period of 2.391 h , although one set of observations found a synodic period of 2.380 h , which is close to the period given here.


The plot labeled "No Sub" shows the results before using the dual period search feature of MPO Canopus. The larger than usual scatter prompted a search for a second period on the off-chance that it was due to something other than systematic or random
reasons. The "P1" plot shows the eventual result for the purported primary of a binary system and shows considerably less scatter. The "P2" plot shows the lightcurve for the second period. This can be interpreted as an elongated satellite with a rotation period of 16.49 hours with the viewing geometry not favorable for seeing mutual events (occultations and/or eclipses). A half-period solution is also possible, but that would be too short if assuming typical densities for the two bodies. Since there are no mutual events seen, this is listed as a probable binary.


(68348) 2001 LO7. Skiff (2011) reported a period of 3.324 h based on two nights of observations in 2011. He did not report any indications of a satellite based on about 12 hours of observations.


The "No Sub" plot shows some significant deviations, too much in most cases to be due to systematic or random effects. This prompted a dual period search which led to the results shown in the "P1" and "P2" plots. The P1 plot shows considerably less scatter. The period is also with the typical range for a small binary asteroid (Pravec et al., 2010). The P2 plot has a period of 17.54 h , also within range of typical values given the primary period. The lightcurve is not complete but does show the typical bowing due to the rotation of a tidally-locked, elongated satellite. There are no obvious signs of mutual events, and so this must be listed as a probable binary candidate.

There is a caveat to the results for 2001 LO7. P2 happens to be very close to an integral ratio of $9: 2$ with P1. When the two periods have an integral ratio, there is a possibility that they are harmonically related and, therefore, due to the Fourier analysis locking onto noise of the shorter period to find a longer period.

This is sometimes called a harmonic alias. Such aliasing is not considered likely in this case given the significant improvement in the P1 lightcurve when subtracting P2 or, put another way, the amplitude of P2 is larger than might be expected due to random or systematic effects.
(190208) 2006 AQ. There are three basic types of small binary asteroids. One is the fully synchronous binary, where both bodies have the same rotation period that is also the orbital period. The fully asynchronous binary has both the primary and satellite rotating with different periods and the satellite's period is different from its orbital period. The two asteroids before are examples of the third type, the semi-synchronous binary, which is characterized by the primary and secondary having different rotation periods but the satellite's rotation period is also its orbital period. Jacobson and Scheeres (2011) discuss the different types in detail, how they form, and how they evolve. It is highly recommended reading.

2006 AQ is believed to be a member of the second type, i.e., a fully-asynchronous type and, more specifically, part of the wide binary subset. These objects show a long period lightcurve with an amplitude of at least 0.2 mag , often much larger, and periods usually in the hundreds of hours. On top of this is a short period, low amplitude lightcurve that is sometimes hard to distinguish from noise. Due to the conservation of rotational energy, the primary is responsible for the long period lightcurve. The fullyasynchronous satellite produces the short period lightcurve. The orbital period is very long and will likely never be known by photometric means since the odds of seeing mutual events are extremely remote.

The "Raw" plot shows the data for 2006 AQ before any period analysis. A long period component seems very apparent. There are sometimes hints of the short period on this scale but usually the evidence that prompts a dual period search is found when looking at the individual nights and seeing what appears to be short term periodic behavior beyond random noise. The dual period search in MPO Canopus found the results shown in "P1" and "P2". The plot in P1 is one of the clearer cases of a short period component seen to-date. The curve in P2 is seen to have "cleaned up" somewhat from the raw plot after removing the P1 component.

It is important to emphasize that there is not a binary hiding within every long period lightcurve. Considerable care and review must be used to make sure that the short period component is not just the result of the Fourier analysis locking onto random noise. One way to avoid this is to use low-order analysis, e.g., no more than $4^{\text {th }}$ order. Often, because of the relatively sparse coverage of the long period, a $2^{\text {nd }}$ order search is the highest used for that period.



(276049) 2002 CE26.





This asteroid was discovered to be a binary by radar observations (Shepard et al., 2004). The estimates at the time were for a primary of 3 km and satellite of 0.2 km effective diameters. This gives $\mathrm{Ds} / \mathrm{Dp} \sim 0.07$. As such, it would not seem likely that ground-based photometry with small telescopes could detect the satellite since the mutual events would be on the order of 0.01 mag (Pravec et al., 2006). They observed the asteroid in 2004 August and found a period of 3.293 h but no evidence for the satellite, which radar also indicated had an orbital period of about 16 hours. These numbers and results set the background for the analysis of the 2014 data from CS3-PDS.

The "No Sub" plot shows the 2014 data after a period search from 2 to 5 hours without trying to subtract any effects of a satellite. The scatter does not appear to random, which would lead to a dual period search even if a satellite was not known to exist. The period
spectrum shows the results after finding a second period of $P=16.26 \mathrm{~h}$, which is in agreement with the estimated period from radar observations, and is shown in the "P2" plot.

The period spectrum shows that two periods are favored, the one from Pravec et al. being the lesser of the two over one of 3.088 hours found from the PDS data. The results are labelled as "Warner" and "Pravec" in the lightcurves. It should be noted that using either short period in the dual period search produced the same value for $P 2$ and an identical lightcurve.

The difference between the two short periods is one-half cycle over 24 hours, or what is sometimes called a rotational alias, which is where the number of rotations over a given period is ambiguous. The data set for PDS and Pravec et al. spanned 6 days, which should have been enough to resolve the period. However, the Pravec data were obtained from several stations from different longitudes, which favors their result since rotational aliases are often difficult to break when using data from a single station. In fact, Pravec (personal communications) confirmed that the 3.293 hour period that Pravec et al. reported is secure. Their period spectrum shows no indication of a solution near 3.088 hours. Therefore, the longer period found using the PDS data, i.e., 3.298 h , is adopted for this paper.

Just as much of a mystery is the lightcurve for P2. It does not seem to show signs of mutual events but, in general, does resemble a tidally-locked, elongated satellite. If the "dip" at about 0.5 rotation phase is due to an event, then this would indicate a Ds/Dp far greater than 0.07 , i.e., it would be more on the order of 0.25 . This contradicts the radar evidence. Even if not due to an event, the feature is too deep and wide to fit with the estimated size of 0.2 km for the satellite.

Follow-up observations would usually be encouraged. However, except for the 2015 January apparition when the asteroid is V ~ 18.8 at $-43^{\circ}$ declination, it does not get brighter than $V \sim 19.7$ until 2024 September. At which time it will range from $\mathrm{V} \sim 15.6$ and $+22^{\circ}$ at the first of the month to $\mathrm{V} \sim 16.9$ and $-70^{\circ}$ at the end of the month. Unfortunately, it will be moving through the rich star fields of Cygnus, Aquila, and points south, making it a difficult target. Even so, the next generation of photometrists should make note.
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#### Abstract

We present lists of asteroid photometry opportunities for objects reaching a favorable apparition and have no or poorly-defined lightcurve parameters. Additional data on these objects will help with shape and spin axis modeling via lightcurve inversion. We also include lists of objects that will be the target of radar observations. Lightcurves for these objects can help constrain pole solutions and/or remove rotation period ambiguities that might not come from using radar data alone.


We present several lists of asteroids that are prime targets for photometry during the period 2015 January-March.

In the first three sets of tables, "Dec" is the declination and "U" is the quality code of the lightcurve. See the asteroid lightcurve data
base (LCDB; Warner et al., 2009) documentation for an explanation of the $U$ code:

## http://www.minorplanet.info/lightcurvedatabase.html

The ephemeris generator on the CALL web site allows you to create custom lists for objects reaching $\mathrm{V} \leq 18.0$ during any month in the current year, e.g., limiting the results by magnitude and declination.

## http://www.minorplanet.info/PHP/call_OppLCDBQuery.php

We refer you to past articles, e.g., Minor Planet Bulletin 36, 188, for more detailed discussions about the individual lists and points of advice regarding observations for objects in each list.

Once you've obtained and analyzed your data, it's important to publish your results. Papers appearing in the Minor Planet Bulletin are indexed in the Astrophysical Data System (ADS) and so can be referenced by others in subsequent papers. It's also important to make the data available at least on a personal website or upon request. We urge you to consider submitting your raw data to the ALCDEF page on the Minor Planet Center web site:

## http://www.minorplanetcenter.net/light_curve

We believe this to be the largest publicly available database of raw lightcurve data that contains 1.5 million observations for more than 2300 objects.

## Lightcurve/Photometry Opportunities

Objects with $\mathrm{U}=1$ should be given higher priority over those rated $\mathrm{U}=2$ or $2+$ but not necessarily over those with no period. On the other hand, do not overlook asteroids with $\mathrm{U}=2 / 2+$ on the assumption that the period is sufficiently established. Regardless, do not let the existing period influence your analysis since even high quality ratings have been proven wrong at times. Note that the lightcurve amplitude in the tables could be more or less than what's given. Use the listing only as a guide

An asterisk (*) follows the name if the asteroid is reaching a particularly favorable apparition. A hashtag (\#) indicates a nearEarth asteroid (NEA).

|  |  | Brightest |  |  | LCDB Data |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | Name | Date | Mag | Dec | Period | Amp | U |
| 6023 | Tsuyashima* | 0101.6 | 15.5 | +18 |  |  |  |
| 7068 | Minowa* | 0103.0 | 15.0 | +22 |  |  |  |
| 6141 | Durda* | 0103.8 | 14.2 | +24 | 460. | 0.50 | $2+$ |
| 2444 | Lederle* | 0103.9 | 14.4 | +24 | 17.85 | 0.18-0.45 | $2+$ |
| 3272 | Tillandz* | 0104.1 | 15.0 | +24 |  |  |  |
| 6445 | Bellmore* | 0104.2 | 15.4 | +13 |  |  |  |
| 4039 | Souseki* | 0104.3 | 15.3 | +22 |  |  |  |
| 5394 | Jurgens* | 0104.5 | 15.1 | +23 |  |  |  |
| 2999 | Dante* | 0106.8 | 15.5 | +29 |  |  |  |
| 16592 | 1992 TM1* | 0108.4 | 14.9 | +16 |  |  |  |
| 2663 | Miltiades* | 0108.6 | 15.4 | +35 |  | 0.5 |  |
| 3754 | Kathleen* | 0109.1 | 14.2 | +22 | 11.17 | 0.13-0.18 | 2 |
| 3859 | Borngen* | 0110.3 | 15.4 | +21 |  |  |  |
| 8508 | 1991 CU1* | 0110.8 | 15.4 | +20 |  |  |  |
| 1646 | Rosseland* | 0111.3 | 14.2 | +19 | 69.2 | 0.13 | 2 |
| 85804 | 1998 WQ5*\# | 0112.0 | 14.9 | +13 | 3.0089 | 0.10 | $2+$ |
| 1220 | Crocus* | 0112.1 | 15.3 | +21 | 737. | 0.15-0.87 | 2 |
| 36619 | 2000 QE151* | 0116.1 | 15.4 | +22 |  |  |  |
| 2737 | Kotka* | 0116.2 | 14.4 | +32 | 12.157 | 0.30 | $2+$ |
| 2310 | Olshaniya* | 0117.3 | 14.9 | +20 |  |  |  |
| 6901 | Roybishop* | 0117.4 | 15.0 | +8 | 4.682 | 0.04 | 2 |
| 2455 | Somville* | 0118.7 | 14.9 | +21 |  |  |  |
| 3711 | Ellensburg* | 0119.4 | 15.5 | +25 |  |  |  |
| 1613 | Smiley* | 0122.4 | 13.7 | +25 | 80.61 | 0.29-0.30 | $2+$ |
| 45224 | 1999 X0209* | 0124.2 | 15.3 | +23 |  |  |  |
| 4703 | Kagoshima* | 0124.8 | 15.2 | +17 | 4.092 | 0.03 | 2 |
| 2540 | Blok* | 0126.9 | 14.9 | +17 |  |  |  |


|  |  | Brightest |  |  |  | LCDB Data |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | Name | Dat |  | Mag | Dec | Period | Amp | U |
| 357439 | 2004 BL86*\# | 01 | 27.1 | 9.4 | +8 |  |  |  |
| 3446 | Combes* | 01 | 29.4 | 15.3 | +32 |  |  |  |
| 2601 | Bologna* | 02 | 01.1 | 15.4 | +12 |  | 0.10 |  |
| 52269 | 1988 CU* | 02 | 02.0 | 15.4 | +10 |  |  |  |
| 11512 | 1991 AB2* | 02 | 02.6 | 15.4 | +12 |  |  |  |
| 449 | Hamburga* | 02 | 03.3 | 11.6 | +21 | 18.263 | 0.08-0.17 |  |
| 1911 | Schubart* | 02 | 03.6 | 14.7 | +16 |  |  |  |
| 21373 | 1997 VF6* | 02 | 04.4 | 15.5 | -1 |  |  |  |
| 2864 | Soderblom* | 02 | 05.3 | 15.5 | +16 |  |  |  |
| 10111 | Fresnel* | 02 | 06.8 | 15.2 | +15 |  |  |  |
| 3395 | Jitka* | 02 | 07.9 | 15.1 | +20 |  |  |  |
| 70171 | 1999 OL2* | 02 | 08.1 | 15.3 | +10 |  |  |  |
| 2136 | Jugta* | 02 | 09.2 | 15.2 | +12 |  |  |  |
| 2929 | Harris* | 02 | 12.0 | 15.5 | +14 | 3.112 | 0.3 | 2 |
| 4670 | Yoshinogawa* | 02 | 12.0 | 15.5 | +13 |  |  |  |
| 4364 | Shkodrov* | 02 | 12.1 | 15.4 | +14 |  |  |  |
| 68569 | 2001 YE3* | 02 | 12.6 | 15.1 | -27 |  |  |  |
| 583 | Klotilde* | 02 | 13.0 | 12.7 | +2 | 9.2116 | 0.18-0.41 | 2 |
| 1389 | Onnie* | 02 | 17.4 | 15.3 | +11 | 22.5 | 0.34 | 2 |
| 22128 | 2000 SH242* | 02 | 21.8 | 15.5 | +20 |  |  |  |
| 21690 | 1999 RA39* | 02 | 22.9 | 15.0 | +14 |  |  |  |
| 1027 | Aesculapia* | 02 | 23.0 | 14.3 | +12 | 6.83 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 1657 | Roemera* | 02 | 23.2 | 15.0 | +42 | 34. | 0.09-0.15 | 2 |
| 6880 | Hayamiyu* | 02 | 24.0 | 15.5 | +12 |  |  |  |
| 4494 | Marimo* | 02 | 27.7 | 15.3 | +5 |  |  |  |
| 44262 | 1998 QR51* | 02 | 28.1 | 15.0 | + 7 |  |  |  |
| 3691 | Bede*\# | 02 | 28.8 | 14.8 | +27 | 226.8 | 0.05-0.5 | 2 |
| 1511 | Dalera* | 03 | 03.3 | 14.9 | +14 |  |  |  |
| 4056 | Timwarner* | 03 | 04.3 | 15.4 | +14 |  |  |  |
| 16029 | 1999 DQ6* | 03 | 04.3 | 15.4 | +2 |  |  |  |
| 70474 | 1999 TH35* | 03 | 05.8 | 15.4 | +27 |  |  |  |
| 4638 | Estens* | 03 | 06.0 | 15.4 | +0 | 3.029 | 0.16 | $2-$ |
| 5334 | Mishima* | 03 | 08.8 | 15.0 | +4 |  |  |  |
| 1907 | Rudneva* | 03 | 09.4 | 14.9 | +6 | 44. | 0.1 |  |
| 1238 | Predappia* | 03 | 13.3 | 15.1 | +20 | 8.94 | 0.03 |  |
| 1194 | Aletta* | 03 | 13.7 | 14.3 | -11 | 20.39 | 0.28-0.32 |  |
| 141527 | 2002 FG7*\# | 03 | 16.2 | 14.1 | -42 |  |  |  |
| 2879 | Shimizu* | 03 | 16.3 | 14.8 | +9 | 18.72 | 0.08 | 2 |
| 10143 | Kamogawa* | 03 | 16.6 | 15.4 | -20 |  |  |  |
| 2296 | Kugultinov* | 03 | 16.7 | 15.1 | +3 | 10.41 | 0.03 | 1 |
| 5181 | SURF* | 03 | 18.5 | 15.4 | +6 |  |  |  |
| 3940 | Larion* | 03 | 22.1 | 14.4 | -11 | 84. | 0.08-0.31 | 2 |
| 5048 | Moriarty* | 03 | 22.5 | 15.4 | -2 |  |  |  |
| 16813 | 1997 UT6* | 03 | 25.6 | 15.5 | -4 |  |  |  |
| 6518 | Vernon* | 03 | 25.8 | 15.0 | +22 |  |  |  |
| 4676 | Uedaseiji* | 03 | 29.7 | 15.5 | -11 |  |  |  |

## Low Phase Angle Opportunities

The Low Phase Angle list includes asteroids that reach very low phase angles. The " $\alpha$ " column is the minimum solar phase angle for the asteroid. Getting accurate, calibrated measurements (usually V band) at or very near the day of opposition can provide important information for those studying the "opposition effect."

You will have the best chance of success working objects with low amplitude and periods that allow covering at least half a cycle every night. Objects with large amplitudes and/or long periods are much more difficult for phase angle studies since, for proper analysis, the data have to be reduced to the average magnitude of the asteroid for each night. This reduction requires that you determine the period and the amplitude of the lightcurve; for long period objects that can be tricky. Refer to Harris, et al. ("Phase Relations of High Albedo Asteroids." Icarus 81, p365 ff) for the details of the analysis procedure.

As an aside, some use the maximum light to find the phase slope parameter $(G)$. However, this can produce a significantly different value for both $H$ and $G$ versus when using average light, which is the method used for values listed by the Minor Planet Center.

| \# | Name |  | Date | $\alpha$ | V | Dec | Period | Amp | U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 364 | Isara | 01 | 01.1 | 0.58 | 11.4 | +22 | 9.156 | 0.30-0.40 | 3 |
| 346 | Hermentaria | 01 | 03.7 | 0.93 | 10.7 | +25 | 28.43 | 0.07-0.20 | 2 |
| 408 | Fama | 01 | 10.6 | 0.63 | 13.1 | +24 | 202.1 | 0.58 | 3 |
| 431 | Nephele | 01 | 18.6 | 0.12 | 13.6 | +21 | 18.821 | 0.03-0.30 | 2 |
| 623 | Chimaera | 01 | 29.7 | 0.23 | 13.4 | +18 | 14.635 | 0.12-0.18 | 3 |
| 71 | Niobe | 02 | 04.8 | 0.21 | 10.6 | +17 | 35.864 | 0.12-0.22 | 3 |


| \# | Name |  | Date | $\alpha$ | V | Dec | Period | Amp | U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 435 | Ella | 02 | 05.2 | 0.96 | 13.7 | +19 | 4.623 | 0.30-0.45 | 3 |
| 89 | Julia | 02 | 05.7 | 0.73 | 10.4 | +14 | 11.387 | 0.10-0.25 | 3 |
| 335 | Roberta | 02 | 05.9 | 0.56 | 12.7 | +14 | 12.054 | 0.05-0.78 | 3 |
| 222 | Lucia | 02 | 11.0 | 0.81 | 13.7 | +17 | 7.80 | 0.25-0.38 | 3 |
| 58 | Concordia | 02 | 16.3 | 0.75 | 12.1 | +11 | 9.895 | 0.10-0.15 | 3 |
| 545 | Messalina | 02 | 18.8 | 0.30 | 13.9 | +13 | 7.2 | 0.27 | 3 |
| 324 | Bamberga | 02 | 19.9 | 0.25 | 11.1 | +11 | 29.43 | 0.07-0.12 | 3 |
| 231 | Vindobona | 02 | 20.1 | 0.85 | 13.5 | +14 | 14.245 | 0.20-0.29 | 3 |
| 358 | Apollonia | 02 | 26.2 | 0.50 | 12.8 | +08 | 50.6 | 0.04-0.15 | 3- |
| 76 | Freia | 02 | 28.1 | 0.72 | 12.1 | +06 | 9.969 | 0.06-0.33 | 3 |
| 656 | Beagle | 03 | 01.8 | 0.15 | 13.4 | +07 | 7.035 | 0.9-1.2 | 3 |
| 214 | Aschera | 03 | 04.1 | 0.10 | 12.1 | +07 | 6.835 | 0.20-0.22 | 3 |
| 755 | Quintilla | 03 | 14.3 | 0.16 | 13.5 | +02 | 4.552 | 0.08-0.45 | 3 |
| 120 | Lachesis | 03 | 18.0 | 0.95 | 11.8 | -01 | 46.551 | 0.14-0.22 | 3 |
| 190 | Ismene | 03 | 20.2 | 0.14 | 12.9 | +01 | 6.52 | 0.10-0.16 | 3 |
| 1126 | Otero | 03 | 22.0 | 0.05 | 13.4 | +00 | 3.648 | 0.69-0.70 | 3 |
| 90 | Antiope | 03 | 22.4 | 0.83 | 13.1 | +02 | 16.509 | 0.08-0.90 | 3 |
| 365 | Corduba | 03 | 25.0 | 0.15 | 13.3 | -02 | 6.354 | 0.05-0.15 | 2 |
| 149 | Medusa | 03 | 26.1 | 0.32 | 13.2 | -01 | 26.023 | 0.47-0.56 | 3 |
| 236 | Honoria | 03 | 30.0 | 0.17 | 12.7 | -03 | 12.333 | 0.05-0.18 | $2+$ |
| 312 | Pierretta | 03 | 31.9 | 0.43 | 12.1 | -05 | 10.282 | 0.30-0.32 | 3 |

## Shape/Spin Modeling Opportunities

Those doing work for modeling should contact Josef Durech at the email address above. If looking to add lightcurves for objects with existing models, visit the Database of Asteroid Models from Inversion Techniques (DAMIT) web site

## http://astro.troja.mff.cuni.cz/projects/asteroids3D

Below is a list of objects reaching brightest this quarter with welldetermined periods and for which there is no pole solution in the LCDB. They are further limited to those reaching a favorable apparition. Since they have a high $U$ rating, this means there is at least one dense lightcurve of high quality. An additional dense lightcurve, along with sparse data, could lead to the asteroid being added to or improving one in DAMIT, thus increasing the total number of asteroids with spin axis and shape models.

Note that you can compare and combine the results of searches using the ephemeris generator and LCDB query (limited to with or without a pole solution) at the sites listed above to create your own customized list of objects.

|  | Brightest |  |  |  | LCDB Data |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# Name |  | ate | Mag | Dec | Period | Amp | U |
| 1672 Gezelle | 01 | 02.1 | 14.1 | +21 | 40.72 | 0.2-0.56 | 3 |
| 19982 Barbaradoore | 01 | 03.0 | 15.0 | +30 | 3.3162 | 0.28 | 3 |
| 2445 Blazhko | 01 | 03.1 | 13.7 | +26 | 3.6197 | 0.57 | 3 |
| 2708 Burns | 01 | 03.7 | 15.1 | +22 | 5.315 | 0.52 | 3 |
| 1386662000 RX96 | 01 | 04.6 | 16.0 | +19 | 8.6824 | 0.80-0.88 | 3 |
| 1670 Minnaert | 01 | 08.0 | 15.0 | +35 | 3.528 | 0.23-0.25 | 3 |
| 3065 Sarahill | 01 | 17.9 | 15.4 | +21 | 7.4525 | 0.95 | 3 |
| 5036 Tuttle | 01 | 19.8 | 15.3 | +21 | 3.775 | 0.30-0.42 | 3 |
| 5931 Zhvanetskij | 01 | 21.0 | 15.7 | -5 | 12.006 | 0.63 | $3-$ |
| 7829 Jaroff | 01 | 21.3 | 15.8 | -3 | 4.398 | 0.50-0.51 | 3 |
| 177381998 BS15 | 01 | 22.3 | 14.8 | +27 | 4.235 | 0.10 | 3 |
| 1030671999 XA143 | 01 | 24.7 | 15.6 | +44 | 9.849 | 0.49 | 3 |
| 3824 Brendalee | 01 | 25.9 | 14.5 | +21 | 4.52 | 0.18 | 3 |
| 996 Hilaritas | 01 | 26.6 | 14.2 | +19 | 10.05 | 0.63-0.70 | 3 |
| 1708 Polit | 01 | 27.6 | 14.2 | +9 | 7.52 | 0.30-0.50 | 3 |
| 623 Chimaera | 01 | 29.7 | 13.4 | +18 | 14.635 | 0.12-0.18 | 3 |
| 1547 Nele | 02 | 01.9 | 13.0 | +8 | 7.1 | 0.18-0.45 | $3-$ |
| 6646 Churanta | 02 | 03.3 | 15.8 | +35 | 5.8711 | 0.73-0.77 | 3 |
| 1584 Fuji | 02 | 09.3 | 12.6 | -3 | 14.88 | 0.13-0.29 | 3 |
| 1845 Helewalda | 02 | 10.0 | 14.7 | +14 | 7.2786 | 0.20-0.28 | $3-$ |
| 58 Concordia | 02 | 16.3 | 12.1 | +11 | 9.895 | 0.10-0.15 | 3 |
| 5481 Kiuchi | 02 | 17.9 | 15.6 | +11 | 3.6196 | 0.08-0.10 | 3 |
| 3192 A'Hearn | 02 | 20.6 | 15.3 | +16 | 3.16 | 0.20 | 3 |
| 3642 Frieden | 02 | 22.2 | 14.5 | +18 | 14.491 | 0.13 | 3 |
| 1090 Sumida | 02 | 25.9 | 14.1 | +16 | 2.719 | 0.10-0.28 | 3 |
| 656 Beagle | 03 | 01.8 | 13.4 | +7 | 7.035 | 0.9-1.2 | 3 |
| 2284 San Juan | 03 | 06.9 | 14.8 | +9 | 9.16 | 0.60 | 3 |
| 3493 Stepanov | 03 | 07.4 | 15.0 | +13 | 6.112 | 0.77-0.80 | 3 |
| 55151989 EL1 | 03 | 07.6 | 15.2 | +4 | 5.23 | 0.58 | 3 |
| 63821988 EL | 03 | 07.8 | 14.5 | +9 | 2.895 | 0.06-0.08 | 3 |
| 2291 Kevo | 03 | 08.9 | 14.6 | +4 | 11.971 | 0.27 | $3-$ |
| 546 Herodias | 03 | 09.8 | 12.7 | +18 | 10.77 | 0.07-0.18 | 3 |
| 744 Aguntina | 03 | 10.0 | 14.0 | +9 | 17.47 | 0.50 | 3 |


|  | Brightest |  |  |  | LCDB Data |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# Name |  | ate | Mag | Dec | Period | Amp | U |
| 1095 Tulipa | 03 | 15.4 | 14.3 | +1 | 2.7872 | 0.17-0.21 | 3 |
| 120 Lachesis | 03 | 18.0 | 11.8 | -1 | 46.551 | 0.14-0.22 | 3 |
| 4375 Kiyomori | 03 | 26.4 | 15.2 | +6 | 6.4709 | 0.15-0.16 | 3 |
| 2449 Kenos | 03 | 28.8 | 15.7 | +4 | 3.8492 | 0.16-0.23 | 3 |
| 6619 Kolya | 03 | 31.8 | 15.1 | +0 | 10.5011 | 0.39 | 3 |
| 72831989 TX15 | 03 | 31.8 | 15.9 | -3 | 2.6747 | 0.19 | 3 |

## Radar-Optical Opportunities

There are several resources to help plan observations in support of radar.

Future radar targets:
http://echo.jpl.nasa.gov/~lance/future.radar.nea.periods.html
Past radar targets:
http://echo.jpl.nasa.gov/~lance/radar.nea.periods.html
Arecibo targets:
http://www.naic.edu/~pradar/sched.shtml
http://www.naic.edu/~pradar
Goldstone targets:
http://echo.jpl.nasa.gov/asteroids/goldstone_asteroid_schedule.html
However, these are based on known targets at the time the list was prepared. It is very common for newly discovered objects to move up the list and become radar targets on short notice. We recommend that you keep up with the latest discoveries using the RSS feeds from the Minor Planet Center

## http://www.minorplanetcenter.net/iau/rss/mpc_feeds.html

In particular, monitor the NEA feed and be flexible with your observing program. In some cases, you may have only 1-3 days when the asteroid is within reach of your equipment. Be sure to keep in touch with the radar team if you get data (through Dr. Benner's email listed above). They may not always be observing the target but, in some cases, your initial results may change their plans. In all cases, your efforts are greatly appreciated.

Use the ephemerides below as a guide to your best chances for observing, but remember that photometry may be possible before and/or after the ephemerides given below. Note that geocentric positions are given. Use these web sites to generate updated and topocentric positions:

## MPC: http://www.minorplanetcenter.net/iau/MPEph/MPEph.html JPL: http://ssd.jpl.nasa.gov/?horizons

In the ephemerides below, ED and SD are, respectively, the Earth and Sun distances (AU), V is the estimated Johnson V magnitude, and $\alpha$ is the phase angle. SE and ME are the great circles distances (in degrees) of the Sun and Moon from the asteroid. MP is the lunar phase and GB is the galactic latitude. "PHA" in the header indicates that the object is a "potentially hazardous asteroid", meaning that at some (long distant) time, its orbit might take it very close to Earth.

## (357439) 2004 BL86 (Jan, H = 18.8, PHA)

This is going to be one of the more spectacular NEA fly-bys in current times. The asteroid will come within 3.7 lunar distances on January 26 and reach a magnitude of about 9.1 about 0 h UT on January 27, making it visible even in binoculars and small telescopes. This is the largest known object to come this close until 2027. Little is known about the physical characteristics of 2004 BL84, i.e., its actual size (estimated to be 0.5 km ), its albedo, or its
rotation period. Astrometry in mid-January, before the close flyby, is strongly encouraged. Otherwise the pointing uncertainty may be so large that radar will miss the object altogether. The best photometry chances are in the hours and few days immediately after closest approach. Plan ahead and be prepared. For more details about the radar campaign, visit http:// echo.jpl.nasa.gov/asteroids/2004BL86/2004BL86 planning.html

| DATE |  |  | Dec |  | ED | SD | V | $\alpha$ | SE | ME | MP | GB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/15 | 00 | 39.8 | -72 | 59 | 0.11 | 0.94 | 18.2 | 109.2 | 65 | 91 | -0.34 | -44 |
| 01/18 | 01 | 01.5 | -73 | 34 | 0.08 | 0.95 | 17.6 | 109.4 | 66 | 78 | -0.09 | -44 |
| 01/21 | 01 | 45.8 | -74 | 17 | 0.05 | 0.97 | 16.7 | 108.0 | 69 | 73 | +0.00 | -42 |
| 01/24 | 04 | 00.0 | -72 | 55 | 0.03 | 0.98 | 14.7 | 100.2 | 78 | 82 | +0.17 | -38 |
| 01/27 | 08 | 29.6 | +08 | 30 | 0.01 | 0.99 | 9.4 | 10.2 | 170 | 93 | +0.48 | +26 |
| 01/30 | 10 | 15.9 | +60 | 02 | 0.03 | 1.01 | 13.3 | 43.7 | 135 | 70 | +0.78 | +48 |
| 02/02 | 10 | 51.4 | +65 | 31 | 0.06 | 1.02 | 14.8 | 49.3 | 128 | 59 | +0.96 | +47 |
| 02/05 | 11 | 07.4 | +67 | 14 | 0.08 | 1.04 | 15.7 | 50.6 | 126 | 59 | -0.99 | +47 |
| 02/08 | 11 | 15.6 | +67 | 57 | 0.11 | 1.05 | 16.3 | 50.6 | 124 | 70 | -0.86 | +47 |
| 02/11 | 11 | 19.8 | +68 | 15 | 0.14 | 1.07 | 16.8 | 50.3 | 124 | 87 | -0.62 | +47 |

2007 EC (Jan, H = 22.2)
This NEA spends the vast majority of its time inside the Earth's orbit, so opportunities to catch it are not too common. The estimated size is only 100 meters. This makes it a good candidate for being a super-fast rotator, i.e., one with a period of $<2$ hours. As far as we can tell, the rotation period has not been reported.

| DATE |  | RA | Dec |  | ED | SD | V | $\alpha$ | SE | ME | MP | GB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/10 | 19 | 44.7 | +48 | 01 | 0.04 | 0.97 | 18.9 | 107.8 | 70 | 114 | -0.80 | +12 |
| 01/13 | 20 | 57.4 | +62 | 25 | 0.03 | 0.98 | 17.8 | 92.6 | 86 | 108 | -0.54 | +11 |
| 01/16 | 00 | 42.2 | +71 | 15 | 0.03 | 0.99 | 17.0 | 73.6 | 105 | 119 | -0.25 | +8 |
| 01/19 | 03 | 56.6 | +59 | 34 | 0.03 | 1.00 | 16.6 | 56.5 | 122 | 130 | -0.04 | +5 |
| 01/22 | 04 | 58.0 | +45 | 13 | 0.04 | 1.01 | 16.8 | 46.0 | 132 | 113 | +0.03 | +2 |
| 01/25 | 05 | 24.2 | +34 | 51 | 0.04 | 1.02 | 17.1 | 41.5 | 137 | 76 | +0.26 | -1 |
| 01/28 | 05 | 39.0 | +27 | 47 | 0.05 | 1.03 | 17.5 | 40.3 | 138 | 38 | +0.59 | -2 |
| 01/31 | 05 | 48.9 | +22 | 52 | 0.06 | 1.03 | 17.9 | 40.6 | 137 | 4 | +0.86 | -2 |
| 02/03 | 05 | 56.4 | +19 | 20 | 0.08 | 1.04 | 18.3 | 41.7 | 135 | 34 | +0.99 | -3 |
| 02/06 | 06 | 02.5 | +16 | 42 | 0.09 | 1.05 | 18.7 | 43.0 | 134 | 68 | -0.96 | -3 |

## (90416) 2003 YK118 (Jan-Feb, H = 18.5, PHA)

This potentially hazardous asteroid (PHA) will ride high in the northern sky for several weeks as 2015 begins. There are no known lightcurve parameters for the asteroid, which has an estimated size of 0.6 km .

| DATE | RA |  | Dec |  | ED | SD | V | $\alpha$ | SE | ME | MP | GB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/01 | 08 | 06.0 | +39 | 18 | 0.40 | 1.35 | 18.0 | 17.1 | 156 | 67 | +0.83 | +31 |
| 01/06 | 08 | 00.3 | +40 | 07 | 0.35 | 1.32 | 17.7 | 15.5 | 159 | 24 | -0.99 | +30 |
| 01/11 | 07 | 51.8 | +40 | 54 | 0.31 | 1.28 | 17.3 | 15.0 | 160 | 64 | -0.72 | +28 |
| 01/16 | 07 | 40.2 | +41 | 34 | 0.27 | 1.24 | 17.0 | 16.1 | 159 | 122 | -0.25 | +26 |
| 01/21 | 07 | 24.9 | +41 | 59 | 0.24 | 1.21 | 16.8 | 19.3 | 156 | 149 | +0.00 | +24 |
| 01/26 | 07 | 05.5 | +42 | 01 | 0.21 | 1.17 | 16.6 | 24.4 | 151 | 83 | +0.37 | +20 |
| 01/31 | 06 | 41.7 | +41 | 25 | 0.18 | 1.13 | 16.4 | 31.3 | 143 | 26 | +0.86 | +16 |
| 02/05 | 06 | 12.7 | +39 | 52 | 0.15 | 1.10 | 16.2 | 39.9 | 134 | 57 | -0.99 | +10 |
| 02/10 | 05 | 38.0 | +36 | 51 | 0.13 | 1.06 | 16.0 | 50.6 | 124 | 120 | -0.71 | +3 |
| 02/15 | 04 | 56.7 | +31 | 35 | 0.11 | 1.03 | 16.0 | 64.0 | 110 | 160 | -0.21 | -7 |

## 2007 EJ (Jan-Feb, H = 17.9)

The estimated size of 2007 EJ is about 0.8 km . The geometry of the approach has the asteroid brightest at very high phase angles, where the usual assumptions about lightcurve modality and shapes often break down. Don't assume the typical bimodal lightcurve even if the amplitude is $A>0.2$ mag or more.

| TE | RA |  | Dec |  | ED | SD | V | $\alpha$ | SE | ME | MP | GB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/01 | 23 | 15.4 | +15 | 24 | 0.20 | 0.96 | 17.6 | 91.5 | 77 | 58 | +0.83 | -42 |
| 01/06 | 23 | 43.1 | +25 | 50 | 0.18 | 0.98 | 17.2 | 86.4 | 83 | 108 | -0.99 | -35 |
| 01/11 | 00 | 20.7 | +37 | 08 | 0.18 | 1.00 | 16.9 | 79.0 | 91 | 141 | -0.72 | -25 |
| 01/16 | 01 | 13.3 | +47 | 46 | 0.18 | 1.03 | 16.7 | 70.4 | 100 | 137 | -0.25 | -15 |
| 01/21 | 02 | 24.6 | +55 | 41 | 0.19 | 1.06 | 16.6 | 61.7 | 108 | 101 | +0.00 | -5 |
| 01/26 | 03 | 48.1 | +59 | 32 | 0.21 | 1.09 | 16.7 | 54.0 | 116 | 60 | +0.37 | +4 |
| 01/31 | 05 | 05.9 | +59 | 42 | 0.24 | 1.13 | 16.9 | 47.8 | 122 | 42 | +0.86 | +11 |
| 02/05 | 06 | 05.6 | +57 | 39 | 0.28 | 1.17 | 17.1 | 43.0 | 126 | 65 | -0.99 | +17 |
| 02/10 | 06 | 48.2 | +54 | 45 | 0.31 | 1.21 | 17.4 | 39.5 | 129 | 105 | -0.71 | +21 |
| 02/15 | 07 | 18.7 | +51 | 41 | 0.36 | 1.25 | 17.6 | 36.9 | 131 | 144 | -0.21 | +25 |

2002 RQ25 (Jan-Feb, H = 20.4)
With a diameter of about 250 meters, it's possible that this asteroid might be a super-fast rotator. Given that, keep exposure times to a minimum for the initial runs to see what the data reveal, then proceed accordingly.

| DATE |  | A | Dec |  | ED | SD | V | $\alpha$ | SE | ME | MP | GB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/25 | 11 | 07.8 | +37 | 22 | 0.16 | 1.11 | 18.1 | 34.1 | 141 | 136 | +0.26 | +66 |
| 01/28 | 11 | 17.5 | +39 | 21 | 0.15 | 1.10 | 17.9 | 35.1 | 140 | 105 | +0.59 | +67 |
| 01/31 | 11 | 29.5 | +41 | 43 | 0.13 | 1.08 | 17.6 | 36.8 | 139 | 75 | +0.86 | +68 |
| 02/03 | 11 | 45.2 | +44 | 34 | 0.11 | 1.07 | 17.4 | 39.5 | 136 | 53 | +0.99 | +68 |
| 02/06 | 12 | 07.2 | +48 | 02 | 0.10 | 1.05 | 17.1 | 43.6 | 133 | 46 | -0.96 | +67 |
| 02/09 | 12 | 40.5 | +52 | 10 | 0.08 | 1.04 | 16.9 | 49.5 | 127 | 58 | -0.79 | +65 |
| 02/12 | 13 | 35.1 | +56 | 37 | 0.07 | 1.02 | 16.8 | 57.9 | 119 | 75 | -0.52 | +60 |
| 02/15 | 15 | 06.4 | +59 | 40 | 0.06 | 1.01 | 16.7 | 69.8 | 107 | 86 | -0.21 | +50 |
| 02/18 | 17 | 09.6 | +57 | 06 | 0.05 | 0.99 | 17.0 | 85.6 | 91 | 84 | -0.02 | +36 |
| 02/21 | 18 | 53.2 | +46 | 48 | 0.05 | 0.98 | 17.7 | 104.0 | 73 | 80 | +0.06 | +19 |

## (385186) 1994 AW1 (Feb, H = 17.0, PHA, Binary)

1994 AW1 was first reported as a suspect binary by Mottola et al. (1995) and then confirmed as such by Pravec and Hahn (1997). The primary has a rotation period of about 2.52 hours with an amplitude ranging from $0.10-0.17 \mathrm{mag}$. The orbital period is about 22.4 hours. A campaign involving two stations well-separated in longitude will have the best chance of seeing mutual events (occultations and/or eclipses) well enough to confirm the orbital period and help model the system. This assumes that events will be seen at this apparition.

| DATE |  | A | De |  | ED | SD | V | $\alpha$ | SE | ME | MP | GB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/25 | 11 | 45.6 | -11 | 11 | 0.63 | 1.18 | 18.9 | 56.3 | 92 | 132 | +0.12 | +48 |
| 01/04 | 11 | 58.8 | -20 | 10 | 0.58 | 1.19 | 18.7 | 55.8 | 95 | 97 | +0.98 | +41 |
| 01/14 | 12 | 10.2 | -29 | 45 | 0.55 | 1.19 | 18.6 | 55.2 | 97 | 31 | -0.44 | +32 |
| 01/24 | 12 | 19.2 | -39 | 40 | 0.52 | 1.19 | 18.5 | 54.8 | 99 | 137 | +0.17 | +23 |
| 02/03 | 12 | 24.8 | -49 | 30 | 0.51 | 1.19 | 18.4 | 54.7 | 100 | 84 | +0.99 | +13 |
| 02/13 | 12 | 25.3 | -58 | 52 | 0.50 | 1.18 | 18.4 | 55.0 | 101 | 58 | -0.41 | +4 |
| 02/23 | 12 | 16.4 | -67 | 18 | 0.50 | 1.18 | 18.4 | 55.6 | 100 | 120 | +0.22 | -5 |
| 03/05 | 11 | 49.2 | -74 | 25 | 0.50 | 1.18 | 18.4 | 56.4 | 99 | 82 | +0.99 | -12 |
| 03/15 | 10 | 43.6 | -79 | 39 | 0.50 | 1.17 | 18.4 | 57.5 | 98 | 77 | -0.36 | -18 |
| 03/25 | 08 | 42.3 | -81 | 54 | 0.49 | 1.16 | 18.4 | 58.6 | 96 | 104 | +0.27 | -23 |

## 2014 EK24 (Feb-Mar, H = 23.2)

The estimated size of 2014 EK24 is only 70 meters. The odds are good that it will be a super-fast rotator.

| DATE |  | RA | Dec |  | ED | SD | V | $\alpha$ | SE | ME | MP | GB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02/15 | 13 | 26 | +21 | 52 | 0.04 | 1.02 | 18.3 | 49.6 | 128 | 79 | -0.21 | +81 |
| 02/18 | 13 | 04.9 | +18 | 43 | 0.04 | 1.02 | 18.0 | 42.4 | 136 | 122 | -0.02 | +81 |
| 02/21 | 12 | 42.1 | +15 | 12 | 0.04 | 1.02 | 17.8 | 34.6 | 144 | 161 | +0.06 | +78 |
| 02/24 | 12 | 18.4 | +11 | 25 | 0.04 | 1.03 | 17.5 | 26.4 | 153 | 135 | +0.32 | +72 |
| 02/27 | 11 | 54.8 | +07 | 30 | 0.04 | 1.03 | 17.3 | 18.2 | 161 | 93 | +0.63 | +66 |
| 03/02 | 11 | 32.0 | +03 | 41 | 0.04 | 1.03 | 17.1 | 10.8 | 169 | 52 | +0.88 | +60 |
| 03/05 | 11 | 10.9 | +00 | 07 | 0.04 | 1.04 | 17.0 | 6.4 | 173 | 13 | +0.99 | +54 |
| 03/08 | 10 | 51.8 | -03 | 02 | 0.05 | 1.04 | 17.3 | 9.2 | 170 | 28 | -0.95 | +48 |
| 03/11 | 10 | 35.0 | -05 | 45 | 0.05 | 1.04 | 17.6 | 14.7 | 165 | 67 | -0.77 | +43 |
| 03/14 | 10 | 20.6 | -08 | 00 | 0.05 | 1.05 | 18.0 | 20.1 | 159 | 107 | -0.47 | +39 |

## (141527) 2002 FG7 (Mar-Apr, H = 18.9, PHA)

There are no known lightcurve parameters for this 0.5 km NEA. It's better placed for southerly observers when at brightest in midMarch. However, it should still be a relatively easy target by the time it moves north enough for those above the equator.

| DATE | RA |  | Dec |  | ED | SD | V | $\alpha$ | SE | ME | MP | GB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/10 | 00 | 03.7 | -64 | 08 | 0.06 | 0.97 | 17.2 | 116.7 | 60 | 101 | -0.84 | -52 |
| 03/13 | 09 | 40.9 | -79 | 57 | 0.04 | 1.00 | 15.0 | 81.7 | 96 | 75 | -0.58 | -20 |
| 03/16 | 11 | 10.0 | -42 | 00 | 0.05 | 1.03 | 14.2 | 42.5 | 135 | 104 | -0.26 | +17 |
| 03/19 | 11 | 19.6 | -20 | 22 | 0.08 | 1.07 | 14.5 | 21.1 | 157 | 152 | -0.03 | +38 |
| 03/22 | 11 | 23.3 | -09 | 38 | 0.10 | 1.10 | 14.9 | 12.3 | 166 | 149 | +0.04 | +47 |
| 03/25 | 11 | 25.5 | -03 | 40 | 0.14 | 1.13 | 15.5 | 10.8 | 168 | 107 | +0.27 | +53 |
| 03/28 | 11 | 27.1 | +00 | 01 | 0.17 | 1.16 | 16.1 | 12.6 | 165 | 67 | +0.57 | +56 |
| 03/31 | 11 | 28.4 | +02 | 28 | 0.20 | 1.19 | 16.7 | 15.0 | 162 | 31 | +0.83 | +58 |
| 04/03 | 11 | 29.7 | +04 | 11 | 0.24 | 1.23 | 17.1 | 17.3 | 159 | 6 | +0.98 | +60 |
| 04/06 | 11 | 31.0 | +05 | 24 | 0.28 | 1.26 | 17.6 | 19.3 | 155 | 41 | -0.98 | +61 |

## IN THIS ISSUE

This list gives those asteroids in this issue for which physical observations (excluding astrometric only) were made. This includes lightcurves, color index, and H-G determinations, etc. In some cases, no specific results are reported due to a lack of or poor quality data. The page number is for the first page of the paper mentioning the asteroid. EP is the "go to page" value in the electronic version.

| Number | Name | EP | Page |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 275 | Sapientia | 38 | 38 |
| 288 | Glauke | 6 | 6 |
| 309 | Fraternitas | 38 | 38 |
| 359 | Georgia | 1 | 1 |
| 457 | Alleghenia | 30 | 30 |
| 462 | Eriphyla | 1 | 1 |
| 474 | Prudentia | 70 | 70 |
| 490 | Veritas | 12 | 12 |
| 584 | Semiramis | 4 | 4 |
| 595 | Polyxena | 1 | 1 |
| 616 | Elly | 1 | 1 |
| 654 | Zelinda | 70 | 70 |
| 782 | Montefiore | 1 | 1 |
| 788 | Hohensteina | 13 | 13 |
| 924 | Toni | 38 | 38 |
| 953 | Painleva | 1 | 1 |
| 978 | Aidamina | 28 | 28 |
| 1019 | Strackea | 1 | 1 |
| 1088 | Mitaka | 4 | 4 |
| 1095 | Tulipa | 75 | 75 |
| 1103 | Sequoia | 54 | 54 |
| 1132 | Hollandia | 15 | 15 |
| 1341 | Edmee | 70 | 70 |
| 1355 | Magoeba | 60 | 60 |
| 1589 | Fanatica | 70 | 70 |
| 1614 | Goldschmidt | 21 | 21 |
| 1626 | Sadeya | 75 | 75 |
| 1727 | Mette | 31 | 31 |
| 1747 | Wright | 70 | 70 |
| 1762 | Russell | 66 | 66 |
| 1823 | Gliese | 54 | 54 |
| 1917 | Cuyo | 41 | 41 |
| 1938 | Lausanna | 20 | 20 |
| 1943 | Anteros | 41 | 41 |
| 1967 | Menzel | 15 | 15 |
| 2007 | McCuskey | 28 | 28 |
| 2014 | Vasilevskis | 1 | 1 |
| 2078 | Nanking | 54 | 54 |
| 2102 | Tantalus | 79 | 79 |
| 2131 | Mayall | 60 | 60 |
| 2132 | Zhukov | 75 | 75 |
| 2150 | Nyctimene | 54 | 54 |
| 2484 | Parenago | 40 | 40 |
| 2669 | Shostakovich | 28 | 28 |
| 2704 | Julian Loewe | 15 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  |


| 2882 | Tedesco | 15 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2956 | Yeomans | 4 |  |
| 2995 | Taratuta | 1 |  |
| 3015 | Candy | 15 | 15 |
| 3039 | Yangel | 12 | 12 |
| 3089 | Oujianquan | 15 | 15 |
| 3332 | Raksha | 70 | 70 |
| 3401 | Vanphilos | 54 | 54 |
| 3523 | Arina | 1 |  |
| 3544 | Borodino | 28 | 28 |
| 3894 | Williamcooke | 4 |  |
| 4002 | Shinagawa | 70 | 70 |
| 4388 | Jurgenstock | 54 | 54 |
| 4401 | Aditi | 41 | 41 |
| 4531 | Asaro | 54 | 54 |
| 4555 | 1974 QL | 4 |  |
| 4716 | Urey | 15 | 15 |
| 4909 | Couteau | 15 | 15 |
| 4910 | Kawasato | 15 | 15 |
| 4910 | Kawasato | 35 | 35 |
| 4910 | Kawasato | 70 | 70 |
| 5492 | Thoma | 12 | 12 |
| 5841 | Stone | 54 | 54 |
| 6050 | Miwablock | 41 | 41 |
| 6394 | 1990 QM2 | 54 | 54 |
| 7173 | Sepkoski | 75 | 75 |
| 7330 | Annelemaitre | 70 | 70 |
| 7660 | 1993 VM1 | 54 | 54 |
| 7749 | Jackschmitt | 28 | 28 |
| 8024 | Robertwhite | 54 | 54 |
| 9182 | 1991 NB4 | 15 | 15 |
| 9387 | Tweedledee | 70 | 70 |
| 9739 | Powell | 70 | 70 |
| 9837 | Jerryhorow | 15 | 15 |
| 9854 | Karlheinz | 15 | 15 |
| 11048 | 1990 QZ5 | 15 | 15 |
| 13903 | 1975 ST | 15 | 15 |
| 14051 | 1995 YY1 | 15 | 15 |
| 14299 | 3162 T-2 | 15 | 15 |
| 14764 | Kilauea | 70 | 70 |
| 15609 | Kosmaczewski | 15 | 15 |
| 15778 | 1993 NH | 60 | 60 |
| 16636 | 1993 QP | 41 | 41 |
| 16641 | Esteban | 54 | 54 |
| 18219 | 6260 P-L | 15 | 15 |
| 18890 | 2000 EV26 | 60 | 60 |
| 21486 | 1998 HA148 | 15 | 15 |
| 24443 | 2000 OG | 41 | 41 |
| 27568 | 2000 PT6 | 60 | 60 |
| 27713 | 1989 AA | 54 | 54 |
| 29242 | 1992 HB4 | 54 | 54 |
| 30535 | 2001 OR5 | 60 | 60 |
| 30770 | 1984 SL4 | 15 | 15 |
| 31351 | 1998 SD24 | 70 | 70 |
| 32814 | 1990 XZ | 41 | 41 |
| 33324 | 1998 QE56 | 54 | 54 |
| 33684 | 1999 JW119 | 15 | 15 |
| 35087 | von Sydow | 54 | 54 |
| 38047 | 1998 TC3 | 54 | 54 |
| 38063 | 1999 FH | 70 |  |


| 38268 | Zenkert | 15 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53110 | 1999 AR7 | 41 | 41 |
| 53424 | 1999 SC3 | 54 | 54 |
| 54686 | 2001 DU8 | 41 | 41 |
| 64107 | 2001 TK8 | 54 | 54 |
| 67404 | 2000 PG26 | 54 | 54 |
| 68063 | 2000 YJ66 | 31 | 31 |
| 68348 | 2001 LO7 | 79 | 79 |
| 68537 | 2001 VC123 | 54 | 54 |
| 74338 | 1998 VK15 | 15 | 15 |
| 85713 | 1998 SS49 | 41 | 41 |
| 86819 | 2000 GK137 | 41 | 41 |
| 87309 | 2000 QP | 41 | 41 |
| 90075 | 2002 VU94 | 41 | 41 |
| 96327 | Ullmann | 54 | 54 |
| 134422 | 1998 QM3 | 54 | 54 |
| 137799 | 1999 YB | 41 | 41 |
| 143624 | 2003 HM16 | 41 | 41 |
| 154275 | 2002 SR41 | 41 | 41 |
| 159493 | 2000 UA | 70 | 70 |
| 159857 | 2004 LJ1 | 41 | 41 |
| 162980 | 2001 RR17 | 41 | 41 |
| 190166 | 2005 UP156 | 41 | 41 |
| 190208 | 2006 AQ | 79 | 79 |
| 235086 | 2003 HW11 | 41 | 41 |
| 275611 | 1999 XX262 | 41 | 41 |
| 276049 | 2002 CE26 | 79 | 79 |
| 277616 | 2006 BN6 | 41 | 41 |
| 285944 | 2001 RZ11 | 34 | 34 |
| 285944 | 2001 RZ11 | 70 | 70 |
| 306790 | 2001 KB1 | 54 | 54 |
| 333578 | 2006 KM103 | 41 | 41 |
| 387733 | 2003 GS | 27 | 27 |
| 391033 | 2005 TR15 | 41 | 41 |
| 398188 | 2010 LE15 | 41 | 41 |
| 399307 | 1991 RJ2 | 37 | 37 |
| 401998 | 2003 MO | 41 | 41 |
| 408751 | 1987 SF3 | 41 | 41 |
|  | 1994 CJ1 | 41 | 41 |
| 413038 | 2001 MF1 | 41 | 41 |
| 408980 | 2002 RB126 | 41 | 41 |
|  | 2003 EG16 | 41 | 41 |
| 414287 | 2008 OB9 | 41 | 41 |
| 410778 | 2009 FG19 | 67 | 67 |
|  | 2012 TS | 41 | 41 |
|  | 2013 WT67 | 41 | 41 |
|  | 2013 XM24 | 41 | 41 |
|  | 2014 NE63 | 41 | 41 |
|  | 2014 OT111 | 41 | 41 |
|  | 2014 OX299 | 41 | 41 |
|  | 2014 OZ337 | 41 | 41 |
|  | 2014 PL51 | 31 | 31 |
|  | 2014 PR62 | 41 | 41 |
|  | 2014 QO33 | 41 | 41 |
|  | 2014 SS1 | 41 | 41 |
|  | 2014 SX261 | 41 | 41 |
|  | 2014 SZ144 | 41 | 41 |
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