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## A ROTATION PERIOD FOR 1493 SIGRID
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Minor planet 1493 Sigrid was observed over 8 nights in 2006. The synodic period was found to be $43.296 \pm 0.048 \mathrm{hr}$ with a peak-to-peak amplitude of at least 0.6 magnitudes. The axial ratio $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}$ implied by the peak to peak variation is 1.74 .

Minor planet 1493 Sigrid was observed over a period of 32 days from two sites in NSW, Australia, Mt Tarana Observatory (S 33d 26.1m E 149d 45.4m +880m) and Cecil Sayers Observatory (S 33d 46.9 m E 151d 05.1m +80 m ). The Cecil Sayers Observatory utilizes a $20 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{f} / 7$ Newtonian reflector and Cookbook CCD camera. The equipment of the Mt Tarana Observatory is described in Bembrick (2001). No data on this asteroid was found in the latest list of rotational parameters (Harris \& Warner, 2006).

The aspect data for the eight nights of observation are shown in the table. Observations were made using unfiltered differential photometry and all data were light time corrected. The aspect data table also shows the percentage of the lightcurve observed each night and PAB is the Phase Angle Bisector.

Period analysis was carried out using the "AVE" software (Barbera, 2004). The period derived for Sigrid appears to be the best fit to the available data, but note that full phase coverage has not been achieved. A period of half the derived value gives a monomodal phase stack and this is thought to be less likely than the bimodal light curve adopted herein. The arbitrary zero phase maximum in 2006 is at JD 2453939.200. The 2006 composite lightcurve for 1493 Sigrid has been compiled assuming a bimodal lightcurve. The phase coverage is not complete and the period determined could be modified with additional data. The peak-topeak variation of at least 0.6 magnitudes implies an axial ratio $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}$ of 1.74 . With a period of $43.296 \pm 0.048 \mathrm{hr}$, Sigrid is a slow rotator

compared with most asteroids of less than 50 km diameter, which have mean rotation rates of 2-3 revs/day (Binzel et al, 1989).
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|  |  | PAB <br> Long | PAB <br> Lat | Phase <br> Angle | OPhase <br> Coverage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2006 Jul 22 | 305.7 | -1.8 | 4.5 | 10 |  |
| 2006 Jul 26 | 306.1 | -1.7 | 2.3 | 12 |  |
| 2006 Jul 27 | 306.2 | -1.7 | 1.8 | 8 |  |
| 2006 Jul 29 | 306.4 | -1.6 | 1.1 | 15 |  |
| 2006 Aug 01 | 306.6 | -1.5 | 2.0 | 5 |  |
| 2006 Aug 06 | 307.1 | -1.4 | 4.8 | 15 |  |
| 2006 Aug 07 | 307.2 | -1.4 | 5.4 | 16 |  |
| 2006 Aug 22 | 308.8 | -1.0 | 13.6 | 12 |  |
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This is a collective effort from observers at different longitudes to derive an accurate synodic period of $5.33116 \pm 0.00003 \mathrm{hr}$ with amplitude of 0.83 and $0.61 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{mag}$ in May and June 2006, respectively, for the minor planet 1495 Helsinki.

Leura observatory is located about 100 km west of Sydney Australia at an altitude of 950 m . It houses a 0.35 m Celestron Schmidt-Cassegrain Telescope operating at $\mathrm{f} / 11$. Attached to it is an SBIG ST9XE CCD camera which has a Kodak KAF-0261 CCD giving 1.07 arc second/pixel at binning 1x1. No filters were used for all images. Dark frames and Flat Field frames were used for image calibration. L 'Observatoire des Makes is located in La Reunion Island in the Indian Ocean. It houses a 0.35 m Celestron Schmidt-Cassegrain telescope mounted on CDM mount with MCMT II. Attached to it is an ST7 SBIG CCD camera and a focal reducer operating at f 3.3 . An $80 \times 900$ refractor telescope is piggy backed on the main telescope along with an ST6 SBIG CCD which operates as an autoguider.

For data reduction, Oey used the Canopus V9 software where differential photometry was employed whereas Teng et al. sent all data to Behrend that were latter reduced and compiled in his web site (Behrend 2006). The composite lightcurves were then combined and reproduced for this publicatiton.

Asteroid 1495 Helsinki was originally selected due to its lack of published results. The work was started from Leura Observatory on 13 April 2006 and continued for six sessions that ended on 21 May 2006. A month later, Behrend of Genève Observatory contacted Oey regarding the merging of data by one of his contributors with the recently obtained data from Leura. After the merge, the initial appearance of the curve was one that does not fit well into a clean bimodal curve. It was considered that the data may be caused by either a binary asteroid or a tumbling object. Communications were initiated with Pravec of Ondrejov Observatory. He had the opportunity to analyze Oey's six sessions data earlier on separate occasion; the initial data showed a complex behavior, with some of sessions having a larger amplitude and others being shifted in phase. No good solution could be found from the initial data, however, and he suggested

that other observers from different longitude should be recruited to help resolve the data further.

The combined effort from J.P. Teng et al. gave no indication of the variability shown in Oey's earlier lightcurve. It was realized that an error may have occurred in Oey's light curve data. An analysis of the more complete dataset has shown that all but two sessions fitted entirely with a single periodicity; the two unfitting sessions were 2006-5-8.6 and 13.6, both of the initial dataset of six nights. While the 5-8.6 session showed a higher amplitude, the 5-13.6 session showed a shift in time. A revisit of the original images of the two sessions was obviously needed.

At this time, a new version of Canopus reduction software (Canopus V9.101) was released. It incorporated a new feature with the ability to subtract nearby faint stars allowing data contaminated with these faint stars useful. (Warner 2006). The data were then reduced once more and the revised data of 5-8.6 fitted entirely with the single periodicity; the higher amplitude of the first reduction of the night was caused by uneliminated background stars. At that point, there remained the one unfitting session of 5-13.6, which showed a phase shift; when adding 0.010 day to all times of the session, it fit well with all the other data. A re-reduction of the original images by Oey showed that there was a time error in the initial reduction; while a cause of the time error of the initial reduction was not revealed, the newly reduced data showed a perfect fit. So, the revisit has eliminated the serious reduction problems in the two sessions and it confirmed that there was only one periodicity present in the asteroid during the whole observational interval.

All data were merged by Behrend to obtain a lightcurve of period $5.33116 \pm 0.00003 \mathrm{hr}$ with amplitude of $0.61 \pm 0.03$ mag. It's worth noting that the larger amplitude in early May was at around phase angle $22^{\circ}$ and the smaller amplitude in late June was at about $12^{\circ}$ phase angle.
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# THE ROTATION PERIOD OF 562 SALOME 

Colin Bembrick<br>Mt Tarana Observatory<br>PO Box 1537, Bathurst, NSW, Australia<br>bembrick@ix.net.au<br>Bill Allen<br>Vintage Lane Observatory<br>83 Vintage Lane, RD3, Blenheim, New Zealand

(Received: 15 October)

Minor planet 562 Salome was observed over 8 nights in 2006. The synodic period was found to be $12.705 \pm 0.006 \mathrm{hr}$ with a peak-to-peak amplitude of a little more than 0.2 magnitudes. An axial ratio $(\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b})$ of 1.2 is implied by this variation

562 Salome is an inner main-belt asteroid with a diameter of 35 km , an albedo of 0.13 and $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{V}=0.8$ (GUIDE ver 8). It an S-type asteroid belonging to the Eos family (Binzel, 1987). The latest list of rotational parameters (Harris \& Warner, 2006) quotes a period of 10.4 hours with a reliability of only one. This is derived from a sparsely sampled lightcurve of Binzel (1987), who says that the suggested period "is only an educated guess".

The observations of Salome in 2006 were conducted from two sites - one in New Zealand and one in Australia. The locations of these sites are listed in Bembrick et al (2004). All observations were made using unfiltered differential photometry and all data were light time corrected. The aspect data table also shows the percentage of the lightcurve observed each night. PAB is the Phase Angle Bisector. Period analysis was carried out using the "AVE" software (Barbera, 2004). After visual inspection of the data a period search was made between 0.25 and 1.0 days using the Phase Dispersion Minimisation method. The "best" period derived was 0.264 days, but this gave a unimodal lightcurve. A deep and prominent minimum in the periodogram at twice this period gave an acceptable phase stack. This was adopted as the more realistic period. Trials with periods close to 10.4 hours produced highly confused phase stacks. Thus a period of 12.705 hours was used to compile the composite lightcurve with the arbitrary zero phase maximum at JD 2453964.425 (see Figure 1). The peak to peak variation in the lightcurve implies an axial ratio, $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}=1.2$. The composite lightcurve for 562 Salome - one of the Eos family - is somewhat noisy in part, but full phase coverage was achieved. This is considered a secure result. Salome is fairly typical of asteroids of less than 50 km diameter which have mean rotation rates of 2-3 rev/day (Binzel et al., 1989).
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|  |  | PAB <br> Long | PAB <br> Lat | Phase <br> Angle | \%Phase <br> Coverage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UT Date | 006 Aug 15 | 324.2 | -13.7 | 6.2 | 51 |
| 2006 Aug 16 | 324.2 | -13.7 | 6.2 | 49 |  |
| 2006 Aug 17 | 324.2 | -13.7 | 6.1 | 28 |  |
| 2006 Aug 18 | 324.2 | -13.7 | 6.2 | 30 |  |
| 2006 Aug 20 | 324.3 | -13.8 | 6.3 | 55 |  |
| 2006 Aug 21 | 324.3 | -13.8 | 6.4 | 38 |  |
| 2006 Aug 22 | 324.3 | -13.8 | 6.5 | 53 |  |
| 2006 Aug 28 | 324.4 | -13.8 | 7.6 | 57 |  |

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

With the increase in the number of lightcurves published in The Minor Planet Bulletin it would be very helpful to have clearly displayed information within each lightcurve figure. For example, it would be very helpful to include in all lightcurve figures the derived period, so that one does not endlessly have to read back and forth between the figure and the text to decipher the complete results. I am writing to request that all contributors of lightcurve papers include the derived period with the formal errors within the figure itself.

## Frederick Pilcher

Coordinator, ALPO Minor Planets Section

RESPONSE: While we have very few rigid format requirements for manuscripts and figures submitted to The Minor Planet Bulletin, this suggestion is one that should be heeded to the best of the abilities of the authors and Editors. We will begin working toward this goal for all (or nearly all) lightcurve figures published within the MPB. See this issue's "Minor Planet Observer" column for more details on the practicalities of its implementation.

## Richard P. Binzel, Editor

Brian D. Warner, Assistant Editor

## ASTEROID-DEEPSKY APPULSES IN 2007

Brian D. Warner<br>Palmer Divide Observatory 17995 Bakers Farm Rd.<br>Colorado Springs, CO 80908<br>brian@MinorPlanetObserver.com

(Received: 3 October)
The following list is a very small subset of the results of a search for asteroid-deepsky appulses for 2007, presenting only the highlights for the year based on close approaches of brighter asteroids to brighter DSOs. The complete set of predictions is available at
http://www.minorplanetobserver.com/Misc/DSOAppulses.htm
For any event not covered, the Minor Planet Center's web site at http://scully.harvard.edu/~cgi/CheckMP allows you to enter the location of a suspected asteroid or supernova and check if there are any known targets in the area.

The table gives the following data:

| Date/Time | Universal Date (MM DD) and Time of closest <br> approach |
| :--- | :--- |
| \#/Asteroid | The number and name of the asteroid |
| RA/Dec | The J2000 position of the asteroid |
| AM | The approximate visual magnitude of the asteroid |
| Sep/PA | The separation in arcseconds and the position angle <br> from the DSO to the asteroid |
| DSO | The DSO name or catalog designation <br> DM |
| The approximate total magnitude of the DSO |  |
| Type | The type of DSO: OC = Open Cluster; GC $=$ <br> Globular Cluster; G = Galaxy |
| SE/ME | The elongation in degrees from the sun and moon <br> respectively <br> The phase of the moon: $0=$ New, $1.0=$ Full. |
| MP | Positive $=$ waxing; Negative $=$ waning |
|  |  |


| Date | UT | \# Name |  | RA | D | c | AM | Sep | PA | DSO |  | DM | Type | SE | ME | MP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/14 | 03:08 | 476 Hedwig | 08 | 46.00 | +12 | 36.5 | 12.7 | 93 | 178 | NGC | 2661 | 12.8 | G | 162 | 101 | -0.260 |
| 01/18 | 10:24 | 162 Laurentia | 12 | 29.01 | + 3 | 35.5 | 13.3 | 32 | 16 | NGC | 4457 | 10.9 | G | 113 | 104 | -0.007 |
| 01/18 | 16:47 | 117 Lomia | 01 | 47.49 | +27 | 21.9 | 13.4 | 115 | 357 | IC | 1727 | 11.5 | G | 97 | 103 | -0.003 |
| 01/22 | 08:00 | 203 Pompeja | 02 | 03.78 | +16 | 03.1 | 13.7 | 67 | 343 | NGC | 803 | 12.6 | G | 93 | 51 | 0.129 |
| 01/22 | 18:56 | 170 Maria | 02 | 16.09 | +28 | 35.3 | 14.0 | 42 | 194 | NGC | 865 | 13.1 | G | 99 | 52 | 0.167 |
| 01/23 | 07:47 | 471 Papagena | 09 | 42.96 | +31 | 51.9 | 10.7 | 69 | 45 | NGC | 2964 | 11.3 | G | 158 | 136 | 0.215 |
| 02/11 | 18:34 | 103 Hera | 02 | 33.22 | + 9 | 36.3 | 13.0 | 48 | 156 | NGC | 975 | 13.1 | G | 77 | 149 | -0.367 |
| 02/22 | 19:47 | 727 Nipponia | 11 | 40.18 | +15 | 20.8 | 13.4 | 20 | 229 | NGC | 3800 | 12.7 | G | 160 | 123 | 0.334 |
| 03/14 | 15:59 | 546 Herodias | 14 | 42.28 | -17 | 13.7 | 13.8 | 131 | 306 | NGC | 5728 | 11.4 | G | 130 | 70 | -0.248 |
| 03/14 | 22:18 | 65 Cybele | 12 | 17.91 | + 0 | 23.6 | 11.5 | 167 | 208 | UGC | 7332 | 13.5 | G | 170 | 114 | -0.224 |
| 03/15 | 21:36 | 270 Anahita | 16 | 16.99 | -23 | 00.2 | 12.9 | 75 | 190 | M80 |  | 7.2 | GC | 108 | 64 | -0.139 |
| 03/17 | 20:17 | 912 Maritima | 12 | 04.20 | +20 | 15.1 | 12.3 | 69 | 0 | NGC | 4065 | 12.6 | G | 161 | 158 | -0.023 |
| 03/17 | 22:41 | 912 Maritima | 12 | 04.10 | +20 | 15.2 | 12.3 | 69 | 0 | NGC | 4061 | 13.1 | G | 161 | 158 | -0.019 |
| 03/18 | 03:18 | 286 Iclea | 12 | 31.09 | +11 | 31.4 | 13.8 | 112 | 43 | NGC | 4491 | 12.6 | G | 165 | 157 | -0.013 |
| 03/19 | 14:30 | 306 Unitas | 11 | 40.40 | + 9 | 02.3 | 12.4 | 165 | 32 | IC | 719 | 13.1 | G | 171 | 164 | 0.004 |
| 03/20 | 10:31 | 579 Sidonia | 12 | 29.93 | +14 | 02.7 | 12.5 | 150 | 205 | NGC | 4474 | 11.5 | G | 164 | 155 | 0.027 |
| 03/20 | 20:04 | 579 Sidonia | 12 | 29.62 | +14 | 04.7 | 12.5 | 47 | 25 | NGC | 4468 | 12.8 | G | 164 | 150 | 0.046 |
| 03/22 | 15:35 | 127 Johanna | 12 | 28.96 | + 3 | 32.6 | 11.8 | 145 | 193 | NGC | 4457 | 10.9 | G | 173 | 133 | 0.178 |
| 03/23 | 15:17 | 286 Iclea | 12 | 27.50 | +12 | 17.9 | 13.8 | 5 | 223 | NGC | 4431 | 12.9 | G | 166 | 114 | 0.274 |
| 03/24 | 22:42 | 348 May | 13 | 42.31 | + 4 | 14.2 | 13.9 | 11 | 27 | NGC | 5270 | 13.5 | G | 157 | 116 | 0.413 |
| 04/11 | 18:28 | 914 Palisana | 12 | 48.38 | -40 | 56.7 | 12.4 | 133 | 227 | NGC | 4696 E | 13.5 | G | 147 | 92 | -0.391 |
| 04/15 | 05:51 | 576 Emanuela | 13 | 09.69 | -23 | 24.4 | 14.0 | 27 | 202 | NGC | 4993 | 12.3 | G | 165 | 146 | -0.073 |
| 04/22 | 10:11 | 456 Abnoba | 17 | 19.41 | -18 | 31.4 | 12.8 | 177 | 97 | M9 |  | 7.9 | GC | 131 | 159 | 0.310 |
| 05/16 | 16:11 | 49 Pales | 13 | 19.59 | -12 | 43.3 | 13.6 | 23 | 208 | NGC | 5079 | 12.0 | G | 148 | 150 | -0.001 |
| 05/16 | 21:09 | 49 Pales | 13 | 19.49 | -12 | 42.6 | 13.6 | 165 | 28 | NGC | 5076 | 13.0 | G | 147 | 147 | -0.001 |
| 05/17 | 00:11 | 49 Pales | 13 | 19.43 | -12 | 42.1 | 13.6 | 142 | 208 | NGC | 5077 | 11.4 | G | 147 | 145 | 0.002 |
| 05/17 | 19:29 | 50 Virginia | 15 | 13.76 | -14 | 17.8 | 13.6 | 115 | 197 | NGC | 5878 | 11.5 | G | 173 | 158 | 0.017 |
| 05/19 | 13:04 | 776 Berbericia | 22 | 13.21 | -26 | 07.8 | 12.8 | 72 | 9 | NGC | 7225 | 12.2 | G | 92 | 130 | 0.104 |
| 05/20 | 13:55 | 287 Nephthys | 11 | 15.06 | +14 | 45.9 | 12.5 | 77 | 209 | NGC | 3596 | 11.3 | G | 105 | 54 | 0.185 |
| 06/11 | 16:52 | 912 Maritima | 11 | 41.76 | +10 | 17.2 | 13.8 | 165 | 231 | NGC | 3817 | 13.3 | G | 91 | 137 | -0.159 |
| 06/15 | 03:12 | 173 Ino | 11 | 55.47 | +11 | 57.4 | 13.9 | 43 | 213 | NGC | 3968 | 11.8 | G | 91 | 90 | -0.001 |
| 07/13 | 12:21 | 312 Pierretta | 00 | 42.70 | + 0 | 50.9 | 13.8 | 8 | 149 | NGC | 223 | 13.2 | G | 101 | 88 | -0.013 |
| 07/15 | 07:25 | 357 Ninina | 20 | 58.90 | -12 | 35.9 | 13.3 | 153 | 325 | M73 |  | 8.9 | OC | 158 | 167 | 0.009 |
| 08/12 | 05:07 | 925 Alphonsina | 01 | 46.55 | +36 | 26.2 | 13.6 | 115 | 109 | NGC | 668 | 13.1 | G | 100 | 91 | -0.006 |
| 08/15 | 07:41 | 63 Ausonia | 02 | 07.57 | +17 | 12.6 | 11.8 | 44 | 318 | NGC | 817 | 13.2 | G | 106 | 134 | 0.057 |
| 10/03 | 13:28 | 905 Universitas | 01 | 37.29 | + 5 | 55.6 | 13.3 | 158 | 355 | NGC | 632 | 12.3 | G | 165 | 78 | -0.482 |
| 10/03 | 17:21 | 391 Ingeborg | 23 | 13.79 | +24 | 54.2 | 11.2 | 159 | 86 | NGC | 7527 | 13.3 | G | 151 | 100 | -0.464 |
| 10/05 | 21:56 | 391 Ingeborg | 23 | 14.32 | +23 | 39.9 | 11.2 | 65 | 264 | NGC | 7539 | 12.5 | G | 151 | 127 | -0.245 |
| 10/19 | 03:39 | 342 Endymion | 23 | 54.02 | + 7 | 55.9 | 13.8 | 166 | 138 | NGC | 7782 | 12.2 | G | 155 | 70 | 0.479 |
| 11/06 | 09:34 | 200 Dynamene | 08 | 12.81 | +26 | 21.8 | 12.8 | 47 | 9 | NGC | 2540 | 13.5 | G | 104 | 66 | -0.109 |
| 11/06 | 19:57 | 800 Kressmannia | 02 | 34.70 | +23 | 25.9 | 13.8 | 7 | 157 | NGC | 984 | 12.8 | G | 172 | 146 | -0.085 |
| 11/10 | 10:48 | 308 Polyxo | 02 | 39.12 | +10 | 49.1 | 11.9 | 58 | 158 | NGC | 1024 | 12.1 | G | 172 | 165 | 0.004 |
| 11/13 | 15:15 | 26 Proserpina | 08 | 32.20 | +22 | 35.2 | 12.6 | 71 | 0 | NGC | 2599 | 12.2 | G | 106 | 146 | 0.120 |
| 11/16 | 04:43 | 478 Tergeste | 08 | 41.62 | + 5 | 00.6 | 13.1 | 144 | 49 | NGC | 2644 | 12.3 | G | 102 | 162 | 0.324 |
| 11/17 | 03:01 | 6 Hebe | 09 | 32.20 | + 8 | 26.0 | 10.3 | 2 | 15 | NGC | 2906 | 12.7 | G | 92 | 169 | 0.415 |
| 12/02 | 04:42 | 445 Edna | 23 | 16.33 | +24 | 30.0 | 14.0 | 68 | 24 | NGC | 7568 | 13.5 | G | 109 | 152 | -0.432 |
| 12/03 | 12:57 | 3200 Phaethon | 10 | 17.76 | +21 | 50.6 | 13.4 | 93 | 204 | NGC | 3187 | 13.4 | G | 102 | 37 | -0.307 |
| 12/03 | 15:50 | 248 Lameia | 05 | 34.53 | +21 | 57.2 | 13.8 | 173 | 170 | M1 |  | 8.4 | PN | 167 | 101 | -0.296 |
| 12/05 | 15:47 | 432 Pythia | 09 | 46.79 | +22 | 01.2 | 13.6 | 12 | 328 | NGC | 2991 | 12.6 | G | 111 | 68 | -0.143 |
| 12/13 | 09:17 | 409 Aspasia | 07 | 13.24 | +12 | 13.2 | 11.6 | 115 | 161 | NGC | 2350 | 12.3 | G | 151 | 161 | 0.125 |

# LIGHTCURVE AND ROTATIONAL PERIOD OF ASTEROIDS 1456 SALDANHA, 2294 ANDRONIKOV, AND 2006 NM 

Albino Carbognani<br>Paolo Calcidese<br>Saint-Barthelemy Observatory<br>Lignan 39, 11020 Nus, Aosta, (Italy)<br>albino@fis.unipr.it

(Received: 28 September)


#### Abstract

CCD images recorded in July, August and September 2006 allowed the determination of the rotational periods and lightcurve amplitudes for two main-belt and one near-Earth asteroid: 1456 Saldanha ( $19.035 \pm 0.005 \mathrm{hr}$, 0.24 mag ); 2294 Andronikov ( $3.153 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{hr}, 0.4 \mathrm{mag}$ ), 2006 NM ( $\sim 20 \mathrm{hr}, \sim 0.8 \mathrm{mag})$.


Saint-Barthelemy Observatory is located near a very small alpine village North-West of Italy, at $1,675 \mathrm{~m}$ above the sea level. In this site the sky is dark and free from light pollution. The observatory belongs to the Regione Autonoma della Valle d'Aosta (Italy), and was inaugurated in 2003. Asteroid observations were carried out between June 20 and September 152006 using the largest telescope of the observatory, an $810 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{f} / 7.9$ Bowen-Vaughan equipped with a HiSis 39 CCD camera. The camera sensor is a Kodak 1001E, $1024 \times 1024$ square pixel, $24 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ side. At the prime focus the camera field of view is about $13^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$. All images were unfiltered. For every observing session, continuous image acquisition was done using the camera software "Giotto", while image calibrations (flat-field and dark frame), were done with Astroart MSB Software. The asteroid was singled out in the sky by the free planetarium software "Carte du Ciel" by Patrick Chevalley. Orbital elements were taken from MPCORB database of the Minor Planet Center. Photometric measurements and lightcurves were reduced and plotted by using the MPO Canopus software by BDW Publishing. Differential photometry (Warner, 2006) with five comparison stars was used in all cases. The first two main-belt asteroids (MBAs) were selected from the CALL website (Warner and Harris, 2006), while the NEO was chosen on the basis of the brightness and acceptable mean motion. We observed asteroids that did not have previously reported measurements. The results are described below.

1456 Saldanha: We observed this asteroid over 11 nights, 2006 July 30 , August $2,7,8,15,23,24,25,26,27$ and 28 . Of these sessions, four have been rejected due to poor weather conditions and bad photometry. During the observations, 1456 Saldanha was about +14.4 apparent mag bright. The exposure time was 120 s , with a time interval between two consecutive images of 10 s . The typical length of a session was 5 hr and the SNR about 150-200. We first used the last six consecutive sessions to obtain a good Fourier analysis period spectrum and the first four as a check. The period found was $19.035 \pm 0.005 \mathrm{hr}$ with an amplitude of 0.24 mag . The lightcurve amplitude gives an approximate ratio of the largest and smallest face presented to the observer during a rotation (Warner 2006a). For 1456 Saldanha this ratio is 1.25 .

2294 Andronikov: We observed this asteroid during four nights, 2006 August 30, 31 and September 1, 3. The magnitude and the observation circumstances were similar to 1456 Saldanha. We found a period of $3.153 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{hr}$ with an amplitude of 0.4 mag . This implies a ratio between faces of 1.44. In a single session
(about 6h length) the lightcurve covers two rotations. Interestingly, the single lightcurves does not exactly overlap, but instead, it presents a mean magnitude shift of about $0.02 \mathrm{mag} / \mathrm{hr}$. This behavior has been confirmed by Petr Pravec that with a linear shift subtraction from our raw data reconstructed the Andronikov lightcurve very well. The Pravec period and amplitude are the same. We have checked for a possible error in the flat-field, dark frame and comparison stars, but no obvious errors or mistakes were discovered.

2006 NM: We observed this object during only two nights, September 4 and 5, 2006. The fast proper motion (about 4"/m) limited the exposure time to 60 s and the comparison stars were changed every 2 hours. Due to the faintness of the object $(+15.9$ mag) the SNR was about 30 . Unfortunately, due to the bad weather conditions we did not cover the full lightcurve cycle. Nevertheless, we have roughly estimated a rotational period of about 20 hr with an amplitude of 0.8 mag .
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## MINOR PLANETS AT UNUSUALLY FAVORABLE ELONGATIONS IN 2007

Frederick Pilcher<br>Illinois College<br>Jacksonville, IL 62650 USA

(Received: 28 September)

A list is presented of minor planets that are much brighter than usual at their 2007 apparitions. Close approaches of three minor planets: 1862 Apollo, 3200 Phaethon, and 4954 Eric, are the highlights of the year.

The minor planets in the lists that follow will be much brighter at their 2007 apparitions than at their average distances at maximum elongation. Many years may pass before these minor planets will be again as bright as in 2007. Observers are encouraged to give special attention to those that lie near the limit of their equipment.

Three small minor planets will make moderately to extremely close approaches to Earth in calendar 2007 and are especially worthy of observational scrutiny. 1862 Apollo has pairs of close approaches 18 months apart and separated by many years. The second of the current pair occurs in 2007 with maximum elongation April 20, brightest magnitude 13.2 on May 4, and closest approach 0.071 AU May 8 . The previous pair of close approaches occurred in 1980, 1982, and the next will not take place until 2046, 2048. Minor planet 3200 Phaethon is notable as the parent body of the Geminid meteors, and also for having the smallest perihelion distance of any numbered asteroid, 0.140 AU . It will not be in opposition near this approach to Earth, but will be observable only before sunrise as it plunges inward ahead of the Earth toward perihelion 2008 Jan. 14. Maximum elongation $114.8^{\circ}$ west of the Sun occurs 2007 Nov. 21, with brightest magnitude 13.3 on Dec. 6 and minimum distance 0.121 AU on Dec. 10. An unusually numerous Geminid meteor display is not expected, however. An even closer approach will occur in Dec. 2017. The third highlighted minor planet, 4954 Eric makes its closest approach since discovery, 0.287 AU , on Oct. 11, with
magnitude a very bright 11.6 on Sept. 24. It will be even closer and brighter in the years 2024 and 2041.

These lists have been prepared by an examination of the maximum elongation circumstances of minor planets computed by the author for all years through 2060 with a full perturbation program written by Dr. John Reed, and to whom he expresses his thanks. Elements are from EMP 1992, except that for all objects for which new or improved elements have been published subsequently in the Minor Planet Circulars or in electronic form, the newer elements have been used. Planetary positions are from the JPL DE-200 ephemeris, courtesy of Dr. E. Myles Standish. Dr. Reed's ephemeris generating program, a list of minor planet elements, and the JPL planetary ephemeris are freeware which may be obtained from the author by sending a 100 Megabyte zip disk and stamped, addressed return mailer. They cannot be downloaded directly over the Internet.

Any objects whose brightest magnitudes near the time of maximum elongation vary by at least 2.0 in this interval and in 2007 will be within 0.3 of the brightest occurring, or vary by at least 3.0 and in 2007 will be within 0.5 of the brightest occurring; and which are visual magnitude 14.5 or brighter, are included. For objects brighter than visual magnitude 13.5, which are within the range of a large number of observers, these standards have been relaxed somewhat to include a larger number of objects. Magnitudes have been computed from the updated magnitude parameters published in MPC28104-28116, on 1996 Nov. 25, or more recently in the Minor Planet Circulars.

Oppositions may be in right ascension or in celestial longitude. Here we use still a third representation, maximum elongation from the Sun, instead of opposition. Though unconventional, it has the advantage that many close approaches do not involve actual opposition to the Sun near the time of minimum distance and greatest brightness and are missed by an opposition-based program. Other data are also provided according to the following tabular listings: Minor planet number, date of maximum elongation from the Sun in format yyyy $/ \mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{dd}$, maximum elongation in degrees, right ascension on date of maximum elongation, declination on date of maximum elongation, both in

J2000 coordinates, date of minimum or brightest magnitude in format yyyy $/ \mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{dd}$, minimum magnitude, date of minimum distance in format yyyy $/ \mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{dd}$, and minimum distance in AU.

Users should note that when the maximum elongation is about $177^{\circ}$ or greater, the minimum magnitude is sharply peaked due to enhanced brightening near zero phase angle. Even as near as 10 days before or after minimum magnitude the magnitude is generally about 0.4 greater. This effect takes place in greater time interval for smaller maximum elongations. There is some interest in very small minimum phase angles. For maximum elongations E near $180^{\circ}$ at Earth distance $\Delta$, an approximate formula for the minimum phase angle $\phi$ is $\phi=\left(180^{\circ}-\mathrm{E}\right) /(\Delta+1)$.

## Table I. Numerical Sequence of Favorable Elongations

| Planet | Max Elon | Max E | RA | c | Min Mag | Mag | in Dist D | in Dis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 2007/05/30 | $172.2^{\circ}$ | 16h32m | $-14^{\circ}$ | 2007/05/31 | 5.4 | 2007/05/31 | 1.143 |
| 8 | 2007/11/19 | $169.9^{\circ}$ | 3h44m | $+9^{\circ}$ | 2007/11/18 | 8.0 | 2007/11/15 | 0.890 |
| 20 | 2007/01/29 | $178.7^{\circ}$ | 8h45m | $+16^{\circ}$ | 2007/01/29 | 8.4 | 2007/01/27 | 1.108 |
| 30 | 2007/09/03 | $177.8^{\circ}$ | 22 h 46 m | $-5^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/03 | 9.7 | 2007/09/07 | 1.156 |
| 37 | 2007/01/17 | $174.9^{\circ}$ | 8 h 0 m | $+25^{\circ}$ | 2007/01/17 | 9.9 | 2007/01/12 | 1.298 |
| 76 | 2007/12/24 | $177.0^{\circ}$ | 6 h 6 m | $+20^{\circ}$ | 2007/12/24 | 11.7 | 2007/12/24 | 1.881 |
| 78 | 2007/01/25 | $172.0^{\circ}$ | 8h36m | +26 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2007/01/25 | 10.3 | 2007/01/26 | 1.097 |
| 95 | 2007/12/10 | $174.6^{\circ}$ | 5 h 10 m | $+17^{\circ}$ | 2007/12/10 | 11.4 | 2007/12/06 | 1.710 |
| 102 | 2007/10/18 | $178.9^{\circ}$ | 1h30m | $+10^{\circ}$ | 2007/10/18 | 11.0 | 2007/10/12 | 1.035 |
| 116 | 2007/02/12 | $174.0^{\circ}$ | 9h50m | $+19^{\circ}$ | 2007/02/12 | 10.7 | 2007/02/14 | 1.412 |
| 137 | 2007/07/16 | $157.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 19h27m | $+0^{\circ}$ | 2007/07/17 | 11.5 | 2007/07/19 | 1.479 |
| 162 | 2007/03/21 | $174.1^{\circ}$ | 12h10m | $5^{\circ}$ | 2007/03/21 | 12.1 | 2007/03/19 | 1.564 |
| 183 | 2007/08/29 | $165.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 23 h 5 m | $-21^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/02 | 12.2 | 2007/09/11 | 1.141 |
| 185 | 2007/09/15 | $165.8^{\circ}$ | Oh 3m | $-14^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/16 | 10.8 | 2007/09/16 | 24 |
| 188 | 2007/08/08 | $159.8^{\circ}$ | 20h51m | $+3^{\circ}$ | 2007/08/08 | 12.2 | 2007/08/07 | 1.296 |
| 194 | 2007/08/20 | $171.1^{\circ}$ | 21h39m | $-4^{\circ}$ | 2007/08/20 | 9.6 | 2007/08/19 | 0.995 |
| 198 | 2007/11/20 | $170.7^{\circ}$ | 3h27m | $+28^{\circ}$ | 2007/11/18 | 10.5 | 2007/11/10 | 1.097 |
| 225 | 2007/07/23 | $146.5^{\circ}$ | 19h38m | $+12^{\circ}$ | 2007/07/23 | 12.4 | 2007/07/23 | 1.574 |
| 226 | 2007/07/28 | $174.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 20h21m | $-13^{\circ}$ | 2007/07/28 | 12.0 | 2007/07/25 | 1.158 |
| 294 | 2007/08/07 | $179.7^{\circ}$ | 21 h 7 m | $-16^{\circ}$ | 2007/08/07 | 12.2 | 2007/08/07 | 1.371 |
| 322 | 2007/11/15 | $175.0^{\circ}$ | 3h14m | $+23^{\circ}$ | 2007/11/14 | 11.5 | 2007/11/07 | 1.234 |
| 351 | 2007/12/16 | $175.9^{\circ}$ | 5h35m | $+19^{\circ}$ | 2007/12/16 | 11.9 | 2007/12/20 | 1.452 |
| 360 | 2007/02/01 | $179.8^{\circ}$ | 8h58m | $+16^{\circ}$ | 2007/02/01 | 11.9 | 2007/01/27 | 1.748 |
| 372 | 2007/01/24 | $162.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 8h36m | $+36^{\circ}$ | 2007/01/23 | 10.5 | 2007/01/19 | 1.462 |
| 431 | 2007/09/23 | $177.4^{\circ}$ | Oh 5m | $-2^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/23 | 12.1 | 2007/09/19 | 1.640 |
| 445 | 2007/09/27 | $147.6^{\circ}$ | 23h21m | +31 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/27 | 13.2 | 2007/09/27 | 1.688 |
| 449 | 2007/03/04 | $174.4^{\circ}$ | 11h 9m | $+11^{\circ}$ | 2007/03/04 | 11.8 | 2007/03/02 | 1.173 |
| 453 | 2007/06/03 | $169.9^{\circ}$ | 16h41m | $-32^{\circ}$ | 2007/06/03 | 12.4 | 2007/06/02 | 0.951 |
| 456 | 2007/06/04 | $169.0^{\circ}$ | 16h57m | $-11^{\circ}$ | 2007/06/04 | 12.0 | 2007/06/02 | 1.294 |
| 464 | 2007/09/23 | $162.2^{\circ}$ | 0h31m | $-16^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/23 | 12.3 | 2007/09/22 | 1.261 |
| 493 | 2007/10/05 | $173.7^{\circ}$ | Oh35m | $+10^{\circ}$ | 2007/10/05 | 13.8 | 2007/10/07 | 1.651 |
| 501 | 2007/09/07 | $172.0^{\circ}$ | 23h13m | $-13^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/07 | 12.6 | 2007/09/07 | 1.719 |
| 512 | 2007/10/07 | $158.4^{\circ}$ | 1h23m | $-14^{\circ}$ | 2007/10/04 | 11.7 | 2007/09/30 | 0.675 |
| 516 | 2007/03/30 | $163.0^{\circ}$ | 12h14m | $-20^{\circ}$ | 2007/04/02 | 10.5 | 2007/04/08 | 1.046 |
| 575 | 2007/09/08 | $177.9^{\circ}$ | 23h 9m | $-7^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/08 | 13.3 | 2007/09/05 | 1.243 |
| 584 | 2007/11/19 | $164.1^{\circ}$ | 3h11m | +340 | 2007/11/16 | 10.6 | 2007/11/09 | 0.962 |
| 591 | 2007/03/24 | $168.3^{\circ}$ | 11h57m | $-12^{\circ}$ | 2007/03/24 | 13.0 | 2007/03/26 | 1.148 |
| 596 | 2007/06/01 | $179.9^{\circ}$ | 16h35m | $-21^{\circ}$ | 2007/06/01 | 11.6 | 2007/06/01 | . 428 |
| 632 | 2007/05/17 | $176.4^{\circ}$ | 15h29m | $-22^{\circ}$ | 2007/05/17 | 13 | 2007/05/20 | 1. |
| 679 | 2007/08/27 | $141.5^{\circ}$ | 0h16m | $-39^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/05 | 11.3 | 2007/09/08 | 0.963 |
| 704 | 2007/12/21 | $174.7^{\circ}$ | 5h55m | $+28^{\circ}$ | 2007/12/21 | 9.9 | 2007/12/16 | 1.879 |
| 713 | 2007/08/17 | $164.8{ }^{\circ}$ | 21h26m | $+1^{\circ}$ | 2007/08/18 | 13.1 | 2007/08/20 | 1.891 |
| 763 | 2007/10/21 | $171.4^{\circ}$ | 1h28m | $+18^{\circ}$ | 2007/10/21 | 14.0 | 2007/10/18 | 0.882 |
| 774 | 2007/05/31 | $179.8^{\circ}$ | 16h30m | $-21^{\circ}$ | 2007/05/31 | 11.6 | 2007/06/04 | 1.556 |
| 855 | 2007/06/08 | $160.3^{\circ}$ | 17 h 0 m | $-42^{\circ}$ | 2007/06/08 | 13.8 | 2007/06/07 | 0.955 |
| 66 | 2007/11/05 | $171.0^{\circ}$ | 2h46m | $+6^{\circ}$ | 2007/11/04 | 11.9 | 2007/10/29 | 1.475 |
| 905 | 2007/10/15 | $176.9^{\circ}$ | 1h25m | $+5^{\circ}$ | 2007/10/15 | 12.9 | 2007/10/14 | 0.881 |
| 913 | 2007/05/24 | $173.3^{\circ}$ | $16 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{8m}$ | $-14^{\circ}$ | 2007/05/25 | 13.3 | 2007/05/31 | 0.875 |
| 917 | 2007/10/14 | $173.7^{\circ}$ | 1 h 5 m | $+13^{\circ}$ | 2007/10/13 | 12.7 | 2007/10/06 | 0.970 |
| 922 | 2007/08/08 | $167.3^{\circ}$ | 20h54m | $-4^{\circ}$ | 2007/08/08 | 14.2 | 2007/08/10 | 1.191 |
| 934 | 2007/10/14 | $158.4^{\circ}$ | 0h48m | $+28^{\circ}$ | 2007/10/15 | 13.0 | 2007/10/14 | 1.195 |
| 959 | 2007/11/12 | $178.8^{\circ}$ | 3h10m | $+16^{\circ}$ | 2007/11/12 | 13.2 | 2007/11/09 | 1.531 |
| 970 | 2007/10/22 | $169.6^{\circ}$ | 1h31m | $+20^{\circ}$ | 2007/10/23 | 14.0 | 2007/10/25 | 0.896 |
| 971 | 2007/12/08 | $176.4^{\circ}$ | 4 h 57 m | $+19^{\circ}$ | 2007/12/08 | 12.5 | 2007/12/09 | 1.239 |
| 980 | 2007/07/23 | $172.9^{\circ}$ | $20 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{4m}$ | $-13^{\circ}$ | 2007/07/23 | 10 | 2007/07/29 | 1.34 |
| 1006 | 2007/11/09 | $160.9^{\circ}$ | 2h25m | $+34^{\circ}$ | 2007/11/06 | 13.7 | 2007/11/01 | 1.130 |
| 1044 | 2007/06/07 | $177.8^{\circ}$ | 16h59m | $-24^{\circ}$ | 2007/06/07 | 13.3 | 2007/06/11 | 1.228 |
| 1064 | 2007/06/27 | $178.2^{\circ}$ | 18h22m | $-25^{\circ}$ | 2007/06/27 | 12.5 | 2007/06/30 | 1.110 |
| 1099 | 2007/09/22 | $171.6^{\circ}$ | 0h 9m | - $8^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/23 | 13.2 | 2007/09/23 | 1.344 |
| 1116 | 2007/12/28 | $151.9^{\circ}$ | 6h32m | $+51^{\circ}$ | 2007/12/27 | 12.8 | 2007/12/25 | 1.350 |
| 1127 | 2007/11/05 | $150.5^{\circ}$ | 3h15m | $-12^{\circ}$ | 2007/11/06 | 13.2 | 2007/11/06 | 0.986 |
| 1150 | 2007/11/03 | $178.8^{\circ}$ | 2h35m | $+13^{\circ}$ | 2007/11/03 | 13.9 | 2007/10/25 | 0.877 |
| 1164 | 2007/03/01 | $176.4^{\circ}$ | 10h55m | $+10^{\circ}$ | 2007/03/01 | 14.2 | 2007/02/26 | 0.891 |
| 1166 | 2007/07/07 | $178.4^{\circ}$ | $19 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{1m}$ | $-21^{\circ}$ | 2007/07/07 | 12.7 | 2007/07/09 | 1.001 |
| 1240 | 2007/08/06 | $176.9^{\circ}$ | 21h 7m | $-19^{\circ}$ | 2007/08/06 | 12.6 | 2007/08/09 | 1.424 |
| 1251 | 2007/08/05 | $178.4^{\circ}$ | 20h57m | $-15^{\circ}$ | 2007/08/05 | 13.2 | 2007/08/09 | 1.378 |
| 1313 | 2007/11/18 | $159.2^{\circ}$ | $3 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{3m}$ | +38 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2007/11/17 | 14.4 | 2007/11/15 | 1.165 |
| 1325 | 2007/09/11 | $172.9^{\circ}$ | 23h25m | $-11^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/11 | 13.0 | 2007/09/11 | 0.892 |
| 1418 | 2007/09/17 | $179.7^{\circ}$ | 23h38m | $-2^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/17 | 13.1 | 2007/09/10 | 0.830 |
| 1432 | 2007/09/24 | $164.9{ }^{\circ}$ | 0h31m | $-13^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/23 | 13.3 | 2007/09/19 | 0.873 |
| 1475 | 2007/10/03 | $178.3^{\circ}$ | 0h32m | $+5^{\circ}$ | 2007/10/03 | 14.4 | 2007/10/06 | 0.997 |
| 1501 | 2007/09/28 | $176.8^{\circ}$ | 0h21m | $-1^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/28 | 13.7 | 2007/10/02 | 0.968 |
| 1539 | 2007/10/14 | $177.6^{\circ}$ | 1h21m | $+6^{\circ}$ | 2007/10/14 | 13.8 | 2007/10/15 | 1.585 |
| 1555 | 2007/08/05 | $178.8^{\circ}$ | 21 h 3 m | $-17^{\circ}$ | 2007/08/06 | 13.7 | 2007/08/15 | 1.110 |
| 1607 | 2007/09/15 | $164.8^{\circ}$ | $0 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{1m}$ | $-16^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/15 | 12.9 | 2007/09/15 | 0.786 |


|  | Max | Max E |  | De | Min Mag | Mag | Min Dist |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08 | 2007/09/05 | $177.8^{\circ}$ | 22 h 56 m | - $9^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/05 | 14. | 2007/08/30 | 0.861 |
| 1689 | 2007/11/23 | $168.8^{\circ}$ | 4h 0m | $+9^{\circ}$ | 2007/11/22 | 13.8 | 2007/11/17 | 1.016 |
| 1736 | 2007/10/17 | $173.2^{\circ}$ | 1h39m | $+3^{\circ}$ | 2007/10/17 | 13.6 | 2007/10/20 | 0.883 |
| 1752 | 2007/07/25 | $172.9^{\circ}$ | 20h10m | $-12^{\circ}$ | 2007/07/25 | 14.5 | 2007/07/30 | 0.825 |
| 1803 | 2007/06/01 | $134.5{ }^{\circ}$ | 15h2 | $-66^{\circ}$ | 2007/05/26 | 14. | 2007/05/25 | 0.91 |
| 1842 | 2007/05/2 | 168. | 3m |  | 2007/05/25 | 14. | 2007/05/31 | 06 |
| 1862 | 2007/04/20 | 142. | 16 h 22 m | $-21^{\circ}$ | 007/05/0 | 13.2 | 05/ | 0.071 |
| 1884 | 2007/02/15 | 155.5 | Oh 5 | $+37^{\circ}$ | 102/1 | 13.6 | $2 / 0$ | 0.919 |
| 1925 | 2007/07/16 | $179.5^{\circ}$ | 19h43m | $-21^{\circ}$ | 007/07/16 | 14.4 | 2007/07/23 | 1.263 |
| 1954 | 2007/06/26 | $178.2^{\circ}$ | 18 h 20 m | $-25^{\circ}$ | 2007/06/27 | 14.1 | 2007/07/08 | 1.406 |
| 1978 | 07/08 | $169.6^{\circ}$ | 21 | -25 | 2007/08 | 13.9 | 2007/08/1 | 0.719 |
| 1987 | 2007/07/30 | $172.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 20h38m | $-26^{\circ}$ | 2007/07/3 | 13. | 2007/08/06 | 0.938 |
| 2118 | 2007/10/26 | $169.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 1h48m | $+22^{\circ}$ | 2007/10/2 | 14.2 | 2007/10/31 | 1.086 |
| 2167 | 2007/02/23 | $168.7^{\circ}$ | 10h10m | $-0^{\circ}$ | 2007/02/24 | 14. | 2007/02/26 | 09 |
| 2287 | 2007/07/22 | $174.9^{\circ}$ | 20h1 | $-25^{\circ}$ | 2007/07/22 | 14.3 | 2007/07/23 | 48 |
| 40 | 2007/07/14 | $171.9^{\circ}$ | 19h28m | $-13^{\circ}$ | 2007/07/14 | 14. | 2007/07/16 | 0.8 |
| 2445 | 2007/11/28 | $175.6^{\circ}$ | 4h17m | $+16^{\circ}$ | 2007/11/28 | 14. | 2007/11/28 | 0.949 |
| 2554 | 2007/06/24 | $175.8^{\circ}$ | 18h12m | $-27^{\circ}$ | 2007/06/24 | 14. | 2007/06/24 | 0.922 |
| 2623 | 2007/09/04 | $178.7^{\circ}$ | 22 h 5 mm | - $8^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/04 | 13.9 | 2007/09/09 | 0.762 |
| 2637 | 2007/09/04 | $176.9^{\circ}$ | 22 h 54 m | $-10^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/04 | 13 | 2007/09/02 | 0.717 |
| 2648 | 007/10/ | 0.1 | 1 h 6 m | $+17^{\circ}$ | 2007/10/16 | 14.5 | 2007/10/19 | 0.903 |
| 2776 | 2007/01/26 | $171.6^{\circ}$ | 8h24m | $+10^{\circ}$ | 2007/01/2 | 14.4 | 2007/01/30 | 1.000 |
| 2896 | 2007/09/11 | $179.2^{\circ}$ | 23 h 15 | - $3^{\circ}$ | 007/09/1 | 14.1 | 007/09/02 | 21 |
| 3106 | 2007/10/09 | $156.5^{\circ}$ | 1h38m | $-15^{\circ}$ | 2007/10/11 | 14.4 | 2007/10/14 | 49 |
| 3125 | 2007/01/12 | $170.3^{\circ}$ | 7 h 23 | $+12^{\circ}$ | 2007/01/1 | 14.5 | 007/01/1 | 1.118 |
| 3163 | 2007/09/04 | $175.7^{\circ}$ | 22h45m | - $3^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/04 | 13 | 2007/08/24 | 0.616 |
| 3200 | 2007/11/21 | $114.8{ }^{\circ}$ | 8h34m | $+29^{\circ}$ | 2007/12/06 | 13.3 | 2007/12/10 | 0.121 |
| 3300 | 2007/07/06 | $150.0^{\circ}$ | 19h23m | $-52^{\circ}$ | 2007/07/06 | 14 | 2007/07/07 | 1.574 |
| 3330 | 2007/08/27 | $169.7^{\circ}$ | 22 h 37 m | $-19^{\circ}$ | 2007/08/27 | 14.5 | 2007/08/24 | 1.5 |
| 3628 | 2007/09/26 | $173.0^{\circ}$ | Oh2 | $4^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/26 | 13.8 | 2007/09/20 | 0.801 |
| 3687 | 2007/09 | 157.1 | 22h53 | $+17^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/16 | 14. | 2007/09/14 | 39 |
| 38 | 2007/11/14 | $173.6^{\circ}$ | 3 hlom | $+24^{\circ}$ | 007/11/1 | 14.2 | 007/11/19 | . 763 |
| 4066 | 2007/07/19 | $170.1^{\circ}$ | 19h46m | $-11^{\circ}$ | 007/07/20 | 14.5 | 2007/07/26 | . 83 |
| 4293 | 2007/12/10 | $174.0^{\circ}$ | 5h 8m | $+28^{\circ}$ | 2007/12/11 | 14. | 2007/12/15 | 1.193 |
| 4374 | 2007/03/11 | $176.9^{\circ}$ | 11h31m | $+6^{\circ}$ | 2007/03/11 | 14. | 2007/03/07 | 0.914 |
| 4388 | 2007/10/24 | $174.8^{\circ}$ | 1h38m | $+15^{\circ}$ | 2007/10/24 | 14.4 | 2007/10/27 | 0.718 |
| 4460 | 2007/08/10 | $171.6^{\circ}$ | 21 h 25 m | $-23^{\circ}$ | 2007/08/11 | 14.2 | 2007/08/14 | 1.484 |
| 4614 | 2007/09/29 | $178.8^{\circ}$ | Oh25m | $+1^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/29 | 14.4 | 2007/09/20 | 0.850 |
| 4954 | 2007/09/08 | $161.7^{\circ}$ | 23 h 9 m | $-24^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/24 | 11.6 | 2007/10/11 | 0.287 |
| 5331 | 2007/12/03 | $162.3^{\circ}$ | 4h35m | $+4^{\circ}$ | 2007/11/29 | 13.4 | 2007/11/20 | 0.797 |
| 5358 | 2007/11/15 | $161.3^{\circ}$ | 2h57m | $+36^{\circ}$ | 2007/11/15 | 14.5 | 2007/11/15 | 1.3 |
| 5746 | 2007/09/21 | $179.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 23h54m | $-0^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/21 | 14. | 2007/09/23 | 0.83 |
| 6091 | 2007/07/07 | $163.8^{\circ}$ | $19 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{9m}$ | -38 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2007/07/08 | 14 | 2007/07/10 | . 72 |
| 6265 | 2007/07/19 | $171.0^{\circ}$ | $20 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{1m}$ | $-29^{\circ}$ | 2007/07/20 | 14.6 | 2007/07/24 | 0.773 |
| 6649 | 2007/08/20 | $179.5^{\circ}$ | 21h55m | $-12^{\circ}$ | 2007/08/20 | 14.1 | 2007/08/16 | 0.889 |
| 6823 | 2007/12/23 | $177.3^{\circ}$ | 6h 8m | $+25^{\circ}$ | 2007/12/23 | 14.4 | 2007/12/21 | 1.263 |
| 6905 | 2007/11/14 | $178.6^{\circ}$ | 3h15m | $+19^{\circ}$ | 2007/11/14 | 13.8 | 2007/11/07 | 1.276 |
| 7304 | 2007/04/23 | $153.2{ }^{\circ}$ | 14 h 36 m | $+12^{\circ}$ | 2007/04/28 | 13.8 | 2007/05/03 | 0.541 |
| 7318 | 2007/08/02 | $177.7^{\circ}$ | 20h43m | $-15^{\circ}$ | 2007/08/02 | 14.3 | 2007/08/06 | 1.194 |
| 7405 | 2007/06/15 | $179.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 17h34m | $-23^{\circ}$ | 2007/06/15 | 14.5 | 2007/06/14 | 1.281 |
| 09 | 2007/11/24 | $179.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 3h59m | $+21^{\circ}$ | 2007/11/24 | 14.3 | 2007/11/20 | 0.908 |
| 7517 | 2007/11/05 | $171.0^{\circ}$ | 2h32m | $+24^{\circ}$ | 2007/11/06 | 14.4 | 2007/11/07 | 0.831 |
| 7559 | 2007/07/06 | $172.8{ }^{\circ}$ | 19 h 8 m | $-29^{\circ}$ | 2007/07/07 | 14.4 | 2007/07/12 | 0.866 |
| 10562 | 2007/09/22 | $171.1^{\circ}$ | Oh 4m | - $9^{\circ}$ | 2007/09/22 | 14.5 | 2007/09/22 | 0.970 |
| 11780 | 2007/10/06 | $166.2^{\circ}$ | 1h13m | $-7^{\circ}$ | 2007/10/06 | 14.5 | 2007/10/05 | 0.865 |
| 15549 | 2007/07/16 | $175.7^{\circ}$ | 19h34m | $-17^{\circ}$ | 2007/07/16 | 14.4 | 2007/07/17 | 0.740 |
| 15779 | 2007/07/16 | $168.5^{\circ}$ | 19h47m | - $9^{\circ}$ | 2007/07/18 | 14.1 | 2007/07/24 | 0.861 |
| 17583 | 2007/07/26 | $173.8^{\circ}$ | 20h18m | $-13^{\circ}$ | 2007/07/26 | 14.2 | 2007/07/25 | 0.806 |
| 21652 | 2007/07/15 | $178.8^{\circ}$ | 19 h 36 m | $-20^{\circ}$ | 2007/07/15 | 14.4 | 2007/07/24 | 1.153 |
| 30105 | 2007/08/08 | $158.8^{\circ}$ | 21h47m | $-35^{\circ}$ | 2007/08/08 | 13.9 | 2007/08/08 | 0.507 |
| 34777 | 2007/01/03 | $175.1^{\circ}$ | 6h48m | $+27^{\circ}$ | 2007/01/03 | 13.5 | 2007/01/08 | 0.736 |
| 41223 | 2007/10/05 | $169.8^{\circ}$ | Oh49m | - $5^{\circ}$ | 2007/10/04 | 13.9 | 2007/09/30 | 0.671 |

## Table II. Temporal Sequence of Favorable Elongations

| Planet | Max Elon | $\times \mathrm{E}$ | RA | Dec | Min Mag D | Mag | Min | Min Dist |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34777 | 2007/01/03 | $175.1^{\circ}$ | 6h48m | $+27^{\circ}$ | 2007/01/03 | 5 | 101/08 | 36 |
| 3125 | 2007/01/12 | $170.3^{\circ}$ | 7h23m | $+12^{\circ}$ | 2007/01/12 | 14.5 | 2007/01/15 | 1.118 |
| 37 | 2007/01/17 | $174.9^{\circ}$ | 8h 0m | $+25^{\circ}$ | 2007/01/17 | 9.9 | 2007/01/12 | 1.298 |
| 372 | 2007/01/24 | $162.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 8h36m | $+36^{\circ}$ | 2007/01/23 | 10.5 | 2007/01/19 | 1.462 |
| 78 | 2007/01/25 | $172.0^{\circ}$ | 8 h 36 m | +26 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2007/01/25 | 10.3 | 2007/01/26 | 1.097 |
| 2776 | 2007/01/26 | $171.6^{\circ}$ | 8h24m | $+10^{\circ}$ | 2007/01/26 | 14.4 | 2007/01/30 | 1.000 |
| 20 | 2007/01/29 | $178.7^{\circ}$ | 8 h 45 m | $+16^{\circ}$ | 2007/01/29 | 8.4 | 2007/01/27 | 1.108 |
| 60 | 2007/02/0 | $179.8^{\circ}$ | 8h58m | $+16^{\circ}$ | 2007/02/01 | 11 | 2007/01/27 | 1.748 |
| 116 | 2007/02/12 | $174.0^{\circ}$ | 9h50m | $+19^{\circ}$ | 2007/02/12 | 10.7 | 2007/02/14 | 1.412 |
| 1884 | 2007/02/15 | $155.5^{\circ}$ | 10h 5m | $+37^{\circ}$ | 2007/02/11 | 13.6 | 2007/02/08 | 19 |
| 2167 | 2007/02/23 | $168.7^{\circ}$ | 10h10m | - $0^{\circ}$ | 2007/02/24 | 14.4 | 2007/02/26 | 109 |
| 1164 | 2007/03/01 | $176.4^{\circ}$ | 10h55m | $+10^{\circ}$ | 2007/03/01 | 14.2 | 2007/02/26 | 0.891 |
| 449 | 2007/03/04 | $174.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 11h 9m | $+11^{\circ}$ | 2007/03/04 | 11.8 | 2007/03/02 | 1.173 |
| 4374 | 2007/03/11 | $176.9^{\circ}$ | 11h31m | $+6^{\circ}$ | 2007/03/11 | 14.4 | 2007/03/07 | 0.914 |
| 162 | 2007/03/21 | $174.1^{\circ}$ | $12 \mathrm{h10m}$ | $+5^{\circ}$ | 2007/03/21 | 12.1 | 2007/03/19 | 1.564 |
| 591 | 2007/03/24 | $168.3^{\circ}$ | 11h57m | $-12^{\circ}$ | 2007/03/24 | 13.0 | 2007/03/26 | 1.148 |
| 516 | 2007/03/30 | $163.0^{\circ}$ | 12h14m | $-20^{\circ}$ | 2007/04/02 | 10.5 | 2007/04/08 | 1.046 |
| 1862 | 2007/04/20 | $142.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 16h22m | $-21^{\circ}$ | 2007/05/04 | 13.2 | 2007/05/08 | 0.071 |
| 7304 | 2007/04/23 | $153.2{ }^{\circ}$ | 14 h 36 m | $+12^{\circ}$ | 2007/04/28 | 13.8 | 2007/05/03 | 0.541 |
| 632 | 2007/05/17 | $176.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 15h29m | $-22^{\circ}$ | 2007/05/17 | 13.8 | 2007/05/20 | 1.144 |
| 913 | 2007/05/24 | $173.3^{\circ}$ | 16 h 8 m | $-14^{\circ}$ | 2007/05/25 | 13.3 | 2007/05/31 | 0.875 |
| 1842 | 2007/05/24 | $168.7^{\circ}$ | 16h13m | - $9^{\circ}$ | 2007/05/25 | 14.1 | 2007/05/31 | 0.906 |
| 4 | 2007/05/30 | $172.2^{\circ}$ | 16h32m | $-14^{\circ}$ | 2007/05/31 | 5.4 | 2007/05/31 | 1.143 |
| 774 | 2007/05/31 | $179.8^{\circ}$ | 16 h 30 m | $-21^{\circ}$ | 2007/05/31 | 11.6 | 2007/06/04 | 1.556 |
| 596 | 2007/06/01 | $179.9^{\circ}$ | 16h35m | $-21^{\circ}$ | 2007/06/01 | 11.6 | 2007/06/01 | 1.428 |
| 1803 | 2007/06/01 | $134.5^{\circ}$ | 15 h 24 m | $-66^{\circ}$ | 2007/05/26 | 14.2 | 2007/05/25 | 0.915 |
| 453 | 2007/06/03 | $169.9{ }^{\circ}$ | 16h41m | $-32^{\circ}$ | 2007/06/03 | 12.4 | 2007/06/02 | 0.951 |
| 456 | 2007/06/04 | $169.0^{\circ}$ | 16h57m | $-11^{\circ}$ | 2007/06/04 | 12.0 | 2007/06/02 | 1.294 |
| 1044 | 2007/06/07 | $177.8^{\circ}$ | 16h59m | $-24^{\circ}$ | 2007/06/07 | 13.3 | 2007/06/11 | 1.228 |
| 855 | 2007/06/08 | $160.3^{\circ}$ | 17 h 0 m | $-42^{\circ}$ | 2007/06/08 | 13.8 | 2007/06/07 | 0.955 |

Table II．Temporal Sequence of Favorable Elongations（cont＇d）

|  |  |  |  | －23 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 2007／06／24 | $175.8^{\circ}$ | 18h12m | $-27^{\circ}$ | 2007／06／24 | 14.5 | 2007／06／24 | 0.922 |
| 1954 | 2007／06／26 |  | 18h20m | $5^{\circ}$ | 2007／06／27 | 14.1 |  | 1.406 |
| 1064 | 2007／06／27 | $178.2^{\circ}$ | 18h22m | －25 | 2007／06／2 | 12. | 200 |  |
|  |  |  | 19h23m | $-52^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | （1） |  | 19h 8m | $-29^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1166 | 2007／07／07 | $178.4{ }^{\circ}$ | $19 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{1m}$ | $-21^{\circ}$ | 2007／07／07 | 12 |  | ． 001 |
| 6091 | 2007／07／07 | $163.8^{\circ}$ | 19h 9m | －38 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2007／07／0 | 14 | ／10 | 23 |
| 2440 | 2007／07／14 | 171 | 19h28m |  | 00710 |  | 200 | 849 |
| 21652 | 2007／07／15 | 178 |  | $-20^{\circ}$ | 2007／07／15 |  |  |  |
| 137 | 2007／07／16 | 157.4 | 19h2 |  | 007／0 | 11.5 | 2007 | 479 |
| 1925 | 2007／07／16 |  | 19443m | －21 | 2007／07／16 |  |  |  |
| 15549 | 2007／07／16 | 175.7 | 19h | －17 | 2007／07／1 | 14.4 | 2007／07／17 | ． 740 |
| 15779 | 2007／07／16 |  |  |  | 通107／1 |  |  |  |
| 4066 | 2007／07／19 | $170.1^{\circ}$ | 19h46m | $-11^{\circ}$ | 2007／07／2 | 14.5 | 2007 | 83 |
|  | 2007／07／19 |  |  | －29 | 2007／07／120 |  | 2007／07／24 |  |
| 287 | 2007／07／22 | $174.9{ }^{\circ}$ | 20h11m | －25 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2007／07／ | 14 | 2007／07／23 |  |
| 225 | 2007／07／23 |  | 19n3 | ＋12 | 2007／07／23 |  | 2007／07／23 |  |
| 980 | 2007／07／ | 172. | 20 h | －13 | ／07／ | 10 | 7／2 | ． 345 |
| 52 | 2007／07／25 | $172.9^{\circ}$ | 20 hl | －12 |  | 14 | 17／30 |  |
| 583 | 20 | 173.8 | 20 h | －13 | 007 | 14. | 7／2 | D． 806 |
| 22 |  | $174.4{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | 2007／07／2 |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 2007／07／30 |  |  |  | 2007／07／31 |  | 2007／08／06 | 38 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1251 | $07 / 08$ | $178.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 20h57m | －1 | 2007／08／0 | 13.2 | 9 |  |
| 15 | 2007／08／0 | $178.8^{\circ}$ | 21h 3m | －1 |  | 13. | 2007／08／15 | 10 |
| 1240 | 2007／08／06 | $176.9^{\circ}$ | 21 h 7 m | －19 | ／08／ | 12 | 2007／08／09 |  |
| 294 | 2007 | 179 | 21 h 7 m | －16 | 108 | 12 | 00 | 71 |
|  | 2007 | 159 | 20 |  | 108／0 | 12 | 00 |  |
| 922 | 2007 | $167.3^{\circ}$ | 20 h 5 |  | 2007／08／ | 14 | 00 | 91 |
| 105 | 2007 | 17.8 | 21h47m |  | 2007／08／ |  | 2007／08／08 |  |
| 44 | 2007／08／ | 171.6 | 21h2 | －23 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2007／08／11 | 14 | 2007／08／14 | 84 |
| 19 | 2007／08／11 | $.6^{\circ}$ | 21 h 36 m | －25 | 2007／08／ | 13.9 | 2007／08／11 |  |
| 13 | 2007 | $164.8{ }^{\circ}$ | 21h2 |  | 2007／08／1 | 13. | 2007／08／20 | 91 |
| 194 |  |  | 21 h 3 |  | 2007／08／2 | 9. |  |  |
| 64 | 2007 | 179 | 21 h 5 | －12 | 2007／08／20 | 4. | 2007／08／16 | 89 |
| 679 | 2007 | 141.5 | 0h16m | －39 | 2007／09／ | 11. | 2007／09／08 |  |
| 3330 | 20 | 169 | 22h3 |  | 2007／08／27 | 14 |  |  |
| 183 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2623 | 07／09 | 178 | 22h53 |  | 2007／09／0 | 13 | 20 |  |
|  | 2007／09 | $176.9^{\circ}$ | 22 h 4 mm | $0^{\circ}$ | 109／0 | 13.9 | 007 |  |
| 3163 | 2007／09 | 175 | 22h45m |  | 2007／09／ | 13 | 20 |  |
|  | 2007／09／05 | $7.8{ }^{\circ}$ | 22 h | ． | 2007／09／ |  | 007 |  |
|  | 200 | $172.0^{\circ}$ | 23h13 | $3^{\circ}$ | 2007／09／07 | 12 | 2007／09／07 |  |
|  | 2007 | $177.9^{\circ}$ | 23h 9m |  | 2007／09／ | 13 | 2007／09／05 | ． 243 |
|  | 2007 | 161. | 23 h 9 m | $-24^{\circ}$ | 20 | 11.6 | 20 |  |
|  | 2007 | 172. | 23h25m | $-11^{\circ}$ | 2007／09／ | 13 | 2007／09／11 |  |
| 2896 | 2007／09／11 | 179. | 23h15m |  | 109 | 14 | 2007／09／02 |  |
|  | 20 | 165 | 0h 3 m | $-14^{\circ}$ | ／09／ | 10. | 2007／09／16 |  |
|  | 2007 | $164.8{ }^{\circ}$ | Oh 1m | $-16^{\circ}$ | 2007／09 | 12. | 200 |  |
|  | 20 | $179.7^{\circ}$ | 23h38m |  | 2007／09 |  | － |  |
|  | 2007／09／17 | 157 | 22h53m | ＋170 | 20 |  |  |  |
|  | 2007 | $179.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 23h54m |  | 2007／09／21 | 14.0 | 07109 |  |
|  |  | $171.6^{\circ}$ | Oh | － $8^{\circ}$ | 20 |  |  |  |
| 10562 | 2007／09／22 |  | 0h 4m |  | 2007／09／ |  | 2007／09／22 |  |
|  | 2 | $177.4{ }^{\circ}$ | Oh 5m | － $2^{\circ}$ | 20 |  | 20 |  |
|  | 2007／09／23 | $162.2{ }^{\circ}$ | h31m | $-16^{\circ}$ | 2007／09／23 | 12 | 007／09 | ． 261 |
|  | 2007／09／24 | 164 | 0h31 | $-13^{\circ}$ | 20 |  | 2007／09／19 |  |
|  |  | $0^{\circ}$ | 0h25m | ， | 007／09／261／27 |  | 09 |  |
|  | 09／27 | 147. | 23h21m | ＋31． | 2007／09／27 |  | 2007／09／27 |  |
|  | 2007／09／28 | $176.8^{\circ}$ | 21 |  | 7／09／2 |  | 10 |  |
| 4614 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2007／10／03 | 178 | 32 |  | （100103 |  | 2007／10／06 |  |
|  |  |  | 0h35m |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 2007／10／05 | 169 | 49 |  | 2007／10／0 |  | 2007／09／30 | 71 |
|  |  |  | 1h13m |  | 10 |  |  |  |
|  | 2007／10／0 | 158 | h23m | $-14^{\circ}$ | 2007／10／ |  | 2007／09／30 |  |
|  |  |  | 边 |  | $10 /$ |  |  |  |
|  | 2007／10／14 | 173. | 1h 5 | ＋13 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2007／10／1 | 12 | 2007／10／06 | 0.970 |
|  | 200710114 | $158.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 48 m | ＋28 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 007／10／1 | 12. | 2007／10／ | ． 59 |
|  | 2007／10／14 |  | 1h21m |  | 2007／10／ |  | 2007／10／15 |  |
|  | 20071015 |  | 1h25m |  | 1010 | ， | 退疗12 | ． 8.81 |
|  | 2007／10／15 | $170.1^{\circ}$ | 1h 6 | ＋17 | 2007／10／ |  | 2007／10／19 |  |
|  | ， |  | 39 m |  | $0 /$ | 1， |  |  |
| 102 | 2007／10／18 | 178.9 | 1h30 | ＋10 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2007／10／1 | 11 | 2007／10／12 | 1.035 |
|  |  | 171.4 | 1h28m |  |  | 14. |  |  |
|  | 2007／10／22 | $169.6^{\circ}$ | 1h31m | ＋20 | 2007／10／23 | 14. | 2007／10／ | 896 |
|  | 2007／10／24 |  | 1h38m |  | ／10／2 |  | 10 |  |
| 2118 | 2007／10／26 | 169 |  | $+22^{\circ}$ |  |  | 2007／10／31 | （1） |
|  | 03 | $178.8{ }^{\circ}$ | 2h35m | ＋13 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2007／11／03 | 13.9 | 2007／10／25 |  |
|  | 2007／11／05 | $1.0^{\circ}$ | 2 h 46 m |  | 007／11／0 | ． | 007／10／29 | ． 475 |
|  | 2007／11／05 | $150.5^{\circ}$ | 3 h 15 m | －12 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2007／11／0 | 13. | 2007／11／06 | 0.986 |
|  | 2007／11／05 | $171.0^{\circ}$ | 32 | $+24^{\circ}$ | 007／11／0 |  | 2007／11／07 | 0． 831 |
|  | 2007／11／09 | $160.9^{\circ}$ | 2h25 | ＋34 | 2007／11／0 | 13 | 2007／11／01 | 1.130 |
|  | 2007／11／12 | $178.8^{\circ}$ | 10 m | $+16^{\circ}$ | ／11／1 | 13.2 | ／11／09 | ． 531 |
|  | 2007／11／14 | $173.6{ }^{\circ}$ | 3 h 10 m | ＋24． | 2007／11／1 | 14. | 2007／11／19 | 0.763 |
|  | 2007／11／14 |  | 3h15m | － | 2007／11／14 | ， | 2007／11／07 |  |
| 322 | 2007／11／15 | $175.0^{\circ}$ | 3h14 | ＋23 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2007／11／1 | 11 | 2007／11／07 | 1.234 |
|  | 2007／11／15 | $161.3{ }^{\circ}$ | h57m | $+36^{\circ}$ | 1／ | ． | ／11／15 | ． 358 |
| 1313 | 2007／11／18 | $159.2{ }^{\circ}$ | $3 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{3m}$ | $+38^{\circ}$ | 2007／11／17 | 14 | 2007／11／15 | 1.165 |
|  | 2007／11／19 | $169.9^{\circ}$ | 3 h 4 mm |  | ／11／1 | 3．0 | 111 | ． 890 |
|  | 2007／11／19 | $164.1^{\circ}$ | 3h11m | $+34^{\circ}$ | 2007／11／1 | 10. | 2007／11／09 | 0.962 |
|  | 2007／11／20 | 170.7 | ， | $+28^{\circ}$ | 1／1 | 0. | 2007／11／10 | ． 097 |
|  | 2007／11／21 | $114.8{ }^{\circ}$ | 8h34m | ＋29 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2007／12／0 | 13. | 2007／12／10 | 0.121 |
|  | 2007／11／23 | $168.8^{\circ}$ | Om |  | 2007／11／2 | 13. | ／11／17 | 1.016 |
|  | 2007／11／24 | $179.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 3 h 9 m | $+21^{\circ}$ | 2007／11／24 | 14 | 2007／11／20 | 0.908 |
|  |  | $175.6^{\circ}$ |  |  | 2007／11／28 | 14. | 2007／11／28 |  |
|  |  | $162.3^{\circ}$ |  | $+4^{\circ}$ | 2007／11／29 | 13.4 |  | ． 797 |
|  | 2007／12／08 | $176.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 4 h 7 | ＋19 | 2007／12／0 | 12. | 2007／12／09 | 1.239 |
| 95 | 2007／12／10 | $174.6^{\circ}$ | 5 h 10 m | ＋170 | 2007／12／10 | 11. | 2007／12／06 | 1.710 |
| 93 | 2007／12／10 | $174.0^{\circ}$ | 5 h 8 m | ＋28 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2007／12／11 | 14. | 2007／12／15 | 1.193 |
| 351 | 2007／12／16 | $175.9^{\circ}$ | 5h35m | ＋19 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2007／12／16 | 11.9 | 2007／12／20 | 1.452 |
| 704 | 2007／12／21 | $174.7^{\circ}$ | 5h55m | ＋28 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2007／12／21 | 9.9 | 2007／12／16 |  |
| 6823 | 2007／12／23 | $177.3^{\circ}$ | 6 h 8 m | $+25^{\circ}$ | 2007／12／23 | 14.4 | 2007／12／21 | 1.263 |
|  | 20 |  |  |  | 20 |  | 24 |  |
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Lightcurves for 12 asteroids were obtained at the Palmer Divide Observatory from late June through late September 2006： 1355 Magoeba， 2083 Smither， 2150 Nyctimene， 3115 Baily， 3353 Jarvis， 5841 Stone， 6255 Kuma，（6382） 1988 EL， 9298 Geake， 9387 Tweedledee， 9739 Powell，and（22722） 1998 SE54．

Observations of 12 asteroids were made at the Palmer Divide Observatory from June through September 2006．One of three telescopes／camera combinations was used： 0.5 m Ritchey－ Chretien／FLI IMG－1001E， 0.35 m SCT／FLI IMG－1001E，or 0.35 m SCT／STL－1001E．The scale for each was about 2.5 arcseconds／pixel．Exposure times were 120－240s．Images taken with the 0.5 m scope were guided．The operating temperature for the FLI cameras was $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while the STL－1001E was run between $-20^{\circ}$ to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ depending on ambient conditions．

All images were measured using MPO Canopus，which employs differential aperture photometry to determine the values used for analysis．Period analysis was also done using Canopus，based on a Fourier analysis algorithm developed by Harris（1989）．

The results are summarized in the table below with individual plots presented afterwards．The data and curves are presented without comment except when warranted．Column 3 gives the full range of dates of observations；column 4 gives the number of data points used in the analysis．Column 5 gives the range of phase angles．If there are three values in the column，the phase angle reached a minimum with the middle value being the minimum． Columns 6 and 7 give the range of values（or average if the range was relatively small）for the Phase Angle Bisector（PAB） longitude and latitude respectively．Columns 8 and 10 give the period and amplitude of the curve while columns 9 and 11 give the respective errors in hour and magnitudes．

An＂（H）＂follows the name of an asteroid if it is a member of the Hungaria group or family．

1355 Magoeba．The period is supported by a half－period at about 16 hr （monomodal curve）．

3115 Baily．Originally reported in the Harris list（Harris 2006）to have a period of 10.0 hr as found by Behrend et al．The Behrend site（2006）now reports a period of 16.277 hr ．

3353 Jarvis．The 40.8 hr solution was supported by a half－period search．

5841 Stone．A period of 2.805 hr was also found but eliminated after data were obtained on Sept． 19.

6255 Kuma．This is a main belt member that happened to be in the same field as 5841 on Sept． 16.

| \# Name | Date Range (mm/dd) 2006 | Data Pts | Phase | $L_{\text {PAB }}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{\text {PAB }}$ | Per <br> (h) | PE | Amp <br> (m) | AE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1355 Magoeba (H) | 06/29-07/23 | 251 | 28.1-24.1 | 314.0 | 32.0 | 32.9 | 0.1 | 0.22 | 0.03 |
| 2083 Smither (H) | 09/18-09/20 | 169 | 17.9 | 357.8 | 27.1 | 2.676 | 0.003 | 0.10 | 0.02 |
| 2150 Nyctimene (H) | 09/17-09/18 | 160 | 15.3 | 13.8 | 10.0 | 6.120 | 0.005 | 0.62 | 0.02 |
| 3115 Baily | 09/05-09/17 | 332 | 6.8-10.0 | 337.4 | 13.1 | 16.22 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.02 |
| 3353 Jarvis (H) | 06/29-07/23 | 184 | 29.1-25.2 | 311.3 | 28.6 | 40.8 | 0.1 | 0.10 | 0.03 |
| 5841 Stone (H) | 08/29-09/19 | 206 | 12.6,4.2,5.5 | 352.1 | 1.0-6.2 | 2.8902 | 0.0005 | 0.11 | 0.02 |
| 6255 Kuma | 09/16-09/26 | 170 | 2.8-5.2 | 352.4 | 6.4 | 9.70 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.03 |
| 63821988 EL (H) | 09/27-10/01 | 110 | 11.6-10.1 | 16.7 | 10.6 | 2.898 | 0.001 | 0.08 | 0.02 |
| 9298 Geake | 07/27-09/15 | 399 | 17.2,13.8,20.2 | 322.0 | 18.6-15.4 | 38.29 | 0.02 | 0.78 | 0.03 |
| 9387 Tweedledee (H) | 09/16-09/19 | 199 | 13.7 | 2.5 | 16.0 | 7.08 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.02 |
| 9739 Powell (H) | 09/25-10/01 | 334 | 22.6-21.5 | 18.8 | 28.3 | 18.2 | 0.1 | 0.09 | 0.02 |
| 227221998 SE54 | 06/29-07/16 | 209 | 13.4-17.5 | 266.0 | 19.3 | 10.934 | 0.002 | 0.50 | 0.03 |

(6382) 1988 EL. Follow up on previous work (Warner 2005).

9739 Powell. An alternate solution of 36.5 hr is possible, though the fit of the data is not as good.
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# THE MINOR PLANET OBSERVER: MAKING LIFE EASIER. SOME CHANGES IN THE MPB SUBMISSION GUIDELINES 

Brian Warner<br>Palmer Divide Observatory/Space Science Institute<br>17995 Bakers Farm Rd.<br>Colorado Springs, CO 80908<br>brian@MinorPlanetObserver.com

The last issue of the Minor Planet Bulletin was a record setter. There were 34 pages and more than 90 lightcurves included. It wasn't so long ago that we hoped to have 70 lightcurves in an entire year. Having such a volume of papers is what's often called a "golden problem." There are difficulties involved with having to edit and process so many papers but they are to be preferred to those of having to find some way to fill a minimum number of pages because of a dearth of material.

However, having more people is not always the answer, though I'm glad to be on staff as Assistant Editor and try to take some of the load where possible. Sometimes it's the process itself that needs an occasional adjustment to keep things under control. Such is the case now, and so were are asking you - the potential authors - to help us by working with a new template and suggested guidelines when submitting papers. Visit the MPB e-Journal site to download the new template and guidelines. The URL is:
$\mathrm{http}: / / \mathrm{www}$. minorplanetobserver.com $/ \mathrm{mpb} /$ default.htm
Those using MPO Canopus will also find an updated tutorial on how to generate and edit plots from that program to make them best suited for using in papers for the MPB. You'll find that tutorial at http://www.minorplanetobserver.com/MPOSoftware/ MPOSoftwareHintsTips.htm. Of course, some of the suggestions there can be used regardless of the program you use to generate your plots, so please be sure to take a look even if you don't use MPO Canopus.

Here are some things we'd like you to consider when preparing your papers that will make life easier for everyone.

Use the Template. We receive papers that use a variety of paragraph styles and formatting that are not included in the original template. This can sometimes make editing a paper difficult.

Tables. When submitting a large number of asteroid lightcurves, it's common to summarize the results in a table that spans both columns of a page. In Microsoft Word®, one way to do this is to insert two continuous section breaks, switch to one column between the breaks, and create the wide table there. Some authors then move the table and the two section breaks so that the table occupies the bottom of the first page of their manuscript, a natural location.

However, positioning a table and its section breaks in this way can cause a problem. In the MPB, an article might not start at the top of a page, but partway down. This alignment means the carefully positioned table no longer appears where intended.

One way to address this problem is for you not to position the table within the article, but leave that task for the MPB Producer. Perhaps include a note with the manuscript stating the placement you prefer. Another way, which the MPB Producer is adopting, is to use the "text box" feature of Word. A text box can contain
anything - text, figure, table - and can be positioned anywhere on a page, no matter where the article actually starts. For the situation under discussion, a text box would be inserted in the first page, resized to be the width of both columns, and the table entered inside. Finally, the text box would be positioned with both its left and bottom borders on the margins. Within reason, Word automatically positions the text box there no matter where on the $M P B$ page that the article actually starts.

Within the box, use the "Table" style, which is Courier New and 9 -point font. Since this is a fixed pitch font, it will be easy to line up values in columns. If you're familiar and comfortable with the process, you could first create a table and fill it in, then convert it to text using tabs to separate the columns. Copy and paste the text into a text box and start playing with the tab settings and other formatting. I've tried both, and found each to have its advantages and disadvantages.

You are not required to use text boxes in your manuscripts - we will format articles for you. However, if you do want to position wide tables within the manuscript yourself, and are comfortable with Word's text boxes, then using them instead of section breaks can save the editors and producer some work.

Plots (General). Please submit simple graphics files, preferably GIF or JPG. 24-bit BMP files can be very large (several MB) and, while acceptable, bloat the size of the entire document needlessly. Please do not submit embedded or linked objects, e.g., Excel ${ }^{\circledR}$ plots and worksheets. Do not create multi-layer graphics with grouping, force a set position, or "float over text." Any of these techniques can make reformatting or editing a minor correction time consuming. Not only that, they can make the overall file much larger, going in some cases from a few hundred KB to several MB.

It's OK to use colors but test the plots to be sure they will be easily readable when printed to B/W. For example, yellow plot symbols on a white background do not work well.

It's preferred that you put the graphics at the end of the article and let the Producer place them. Again, since there's no guarantee where on the published MPB page an article will start or end your placement may change during final layout.


Figure 1. Sample plot following new guidelines.

Plots (Legend). The legend allows the reader to tell which symbols belong to which data. We recommend that the legend include the symbol and date of the data. A symbol/observer combination can be ambiguous since there may be several data segments attributable to one observer. Use a different symbol/color combination for each subset of the data, e.g., a single observer on a given night.

Plots (What to Include). In response to a request from Prof. Frederick Pilcher, see "Letters to the Editor" in this issue, the recommendation is that a plot now include the name of the
asteroid, the JD zero point, and the period. The amplitude is not required nor recommended. This information as well as the legend should be entirely within the plot area to maximize the data area. Figure 1 shows an example using MPO Canopus.

Note that the axis labels are only on the left and bottom. Having the numbers on all four sides is both redundant and takes up space needlessly.

Change is always a burden but we hope that you won't find these too difficult to manage. You'll receive our profound thanks when you do. Clear Skies!

## CLOSE MUTUAL APPROACHES OF MINOR PLANETS IN 2007

Edwin Goffin<br>Aartselaarstraat 14<br>B-2660 Hoboken (Antwerp)<br>Belgium<br>Edwin.Goffin@skynet.be

(Received: 23 April)

Tabulated are 32 cases where one minor planet comes to within 120 " of another and both are magnitude 16 or brighter. A challenge for minor planet observers!

Here I present a list of close approaches between numbered minor planets larger than 40 km during 2007 where:

- the elongation of the Sun is more than $30^{\circ}$,

The table gives the following data:

1. Date: date and time of closest geocentric approach (in UT). All other information is given for this instant.
2. Closest approach: gives the minimum geocentric distance (in seconds of arc) and the position angle (in degrees) of the nearest minor planet with respect to the farthest one.
3. Minor planet 1 : information on the nearest one: - number and name visual magnitude,

- parallax in seconds of arc,
- apparent motion in seconds of arc per hour,
- position angle of the direction of motion in degrees.

4. Minor planet 2: information about the farthest minor planet of the two. The same data as for the nearest one are given. In addition the right ascension and declination (2000).
5. Sun and Moon:

- elongation of the Sun in degrees,
- elongation of the Moon (degrees),
- illuminated fraction of the Moon in \%.
- both minor planets are brighter than visual magnitude 16,
- and the minimum geocentric separation is less than 120".



# LIGHTCURVES FOR 122 GERDA, 217 EUDORA, 631 PHILLIPINA, 670 OTTEGEBE, AND 972 COHNIA 

Robert K. Buchheim Altimira Observatory (G76)<br>18 Altimira, Coto de Caza, CA 92679 USA<br>rbuchheim@earthlink.net<br>Rene Roy<br>Blauvac Observatory (627)<br>F-84570 St-Estève, France

Raoul Behrend
Geneva Observatory (517)
CH-1260 Sauverny, Switzerland
(Received: 29 September)

Lightcurve observations have yielded period determinations for the following asteroids: 122 Gerda, $10.687 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{hr} ; 217$ Eudora, $25.253 \pm 0.003 \mathrm{hr} ; 631$ Phillipina, $\quad 5.899 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{hr} ; 670$ Ottegebe, $10.041 \pm 0.002 \mathrm{hr}$; and 972 Cohnia, $18.472 \pm 0.004 \mathrm{hr}$.

This article reports on asteroid photometry studies conducted at Altimira Observatory during 2005 and 2006. Altimira Observatory is located in southern California, and is equipped with a $0.28-\mathrm{m}$ Schmidt-Cassegrain telescope (Celestron NexStar-11 operating at F/6.3), and CCD imager (ST-8XE NABG, with Johnson-Cousins filters). Details of the equipment and instrument characterization are available at the observatory's website (http://www.geocities.com/oca_bob). Supporting data were provided by Blauvac Observatory, located in southern France and equipped with a $310 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{f} / 3.4$ Newtonian telescope and Audine CCD imager. Results are summarized in the table below.

122 Gerda: Gil-Hutton (1993) reported a lightcurve period of 8.9 hr based on two consecutive nights of photoelectric photometry that showed one definite brightness maximum and a poorlydefined secondary maximum. DiMartino et al. (1994) reported a period of 10.332 hr . Altimira Observatory data from the present study is best fit using a period of $10.6875 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{hr}$. The resulting lightcurve using the V-band data from Altimira Observatory is shown herein. Alternative periods near 8.9 hr and 10.3 hr were tested, but the fits (both subjectively, and based on RMS error) were poor. We judge the reported 10.6875 hr as "provisional" because the photometry from Blauvac Observatory was discordant with that from Altimira, and the two data sets could not be successfully combined using this (or any other) period. R- and Bband lightcurves from Altimira show that the asteroid's color does not change (to within $\pm 0.03 \mathrm{mag}$ ) as it rotates.

217 Eudora: Lagerkvist et al. (1998) reported a rotation period of 12.54 hr based on three nights of photometry that provided a partial lightcurve, but did not include any definite maxima or minima. Asteroids whose rotation is nearly synchronous with the Earth's
are difficult to deal with from a single observing station because each night provides a repetition of the previous night's portion of the lightcurve. By combining photometry from Altimira and Blauvac Observatories (which are separated by about 120 degrees of longitude) we were able to determine a reliable rotation period of $25.253 \pm 0.003 \mathrm{hr}$. Examination of the B, V, and R-band curves from Altimira indicate that there is no change in the asteroid's color (within $\pm 0.02 \mathrm{mag}$ ) as it rotates.

631 Phillipina: Behrend (2006) reports a reliable period of $5.9 \pm 0.005 \mathrm{hr}$, from lightcurves gathered in 2001, 2002, and 2004. The lightcurve using data from Altimira Observatory during the 2006 apparition yields $5.899 \pm 0.001$, in agreement with Behrend's results. Comparison of the V- and R-band lightcurves shows that the asteroid's color does not change (within $\pm 0.03 \mathrm{mag}$ ).

670 Ottegebe: Kirkpatrick et al (2003) reported a period of 10.045 hr based on a complete lightcurve from the 2003 apparition. Data from Altimira Observatory at the 2006 apparition confirms their result, with a period of $10.041 \pm 0.002 \mathrm{hr}$.

972 Cohnia: Observations from Altimira Observatory indicate a period of $18.472 \pm 0.004 \mathrm{hr}$. This is apparently the first lightcurve reported for this asteroid.
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| $\#$ | Name | Date Range | Phase | Filters | Period (hrs) | Amp (P-P) |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 122 | Gerda | $7 / 31-9 / 28 / 2005$ | $11.6,0.2,7.2$ | BVR | $10.687 \pm 0.001$ | 0.16 |
| 217 | Eudora | $5 / 30-6 / 23 / 2006$ | $7.7,15.0$ | BVRC | $25.253 \pm 0.003$ | 0.24 |
| 631 | Phillipina | $8 / 06-8 / 08 / 2006$ | $9.0,9.1$ | VR | $5.899 \pm 0.001$ | 0.30 |
| 670 | Ottegebe | $6 / 03-7 / 02 / 2006$ | $6.8,4.1,7.4$ | BVRC | $10.041 \pm 0.002$ | 0.35 |
| 972 | Cohnia | $8 / 27-9 / 02 / 2006$ | $13.8,11.7$ | VR | $18.472 \pm 0.004$ | 0.20 |
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## ASTEROID LIGHTCURVE PHOTOMETRY FROM SANTANA AND GMARS OBSERVATORIES - MID-2006

Robert D. Stephens
11355 Mount Johnson Court
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91737 USA rstephens@foxandstephens.com
(Received: 21 September)

Lightcurve period and amplitude results from Santana and GMARS Observatories are reported for 2006 JulySeptember. 601 Nerthus ( $13.59 \pm 0.01$ hours and 0.29 mag.); 685 Hermia ( $50.44 \pm 0.01$ hours and 0.90 mag.); 2393 Suzuki ( $9.31 \pm 0.01$ hours and 0.40 mag.)

The author operates telescopes at two observatories. Santana Observatory is located in Rancho Cucamonga, California at an elevation of 400 meters and contains a Meade 0.3 m RCX400 telescope. GMARS (Goat Mountain Astronomical Research Station) is located at the Riverside Astronomical Society's observing site at an elevation of 879 meters. The author's
observatory at GMARS contains a Celestron 0.35 m mounted on a Paramount from Software Bisque. All observations were obtained with an SBIG ST1001 CCD camera with the image scale of approximately 2.2 arcseconds per pixel at Santana Observatory and 2.4 arcseconds per pixel at GMARS. Further details of the equipment used can be found at the author's web site (http://members.dslextreme.com/users/rstephens/). Unless otherwise noted, all observations were obtained from Santana Observatory. The targets were chosen from the list of asteroid photometry opportunities published by Brian Warner and Alan Harris on the Collaborative Asteroid Lightcurve Link (CALL) website (Harris 2006). The images were measured and period analysis was done using the software program MPO Canopus which uses differential aperture photometry to determine the values used for analysis.

The results are summarized in the accompanying table. Column 2 gives the dates over which the observations were made, Column 3 gives the number of actual runs made during that time span and column 4 gives the number of observations used. Column 5 is the range of phase angles over the full data range. If there are three values in the column, this means the phase angle reached a minimum with the middle valued being the minimum. Columns 6 and 7 give the range of values for the Phase Angle Bisector (PAB) longitude and latitude respectively. Column 8 gives the period and column 9 gives the error in hours. Columns 10 and 11 give the amplitude and error in magnitudes.

685 Hermia. Observations were initially obtained with Santana's 0.3 m telescope in suburban city skies. It became quickly apparent that this was a long period target whose period was nearly commensurate with 48 hours. Observations of critical minima's were obtained from GMARS on August 26, September 16, and 17.
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| Asteroid | Dates | Sess | \# Points | Phase | $\mathbf{L}_{\text {Pab }}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\text {PAB }}$ | Per (h) | PE | Amp | AE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 601 Nerthus | 2006 07/04-08 | 5 | 599 | 10.6, 9.6 | 302.4, 302.5 | 15.9, 15.8 | 13.59 | 0.01 | 0.29 | 0.03 |
| 685 Hermia | 2006 08/15-09/19 | 16 | 2,495 | 15.0, 8.5 | 341.3, 345.4 | 5.6, 5.2 | 50.44 | 0.01 | 0.90 | 0.03 |
| 2393 Suzuki | 2006 07/17-29 | 4 | 291 | 8.0, 7.1 | 306.2, 306.3 | 12.9, 13.0 | 9.31 | 0.01 | 0.40 | 0.03 |

# ASTERIOD LIGHTCURVE ANALYSIS AT HUNTERS HILL OBSERVATORY AND COLLABORATING STATIONS -JUNE-SEPTEMBER 2006 

David Higgins<br>Hunters Hill Observatory (E14)<br>7 Mawalan Street, Ngunnawal ACT 2913 Australia<br>higginsdj@bigpond.com<br>Rui M. D. Gonçalves<br>Linhaceira Observatory (938)<br>Instituto Politécnico de Tomar, 2300-313 Tomar, Portugal

(Received: 15 September Revised: 16 October)


#### Abstract

Lightcurves for the following asteroids were obtained at Hunters Hill Observatory and collaborating stations resulting in period and amplitude determinations: 894 Erda, 1273 Helma, 1293 Sonja, (6409) 1992 MJ, 2510 Shandong, 3913 Chemin, (6406) 1992 MJ, 7168 Linda, 11574 d'Alviella, 13070 Seanconnery, (20452) 1999 KG4, (40559) 1999 RO118, (45263) 2000 AD5 and (108846) 2001 OW91.


Hunters Hill Observatory is equipped as described in Higgins (2005). All observations for this paper were made using a Clear filter with guided exposure times ranging from 120 seconds to 240 seconds. MaxIm DL/CCD, driven by ACP4, was used for telescope and camera control whilst calibration and image measurements were undertaken by MPO Canopus version 9 .

Linhaceira Observatory is located in the center of Portugal and is equipped with a 0.35 m SCT (Celestron C14) and Starlight Xpress MX916 CCD at $\mathrm{f} / 8.055$. A Meade LX200 0.25 m SCT fitted with an Audine CCD (Kaf0400) CCD at $\mathrm{f} / 6.73$ is used for guiding. All images were collected unfiltered and pre-processed with bias, dark and flat-field frames. Image measurement was undertaken with MPO Canopus.

Targets were chosen either from the CALL list provided by Warner (2006) or from Binary Asteroid Photometric Survey list provided by Pravec (2005a). Results are summarised in the table below with the individual plots presented at the end. Additional comment, where appropriate, is provided. Binary candidates and collaborative targets for which Hunters Hill was not the principal observer are not included. Binary candidates will be reported separately by the Binary Asteroid Photometric Survey Principal

Investigator, Dr Petr Pravec. The strategy is to work objects carefully for potential deviations that would indicate the presence of a satellite. Considerable effort was made to identify and eliminate sources of observational errors that might corrupt the observations and lead to false attenuation events. It was particularly important to identify and eliminate data points affected by faint background stars, bad pixels, and cosmic ray hits.

894 Erda. This asteroid was chosen from the CALL list as its known period was rated 2. Interestingly, all previous observations of this target have resulted in very low amplitude ( 0.08 mag ) lightcurves. In this apparition the amplitude has extended to 0.27 mag. In the 2001 observations of Szekely (2005), potential indications of an occulting event were observed. No such indications were noted in the current data. Previously derived periods of $4.69 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{hrs}$ by Szekely (2005) and Stephens (2002) are in agreement with our results.

7169 Linda. This asteroid has a long synodic rotation period. The figure provided represents a best fit of the data. No minima or maxima were captured on any single night and each night either represented a flat plot or a continuous increase over the entire session. As each session exceeded 7 hours in duration, the minimum possible period for this target is 28 hours. The plot presented for 7169 represents the half-period of 42 hours and is provided for indicative purposes only.

11574 d'Alviella. The asteroid was also observed by Simeiz Observatory. However, their data were not included here as they did not impact on the solution in any way. Unfortunately the data were insufficient to rule out a double period of 25.10 hrs even with Simeiz's data as analysed by Dr Petr Pravec of the Astronomical Institute, Czech Republic.

13070 Seanconnery. This asteroid was also observed on 4 nights by Linhaceira Observatory. Goncalves used the Audine CCD for session 1 and his MX916 CCD for the last 3 sessions.
(40559) 1999 RO118. This target appeared in the same images as 7169 Linda on 19 August 2006. Measurements were taken from the single night only as the object was too faint to warrant further follow-up.
(108846) 2001 OW91. This target appeared in the same images as 11574 d"Alviella on 04 July 2006 BINAST target. Measurements were taken from the single night only as the object was too faint to warrant further follow-up.

| \# | Name | Date Range | Sess | Period <br> Hrs | P.E. | Amp |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 894 | Erda | 12Jun-24Jun06 | 4 | 4.6897 | 0.0003 | 0.27 |
| 1273 | Helma | 26Jul-04Aug06 | 3 | 6.0851 | 0.0006 | 0.32 |
| 1293 | Sonja | 24Jun-25Jun06 | 2 | 2.878 | 0.001 | 0.21 |
| 2510 | Shandong | 28Aug-04Sep06 | 4 | 3.4963 | 0.0003 | 0.49 |
| 3913 | Chemin | 26Aug-12Sep06 | 6 | 3.4077 | 0.0004 | 0.17 |
| 6406 | 1992 MJ | 26Jun-28Jun06 | 3 | 6.819 | 0.002 | 1.10 |
| 7169 | Linda | 05Aug-19Aug06 | 5 | $>28$ | na | na |
| 11574 | d'Alviella | 29Jun-26Jul06 | 8 | 12.549 | 0.003 | 0.15 |
| 13070 | Seanconnery | 20Aug-30Aug06 | 9 | 7.085 | 0.001 | 0.18 |
| 20452 | 1999 KG4 | 26Jun-28Jun06 | 3 | 3.849 | 0.002 | 0.34 |
| 40559 | 1999 RO118 | 19Aug-19Aug06 | 1 | 4.81 | 0.08 | 0.30 |
| 45263 | 2000 AD5 | 28Aug-30Aug06 | 3 | 14.18 | 0.02 | 0.60 |
| 108846 | 2001 OW91 | 04Jul-04Jul06 | 1 | 2.99 | 0.03 | 0.45 |
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# LIGHTCURVE RESULTS FOR 1318 NERINA, 2222 LERMONTOV, 3015 CANDY, 3089 OUJIANQUAN, 3155 LEE, 6410 FUJIWARA, 6500 KODAIRA, (8290) 1992 NP, 9566 RYKHLOVA, (42923) 1999 SR18, AND 2001 FY 

Dr. Maurice Clark<br>Department of Physics, Montgomery Community College Rockville, MD 20877<br>maurice.clark@montgomerycollege.edu

(Received: 22 August Revised: 13 November)


#### Abstract

Lightcurve period and amplitude results for 10 minor planets are presented. The observations were made at 3 locations: the Montgomery College Observatory, Chiro Observatory, and the Rosemary Hill Observatory. (1318) Nerina: $2.536 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{hr}$, $0.16 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{mag}$. (2222) Lermontov: $4.344 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{hr}, 0.58 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{mag}$. (3015) Candy: $4.265 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{hr}, \quad 1.05 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{mag}$. (3089) Oujianquan: $11.198 \pm 0.003 \mathrm{hr}, 0.45 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{mag}$. (3155) Lee: $8.36 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{hr}, 0.4 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{mag}$. (6410) Fujiwara: $7.008 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{hr}, \quad 0.80 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{mag}$. (6500) Kodaira: $5.496 \pm 0.009 \mathrm{hr}, 0.80 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{mag}$. (8290) $1992 \mathrm{NP}:$ $4.453 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{hr}, 0.58 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{mag}$. (9566) Rykhlova: $8.800 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{hr}, 0.95 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{mag}$. (42923) 1999 SR18: $8.392 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{hr}, \quad 1.38 \pm 0.05$ mag. 2001 FY : $5.207 \pm 0.005 \mathrm{hr}, 0.45 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{mag}$. Also reported are indefinite period results for four objects in the hope that they may be useful for future observers: 1110 Jaroslawa, 1720 Neils, 3872 Akirafuji, and 6790 Pingouin.


Montgomery College Observatory is situated on the campus of Montgomery Community College in Rockville, Maryland. The main instrument is a 40 cm SCT with SBIG ST-9E and $\mathrm{f} / 6.3$ focal reducer. A second instrument used was a $10 " \mathrm{f} / 6.3$ SCT with an SBIG ST-8XE. Rosemary Hill Observatory, is situated near Bronsen, FL and is owned and operated by the University of Florida, Gainesville. Two telescope/camera setups were used. The first being a 76 cm Newtonian with SBIG ST-9E while the second was a 46 cm Ritchey-Chretien with SBIG ST-8E. Chiro Observatory is a private observatory owned by Akira Fuji near Yerecion in Western Australia. The main instrument is a 300 mm f/6 Newtonian. An SBIG ST-9XE was used with this telescope. At all observatories, ST-9 images were binned 1x1, while ST-8 images were binned $2 \times 2$. All images were unfiltered and were reduced with dark frames and sky flats.

For the most part, the asteroids observed were chosen from the Collaborative Asteroid Lightcurve Link (CALL) home page that is maintained by Brian Warner. Image analysis was accomplished using differential aperture photometry with MPO Canopus. Period analysis was also done in Canopus, which implements the algorithm developed by Alan Harris (Harris et al. 1989). Differential magnitudes were calculated using reference stars from the USNO-A 2.0 catalog and the UCAC2 catalog. Results are summarized in the table below, and the lightcurve plots are presented at the end of the paper. The data and curves are presented without additional comment except were circumstances warrant. Column 3 gives the range of dates of observations and column 5 gives the number of nights on which observations were undertaken.

1110 Jaroslawa. Observations were made on 4 nights between June 21 and 29. The results could be fit into a single-peak period of 9.408 hours. Attempts to fit the data to a double peak period were inconclusive since considerable sections of the lightcurve were missed since a period of around 18 hours was such a simple fraction of a day.

1318 Nerina. Observations on two nights, two weeks apart resulted in a period of 2.536 hours. A third night of data was obtained 9 nights later under adverse conditions. Although noisy, this data supported that somewhat asymmetric lightcurve.

1720 Neils. Although observations were made on four nights, the duration for each night was never more than 4.5 hours. This duration, combined with a single-peak period of close to 10 hours, allowed only a small lightcurve portion to be covered each night. The accompanying lightcurve is based on a single peak that best fit the data and is submitted only as a guide for future observations.

3089 Oujianquan. Observations of this asteroid were made on six nights, however each night's run was less than 4 hours duration. As a result each night covered only a portion of the lightcurve. The accompanying lightcurve is a good fit to the data, however, in view of the limited duration of each observing run, it still has some uncertainty associated with it.

3155 Lee. This asteroid was observed on two nights when it was in the field of 6410 . On analyzing the data, it was very difficult to fit a good period, until I came across a report by Warner (2003). Warner described a similar problem with this asteroid until advised by Dr. W. Ryan that this asteroid had 4 minima and maxima per period. Reanalyzing my data for this, yielded a period very similar to that found by Warner. 8.358 hours against


Warner's 8.312 . However, although the periods were very similar, both of my nights observations showed a very deep minimum near 0.56 phase, which is absent from Warner's data. I am uncertain what to make of this difference.

3872 Akirafuji. The reason for observing this asteroid was because it is named after the owner of the Chiro observatory. Observations of this asteroid were made on 7 nights. However each session was rather short due to the position of the asteroid low in the western sky. Due to this short duration, each session covered only a portion of the lightcurve. The best fit of the data came using a single peak and a period of 5.321 hours. A solution using a double peak could be made at 10.635 hours with a slightly less good fit.

6790 Pingouin. Observations of this asteroid were made on only two nights, each less than 6 hours. A period of a little over 6 hours with a single peak could be derived from the data, however this is more than a little uncertain.
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## THE LIGHTCURVE OF 2131 MAYALL IN 2006

Brian D. Warner<br>Palmer Divide Observatory<br>17995 Bakers Farm Rd., Colorado Springs, CO 80908 brian@MinorPlanetObserver.com<br>Robert D. Stephens<br>Goat Mountain Astronomical Research Station (GMARS)<br>11355 Mount Johnson Court, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91737<br>David Higgins<br>Hunters Hill Observatory<br>7 Mawalan St., Ngunnawal, Canberra 2913, Australia<br>\section*{Petr Pravec}<br>Astronomical Institute, Academy of Sciences, Czech Republic<br>Fri_ova 1, CZ-25165, Ondrejov, Czech Republic

(Received: 1 October)

2131 Mayall was previously observed by Warner as part of an ongoing study at the Palmer Divide Observatory of the Hungaria group and family. Observations were made again in mid-2006 in order to add to the data set for the asteroid, the aim being eventually to derive a spin axis and shape model. The size and previously found rotation period, $\sim 8 \mathrm{~km}$ and 2.5 hr , also made the asteroid a potential binary candidate. The data from 2006 did not show any signs of occultation or eclipse events that would indicate the asteroid is binary.

The Hungaria research program at the Palmer Divide Observatory aims not only to determine the rotation rates for as many of the group's members as possible but also to obtain lightcurves from a number of apparitions in order to facilitate spin axis and shape modeling (e.g., Kaasalainen 2001a, 2001b). It was for the latter reason that observations of 2131 Mayall were started in late July 2006 at the PDO.

Phasing the data obtained on July 23, 27, and 31 to the period of 2.572 hr found previously by Warner (2005), showed that the shape of the curve was somewhat different from the one obtained in 2005. The data were sent to Pravec for his analysis, who suggested additional observations with less time between runs to clarify differences in the synodic periods between the 2005 and 2006 apparitions. Because of bad weather prospects at PDO, Stephens and Higgins were asked to observe the asteroid and so joined the observing campaign (see table below).

| Warner | July 23, 27, 31 | 0.35 m SCT, FLI-1001E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stephens | Aug. 2, 3 | 0.35 m SCT, STL-1001E |
| Higgins | Aug. 4 | 0.35 m SCT, ST-8E |

More than 360 data points were obtained and analyzed for a final result of a synodic period of $2.5679 \pm 0.0003 \mathrm{hr}$ and amplitude of $0.09 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{mag}$. This compares to a period of $2.572 \pm 0.002 \mathrm{hr}$ and 0.08 mag amplitude reported for the 2005 apparition. It should be noted that the Phase Angle Bisector longitude differed by about $200^{\circ}$ between the two apparitions and the latitude by only $4^{\circ}$. Observations with PAB longitudes at nearly right angles to these apparitions would aid spin and shape modeling considerably (as would the availability of a calibrated sparse data set with observations covering a good part of the asteroid's orbit.)


Funding for observations at the Palmer Divide Observatory is provided by NASA grant NNG06GI32G and by National Science Foundation grant AST-0607505. The work at Ondrejov was supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic, Grant 205/05/0604.
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# LIGHTCURVE PHOTOMETRY OPPORTUNITIES JANUARY - MARCH 2007 

Brian D. Warner<br>Palmer Divide Observatory/Space Science Institute 17995 Bakers Farm Rd.<br>Colorado Springs, CO 80908 USA<br>brian@MinorPlanetObserver.com

Alan W. Harris<br>Space Science Institute<br>La Canada, CA 91011-3364 USA

Petr Pravec<br>Astronomical Institute<br>CZ-25165 Ondrejov, Czech Republic

Mikko Kaasalainen<br>Rolf Nevanlinna Institute<br>FIN-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

Lance A.M. Benner<br>Jet Propulsion Laboratory<br>Pasadena, CA 91109-8099 USA<br>lance@reason.jpl.nasa.gov

We present here four lists of "targets of opportunity" for the period 2007 January - March. The first list is those asteroids reaching a favorable apparition, are $<15 \mathrm{~m}$ at brightest, and have either no or poorly constrained lightcurve parameters. By "favorable" we mean the asteroid is unusually brighter than at other times. In many cases, a favorable apparition may not come again for many years. The goal for these asteroids is to find a welldetermined rotation rate, if at all possible. Don't hesitate to solicit help from other observers at widely spread longitudes should the initial finding for the period indicated that it will be difficult for a single station to find the period.

The Low Phase Angle list includes asteroids that reach very low phase angles. Getting accurate, calibrated measurements (usually V band) at or very near the day of opposition can provide important information for those studying the "opposition effect", which is when objects near opposition brighten more than simple geometry would predict.

The third list is of those asteroids needing only a small number of lightcurves to allow Kaasalainen and others to complete a shape model. Some of the asteroids have been on the list for some time, so work on them is strongly encouraged in order to allow models to be completed. For these objects, we encourage you to do absolute photometry, meaning that the observations are not differential but absolute values put onto a standard system, such as Johnson V. If this is not possible or practical, accurate relative photometry is also permissible. This is where all differential values are against a calibrated zero point that is not necessarily on a standard system.

Keep in mind that as new large surveys, e.g., Pan-STARRS, come on line and start producing data, individual lightcurves obtained by smaller observatories will become even more important especially if the data are reduced to a standard system. Observers should not see the surveys as competition but as a means to obtaining the ever needed "more data" and the opportunity to make new discoveries.

The fourth list gives a brief ephemeris for planned radar targets. Supporting optical observations made to determine the lightcurve's period, amplitude, and shape are needed to supplement the radar data. Reducing to standard magnitudes is not required but high precision work usually is, i.e., on the order of $0.01-0.03 \mathrm{mag}$. A geocentric ephemeris is given for when the asteroid is brighter than 16.0. The date range may not always coincide with the dates of planned radar observations, which - for Arecibo - are limited to a relatively narrow band of declinations.

Those obtaining lightcurves in support of radar observations should contact Dr. Benner directly at the email given above. There are two web sites of particular interest for coordinate radar and optical observations. Future targets (up to 2010) can be found at http://echo.jpl.nasa.gov/~lancelfuture.radar.nea.periods.html. Past radar targets, for comparison to new data, can be found at http://echo.jpl.nasa.gov/~lancelradar.nea.periods.html.

Once you have data and have analyzed them, it's important that you publish your results, if not part of a pro-am collaboration, then in the Minor Planet Bulletin. It's also important to make the data available at least on a personal website or upon request.

Note that the lightcurve amplitude in the tables could be more, or less, than what's given. Use the listing as a guide and doublecheck your work. Also, if the date is '101. ' or '12 31. ', i.e., there is no value after the decimal, it means that the asteroid reaches its brightest just as the year begins (it gets dimmer all year) or it reaches its brightest at the end of the year (it gets brighter all year).

Funding for Warner and Harris in support of this article is provided by NASA grants NNG06GI32G and NNX06AB30G and by National Science Foundation grant AST-0607505.

## Lightcurve Opportunities

|  |  | Brightest |  |  |  | Harris Data |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | Name |  | ate | Mag | Dec | U | Period | Amplitude |
| 4421 | Kayor | 1 | 03.5 | 14.7 | +26 | 0 |  |  |
| 865 | Zubaida | 1 | 04.6 | 14.1 | - 2 | 0 |  |  |
| 34777 | 2001 QO252 | 1 | 04.0 | 13.5 | +27 | 0 |  |  |
| 1579 | Herrick | 1 | 05.2 | 14.9 | +10 | 0 |  |  |
| 8532 | Mineosaito | 1 | 06.4 | 14.7 | +29 | 0 |  |  |
| 1502 | Arenda | 1 | 06.8 | 14.7 | +16 | 0 |  |  |
| 6771 | Fugate | 1 | 09.1 | 15.0 | +24 | 0 |  |  |
| 5288 | Heishu | 1 | 09.1 | 14.5 | +17 | 0 |  |  |
| 1940 | Whipple | 1 | 10.0 | 14.8 | +18 | 0 |  |  |
| 5824 | Oryo | 1 | 11.3 | 15.0 | +25 | 0 |  |  |
| 34704 | 2001 OD22 | 1 | 16.2 | 14.8 | +18 | 0 |  |  |
| 13006 | 1982 RR | 1 | 23.9 | 14.9 | +12 | 0 |  |  |
| 372 | Palma | 1 | 23.2 | 10.4 | +37 | 2 | 8.591 | 0.10-0.16 |
| 741 | Botolphia | 1 | 24.1 | 13.6 | +24 | 1 | 16. | 0.4 |
| 4540 | Oriani | 1 | 25.9 | 14.7 | +10 | 0 |  |  |
| 525 | Adelaide | 1 | 25.1 | 14.6 | + 8 | 0 |  |  |
| 78 | Diana | 1 | 25.7 | 10.2 | +27 | 2 | 7.225 | 0.02-0.14 |
| 2212 | Hephaistos | 1 | 26.1 | 14.8 | +50 | 1 | >20. | 0.08-0.11 |
| 2776 | Baikal | 1 | 27.0 | 14.3 | +11 | 0 |  |  |
| 1911 | Schubart | 1 | 29.4 | 14.6 | +17 | ? |  |  |
| 2086 | Newell | 1 | 30.1 | 14.7 | +17 | 0 |  |  |
| 1624 | Rabe | 2 | 01.9 | 14.9 | +17 | 0 |  |  |
| 2472 | Bradman | 2 | 02.0 | 15.0 | +22 | 0 |  |  |
| 1228 | Scabiosa | 2 | 03.8 | 14.8 | +16 | 0 |  |  |
| 6136 | Billowen | 2 | 06.9 | 15.0 | +16 | 0 |  |  |
| 242 | Kriemhild | 2 | 06.1 | 12.6 | - 1 | 2 | 4.543 | 0.08 |
| 2137 | Priscilla | 2 | 09.0 | 15.0 | +15 | , |  |  |
| 7341 | 1991 UA2 | 2 | 14.7 | 15.0 | -42 | 0 |  |  |
| 856 | Backlunda | 2 | 16.3 | 13.3 | +21 | 2 | 12.08 | 0.29 |
| 1698 | Christophe | 2 | 17.7 | 14.9 | +14 | 0 |  |  |
| 5448 | Lallement | 2 | 17.1 | 14.3 | +11 | 0 |  |  |
| 4452 | Ullacharles | 2 | 18.9 | 14.7 | + 9 | 0 |  |  |
| 5129 | Wakabayashi | 2 | 19.3 | 14.7 | + 6 | 0 |  |  |
| 1489 | Attila | 2 | 23.3 | 14.6 | +10 | 0 |  |  |

## Lightcurve Opportunities (continued)

|  |  | Brightest |  |  | Harris Data |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | Name | Date | Mag | Dec | U | Period | Amplitude |
| 2167 | Erin | 224.3 | 14.3 | - 1 | 2 | 7.0 | 0.3 |
| 3410 | Vereshchagin | 303.1 | 14.9 | + 7 | 0 |  |  |
| 1602 | Indiana | 306.0 | 14.4 | +13 | 0 |  |  |
| 2521 | Heidi | 306.5 | 15.0 | - 5 | 0 |  |  |
| 1218 | Aster | 307.6 | 14.8 | +11 | 0 |  |  |
| 5556 | Wimberly | 310.1 | 14.8 | + 4 | 0 |  |  |
| 4374 | Tadamori | 311.5 | 14.4 | + 7 | 0 |  |  |
| 912 | Maritima | 314.0 | 12.2 | +20 | 2 | 48.43 | >0.11 |
| 1696 | Nurmela | 315.4 | 14.8 | + 7 | 0 |  |  |
| 2658 | Gingerich | 317.4 | 15.0 | - 8 | 0 |  |  |
| 162 | Laurentia | 321.3 | 12.0 | + 5 | 2 | 11.87 | 0.28 |
| 1907 | Rudneva | 325.3 | 14.7 | + 1 | 2 | 45. | $>0.1$ |
| 4570 | Runcorn | 326.1 | 14.5 | - 5 | 0 |  |  |
| 99 | Dike | 329.8 | 12.2 | +11 | 2 | 10.35 | $0.1-0.25$ |

## Low Phase Angle Opportunities

| \# Name | Date | PhA | V | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 498 Tokio | 0102.6 | 0.38 | 12.7 | +24 |
| 140 Siwa | 0111.0 | 0.07 | 12.8 | +22 |
| 317 Roxane | 0111.7 | 0.79 | 12.8 | +20 |
| 100 Hekate | 0112.6 | 0.66 | 12.6 | +19 |
| 92 Undina | 0113.5 | 0.79 | 11.3 | +24 |
| 559 Nanon | 0115.6 | 0.27 | 13.0 | +22 |
| 655 Briseis | 0124.4 | 0.38 | 13.4 | +18 |
| 387 Aquitania | 0124.6 | 0.59 | 12.0 | +17 |
| 123 Brunhild | 0129.1 | 0.10 | 11.8 | +18 |
| 20 Massalia | 0129.7 | 0.62 | 8.4 | +17 |
| 877 Walkure | 0129.8 | 0.64 | 13.7 | +19 |
| 360 Carlova | 0201.7 | 0.14 | 11.9 | +17 |
| 243 Ida | 0201.9 | 0.14 | 13.5 | +17 |
| 17 Thetis | 0208.5 | 0.81 | 11.0 | +17 |
| 1000 Piazzia | 0215.2 | 0.40 | 13.8 | +12 |
| 175 Andromache | 0216.2 | 0.89 | 13.7 | +16 |
| 924 Toni | 0218.9 | 0.09 | 13.7 | +11 |
| 33 Polyhymnia | 0225.6 | 0.36 | 13.8 | +10 |
| 303 Josephina | 0227.5 | 0.33 | 13.0 | +09 |
| 16 Psyche | 0303.3 | 0.30 | 10.3 | +08 |
| 586 Thekla | 0307.3 | 0.75 | 13.1 | +03 |
| 604 Tekmessa | 0307.9 | 0.78 | 13.9 | +08 |
| 490 Veritas | 0311.7 | 0.52 | 13.1 | +02 |
| 223 Rosa | 0312.9 | 0.84 | 13.5 | +06 |
| 190 Ismene | 0318.0 | 0.04 | 12.8 | +01 |
| 720 Bohlinia | 0319.7 | 0.77 | 13.5 | +03 |
| 569 Misa | 0320.9 | 0.67 | 13.4 | -02 |
| 19 Fortuna | 0321.4 | 0.47 | 10.6 | -01 |
| 65 Cybele | 0323.4 | 0.68 | 11.2 | +01 |
| 160 Una | 0326.9 | 0.13 | 12.7 | -02 |
| 47 Aglaja | 0329.1 | 0.20 | 12.0 | -04 |

## Shape/Spin Modeling Opportunities

|  |  | Brightest |  |  |  | Per |  | U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | Name |  | Date | Mag | Dec | (h) | Amp. |  |
| 54 | Alexandra | 1 | 01. | 12.6 | +31 | 7.024 | 0.10-0.31 | 4 |
| 77 | Frigga | 1 | 01. | 12.9 | +10 | 9.012 | 0.07-0.19 | 3 |
| 233 | Asterope | 1 |  | 12.5 | +12 | 19.70 | 0.35 | 3 |
| 258 | Tyche | 1 | 01. | 12.0 | +03 | 10.041 | 0.40 | 3 |
| 441 | Bathilde | 1 | 01. | 12.8 | +19 | 10.447 | 0.13 | 3 |
| 480 | Hansa | 1 | 01. | 11.9 | +03 | 16.19 | 0.58 | 3 |
| 419 | Aurelia | 1 | 02.9 | 12.9 | +18 | 16.709 | 0.08 | 2 A |
| 221 | Eos | 1 | 14.1 | 12.2 | +14 | 10.436 | 0.04-0.11 | 4 |
| 344 | Desiderata | 1 | 16.6 | 13.1 | +44 | 10.77 | 0.17 | 3 |
| 31 | Euphrosyne | 1 | 20.3 | 10.6 | +59 | 5.531 | 0.09-0.13 | 4 |
| 416 | Vaticana | 1 | 30.2 | 12.3 | +35 | 5.372 | 0.17-0.38 | 4 |
| 471 | Papagena | 1 | 30.9 | 10.6 | +33 | 7.113 | 0.11-0.13 | 3 |
| 36 | Atalante | 2 | 02.3 | 11.9 | +36 | 9.93 | 0.15-0.17 | 3 |
| 386 | Siegena | 2 | 10.7 | 11.7 | +02 | 9.763 | 0.11 | 3 |
| 47 | Aglaja | 3 | 29.1 | 11.9 | -04 | 13.20 | 0.03-0.17 | 4 |

## Radar-Optical Opportunities

2004 XL14
No known lightcurve parameters. Note - positions are for 2006.


This asteroid has a period of 4.2096 hr , amplitude $0.28-0.70 \mathrm{mag}$.

| Date | Geocentric |  |  | V | PA | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{dd}$ | RA (2000) |  | (2000) |  |  |  |
| 03/01 | 1343.88 | -38 | 44.5 | 15.20 | 53.5 | 118 |
| 03/02 | 1340.86 | -38 | 27.0 | 15.22 | 52.0 | 11 |
| 03/03 | 1337.90 | -38 | 09.2 | 15.23 | 50.5 | 121 |
| 03/05 | 1332.15 | -37 | 32.4 | 15.26 | 47.6 | 124 |
| 03/10 | 1318.66 | -35 | 53.4 | 15.33 | 40.7 | 131 |
| 03/15 | 1306.36 | -34 | 04.6 | 15.40 | 34.2 | 138 |
| 03/20 | 1255.32 | -32 | 07.6 | 15.49 | 28.2 | 14 |
| 03/25 | 1245.69 | -30 | 05.8 | 15.60 | 23.0 | 150 |
| 03/30 | 1237.61 | -28 | 03.3 | 15.73 | 18 |  |

2006 AM4
This asteroid has a period of 5.22 hr , amplitude $0.65-0.87 \mathrm{mag}$.

| Date | ic |  | V | PA | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mm/dd | RA (2000) | DC (2000) |  |  |  |
| 01/30 | 1140.12 | +21 01.6 | 15.96 | 39.4 | 139 |
| 01/31 | 1237.10 | +2753.5 | 15.39 | 51.5 | 128 |
| 02/01 | 1517.87 | +36 34.4 | 15.49 | 83.2 | 96 |

2001 BE10
No known lightcurve parameters

| Date | Geocentric |  |  |  | V | PA | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mm/dd |  | (2000) | DC | 200) |  |  |  |
| 01/18 | 10 | 37.53 | - 3 | 17.3 | 15.97 | 41.8 | 134 |
| 01/19 | 10 | 24.44 | - 4 | 30.4 | 15.90 | 39.1 | 137 |
| 01/20 | 10 | 11.21 | - 5 | 41.8 | 15.84 | 36.5 | 140 |
| 01/21 | 9 | 57.93 | - 6 | 50.7 | 15.79 | 34.2 | 142 |
| 01/22 | 9 | 44.73 | - | 56.3 | 15.76 | 32.2 | 144 |
| 01/23 | 9 | 31.68 | - 8 | 58.0 | 15.74 | 30.5 | 146 |
| 01/24 | 9 | 18.90 | - 9 | 55.2 | 15.75 | 29.2 | 148 |
| 01/25 | 9 | 06.48 | -10 | 47.5 | 15.77 | 28.3 | 149 |
| 01/26 | 8 | 54.47 | -11 | 34.8 | 15.81 | 27.9 | 149 |
| 01/27 | 8 | 42.95 | -12 | 17.0 | 15.87 | 27.8 | 149 |
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