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Abstract. We consider the Coxeter transformation in the context of the McKay correspondence, representations of quivers, and Poincaré series.

We study in detail the Jordan forms of the Coxeter transformations and prove shearing formulas due to Subbotin and Sumin for the characteristic polynomials of the Coxeter transformations. Using shearing formulas we calculate characteristic polynomials of the Coxeter transformation for the diagrams $T_{2,3, r}, T_{3,3, r}, T_{2,4, r}$, prove J. S. Frame's formulas, and generalize R. Steinberg's theorem on the spectrum of the affine Coxeter transformation for the multiply-laced diagrams. This theorem is the key statement in R. Steinberg's proof of the McKay correspondence. For every extended Dynkin diagram, the spectrum of the Coxeter transformation is easily obtained from R. Steinberg's theorem.

In the study of representations $\pi_{n}$ of $S U(2)$, we extend B. Kostant's construction of a vector-valued generating function

$$
P_{G}(t)=\left(\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0},\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{1}, \ldots,\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{r}\right)
$$

B. Kostant's construction appears in the context of the McKay correspondence and gives a way to obtain multiplicities of indecomposable representations $\rho_{i}$ of the binary polyhedral group $G$ in the decomposition of $\pi_{n} \mid G$. In the case of multiply-laced graphs, instead of indecomposable representations $\rho_{i}$ we use restricted representations and induced representations of $G$ introduced by P. Slodowy. Using B. Kostant's construction we generalize to the case of multiply-laced graphs W. Ebeling's theorem which connects the Poincaré series $\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0}$ and the Coxeter transformations. According to W. Ebeling's theorem

$$
\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0}=\frac{\chi\left(t^{2}\right)}{\tilde{\chi}\left(t^{2}\right)}
$$

where $\chi$ is the characteristic polynomial of the Coxeter transformation and $\tilde{\chi}$ is the characteristic polynomial of the corresponding affine Coxeter transformation.

Using the Jordan form of the Coxeter transformation we prove a criterion of V. Dlab and C. M. Ringel of regularity of quiver representations, consider necessary and sufficient conditions of this criterion for extended Dynkin diagrams and for diagrams with indefinite Tits form.

In memory of V. F. Subbotin
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## CHAPTER 1

## Introduction

...A second empirical procedure for finding the exponents was discovered by H. S. M. Coxeter. He recognized that the exponents can be obtained from a particular transformation $\gamma$ in the Weyl group, which he had been studying, and which we take the liberty of calling a Coxeter-Killing transformation...
B. Kostant, Kos59 p.974], 1959.

### 1.1. The three historical aspects of the Coxeter transformation

The three areas, where the Coxeter transformation plays a dramatic role, are:

- the theory of Lie algebras of the compact simple Lie groups;
- the representation theory of algebras and quivers;
- the McKay correspondence.

A Coxeter transformation or a Coxeter element is defined as the product of all the reflections in the root system of a compact Lie group. Neither the choice of simple roots nor the ordering of reflections in the product affects its conjugacy class, see [Bo, Ch.5,§6], see also Remark 6.10, H. S. M. Coxeter studied these elements and their eigenvalues in Cox51.

Let $h$ be the order of the Coxeter transformation (called the Coxeter number), $|\Delta|$ the number of roots in the corresponding root system $\Delta$, and $l$ the number of eigenvalues of the Coxeter transformation, i.e., the rank of the Cartan subalgebra. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h l=|\Delta| . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This fact was empirically observed by H. S. M. Coxeter in Cox51 and proved by A. J. Coleman in Col58. One can also find a proof of this fact in B. Kostant's work Kos59, p.1021].
H. S. M. Coxeter also observed that the order of the Weyl group is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(m_{1}+1\right)\left(m_{2}+1\right) \ldots\left(m_{l}+1\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $m_{i}$ are the exponents of the eigenvalues of the Coxeter transformation, and the factors $m_{i}+1$ are the degrees of $l$ basic polynomial invariants of the Weyl group. Proofs of these facts were obtained by C. Chevalley [Ch55] and other authors; for historical notes, see [Bo, Kos59].

Let $\Delta_{+} \subset \Delta$ be the subset of positive roots, let

$$
\beta=n_{1} \alpha_{1}+\cdots+n_{l} \alpha_{l}
$$

where $\alpha_{i} \in \Delta_{+}$, be the highest root in the root system $\Delta$. The Coxeter number $h$ from (1.1) and coordinates $n_{i}$ of $\beta$ are related as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=n_{1}+n_{2}+\cdots+n_{l}+1 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observation (1.3) is due to H. S. M. Coxeter Cox49, p.234], see also [Stb59, Th.1.4.], [Bo, Ch.6, 1, §11,Prop.31].

The Coxeter transformation is important in the study of representations of algebras, quivers, partially ordered sets (posets) and lattices. Their distinguished role in this area is related to the construction of the Coxeter functors given by I. N. Bernstein, I. M. Gelfand, V. A. Ponomarev in BGP73. Further revelation of the role of Coxeter functors for representations of algebras is due to V. Dlab and C. M. Ringel DR76; for a construction of the functor $D \mathrm{Tr}$, see M. Auslender, M. I. Platzeck and I. Reiten AuPR79, AuRS95. For an application of the Coxeter functors in the representations of posets, see Drz74; for their applications in the representations of the modular lattices, see [GP74, GP76.

Another area where the affine Coxeter transformations appeared is the McKay correspondence - a one-to-one correspondence between finite subgroups of $S U(2)$ and simplylaced extended Dynkin diagrams. Affine Coxeter transformations play the principal role in R. Steinberg's work Stb85] on the proof of the McKay correspondence. B. Kostant ( $(\underline{K o s 84}])$ obtains multiplicities of the representations related to the concrete nodes of the extended Dynkin diagram from the orbit structure of the affine Coxeter transformation, see \$. 4 B. 6 .

In this work we only consider two areas of application of the Coxeter transformation: representations of quivers and the McKay correspondence. We do not consider other areas where the Coxeter transformation plays an important role, such as the theory of singularities of differentiable maps (the monodromy operator coincides with a Coxeter transformation, see, e.g., A'C75, Gu76, ArGV86, EbGu99]), Alexander polynomials, pretzel knots, Lehmer's problem, growth series of Coxeter groups (see, e.g., Lev66, Hir02, GH01, McM02].

### 1.2. A brief review of this work

In Ch 2 , we recall some common definitions and notions.
In Ch we establish general results about the Jordan form and the spectrum of the Coxeter transformation.

In Ch.4. we give the eigenvalues of the affine Coxeter transformation. After that we prove some shearing formulas concerning the characteristic polynomials of the Coxeter transformation. The main shearing formula is the Subbotin-Sumin splitting along the edge formula which is extended in this chapter to multiply-laced diagrams. One of applications of shearing formulas is a construction of characteristic polynomials of the Coxeter transformation for the hyperbolic Dynkin diagrams $T_{2,3, r}, T_{3,3, r}$ and $T_{2,4, r}$. Two Frame formulas from [r51] (see Remark 4.13 and Proposition 5.13) are easily obtained from shearing formulas.

In Ch 5 , we generalize a number of results appearing in a context of the McKay correspondence to multiply-laced diagrams. First, we consider R. Steinberg's theorem playing the key role in his proof of the McKay correspondence. Essentially, R. Steinberg observed that the orders of eigenvalues of the affine Coxeter transformation corresponding to the extended Dynkin diagram $\tilde{\Gamma}$ coincide with the lengths of branches of the corresponding Dynkin diagram $\Gamma$, Stb85, p.591,(*)].

Further, in Ch we move on to B. Kostant's construction of a vector-valued generating function $P_{G}(t)$ Kos84]. Let $G$ be a binary polyhedral group, let $\rho_{i}$, where $i=0, \ldots, r$, be irreducible representations of $G$ corresponding by the McKay correspondence to simple roots $\alpha_{i}$ of the extended Dynkin diagram, let $\pi_{n}$, where $n=0,1, \ldots$, be indecomposable representations of $S U(2)$ in the symmetric algebra $\operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$, where $\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(V)$ is the $n$th
symmetric power of $V$. Let $m_{i}(n)$ be multiplicities in the decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{n} \mid G=\sum_{i=0}^{r} m_{i}(n) \rho_{i} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

set

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n}=\sum_{i=0}^{r} m_{i}(n) \alpha_{i} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the Kostant generating function is defined as the following vector-valued function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{G}(t)=\left(\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0},\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{1}, \ldots,\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{r}\right)^{t}:=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_{n} t^{n} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0}$ is the Poincaré series of the algebra of invariants $\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{G}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0}=P\left(\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{G}, t\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

B. Kostant obtained explicit expression for the series $\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0}$, and therefore found a way to calculate the multiplicities $m_{i}(n)$. In Ch 5 , we extend B. Kostant's construction to the case of multiply-laced graphs. For this purpose, we use P. Slodowy's generalization [S180, App.III] of the McKay correspondence to the multiply-laced case. The main idea of P. Slodowy is to consider the pair of binary polyhedral groups $H \triangleleft G$ and their restricted representations $\rho \downarrow_{H}^{G}$ and induced representations $\tau \uparrow_{H}^{G}$ instead of the representations $\rho_{i}$.

In Appendix A, we study in detail P. Slodowy's generalization for the case of the binary octahedral group $\mathcal{O}$ and the binary tetrahedral group $\mathcal{T}$, where $\mathcal{T} \triangleleft \mathcal{O}$. The generalization of the McKay correspondence to the multiply-laced case is said to be the Slodowy correspondence.

Generally, one can speak about the McKay-Slodowy correspondence.
Finally, in Ch 5 we generalize to the multiply-laced case W. Ebeling's theorem Ebl02]: it relates the Poincaré series $\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0}$ and the Coxeter transformations. According to W. Ebeling's theorem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0}=\frac{\chi\left(t^{2}\right)}{\tilde{\chi}\left(t^{2}\right)} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi$ is the characteristic polynomial of the Coxeter transformation $\mathbf{C}$ and $\tilde{\chi}$ is the characteristic polynomial of the corresponding affine Coxeter transformation $\mathbf{C}_{a}$, see Theorem 5.12

The results of Ch 4 and Ch 5 are published for the first time.
In Ch [6] using results on the Jordan form of the Coxeter transformation we prove a criterion of V. Dlab and C.M. Ringel of regularity of quiver representations, we consider necessary and sufficient conditions of this criterion for extended Dynkin diagrams and for diagrams with indefinite Tits form.

### 1.3. The Spectrum and the Jordan form

1.3.1. The Jordan form and reduction to the golden pair of matrices. In this work we review the research started 30 years ago in the teamwork with V.F. Subbotin and discuss the Jordan form, and the spectrum of the Coxeter transformation. We show that the study of eigenvalues of the Coxeter transformation reduces to the study of the golden pair of matrices satisfying conditions of the Perron-Frobenius theorem MM64, Ga90 and having other nice properties.

In $\S 3$ we recall (see (3.2), (3.4), (3.6)) that Cartan matrix $K$ can be represented in the form

$$
K= \begin{cases}2 \mathbf{B} & \text { for } K \text { symmetric, see }(\sqrt{3.2})  \tag{1.9}\\ T \mathbf{B} & \text { for } K \text { symmetrizable, see }(3.4)\end{cases}
$$

where $T$ is a diagonal matrix, $\mathbf{B}$ is a symmetric matrix. For simply-laced (resp. multiplylaced) graphs, the golden pair of matrices is

$$
D D^{t} \text { and } D^{t} D(\text { resp. } D F \text { and } F D)
$$

where the matrices $D$ and $F$ are found from the expressions

$$
\mathbf{B}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{m} & D  \tag{1.10}\\
D^{t} & I_{k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

for the simply-laced case and

$$
K=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 I_{m} & 2 D  \tag{1.11}\\
2 F & 2 I_{k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

for the multiply-laced case.
The mentioned reduction method works only for trees (they have symmetrizable Cartan matrices) and for graphs with even cycles. In $\$ 4.2$ we give some bibliographical remarks regarding generalized Cartan matrices.

According to Proposition 3.3, relation (3.17), the corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_{1,2}^{\varphi_{i}}$ of Coxeter transformations are

$$
\lambda_{1,2}^{\varphi_{i}}=2 \varphi_{i}-1 \pm 2 \sqrt{\varphi_{i}\left(\varphi_{i}-1\right)}
$$

where the $\varphi_{i}$ are the eigenvalues of $D D^{t}$ and $D^{t} D$.
One of the central results of this work is Theorem 3.14 (83, Fig. 3.3) on Jordan form SuSt75, SuSt78, St85]:

1) The Jordan form of the Coxeter transformation is diagonal if and only if the Tits form is non-degenerate.
2) If $\mathcal{B}$ is nonnegative definite, $\mathcal{B} \geq 0$ ( $\Gamma$ is an extended Dynkin diagram), then the Jordan form of the Coxeter transformation contains only one $2 \times 2$ Jordan block. All eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ lie on the unit circle.
3) If $\mathcal{B}$ is indefinite and degenerate, then the number of two-dimensional Jordan blocks coincides with dim ker B. All other Jordan blocks are $1 \times 1$. There is simple maximal $\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{1}}$ and simple minimal $\lambda_{2}^{\varphi_{1}}$ eigenvalues such that

$$
\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{1}}>1, \quad \lambda_{2}^{\varphi_{1}}<1 .
$$

C. M. Ringel Rin94 generalized the result of Theorem 3.14 for non-symmetrizable Cartan matrices, see 84.2 .
1.3.2. An explicit construction of eigenvectors. The eigenvalues are roots of unity. An important point of this work is an explicit construction of eigenvectors and adjoint vectors of the Coxeter transformation - the vectors that form a Jordan basis, see Proposition [3.9, SuSt75, SuSt78]. This construction is used to obtain the necessary and sufficient condition for regularity of representations SuSt75, SuSt78. This condition was also found by V. Dlab and C.M. Ringel, DR76, see 6.1.

The eigenvalues for all cases of extended Dynkin diagrams are easily calculated using a generalized R. Steinberg theorem (Theorem 5.5) and Table 1.2

Theorem4.1] and Table 4.1]summarize this calculation as follows (SuSt79, St81, St82a, St85):
the eigenvalues of the Coxeter transformation for any extended Dynkin diagram are roots of unity.

The case of $\widetilde{A}_{n}$ is considered in the 44.2 . According to formula (4.5), the characteristic polynomial of the Coxeter transformation for $\widetilde{A}_{n}$ is (MeSu82], Men85], Col89], Shi00], [BT97]):

$$
\left(\lambda^{n-k+1}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{k}-1\right)
$$

where $k$ is the number characterizing the conjugacy class of the Coxeter transformation. For $\widetilde{A}_{n}$, there are $[n / 2]$ characteristic polynomials Col89], (see $\left.\S 4.2\right)$, but, for all these cases, the eigenvalues of the Coxeter transformation are roots of unity.
1.3.3. Study of the Coxeter transformation and the Cartan matrix. To study of the Coxeter transformation is almost the same as to study of the Cartan matrix. The Cartan matrix and the matrix of the Coxeter transformation (more precisely, the bicolored representative of the conjugacy class of the Coxeter transformation, \$3.1.3) are constructed from the same blocks, see relations (3.2), (3.4).

By Proposition 3.1 the eigenvalues $\lambda$ of the Coxeter transformation and the eigenvalues $\gamma$ of the matrix $\mathbf{B}$ of the quadratic Tits form are related as follows:

$$
\frac{(\lambda+1)^{2}}{4 \lambda}=(\gamma-1)^{2}
$$

By Corollary 3.10, the Jordan form of the Coxeter transformation is diagonal if and only if the Tits form is nondegenerate.

It seems that the Coxeter transformation contains more information than the Cartan matrix, namely, the Coxeter transformation contains additional information about orientation, see $\$ 2.6$ and considerations on the graphs containing cycles in $\$ 4.2$

The Coxeter transformation and the Cartan matrix are also related to the fixed points and anti-fixed points of the powers of the Coxeter transformation. This connection is given by means of the Chebyshev polynomials in Theorem B.19 (SuSt82]).
1.3.4. Monotonicity of the dominant eigenvalue of the golden pair. According to Corollary [3.7, the matrices $D D^{t}$ and $D^{t} D$ have a common simple positive eigenvalue $\varphi_{1}$, the maximal eigenvalue. This eigenvalue is said to be the dominant eigenvalue. The dominant eigenvalue $\varphi_{1}$ is a certain characteristic of the graph $\Gamma$. In Proposition 3.11 we show that if any edge is added to $\Gamma$, then this characteristic only grows. The same is true for the maximal eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{1}}$, see Proposition 3.3, relation (3.17).

Problem. Is the dominant eigenvalue an invariant of the graph in the class of trees, $\mathbb{T}$, i.e., is there one-to-one correspondence between the dominant eigenvalue and the graph if the Tits form of the graph is indefinite?

If there exist two graphs $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ with the same dominant eigenvalue $\varphi_{1}$, and $\theta$ is the assignment of the dominant eigenvalue to a graph, then what class of graphs $\mathbb{T} / \theta$ we obtain modulo the relation given by $\theta$ ?

This problem is solved in this work for the diagrams $T_{2,3, r}, T_{3,3, r}, T_{2,4, r}$, see Propositions 4.15, 4.17, 4.19 and Tables 4.4, 4.5, 4.6 in 84.4.

### 1.4. Shearing formulas and the diagrams $T_{p, q, r}$

1.4.1. Shearing formulas for the characteristic polynomial. There is a number of recurrent formulas used to calculate the characteristic polynomial of the Coxeter transformation of a given graph in terms of characteristic polynomials of the Coxeter transformation of the graph's components. Subbotin and Sumin proved the formula of splitting along the edge SuSum82:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}(\Gamma, \lambda)=\mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{1}, \lambda\right) \mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{2}, \lambda\right)-\lambda \mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{1} \backslash \alpha, \lambda\right) \mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{2} \backslash \beta, \lambda\right) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of the Subbotin-Sumin formula is given in Proposition 4.7,
Another formula ([KMSS83]) is given in Proposition 4.10,
V. Kolmykov kindly informed me that the following statement holds:

Proposition 1.1. If $\lambda$ is the eigenvalue of Coxeter transformations for graphs $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$, then $\lambda$ is also the eigenvalue of the graph $\Gamma$ obtained by gluing $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$.

For details, see Proposition 4.11.
In Proposition 4.8 we generalize formula (1.12) to the multiply-laced case. The formula of splitting along the weighted edge holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}(\Gamma, \lambda)=\mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{1}, \lambda\right) \mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{2}, \lambda\right)-\rho \lambda \mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{1} \backslash \alpha, \lambda\right) \mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{2} \backslash \beta, \lambda\right), \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ is the ratio of the lengths of the roots, corresponding to the endpoints of the split edge. Corollary 4.9 deals with the case where $\Gamma_{2}$ contains only one point. In this case, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}(\Gamma, \lambda)=-(\lambda+1) \mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{1}, \lambda\right)-\rho \lambda \mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{1} \backslash \alpha, \lambda\right) . \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

A formula similar to (1.13) can be proved not only for the Coxeter transformation, but, for example, for the Cartan matrix, see È. B. Vinberg's paper Vin85, Lemma 5.1]. See also Remark 4.13 concerning the works of J. S. Frame and S. M. Gussein-Zade.
1.4.2. An explicit calculation of characteristic polynomials. We use recurrent formulas (1.12) - (1.14) to calculate the characteristic polynomials of the Coxeter transformation for the Dynkin diagrams and extended Dynkin diagrams.

The characteristic polynomials of the Coxeter transformations for the Dynkin diagrams are presented in Table 1.1 For calculations, see $\$ 5.2$.

The characteristic polynomials of the Coxeter transformations for the extended Dynkin diagrams are presented in Table 1.2. The polynomials $\chi_{n}$ from Table 1.2 are characteristic polynomials of the Coxeter transformation for the Dynkin diagram $A_{n}$ :

$$
\chi_{n}=(-1)^{n} \mathcal{X}\left(A_{n}\right)
$$

defined (see 4.3 and Remark 4.12) to be

$$
\chi_{n}=\frac{\lambda^{n+1}-1}{\lambda-1}=\lambda^{n}+\lambda^{n-1}+\ldots+\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1
$$

For calculations of characteristic polynomials for the extended Dynkin diagrams, see 5.1 and $\$ 5.3 .2$

Table 1.1. Characteristic polynomials, Dynkin diagrams

| Dynkin <br> diagram | Characteristic polynomial | Form with denominator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A_{n}$ | $\lambda^{n}+\lambda^{n-1}+\cdots+\lambda+1$ | $\frac{\lambda^{n+1}-1}{\lambda-1}$ |
| $B_{n}, C_{n}$ | $\lambda^{n}+1$ |  |
| $D_{n}$ | $\lambda^{n}+\lambda^{n-1}+\lambda+1$ | $(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{n-1}+1\right)$ |
| $E_{6}$ | $\lambda^{6}+\lambda^{5}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1$ | $\frac{\left(\lambda^{6}+1\right)}{\left(\lambda^{2}+1\right)} \frac{\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)}{(\lambda-1)}$ |
| $E_{7}$ | $\lambda^{7}+\lambda^{6}-\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1$ | $\frac{(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{9}+1\right)}{\left(\lambda^{3}+1\right)}$ |
| $E_{8}$ | $\lambda^{8}+\lambda^{7}-\lambda^{5}-\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1$ | $\frac{\left(\lambda^{15}+1\right)(\lambda+1)}{\left(\lambda^{5}+1\right)\left(\lambda^{3}+1\right)}$ |
| $F_{4}$ | $\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{2}+1$ | $\frac{\lambda^{6}+1}{\lambda^{2}+1}$ |
| $G_{2}$ | $\lambda^{2}-\lambda+1$ | $\frac{\lambda^{3}+1}{\lambda+1}$ |

TABLE 1.2. Characteristic polynomials, extended Dynkin diagrams

| Extended <br> Dynkin <br> diagram | Characteristic <br> polynomial | Form with <br> $\chi_{i}$ | Class <br> $g$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\tilde{D}_{4}$ | $(\lambda-1)^{2}(\lambda+1)^{3}$ | $(\lambda-1)^{2} \chi_{1}^{3}$ | 0 |
| $\tilde{D}_{n}$ | $\left(\lambda^{n-2}-1\right)(\lambda-1)(\lambda+1)^{2}$ | $(\lambda-1)^{2} \chi_{n-3} \chi_{1}^{2}$ | 0 |
| $\tilde{E}_{6}$ | $\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)^{2}(\lambda+1)$ | $(\lambda-1)^{2} \chi_{2}^{2} \chi_{1}$ | 0 |
| $\tilde{E}_{7}$ | $\left(\lambda^{4}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)(\lambda+1)$ | $(\lambda-1)^{2} \chi_{3} \chi_{2} \chi_{1}$ | 0 |
| $\tilde{E}_{8}$ | $\left(\lambda^{5}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)(\lambda+1)$ | $(\lambda-1)^{2} \chi_{4} \chi_{2} \chi_{1}$ | 0 |
| $\widetilde{C D}_{n}, \widetilde{D D_{n}}$ | $\left(\lambda^{n-1}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)$ | $(\lambda-1)^{2} \chi_{n-2} \chi_{1}$ | 1 |
| $\tilde{F}_{41}, \tilde{F}_{42}$ | $\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)$ | $(\lambda-1)^{2} \chi_{2} \chi_{1}$ | 1 |
| $\tilde{B}_{n}, \tilde{C}_{n}, \widetilde{B C}$ | $\left(\lambda_{n}-1\right)(\lambda-1)$ | $(\lambda-1)^{2} \chi_{n-1}$ | 2 |
| $\tilde{G}_{21}, \tilde{G}_{22}$ | $(\lambda-1)^{2}(\lambda+1)$ | $(\lambda-1)^{2} \chi_{1}$ | 2 |
| $A_{11}, A_{12}$ | $(\lambda-1)^{2}$ | $(\lambda-1)^{2}$ | 3 |

1.4.3. Formulas for the diagrams $T_{2,3, r}, T_{3,3, r}, T_{2,4, r}$. For the three classes of diagrams - $T_{2,3, r}, T_{3,3, r}, T_{2,4, r}$ - explicit formulas of characteristic polynomials of the Coxeter transformations are obtained, see $\$ 2.5$. Fig. 2.3.

The case of $T_{2,3, r}$, where $r \geq 2$, contains diagrams $D_{5}, E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}, \tilde{E}_{8}, E_{10}$, and so we call these diagrams the $E_{n}$-series, where $n=r+3$. The diagram $T_{2,3,7}$ is hyperbolic, (see 82.5 ) and, for all $r \geq 3$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(T_{2,3, r}\right)=\lambda^{r+3}+\lambda^{r+2}-\sum_{i=3}^{r} \lambda^{i}+\lambda+1, \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

see (4.22) and Table 4.4. The spectral radius of $\chi\left(T_{2,3, r}\right)$ converges to the Zhang number ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{23}{108}}}+\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2}-\sqrt{\frac{23}{108}}} \approx 1.324717 \ldots \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]as $r \rightarrow \infty$, see Proposition 4.15 and Remark 4.16. The number (1.16) is also the smallest Pisot number, see B. 2

The case of $T_{3,3, r}$, where $r \geq 2$, contains diagrams $E_{6}, \tilde{E}_{6}$, and so we call these diagrams the $E_{6, n^{-}}$series, where $n=r-2$. The diagram $T_{3,3,4}$ is hyperbolic, (see 2.5) and, for all $r \geq 3$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(T_{3,3, r}\right)=\lambda^{r+4}+\lambda^{r+3}-2 \lambda^{r+1}-3 \sum_{i=4}^{r} \lambda^{i}-2 \lambda^{3}+\lambda+1, \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

see (4.27) and Table 4.5. The spectral radius of $\chi\left(T_{3,3, r}\right)$ converges to

$$
\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2} \approx 1.618034 \ldots
$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$, see Proposition 4.17.
The case of $T_{2,4, r}$, where $r \geq 2$, contains diagrams $D_{6}, E_{7}, \tilde{E}_{7}$, and so we call these diagrams the $E_{7, n}$-series, where $n=r-3$. The diagram $T_{2,4,5}$ is hyperbolic, (see \$2.5) and, for all $r \geq 4$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(T_{2,4, r}\right)=\lambda^{r+4}+\lambda^{r+3}-\lambda^{r+1}-2 \sum_{i=4}^{r} \lambda^{i}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1 \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

see (4.35) and Table 4.6. The spectral radius of $\chi\left(T_{2,4, r}\right)$ converges to

$$
\frac{1}{3}+\sqrt[3]{\frac{58}{108}+\sqrt{\frac{31}{108}}}+\sqrt[3]{\frac{58}{108}-\sqrt{\frac{31}{108}}} \approx 1.465571 \ldots
$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$, see Proposition 4.19,

### 1.5. Coxeter transformations and the McKay correspondence

1.5.1. The generalized R. Steinberg theorem. Here we generalize R. Steinberg's theorem concerning the mysterious connection between lengths of branches of any Dynkin diagram and orders of eigenvalues of the affine Coxeter transformation. R. Steinberg proved this theorem for the simply-laced case in [Stb85, p.591,(*)]; it was a key statement in his explanation of the phenomena of the McKay correspondence.

We prove the R. Steinberg theorem for the simply-laced case in $\$ 5.1$. Theorem 5.1. The multiply-laced case (generalized R. Steinberg's theorem) is proved in \$5.3.2, Theorem 5.5 Essentially, the generalized R. Steinberg theorem immediately follows from Table 1.2 ,

Theorem 1.2 (The generalized R. Steinberg theorem). The affine Coxeter transformation with the extended Dynkin diagram $\tilde{\Gamma}$ has the same eigenvalues as the product of some Coxeter transformations of types $A_{i}$, where $i \in\{p-1, q-1, r-1\}$ and matches to $(3-g)$ branches of the corresponding Dynkin diagram $\Gamma$, where $g$ is the class number (5.33) of $\tilde{\Gamma}$. In other words,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { For } g=0, \text { the product } \chi_{p-1} \chi_{q-1} \chi_{r-1}, \text { is taken. } \\
& \text { For } g=1, \text { the product } \chi_{p-1} \chi_{q-1}, \text { is taken. } \\
& \text { For } g=2, \text { the product consists of only from } \chi_{p-1} \text {. } \\
& \text { For } g=3, \text { the product is trivial }(=1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For details, see Theorem 5.5.
1.5.2. The Kostant generating functions and W. Ebeling's theorem. Now we consider B. Kostant's construction of the vector-valued generating function $P_{G}(t)$ Kos84]. Let $G$ be a binary polyhedral group, and $\rho_{i}$, where $i=0, \ldots, r$, irreducible representations of $G$ corresponding due to the McKay correspondence to simple roots $\alpha_{i}$ of the extended Dynkin diagram; let $\pi_{n}$, where $n=0,1, \ldots$, be irreducible representations of $S U(2)$ in $\operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$. Let $m_{i}(n)$ be multiplicities in the decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{n} \mid G=\sum_{i=0}^{r} m_{i}(n) \rho_{i} \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $m_{i}(n)=<\pi_{n} \mid G, \rho_{i}>$; set

$$
v_{n}=\sum_{i=0}^{r} m_{i}(n) \alpha_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
m_{0}(n)  \tag{1.20}\\
\ldots \\
m_{r}(n)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then

$$
P_{G}(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_{n} t^{n}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_{0}(n) t^{n}  \tag{1.21}\\
\cdots \\
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_{r}(n) t^{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Thus, $P_{G}(t)=\left(\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0},\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{1}, \ldots,\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{r}\right)^{t}$ is a vector-valued series. In particular, $\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0}$ is the Poincaré series of the algebra of invariants $\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{G}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0}=P\left(\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{G}, t\right) \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

B. Kostant obtained explicit formulas for the series $\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0}$, and therefore a way to calculate the multiplicities $m_{i}(n)$. B. Kostant's construction is generalized in Ch. 5 to the multiply-laced case. For this purpose, we use the P. Slodowy generalization [S180, App.III] of the McKay correspondence to the multiply-laced case.

The main idea of P. Slodowy is to consider a pair of binary polyhedral groups $H \triangleleft$ $G$ and their restricted representations $\rho \downarrow_{H}^{G}$ and induced representations $\tau \uparrow_{H}^{G}$ instead of representations $\rho_{i}$. In Appendix A we study in detail P. Slodowy's generalization for the pair $\mathcal{T} \triangleleft \mathcal{O}$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is the binary octahedral group and $\mathcal{T}$ is the binary tetrahedral group. We call the generalization of the McKay correspondence to the multiply-laced case the Slodowy correspondence. Finally, in Ch. 5 we generalize to the multiply-laced case W. Ebeling's theorem [Ebl02 which relates the Poincaré series $\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0}$ and the Coxeter transformations.

First, we prove the following proposition due to B. Kostant Kos84]. It holds for the McKay operator and also for the Slodowy operator.

Proposition 1.3 (B. Kostant Kos84). If $B$ is either the McKay operator $A$ or the Slodowy operator $\tilde{A}$ or $\tilde{A}^{\vee}$, then

$$
B v_{n}=v_{n-1}+v_{n+1}
$$

For details, see Proposition 5.10 in $\$ 5.4 .2$.
ThEOREM 1.4 (generalized W. Ebeling theorem, [Ebl02]). Let $G$ be a binary polyhedral group and $\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0}$ the Poincaré series (5.55) of the algebra of invariants $\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{G}$. Then

$$
\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0}=\frac{\operatorname{det} M_{0}(t)}{\operatorname{det} M(t)}
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{det} M(t)=\operatorname{det}\left|t^{2} I-\mathbf{C}_{a}\right|, \quad \operatorname{det} M_{0}(t)=\operatorname{det}\left|t^{2} I-\mathbf{C}\right|,
$$

and where $\mathbf{C}$ is the Coxeter transformation and $\mathbf{C}_{a}$ is the corresponding affine Coxeter transformation.

In Theorem 1.4 the Coxeter transformation $\mathbf{C}$ and the affine Coxeter transformation $\mathbf{C}_{a}$ are related to the binary polyhedral group $G$. For the multiply-laced case, we consider a pair of binary polyhedral groups $H \triangleleft G$ and $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}_{a}$ are related again to the group $G$. We generalize W. Ebeling's theorem for the multiply-laced case, see Theorem 5.12 For definition of the Poincaré series for the multiply-laced case, see (5.56) from \$5.4.1] and Remark 5.8.

### 1.6. The regular representations of quivers

1.6.1. Finite-type, tame and wild quivers. In BGP73, Bernshtein, Gelfand and Ponomarev introduced regular representations of quivers, i.e., representations that never vanish under the Coxeter transformations. More precisely, let $V$ be any representation in the category of representations $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma, \Delta)$ of the graph $\Gamma$ with an orientation $\Delta$, and let $\Phi^{+}$, $\Phi^{-}$be the Coxeter functors ( $\mathbf{B G P 7 3}$, DR76] ). The representation $V$ is said to be regular if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Phi^{+}\right)^{k}\left(\Phi^{-}\right)^{k}(\operatorname{dim} V)=\left(\Phi^{+}\right)^{k}\left(\Phi^{-}\right)^{k}(\operatorname{dim} V)=\operatorname{dim} V \text { for all } k \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Dynkin graphs do not have regular representations; in the category of graph representations, only a finite set of irreducible representations is associated to any Dynkin diagram. Graphs with such property are called finite type quivers. According to P. Gabriel's theorem Gab72], a quiver is of finite type if and only if it is a (simply laced) Dynkin diagram.

In the category of all representations of a given quiver, the regular representations are the most complicated ones; they have been completely described only for the extended Dynkin diagrams which for this reason, in the representation theory of quivers, were called tame quivers ([Naz73], DR76]).

Any quiver with indefinite Tits form $\mathcal{B}$ is wild, i.e., the description of its representations contains the problem of classifying pairs of matrices up to simultaneous similarity; this classification is hopeless in a certain sense, see GP69, Drz80.

By (1.23), the representation $V$ is regular if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}^{k}(\operatorname{dim} V)>0 \text { for all } k \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

see DR76, St75, SuSt75, SuSt78.
1.6.2. The Dlab-Ringel defect $\delta$ and $\Delta$-defect $\rho$. In St75, SuSt75, SuSt78, the linear form $\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}(z)$ was considered, see Definition 6.4. For the simply-laced case, it is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}(z)=<T z, \tilde{z}^{1}>, \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the multiply-laced case as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}(z)=<T z, \tilde{z}^{1 \vee}> \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $z^{1}$ is the eigenvector of the Coxeter transformation corresponding to eigenvalue 1, and $z^{1 \vee}$ is the eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue 1 of the Coxeter transformation for the dual diagram $\Gamma^{\vee} ; \tilde{v}$ denotes the dual vector to $v$ obtained from $v$ by changing the sign of the $\mathbb{Y}$-component (see Definition 6.2).

The linear form $\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}(z)$ is said to be the $\Delta^{\prime}$-defect of the vector $z$, or the defect of the vector $z$ in the orientation $\Delta^{\prime}$.

In DR76, V. Dlab and C. M. Ringel introduced the defect $\delta_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ obtained as the solution to the following equation, see (6.20):

$$
\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{*} \delta_{\Delta^{\prime}}=\delta_{\Delta^{\prime}}
$$

In Proposition 6.6, St85 we show that the Dlab-Ringel defect $\delta_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ coincides with the $\Delta^{\prime}$-defect $\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}$.
1.6.3. Necessary and sufficient conditions of regularity. In Theorem 6.5 proved by Dlab-Ringel DR76 and by Subbotin-Stekolshchik [SuSt75, [SuSt78, we show the necessary condition of regularity of the representation $V$ :

If $\operatorname{dim} V$ is the regular vector for the extended Dynkin diagram $\Gamma$ in the orientation $\Delta^{\prime}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}(\operatorname{dim} V)=0 . \tag{1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Proposition 6.8 (for the bicolored orientation) and in Proposition 6.13 (for an arbitrary orientation $\Delta^{\prime}$ ) we show that (see [St82])
the condition (1.27) is also sufficient if $\operatorname{dim} V$ is a positive root in the root system associated with the extended Dynkin diagram $\Gamma$.
To prove the sufficient condition of regularity, we study the transforming elements interrelating Coxeter transformations for different orientations. Here, Theorem 6.11, [St82], plays a key role. Proposition 6.9 and Theorem 6.11 yield the following:

1) Let $\Delta^{\prime}, \Delta^{\prime \prime}$ be two arbitrary orientations of the graph $\Gamma$ that differ by the direction of $k$ edges. Consider a chain of orientations, in which every two adjacent orientations differ by the direction of one edge:

$$
\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta_{0}, \Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \ldots, \Delta_{k-1}, \Delta_{k}=\Delta^{\prime \prime}
$$

Then, in the Weyl group, there exist elements $P_{i}$ and $S_{i}$, where $i=1,2, \ldots, k$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{C}_{\Delta_{0}}=P_{1} S_{1} \\
& \mathbf{C}_{\Delta_{1}}=S_{1} P_{1}=P_{2} S_{2}, \\
& \mathbf{C}_{\Delta_{k-1}}=S_{k-1} P_{k-1}=P_{k} S_{k}, \\
& \mathbf{C}_{\Delta_{k}}=S_{k} P_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

2) $T^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}} T=\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime \prime}}$ for the following $k+1$ transforming elements $T:=T_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}=P_{1} P_{2} P_{3} \ldots P_{k-2} P_{k-1} P_{k} \\
& T_{2}=P_{1} P_{2} P_{3} \ldots P_{k-2} P_{k-1} S_{k}^{-1} \\
& T_{3}=P_{1} P_{2} P_{3} \ldots P_{k-2} S_{k-1}^{-1} S_{k}^{-1} \\
& \\
& T_{k-1}=P_{1} P_{2} S_{3}^{-1} \ldots S_{k-2}^{-1} S_{k-1}^{-1} S_{k}^{-1} \\
& T_{k}=P_{1} S_{2}^{-1} S_{3}^{-1} \ldots S_{k-2}^{-1} S_{k-1}^{-1} S_{k}^{-1} \\
& T_{k+1}=S_{1}^{-1} S_{2}^{-1} S_{3}^{-1} \ldots S_{k-2}^{-1} S_{k-1}^{-1} S_{k}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, for each reflection $\sigma_{\alpha}$, there exists a $T_{i}$ whose decomposition does not contain this reflection.
3) The following relation holds:

$$
T_{p} T_{q}^{-1}=\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{q-p}
$$

For the graph $\Gamma$ with indefinite Tits form $\mathcal{B}$, we prove the following necessary condition of regularity, see Theorem [6.7] SuSt75, [SuSt78]:

If $z$ is the regular vector in the orientation $\Delta^{\prime}$, then

$$
<T z, \tilde{z}_{1}^{m}>\leq 0, \quad<T z, \tilde{z}_{2}^{m}>\geq 0
$$

where $\tilde{z}_{1}^{m}$ and $\tilde{z}_{2}^{m}$ are the dual vectors (Definition 6.2) to the vectors $z_{1}^{m}$ and $z_{2}^{m}$ corresponding to the maximal eigenvalue $\varphi^{m}=\varphi^{\max }$ of $D D^{t}$ and $D^{t} D$, respectively, see 6.4 .

Similar results were obtained by Y. Zhang in [Zh89, Prop.1.5], and by J.A. de la Peña and M. Takane in [PT90, Th.2.3].

For an application of this necessary condition to the star graph, see $\sqrt[66.6 .4]{ }$

## CHAPTER 2

## Preliminaries

...Having computed the $m$ 's several years earlier ..., I recognized them ${ }^{1}$ in the Poincaré polynomials while listening to Chevalley's address at the International Congress in 1950. I am grateful to A. J. Coleman for drawing my attention to the relevant work of Racah, which helps to explain the "coincidence"; also, to J. S. Frame for many helpful suggestions...
H. S. M. Coxeter, Cox51, p.765], 1951

### 2.1. The Cartan matrix and the Tits form

Let $K$ be a matrix with the following properties: Mo68, Kac80

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (C 1) \quad k_{i i}=2 \text { for } i=1, . ., n, \\
& (C 2) \quad-k_{i j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\} \text { for } i \neq j, \\
& (C 3) \quad k_{i j}=0 \text { implies } k_{i j}=0 \text { for } i, j=1, \ldots, n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Such a matrix is called a generalized Cartan matrix. A matrix $M$ is said to be symmetrizable if there exists an invertible diagonal matrix $T$ with positive integer coefficients and a symmetric matrix $\mathbf{B}$ such that $M=T \mathbf{B}$. For example, any generalized Cartan matrix $K$ whose diagram contains no cycles is symmetrizable ([Mo68, §3]). Any Cartan matrix whose diagram is a simply-laced diagram (even with cycles) is symmetrizable because it is symmetric. In particular, $\widetilde{A}_{n}$ has a symmetrizable Cartan matrix. In this work we consider only diagrams without cycles, so the diagrams we consider have symmetrizable Cartan matrices.

Let $\Gamma_{0}$ (resp. $\Gamma_{1}$ ) be the set of vertices (resp. edges) of the graph $\Gamma$. A valued graph $(\Gamma, d)($ DR76,$~ A u R S 95)$ is a finite set $\Gamma_{1}$ (of edges) rigged with non-negative integers $d_{i j}$ for all pairs $i, j \in \partial \Gamma_{1}$ of the endpoints of the edges in such a way that $d_{i i}=0$ and there exist numbers $f_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i j} f_{j}=d_{j i} f_{i} \quad \text { for all } i, j \in \in \partial \Gamma_{1} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rigging of the edges of $\Gamma_{1}$ is depicted by symbols

$$
i \underline{\left(d_{i j}, d_{j i}\right)} j
$$

If $d_{i j}=1=d_{j i}$, we simply write

$$
i \ldots
$$

There is, clearly, a one-to-one correspondence between valued graphs and symmetrizable Cartan matrices, see AuRS95.

[^1]A non-symmetric bilinear form $\mathcal{Q}$ defined on vectors-dimensions of the quiver representation was independently introduced by Ringel [Rin76] and Ovsienko and Roiter OR77]. The form $\mathcal{Q}$ has the following property important for the representations of quivers [Rin76]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}(\operatorname{dim} X, \operatorname{dim} Y)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}(X, Y)-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(X, Y) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Tits form is the quadratic form $\mathcal{B}$ given by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}(\alpha)=\mathcal{Q}(\alpha, \alpha) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding symmetric bilinear form is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{Q}(\alpha, \beta)+\mathcal{Q}(\beta, \alpha)) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathbf{B}$ be the matrix of the quadratic form $\mathcal{B}$. In the simply-laced case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=2 \mathbf{B} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the symmetric Cartan matrix $K$. So, in the simply-laced case, the Tits form is the Cartan-Tits form. In the multiply-laced case, the symmetrizable matrix $K$ factorizes

$$
\begin{gather*}
K=T \mathbf{B} \\
k_{i j}=\frac{2\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right)}{\left(a_{i}, a_{i}\right)}, \quad k_{j i}=\frac{2\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right)}{\left(b_{j}, b_{j}\right)}, \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $T$ is a diagonal matrix with positive integers on the diagonal, the vectors $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$ are defined in 3.1.2, see also 3.1.3,

### 2.2. The Schwartz inequality

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the quadratic Tits form associated with an arbitrary tree graph (simply or multiply laced) and $\mathbf{B}$ the matrix of $\mathcal{B}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ker} \mathbf{B}=\{x \mid \mathbf{B} x=0\} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $(x, y)=<x, \mathbf{B} y>=<\mathbf{B} x, y>$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ker} \mathbf{B}=\left\{x \mid(x, y)=0 \text { for all } y \in \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma}\right\} \subseteq\{x \mid \mathcal{B}(x)=0\} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will write $\mathcal{B}>0$ (resp. $\mathcal{B} \geq 0$ ) if $\mathcal{B}$ is positively (resp. nonnegative) definite. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $n$ is the number of vertices in $\Gamma_{0}$. Then the following Schwartz inequality is true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, y)^{2} \leq \mathcal{B}(x) \mathcal{B}(y) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove (2.9), it suffices to consider the inequality $(x+\alpha y, x+\alpha y) \geq 0$ which is true for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the discriminant of the polynomial

$$
(x, x)+2 \alpha(x, y)+\alpha^{2}(y, y)
$$

should be non-positive, whence (2.9).
Over $\mathbb{C}$, there exists $x \notin \operatorname{ker} \mathbf{B}$ such that $\mathcal{B}(x)=0$. For example, the eigenvectors of the Coxeter transformation with eigenvalues $\lambda \neq \pm 1$ satisfy this condition because

$$
\mathcal{B}(x)=\mathcal{B}(C x)=\lambda^{2} \mathcal{B}(x)
$$

If $\mathcal{B}(x)=0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, then from (2.9) we get $(x, y) \leq 0$ and $(x,-y) \leq 0$, i.e., $(x, y)=0$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. In other words, $x \in \operatorname{ker} \mathbf{B}$. Taking (2.8) into account we see that if $\mathcal{B} \geq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ker} \mathbf{B}=\{x \mid \mathcal{B}(x)=0\} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.3. The Dynkin diagrams and the extended Dynkin diagrams

For the proof of the following well-known characterization of the Dynkin diagrams and the extended Dynkin diagrams, see, e.g., Bo, DR76:

1) $(\Gamma, d)$ is a Dynkin diagram if and only if its quadratic form is positive definite, see Fig. 2.1.
2) $(\Gamma, d)$ is an extended Dynkin diagram if and only if its quadratic form is nonnegative definite, see Fig. 2.2,

Remark 2.1.1) All quadratic forms $\mathcal{B}$ fall into 3 non-intersecting sets
(a) $\mathcal{B}$ is positive definite, $\mathcal{B}>0$ (the Dynkin diagrams),
(b) $\mathcal{B}$ is nonnegative definite, $\mathcal{B} \geq 0$ (the extended Dynkin diagrams),
(c) $\mathcal{B}$ is indefinite.
2) Consider two operations:
2.1) "Add Edge": add a vertex and connect it with $\Gamma$ by only one edge. We denote the new graph $\hat{\Gamma}$.
2.2) "Remove Vertex": remove a vertex (the new graph may contain more than one component). We denote the new graph $\stackrel{\vee}{\Gamma}$.
3) The set (b) $(\mathcal{B} \geq 0)$ is not stable under these operations:

$$
\begin{array}{lcc}
\wedge: \mathcal{B} \geq 0 & \Longrightarrow & \mathcal{B} \text { is indefinite } \\
\vee: \mathcal{B} \geq 0 & \Longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}>0
\end{array}
$$

The set (a) is invariant under $\vee$ and sometimes is invariant under $\wedge$. The set (c) is invariant under $\wedge$ and sometimes is invariant under $\vee$.
4) If the graph $\Gamma$ with indefinite form $\mathcal{B}$ is obtained from any Dynkin diagram by adding an edge, then the same graph $\Gamma$ can be obtained by adding an edge (or maybe several edges) to an extended Dynkin diagram.
$\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} \circ \cdot . \mathrm{O} \mathrm{O}$
$\mathrm{D}_{1} \stackrel{\bigcirc}{\circ} \mathrm{O}-0-0 \ldots 0$
$\mathrm{B}_{\mathbf{M}} \stackrel{(1,2)}{\circ} \mathrm{O}-\cdots \circ$
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{n}} \stackrel{(2,1)}{\circ} \mathrm{O}-\ldots 0 \longrightarrow$

$\mathrm{E}_{8} \circ-\mathrm{O}-\circ-\circ-\circ$

$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{2}} \stackrel{(1,3)}{\circ}$

Figure 2.1. The Dynkin diagrams


Figure 2.2. The extended Dynkin diagrams

### 2.4. The real and imaginary roots

Recall now the definitions of imaginary and real roots in the infinite root system associated with infinite dimensional Kac-Moody Lie algebras. We mostly follow V. Kac's definitions Kac80, Kac82, Kac93.

We consider the vector space $\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$; set

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma}=\left|\Gamma_{0}\right|
$$

Let

$$
\alpha_{i}=\{0,0, \ldots 0, \stackrel{i}{1}, 0, \ldots 0,0\} \in \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma}
$$

be the basis vector corresponding to the vertex $v_{i} \in \Gamma_{0}$. The space $\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma}$ is spanned by the vectors $\left\{\alpha_{i} \mid i \in \Gamma_{0}\right\}$; the vectors $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ form the basis in $\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma}$. Let

$$
\mathcal{E}_{+}=\left\{\alpha=\sum k_{i} \alpha_{i} \in \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma} \mid k_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, \sum k_{i}>0\right\}
$$

be the set of all non-zero elements in $\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma}$ with non-negative integer coordinates in the basis $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\}$.

Define the linear functions $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{n}$ on $\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma}$ by means of the elements of the Cartan matrix (2.6):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)=k_{i j} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The positive root system $\Delta_{+}$associated to the Cartan matrix $K$ is a subset in $\mathcal{E}_{+}$defined by the following properties (R1)-(R3):
(R1) $\alpha_{i} \in \Delta_{+}$and $2 \alpha_{i} \notin \Delta_{+}$for $i=1, \ldots, n$.
(R2) If $\alpha \in \Delta_{+}$and $\alpha \neq \alpha_{i}$, then $\alpha+k \alpha_{i} \in \Delta_{+}$for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $-p \leq k \leq q$, where $p$ and $q$ are some non-negative integers such that $p-q=\phi_{i}(\alpha)$.
(R3) Any $\alpha \in \Delta_{+}$has a connected support.
We define endomorphisms $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}$ of $\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma}$ by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i}(x)=x-\phi_{i}(x) \alpha_{i} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each endomorphism $\sigma_{i}$ is the reflection in the hyperplane $\phi_{i}=0$ such that $\sigma_{i}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=-\alpha_{i}$. These reflections satisfy the following relations:

$$
\sigma_{i}^{2}=1, \quad\left(\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}\right)^{n_{i j}}=1,
$$

where $n_{i j}$ are given in the Table 2.1
Table 2.1. The exponents $n_{i j}$

| $k_{i j} k_{j i}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\geq 4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n_{i j}$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | $\infty$ |

The group $W$ generated by the reflections $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}$ is called the Weyl group. The vectors $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ are called simple roots; we denote by $\Pi$ the set of all simple roots. Let $W(\Pi)$ be the orbit of $\Pi$ under the $W$-action.

Set

$$
M=\left\{\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{+} \mid \phi_{i}(\alpha) \leq 0 \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n, \text { and } \alpha \text { has a connected support }\right\} .
$$

The set $M$ is called the fundamental set. Let $W(M)$ be the orbit of $M$ under the $W$-action. We set

$$
\Delta_{+}^{r e}=\bigcup_{w \in W}\left(w(\Pi) \cap \mathcal{E}_{+}\right), \quad \quad \Delta_{+}^{i m}=\bigcup_{w \in W}(w(M))
$$

The elements of the set $\Delta_{+}^{r e}$ are called real roots and the elements of the set $\Delta_{+}^{i m}$ are called imaginary roots. By [Kac80], the system of positive roots $\Delta_{+}$is the disjoint union of the sets $\Delta_{+}^{r e}$ and $\Delta_{+}^{i m}$ :

$$
\Delta_{+}^{r e}=\Delta_{+}^{r e} \cup \Delta_{+}^{i m}
$$

If the Tits form $\mathcal{B}$ (or, which is the same, the Cartan matrix $K$ ) is positive definite, then the root system is finite, it corresponds to a simple finite dimensional Lie algebra. In this case, the root system consists of real roots.

If the Tits form $\mathcal{B}$ is nonnegative definite, $\mathcal{B} \geq 0$, we have an infinite root system whose imaginary root system is one-dimensional:

$$
\Delta_{+}^{i m}=\{\delta, 2 \delta, 3 \delta, \ldots\}, \quad \text { where } \delta=\sum_{i} k_{i} \alpha_{i}
$$

the coefficients $k_{i}$ being the labels of the vertices from Fig. 2.2,
The numerical labels at the vertices are the coefficients of the imaginary root which coincides with the fixed point $z^{1}$ of the Coxeter transformation, see 3.3.1.

The elements $k \delta(k \in \mathbb{N})$ are called nil-roots. Every nil-root is a fixed point for the Weyl group.

### 2.5. The hyperbolic Dynkin diagrams and hyperbolic Cartan matrices

A connected graph $\Gamma$ with indefinite Tits form is said to be a hyperbolic Dynkin diagram (resp. strictly hyperbolic Dynkin diagram) if every subgraph $\Gamma^{\prime} \subset \Gamma$ is a Dynkin diagram or an extended Dynkin diagram (resp. Dynkin diagram). The corresponding Cartan matrix $K$ is said to be the hyperbolic Cartan matrix (resp. strictly hyperbolic Cartan matrix), see Kac93, exs. of §4.10]. The corresponding Weyl group is said to be a hyperbolic Weyl group (resp. compact hyperbolic Weyl group), see [Bo, Ch.5, exs. of §4].


Figure 2.3. The diagram $T_{p, q, r}$
Consider the Dynkin diagram $T_{p, q, r}$ depicted on Fig. [2.3] By eq.(3.3) the quadratic Tits form $\mathcal{B}$ can be expressed in the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}(z)= & x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+\cdots+x_{p-1}^{2}-x_{1} x_{2}-\cdots-x_{p-2} x_{p-1}-x_{p-1} u_{0}+ \\
& y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}+\cdots+y_{q-1}^{2}-y_{1} y_{2}-\cdots-y_{q-2} y_{q-1}-y_{q-1} u_{0}+  \tag{2.13}\\
& z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}+\cdots+z_{r-1}^{2}-z_{1} z_{2}-\cdots-z_{r-2} z_{r-1}-z_{r-1} u_{0}+u_{0}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{0}$ is the coordinate of the vector $z$ corresponding to the branch point of the diagram. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& V\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p-1}, u_{0}\right)= \\
& \quad x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+\cdots+x_{p-1}^{2}-x_{1} x_{2}-\cdots-x_{p-2} x_{p-1}-x_{p-1} u_{0} \\
& V\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{q-1}, u_{0}\right)= \\
& \quad y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}+\cdots+y_{q-1}^{2}-y_{1} y_{2}-\cdots-y_{q-2} y_{q-1}-y_{q-1} u_{0}  \tag{2.14}\\
& V\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{r-1}, u_{0}\right)= \\
& \quad z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}+\cdots+z_{r-1}^{2}-z_{1} z_{2}-\cdots-z_{r-2} z_{r-1}-z_{r-1} u_{0} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}(z)=V\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p-1}\right)+V\left(y_{1}, \ldots . y_{q-1}\right)+V\left(z_{1}, \ldots . z_{r-1}\right)+u_{0}^{2} . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to check that

$$
\begin{align*}
2 V\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p-1}, u_{0}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{i+1}{i}\left(x_{i}-\frac{i}{i+1} x_{i+1}\right)^{2}-\frac{p-1}{p} u_{0}^{2}, \\
2 V\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{p-1}, u_{0}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \frac{i+1}{i}\left(y_{i}-\frac{i}{i+1} y_{i+1}\right)^{2}-\frac{q-1}{q} u_{0}^{2},  \tag{2.16}\\
2 V\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{p-1}, u_{0}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{i+1}{i}\left(z_{i}-\frac{i}{i+1} z_{i+1}\right)^{2}-\frac{r-1}{r} u_{0}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Denote by $U(x), U(y), U(z)$ the corresponding sums in (2.16):

$$
\begin{align*}
2 V\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p-1}, u_{0}\right) & =U(x)-\frac{p-1}{p} u_{0}^{2}, \\
2 V\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{p-1}, u_{0}\right) & =U(y)-\frac{q-1}{q} u_{0}^{2},  \tag{2.17}\\
2 V\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{p-1}, u_{0}\right) & =U(z)-\frac{r-1}{r} u_{0}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}(z)=U(x)+U(y)+U(z)-\frac{p-1}{p} u_{0}^{2}-\frac{q-1}{q} u_{0}^{2}-\frac{r-1}{r} u_{0}^{2}+2 u_{0}^{2}, \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}(z)=U(x)+U(y)+U(z)+\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{r}-1\right) u_{0}^{2} . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{r} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}(z)=U(x)+U(y)+U(z)+(\mu-1) u_{0}^{2} . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that $\mathcal{B}>0$ for $\mu>1$, i.e., the triples $p, q, r$ are as follows:

1) $(1, q, r)$ for any $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e., the diagrams $A_{n}$,
2) $(2,2, r)$, the diagrams $D_{n}$,
3) $(2,3, r)$, where $r=3,4,5$, i.e., the diagrams $E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}$.

Similarly, $\mathcal{B} \geq 0$ for $\mu=1$, i.e., for the following triples $p, q, r$ :

1) $(3,3,3)$, the diagram $\tilde{E}_{6}$,
2) $(2,4,4)$, the diagram $\tilde{E}_{7}$,
3) $(2,3,6)$, the diagram $\tilde{E}_{8}$.

The Tits form $\mathcal{B}$ is indefinite for $\mu<1$. Since $U(x), U(y), U(z)$ in (2.21) are positive, we see that, in this case, the signature of $\mathcal{B}$ is equal to $(n-1,1)$, see Kac93, exs.4.2]. It is easy to check that the triples $p, q, r$ corresponding to hyperbolic graphs $T_{p, q, r}$ are only the following ones:

1) $(2,3,7)$, the diagram $E_{10}, 1-\mu=\frac{1}{42}$,
2) $(2,4,5), 1-\mu=\frac{1}{20}$,
3) $(3,3,4), 1-\mu=\frac{1}{12}$.

### 2.6. Orientations and the associated Coxeter transformations

Here, we follow the definitions of Bernstein-Gelfand-Ponomarev BGP73. Let us consider the graph $\Gamma$ endowed with an orientation $\Delta$.

The vertex $v_{i}$ is called sink-admissible (resp. source-admissible) in the orientation $\Delta$ if every arrow containing $v_{i}$ ends in (resp. starts from) this vertex. The reflection $\sigma_{i}$ can be applied only to vertices which are either sink-admissible or source-admissible. The reflection $\sigma_{i}$ acts on the orientation $\Delta$ by reversing all arrows containing the vertex $v_{i}$.

Consider now a sequence of vertices and the corresponding reflections. A sequence of vertices

$$
\left\{v_{i_{n}}, v_{i_{n-1}}, \ldots, v_{i_{3}}, v_{i_{2}}, v_{i_{1}}\right\}
$$

is called sink-admissible, if the vertex $v_{i_{1}}$ is sink-admissible in the orientation $\Delta$, the vertex $v_{i_{2}}$ is sink-admissible in the orientation $\sigma_{i_{1}}(\Delta)$, the vertex $v_{i_{3}}$ is sink-admissible in the orientation $\sigma_{i_{2}} \sigma_{i_{1}}(\Delta)$, and so on. Source-admissible sequences are similarly defined.

A sink-admissible (resp. source-admissible) sequence

$$
\mathcal{S}=\left\{v_{i_{n}}, v_{i_{n-1}}, \ldots, v_{i_{3}}, v_{i_{2}}, v_{i_{1}}\right\}
$$

is called fully sink-admissible (resp. fully source-admissible) if $\mathcal{S}$ contains every vertex $v \in \Gamma_{0}$ exactly once. Evidently, the inverse sequence $\mathcal{S}^{-1}$ of a sink-admissible sequence $\mathcal{S}$ is sourceadmissible and vice versa.

Every tree has a fully sink-admissible sequence $\mathcal{S}$. To every sink-admissible sequence $\mathcal{S}$, we assign the Coxeter transformation depending on the order of vertices in $\mathcal{S}$ :

$$
\mathbf{C}=\sigma_{i_{n}} \sigma_{i_{n-1}} \ldots \sigma_{i_{2}} \sigma_{i_{1}} .
$$

For every orientation $\Delta$ of the tree, every fully sink-admissible sequence gives rise to the same Coxeter transformation $\mathbf{C}_{\Delta}$, and every fully source-admissible sequence gives rise to $\mathrm{C}_{\Delta}^{-1}$.

### 2.7. The Poincaré series

2.7.1. Graded algebras, symmetric algebras, algebras of invariants. Let $k$ be a field. We define a graded $k$-algebra to be a finitely generated $k$-algebra $A$ (associative, commutative, and with identity), together with a direct sum decomposition (as vector space)

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=A_{0} \oplus A_{1} \oplus A_{2} \oplus \ldots \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $A_{0}=k$ and $A_{i} A_{j} \subset A_{i+j}$. The component $A_{n}$ is called the $n$th homogeneous part of $A$ and the element $x \in A_{n}$ is said to be homogeneous of degree $n$, notation: $\operatorname{deg} x=n$.

We define a graded $A$-module to be a finitely generated $A$-module, together with a direct sum decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=M_{0} \oplus M_{1} \oplus M_{2} \oplus \ldots \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $A_{i} M_{j} \subset M_{i+j}$.
The Poincaré series of a graded algebra $A=\underset{n=1}{\infty} A_{i}$ is the formal series

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(A, t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\operatorname{dim} A_{n}\right) t^{n} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Poincaré series of a graded $A$-module $M=\underset{n=1}{\oplus} M_{i}$ is the formal series

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(M, t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\operatorname{dim} M_{n}\right) t^{n} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

see Sp77, PV94.
Theorem 2.2. (Hilbert, Serre, see AtMa69, p.117, Th.11.1]) The Poincaré series $P(M, t)$ of a finitely generated graded $A$-module is a rational function in $t$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(M, t)=\frac{f(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^{s}\left(1-t^{k_{i}}\right)}, \text { where } f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t] \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows in this section, any algebraically closed field $k$ can be considered instead of $\mathbb{C}$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set $R_{d}$ of homogeneous polynomials of degree $d$ is a finite dimensional subspace of $R$, and $R_{0}=\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, $R_{d} R_{e} \subset R_{d+e}$, and $R$ is a graded $\mathbb{C}$-algebra with a direct sum decomposition (as a vector space)

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=R_{0} \oplus R_{1} \oplus R_{2} \oplus \ldots \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n} \in V^{*}$ be the linear forms defined by $f_{i}\left(x_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$. Then the $f_{i}$, where $i=1, \ldots, n$, generate the symmetric algebra $\operatorname{Sym}\left(V^{*}\right)$ isomorphic to $R$.

For any $a \in G L(V)$ and $f \in R$, define $a f \in R$ by the rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a f)(v)=f\left(a^{-1} v\right) \text { for any } v \in V \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, for any $a, b \in G$ and $f \in R$, we have

$$
a(b f)=b f\left(a^{-1} v\right)=f\left(b^{-1} a^{-1} v\right)=f\left((a b)^{-1} v\right)=((a b) f)(v)
$$

and $a R_{d}=R_{d}$.
Let $G$ be a subgroup in $G L(V)$. We say that $f \in R$ is a $G$-invariant if $a f=f$ for all $a \in G$. The $G$-invariant polynomial functions form a subalgebra $R^{G}$ of $R$, which is a graded subalgebra, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{G}=\bigoplus R^{G} \cap R_{i} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The algebra $R^{G}$ is said to be the algebra of invariants of the group $G$. If $G$ is finite, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(R^{G}, t\right)=\frac{1}{|G|} \frac{1}{\sum_{g \in G} \operatorname{det}(1-g t)} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (2.31) is a classical theorem of Moilen (1897), see [PV94, §3.11], or [Bo, Ch.5, §5.3].
2.7.2. Invariants of finite groups generated by reflections. Let $G$ be a finite subgroup of $G L(V)$ and $g \in G$. Then $g$ is called a pseudo-reflection if precisely one eigenvalue of $g$ is not equal to 1 . Any pseudo-reflection with determinant -1 is called a reflection.

Theorem 2.3. STCS (Sheppard and Todd, Chevalley, Serre) Let $G$ be a finite subgroup of $G L(V)$. There exist $n=\operatorname{dim} V$ algebraically independent homogeneous invariants $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}$ such that

$$
R^{G}=\mathbb{C}\left[\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right]
$$

if and only if $G$ is generated by pseudo-reflections.
For references, see [Stn79, Th.4.1], Ch55, Th.A, p.778].
Sheppard and Todd ShT54 explicitly determined all finite subgroups of $G L(V)$ generated by pseudo-reflections and verified the sufficient condition of Theorem STCS. Chevalley Ch55 found the classification-free proof of this sufficient condition for a particular case where $G$ is generated by reflections. Serre observed that Chevalley's proof is also valid for groups generated by pseudo-reflections. Sheppard and Todd ( $\mathbf{S h T 5 4}$ ) proved the necessary condition of the theorem by a strong combinatorial method; see also Stanley [Stn79, p.487].

The coefficients of the Poincaré series are called the Betti numbers. For some bibliographical notes on Betti numbers, see Remark 2.5. The Poincaré polynomial of the algebra $R^{G}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+t^{2 p_{1}+1}\right)\left(1+t^{2 p_{2}+1}\right) \ldots\left(1+t^{2 p_{n}+1}\right), \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{i}+1$ are the degrees of homogeneous basis elements of $R^{G}$, see Cox51, and Ch50. See Table 2.2 taken from Cox51, p.781,Tab.4].

Table 2.2. The Poincaré polynomials for the simple compact Lie groups

| Dynkin <br> diagram | Poincaré polynomial |
| :---: | :---: |
| $A_{n}$ | $\left(1+t^{3}\right)\left(1+t^{5}\right) \ldots\left(1+t^{2 n+1}\right)$ |
| $B_{n}$ or $C_{n}$ | $\left(1+t^{3}\right)\left(1+t^{7}\right) \ldots\left(1+t^{4 n-1}\right)$ |
| $D_{n}$ | $\left(1+t^{3}\right)\left(1+t^{7}\right) \ldots\left(1+t^{4 n-5}\right)\left(1+t^{2 n-1}\right)$ |
| $E_{6}$ | $\left(1+t^{3}\right)\left(1+t^{9}\right)\left(1+t^{11}\right)\left(1+t^{15}\right)\left(1+t^{17}\right)\left(1+t^{23}\right)$ |
| $E_{7}$ | $\left(1+t^{3}\right)\left(1+t^{11}\right)\left(1+t^{15}\right)\left(1+t^{19}\right)\left(1+t^{23}\right)\left(1+t^{27}\right)\left(1+t^{35}\right)$ |
| $E_{8}$ | $\left(1+t^{3}\right)\left(1+t^{15}\right)\left(1+t^{23}\right)\left(1+t^{27}\right)\left(1+t^{35}\right)\left(1+t^{39}\right)\left(1+t^{47}\right)\left(1+t^{59}\right)$ |
| $F_{4}$ | $\left(1+t^{3}\right)\left(1+t^{11}\right)\left(1+t^{15}\right)\left(1+t^{23}\right)$ |
| $G_{2}$ | $\left(1+t^{3}\right)\left(1+t^{11}\right)$ |

Let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ be the eigenvalues of a Coxeter transformation in a finite Weyl group. These eigenvalues can be given in the form

$$
\omega^{m_{1}}, \ldots, \omega^{m_{n}}
$$

where $\omega=\exp ^{2 \pi i / h}$ is a primitive root of unity. The numbers $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}$ are called the exponents of the Weyl group. H. S. M. Coxeter observed that the exponents $m_{i}$ and numbers $p_{i}$ in (2.32) coincide (see the epigraph to this chapter).

Theorem 2.4. CCKS (Coxeter, Chevalley, Coleman, Steinberg) Let

$$
u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}
$$

be homogeneous elements generating the algebra of invariants $R^{G}$, where $G$ is the Weyl group corresponding to a simple compact Lie group. Let $m_{i}+1=\operatorname{deg} u_{i}$, where $i=1,2, \ldots n$. Then the exponents of the group $G$ are

$$
m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}
$$

For more details, see [Bo, Ch.5, §6.2, Prop.3] and historical notes in [Bo, and [Ch50, Cox51, Col58, Stb85.

Remark 2.5. On the Betti numbers. Here, we mostly follow the works of C. Chevalley Ch50, and A. J. Coleman Col58 and review of C. A. Weibel Weib.

Let $X$ be a topological space, and $H_{k}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ be the $k$-th homology group of $X$. If $H_{k}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is finitely generated, then its rank is called the $k$-th Betti number of $X$.
L. Pontrjagin Pon35 computed the homology of the four classical Lie groups by means of combinatorial arguments; R. Brauer [Br35] used de Rham homology. H. Hopf Ho41] and H. Samelson Sam41] showed that, for a compact Lie group $\mathfrak{G}$, the Poincaré series $\sum B_{k} t^{k}$ of $\mathfrak{G}$, (where $B_{k}$ is the $k$-th Betti number) is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+t^{k_{i}}\right) \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation (2.33) is called Hopf's theorem [Ch50. ${ }^{1}$
For the simple compact Lie groups, the Poincaré series $P(t)$ are given in Table 2.2.
J. L. Koszul Kosz50] proved Hopf's theorem in a purely algebraic manner. C. Chevalley Ch50 proved that $k_{i}=2 p_{i}+1$ in (2.33), where $p_{i}+1$ is the degree of a minimal homogeneous invariant of the group $\mathfrak{G}$. A. Borel and C. Chevalley [BC55] have simplified the calculations for the exceptional Lie groups.

[^2]
## CHAPTER 3

# The Jordan normal form of the Coxeter transformation 

It turned out that most of the classical concepts of the Killing-Cartan-Weyl theory can be carried over to the entire class of Kac-Moody algebras, such as the Cartan subalgebra, the root system, the Weyl group, etc. ... I shall only point out that $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}(K)^{1}$ does not always possess a nonzero invariant bilinear form. This is the case if and only if the matrix $K$ is symmetrizable ...
V. Kac, Kac93 p.XI], 1993

### 3.1. The Cartan matrix and the Coxeter transformation

In this subsection a graph $\Gamma$ and a partition $S=S_{1} \coprod S_{2}$ of its vertices are fixed.
3.1.1. A bicolored partition and a bipartite graph. A partition $S=S_{1} \coprod S_{2}$ of the vertices of the graph $\Gamma$ is said to be bicolored if all edges of $\Gamma$ lead from $S_{1}$ to $S_{2}$. A bicolored partition exists if and only if all cycles in $\Gamma$ are of even order. The graph $\Gamma$ admitting a bicolored partition is said to be bipartite McM02]. An orientation $\Delta$ is said to be bicolored, if there is the corresponding sink-admissible sequence

$$
\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}, v_{m+1}, v_{m+2}, \ldots v_{m+k}\right\}
$$

of vertices in this orientation $\Delta$, such that subsequences

$$
S_{1}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\} \text { and } S_{2}=\left\{v_{m+1}, v_{m+2}, \ldots, v_{m+k}\right\}
$$

form a bicolored partition. Any two generators $g^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime \prime}$ of the Coxeter group $W(S)$ (contained in the same subpartition) commute and the subgroups $W\left(S_{1}\right)$ and $W\left(S_{2}\right)$ are abelian. So, the products $w_{i} \in W\left(S_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2$ of generators of $W\left(S_{i}\right)$ are involutions, i.e.,

$$
w_{1}^{2}=1, \quad w_{2}^{2}=1
$$

For the first time (as far as I know), the technique of bipartite graphs was used by R. Steinberg in Stb59, where he gave classification-free proofs for some results of H. S. M. Coxeter [Cox34] concerning properties of the order of the Coxeter transformation; see also R. Carter's paper Car70.

[^3]3.1.2. Conjugacy of Coxeter transformations. All Coxeter transformations are conjugate for any tree or forest $\Gamma$ [Bo Ch.5, §6]; see also Proposition 6.9 and Remark [6.10] The Coxeter transformations for the graphs with cycles are studied in [Col89, [Rin94, Shi00, BT97, and in the works by Menshikh and Subbotin of 1982-1985, see 84.2 . Here, we consider only trees.

Let us select one Coxeter transformation most simple to study. It is one of the two bicolored Coxeter transformations corresponding to a bipartite graph:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}=w_{1} w_{2} \quad \text { or } \quad \mathbf{C}^{-1}=w_{2} w_{1} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From now on we assume that $S_{1}$ contains $m$ elements and $S_{2}$ contains $k$ elements, we denote by $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ (resp. $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}$ ) basis vectors corresponding to vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}$ of $S_{1}$ (resp. vertices $v_{m+1} \ldots, v_{m+k}$ of $S_{2}$ ). We denote by $\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma_{a}}\left(\right.$ resp. $\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma_{b}}$ ) the vector space generated by the $a_{i}$, where $i=1, \ldots, m$ (resp. by the $b_{i}$, where $i=1, \ldots, k$ ). So,

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma_{a}}=m, \quad \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma_{b}}=k
$$

3.1.3. The Cartan matrix and the bicolored Coxeter transformation. The Cartan matrix and the bicolored Coxeter transformation are constructed from the same blocks. More exactly, the matrix $\mathbf{B}$ and involutions $w_{i}$, where $i=1,2$, are constructed from the same blocks.

In the simply-laced case (i.e., for the symmetric Cartan matrix), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& K=2 \mathbf{B}, \quad \text { where } \mathbf{B}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{m} & D \\
D^{t} & I_{k}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
& w_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-I_{m} & -2 D \\
0 & I_{k}
\end{array}\right), \quad w_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{m} & 0 \\
-2 D^{t} & -I_{k}
\end{array}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where the elements $d_{i j}$ that constitute matrix $D$ are given by the formula

$$
d_{i j}=\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
-\frac{1}{2} & \text { if }\left|a_{i}-b_{j}\right|=1  \tag{3.3}\\
0 & \text { if }\left|a_{i}-b_{j}\right|>1
\end{array}\right.
$$

In the multiply-laced case (i.e., for the symmetrizable and non-symmetric Cartan matrix $K$ ), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& K=T \mathbf{B}, \quad \text { where } \quad K=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 I_{m} & 2 D \\
2 F & 2 I_{k}
\end{array}\right), \\
& w_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-I_{m} & -2 D \\
0 & I_{k}
\end{array}\right), \quad w_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{m} & 0 \\
-2 F & -I_{k}
\end{array}\right), \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and where

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i j}=\frac{\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right)}{\left(a_{i}, a_{i}\right)}, \quad f_{p q}=\frac{\left(b_{p}, a_{q}\right)}{\left(b_{p}, b_{p}\right)} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$ are simple roots in the root systems of the corresponding to $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ Kac-Moody Lie algebras [Kac80]. Let $T=\left(t_{i j}\right)$ be the diagonal matrix (2.6). Then

$$
\left(t_{i i}\right)=\frac{1}{\left(a_{i}, a_{i}\right)}=\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}\left(a_{i}\right)}
$$

Dividing $T$ and $\mathbf{B}$ into blocks of size $m \times m$ and $k \times k$, we see that

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 T_{1} & 0  \tag{3.6}\\
0 & 2 T_{2}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathbf{B}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{1}^{-1} & A \\
A^{t} & T_{2}^{-1}
\end{array}\right), \quad T_{1} A=D, \quad T_{2} A^{t}=F
$$

3.1.4. Dual graphs and Dual forms. Every valued graph $\Gamma$ has a dual graph denoted by $\Gamma^{\vee}$. The dual graph is obtained by means of transposition $d_{i j} \leftrightarrow d_{j i}$. In other words, if $K$ is the Cartan matrix for $\Gamma$, then the Cartan matrix $K^{\vee}$ for $\Gamma^{\vee}$ is $K^{t}$,

$$
K^{\vee}=K^{t}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\vee}=D^{t}, \quad D^{\vee}=F^{t} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\vee} D^{\vee}=(F D)^{t}, \quad D^{\vee} F^{\vee}=(D F)^{t} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any simply-laced graph, the Cartan matrix is symmetric and $F=D^{t}=F^{\vee}$. In this case the graph $\Gamma$ is dual to itself. Among extended Dynkin diagrams the following pairs of diagram are dual:

$$
\left(\widetilde{B}_{n}, \widetilde{C}_{n}\right), \quad\left(\widetilde{C D}_{n}, \widetilde{D C}_{n}\right), \quad\left(\widetilde{G}_{21}, \widetilde{G}_{12}\right), \quad\left(\widetilde{F}_{41}, \widetilde{F}_{42}\right)
$$

Let dual Cartan matrices be factorized by means of the diagonal matrices $T$ and $T^{\vee}$ :

$$
K=T \mathbf{B}, \quad K^{\vee}=T^{\vee} \mathbf{B}^{\vee}
$$

Then according to [Kac93, Ch.3, exs.3.1] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\vee}=T^{-1} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $K^{\vee}=K^{t}=\mathbf{B} T=T^{-1} \mathbf{B}^{\vee}$, we see that dual Tits forms are related as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B}^{\vee}=T \mathbf{B} T \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.1.5. Eigenvalues of the Cartan matrix and the Coxeter transformation.

 There is a simple relation between eigenvalues of the Cartan matrix and the Coxeter transformation. Let vector $z \in \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma}$ be given in two-component form$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\binom{x}{y} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma}=k+m=n, x \in \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma_{a}}$ and $y \in \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma_{b}}$. Consider the relation

$$
\mathbf{C} z=\lambda z \quad \text { or } \quad w_{2} z=\lambda w_{1} z
$$

In the simply-laced case we deduce from (3.2) that

$$
\mathbf{C} z=\lambda z \Longleftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\lambda+1}{2 \lambda} x=D y  \tag{3.12}\\
\frac{\lambda+1}{2} y=D^{t} x
\end{array} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbf{B} z=\frac{\lambda-1}{2}\binom{\frac{1}{\lambda} x}{-y}\right.
$$

In the multiply-laced case we deduce that

$$
\mathbf{C} z=\lambda z \Longleftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\lambda+1}{2 \lambda} x=D y  \tag{3.13}\\
\frac{\lambda+1}{2} y=F x
\end{array} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbf{B} z=\frac{\lambda-1}{2}\binom{\frac{1}{\lambda} x}{-y}\right.
$$

From (3.12) and (3.13) we have in the simply-laced and multiply-laced cases, respectively:

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ D D ^ { t } x = \frac { ( \lambda + 1 ) ^ { 2 } } { 4 \lambda } x }  \tag{3.14}\\
{ D ^ { t } D y = \frac { ( \lambda + 1 ) ^ { 2 } } { 4 \lambda } y }
\end{array} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
D F x=\frac{(\lambda+1)^{2}}{4 \lambda} x \\
F D y=\frac{(\lambda+1)^{2}}{4 \lambda} y
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Similarly,

$$
\mathbf{B} z=\gamma z \Longleftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ ( \gamma - 1 ) x = D y }  \tag{3.15}\\
{ ( \gamma - 1 ) y = D ^ { t } x }
\end{array} \quad \text { resp. } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\gamma-1) x=D y \\
(\gamma-1) y=F x
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

and from (3.15) we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ D D ^ { t } x = ( \gamma - 1 ) ^ { 2 } x }  \tag{3.16}\\
{ D ^ { t } D y = ( \gamma - 1 ) ^ { 2 } y }
\end{array} \quad \text { resp. } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
D F x=(\gamma-1)^{2} x \\
F D y=(\gamma-1)^{2} y .
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

By (2.6) we have
Proposition 3.1 (Fixed Points). 1) The eigenvalues $\lambda$ of the Coxeter transformation and the eigenvalues $\gamma$ of the matrix $\mathbf{B}$ of the Tits form are related as follows

$$
\frac{(\lambda+1)^{2}}{4 \lambda}=(\gamma-1)^{2}
$$

2) The kernel of the matrix $\mathbf{B}$ coincides with the kernel of the Cartan matrix $K$ and coincides with the space of fixed points of the Coxeter transformation

$$
\operatorname{ker} K=\operatorname{ker} \mathbf{B}=\{z \mid \mathbf{C} z=z\}
$$

3) The space of fixed points of matrix $\mathbf{B}$ coincides with the space of anti-fixed points of the Coxeter transformation

$$
\{z \mid \mathbf{B} z=z\}=\{z \mid \mathbf{C} z=-z\}
$$

For more information about fixed and anti-fixed points of the powers of the Coxeter transformation, see Appendix B.7.1.

### 3.2. An application of the Perron-Frobenius theorem

### 3.2.1. The pair of matrices $D D^{t}$ and $D^{t} D$ (resp. $D F$ and $F D$ ).

Remark 3.2. The matrices $D D^{t}$ and $D^{t} D$ have some nice properties.
(1) They give us all information about the eigenvalues of Coxeter transformations and Cartan matrices. Our results hold for an arbitrary tree $\Gamma$.
(2) The eigenvectors of Coxeter transformations are combinations of eigenvectors of the matrix $D D^{t}$ and eigenvectors of the matrix $D^{t} D$, see \$3.3.1 relations (3.29), (3.30), (3.31).
(3) They satisfy the Perron-Frobenius theorem.

More exactly, properties (1)-(3) will be considered below in Proposition 3.3, Proposition 3.5] and in \$3.2.2, \$3.3.1.

Proposition 3.3. 1) The matrices $D D^{t}$ and $D^{t} D$ (resp. $D F$ and FD) have the same non-zero eigenvalues with equal multiplicities.
2) The eigenvalues $\varphi_{i}$ of matrices $D D^{t}$ and $D^{t} D$ (resp. $D F$ and $F D$ ) are non-negative:

$$
\varphi_{i} \geq 0
$$

3) The corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_{1,2}^{\varphi_{i}}$ of Coxeter transformations are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1,2}^{\varphi_{i}}=2 \varphi_{i}-1 \pm 2 \sqrt{\varphi_{i}\left(\varphi_{i}-1\right)} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenvalues $\lambda_{1,2}^{\varphi_{i}}$ either lie on the unit circle or are real positive numbers. It the latter case $\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{i}}$ and $\lambda_{2}^{\varphi_{i}}$ are mutually inverse:

$$
\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{i}} \lambda_{2}^{\varphi_{i}}=1
$$

Proof. 1) If $D D^{t} z=\mu z$, where $\mu \neq 0$, then $D^{t} z \neq 0$ and $D^{t} D\left(D^{t} z\right)=\mu\left(D^{t} z\right)$. We argue similarly for $D^{t} D, D F, F D$. The multiplicities of non-zero eigenvalues coincide since

$$
x \neq 0, \quad D D^{t} x=\mu x \quad \Longrightarrow \quad D^{t} D\left(D^{t} x\right)=\mu\left(D^{t} x\right), \text { and } D^{t} x \neq 0
$$

and

$$
y \neq 0, \quad D^{t} D y=\mu y \quad \Longrightarrow \quad D D^{t}(D y)=\mu(D y), \text { and } D y \neq 0 .
$$

Remark 3.4. The multiplicities of the zero eigenvalue are not equal. If $D D^{t} x=0$ and $x$ is the eigenvector, $x \neq 0$, then it is possible that $D^{t} x=0$ and $D^{t} x$ is not an eigenvector of $D^{t} D$, see Remark 3.6, 4) below.
2) The matrices $D D^{t}$ and $D^{t} D$ are symmetric and nonnegative definite. For example,

$$
<D D^{t} x, x>=<D^{t} x, D^{t} x>\geq 0
$$

So, if $D D^{t} x=\varphi_{i} x$, then

$$
<D D^{t} x, x>=\varphi_{i}<x, x>
$$

and

$$
\varphi_{i}=\frac{<D^{t} x, D^{t} x>}{\langle x, x>} \geq 0
$$

In the multiply-laced case, we deduce from (3.6) that the matrix $D F$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D F=T_{1} A T_{2} A^{t} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi$ be a non-zero eigenvalue for $D F=T_{1} A T_{2} A^{t}$ with eigenvector $x$ :

$$
T_{1} A T_{2} A^{t} x=\varphi x
$$

Since $T$ is a positive diagonal matrix, see 2.1 , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sqrt{T_{1}} A T_{2} A^{t} \sqrt{T_{1}}\right)\left(\left(\sqrt{T_{1}}\right)^{-1} x\right)=\varphi\left(\sqrt{T_{1}}\right)^{-1} x \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\varphi$ is also a non-zero eigenvalue with eigenvector $\left(\sqrt{T_{1}}\right)^{-1} x$ for the matrix $\sqrt{T_{1}} A T_{2} A^{t} \sqrt{T_{1}}$ which already is symmetric, so $\varphi \geq 0$.
3) From (3.17) if $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ we deduce that

$$
\left|\lambda_{1,2}^{\varphi_{i}}\right|^{2}=\left(2 \varphi_{i}-1\right)^{2}+4 \varphi_{i}\left(1-\varphi_{i}\right)=1 .
$$

If $\varphi_{i}>1$, then

$$
2 \varphi_{i}-1>2 \sqrt{\varphi_{i}\left(\varphi_{i}-1\right)} \Longrightarrow \lambda_{1,2}^{\varphi_{i}} \geq 0 .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{i}}=2 \varphi_{i}-1+2 \sqrt{\varphi_{i}\left(\varphi_{i}-1\right)}>1 \\
\lambda_{2}^{\varphi_{i}}=2 \varphi_{i}-1-2 \sqrt{\varphi_{i}\left(\varphi_{i}-1\right)}<1 . \tag{3.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

The pair of matrices $(A, B)$ is said to be a $P F$-pair if matrices $A$ and $B$ satisfy the Perron-Frobenius theorem ${ }^{1}$.

Proposition 3.5. The matrix pair $\left(D D^{t}, D^{t} D\right)($ resp. $(D F, F D)$ ) is a $P F$-pair, i.e.,

1) $D D^{t}$ and $D^{t} D$ (resp. $D F$ and $F D$ ) are non-negative;
2) $D D^{t}$ and $D^{t} D$ (resp. $D F$ and $F D$ ) are indecomposable.
[^4]Proof. 1) Indeed, in the simply-laced case, the following relation holds

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4\left(D D^{t}\right)_{i j}=4 \sum_{p=1}^{k}\left(a_{i}, b_{p}\right)\left(b_{p}, a_{j}\right)= \\
& \begin{cases}s_{i} & \text { the number of edges with a vertex } v_{i} \text { if } i=j, \\
1 & \text { if }\left|v_{i}-v_{j}\right|=2, \\
0 & \text { if }\left|v_{i}-v_{j}\right|>2 .\end{cases} \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

In the multiply-laced case, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4(D F)_{i j}=4 \sum_{p=1}^{k} \frac{\left(a_{i}, b_{p}\right)\left(b_{p}, a_{j}\right)}{\left(a_{i}, a_{i}\right)\left(b_{p}, b_{p}\right)}= \\
&\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
4 \sum_{p=1}^{k} \frac{\left(a_{i}, b_{p}\right)^{2}}{\left(a_{i}, a_{i}\right)\left(b_{p}, b_{p}\right)}=4 \sum_{p=1}^{k} \cos ^{2}\left\{a_{i}, b_{p}\right\} & \text { if } i=j, \\
4 \frac{\left(a_{i}, b_{p}\right)\left(b_{p}, a_{j}\right)}{\left(a_{i}, a_{i}\right)\left(b_{p}, b_{p}\right)} & \text { if }\left|v_{i}-v_{j}\right|=2, \\
0 & \text { if }\left|v_{i}-v_{j}\right|>2 .
\end{array}\right. \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

2) Define the distance between two sets of vertices $A=\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $B=\left\{b_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ to be

$$
\min _{i, j}\left|a_{i}-b_{j}\right| .
$$

If the matrix $D D^{t}$ is decomposable, then the set of vertices $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ can be divided into two subsets such that distance between these two subsets is $>2$. This contradicts the assumption that $\Gamma$ is connected.

Remark 3.6.1) Formula (3.21) is a particular case of (3.22), since the angles between the adjacent simple roots $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$ are $\frac{2 \pi}{3}$, so $\cos \left\{a_{i}, b_{j}\right\}=\frac{1}{2}$. Of course, the angles and the lengths of vectors are considered in the sense of the bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ from (2.4).
2) The case $\left|v_{i}-v_{j}\right|=2$ from (3.22) can be expressed in the following form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
4 \frac{\left(a_{i}, b_{p}\right)\left(b_{p}, a_{j}\right)}{\left(a_{i}, a_{i}\right)\left(b_{p}, b_{p}\right)}=4 \frac{\left(a_{i}, b_{p}\right)\left(b_{p}, a_{j}\right)}{\left|a_{i}\right|^{2}\left|b_{p}\right|^{2}}= \\
4 \frac{\left(a_{i}, b_{p}\right)}{\left|a_{i}\right|\left|b_{p}\right|} \frac{\left(b_{p}, a_{j}\right)}{\left|a_{j}\right|\left|b_{p}\right|} \frac{\left|a_{j}\right|}{\left|a_{i}\right|}=4 \frac{\left|a_{j}\right|}{\left|a_{i}\right|} \cos \left\{a_{i}, b_{p}\right\} \cos \left\{a_{j}, b_{p}\right\} . \tag{3.23}
\end{gather*}
$$



Figure 3.1. The star $*_{k+1}$ with $k$ rays
3) One can easily calculate the matrices $D D^{t}, D^{t} D, D F, F D$ by means of (3.21) and (3.22).
4) Consider a simple star $*_{k+1}$, Fig. 3.1 It is bipartite with respect to the following bicolored partition. One part of the graph consists of only one vertex $a_{1},(m=1)$, the other one consists of $k$ vertices $\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right\}, n=k+1$. According to (3.21) the $1 \times 1$ matrix $D D^{t}$ is

$$
D D^{t}=k=n-1,
$$

and the $k \times k$ matrix $D^{t} D$ is

$$
D^{t} D=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\
& & & \ldots & \\
1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

By Proposition [3.3, heading 2) the matrices $D D^{t}$ and $D^{t} D$ have only one non-zero eigenvalue $\varphi_{1}=n-1$. All the other eigenvalues of $D^{t} D$ are zeros and the characteristic polynomial of the $D^{t} D$ is

$$
\varphi^{n-1}(\varphi-(n-1))
$$

3.2.2. The Perron-Frobenius theorem applied to $D D^{t}$ and $D^{t} D$ (resp. $D F$ and $F D$ ). By Proposition 3.5 the pairs $\left(D D^{t}, D^{t} D\right)$ (resp. $(D F, F D)$ ) are PF-pairs, so we can apply the Perron-Frobenius theorem, see $\$ B .3$.

Corollary 3.7. The matrices $D D^{t}$ and $D^{t} D$ (resp. $D F$ and $F D$ ) have a common simple (i.e., with multiplicity one) positive eigenvalue $\varphi_{1}$. This eigenvalue is maximal (called dominant eigenvalue):

$$
0 \leq \varphi_{i} \leq \varphi_{1}, \quad \varphi_{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\max _{x \geq 0} \min _{1 \leq i \leq m} \frac{\left(D D^{t} x\right)_{i}}{x_{i}} \quad \text { in the simply-laced case }  \tag{3.24}\\
\max _{x \geq 0} \min _{1 \leq i \leq m} \frac{(D F x)_{i}}{x_{i}} \quad \text { in the multiply-laced case }
\end{array}\right.
$$

There are positive eigenvectors $\mathbb{X}^{\varphi_{1}}$, $\mathbb{Y}^{\varphi_{1}}$ (i.e., non-zero vectors with non-negative coordinates) corresponding to the eigenvalue $\varphi_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
D D^{t} \mathbb{X}^{\varphi_{1}} & =\varphi_{1} \mathbb{X}^{\varphi_{1}}, & D^{t} D \mathbb{Y}_{\varphi_{1}}
\end{array}=\varphi_{1} \mathbb{Y}^{\varphi_{1}},
$$

The matrices $D D^{t}$ (resp. $D^{t} D$ ) are symmetric and can be diagonalized in the some orthonormal basis of the eigenvectors from $\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma_{a}}=\mathbb{R}^{h}$ (resp. $\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma_{b}}=\mathbb{R}^{k}$ ). The Jordan normal forms of these matrices are shown on Fig. 3.2. The normal forms of $D F$ and $F D$ are the same, since by (3.18), (3.19) the non-zero eigenvalues of the matrix $D F$ and symmetric matrix $\sqrt{T_{1}} A T_{2} A^{t} \sqrt{T_{1}}$ coincide. However, the normal bases (i.e., bases which consist of eigenvectors) for $D F$ and $F D$ are not necessarily orthonormal, since the eigenvectors of $D F$ are obtained from eigenvectors of $\sqrt{T_{1}} A T_{2} A^{t} \sqrt{T_{1}}$ by means of the matrix $\sqrt{T_{1}}$ which does not preserve orthogonality.

### 3.3. The basis of eigenvectors and a theorem on the Jordan form

### 3.3.1. An explicit construction of the eigenvectors.

Proposition 3.8. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{X}_{1}^{\varphi_{1}}, \mathbb{X}_{1}^{\varphi_{2}}, \ldots, \mathbb{X}_{t_{2}}^{\varphi_{2}}, \ldots, \mathbb{X}_{1}^{\varphi_{s}}, \ldots, \mathbb{X}_{t_{s}}^{\varphi_{s}}, \mathbb{X}_{1}^{0}, \ldots, \mathbb{X}_{m-p}^{0} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3.2. The Jordan normal forms of $D D^{t}$ and $D^{t} D$
be all the orthonormal eigenvectors for $D D^{t}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Y}_{1}^{0}, \ldots, \mathbb{Y}_{k-p}^{0} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

be all the orthonormal eigenvectors for $D^{t} D$ corresponding to the zero eigenvalue. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{t} \mathbb{X}_{1}^{\varphi_{1}}, D^{t} \mathbb{X}_{1}^{\varphi_{2}}, \ldots, D^{t} \mathbb{X}_{t_{2}}^{\varphi_{2}}, \ldots, D^{t} \mathbb{X}_{1}^{\varphi_{s}}, \ldots, D^{t} \mathbb{X}_{t_{s}}^{\varphi_{s}}, \mathbb{Y}_{1}^{0}, \ldots, \mathbb{Y}_{k-p}^{0} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the set of all orthonormal eigenvectors for $D D^{t}$.
Bases for DF, FD (not orthonormal) are similarly constructed.
Proof. Indeed, if $\mathbb{X}^{\varphi_{i}}$ and $\mathbb{X}^{\varphi_{j}}$ are the eigenvectors corresponding to the $\varphi_{i}$ and $\varphi_{j}$, then the vectors $\mathbb{Y}^{\varphi_{i}}=D^{t} \mathbb{X}^{\varphi_{i}}$ and $\mathbb{Y}^{\varphi_{j}}=D^{t} \mathbb{X}^{\varphi_{j}}$ are eigenvectors of $D^{t} D$ and

$$
<\mathbb{Y}^{\varphi_{i}}, \mathbb{Y}^{\varphi_{j}}>=<\mathbb{X}^{\varphi_{i}}, D D^{t} \mathbb{X}^{\varphi_{j}}>=\varphi_{j}<\mathbb{X}^{\varphi_{i}}, \mathbb{X}^{\varphi_{j}}>=0
$$

Let us construct the eigenvectors for the Coxeter transformation. We set:
$\underline{\text { Case } \varphi_{i} \neq 0,1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{r, \nu}^{\varphi_{i}}=\binom{\mathbb{X}_{r}^{\varphi_{i}}}{-\frac{2}{\lambda_{\nu}^{\varphi_{i}}+1} D^{t} \mathbb{X}_{r}^{\varphi_{i}}}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s, \quad 1 \leq r \leq t_{i}, \quad \nu=1,2 \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\lambda_{1,2}^{\varphi_{i}}$ is obtained by formula (3.17).
$\underline{\text { Case } \varphi_{i}=1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{r}^{1}=\binom{\mathbb{X}_{r}^{1}}{-D^{t} \mathbb{X}_{r}^{1}}, \quad \tilde{z}_{r}^{1}=\frac{1}{4}\binom{\mathbb{X}_{r}^{1}}{D^{t} \mathbb{X}_{r}^{1}}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq t_{i} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case $\varphi_{i}=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{x_{\eta}}^{0}=\binom{\mathbb{X}_{\eta}^{0}}{0}, 1 \leq \eta \leq m-p, \quad z_{y_{\xi}}^{0}=\binom{0}{\mathbb{Y}_{\xi}^{0}}, 1 \leq \xi \leq k-p \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.9 (SuSt75, SuSt78]). 1) The vectors (3.29), (3.30), (3.31) constitute a basis in $\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma}$ over $\mathbb{C}$.
2) The vectors (3.29) are eigenvectors of the Coxeter transformation corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{\varphi_{i}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C} z_{r, \nu}^{\varphi_{i}}=\lambda z_{r, \nu}^{\varphi_{i}} . \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vectors (3.30) are eigenvectors and adjoint vectors of the Coxeter transformation corresponding to the eigenvalue 1:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C} z_{r}^{1}=z_{r}^{1}, \quad \mathbf{C} \tilde{z}_{r}^{1}=z_{r}^{1}+\tilde{z}_{r}^{1} \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vectors (3.31) are eigenvectors of the Coxeter transformation corresponding to the eigenvalue -1 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C} z_{x_{\eta}}^{0}=-z_{x_{\eta}}^{0}, \quad \mathbf{C} z_{y_{\xi}}^{0}=-z_{y_{\xi}}^{0} . \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, vectors (3.29), (3.30), (3.31) constitute an orthogonal basis which consists of eigenvectors and adjoint vectors of the Coxeter transformation. The number of the adjoint vectors $\tilde{z}_{r}^{1}$ is equal to the multiplicity of eigenvalue $\varphi=1$.

Proof. 1) The number of vectors (3.29), (3.30), (3.31) is $2 p+(m-p)+(k-p)=n$ and it suffices to prove that these vectors are linearly independent. Let us write down the condition of linear dependence. It splits into 2 conditions: for the $\mathbb{X}$-component and for the $\mathbb{Y}$-component. The linear independence of vectors (3.29), (3.30), (3.31) follows from the linear independence of vectors (3.26), (3.28).
2) To prove relation (3.32), the first relation from (3.33) and relation (3.34), it suffices to check (3.12). Let us check that

$$
\mathbf{C} \tilde{z}_{r}^{1}=z_{r}^{1}+\tilde{z}_{r}^{1} .
$$

We consider the multiply-laced case. Making use of (3.4) we see that

$$
\mathbf{C}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
4 D F-I_{m} & 2 D \\
-2 F & I_{k}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then

$$
\mathbf{C} \tilde{z}_{r}^{1}=\frac{1}{4}\binom{4 D F \mathbb{X}_{r}^{1}-\mathbb{X}_{r}^{1}+2 D F \mathbb{X}_{r}^{1}}{-2 F \mathbb{X}_{r}^{1}-F \mathbb{X}_{r}^{1}}=\frac{1}{4}\binom{5 \mathbb{X}_{r}^{1}}{-3 \mathbb{X}_{r}^{1}}=z_{r}^{1}+\tilde{z}_{r}^{1}
$$

By heading 2) of Proposition 3.1 and formula (3.30) from Proposition 3.8 we have
Corollary 3.10. The Jordan normal form of the Coxeter transformation is diagonal if and only if the Tits form is nondegenerate ${ }^{2}$.
3.3.2. Monotonicity of the dominant eigenvalue. The following proposition is important for calculation of the number of $2 \times 2$ Jordan blocks in the Jordan normal form of the Coxeter transformation.

Proposition 3.11 ([SuSt75, SuSt78]). Let us add an edge to some tree $\Gamma$ and let $\hat{\Gamma}$ be the new graph (Remark 2.1). Then:

1) The dominant eigenvalue $\varphi_{1}$ may only grow:

$$
\varphi_{1}(\hat{\Gamma}) \geq \varphi_{1}(\Gamma)
$$

2) Let $\Gamma$ be an extended Dynkin diagram, i.e., $\mathcal{B} \geq 0$. Then the spectra of $D D^{t}(\hat{\Gamma})$ and $D^{t} D(\hat{\Gamma})$ (resp. $D F(\hat{\Gamma})$ and $F D(\hat{\Gamma})$ ) do not contain 1, i.e.,

$$
\varphi_{i}(\hat{\Gamma}) \neq 1 \text { for all } \varphi_{i} \in \operatorname{Spec}\left(D D^{t}(\hat{\Gamma})\right)
$$

[^5]3) Let $\mathcal{B}$ be indefinite. Then
$$
\varphi_{1}(\hat{\Gamma})>1
$$

Proof. 1) Adding an edge to the vertex $a_{i}$ we see, according to (3.21), that only one element of $D D^{t}$ changes: namely, $\left(D D^{t}\right)_{i i}$ changes from $\frac{s_{i}}{4}$ to $\frac{s_{i}+1}{4}$. For the multiply-laced case, $(D F)_{i i}$ changes by $\cos ^{2}\left(a_{i}, b_{s}\right)$, where $b_{s}$ is the new vertex connected with the vertex $a_{i}$. By Corollary 3.7 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{1}(\hat{\Gamma})= & \max _{x \geq 0} \min _{1 \leq i \leq m} \frac{(D F(\hat{\Gamma}) x)_{i}}{x_{i}} \geq \\
& \min _{1 \leq i \leq m} \frac{\left(D F(\hat{\Gamma}) \mathbb{X}_{i}^{\varphi_{1}}\right)_{i}}{\mathbb{X}_{i}^{\varphi_{1}}} \geq \min _{1 \leq i \leq m} \frac{\left(D F \mathbb{X}_{i}^{\varphi_{1}}\right)_{i}}{\mathbb{X}_{i}^{\varphi_{1}}}=\varphi_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

2) The characteristic polynomial of $D F(\hat{\Gamma})$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}|D F(\hat{\Gamma})-\mu I|=\operatorname{det}|D F-\mu I|+\cos ^{2}\left\{a_{i}, b_{s}\right\} \operatorname{det}\left|A_{i}(\mu)\right| \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{i}(\mu)$ is obtained by deleting the $i^{\text {th }}$ row and $i^{\text {th }}$ column from the matrix $D F-\mu I$. It corresponds to the operation "Remove Vertex" from the graph $\Gamma$ (Remark [2.1), the graph obtained by removing vertex is $\stackrel{\vee}{\Gamma}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} \mid D F\left(\stackrel{\hat{\Gamma}}{)}-\mu I|=\operatorname{det}| D F-\mu I\left|+\cos ^{2}\left\{a_{i}, b_{s}\right\} \operatorname{det}\right| D F(\stackrel{\vee}{\Gamma})-\mu I \mid\right. \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Remark 2.1 the quadratic form $\mathcal{B}(\stackrel{\vee}{\Gamma})$ is positive, $\stackrel{\vee}{\Gamma}$ is the Dynkin diagram, i.e., $\operatorname{ker} \mathbf{B}=0$. By Proposition [3.1 the Coxeter transformation for $\stackrel{\vee}{\Gamma}$ does not have eigenvalue 1. Then by (3.17) the corresponding matrix $D F(\stackrel{\vee}{\Gamma})$ does not have eigenvalue 1. Thus, in (3.36), $\mu=1$ is a root of $\operatorname{det}|D F-\mu I|$ and is not a root of $\operatorname{det}|D F(\stackrel{\vee}{\Gamma})-\mu I|$, and therefore $\mu=1$ is not a root of $\operatorname{det}|D F(\hat{\Gamma})-\mu I|$. The case $\cos ^{2}\left\{a_{i}, b_{s}\right\}=0$ is not possible since $\hat{\Gamma}$ is connected.
3) By heading 1) adding only one edge to an extended Dynkin diagram we get $\varphi_{1}(\hat{\Gamma}) \geq \varphi_{1}$. By heading 2) $\varphi_{1}(\hat{\Gamma})>\varphi_{1}$. But the form $\mathcal{B}$ becomes indefinite after we add some edges to the extended Dynkin diagram (Remark [2.1] 4). Thus, $\varphi_{1}$ is only grows.

Proposition 3.12. The common dominant eigenvalue of $D D^{t}$ and $D^{t} D$ (resp. $D F$ and $F D$ ) is equal to 1 if and only if $\Gamma$ is an extended Dynkin diagram.

Proof. 1) Let $\Gamma$ be an extended Dynkin diagram, then $\mathcal{B} \geq 0$. Since ker $\mathbf{B} \neq 0$, we see by Proposition 3.1 that the eigenvalue $\varphi_{1}$ is the eigenvalue of the matrices $D F$ and $F D$. By (3.20) we have $\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{1}} \geq 1$. Further, since the Weyl group preserves the quadratic form $\mathcal{B}$, we have

$$
\mathcal{B}\left(z^{\varphi_{1}}\right)=\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{C} z^{\varphi_{1}}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{1}}\right)^{2} \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{C} z^{\varphi_{1}}\right) .
$$

Therefore, either $\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{1}}=1$, i.e., $\varphi_{1}=1$, or $\mathcal{B}\left(z^{\varphi_{1}}\right)=0$. We will show that in the latter case $\varphi_{1}=1$, too. By Proposition 3.8 the vectors $\mathbb{X}^{\varphi_{1}}$ and $F \mathbb{X}^{\varphi_{1}}$ have real coordinates. By (3.20) the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{1}}$ is also real because $\varphi_{1} \geq 1$. So, the vector $z^{\varphi_{1}}$ from (3.30) is real. Then from $\mathcal{B}\left(z^{\varphi_{1}}\right)=0$ we have $z^{\varphi_{1}} \in \operatorname{ker} \mathbf{B}$ and again, $\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{1}}=1$.
2) Conversely, let $\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{1}}=1$. Then, by Proposition 3.1, $\operatorname{ker} \mathbf{B} \neq 0$, i.e., the form $\mathcal{B}$ is degenerate. Let us find whether $\mathcal{B}$ is definite $(\mathcal{B} \geq 0)$ or indefinite. By heading 3 ) of

Proposition 3.11 if $\mathcal{B}$ is indefinite, then $\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{1}}>1$. Thus, $\mathcal{B} \geq 0$ and $\Gamma$ is an extended Dynkin diagram.

Corollary 3.13. Let $\mathcal{B} \geq 0$, i.e., let $\Gamma$ be an extended Dynkin diagram. Then

1) The kernel of the quadratic form $\mathcal{B}$ is one-dimensional.
2) The vector $z^{\varphi_{1}} \in \operatorname{ker} \mathbf{B}$ can be chosen so that all its coordinates are positive.

Proof. 1) $\varphi_{1}$ is a simple eigenvalue of $D D^{t}$ and $D^{t} D$ (see Corollary 3.7).
2) $\mathbb{X}^{\varphi_{1}}$ is a positive vector (Corollary 3.7), $D^{t} \mathbb{X}^{\varphi_{1}}$ is a negative vector since $D^{t}$ is a nonpositive matrix (3.3). So, the vector $z^{\varphi_{1}}$ from (3.30) is positive.

The monotonicity of the dominant value $\varphi_{1}$ and the corresponding maximal eigenvalue $\lambda^{\varphi_{1}}$ of the Coxeter transformation is clearly demonstrated for the diagrams $T_{2,3, r}, T_{3,3, r}, T_{2,4, r}$, see Propositions 4.15, 4.17, 4.19 and Tables 4.4, 4.5, 4.6 in $\$ 4.4$
3.3.3. A theorem on the Jordan form. Now we can summarize.

Theorem 3.14 ( $\mathbf{S u S t 7 5}, \mathbf{S u S t 7 8}, \mathbf{S t 8 5})$ ) 1) The Jordan form of the Coxeter transformation is diagonal if and only if the Tits form is non-degenerate.
2) If $\mathcal{B} \geq 0$ ( $\Gamma$ is an extended Dynkin diagram), then the Jordan form of the Coxeter transformation contains only one $2 \times 2$ Jordan block. All eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ lie on the unit circle.
3) If $\mathcal{B}$ is indefinite and degenerate, then the number of $2 \times 2$ Jordan blocks coincides with $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \mathbf{B}$. The remaining Jordan blocks are $1 \times 1$. There is a simple maximal eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{1}}$ and a simple minimal eigenvalue $\lambda_{2}^{\varphi_{1}}$, and

$$
\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{1}}>1, \quad \lambda_{2}^{\varphi_{1}}<1
$$



Figure 3.3. The Jordan normal form of the Coxeter transformation
Example 3.15 (V. Kolmykov). The example shows that there is a graph $\Gamma$ with indefinite and degenerate quadratic form $\mathcal{B}$ such that $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \mathbf{B}$ is however large (see Fig. 3.4) and the Coxeter transformation has however large number of $2 \times 2$ Jordan blocks. Consider $n$ instances of the extended Dynkin diagram $\widetilde{D}_{4}$ with centers $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ and marked vertices $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$. We add new vertex $b_{n+1}$ and connect it with marked vertices $a_{i}$ for $i=1,2 \ldots, n$. The new graph is bipartite: one part consists of the vertices $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}, b_{n+1}$; the matrix $D^{t} D$ is of size $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ and has the form


Figure 3.4. A graph $\Gamma$ such that $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \mathbf{B}$ is however large

$$
4 D^{t} D=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
n & 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 4 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 4 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 4 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
& & & & \ldots & & \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 4 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 4
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is easy to show that

$$
\left|4 D^{t} D-\mu I\right|=(n-\mu)(4-\mu)^{n}-n(4-\mu)^{n-1}
$$

Thus, $\varphi_{i}=\frac{\mu_{i}}{4}=1$ is of multiplicity $n-1$.
In Proposition 4.10 of $\$ 4.3$ we will see more examples of graphs $\Gamma(n)$ obtained by gluing $n$ copies of some graph $\Gamma$. By this proposition every eigenvalue $\lambda$ of $\Gamma$ is also an eigenvalue of $\Gamma(n)$ and the multiplicity of this eigenvalue for the graph $\Gamma(n)$ is $(n-1) \times m$, where $m$ is the multiplicity of this eigenvalue in $\Gamma$.

## CHAPTER 4

# Eigenvalues, shearing formulas and diagrams $T_{p, q, r}$ 

... most of the fundamental results about simple Lie algebras, which were discovered by Killing are usually attributed to É. Cartan. This, despite the meticulousness with which Cartan noted his indebtedness to Killing. In Cartan's thesis there are 28 references to Lie and 60 to Killing!
A. J. Coleman, Col89, p.447], 1989.

### 4.1. The eigenvalues of the affine Coxeter transformation are roots of unity

The Coxeter transformation corresponding to the extended Dynkin diagram, i.e., corresponding to affine Kac-Moody algebra is called the affine Coxeter transformation.

Theorem 4.1 ( $\mathbf{S u S t 7 9}$, [St82a], $\mathbf{S t 8 5}])$. The eigenvalues of the affine Coxeter transformation are roots of unity. The proper eigenvalues are collected in Table 4.1.

Proof. The eigenvalues for all cases of extended Dynkin diagrams are easily calculated by means of the generalized R. Steinberg's theorem (Theorem 5.5) and Table 1.2. See Remark 4.2

Remark 4.2. According to generalized R. Steinberg's theorem (Theorem 5.5) orders (not always different) of eigenvalues (column 3, Table 4.1) coincide with lengths of branches of the corresponding Dynkin diagram. If $g$ is the class number (5.33) of the extended Dynkin diagram, the number of branches of the corresponding Dynkin diagram is $3-g$. For $g=0$, we have a simply-laced case of the extended Dynkin diagrams, i.e., $\widetilde{E}_{6}, \widetilde{E}_{7}, \widetilde{E}_{6}, \widetilde{D}_{n}(n \geq 4)$. In this case number of branches is 3 . For $g=1$, we have extended Dynkin diagrams $\widetilde{F}_{41}$, $\widetilde{F}_{42}, \widetilde{C D}_{n}, \widetilde{C D}_{n}$, there exists 2 groups of eigenvalues, see (5.34). For $g=2$, we have extended Dynkin diagrams $\widetilde{G}_{21}, \widetilde{G}_{22}, \widetilde{B}_{n}, \widetilde{C}_{n}, \widetilde{B C}_{n}$ and there exists only one group of eigenvalues. For $g=3$, we have extended Dynkin diagrams $\widetilde{A}_{11}, \widetilde{A}_{12}$, in this case there is only one trivial eigenvalues 1 .

Table 4.1. The eigenvalues of affine Coxeter transformations
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline \hline \text { Diagram } & \text { Eigenvalues } \lambda & \begin{array}{c}\text { Orders of } \\ \text { eigenvalues }{ }^{1}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Affine Coxeter } \\ \text { number } h_{a}{ }^{2}\end{array} \\ \hline \hline \widetilde{E}_{6} & \lambda_{1,2}^{1}=1, & & \\ & \lambda_{1,2}^{2}=\lambda_{1,2}^{3}=e^{ \pm 2 \pi i / 3}, & 3,3, & 6 \\ \lambda_{7}=-1\end{array}\right)$

Remark 4.3. Let $H$ be the hyperplane orthogonal to the adjoint vector $\tilde{z}^{1}$ from Proposition 3.9 The vector $\tilde{z}^{1}$ is responsible for a $2 \times 2$ block in the Jordan form of the affine

[^6]TABLE 4.2. The Coxeter numbers and affine Coxeter numbers
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Extended } \\ \text { Dynkin } \\ \text { Diagram }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Notation in } \\ \text { context of twisted } \\ \text { affine Lie algebra }{ }^{1}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Affine } \\ \text { Coxeter } \\ \text { number } h_{a}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Coxeter } \\ \text { number } h\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Dual }{ }^{2} \\ \text { Coxeter } \\ \text { number } h^{\vee}\end{array} \\ \hline \hline \widetilde{E}_{6} & E_{6}^{(1)} & 6 & 12 & 12 \\ \hline \widetilde{E}_{7} & E_{7}^{(1)} & 12 & 18 & 18 \\ \hline \widetilde{E}_{8} & E_{8}^{(1)} & 30 & 30 & 30 \\ \hline \widetilde{D}_{n} & D_{n}^{(1)} & n-2 \text { for } n=2 k ; \\ \hline \widetilde{A}_{11} & A_{2}^{(2)} & 1 & 2(n-1) & 2(n-1) \\ \hline \widetilde{A}_{12} & A_{1}^{(1)} & 2(n-2) \text { for } n=2 k+1\end{array}\right]$

Coxeter transformation. Due to the presence of a $2 \times 2$ block, the affine Coxeter transformation is of infinite order in the Weyl group. The restriction of the Coxeter transformation on the hyperplane $H$ is, however, of a finite order $h_{a}$. We call this number the affine Coxeter number. The affine Coxeter number $h_{a}$ is the least common multiple of orders of eigenvalues $(\neq 1)$ of the affine Coxeter transformation (Table 4.1). We denote by $h$ the Coxeter number of the Dynkin diagram. The value $h-1$ is the sum of coordinates of the highest root $\beta$ of the corresponding root system, see (1.3). The imaginary vector $z^{1}$ depicted on Fig. 2.2 coincides with the highest root $\beta$ extended to the vector with 1 at the additional vertex, the one that extends the Dynkin diagram to the extended Dynkin diagram. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=n_{1}+\cdots+n_{l} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^7]where $n_{i}$ coordinates of the imaginary vector from Fig. 2.2. Similarly to (4.1), the dual Coxeter number is defined as
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\vee}=n_{1}^{\vee}+\cdots+n_{l}^{\vee} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $\left\{n_{1}^{\vee}, \ldots, n_{l}^{\vee}\right\}$ are coordinates of the imaginary vector $h^{\vee}$ of the dual Dynkin diagram, Fig. 2.2. For values of the dual Coxeter numbers, see Table 4.2

Remark 4.4. For the first time, the notation of twisted affine Lie algebras from col. 2, Table 4.2 appeared in Kac69] in the description of finite order automorphisms; see also [Kac80, GorOnVi94, p.123], OnVi90, Kac93. The upper index $r$ in the notation of twisted affine Lie algebras has an invariant sense: is the order of the diagram automorphism $\mu$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a complex simple finite dimensional Lie algebra of type $X_{N}=A_{l}, D_{l+1}, E_{6}, D_{4}$, [Kac93, Th.8.3].

The affine Lie algebra associated to a generalized Cartan matrix of type $X_{l}^{(1)}$ is called a non-twisted affine Lie algebra, [Kac93, Ch.7].

The affine Lie algebras associated to a generalized Cartan matrix of type $X_{l}^{(2)}$ and $X_{l}^{(3)}$ are called twisted affine Lie algebras. [Kac93, Ch.8].

The corresponding $\mathbb{Z} / r \mathbb{Z}$-gradings are (here $\bar{i} \in \mathbb{Z} / r \mathbb{Z}$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}+\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}, \quad \text { for } r=2, \\
& \mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}+\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}+\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{2}}, \quad \text { for } r=3, \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

see [Kac93, 8.3.1, 8.3.2], GorOnVi94, Ch.3, §3]
Proposition 4.5. ([Kac93, exs.6.3]) Let A be a Cartan matrix of type $X_{N}^{(r)}$ from col.2, Table 4.2, let $l=$ rank of $A$, and let $h$ be the Coxeter number. Let $\Delta$ be the finite root system of type $X_{N}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
r l h=|\Delta| . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $r=1$, we have H. S. M. Coxeter's proposition (1.1), \$1.1. We consider only remaining cases:

$$
A_{2}^{(2)}, \quad A_{2 n}^{(2)}(l \geq 2), \quad A_{2 n-1}^{(2)}(l \geq 3), \quad D_{n+1}^{(2)}(l \geq 2), \quad E_{6}^{(2)}, \quad D_{4}^{(3)}
$$

(see col. 5, Table 4.2).
(a) $A_{2}^{(2)}, r=2 ; \operatorname{rank} l=1 ; h=3 ; X_{N}=A_{2},\left|\Delta\left(A_{2}\right)\right|=6$, see [Bo, Tab.I].
(b) $A_{2 n}^{(2)}, r=2$; rank $l=n ; h=2 n+1, X_{N}=A_{2 n},\left|\Delta\left(A_{2 n}\right)\right|=2 n(2 n+1)$, see Bo, Tab.I].
(c) $A_{2 n-1}^{(2)}, r=2 ; \operatorname{rank} l=n ; h=2 n-1 ; X_{N}=A_{2 n-1},\left|\Delta\left(A_{2 n-1}\right)\right|=2 n(2 n-1)$, see (Bo Tab.I].
(d) $D_{n+1}^{(2)}, r=2$; rank $l=n ; h=n+1, X_{N}=D_{n+1},\left|\Delta\left(D_{n+1}\right)\right|=2 n(n+1)$, see [Bo, Tab.IV].
(e) $E_{6}^{(2)}, r=2 ; \operatorname{rank} l=4 ; h=9, X_{N}=E_{6},\left|\Delta\left(E_{6}\right)\right|=72$, see [Bo, Tab.V].
(f) $D_{4}^{(3)}, r=3$; rank $l=2 ; h=4, X_{N}=D_{4},\left|\Delta\left(D_{4}\right)\right|=24$, see [Bo, Tab.IV].

Cases (a)-(f) are collected in Table 4.3

### 4.2. Bibliographical notes on the spectrum of the Coxeter transformation

The eigenvalues of affine Coxeter transformations were also calculated by S. Berman, Y.S. Lee and R. Moody in [BLM89]. Theorem 3.14 was also proved by N. A'Campo [A'C76 and R. Howlett How82.

Table 4.3. Proposition 4.5 for $r=2,3$.

| Extended <br> Dynkin <br> Diagram | Index <br> $r$ | Rank of <br> $A$ | Coxeter <br> number <br> $h$ | Root <br> System <br> $X_{N}$ | $\|\Delta\|=\left\|\Delta\left(X_{N}\right)\right\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A_{2}^{(2)}$ | 2 | 1 | 3 | $A_{2}$ | 6 |
| $A_{2 n}^{(2)}$ | 2 | $n$ | $2 n+1$ | $A_{2 n}$ | $2 n(2 n+1)$ |
| $A_{2 n-1}^{(2)}$ | 2 | $n$ | $2 n-1$ | $A_{2 n-1}$ | $2 n(2 n-1)$ |
| $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$ | 2 | $n$ | $2 n+1$ | $D_{n+1}$ | $2 n(2 n+1)$ |
| $E_{6}^{(2)}$ | 2 | 4 | 9 | $E_{6}$ | 72 |
| $D_{4}^{(3)}$ | 3 | 2 | 4 | $D_{4}$ | 24 |

Natural difficulties in the study of Cartan matrices and Coxeter transformations for the graphs containing cycles are connected with the following two facts:

1) these graphs have non-symmetrizable Cartan matrices,
2) in general, there are several conjugacy classes of the Coxeter transformation.

We would like to distinguish several works related to the Coxeter transformation for the graphs with cycles: C. M. Ringel Rin94, A. J. Coleman Col89, Shi Jian-yi Shi00, Menshikh and Subbotin MeSu82, Men85, Boldt and Takane BT97].

For generalized Cartan matrices (see \$2.1), i.e., for graphs with cycles, C. M. Ringel Rin94 showed that the spectral radius $\rho(\mathbf{C})$ of the Coxeter transformation lies out the unit circle, and is an eigenvalue of multiplicity one. This result generalizes Theorem 3.14 3), proved in SuSt75, SuSt78, St85 only for trees. The spectral radius $\rho(\mathbf{C})$ is used by V. Dlab and C. M. Ringel to determine the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of the preprojective algebras, DR81.
A. J. Coleman Col89] computed characteristic polynomials for the Coxeter transformation for all extended Dynkin diagrams, including the case with cycles $\widetilde{A}_{n}$. He baptized these polynomials Killing polynomials, (see the epigraph to this chapter). Coleman also shows that $\widetilde{A}_{n}$ has $\frac{n}{2}$ spectral conjugacy classes.
V. V. Menshikh and V. F. Subbotin in MeSu82, and V. V. Menshikh in Men85] established a connection between an orientation $\Delta$ of the graph $\Gamma$ and spectral classes of conjugacy of the Coxeter transformation. For any orientation $\Delta$ of a given graph $\Gamma$ containing several cycles, they consider an invariant $R_{\Delta}$ equal to the number of arrows directed in a clockwise direction. For any graph $\Gamma$ containing disjoint cycles, they show that $R_{\Delta_{1}}=R_{\Delta_{2}}$ if and only if orientations $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ can be obtained from each other by applying a sinkadmissible or a source-admissible sequence of reflections $\sigma_{i}$, see \$2.6, i.e.,

$$
R_{\Delta_{1}}=R_{\Delta_{2}} \Longleftrightarrow \Delta_{1}=\sigma_{i_{n}} \ldots \sigma_{i_{2}} \sigma_{i_{1}}\left(\Delta_{2}\right)
$$

for any sink-admissible or source-admissible sequence $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}$. Menshikh and Subbotin also showed that two Coxeter transformations $\mathbf{C}_{\Delta_{1}}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\Delta_{2}}$ are conjugate if and only if $R_{\Delta_{1}}=R_{\Delta_{2}}$. The number $R_{\Delta}$ is called the index of the conjugacy class of the Coxeter transformation. Menshikh and Subbotin also calculated the characteristic polynomial of the

Coxeter transformation for every class equivalent to $\Delta$ for the extended Dynkin diagram $\widetilde{A}_{n}$; this polynomial is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}|\mathbf{C}-\lambda I|=\lambda^{n+1}-\lambda^{n-k+1}-\lambda^{k}+1 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k=R_{\Delta}$ is the index of the conjuagacy class of the Coxeter transformation.
Shi Jian-yi [Shi00] considers conjugacy relation on Coxeter transformations for the case where $\Gamma$ is just a cycle. Different equivalence relations on Coxeter transformations are considered in more difficult cases. In [Shi00, Shi also obtained an explicit formula (4.5).

In Bol96, [BT97, Boldt and Takane considered unicyclic graphs.
Definition 4.6 ( Bol96 $)$. An essential cycle of $\Gamma$ is a full subgraph $S$ of $\Gamma$ having $m \geq 3$ vertices $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ such that there are edges between $x_{i}$ and $x_{i+1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m-1$ and also between $x_{m}$ and $x_{1}$ (thus, $\Gamma$ coincides with $\widetilde{A}_{n}$ ). A graph $\Gamma$ is called the unicyclic if it contains precisely one essential cycle.

Boldt and Takane showed how the characteristic polynomial of the Coxeter transformation for the unicyclic graph $\Gamma$ can be reduced to the characteristic polynomial for the essential cycle. They also arrive at the explicit formula (4.5).

### 4.3. Shearing and gluing formulas for the characteristic polynomial

The purpose of this section is to prove the Subbotin-Sumin splitting along the edge formula for the characteristic polynomial of the Coxeter transformation SuSum82, to prove its generalization for the multiply-laced case and to get some of its corollaries that will be used in the following sections.

Let us consider a characteristic polynomial of the Coxeter transformation

$$
\mathcal{X}(\Gamma, \lambda)=\operatorname{det}|\Gamma-\lambda I|
$$

for the graph $\Gamma$ with a splitting edge. Recall that an edge $l$ is said to be splitting if deleting it we split the graph $\Gamma$ into two graphs $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$, see Fig. 4.1,


Figure 4.1. A split graph $\Gamma$

Proposition 4.7 ([SuSum82]). For a given graph $\Gamma$ with a splitting edge $l$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}(\Gamma, \lambda)=\mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{1}, \lambda\right) \mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{2}, \lambda\right)-\lambda \mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{1} \backslash \alpha, \lambda\right) \mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{2} \backslash \beta, \lambda\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the endpoints of the deleted edge $l$.

Proof. We select a basis in $\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma}=\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma_{1}}+\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma_{2}}, \\
& \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma_{1}}=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}, \quad a_{m}=\alpha, \quad \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma_{1}}=m, \\
& \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma_{2}}=\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right\}, \quad b_{1}=\beta, \quad \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma_{2}}=k,
\end{aligned}
$$

and a Coxeter transformation $\mathbf{C}$ such that

$$
\mathbf{C}=w_{\Gamma_{1}} w_{\Gamma_{2}}, \quad w_{\Gamma_{1}}=\sigma_{a_{m}} \ldots \sigma_{a_{1}}, \quad w_{\Gamma_{2}}=\sigma_{b_{1}} \ldots \sigma_{b_{k}} .
$$

An ordering of reflections $\sigma_{a_{i}}$ (resp. $\sigma_{b_{j}}$ ) in $w_{\Gamma_{1}}\left(\right.$ resp. $w_{\Gamma_{2}}$ ) is selected so that $\sigma_{a_{m}}$ (resp. $\left.\sigma_{b_{1}}\right)$ is the last to be executed. Then the reflections $\sigma_{a_{i}}(i \neq m)$ (resp. $\left.\sigma_{b_{j}}(j \neq 1)\right)$ do not act on the coordinates $b_{j}$ (resp. $a_{i}$ ). Only $\sigma_{a_{m}}$ acts on the coordinate $b_{1}$ and $\sigma_{b_{1}}$ acts on the coordinate $a_{m}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{\Gamma_{1}}=\sigma_{a_{m}} \ldots \sigma_{a_{1}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{1}} & \delta_{a_{m} b_{1}} \\
0 & I
\end{array}\right) \begin{array}{c}
\} m \\
\} k
\end{array}, \\
& \left.w_{\Gamma_{2}}=\sigma_{b_{1}} \ldots \sigma_{b_{k}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
\delta_{b_{1} a_{m}} & \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{2}}
\end{array}\right)\right\} \begin{array}{c}
\} m \\
\} k
\end{array} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{1}}$ (resp. $\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{2}}$ ) is the Coxeter transformation for the graph $\Gamma_{1}$ (resp. $\Gamma_{2}$ ) and $\delta_{a_{m} b_{1}}$ (resp. $\delta_{b_{1} a_{m}}$ ) means the matrix with zeros everywhere except 1 in the ( $a_{m} b_{1}$ )th (resp. $\left(b_{1} a_{m}\right)$ th) slot.

Then we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{C}=w_{\Gamma_{1}} w_{\Gamma_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{1}}+\delta_{a_{m} a_{m}} & \delta_{a_{m} b_{1}} \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{2}} \\
\delta_{b_{1} a_{m}} & \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{2}}
\end{array}\right), \\
\operatorname{det}|\mathbf{C}-\lambda I|=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{1}}+\delta_{a_{m} a_{m}}-\lambda I & \delta_{a_{m} b_{1}} \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{2}} \\
\delta_{b_{1} a_{m}} & \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{2}}-\lambda I
\end{array}\right| . \tag{4.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here, $\delta_{a_{m} b_{1}} \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{2}}$ is the $b_{1}$ st line (the first line in $\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{2}}$ for the selected basis) of the matrix $\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{2}}$ and we subtract now this line from the line $a_{m}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{det}|\mathbf{C}-\lambda I|=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{1}}-\lambda I & \lambda \delta_{a_{m} b_{1}} \\
\delta_{b_{1} a_{m}} & \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{2}}-\lambda I
\end{array}\right|
$$

or

$$
\operatorname{det}|\mathbf{C}-\lambda I|=\left|\begin{array}{lllllll} 
& & & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0  \tag{4.9}\\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right|
$$

Let us expand the determinant $\operatorname{det}|\mathbf{C}-\lambda I|$ in (4.9) with respect to the minors corresponding to the line $b_{1}$ (the line containing $\lambda$ in the column $b_{1}$, which is the next after $a_{m}$ ). We see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}|\mathbf{C}-\lambda I|=\operatorname{det}\left|\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{1}}-\lambda I\right| \operatorname{det}\left|\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{2}}-\lambda I\right|+(-1)^{a_{m}+\left(a_{m}+1\right)} \lambda R, \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the determinant shown on the Fig.4.2. Expanding the determinant $R$ we get the Subbotin-Sumin formula (4.6).


Figure 4.2. The asterisks mark the deleted line $a_{m}$ and column $b_{1}$
Now we will extend the Subbotin-Sumin formula to the multiply-laced case where the endpoints $\alpha$ and $\beta$ correspond to simple roots of different lengths. In this case, formula (4.6) is modified to (4.11).

Proposition 4.8. For a given graph $\Gamma$ with a splitting weighted edge $l$ corresponding to roots with different lengths, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}(\Gamma, \lambda)=\mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{1}, \lambda\right) \mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{2}, \lambda\right)-\rho \lambda \mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{1} \backslash \alpha, \lambda\right) \mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{2} \backslash \beta, \lambda\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the endpoints of the deleted edge $l$ and $\rho$ is the ratio of lengths of the roots corresponding to these endpoints.

Proof. Relation (4.8) is modified to the following

$$
\mathbf{C}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{1}}+\rho \delta_{a_{m} a_{m}} & \rho \delta_{a_{m} b_{1}} \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{2}} \\
\delta_{b_{1} a_{m}} & \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{2}}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Multiply the line $b_{1}$ by $\rho$ and subtract this product from the line $a_{m}$, then we obtain

$$
\operatorname{det}|\mathbf{C}-\lambda I|=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{1}}+\rho \delta_{a_{m} a_{m}}-\lambda I & \rho \delta_{a_{m} b_{1}} \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{2}}  \tag{4.12}\\
\delta_{b_{1} a_{m}} & \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{2}}-\lambda I
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{1}}-\lambda I & \rho \lambda \delta_{a_{m} b_{1}} \\
\delta_{b_{1} a_{m}} & \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{2}}-\lambda I
\end{array}\right|
$$

Further, as in Proposition 4.7, we obtain (4.11).

Corollary 4.9. Let $\Gamma_{2}$ in Proposition 4.8 be a component containing only one point. Then, the following formula holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}(\Gamma, \lambda)=-(\lambda+1) \mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{1}, \lambda\right)-\rho \lambda \mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{1} \backslash \alpha, \lambda\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Relation (4.7) is modified to the following

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.w_{\Gamma_{1}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc} 
& 0 \\
& 0 \\
\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{1}} & \ldots \\
& 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \begin{array}{c}
\} 1
\end{array}\right\} m,  \tag{4.14}\\
& w_{\Gamma_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
0 & 0 \ldots 1
\end{array}\right)-1 . \begin{array}{c}
\} m \\
\} 1
\end{array},
\end{align*}
$$

and (4.12) is modified to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{C}=\left(\begin{array}{cc} 
& 0 \\
& 0 \\
\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{1}}+\rho \delta_{a_{m} a_{m}} & \ldots \\
& 0 \\
& -\rho \\
00 \ldots 1 & -1
\end{array}\right), \\
& \left.\operatorname{det}|\mathbf{C}-\lambda I|=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
0 \\
0 \\
\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{1}}+\rho \delta_{a_{m} a_{m}}-\lambda I & \ldots \\
0 \\
00 \ldots 1 & -\rho \\
0 & -1-\lambda
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{1}}-\lambda I \\
\ldots \\
0 \\
\\
0 \lambda \\
0 \ldots 1
\end{array}\right|-1-\lambda \right\rvert\, \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Further, as in Proposition 4.7, we obtain (4.13).
The next proposition follows from formula (4.6) and allows one to calculate the spectrum of the graph $\Gamma(n)$ obtained by gluing $n$ copies of the graph $\Gamma$.

Proposition 4.10 (SuSum82, KMSS83, KMSS83a). Let $*_{n}$ be a star with $n$ rays coming from a vertex. Let $\Gamma(n)$ be the graph obtained from $*_{n}$ by gluing to the endpoints of its rays $n$ copies of the graph $\Gamma$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}(\Gamma(n), \lambda)=\mathcal{X}(\Gamma, \lambda)^{n-1} \varphi_{n-1}(\lambda) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some polynomial $\varphi_{n-1}(\lambda)$. The polynomials $\varphi_{n-1}(\lambda)$ are recursively calculated.

Proof. By splitting along the edge $l$ (4.6) we get, for $n=2$, (Fig. 4.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{X}(\Gamma(2), \lambda)= \\
& \mathcal{X}(\Gamma, \lambda) \mathcal{X}(\Gamma+\beta, \lambda)-\lambda \mathcal{X}(\Gamma \backslash \alpha, \lambda) \mathcal{X}(\Gamma, \lambda)= \\
& \mathcal{X}(\Gamma, \lambda)[\mathcal{X}(\Gamma+\beta, \lambda)-\lambda \mathcal{X}(\Gamma \backslash \alpha, \lambda)]= \\
& \mathcal{X}(\Gamma, \lambda) \varphi_{1}(\lambda), \text { where } \varphi_{1}(\lambda)= \\
& \mathcal{X}(\Gamma+\beta, \lambda)-\lambda \mathcal{X}(\Gamma \backslash \alpha, \lambda) .
\end{aligned}
$$


$\Gamma(2)$

Figure 4.3. $\quad$ Splitting along the edge $l$
Let the proposition be true for $n=r$ and

$$
\mathcal{X}(\Gamma(r), \lambda)=\mathcal{X}(\Gamma, \lambda)^{r-1} \varphi_{r-1}(\lambda)
$$

Then, for $n=r+1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{X}(\Gamma(r+1), \lambda)=\mathcal{X}(\Gamma, \lambda) \mathcal{X}(\Gamma(r), \lambda)-\lambda \mathcal{X}(\Gamma \backslash \alpha, \lambda)(\mathcal{X}(\Gamma, \lambda))^{r}= \\
& \mathcal{X}(\Gamma, \lambda) \mathcal{X}(\Gamma, \lambda)^{r-1} \varphi_{r-1}(\lambda)-\lambda \mathcal{X}(\Gamma \backslash \alpha, \lambda) \mathcal{X}(\Gamma, \lambda)^{r}= \\
& \mathcal{X}(\Gamma, \lambda))^{r}\left[\varphi_{r-1}(\lambda)-\lambda \mathcal{X}(\Gamma \backslash \alpha, \lambda)\right] . \quad \square
\end{aligned}
$$

The following proposition is due to V. Kolmykov. For the case $\lambda=1$, it is formulated in Kac93, Ch.4, exs.4.12].

Proposition 4.11. If the spectrum of the Coxeter transformations for graphs $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ contains the eigenvalue $\lambda$, then this eigenvalue is also the eigenvalue of the graph $\Gamma$ obtained by gluing as described in Proposition 4.10.

Proof. By splitting along the edge $l$ (4.6) we get (Fig. 4.4]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{1}+\beta+\Gamma_{2}, \lambda\right)= \\
& \mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{1}, \lambda\right) \mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{2}+\beta, \lambda\right)-\lambda \mathcal{X}(\Gamma \backslash \alpha, \lambda) \mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{2}, \lambda\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\left.\mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{1}, \lambda\right)\right|_{\lambda=\lambda_{0}}=0$ and $\left.\mathcal{X}\left(\Gamma_{2}, \lambda\right)\right|_{\lambda=\lambda_{0}}=0$, then also $\left.\mathcal{X}(\Gamma, \lambda)\right|_{\lambda=\lambda_{0}}=0$.

Let $\mathcal{X}\left(A_{n}\right)$ be the characteristic polynomial of the Coxeter transformation for the Dynkin diagram $A_{n}$. Then, from the recurrent formula (4.13), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{X}\left(A_{1}\right) & =-(\lambda+1), \\
\mathcal{X}\left(A_{2}\right) & =\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1, \\
\mathcal{X}\left(A_{3}\right) & =-\left(\lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1\right), \\
\mathcal{X}\left(A_{4}\right) & =\lambda^{4}+\lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1,  \tag{4.17}\\
& \ldots \\
\mathcal{X}\left(A_{n}\right) & =-(\lambda+1) \mathcal{X}\left(A_{n-1}\right)-\lambda \mathcal{X}\left(A_{n-2}\right), \quad n>2 .
\end{align*}
$$



$$
\Gamma=\Gamma_{1}+\boldsymbol{\beta}+\Gamma_{2}
$$

Figure 4.4. $\quad$ Splitting along the edge $l, \Gamma_{1} \neq \Gamma_{2}$
REmARK 4.12. Since the characteristic polynomial can be chosen up to a factor, we prefer to consider the polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{n}=(-1)^{n} \mathcal{X}\left(A_{n}\right) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

as the characteristic polynomial of the Coxeter transformation of $A_{n}$, thus the leading coefficient is positive. Pay attention that the sign $(-1)^{n}$ for diagrams with $n$ vertices should be taken into account only in recurrent calculations using formula (4.13) (cf. with calculation for $\tilde{D}_{n}$ and $\tilde{D}_{4}$ below).

Remark 4.13. 1) J. S. Frame in [Fr51, p.784] obtained that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(A_{m+n}\right)=\chi\left(A_{m}\right) \chi\left(A_{n}\right)-\lambda \chi\left(A_{m-1}\right) \chi\left(A_{n-1}\right) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which easily follows from (4.6). Another Frame formula will be proved in Proposition 5.2,
2) S. M. Gussein-Zade in Gu76 obtained the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}\left(A_{n}\right)=-(\lambda+1) \chi_{n-1}-\lambda \chi_{n-2}, \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the characteristic polynomial of the classical monodromy, see (4.17).
From (4.17) for $n>0$, we see that $\chi_{n}$ is the cyclotomic polynomial whose roots are the primitive $n$th roots of unity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda^{i}=\frac{\lambda^{n+1}-1}{\lambda-1} . \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.4. Formulas of the characteristic polynomials for the diagrams $T_{p, q, r}$

We give here explicit formulas of characteristic polynomials of the Coxeter transformations for the three classes of diagrams: $T_{2,3, r}, T_{3,3, r}$, and $T_{2,4, r}$, see \$2.5, Fig. 2.3,
4.4.1. The diagrams $T_{2,3, r}$. The case $T_{2,3, r}$, where $r \geq 2$, includes diagrams $D_{5}, E_{6}$, $E_{7}, E_{8}, \tilde{E}_{8}, E_{10}$. Since $D_{5}=T_{2,3,2}=E_{5}$ and $\tilde{E}_{8}=T_{2,3,6}=E_{9}$, we call these diagrams the $E_{n}$-series, where $n=r+3$. In Table 1.1 and Table 1.2 we see that characteristic polynomials of $E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}, \tilde{E}_{8}$ constitute a series, and this series can be continued, see (4.22) and Table 4.4

Remark 4.14. C. McMullen observed in McM02 that the spectral radius $\rho(\mathbf{C})$ of the Coxeter transformation for all graphs with indefinite Tits form attains its minimum when the diagram is $T_{2,3,7}=E_{10}$, see Fig. 4.5, Hir02, McM02, and $\$ 2.5$ about hyperbolic Dynkin diagrams. McMullen showed that $\rho(\mathbf{C})$ is the so-called Lehmer's number,

$$
\lambda_{\text {Lehmer }} \approx 1.176281 \ldots, \text { see Table } 4.4
$$

For details and definitions, see 1 B. 2 .
Lehmer's number is a root of the polynomial

$$
1+x-x^{3}-x^{4}-x^{5}-x^{6}-x^{7}+x^{9}+x^{10}
$$

see [Hir02], McM02.


Figure 4.5. The spectral radius attains its minimum on the graph $E_{10}$

Proposition 4.15. The characteristic polynomials of Coxeter transformations for the diagrams $T_{2,3, n}$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(T_{2,3, n-3}\right)=\chi\left(E_{n}\right)=\lambda^{n}+\lambda^{n-1}-\sum_{i=3}^{n-3} \lambda^{i}+\lambda+1, \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi\left(E_{n}\right)$ is given up to sign $(-1)^{n}$, see Remark 4.12. In other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(T_{2,3, n-3}\right)=\chi\left(E_{n}\right)=\frac{\lambda^{n-2}\left(\lambda^{3}-\lambda-1\right)+\left(\lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2}-1\right)}{\lambda-1} . \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spectral radius $\rho\left(T_{2,3, n-3}\right)$ converges to the maximal root $\rho_{\max }$ of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{3}-\lambda-1=0 \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\max }=\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{23}{108}}}+\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2}-\sqrt{\frac{23}{108}}} \approx 1.324717 \ldots \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4.16. Note that the spectral radius (4.25) was obtained by Y. Zhang [Zh89] and used in the study of regular components of an Auslander-Reiten quiver. The spectral radius (4.25) is also the smallest Pisot number, see $\$ \mathrm{~B} .2$.

Proof. Use induction and (4.13). We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (-1)^{n+2} \chi\left(E_{n+2}\right)=-(\lambda+1)(-1)^{n+1} \chi\left(E_{n+1}\right)-\lambda(-1)^{n} \chi\left(E_{n}\right)= \\
& (-1)^{n+2}\left[(\lambda+1) \chi\left(E_{n+1}\right)-\lambda \chi\left(E_{n}\right)\right] \tag{4.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\chi\left(E_{n+2}\right)=(\lambda+1) \chi\left(E_{n+1}\right)-\lambda \chi\left(E_{n}\right)=\lambda\left(\chi\left(E_{n+1}-\chi\left(E_{n}\right)\right)+\chi\left(E_{n+1}\right) .\right.
$$

By the induction hypothesis, (4.22) yields

$$
\lambda\left(\chi\left(E_{n+1}-\chi\left(E_{n}\right)\right)=\lambda^{n+2}-\lambda^{n}-\lambda^{n-1}\right.
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi\left(E_{n+2}\right)=\lambda^{n+2}-\lambda^{n}-\lambda^{n-1}+\left(\lambda^{n+1}+\lambda^{n}-\sum_{i=3}^{n-2} \lambda^{i}+\lambda+1\right)= \\
& \lambda^{n+2}+\lambda^{n+1}-\sum_{i=3}^{n-1} \lambda^{i}+\lambda+1,
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence (4.22) is proved. Further,

$$
\sum_{i=3}^{n-3} \lambda^{i}=\lambda^{3} \sum_{i=0}^{n-6} \lambda^{i}=\lambda^{3} \frac{\lambda^{n-5}-1}{\lambda-1}=\frac{\lambda^{n-2}-\lambda^{3}}{\lambda-1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi\left(E_{n}\right)= & \lambda^{n}+\lambda^{n-1}-\frac{\lambda^{n-2}-\lambda^{3}}{\lambda-1}+\lambda+1= \\
& \frac{\lambda^{n+1}-\lambda^{n-1}-\lambda^{n-2}+\lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2}-1}{\lambda-1}= \\
& \frac{\lambda^{n-2}\left(\lambda^{3}-\lambda-1\right)+\left(\lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2}-1\right)}{\lambda-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (4.23). For $\lambda>1$, the characteristic polynomial $\chi\left(E_{n}\right)$ converges to

$$
\frac{\lambda^{n-2}\left(\lambda^{3}-\lambda-1\right)}{\lambda-1}
$$

By Proposition 4.21 the spectral radius $\rho_{\max }$ converges to the maximal root of equation (4.24). For the results of calculations related to the Proposition 4.15, see Table 4.4,
4.4.2. The diagrams $T_{3,3, r}$. The case $T_{3,3, r}$, where $r \geq 2$, includes diagrams $E_{6}$ and $\tilde{E}_{6}$, and so we call these diagrams the $E_{6, n}$-series, where $n=r-2$. Thus, $E_{6}=T_{3,3,2}=E_{6,0}$ and $\tilde{E}_{6}=T_{3,3,3}=E_{6,1}$. In Table 1.1 and Table 1.2 we see that the characteristic polynomials of $E_{6}, \tilde{E}_{6}$ constitute a series, and this series can be continued, see (4.27) and Table 4.5,

Proposition 4.17. The characteristic polynomials of Coxeter transformations for the diagrams $T_{3,3, n}$ with $n \geq 3$ are calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(T_{3,3, n}\right)=\chi\left(E_{6, n-2}\right)=\lambda^{n+4}+\lambda^{n+3}-2 \lambda^{n+1}-3 \sum_{i=4}^{n} \lambda^{i}-2 \lambda^{3}+\lambda+1, \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi\left(E_{6, n}\right)$ is given up to sign $(-1)^{n}$, see Remark 4.12. In other words,

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi\left(T_{3,3, n}\right)= & \chi\left(E_{6, n-2}\right)= \\
& \frac{\lambda^{n+1}\left(\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{2}-2 \lambda-1\right)+\left(\lambda^{4}+2 \lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2}-1\right)}{\lambda-1}=  \tag{4.28}\\
& \frac{\left(\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1\right)\left[\lambda^{n+1}\left(\lambda^{2}-\lambda-1\right)+\left(\lambda^{2}+\lambda-1\right)\right]}{\lambda-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

The spectral radius $\rho\left(T_{3,3, n}\right)$ converges to the maximal root $\rho_{\max }$ of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2}-\lambda-1=0 \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\max }=\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2} \approx 1.618034 \ldots \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

TABLE 4.4. The characteristic polynomials for $T_{2,3, r}$

| $T_{2,3, r}$ and its well-known name | Characteristic polynomial | Maximal eigenvalue outside the unit circle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} (2,3,2) \\ D_{5} \end{gathered}$ | $\lambda^{5}+\lambda^{4}+\lambda+1$ | - |
| $\begin{gathered} (2,3,3) \\ E_{6} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\lambda^{6}+\lambda^{5}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1$ | - |
| $\begin{gathered} (2,3,4) \\ E_{7} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\lambda^{7}+\lambda^{6}-\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1$ | - |
| $\begin{gathered} (2,3,5) \\ E_{8} \end{gathered}$ | $\lambda^{8}+\lambda^{7}-\lambda^{5}-\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1$ | - |
| $\begin{gathered} (2,3,6) \\ \tilde{E}_{8} \end{gathered}$ | $\lambda^{9}+\lambda^{8}-\lambda^{6}-\lambda^{5}-\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1$ | - |
| $\begin{gathered} (2,3,7) \\ E_{10} \end{gathered}$ | $\lambda^{10}+\lambda^{9}-\lambda^{7}-\lambda^{6}-\lambda^{5}-\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1$ | 1.176281... |
| $\begin{gathered} (2,3,8) \\ E_{11} \end{gathered}$ | $\lambda^{11}+\lambda^{10}-\lambda^{8}-\lambda^{7}-\lambda^{6}-\lambda^{5}-\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1$ | 1.230391... |
| $\begin{gathered} (2,3,9) \\ E_{12} \end{gathered}$ | $\lambda^{12}+\lambda^{11}-\lambda^{9}-\lambda^{8}-\lambda^{7}-\lambda^{6}-\lambda^{5}-\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1$ | 1.261231... |
| $\begin{gathered} (2,3,10) \\ E_{13} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} \lambda^{13}+\lambda^{12}-\lambda^{10}- & \lambda^{9}-\lambda^{8}-\lambda^{7} \\ & -\lambda^{6}-\lambda^{5}-\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1 \end{aligned}$ | 1.280638... |
| $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\begin{gathered} (2,3, n) \\ E_{n} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\lambda^{n-2}\left(\lambda^{3}-\lambda-1\right)+\left(\lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2}-1\right)}{\lambda-1}$ | $1.324717 \ldots$ <br> as $n \rightarrow \infty$ |

REmark 4.18. For $n=3$, the sum $\sum_{i=4}^{n} \lambda^{i}$ in (4.27) disappears and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \chi\left(T_{3,3,3}\right)=\chi\left(E_{6,1}\right)=\chi\left(\tilde{E}_{6}\right)= \\
& \lambda^{7}+\lambda^{6}-2 \lambda^{4}-2 \lambda^{3}+\lambda+1=\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)^{2}(\lambda+1) \tag{4.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. As above in (4.22), we use induction and (4.13). So, by (4.13) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \chi\left(E_{6, n+2}\right)=(\lambda+1) \chi\left(E_{6, n+1}\right)-\lambda \chi\left(E_{6, n}\right)= \\
& \lambda\left(\chi\left(E_{6, n+1}\right)-\chi\left(E_{6, n}\right)\right)+\chi\left(E_{6, n+1}\right) . \tag{4.32}
\end{align*}
$$

By the induction hypothesis and (4.27) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda\left(\chi\left(E_{6, n+1}\right)-\chi\left(E_{6, n}\right)\right)=\lambda^{n+8}-\lambda^{n+6}-2 \lambda^{n+5}-\lambda^{n+4} \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi\left(E_{6, n+2}\right) & = \\
& \lambda^{n+8}-\lambda^{n+6}-2 \lambda^{n+5}-\lambda^{n+4}+ \\
& \left(\lambda^{n+7}+\lambda^{n+6}-2 \lambda^{n+4}-3 \sum_{i=4}^{n+3} \lambda^{i}-2 \lambda^{3}+\lambda+1\right)=  \tag{4.34}\\
& \lambda^{n+8}+\lambda^{n+7}-2 \lambda^{n+5}-3 \sum_{i=4}^{n+4} \lambda^{i}-2 \lambda^{3}+\lambda+1 ;
\end{align*}
$$

this proves (4.27). Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi\left(T_{3,3, n}\right)= & \lambda^{n+4}+\lambda^{n+3}-2 \lambda^{n+1}-3 \sum_{i=4}^{n} \lambda^{i}-2 \lambda^{3}+\lambda+1= \\
& \lambda^{n+4}+\lambda^{n+3}+\lambda^{n+1}-3 \sum_{i=3}^{n+1} \lambda^{i}+\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1= \\
& \lambda^{n+1}\left(\lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2}+1\right)-3 \lambda^{3} \frac{\lambda^{n-1}-1}{\lambda-1}+\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi\left(T_{3,3, n}\right)= & \frac{\lambda^{n+1}\left(\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{2}+\lambda-1\right)-3 \lambda^{n+2}+3 \lambda^{3}+\left(\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2}-1\right)}{\lambda-1}= \\
& \frac{\lambda^{n+1}\left(\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{2}-2 \lambda-1\right)+\left(\lambda^{4}+2 \lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2}-1\right)}{\lambda-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

and (4.28) is proved. For $\lambda>1$, the characteristic polynomial $\chi\left(T_{3,3, n}\right)$ converges to

$$
\frac{\lambda^{n+1}\left(\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{2}-2 \lambda-1\right)}{\lambda-1}=\frac{\lambda^{n+1}\left(\lambda^{2}-\lambda-1\right)\left(\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1\right)}{\lambda-1} .
$$

By Proposition 4.21 the spectral radius $\rho_{\max }$ converges to the maximal root of the equation (4.29). For the results of calculations related to the Proposition 4.17) see Table 4.5,

TABLE 4.5. The characteristic polynomials for $T_{3,3, r}$

| $T_{3,3, r}$ and its well-known name | Characteristic polynomial | Maximal eigenvalue outside the unit circle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} (3,3,2) \\ E_{6} \end{gathered}$ | $\lambda^{6}+\lambda^{5}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1$ | - |
| $\begin{gathered} (3,3,3) \\ \tilde{E}_{6} \end{gathered}$ | $\lambda^{7}+\lambda^{6}-2 \lambda^{4}-2 \lambda^{3}+\lambda+1$ | - |
| $\begin{gathered} (3,3,4) \\ E_{6,2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\lambda^{8}+\lambda^{7}-2 \lambda^{5}-3 \lambda^{4}-2 \lambda^{3}+\lambda+1$ | 1.401268... |
| $\begin{gathered} (3,3,5) \\ E_{6,3} \end{gathered}$ | $\lambda^{9}+\lambda^{8}-2 \lambda^{6}-3 \lambda^{5}-3 \lambda^{4}-2 \lambda^{3}+\lambda+1$ | 1.506136... |
| $(3,3,6)$ <br> $E_{6,4}$ | $\lambda^{10}+\lambda^{9}-2 \lambda^{7}-3 \lambda^{6}-3 \lambda^{5}-3 \lambda^{4}-2 \lambda^{3}+\lambda+1$ | 1.556030... |
| $\begin{gathered} (3,3,7) \\ E_{6,5} \end{gathered}$ | $\lambda^{11}+\lambda^{10}-2 \lambda^{8}-3 \lambda^{7}-3 \lambda^{6}-3 \lambda^{5}-3 \lambda^{4}-2 \lambda^{3}+\lambda+1$ | 1.582347... |
| $(3,3,8)$ <br> $E_{6,6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \lambda^{12}+\lambda^{11}-2 \lambda^{9}-3 \lambda^{8}-3 \lambda^{7} \\ & \quad-3 \lambda^{6}-3 \lambda^{5}-3 \lambda^{4}-2 \lambda^{3}+\lambda+1 \end{aligned}$ | 1.597005... |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\begin{gathered} (3,3, n) \\ E_{6, n-2} \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\left(\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1\right)\left[\lambda^{n+1}\left(\lambda^{2}-\lambda-1\right)+\left(\lambda^{2}+\lambda-1\right)\right]}{\lambda-1}$ | $1.618034 \ldots$ <br> as $n \rightarrow \infty$ |

4.4.3. The diagrams $T_{2,4, r}$. The case $T_{2,4, r}$, where $r \geq 2$, includes diagrams $D_{6}, E_{7}, \tilde{E}_{7}$ and we call these diagrams the $E_{7, n}$-series, where $n=r-3$. Thus, $D_{6}=T_{2,4,2}=E_{7,-1}$, $E_{7}=T_{2,4,3}=E_{7,0}, \tilde{E}_{7}=T_{2,4,4}=E_{7,1}$. In Table 1.1 and Table 1.2 we see that characteristic polynomials of $E_{7}, \tilde{E}_{7}$ constitute a series, and this series can be continued, see (4.35) and Table 4.6

Proposition 4.19. The characteristic polynomials of Coxeter transformations for diagrams $T_{2,4, n}$, where $n \geq 3$, are calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(T_{2,4, n}\right)=\chi\left(E_{7, n-3}\right)=\lambda^{n+4}+\lambda^{n+3}-\lambda^{n+1}-2 \sum_{i=4}^{n} \lambda^{i}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1, \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi\left(E_{7, n}\right)$ is given up to sign $(-1)^{n}$, see Remark 4.12. In other words

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi\left(T_{2,4, n}\right)=\chi\left(E_{7, n-3}\right)= & \frac{\lambda^{n+1}\left(\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{2}-\lambda-1\right)+\left(\lambda^{4}+\lambda^{3}+\lambda-1\right)}{\lambda-1}=  \tag{4.36}\\
& \frac{(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{n+1}\left(\lambda^{3}-\lambda^{2}-1\right)+\left(\lambda^{3}+\lambda-1\right)\right)}{\lambda-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

The spectral radius $\rho\left(T_{2,4, n}\right)$ converges to the maximal root $\rho_{\max }$ of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{3}-\lambda^{2}-1=0 \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\max }=\frac{1}{3}+\sqrt[3]{\frac{58}{108}+\sqrt{\frac{31}{108}}}+\sqrt[3]{\frac{58}{108}-\sqrt{\frac{31}{108}}} \approx 1.465571 \ldots \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

REmark 4.20. For $n=3$, the sum $\sum_{i=4}^{n} \lambda^{i}$ disappears from (4.35) and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \chi\left(T_{2,4,3}\right)=\chi\left(E_{7,0}\right)=\chi\left(E_{7}\right)= \\
& \lambda^{7}+\lambda^{6}-\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1=\left(\lambda^{4}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)(\lambda+1) \tag{4.39}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Use induction and (4.13). As above in (4.32), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi\left(E_{7, n+2}\right) & = \\
& (\lambda+1) \chi\left(E_{7, n+1}\right)-\lambda \chi\left(E_{7, n}\right)=  \tag{4.40}\\
& \lambda\left(\chi\left(E_{7, n+1}\right)-\chi\left(E_{7, n}\right)\right)+\chi\left(E_{7, n+1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By the induction hypothesis and (4.35) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda\left(\chi\left(E_{7, n+1}\right)-\chi\left(E_{7, n}\right)\right)=\lambda^{n+9}-\lambda^{n+7}-\lambda^{n+6}-\lambda^{n+5} \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi\left(E_{7, n+2}\right)= & \\
& \lambda^{n+9}-\lambda^{n+7}-\lambda^{n+6}-\lambda^{n+5} \\
& +\left(\lambda^{n+8}+\lambda^{n+7}-\lambda^{n+5}-2 \sum_{i=4}^{n+4} \lambda^{i}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1\right)=  \tag{4.42}\\
& \left.\lambda^{n+9}+\lambda^{n+8}-\lambda^{n+6}-2 \sum_{i=4}^{n+5} \lambda^{i}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

this proves (4.35). Further,

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi\left(T_{2,4, n}\right)= & \lambda^{n+4}+\lambda^{n+3}-\lambda^{n+1}-2 \sum_{i=4}^{n} \lambda^{i}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1= \\
& \left(\lambda^{n+4}+\lambda^{n+3}+\lambda^{n+1}\right)-2 \sum_{i=3}^{n+1} \lambda^{i}+\left(\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1\right)= \\
& \lambda^{n+1}\left(\lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2}+1\right)-2 \frac{\lambda^{3}\left(\lambda^{n-1}-1\right)}{\lambda-1}+\left(\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1\right)= \\
& \frac{\lambda^{n+1}\left(\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{2}+\lambda-1\right)-2 \lambda^{n+2}+2 \lambda^{3}+\left(\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2}-1\right)}{\lambda-1}=  \tag{4.43}\\
& \frac{\lambda^{n+1}\left(\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{2}-\lambda-1\right)+\left(\lambda^{4}+\lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2}-1\right)}{\lambda-1}= \\
& \frac{\lambda^{n+1}(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{3}-\lambda^{2}-1\right)+(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{3}+\lambda-1\right)}{\lambda-1},
\end{align*}
$$

and (4.36) is proved. For $\lambda>1$, the characteristic polynomial $\chi\left(T_{2,4, n}\right)$ converges to

$$
\frac{\lambda^{n+1}(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{3}-\lambda^{2}-1\right)}{\lambda-1}
$$

By Proposition 4.21, the spectral radius $\rho_{\max }$ converges to the maximal root of the equation (4.37). For the results of calculations related to the Proposition 4.19) see Table 4.6,

The maximal eigenvalues (Tables 4.4, 4.5, 4.6) lying out the unit circle are obtained by means of the online service "Polynomial Roots Solver" of "EngineersToolbox" ${ }^{1}$.
4.4.4. Convergence of the sequence of eigenvalues. In this section we give a substantiation (Proposition 4.21) of the fact that the maximal eigenvalues (i.e., spectral radiuses) of the characteristic polynomials for $T_{p, q, r}$ converges to a real number which is the maximal value of a known polynomial (see, Propositions 4.15, 4.17, 4.19). We will give this substantiation in a generic form. Similarly, we have a dual fact (Proposition 4.24): the minimal eigenvalues of the characteristic polynomials for $T_{p, q, r}$ converges to a real number which is the minimal value of a known polynomial.

Proposition 4.21. Let $f(\lambda)$ and $g(\lambda)$ be some polynomials in $\lambda$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(\lambda)=\lambda^{n} f(\lambda)+g(\lambda) \tag{4.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a sequence of polynomials in $\lambda$. Let $z_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ be a root of $P_{n}(\lambda)$, lying in the interval $(1,2)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)=0, \quad z_{n} \in(1,2), \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{4.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that the sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}, n=1,2, \ldots$ is non-decreasing:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<z_{1} \leq z_{2} \leq \cdots \leq z_{n} \leq \ldots \tag{4.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the sequence $z_{n}$ converges to a real number $z_{0}$ which is a root of $f(\lambda)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(z_{0}\right)=0 \tag{4.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^8]TABLE 4.6. The characteristic polynomials for $T_{2,4, r}$

| $T_{2,4, r}$ and its well-known name | Characteristic polynomial | Maximal eigenvalue outside the unit circle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} (2,4,2) \\ D_{6} \end{gathered}$ | $\lambda^{6}+\lambda^{5}+\lambda+1$ | - |
| $\begin{gathered} (2,4,3) \\ E_{7} \end{gathered}$ | $\lambda^{7}+\lambda^{6}-\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1$ | - |
| $\begin{gathered} (2,4,4) \\ \tilde{E}_{7} \end{gathered}$ | $\lambda^{8}+\lambda^{7}-\lambda^{5}-2 \lambda^{4}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1$ | - |
| $\begin{gathered} (2,4,5) \\ E_{7,2} \end{gathered}$ | $\lambda^{9}+\lambda^{8}-\lambda^{6}-2 \lambda^{5}-2 \lambda^{4}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1$ | 1.280638... |
| $\begin{gathered} (2,4,6) \\ E_{7,3} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\lambda^{10}+\lambda^{9}-\lambda^{7}-2 \lambda^{6}-2 \lambda^{5}-2 \lambda^{4}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1$ | 1.360000... |
| $\begin{gathered} (2,4,7) \\ E_{7,4} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\lambda^{11}+\lambda^{10}-\lambda^{8}-2 \lambda^{7}-2 \lambda^{6}-2 \lambda^{5}-2 \lambda^{4}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1$ | 1.401268... |
| $\begin{gathered} (2,4,8) \\ E_{7,5} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \lambda^{12}+\lambda^{11}-\lambda^{9}-2 \lambda^{8}-2 \lambda^{7} \\ &-2 \lambda^{6}-2 \lambda^{5}-2 \lambda^{4}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1 \end{aligned}$ | 1.425005... |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\begin{gathered} (2,4, n) \\ E_{n} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\lambda^{n+1}\left(\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{2}-\lambda-1\right)+\left(\lambda^{4}+\lambda^{3}+\lambda-1\right)}{\lambda-1}$ | 1.465571... <br> as $n \rightarrow \infty$ |

Proof. According to (4.45), the sequence (4.46) is non-decreasing and bounded from above. Therefore, there exists a real number $z_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} z_{k}=z_{0} \tag{4.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

TABLE 4.7. The diagrams $T_{p, q, r}$ and characteristic polynomials $\lambda^{n} f(\lambda)+g(\lambda)$

| Diagram | $f(\lambda)$ | $g(\lambda)$ | $D(\lambda)$ | $P_{n}(\lambda)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T(2,3, r)$ | $\lambda^{3}-\lambda-1$ | $\lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2}-1$ | $\frac{1}{\lambda-1}$ | $\lambda^{n-2} f(\lambda)+g(\lambda)$ |
| $T(3,3, r)$ | $\lambda^{2}-\lambda-1$ | $\lambda^{2}+\lambda-1$ | $\frac{\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1}{\lambda-1}$ | $\lambda^{n+1} f(\lambda)+g(\lambda)$ |
| $T(2,4, r)$ | $\lambda^{3}-\lambda^{2}-1$ | $\lambda^{3}+\lambda-1$ | $\frac{\lambda+1}{\lambda-1}$ | $\lambda^{n+1} f(\lambda)+g(\lambda)$ |

Let us estimate $\left|f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|<\left|f\left(z_{n}\right)\right|+\left|f\left(z_{n}\right)-f\left(z_{0}\right)\right| . \tag{4.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.48) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|<\left|f\left(z_{n}\right)\right|+\varepsilon_{n}, \quad \text { where } \varepsilon_{n}=\left|f\left(z_{n}\right)-f\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.45), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(z_{n}\right)=-\frac{g\left(z_{n}\right)}{z_{n}^{n}} \tag{4.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{n}=\left|\frac{g\left(z_{n}\right)}{z_{n}^{n}}\right| \quad \text { for each } n=1,2, \ldots \tag{4.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the function $g(z)$ is uniformly bounded on the interval $(1,2)$, it follows that $\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0$. By (4.50) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|<\delta_{n}+\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{4.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $f\left(z_{0}\right)=0$.
Corollary 4.22. Proposition 4.21 holds also for the following non-polynomial functions $P_{n}$ :

1) For

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(\lambda)=\lambda^{n+k} f(\lambda)+g(\lambda) \tag{4.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is independent of $n$.
2) For

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(\lambda)=D(\lambda)\left(\lambda^{n} f(\lambda)+g(\lambda)\right) \tag{4.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D(\lambda)$ is a rational function independent of $n$ and without roots on the interval $(1,2)$.
Corollary 4.23. The maximal values of characteristic polynomials of the Coxeter transformation for diagrams $T_{p, q, r}$ satisfy the conditions of Proposition 4.21, see Table 4.7.

Proof. Let $\varphi_{1, r}=\varphi_{1}\left(T_{p, q, r}\right)$ be the dominant value of the matrix $D D^{t}$ (see $\S 3.3 .2$ ) for the diagram $T_{p, q, r}$. According to Proposition [3.11] the dominant value $\varphi_{1, r}$ may only grow, i.e.,

$$
\varphi_{1, r} \leq \varphi_{1, r+1}
$$

Therefore, the corresponding eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}^{\max }$ also only grows. Indeed, by (3.17) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{1, r}^{\max }= & 2 \varphi_{1, r}-1+2 \sqrt{\varphi_{1, r}\left(\varphi_{1, r}-1\right)} \leq  \tag{4.56}\\
& 2 \varphi_{1, r+1}-1+2 \sqrt{\varphi_{1, r+1}\left(\varphi_{1, r+1}-1\right)}=\lambda_{1, r+1}^{\max } .
\end{align*}
$$

In addition, from Proposition 3.11 we deduce that $\varphi_{1, r}>1$, therefore the corresponding eigenvalue $\lambda_{1, r}$ of the Coxeter transformation is also $>1$.

It remains to show that every $\lambda_{1, r}<2$. This is clear, because $f(\lambda)>0$ and $g(\lambda)>0$ for every $\lambda>2$, see Table 4.7

Proposition 4.24. Let $f(\lambda)$ and $g(\lambda)$ be some polynomials in $\lambda$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(\lambda)=\lambda^{n} f(\lambda)+g(\lambda), \quad \text { where } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \tag{4.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $z_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ be a root of $P_{n}(\lambda)$, lying in the interval $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)=0, \quad z_{n} \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right) . \tag{4.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose, that the sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}}$is non-increasing:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1>z_{1} \geq z_{2} \geq \cdots \geq z_{n} \geq \ldots \tag{4.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the sequence $z_{n}$ converges to the some real number $z_{0}$ which is a root of $g(\lambda)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(z_{0}\right)=0 \tag{4.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. As in Proposition 4.21, there is a real number $z_{0}$ such that $z_{n} \rightarrow z_{0}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $g\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow g\left(z_{0}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\left|g\left(z_{0}\right)\right|<\left|g\left(z_{n}\right)\right|+\varepsilon_{n}, \quad \text { where } \varepsilon_{n}=\left|g\left(z_{n}\right)-g\left(z_{0}\right)\right|
$$

By (4.58), we have

$$
g\left(z_{n}\right)=-z_{n}^{n} f\left(z_{n}\right) .
$$

Let

$$
\delta_{n}=\left|z_{n}^{n} f\left(z_{n}\right)\right| \quad \text { for each } n=1,2, \ldots
$$

Since the function $f\left(z_{n}\right)$ is uniformly bounded on the interval $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, it follows that $\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0$. Thus, we have

$$
\left|g\left(z_{0}\right)\right|<\delta_{n}+\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Thus, $g\left(z_{0}\right)=0$.
Corollary 4.25. The minimal values of characteristic polynomials of the Coxeter transformation for diagrams $T_{p, q, r}$ satisfy the conditions of Proposition 4.21, see Table 4.7.

Proof. The minimal value $\lambda_{1}^{\min }$ and the maximal value $\lambda_{1}^{\max }$ are reciprocal:

$$
\lambda_{1}^{\min }=\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}^{\max }}
$$

Therefore, by (4.56), we see that the sequence of eigenvalues $\lambda_{1, r}^{\min }$ is non-increasing:

$$
\lambda_{1, r}^{\min } \geq \lambda_{1, r+1}^{\min }
$$

Since the maximal eigenvalue $\lambda_{1, r}^{\max }>1$, then $\lambda_{1, r}^{\min }<1$.
It remains to show that $\lambda_{1, r}^{\text {min }}>\frac{1}{2}$ for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. But this is true since $f(\lambda)<0$ and $g(\lambda)<0$ for every $\lambda<\frac{1}{2}$, see Table 4.7

## CHAPTER 5

## R. Steinberg's theorem, B. Kostant's construction

### 5.1. R. Steinberg's theorem and a $(p, q, r)$ mystery

R. Steinberg in [Stb85, p.591, (*)] observed a property of affine Coxeter transformations (i.e., transformations corresponding to extended Dynkin diagrams), which plays the main role in his derivation of the McKay correspondence. Let $(p, q, r)$ be the same as in Table A.1. Table A. 2 and relations (A.2), (A.6).

THEOREM 5.1 (Stb85). The affine Coxeter transformation for the extended Dynkin diagram $\tilde{\Gamma}$ has the same eigenvalues as the product of three Coxeter transformations of types $A_{n}$, where $n=p-1, q-1$, and $r-1$, corresponding to the branches of the Dynkin diagram $\Gamma$.

Essentially, R. Steinberg observed that the orders of eigenvalues of the affine Coxeter transformation corresponding to the extended Dynkin diagram $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and given in Table 4.1 coincide with the lengths of branches of the Dynkin diagram $\Gamma$.

Now, we give the proof of R. Steinberg's theorem for the simply-laced extended Dynkin diagram by using the Subbotin-Sumin splitting formula (4.6).


Figure 5.1. $\quad$ Splitting the graph $T_{p, q, r}$ along the edge $\{\alpha \beta\}$
In 55.3.2 we generalize this theorem with some modifications to the multiply-laced case, see Theorem 5.5. Table 1.2

Let $T_{p, q, r}$ be a connected graph with three branches of lengths $p, q, r$. Let $\chi$ be the characteristic polynomial of the Coxeter transformation. Split the graph along the edge $\{\alpha \beta\}$, Fig. 5.1. Then, by the Subbotin-Sumin formula (4.6) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi= & \mathcal{X}\left(A_{p+q-1}\right) \mathcal{X}\left(A_{r-1}\right)-\lambda \mathcal{X}\left(A_{p-1}\right) \mathcal{X}\left(A_{q-1}\right) \mathcal{X}\left(A_{r-2}\right)= \\
& (-1)^{p+q+r}\left(\chi_{p+q-1} \chi_{r-1}-\lambda \chi_{p-1} \chi_{q-1} \chi_{r-2}\right) . \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

By (4.21), we have, up to a factor $(-1)^{p+q+r}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\frac{\left(\lambda^{p+q}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{r}-1\right)}{(\lambda-1)^{2}}-\frac{\left(\lambda^{p}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{q}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{r}-\lambda\right)}{(\lambda-1)^{3}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $p=q$ (e.g., $E_{6}, \tilde{E}_{6}, \tilde{E}_{7}$, and $D_{n}$ for $n \geq 4$ ), we have, up to a factor $(-1)^{2 p+r}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi= & \frac{\left(\lambda^{2 p}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{r}-1\right)}{(\lambda-1)^{2}}-\frac{\left(\lambda^{p}-1\right)^{2}\left(\lambda^{r}-\lambda\right)}{(\lambda-1)^{3}}= \\
& \frac{\left(\lambda^{p}-1\right)}{(\lambda-1)^{3}}\left(\left(\lambda^{p}+1\right)\left(\lambda^{r}-1\right)(\lambda-1)-\left(\lambda^{p}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{r}-\lambda\right)\right) . \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

For $q=2 p$ (e.g., $E_{7}, \tilde{E}_{8}$ ), we have, up to a factor $(-1)^{3 p+r}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi= & \frac{\left(\lambda^{3 p}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{r}-1\right)}{(\lambda-1)^{2}}-\frac{\left(\lambda^{2 p}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{p}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{r}-\lambda\right)}{(\lambda-1)^{3}}=  \tag{5.4}\\
& \frac{\left(\lambda^{p}-1\right)}{(\lambda-1)^{3}}\left(\left(\lambda^{2 p}+\lambda^{p}+1\right)\left(\lambda^{r}-1\right)(\lambda-1)-\left(\lambda^{2 p}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{r}-\lambda\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

1) Case $p=q=3, r=3\left(\tilde{E}_{6}\right)$. From (5.3) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi= & \frac{\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)}{(\lambda-1)^{3}}\left[\left(\lambda^{3}+1\right)\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)(\lambda-1)-\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{3}-\lambda\right)\right]= \\
& \frac{\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)^{2}}{(\lambda-1)^{3}}\left[\left(\lambda^{3}+1\right)(\lambda-1)-\left(\lambda^{3}-\lambda\right)\right]= \\
& \frac{\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)^{2}}{(\lambda-1)^{3}}\left(\lambda^{4}-2 \lambda^{3}+2 \lambda-1\right)=  \tag{5.5}\\
& \frac{\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)^{2}(\lambda-1)^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)}{(\lambda-1)^{3}}=(\lambda-1)^{2} \chi_{2}^{2} \chi_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Polynomials $\chi_{1}$ and $\chi_{2}^{2}$ have, respectively, eigenvalues of orders $2,3,3$ which are equal to the lengths of branches of $E_{6}$.
2) Case $p=q=4, r=2\left(\tilde{E}_{7}\right)$. Here, from (15.3) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi= & \frac{\left(\lambda^{4}-1\right)}{(\lambda-1)^{3}}\left[\left(\lambda^{4}+1\right)\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)(\lambda-1)-\left(\lambda^{4}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{2}-\lambda\right)\right]= \\
& \frac{\left(\lambda^{4}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)(\lambda-1)}{(\lambda-1)^{3}}\left[\left(\lambda^{4}+1\right)-\lambda\left(\lambda^{2}+1\right)\right]=  \tag{5.6}\\
& \frac{\left(\lambda^{4}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)(\lambda-1)^{2}}{(\lambda-1)^{3}}=(\lambda-1)^{2} \chi_{3} \chi_{2} \chi_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Polynomials $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}$ and $\chi_{3}$ have, respectively, eigenvalues of orders $2,3,4$ which are equal to the lengths of branches of $E_{7}$.
3) Case $p=3, q=6, r=2\left(\tilde{E}_{8}\right)$. From (5.4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi= & \frac{\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)}{(\lambda-1)^{3}}\left[\left(\lambda^{6}+\lambda^{3}+1\right)\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)(\lambda-1)-\left(\lambda^{6}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{2}-\lambda\right)\right]= \\
& \frac{\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)(\lambda-1)}{(\lambda-1)^{3}}\left[\left(\lambda^{6}+\lambda^{3}+1\right)-\lambda\left(\lambda^{4}+\lambda^{2}+1\right)\right]=  \tag{5.7}\\
& \frac{\left(\lambda^{5}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)(\lambda-1)^{2}}{(\lambda-1)^{3}}=(\lambda-1)^{2} \chi_{4} \chi_{2} \chi_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Polynomials $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}$ and $\chi_{4}$ have, respectively, eigenvalues of orders $2,3,5$ which are equal to the lengths of branches of $E_{8}$.
4) Case $p=q=2, r=2,3, \ldots\left(D_{r+2}\right)$. From (5.3) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (-1)^{r} \mathcal{X}\left(D_{r+2}\right)= \\
& \frac{\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)}{(\lambda-1)^{3}}\left[\left(\lambda^{2}+1\right)\left(\lambda^{r}-1\right)(\lambda-1)-\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{r}-\lambda\right)\right]= \\
& \frac{\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)(\lambda-1)}{(\lambda-1)^{3}}\left[\left(\lambda^{2}+1\right)\left(\lambda^{r}-1\right)-(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{r}-\lambda\right)\right]=  \tag{5.8}\\
& \frac{\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)(\lambda-1)^{2}\left(\lambda^{r+1}+1\right)}{(\lambda-1)^{3}}=(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{r+1}+1\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and, up to a sign,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{r+1}+1\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Pay attention that, as in (5.8), the sign $(-1)^{r}$ should be taken into account only in recurrent calculations (e.g., for $\tilde{D}_{n}$ and $\tilde{D}_{4}$ below), see Remark 4.12,
5) Case $\tilde{D}_{r+2}$, contains $r+3$ points.


Figure 5.2. $\quad$ Diagrams $\tilde{D}_{r+2}$ and $D_{r+2}$
Here, from (4.6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}\left(\tilde{D}_{r+2}\right)=\mathcal{X}\left(D_{r}\right) \mathcal{X}\left(A_{3}\right)-\lambda \mathcal{X}\left(D_{r-1}\right) \mathcal{X}\left(A_{1}\right)^{2} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.10) and (5.8) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{r-1} \mathcal{X}\left(\tilde{D}_{r+2}\right)= \\
& (\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{r-1}+1\right)\left(\lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1\right)-\lambda(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{r-2}+1\right)(\lambda+1)^{2}= \\
& (\lambda+1)^{2}\left[\left(\lambda^{r-1}+1\right)\left(\lambda^{2}+1\right)-(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{r-1}+\lambda\right)\right]= \\
& (\lambda+1)^{2}\left[\left(\lambda^{r+1}+\lambda^{2}+\lambda^{r-1}+1\right)-\left(\lambda^{r}+\lambda^{r-1}+\lambda^{2}+\lambda\right)\right]= \\
& (\lambda+1)^{2}\left[\left(\lambda^{r+1}-\lambda^{r}\right)-(\lambda-1)\right]=(\lambda+1)^{2}\left(\lambda^{r}-1\right)(\lambda-1)= \\
& (\lambda-1)^{2} \chi_{1}^{2} \chi_{r-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=(\lambda-1)^{2} \chi_{1}^{2} \chi_{r-1} . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Polynomials $\chi_{1}^{2}$ and $\chi_{r-1}$ have, respectively, eigenvalues of orders $2,2, r$ which are equal to the lengths of branches of $D_{r+2}$.
6) Case $\tilde{D}_{4}$. Here, by (5.8) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{X}\left(\tilde{D}_{4}\right)= & \mathcal{X}\left(D_{4}\right) \mathcal{X}\left(A_{1}\right)-\lambda \mathcal{X}\left(A_{1}\right)^{3}=-(\lambda+1)^{2}\left(\lambda^{3}+1\right)+\lambda(\lambda+1)^{3}= \\
& -(\lambda+1)^{2}\left(\lambda^{3}+1-\lambda^{2}-\lambda\right)=-(\lambda+1)^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)(\lambda-1)= \\
& -(\lambda-1)^{2}(\lambda+1)^{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=(\lambda-1)^{2} \chi_{1}^{3} . \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Polynomial $\chi_{1}^{3}$ have eigenvalues of orders $2,2,2$ which are equal to the lengths of branches of $D_{4}$.

In (4.19), we saw that the simple Frame formula is a particular case of the SubbotinSumin formula (4.6). Now we will show another Frame formula from [Fr51, p.785] used by H. S. M. Coxeter in Cox51.

Proposition 5.2 ([Fr51]). Let $T_{p, q, r}$ be a connected graph with three branches of lengths $p, q, r$, where the lengths include the branch point, see Fig. 2.3. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(T_{p, q, r}\right)=\chi\left(A_{p+q+r-2}\right)-\lambda^{2} \chi\left(A_{p-2}\right) \chi\left(A_{q-2}\right) \chi\left(A_{r-2}\right) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (4.6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(T_{p, q, r}\right)=\chi\left(A_{p+q-1}\right) \chi\left(A_{r-1}\right)-\lambda \chi\left(A_{p-1}\right) \chi\left(A_{q-1}\right) \chi\left(A_{r-2}\right) . \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi\left(T_{p, q, r}\right)= & \chi\left(A_{p+q-1}\right) \chi\left(A_{r-1}\right)-\lambda \chi\left(A_{p+q-2}\right) \chi\left(A_{r-2}\right)  \tag{5.15}\\
& +\lambda \chi\left(A_{p+q-2}\right) \chi\left(A_{r-2}\right)-\lambda \chi\left(A_{p-1}\right) \chi\left(A_{q-1}\right) \chi\left(A_{r-2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By the first Frame formula (4.19) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(A_{p+q+r-2}\right)=\chi\left(A_{p+q-1}\right) \chi\left(A_{r-1}\right)-\lambda \chi\left(A_{p+q-2}\right) \chi\left(A_{r-2}\right), \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \chi\left(T_{p, q, r}\right)= \\
& \chi\left(A_{p+q+r-2}\right)+\lambda \chi\left(A_{p+q-2}\right) \chi\left(A_{r-2}\right)-\lambda \chi\left(A_{p-1}\right) \chi\left(A_{q-1}\right) \chi\left(A_{r-2}\right)=  \tag{5.17}\\
& \chi\left(A_{p+q+r-2}\right)+\lambda \chi\left(A_{r-2}\right)\left[\chi\left(A_{p+q-2}\right)-\chi\left(A_{p-1}\right) \chi\left(A_{q-1}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Again, by (4.19) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(A_{p+q-2}\right)-\chi\left(A_{p-1}\right) \chi\left(A_{q-1}\right)=-\lambda \chi\left(A_{p-2}\right) \chi\left(A_{q-2}\right) \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (5.17) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(T_{p, q, r}\right)=\chi\left(A_{p+q+r-2}\right)-\lambda^{2} \chi\left(A_{r-2}\right) \chi\left(A_{p-2}\right) \chi\left(A_{q-2}\right) \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.2. Characteristic polynomials for the Dynkin diagrams

In order to calculate characteristic polynomials of the Coxeter transformations for the Dynkin diagrams, we use the Subbotin-Sumin formula (4.6), the generalized Subbotin-Sumin formula (4.11), its specialization (5.2) for arbitrary ( $p, q, r$ )-trees, and particular cases of (5.2): formula (5.3) for $p=q$, and (5.4) for $p=2 q$.

The results of calculations of this section concerning Dynkin diagrams are collected in Table 1.1.

1) Case $p=q=3, r=2\left(E_{6}\right)$. From (5.3) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi= & \frac{\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)}{(\lambda-1)^{3}}\left[\left(\lambda^{3}+1\right)\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)(\lambda-1)-\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{2}-\lambda\right)\right]= \\
& \frac{\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)}{(\lambda-1)^{3}}(\lambda-1)^{2}\left[\left(\lambda^{3}+1\right)(\lambda+1)-\lambda\left(\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1\right)\right]=  \tag{5.20}\\
& \frac{\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)}{(\lambda-1)}\left(\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{2}+1\right)= \\
& \left(\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1\right)\left(\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{2}+1\right)=\lambda^{6}+\lambda^{5}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1 .
\end{align*}
$$

In another form, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\frac{\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)}{(\lambda-1)}\left(\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{2}+1\right)=\frac{\left(\lambda^{6}+1\right)}{\left(\lambda^{2}+1\right)} \frac{\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)}{(\lambda-1)} . \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

2) Case $p=2, q=4, r=3\left(E_{7}\right)$. From (5.4) we have, up to a sign,

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi= & \frac{\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)}{(\lambda-1)^{3}}\left[\left(\lambda^{4}+\lambda^{2}+1\right)\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)(\lambda-1)-\left(\lambda^{4}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{3}-\lambda\right)\right]= \\
& \frac{\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)(\lambda-1)^{2}}{(\lambda-1)^{3}}\left[\left(\lambda^{4}+\lambda^{2}+1\right)\left(\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1\right)-\right.  \tag{5.22}\\
& \left.\left(\lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1\right) \lambda(\lambda+1)\right]= \\
& (\lambda+1)\left[\left(\lambda^{4}+\lambda^{2}+1\right)\left(\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1\right)-\left(\lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1\right)\left(\lambda^{2}+\lambda\right)\right]= \\
& (\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{6}-\lambda^{3}+1\right)=\lambda^{7}+\lambda^{6}-\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1 .
\end{align*}
$$

In another form, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=-(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{6}-\lambda^{3}+1\right)=-\frac{(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{9}+1\right)}{\left(\lambda^{3}+1\right)} . \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

3) Case $p=3, q=2, r=5\left(E_{8}\right)$. From (5.2) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi= & \frac{\left(\lambda^{5}-1\right)^{2}}{(\lambda-1)^{2}}-\frac{\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{5}-\lambda\right)}{(\lambda-1)^{3}}= \\
& \left(\lambda^{4}+\lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1\right)^{2}-  \tag{5.24}\\
& \left(\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1\right)(\lambda+1) \lambda\left(\lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1\right)= \\
& \lambda^{8}+\lambda^{7}-\lambda^{5}-\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1 .
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\left(\lambda^{8}+\lambda^{7}-\lambda^{5}-\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda+1\right)\left(\lambda^{2}-\lambda+1\right)=\lambda^{10}-\lambda^{5}+1,
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\frac{\lambda^{10}-\lambda^{5}+1}{\lambda^{2}-\lambda+1}=\frac{\left(\lambda^{15}+1\right)(\lambda+1)}{\left(\lambda^{5}+1\right)\left(\lambda^{3}+1\right)} . \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

4) For the case $D_{n}$, see (5.8),

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{X}\left(D_{n}\right) & =(-1)^{n}(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{n-1}+1\right), \\
\chi & =(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{n-1}+1\right) . \tag{5.26}
\end{align*}
$$

5) Case $F_{4}$. We use formula (4.11), splitting the diagram $F_{4}$ along the weighted edge into two diagrams $\Gamma_{1}=A_{2}$ and $\Gamma_{2}=A_{2}$. Here, $\rho=2$.

$$
\chi=\chi_{2}^{2}-2 \lambda \chi_{1}^{2}=\left(\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1\right)^{2}-2 \lambda(\lambda+1)^{2}=\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{2}+1
$$

In another form, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\lambda^{4}-\lambda^{2}+1=\frac{\lambda^{6}+1}{\lambda^{2}+1} . \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

6) Case $G_{2}$. A direct calculation of the Coxeter transformation gives

$$
\mathbf{C}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 3 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & -3 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\lambda^{2}-\lambda+1=\frac{\lambda^{3}+1}{\lambda+1} . \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

7) The dual cases $B_{n}$ and $C_{n}=B_{n}^{\vee}$. Since spectra of the Coxeter transformations of the dual graphs coincide, we can consider only the case $B_{n}$.
a) Consider $B_{2}$. A direct calculation of the Coxeter transformation gives

$$
\mathbf{C}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 2 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & -2 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}\left(B_{2}\right)=\lambda^{2}+1 \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

b) Consider $B_{3}$. We use formula (4.11), splitting the diagram $B_{3}$ along the weighted edge

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{X}\left(B_{3}\right)= & \mathcal{X}\left(A_{2}\right) \mathcal{X}\left(A_{1}\right)-2 \lambda \mathcal{X}\left(A_{1}\right)=-\left(\chi_{2} \chi_{1}-2 \lambda \chi_{1}\right)= \\
& -\chi_{1}\left(\chi_{2}-2 \lambda\right)=-(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1-2 \lambda\right)=  \tag{5.30}\\
& -(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{2}-\lambda+1\right)=-\left(\lambda^{3}+1\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

c) Case $B_{n}$ for $n \geq 4$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{X}\left(B_{n}\right)= \\
& \chi\left(A_{n-3}\right) \chi\left(B_{3}\right)-\lambda \chi\left(A_{n-4}\right) \chi\left(B_{2}\right)=\chi_{n-3} \chi\left(B_{3}\right)-\lambda \chi_{n-4} \chi\left(B_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{n} \mathcal{X}\left(B_{n}\right)= \\
& \frac{\lambda^{n-2}-1}{\lambda-1}\left(\lambda^{3}+1\right)-\lambda \frac{\lambda^{n-3}-1}{\lambda-1}\left(\lambda^{2}+1\right)= \\
& \frac{\left(\lambda^{n-2}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{3}+1\right)-\left(\lambda^{n-3}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{3}+\lambda\right)}{\lambda-1}= \\
& \frac{\lambda^{n+1}-\lambda^{n}+\lambda-1}{\lambda-1}=\lambda^{n}+1,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}\left(B_{n}\right)=(-1)^{n}\left(\lambda^{n}+1\right) . \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5.29), (5.30) and (5.31), we see, for the case $B_{n}$, that, up to a sign,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\lambda^{n}+1 \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.3. A generalization of R. Steinberg's theorem

We will show now that R. Steinberg's theorem 5.1 can be extended to the multiply-laced case.

### 5.3.1. Folded Dynkin diagrams and branch points.

Definition 5.3. A vertex is said to be a branch point of the Dynkin diagram in one of the following cases:
(a) if it is the common endpoint of three edges $\left(E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}, D_{n}\right)$;
(b) if it is the common endpoint of two edges, one of which is non-weighted and one is weighted $\left(B_{n}, C_{n}, F_{4}\right)$. Such a vertex is said to be a non-homogeneous point.
(c) Let, by definition, both points of $G_{2}$ be branch points.

Remark 5.4. Every multiply-laced Dynkin diagram (and also every extended Dynkin diagram) can be obtained by a so-called folding operation from a simply-laced diagrams, see Fig. 5.3, such that the branch point is transformed into the non-homogeneous point, see, e.g., I. Satake, Sat60, p.109], J. Tits, Ti66, p.39], P. Slodowy, Sl80, Appendices I and III], or more recent works [FSS96, Mohr04. This fact was our motivation for considering non-homogeneous points from (b) as branch points.


Figure 5.3. Folding operation applied to Dynkin diagrams
Let us divide all extended Dynkin diagrams (trees) into 4 classes and let $g$ be the number of the class:

Class $g=0$ contains all simply-laced diagrams.
Class $g=1$ contains multiply-laced diagrams which has only one weighted edge of type $(1,2)$ or $(2,1)$.

Class $g=2$ contains multiply-laced diagrams with either two weighted edges or one weighted edge of type $(1,3)$ or $(3,1)$.

Class $g=3$ contains multiply-laced diagrams with two vertices.
Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
g & =0 \text { for } \tilde{E}_{6}, \tilde{E}_{7}, \tilde{E}_{8}, \tilde{D}_{n} \\
g & =1 \text { for } \tilde{F}_{41}, \tilde{F}_{42}, \widetilde{C D}_{n}, \widetilde{D D}_{n}, \\
g & =2 \text { for } \tilde{G}_{12}, \tilde{G}_{22}, \tilde{B}_{n}, \tilde{C}_{n}, \widetilde{B C}_{n},  \tag{5.33}\\
g & =3 \text { for } \tilde{A}_{11}, \tilde{A}_{12}
\end{align*}
$$

5.3.2. R. Steinberg's theorem for the multiply-laced case. Now, we can generalize R. Steinberg's theorem for the multiply-laced case.

Theorem 5.5. The affine Coxeter transformation with the extended Dynkin diagram $\tilde{\Gamma}$ of class $g$ (see (5.33)) has the same eigenvalues as the product of the Coxeter transformations of types $A_{i}$, where $i \in\{p-1, q-1, r-1\}$ and matches to $(3-g)$ branches of the Dynkin
diagram $\Gamma$. In other words,
For $g=0$, the product $\chi_{p-1} \chi_{q-1} \chi_{r-1}$ is taken.
For $g=1$, the product $\chi_{p-1} \chi_{q-1}$ is taken.
For $g=2$, the product consists only of $\chi_{p-1}$.
For $g=3$, the product is trivial $(=1)$.
The remaining two eigenvalues of the affine Coxeter transformation are both equal to 1, see Table 1.2.

Proof. For $g=0$, we have a simply-laced case considered in R. Steinberg's theorem (Theorem 5.1).

Let $g=1$.

1) Cases $\tilde{F}_{41}, \tilde{F}_{42}$. Since the spectra of the characteristic polynomials of the Coxeter transformations of the dual graphs coincide, we consider $\tilde{F}_{41}$. We use formula (4.11), splitting the diagram $\tilde{F}_{41}$ along the weighted edge. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{X}\left(\tilde{F}_{41}\right)= & -\left(\chi_{3} \chi_{2}-2 \lambda \chi_{2} \chi_{1}\right)=-\chi_{2}\left(\chi_{3}-2 \lambda \chi_{1}\right)= \\
& -\chi_{2}\left(\lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1-2 \lambda(\lambda+1)\right)= \\
& -\chi_{2}\left(\lambda^{3}-\lambda^{2}-\lambda+1\right)=  \tag{5.35}\\
& -\chi_{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)(\lambda-1)=-(\lambda-1)^{2} \chi_{2} \chi_{1},
\end{align*}
$$

and, up to a sign, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=(\lambda-1)^{2} \chi_{2} \chi_{1} . \tag{5.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Polynomials $\chi_{1}$ and $\chi_{2}$ have, respectively, eigenvalues of orders 2 and 3 which are equal to the lengths of the branches $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ of $F_{4}$ without non-homogeneous branch point.
2) Cases $\widetilde{C D}_{n}, \widetilde{D D}_{n}$. These diagrams are obtained as extensions of $B_{n}$, see [Bo, Tab.II]. By (4.11) and by splitting the diagram $\widetilde{C D}_{n}$ along the weighted edge, we have

$$
\mathcal{X}\left(\widetilde{C D}_{n}\right)=\mathcal{X}\left(D_{n}\right) \mathcal{X}\left(A_{1}\right)-2 \lambda \mathcal{X}\left(D_{n-1}\right)
$$

By (5.26)

$$
\mathcal{X}\left(D_{n}\right)=(-1)^{n}(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{n-1}+1\right) .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
(-1)^{n-1} \mathcal{X}\left(\widetilde{C D}_{n}\right)= & (\lambda+1)^{2}\left(\lambda^{n-1}+1\right)-2 \lambda(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{n-2}+1\right)= \\
& (\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{n}+\lambda+\lambda^{n-1}+1-2 \lambda^{n-1}-2 \lambda\right)= \\
& (\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{n}-\lambda^{n-1}-\lambda+1\right)=  \tag{5.37}\\
& (\lambda+1)(\lambda-1)\left(\lambda^{n-1}-1\right)=(\lambda-1)^{2} \chi_{1} \chi_{n-2},
\end{align*}
$$

and, up to a sign, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=(\lambda-1)^{2} \chi_{1} \chi_{n-2} \tag{5.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Polynomials $\chi_{1}$ and $\chi_{n-2}$ have, respectively, eigenvalues of orders 2 and 3 which are equal to the lengths of the branches $A_{1}$ and $A_{n-2}$ of $B_{n}$ without non-homogeneous branch point.

Let $g=2$.
3) Cases $\tilde{G}_{12}, \tilde{G}_{22}$. Since the spectra of the Coxeter transformations of the dual graphs coincide, we consider $\tilde{G}_{12}$. By (4.11), splitting the diagram $\tilde{G}_{12}$ along the weighted edge we
have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{X}\left(\tilde{G}_{12}\right)= & -\left(\chi_{2} \chi_{1}-3 \lambda \chi_{1}\right)=-\chi_{1}\left(\chi_{2}-3 \lambda\right)= \\
& -(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1-3 \lambda\right)=  \tag{5.39}\\
& -(\lambda-1)^{2}(\lambda+1)=-(\lambda-1)^{2} \chi_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

and, up to a sign, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=(\lambda-1)^{2} \chi_{1} \tag{5.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Polynomial $\chi_{1}$ has the single eigenvalue of order 2 , it corresponds to the length of the single branch $A_{1}$ of $G_{2}$ without non-homogeneous branch point.
4) Cases $\tilde{B}_{n}, \tilde{C}_{n}, \widetilde{B C}_{n}$. The characteristic polynomials of the Coxeter transformations of these diagrams coincide. Consider $\tilde{B}_{n}$. By (4.11), splitting the diagram $\tilde{B}_{n}$ along the weighted edge we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{X}\left(\tilde{B}_{n}\right)= & \mathcal{X}\left(B_{n}\right) \mathcal{X}\left(A_{1}\right)-2 \lambda \mathcal{X}\left(B_{n-1}\right), \text { i.e., } \\
(-1)^{n-1} \mathcal{X}\left(\tilde{B}_{n}\right)= & \left(\lambda^{n}+1\right)(\lambda+1)-2 \lambda\left(\lambda^{n-1}+1\right)= \\
& \lambda^{n+1}+\lambda^{n}+\lambda+1-2 \lambda^{n-1}-2 \lambda=  \tag{5.41}\\
& \lambda^{n+1}-\lambda^{n}-\lambda+1=(\lambda-1)\left(\lambda^{n}-1\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and, up to a sign, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=(\lambda-1)^{2} \chi_{n-1} . \tag{5.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Polynomial $\chi_{n-1}$ has the single eigenvalue of order $n$, it corresponds to the length of the single branch $A_{n-1}$ of $B_{n}$ without non-homogeneous branch point.

Let $g=3$.
5) Cases $\tilde{A}_{11}, \tilde{A}_{12}$. Direct calculation of the Coxeter transformation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } \tilde{A}_{11}: \mathbf{C}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 4 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3 & -4 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right) \\
& \text { for } \tilde{A}_{12}: \mathbf{C}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 2 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
2 & -1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3 & -2 \\
2 & -1
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and in both cases we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=(\lambda-3)(\lambda+1)+4=(\lambda-1)^{2} . \tag{5.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.4. The Kostant generating function and Poincaré series

5.4.1. The generating function. Let $\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ be the symmetric algebra over $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, in other words, $\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$, see (2.27). The symmetric algebra $\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ is a graded $\mathbb{C}$-algebra, see (2.28):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)=\underset{n=0}{\infty} \operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right) \tag{5.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\pi_{n}$ be the representation of $S U(2)$ in $\operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ induced by its action on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. The set $\left\{\pi_{n}\right\}$, where $n=0,1, \ldots$ is the set of all irreducible representations of $S U(2)$, see, e.g., [Zhe73, §37]. Let $G$ be any finite subgroup of $S U(2)$, see $\S$ A.1). B. Kostant in Kos84 considered the question:
how does $\pi_{n} \mid G$ decompose for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ?

The answer - the decomposition $\pi_{n} \mid G$ - is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{n} \mid G=\sum_{i=0}^{r} m_{i}(n) \rho_{i} \tag{5.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{i}$ are irreducible representations of $G$, considered in the context of McKay correspondence, see $\S($ A.4). Thus, the decomposition (5.45) reduces the question to the following one:
what are the multiplicities $m_{i}(n)$ equal to?
B. Kostant in Kos84 obtained the multiplicities $m_{i}(n)$ by means the orbit structure of the Coxeter transformation on the highest root of the corresponding Lie algebra. For further details concerning this orbit structure and the multiplicities $m_{i}(n)$, see $₫ \widehat{B .6}$.

Note, that multiplicities $m_{i}(n)$ in (5.45) are calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i}(n)=<\pi_{n} \mid G, \rho_{i}> \tag{5.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

(for the definition of the inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$, see (5.59) ).
REmark 5.6. For further considerations, we extend the relation for multiplicity (5.46) to the cases of restricted representations $\rho_{i}^{\downarrow}:=\rho_{i} \downarrow_{H}^{G}$ and induced representations $\rho_{i}^{\uparrow}:=\rho_{i} \uparrow_{H}^{G}$, where $H$ is any subgroup of $G$ (see $\$$ A.5.1) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i}^{\downarrow}(n)=<\pi_{n}\left|H, \rho_{i}^{\downarrow}>, \quad m_{i}^{\uparrow}(n)=<\pi_{n}\right| G, \rho_{i}^{\uparrow}> \tag{5.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

We do not have any decomposition like (5.45) neither for restricted representations $\rho_{i}^{\downarrow}$ nor for induced representations $\rho_{i}^{\uparrow}$. Nevertheless, we will sometimes denote both multiplicities $m_{i}^{\downarrow}(n)$ and $m_{i}^{\uparrow}(n)$ in (5.47) by $m_{i}(n)$ as in (5.45).

REmARK 5.7. 1) A representation $\rho: G \longrightarrow G L_{k}(V)$ defines a $k$-linear action $G$ on $V$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g v=\rho(g) v \tag{5.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pair $(V, \rho)$ is called a $G$-module. The case where $\rho(g)=I d_{V}$ is called the trivial representation in $V$. In this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
g v=v \text { for all } g \in V \tag{5.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (5.45), the trivial representation $\rho_{0}$ corresponds to a particular vertex (see McK80), which extends the Dynkin diagram to the extended Dynkin diagram.
2) Let $\rho_{0}(H)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\rho_{0}(G)\right)$ be the trivial representation of any subgroup $H \subset G$ (resp. of group $G$ ). The trivial representation $\rho_{0}(H): H \longrightarrow G L_{k}(V)$ coincides with the restricted representation $\rho_{0} \downarrow_{H}^{G}: G \longrightarrow G L_{k}(V)$, and the trivial representation $\rho_{0}(G): G \longrightarrow G L_{k}(V)$ coincides with the induced representation $\rho_{0} \uparrow_{H}^{G}: H \longrightarrow G L_{k}(V)$.

Since there is one-to-one correspondence between the $\rho_{i}$ and the vertices of the Dynkin diagram, we can define (see [Kos84, p.211]) the vectors $v_{n}$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n}=\sum_{i=0}^{r} m_{i}(n) \alpha_{i}, \text { where } \pi_{n} \mid G=\sum_{i=0}^{r} m_{i}(n) \rho_{i} \tag{5.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{i}$ are simple roots of the corresponding extended Dynkin diagram. Similarly, for the multiply-laced case, we define vectors $v_{n}$ to be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n}=\sum_{i=0}^{r} m_{i}^{\uparrow}(n) \alpha_{i} \quad \text { or } \quad v_{n}=\sum_{i=0}^{r} m_{i}^{\downarrow}(n) \alpha_{i} \tag{5.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the multiplicities $m_{i}^{\uparrow}(n)$ and $m_{i}^{\downarrow}(n)$ are defined by (5.47). The vector $v_{n}$ belongs to the root lattice generated by simple roots. Following B. Kostant, we define the generating function $P_{G}(t)$ for cases (5.50) and (5.51) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{G}(t)=\left(\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0},\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{1}, \ldots,\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{r}\right)^{t}:=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_{n} t^{n} \tag{5.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

the components of the vector $P_{G}(t)$ being the following series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{i}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{m}_{i}(n) t^{n} \tag{5.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=0,1, \ldots, r$ and $\tilde{m}_{i}(n)$ designates $m_{i}(n), m_{i}^{\uparrow}(n)$ or $m_{i}^{\downarrow}(n)$, see \$1.5.2. In particular, for $i=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_{0}(n) t^{n} \tag{5.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{0}(n)$ is the multiplicity of the trivial representation $\rho_{0}$ (see Remark 5.7) in $\operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$. By $\$ 2.7$ the algebra of invariants $R^{G}$ is a subalgebra of the symmetric algebra $\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$. Thanks to (5.49), we see that $R^{G}$ coincides with $\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$, and $\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0}$ is the Poincaré series of the algebra of invariants $\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{G}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0}=P\left(\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{G}, t\right) \tag{5.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [Kos84, p.221, Rem.3.2]).
Remark 5.8. According to Remark 5.7, heading 2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[P_{H}(t)\right]_{0}=P\left(\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{\rho_{0} \downarrow_{H}^{G}}, t\right), \quad\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0}=P\left(\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{\rho_{0} \uparrow_{H}^{G}}, t\right) \tag{5.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will need this fact in the proof of W. Ebeling's theorem for the multiply-laced case in \$5.4.3, (see also Remark 5.6 and \$A.5.1).

The following theorem gives a remarkable formula for calculating the Poincaré series for the binary polyhedral groups. The theorem is known in different forms. B. Kostant in Kos84] shows it in the context of the Coxeter number $h$.

Theorem K-K-GV (Kostant, Knörrer, Gonsales-Sprinberg, Verdier) The Poincaré series $\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0}$ can be calculated as the following rational function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0}=\frac{1+t^{h}}{\left(1-t^{a}\right)\left(1-t^{b}\right)} \tag{5.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=h+2-a, \text { and } a b=2|G| . \tag{5.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a proof, see Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.8 from Kos84, [Kn85, p.185], [GV83, p.428]. We call the numbers $a$ and $b$ the Kostant numbers. They can be easily calculated, see Table 5.1. compare also with Table A.2 and Table A.3. Note, that $a=2 d$, where $d$ is the maximal coordinate of the nil-root vector from the kernel of the Tits form, see $9 \sqrt{2.4}$ and Fig. [2.2.

Table 5.1. The binary polyhedral groups (BPG) and the Kostant numbers $a, b$

| Dynkin <br> diagram | Order of <br> group | BPG | Coxeter <br> number | $a$ | $b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A_{n-1}$ | $n$ | $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ | $n$ | 2 | $n$ |
| $D_{n+2}$ | $4 n$ | $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ | $2 n+2$ | 4 | $2 n$ |
| $E_{6}$ | 24 | $\mathcal{T}$ | 12 | 6 | 8 |
| $E_{7}$ | 48 | $\mathcal{O}$ | 18 | 8 | 12 |
| $E_{8}$ | 120 | $\mathcal{J}$ | 30 | 12 | 20 |

5.4.2. The characters and the McKay operator. Let $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}, \ldots \chi_{r}$ be all irreducible $\mathbb{C}$-characters of a finite group $G$ corresponding to irreducible representations $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \ldots, \rho_{r}$, and let $\chi_{1}$ correspond to the trivial representation, i.e., $\chi_{1}(g)=1$ for all $g \in G$.

All characters constitute the character algebra $C(G)$ of $G$ since $C(G)$ is also a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. An hermitian inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ on $C(G)$ is defined as follows. For characters $\alpha, \beta \in C(G)$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\alpha, \beta>=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \alpha(g) \overline{\beta(g)} \tag{5.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sometimes, we will write inner product $<\rho_{i}, \rho_{j}>$ of the representations meaning actually the inner product of the corresponding characters $<\chi_{\rho_{i}}, \chi_{\rho_{j}}>$. Let $z_{i j k}=<\chi_{i} \chi_{j}, \chi_{k}>$, where $\chi_{i} \chi_{j}$ corresponds to the representation $\rho_{i} \otimes \rho_{j}$. It is known that $z_{i j k}$ is the multiplicity of the representation $\rho_{k}$ in $\rho_{i} \otimes \rho_{j}$ and $z_{i j k}=z_{j i k}$. The numbers $z_{i j k}$ are integer and are called the structure constants, see, e.g., Kar92, p.765].

For every $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$, there exists some $\hat{i} \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\hat{i}}(g)=\overline{\chi_{i}(g)} \text { for all } g \in G . \tag{5.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

The character $\chi_{\hat{i}}$ corresponds to the contragredient representation $\rho_{\hat{i}}$ determined from the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\hat{i}}(g)=\rho_{i}(g)^{\prime-1} \tag{5.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\chi_{i} \chi_{j}, \chi_{k}>=<\chi_{i}, \chi_{\hat{j}} \chi_{k}> \tag{5.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

since

$$
\begin{aligned}
<\chi_{i} \chi_{j}, \chi_{k}>= & \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_{i}(g) \chi_{j}(g) \overline{\chi_{k}(g)}= \\
& \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_{i}(g)\left(\overline{\overline{\chi_{j}(g)} \chi_{k}(g)}\right)=<\chi_{i}, \chi_{\hat{j}} \chi_{k}>
\end{aligned}
$$

REmARK 5.9. The group $S U(2)$ is the set of all unitary unimodular $2 \times 2$ matrices $u$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{*}=u^{-1}(\text { unitarity }) \\
& \operatorname{det}(u)=1(\text { unimodularity }) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The matrices $u \in S U(2)$ have the following form:

$$
u=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b  \tag{5.63}\\
-b^{*} & a^{*}
\end{array}\right), \text { and } u^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a^{*} & -b \\
b^{*} & a
\end{array}\right), \text { where } a a^{*}+b b^{*}=1
$$

see, e.g., Ha89, Ch.9, §6]. The mutually inverse matrices $u$ and $u^{-1}$ are

$$
u=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b  \tag{5.64}\\
c & d
\end{array}\right), \text { and } u^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
d & -b \\
-c & a
\end{array}\right), \text { where } a d-b c=1
$$

Set

$$
s=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1  \tag{5.65}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \text { then } s^{-1}=s^{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

For any $u \in S U(2)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sus}^{-1}=u^{\prime-1} \text {. } \tag{5.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

The element $s$ is called the Weyl element.
According to (5.61) and (5.66) we see that every finite dimensional representation of the group $S L(2, \mathbb{C}$ ) (and hence, of $S U(2)$ ) is equivalent to its contragredient representation, see [Zhe73, §37, Rem.3]. Thus by (5.62), for representations $\rho_{i}$ of any finite subgroup $G \subset S U(2)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\chi_{i} \chi_{j}, \chi_{k}>=<\chi_{i}, \chi_{j} \chi_{k}> \tag{5.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relation (5.67) holds also for characters of restricted representations $\chi^{\downarrow}:=\chi \downarrow_{H}^{G}$ and induced representations $\chi^{\uparrow}:=\chi \uparrow_{H}^{G}$ (see Remark 5.6 and \$.5.1):

$$
\begin{align*}
& <\chi_{i} \chi_{j}^{\downarrow}, \chi_{k}>_{H}=<\chi_{i}, \chi_{j}^{\downarrow} \chi_{k}>_{H},  \tag{5.68}\\
& <\chi_{i} \chi_{j}^{\uparrow}, \chi_{k}>_{G}=<\chi_{i}, \chi_{j}^{\uparrow} \chi_{k}>_{G} .
\end{align*}
$$

Indeed, every restricted representation $\chi_{j}^{\downarrow}$ (resp. induced representation $\chi_{j}^{\uparrow}$ ) is decomposed into the direct sum of irreducible characters $\chi_{s} \in \operatorname{Irr}(H)$ (here, $\operatorname{Irr}(H)$ is the set of irreducible characters of $H$ ) with some integer coefficients $a_{s}$, for example:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{j}^{\downarrow}=\sum_{\chi_{s} \in \operatorname{Irr}(H)} a_{s} \chi_{s}, \tag{5.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since $\bar{a}_{s}=a_{s}$ for all $\chi_{s} \in \operatorname{Irr}(H)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
<\chi_{i} \chi_{j}^{\downarrow}, \chi_{k}>_{H}= & \sum_{\chi_{s} \in \operatorname{Irr}(H)}<a_{s} \chi_{i} \chi_{s}, \chi_{k}>_{H}= \\
& \sum_{\chi_{s} \in \operatorname{Irr}(H)} a_{s}<\chi_{i}, \chi_{s} \chi_{k}>_{H}=  \tag{5.70}\\
& <\chi_{i}, \sum_{\chi_{s} \in \operatorname{Irr}(H)} a_{s} \chi_{s} \chi_{k}>_{H}=<\chi_{i}, \chi_{j}^{\downarrow} \chi_{k}>_{H} .
\end{align*}
$$

The matrix of multiplicities $A:=A(G)$ from (A.13) was introduced by J. McKay in McK80; it plays the central role in the McKay correspondence, see A.4 We call this matrix - or the corresponding operator - the McKay matrix or the McKay operator.

Similarly, let $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{A}^{\vee}$ be matrices of multiplicities (A.14), (A.16). These matrices were introduced by P. Slodowy [S180] by analogy with the McKay matrix for the multiply-laced case, see A.5.1 We call these matrices the Slodowy operators.

The following result of B. Kostant [Kos84], which holds for the McKay operator holds also for the Slodowy operators.

Proposition 5.10. If $B$ is either the McKay operator $A$ or the Slodowy operator $\tilde{A}$ or $\tilde{A}^{\vee}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B v_{n}=v_{n-1}+v_{n+1} . \tag{5.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From now on

$$
\rho_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\rho_{i} & \text { for } B=A,  \tag{5.72}\\
\rho_{i}^{\downarrow} & \text { for } B=\tilde{A}, \\
\rho_{i}^{\uparrow} & \text { for } B=\tilde{A}^{\vee},
\end{array} \quad m_{i}(n)= \begin{cases}m_{i}(n) & \text { for } B=A \\
m_{i}^{\downarrow}(n) & \text { for } B=\tilde{A} \\
m_{i}^{\uparrow}(n) & \text { for } B=\tilde{A}^{\vee}\end{cases}\right.
$$

By (5.50), (5.51), and by definition of the McKay operator (A.13) and by definition of the Slodowy operator (A.14) and (A.16), we have

$$
B v_{n}=B\left(\begin{array}{c}
m_{0}(n)  \tag{5.73}\\
\ldots \\
m_{r}(n)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\sum a_{0 i} m_{i}(n) \\
\ldots \\
\sum a_{r i} m_{i}(n)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\sum a_{0 i}<\rho_{i}, \pi_{n}> \\
\ldots \\
\sum a_{r i}<\rho_{i}, \pi_{n}>
\end{array}\right)
$$

By (A.13), (A.14) and (A.16) we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{0 i}<\rho_{i}, \pi_{n}>=<\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{0 i} \rho_{i}, \pi_{n}>=<\rho_{\text {reg }} \otimes \rho_{i}, \pi_{n}>
$$

and from (5.73) we obtain

$$
B v_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
<\rho_{\text {reg }} \otimes \rho_{0}, \pi_{n}>  \tag{5.74}\\
\cdots \\
<\rho_{\text {reg }} \otimes \rho_{r}, \pi_{n}>
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here $\rho_{\text {reg }}$ is the regular two-dimensional representation which coincides with the representation $\pi_{1}$ in $\operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ from $\$ 5.4 .1$ Thus,

$$
B v_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
<\pi_{1} \otimes \rho_{0}, \pi_{n}>  \tag{5.75}\\
\cdots \\
<\pi_{1} \otimes \rho_{r}, \pi_{n}>
\end{array}\right)
$$

From (5.67), (5.70) we obtain

$$
B v_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
<\rho_{0}, \pi_{1} \otimes \pi_{n}>  \tag{5.76}\\
\cdots \\
<\rho_{r}, \pi_{1} \otimes \pi_{n}>
\end{array}\right)
$$

By Clebsch-Gordan formula we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1} \otimes \pi_{n}=\pi_{n-1} \oplus \pi_{n+1} \tag{5.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi_{-1}$ is the zero representation, see Sp77, exs.3.2.4] or Ha89, Ch.5, $\left.\S 6, \S 7\right]$. From (5.76) and (5.77) we have (5.71).

For the following corollary, see [Kos84, p.222] and also Sp87, §4.1].
Corollary 5.11. Let $x=P_{G}(t)$ be given by (5.52). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
t B x=\left(1+t^{2}\right) x-v_{0} \tag{5.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B$ is either the McKay operator $A$ or the Slodowy operators $\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}^{\vee}$.
Proof. From (5.71) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& B x=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B v_{n} t^{n}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(v_{n-1}+v_{n+1}\right) t^{n}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_{n-1} t^{n}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_{n+1} t^{n}= \\
& t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_{n-1} t^{n-1}+t^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_{n+1} t^{n+1}=t x+t^{-1}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_{n} t^{n}-v_{0}\right)=  \tag{5.79}\\
& t x+t^{-1} x-t^{-1} v_{0} .
\end{align*}
$$

5.4.3. The Poincaré series and W. Ebeling's theorem. W. Ebeling in Ebl02] makes use of the Kostant relation (5.71) and deduces a new remarkable fact about the Poincaré series, a fact that shows that the Poincaré series of a binary polyhedral group (see (5.57)) is the quotient of two polynomials: the characteristic polynomial of the Coxeter transformation and the characteristic polynomial of the corresponding affine Coxeter transformation, see [Ebl02, Th.2].

We show W. Ebeling's theorem also for the multiply-laced case, see Theorem 5.12, The Poincaré series for the multiply-laced case is defined by (55.56).

THEOREM 5.12 (generalized W.Ebeling's theorem Ebl02]). Let $G$ be a binary polyhedral group and $\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0}$ the Poincaré series (5.55) of the algebra of invariants $\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{G}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0}=\frac{\operatorname{det} M_{0}(t)}{\operatorname{det} M(t)} \tag{5.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} M(t)=\operatorname{det}\left|t^{2} I-\mathbf{C}_{a}\right|, \quad \operatorname{det} M_{0}(t)=\operatorname{det}\left|t^{2} I-\mathbf{C}\right|, \tag{5.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathbf{C}$ is the Coxeter transformation and $\mathbf{C}_{a}$ is the corresponding affine Coxeter transformation.
Proof. By (5.78) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(1+t^{2}\right) I-t B\right] x=v_{0} \tag{5.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ is the vector $P_{G}(t)$ and by Cramer's rule the first coordinate $P_{G}(t)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{0}=\frac{\operatorname{det} M_{0}(t)}{\operatorname{det} M(t)} \tag{5.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} M(t)=\operatorname{det}\left(\left(1+t^{2}\right) I-t B\right) \tag{5.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

TABLE 5.2. The characteristic polynomials $\chi, \tilde{\chi}$ and the Poincaré series

| Dynkin diagram | Coxeter <br> transformation $\chi$ | Affine Coxeter transformation $\tilde{\chi}$ | Quotient $p(\lambda)=\frac{\chi}{\tilde{\chi}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D_{4}$ | $(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{3}+1\right)$ | $(\lambda-1)^{2}(\lambda+1)^{3}$ | $\frac{\lambda^{3}+1}{\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)^{2}}$ |
| $D_{n+1}$ | $(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{n}+1\right)$ | $\left(\lambda^{n-1}-1\right)(\lambda-1)(\lambda+1)^{2}$ | $\frac{\lambda^{n}+1}{\left(\lambda^{n-1}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)}$ |
| $E_{6}$ | $\frac{\left(\lambda^{6}+1\right)}{\left(\lambda^{2}+1\right)} \frac{\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)}{(\lambda-1)}$ | $\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)^{2}(\lambda+1)$ | $\frac{\lambda^{6}+1}{\left(\lambda^{4}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)}$ |
| $E_{7}$ | $\frac{(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{9}+1\right)}{\left(\lambda^{3}+1\right)}$ | $\left(\lambda^{4}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)(\lambda+1)$ | $\frac{\lambda^{9}+1}{\left(\lambda^{4}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{6}-1\right)}$ |
| $E_{8}$ | $\frac{\left(\lambda^{15}+1\right)(\lambda+1)}{\left(\lambda^{5}+1\right)\left(\lambda^{3}+1\right)}$ | $\left(\lambda^{5}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)(\lambda+1)$ | $\frac{\lambda^{15}+1}{\left(\lambda^{10}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{6}-1\right)}$ |
| $B_{n}$ | $\lambda^{n}+1$ | $\left(\lambda^{n-1}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)$ | $\frac{\lambda^{n}+1}{\left(\lambda^{n-1}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)}$ |
| $C_{n}$ | $\lambda^{n}+1$ | $\left(\lambda^{n}-1\right)(\lambda-1)$ | $\frac{\lambda^{n}+1}{\left(\lambda^{n}-1\right)(\lambda-1)}$ |
| $F_{4}$ | $\frac{\lambda^{6}+1}{\lambda^{2}+1}$ | $\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)$ | $\frac{\lambda^{6}+1}{\left(\lambda^{4}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{3}-1\right)}$ |
| $G_{2}$ | $\frac{\lambda^{3}+1}{\lambda+1}$ | $(\lambda-1)^{2}(\lambda+1)$ | $\frac{\lambda^{3}+1}{\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)^{2}}$ |
| $A_{n}$ | $\frac{\lambda^{n+1}-1}{\lambda-1}$ | $\left(\lambda^{n-k+1}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{k}-1\right)$ | $\frac{\lambda^{n+1}-1}{(\lambda-1)\left(\lambda^{n-k+1}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{k}-1\right)}$ |
| $A_{2 n-1}$ | $\frac{\lambda^{2 n}-1}{\lambda-1}$ | $\left(\lambda^{n}-1\right)^{2}$ for $k=n$ | $\frac{\lambda^{n}+1}{\left(\lambda^{n}-1\right)(\lambda-1)}$ |

and $M_{0}(t)$ is the matrix obtained by replacing the first column of $M(t)$ by $v_{0}=(1,0, \ldots, 0)^{t}$. The vector $v_{0}$ corresponds to the trivial representation $\pi_{0}$, and by the McKay correspondence, $v_{0}$ corresponds to the particular vertex which extends the Dynkin diagram to the extended Dynkin diagram, see Remark 5.7 and (5.50). Therefore, if det $M(t)$ corresponds to the affine Coxeter transformation, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} M(t)=\operatorname{det}\left|t^{2} I-\mathbf{C}_{a}\right|, \tag{5.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\operatorname{det} M_{0}(t)$ corresponds to the Coxeter transformation, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} M_{0}(t)=\operatorname{det}\left|t^{2} I-\mathbf{C}\right| . \tag{5.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, it suffices to prove (5.85), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left[\left(1+t^{2}\right) I-t B\right]=\operatorname{det}\left|t^{2} I-\mathbf{C}_{a}\right| \tag{5.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $B$ is the McKay operator $A$ given by (A.13), then

$$
B=2 I-K=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 I & 0  \tag{5.88}\\
0 & 2 I
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 I & 2 D \\
2 D^{t} & 2 I
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -2 D \\
-2 D^{t} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $K$ is a symmetric Cartan matrix (3.2). If $B$ is the Slodowy operator $\tilde{A}$ or $\tilde{A}^{\vee}$ given by (A.14), (A.16), then

$$
B=2 I-K=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 I & 0  \tag{5.89}\\
0 & 2 I
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 I & 2 D \\
2 F & 2 I
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -2 D \\
-2 F & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $K$ is the symmetrizable but not symmetric Cartan matrix (3.4). Thus, in the generic case

$$
M(t)=\left(1+t^{2}\right) I-t B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+t^{2} & 2 t D  \tag{5.90}\\
2 t F & 1+t^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Assuming $t \neq 0$ we deduce from (5.90) that

$$
\begin{align*}
M(t)\binom{x}{y}=0 & \Longleftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(1+t^{2}\right) x=-2 t D y \\
2 t F x=-\left(1+t^{2}\right) y
\end{array}\right. \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{2}}{4 t^{2}} x=F D y \\
\frac{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{2}}{4 t^{2}} y=D F y
\end{array}\right. \tag{5.91}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we use the Jordan form theory of the Coxeter transformations constructed in §3 According to (3.14), Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.9 we see that $t^{2}$ is an eigenvalue of the affine Coxeter transformation $\mathbf{C}_{a}$, i.e., (5.87) together with (5.85) are proved.

For the results of calculations using W. Ebeling's theorem, see Table 5.2.
Remark 5.13. 1) The characteristic polynomials $\chi$ for the Coxeter transformation and $\tilde{\chi}$ for the affine Coxeter transformation in Table 5.2 are taken from Tables 1.1 and 1.2 Pay attention to the fact that the affine Dynkin diagram for $B_{n}$ is $\left.\widetilde{C D}_{n},(\mathbf{B o}, \mathrm{Tab} .2]\right)$, and the affine Dynkin diagram for $C_{n}$ is $\tilde{C}_{n},([\mathbf{B o}$, Tab.3]), see Fig. [2.2,
2) The characteristic polynomial $\chi$ for the affine Coxeter transformation of $A_{n}$ depends on the index of the conjugacy class $k$ of the Coxeter transformation, see (4.5). In the case of $A_{n}$ (for every $k=1,2, \ldots, n$ ) the quotient $p(\lambda)=\frac{\chi}{\tilde{\chi}}$ contains three factors in the denominator, and its form is different from (5.57), see Table 5.2.

For the case $A_{2 n-1}$ and $k=n$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
p(\lambda)= & \frac{\lambda^{2 n}-1}{(\lambda-1)\left(\lambda^{2 n-k}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{k}-1\right)}=  \tag{5.92}\\
& \frac{\lambda^{2 n}-1}{(\lambda-1)\left(\lambda^{n}-1\right)\left(\lambda^{n}-1\right)}=\frac{\lambda^{n}+1}{\left(\lambda^{n}-1\right)(\lambda-1)}
\end{align*}
$$

and $p(\lambda)$ again is of the form (5.57), see Table 5.2.
3) The quotients $p(\lambda)$ coincide for the following pairs:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
D_{4} \text { and } G_{2}, & E_{6} \text { and } F_{4},  \tag{5.93}\\
D_{n+1} \text { and } B_{n}(n \geq 4), & A_{2 n-1} \text { and } C_{n} .
\end{array}
$$

Note that the second elements of the pairs are obtained by folding operation from the first ones, see Remark 5.4.

## CHAPTER 6

## Regular representations of quivers

### 6.1. The regular representations and the Dlab-Ringel criterion

The regular representations are the most complicated in the category of all representation of the given quiver. For every Dynkin diagram the category of regular representations is empty, there are only finite number non-regular representations (P.Gapriel's theorem Gab72]). For this reason, the Dynkin diagrams are called finite type quivers in the representation theory of quivers. The regular representations have been completely described only for the extended Dynkin diagrams, which for this reason were dubbed tame quivers in the representation theory of quivers, ([Naz73], [DR76]), see \$1.6.1]

Definition 6.1.1) The vector $z \in \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma}$ is said to be $\Delta^{\prime}$-regular or regular in the orientation $\Delta^{\prime}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{k} z>0 \text { for all } k \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

2) The representation $V$ is said to be a regular representation in the orientation $\Delta^{\prime}$ of the quiver $\Gamma$ if its dimension $\operatorname{dim} V$ is a $\Delta^{\prime}$-regular vector, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{k}(\operatorname{dim} V)>0 \text { for all } k \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the Coxeter transformation $\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ correspond to any orientation $\Delta^{\prime}$ and let the Coxeter transformation $\mathbf{C}_{\Delta}$ correspond to a bicolored orientation $\Delta$. Then $\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\Delta}$ are conjugate if the quiver is a tree. Let $T$ be an element in the Weyl group which relates $\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\Delta}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}=T^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{\Delta} T \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.2. Necessary conditions of regularity of the extended Dynkin diagrams

Let $\left(\alpha_{1}, \tilde{\alpha}_{1}, \alpha_{1}^{\varphi_{2}}, \alpha_{2}^{\varphi_{2}}, \ldots\right)$ be coordinates of the vector $T z$ in the Jordan basis of eigenvectors and adjoint vectors (3.29) - (3.31):

$$
\begin{equation*}
T z=\alpha_{1} z^{1}+\tilde{\alpha}_{1} \tilde{z}^{1}+\alpha_{1}^{\varphi_{2}} z_{1}^{\varphi_{2}}+\alpha_{2}^{\varphi_{2}} z_{2}^{\varphi_{2}}+\ldots \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (3.32), (3.33), (3.34), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}_{\Delta}^{k} T z=\alpha_{1} z^{1}+\tilde{\alpha}_{1}\left(k z^{1}+\tilde{z}^{1}\right)+\alpha_{1}^{\varphi_{2}}\left(\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{2}}\right)^{k} z_{1}^{\varphi_{2}}+\alpha_{2}^{\varphi_{2}}\left(\lambda_{2}^{\varphi_{2}}\right)^{k} z_{2}^{\varphi_{2}}+\ldots \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{k} z= & T^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{k} T z= \\
& \alpha_{1} z^{1}+\tilde{\alpha}_{1}\left(k z^{1}+T^{-1} \tilde{z}^{1}\right)+  \tag{6.6}\\
& \alpha_{1}^{\varphi_{2}}\left(\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{2}}\right)^{k} T^{-1} z_{1}^{\varphi_{2}}+\alpha_{2}^{\varphi_{2}}\left(\lambda_{2}^{\varphi_{2}}\right)^{k} T^{-1} z_{2}^{\varphi_{2}}+\ldots
\end{align*}
$$

Since $z^{1}>0, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\left|\lambda_{1,2}^{\varphi_{i}}\right|=1$, we have the following necessary condition of $\Delta^{\prime}$ regularity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\alpha}_{1}=0, \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}_{1}$ is the coordinate of the vector $T z$ corresponding to the adjoint basis vector $\tilde{z}^{1}$.
a) Simply-laced case. The adjoint vector $\tilde{z}^{1}$ is orthogonal to vectors $z_{i}^{\varphi_{j}}$ for $i=1,2$; the numbers $\varphi_{j}$ are eigenvalues of $D D^{t}$ since the corresponding components $\mathbb{X}$ and $\mathbb{Y}$ are orthogonal, see Proposition [3.8] Further, $\tilde{z}^{1}$ is also orthogonal to $z^{1}$ : indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <z^{1}, \tilde{z}^{1}>=<\mathbb{X}^{1}, \mathbb{X}^{1}>-<D^{t} \mathbb{X}^{1}, D^{t} \mathbb{X}^{1}>= \\
& <\mathbb{X}^{1}, \mathbb{X}^{1}>-<\mathbb{X}^{1}, D D^{t} \mathbb{X}^{1}>=<\mathbb{X}^{1}, \mathbb{X}^{1}>-<\mathbb{X}^{1}, \mathbb{X}^{1}>=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, from (6.4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
<T z, \tilde{z}^{1}>=\tilde{\alpha}_{1}<\tilde{z}^{1}, \tilde{z}^{1}> \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (6.7) is equivalent to the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
<T z, \tilde{z}^{1}>=0 \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

b) Multiply-laced case. The normal basis of $D F$ (resp. $F D$ ) is not orthogonal, however, the dual graph will help us.

Definition 6.2. Let $u$ be an eigenvector of the Coxeter transformation given by (3.29), (3.30). The vector $\tilde{u}$ is said to be conjugate to $u$, if it is obtained from $u$ by changing the sign of $\mathbb{Y}$-component and replacing the eigenvalue $\lambda$ by $\frac{1}{\lambda}$.
(It is easily to see that the vectors $z^{1}$ and $4 \tilde{z}^{1}$ are conjugate.)
Proposition 6.3. 1) Let $\varphi$ be an eigenvalue for $D F$ and $\varphi^{\vee} \neq \varphi$ an eigenvalue for $D^{\vee} F^{\vee}$. The eigenvectors of $D F$ and $D^{\vee} F^{\vee}$ corresponding to these eigenvalues are orthogonal.
2) Let $z_{\varphi}$ be an eigenvector with eigenvalue $\varphi \neq 0$ for the extended Dynkin diagram $\Gamma$ and $z_{\varphi}^{\vee}$ an eigenvector with eigenvalue $\varphi$ for the dual diagram $\Gamma^{\vee}$. Let $\tilde{z}_{\varphi}^{\vee}$ be conjugate to $z_{\varphi}^{\vee}$. Then $z_{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{z}_{\varphi}^{\vee}$ are orthogonal.

Proof. 1) Let $D F x=\varphi x$ and $D^{\vee} F^{\vee} x=\varphi^{\vee} x^{\vee}$. Since $\varphi \neq \varphi^{\vee}$, one of these eigenvalues is $\neq 0$. Let, for example, $\varphi \neq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
<x, x^{\vee}>=\frac{1}{\varphi}<D F x, x^{\vee}>=\frac{1}{\varphi}<x,(D F)^{t} x^{\vee}> \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.10) and (3.8) we have

$$
<x, x^{\vee}>=\frac{1}{\varphi}<x, D^{\vee} F^{\vee} x^{\vee}>=\frac{\varphi^{\vee}}{\varphi}<x, x^{\vee}>
$$

Since $\varphi \neq \varphi^{\vee}$, we have $<x, x^{\vee}>=0$.
2) Let us express vectors $z_{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{z}_{\varphi}^{\vee}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{\varphi}=\binom{x}{-\frac{2}{\lambda+1} F x}, \quad \tilde{z}_{\varphi}^{\vee}=\binom{x^{\vee}}{\frac{2 \lambda}{\lambda+1} F^{\vee} x^{\vee}} . \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (3.7) and (3.8) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <z_{\varphi}, \tilde{z}_{\varphi}^{\vee}>=<x, x^{\vee}>-\frac{4 \lambda}{(\lambda+1)^{2}}<F x, F^{\vee} x^{\vee}>= \\
& <x, x^{\vee}>-\frac{1}{\varphi}<D F x, x^{\vee}>=<x, x^{\vee}>-<x, x^{\vee}>=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by Proposition 6.3 heading 1), the vector $\tilde{z}^{1 \vee}$ is orthogonal to the $z_{i}^{\varphi}$ for $i=1,2$ and by Proposition 6.3 heading 2), the vector $\tilde{z}^{1 \vee}$ is orthogonal to $z^{1}$. Therefore from (6.4) we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
<T z, \tilde{z}^{1 \vee}>=\tilde{\alpha}_{1}<\tilde{z}^{1 \vee}, \tilde{z}^{1 \vee}> \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (6.7) is equivalent to the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
<T z, \tilde{z}^{1 \vee}>=0 . \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relation (6.8) for the simply-laced case and relation (6.12) for the multiply-laced case motivate the following

Definition 6.4. The linear form $\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}(z)$ given as follows

$$
\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}(z)= \begin{cases}<T z, \tilde{z}^{1}> & \text { for a simply-laced case }  \tag{6.14}\\ <T z, \tilde{z}^{1 \vee}> & \text { for a multiply-laced case }\end{cases}
$$

is said to be the $\Delta^{\prime}$-defect of the vector $z$.
From (6.9) and (6.13) we derive the following theorem:
THEOREM 6.5 ( $\mathbf{S u S t 7 5}, \mathbf{S u S t 7 8}$ ). If $z$ is a regular vector for the extended Dynkin diagram $\Gamma$ in the orientation $\Delta^{\prime}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}(z)=0 . \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Below, in Proposition 6.13, we will show that the condition (6.15) is also sufficient, if $z$ is a root in the root system related to the given extended Dynkin diagram.

### 6.3. The Dlab-Ringel definition of the defect

The vector $z^{1}$ from the kernel of the Tits form is the fixed point for the Weyl group, so $T^{-1} z^{1}=z^{1}$, and from (6.4) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\alpha_{1} z^{1}+\tilde{\alpha}_{1} T^{-1} \tilde{z}^{1}+\alpha_{1}^{\varphi_{2}} T^{-1} z_{1}^{\varphi_{2}}+\alpha_{2}^{\varphi_{2}} T^{-1} z_{2}^{\varphi_{2}}+\ldots \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (6.6) for $k=h$ being the Coxeter number, i.e., $\left(\lambda_{1,2}^{\varphi_{2}}\right)^{k}=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{k} z=\alpha_{1} z^{1}+\tilde{\alpha}_{1}\left(k z^{1}+T^{-1} \tilde{z}^{1}\right)+\alpha_{1}^{\varphi_{2}} T^{-1} z_{1}^{\varphi_{2}}+\alpha_{2}^{\varphi_{2}} T^{-1} z_{2}^{\varphi_{2}}+\ldots \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.16) and (6.17) we get the following formula due to V. Dlab and C. M. Ringel, see DR76:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{h} z=z+h \tilde{\alpha}_{1} z^{1}, \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is the Coxeter number and $\tilde{\alpha}_{1}=\tilde{\alpha}_{1}(z)$ is the linear form proportional to $\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}(z)$. By (6.8) for the simply-laced case and by (6.12) for the multiply-laced case the form $\tilde{\alpha}_{1}(z)$ can be calculated as follows:

$$
\tilde{\alpha}_{1}(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{\left\langle T z, \tilde{z}^{1}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\tilde{z}^{1}, \tilde{z}^{1}\right\rangle} & \text { for a simply-laced case }  \tag{6.19}\\ \frac{\left\langle T z, \tilde{z}^{1 V}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\tilde{z}^{1}, \tilde{z}^{1 V}\right\rangle} & \text { for a multiply-laced case. }\end{cases}
$$

Dlab and Ringel introduced in [DR76] the defect $\delta_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ as a vector from $\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma}^{*}$ obtained as a solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{*} \delta_{\Delta^{\prime}}=\delta_{\Delta^{\prime}} . \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 6.6 ( $\mathbf{S t 8 5}$ ). The Dlab-Ringel defect $\delta_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ given by (6.20) coincides (up to coefficient) with the $\Delta^{\prime}$-defect $\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ given by Definition 6.4.

Proof. 1) Let us show that $\delta_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ is obtained from $\delta_{\Delta}$ in the same way as $\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ is obtained from $\rho_{\Delta}$, so it suffices to prove the proposition only for bicolored orientations $\Delta$. Indeed,

$$
\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}=T^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{\Delta} T \quad \text { implies that } \quad \mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{*}=T^{*} \mathbf{C}_{\Delta}^{*} T^{-1 *}
$$

Since $\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{*} \delta_{\Delta^{\prime}}=\delta_{\Delta^{\prime}}$, we obtain

$$
\mathbf{C}_{\Delta}^{*} T^{-1 *} \delta_{\Delta^{\prime}}=T^{-1 *} \delta_{\Delta^{\prime}}
$$

and

$$
T^{-1 *} \delta_{\Delta^{\prime}}=\delta_{\Delta}, \quad \text { i.e., } \quad \delta_{\Delta^{\prime}}=T^{*} \delta_{\Delta}
$$

Further,

$$
<\delta_{\Delta}, T z>=<T^{*} \delta_{\Delta}, z>=<\delta_{\Delta^{\prime}}, z>
$$

Thus, $\delta_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ is obtained from $\delta_{\Delta}$ in the same way as $\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ is obtained from $\rho_{\Delta}$.
2) Now, let us prove the proposition for the bicolored orientation $\Delta$, i.e., let us prove that $\rho_{\Delta}$ is proportional to $\delta_{\Delta}$. According to (3.1), (3.2) and (3.4) the relation

$$
\mathbf{C}_{\Delta}^{*} z=z \quad \text { is equivalent to } \quad w_{1}^{*} z=w_{2}^{*} z
$$

which, in turn, is equivalent to the following:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-I & 0 \\
-2 D^{t} & I
\end{array}\right)\binom{x}{y}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & -2 F \\
0 & -I
\end{array}\right)\binom{x}{y}
$$

By (3.7) we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=F^{t} y=D^{\vee} y \\
y=D^{t} x=F^{\vee} x
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus,

$$
z=\binom{x}{F^{\vee} x}, \text { where } x=F^{t} D^{t} x=D^{\vee} F^{\vee} x
$$

i.e., $x$ corresponds to $\lambda=1$, an eigenvalue of $\mathbf{C}$, and to $\varphi=1$, an eigenvalue of $(D F)^{\vee}$. By (6.11) we have $z=\tilde{z}^{1 \vee}$, i.e., $\delta_{\Delta}$ is proportional to $\rho_{\Delta}$, see (6.14).

### 6.4. Necessary conditions of regularity for diagrams with indefinite Tits form

Now, consider the case where $\mathcal{B}$ is indefinite, i.e., $\Gamma$ is any tree, which is neither Dynkin diagram nor extended Dynkin diagram.

Let $\left(\alpha_{1}^{m}, \alpha_{2}^{m}, \alpha_{1}^{\varphi_{2}}, \alpha_{2}^{\varphi_{2}}, \ldots\right)$ be coordinates of the vector $T z$ in the Jordan basis of eigenvectors and adjoint vectors (3.29) - (3.31), where $\alpha_{1}^{m}$ and $\alpha_{2}^{m}$ are coordinates corresponding to eigenvectors $z_{1}^{m}$ and $z_{2}^{m}$. The vectors $z_{1}^{m}$ and $z_{2}^{m}$ correspond to the maximal eigenvalue $\varphi^{m}=\varphi^{\max }$ of $D D^{t}$ and $D^{t} D$, respectively. Let us decompose the vector $T z$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T z=\alpha_{1}^{m} z_{1}^{m}+\alpha_{2}^{m} z_{2}^{m}+\alpha_{1}^{\varphi_{2}} z_{1}^{\varphi_{2}}+\alpha_{2}^{\varphi_{2}} z_{2}^{\varphi_{2}}+\ldots \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (3.32), (3.33), (3.34) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{C}_{\Delta}^{k} T z= \\
& \left(\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{m}}\right)^{k} \alpha_{1}^{m} z_{1}^{m}+\left(\lambda_{2}^{\varphi_{m}}\right)^{k} \alpha_{2}^{m} z_{2}^{m}+\left(\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{2}}\right)^{k} \alpha_{1}^{\varphi_{2}} z_{1}^{\varphi_{2}}+\left(\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{2}}\right)^{k} \alpha_{2}^{\varphi_{2}} z_{2}^{\varphi_{2}}+\ldots \tag{6.22}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{k} z=T^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{k} T z= & \left(\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{m}}\right)^{k} \alpha_{1}^{m} T^{-1} z_{1}^{m}+\left(\lambda_{2}^{\varphi_{m}}\right)^{k} \alpha_{2}^{m} T^{-1} z_{2}^{m}+ \\
& \left(\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{2}}\right)^{k} \alpha_{1}^{\varphi_{2}} T^{-1} z_{1}^{\varphi_{2}}+\left(\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{2}}\right)^{k} \alpha_{2}^{\varphi_{2}} T^{-1} z_{2}^{\varphi_{2}}+\ldots \tag{6.23}
\end{align*}
$$

It will be shown in Theorem 6.11 that the transforming element $T$ can be modified so that its decomposition does not contain any given reflection $\sigma_{\alpha}$. Since the coordinates of
the eigenvectors $z_{1,2}^{m}$ are all positive, see Corollary 3.7 and (3.32), we see that each vector $T^{-1} z_{1,2}^{m}$ has at least one positive coordinate. Besides,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{m}}\right|>\left|\lambda_{1,2}^{\varphi_{j}}\right|>\left|\lambda_{2}^{\varphi_{m}}\right| \tag{6.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

because

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{m}}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}^{\varphi_{m}}} \quad \text { and } \\
& \lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{m}}=2 \varphi^{m}-1+2 \sqrt{\varphi^{m}\left(\varphi^{m}-1\right)}>2 \varphi^{i}-1 \pm 2 \sqrt{\varphi^{i}\left(\varphi^{i}-1\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, since $T^{-1} z_{1,2}^{m}$ have at least one positive coordinate, we deduce from (6.23) and (6.24) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}^{\varphi_{m}} \geq 0, \quad \alpha_{2}^{\varphi_{m}} \geq 0 \tag{6.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

As above for the case $\mathcal{B} \geq 0$, let us calculate $\alpha_{1,2}^{\varphi_{m}}$. The vector $\tilde{z}_{1}^{m}$ conjugate to the vector $z_{1}^{m}$ is orthogonal to the vectors $z_{1,2}^{2}, z_{1,2}^{3}, \ldots$. Let us show that $\tilde{z}_{1}^{m}$ is also orthogonal to $z_{1}^{m}$. Indeed, the vectors $z_{1}^{m}$ and $\tilde{z}_{1}^{m}$ can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}^{m}=\binom{x^{m}}{-\frac{2}{\lambda_{1}^{m}+1} D^{t} x^{m}}, \quad \tilde{z}_{1}^{m}=\binom{x^{m}}{\frac{2 \lambda_{1}^{m}}{\lambda_{1}^{m}+1} D^{t} x^{m}} \tag{6.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for brevity we designate $\lambda_{1}^{\varphi_{\max }}$ by $\lambda_{1}^{m}, z_{1}^{\varphi_{\max }}$ by $z_{1}^{m}$, and $x^{\varphi_{\max }}$ by $x^{m}$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
<z_{1}^{m}, \tilde{z}_{1}^{m}>= & \\
& <x^{m}, x^{m}>-\frac{4 \lambda_{1}^{m}}{\left(\lambda_{1}^{m}+1\right)^{2}}<D^{t} x^{m}, D^{t} x^{m}>= \\
& <x^{m}, x^{m}>-\frac{1}{\varphi_{m}}<x^{m}, D D^{t} x^{m}>=  \tag{6.27}\\
& <x^{m}, x^{m}>-<x^{m}, x^{m}>=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

The conjugate vector $\tilde{z}_{1}^{m}$ is not orthogonal only to $z_{2}^{m}$ in decomposition (6.21), and similarly $\tilde{z}_{2}^{m}$ is not orthogonal only to $z_{1}^{m}$. From (6.21) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
<T z, \tilde{z}_{1}^{m}>=\alpha_{2}^{m}<z_{2}^{m}, \tilde{z}_{1}^{m}>, \quad<T z, \tilde{z}_{2}^{m}>=\alpha_{1}^{m}<z_{1}^{m}, \tilde{z}_{2}^{m}>. \tag{6.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us find $<z_{2}^{m}, \tilde{z}_{1}^{m}>$ and $<z_{1}^{m}, \tilde{z}_{2}^{m}>$. We have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& <z_{2}^{m}, \tilde{z}_{1}^{m}>=<x^{m}, x^{m}>-\frac{4}{\left(\lambda_{2}^{m}+1\right)^{2}}<x^{m}, D D^{t} x^{m}>=  \tag{6.29}\\
& <x^{m}, x^{m}>\left(1-\frac{4}{\left(\lambda_{2}^{m}+1\right)^{2}} \frac{\left(\lambda_{2}^{m}+1\right)^{2}}{4\left(\lambda_{2}^{m}\right)^{2}}\right)=<x^{m}, x^{m}>\left(1-\left(\lambda_{1}^{m}\right)^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
<z_{1}^{m}, \tilde{z}_{2}^{m}>=<x^{m}, x^{m}>\left(1-\left(\lambda_{2}^{m}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{6.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (6.28), (6.29) and (6.30) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& <T z, \tilde{z}_{1}^{m}>=\alpha_{2}^{m}<x^{m}, x^{m}>\left(1-\left(\lambda_{1}^{m}\right)^{2}\right),  \tag{6.31}\\
& <T z, \tilde{z}_{2}^{m}>=\alpha_{1}^{m}<x^{m}, x^{m}>\left(1-\left(\lambda_{2}^{m}\right)^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 6.7 ([SuSt75], SuSt78]). If $z$ is a regular vector for the graph $\Gamma$ with indefinite Tits form $\mathcal{B}$ in the orientation $\Delta^{\prime}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
<T z, \tilde{z}_{1}^{m}>\leq 0, \quad<T z, \tilde{z}_{2}^{m}>\geq 0 \tag{6.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $\lambda_{1}^{m}>1$ and $\lambda_{2}^{m}<1$, the theorem follows from (6.31) and (6.25).
We denote the linear form $<T z, \tilde{z}_{1}^{m}>\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.<T z, \tilde{z}_{2}^{m}>\right)$ by $\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{2}\right)$. Then, conditions (6.32) have the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{1}(z) \leq 0, \quad \rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{2}(z) \geq 0 \tag{6.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar results were obtained by Y. Zhang in Zh89, Prop.1.5], and by J. A. de la Peña, M. Takane in PT90, Th.2.3].

For an application of (6.32) to the star graph, see 66.6.4

### 6.5. Transforming elements and sufficient conditions of regularity

In this section we consider only extended Dynkin diagrams. We will show in Theorem 6.14 that the necessary condition of regularity of the vector $z$ (6.15) coincides with the sufficient condition (Proposition 6.8) only if vector $z$ is a positive root in the corresponding root system. For an arbitrary vector $z$ this is not true. Since dimension $\operatorname{dim} V$ of the indecomposable representation $V$ is a positive root (the Gabriel theorem for the Dynkin diagrams Gab72, BGP73, the Dlab-Ringel theorem for the extended Dynkin diagram DR76, [Naz73], the Kac theorem for any diagrams Kac80, Kac82]), then for the indecomposable representation $V$ the necessary condition of regularity of the vector $\operatorname{dim} V$ coincides with the sufficient condition. For an arbitrary decomposable representation this is not true.

We start from the bicolored orientation $\Delta$.

### 6.5.1. Sufficient conditions of regularity for the bicolored orientation.

Proposition 6.8 ( $(\mathbf{S t 8 2}])$. Let $\Gamma$ be an extended Dynkin diagram, i.e., $\mathcal{B} \geq 0$. Let $z$ be a root in the root system associated with $\Gamma$. If the $\Delta$-defect of the vector $z$ is zero:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\Delta}(z)=0 \tag{6.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $z$ is regular in the bicolored orientation $\Delta$.

## Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\left\{z \mid z>0, z \text { is a root, } \rho_{\Delta}(z)=0\right\} . \tag{6.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

It suffices to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1} H \subset H, \quad w_{2} H \subset H \tag{6.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if (6.36) holds, then

$$
\mathbf{C}_{\Delta}^{k} H \subset H \text { for all } k \in \mathbb{N}
$$

hence $\mathbf{C}_{\Delta}^{k} z>0$ if $z$ is a positive root satisfying the condition (6.34).
So, let us prove, for example, that $w_{1} H \subset H$. Note that $z$ and $w_{1} z$ are roots simultaneously. Thus, either $w_{1} z>0$ or $w_{1} z<0$. Suppose, $w_{1} z<0$. Together with $z>0$, by (3.4) we have $y=0$. Hence

$$
\rho_{\Delta}(z)=<z, \tilde{z}^{1 \vee}>=<x, \tilde{x}^{1 \vee}>
$$

The coordinates of $\tilde{x}^{1 \vee}$ are positive, the coordinates of $x$ are non-negative. If $\rho_{\Delta}(z)=0$, then $x=0$; this contradicts to the condition $z>0$. Therefore, $w_{1} z>0$. It remains to show that

$$
\rho_{\Delta}\left(w_{1} z\right)=0 .
$$

Again, by (3.4), (3.7) and (3.30) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& <w_{1} z, \tilde{z}^{1 \vee}>=<\binom{-x-2 D y}{y},\binom{x^{1 \vee}}{F^{\vee} x^{1 \vee}}>= \\
& -<x, x^{1 \vee}>-2<y, D^{t} x^{1 \vee}>+<y, F^{\vee} x^{1 \vee}>=  \tag{6.37}\\
& -<x, x^{1 \vee}>-<y, F^{\vee} x^{1 \vee}>=-<z, \tilde{z}^{1 \vee}>=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $w_{1} H \subset H$. Similarly, $w_{2} H \subset H$.
To prove the sufficient condition of regularity for arbitrary orientation, we need some properties of transforming elements $T$.

### 6.5.2. A theorem on transforming elements.

Proposition 6.9 ([ $\mathbf{S t 8 2}])$. Let $\Delta^{\prime}, \Delta^{\prime \prime}$ be two arbitrary orientations of the graph $\Gamma$ that differ by the direction of $k$ edges. Consider the chain of orientations, in which every two adjacent orientations differ by the direction of one edge:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta_{0}, \Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \ldots, \Delta_{k-1}, \Delta_{k}=\Delta^{\prime \prime} \tag{6.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, in the Weyl group, there exist elements $P_{i}$ and $S_{i}$, where $i=1,2, \ldots, k$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{C}_{\Delta_{0}}=P_{1} S_{1}, \\
& \mathbf{C}_{\Delta_{1}}=S_{1} P_{1}=P_{2} S_{2}, \\
& \mathbf{C}_{\Delta_{k-1}}=S_{k-1} P_{k-1}=P_{k} S_{k},  \tag{6.39}\\
& \mathbf{C}_{\Delta_{k}}=S_{k} P_{k} .
\end{align*}
$$

In addition, for each reflection and each $i=1,2, \ldots, k$, this reflection does not occur in the decomposition of either $P_{i}$ or $S_{i}^{-1}$.

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $k=1$. Let us consider the graph

$$
\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}=\Gamma \backslash l .
$$

The graph $\Gamma$ can be depicted as follows:


The orientations $\Delta^{\prime \prime}$ and $\Delta^{\prime}$ induce the same orientations on the graphs $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$, and therefore they induce the same Coxeter transformations on subgraphs $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$. Denote the corresponding Coxeter transformations by $\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{1}}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{2}}$. Then

$$
\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}=\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{2}} \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{1}}, \quad \mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime \prime}}=\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{1}} \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{2}}
$$

Here,

$$
P_{1}=\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{2}}, \quad S_{1}=\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_{1}} .
$$

Remark 6.10. Observe, that Proposition 6.9 gives, in particular, a simple proof of the fact that all the Coxeter transformations form one conjugacy class, cf. [Bo, Ch.5, §6].

Theorem 6.11 ( $\mathbf{S t 8 2} \mathbf{)}$. 1) Under the condition of Proposition 6.9,

$$
T^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}} T=\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime \prime}}
$$

for the following $k+1$ transforming elements $T:=T_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{1}=P_{1} P_{2} P_{3} \ldots P_{k-2} P_{k-1} P_{k}, \\
& T_{2}=P_{1} P_{2} P_{3} \ldots P_{k-2} P_{k-1} S_{k}^{-1}, \\
& T_{3}=P_{1} P_{2} P_{3} \ldots P_{k-2} S_{k-1}^{-1} S_{k}^{-1}, \\
& \ldots  \tag{6.41}\\
& T_{k-1}=P_{1} P_{2} S_{3}^{-1} \ldots S_{k-2}^{-1} S_{k-1}^{-1} S_{k}^{-1}, \\
& T_{k}=P_{1} S_{2}^{-1} S_{3}^{-1} \ldots S_{k-2}^{-1} S_{k-1}^{-1} S_{k}^{-1}, \\
& T_{k+1}=S_{1}^{-1} S_{2}^{-1} S_{3}^{-1} \ldots S_{k-2}^{-1} S_{k-1}^{-1} S_{k}^{-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

In addition, for each reflection $\sigma_{\alpha}$, there exists a $T_{i}$ whose decomposition does not contain this reflection.
2) The following relation holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{p} T_{q}^{-1}=\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{q-p} \tag{6.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. 1) There are altogether $2^{k}$ transforming elements of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=X_{1} X_{2} \ldots X_{k-1} X_{k}, \text { where } X_{i} \in\left\{P_{i}, S_{i}^{-1}\right\} \tag{6.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 6.9, for each reflection $\sigma_{\alpha}$ and for each $i$, we can select $X_{i}=P_{i}$ or $X_{i}=S_{i}^{-1}$ such that this reflection does not occur in $T$. Taking the product of all these elements $X_{i}$ we obtain the transforming element $T$ whose decomposition does not contain this reflection. It remains to show that every transforming element from the list (6.43) containing $2^{k}$ elements is of the form (6.41). By (6.39) we have

$$
S_{q}^{-1} P_{q+1}=P_{q} S_{q+1}^{-1}
$$

Thus, all symbols $S_{i}^{-1}$ can be shifted to the right and all symbols $P_{i}$ can be shifted to the left.
2) It suffices to show (6.42) for $p<q$. By (6.41) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{p} T_{q}^{-1}= & \left(P_{1} P_{2} \ldots P_{k-p+1} S_{k-p+2}^{-1} \ldots S_{k}^{-1}\right) \times\left(S_{k} \ldots S_{k-q+2} P_{1} P_{k-q+1}^{-1} \ldots P_{1}^{-1}\right)= \\
& P_{1} P_{2} \ldots P_{k-p+1}\left(S_{k-p+1} S_{k-p} \ldots S_{k-q+2}\right) P_{k-q+1}^{-1} \ldots P_{1}^{-1} . \tag{6.44}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $k-p+1 \geq k-q+2$. In order to simplify (6.44), we use formulas (6.39):

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{k-p} P_{k-p+1} S_{k-p+1} S_{k-p}=P_{k-p}\left(S_{k-p} P_{k-p}\right) S_{k-p}=\left(P_{k-p} S_{k-p}\right)^{2} \\
& P_{k-p-1}\left(P_{k-p} S_{k-p}\right)^{2} S_{k-p-1}= \\
& P_{k-p-1}\left(S_{k-p-1} P_{k-p-1}\right)^{2} S_{k-p-1}=\left(P_{k-p-1} S_{k-p-1}\right)^{3}  \tag{6.45}\\
& P_{k-q+2} P_{k-q+3} \ldots P_{k-p+1} S_{k-p+1} \ldots S_{k-q+2} S_{k-q+2}=\left(P_{k-q+2} S_{k-q+2}\right)^{q-p}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, from (6.44) and (6.45) we deduce:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{p} T_{q}^{-1}=P_{1} P_{2} \ldots P_{k-q+1}\left(P_{k-q+2} S_{k-q+2}\right)^{q-p} P_{k-q+1}^{-1} \ldots P_{1}^{-1} \tag{6.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, by (6.39) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{k-q+1}\left(P_{k-q+2} S_{k-q+2}\right)^{q-p} P_{k-q+1}^{-1}= \\
& \quad P_{k-q+1}\left(S_{k-q+1} P_{k-q+1}\right)^{q-p} P_{k-q+1}^{-1}=\left(P_{k-q+1} S_{k-q+1}\right)^{q-p}, \\
& P_{k-q}\left(P_{k-q+1} S_{k-q+1}\right)^{q-p} P_{k-q}^{-1}=  \tag{6.47}\\
& \quad P_{k-q}\left(S_{k-q} P_{k-q}\right)^{q-p} P_{k-q}^{-1}=\left(P_{k-q} S_{k-q}\right)^{q-p}, \\
& P_{1}\left(P_{2} S_{2}\right)^{q-p} P_{1}^{-1}=P_{1}\left(S_{1} P_{1}\right)^{q-p} P_{1}^{-1}=\left(P_{1} S_{1}\right)^{q-p} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{p} T_{q}^{-1}=\left(P_{1} S_{1}\right)^{q-p}=\mathbf{C}_{\Delta_{0}}^{q-p}=\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{q-p} . \tag{6.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 6.12. Theorem 6.11 allows us to select transforming element $T$ in such a way that its decomposition does not contain any given refection $\sigma_{i}$, and therefore $T$ does not change any given coordinate $i$. This fact was already used once in $\sqrt{6.4}$ for the proof of the necessary conditions of regularity for diagrams with indefinite Tits form. Now, we will use Theorem 6.11] to carry the sufficient condition of regularity from a bicolored orientation $\Delta$ in Proposition 6.8 to an arbitrary orientation $\Delta^{\prime}$, see Definition 6.1.

### 6.5.3. Sufficient conditions of regularity for an arbitrary orientation.

Proposition 6.13 ([St82]). Let $\Gamma$ be an extended Dynkin diagram. Let $\Delta=\Delta_{0}, \Delta^{\prime}=$ $\Delta_{k}$. If $z$ is a positive root with zero $\Delta^{\prime}$-defect, then $z$ is the $\Delta^{\prime}$-regular vector.

Proof. If $z>0$, then there exists a positive coordinate $z_{\alpha}>0$. Take transforming element $T_{i}$ whose decomposition does not contain the reflection $\sigma_{\alpha}$. Then $\left(T_{i} z\right)_{\alpha}>0$. Since $T_{i} z$ is the root, we have $T_{i} z>0$. The equality $\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}(z)=0$ means that $\rho_{\Delta}\left(T_{i} z\right)=0$, see Definition 6.4. Since $T_{i} z>0$ and $T_{i} z$ is the root, we see by Proposition 6.8 that $T_{i} z$ is $\Delta$-regular and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}_{\Delta}^{m} T_{i} z>0 \text { for all } m \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{6.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that

$$
u=T_{i}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{\Delta}^{m} T_{i} z<0 \text { for some } m=m_{0} .
$$

Then by Theorem 6.11 there exists $T_{j}$ such that $T_{j} u<0$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{j} T_{i}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{\Delta}^{m} T_{i} z<0 \text { for } m=m_{0} . \tag{6.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again by Theorem 6.11 we have $T_{j} T_{i}^{-1}=\mathbf{C}_{\Delta}^{i-j}$ and by (6.50) the following relation holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}_{\Delta}^{i-j} \mathbf{C}_{\Delta}^{m} T_{i} z<0 \text { for } m=m_{0} \tag{6.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

that contradicts to (6.49). Therefore,

$$
u=T_{i}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{\Delta}^{m} T_{i} z>0 \text { for all } m \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Thus, $z$ is a $\Delta^{\prime}$-regular vector.
From Theorem 6.5 together with Proposition 6.13 we get the following
Theorem 6.14. The indecomposable representation $V$ of the graph $\Gamma$ (which is an extended Dynkin diagram) with orientation $\Delta^{\prime}$ is regular in the orientation $\Delta^{\prime}$ if and only if

$$
\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}(\operatorname{dim} V)=0 .
$$

Proof. Indeed, the dimensions of the indecomposable representations of the extended Dynkin diagrams are roots, DR76, Kac80. However, there are indecomposable representations whose dimensions are not usual (real) roots but imaginary roots, see $\$ 2.4$. They are vectors from the kernel of the Tits form, and are proportional to vectors $z^{1}$ which are fixed points of the Weyl group. In particular, $T z^{1}=z^{1}$, so $<z^{1}$, $\tilde{z}^{1 \vee}>=0$ directly implies $<T z^{1}, \tilde{z}^{1 \vee}>=0$.
6.5.4. Invariance of the defect. We will show that the $\Delta^{\prime}$-defect $\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ is invariant under the Coxeter transformation $\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ and $\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ does not depend on the choice of the transforming element $T_{i}$ in the Weyl group, see Definition 6.4 and Theorem 6.11 In other words, the following proposition holds.

Proposition 6.15. 1) The Coxeter transformation $\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ preserves the linear form $\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}} z\right)=\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}(z) \text { for any vector } z . \tag{6.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

2) If $T_{i}$ and $T_{j}$ are transforming elements defined by 6.41), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{\Delta} T_{i}=\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}} \text { and } T_{j}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{\Delta} T_{j}=\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}} \tag{6.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
<T_{i} z, \tilde{z}^{1 \vee}>=<T_{j} z, \tilde{z}^{1 \vee}>\text { for any vector } z \tag{6.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First, observe that (6.54) does not hold for an arbitrary matrix $T$, since the matrix $k T$, where $k \in \mathbb{R}$, also satisfies (6.53), but does not satisfy (6.54).

In (6.37) we showed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
<w_{i} z, \tilde{z}^{1 \vee}>=-<z, \tilde{z}^{1 \vee}> \tag{6.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6.55) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
<z, \tilde{z}^{1 \vee}>=<\mathbf{C}_{\Delta} z, \tilde{z}^{1 \vee}>\text { for any vector } z \tag{6.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., (6.52) holds for any bicolored orientation $\Delta$. Since $z$ in (6.56) is an arbitrary vector, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
<T z, \tilde{z}^{1 \vee}>=<\mathbf{C}_{\Delta} T z, \tilde{z}^{1 \vee}>=<T \mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}} z, \tilde{z}^{1 \vee}> \tag{6.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}(z)=\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}} z\right), \tag{6.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., (6.52) holds for an arbitrary orientation $\Delta^{\prime}$.

Further, from (6.56) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\Delta}(z)=\rho_{\Delta}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\Delta} z\right)=\rho_{\Delta}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\Delta}^{i-j} z\right) \tag{6.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6.42)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\Delta}(z)=\rho_{\Delta}\left(T_{j} T_{i}^{-1} z\right) . \tag{6.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $T_{i} z$ instead of $z$ we have

$$
\rho_{\Delta}\left(T_{i} z\right)=\rho_{\Delta}\left(T_{j} z\right)
$$

and (6.54) is proved.

### 6.6. Examples of necessary conditions of regularity

Definition 6.16. Let $\Gamma$ be a graph, $x_{0} \in \Gamma$ be a point of maximal branching degree and let all arrows of $\Gamma$ be directed to the point $x_{0}$. The corresponding orientation is said to be the central orientation and denoted by $\Delta_{0}$.

We will consider the necessary conditions of regularity for some diagrams in bicolored, central, and other orientations. If an orientation $\Delta^{\prime}$ is obtained from another orientation $\Delta^{\prime \prime}$ by reversing of all arrows of a graph, then the corresponding conditions of the regularity coincide:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}=\rho_{\Delta^{\prime \prime}} \quad \text { if } \mathcal{B}>0 \tag{6.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{1}=\rho_{\Delta^{\prime \prime}}^{1}, \quad \rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{2}=\rho_{\Delta^{\prime \prime}}^{2} \quad \text { if } \mathcal{B} \text { is indefinite. } \tag{6.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us introduce an equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ on the set of orientations of the graph $\Gamma$. Two orientations $\Delta^{\prime}$ and $\Delta^{\prime \prime}$ will be called equivalent, if one orientation can be obtained from the other one by reversing all arrows or by an automorphism of the diagram; for equivalent orientations, we write:

$$
\Delta^{\prime} \equiv \Delta^{\prime \prime}(\bmod \mathcal{R})
$$

Equivalent orientations have the identical conditions of regularity.


Figure 6.1. For $\tilde{D}_{4}$, the bicolored and central orientations coincide
6.6.1. The three equivalence classes of orientations of $\tilde{D}_{4}$. In the case of $\tilde{D}_{4}$, the bicolored orientation $\Delta$ coincides with the central orientation $\Delta_{0}$. The orientations $\Delta, \Delta^{\prime}$, and $\Delta^{\prime \prime}$ cover all possible equivalence classes.
a) The bicolored orientation $\Delta$, see Fig. 6.1,

Here, $T=I$ and by Theorem 6.5 and Proposition 6.8 we have

$$
z^{1}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
2  \tag{6.63}\\
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right) \begin{aligned}
& x_{0} \\
& y_{1} \\
& y_{2} \\
& y_{3} \\
& y_{4}
\end{aligned}, \quad \tilde{z}^{1}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
2 \\
-1 \\
-1 \\
-1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right), \quad \rho_{\Delta}(z)=y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}+y_{4}-2 x_{0}
$$

Originally, the linear form $\rho_{\Delta}(z)$ in (6.63) was obtained by I. M. Gelfand and V. A. Ponomarev in the work devoted to classifications of quadruples of linear subspaces of arbitrary dimension GP72.
b) The orientation $\Delta^{\prime}$, see Fig. 6.1. Here we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}_{\Delta}=\sigma_{y_{4}} \sigma_{y_{3}} \sigma_{y_{2}} \sigma_{y_{1}} \sigma_{x_{0}}, \quad \mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}=\sigma_{y_{4}} \sigma_{y_{3}} \sigma_{y_{1}} \sigma_{x_{0}} \sigma_{y_{2}}, \quad T=\sigma_{y_{2}} \tag{6.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by Theorem 6.5 and Proposition 6.13 we get the following condition of the $\Delta^{\prime}$ regularity:

$$
T z=\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{0}  \tag{6.65}\\
y_{1} \\
x_{0}-y_{2} \\
y_{3} \\
y_{4}
\end{array}\right), \quad \begin{array}{cc} 
\\
y_{1}+\left(x_{0}-y_{2}\right)+y_{3}+y_{4}-2 x_{0}=0, \text { or } \\
y_{1}+y_{3}+y_{4}=y_{2}+x_{0}
\end{array}
$$

c) The orientation $\Delta^{\prime \prime}$, see Fig. 6.1 Here, $T=\sigma_{y_{2}} \sigma_{y_{3}}$ (or $\sigma_{y_{1}} \sigma_{y_{4}}$ ), We have the following condition of the $\Delta^{\prime \prime}$-regularity:

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{1}+\left(x_{0}-y_{2}\right)+\left(x_{0}-y_{3}\right)+y_{4}-2 x_{0}=0, \text { or }  \tag{6.66}\\
y_{1}+y_{4}=y_{2}+y_{3} .
\end{gather*}
$$



Figure 6.2. For $\tilde{E}_{6}$, the bicolored and central orientations
6.6.2. The bicolored and central orientations of $\tilde{E}_{6}$. We consider only the bicolored orientation $\Delta$ and the central orientation $\Delta_{0}$, Fig. 6.2] The Coxeter transformations and transforming element $T$ are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{C}_{\Delta}=\sigma_{y_{3}} \sigma_{y_{2}} \sigma_{y_{1}} \sigma_{x_{3}} \sigma_{x_{2}} \sigma_{x_{1}} \sigma_{x_{0}} \\
& \mathbf{C}_{\Delta_{0}}=\sigma_{x_{3}} \sigma_{x_{2}} \sigma_{x_{1}} \sigma_{y_{3}} \sigma_{y_{2}} \sigma_{y_{1}} \sigma_{x_{0}}  \tag{6.67}\\
& T=\sigma_{x_{3}} \sigma_{x_{2}} \sigma_{x_{1}}
\end{align*}
$$

We have

$$
z^{1}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
3  \tag{6.68}\\
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
2 \\
2 \\
2
\end{array}\right) \begin{aligned}
& x_{0} \\
& x_{1} \\
& x_{2} \\
& x_{3} \\
& y_{1} \\
& y_{2} \\
& y_{3}
\end{aligned} \quad \quad \tilde{z}^{1}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
3 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
-2 \\
-2 \\
-2
\end{array}\right), \quad T z=\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{0} \\
y_{1}-x_{1} \\
y_{2}-x_{2} \\
y_{3}-x_{3} \\
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
y_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and by Theorem 6.5 and Proposition 6.8 a condition of $\Delta$-regularity is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\Delta}(z)=3 x_{0}+x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+3 x_{0}-2 y_{1}-2 y_{2}-2 y_{3} . \tag{6.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

The choice of element $T$ is ambiguous, but it was shown in Proposition 6.15 that the regularity condition does not depend on this choice.

So, a condition of $\Delta_{0}$-regularity is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{\Delta_{0}}(z)= \\
& \quad 3 x_{0}+\left(y_{1}-x_{1}\right)+\left(y_{2}-x_{2}\right)+\left(y_{3}-x_{3}\right)-2\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}\right)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\Delta_{0}}(z)=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}-3 x_{0} \tag{6.70}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\tilde{\mathrm{G}}_{21}=\tilde{\mathrm{G}}_{22}^{\mathrm{V}}
$$

Figure 6.3. The two orientations of $\tilde{G}_{21}$ and $\tilde{G}_{22}=\tilde{G}_{21}^{\vee}$
6.6.3. The multiply-laced case. The two orientations of $\tilde{G}_{21}$ and $\tilde{G}_{22}=\tilde{G}_{21}^{\vee}$. We have

$$
z^{1}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
2  \tag{6.71}\\
1 \\
3
\end{array}\right) \begin{aligned}
& x_{1} \\
& y_{1} \\
& y_{2}
\end{aligned}, \quad \tilde{z}^{1}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
2 \\
-1 \\
-3
\end{array}\right), \quad z^{1 \vee}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right) \begin{aligned}
& x_{1} \\
& y_{1},
\end{aligned} \quad \tilde{z}^{1 \vee}=\binom{2}{y_{2}} .
$$

Take vectors $\tilde{z}^{1}$ and $\tilde{z}^{1 \vee}$ from (6.71) and substitute them in (6.13). We get the following regularity condition $\rho_{\Delta}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\rho_{\Delta}^{\vee}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{\Delta}(z)=y_{1}+y_{2}-2 x_{1} \quad \text { for } \tilde{G}_{21}, \\
& \rho_{\Delta}^{\vee}(z)=y_{1}+3 y_{2}-2 x_{1} \quad \text { for } \tilde{G}_{22} . \tag{6.72}
\end{align*}
$$

Now consider the orientation $\Delta^{\prime}$, Fig. 6.3. The Coxeter transformations can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{C}_{\Delta}=\sigma_{y_{2}} \sigma_{y_{1}} \sigma_{x_{1}}, & \mathbf{C}_{\Delta}^{\vee}=\sigma_{y_{2}}^{\vee} \sigma_{y_{1}}^{\vee} \sigma_{x_{1}}^{\vee}, \\
\mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}=\sigma_{y_{1}} \sigma_{x_{1}} \sigma_{y_{2}}, & \mathbf{C}_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{\vee}=\sigma_{y_{1}}^{\vee} \sigma_{x_{1}}^{\vee} \sigma_{y_{2}}^{\vee}, \tag{6.73}
\end{array}
$$

Transforming elements $T$ and $T^{\vee}$ are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\sigma_{y_{2}}, \quad T^{\vee}=\sigma_{y_{2}}^{\vee} \tag{6.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
T z=\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}  \tag{6.75}\\
y_{1} \\
3 x_{1}-y_{2}
\end{array}\right), \quad T^{\vee} z=\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
y_{1} \\
x_{1}-y_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Finally, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}(z)=y_{1}-y_{2}+x_{1} \quad \text { for } \tilde{G}_{21},  \tag{6.76}\\
& \rho_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{\vee}(z)=y_{1}-3 y_{2}+x_{1} \quad \text { for } \tilde{G}_{22} .
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 6.4. A bicolored orientation of the star $*_{n+1}$
6.6.4. The case of indefinite $\mathcal{B}$. The oriented star $*_{n+1}$. Consider the oriented star $*_{n+1}$ with a bicolored orientation.

According to Remark 3.6 the matrix $D D^{t}$ is a scalar. By (3.21) $D D^{t}=\frac{n}{4}$ and the maximal eigenvalue $\varphi^{m}=\frac{n}{4}$. By (3.20) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1,2}^{m}=\frac{n-2 \pm \sqrt{n(n-4)}}{2} . \tag{6.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x_{m}=1$. Then

$$
\frac{-2}{\lambda_{1,2}^{m}+1} D^{t} x^{m}=\frac{-2}{\lambda_{1,2}^{m}+1}[1,1, \ldots, 1]^{t} .
$$

Thus, we have the following eigenvectors $z_{1}^{m}, z_{2}^{m}$ :

$$
z_{1}^{m}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\lambda_{1}^{m}+1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
\ldots \\
1
\end{array}\right), \quad z_{2}^{m}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\lambda_{2}^{m}+1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
\ldots \\
1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and their conjugate vectors $\tilde{z}_{1}^{m}, \tilde{z}_{2}^{m}$ (see Definition 6.2 and Proposition 6.3):

$$
\tilde{z}_{1}^{m}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\lambda_{2}^{m}+1 \\
-1 \\
-1 \\
\cdots \\
-1
\end{array}\right), \quad \tilde{z}_{2}^{m}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\lambda_{1}^{m}+1 \\
-1 \\
-1 \\
\cdots \\
-1
\end{array}\right)
$$

According to (6.32) we obtain the following condition of $\Delta$-regularity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda_{2}^{m}+1\right) x_{0}-\sum y_{i} \leq 0, \quad\left(\lambda_{1}^{m}+1\right) x_{0}-\sum y_{i} \geq 0 \tag{6.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.77) and (6.78) we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{n-\sqrt{n(n-4)}}{2} x_{0} \leq \sum y_{i} \leq \frac{n+\sqrt{n(n-4)}}{2} x_{0} \text { or } \\
& \frac{n-\sqrt{n(n-4)}}{2} \sum y_{i} \leq x_{0} \leq \frac{n+\sqrt{n(n-4)}}{2} \sum y_{i}, \text { or } \\
& \left|x_{0}-\frac{1}{2} \sum y_{i}\right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{n(n-4)}}{2 n} \sum y_{i} \text { or }  \tag{6.79}\\
& x_{0}^{2}-x_{0} \sum y_{i}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\sum y_{i}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4}\left(\sum y_{i}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{n}\left(\sum y_{i}\right)^{2} \text { or } \\
& x_{0}^{2}-x_{0} \sum y_{i} \leq-\frac{1}{n}\left(\sum y_{i}\right)^{2} \text { or } \\
& x_{0}^{2}-x_{0} \sum y_{i}+\sum y_{i}^{2} \leq \sum y_{i}^{2}-\frac{1}{n}\left(\sum y_{i}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the left hand side of the latter inequality is the Tits form $\mathcal{B}$, we obtain the following condition of $\Delta$-regularity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}(z) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{0<i<j}\left(y_{i}-y_{j}\right)^{2} . \tag{6.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

## APPENDIX A

# The McKay correspondence and the Slodowy generalization 

We have seen during the past few years a major assault on the problem of determining all the finite simple groups. ... If I am right, I foresee new proofs of classification which will owe little or nothing to the current proofs. They will be much shorter and will help us to understand the simple groups in a context much wider than finite group theory.
J. McKay, McK80, p.183], 1980

## A.1. Finite subgroups of $S U(2)$ and $S O(3, \mathbb{R})$

Let us consider the special unitary group $S U(2)$, the subgroup of unitary transformations in $G L(2, \mathbb{C})$ with determinant 1 and its quotient group $P S U(2)=S U(2) /\{ \pm 1\}$ acting on the complex projective line $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$, see $\oint \overline{B .4}$ The projective line $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$ can be identified with the sphere $S^{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$, see $\S(B .4$ and (B.16).

The transformations of the sphere $S^{2}$ induced by elements of $S O(3, \mathbb{R})$ (orientation preserving rotations of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ ) correspond under these identifications to transformations of $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$ in the group $\operatorname{PSU}(2)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P S U(2) \cong S O(3, \mathbb{R}) \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

see Sp77, Prop.4.4.3], PV94 §0.13].
It is well known that the finite subgroups of $S O(3, \mathbb{R})$ are precisely the rotation groups of the following polyhedra: the regular $n$-angled pyramid, the $n$-angled dihedron (a regular plane $n$-gon with two faces), the tetrahedron, the cube (its the rotation group coincides with the rotation group of the octahedron), the icosahedron (its the rotation group coincides with the rotation group of the dodecahedron). By (A.1) we have a classification of the finite subgroups of $\operatorname{PSU}(2)$, see Table A. 1

To get a classification of all finite subgroups of $S U(2)$ we make use of the double covering

$$
\pi: S U(2) \longrightarrow S O(3, \mathbb{R})
$$

If $G$ is a finite subgroup of $S O(3, \mathbb{R})$, we see that the preimage $\pi^{-1}(G)$ is a finite subgroup of $S U(2)$ and $\left|\pi^{-1}(G)\right|=2|G|$. The finite subgroups of $S O(3, \mathbb{R})$ are called polyhedral groups, see Table A. 1 The finite subgroups of $S U(2)$ are naturally called binary polyhedral groups, see Table A.2.

Remark A.1. 1) The cyclic group is an exceptional case. The preimage of the cyclic group $G=\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ is the even cyclic group $\mathbb{Z} / 2 n \mathbb{Z}$, which is a binary cyclic group. The cyclic group $\mathbb{Z} /(2 n-1) \mathbb{Z}$ is not a preimage with respect to $\pi$, so $\mathbb{Z} /(2 n-1) \mathbb{Z}$ is not a binary polyhedral group. Anyway, the cyclic groups $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ complete the list of finite subgroups of $S U(2)$.

Table A.1. The polyhedral groups in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$

| Polyhedron | Orders of symmetries | Rotation group | Group order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pyramid | - | cyclic | $n$ |
| Dihedron | $n 22$ | dihedral | $2 n$ |
| Tetrahedron | 323 | $\mathcal{A}_{4}$ | 12 |
| Cube | 423 | $\mathcal{S}_{4}$ | 24 |
| Octahedron | 324 | $\mathcal{S}_{4}$ | 24 |
| Dodecahedron | 523 | $\mathcal{A}_{5}$ | 60 |
| Icosahedron | 325 | $\mathcal{A}_{5}$ | 60 |

Here, $\mathcal{S}_{m}$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_{m}$ ) denotes the symmetric, (resp. alternating) group of all (resp. of all even) permutations of $m$ letters.
2) Every finite subgroup of $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ is conjugate to a subgroup in $S U(2)$, so we also have a classification of finite subgroups of $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$, Sp77.

For every polyhedral group $G$, the axis of rotations under an element $\gamma \in G$ passes through either the mid-point of a face, or the mid-point of an edge, or a vertex. We denote the orders of symmetry of these axes by $p, q$, and $r$, respectively, see [S183]. These numbers are listed in Table A.1. The triples $p, q, r$ listed in Table A. 1 are exactly the solutions of the diophantine inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{r}>1 \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

see Sp77.

## A.2. Generators and relations in polyhedral groups

The quaternion group introduced by W. R. Hamilton is defined as follows: It is generated by three generators $i, j$, and $k$ subject to the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
i^{2}=j^{2}=k^{2}=i j k=-1 ; \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for references, see Cox40, CoxM84. A natural generalization of the quaternion group is the group generated by three generators $R, S$, and $T$ subject to the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{p}=S^{q}=T^{r}=R S T=-1 \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\langle p, q, r\rangle$ the group defined by (A.4).
W. Threlfall, (see [CoxM84]) has observed that

$$
\langle 2,2, n\rangle,\langle 2,3,3\rangle,\langle 2,3,4\rangle,\langle 2,3,5\rangle
$$

are the binary polyhedral groups, of order

$$
\frac{4}{\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{r}-1}
$$

Table A.2. The finite subgroups of $S U(2)$

| $\langle l, m, n\rangle$ | Order | Denotation | Well-known name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | $n$ | $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ | cyclic group |
| $\langle 2,2, n\rangle$ | $4 n$ | $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ | binary dihedral group |
| $\langle 2,3,3\rangle$ | 24 | $\mathcal{T}$ | binary tetrahedral group |
| $\langle 2,3,4\rangle$ | 48 | $\mathcal{O}$ | binary octahedral group |
| $\langle 2,3,5\rangle$ | 120 | $\mathcal{J}$ | binary icosahedral group |

H. S. M. Coxeter proved in Cox40, p.370] that having added one more generator $Z$ one can replace ( (A.4) by the relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{p}=S^{q}=T^{r}=R S T=Z \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the groups $\langle 2,2, n\rangle$ and $\langle 2,3, n\rangle$, where $n=3,4,5$, relation (A.5) implies

$$
Z^{2}=1
$$

The polyhedral groups from Table A. 1 are described by generations and relations as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{p}=S^{q}=T^{r}=R S T=1, \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

see Cox40, CoxM84.

## A.3. Kleinian singularities and the Du Val resolution

Consider the quotient variety $\mathbb{C}^{2} / G$, where $G$ is a binary polyhedral group $G \subset S U(2)$ from Table A.2. According to ( $\$ \overline{B .5 .4}$ and (B.40) $) X=\mathbb{C}^{2} / G$ is the orbit space given by the prime spectrum on the algebra of invariants $R^{G}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
X:=\operatorname{Spec}\left(R^{G}\right) \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R=\mathbb{C}\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]$ (see eq.(2.27)) which coincides with the symmetric algebra $\operatorname{Sym}\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{*}\right)$ (see \$2.7.1] for more details, see Sp77, [PV94, S180].)
F. Klein Kl1884 observed that the algebra of invariants $\mathbb{C}\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]^{G}$ for every binary polyhedral group $G \subset S U(2)$ from Table A. 2 can be considered by one approach:

Theorem A. 2 (F. Klein, [Kl1884]). The algebra of invariants $\mathbb{C}\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]^{G}$ is generated by 3 variables $x, y, z$, subject to one essential relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x, y, z)=0 \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R(x, y, z)$ is defined in column 2, Table A.3. In other words, the algebra of invariants $\mathbb{C}\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]^{G}$ coincides with the coordinate algebra (see $\sqrt{B .5 .3}$ ) of a curve defined by the eq. (A.8), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{C}\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]^{G} \simeq \mathbb{C}[x, y, z] /(R(x, y, z)) . \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

TABLE A.3. The relations $R(x, y, z)$ describing the algebra of invariants $\mathbb{C}\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]^{G}$

| Finite subgroup of $S U(2)$ | Relation $R(x, y, z)$ | Dynkin diagram |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ | $x^{n}+y z$ | $A_{n-1}$ |
| $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ | $x^{n+1}+x y^{2}+z^{2}$ | $D_{n+2}$ |
| $\mathcal{T}$ | $x^{4}+y^{3}+z^{2}$ | $E_{6}$ |
| $\mathcal{O}$ | $x^{3} y+y^{3}+z^{2}$ | $E_{7}$ |
| $\mathcal{J}$ | $x^{5}+y^{3}+z^{2}$ | $E_{8}$ |

The quotient $X$ from (A.7) has no singularity except an the origin $O \in \mathbb{C}^{3}$. The quotient variety $X$ is called a Kleinian singularity also known as a $D u$ Val singularity, a simple surface singularity or a rational double point.

See Remark A.3, S183, §5]. The quotient variety $X$ can be embedded as a surface $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{3}$ with an isolated singularity at the origin.

Remark A.3. According to Theorem [2.3 (Sheppard-Todd-Chevalley-Serre) the algebra of invariants $k[V]^{G}$ is isomorphic to a polynomial algebra in some number of variables if the image of $G$ in $G L(V)$ is generated by reflections. Every binary polyhedral group $G$ is generated by reflections, see, e.g., CoxM84, therefore the algebra of invariants $k[V]^{G}$ for the binary polyhedral group is a polynomial algebra.

Example A.4. Consider the cyclic group $G=\mathbb{Z} / r \mathbb{Z}$ of the order $r$. The group $G$ acts on $\mathbb{C}\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(\varepsilon z_{1}, \varepsilon^{r-1} z_{2}\right), \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon=e^{2 \pi i / r}$, and polynomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=z_{1} z_{2}, \quad y=-z_{1}^{r}, \quad z=z_{2}^{r} \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

are invariant polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ which satisfy the following relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{r}+y z=0, \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

see Table A.3. We have

$$
k[V]^{G}=\mathbb{C}\left[z_{1} z_{2}, z_{1}^{r}, z_{2}^{r}\right] \simeq \mathbb{C}[x, y, z] /\left(x^{r}+y z\right) .
$$

see, e.g., Sp77, pp.95-97], [PV94, p.143].

Du Val obtained the following description of the minimal resolution $\pi: \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$ of a Kleinian singularity $X=\mathbb{C}^{2} / G$, see [DuVal34, Sl80, §6.1, §6.2] [S183, §5] ${ }^{1}$. The exceptional divisor (the preimage of the singular point $O$ ) is a finite union of complex projective lines:

$$
\pi^{-1}(O)=L_{1} \cup \cdots \cup L_{n}, \quad L_{i} \simeq \mathbb{C P}^{1} \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n
$$

The intersection $L_{i} \cap L_{j}$ is empty or consists of exactly one point for $i \neq j$.
To each complex projective line $L_{i}$ (which can be identified with the sphere $S^{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$, see $\$$ B.4) we assign a vertex $i$, and two vertices are connected by an edge if the corresponding projective lines intersect. The corresponding diagrams are Dynkin diagrams (this phenomenon was observed by Du Val in DuVal34 ), see Table A. 3

In the case of the binary dihedral group $\mathcal{D}_{2}$ the real resolution of the real variety

$$
\mathbb{C}^{3} / R(x, y, z) \cap \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

gives a quite faithful picture of the complex situation, the minimal resolution $\pi^{-1}: \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$ for $X=\mathcal{D}_{2}$ depicted on Fig. A.1 Here $\pi^{-1}(O)$ consists of four circles, the corresponding diagram is the Dynkin diagram $D_{4}$.

$$
2)_{2}, X=\left\{\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{y}^{2}\right)+\mathrm{z}^{2}\right\}
$$



Figure A.1. The minimal resolution $\pi^{-1}: \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$ for $X=\mathcal{D}_{2}$

## A.4. The McKay correspondence

Let $G$ be a finite subgroup of $S U(2)$. Let $\left\{\rho_{0}, \rho_{1}, \ldots \rho_{n}\right\}$ be the set of irreducible finite dimensional complex representations of $G$, of which $\rho_{0}$ is the trivial one. Let $\rho: \longrightarrow S U(2)$ be a faithful representation. Then, for each group $G$, we can define a matrix $A(G)=\left(a_{i j}\right)$, by decomposing the tensor products:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \otimes \rho_{j}=\bigoplus_{k=0}^{r} a_{j k} \rho_{k}, \quad j, k=0,1, \ldots, r, \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{j k}$ is the multiplicity of $\rho_{k}$ in $\rho \otimes \rho_{j}$. McKay McK80 observed that

[^9]The matrix $2 I-A(G)$ is the Cartan matrix of the extended Dynkin diagram $\tilde{\Delta}(G)$ associated to $G$. There is one-to-one correspondence between finite subgroups of $S U(2)$ and simply-laced extended Dynkin diagrams.
This remarkable observation, called the McKay correspondence, was based first on an explicit verification McK80.

For the multiply-laced case, the McKay correspondence was extended by D. Happel, U. Preiser, and C. M. Ringel in HPR80, and by P. Slodowy in [S180, App.III]. We consider P. Slodowy's approach in A.5

The systematic proof of the McKay correspondence based on the study of affine Coxeter transformations was given by R. Steinberg in [Stb85].

Other explanations of the McKay correspondence have been given by G. GonzalezSprinberg and J.-L. Verdier in GV83], by H. Knörrer in Kn85]. A nice review is given by J. van Hoboken in Hob02.
B. Kostant used the McKay matrix $A(G)$ (or McKay operator) in Kos84 and showed that the multiplicities $m_{i}(n)$ in the decomposition

$$
\pi_{n} \mid G=\sum_{i=0}^{r} m_{i}(n) \rho_{i}
$$

(see §1.5.2) come in a amazing way from the orbit structure of the Coxeter transformation on the highest root of the corresponding Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, see $\$$ B. 6 . More explicitly, the multiplicities $m_{i}(n)$ come from the orbit structure of the affine Coxeter transformation on the set of roots of $\mathfrak{g}$. To calculate these multiplicities, Kostant employed generating functions and Poincaré series. We applied Kostant's technique in 55.4 in order to show a relation between Poincaré series and a ratio of characteristic polynomials of the Coxeter transformations.

## A.5. The Slodowy generalization of the McKay correspondence

We consider here the Slodowy generalization [S180] of the McKay correspondence to the multiply-laced case and illustrate Slodowy's approach with the diagrams $\tilde{F}_{41}$ and $\tilde{F}_{42}$, see Fig. 2.2.

Slodowy's approach is based on the consideration of restricted representations and induced representations instead of an original representation. Let $\rho: G \longrightarrow G L(V)$ be a representation of a group $G$. We denote the restricted representation of $\rho$ to a subgroup $H \subset G$ by $\rho \downarrow_{H}^{G}$, or, briefly, $\rho^{\downarrow}$ for fixed $G$ and $H$. Let $\tau: H \longrightarrow G L(V)$ be a representation of a subgroup $H$. We denote by $\tau \uparrow_{H}^{G}$ the representation induced by $\tau$ to a representation of the group $G$ containing $H$; we briefly write $\tau^{\uparrow}$ for fixed $G$ and $H$. For a detailed definition on restricted and induced representations, see, for example, Kar92] or Bak04.

Let us consider pairs of groups $H \triangleleft G$, where $H$ and $G$ are binary polyhedral groups from Tables A. 2 and A.3.
A.5.1. The Slodowy correspondence. Let us fix a pair $H \triangleleft G$ from Table A.4 We formulate now the essence of the Slodowy correspondence [S180, App.III].

1) Let $\rho_{i}$, where $i=1, \ldots, n$, be irreducible representations of $G$; let $\rho_{i}^{\downarrow}$ be the corresponding restricted representations of the subgroup $H$. Let $\rho$ be a faithful representation of $H$, which may be considered as the restriction of the fixed faithful representation $\rho_{f}$ of $G$. Then the following decomposition formula makes sense

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \otimes \rho_{i}^{\downarrow}=\bigoplus a_{j i} \rho_{j}^{\downarrow} \tag{A.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

TABLE A.4. The pairs $H \triangleleft G$ of binary polyhedral groups

| Subgroup <br> $H$ | Dynkin <br> diagram $\Gamma(H)$ | Group <br> $R$ | Dynkin <br> diagram $\Gamma(G)$ | Index <br> $[G: H]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{D}_{2}$ | $D_{4}$ | $\mathcal{T}$ | $E_{6}$ | 3 |
| $\mathcal{T}$ | $E_{6}$ | $\mathcal{O}$ | $E_{7}$ | 2 |
| $\mathcal{D}_{r-1}$ | $D_{n+1}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{2(r-1)}$ | $D_{2 n}$ | 2 |
| $\mathbb{Z} / 2 r \mathbb{Z}$ | $A_{n-1}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{r}$ | $D_{r+2}$ | 2 |

and uniquely determines an $n \times n$ matrix $\tilde{A}=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=2 I-\tilde{A} \tag{A.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [S180, p.163]), where $K$ is the Cartan matrix of the corresponding folded extended Dynkin diagram given in Table A. 5
2) Let $\tau_{i}$, where $i=1, \ldots, n$, be irreducible representations of the subgroup $H$, let $\tau_{i}^{\uparrow}$ be the induced representations of the group $G$. Then the following decomposition formula makes sense

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \otimes \tau_{i}^{\uparrow}=\bigoplus a_{i j} \tau_{j}^{\uparrow} \tag{A.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., the decomposition of the induced representation is described by the matrix $A^{\vee}=A^{t}$ which satisfies the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{\vee}=2 I-\tilde{A}^{\vee} \tag{A.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [S180, p.164]), where $K^{\vee}$ is the Cartan matrix of the dual folded extended Dynkin diagram given in Table A.5

We call matrices $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{A}^{\vee}$ the Slodowy matrices, they are analogs of the McKay matrix. The Slodowy correspondence is an analogue to the McKay correspondence for the multiplylaced case, so one can speak about the McKay-Slodowy correspondence.
A.5.2. The binary tetrahedral group and the binary octahedral group. Now we will illustrate the Slodowy correspondence for the binary tetrahedral and octahedral groups, i.e., $H$ is the binary tetrahedral group $\mathcal{T}$ and $G$ is the binary octahedral group $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{T} \triangleleft \mathcal{O}$. These groups have orders $|\mathcal{T}|=24$ and $|\mathcal{O}|=48$, see Table A. 2

Table A.5. The pairs $H \triangleleft G$ and folded extended Dynkin diagrams

| Groups <br> $H \triangleleft G$ | Dynkin diagram <br> $\tilde{\Gamma}(H)$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}(G)$ | Folded extended <br> Dynkin diagram |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{D}_{2} \triangleleft \mathcal{T}$ | $D_{4}$ and $E_{6}$ | $\tilde{G}_{21}$ and $\tilde{G}_{22}$ |
|  | $E_{6}$ and $E_{7}$ | $\tilde{F}_{41}$ and $\tilde{G}_{42}$ |
| $\mathcal{T} \triangleleft \mathcal{O}$ | $D_{n+1}$ and $D_{2 n}$ | $\widetilde{D D}_{n}$ and $\widetilde{C D}_{n}$ |
| $\mathcal{D}_{r-1} \triangleleft \mathcal{D}_{2(r-1)}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbb{Z} / 2 r \mathbb{Z} \triangleleft \mathcal{D}_{r}$ | $A_{n-1}$ and $D_{r+2}$ | $\tilde{B}_{n}$ and $\tilde{C}_{n}$ |

We will use the Springer formula for elements of the group $\mathcal{O}$ from [Sp77, §4.4.11]. Let

$$
a=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varepsilon & 0  \tag{A.18}\\
0 & \varepsilon^{-1}
\end{array}\right), \quad b=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad c=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varepsilon^{-1} & \varepsilon^{-1} \\
-\varepsilon & \varepsilon
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $\varepsilon=e^{\pi i / 4}$. Then each of the 48 different elements $x \in \mathcal{O}$ may be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=a^{h} b^{j} c^{l}, \quad 0 \leq h<8, \quad 0 \leq j<2, \quad 0 \leq l<3 . \tag{A.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The elements $x \in \mathcal{O}$ and their traces are collected in Table A.6 Observe that every element $u \in S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ from Table A. 6 is of the form

$$
u=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha & \beta  \tag{A.20}\\
-\beta^{*} & \alpha^{*}
\end{array}\right)
$$

see (5.63). We can now distinguish the elements of $\mathcal{O}$ by their traces and by means of the 1-dimensional representation $\rho_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}(a)=-1, \quad \rho_{1}(b)=1, \quad \rho_{1}(c)=1 . \tag{A.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition A.5. There are 8 conjugacy classes in the binary octahedral group $\mathcal{O}$. Rows of Table A. 7 constitute these conjugacy classes.

Proof. In order to prove the proposition we need a number of conjugacy relations.

1) It is easy to verify that

$$
b\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & y  \tag{A.22}\\
u & v
\end{array}\right) b^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
v & u \\
y & x
\end{array}\right) \text { for all } x, y, u, v \in \mathbb{C}
$$

Table A.6. The elements of the binary octahedral group

| Elements $\begin{gathered} x=a^{p} b^{j} c^{l} \\ 0 \leq p<8 \end{gathered}$ | Matrix form $u$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trace } \\ & \operatorname{tr}(x) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a^{p}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\varepsilon^{p} & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^{-p}\end{array}\right)$ | $2 \cos \frac{\pi p}{4}$ |
| $a^{p} b$ | $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & i \varepsilon^{p} \\ i \varepsilon^{-p} & 0\end{array}\right)$ | 0 |
| $a^{p} c$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}\varepsilon^{p-1} & \varepsilon^{p-1} \\ -\varepsilon^{-(p-1)} & \varepsilon^{-(p-1)}\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}\varepsilon^{p-1} & \varepsilon^{p-1} \\ \varepsilon^{-p+5} & \varepsilon^{-p+1}\end{array}\right)$ | $\sqrt{2} \cos \frac{\pi(p-1)}{4}$ |
| $a^{p} b c$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}-i \varepsilon^{p+1} & i \varepsilon^{p+1} \\ i \varepsilon^{-(p+1)} & i \varepsilon^{-(p+1)}\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}\varepsilon^{p-1} & \varepsilon^{p+3} \\ \varepsilon^{-p+1} & \varepsilon^{-p+1}\end{array}\right)$ | $\sqrt{2} \cos \frac{\pi(p-1)}{4}$ |
| $a^{p} c^{2}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}-\varepsilon^{p+1} & \varepsilon^{p-1} \\ -\varepsilon^{-(p-1)} & -\varepsilon^{-(p+1)}\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}\varepsilon^{p+5} & \varepsilon^{p-1} \\ \varepsilon^{-p+5} & \varepsilon^{-p+3}\end{array}\right)$ | $-\sqrt{2} \cos \frac{\pi(p+1)}{4}$ |
| $a^{p} b c^{2}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}-i \varepsilon^{p+1} & -i \varepsilon^{p-1} \\ -i \varepsilon^{-(p-1)} & i \varepsilon^{-(p+1)}\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}\varepsilon^{p-1} & \varepsilon^{p-3} \\ \varepsilon^{-p-1} & \varepsilon^{-p+1}\end{array}\right)$ | $\sqrt{2} \cos \frac{\pi(p-1)}{4}$ |

and

$$
a\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & y  \tag{A.23}\\
u & v
\end{array}\right) a^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x & \varepsilon^{2} y \\
\varepsilon^{2} u & v
\end{array}\right) \text { for all } x, y, u, v \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

Table A.7. The conjugacy classes in the binary octahedral group

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Trace } \\ & \operatorname{tr}(x) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Representation $\rho_{1}$ | Conjugacy class $C l(g)$ | Class <br> order | Representative $g$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ | 1 | 1 |
| -2 | 1 | $b^{2}=c^{3}=a^{4}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}-1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$ | 1 | -1 |
| 0 | -1 | $\begin{gathered} a b, a^{3} b, a^{5} b, a^{7} b, \\ a^{3} b c, a^{7} b c, a c^{2}, a^{5} c^{2}, \\ a^{3} c, a^{7} c, a^{3} b c^{2}, a^{7} b c^{2} \end{gathered}$ | 12 | $a b$ |
| 0 | 1 | $a^{2}, a^{6}, b, a^{2} b, a^{4} b, a^{6} b$ | 6 | $b$ |
| -1 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} a^{4} c, a^{6} c, a^{4} b c, a^{6} b c, \\ c^{2}, a^{6} c^{2}, a^{4} b c^{2}, a^{6} b c^{2} \end{gathered}$ | 8 | $c^{2}$ |
| 1 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} c, a^{2} c, b c, a^{2} b c, \\ a^{4} c^{2}, a^{2} c^{2}, b c^{2}, a^{2} b c^{2} \end{gathered}$ | 8 | c |
| $\sqrt{2}$ | -1 | $a, a^{7}, a c, a b c, a^{3} c^{2}, a b c^{2}$ | 6 | $a$ |
| $-\sqrt{2}$ | -1 | $a^{3}, a^{5}, a^{5} c, a^{5} b c, a^{7} c^{2}, a^{5} b c^{2}$ | 6 | $a^{3}$ |

From Table A. 6 and (A.22) and since $a^{p}=a^{p+8}$, we see, for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, that

$$
\begin{gather*}
b\left(a^{p}\right) b^{-1}=a^{-p}  \tag{A.24}\\
b\left(a^{p} c\right) b^{-1}=a^{2-p} b c \tag{A.25}
\end{gather*}
$$

[^10]\[

$$
\begin{gather*}
b\left(a^{p} b c^{2}\right) b^{-1}=a^{2-p} b c^{2},  \tag{A.26}\\
b\left(a^{p} c^{2}\right) b^{-1}=a^{6-p} c^{2} . \tag{A.27}
\end{gather*}
$$
\]

From Table A. 6 and (A.23), we see, for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(a^{p} c^{2}\right) a^{-1}=a^{p-2} b c \tag{A.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (A.24)

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \sim a^{7}, \quad a^{2} \sim a^{6}, \quad a^{3} \sim a^{5} . \tag{A.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (A.25)

$$
\begin{align*}
& c \sim a^{2} b c, \quad a c \sim a b c, \quad a^{2} c \sim b c, \quad a^{3} c \sim a^{7} b c \\
& a^{4} c \sim a^{6} b c, \quad a^{5} c \sim a^{5} b c, \quad a^{6} c \sim a^{4} b c, \quad a^{7} c \sim a^{3} b c . \tag{A.30}
\end{align*}
$$

By (A.26)

$$
\begin{equation*}
b c^{2} \sim a^{2} b c^{2}, \quad a^{3} b c^{2} \sim a^{7} b c^{2}, \quad a^{4} b c^{2} \sim a^{6} b c^{2} . \tag{A.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (A.27)

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{2} \sim a^{6} c^{2}, \quad a c^{2} \sim a^{5} c^{2}, \quad a^{2} c^{2} \sim a^{4} c^{2} . \tag{А.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (A.28)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
c^{2} \sim a^{6} b c, \quad a c^{2} \sim a^{7} b c, \quad a^{2} c^{2} \sim b c, \quad a^{3} c^{2} \sim a b c, \\
a^{4} c^{2} \sim a^{2} b c, \quad a^{5} c^{2} \sim a^{3} b c, \quad a^{6} c^{2} \sim a^{4} b c, \quad a^{7} c^{2} \sim a^{5} b c . \tag{A.33}
\end{array}
$$

From (A.24) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b a^{-1}=a b, \quad b a^{-p}=a^{p} b . \tag{A.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (A.24) $a^{p} b \sim a a^{p} b a^{-1}=a a^{p} a b$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{p} b \sim a^{p+2} b, \tag{A.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& b \sim a^{2} b \sim a^{4} b \sim a^{6} b, \\
& a b \sim a^{3} b \sim a^{5} b \sim a^{7} b . \tag{A.36}
\end{align*}
$$

2) We need some conjugacy relations performed by $c$. By (5.64) the inverse elements in $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ are

$$
u^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
d & -b  \tag{A.37}\\
-c & a
\end{array}\right) \text { for every } u=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) .
$$

So, we have

$$
c=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varepsilon^{-1} & \varepsilon^{-1}  \tag{A.38}\\
-\varepsilon & \varepsilon
\end{array}\right) \text { and } c^{-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varepsilon & -\varepsilon^{-1} \\
\varepsilon & \varepsilon^{-1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Thus

$$
c a^{p} c^{-1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varepsilon^{p}+\varepsilon^{-p} & -\varepsilon^{p-2}+\varepsilon^{-p-2}  \tag{A.39}\\
-\varepsilon^{p+2}+\varepsilon^{-p+2} & \varepsilon^{p}+\varepsilon^{-p}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{2}=i, \quad \varepsilon^{2}=-i, \quad \varepsilon^{4}=\varepsilon^{-4}=-1, \tag{A.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain from Table A. 6 and (A.39):

$$
c a^{2} c^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1  \tag{A.41}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)=a^{2} b
$$

Also, by Table A. 6 and (A.39) we have

$$
c a^{-1} c^{-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1  \tag{A.42}\\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right)=a c, \text { and } a c^{2}=c a^{-1} .
$$

By (A.41) and (A.42) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
c a c^{-1}=c a^{2} c^{-1} c a^{-1} c^{-1} & =\left(a^{2} b\right)(a c)=a^{2} a^{-1} b c=a b c, \text { i.e. }  \tag{A.43}\\
a & \sim a b c .
\end{align*}
$$

Further,

$$
c b c^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varepsilon^{2} & 0  \tag{A.44}\\
0 & \varepsilon^{-2}
\end{array}\right)=a^{2} .
$$

By (A.43) and (A.44) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
c a b c^{-1}=c a c^{-1} c b c^{-1}=(a b c)\left(a^{2}\right) \sim a^{2}(a b c)=a^{3} b c,  \tag{A.45}\\
a b \sim a^{3} b c .
\end{gather*}
$$

By (A.44)

$$
\begin{equation*}
c b c^{-1}=a^{2}, \quad c b^{2} c^{-1}=a^{4}, \tag{A.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

so, by (A.41) and (A.34) we have $c a^{6} c^{-1}=a^{2} b a^{2} b a^{2} b=a^{2} a^{2} b^{-1} b a^{2} b=a^{6} b$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b \sim a^{2}, \quad b^{2} \sim a^{4} \tag{А.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2} \sim a^{2} b, \quad a^{6} \sim a^{6} b . \tag{A.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, by (A.34) and (A.42) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{p} b c^{2}=a^{p+1} a^{-1} b c^{2}=a^{p+1} b a c^{2}=a^{p+1} b c a^{-1} \sim a^{-1} a^{p+1} b c a^{-1} a=a^{p} b c, \tag{A.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{p} b c^{2} \sim a^{p} b c \tag{A.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& b c \sim b c^{2}, \quad a b c \sim a b c^{2}, \quad a^{2} b c \sim a^{2} b c^{2}, \quad a^{3} b c \sim a^{3} b c^{2}, \\
& a^{4} b c \sim a^{4} b c^{2}, \quad a^{5} b c \sim a^{5} b c^{2}, \quad a^{6} b c \sim a^{6} b c^{2}, \quad a^{7} b c \sim a^{7} b c^{2} . \tag{A.51}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark A.6. We denote by $C l(g)$ the conjugacy class containing the element $g \in \mathcal{O}$. The union $C l(b) \cup\{1,-1\}$ constitutes the 8 -element subgroup

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{1, a^{2}, a^{4}, a^{6}, b, a^{2} b, a^{4} b, a^{6} b\right\}=\left\{1, a^{2},-1,-a^{2}, b, a^{2} b,-b,-a^{2} b\right\} . \tag{A.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $i=b, j=a^{2}, k=a^{2} b$ we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& i^{2}=j^{2}=k^{2}=-1 \\
& j i=-i j=k, \quad i k=-k i=j, \quad k j=-j k=i \tag{A.53}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e., group (A.52) is the quaternion group $Q_{8}$, see A.3).

According to (A.34), (A.41) and (A.44) we deduce that $Q_{8}$ is a normal subgroup in $\mathcal{O}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{8} \triangleleft \mathcal{O} \tag{A.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

3) Now we can prove conjugacy of elements in every row of Table A. 7 . Conjugacy class $\mathrm{Cl}(a b)$. By (A.36) and (A.45)

$$
a b \sim a^{3} b \sim a^{5} b \sim a^{7} b \sim a^{3} b c
$$

By (A.33), (A.30) and (A.51)

$$
a^{3} b c \sim a^{5} c^{2}, \quad a^{3} b c \sim a^{7} c, \quad a^{3} b c \sim a^{3} b c^{2}
$$

By (A.31), A.51), A.30 and (A.33)

$$
a^{3} b c^{2} \sim a^{7} b c^{2} \sim a^{7} b c \sim a^{3} c, \quad a^{7} b c \sim a c^{2}
$$

Therefore, the elements

$$
a b, a^{3} b, a^{5} b, a^{7} b, a^{3} b c, a^{7} b c, a c^{2}, a^{5} c^{2}, a^{3} c, a^{7} c, a^{3} b c^{2}, a^{7} b c^{2}
$$

constitute a conjugacy class.
Conjugacy class $C l(b)$. By (A.36), (A.47) and (A.29)

$$
b \sim a^{2} b \sim a^{4} b \sim a^{6} b, \quad b \sim a^{2}, \quad a^{2} \sim a^{6}
$$

i.e., the elements

$$
a^{2}, a^{6}, b, a^{2} b, a^{4} b, a^{6} b
$$

constitute a conjugacy class.
Conjugacy class $C l\left(c^{2}\right)$. By (A.33), (A.32), (A.30), (A.33) and A.51)

$$
c^{2} \sim a^{6} b c \sim a^{4} c, \quad c^{2} \sim a^{6} c^{2} \sim a^{4} b c \sim a^{4} b c^{2}, \quad a^{6} b c \sim a^{6} b c^{2}, \quad a^{6} c \sim a^{4} b c
$$

Thus, we have a conjugacy class

$$
c^{2}, a^{6} c^{2}, a^{4} b c^{2}, a^{6} b c^{2}, a^{4} c, a^{6} c, a^{4} b c, a^{6} b c
$$

Conjugacy class $C l(c)$. By (A.30), (A.33), A.32) and A.31)

$$
c \sim a^{2} b c \sim a^{4} c^{2} \sim a^{2} c^{2}, \quad a^{2} b c \sim a^{2} b c^{2} \sim b c^{2} \sim b c \sim a^{2} c
$$

i.e., the elements

$$
c, a^{2} c, b c, a^{2} b c, a^{4} c^{2}, a^{2} c^{2}, b c^{2}, a^{2} b c^{2}
$$

constitute a conjugacy class.
Conjugacy class $C l(a)$. By (A.29), (A.42), (A.30), (A.33) and (A.51)

$$
a \sim a^{7} \sim a c \sim a b c \sim a^{3} c^{2}, \quad a b c \sim a b c^{2}
$$

and we have a conjugacy class

$$
a, a^{7}, a c, a b c, a^{3} c^{2}, a b c^{2}
$$

Conjugacy class $C l\left(a^{3}\right)$. Since $a^{7} c^{2} \sim c\left(a^{7} c^{2}\right) c^{-1}=c a^{-1} c$, we have by (A.42):

$$
a^{7} c^{2} \sim c a^{-1} c=a c^{2} c=a c^{3}
$$

Since $c^{3}=a^{4}=-1$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{7} c^{2} \sim a^{5} \tag{A.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (A.29), (A.55), (A.33), A.30) and (A.51)

$$
a^{3} \sim a^{5} \sim a^{7} c^{2} \sim a^{5} b c \sim a^{5} c, \quad a^{5} b c \sim a^{5} b c^{2}
$$

The elements

$$
a^{3}, a^{5}, a^{5} c, a^{5} b c, a^{7} c^{2}, a^{5} b c^{2}
$$

constitute a conjugacy class. The proposition A.5 is proved.

Now consider conjugacy classes in the binary tetrahedral group $\mathcal{T}$. According to the Springer formula Sp77, §4.4.10], the elements of the group $\mathcal{T}$ are given by (A.19) with even numbers $h$. In other words, each of the 24 different elements $x \in \mathcal{T}$ may be given as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=a^{2 h} b^{j} c^{l}, \quad 0 \leq h<4, \quad 0 \leq j<2, \quad 0 \leq l<3 . \tag{A.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are 24 elements of type (A.56). In the octahedral group $\mathcal{O}$, the elements (A.56) constitute 5 conjugacy classes:

$$
\{1\},\{-1\}, C l(b), C l(c) \text { and } C l\left(c^{2}\right),
$$

see Table A. 7 We will see now, that in the tetrahedral group $\mathcal{T}$, the elements A.56) constitute 7 conjugacy classes:

$$
\{1\},\{-1\}, C l(b), C l(c), C l\left(a^{4} c\right) \text { and } C l\left(a^{4} c^{2}\right) .
$$

The elements $x \in \mathcal{T}$ and their traces are collected in Table A.8. We can now distinguish the elements of $\mathcal{T}$ by their traces and by means of two 1-dimensional representations $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\tau_{1}(a)=1, & \tau_{1}(b)=1, & \tau_{1}(c)=\omega_{3}, \\
\tau_{2}(a)=1, & \tau_{2}(b)=1, & \tau_{2}(c)=\omega_{3}^{2}, \tag{A.57}
\end{array}
$$

where $\omega_{3}=e^{2 \pi i / 3}$.
Proposition A.7. There are 7 conjugacy classes in the binary tetrahedral group $\mathcal{T}$. The rows of Table A. 8 constitute these conjugacy classes.

Proof. We will prove that the classes $C l(b), C l\left(a^{4} c\right), C l\left(c^{2}\right), C l(c)$, and $C l\left(a^{4} c^{2}\right)$ constitute all conjugacy classes.

Conjugacy class $C l(b)$. Observe that relation (A.36) uses conjugating element $a$ which does not lie in $\mathcal{T}$. We conjugate by $a^{2 p}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
b \sim a^{2} b a^{-2}=a^{4} b, \quad a^{2} b \sim a^{6} a^{2} b a^{-6}=a^{6} b . \tag{A.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (A.58), (A.29), (A.41) and (A.47) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b \sim a^{4} b, \quad a^{2} b \sim a^{6} b, \quad a^{2} \sim a^{6}, \quad a^{2} \sim a^{2} b, \quad b \sim a^{2} \tag{A.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., the elements $b, a^{2}, a^{6}, a^{2} b, a^{4} b$, and $a^{6} b$ constitute a conjugacy class.

Conjugacy class $C l\left(a^{4} c\right)$. By (A.30) conjugating by $b$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{4} b c \sim a^{6} c, \quad a^{6} b c \sim a^{4} c . \tag{A.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further,

$$
a^{2} c a^{-2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varepsilon^{-1} & \varepsilon^{3}  \tag{A.61}\\
-\varepsilon^{-3} & \varepsilon
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varepsilon^{-1} & \varepsilon^{3} \\
\varepsilon & \varepsilon
\end{array}\right)
$$

and by Table A. 6 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2} c a^{-2}=b c, \quad c \sim b c \tag{A.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where conjugating element is $a^{2}$. From (A.62) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{6} b c=a^{6}\left(a^{2} c a^{-2}\right)=c a^{-2} \sim a^{6}\left(c a^{-2}\right) a^{-6}=a^{6} c . \tag{A.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{6} b c \sim a^{6} c \tag{А.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

and together with (A.60) we see that the elements $a^{4} c, a^{4} b c, a^{6} c$, and $a^{6} b c$ are conjugate. Conjugacy class $\mathrm{Cl}\left(c^{2}\right)$. By (A.32) and A.31)

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{2} \sim a^{6} c^{2}, \quad a^{4} b c^{2} \sim a^{6} b c^{2} . \tag{A.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table A.8. The conjugacy classes in the binary tetrahedral group

| Trace <br> $\operatorname{tr}(x)$ | Repr. $\tau_{1}$ | Repr $\tau_{2}$ | Conjugacy class $C l(g)$ | Class <br> order | Representative $g$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ | 1 | 1 |
| -2 | 1 | 1 | $\left(\begin{array}{cc}-1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$ | 1 | -1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | $a^{2}, a^{6}, b, a^{2} b, a^{4} b, a^{6} b$ | 6 | $b$ |
| -1 | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{3}^{2}$ | $a^{4} c, a^{6} c, a^{4} b c, a^{6} b c$, | 4 | $a^{4} c=-c$ |
| -1 | $\omega_{3}^{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $c^{2}, a^{6} c^{2}, a^{4} b c^{2}, a^{6} b c^{2}$ | 4 | $c^{2}$ |
| 1 | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{3}^{2}$ | $c, a^{2} c, b c, a^{2} b c$ | 4 | c |
| 1 | $\omega_{3}^{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $a^{4} c^{2}, a^{2} c^{2}, b c^{2}, a^{2} b c^{2}$ | 4 | $a^{4} c^{2}=-c^{2}$ |

Further, by (A.62), (A.44) and (A.34)

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2} c^{2} a^{-2}=\left(a^{2} c a^{-2}\right)^{2}=(b c)^{2}=b(c b) c=b\left(a^{2} c\right) c=a^{-2} b c^{2}=a^{6} b c^{2}, \tag{A.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{2} \sim a^{6} b c^{2} \tag{А.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, with (A.65), we deduce that the elements $c^{2}, a^{6} c^{2}, a^{4} b c^{2}$, and $a^{6} b c^{2}$ are conjugate.

Conjugacy class $C l(c)$. By A.62), (A.30)

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \sim b c, \quad c \sim a^{2} b c, \quad b c \sim a^{2} c \tag{A.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $c, b c, a^{2} b c$, and $a^{2} c$ are conjugate.

Conjugacy class $C l\left(a^{4} c^{2}\right)$. By (A.30), A.31)

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{4} c^{2} \sim a^{2} c^{2}, \quad b c^{2} \sim a^{2} b c^{2} \tag{А.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, by (A.46) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b c^{2} \sim c\left(b c^{2}\right) c^{-1}=(c b) c=\left(a^{2} c\right) c=a^{2} c \tag{A.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the elements $a^{4} c^{2}, a^{2} c^{2}, b c^{2}, a^{2} c$ are conjugate. The proposition A. 7 is proved.

Table A.9. The characters of the binary octahedral group

| Character $\psi_{i}$ | Conjugacy class $C l(g)$ and its order $\|C l(g)\|$ under it |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Note on represent. <br> $\rho_{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} C l(1) \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C l(-1) \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C l(a b) \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C l(b) \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C l\left(c^{2}\right) \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C l(c) \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C l(a) \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C l\left(a^{3}\right) \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $\psi_{0}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | trivial |
| $\psi_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | $\rho_{1}(a)=-a$ |
| $\psi_{2}$ | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | $\gamma_{2} \pi_{2}$ |
| $\psi_{3}$ | 2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | $\sqrt{2}$ | $-\sqrt{2}$ | faithful |
| $\psi_{4}$ | 2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | $-\sqrt{2}$ | $\sqrt{2}$ | faithful |
| $\psi_{5}$ | 3 | 3 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $\gamma_{5} \pi_{56}$ |
| $\psi_{6}$ | 3 | 3 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | $\gamma_{6} \pi_{56}$ |
| $\psi_{7}$ | 4 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | $\rho_{2} \otimes \rho_{3}$ |

## A.5.3. Representations of the binary octahedral and tetrahedral groups.

Proposition A.8. The group $\mathcal{O}$ has the following 8 irreducible representations.

1) Two 1-dimensional representations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{0}(a)=\rho_{0}(b)=\rho_{0}(c)=1 \\
& \rho_{1}(a)=-1, \quad \rho_{1}(b)=\rho_{1}(c)=1 \tag{A.71}
\end{align*}
$$

2) Two faithful 2-dimensional representations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{3}(a)=a, \quad \rho_{3}(b)=b, \quad \rho_{3}(c)=c \\
& \rho_{4}(a)=-a, \quad \rho_{4}(b)=b, \quad \rho_{4}(c)=c \tag{A.72}
\end{align*}
$$

3) The 2-dimensional representation $\rho_{2}$ constructed by means of an epimorphism to the symmetric group $S_{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} / Q_{8} \simeq S_{3}, \tag{А.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{8}$ is the quaternion group.
4) Two 3-dimensional representations $\rho_{5}$ and $\rho_{6}$ constructed by means of an epimorphism to the symmetric group $S_{4}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} /\{1,-1\} \simeq S_{4} \tag{A.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

The representations $\rho_{5}$ and $\rho_{6}$ are related as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{6}(a)=-\rho_{5}(a), \quad \rho_{6}(b)=\rho_{5}(a), \quad \rho_{6}(c)=\rho_{5}(c) \tag{A.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

5) The 4-dimensional representation $\rho_{7}$ constructed as the tensor product $\rho_{2} \otimes \rho_{3}$ (it coincides with $\rho_{2} \otimes \rho_{4}$ ).

The characters of representations $\rho_{i}$ for $i=0, \ldots, 7$ are collected in Table A.9.
Proof. 1) and 2) are clear from constructions (A.71) and (A.72).
3) We construct the third 2-dimensional representation $\rho_{2}$ by using the homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{2}: \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} / Q_{8} \tag{A.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

see (A.54). The quotient group $\mathcal{O} / Q_{8}$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group $S_{3}$ consisting of 6 elements. The cosets of $\mathcal{O} / Q_{8}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{Q_{8}, c Q_{8}, c^{2} Q_{8}, a b Q_{8}, a c Q_{8}, a c^{2} Q_{8}\right\}= \\
& \left\{\{1\},\{c\},\left\{c^{2}\right\},\{a b\},\{a c\},\left\{a c^{2}\right\}\right\} \tag{А.77}
\end{align*}
$$

By (A.431), (A.42) and A.27)

$$
\begin{align*}
& (a b)(a b)=a a^{-1} b^{2}=-1 \in Q_{8}, \text { i.e., }\{a b\}^{2}=\{1\}, \\
& (a c)(a c)=a(c a) c=a\left(a b c^{2}\right) c=-a^{2} b \in Q_{8}, \text { i.e., }\{a c\}^{2}=\{1\}, \\
& \left(a c^{2}\right)\left(a c^{2}\right)=c a^{-1}\left(a c^{2}\right)=c^{3}=-1 \in Q_{8}, \text { i.e., }\left\{a c^{2}\right\}^{2}=\{1\} \\
& (a b)(a c)=b c \in c Q_{8} \text { i.e., }\{a b\}\{a c\}=\{c\}, \\
& (a c)(a b)=a(c a) b=a\left(a b c^{2}\right) b=-a^{2} b\left(c^{2} b^{-1}\right)=  \tag{А.78}\\
& -a^{2} b\left(b^{-1} a^{6} c^{2}\right)=-c^{2} \in c^{2} Q_{8}, \text { i.e., }\{a b\}\{a c\}=\{c\}^{2} \\
& (c)(a b)=(c a) b=\left(a b c^{2}\right) b=-a b\left(c^{2} b^{-1}\right)= \\
& -a b\left(b^{-1} a^{6} c^{2}\right)=a^{3} c^{2} \in Q_{8} a c^{2}=a c^{2} Q_{8}, \text { i.e., }\{c\}\{a b\}=\left\{a c^{2}\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

and so on. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{O} / Q_{8} \simeq S_{3}, \\
& \{a b\} \simeq(12), \quad\{a c\} \simeq(13), \quad\left\{a c^{2}\right\} \simeq(23),  \tag{A.79}\\
& \{c\} \simeq(123), \quad\left\{c^{2}\right\} \simeq(132)
\end{align*}
$$

The symmetric group $S_{3}$ has a 2-dimensional representation $\gamma_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tr} \gamma_{2}(12)=\operatorname{tr} \gamma_{2}(13)=\operatorname{tr} \gamma_{2}(23)=0, \quad \text { i.e., } \\
& \operatorname{tr} \gamma_{2}\{a b\}=\operatorname{tr} \gamma_{2}\{a c\}=\operatorname{tr} \gamma_{2}\left\{a c^{2}\right\}=0, \\
& \operatorname{tr} \gamma_{2}(123)=\operatorname{tr} \gamma_{2}(132)=-1, \text { i.e., } \operatorname{tr} \gamma_{2}\{c\}=\operatorname{tr} \gamma_{2}\left\{c^{2}\right\}=-1, \\
& \operatorname{tr} \gamma_{2}(1)=2,
\end{aligned}
$$

see, e.g., CR62, §32]. We consider now the representation $\rho_{2}$ as the composition of epimorphism $\pi_{2}$ and the representation $\gamma_{2}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{2}=\gamma_{2} \pi_{2} \tag{A.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, for all $u \in C l(a b) \cup C l(a) \cup C l\left(a^{3}\right)$, we see that

$$
\pi_{2}(u) \in\{a b\} \cup\{a c\} \cup\left\{a c^{2}\right\} \text { and } \operatorname{tr} \rho_{2}(u)=0
$$

For all $u \in C l(c) \cup C l\left(c^{2}\right)$, we see that

$$
\pi_{2}(u) \in\{c\} \cup\left\{c^{2}\right\} \text { and } \operatorname{tr} \rho_{2}(u)=-1
$$

Finally, for all $u \in C l(1) \cup C l(-1) \cup C l(b)$ we see that

$$
\pi_{2}(u) \in\{1\} \text { and } \operatorname{tr} \rho_{2}(u)=2
$$

Thus we obtain the row of characters $\psi_{3}$.

Table A.10. The 3-dimensional characters of $S_{4}$

| Character | Conjugacy class $C_{i} \subset S_{4}$ and <br> its order $\left\|C_{i}\right\|$ under it |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $C_{1}$ | $C_{2}$ | $C_{3}$ | $C_{4}$ | $C_{5}$ |
|  | 1 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 3 |
| $\gamma_{5}$ | 3 | -1 | 0 | 1 | -1 |
| $\gamma_{6}$ | 3 | 1 | 0 | -1 | -1 |

4) We construct representations $\rho_{5}$ and $\rho_{6}$ by means of the epimorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{56}: \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} /\{1,-1\} \tag{A.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

The epimorphism $\pi_{56}$ is well-defined because the subgroup $\{1,-1\}$ is normal:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{1,-1\} \triangleleft \mathcal{O} \tag{A.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quotient group $\mathcal{O} /\{1,-1\}$ is the 24 -element octahedral group coinciding with the symmetric group $S_{4}$. By CR62, §32] $S_{4}$ has two 3-dimensional representations, $\gamma_{5}$ and $\gamma_{6}$, with characters as in Table A. 10

In Table A. 10 we give conjugacy classes $C_{i}$ of the group $S_{4}$ together with the number of elements of these classes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1}=\{1\}, \\
& C_{2}=\left\{a b, a^{3} b, a^{3} c, a^{3} b c, a c^{2}, a^{3} b c^{2}\right\}, \\
& C_{3}=\left\{c, c^{2}, b c, a^{2} c, a^{2} b c, b c^{2}, a^{2} c^{2}, a^{2} b c^{2}\right\},  \tag{A.83}\\
& C_{4}=\left\{a, a^{3}, a c, a b c, a^{3} c^{2}, a b c^{2}\right\}, \\
& C_{5}=\left\{a^{2}, b, a^{2} b\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{5}=\gamma_{5} \pi_{56}, \quad \rho_{6}=\gamma_{6} \pi_{56} \tag{A.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $u \in C l(1) \cup C l(-1)$, we see that

$$
\pi_{56}(u)=1 \text { and } \operatorname{tr} \rho_{5}(u)=3
$$

For all $u \in C l(a b)$, we see that

$$
\pi_{56}(u) \in C_{2} \text { and } \operatorname{tr} \rho_{5}(u)=-1
$$

For $u \in C l(b)$, we see that

$$
\pi_{56}(u) \in C_{5} \text { and } \operatorname{tr} \rho_{5}(u)=-1 .
$$

For $u \in C l(c) \cup C l\left(c^{2}\right)$, we see that

$$
\pi_{56}(u) \in C_{3} \text { and } \operatorname{tr} \rho_{5}(u)=0
$$

Finally, for every $u \in C l(a) \cup C l\left(a^{2}\right)$ we see that

$$
\pi_{56}(u) \in C_{4} \text { and } \operatorname{tr} \rho_{5}(u)=1
$$

Thus we obtain the row of characters $\psi_{5}$.
Note, that $\rho_{6}$ can be obtained from $\rho_{5}$ by the following relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{6}(a)=-\rho_{5}(a), \quad \rho_{6}(b)=\rho_{5}(b), \quad \rho_{6}(c)=\rho_{5}(c) . \tag{A.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

5) Finally, the 4-dimensional representation $\rho_{7}$ is constructed as either of the tensor products $\rho_{2} \otimes \rho_{3}$ or $\rho_{2} \otimes \rho_{4}$. Observe that $\psi_{2} \psi_{3}$ and $\psi_{2} \psi_{4}$ have the same characters, see Table A.11.

Table A.11. The character of the 4 -dimensional representation $\rho_{7}$

|  | $C l(1)$ | $C l(-1)$ | $C l(a b)$ | $C l(b)$ | $C l\left(c^{2}\right)$ | $C l(c)$ | $C l(a)$ | $C l\left(a^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\psi_{7}=\psi_{2} \psi_{3}=\psi_{2} \psi_{4}$ | 4 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 |

The irreducibility of $\rho_{2} \otimes \rho_{3}$ follows from the fact that

$$
<\psi_{2} \psi_{3}, \psi_{2} \psi_{3}>=\frac{16+16+8+8}{48}=1
$$

Select $\rho_{3}$ as a faithful representation of $\mathcal{O}$ from the McKay correspondence. All irreducible representations $\rho_{i}$ of $\mathcal{O}$ (see Proposition A. 8 and Table A.9) can be placed in vertices of the extended Dynkin diagram $\tilde{E}_{7}$, see (A.86):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0}-\rho_{3}-\rho_{5}-\rho_{7}-\rho_{6}-\rho_{4}-\rho_{1} \tag{A.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, according to the McKay correspondence we have the following decompositions of the tensor products $\rho_{3} \otimes \rho_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{3} \otimes \rho_{0} & =\rho_{3}, \\
\rho_{3} \otimes \rho_{1} & =\rho_{4}, \\
\rho_{3} \otimes \rho_{2} & =\rho_{7}, \\
\rho_{3} \otimes \rho_{3} & =\rho_{0}+\rho_{5}, \\
\rho_{3} \otimes \rho_{4} & =\rho_{1}+\rho_{6},  \tag{A.87}\\
\rho_{3} \otimes \rho_{5} & =\rho_{3}+\rho_{7}, \\
\rho_{3} \otimes \rho_{6} & =\rho_{4}+\rho_{7}, \\
\rho_{3} \otimes \rho_{7} & =\rho_{2}+\rho_{5}+\rho_{6} .
\end{align*}
$$

In Table A. 12 we assume that $\omega_{3}=e^{2 \pi i / 3}$.
Proposition A.9. The group $\mathcal{T}$ has the following 7 irreducible representations:

Table A.12. The characters of the binary tetrahedral group

| $\chi_{i}$ | Character | Conjugacy class $C l(g)$ and its order $\|C l(g)\|$ under it |  |  |  |  |  | Note on |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $C l(1)$ | $C l(-1)$ | $C l(b)$ | $C l(c)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 4 | $\left(c^{2}\right)$ | $C l(-c)$ | $C l\left(-c^{2}\right)$ | $\tau_{i}$ |
| 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\chi_{0}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | trivial |
| $\chi_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{3}^{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{3}^{2}$ | $\tau_{1}(c)=\omega_{3}$ |
| $\chi_{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\omega_{3}^{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{3}^{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\tau_{2}(c)=\omega_{3}^{2}$ |
| $\chi_{3}$ | 2 | -2 | 0 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | faithful |
| $\chi_{4}$ | 2 | -2 | 0 | $\omega_{3}$ | $-\omega_{3}^{2}$ | $-\omega_{3}$ | $\omega_{3}^{2}$ | $\tau_{3} \otimes \tau_{1}$ |
| $\chi_{5}$ | 2 | -2 | 0 | $\omega_{3}^{2}$ | $-\omega_{3}$ | $-\omega_{3}^{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ | $\tau_{3} \otimes \tau_{2}$ |
| $\chi_{6}$ | 3 | 3 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\gamma_{6} \pi_{6}$ |

## 1) Three 1-dimensional representations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{0}(a)=\tau_{0}(b)=\tau_{0}(c)=1, \\
& \tau_{1}(a)=\tau_{1}(b)=1, \quad \tau_{1}(c)=\omega_{3},  \tag{A.88}\\
& \tau_{2}(a)=\tau_{2}(b)=1, \quad \tau_{2}(c)=\omega_{3}^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

Representations $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ can be constructed by using an epimorphism onto the alternating group $A_{4}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} /\{1,-1\}=A_{4} . \tag{A.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

2) The faithful 2-dimensional representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{3}(a)=a, \quad \tau_{3}(b)=b, \quad \tau_{0}(c)=c, \tag{А.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

3) Two 2-dimensional representation $\tau_{4}$ and $\tau_{5}$ constructed as tensor products

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{4}=\tau_{3} \otimes \tau_{1}, \quad \tau_{5}=\tau_{3} \otimes \tau_{2} \tag{A.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

4) The 3-dimensional representation $\tau_{6}$ constructed by using an epimorphism (A.89) onto the alternating group $A_{4}$.

The characters of representations $\tau_{i}$ for $i=0, \ldots, 6$ are collected in Table A.12
Proof. 1), 2) and 3) are easily checked.
4) Consider the 3 -dimensional representation of the alternating group $A_{4}$ with the character given in Table A.13, see, e.g., CR62, §32]:

In Table A. 13 we have $C_{1}=\{1\}, C_{2}$ contains only elements of order 2 , and $C_{3}, C_{4}$ contain only elements of order 3 , see (A.92).

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1}=\{1\}, \\
& C_{2}=\left\{a^{2}, b, a^{2} b\right\}, \\
& C_{3}=\left\{c, a^{2} c, b c, a^{2} b c\right\},  \tag{A.92}\\
& C_{4}=\left\{c^{2}, a^{2} c^{2}, b c^{2}, a^{2} b c^{2}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Table A.13. The 3-dimensional character of $A_{4}$

| Character | Conjugacy class $C_{i} \subset A_{4}$ and <br> its order $\left\|C_{i}\right\|$ under it |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $C_{1}$ | $C_{2}$ | $C_{3}$ | $C_{4}$ |
|  | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| $\gamma_{6}$ | 3 | -1 | 0 | 0 |

If $\pi_{6}$ is an epimorphism (A.89), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{6}=\gamma_{6} \pi_{6}, \tag{A.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\tau_{6}: C l(1) \cup C l(-1) \longrightarrow C_{1}, & \operatorname{tr} \tau_{6}(u)=3, \\
\tau_{6}: C l(b) \longrightarrow C_{2}, & \operatorname{tr} \tau_{6}(u)=-1,  \tag{A.94}\\
\tau_{6}: C l(c) \cup C l(-c) \longrightarrow C_{3}, & \operatorname{tr} \tau_{6}(u)=0, \\
\tau_{6}: C l\left(c^{2}\right) \cup C l\left(-c^{2}\right) \longrightarrow C_{4}, & \operatorname{tr} \tau_{6}(u)=0 .
\end{array}
$$

Thus we get the last row in Table A.12
Select $\tau_{3}$ as a faithful representation of $\mathcal{T}$ from the McKay correspondence. All irreducible representations $\tau_{i}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ (see Proposition A.9 and Table A.12) can be placed in vertices of the extended Dynkin diagram $\tilde{E}_{6}$, see (A.95):


Then, according to the McKay correspondence, we have the following decompositions of the tensor products $\tau_{3} \otimes \tau_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{3} \otimes \tau_{0}=\tau_{3}, \\
& \tau_{3} \otimes \tau_{1}=\tau_{4}, \\
& \tau_{3} \otimes \tau_{2}=\tau_{5}, \\
& \tau_{3} \otimes \tau_{3}=\tau_{0}+\tau_{6},  \tag{A.96}\\
& \tau_{3} \otimes \tau_{4}=\tau_{1}+\tau_{6}, \\
& \tau_{3} \otimes \tau_{5}=\tau_{2}+\tau_{6}, \\
& \tau_{3} \otimes \tau_{6}=\tau_{3}+\tau_{4}+\tau_{5} .
\end{align*}
$$

A.5.4. Induced and restricted representations. Let us denote the characters of induced and restricted representations of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{O}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { irreducible representations } \tau \text { of } \mathcal{T} \Longleftrightarrow \text { characters are denoted by } \chi, \\
& \text { irreducible representations } \rho \text { of } \mathcal{O} \Longleftrightarrow \text { characters are denoted by } \psi, \\
& \text { induced representations } \tau \uparrow_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathcal{O}} \text { of } \mathcal{O} \Longleftrightarrow \text { characters are denoted by } \chi^{\uparrow},  \tag{А.97}\\
& \text { restricted representations } \rho \downarrow_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathcal{O}} \text { of } \mathcal{T} \Longleftrightarrow \text { characters are denoted by } \psi^{\downarrow .}
\end{align*}
$$

Table A.14. The restricted characters of the binary octahedral group

| Character$\psi_{i}^{\downarrow}$ | Conjugacy class $C l(g)$ and order of class $\|C l(g)\|$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} C l_{\mathcal{O}}(1) \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $C l_{\mathcal{O}}(-1)$ <br> 1 | $\begin{gathered} C l_{\mathcal{O}}(b) \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C l_{\mathcal{O}}\left(c^{2}\right) \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} C l_{\mathcal{O}}(c) \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} C l_{\mathcal{T}}(1) \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $C l_{\mathcal{T}}(-1)$ <br> 1 | $\begin{gathered} C l_{\mathcal{T}}(b) \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $C l_{\mathcal{T}}(-c)$ <br> 4 | $\begin{gathered} C l_{\mathcal{T}}\left(c^{2}\right) \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C l_{\mathcal{T}}(c) \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $C l_{\mathcal{T}}\left(-c^{2}\right)$ <br> 4 |
| $\psi_{1}^{\downarrow}=\psi_{0}^{\downarrow}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\psi_{2}^{\downarrow}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| $\psi_{4}^{\downarrow}=\psi_{3}^{\downarrow}$ | 2 | -2 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\psi_{6}^{\downarrow}=\psi_{5}^{\downarrow}$ | 3 | 3 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\psi_{7}^{\downarrow}$ | 4 | -4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 |

Consider the restriction of the binary octahedral group $\mathcal{O}$ onto the binary tetrahedral subgroup $\mathcal{T}$. Then the conjugacy classes $C l(a), C l\left(a^{3}\right)$ and $C l(a b)$ disappear, and the remaining 5 classes split into 7 conjugacy classes, see Table A.14 We denote the conjugacy classes of $\mathcal{O}$ by $C l_{\mathcal{O}}$ and conjugacy classes of $\mathcal{T}$ by $C l_{\mathcal{T}}$.

Now consider the restricted representations $\psi_{i}^{\downarrow}$ from $\mathcal{O}$ onto $\mathcal{T}$. By Table A.9 $\psi_{0}$ (resp. $\psi_{3}$ or $\psi_{5}$ ) differs from $\psi_{1}$ (resp. $\psi_{4}$ or $\psi_{6}$ ) only on $C l(a), C l\left(a^{3}\right)$, and $C l(a b)$, so we have the following coinciding pairs of restricted representations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{0}^{\downarrow}=\psi_{1}^{\downarrow}, \quad \psi_{3}^{\downarrow}=\psi_{4}^{\downarrow}, \quad \psi_{5}^{\downarrow}=\psi_{6}^{\downarrow} . \tag{A.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of characters $\psi_{i}^{\downarrow}$ for $i=0,2,3,5,7$ are easily obtained from the corresponding characters $\psi_{i}$.

Observe that $\rho_{3}^{\downarrow}=\tau_{3}$ is a faithful representation of $\mathcal{T}$ with character $\psi_{3}^{\downarrow}=\chi_{3}$. All irreducible representations $\rho_{i}^{\downarrow}$ for $i=0,2,3,5,7$ can be placed in vertices of the extended


Figure A.2. The induced and restricted representations of $\mathcal{T} \triangleleft \mathcal{O}$

Dynkin diagram $\tilde{F}_{42}$, see Fig. A.2. From Table A. 14 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{3} \otimes \rho_{0}^{\downarrow}=\rho_{3}^{\downarrow} \otimes \rho_{0}^{\downarrow}=\rho_{3}^{\downarrow}, \\
& \tau_{3} \otimes \rho_{2}^{\downarrow}=\rho_{3}^{\downarrow} \otimes \rho_{2}^{\downarrow}=\rho_{7}^{\downarrow}, \\
& \tau_{3} \otimes \rho_{3}^{\downarrow}=\rho_{3}^{\downarrow} \otimes \rho_{3}^{\downarrow}=\rho_{0}^{\downarrow}+\rho_{5}^{\downarrow},  \tag{А.99}\\
& \tau_{3} \otimes \rho_{5}^{\downarrow}=\rho_{3}^{\downarrow} \otimes \rho_{5}^{\downarrow}=\rho_{3}^{\downarrow}+\rho_{7}^{\downarrow}, \\
& \tau_{3} \otimes \rho_{7}^{\downarrow}=\rho_{3}^{\downarrow} \otimes \rho_{7}^{\downarrow}=\rho_{2}^{\downarrow}+2 \rho_{5}^{\downarrow} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the decompositions (A.99) constitute the following matrix

$$
\tilde{A}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{A.100}\\
1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \begin{gathered}
\rho_{2}^{\downarrow} \\
\rho_{7}^{\downarrow} \\
\rho_{5}^{\downarrow} \\
\rho_{3}^{\downarrow} \\
\rho_{0}^{\downarrow}
\end{gathered}
$$

where the row associated with $\rho_{i}^{\downarrow}$ for $i=2,7,5,3,0$ consists of the decomposition coefficients (A.99) of $\tau_{3} \otimes \rho_{i}^{\downarrow}$. The matrix $\tilde{A}$ satisfies the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{A}=2 I-K \tag{A.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is the Cartan matrix for the extended Dynkin diagram $\tilde{F}_{42}$. The matrix $K$ in (A.101) does not correspond to the bicolored order of vertices (see 43.1.3), but can be obtained from the bicolored one by permutations of rows and columns. We call the matrix $\tilde{A}$ the Slodowy matrix; it is an analog of the McKay matrix for the multiply-laced case.

Now we move on to the dual case and consider induced representations. To obtain induced representations $\chi \uparrow \mathcal{\mathcal { O }}$, we use the Frobenius reciprocity formula connecting restricted and induced representations, see, e.g., JL2001, 21.16]

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\psi, \chi \uparrow_{H}^{G}>_{G}=<\psi \downarrow_{H}^{G}, \chi>_{H} \tag{A.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (A.102) we have the following expression for the characters of induced representations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi \uparrow_{H}^{G}=\sum_{\psi_{i} \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)}<\psi_{i}, \chi \uparrow_{H}^{G}>_{G} \psi_{i}=\sum_{\psi_{i} \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)}<\psi \downarrow_{H}^{G}, \chi>_{H} \psi_{i} . \tag{A.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, to calculate the characters $\chi^{\uparrow}=\chi \uparrow \mathcal{\mathcal { T }}$, we only need to calculate the inner products

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\psi^{\downarrow}, \chi>_{\mathcal{T}}=<\psi \downarrow_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathcal{O}}, \chi>_{\mathcal{T}} . \tag{A.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table A.15. The inner products $\left\langle\psi^{\downarrow}, \chi>\right.$

|  | $\psi_{1}^{\downarrow}=\psi_{0}^{\downarrow}$ | $\psi_{2}^{\downarrow}$ | $\psi_{3}^{\downarrow}=\psi_{4}^{\downarrow}$ | $\psi_{5}^{\downarrow}=\psi_{6}^{\downarrow}$ | $\psi_{7}^{\downarrow}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\chi_{0}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\chi_{1}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\chi_{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\chi_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $\chi_{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $\chi_{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $\chi_{6}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

One can obtain the inner products (A.104) from Tables A. 12 and A.14 The results are given in Table A.15 Further, from Table A.15 and (A.103) we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{0}^{\uparrow} & =\psi_{0}+\psi_{1}, & \tau_{0}^{\uparrow} & =\rho_{0}+\rho_{1}, \\
\chi_{1}^{\uparrow}=\chi_{2}^{\uparrow} & =\psi_{2}, & \tau_{1}^{\uparrow}=\tau_{2}^{\uparrow} & =\rho_{2}, \\
\chi_{3}^{\uparrow} & =\psi_{3}+\psi_{4}, & \tau_{3}^{\uparrow} & =\rho_{3}+\rho_{4},  \tag{A.105}\\
\chi_{4}^{\uparrow}=\chi_{5}^{\uparrow} & =\psi_{7}, & \tau_{4}^{\uparrow}=\tau_{5}^{\uparrow} & =\rho_{7}, \\
\chi_{6}^{\uparrow} & =\psi_{5}+\psi_{6}, & \tau_{6}^{\uparrow} & =\rho_{5}+\rho_{6} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let us find the tensor products $\rho_{f} \otimes \tau_{i}^{\uparrow}=\rho_{3} \otimes \tau_{i}^{\uparrow}$, where $\rho_{f}$ means the faithful representation of $\mathcal{O}$. By (A.87) and (A.105) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{3} \otimes \tau_{0}^{\uparrow}= & \rho_{3} \otimes\left(\rho_{0}+\rho_{1}\right)=\rho_{3} \otimes \rho_{0}+\rho_{3} \otimes \rho_{1}=\rho_{3}+\rho_{4}=\tau_{3}^{\uparrow}, \\
\rho_{3} \otimes \tau_{1}^{\uparrow}= & \rho_{3} \otimes \tau_{2}^{\uparrow}=\rho_{3} \otimes \rho_{2}=\rho_{7}=\tau_{4}^{\uparrow}=\tau_{5}^{\uparrow}, \\
\rho_{3} \otimes & \tau_{3}^{\uparrow}= \\
& \rho_{3} \otimes\left(\rho_{3}+\rho_{4}\right)=  \tag{A.106}\\
& \rho_{3} \otimes \rho_{3}+\rho_{3} \otimes \rho_{4}=\left(\rho_{0}+\rho_{5}\right)+\left(\rho_{1}+\rho_{6}\right)=\tau_{0}^{\uparrow}+\tau_{6}^{\uparrow}, \\
\rho_{3} \otimes \tau_{4}^{\uparrow}= & \rho_{3} \otimes \tau_{5}^{\uparrow}=\rho_{3} \otimes \rho_{7}=\rho_{2}+\rho_{5}+\rho_{6}=\tau_{1}^{\uparrow}+\tau_{6}^{\uparrow}=\tau_{2}^{\uparrow}+\tau_{6}^{\uparrow}, \\
\rho_{3} \otimes \tau_{6}^{\uparrow}= & \rho_{3} \otimes\left(\rho_{5}+\rho_{6}\right)=\rho_{3} \otimes \rho_{5}+\rho_{3} \otimes \rho_{6}= \\
& \left(\rho_{3}+\rho_{7}\right)+\left(\rho_{4}+\rho_{7}\right)=\tau_{3}^{\uparrow}+2 \tau_{4}^{\uparrow}=\tau_{3}^{\uparrow}+2 \tau_{5}^{\uparrow} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\rho_{3}$ is the faithful representation of $\mathcal{O}$ with character $\psi_{3}$. All irreducible representations $\tau_{i}^{\uparrow}$ for $i=0,2,3,5,6$ can be placed in vertices of the extended Dynkin diagram $\tilde{F}_{41}$, see Fig. A.2 For other details, see Sl80, App.III, p. 164].

The decompositions (A.106) constitute the following matrix

$$
\tilde{A}^{\vee}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{A.107}\\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \begin{gathered}
\tau_{2}^{\uparrow} \\
\tau_{5}^{\uparrow} \\
\tau_{6}^{\uparrow} \\
\tau_{3}^{\uparrow} \\
\tau_{0}^{\uparrow}
\end{gathered}
$$

where the row associated with $\tau_{i}^{\uparrow}$ for $i=2,5,6,3,0$ consists of the decomposition coefficients (A.106) of $\rho_{3} \otimes \tau_{i}^{\uparrow}$. As in (A.101), the matrix $\tilde{A}^{\vee}$ satisfies the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{A}^{\vee}=2 I-K^{\vee} \tag{A.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K^{\vee}$ is the Cartan matrix for the extended Dynkin diagram $\tilde{F}_{41}$. We see that the matrices $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{A}^{\vee}$ are mutually transposed:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{A}^{t}=\tilde{A}^{\vee} \tag{A.109}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in (A.101), we call the matrix $\tilde{A}^{\vee}$ the Slodowy matrix.

## APPENDIX B

## Miscellaneous

## B.1. The triangle groups and Hurwitz groups

The group generated by $X, Y, Z$ that satisfy the relations (A.6)

$$
X^{p}=Y^{q}=Z^{r}=X Y Z=1
$$

is said to be a triangle group. As it was mentioned in A.2 the finite polyhedral groups from Table A. 1 are triangle groups. Set

$$
\mu(p, q, r):=\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{r}
$$

The triangle group is finite if and only if $\mu(p, q, r)>1$. There are only three triangle groups

$$
(2,4,4), \quad(2,3,6), \quad(3,3,3)
$$

for which $\mu(p, q, r)=1$. These groups are infinite and soluble. For references, see Con90, Mu01. For all other triangle groups, we have

$$
\mu(p, q, r)<1
$$

These groups are infinite and insoluble. The value $1-\mu(p, q, r)$ attains the minimum value $\frac{1}{42}$ at $(2,3,7)$. Thus, $(2,3,7)$ is, in a sense, the minimal infinite insoluble triangle group. The importance of the triangle group $(2,3,7)$ is revealed by the following theorem due to Hurwitz.

Theorem B. 1 (Hurwitz, Hur1893). If $X$ is a compact Riemann surface of genus $g>1$, then $\mid$ Aut $X \mid \leq 84(g-1)$, and moreover, the upper bound of this order is attained if an only if $\mid$ Aut $X \mid$ is a homomorphic image of the triangle group $(2,3,7)$.

For further references, see Con90, Con03.
A Hurwitz group is any finite nontrivial quotient of the triangle group (2, 3, 7). In other words, the finite group $G$ is the Hurwitz group if it has generators $X, Y, Z \in G$ such that

$$
X^{2}=Y^{3}=Z^{7}=X Y Z=1
$$

M. Conder writes that the significance of the Hurwitz groups "... is perhaps best explained by referring to some aspects of the theory of Fuchsin groups, hyperbolic geometry, Riemann surfaces...", see Con90, p.359] and a bibliography cited there.
M. Conder Con80 using the method of coset graphs developed by G. Higman have shown that the alternating group $A_{n}$ is a Hurwitz group for all $n \geq 168$.

Recently, A. Lucchini, M. C. Tamburini and J. S. Wilson showed that most finite simple classical groups of sufficiently large rank are Hurwitz groups, see [uT99], LuTW00. For example, the groups $S L_{n}(q)$ are Hurwitz, for all $n>286$ LuTW00], and the groups $S p_{2 n}(q), S U_{2 n}(q)$ are Hurwitz, for all $n>371$ LuT99]. (Mentioned groups act in the ndimensional vector space over the field $F_{q}$ of the prime characteristic $q$ ).

The sporadic groups have been treated in a series of papers by Woldar and others, see Wi01 for a survey and references. R. A. Wilson shows in Wi01, that the Monster is also a Hurwitz group.

## B.2. Algebraic integers

If $\lambda$ is a root of the polynomial equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n} x^{n}+a_{n} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{1} x+a_{0}=0 \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i}$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, n$ are integers and $\lambda$ satisfies no similar equation of degree $<n$, then $\lambda$ is said to be an algebraic number of degree $n$. If $\lambda$ is an algebraic number and $a_{n}=1$, then $\lambda$ is called an algebraic integer.

A polynomial $p(x)$ in which the coefficient of the highest order term is equal to 1 is called the monic polynomial. The polynomial (B.1) with integer coefficients and $a_{n}=1$ is the monic integer polynomial.

The algebraic integers of degree 1 are the ordinary integers (elements of $\mathbb{Z}$ ). If $\alpha$ is an algebraic number of degree $n$ satisfying the polynomial equation

$$
(x-\alpha)(x-\beta)(x-\gamma) \cdots=0
$$

then there are $n-1$ other algebraic numbers $\beta, \gamma, \ldots$ called the conjugates of $\alpha$. Furthermore, if $\alpha$ satisfies any other algebraic equation, then its conjugates also satisfy the same equation.

Definition B.2. An algebraic integer $\lambda>1$ is said to be a Pisot number if its conjugates (other then $\lambda$ itself) satisfy $\left|\lambda^{\prime}\right|<1$.

The smallest Pisot number,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\text {Pisot }} \approx 1.324717 \ldots \tag{B.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a root of $\lambda^{3}-\lambda-1=0$ (for details and references, see [McM02]). This number appears in Proposition 4.15 as a limit of the spectral radius $\rho\left(T_{2,3, n}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This number was also obtained by Y. Zhang [Zh89] and used in the study of regular components of an Auslander-Reiten quiver, so we call the number (B.2) the Zhang number.

Definition B.3. Let $p(x)$ be a monic integer polynomial, and define its Mahler measure to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|p(x)\|=\prod_{\beta}|\beta|, \tag{B.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta$ runs over all (complex) roots of $p(x)$ outside the unit circle.
It is well known that $\|p(x)\|=1$ if and only if all roots of $p(x)$ are roots of unity. In 1933, Lehmer Leh33 asks whether for each $\Delta \geq 1$, there exists an algebraic integer such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<\|\alpha\|<1+\Delta \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lehmer found polynomials with smallest Mahler measure for small degrees and stated in Leh33, p.18] that the polynomial with minimal root $\alpha$ (in the sense of B.4) he could find is the polynomial of degree 10 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+x-x^{3}-x^{4}-x^{5}-x^{6}-x^{7}+x^{9}+x^{10} \tag{B.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

see Hir02], McM02; cf. Remark 4.14. Outside the unit circle, the polynomial (B.5) has only one root

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\text {Lehmer }} \approx 1.176281 \ldots \tag{B.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number (B.6) is called Lehmer's number; see Proposition 4.15, Remark 4.14 and Table 4.4.

Definition B.4. An algebraic integer $\lambda>1$ is said to be a Salem number if its conjugates $\lambda^{\prime}$ (other then $\lambda$ itself) satisfy $\left|\lambda^{\prime}\right| \leq 1$ and include $\frac{1}{\lambda}$.

It is known that every Pisot number is a limit of Salem numbers; for details and references, see [McM02, p.177]. Conjecturally, Lehmer's number (B.6) is the smallest Salem number, Leh33, GH01.

The positive root of the quadratic equation $\lambda^{2}-\lambda-1=0$ is a well-known constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\text {Golden }} \approx 1.618034 \ldots \tag{B.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

called the Golden mean or Divine proportion. This number appears in Proposition 4.17 as a limit of the spectral radius $\rho\left(T_{3,3, n}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The smallest Mahler measure among polynomials of degree at most 6 is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{6}=\left\|x^{6}-x^{4}+x^{3}-x^{2}+1\right\| \approx 1.401268 \ldots \tag{B.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

see Mos98, p.1700]. This number appears in Proposition 4.17 as a root of

$$
\chi\left(T_{3,3,4}\right)=x^{8}+x^{7}-2 x^{5}-3 x^{4}-2 x^{3}+x+1
$$

This is the polynomial of minimal degree among polynomials $\chi\left(T_{3,3, n}\right)$, where $n=4,5,6, \ldots$ with indefinite Tits form, see Proposition 4.17 and Table 4.5,

## B.3. The Perron-Frobenius Theorem

We say that a matrix is positive (resp. nonnegative) if all its entries are positive (resp. nonnegative). We use the notation $A>0$ (resp. $A \geq 0$ ) for positive (resp. nonnegative) matrix. A square $n \times n$ matrix $A$ is called reducible if the indices $1,2, \ldots, n$ can be divided into the disjoint union of two nonempty sets $\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{p}\right\}$ and $\left\{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{q}\right\}$ (with $p+q=n$ ) such that

$$
a_{i_{\alpha} j_{\beta}}=0, \text { for } \alpha=1, \ldots, p \text { and } \beta=1, \ldots, q .
$$

In other words, $A$ is reducible if there exists a permutation matrix $P$, such that

$$
P A P^{t}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B & 0 \\
C & D
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $B$ and $D$ are square matrices. A square matrix which is not reducible is said to be irreducible.

Theorem B. 5 (Perron-Frobenius). Let $A$ be $n \times n$ nonnegative irreducible matrix. Then the following holds:

1) One of its eigenvalues $\lambda$ is positive and greater than or equal to (in absolute value) all other eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ :

$$
\left|\lambda_{i}\right| \leq \lambda, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

2) There is a positive eigenvector $z$ corresponding to that eigenvalue:

$$
A z=\lambda z, \text { where } z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)^{t} \text { and } z_{i}>0 \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

Such an eigenvalue $\lambda$ is called the dominant eigenvalue of $A$.
3) The eigenvalue $\lambda$ is a simple root of the characteristic equation of $A$.

The following important corollary from the Perron-Frobenius theorem holds for the eigenvalue $\lambda$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda=\max _{z \geq 0} \min _{i} \frac{(A z)_{i}}{z_{i}} \quad\left(z_{i} \neq 0\right), \\
& \lambda=\min _{z \geq 0} \max _{i} \frac{(A z)_{i}}{z_{i}} \quad\left(z_{i} \neq 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For details, see MM64, Ga90.

## B.4. The complex projective line and stereographic projection

The $n$-dimensional complex projective space $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$ is the set of all complex lines in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ passing through the origin. A point $z \in \mathbb{C} P^{n}$ is defined up to the complex factor $w$. In other words, two points

$$
z_{1}=\left(z_{1}^{0}, z_{1}^{1}, z_{1}^{2}, \ldots, z_{1}^{n}\right), \quad z_{2}=\left(z_{2}^{0}, z_{2}^{1}, z_{2}^{2}, \ldots, z_{2}^{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}
$$

lie on the same line, if

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{2}=w z_{1} \text { for some complex multiplier } w \in \mathbb{C} \text {, i.e. } \\
& z_{2}^{i}=w z_{1}^{i} \text { for } i=0,1, \ldots, n . \tag{B.9}
\end{align*}
$$

The points ( (B.9) constitute an equivalence class denoted by $\left[z^{0}: z^{1}: \cdots: z^{n}\right]$.
Clearly, the complex projective line $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ is the set of all lines in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. By (B.9), the points of $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ are classes of complex pairs $z=\left[z^{0}, z^{1}\right]$ up to a factor $w \in \mathbb{C}$.

The correspondence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[z^{0}: z^{1}\right] \mapsto z^{0} / z^{1} \tag{B.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

sets the following bijection maps:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{C} P^{1} \backslash\{[1: 0]\} \Longleftrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \text { and } \\
& \mathbb{C} P^{1} \Longleftrightarrow \mathbb{C} \cup \infty . \tag{B.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $z_{1}, z_{2}$ be two vectors from $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. Define a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
F: \mathbb{C}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{B.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right):=\left(\frac{z_{1} \bar{z}_{2}+\bar{z}_{1} z_{2}}{z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\bar{z}_{2} z_{2}}, \frac{z_{1} \bar{z}_{2}-\bar{z}_{1} z_{2}}{i\left(z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\bar{z}_{2} z_{2}\right)}, \frac{z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}-\bar{z}_{2} z_{2}}{z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\bar{z}_{2} z_{2}}\right), \tag{B.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see, for example, Alv02).
Let $z_{2}=w z_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left(\frac{\bar{w}+w}{1+|w|^{2}}, \frac{\bar{w}-w}{1+|w|^{2}}, \frac{1-|w|^{2}}{1+|w|^{2}}\right) . \tag{B.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $w=u+i v$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left(\frac{2 u}{1+u^{2}+v^{2}}, \frac{2 v}{1+u^{2}+v^{2}}, \frac{1-u^{2}-v^{2}}{1+u^{2}+v^{2}}\right) . \tag{B.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily to see that

$$
(2 u)^{2}+(2 v)^{2}+\left(1-u^{2}-v^{2}\right)^{2}=\left(1+u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

so $F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ maps every vector $\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]$ to a point (B.15) on the unit sphere $S^{2}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Another vector $\left[z_{3}, z_{4}\right]$ defines the same line in the $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ if and only if

$$
z_{4} / z_{3}=z_{2} / z_{1}=w
$$

i.e., the map $\mathrm{F}(\overline{\mathrm{B} .14})$ defines a bijection from the complex projective line $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ and the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F: \mathbb{C} P^{1} \Longleftrightarrow S^{2} . \tag{B.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $(x, y, z)$ be a point on $S^{2}$ distinct from the north pole $N=\{0,0,1\}$. The stereographic projection is the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
S: S^{2} \backslash N \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \tag{B.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x, y, z):=\frac{x}{1-z}+i \frac{y}{1-z} . \tag{B.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

see Fig. B.1. For details, see, for example, Jen94, §2.9].


Figure B.1. The stereographic projection
Consider the composition $S\left(F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)$. By ( $\overline{\mathrm{B} .13)}$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-z=\frac{2 \bar{z}_{2} z_{2}}{z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\bar{z}_{2} z_{2}}, \tag{B.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{x}{1-z}=\frac{z_{1} \bar{z}_{2}+\bar{z}_{1} z_{2}}{2 \bar{z}_{2} z_{2}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{z_{1}}{z_{2}}+\frac{\overline{z_{1}}}{\overline{z_{2}}}\right),  \tag{B.20}\\
& \frac{y}{1-z}=\frac{z_{1} \bar{z}_{2}-\bar{z}_{1} z_{2}}{2 \bar{z}_{2} z_{2}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{z_{1}}{z_{2}}-\frac{\overline{z_{1}}}{\overline{z_{2}}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\frac{z_{1}}{z_{2}}=w=u+i v
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x}{1-z}=\frac{1}{2}(w+\bar{w})=u, \quad \frac{y}{1-z}=\frac{1}{2}(w-\bar{w})=v, \tag{B.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)=u+i v=w=\frac{z_{1}}{z_{2}} \tag{B.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

## B.5. Prime spectrum, coordinate ring, orbit space

B.5.1. Hilbert's Nullstellensatz (Theorem of zeros). From now on, we assume that $R$ is a commutative ring with identity.

A proper ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $R$ is said to be maximal if $\mathfrak{m}$ is not a proper subset of any other proper ideal of $R$. An ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$ is maximal if and only if the quotient ring $R / \mathfrak{m}$ is a field. For example, every ideal $p \mathbb{Z}$ is maximal in the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}$, if $p$ is a prime number, and, in this case, the quotient ring $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ is a field.

A proper ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of a commutative ring $R$ is called a prime ideal if the following condition holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for any } a, b \in R \text {, if } a \cdot b \in \mathfrak{p} \text {, then either } a \in \mathfrak{p} \text { or } b \in \mathfrak{p} \text {. } \tag{B.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

An ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$ is prime if and only if the quotient ring $R / \mathfrak{p}$ is an integral domain (i.e., the commutative ring which has no divisors of 0 ). Examples of prime ideals:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ideal }(5, \sqrt{6}) \text { in the ring } \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{6}] \text {, } \\
& \text { ideal }(x) \text { in the ring } \mathbb{Z}[x] \text {, }  \tag{B.24}\\
& \text { ideal }(y+x+1) \text { in the ring } \mathbb{C}[x, y] \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

The maximal ideals are prime since the fields are integral domains, but not conversely:

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { maximal ideals } \subset \text { prime ideals } . \tag{B.25}
\end{array}
$$

The ideal $(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is prime, but not maximal, since, for example:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x) \subset(2, x) \subset \mathbb{Z}[x] . \tag{B.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field (e.g., the complex field $\mathbb{C}$ ), and let $I$ be an ideal in $k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. Define $\mathbb{V}_{k}(I)$, the zero set of $I$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{V}_{k}(I)=\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in k \mid f\left(a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}\right)=0 \text { for all } f \in I\right\} . \tag{B.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}$ (or just $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ ) the $n$-dimensional affine space over the field $k$. The Zariski topology on $\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}$ is defined to be the topology whose closed sets are the zero sets $\mathbb{V}_{k}(I)$.

For any ideal $I$ of the commutative ring $R$, the radical $\sqrt{I}$ of $I$, is the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{a \in R \mid a^{n} \in I \text { for some integer } n>0\right\} . \tag{B.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The radical of an ideal $I$ is always an ideal of $R$. If $I=\sqrt{I}$, then $I$ is called a radical ideal. The prime ideals are radical:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { prime ideals } \subset \text { radical ideals. } \tag{B.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem B.6. (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, Re88, Ch.2], or Mum88, pp.9-11])
Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field.
(1) The maximal ideals in the ring $A=k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ are the ideals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{m}_{P}=\left(x_{1}-a_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}-a_{n}\right) \tag{B.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some point $P=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$. The ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{P}$ coincides with the ideal $I(P)$ of all functions which are zero in $P$.
(2) If $\mathbb{V}_{k}(I)=\emptyset$, then $I=k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$.
(3) For any ideal $J \subset A$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(\mathbb{V}_{k}(J)\right)=\sqrt{J} \tag{B.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}$ is called the affine variety if $X=\mathbb{V}_{k}(I)$ for some ideal $I \subset A$, see (B.27). An affine variety $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}$ is called irreducible affine variety if there does not exist a decomposition

$$
X=X_{1} \cup X_{2},
$$

where $X_{1}, X_{2}$ are two proper subsets of $X$. For example, the affine variety

$$
X=\left\{(x, y) \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \mid x y=0\right\}
$$

is decomposed to the sum of

$$
X_{1}=\left\{(x, y) \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \mid x=0\right\} \text { and } X_{2}=\left\{(x, y) \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \mid y=0\right\} .
$$

Proposition B.7. Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}$ be an affine variety and $I=I(X)$ the corresponding ideal, i.e., $X=\mathbb{V}_{k}(I)$, see B.27). Then

$$
X \text { is irreducible } \Longleftrightarrow I(X) \text { is the prime ideal. }
$$

For a proof of this proposition, see, e.g., [Re88, §3.7].
One of the important corollaries of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz is the following correspondence [Re88, §3.10] between subvarieties $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}$ and ideals $I \subset A$ :

B.5.2. Prime spectrum. The prime spectrum $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ of the commutative ring $R$ is defined to be the set of proper prime ideals of $R$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\mathfrak{p} \subset R \mid \mathfrak{p} \text { is a prime ideal of } R\} \tag{B.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ring $R$ itself is not counted as a prime ideal, but (0), if prime, is counted.
A topology is imposed on $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ by defining the sets of closed sets. The closed set $V(J)$ is the set of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \supseteq J \text { for some ideal } J \subset R\} . \tag{B.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

This set is denoted by $V(J)$. The closure $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ of the subset $\mathcal{P}$ is the intersection of all closed sets containing $\mathcal{P}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathcal{P}}=\bigcap_{V(J) \supset \mathcal{P}} V(J) . \tag{B.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the closure of points of the topological space $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$. For a point of $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ which is the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$, we have the closure

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathfrak{p}}=\bigcap_{V(J) \supset \mathfrak{p}} V(J)=V(\mathfrak{p}), \tag{B.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

which consists of all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime} \supset \mathfrak{p}$. In particular, the closure $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ consists of one point if and only if the ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ is maximal. The point which coincides with its closure is called the closed point. Thus, closed points in $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ correspond one-to-one with maximal ideals.

In the conditions of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz every maximal ideal $J$ is $\mathfrak{m}_{P}$ (B.30) defined by some point $P=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in k^{n}$. Thus, in the finitely generated ring $A=k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ over an algebraically closed field $k$ the closed points in $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ correspond to the maximal ideals and correspond to the points $P=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in k^{n}$.

There exist non-closed points in the topological space $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$. Let $R$ has no divisors of 0 . Then the ideal (0) is prime and is contained in all other prime ideals. Thus, the closure $\overline{(0)}$ in (B.36) consists of all prime ideals, i.e. coincides with the space $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$. The point (0) is everywhere dense point in $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$. The everywhere dense is called the generic point.

Definition B.8. Let $\mathcal{P}$ is an irreducible closed subset of $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$. A point $a \in \mathcal{P}$ is called the generic point of $\mathcal{P}$ if the closure $\bar{a}$ coincides with $\mathcal{P}$.

Proposition B.9. (Mum88, p.126]) If $x \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$, then the closure $\overline{\{x\}}$ of $\{x\}$ is irreducible and $x$ is a generic point of $\overline{\{x\}}$. Conversely, every irreducible closed subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ equals $V(J)$ for some prime ideal $J \subset R$ and $J$ is its unique generic point.

A distinguished open set of $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is defined to be an open set of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Spec}(R):=\{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R) \mid f \notin \mathfrak{p}\} \tag{B.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any element $f \in R$.

Example B.10. 1) If R is a field, then $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ has just one point (0).
2) Let $R=k[X]$ be a polynomial ring in one variable $x$. Then $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is the affine line $A_{k}^{1}$ over $k$. There exist two types of prime ideals: $(0)$ and $(f(x))$, where $f$ is an irreducible polynomial, corresponding to the prime ideal $(f(x))$. For algebraically closed $k$, the closed points are all of the form $(X-a)$. The point ( 0 ) is generic.
3) $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ consists of closed points for every prime ideal (p), plus ideal (0).
B.5.3. Coordinate ring. Let $V \subset \mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}$ be an affine variety and $I(V)$ be an ideal of $V$. The coordinate ring of the affine variety $V$ is the quotient ring denoted by $k[V]$ and defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k[V]:=k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] / I(V) . \tag{B.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

A regular function on the affine variety $V$ is the restriction to $V$ of a polynomial in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, modulo $I(V)$ (i.e., modulo functions vanishing on $V$ ). Thus, the regular functions on the affine variety $V$ are elements of the coordinate ring $k[V]$.

Example B.11. 1) Let $f(x, y)$ be a complex polynomial function from $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. The coordinate ring of a plane curve defined by the equation $f(x, y)=0$ in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{C}[x, y] /(f(x, y)) \tag{B.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(f(x, y))$ is the ideal generated by the polynomial $f(x, y)$.
2) Consider two algebraic curves: $y=x^{r}(r \in \mathbb{N})$, and $y=0$. The coordinate rings of these curves are isomorphic:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
y=x^{r} & \text { corresponds to } & \mathbb{C}[x, y] /\left(y-x^{r}\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}\left[x, x^{r}\right] \simeq \mathbb{C}[x] \\
y=0 & \text { corresponds to } & \mathbb{C}[x, y] /(y) \simeq \mathbb{C}[x, x] \simeq \mathbb{C}[x]
\end{array}
$$

Thus, in the sense of algebraic geometry, curves $y=x^{r}$ and $y=0$ are the same.
3) On the other hand

$$
\mathbb{C}[x, y] /\left(y^{2}-x^{3}\right) \not \nsubseteq \mathbb{C}[x],
$$

and the curves $y^{2}=x^{3}$ and $y=0$ are not the same (in the sense of algebraic geometry). Really, there exists the isomorphism

$$
x \longmapsto T^{2}, \quad y \longmapsto T^{3},
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{C}[x, y] /\left(y^{2}-x^{3}\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}\left[T^{2}, T^{3}\right] \subset \mathbb{C}[T]
$$

The affine variety $X=\left\{(x, y) \mid y^{2}=x^{3}\right\}$ is called Neile's parabola, or the semicubical parabola.

REmark B.12. Consider the coordinate ring $R=k[V]$ of the affine variety $V$ over algebraically closed $k$. Then,

$$
\text { the prime spectrum } \operatorname{Spec}(R) \text { contains exactly }
$$ the same information as the variety $V$.

Really, according to Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, the maximal ideals of $k[V]$ correspond one-toone with points of $V$ :

$$
v \in V \Longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{v} \subset k[V]
$$

Besides, according to Proposition B. 7 every other prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset k[V]$ is the intersection of maximal ideals corresponding to the points of some irreducible subvariety $Y \subset V$ :

$$
\mathfrak{p}_{Y}=\bigcap_{v \in Y} \mathfrak{m}_{v}
$$

B.5.4. Orbit space. Let $G$ be a finite group acting on affine variety $V$ and $k[V]$ be the coordinate ring of $V$. The problem here is that the quotient space $V / G$ may not exist, even for very trivial group actions, see Example B.13. To find how this problem is solved in the geometric invariant theory (GIT), see [Dol03] or Kr85].

Example B.13. Consider the multiplicative group $G=k^{*}$ on the affine line $A_{k}^{1}$. An orbit space for the group $G$ consists of two orbits: $\{0\}$ and $A_{k}^{1}-\{0\}$. The second orbit $A_{k}^{1}-\{0\}$ is not closed subset in the Zariski's topology, and the first orbit $\{0\}$ is contained in the closure of the orbit $A_{k}^{1}-\{0\}$. Thus, the point $\{0\}$ is the generic point ( $\left.\S \bar{B} .5 .2\right)$.

The following two definitions of the categorical quotient and the orbit space can be found, e.g., in the P. E. Newstead textbook [Newst78, p.39].

Definition B.14. Let $G$ be an algebraic group acting on a variety $V$. A categorical quotient of $V$ by $G$ is a pair $(Y, \varphi)$, where $Y$ ia variety and $\varphi$ is a morphism $\varphi: V \longrightarrow Y$ such that
(i) $\varphi$ is constant on the orbits of the action;
(ii) for any variety $Y^{\prime}$ and morphism $\varphi^{\prime}: V \longrightarrow Y^{\prime}$ which is constant on orbits, there is a unique morphism $\psi: Y \longrightarrow Y^{\prime}$ such that $\psi \circ \varphi=\varphi^{\prime}$.

Definition B.15. A categorical quotient of $V$ by $G$ is called an orbit space if $\varphi^{-1}(y)$ consists of a single orbit for all $y \in Y$. The orbit space is denoted by $V / G$.

The orbit space $X=V / G$ is an affine variety whose points correspond one-to-one with orbits of the group action.

Proposition B.16. Let $G$ be a finite group of order n acting on affine variety $V$. Assume that the characteristic of $k$ does not divide $n$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
X:=\operatorname{Spec} k[V]^{G} . \tag{B.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $X$ is an orbit space for the action $G$ on $V$.
For a proof of this theorem see, e.g., [Shaf88, Ch. 1 §2, Ex.11] or [Rom00, Th.1.6].

## B.6. The orbit structure of the Coxeter transformation

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the simple complex Lie algebra of type $A, D$ or $E$, and $\mathfrak{h}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathfrak{h}^{\vee}$ be the dual space to $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\alpha_{i} \in \mathfrak{h}^{\vee}, i=1, \ldots, l$ be an ordered set of simple positive roots. Here, we follow B. Kostant's description Kos84 of the orbit structure of the Coxeter transformation $\mathbf{C}$ on the highest root in the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$. We consider a bipartite graph and a bicolored Coxeter transformation from 33.1.1 \$3.1.2 Let $\beta$ be the highest root of $(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g})$, see $\$ 4.1$. Between two bicolored Coxeter transformations (3.1) we select $w_{1}, w_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{2} \beta=\beta \tag{B.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider, for example, the Dynkin diagram $E_{6}$. Here,

$$
w_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & & & & &  \tag{B.42}\\
& 1 & & & & \\
& & 1 & & & \\
1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & & \\
1 & 0 & 1 & & -1 & \\
1 & 0 & 0 & & & -1
\end{array}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{llllll}
-1 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
& -1 & & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
& & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
& & & \\
& & & 1 & & \\
x_{2} \\
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
y_{3}
\end{array}, \quad w_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \\
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
y_{1} \\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
y_{2}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

where any vector $z \in \mathfrak{h}^{\vee}$ and the highest vector $\beta$ are:

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
x_{1} & y_{1} & x_{0} & y_{2} & x_{2}  \tag{B.43}\\
y_{3}
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{llllll}
1
\end{array}, \quad \beta=\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\
& & 2 & &
\end{array}, \quad \text { or } \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
3 \\
1 \\
1 \\
2 \\
2 \\
2
\end{array}\right) \begin{aligned}
& x_{0} \\
& x_{1} \\
& x_{2} \\
& y_{1} \\
& y_{2} \\
& y_{3}
\end{aligned},
$$

Further, following B.Kostant [Kos84, Th.1.5] consider the alternating products $\tau^{(n)}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau^{(1)}=w_{1}, \\
& \tau^{(2)}=\mathbf{C}=w_{2} w_{1}, \\
& \tau^{(3)}=w_{1} \mathbf{C}=w_{1} w_{2} w_{1},  \tag{B.44}\\
& \ldots, \\
& \tau^{(n)}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{C}^{k}=w_{2} w_{1} \ldots w_{2} w_{1} \text { for } n=2 k, \\
w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{k}=w_{1} w_{2} w_{1} \ldots w_{2} w_{1} \text { for } n=2 k+1,
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

and the orbit of $\tau^{(n)}$ on the highest vector $\beta$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{n}=\tau^{(n)} \beta \tag{B.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=1, \ldots, h-1$ ( $h$ is the Coxeter number, see(4.1)).
Theorem B.17. (B. Kostant, Kos84, Theorems 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.8])

1) There exist $z_{j} \in \mathfrak{h}^{\vee}, j=1, \ldots, h-1$ and even integers $2 \leq a \leq b \leq h$ (see (5.58) and Table 5.1) such that the generating functions $P_{G}(t)$ (see (1.6), (1.21), or (5.52), (5.53)) are obtained as follows:

$$
\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1+t^{h}}{\left(1-t^{a}\right)\left(1-t^{b}\right)} \text { for } i=0,  \tag{B.46}\\
\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{h-1} z_{j} t^{j}}{\left(1-t^{a}\right)\left(1-t^{b}\right)} \text { for } i=1, \ldots, r .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Indices $i=1, \ldots, r$ enumerate vertices of the Dynkin diagram and the coordinates of the vectors $z_{n} ; i=0$ corresponds to the additional vertex, the one that extends the Dynkin diagram to the extended Dynkin diagram.
2) Vectors $z_{n}$ (we call these vectors the assembling vectors) are obtained by means of the orbit of $\tau^{(n)}$ on the highest vector $\beta$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n}=\tau^{(n-1)} \beta-\tau^{(n)} \beta \tag{B.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

3) On has

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{g}=2 \alpha_{*}, \text { where } g=\frac{h}{2} \tag{B.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\alpha_{*}$ is the simple root corresponding to the branch point for diagrams $D_{n}, E_{n}$ and to the midpoint for the diagram $A_{2 m-1}$. In all these cases $h$ is even, and $g$ is integer. The diagram $A_{2 m}$ has been excluded.
4) The series of assembling vectors $z_{n}$ is symmetry:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{g+k}=z_{g-k} \text { for } k=1, \ldots, g \tag{B.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table B.1. Orbit of the Coxeter transformation on the highest root

| $\beta$ | $\tau^{(1)} \beta=w_{1} \beta$ | $\tau^{(2)} \beta=\mathbf{C} \beta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ & & 2 & & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ & & 1 & & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll} \hline 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ & & 1 & & \end{array}$ |
| $\tau^{(3)} \beta=w_{1} \mathbf{C} \beta$ | $\tau^{(4)} \beta=\mathbf{C}^{2} \beta$ | $\tau^{(5)} \beta=w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{2} \beta$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ & & 1 & & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll} \hline 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ & & 1 & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll} \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 & & \end{array}$ |
| $\tau^{(6)} \beta=\mathbf{C}^{3} \beta$ | $\tau^{(7)} \beta=w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{3} \beta$ | $\tau^{(8)} \beta=\mathbf{C}^{4} \beta$ |
| $\begin{array}{ccccc} \hline 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 & & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll} \hline 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ & & -1 & & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll} \hline-1 & -1 & -2 & -1 & -1 \\ & & -1 & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $\tau^{(9)} \beta=w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{4} \beta$ | $\tau^{(10)} \beta=\mathbf{C}^{5} \beta$ | $\tau^{(11)} \beta=w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{5} \beta$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} \hline-1 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -1 \\ & & -1 & & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll} \hline-1 & -2 & -3 & -2 & -1 \\ & & -1 & & \\ & & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll} \hline-1 & -2 & -3 & -2 & -1 \\ & & -2 & & \end{array}$ |

TABLE B.2. Assembling vectors $z_{n}=\tau^{(n-1)} \beta-\tau^{(n)} \beta$

| $z_{1}=\beta-w_{1} \beta$ | $z_{2}=w_{1} \beta-\mathbf{C} \beta$ | $z_{3}=\mathbf{C} \beta-w_{1} \mathbf{C} \beta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 1 & & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll} \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 & & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll} \hline 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & & 0 & & \end{array}$ |
| $z_{4}=w_{1} \mathbf{C} \beta-\mathbf{C}^{2} \beta$ | $z_{5}=\mathbf{C}^{2} \beta-w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{2} \beta$ | $z_{6}=w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{2} \beta-\mathbf{C}^{3} \beta$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} \hline 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ & & 0 & & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll} \hline 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & & 1 & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll} 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 & & \end{array}$ |
| $z_{7}=\mathbf{C}^{3} \beta-w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{3} \beta$ | $z_{8}=w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{3} \beta-\mathbf{C}^{4} \beta$ | $z_{9}=\mathbf{C}^{4} \beta-w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{4} \beta$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & & 1 & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ & & 0 & & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllll} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & & 0 & & \end{array}$ |
| $z_{10}=w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{4} \beta-\mathbf{C}^{5} \beta$ | $z_{11}=\mathbf{C}^{5} \beta-w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{5} \beta$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 & & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll} \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 1 & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |

In the case of the Dynkin diagram $E_{6}$ vectors $\tau^{(n)} \beta$ are given in Table B.1 and the assembling vectors $z_{n}$ are given in Table B.2. Vector $z_{6}$ coincides with $2 \alpha_{x_{0}}$, where $\alpha_{x_{0}}$ is the simple root corresponding to the vertex $x_{0}$, see (B.43). From Table B. 2 we see, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}=z_{11}, \quad z_{2}=z_{10}, \quad z_{3}=z_{9}, \quad z_{4}=z_{8}, \quad z_{5}=z_{7} \tag{B.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $z(t)_{i}$ the polynomial $\sum_{j=1}^{h-1} z_{j} t^{j}$ from (B.46). In the case of $E_{6}$ we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& z(t)_{x_{1}}=t^{4}+t^{8}, \\
& z(t)_{y_{1}}=t^{3}+t^{5}+t^{7}+t^{9}, \\
& z(t)_{y_{2}}=t^{3}+t^{5}+t^{7}+t^{9},  \tag{B.51}\\
& z(t)_{x_{2}}=t^{4}+t^{8}, \\
& z(t)_{y_{3}}=t+t^{5}+t^{7}+t^{11} .
\end{align*}
$$

The Kostant numbers $a, b$ (see Table 5.1) for $E_{6}$ are $a=6, b=8$. From (B.46) and (B.51), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{x_{1}}=\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{x_{2}}=\frac{t^{4}+t^{8}}{\left(1-t^{6}\right)\left(1-t^{8}\right)},} \\
& {\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{y_{1}}=\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{y_{2}}=\frac{t^{3}+t^{5}+t^{7}+t^{9}}{\left(1-t^{6}\right)\left(1-t^{8}\right)},}  \tag{B.52}\\
& {\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{y_{3}} \quad}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-t^{6}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{6 n}, \quad 1-t^{8}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{8 n} \tag{B.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{x_{1}}=\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{x_{2}}=\sum_{i=0, j=0}^{\infty}\left(t^{6 i+8 j+4}+t^{6 i+8 j+8}\right)} \\
& {\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{y_{1}}=\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{y_{2}}=\sum_{i=0, j=0}^{\infty}\left(t^{6 i+8 j+3}+t^{6 i+8 j+5}+t^{6 i+8 j+7}+t^{6 i+8 j+9}\right)}  \tag{B.54}\\
& {\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{y_{3}}}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall, that $m_{\alpha}(n), \alpha=x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}$ are the multiplicities of the indecomposable representations $\rho_{\alpha}$ of $G$ (considered in the context of McKay correspondence, $\S(\mathbb{A . 4})$ ) in the decomposition of $\pi_{n} \mid G$ (5.45). These multiplicities are the coefficients of the Poincaré series (B.54), see (5.50), (5.52), (5.53). For example,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{x_{1}}=\left[P_{G}(t)\right]_{x_{2}}=t^{4}+t^{8}+t^{10}+t^{12}+t^{14}+2 t^{16}+t^{18}+2 t^{20}+\ldots \tag{B.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
m_{x_{1}}=m_{x_{2}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { for } n=1,2,3,5,6 \text { and } n=2 k+1, k \geq 3  \tag{B.56}\\
1 \text { for } n=4,8,10,12,14,18, \ldots \\
2 \text { for } n=16,20, \ldots \\
\ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

In particular representations $\rho_{x_{1}}(n)$ and $\rho_{x_{2}}(n)$ do not enter in the decomposition of $\pi_{n}$ of $S U(2)$ (see $\$ 5.4 .1)$ for all odd $n$.

## B.7. Fixed and anti-fixed points of the Coxeter transformation

B.7.1. Chebyshev polynomials and the McKay-Slodowy matrix. We continue to use block arithmetic for $(m+k) \times(m+k)$ matrices as in \$3.1.3, namely:

$$
K=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 I & 2 D  \tag{B.57}\\
2 F & 2 I
\end{array}\right), \quad w_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-I & -2 D \\
0 & I
\end{array}\right), \quad w_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
-2 F & -I
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let

$$
\beta=\frac{1}{2} K-I=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & D  \tag{B.58}\\
F & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The matrix $\beta$ coincides (up to a factor $-\frac{1}{2}$ ) with the McKay matrix from A. 4 or with the Slodowy matrix in the multiply-laced case, (A.101), A.108).

Introduce two recursive series $f_{p}(\beta)$ and $g_{p}(\beta)$ of polynomials in $\beta$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{0}(\beta)=0 \\
& f_{1}(\beta)=K=2 \beta+2 I  \tag{B.59}\\
& f_{p+2}(\beta)=2 \beta f_{p+1}(\beta)-f_{p}(\beta) \text { for } p \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{0}(\beta)=2 I \\
& g_{1}(\beta)=K-2 I=2 \beta  \tag{B.60}\\
& g_{p+2}(\beta)=2 \beta g_{p+1}(\beta)-g_{p}(\beta) \text { for } p \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

For example,

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{2}(\beta)=2 \beta(2 \beta+2 I)=(K-2 I) K=4\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D F & D \\
F & F D
\end{array}\right), \\
& f_{3}(\beta)=(K-2 I)(K-2 I) K-K=2\left(\begin{array}{cc}
4 D F-I & 4 D F D-D \\
4 F D F-F & 4 F D-I
\end{array}\right),  \tag{B.61}\\
& \quad g_{2}(\beta)=2\left(2 \beta^{2}-I\right)=2\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 D F-I & 0 \\
0 & 2 F D-I
\end{array}\right), \\
& \quad g_{3}(\beta)=4 \beta\left(2 \beta^{2}-I\right)-2 \beta=2\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 8 D F D-6 D \\
8 F D F-6 F & 0
\end{array}\right) . \tag{B.62}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark B.18. Let us formally substitute $\beta=\cos t$. Then

1) The polynomials $g_{p}(\beta)$ are Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind (up to a factor $\frac{1}{2}$ ):

$$
g_{p}(\cos t)=2 \cos p t .
$$

Indeed,

$$
g_{1}(\beta)=2 \beta=2 \cos t, \quad g_{2}(\beta)=2\left(2 \beta^{2}-1\right)=2 \cos 2 t,
$$

and

$$
g_{p+2}(\beta)=2(2 \cos t \cos (p+1) t-\cos p t)=2 \cos (p+2) t
$$

2) The polynomials $f_{p}(\beta)$ are Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind (up to a factor $2 \beta+2)$ :

$$
f_{p}(\cos t)=2(\cos t+1) \frac{\sin p t}{\sin t}
$$

Indeed,

$$
f_{1}(\beta)=2 \beta+2 I=2 \cos t+2, \quad f_{2}(\beta)=2 \beta(2 \beta+2 I)=4 \cos t(\cos t+1)
$$

and

$$
f_{p+2}(\beta)=2 \frac{\cos t+1}{\sin t}(2 \cos t \sin (p+1) t-\sin p t)=2(\cos t+1) \frac{\sin (p+2) t}{\sin t} .
$$

B.7.2. A theorem on fixed and anti-fixed points. The purpose of this section is to prove the following

Theorem B. 19 ([SuSt82]). 1) The fixed points of the powers of the Coxeter transformation satisfy the following relations

$$
\mathbf{C}^{p} z=z \Longleftrightarrow f_{p}(\beta) z=0
$$

2) The anti-fixed points of the powers of the Coxeter transformation satisfy the following relations

$$
\mathbf{C}^{p} z=-z \Longleftrightarrow g_{p}(\beta) z=0
$$

In the proof of Theorem B. 19 we will need some properties of block matrices. Define the involution $\sigma$ by setting

$$
\sigma: A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
P & Q  \tag{B.63}\\
S & T
\end{array}\right) \mapsto \bar{A}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
P & Q \\
-S & -T
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The map $\sigma$ is a linear operator because $\overline{\alpha_{1} A_{1}+\alpha_{2} A_{2}}=\alpha_{1} \overline{A_{1}}+\alpha_{2} \overline{A_{2}}$.
The involution $\sigma$ does not preserve products:

$$
\overline{A R} \neq \bar{A} \bar{R}
$$

but

$$
\overline{A R}=\bar{A} R \quad \text { for any } R=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X & Y  \tag{B.64}\\
U & V
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
R \bar{A}=-\overline{R A} \text { for } R=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & Y  \tag{B.65}\\
U & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The following relations are easy to check:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{C}=w_{1} w_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
4 D F-I & 2 D \\
-2 F & -I
\end{array}\right),  \tag{B.66}\\
& \mathbf{C}^{-1}=w_{2} w_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-I & -2 D \\
2 F & 4 F D-I
\end{array}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{2} \mathbf{C}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
4 D F-I & 2 D \\
-8 F D F+4 F & -4 F D+I
\end{array}\right),  \tag{B.67}\\
& w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-4 D F+I & 4 D-8 D F D \\
2 F & 4 F D-I
\end{array}\right), \\
& w_{1}-w_{2}=4\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-2 I & -2 D \\
2 F & 2 I
\end{array}\right)=-\bar{K}, \quad w_{1}+w_{2}=-(K-2 I),  \tag{B.68}\\
& \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{C}^{-1}=4\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D F & D \\
-F & -F D
\end{array}\right)=4 \overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D F & D \\
F & F D
\end{array}\right)},  \tag{B.69}\\
& \mathbf{C}+\mathbf{C}^{-1}=2\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 D F-I & 0 \\
0 & 2 F D-I
\end{array}\right), \\
& w_{2} \mathbf{C}-w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{-1}=2\left(\begin{array}{cc}
4 D F-I & 4 D F D-D \\
-(4 F D F-F) & -(4 F D-I)
\end{array}\right)= \\
& 2\left(\begin{array}{cc}
4 D F-I & 4 D F D-D \\
4 F D F-F & 4 F D-I
\end{array}\right),  \tag{B.70}\\
& w_{2} \mathbf{C}+w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -8 D F D+6 D \\
-8 F D F+6 F & 0
\end{array}\right),  \tag{B.71}\\
& 2 \beta w_{2}+I=-\mathbf{C}, \quad 2 \beta w_{1}+I=-\mathbf{C}^{-1} . \tag{B.72}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition B.20. The following relations hold

$$
\begin{gather*}
w_{2}-w_{1}=\overline{f_{1}(\beta)},  \tag{B.73}\\
\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{C}^{-1}=\overline{f_{2}(\beta)},  \tag{B.74}\\
w_{2} \mathbf{C}-w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{-1}=\overline{f_{3}(\beta)},  \tag{B.75}\\
w_{2}+w_{1}=-g_{1}(\beta),  \tag{B.76}\\
\mathbf{C}+\mathbf{C}^{-1}=g_{2}(\beta),  \tag{B.77}\\
w_{2} \mathbf{C}+w \mathbf{C}^{-1}=-g_{3}(\beta) . \tag{B.78}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. The proof of this theorem follows from comparing relations (B.59)-(B.60) and relations (B.69)-(B.71).
(B.73) follows from (B.59) and (B.68).
(B.74) follows from (B.61) and (B.69).
(B.75) follows from (B.61) and (B.70).
(B.76) follows from (B.60) and (B.68).
(B.77) follows from (B.62) and (B.69).
(B.78) follows from (B.62) and (B.71).

Proposition B.21. The following relations hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\overline{f_{2 m}(\beta)}=\mathbf{C}^{m}-\mathbf{C}^{-m}, \quad \overline{f_{2 m+1}(\beta)}=w_{2} \mathbf{C}^{m}-w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{-m},  \tag{B.79}\\
g_{2 m}(\beta)=\mathbf{C}^{m}+\mathbf{C}^{-m}, \quad g_{2 m+1}(\beta)=-\left(w_{2} \mathbf{C}^{m}+w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{-m}\right) \tag{B.80}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. 1) Relations (B.73)-(B.75) realize the basis of induction.
By (B.65), by the induction hypothesis, and by (B.72) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{f_{2 m+2}(\beta)}=\overline{2 \beta f_{2 m+1}(\beta)}-\overline{f_{2 m}(\beta)}=-2 \beta \overline{f_{2 m+1}(\beta)}-\overline{f_{2 m}(\beta)}= \\
& -2 \beta\left(w_{2} \mathbf{C}^{m}-w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{-m}\right)-\left(\mathbf{C}^{m}-\mathbf{C}^{-m}\right)= \\
& -\left(2 \beta w_{2}+I\right) \mathbf{C}^{m}+\left(2 \beta w_{1}+I\right) \mathbf{C}^{-m}=\mathbf{C}^{m+1}-\mathbf{C}^{-(m+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{f_{2 m+3}(\beta)}=-2 \beta \overline{f_{2 m+2}(\beta)}-\overline{f_{2 m+1}(\beta)}= \\
& -2 \beta\left(\mathbf{C}^{m+1}-\mathbf{C}^{-(m+1)}\right)-w_{2} \mathbf{C}^{m}-w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{-m}= \\
& -\left(2 \beta w_{1}+I\right) w_{2} \mathbf{C}^{m}+\left(2 \beta w_{2}+I\right) w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{-m}= \\
& \mathbf{C}^{-1} w_{2} \mathbf{C}^{m}-\mathbf{C} w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{-m}=w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{m+1}-w_{2} \mathbf{C}^{-(m+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

2) Relations (B.76)-(B.78) realize the basis of induction. By the induction hypothesis and by (B.72) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{2 m+2}(\beta)=2 \beta g_{2 m+1}(\beta)-g_{2 m}(\beta)= \\
& -2 \beta\left(w_{2} \mathbf{C}^{m}+w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{-m}\right)-\left(\mathbf{C}^{m}+\mathbf{C}^{-m}\right)= \\
& -\left(2 \beta w_{2}+I\right) \mathbf{C}^{m}-\left(2 \beta w_{1}+I\right) \mathbf{C}^{-m}=\mathbf{C}^{m+1}+\mathbf{C}^{-(m+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

By analogy, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{2 m+3}(\beta)=2 \beta g_{2 m+2}(\beta)-g_{2 m+1}(\beta)= \\
& -2 \beta\left(\mathbf{C}^{m+1}+\mathbf{C}^{-(m+1)}\right)-\left(w_{2} \mathbf{C}^{m}+w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{-m}\right)= \\
& -\left(2 \beta w_{1}+I\right) w_{2} \mathbf{C}^{m}-\left(2 \beta w_{2}+I\right) w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{-m}=w_{1} \mathbf{C}^{m+1}+w_{2} \mathbf{C}^{-(m+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem B. 19 now follows from Proposition B.21.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hereafter we give all such numbers with six decimal points.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The numbers $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}$ are said to be exponents of the Weyl group. See $\$ 2.7 .2$

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ As C. A. Weibel Weib p.6] writes: "...today we would say that Hopf's result amounted to an early classification of finite dimensional graded "Hopf algebras" over $\mathbb{Q}$ ".

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Here, $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}(K)$ is the subalgebra $[\mathfrak{g}(K), \mathfrak{g}(K)]$ of the Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}(K)$ associated with the generalized Cartan matrix $K$. One has

    $$
    \mathfrak{g}(K)=\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}(K)+\mathfrak{h},
    $$

    where $\mathfrak{h}$ is the Cartan subalgebra, $\mathfrak{g}(K)=\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}(K)$ if and only if $\operatorname{det} K \neq 0$, Kac93, $\S 1.3$ and Th.2.2].

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Perron-Frobenius theorem is well known in the matrix theory, see $₫$ B.3 and $\mathbf{M M 6 4}$, Ga90].

[^5]:    ${ }^{2}$ For the Jordan canonical (normal) form, see, for example, [Ga90 Ch.VI] or [Pr94 Ch.III].

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Remark 4.2
    ${ }^{2}$ See Remark 4.3

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ See,Remark 4.4
    ${ }^{2}$ For emphasis and to distinguish $h$ from $h^{\vee}$, we frame the value $\min \left(h, h^{\vee}\right)$ in the case $h \neq h^{\vee}$.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ See http://www.engineerstoolbox.com.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ For more details, see also Gb02, Rie02, Hob02, Cr01.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Remark A. 6

