Appendix 1: Water supply compliance

All water suppliers have an obligation to comply with the Health Act 1956 and meet the *Drinking-Water Standards for New Zealand 2005 (Revised 2008)* (the Standards).

This appendix provides information on each water supply, and whether it complied with the relevant sections of the Health Act and the relevant Standards. It groups water supplies by health district within New Zealand, which are listed in north-to-south order. Within each health district, water suppliers and supplies are listed alphabetically.

For all water supplies, this appendix provides information about the supply's source water (where the water comes from), its routine disinfection processes (that is, what steps the supplier takes to make the water safe to drink) and any boil-water notices put in place during the year (a supplier issues a boil-water notice to tell residents they must boil their water before drinking it due to the risk of contamination).

If the water supply has complied with the Health Act and meets the Standards, then the appendix gives no further detail.

The Health Act 1956

Water supplies are assessed against the following sections of the Health Act for the previous year. If a water supply failed to meet the Health Act, the appendix provides an explanation.

Section	Requirement	Description of the supplier's duties under this section
69S	Adequate provision of water	The water supplier must take all practicable steps to ensure an adequate supply of drinking water is provided to each point of supply
69U	Source protection	The water supplier must take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination
69Y	Monitoring frequency in accordance with the Standards	The water supplier must monitor the drinking water to check whether it meets drinking-water Standards or presents a public health risk
69Z	Water safety plan	The water supplier is required to prepare and implement a water safety plan and have it approved by a drinking water assessor, with the exception of small water suppliers. The supplier must review its water safety plan at least every five years

1

Section	Requirement	Description of the supplier's duties under this section
69ZD	Adequate records	The water supplier must keep records containing sufficient information to allow a drinking-water assessor to assess whether they comply with the Act
69ZE	Investigation of complaints	The water supplier must record and investigate all complaints about the water it provides
69ZF	Appropriate remedial actions following a monitoring transgression	If the water supply does not meet Standards, the supplier must take appropriate steps to correct the problem

The Standards

Water supplies are assessed against the relevant Standards for bacteria, protozoa and specified chemicals. If the supply failed to meet the Standards because of bacteria or chemicals, the appendix provides additional information about why it did so. If the supply failed to meet the Standards for protozoa, the appendix provides no additional detail; more information may be available from the water supplier.

1 Northland

Supplier: Carrington Farms Jade LP

Carrington Estate	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 120
The water supply uses surf	face water and is chlorinated	d and treated by UV.	

Supplier: Doubtless Bay Water Supply Co

Doubtless Bay	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 2,000

The water supply uses mixed sources and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Doubtless Bay did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Doubtless Bay failed to meet the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Far North District Council

Kaikohe	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 4,200
The water supply uses mixed sources	and is chlorinated and tre	eated by UV.	

Kaitaia	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 5,400	
The water supply uses surface water a	nd is chlorinated and tre	ated by UV.		
Kawakawa/Moerewa	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 3,500	
The water supply uses groundwater a	nd is chlorinated.			
Kerikeri	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 6,700	
The water supply uses surface water a	nd is chlorinated and tre	ated by UV.		
Okaihau	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 800	
The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.				
Omanaia	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 180	

The water supply uses surface water, and parts of the supply are treated by UV. A permanent boilwater notice is in place.

Omanaia did not take any *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Omanaia failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards.

Omapere	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 900			
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.						
Paihia	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 4,000			
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.						
Rawene	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 600			
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.						

Supplier: Hukerenui Community

Hukerenui		Fail	led Health	Act	Failed Stand	dards	Populatior	n: 250
	_							

The water supply uses surface water, and parts of the supply are treated by UV. A permanent boilwater notice is in place.

Hukerenui did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements it therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Hukerenui failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards.

Supplier: Kaipara District Council

Dargaville	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 4,683
The water supply uses surface water a	nd is chlorinated and tre	ated by UV.	

Kaihu-Dargaville	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 324
------------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.

Kaihu-Dargaville failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, did not take reasonable steps to protect source water from contamination, did not take any *E. coli* samples and failed to adequately investigate complaints. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S, 69U, 69Y and 69ZE), and also failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards.

Mangawhai Heads	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 200		
The water supply uses groundwater ar	nd is chlorinated and trea	ated by UV.			
Maungaturoto	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 980		
The water supply uses surface water a	nd is chlorinated and tre	ated by UV.			
Maungaturoto met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.					
Ruawai	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 426		
The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.					

Supplier: Ngāti Rehia Wai Trust

Ngāti Rehia Wai Trust	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 120
-			•

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Ngāti Rehia Wai Trust did not take reasonable steps to protect the source water from contamination and did not take any *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69U and 69Y), and failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Pakanae Community Water Supply

Pakanae	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 160
The water supply uses surface water a	and is chlorinated and tre	ated by UV.	

Supplier: Russell Township-Commercial

Russell 1	Fownship-Com	mercial	Failed Health Ac	t Failed Standards	Population: 200

The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.

Russell Township-Commercial did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements, therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Russell Township-Commercial failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Waimā Hapū Community

Waimā Hapū Community	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 200

The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.

Waimā Hapū Community failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, did not take any *E. coli* samples and failed to investigate complaints. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S, 69Y and 69ZE), and failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Waipapa Water Works

Waipapa, Lock	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 150
The water supply uses groundwater	and is chlorinated and tr	eated by UV.	

Supplier: Whangarei District Council

Bream Bay	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 8,500	
The water supply uses surface wate	r and is chlorinated and t	reated by UV.		
Bream Bay had some disinfection by-products that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the chemical Standards for the whole supply.				
Bream Bay met the bacterial and pr	otozoal Standards.			
Maungakaramea	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 200	
The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.				
Whangarei	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 56,530	
The water supply uses mixed source	es and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.		

Supplier: Whangaroa Health Services Trust

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Kaeo Hospital did not take enough *E. coli* samples throughout their whole supply, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Kaeo Hospital failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Whirinaki Water Board

Whir	

Failed Health Act

Failed Standards

Population: 400

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Whirinaki did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Whirinaki failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

2 North, West, Central and South Auckland

Supplier: Auckland Council

Āwhitu Regional Park	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 250
The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.			
Āwhitu Regional Park did not undertake sufficient <i>E. coli</i> monitoring throughout their supply, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Āwhitu Regional Park also had <i>E. coli</i> that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.			
Matiatia Wharf	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 800

The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.

Matiatia Wharf did not undertake sufficient *E. coli* monitoring throughout their supply, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Matiatia Wharf failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Beachlands Network Ltd

Beachlands Networks	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 200
---------------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Beachlands Networks did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Beachlands Networks failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: BP Oil NZ Ltd, Bombay

Bombay Motorway Services	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 200
The water supply uses secure groun	dwater and is chlorinated	I.	

Supplier: Glenbrook Irrigation Company

Waiuku, Glenbrook Beach Road	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 200

The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated.

Waiuku, Glenbrook Beach Road had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply. Waiuku, Glenbrook Beach Road met the protozoal and chemical Standards.

Supplier: Haranui Whanau

Haranui Whanau	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 200
The water supply uses ground	water and is treated by UV.		

Supplier: Kingseat Foundation

Kingseat Community	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 400
The water supply uses groundwate	er and is chlorinated.		

Supplier: Pine Harbour Holdings Ltd

Pine Harbour	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 150
The water supply uses secure grou	undwater and is chlorinate	ed and treated by UV.	

Supplier: Southpark Utilities Ltd

Kensington Park	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 450
The water supply uses secure grou	undwater and is chlorinate	ed.	

Supplier: Tauranganui Marae

Tauranganui Marae	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 475
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· opulation · · · ·

The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.

Tauranganui Marae did not undertake sufficient *E. coli* monitoring throughout their supply, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Tauranganui Marae failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply. Tauranganui Marae met the protozoal and chemical Standards.

Supplier: Vector Ltd

Broadway Park, Newmarket	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 760
The water supply uses mixed sources and is chlorinated and fluoridated. E. coli was detected in 1 of			
2,610 monitoring samples (this is allowable).			

Supplier: Veolia Water, Papakura

Burnside Road	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 352
The water supply uses surface wate	r and is chlorinated and fl	uoridated.	
Papakura	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 48,513
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated.			

Supplier: Village Fields Water Company

Village Fields Subdivision	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 152
The water supply uses groupdwate	r and is traated by LIV		

The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.

Village Fields Subdivision did not undertake sufficient *E. coli* monitoring throughout their supply, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Village Fields Subdivision failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply.

Village Fields Subdivision met the protozoal and chemical Standards.

Supplier: Watercare Services Ltd

Auckland	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 1,271,459
The water supply uses mixed source 8,935 monitoring samples (this is a		d fluoridated. E. col	i was detected in 3 of
Bombay	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 609
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	
Helensville/Parakai	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 4,579
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated.		
Huia Village	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 597
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated.		
Muriwai	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 563
The water supply uses groundwate	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	
Snells/Algies	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 4,664
The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.			
Waiuku	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 8,697
The water supply uses groundwate	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	

Warkworth	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 4,111
The water supply uses surface wat	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	
Wellsford/Te Hana	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 2,114
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.			

3 Waikato

Supplier: Department of Conservation (Whakapapa V)

Whakapapa Village	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 200
Thatapapa Thage	complica meaning tec	ranca standaras	i opulation. Loo

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Whakapapa Village met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Fonterra Waitoa

Waitoa	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 500
The water supply uses surface water during the period.	and is chlorinated. A	temporary boil-water n	otice was issued
Waitoa did not take enough E. coli s	amples and did not ta	ake appropriate action t	o protect public
health after an issue was discovered	, and therefore failed	to comply with the Hea	Ith Act (sections

health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, and the presence of one disinfection by-product that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Waitoa failed the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Waitoa met the bacterial Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Hahei Beach Water Supply Association

Hahei, Pa RoadFailed Health ActFailed	Standards Population: 200
---------------------------------------	---------------------------

The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection.

Hahei, Pa Road did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Hahei, Pa Road failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Hamilton City Council

Hamilton	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 132,486
The water supply uses surface wat	er and is chlorinated and	fluoridated and tre	eated by UV.

Supplier: Hauraki District Council

Kaimanawa	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 204
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated. A p	permanent boil-water n	otice is in place.
Kaimanawa met the bacterial and o whole supply.	chemical Standards but t	failed the protozoal Sta	ndards for the
Kerepehi	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 2,552
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated and	I treated by UV.	
Paeroa	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 4,887
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated.		
Waihi	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 4,927
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated.		
Waitakaruru	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 2,076
The water supply uses groundwate Waitakaruru met the bacterial and whole supply.		-	andards for the

Supplier: Land Information New Zealand

Tokanui	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 250
The water supply uses surface wat	er and is chlorinated and	I treated by UV.	
Tokanui met the bacterial and che	mical Standards but faile	ed the protozoal Standa	ards for the whole
supply.			

Supplier: Matamata-Piako District Council

Matamata	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 6,943
The water supply uses mixed sourc	es. It is chlorinated and	parts of the supply are	e treated by UV.
Matamata did not take enough <i>E. coli</i> samples, and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Matamata failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.			
Morrinsville	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 6,603
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated.		
Morrinsville met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.			
Tahuna	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 120
The water supply uses groundwate	r and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	

Tahuna met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Te Aroha

supply.

Failed Health Act Met Standards

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

Te Aroha did not have a water safety plan, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Z).

Supplier: Otorohanga District Council

Arohena	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 260	
The water supply uses surface water	and is chlorinated.			
Arohena did not take enough <i>E. coli</i> samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Arohena failed the bacterial Standards for 20 people; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.				
Kawhia	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 390	
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.				
Kawhia met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole				

Otorohanga Complied Health Act Failed Standards Population: 3,050

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

Otorohanga met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

TihiroaComplied Health A	ct Failed Standards	Population: 400
--------------------------	---------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.

Tihiroa met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Piriaka Community Group Inc

Piriaka	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 120

The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.

Piriaka did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Piriaka failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Puahue School BOT

Puahue School Failed Health Act Failed Standards Population: 1	70
--	----

The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV.

Puahue School did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Puahue School failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Ruapehu District Council

National Park	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 240
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	
National Park met the bacterial and whole supply.	d chemical Standards bu	t failed the protozoal S	Standards for the
Ohakune	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,500
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	
Ohakune met the bacterial and che supply.	emical Standards but fail	ed the protozoal Stand	dards for the whole
Ohura	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 160
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated.		
Ohura met the bacterial and chemic supply.	cal Standards but failed	the protozoal Standar	ds for the whole
Owhango	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 200
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated.		
Owhango met the bacterial and ch whole supply.	emical Standards but fa	iled the protozoal Stan	dards for the
Raetihi	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 749
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated.		
Raetihi met the bacterial and chem	ical Standards but failed	I the protozoal Standa	rds for the whole
supply.		-	
	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 4,870
supply.	Complied Health Act		Population: 4,870

Supplier: South Waikato District Council

Arapuni	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 300
The water supply uses groundwate	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	

Putāruru

Complied Health Act Failed Standards Population: 4,116

The water supply uses mixed sources and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period. *E. coli* was detected in 2 of 513 monitoring samples (this is allowable).

Putāruru met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Tīrau	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 700	
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.				
Tokoroa	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 13,300	
The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and fluoridated and treated by UV.				

Supplier: Taharoa Ironsands Ltd

Taharoa Village	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 300
The water supply uses groundwat	er and is chlorinated.		

Taharoa Village did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Taharoa Village failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Tatua Co-operative Dairy Co Ltd

Tatua Co-operative Dairy Co Ltd	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 331
---------------------------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection.

Tatua Co-operative Dairy Co Ltd did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Tatua Co-operative Dairy Co Ltd failed the bacterial Standards for 216 people.

Tatua Co-operative Dairy Co Ltd met the protozoal and chemical Standards.

Supplier: Te Aputa Water Supply Society

Te Puru-Aputa AvenueFailed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 200
---------------------------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV.

Te Puru-Aputa Avenue did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements, it did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, Te Puru-Aputa Avenue failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Thames Coromandel District Council

Coromandel	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,718
The water supply uses surface wat	er and is chlorinated and	d treated by UV.	
Coromandel failed their monitorin Act (section 69Y). Because of this, protozoal Standards but met the c	Coromandel failed the b	acterial Standards; it a	
Matarangi	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 317
The water supply uses surface wat	er and is chlorinated.		
Matarangi met the chemical Stand (process) and the protozoal Stand			whole supply
Matatoki	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 150
The water supply uses surface wat	er, without disinfection.	A permanent boil-wat	er notice is in place.
Matatoki did not take reasonable s any <i>E. coli</i> samples, and therefore Because of this, Matatoki failed the met the chemical Standards for the	failed to comply with the bacterial Standards; it	e Health Act (sections	69U and 69Y).
Onemana	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 116
The water supply uses groundwate	er and is chlorinated.		
Onemana met the bacterial and ch whole supply.	nemical Standards but fa	iled the protozoal Sta	ndards for the
Pauanui	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 750
The water supply uses mixed sour	ces. It is chlorinated and	parts of the supply ar	e treated by UV.
Pauanui did not meet the maximu Act (69Y). Because of this, Pauanui Standards but met the chemical St	failed the bacterial Star	ndards; it also failed th	
Puriri	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 150
The water supply uses surface wat	er, without disinfection.	A permanent boil-wat	er notice is in place.
Puriri did not take reasonable step enough <i>E. coli</i> samples, and theref Because of this, Puriri failed the ba the chemical Standards for the wh	ore failed to comply wit octerial Standards; it also	h the Health Act (secti	ons 69U and 69Y).
	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,314
Tairua			
	er and is chlorinated and	d treated by UV.	
The water supply uses surface wat Tairua met the chemical Standards		-	nd the protozoal
Tairua The water supply uses surface wat Tairua met the chemical Standards Standards for the whole supply. Thames		-	nd the protozoal Population: 7,657
The water supply uses surface wat Tairua met the chemical Standards Standards for the whole supply.	but failed the bacterial Complied Health Act	Standards (process) a Failed Standards	Population: 7,657

met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Thames Valley	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 200
---------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.

Thames Valley did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination and did not take any *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69U and 69Y). Because of this, Thames Valley failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Whangamatā	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 3,674
------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------

The water supply uses groundwater, and parts of the supply are chlorinated.

Whangamatā did not have a water safety plan and failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Z and 69Y). Because of this, Whangamatā failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Whitianga	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 4,550
-----------	---------------------	------------------	-------------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

Whitianga met the chemical Standards but failed the bacterical Standards for the whole supply (process) and the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Waikato District Council

Huntly	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 7,340	
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated and	fluoridated and treate	d by UV.	
Ngaruawahia	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 6,879	
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated. Ngaruawahia had <i>E. coli</i> that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards for 5,691 people. Ngaruawahia met the protozoal and chemical Standards.				
North Western District, Waikato DC	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 115	
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated and	fluoridated and treate	d by UV.	
Pokeno	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 519	
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated and	fluoridated.		
Raglan	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 2,750	
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.		
Southern Districts, Waikato DC	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 5,466	
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated and	fluoridated and treate	d by UV.	
Te Kauwhata	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 2,149	
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated and	fluoridated and treate	d by UV.	
Tuakau	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 3,942	
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated and	fluoridated.		

Supplier: Waikato Regional Airport

Hamilton Airport East Side	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 400
Terminal			

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

Hamilton Airport East Side Terminal did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Hamilton Airport East Side Terminal failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply.

Hamilton Airport East Side Terminal met the protozoal and chemical Standards.

Hamilton Airport West Side	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 350
Aviation Area			

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.

Hamilton Airport West Side Aviation Area did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Hamilton Airport West Side Aviation Area failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Waipa District Council

Cambridge	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 15,203
The water supply uses surface wate	er. It is chlorinated and p	parts of the supply are	treated by UV.
Cambridge met the monitoring requirements of the Act (69Y), but failed the Standards because monitoring results did not meet requirements of the Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.			
Kihikihi	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 2,000
The water supply uses secure grou	ndwater and is chlorinat	ed.	
Pukerimu Rural	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 3,746
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated.		
Te Awamutu and Pirongia	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 10,665
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. Te Awamutu and Pirongia met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal			

Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Waitomo District Council

Benneydale	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 280
------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Benneydale did not take *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Benneydale failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Mōkau, Waitomo	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 200	
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. Mōkau, Waitomo Mokau met the provisions of Act, and also met the bacterial and chemical				
Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.				
Piopio	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 500	

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

Piopio met provisions of the Act. The supply is subject to membrane filtration and is chlorinated. Piopio met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Te Kuiti	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 4,612

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Te Kuiti failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Te Kuiti failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Waitomo Holdings Ltd

Waitomo Caves	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 500

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

Waitomo Caves did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Waitomo Caves failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

4 Tauranga

Supplier: Tauranga City Council

Tauranga	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 103,783
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.			

Supplier: Western Bay of Plenty District Council

Athenree	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 5,125	
The water supply uses secure grou	ndwater and is chlorinat	ed.		
Katikati	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 5,700	
The water supply uses secure grou	ndwater and is chlorinat	ed.		
Omokoroa Minden	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 6,450	
The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated.				
Pongakawa	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 4,600	

The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated.

Pongakawa failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Pongakawa failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply.

Pongakawa met the protozoal and chemical Standards.

Te Puke	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 8,260	
The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated.				
Te Puke Bush	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 200	
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.				

5 Eastern Bay of Plenty

Supplier: Bryans Beach Water Society

Ohiwa Beach Failed Health	Act Failed Standards	Population: 200
---------------------------	----------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.

Ohiwa Beach failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination, did not take any *E. coli* samples and failed to investigate complaints. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S, 69U, 69Y and 69ZE). Because of this, Ohiwa Beach failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Hinekopurangi Trust

Ruatahuna Village	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 300

The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.

Ruatahuna Village failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination, did not take any *E. coli* samples and failed to investigate complaints. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S, 69U, 69Y and 69ZE). Because of this, Ruatahuna Village failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Kawerau District Council

Kav	verau	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 7,721

The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV.

Kawerau had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards for 800 people.

Kawerau met the chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Kutarere Community Water Supply

Kutarere	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 300

The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.

Kutarere did not take any *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Kutarere failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Omaio Waterline Committee

Omaio	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 180

The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.

Omaio failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination, did not take any *E. coli* samples and failed to investigate complaints. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S, 69U, 69Y and 69ZE). Because of this, Omaio failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Öpötiki District Council

Ōpōtiki	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 4,530	
The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection.				
Te Kaha	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 150	
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.				

Te Kaha met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Whakatane District Council

Matatā	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 690

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.

Matatā failed their monitoring requirement, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Matatā failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Murupara	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,674

The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection.

Murupara met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Rangitaiki Plains	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 4,262
-------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------

The water supply uses mixed sources. It is chlorinated and parts of the supply are treated by UV.

Rangitaiki Plains failed their monitoring requirements and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, Rangitaiki Plains failed to meet the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Rangitaiki Plains had arsenic levels that exceeded maximum acceptable values and were not appropriately acted upon. It therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for 3,916 people.

Ruatoki	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 560
---------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.

Ruatoki failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Ruatoki failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Tāneatua	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 790
The water supply uses groundwate	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	
Te Mahoe	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 120
The water supply uses groundwate	er and is chlorinated.		
Te Mahoe met the bacterial and ch whole supply.	nemical Standards but fa	iled the protozoal Sta	ndards for the
Waimana	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 160
The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.			
Waimana met the bacterial and ch supply.	emical Standards but fail	led the protozoal Star	ndards for the whole

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated and treated by UV.

Supplier: Whanarua Bay Water Supply

Whanarua Bay	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 200
······································			

The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.

Whanarua Bay did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination and did not take any *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69U and 69Y). Because of this, Whanarua Bay failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

6 Rotorua and Taupō

Supplier: Brunswick Stage Three/Four Ltd

Brunswick 4	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 110

The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection.

Brunswick 4 did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Brunswick 4 failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply.

Brunswick 4 met the protozoal and chemical Standards.

Supplier: Kaingaroa Forest Village Papakainga Trust

KaingaroaFailed Health ActFailed StandardsPopulation: 400

The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.

Kaingaroa failed to provide adequate safe drinking water and had too large a gap between *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S and 69Y). Because of this, Kaingaroa failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Kinloch Park Residents Association

Kinloch Park	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 140

The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.

Kinloch Park did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Kinloch Park failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Rotorua Lakes Council

Hamurana/Kaharoa	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,700
The water supply uses surface wate	r and is chlorinated and	l treated by UV.	
Hamurana/Kaharoa failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Hamurana/Kaharoa failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.			
Mamaku	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 868
The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.			
Mamaku met the bacterial and che supply.	mical Standards but fail	ed the protozoal Standa	ards for the whole
Ngongotahā	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 4,826

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Reporoa	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 1,060	
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.		
Rotoiti	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 880	
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.		
Rotoma	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 340	
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.				
Rotorua Central	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 42,500	
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. <i>E. coli</i> was detected in 1 of 159 monitoring samples (this is allowable).				

Rotorua East Failed Health Act Failed Standards Population: 10,4
--

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Rotorua East did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Rotorua East failed the bacterial Standards for 84 people.

Rotorua East met the protozoal and chemical Standards.

Supplier: Taupō District Council

Acacia Bay	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 2,381

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

Acacia Bay did not provide enough continuous monitoring data for free available chlorine and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, it therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, Acacia Bay failed to meet the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Acacia Bay had arsenic levels that exceeded maximum acceptable values and were not appropriately acted upon. It therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for 1,512 people.

Atiamuri Village	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 134
------------------	---------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.

Atiamuri Village met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Bonshaw Park	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 152
--------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.

Bonshaw Park failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Bonshaw Park failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Failed Standards	Population: 200
	Failed Standards

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

Centennial Drive failed their monitoring requirements and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, Centennial Drive failed to meet the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Centennial Drive had arsenic levels that exceeded maximum acceptable values and were not appropriately acted upon. It therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for the whole supply. It also failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Hatepe Village	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 174

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

Hatepe Village had arsenic that exceeded maximum acceptable values and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69ZF). Because of this, Hatepe Village failed to meet the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Hatepe Village met the bacterial Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Kinloch	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,696

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

Kinloch did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69ZF). Because of this, Kinloch failed to meet the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Kinloch had arsenic levels that exceeded maximum acceptable values and were not appropriately acted upon. It therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for the whole supply. It also failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Mangakino Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,312
-------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Mangakino met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Motuoapa	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 739
----------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

Motuoapa failed their monitoring requirements by having, on occasion, low concentrations of chlorine and high turbidity. They did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, Motuoapa failed to meet the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Motuoapa had arsenic levels that exceeded maximum acceptable values and were not appropriately acted upon. It therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for the whole supply. It also failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply

Omori/Kuratau/Pukawa	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,883
----------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

Omori/Kuratau/Pukawa failed their monitoring requirements and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, Omori/Kuratau/Pukawa failed to meet the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards.

Omori/Kuratau/Pukawa had arsenic levels that exceeded maximum acceptable values and were not appropriately acted upon. It therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

River Road Reporoa	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 197

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

River Road Reporoa failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, River Road Reporoa failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Taupō-Lake Terrace	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 23,501
--------------------	---------------------	---------------	--------------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated.

Tirohanga Valley Community	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 327
----------------------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

Tirohanga Valley Community failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Tirohanga Valley Community failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Turangi Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 3,938
-----------------------------	---------------	-------------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.

Whakamaru	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 116
-----------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.

Whakamaru failed their monitoring requirement, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Whakamaru failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Whareroa	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 313
----------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.

Whareroa failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Whareroa failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

7 Gisborne

Supplier: Gisborne District Council

Gisborne City	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 30,600
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated and	fluoridated.	
Gisborne City met the bacterial an whole supply.	d chemical Standards bu	t failed the protozoal	Standards for the
Te Karaka	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 491
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	
Whatatutu	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 200
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.			

Supplier: Mangahauini Inc

Enihau	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 130
The water supply uses surface water	, without disinfection.	A permanent boil-wate	r notice is in place.
Enihau did not take reasonable step	s to protect the water	from contamination, die	d not take enough

E. coli samples and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69U, 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, Enihau failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Ngāti Porou Hauora

Te Puia Springs	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 300

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Te Puia Springs did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination and did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69U and 69Y). Because of this, Te Puia Springs failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

8 Taranaki

Supplier: Cold Creek Community Water Supply Ltd

Cold Creek (Pihama)	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 350
The water supply uses groupdus	tor and is chlorinated		

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.

Supplier: New Plymouth District Council

Inglewood	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 3,983
The water supply uses surface wat	er and is chlorinated.		
New Plymouth	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 59,072
The water supply uses surface wated during the period.	er and is chlorinated. A te	emporary boil-water	notice was issued
Oakura	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 1,625
The water supply uses secure grou	ndwater and is chlorinate	ed.	
Okato	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 530
The water supply uses surface wat	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	

Supplier: South Taranaki District Council

Eltham	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 1,980
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated.		
Hāwera	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 9,710
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated and	fluoridated.	
Inaha	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 495
The water supply uses surface wate Inaha met the bacterial and chemic supply.		the protozoal Standard	ds for the whole
Opunake	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 1,370
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated.		
Patea	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 1,150
The water supply uses groundwate	r, without disinfection.		
Rahotu	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 115
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated.		
Waimate West	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 2,880
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated.		
Waverley	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 950
The water supply uses secure grou	ndwater, without disinfe	ction.	

Supplier: Stratford District Council

Midhirst	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 200
The water supply uses surface wat	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated.

9 Hawke's Bay

Supplier: Central Hawke's Bay District Council

Porangahau		Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 160

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

Porangahau met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Takapau	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 570
The water supply uses secure grou	ndwater and is chlorinat	ed.	
Waipawa	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 2,355

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Waipawa failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Waipawa failed the bacterial Standards for 1,809 people; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Waipukurau	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 3,666

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Waipukurau failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Waipukurau failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Farm Road Water Supply Ltd

Farm Road	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 120
The water supply uses surface water	, without disinfection.		
Farm Road failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, did not take reasonable steps to protect			
the water from contamination, failed their monitoring requirements and failed to investigate			
complaints. Farm Road therefore fai	led to comply with the	e Health Act (sections 6	9S, 69U, 69Y and
697F). Because of this, Farm Road fa	iled the bacterial Stan	dards: it also failed the	protozoal

Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Hastings District Council

Clive	Failed Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 560
The water supply uses secure grou	ndwater and is chlorina	ted.	
Clive did not have a water safety p	lan, and therefore failed	l to comply with the H	lealth Act

(section 69Z).

Hastings Urban	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 64,764
The water supply uses groundwate <i>E. coli</i> was detected in 1 of 3,063 m			treated by UV.
Hastings Urban met the bacterial a whole supply.	nd chemical Standards	but failed the protozo	bal Standards for the
Haumoana/Te Awanga	Failed Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 1,900
The water supply uses secure grou	ndwater and is chlorinat	ed.	
Haumoana/Te Awanga did not hav Health Act (section 69Z).	ve a water safety plan, ar	nd therefore failed to	comply with the
Omahu	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 126
The water supply uses groundwate	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	
Omahu met the bacterial and chen supply.	nical Standards but faile	d the protozoal Stand	lards for the whole
Waimarama	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 260
The water supply uses groundwate	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	
Waimarama met the bacterial and whole supply.	chemical Standards but	failed the protozoal S	Standards for the
Whakatu	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 337
The water supply uses secure grou	ndwater and is chlorinat	ed.	
Whirinaki, Hawkes Bay	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 800
Whirinaki, Hawkes Bay The water supply uses groundwate notice was issued during the perio allowable).	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV. A ten	nporary boil-water

Supplier: Napier City Council

Napier	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 50,804
The water supply uses groundwate	r and is chlorinated		

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.

Napier did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Napier failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Ngāti Pāhauwera Inc Soc

Raupunga	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 250

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

Raupunga failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination, did not take any *E. coli* samples and failed to investigate complaints. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S, 69U and 69Y and 69ZE). Because of this, Raupunga failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Wairoa District Council

Tuai Village	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 300
The water supply uses surface wa	ater and is treated by UV.		
Wairoa	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 4,650
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.			

10 Whanganui, Rangitikei and Southern Ruapehu

Supplier: Ministry of Defence, Waiouru

WaiouruFailed Health ActFailed	ed Standards Population: 2,800
--------------------------------	--------------------------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

Waiouru did not have a water safety plan, failed their monitoring requirements and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Z, 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, Waiouru failed to meet the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Waiouru had one disinfection by-product that exceeded maximum acceptable values and was not appropriately acted upon. It therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Rangitikei District Council

Bulls	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,419
The water supply uses groundwate	r and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	
Bulls met the bacterial and chemica supply.	l Standards but failed t	he protozoal Standards	for the whole
Hunterville	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 480
The water supply uses surface wate	r and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	

Hunterville met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

MangawekaFailed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 150
----------------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Mangaweka did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Mangaweka failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Marton	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 4,764
--------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Marton did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69ZF).

Marton had one disinfection by-product that exceeded maximum acceptable values and was not appropriately acted upon. It therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Marton met the bacterial Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Rātana	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 337

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.

Rātana met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

TaihapeComplied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,584
----------------------------	------------------	-------------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Taihape met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Whanganui District Council

Brunswick-Westmere	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 450
Brunswick-westmere	Talleu Health Act		Population. 450

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.

Brunswick-Westmere did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Brunswick-Westmere failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Fordell	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 350
The water supply uses secure grou	ndwater and is chlorinate	ed.	
Maxwell	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 200
The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated.			
Mowhanau Beach	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 300
The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated.			

Wanganui

Failed Health Act Failed Standards

Population: 39,025

The water supply uses secure groundwater. It is chlorinated and parts of the supply are treated with ozone.

Wanganui did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Wanganui failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply.

Wanganui met the protozoal and chemical Standards.

11 Manawatu

Supplier: Brandlines Ltd

Longburn, Brandlines Failed H	alth Act Failed Standards	Population: 150
-------------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection.

Longburn, Brandlines failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination, did not take any *E. coli* samples and failed to investigate complaints. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S, 69U, 69Y and 69ZE). Because of this, Longburn, Brandlines failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Horowhenua District Council

Foxton	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 2,700	
The water supply uses secure grou	ndwater and is chlorinat	ed.		
Foxton Beach	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 1,900	
The water supply uses secure grou	ndwater and is chlorinat	ed.		
Levin	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 20,000	
	The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. <i>E. coli</i> was detected in 2 of 455 monitoring samples (this is allowable).			
Levin met the bacterial and chemic supply.	al Standards but failed t	he protozoal Standar	ds for the whole	
Shannon	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,436	
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated.			
Shannon met the bacterial and che supply.	emical Standards but fail	ed the protozoal Star	dards for the whole	
Tokomaru	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 550	
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.				
Tokomaru met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.				

Supplier: Kiwitea Rural Scheme

Kiwitea Rural	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 230

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

Kiwitea Rural did not take enough *E. coli* samples throughout their whole supply, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Kiwitea Rural failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Longburn Adventist College

Longburn Adventist College	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 300
----------------------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.

Longburn Adventist College did not take enough *E. coli* samples throughout their whole supply, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Longburn Adventist College failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Manawatu District Council

Feilding	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 15,419

The water supply uses mixed sources. It is chlorinated and fluoridated and parts of the supply are treated by UV.

Feilding did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Feilding failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Halcombe-Stanway	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 800
------------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.

Halcombe-Stanway did not have a water safety plan and did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Z and 69Y). Because of this, Halcombe-Stanway failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Himatangi Beach	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 423
The water supply uses secure grou	ndwater and is chlorinat	ed.	
Rongotea	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 163
The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated.			
Sanson	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 540
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.			

Sanson did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Sanson failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Waituna West	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 226
--------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated.

Waituna West did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Waituna West failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply.

Waituna West met the chemical Standards and the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Massey University

Massey University	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 9,000
The water supply uses secure grou	undwater and is chlorinate	ed.	

Supplier: Ministry of Defence, Ohakea

Ohakea	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 800

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Ohakea did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Ohakea failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: New Zealand Defence Force

Linton M	lilitary Camp	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 3,500

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and fluoridated.

Linton Military Camp did not have a water safety plan, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Z).

Linton Military Camp met the chemical Standards but failed the bacterial Standards (process) and the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Palmerston North City Council

Ashhurst Complied Health	Act Failed Standards	Population: 2,800
--------------------------	----------------------	-------------------

The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated and fluoridated.

Ashhurst had fluoride that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Ashhurst met the bacterial and protozoal Standards.

Bunnythorpe	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 450

The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated and fluoridated.

Longburn	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 240
The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated and fluoridated. Longburn had fluoride that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the chemical Standards for the whole supply. Longburn met the bacterial and protozoal Standards.			
Palmerston North City	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 67,653
The water supply uses mixed sources and is chlorinated and fluoridated.			

Supplier: Pleckville Rural Water Supply Committee

Pleckville	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 200	
The water supply uses surface wate	r, without disinfection.	A permanent boil-wate	er notice is in place.	
Pleckville did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination and did not take				
any E. coli samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69U and 69Y).				
Because of this, Pleckville failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but				
met the chemical Standards for the	whole supply.			

Supplier: Pongaroa Rural Water Supply Committee

Pongaroa	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 200

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.

Pongaroa failed their monitoring requirements and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, Pongaroa failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Tararua District Council

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.

Dannevirke did not have a water safety plan, did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed to keep adequate records. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Z, 69Y and 69ZD). Because of this, Dannevirke failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Eketahuna Complied Health Act Failed Standards Population: 456

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Eketahuna met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Norsewood	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 200

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.

Norsewood did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Norsewood failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Pahiatua	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 2,700
----------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Pahiatua did not have a water safety plan, did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements and failed to keep adequate records. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Z, 69Y and 69ZD). Because of this, Pahiatua failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Woodville	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,500

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Woodville did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals and failed to keep adequate records, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZD). Because of this, Woodville failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

12 Wellington and Hutt

Supplier: Greater Wellington Water

Wellington Region Bulk Water	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 350,000
The second	complica nearminiter	met otamaanao	1 0000100011. 000000

The water supply uses mixed sources. It is chlorinated and fluoridated and parts of the supply are treated by UV. *E. coli* was detected in 1 of 1,827 monitoring samples (this is allowable).

Supplier: Hutt City Council

Lower Hutt	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 95,469	
The water supply uses mixed sources. It is chlorinated and fluoridated and parts of the supply are				
treated by UV. E. coli was detected in 1 of 2,278 monitoring samples (this is allowable).				

Supplier: Kāpiti Coast District Council

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Hautere was deemed compliant with the provisions of the Act.

Hautere was non-compliant at the treatment plant for protozoal standards but was compliant in the distribution zone for bacteriological and chemical standards.

Ōtaki	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 5,700

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Ōtaki was deemed compliant with the provisions of the Act.

Ōtaki was non-compliant at the treatment plant for protozoal Standard but was compliant in the distribution zone for bacterial and chemical Standards.

Paekakariki Co	omplied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,665
----------------	--------------------	------------------	-------------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Paekakariki met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Waikanae/Paraparaumu/	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 35,800
Raumati			

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated and treated by UV. *E. coli* was detected in 1 of 235 monitoring samples (this is allowable).

Supplier: Porirua City Council

Judgeford	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 200
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated.			
Porirua	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 46,444

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period. *E. coli* was detected in 2 of 585 monitoring samples (this is allowable).

Supplier: Upper Hutt City Council

Upper Hutt	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 34,650
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated.			

Supplier: Wellington City Council

Wellington City	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 165,126
The water supply uses mixed sources and is chlorinated and fluoridated.			

13 Wairarapa

Supplier: Carterton District Council

The water supply uses mixed sources and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Carterton failed their monitoring requirements and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, Carterton failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Fernridge Waters Supply Association Inc

Fernridge	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 320
The water supply uses groundwate	er and is treated by UV.		

Supplier: Masterton District Council

Masterton	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 19,000
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated and	fluoridated.	
Tinui	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 120
The water supply uses groundwate	r and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	
Wainuioru Rural	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 184

The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection. A permanent boil-water notice is in place. Wainuioru Rural failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination, failed their monitoring requirements and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S, 69U, 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, Wainuioru Rural failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Opaki Water Supply Association

Opaki	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,500

The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.

Opaki met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: South Wairarapa District Council

Featherston	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 2,580	
The water supply uses mixed source	es. It is chlorinated and	parts of the supply ar	e treated by UV.	
Featherston failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Featherston failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.				
Featherston failed to monitor one d Standards for the whole supply.	isinfection by-product	, and therefore failed t	o meet the chemical	
Greytown	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,952	
The water supply uses groundwater. It is chlorinated and parts of the supply are treated by UV. Greytown failed their monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Greytown failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.				

Martinborough	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,505
---------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.

Martinborough did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Martinborough failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

14 Nelson

Supplier: Appleby Hills Residents Association Inc

Appleby Hills	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 250
The water supply uses secure grou	ndwater, without disinfed	ction.	

Supplier: Central Takaka Water Board

Central Takaka	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 125

The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.

Central Takaka did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination and did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69U and 69Y). Because of this, Central Takaka failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Department of Conservation (St Arnaud)

Lake Rotoiti	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 200
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is treated by UV.		

Supplier: Glenwood Water Company

Glenwood	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 150
The water supply uses surface wat	er and is treated by UV.		

Supplier: Lower Moutere Water Scheme Ltd

Lower Moutere Water Scheme 1	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 450
------------------------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.

Lower Moutere Water Scheme 1 did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements and failed to investigate complaints, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZE). Because of this, Lower Moutere Water Scheme 1 failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Nelson City Council

Nelson	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 49,740
The water supply uses surface wa	ter and is chlorinated.		

Supplier: Tasman District Council

Collingwood	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 450
The water supply uses surface wate Collingwood met the bacterial and whole supply.		failed the protozoal St	andards for the
Dovedale Rural	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 450
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated. A p	ermanent boil-water n	otice is in place.
Dovedale Rural did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69ZF). Because of this, Dovedale Rural failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.			
Eighty Eight Valley Rural	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 200
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. Eighty Eight Valley Rural had <i>E. coli</i> that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.			
Hope/Brightwater	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 2,730
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.			
Hope/Brightwater met the bacteria	al and chemical Standard	ls but failed the protoz	oal Standards for

the whole supply.

Kaiteriteri	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 300
The water supply uses surface water	r, without disinfection.		
Kaiteriteri met the bacterial and che supply.	mical Standards but fai	led the protozoal Star	dards for the whole
Mapua Rise	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 192
The water supply uses secure groun	dwater, without disinfe	ction.	
Motueka	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,200
The water supply uses surface water Motueka met the bacterial and cher supply.		ed the protozoal Stan	dards for the whole
Murchison	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 490
The water supply uses surface water Murchison met the bacterial and ch whole supply.		-	ndards for the
Pohara	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 150
The water supply uses surface water Pohara met the bacterial and chemi supply.		l the protozoal Standa	rds for the whole
Redwood Valley 1	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 18
The water supply uses surface water Redwood Valley 1 met the bacterial the whole supply.		s but failed the proto	zoal Standards for
Redwood Valley 2	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 37
The water supply uses surface water Redwood Valley 2 met the chemical protozoal Standards for the whole s	Standards but failed th	ne bacterial Standards	(process) and the
Richmond/Waimea Industrial	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 12,30
The water supply uses surface water Richmond/Waimea Industrial had <i>E.</i> people, and therefore failed the bac Richmond/Waimea Industrial met th	<i>coli</i> that exceeded max terial Standards.		ues for 1,800
Tapawera	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 40
The water supply uses groundwater	and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	
Waimea Mapua Ruby Bay	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 2,50
The water supply uses surface water Waimea Mapua Ruby Bay met the b Standards for the whole supply.		tandards but failed th	e protozoal
Wakefield	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,500
The water supply uses surface water Wakefield met the bacterial and che whole supply.		led the protozoal Star	ndards for the

15 Marlborough

Supplier: Flaxbourne Water Scheme Inc

Ward Failed He	ealth Act Failed Stan	dards Population: 250
----------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection. A permanent boil-water notice is in place. Ward failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination, did not take any *E. coli* samples and failed to investigate complaints. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S, 69U, 69Y and 69ZE). Because of this, Ward failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Marlborough District Council

Awatere	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,333
The water supply uses surface wate	r and is chlorinated. A p	permanent boil-water r	notice is in place.
Awatere did not take enough <i>E. cold</i> (section 69Y). Because of this, Awate protozoal Standards for the whole s Awatere failed to monitor lead and	ere failed to meet the b supply.	acterial Standards; it a	lso failed the
people.			
Blenheim	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 24,028
The water supply uses groundwater Blenheim failed their monitoring red (section 69Y). Because of this, Blenh Standards but met the chemical Sta	quirements, and therefore neim failed the bacteria	Standards; it also faile	
Havelock	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 618
The water supply uses groundwater	and is chlorinated.		
Havelock met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.			
Picton/Waikawa	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 4,185
The water supply uses mixed sources and is chlorinated and treated by UV.			
Renwick	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,884
The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated. Renwick met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole			

Renwick met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the who supply.

Riverlands Industrial	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 740
------------------------------	---------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection.

Riverlands Industrial met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Wairau Valley Township

Complied Health Act Failed Standards

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.

Wairau Valley Township met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Ministry of Defence, Woodbourne

Woodbourne RNZAF Base	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,500
-----------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and fluoridated and treated by UV.

Woodbourne RNZAF Base did not have a water safety plan, did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed to keep adequate records. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Z, 69Y and 69ZD). Woodbourne RNZAF Base failed to monitor fluoride, and therefore failed the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Woodbourne RNZAF Base met the bacterial Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Okiwi Bay Ratepayers Association Inc.

Okiwi Bay	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 160	
The water supply uses surface wat	er and is treated by UV.			
Okiwi Bay met the protozoal and chemical Standards but failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply (process).				

Supplier: Rarangi North Water Supply Inc

Rarangi Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 160
---------------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.

Rarangi did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Rarangi failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

16 West Coast

Supplier: Buller District Council

Hector/Ngakawau	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 435

The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection. A permanent boil-water notice is in place. Hector/Ngakawau did not take any *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Hector/Ngakawau failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Little Wanganui	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 150
-----------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.

Little Wanganui did not take any *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Little Wanganui failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Punakaiki Complied Health Act Faile	ed Standards Population: 230
-------------------------------------	------------------------------

The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.

Punakaiki had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Reefton Complied He	alth Act Failed Standards	Population: 951
---------------------	---------------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period..

Reefton had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Waimangaroa	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 300
-------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.

Waimangaroa did not take any *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Waimangaroa failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Westport	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 4,974
----------	---------------------	------------------	-------------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Westport met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Grey District Council

Blackball	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 280
The water supply uses surface wat	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	
Greymouth	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 8,320
The water supply uses groundwate	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	
Runanga	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,280

The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection.

Runanga met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Westland District Council

Fox Glacier	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 252
The water supply uses surface during the period	water and is chlorinated. A t	emporary boil-water r	otice was issued
Fox Glacier did not take enoug comply with the Health Act (so maximum acceptable values, F Standards but met the chemic	ection 69Y). Because of this, a Fox Glacier failed the bacteria	and the presence of <i>E</i> . al Standards; it also fai	<i>coli</i> that exceeded
Franz Josef	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 2,61
The water supply uses surface	water and is chlorinated and	d treated by UV.	
Franz Josef did not take enoug adequate records, and therefo Because of this, Franz Josef fa met the chemical Standards fo	bre failed to comply with the iled the bacterial Standards;	Health Act (sections 6	9Y and 69ZD.
Harihari	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 34
The water supply uses ground	water and is treated by UV.		
Harihari met the bacterial and supply.	chemical Standards but faile	ed the protozoal Stand	ards for the whole
Hokitika	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 3,44
adequate records, and therefor Because of this, Hokitika failed for the whole supply. Hokitika failed to monitor son Standards for the whole suppl	d to meet the bacterial Stand	ards; it also failed the	protozoal Standard
Kumara	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 31
The water supply uses surface during the period.	•		•
Kumara had <i>E. coli</i> that exceed Standards; it also failed the pr supply.			
Ross	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 29
			•
The water supply uses surface during the period.		emporary boil-water r	•
	water and is chlorinated. A t		otice was issued
during the period. Ross met the bacterial and ch supply.	water and is chlorinated. A t		otice was issued
during the period. Ross met the bacterial and ch	water and is chlorinated. A t emical Standards but failed t Failed Health Act	he protozoal Standard Failed Standards	otice was issued s for the whole Population: 40

17 Canterbury

Supplier: Ashburton District Council

Ashburton	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 18,500
The water supply uses groundwa Ashburton met the bacterial and whole supply.		iled the protozoal St	andards for the
Chertsey	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 230
The water supply uses secure gro	oundwater and is chlorinat	ed.	
Fairton	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 210
The water supply uses secure gro	oundwater and is chlorinat	ed.	
Hakatere Upper	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 110
The water supply uses groundwa Hakatere Upper met the bacteria whole supply.			oal Standards for the
Hinds	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 340
The water supply uses groundwa Hinds met the bacterial and cher supply.		the protozoal Standa	rds for the whole
Mayfield	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 160
The water supply uses groundwa Mayfield met the bacterial and c supply.		ed the protozoal Star	ndards for the whole
Methven	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,700
The water supply uses surface was temporary boil-water notice was Standards but failed the protozo	issued during the period.	Methven met the ba	
Methven/Springfield	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 178
The water supply uses surface was notice was issued during the per Methven/Springfield met the bar	iod.	-	
for the whole supply.			
Mt Somers	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 260
The water supply uses surface wanter notice was issued during the per		l treated by UV. A ter	nporary boil-water
Mt Somers met the bacterial and whole supply.	l chemical Standards but f	ailed the protozoal St	andards for the
Rakaia	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 1,100
The water supply uses secure gro	oundwater and is chlorinat	ed.	

Supplier: Chatham Islands Council

Waitangi, Chatham IslandFailed Health ActFailed StandardsPopulation: 125

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Waitangi, Chatham Island did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Waitangi, Chatham Island failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Christchurch City Council

Akaroa	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,350
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated.		
Akaroa met the bacterial and chem supply.	nical Standards but failed	d the protozoal Stand	dards for the whole
Birdlings Flat	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 150
The water supply uses groundwate	r and is treated by UV.		
Birdlings Flat met the bacterial and whole supply.	chemical Standards bu	t failed the protozoa	l Standards for the
Brooklands/Kainga	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,600
The water supply uses groundwate	r and is chlorinated.		
Brooklands/Kainga met the bacter the whole supply.	al and chemical Standa	ds but failed the pro	tozoal Standards for
Christchurch Central	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 255,500
The water supply uses mixed source monitoring samples (this is allowal		<i>coli</i> was detected in	6 of 2,580
Christchurch Central failed to prov with the Health Act (section 69S).	ide adequate safe drinki	ng water, and theref	ore failed to comply
Christchurch Central met the bacter for the whole supply.	rial and chemical Stand	ards but failed the pr	otozoal Standards
Duvauchelle	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 250
The water supply uses surface wate of 139 monitoring samples (this is		I treated by UV. E. co	<i>li</i> was detected in 1
Duvauchelle met the bacterial and whole supply.	chemical Standards but	failed the protozoal	Standards for the
Little River	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 240
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is treated by UV.		
Little River met the bacterial and c whole supply.	nemical Standards but fa	ailed the protozoal S	tandards for the

Lyttelton

The water supply uses mixed sources and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period. *E. coli* was detected in 2 of 903 monitoring samples (this is allowable).

Lyttelton met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Northwest Christchurch	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 80,000
------------------------	---------------------	------------------	--------------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. *E. coli* was detected in 1 of 1,625 monitoring samples (this is allowable).

Northwest Christchurch met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Takamatua	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 150

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

Takamatua met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Wainui Complied Health Act F	Failed Standards	Population: 200
------------------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.

Wainui met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Christchurch International Airport

Christchurch International	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 6,100
Airport			

The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection.

Christchurch International Airport met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Defence Department, Burnham

Burnham Military Camp	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,700
-----------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and fluoridated.

Burnham Military Camp did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69ZF). Because of this, Burnham Military Camp failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Dorie School

Dorie School	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 110
The water supply uses groundwate	r and is treated by UV.		

Dorie School did not take any *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Dorie School failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Highbank Water Society

Highbank Society Water SupplyComplied Health ActMet StandardsPopulation: 220

The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.

Supplier: Hurunui District Council

Amberley	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 1,921
The water supply uses secure grou	undwater and is chlorinat	ed.	
Amuri Plains Rural Water Supply	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 699
The water supply uses groundwat	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	
Amuri Plains Rural Water Supply h failed the bacterial Standards; it al for the whole supply.		•	
Ashley Rural	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 5,832
The water supply uses groundwat	er. It is chlorinated and p	arts of the supply are	treated by UV.
Ashley Rural met the bacterial and people.	l chemical Standards but	failed the protozoal S	Standards for 5,430
Balmoral Rural	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 273
The water supply uses surface wat Balmoral Rural had <i>E. coli</i> that exc bacterial Standards; it also failed t whole supply.	eeded maximum accepta		
Broomfield	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 565
The water supply uses secure grou	undwater and is chlorinat	ed.	
Cheviot	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 888
The water supply uses groundwate	er and is chlorinated.		
Cheviot met the bacterial and che supply.	mical Standards but faile	d the protozoal Stand	lards for the whole
Culverden	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 366
The water supply uses secure grou	undwater and is chlorinat	ed.	
Hanmer	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 948
The water supply uses surface wat		treated by UV. E. col	i was detected in
1 of 209 monitoring samples (this	is allowable).		

```
Hawarden
```

Complied Health Act Failed Standards

Population: 753

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period. *E. coli* was detected in 1 of 160 monitoring samples (this is allowable).

Hawarden met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Kaiwara Compl	ed Health Act Failed Standards	Population: 129
---------------	--------------------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water notice is in place. Kaiwara met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

ards Population: 315
d

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water notice is in place. Lower Waitohi met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Motunau, Greta, Scargill	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 681

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water notice is in place. Motunau, Greta, Scargill met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Parnassus Rural	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 210
-----------------	---------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water notice is in place. Parnassus Rural met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Waiau Rural	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 435
-------------	---------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water notice is in place. Waiau Rural met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Waiau Township	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 255
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated.		
Waipara Township	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 285

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.

Waipara Township met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Waitohi Upper	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 513
••	1		

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

Waitohi Upper had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Kaikoura District Council

notice was issued during the period.

Fernleigh Rural Water Supply	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 150
The water supply uses groundwate	r and is chlorinated.		
Fernleigh Rural Water Supply met t Standards for the whole supply.	the bacterial and chemic	al Standards but faile	d the protozoal
Kaikōura	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 2,500
The water supply uses groundwate	r and is chlorinated.		
Kaikōura met the bacterial and che supply.	mical Standards but fail	ed the protozoal Stan	dards for the whole
Kaikōura East Coast Rural	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 150
The water supply uses groundwate	r, without disinfection. A	A permanent boil-wate	er notice is in place.
Kaikōura East Coast Rural did not t therefore failed to comply with the Rural failed the bacterial Standards Standards for the whole supply.	Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Kail	kōura East Coast
Kincaid Rural Water Supply	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 120

Kincaid Rural Water Supply did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Kincaid Rural Water Supply failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Oaro	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 400
Curo	i uncu i iculti i icu	runcu Stundurus	i opulution. 100

The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.

Oaro did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Oaro failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

 Ocean Ridge
 Complied Health Act
 Failed Standards
 Population: 500

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.

Ocean Ridge had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Living Springs Trust

Living Springs	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 180
----------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.

Living Springs did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Living Springs failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply.

Living Springs met the chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Lyndhurst Water Scheme Co-Operative Ltd

Lyndhurst	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 250
The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection.			

Supplier: Okains Bay Water Committee

Okains Bay	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 105

The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.

Okains Bay did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination, did not take any *E. coli* samples and failed to investigate complaints. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69U, 69Y and 69ZE). Because of this, Okains Bay failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Selwyn District Council

Arthurs Pass	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 350	
The water supply uses surface wate during the period.	er and is treated by UV. /	A temporary boil-water	notice was issued	
Arthurs Pass had <i>E. coli</i> that exceed Standards; it also failed the protoze supply.	•			
Castle Hill	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 299	
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated.			
Castle Hill met the bacterial and ch whole supply.	emical Standards but fa	iled the protozoal Stan	dards for the	
Claremont	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 170	
The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection.				
Darfield	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 3,520	
The water supply uses secure grou	ndwater, without disinfe	ction.		

Dunsandel & Sherwood Estate	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 480
The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.			
Edendale, Sandy Knolls	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 180

The water supply uses secure groundwater and is treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.

Edendale, Sandy Knolls did not take enough *E. coli* samples and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Edendale, Sandy Knolls failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply.

Edendale, Sandy Knolls failed to monitor nitrate, and therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Edendale, Sandy Knolls met the protozoal Standards.

Johnson Road, West Melton	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 160
---------------------------	---------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.

Johnson Road, West Melton met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Kirwee	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 1,207	
The water supply uses secure groundwater and is treated by UV.				
Lake Coleridge	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 148	

The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.

Lake Coleridge had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Leeston	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 2,350
The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection.			
Lincoln	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 5,400

The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection.

Malvern Hills RWS	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,592
-------------------	---------------------	------------------	-------------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.

Malvern Hills RWS had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards for 1,409 people.

Malvern Hills RWS met the chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Prebbleton	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 3,906
------------	---------------------	------------------	-------------------

The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection.

Prebbleton met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Rakaia Huts	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 313

The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection.

Rolleston	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 15,047

The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV. *E. coli* was detected in 1 of 2,656 monitoring samples (this is allowable).

Selwyn RWS Complied Health A	t Failed Standards	Population: 1,160
------------------------------	--------------------	-------------------

The water supply uses surface water. It is chlorinated and parts of the supply are treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period. Selwyn RWS met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Sheffield/Waddington	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 585
----------------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.

Sheffield/Waddington did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69ZF). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Sheffield/Waddington failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Southbridge	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 992
-------------	---------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection.

Southbridge met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Sprinafield	Complied Health Act	Epiled Standards	Dopulation: E20
Sprinatiela	Complied Health Act	Falled Standards	Population: 520

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period..

Springfield met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Springston	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 510
The water supply uses secure grou	ndwater, without disinfe	ction.	
Таі Тари	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 606
The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection.			
West Melton	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 1,800
The water supply uses groundwate	r and is treated by UV. A	temporary boil-water	notice was issued

The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period. *E. coli* was detected in 1 of 398 monitoring samples (this is allowable).

Supplier: Southpark Utilities Ltd

Waterloo Business Park,	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 400
Christchurch			

The water supply uses secure groundwater and is treated by UV.

Waterloo Business Park did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Waterloo Business Park failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: The Store, Kekerengu

Kekerengu	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 325
-----------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.

Kekerengu failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination, did not take any *E. coli* samples and failed to investigate complaints. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S, 69U, 69Y and 69ZE). Because of this, Kekerengu failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Waimakariri District Council

Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 343
ndwater, without disinfe	ction.	
Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 105
r and is chlorinated.		
nemical Standards but fa	ailed the protozoal Stan	ndards for the
Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 12,488
ndwater, without disinfe	ction.	
Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 2,353
		-
Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 218
ndwater and is chlorinat	ed.	
Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 783
		notice is in place.
al and chemical Standard	ds but failed the protoz	zoal Standards for
Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 773
er and is chlorinated. A t	emporary boil-water n	otice was issued
al and chemical Standard	ds but failed the protoz	zoal Standards for
Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 2,173
ndwater, without disinfe	ction.	
Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 4,003
ndwater and is chlorinat	ed.	
	ndwater, without disinfe Complied Health Act r and is chlorinated. hemical Standards but fa Complied Health Act ndwater, without disinfe Complied Health Act res. It is chlorinated and hemical Standards but fa Complied Health Act ndwater and is chlorinate Complied Health Act er and is chlorinated. A p nitoring samples (this is al and chemical Standard Complied Health Act er and is chlorinated. A p nitoring samples (this is al and chemical Standard Complied Health Act er and is chlorinated. A t al and chemical Standard Complied Health Act er and is chlorinated. A t	ndwater, without disinfection. Complied Health Act Failed Standards ar and is chlorinated. hemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards complied Health Act Met Standards indwater, without disinfection. Complied Health Act Failed Standards it is chlorinated and parts of the supply are hemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards indwater and is chlorinated. Complied Health Act Met Standards indwater and is chlorinated. Complied Health Act Failed Standards and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water r nitoring samples (this is allowable). al and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal complied Health Act Failed Standards er and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water n al and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal complied Health Act Met Standards er and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water n al and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal complied Health Act Met Standards er and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water n al and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal complied Health Act Met Standards er and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water n al and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal complied Health Act Met Standards indwater, without disinfection.

54 ANNUAL REPORT ON DRINKING-WATER QUALITY: APPENDIX V2.0

Poyntz Road, Eyrewell	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 208
The water supply uses groundwate	er and is chlorinated.		
Poyntz Road, Eyrewell met the bac for the whole supply.	terial and chemical Stan	dards but failed the p	protozoal Standards
Rangiora	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 17,438
The water supply uses secure grou	indwater, without disinfe	ction.	
Waikuku	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,153
The water supply uses surface wat Waikuku met the bacterial and che		ed the protozoal Star	ndards for the whole
supply.			
West Eyreton	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 608
The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated.			
Woodend	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 2,858
The water supply uses secure groundwater, without disinfection.			

18 South Canterbury

Supplier: Arowhenua Rūnanga

ArowhenuaFailed Health ActFailed StandardsPopulation: 21	Arowhenua
--	-----------

The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.

Arowhenua did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Arowhenua failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: DOC Aoraki Mt Cook

Mt Cook	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 350
The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV. E. coli was detected in 1 of 24 monitoring			
samples (this is allowable).			

Supplier: Hakataramea Water Scheme Inc

Hakataramea Valley Rural	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 165	
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.				
			., .	

Hakataramea Valley Rural did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Hakataramea Valley Rural failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Mackenzie District Council

Albury Rural	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 125
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated. A	permanent boil-water	notice is in place.
Albury Rural did not take enough <i>E. coli</i> samples at frequent enough intervals and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, and the presence of <i>E. coli</i> that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Albury Rural failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.			
Allandale	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 150
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period. Allandale had <i>E. coli</i> that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.			
Fairlie	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,000
The water supply uses surface wate during the period. Fairlie did not take enough <i>E. coli</i> s			
failed to comply with the Health Ad	ct (section 69Y). Because	e of this, Fairlie failed t	he bacterial

Fairlie did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Fairlie failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

TekapoComplied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 500
---------------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.

Tekapo met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

TwizelFailed Health A	Act Failed Standards Population: 1,300
-----------------------	--

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.

Twizel did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Twizel failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Timaru District Council

Downlands

Complied Health Act Failed Standards

Population: 4,550

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

Downlands met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Geraldine	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 2,121
-----------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.

Geraldine did not take enough *E. coli* samples, and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Geraldine failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Hadlow	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 312

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated with ozone.

Hadlow met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Pareora	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 450

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Pareora met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Peel ForestComplied Health ActMet StandardsPopulation:	130
--	-----

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Pleasant PointFailed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,200
---------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is treated by UV.

Pleasant Point failed its monitoring requirement, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Pleasant Point failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Seadown Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 895
-----------------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Seadown met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

St Andrews	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 280
------------	---------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.

St Andrews met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Te Moana Scheme	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,650
-----------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------

The water supply uses mixed sources and parts of the supply are chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period. Te Moana Scheme failed its monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Te Moana Scheme failed the bacterial Standards for 650 people; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Temuka	Failed Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 4,620
--------	-------------------	---------------	-------------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.

Temuka failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69S).

Timaru City

Complied Health Act Failed Standards

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated with ozone. Timaru City met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Waimate District Council

Cannington/Motukaika Rural	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 120
The water supply uses surface water Cannington/Motukaika Rural had <i>E</i> failed the bacterial Standards; it als for the whole supply.	<i>coli</i> that exceeded ma	ximum acceptable valu	ies, and therefore
Hook/Waituna Rural	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,350
The water supply uses surface wate notice was issued during the period		I treated by UV. A tem	porary boil-water
Hook/Waituna Rural had <i>E. coli</i> tha bacterial Standards; it also failed th whole supply.		•	
Lower Waihao Rural	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 600
The water supply uses groundwate Lower Waihao Rural met the bacter the whole supply.		ards but failed the prot	cozoal Standards for
Otaio/Makikihi Rural	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 430
The water supply uses groundwate Otaio/Makikihi Rural met the bacte for the whole supply.		ards but failed the pro	tozoal Standards
Waihaorunga Rural	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 141
The water supply uses surface wate Waihaorunga Rural met the bacteri the whole supply.			
Waikakahi Rural	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 360
The water supply uses surface wate Waikakahi Rural met the bacterial a whole supply.			-
Waimate	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 3,000
The water supply uses groundwate Waimate met the bacterial and che		ed the protozoal Stan	dards for the whole
supply.	mear Standards Dut Idl	ea the protozoal stant	

19 Otago

Supplier: Camphill Estate Utilities Society

Camphill Estate	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 132
-----------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.

Camphill Estate did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination and did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69U and 69Y). Because of this, Camphill Estate failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Cardrona Water Co Ltd

Cardrona Township	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 300
-------------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Cardrona Township did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination, did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements and failed to investigate complaints. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69U, 69Y and 69ZE). Because of this, Cardrona Township failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Central Otago District Council

Alexandra	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 5,000		
The water supply uses groundwate	r and is chlorinated.				
Alexandra met the bacterial and ch whole supply.	emical Standards but fa	iled the protozoal Stan	dards for the		
Clyde	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,000		
The water supply uses groundwate	r and is chlorinated.				
Clyde met the bacterial and chemic supply.	al Standards but failed	the protozoal Standard	ls for the whole		
Cromwell	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 4,400		
The water supply uses groundwate	r and is chlorinated.				
Cromwell met the bacterial and che supply.	emical Standards but fai	led the protozoal Stand	dards for the whole		
Naseby	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 150		
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.					
Naseby met the bacterial and chem supply.	nical Standards but faile	d the protozoal Standa	rds for the whole		

Omakau/Ophir	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 400
The water supply uses surface wate during the period.	er and is chlorinated. A t	emporary boil-water r	notice was issued
Omakau/Ophir met the bacterial a whole supply.	nd chemical Standards b	out failed the protozoa	l Standards for the
Patearoa	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 260
The water supply uses surface wate during the period.	er and is chlorinated. A t	emporary boil-water r	notice was issued
Patearoa met the bacterial and che supply.	mical Standards but fail	ed the protozoal Stan	dards for the whole
Pisa Village	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 250
The water supply uses groundwate	r and is chlorinated.		
Pisa Village met the bacterial and c whole supply.	hemical Standards but f	ailed the protozoal St	andards for the
Ranfurly	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 750
The water supply uses surface wate	er and is chlorinated.		
Ranfurly met the bacterial and che supply.	mical Standards but faile	ed the protozoal Stanc	lards for the whole
Roxburgh	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 790
The water supply uses groundwate notice was issued during the period		treated by UV. A tem	porary boil-water
Poyhurgh mot the bacterial and ch	emical Standards but fa	iled the protozoal Star	ndards for the

Supplier: Closeburn Water Company

Closeburn	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 150

The water supply uses surface water, without disinfection.

Closeburn failed to provide adequate safe drinking water, did not take reasonable steps to protect the water from contamination, did not take any *E. coli* samples and failed to investigate complaints. It therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69S, 69U, 69Y and 69ZE). Because of this, Closeburn failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Clutha District Council

Balclutha

Complied Health Act Failed Standards

Population: 3,918

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated and treated by UV. Balclutha met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Clydevale-Pomahaka Rural Complied Health Act Failed Standards Population: 778

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.

Clydevale-Pomahaka Rural met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Glenkenich Rural	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 705

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.

Glenkenich Rural did not take enough *E. coli* samples and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Glenkenich Rural also had some disinfection by-products that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Glenkenich Rural met the bacterial Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Kaitangata	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 812
------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated.

Kaitangata did not have a water safety plan, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Z).

Kaitangata met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Lawrence	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 417
----------	---------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.

Lawrence met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Milton	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 2,529
--------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated.

Milton did not take enough *E. coli* samples and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Milton also had one disinfection by-product that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for 1,929 people.

Milton met the bacterial Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Moa Flat Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 534
------------------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

Moa Flat met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

North Bruce Rural	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 928
-------------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

North Bruce Rural did not take enough *E. coli* samples and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). North Bruce Rural also had some disinfection by-products that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed to meet the chemical Standards for 658 people.

North Bruce Rural met the bacterial Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Owaka	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 303
The water supply uses groundwate	er and is chlorinated.		
Owaka met the bacterial and chen supply.	nical Standards but failed	the protozoal Standa	ards for the whole
Richardson Rural	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,003
The water supply uses surface wat during the period.	er and is chlorinated. A t	emporary boil-water i	notice was issued
Richardson Rural did not take app discovered, and therefore failed to Richardson Rural failed the bacter but met the chemical Standards fo	o comply with the Health al Standards for 312 peo	Act (section 69ZF). Be	ecause of this,
Stirling	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 737
The water supply uses surface wat Stirling met the bacterial and cher supply.		d the protozoal Stand	ards for the whole
Tapanui	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 720
The water supply uses surface wat	er and is chlorinated and	l fluoridated.	
Tapanui met the bacterial Standar	ds but failed the protozo	al Standards for the v	vhole supply.
Tapanui had fluoride that exceede chemical Standards for the whole		values, and therefore	failed to meet the
Tuapeka West	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 283
The water supply uses surface wat	er and is chlorinated.		
Tuapeka West did not take enoug	h <i>E. coli</i> samples at frequ	ent enough intervals	and did not take

Tuapeka West did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Tuapeka West failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Waitahuna RuralFailed Health ActFailed StandardsPopulation: 922

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

Waitahuna Rural did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Waitahuna Rural failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Dunedin City Council

Dunedin	City		Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 112,515
		_			

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated.

Dunedin City had *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, and therefore failed the bacterial Standards for 300 people.

Dunedin City met the protozoal and chemical Standards.

Outram	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 750	
The water supply uses groundwate	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.		
Waikouaiti	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 1,642	
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.				
West Taieri	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 450	
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.				

Supplier: Earnscleugh Domestic Water Co Ltd

Earnscleugh Water Scheme	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 120
--------------------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection.

Earnscleugh Water Scheme did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Earnscleugh Water Scheme failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Last Chance Community Scheme

Last Chance	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 120
The water supply uses secure grou	Indwater, without disinfe	ction.	

Supplier: Long Gully Rural Water Scheme

Alexand	ra, Long Gully	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 172

The water supply uses groundwater, without disinfection.

Alexandra, Long Gully did not take any *E. coli* samples, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Alexandra, Long Gully failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Maheno Water Committee

Maheno	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 152
The water supply uses groundwate notice was issued during the perio allowable).		, ,	,

Supplier: Millers Flat Water Company Ltd

Millers Flat	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 180
The water supply uses groundwate	er and is treated by UV.		

Supplier: Pisa Moorings Utilities Society

Pisa Moorings	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 13
The water supply uses ground Pisa Moorings did not take en- to comply with the Health Act Standards; it also failed the pro supply.	ough <i>E. coli</i> samples at freq (section 69Y). Because of th	juent enough intervals, nis, Pisa Moorings failec	I the bacterial
Supplier: Waitaki Dis	trict Council		
Awamoko	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 39
The water supply uses surface	water and is chlorinated.		
Awamoko failed its monitoring health after an issue was disco 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this Standards but met the chemic	vered, and therefore failed , Awamoko failed the bacte	to comply with the Hea erial Standards; it also fa	alth Act (sections
Hampden/Moeraki	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 50
The water supply uses surface during the period. Hampden/Moeraki failed its m Health Act (section 69Y). Becau	onitoring requirements, an	d therefore failed to co	mply with the
failed the protozoal Standards			
Herbert	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 67
The water supply uses surface during the period.	water and is chlorinated. A	temporary boil-water r	notice was issued
Herbert failed its monitoring re (section 69Y). Because of this, Herbert failed the bacterial Sta Standards for the whole supply	and the presence of <i>E. coli</i> indards; it also failed the pr	that exceeded maximur	n acceptable value
Kauru Hill	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 19
The water supply uses surface	water and is chlorinated.		
Kauru Hill failed its monitoring (section 69Y). Because of this, Standards but met the chemic	Kauru Hill failed the bacteri	al Standards; it also fail	
Kurow	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 33
The water supply uses surface	water and is chlorinated ar	nd treated by UV.	
Lower Waitaki, Rural	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 77
The water supply uses ground notice was issued during the p		d treated by UV. A tem	porary boil-water
Lower Waitaki, Rural did not ta	ike enough <i>E. coli</i> samples	at frequent enough inte	ervals, and therefo

Lower Waitaki, Rural did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Lower Waitaki, Rural failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply. Lower Waitaki, Rural did not monitor nitrate, and therefore failed the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Oamaru Failed Health Act Failed Standards

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated with ozone.

Oamaru did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Oamaru failed the bacterial Standards for the whole supply.

Population: 14,390

Oamaru met the protozoal and chemical Standards.

OmaramaComplied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 270
----------------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated.

Omarama met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.

Otematata	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 195

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.

Otematata did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Otematata failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Tokarahi/Livingstone	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 573
Tokarani, Ervingstone	i uncu i icuitii Act	Tuncu Standarus	r opulation. 575

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

Tokarahi/Livingstone did not have a water safety plan and did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Z and 69Y). Because of this, Tokarahi/Livingstone failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Waihemo Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,357
---------------------------	------------------	-------------------

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued during the period.

Waihemo did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Waihemo failed the bacterial Standards for 1,128 people.

Waihemo met the protozoal and chemical Standards.

Windsor	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 137
---------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated.

Windsor did not take enough *E. coli* samples and failed other monitoring requirement and did not take appropriate action to protect public health after an issue was discovered, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (sections 69Y and 69ZF). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that exceeded maximum acceptable values, Windsor failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

20 Southland

Supplier: Gore District Council

Gore	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 7,480
The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated. Gore failed its monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Gore failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.			
Mataura	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,790
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated. Mataura did not take enough <i>E. coli</i> samples and failed other monitoring requirements, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, Mataura failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.			
Otama	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 300
The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated. A permanent boil-water notice is in place.			
Otama met the bacterial and chemic supply.	cal Standards but failed	the protozoal Standar	ds for the whole

Supplier: Invercargill City Council

Invercargill	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 50,456
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and fluoridated and treated by UV.			

Supplier: Jacks Point Ltd

Jacks Point	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 669
The water supply uses surface water and is chlorinated and treated by UV.			

Supplier: Milford Sound Infrastructure Ltd

Milford Sound	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 220
The water supply uses surface water and is treated by UV. A temporary boil-water notice was issued			

during the period. Milford Sound did not take enough *E. coli* samples at frequent enough intervals, and therefore failed to comply with the Health Act (section 69Y). Because of this, and the presence of *E. coli* that

exceeded maximum acceptable values, Milford Sound failed the bacterial Standards; it also failed the protozoal Standards but met the chemical Standards for the whole supply.

Supplier: Queenstown Lakes District Council

Arrowtown	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 4,366
The water supply uses groundw	vater and is chlorinated and	d treated by UV.	
Arrowtown met the bacterial ar whole supply.	nd chemical Standards but	failed the protozoal St	andards for the
Arthurs Point	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,63
The water supply uses groundw	vater and is chlorinated and	d treated by UV.	
Arthurs Point met the bacterial whole supply.	and chemical Standards bu	ut failed the protozoal	Standards for the
Glenorchy	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,23
The water supply uses groundw during the period. <i>E. coli</i> was de Glenorchy met the bacterial and	etected in 1 of 215 monitor	ring samples (this is all	owable).
whole supply.			
Hawea	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 3,76
The water supply uses surface v	water and is chlorinated an	d treated by UV.	
Hawea met the bacterial and ch supply.	nemical Standards but faile	d the protozoal Standa	ards for the whole
Lake Hayes	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 3,74
The water supply uses groundw notice was issued during the pe		d treated by UV. A tem	porary boil-water
Lake Hayes had <i>E. Coli</i> that exce Standards for 1,697 people.	eeded maximum acceptabl	le values, and therefore	e failed the bacteria
Lake Hayes met the protozoal a	and chemical Standards.		
Luggate	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 85
The water supply uses groundw	vater, without disinfection.		
Luggate met the bacterial and o supply.	chemical Standards but fail	led the protozoal Stan	dards for the whole
Queenstown	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 25,27
The water supply uses surface v	water. It is chlorinated and	parts of the supply are	treated by UV.
Queenstown met the bacterial whole supply.	and chemical Standards bu	It failed the protozoal	Standards for the
Wanaka	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 13,63
The water supply uses surface v	water and is chlorinated.		
Wanaka met the bacterial and o supply.	chemical Standards but fail	ed the protozoal Stand	dards for the whole
Wanaka Airport	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 15
The water supply uses groundw			

whole supply.

Supplier: Southland Dis	strict Council		
Eastern Bush/Otahu Flat RWS	Failed Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 180
The water supply uses surface wat Eastern Bush/Otahu Flat RWS faile complaints, and therefore failed to this, Eastern Bush/Otahu Flat RWS Standards but met the chemical St	d its monitoring require comply with the Health failed the bacterial Stan	Act (sections 69Y and dards; it also failed th	d 69ZE). Because of
Edendale/Wyndham	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 1,152
The water supply uses groundwate	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	
Lumsden/Balfour	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 1,061
The water supply uses groundwate	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	
Manapouri	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 228
The water supply uses surface wat	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	
Mossburn	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 201
The water supply uses groundwate	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	
Ohai/Nightcaps	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 667
The water supply uses surface wat Ohai/Nightcaps met the bacterial whole supply.		but failed the protozo	al Standards for the
Otautau	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 798
The water supply uses groundwate	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	
Riverton	Complied Health Act	Failed Standards	Population: 1,506
The water supply uses secure groundwater and is chlorinated. Riverton met the bacterial and chemical Standards but failed the protozoal Standards for the whole supply.			
Te Anau	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 2,628
The water supply uses groundwate	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	
Tuatapere	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 561
The water supply uses groundwate	er and is chlorinated and	treated by UV.	
Winton	Complied Health Act	Met Standards	Population: 2,436
Winton			

The water supply uses groundwater and is chlorinated and treated by UV.