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#### Abstract

The current research presents the first results of on-going discourse research into the participant reference system in Brao Ombaa, a Bahnaric Mon-Khmer language spoken in northeastern Cambodia. In particular, the current research describes the ranking, introduction, and typical and unexpected encoding patterns of four categories of participants - central, major, minor, and props - in a small corpus of six thirdperson monologue folk narratives.

Methodology adapted Thurman Charts combined with Dooley and Levinsohn's sequential strategies to identify the four categories of participants. Givón's quantitative method of Topic Persisternce and Referential Distance, and total number of references to participants are applied to examine the participant ranking statistically. Dooley and Levinsohn's chart of participant encoding in a text is used to propose the rules for the four categories of participants in four subject and four nonsubject contexts.


The results of ranking and introduction indicate that the Brao demonstratives increase the prominence of participants. The results of encoding patterns of participants indicate that pronoun is the typical encoding pattern in the subject contexts and null reference is the most likely encoding pattern in the non-subject contexts, especially in the object position in clauses or sentences or in a quotation formula. The unexpected encoding patterns are that the participants are either overcoded or undercoded. Overcoding suggests: (1) prominence of participant or (2) clarification of participants'
prominence. Undercoding suggests: (1) prominence of participant has already been established or (2) crowded stage, especially at the Peak.

Since all six collected Brao narrative texts have never been recorded before, this trilingual and interlinearized documentation adds to the small Brao corpus of literature to preserve the language, tradition, and culture. The collected narratives also may be published by International Cooperation Cambodia (ICC) as Brao literacy materials for literacy classes.
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## บทคัดย่อ

งานวิจัยนี้นำเสนอผลของงานวิจัยสัมพันธสารเกี่ยวกับระบบการอ้างถึงผู้แสดงบทบาทในระบบ สัมพันธสารของภาษาเบรา โอมมา ซึ่งเป็นภาษามอญ-เขมรกลุ่มบะห์นาริกที่พูดกันในภาค ตะวันออกเฉียงเหนือของประเทศกัมพูชา งานวิจัยนี้มุ่งเน้นอธิบายการจัดลำดับ การเกริ่นนำ รูปแบบ การเข้ารหัสแบบปกติและแบบไม่ปกติ ของผู้แสดงบทบาทสี่ประเภท ได้แก่ ผู้แสดงบทบาทสำคัญ หลัก รอง และอุปกรณ์ประกอบฉาก โดยใช้คลังข้อมูลขนาดเล็กจากเรื่องเล่าวรรณกรรมพื้นบ้านจาก บุคคลที่สามจำนวน 6 เรื่อง
ระเบียบขั้นตอนการวิจัยดัดแปลงจากตารางของเธอร์แมน (Thurman Charts) ร่วมกับยุทธวิธีการ เรียงลำดับของ Dooley และ Levinsohn ในการจำแนกบทบาทผู้แสดงทั้งสี่ประเภท งานวิจัยนี้ใช้ วิธีการเชิงปริมาณของ Givón เกี่ยวกับความคงที่ของหัวเรื่องและระยะห่างของผู้ถูกอ้างอิง เพื่อ ตรวจสอบการเรียงลำดับผู้แสดงบทบาทในเชิงสถิติ ตารางการเข้ารหัสผู้แสดงในเนื้อเรื่อง ของ Dooley และ Levinsohn ถูกใช้เพื่อนำเสนอหลักเกณฑ์ของผู้แสดงทั้งสี่บทบาทในบริบทที่มี ประธานและไม่มีประธานอ้างอิง
ผลการเรียงลำดับและการเกริ่นนำ ระบุว่า คำสรรพนามบ่งชี้ในภาษาเบราเพิ่มความเด่นชัดของผู้แสดง ผลของรูปแบบการเข้ารหัสบ่งชี้ว่าคำสรรพนาทเป็นรูปแบบการเข้ารหัสทั่วไปในบริบทที่มีประธาน และการอ้างอิงเปล่ามักจะเป็นรูปแบบการเข้ารหัสที่อาจเป็นไปได้มากที่สุดในบริบทที่ไม่มีประธาน โดยเฉพาะอย่างยิ่งในตำแหน่งกรรมของอนุประโยค ประโยค หรือในคำพูดอ้างอิง รูปแบบการเข้ารหัส ที่ไม่ปกติคือลักษณะที่ผู้แสดงถูกเข้ารหัสเกินจริง หรือไม่ได้รับการเข้ารหัส การเข้ารหัสเกินจริงแสดง ให้เห็นถึง )1) ความเด่นชัดของผู้แสดง หรือ (2) การขยายความเด่นชัดของผู้แสดง การไม่ได้รับการ เข้ารหัสแสดงให้เห็นถึง (1) ความเด่นชัดของผู้แสดงนั้นเกิดขึ้นอยู่แล้ว หรือ (2) ผู้แสดงหนาแน่นอยู่ แล้ว โดยเฉพาะในจุดสุดยอดของเนื้อเรื่อง

เนื่องจากวรรณกรรมเรื่องเล่าทั้งหกเรื่องไม่ได้รับการบันทึกมาก่อน การเก็บข้อมูลวรรณกรรมสาม ภาษาระหว่างบรรทัดนี้จึงถูกเพิ่มเข้าไปในผลงานวรรณกรรมภาษาเบรา เพื่อเป็นการอนุรักษ์ภาษา ประเพณี และวัฒนธรรม เรื่องเล่าเหล่านี้อาจได้รับการตีพิมพ์โดย International Cooperation Cambodia (ICC) ในฐานะสื่อการเรียนการสอนภาษาเบรา
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Rationale

The Brao language is one of the languages in Ratanakiri province, Cambodia. The language project coordinator welcomes any research on discourse. Research on participant reference can help with writing literacy materials and literature naturally and clearly.

### 1.2 Overview

This thesis is a study of the participant reference system found in a corpus of seven third-person narrative texts of the Brao language as spoken in the village of Ta Veaeng (Ta Veng) District in Ratanakiri province, Cambodia.

The collected Brao narratives use proper names, common names, noun phrases, possessive phrases, kin terms, pronouns, relative pronouns, and null reference to refer to participants. This study examines selected aspects of that participant reference system.

The research questions of this thesis relate to the corpus of collected Brao narratives:

1. What is the inventory of participant reference in the corpus of materials?
2. What is the relationship between nouns, pronouns, and participant reference in the corpus of materials?
3. What are the patterns and functions of zero anaphora in the corpus?
4. How are the participants ranked in the corpus?
5. What are the variations in formulas of quotation in the corpus?
6. How is prominence marked in the corpus?

### 1.3 Language Background and Literature related to Brao

This section introduces the Brao people, including their history, location, culture, and language family and the literature in Brao or related to Brao.

### 1.3.1 Background

The Brao are one of the hill tribes that inhabit the northern part of Ratanakiri province in northeastern Cambodia (see Figure 1), especially Ta Veaeng / Ta Veng district, north of the Sesan river (see Figure 2).


Figure 1 Ratanakiri province, Cambodia (Tyler 2006: 37)


Figure 2 Location of Ta Veang in Ratanakiri province (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ta_Veaeng_District)

Researchers believe that the ancestors of the Brao were one of the peoples of the kingdom of the Khmers of Angkor, between the 9th and the 13th centuries, who dominated large parts of Cambodia, Laos and Thailand. This conclusion is based upon the relationship between the Mon-Khmer languages and the Brao language of
the Bolaven Plateau in Champasak Province in southeastern Laos, which was once the center of the Khmer civilization of Angkor (West 2009: 122).

The Brao grow upland dry rice by swidden agriculture methods. They also grow beans and corn, and they are involved in animal husbandry, fishing, hunting, and various crafts.

For centuries the Brao have maintained a mixture of animistic beliefs and Buddhism. They have a rich heritage of myths and legends passed down orally including several stories about animals.

According to the Ethnologue (Lewis et al. 2016), the Brao language has four distinct but mutually intelligible varieties: Lave (Brao proper), Kru'ng (Kreung), Kavet (Kravet), and Lun. Sidwell (2003: 13) lists four communities of speakers. Three of them are in Cambodia. One is spoken in Attapeu Province, Laos south of the provincial city of Attapeu. Laveh (Lave, Rawe) ${ }^{1}$ is the official designation for Brao given by the Laotian government. Krung (Krüng) is spoken around Ban Lung in Ratanakiri Province, Cambodia. Kavet (Kravet) is spoken in Voeun Sai District, Ratanakiri Province, and in the district of Siam Pang, Stung Treng Province, Cambodia. Lave or Brao (Brou, Palaw, Preou) is spoken in and around the town of Ta Veng in Ratanakiri Province, Cambodia. Brao and Laveh are highly mutually intelligible. Jacq and Sidwell "are inclined to group Brao and Laveh as one language. Laveh speakers strongly assert to us that their language is the same as Brao ..." (2000: 7).

Jordi (2011: 9) lists five Brao varieties in Ratanakiri province (see Figure 3). Two of them are spoken south of the river Sesan: Krung and Tenaap (or lowland Brao); two are spoken north of the river: Kavet and Ombaa. The Lun people probably came originally from south of the Sesan River. However, certain groups of them have migrated further upstream and even north of the river. Linguistically, they exhibit many of the lexical and phonological features of whatever Brao variety they are living near, or have lived near in the past. At the same time, they also possess certain traces of individuality that would not be predicted by a theory of contact.

According to Jordi (2011: 11), prior to 1960, Brao borrowed words from Lao mainly for things from outside, such as sugar, oil, and soldiers. Later on, words for concepts such as computer, telephone, and economy were borrowed from Khmer. Several Laotian and Khmer prepositions are also used in the spoken language.

[^0]

Figure 3 Brao language varieties in Ratanakiri province (Jordi 2011: 9)
This thesis investigates aspects of the Ombaa variety of the Brao language, which is usually referred to simply as the Brao language. It has been classified by Thomas and Headley (1970: 399) as one of the languages of Western Bahnaric, of the MonKhmer language group.


Bahnaric

Northwest Bahnaric North Bahnaric West Bahnaric Central Bahnaric South Bahnaric


Figure 4 Brao Ombaa language family

### 1.3.2 Literature

International Cooperation Cambodia published 103 short books in Brao between 2005 and 2011, although almost all of them are out of print today. Most of these are folktales and traditional stories, and some are agriculture or health books.

Scholarly publications about Brao include 9 articles about Brao orthography, phonology, and grammar, 2 books about Brao people, and 4 books and 2 articles about Brao with its related dialects. In addition, the Brao translation team began translating the New Testament a couple of years ago.

After presenting the background of the Brao language (section 1.3), the phonology and orthography of Brao Ombaa are described in the following section (section 1.4).

### 1.4 Phonology and Orthography

The writing system developed for Brao Ombaa is based on the phonological analysis of Brao-Krung (Keller 2001) as Brao and Krung are intelligible, the orthography developed for Brao-Krung (Keller 2001), the Brao dialectal survey (Keller et al. 2008), and the Brao Ombaa writing system (Jordi 2003).

The consonants and vowels of Brao Ombaa are listed in 1.4.1 and 1.4.2 followed by a description of the phonological word given in 1.4.3, and selected morphological process relating to nouns and verbs are described in 1.4.4.

### 1.4.1 The Brao Ombaa Consonants

According to Jordi's research (2003: 2), Brao Ombaa has 25 consonants, all of which can occur syllable-initially. The 25 consonants of Brao Ombaa in this corpus of texts are given with Khmer graphemes in Table 1.

Table 1 Brao Ombaa consonants

|  | Bilabial |  | Labiodental | Alveolar |  | Palatal |  | Velar |  | Glottal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plosives: <br> voiceless unaspirated | p | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{\text { ̛̈, }} \\ & \text { ض } \end{aligned}$ |  | t | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ก, } \\ & \text { § } \end{aligned}$ | c | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\cup}, \\ & \tilde{\mathrm{L}} \end{aligned}$ | k | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ก, } \\ & \text { กิ } \end{aligned}$ | $?$ | \{, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ |
| Plosives: <br> voiceless <br> aspirated | ph | $\mathfrak{N},$ <br> $\tilde{\pi}$ |  | th | $\mathfrak{\ddots},$ <br> โิ |  |  | kh | 2 W |  |  |
| Plosives: <br> voiced | b | $\begin{aligned} & \text { §, } \\ & \tilde{\tilde{S}} \end{aligned}$ |  | d | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{W}, \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | โิ, <br> ณิ | g | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 宙, } \\ & \tilde{f} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Plosives: <br> voiced <br> implosive | 6 | ¡, <br> $\tilde{\{ }$ |  | d | บ̇, <br> ฝู | $f$ | รj」, <br> $\mathfrak{f} \tilde{f} \mathfrak{j}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Nasals | m | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{H}, \\ & \mathscr{H} \end{aligned}$ |  | n | ถู, S | n | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N̈, } \\ & \text { Nુ } \end{aligned}$ | $\eta$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dddot{\%} \\ & \text { wi } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Fricatives |  |  |  |  |  | ç | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ธ์, } \\ & \tilde{\text { ธิ }} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | h | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ก, } \\ & \text { กิ } \end{aligned}$ |
| Liquids |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \mathrm{r} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{y} \text {, } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \ddot{\delta,}, \delta \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approximants | w | 3 $j$ $j$ |  |  |  | j | ¢̉, ¢¢, |  |  |  |  |

Note: Khmer has a lot of vowel sounds. The vowel will influence the Khmer symbol of the consonant such as हิ stands for $t \varepsilon$ and ડิ stands for $t i$.

Of the 25 consonants, only 14 may occur in word final position. They are listed in Table 2.

Table 2 Brao Ombaa consonants can be found in word final position

|  | Labial |  | Alveolar |  | Palatal |  | Velar |  | Glottal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plosives | p | U | t | ถิ | c | ธิ | k | กิ | $?$ | กิ |
| Nasals | m | E | n | $\mathfrak{S}$ | n | ঢn | ๆ | ฬั |  |  |
| Continuants |  |  | $1$ | ถิ <br> § |  |  |  |  | h | \% |
| Approximants | w | 3 |  |  | j | P\% |  |  |  |  |

### 1.4.2 The Brao Ombaa Vowels

According to Jordi's research (2003: 3), Brao Ombaa has 16 monophthongs. There are three diphthongs in Brao Ombaa: /iz/, /iz/, and/uz/. No vowel can be found in word initial or final position.

The front unrounded close-mid short vowel [e] is an allophone of the front unrounded open-mid short vowel $/ \varepsilon /$ occurring in free variation and the front unrounded close-mid long vowel [ee] is an allophone of the front unrounded openmid long vowel $/ \varepsilon \varepsilon /$ occurring in free variation. The front unrounded open vowel [a] is also an allophone of the central mid vowel / $\partial /$ and the back unrounded open vowel /a/ occurring in free variation. In addition, nasalized /ã/ only occurs after consonants $/ \mathrm{m} /$ and $/ \mathrm{y} /$. The 16 vowels of Brao Ombaa in this corpus of texts are contrastive and given with Khmer graphemes in Table 3.

Table 3 Brao Ombaa vowels

|  | Front |  |  | Central |  |  | Back |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unrounded |  | Rounded | Unround ed |  | Rounded | Unrounded | Rounded |  |
| Close | $\begin{gathered} \text { i } \\ \text { ii } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { กิ } \\ & \text { กิ } \end{aligned}$ |  | i ii | กึ ก゙ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{u} \\ \mathrm{uu} \end{gathered}$ | กุ1 |
| Close <br> -mid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{o} \\ \mathrm{oo} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { กָ } \\ & \text { חֶ̃ } \end{aligned}$ |
| Mid |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ə กึ } \\ \text { әə โกี } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open -mid | $\begin{gathered} \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon \varepsilon \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { กิ } \\ & \text { โัก } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { כ } \\ \text { כ } \end{gathered}$ | กัก่ กิ |
| Open | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{a} \\ \mathrm{aa} \end{gathered}$ | กกั่ <br> $\pi$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Additional graphemes used in the Brao Ombaa orthography, that do not represent new phonemes compared to the ones above but rather combine a vowel and a consonant, are listed in Table 4.

Table 4 Grapheme-phoneme correspondence of Brao Ombaa vowels that are influenced by the following consonant (Jordi 2003: 3)

| Phonemic | Vowel | Phonemic | Vowel | Phonemic | Vowel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $V+\mathrm{j}$ |  | $\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{h}$ |  | $\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{N}$ |  |
| aj | โกก | ah | กัะ | am | กํ |
| ij | กี | oh, <br> uoh | เกาะ <br> เคาะ | a) | กํํา |
|  |  |  |  | วm | กั กั |
|  |  |  |  | om, um | กั กั |

Note: The vowel sound is influenced by the consonant immediately after it.

The 25 consonants and 16 vowels of Brao Ombaa (represented by Khmer symbols in the orthography) have been presented above. The phonological words are described next in section 1.4.3.

### 1.4.3 The phonological word

Like the majority of Mon-Khmer languages, a phonological word of Brao Ombaa tends to be monosyllabic (Jordi 2011: 12) or, as described by Matisoff (1973: 86), sesqui-syllabic. The typical syllable structure in Brao is CVC.
(presyllable) + main syllable
Example:
(1) ha + riəy = hə.riə ho. riay to desire

The presyllable, like the main syllable, always begins with a consonant; this may be the glottal occlusive.

The following forms illustrate monosyllabic and sesqui-syllabic words:
(2) $\frac{\text { Monosyllabic word }}{\text { daak water }} \quad \xrightarrow{\text { han.dəəm new }}$

Some relevant morphological processes of Brao Ombaa are described in the following section 1.4.4.

### 1.4.4 Morphological processes

Morphological processes in Brao Ombaa occur in the word classes of noun, verb, adjective, and adverb. This section focuses on the analysis of types of morphological processes that occur in nouns and verbs, especially those processes that are foundational to the research questions of this thesis.

A morphological process is a process of either adding material such as a prefix, a suffix, an infix, or a circumfix, or deleting material, one or more phonemes, from a stem; or a means of changing one word into another (Kroeger 2011: 247).

The morphological process of nominalization (section 1.4.4.1) and morphological processes relating to verbs (section 1.4.4.2) are discussed in the following sections.

### 1.4.4.1 Nominalization

Nominalization is a morphological process that derives nouns from roots or stems of verbs, adjectives, or adverbs (Kroeger 2011: 254).

Simple nouns are often monosyllabic in Brao. However, some nouns which are derived from unknown origins or loaned from other languages are sesqui-syllabic (Jordi 2011: 12-14).

Example:
(3) Monosyllabic simple noun
daak water

## Sesqui-syllabic simple noun hən.naam house

Infixation and prefixation are two morphological processes, though the former is more common than the latter, in the derivation of nouns in Brao Ombaa. Three examples are given for infixation and two examples are given for prefixation.

## Examples of Infixation

Nouns derived from simple verbs by the infix -n- [Ca $+n-]$
(4) Derived nouns

| ka.nuu | a place to live | $k u u$ | to live |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ca.naa | food | $c a a$ | to eat |

A noun derived from a simple verb by the infix -rn- [C $2+r n-]$
$\xrightarrow[\text { crr.nam }]{\text { Derived noun }}$ wait

Verb
cam to wait

A noun derived from a simple verb by the infix -nd- [Ca $+n d-]$
Derived noun
can.draa
invitation

Verb
craa to invite

Note: Infixation is determined partially by the phonological context and partially by the morpheme class.

## Examples of Prefixation

Nouns derived from simple verbs by the prefix [bar-]

| Derived nouns |  | $\underline{\text { Verbs }}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bar.maay word maay | to speak |  |  |
| brr.noow | tomorrow | noow $^{2}$ |  |

[^1]A noun derived from a simple verb by the prefix [?ər-]
(8)
Derived nouns
Par.jaaw run

Verbs
jaaw to run

The prefixes listed above could be phonologically conditioned variants of the same form, but more examples are required in order to verifiy that. For more on Brao noun morphology, see Jordi (2011) section 2.2.1.

### 1.4.4.2 Morphological process relating to verbs

Some morphological processes apply to verbs and change their valence, either increasing or reducing the number of term arguments (Kroeger 2011: 270).

Simple verbs are most commonly monosyllabic in Brao, though there are some sesqui-syllabic forms (Jordi 2011: 16,17,19,20).
(9) Simple monosyllabic verbs
maay to speak
Simple sesqui-syllabic verbs
ho.daa to wash

Prefixation, duplication, and infixation are three morphological processes in the derivation of verbs in Brao Ombaa.

The direct causative is formed by prefixation. When the root verb is a stative verb, it becomes an action verb after taking the causative form. Three examples are given for prefixation and one example is given for duplication.

## Examples of prefixation

Action verbs derived from simple verbs by the prefix [рд-]
(10) Action verbs
pa.niw to make alive jiw to live

An action verb derived from a simple verb by the prefix [pəN-]
(11) Action verb
pay.hวom bathe, shower someone hoom to bathe, to shower

An action verb derived from a simple verb by the prefix [tz-]
$\underline{\text { Action verb }}$
to.vaən to make (sb) wear

Verb
vaən to wear

A continuative aspect verb can be formed by partial reduplication.

## Examples of reduplication

(13) Continuative verbs

| ca.cวク | eating |
| :--- | :--- |
| da.dっk | walking |

Verbs
con to eat sth
dok to walk, to go somewhere

Stative verbs can also be formed by the following processes.
Examples for prefixation, reduplication, and infixation
A stative verb derived from a verb of action by the prefix [tro-] (Jordi 2010: 20)
(14a) Stative verb
tra.saak to be torn

Verb
saak to tear

A stative verb derived from a verb of action by reduplication
(14b) Stative verb
dii.dii to be accepted dii to accept

A stative verb derived from a noun by the prefix [tro-] (Jordi 2010: 20)
(15) Stative verb
tra.daak to be soaked

Noun
daak water

A stative verb derived from a noun by reduplication
(16a) Stative verb
sok.sok to be hairy

Noun
sok hair, fur

A stative verb derived from a verb of action by the infix [-ənd-] (not in the corpus)
(16b) Stative verb
can.draa to lead, to propose craa to propose, to persuade

Note: the original form of "craa" and its derivation "can.draa" have the same meaning. For more on Brao noun morphology, see Jordi (2011) section 2.2.2.

In summary, the consonants and vowels of Brao Ombaa, its phonological words and the morphological process of nouns and verbs have been described in section 1.4. Other relevant aspects of grammar of Brao Ombaa are described in the following section, 1.5.

### 1.5 Brao Ombaa Grammar

This section focuses only on the description of selected word classes, morphology, and the noun phrase in Brao Ombaa. This brief description of Brao Ombaa grammar is based on the English version of Outline of the grammar of the Brao Ombaa language (Jordi 2011).

### 1.5.1 Word Classes and Morphology

Kroeger (2011: 36) states that "in identifying word classes, 'internal structure' means morphological structure, for example the capacity to be inflected or number (in case of nouns) or tense (in case of verbs)."

The major (lexical) word classes include noun, verb, adjective, and adverb. The minor (grammatical) word classes include pronoun, determiner, preposition, and conjunction (Kroeger 2011: 38). Among these two types of word classes, noun, pronoun, verb, and determiner are described in order to prepare the reader to understand the current research.

Morphology is the structure of words (Kroeger 2011: xi). The morphology of singularity and pluralization of noun, and the verb conjugation for irrealis are discussed in this research.

### 1.5.1.1 The Noun

The noun is the head of a noun phrase that is a referential expression. This type of expression serves to identify a particular referent in the discourse. First, types of nouns are discussed, and then various tests for those types of nouns are provided demonstrating nouns as the head of noun phrases and possessive phrases.

## Types of Nouns

Common nouns
Common nouns name non-specific people, places, things, or ideas (Kroeger 2011: 33).

In Brao Ombaa, the noun is often defined as the grammatical category that groups words indicating beings (such as bəə2 "father", ruəh "elephant") and things (such as daak "water", cən.duu "mountain"). Properties (such as tha.nay "power"), sentiments (such as kaan.bər.məət "love"), and processes (such as kaan.Paan "reading") may also be indicated as nouns. However, they are often constructed as nominalized verbs with an infix or with the nominalizer "kaan" (Jordi 2011: 25).

## Proper nouns

Proper nouns name specific people, places, things, or ideas (Kroeger 2011: 35).
Unlike the common noun, the proper noun in Brao Ombaa does not take a qualifying modifier of size, or of possession. A person's first name usually has a meaning, but meaning is not obligatory. The family name is most likely the first name of the child's father or grandfather and used only for official papers. When a person is referenced, except for young children, a title which refers to the age ranking or social status is generally appended before the first name. When a person is addressed directly, most likely only the title is used (Jordi 2011: 27). The terms of address are listed in Table 5. The bold items occur in the corpus studied in this thesis.

Table 5 Brao Ombaa addressing system

| Address | IPA transcription | Title |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Miss / Lady | naay | Honorific |
| Miss / Lady | nay | Neutral or Demeaning |
| Mr. | thaaw | Honorific |
| Mr. | doow | Demeaning |
| Sir / Mr. | ja? | Honorific |
| Grandfather / Grandmother | ja.200? / ja.2iup | Honorific |
| Grandson / Granddaughter | coow / coow | Neutral |
| Older brother / Older sister | Puup / mop | Honorific |
| Younger brother / Younger sister | 3oh / 2oh | Neutral |
| Older uncle / Older aunt | mih / dak | Honorific |
| Younger uncle / Younger aunt | pos / miik | Honorific |
| Niece / Nephew | moon / moon | Neutral |
| Friend | ka.lวə | Neutral |

Note: "Miss" and "Mr." can be used as honorific titles or demeaning titles.
Addressing others with kin terms are used as either honorific titles or neutral titles. Bold type indicates that they occur in the corpus. Some addresses are borrowed from the Lao language.

## Possessive nouns

Most simply, a possessive phrase consists of a head or possessed noun and a possessive noun or pronoun, the possessor. "[The possessive phrase] can always be used to express the concept of possession or ownership" (Kroeger 2011: 92). 'Inalienable' possession indicates a necessary and permanent relationship between the possessor and the possessed item such as body parts or kinship terms. 'Alienable' possession indicates things which are possessed only conditionally or temporarily, such as items that can be bought, sold, given away, lost, and so on (Kroeger 2011: 92,93).

The common and proper nouns and the verb are tested as the head of noun phrases as follows.

Noun Test 1: a noun is the head of noun phrase
The structure in example 17 is $\left[\mathrm{N}\right.$ Num $\mathrm{Clf}_{\mathrm{NP}}$
(17) kuən.pər.tii baar raa
orphan two person.Clf
two orphans
In example 17, a common noun is the head of a noun phrase.

The structure in example 18 is [Addr N Dem] $]_{\mathrm{NP}}$

| (18) | doow | thaaw $^{3}$ | ca.gruaj | né |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$ (N3:2a) ${ }^{4}$

In example 18, a proper noun is the head of a noun which can be preceded by an address.

The following example shows that the verb cannot be the head of a noun phrase.

* Verb Noun (ungrammatical)

| (19) | kə.Paak | laə | ta.boot |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$ bih 1

the crow he deceived the snake.
In example 19, a verb is followed by a noun and cannot be the head of a noun phrase.

[^2]Noun test 2 shows that a noun is the head of the possessive phrase, and that demonstratives can replace nouns.

Noun Test 2: Possession of noun based on the noun property of being possessors. The structure in example 20 is $\left[\mathrm{N} \mathrm{N} / \operatorname{Pro}_{[\text {[Poss }}\right]_{\mathrm{NP}}$
(20) mعє? bəว $\underline{\text { nวэ? }}$
(N3:155c)
mother father 3 S
His parents they
In example 20, the head noun mé bəə? "parents" precedes the possessor nəว? "his".
Noun Test 3: the substitution of noun by demonstrative pronoun based on the property of demonstratives that they can function as nouns.

The structure in example 21 is [Pro Dem] $]_{\mathrm{NP}}$
(21) Ram.braa $\quad \underline{n \varepsilon \varepsilon}$

3P(du) this
these two they
In example 21, Pam.braa né "these two" referred to Mr. Teem Enlee and Mother Gee Ersee and grammatically replaces them.

The structure in example 22 is [Pro V Dem] $]_{\mathrm{NP}}$
(22) məə taj to?

3P(pl) see that(far)
they saw that-one(the python)
In example 22, to? "that" referred to the python and grammatically replaced it.
A demonstrative pronoun can replace a noun.
According to Jordi (2011: 35), the structure of the root of the Brao noun never changes. It is not marked morphologically for number, gender or case. Singularity and pluralization of nouns are handled syntactically, as demonstrated in examples 23-25 below.

Noun Test 4: the singularity and pluralization of noun based on morphological form. Singularity is optionally marked.

The structure in example 23 is [[Addr N Pro.sg] $]_{\mathrm{NP}} V$ V N Pro Prt N$]_{\mathrm{S}}$

| (23) | ja? | Pat.naa | $\underline{\text { loว }}$ | dok | koow |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mr. | rich.ruler | $3 S$ | go | cut.down |  |
| loวy | noว? | hว? | müir |  |  |
|  | wood | $3 S$ | Loc | field |  |

Mr. Rich Ruler he went to cut down his wood in the field.
The structure in example 24 is $\left[[\mathrm{N}]_{\mathrm{NP}} \mathrm{V}\right.$ Adv V] s
(24) gooŋ.mün pen taj tros

Goong.Miin shoot Neg to.be.right
Goong Miin shot (but) missed (it).
In example 23, a noun is followed by singular pronoun laz to indicate the singular. However, in example 24 , a noun can stand on its own to indicate the singular.

Plural is always marked.
The structure in example 25 is [[Pro.pl N Dem] ${ }_{\mathrm{NP}}$ Pro.pl V V] ${ }_{\mathrm{S}}$
(25) $\underline{m \varepsilon \varepsilon}$ ruəh məє raj dik

3P(pl) elephant this 3P(pl) wake.up get.up
these elephants they woke up,
The structure in example 26 is [[Pro.pl N Num Clf] $]_{\mathrm{NP}}$ Pro.pl V Pro] ${ }_{S}$
(26) mé broh puən raa məә

3P(pl) unmarried.woman four person.Clf 3P(pl)
tooj noэ?
follow 3S
four young ladies they followed her.
In examples 25 and 26, plural pronoun $m \varepsilon \varepsilon$ usually precedes a noun and məa usually precedes a verb or verbs.

In summary, a common or proper noun, but not a verb, can be the head of a noun phrase or a possessive phrase. A noun can be replaced with a demonstrative pronoun. Singular and plural pronouns always indicate the singular or plural of nouns.

### 1.5.1.2 The Verb

Certain characteristics of verb morphology have an impact on participant reference.
Since an irrealis infix is between a clitic pronoun (which indicates person and number) and a verb, it is presented in this section. In addition, the serial verbs are tested for the null reference.

Verbs "assign a characteristic (in the broad sense of the term) to the subject" (Riegel et al. 2008: 570). Athough this research focuses on NPs used in participant reference, some characteristics of VPs have been selected as relevant.

In Brao Ombaa, verbs are the predicative expression of the sentence (Jordi 2011: $45,21,80)$.

## Irrealis Infixation

The irrealis marker is a nasal that assimilates to the following consonant. When in irrealis mood, verbs must take a clitic pronoun to mark the person, number, and inclusive or exclusive, and a nasal infix ( $-m-/-n-/-\eta-)$ to mark an act or state of being which is not realis. Only verbs can take an irrealis marker. Thus the following test for a verb is relevant to events that are happening, have not yet happened, may happen, or will not happen.

Verb Test 1: Irrealis infixation
The structure in example 27 is [Conn Addr $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{Pro}\left[\mathrm{Pro}_{[\mathrm{Clij}}-\mathrm{Irr}-\mathrm{V}\right]_{\mathrm{V}} \operatorname{Pro} \operatorname{Adv}\left[\mathrm{Pro}_{[\mathrm{Cli]}}{ }^{-}\right.$ Irr-V] ${ }_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{V}$ Prt Adv] ${ }_{\mathrm{S}}$


If Mr. Orphan he would have followed us, then he might shoot (it).
In example 27, the constituents are clitic pronoun la " $3 S$ ", irrealis infix $m$ "would" and "might", and the verbs tooj "to follow" and tros "to be right".

The structure in example 28 is [Adv Pro $\left.\left[\mathrm{Pro}_{[\mathrm{Clij}}-\mathrm{Irr}-\mathrm{V}\right]_{\mathrm{V}}\right]_{\mathrm{S}}$

| da.min | sa.braa | la.n.tièh |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| when | $2 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{du})$ | 3S-Irr-go.down |

When will the two of you go down?
In example 28, the constituents are clitic pronoun la " $3 S^{3}$ ", irrealis infix $n$ "will", and the verb phrase tiith "to go down".
 $\left.\operatorname{Pro}_{[\text {[Poss] }}\right]_{\mathrm{s}}$

"... It disappeared, no (tool) for us, I could not cut down my wood."
In example 29, the constituents are the clitic pronoun 32 " $1 S$ ", irrealis infix $\eta$ "could", and the verb $\eta$ ih "to miss".

Independent pronouns are not used because of verb conjugation for irrealis mood.

## Serial verbs

Verbs in series are common in the Mon-Khmer languages. According to Kroeger (2004: 226-227), verbs in series are clauses where "a single clause contains two or more verbs, neither of which is an auxiliary." The two independent verbs "express a single event, but because both verbs contribute to the meaning of the clause, the resultant expression is semantically more complex than one of the verbs alone."

Brao examples follow with two, three, and four verbs in a row.

## Verb Test 2: serial verbs

Two-verb constituent: [([N/Pro Dem Pro $\left.\left.]_{\mathrm{NP}}\right)[\mathrm{V} \text { V] }]_{\mathrm{VP}}\right]_{\mathrm{s}}$

| (30) | kə.Paak <br> crow | laə | tra.nวəw |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3S | to.answer | say |

the crow he answered, saying,
In example 30, although two verbs are in the clause, in the analysis, those two verbs tra.nəวw riən "answered saying" are apparently one VP. It has one NP and no null references.

[^3]$\varnothing$ con caa Ø həŋ.jan kij
$3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ eat(rice.only) eat $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ sleep sleep
(they) ate, (they) slept.
In example 31, the four verbs are actually two two-verb constituents - coj caa "to eat (rice) eat" and haŋ. $\mathrm{yan} k i j$ "to sleep sleep" - with two null references preceding them. In the following chapters, this example is analyzed as containing two null references.

Three-verb constituent: [([N/Pro Dem Pro $\left.\left.]_{\mathrm{NP}}\right)[\mathrm{V} \text { V V] }]_{\mathrm{VP}}\right]_{\mathrm{S}}$
(32) bihkra? né laว khit ?aŋkhit rian
python this $3 S$ think think.in.mind say
this python he thought, saying,
In example 32, although three verbs are in the clause, in the analysis, the three verbs khit lay.khit rian "thought saying" are apparently one verb phrase. It has one noun phrase and no null references.

| тәə | cэŋ | $c a a$ | $l \varepsilon \varepsilon w$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ | eat(rice.only) eat | finish |  |

They ate (it) up.
In example 33, the three verbs coŋ caa lecw "ate up" are apparently one verb phrase with no null references, and in the clause it counts as one pronoun reference. The pronoun substitutes for the subject noun phrase.
(34) laə buh froŋ paaj Ø

3S grill grill boil $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$
she grilled (and) boiled (the preys),
Ø bəәт ka.jє? $3 \supset \supset ?$
3S make food rice(cooked)
(she) made [cooked] a meal.
In example 34, the four verbs include a three-verb constituent - buh froy paaj "grilled boiled"with null reference following and a verb bəəm with null reference preceding it.

Four-verb constituent: [([N/Pro Dem Pro $\left.\left.]_{\mathrm{NP}}\right)[\mathrm{V} \text { V V V] }]_{\mathrm{VP}}\right]_{\mathrm{S}}$
(35) lәə

сว.cé pכэс həт.maac maaך
$3 S$ discuss tell narrate speak
(N2:42c)
he chatted (with her).

In example 35, although there are four verbs in the clause, in the analysis, the four verbs ca.cॄє pээc ham.maac maay "chatted" appear to be one verb phrase with no null references, and in the clause it counts as one pronoun reference. The pronoun replaces the subject noun phrase.

In summary, the serial verb construction is formed by at least two verb constituents and by not more than four verb constituents in the corpus.

### 1.5.1.3 The Pronoun

In this section, personal, demonstrative, indefinite, and emphatic pronouns are described.

Pronouns are often the syntactic equivalent of a noun phrase (Riegel, Pellat \& Rioul, 2008: 193). A pronoun "may refer to something which has been previously mentioned in the same discourse" (Kroeger 2011: 136). This is anaphoric reference.

In Brao Ombaa, pronouns may replace the complete noun phrase, with the exception of the preposition in a prepositional noun phrase (Jordi 2011: 30,32,34).

## Types of Pronoun

## Personal pronouns

Agreement between a pronoun and its antecedent helps the audience to interpret the pronoun correctly (Kroeger 2011: 138). In Brao Ombaa, a pronoun must agree with its antecedent for person, number, and inclusivity or exclusivity. These personal pronouns have been classified into three categories: independent pronoun A, independent pronoun B , and clitic pronoun C . They and their combinations are listed in Table 6.

Table 6 Brao Pronouns

| Person | Indep Pro <br> A | Indep Pro B | Clitic <br> Pro C* | A+B | A + C/B+C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1S | 2aj | ใวว | 23- | 2aj 2 วә | (?aj) ใว- / Ø २ə- |
| 1P(excl.du) | jï̀ | ләә | лд- | лії ләว | (nii) лว- / Ø лә- |
| 1P(excl.pl) | лаа | ләә | n2- | лаа ләә | (паа) лә- / Ø пг- |
| 1P(incl.du) | baa | bəə | bz- | baa bəә | (baa) bə- / Ø bə- |
| 1P(incl.pl) | yaaj | пәд, паа | ŋว-, уа- | паај ŋәә <br> даај ŋаа |  |
| 2S | haj | һวə | ha- | haj həə | (haj) hə- / Ø ha- |
| 2P(du) | sa.braa | sa.braa | la- |  | (sa.braa) la- / Ø la- |
| 2P(pl) | $v \mathcal{E} \mathcal{E}$ | ขวว | vz- | $\nu \mathcal{1}$ ขวว | (vยє) vว- / Øvว- |
| 3S | nวง? | lวว | la- | noo? laว | (nov?) la- / Ø la- |
| 3P(du) | Pam.braa | Pam.braa | la- |  | (3am.braa) la- / Ø la- |
| 3P(pl) | $m \varepsilon \varepsilon$ | тәә | ma- | теє тәə | (mes) mə- / Ø mə- |

* the clitic pronoun does not indicate duality; la is the clitic pronoun for second person dual and third person singular and dual.

The independent pronouns in A column usually precede nouns, the independent pronouns in B column usually precede verbs. The clitic pronouns in C column must precede verbs or precede irrealis infixes followed by verbs. Generally, independent pronouns in A and B columns can occur together. However, the clitic pronouns in C column may occur after the independent pronouns in A column; and must not occur with the independent pronouns in B column, unless the independent pronouns in B column are referenced with null reference and occur before the clitic pronouns in C columns (see examples 27-29).

Personal pronouns are generally used to replace a person or an animal, and they can be modified at the phrase level (Jordi 2011: 30,32,34).

The structure is [Pro.pl Num/Qtf Clf] ${ }_{\mathrm{NP}}$ as seen in examples 36-39.
(36) நaaj trom raa

1P(incl.pl) each person.Clf
all of us
In example 36, the words "all of us" modify the classifier raa "person", which is the head of the noun phrase.

| $\underline{m \mathcal{E} \mathcal{E}}$ | Pəən | raa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3P(pl) | many | person.Clf |

many people
In example 37, the words "many people" modify the classifier raa "person", which is the head of the noun phrase.
(38) vॄє puәn raa
$2 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ four person.Clf
you four people
In example 38, the words "you four people" modify the classifier raa "person", which is the head of the noun phrase.

| $\underline{m \varepsilon \varepsilon}$ | səə | raa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ | five | person.Clf |
| those five people |  |  |

In example 39, the words "those five people" modify the classifier raa "person", which is the head of the noun phrase.

The structure in example 40 is [Pro.pl N] ${ }_{\mathrm{NP}}$
(40) $\underline{m \in \mathcal{E}} \quad$ cro.hวəw

3P(pl) young.person
the young people
In example 40, the words "young people" modify the noun cra.həaw "young.person", which is the head of the noun phrase.

The structure in example 41 is [Pro.pl V N] ${ }_{\mathrm{NP}}$
(41) $\underline{\underline{\varepsilon} \varepsilon}$ bəәт mür
$3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ to.do field
the peasants

In example 41, the words "peasants" modify the verbal noun bəəm mür "to.do field", which is the head of the noun phrase.

Thus, plural pronouns can stand alone as independent pronouns or occur as heads of noun phrases to pluralize nouns or noun phrases as in examples 35-40 (not in the corpus).

## Indefinite pronouns

Jordi (2011: 34) states that there are two indefinite pronouns in Brao Ombaa:
"?ap. ŋaaj", which substitutes for the names of things, places or animals such as "everything", "everywhere"; and "mعع.ঘaaj", which substitutes for a person or personified animal similar to "someone." There is no example of an indefinite pronoun in the corpus.

## Emphatic pronouns

Kroeger (2011: 137, 138) states that "emphatic pronouns are used simply to highlight the identity of some other phrase, namely the phrase which functions as their antecedent. They have the same function in the sentence as their antecedents." Note than any possible relationship with reflexive pronouns is yet to be determined.

In the corpus, ka.la? has two meanings: 'self' and 'own'. When ka.la? follows a noun or pronoun and precedes a pronoun, it functions as an emphatic pronoun (see example 42 and example 43). When ka.la? follows a noun or pronoun but does not precede a pronoun, it functions as an adjective meaning 'own' (see example 44).

The structure is [N/Pro Det Pro]
(42) bih.kra? ka.la? loa
python self 3S
the python himself
(43) laว ka.la? loว

3S self 35
he himself
The structure in example 44 is [ N Pro Adj]
ca.naaj noo? ka.la?
loincloth 3S own
his own loincloth

In Brao Ombaa, an emphatic pronoun refers to a noun or pronoun preceding it. Thus, demonstrative and emphatic pronouns do not represent an additional instance of participant reference, but rather an elaboration of the single identification within the single verb phrase.

In summary, personal pronouns in Brao Ombaa indicate three persons ( $1^{\text {st }}, 2^{\text {nd }}$, and $3^{\text {rd }}$ persons), two 'clusivities' (inclusive and exclusive), and three numbers (singular, dual, and plural). A noun or noun phrase can be pluralized either by a plural pronoun with a number or quantifier and classifier [Pro.pl Num/Qtf Clf] or a plural pronoun with a noun or verb of state [Pro.pl (V) N]. There are two indefinite pronouns which substitute names and persons. The emphatic pronouns refer to the same individual immediately preceding them.

### 1.5.1.4 The Demonstrative

Selected characteristics of demonstratives - nominal, locative adverbial, and verbal are discussed below (in Test 1, Test 2, and Test 3). Kroeger (2011: 89) states that demonstratives indicate "distance from the speaker." According to Dixon (2010: 224), there are three types of demonstrative: "nominal demonstratives can occur in a noun phrase with a noun or pronoun; local adverbial demonstratives occur either alone or with a noun taking local [location] marking; and verbal demonstratives can occur as the only verb in a predicate, or together with a lexical verb."

Demonstrative pronouns
Demonstrative pronouns can stand alone and may replace nouns, as seen in examples 21 and 22. If they occur immediately following nouns or noun phrases, they are always a modifying constituent of a noun phrase. The Brao demonstratives are listed in Table 7.

Table 7 The Brao demonstratives (Jordi 2011: 32)

| Position | Here (visible) |  | Near <br> (visible) |  |  |  | Exophoric <br> (invisible) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Above |  |  | ti? | that (above) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Pən.ti? |  |  |  |  |  |
| Same <br> level | $n \varepsilon \mathcal{E}$ | this | P\& | that | to? | that <br> (far) | Pin | that (exophoric) |
|  | $n \varepsilon h *$ |  | 2ch |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pวn.nระ |  | hə刀. $2 \varepsilon \varepsilon$ |  | Pən.to? |  | hən. Pin |  |
|  | Pan.nsh |  | həp.2ch |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below |  |  | co? | that <br> (below) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ? 2 n.co? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* when "nch" also functions as a pronoun of time, it is repeated to indicate "now."

The demonstrative determinants with the final consonant " $h$ " are often used in situation with a problem, a difficulty or a disagreement to express discouragement, disdain or anger. The demonstraives "tip" and "co?" can also be used as two opposite directions - east and west respectively (probably because they live along rivers, east indicates up and west indicates down). The demonstratives " $n \varepsilon \varepsilon$ " and "t??" can also be used as two opposite sides - right and left respectively.

Examples of demonstratives functioning as constituents of noun phrases (Test 1), locative phrases (Test 2), and verbal phrases (Test 3) are discussed below. Test 2 and Test 3 are sentence modifiers for location (in space) and manner respectively.

## Demonstrative Test 1: nominal demonstratives

The structure is $[\mathrm{NDem}]_{\mathrm{NP}}$
(45) bih.kra?
$\underline{n \varepsilon \varepsilon}$
Python this
this python
(46) brii $\underline{? \varepsilon h}$
forest that
that forest

## Conclusion 1

As seen in examples 45 and 46 above, the nominal demonstratives are optional and always follow a noun within the noun phrase. They generally occur at the end of clauses or sentences.

The structure in example 47 is [Adv [Pro Dem] $]_{\mathrm{NP}}$ Pro V Prep N] ${ }_{\mathrm{S}}$ (47)

| Pəm.bì | Pam.braa | $\underline{n \varepsilon \mathcal{E}}$ | Pam.braa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| evening | $3 P(\mathrm{du})$ | this | $3 P(\mathrm{du})$ |

cì taəm.bin miar
return from field
In the evening, these two[this couple] they returned from the field.
The structure in example 48 is [Adv Pro $V$ [Dem] $\left.]_{N P} N \quad N\right]_{S}$

| (48) | 2ce | тәә | taj | to? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | then | 3P(pl) | see | that(far) |
|  | taəm |  | ?ən. |  |
|  | trunk(long.piece) |  | bam |  |
|  | then | y sa | the p | on) by |

## Conclusion 2

As in examples 47 and 48, the (nominal) demonstratives can occur without a noun.

## Demonstrative Test 2: location adverbial demonstratives

The structure in example 47 is [N/Pro V V Adv Loc Dem] ${ }_{s}$
(49) тәə buh caa.cat broэj bï $\underline{\text { ?ch }}$

3P(pl) grill eat immediately Loc that
they grilled (and) ate (the small deer) right there.
The structure in example 48 is [N/Pro V Dem] ${ }_{\text {S }}$
(50) laə kliih co?

3S fall down.there
It fell down there.

The structure in example 49 is [Pro.pl N Pro ${ }_{\text {[Poss] }}$ Pro.pl V Dem] ${ }_{S}$
(51) məə tiuh cok brojj doow

3P(pl) go.down take immediately Mr.(demeaning)
kuən.par.tii $\underline{\text { ?in }}$
orphan exophoric
they went down to take Mr. Orphan [there(invisible)].

In examples 49-51, the location adverbial demonstratives usually occur at the end of clauses or sentences.

## Demonstrative Test 3: verbal demonstratives

The structure in example 50 is [ N Dem Pro V Dem] ${ }_{s}$
(52) naaŋ neє laว taj bal.?єє

Lady this 3 S see like.that
(N2:48a)
this lady she saw like that
The structure in example 51 is [Dem Adv] ${ }_{S}$
(53) bal.nعE maat
like.this really
Really like this!
The structure in example 52 is [Adv Adj Adv Dem] ${ }_{\text {s }}$

| taj | khii | Miim | pa2.neє |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Neg | suitable | Neg | like.this |

(It's) not suitable like this.
In examples 52-54, the verbal demonstratives can occur at the end or at the beginning of clauses or sentences.

In summary, all three types of demonstratives generally occur at the end of clauses or sentences. Nominal demonstratives are not obligated to co-occur with nouns. When a nominal demonstrative does co-occur with a noun, it functions as an intersifier. Locative adverbial demonstratives provide the referential information of distance, height, and visibility. Verbal demonstratives generally function as intensifiers. The nominal and verbal demonstratives can help to rank the participants as they can give prominence to the participants.

### 1.5.2 Noun Phrase

The nouns and verbs of major word classes, the pronouns and demonstratives of minor word classes, and the morphology of singularity and pluralization of noun and the verb conjugation for irrealis have been described and tested in the previous sections. This section now describes the noun phrase. Ultimately, all anaphoric participant identification refers to a previous noun phrase, and each identification is a noun phrase itself. Thus, understanding the noun phrase is crucial to understanding participant reference. This section provides examples of the noun phrase and of relative clauses.

Kroeger (2011: 87) states that "a Noun Phrase is a phrasal constituent whose head is a noun. NPs in [many] languages, can function as subjects, primary or secondary objects, and objects of prepositions."

### 1.5.2.1 The sample of noun phrase

The structure in example 55 is [[Addr N Dem] $\left.]_{\mathrm{NP}} \mathrm{Pro} \mathrm{V}\right]_{\mathrm{S}}$
(55) ja? 2at.naa neє loว glay

Mr rich.ruler this 3S look
This Mr. Rich Ruler he looked,
In example 55, the noun phrase consists of an address with a noun and demonstrative.

The structure in example 56 is [[N Addr $\left.\mathrm{N}_{[\text {Poos }]}\right]_{\mathrm{NP}}$ Pro V Prt N] $]_{\text {s }}$
(56) kuən ja? ?at.naa loə kett hə? laaw
(N2:28a)
child Mr rich.ruler 3 S die Loc Laos
Mr. Rich Ruler's child she died in Laos,
In example 56, the noun phrase consists of a head noun with a possessor.
The structure in example 57 is [[Pro.pl N Num Clf] $]_{\mathrm{NP}}$ Pro.pl V Pro] ${ }_{\mathrm{S}}$

| mé | broh | puәn | raa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$ тәə

tooj noo?
follow 3S
four young ladies they followed her
In example 57, the noun phrase consists of a plural noun with a numeral and a classifier.

In summary, the schemas for noun phrase in Brao Ombaa are:
[ N [(Addr) $\left.\left(\mathrm{N}_{\text {[Poss }}\right)\right]$ (Dem) $]_{\mathrm{NP}}$ or
$\left[(\text { Pro.pl) } \mathrm{N} \text { (Num) (Clf) (Dem) }]_{\mathrm{NP}}\right.$
The pronoun after the noun phrase always precedes the verb(s) (see examples 25 and 26). It indicates person, number, and inclusive or exclusive.

### 1.5.2.2 Relative Clause

Kroeger (2011: 89,230) states that "a clause that functions as a modifier within the NP is called a relative clause. A relative clause is a clause which modifies the head noun within a noun phrase. A relativizer links the modifying clause to the head."

Since there are only two relative clauses (presented as examples 58 and 59) in the corpus, further research is needed.

The structure in example 58 is [[N Addr $\left.\mathrm{N}_{\text {[Poss }}\right]_{\mathrm{NP}}$ Pro V Prt N Pro [Rel[ N
$\left.\left.\left.\operatorname{Pro}_{[\text {PPoss }} \operatorname{Det}\right]_{\mathrm{NP}}\right]_{\mathrm{RC}}\right]_{\mathrm{s}}$
(58) kuən ja? Pat.naa loə kest ha? laaw
child Mr rich.ruler 3 S die Loc Laos
(N2:28a)

Mr. Rich Ruler's child she died in Laos,

| laว | troد | ka.man | noว | ka.la? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3S | Rel | sister-in-law | $3 S$ | own |

she who is his own sister-in-law.
In example 58, according to Jordi's analysis (2011: 87-90), the noun phrase is "Mr. Rich Ruler's child she" and the relative clause is "who is his own sister-in-law."

The structure in example 59 is $\left[[\operatorname{Addr} \mathrm{N}]_{\mathrm{NP}}\left[\operatorname{Rel}[\operatorname{Pro} \mathrm{V} \text { N Adj] }]_{\mathrm{NP}}\right]_{\mathrm{RC}}\right]_{\mathrm{s}}$


Mr. Orphan who he has the powerful medicine,
In example 59, according to Jordi's analysis (2011: 87-90), the noun phrase is "Mr. Orphan" and the relative clause is "who he has the powerful medicine."

In summary, the relativizers in Brao that found in the corpus are tros and $n>$ ?. The schema for relative clause in Brao Ombaa is: $\left[\text { Rel } \mathrm{N} / \operatorname{Pro}\left(\operatorname{Pro}_{[\text {[Poss }}\right) \text { (Det) }\right]_{\mathrm{RC}}$ The background, and the selected elements, phonology, orthography, and grammar of Brao Ombaa were described in this chapter. Chapter 2 examines the literature on participant reference.

## Chapter 2

## Literature Review

### 2.1 Introduction

In this thesis, discourse refers to the original communicative act, whether spoken or written. According to Longacre (1996: 7), discourse analysis covers two areas of linguistic concern: the analysis of dialogue, especially of live conversation, and the analysis of monologue. This present research studies six Brao monologue narrative texts.

A complete and well-formed discourse has: (1) cohesion and coherence - it uses conjunctions and sequencing markers, (2) progression - it progresses in some identifiable manner, and (3) prominence - someone, something, or some event has prominence at any given point. (Longacre 1996: 33)

With regard to discourse analysis, Brown \& Yule (1984: ix, 1) define it as the study of how humans use language to communicate, especially how addressers construct messages for addressees, and how addressees process and interpret the messages, to account for the forms and how they are used (functions). Study of the structure of language should be connected with study of the function and use of language in a natural context.

Moreover, Johnstone states that:
"Linguistic competence is typically described as consisting of knowledge about phonology (how sounds are categorized and combined in a language), morphology (the structure of words), syntax (the structure of sentences), and semantics (the interpretation or computation of meaning). Discourse analysis moves the description of competence up a level, providing models of the knowledge that enables people to produce and interpret paragraphs, stories, conversations, and arguments, and exploring the ways in which interlocutors both draw on and jointly create structure as they interact (2008: 77)."

### 2.2 Discourse Genre

The French word genre means "kind." According to Johnstone (2008: 182), genre "has been used to refer to conventional types of literary texts categorized by how they represent the world: the epic (primarily descriptive), the dramatic (primarily mimetic, or imitative), and the lyric (mixed, but also self-expressive). In discourse analysis, genres represent the emic discourse organization of a language and culture in a specific time and place as constructed in the cognitive schemas of the speakers' minds (Longacre 1996). Burridge (2004: 97) suggests that the following criteria are used for recognizing the genres by the original audiences of the corpus he examined: "title, language, date, length, sources, point of view, type of hero, characterization, divine traits, technique, pattern, purpose and aesthetic intent."

Burridge also states that:
"Genre functions by providing a set of expectations as a sort of contract between author and reader. It is constituted and mediated through a variety of different generic features, none of which need be peculiar to the genre; however, when they are taken all together, they reveal a particular pattern, which enables us to recognize the genre. ... Although there are differences, a recognizable overall grouping is clear, with many critics including some or most of the following: representation, structure, meter, size, scale, subject, values, mood, occasion, attitude, setting, characterization, purpose, formal units, use of sources, motifs" (2004: 105).

In simpler cultures with oral literatures, we may find only two or three varieties of narrative discourse, e.g. myth, first person accounts, and formal stories. In many cultures and literatures, whether complex or simple, we find a distinction in third person narrative, i.e., the variety which presents a neutral vantage point as opposed to the variety wherein the narrator identifies his vantage point with that of one participant (Longacre 1996: 13). Narrative type can be subdivided into prophecy and story, history, and so on (Longacre 1996: 9).

### 2.3 Characteristics of Narrative

A narrative is not the story itself but a spoken or written account of connected events. Lacey (2000: 101) states that a narrative "presents information as a connected sequence of events and that it needs at least two connected events. It foregrounds time, is to be found in the diachronic (horizontal) dimension." "Most
narratives structure their sequences causally: each event logically follows on from the previous one; each event causes the next one. A narrative therefore needs at least two connected events" (2000: 13-14).

A narrative is usually told in order to entertain, teach, and/or inform the audience. The characteristics of narrative are: specific sequence; first or third person; actororiented; and chronological linkage. Proper names are a type of specific person reference which characterizes narrative as opposed to procedural discourse (Longacre 1996: 12). Narrative (story) discourse utilizes some sort of nondurative preterit, or historical present, while narrative (prophecy) utilizes a future tense in many languages. For languages do not have tense, they use aspect and anything that is possible. Head-head linkage (i.e., the first sentence of one paragraph crossreferences to the first sentence of the following paragraph) and tail-head linkage (i.e., the last sentence of one paragraph cross-references to the first sentence of the following paragraph) are used very prominently in narrative discourse of many cultures such as Brao (Longacre 1996: 12-13).

The discourse structure of a narrative is the patterns of form that a narrator uses to produce a narrative. The body of a narrative is often made up of two or more episodes. Each episode usually has a climax and a denouement. This may also have an opening, a setting, a background, an inciting incident, a developing conflict or an increasing tension, a closing, and a finis (Longacre 1996: 36). Narratives can also be a way of understanding of human existence. They are anxiety-reducing devices as problems would surely be solved and good invariably triumphs over evil. They offer stable reference points that may be absent from most people's existence (Lacey 2000: 77).

### 2.4 Boundaries and Unity

An episode, according to Van Dijk's definition (1982: 177), "is a semantic unit. It is characterized as coherent sequences of sentences of a discourse, linguistically marked for beginning and/or end, and further defined in terms of some kind of 'thematic unity' - for instance, in terms of identical participants, time, location or global event or action". It has a beginning and an end, what Longacre terms "closure" (1979b: 116).

The boundary between two episodes can be marked by various devices, such as a change of time, a change of place, which Grimes (1975: 109) terms "change of 'setting' (time or place)," or a change of participant(s), a change of topic, which

Grimes terms "change of 'theme' (the person or thing talked about).". A point of departure, a summary statement, or tail-head links. The use of 'But' at the beginning of a paragraph is a general marker of topic change described by Van Dijk (1977: 139).

An episode presents unity when all events that take place at a particular setting can be treated as a unit. A sequence of events is distinguished from a later part of the same time sequence in that all the actions in each part involve uniform relations among their participants (Grimes 1975: 41). It has internal coherence, for instance, "the speaker continues talking about the same thing" (Grimes 1975: 103). A temporal principle of organization generally governs the narrative episode, which consists of an unbroken "chain" (Enkvist \& Wårvik 1987: 224).

### 2.5 Participant Reference

This section reviews the literature regarding participant identification, participant rank, and the use of the Thurman Chart.

Longacre (1989: 139) regards participant reference as one of the two strands that constitute the 'double helix' of reference structure. According to Dooley and Levinsohn (2001: 111), there are two reasons to study participant reference: (1) because the audience needs to understand who is doing what, and (2) because a producer needs to be able to make that same information clear.

The scheme of reference, according to Givón's scale of coding weight for referring expressions (1983: 18), is full noun phrases (most coding material) $\rightarrow$ stressed or independent pronouns $\rightarrow$ unstressed or bound pronouns $\rightarrow$ zero anaphora (least coding material). The tasks of a scheme of reference, as Dooley and Levinsohn proposed, are: (1) semantic - identifying the referents unambiguously, distinguishing them from other possible ones; (2) discourse-pragmatic - signaling the activation status and prominence of the referents or the actions they perform; and (3) processing - overcoming disruptions in the flow of information (Dooley \& Levinsohn 2001: 112).

In general, the semantic part (1) of the referring task predicts that the amount of coding material in a referring expression increases with the danger of ambiguity. The discourse-pragmatic task (2) is related to activated (or reactivated) and deactivated participants (Chafe 1987; Givón 1990: 915) in which the higher the activation status, the less coding material is necessary. The processing task (3) is
related to the break of thematic continuity such as a change in type of information, for example, from event material to nonevent material (Dooley and Levinsohn 2001: 113). In order to understand the plot, each participant must be identified correctly, especially when participants are referred to by pronoun or ellipsis. In Brao, the semantic task is performed by name and title; the discourse-pragmatic task is signaled by central, major, and minor participants, and props; the processing task is tracked by pronoun, null reference, and exophoric reference.

In summary, participant reference is the system of referring to participants. This includes participant identification, a language's inherent rules that use grammatical elements to identify participants to the recipient of the text. It also includes a means of revealing participant rank, the relative salience of participants in a specific discourse.

### 2.5.1 Participant Identification

One topic (participant), as proposed by Givón (1983: 7-8), can be a "continuity marker" when that topic is "coded as the primary topic - or grammatical subject - of the vast majority of sequentially ordered clauses or sentences comprising the thematic paragraph" which is made up by a chain of clauses and "is by definition about the same theme."

Grimes (1975: 45) distinguishes "reference", having "to do with who or what is being talked about", from "identification", having "to do with the linguistic means that the speaker uses to communicate who or what is involved". In other words, linguistic coding is a surface feature that reflects the underlying deep structure of mental reference, including participant reference.

Identification, as Martin \& Rose (2007: 155) state, "is concerned with tracking participants - with introducing people and things into a discourse and keeping track of them once there. These are textual resources, concerned with how discourse makes sense to the reader [audience] by keeping track of identities." When narrators start talking about participants, they may introduce their names, but then the participants are often just identified by pronouns. Thus, audiences need to accurately identify participants in a discourse.

Grimes states that in anaphora, a pronoun or pronoun-like element references something before it in the text. Cataphora, on the other hand, presents a reference together with a promise to identify it later. Thurman has proposed two special kinds
of text relationship: (1) Linkage - for a particular kind of anaphoric relation, and (2) Chaining - for a particular kind of cataphoric relation. In a number of languages events must be linked to preceding events by a repetition of those events. In a system that makes extensive use of linkages, it is the absence of a linking clause that catches the hearer's attention; this break in the sequence may be used to signal a change of scene or a shift of participants, a transition to background information, or even a point of special emphasis. Chaining is cataphoric. It is the prediction of some of the information that a following clause contain. Chaining systems may go with linkage systems, so that an event in a sequence of events may be chained forward to the next event and at the same time may be linked backward to the preceding event. As with linkage, breaking a chained sequence may have special significance (Thurman in Grimes 1975: 95-96). Identification is maintained through the use of anaphoric elements. Pronouns are the common means of maintaining identification (1975: 48-49).

Grimes (1975: 82) credits Robert C. Thurman with designing a revealing chart in his study of Chuave medial verbs. The vertical columns on the chart categorize six kinds of information in a text: events, identification, setting, background (includes both explanations and evaluations), collateral, and performative. As the current research is focused on participant reference, only the identification column is utilized. "The information that identifies the participants in an event not only links participants to events, but also links one mention of a participant with other references of the same participant. It obeys the rules of its own in addition to combining with event information" (1975: 43). The modification of the "Thurman Chart" used in this research is discussed in section 3.6.

In analyzing the stems used in participant identification, the amount of coding material is categorized. Givón's quantity principle (1991: 87-88) suggests that "Less predictable and more discontinuous information will be assigned more coding material. The principle is reflected in the following size gradient: full nominal phrase $\rightarrow$ independent pronoun $\rightarrow$ unstressed pronoun $\rightarrow$ zero anaphora". In this research, the phrase "overcoded material" is used to indicate the material which is heavier than usual in that environment and the phrase "undercoded material" is used to indicate the material which is lighter than usual in that environment.

The three main discourse measurements of topic continuity designed by Givón (1983: 13-15) are: Referential Distance (look-back), Potential Interference (ambiguity), and Topic Persistence (decay). All these measures are based on the
assumption that amount of coding is inversely proportional to unusualness. Potential interference may come from attempts to clarify ambiguity. In other words, ambiguity and other reasons should also be considered as possible motivations for over- and under- coding.

A participant can be referred to in many different ways such as name, title, kinship terms, pronoun, null reference, or exophoric reference, i.e., not in the scene or context. "Languages vary greatly in categories of information carried by pronouns and agreement. Some systems signal only person; others signal person, number, gender or noun class, honorific status, and so on" (Dooley \& Levinsohn 2001: 112). Within the Brao addressing system, a title denotes an honorific or a demeaning status. Kinship terms can denote social status based on an age range even if the addressor and addressee are not related by blood. Within the Brao grammar system, pronouns indicate person, number, and inclusive or exclusive reference in addition to kinship relationship. Pronouns can also be referred to differently (see Table 6).

In the collected narratives, the participants can be identified by nouns or noun phrases, pronouns or clitic pronouns, head nouns of possessive phrases, and kin terms. Participant rank is likely to affect which particular elements are used in identifying participants.

### 2.5.2 Participant Rank

Participants are the characters (actors) of a narrative. Participant reference analysis describes the methods used to refer to the participants in a narrative text. The storyline is constructed through the events that happen to the participants in a narrative. Like the leading actor or actress, supporting actors or actresses in a movie or drama, there are central, major, and minor participants in a narrative.

With regard to props, Somsonge Burusphat (1991: 123) states that inanimate objects can be participants when they "are directly involved in the plot of the story." In Brao discourse, humans can be props when they are used with a demonstrative ?in and animals and inanimate objects can be props when they are with a demonstrative haŋ.Pin (refer to section 1.4.2.1).

Dooley \& Levinsohn (2001: 119-124) classified participants into three categories or ranks: major participants, minor participants, and VIP (Very Important Participant).

The main participant in a narrative, according to Somsonge Burusphat (1991: 124), is on-stage more than other participants, is the initiator of most of the actions, and
occasionally can be a victim of others' actions. The thematic role of the main participant is usually agent; however, it can also be patient. The main or most important participant is a Global Very Important Participant (GVIP) who plays the most leading role in a narrative.

The secondary participant in a narrative is never the thematic participant of a whole story, but can be a locally thematic participant at least once in the entire story (Somsonge Burusphat 1991: 131). So, the secondary or important participant can be the Local Very Important Participant (LVIP) who plays a leading role and is active in a certain part of a narrative. (Dooley \& Levinsohn 2001: 122). In biblical Hebrew, there are major participants (the slate of participants for the whole story) include central (protagonist) and other(s) (Longacre 1989: 142).

The tertiary participant in a narrative is not necessarily a locally thematic participant (Somsonge Burusphat 1991: 133). The role of the tertiary participant is small although he/she is important to the plot of the story. Therefore, they are minor participants who play supporting roles and are not active in a narrative, or only active but not as active as the major participants (Longacre 1989: 142).

A Prop is "the helper in a plot, who assists the hero to attain his goal, is inanimate as often as animate" (Propp 1968: 61) who appears on the stage but never initiates any actions (Somsonge Burusphat 1991: 123). Longacre (1989: 143) classifies props into four categories: human, animate, inanimate, and natural forces.

The four categories of participants utilized in this research are: central participant, major participants, minor participants, and props. Based on a synthesis of terminology used by Longacre, Somsonge Burusphat, and Levinsohn, GVIP and LVIP are also identified.

In summary, the participant reference system is a component of the grammar system of a language, in particular, the relationship between noun and subsequent referring expressions. The participant reference system also reveals the way in which participants are introduced, maintained and foregrounded.

### 2.6 Quotation Formula

Speech act theory originates in Austin's observation (1962) that while sentences can often be used to report states of affairs, the utterance of some sentences in specified circumstances, i.e., a set of 'felicity conditions', must be treated as the performance of an act. Runge (2010: 145) states that quotation formula "signals a transition from
narrative proper to a speech or dialogue embedded within the narrative." According to Longacre (1996: 89), "the quotation formula is for the most part an independent clause and has a finite verb subject to the constraints of the narrative framework. It ties a reported speech act into the narrative progression, while a quotation itself gives the content of the reported speech act."

A direct speech is an exact quotation of what the speaker spoke. In some languages, can be marked by serial verbs, for example, two speech verbs such as "say say", or "answer say," a cognitive verb with a speech verb such as "think say," or "remember say," an action verb with a speech verb such as "come say," or "sit say," or an emotion verb with a speech verb such as "fear say," or "annoyed say."

Longacre (1994: 125-126) states that a "quotation formula (QF) simply tells us that a speech act took place and who the speaker and (overt or inferred) addressee is. On the other hand, the content of the Quotation $(\mathrm{Q})$, tells us the nature of the speech act, such as flattery, compliance, suggestion, ... the QF's tie the Speech Acts to one or more of the participants of the story." "The quotation formulas vary as to their placement relative to the quote and as to specification of only the speaker versus the specification of both the speaker and the addressee (1994: 127)".

Since a narrator can refer to a speaker of a quotation with a proper or common noun, a pronoun, or null reference, the relationship between participant reference and the structure of quotation formula should be investigated, particularly because serial verbs are common in Brao quotation formulas but not used consistently.

### 2.7 Prominence

Prominence is the way a producer gains and maintains the attention of his/her audience. Callow (1974: 50) proposes that prominence is "any device which gives certain events, participants, or objects more significance than others in the same context." She distinguishes three kinds of prominence: (1) Thematic prominence "carries the discourse forward" (1974: 52). (2) Focus prominence highlights some thematic material as more important than other thematic material (1974: 52, 6063). (3) Empathic prominence considers what surprised the receiver, or what the producer has strong feelings about (1974: 52, 63-65).

Longacre (1989: 414) identifies and distinguishes two types of prominence that apply to the whole text. (1) Structural prominence is the plot, a storyline of
"sequential and punctiliar happening" for a narrative. It is the mainline, or backbone of any discourse. (2) Semantic prominence is related to meaning.

Runge (2010: 62) suggests that forward-pointing reference can give prominence within discourse. One way is "slowing down the flow of the discourse before something surprising or important. [The] delay has the effect of building suspense when an audience is expecting something to happen."

In this research, all the above descriptions of prominence purposes and devices are considered, particularly with a look to how heavy coding materials may slow down the flow of the Brao discourse to accomplish the function of prominence of a participant(s).

### 2.8 Mon-Khmer participant reference studies

Five Mon-Khmer languages have been examined for participant reference patterns. These languages are Bunong, which is also Bahnaric, Northern Khmer, Kmhmu', Bru, and Tai Dam.

Bequette's Participant reference, deixis, and anaphora in Bunong narrative discourse (2008) analyzes null reference, head nouns of possessive phrases, demonstrative, and patterns of reference. Bequette (2008: 64,122) states that "a zero reference is the most common referring expression used in both subject and non-subject slots;" "the less prominent participant's referring expression is the surrogate noun + possessor" (2008: 69). Also, "zero is common in all three of the stories" (2008: 122). Furthermore, the grammatical function of the demonstrative in Bunong discourse is to mark something anaphorically or to mark a participant involved in a confrontational situation (2008: 80).

Poopatwiboon's Participant reference in Northern Khmer (1983) analyzes introduction of participants. The main (central) participants are usually introduced by a special constituent, namely an existential miïn clause with the numeral phrase muuj or nuv muuj 'be one' in Northern Khmer (1983: 115). The secondary (major) participants are usually introduced by an existential miizn clause with an optional third-person pronoun kii in Northern Khmer (1983: 116). In Northern Khmer, the incidental secondary (minor) participants are usually introduced in the object slot of clauses in the form of nouns or noun phrases or third-person pronoun kii with or without modifiers (1983: 116). The non-human secondary participants (props) are introduced by nouns (1983: 117).

Osborne's Who's who in Kmhmu': referring expressions and participant identification in selected Kmhmu' narrative texts (2009) analyzes demonstrative pronouns, introduction of participants, and patterns of reference. The participants marked by demonstratives gi'ni? in Kmhmu' (2009: 53). The central participants are formally introduced by noun phrases with classifier phrases in Kmhmu' (2009: 85). The introduction of major participants is usually shorter than the central participants and occurred later in the story in Kmhmu' (2009: 87). The minor participants do not typically receive a formal introduction and are often introduced in relation to major participants in Kmhmu' (2009: 88). The usual patterns of reference of subject and non-subject contexts in Kmhmu' are null reference, pronouns, and noun phrases (2009: 92-111).

Tebow's An analysis of participant reference in Bru narrative texts as spoken in Khok SaAt village (2010) analyzes participant rank, introduction of participants, and patterns of reference. The participants are ranked by four measurements - TNRP, TP, RD, and RRD (adjusted) in Bru (2010: 63-71). The RD gives inconsistent readings for the Bru narratives (2010: 65). The participants are ranked in four categories for Bru central, major, minor participants, and peripheral participants and props (2010: 7281). The central and major participants are often introduced by nouns with classifier phrase (a numeral and a classifier) in Bru (2010: 72-76). The usual patterns of reference in the subject and non-subject contexts are null reference, noun phrases, and pronouns in Bru (2010: 85-110).

Finally, Edwards' Participant reference in Tai Dam narrative discourse (2011) analyzes participant rank and patterns of reference. The participants are ranked by four measurements in Tai Dam (2011: 79-88). The RD gives inconsistent readings for the Tai Dam narratives (2011: 80-81). The four categories of participant rank are major, minor, and peripheral participants, and props. The usual patterns of reference in the subject and non-subject contexts are null reference and noun phrases in Tai Dam (2011: 98-119).

In brief summary, these five studies show the diversity of discourse patterns even among related languages, as well as a broad range of methodology.

## Chapter 3

Methodology

### 3.1 Introduction

This thesis is an initial study of the participant reference system in selected Brao narratives. Several methods are used to investigate and analyze the corpus of collected narratives. Thurman chart analysis is used for participant identification. Dooley and Levinsohn's theory of participant reference is used for indicating the inventory of referring expressions and identifying the major and minor participants, Global VIP or central participant and LVIP, and props. Givón's measurements of topic continuity are used to rank the participants according to TP (decay) and RD (look-back).

### 3.2 Data collection

The seven narrative texts were recorded in the villages of Ta Veng District in Ratanakiri province, Cambodia, in July 2014.

The six narrators were introduced by Ms. J. Jordi, the team leader of the Brao language development project. The collected narratives are numbered according to the order in which they were recorded, and named by myself. The six narratives used in this research are: N\#1 ${ }^{6}$ "The python" ( 385 words), N\#2 "Lunar eclipse" (907 words), N\#3 "Mr. Cegruej" (2420 words), N\#4 "Marksman orphan" (704 words), N\#5 "Gecko and Elephant" ( 705 words), and N\#7 "Krung the snake" (1326 words). All seven are third-person monologue traditional tales in two genres - the first genre is folktales (which explain some phenomena): why the python is poisonless in $\mathrm{N} \# 1$, why there is lunar eclipse in N\#2, why there is daytime and nighttime in N\#4, and why elephants have no behind in $\mathrm{N} \# 5$; the second genre is fairy tales which end with "... live happily ever after" in N\#3, N\#6, N\#7. Since each text has more than three participants, they are likely to be good sources for analyzing participant reference.

[^4]Among the seven narratives, four were told by three Brao language project team members: N\#1, N\#2, N\#3, and N\#4 were told by three mother-tongue translators. N\#5 and N\#6 were told by two church leaders from two Brao churches in Ta Veng. N\#7 was told by my Brao teacher. The background of the six narrators is listed in Table 8.

Table 8 Personal information of the narrators

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

* The narrative was retold to the narrator
** The narrative was retold to and revised by the narrator
N\#1, N\#3, N\#4 were retold to the narrators and revised by Mr. Ma Rukig. N\#2 and N\#7 were revised by the narrators (Mr. Teej and Mr. Ma Rukig). All collected narratives except N\#6, were written out in the Khmer-based Brao orthography by Mr. Ma Rukig. N\#1, N\#2, N\#4-N\#6 were typed in the Khmer-based Brao script by
Mr. Chanroth, a local staff member at the International Cooperation Cambodia (ICC) Ratanakiri office. N\#3 was typed by Ms. Moom, and N\#7 was typed by Mr. Ma Rukig. Mr. Chanroth also did the Brao-Khmer word-for-word translation of N\#1, N\#2, N\#5, and N\#6 and the Brao-Khmer free translation of all the narratives except N\#6. The Khmer-English word-for-word translation of N\#1, N\#2, and N\#6 was done by Ms. Seng Thida. The Brao-English word-for-word translation of N\#3-N\#5, and N\#7 was done by Ms. Jordi. The English free translation of Narratives N\#1N\#5, N\#7 was done by Ms. Seng Thida, Ms. Jordi, and me and N\#6 was done by Ms. Jordi and me. Ms. Jordi and I transcribed the narratives into IPA and I interlinearized each text using FieldWorks ${ }^{7}$ computer software. After considering the length of the collected narratives, it was decided not to include N\#6 (too long) in this research.


### 3.3 Inventory

Lambrecht (1994: 38) states that "speakers must create a representation for the addressee when referring to an entity or proposition. The linguistic forms that create and maintain representations are called referring expressions." Referring expressions in this paper are defined as any linguistic forms used by a speaker to denote the identity of each referent or participant in a narrative. They can be nouns (including proper names), noun phrases, head nouns, pronouns or clitic pronouns, relative pronouns, demonstratives, kin terms, and null reference. Based on Givón's scale of coding weight for referring expression (1983: 18), the coding material scale hypothesized in this research is listed in Table 9.

[^5]Table 9 The hypothesized coding material scale for the collected narratives

| Intensity* | Grammatical features |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Nouns or Noun phrases with demonstratives followed by pronouns |
| 2. | Nouns or Noun phrases with demonstratives |
| 3. | Possessive phrases with demonstratives followed by pronouns |
| 4. | Possessive phrases with demonstratives |
| 5. | Nouns or Noun phrases followed by pronouns |
| 6. | Nouns or Noun phrases |
| 7. | Relative pronouns |
| 8. | Kin terms |
| 9. | Pronouns or Clitic Pronouns |
| 10. | Null reference |
| 11. |  |
| 12. |  |

* 1. A noun or noun phrase with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun is the heaviest (most) coding material; 12. null reference is the lightest (least) coding material.

How demonstratives and pronouns mark the importance of participants are described and studied in this research. In addition, null reference functions in maintaining referents in the subject and object positions are also investigated.

### 3.3.1 Chart

The Thurman Chart is "a display of text that lays out each kind of information in a way that can be seen at a glance" (Grimes 1980: 82). "It highlights the distinction between event information on the one hand and the identification of participants, setting, explanation, evaluation, and collateral information on the other" (Grimes 1977: 124). It is a valuable tool for tracking participant reference. The plotting of spans is an abstraction of Thurman chart into a condensed format that enables patterns to be identified faster and more easily.

Thurman Chart analysis combining Givón's statistical approaches of TP and RD is used to identify and rank participants in this research. A sample participant ranking chart is listed in Table 10.

Table 10 Participant ranking chart of $\mathrm{N} \# 1$

| S\# | Narrative text | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ce} \\ & \mathrm{Pt} \end{aligned}$ | Ma Pt, <br> Mi Pt, <br> Prop |  | Iden | Grammatical Info |  |  |  | $\mathbf{T P}+$ <br> TNRP |  |  |  | RD |  |  |  | RRD |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | P | C $\mathrm{H}^{\text {\| }}$ |  |  | P | C | H | T | P | C | H | T | P | C | H | T | P | C | H | T |
| Opening |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01a | Pec.broэj.maat have.narrate lizy story bih.kra? né taj python this see brosj // immediately | P |  |  | bih.kra? neє | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 01b | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dozm loว } \\ & \text { and } 3 \mathrm{~S} \\ & \text { fa.yat } \\ & \text { powerful } \end{aligned}$ | P |  |  | $1 ə 2$ | Pro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 01 (I) tell a story (about) this python and he was poisonous. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |
|  | sode 1 - Boundary icipants - the pytho man beings, and cro python nearly killed | ntrod <br> Glob <br> Eve <br> peo | uction: <br> al VIP, <br> t: the ple) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 5 | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



-

|  | Referenced with NDPro [5 times] |  |  |  | TNRP |  |  |  | RD |  |  |  | RRD |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 19 | 6 | 13 | 6 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.8 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.1 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $2.1$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.6 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.6 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 8.0 0 |
| Percentage | $\begin{aligned} & 10 . \\ & 5 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 . \\ & 4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 . \\ & 7 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 . \\ & 2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 . \\ & 6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 . \\ & 5 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 . \\ & 6 \% \end{aligned}$ | MI | $\begin{aligned} & 4^{\text {th }} \\ & \mathrm{MI} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3^{\text {rd }} \\ & \text { MI } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2^{\text {nd }} \\ & \text { MI } \end{aligned}$ | MI | $\begin{aligned} & 3^{\text {rd }} \\ & \mathrm{MI} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2^{\text {nd }} \\ & \mathrm{MI} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { MI } \end{aligned}$ |

[^6]The vertical columns on the participant ranking chart correspond to the various kinds of information distinguished in texts: sentence number followed by the actual Brao narrative text, the central participants, the major and minor participants and props, the identification, the grammatical information, TNRP and TP, RD, and RRD. The last three columns are based on two types of information: "the grammatical, 'purely linguistic' devices used by the speaker to code various topics or participants in the discourse; and the exact position of those topics ... distance from last previous appearance, the clustering with potential other interfering topics, persistence in subsequent discourse context" (Givón 1983: 13). The total number of each referring expression and the percentage for the central, major, and minor participants and props as a whole in a narrative are listed at the bottom of the grammatical information column. The total number of references and the percentage for the central participant and each major participant are listed at the bottom of the Topic Persistence column.

The RD is calculated by counting the number of clauses back from a participant to the nearest clause in which a referent for the participant previously appeared. The maximum value is 20 (the capacity of short-term memory) (Givón 1983: 13). The minimum value is 0 (the introduction of a participant). Presumably, the more important a topic is, the more frequently that topic is referenced; the higher the degree of persistence of a topic, the shorter the referential distance of that topic. The RD of each individual participant is calculated by dividing the total value by the total number of occurrences. The RRD of each individual participant is calculated by dividing the total number of clauses by the total number of occurrences.

By counting the TNRP, the obvious minor participants are found. Since they only occurred few times in the entire narrative, the obviously minor participants and props will not be examined by Givón's statistical methods in this research. The current research also does not address how a native speaker arrives at their intuitions.

### 3.3.2 Analyzing reference patterns

When a speaker refers to participants in a discourse, various factors influence the coding material used. The methodology involves four steps in attempt to address reasons for encoding differences: participant encoding chart, context identification, typical encodings and unexpected encodings, and modification and analysis. (Dooley \& Levinsohn 2000: 64-68).

### 3.3.2.1 Participant encoding chart

The participant encoding chart has six columns for displaying how references to subjects and non-subjects are encoded. For the encoding of subjects and non-subjects, three categories are used: (1) Zero anaphora (the absence of referent, represented by Ø); (2) Pronouns (hereafter referred to with relative pronouns, represented by Rel Pro; pronouns or clitic pronoun, represented by Pro or Cli Pro; and head nouns of possessive phrases, represented by PN; head nouns of possessive phrases followed by pronouns, represented by PNPro; head nouns of possessive phrase with demonstratives, represented by PND; head nouns of possessive phrase with demonstratives followed by pronouns, represented by PNDPro); and 3) Nouns (referred to with proper names, noun phrases, and kin terms, represented by N ; nouns followed by pronouns, represented by NPro; nouns with demonstratives, represented by ND; nouns with demonstratives followed by pronouns, represented by NDPro).

The referring expressions of those eight contexts were categorized first into eight groups: (1) nouns or noun phrases with demonstratives followed by pronouns (NDPro), (2) head nouns of possessive phrases with demonstratives followed by pronouns (PNDPro), (3) nouns or noun phrases followed by pronouns (NPro), (4) head nouns of possessive phrases followed by pronouns (PNPro), (5) nouns or noun phrases (N), (6) head nouns (PN), (7) pronouns (Pro), and (8) zero anaphora (Ø). However, since the frequency of some groups is low, the eight groups are recategorized into four: Group 1 - (1), (3), (5), Group 2 - (2), (4), (6), Group 3 - (7), and Group 4 - (8). NDPro, NPro, and N and PNDPro, PNPro, and PN are considered to be different. However, since there is not enough data for those groups, they are combined into two groups (see Chapter 5).

### 3.3.2.2 Context identification

The next step is to identify the context for each activated subject and activated nonsubject in the narrative. For each clause or sentence, identify it as one of the following contexts:

Intro indicates the participant is being introduced or activated for the first time.
S1 denotes the subject was the same as in the previous clause or sentence; or the subject and non-subject of the previous sentence combine to form a single, plural subject.
S2 denotes the subject was the addressee of a speech reported in the previous sentence (in a closed conversation).

S3 denotes the subject was involved in the previous sentence in a non-subject role other than in a closed conversation.
$S 4$ denotes other changes of subject than those covered by $S 2$ and $S 3$.
$N 1$ denotes the referent was the same non-subject role as in the previous clause or sentence.
$N 2$ denotes the addressee of a reported speech was the subject (speaker) of a speech reported in the previous sentence.
$N 3$ denotes the referent was involved in the previous sentence in a different role than that covered by $N 2$.
$N 4$ denotes non-subject references other than those covered by N1-N3.
A sample excerpt of the identification of subject and non-subject contexts is listed in Table 11.

Table 11 Participant encoding in subject and non-subject contexts

| CL\# | S\# | Conn | Subject | Subject <br> Identification | S1 | S2 | S3 | S4 | Non-subject | Non-subject <br> Identification | N1 | N2 | N3 | N4Free <br> translation |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1a | SP | ----- | Intro |  |  |  |  | python this <br> $[1]$ | Intro |  |  |  |  | tell-3s |
| 2 | 1b | and | Pro [1] | S1 | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2a | SP | people <br> [3] | Intro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3s-was <br> poisonous |  |  |
| 4 | 2b | Pro [1] | S4 |  |  |  |  | P | Pro [3] | N3 |  |  |  | P | 3p-look for <br> bamboo shoots |
| Pro [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: The numbers used to denote the participants as follows: [1] the python; [2] ...; [3] human beings; ...

### 3.3.2.3 Typical encodings and unexpected encodings

A default encoding for each of the contexts is proposed next. Givon's Iconicity Principle (1983: 18) states that "The more disruptive, surprising, discontinuous, or hard to process a topic is, the more coding material must be assigned to it." When encoding is less than the default amount proposed or greater than the default amount proposed, an unexpected encoding is inferred (Levinsohn 2000: 140).

### 3.3.2.4 Modification and Analysis

After inspecting why the coding material is more or less than predicted, the motivation for each instance of unexpected encoding is hypothesized, and generalizations can be drawn. Then the list of contexts for the proposed typical encodings can be modified.

### 3.3.3 Inventory of referring expressions

Based on Dooley and Levinsohn's method of participant reference and the hypothesized coding material scale in section 3.3, an inventory is created of referring expressions for the collected Brao narrative texts. The hypothesized discourse functions of referring expressions are listed in Table 12.

Table 12 Referring expressions of the collected narratives

| Referring Expression | Discourse Function or Device |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\begin{array}{c}\text { 1. Nouns or Noun phrases with } \\ \text { demonstratives followed by } \\ \text { pronouns }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { To introduce unidentifiable referents, especially } \\ \text { the GVIPs and the LVIPs } \\ \text { 2. Nouns or Noun phrases with } \\ \text { demonstratives }\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { 3. emphasize thematic salience of referents } \\ \text { To increase the intensity of encoding } \\ \text { demonstratives followed by } \\ \text { pronouns }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { To increase the information load and slow down } \\ \text { the information rate }\end{array}$ |
| 4. Possessive phrases with |  |
| demonstratives important participants |  |$\quad$ To emphasize thematic salience of referents $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { To increase the intensity of encoding }\end{array}\right\}$| To increase the information load and slow down |
| :--- |


| Referring Expression | Discourse Function or Device |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | the information rate |
| 5. Nouns or Noun phrases followed by pronouns <br> 6. Nouns or Noun phrases | To introduce unidentifiable referents <br> To individuate a specific referent <br> To indicate the social status of referents <br> To quantify referents |
| 7. Possessive phrases followed by pronouns <br> 8. Possessive phrases | To introduce unidentifiable referents <br> To indicate the salience of possessed referents |
| 9. Relative pronouns | To provide specific information of referents <br> To emphasize the salience of referents |
| 10. Kin terms | To show the relationship between two referents To act as terms of address <br> To provide information of gender and social rank |
| 11. Pronouns or Clitic Pronoun | To identify active referents <br> To indicate irrealis mood <br> To lessen the salience of referents <br> To reduce the intensity of encoding <br> To lighten the information load and speed up the information rate |
| 12. Null reference | To identify active referents, especially at the Peaks of episodes <br> To indicate referents in the object position <br> To lighten the information load and speed up the information rate |

### 3.4 Boundaries and Unity

A boundary is the place where one group of sentences about a particular theme ends and another group of sentences with a different theme begins (Carla Bartsch, pc.
2014). Boundaries and unity can influence how participants are introduced in narratives; thus, boundaries and unity are investigated in this research. Each narrative is numbered sentence by sentence, with a clause break in a sentence marked by the sign '//'. Many of the clauses or sentences begin with the word $1 \varepsilon \varepsilon$ "then/so/but/that/there/interjection" in the collected narratives. The defining characteristic of an episode is an internal continuity in participants, time, and/or location, and shifts in episodes are typically correlated with changes in one or more of these features and change of event (Givón 1984: 245).

The boundaries and the episodes of each narrative were determined by boundary marking features such as change of participant, new event, conjunction, adverb, temporal phrase, locative phrase, tail-head linkage, head-head structure, and parallelism (Givón 1984: 245, Levinsohn 2009: 29-31, Dooley \& Levinsohn 2001: 68, Somsonge Burusphat 1991: 139).

### 3.5 Peak

Longacre (1996: 38) defines a Peak episode "essentially is a zone of turbulence in regard to the flow of the discourse in its preceding and following parts. The absence of certain features or analytical difficulties denotes the Peak of a discourse."

The Peak episodes are likely to occur at either the Climax (Major Problems) section or the Denouement (Problems Solved) section, or both. At the Peak, the narrator tells the narrative differently to draw attention to that part of the narrative (Longacre 1976: 214). Participants, specifically major participants, at the Peaks are sometimes referred to in a special way.

Local Peaks are peaks of episodes, and the Global Peak is the peak episode of a narrative. They can be identified by peak marking features such as crowded stage, shift of orientation, repetition, paraphrase, increased use of nouns or names, topic chain (series of null references to a major participant or GVIP), unusual word order, serial verb constructions, more demonstratives, contraction-amplification, genericspecific, figure of speech, long sentence, exclamation and vocative in quotation, long quotation, hyperbole, vivid language, peak marking word, head-head structure, tailhead linkage, and parallelism. (Longacre 1996: 39-48, Runge 2010: 163, Manson 2011: 6, Bequette 2008: 25-27). Ways major participants are referred to at the Peaks in each collected narrative and possible reasons for these are studied.

### 3.6 Identification, Ranking, and Introduction of Participants

With regard to participant identification, participants can be introduced by nouns (including names) or head nouns and can be tracked by pronouns, nouns (including names), head nouns, kin terms, or null reference.

Givón suggests Referential Distance (RD) and Topic Persistence (TP) as methods to rank participants quantitatively. RD measures the gap between a previous occurrence and the current one. The lower the referential distance, the higher the importance, and vice versa. TP also reflects the topic's importance. According to Givón, the higher the persistence, the higher the importance.

After taking out props and the obvious minor participants (participants which occurred less than seven times in the six narratives), the participants of the narrative texts are ranked by four measurements: the TNRP, TP, RD, and RRD. According to the results of the statistical measurements and the thematic roles of the participants, the participants are ranked as central, major, and minor.

Based on the participant identification spans and the grammatical information of the participant identification of the six collected narratives, the typical encoding patterns of the introduction of four categories of participants - the central, major, and minor participants, and props - are described and studied in this research. Central participants may be commonly introduced formally in a presentational clause and by the heaviest coding material. Major participants may be introduced in a presentational clause and by heavy coding materials. Minor participants may be generally introduced by nouns or noun phrases but without formal introduction. Props may be usually introduced by nouns or noun phrases or head nouns of possessive phrases. This study investigates whether after being introduced, all four categories of participants are referred to by minimum, but virtually constant, coding.

### 3.7 Encoding patterns in subject and non-subject contexts

According to the referring expressions of the four categories of participants in the subject contexts (S1-S4) and non-subject contexts (N1-N4), the encoding patterns of participant reference are listed according to the total number and percentage of each referring expression of the six narratives in each context. Then rules are proposed for each category of participants in each context according to the most common encoding patterns. These rules are then revised according to the second most common encoding patterns. After that, the unexpected encoding patterns are described and analyzed.

### 3.8 Null reference

The notion of zero or implicit identification helps to bridge the gap between identification and reference. There are many cases where the hearer is expected to know who the participants are by deducing it from the context; he is not told by any overt linguistic signal. The rules for this kind of deduction are most important for the way they shed light on the entire process of identification (Grimes 1975: 50).

Thus, null reference in the subject and object positions and in a quotation formula is described. In addition, this research studies whether null reference relates to the thematic roles of the participants.

### 3.9 Quotation formula

It appears to be acceptable to omit the quotation formula in the collected Brao narratives. Since participants in the object position are more likely to be referred to with null reference, reference to the addressee in a quotation formula is described and investigated. As materials inside the quotations are embedded texts, quotes are not included in this analysis.

### 3.10 Prominence

Since demonstratives are used by many of the Mon-Khmer languages to mark prominence, the current research also examines whether Brao demonstratives can mark prominence. In addition, the current research describes how the narrators give prominence to participants, especially the introduction of participants, at the beginning of episodes and at the Peaks. The patterns and motivation of giving prominence to the four categories of participants are also analyzed.

## Chapter 4

## Participant Reference - Rank and Introductions

### 4.1 Introduction

Participant rank and introduction of participants are described in this chapter. The participants of the six narratives are ranked in four categories: central, major, minor participants, and props. Introduction of the four categories of participants are then investigated accordingly.

### 4.2 Participant Rank

The participants of the collected narratives are ranked statistically by four measurements: TNRP, TP (decay), RD (look-back), and RRD (see section 3.6). Four main ranking categories are used: central participant (the most important participant in the narrative), major participants (the slate of other important participants for the whole narrative), minor participants (participants whose role is restricted only to particular episodes in the narrative), and props (non-active participants in the narrative).

The obvious minor participants and props of each narrative are listed below. Participants are considered to be obviously minor if they are only referenced a couple of times in the entire narrative.

In N\#1, the minor participants are the Brao people (2 occurrences), centipedes, big and small scorpions and spiders (3 occurrences), and cobras and vipers (4 occurrences). There are no props in the narrative.

In N\#2, the minor participants are the orphans' older uncle (2 occurrences), older uncle's family ( 5 occurrences), Mr. Rich Ruler's servants (4 occurrences), a god (5 occurrences), and the Brao people ( 2 occurrences). Props are a mouse, one older uncle, and the medicine.

In $\mathrm{N} \# 3$, the minor participants are the villagers (3 occurrences) and the chicken (2 occurrences). Props are the small deer, the deer, the horned deer, and the elephant.

In N\#4, the minor participant is Mr. Orphan's grandmother (4 occurrences). Props are the deer, the elephant, and the sun.

In N\#5, the minor participants are Lady Baya's father (4 occurrences), Lady Baya's mother (2 occurrences), Lady Baya's younger sister (2 occurrences), and Lady Baya's younger aunt ( 2 occurrences). There are no props in the narrative.

In N\#7, the minor participants are Mr. Rich Ruler's wife (4 occurrences), Mr. Rich Ruler's daughters (4 occurrences), another Mr. Rich Ruler's servants (3 occurrences), and another Mr. Rich Ruler's household (6 occurrences). The prop is Mr. Rich Ruler's axe.

After taking out the obvious minor participants and props, the central, major, and other minor participants of each narrative are first identified by the TNRP.

### 4.2.1 Participant rank by total number of references to participants

Based on the following results of the TNRP, the most-referenced or central participants of the six narratives are listed in Table 11. Most participants are referred to by their names; however, some participants are referred to by abbreviations due to considerations of space. The abbreviations include: in N\#3, OB stands for older brother, TE stands for Mr. Teem Enlee, and GE stands for Mother Gee Ersee; in N\#3 and N\#7, RRYD stands for Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter; in N\#4, the five brothers: GM stands for Goong Miin, TBJ stands for Teen Ber Jaa, LHD stands for Laa He Dac, CDB stands for Cac Daak Brah, and DBB stands for Doow Brah Brii; and in N\#7, ARRYD stands for another Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter. The TNRP of the six narratives is displayed in Table 13.

Table 13 Total number of references to the participants

| Participant rank | N\#1 | N\#2 | N\#3 | N\#4 | N\#5 | N\#7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mostreferenced | The Python 19 | The older orphan 53 | Mr. <br> Cegruej <br> 117 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { GM, } \\ \text { TBJ } \\ 44 \\ \text { LHD, } \\ \text { CDB, } \\ \text { DBB } \\ 43 \end{gathered}$ | Lady <br> Baya 23 | Krung the snake 35 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2^{\text {nd }} \text { most- } \\ & \text { referenced } \end{aligned}$ | Human <br> beings <br> 13 | Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter 31 | $\begin{gathered} 2^{\text {nd }}, 4^{\text {th }}, \\ 5^{\text {th }} \text { OBs } \\ 63 \\ 3^{\text {rd }}, 6^{\text {th }} \\ \text { OBs } \\ 62 \\ 1^{\text {st }} \text { OB } \\ 61 \end{gathered}$ | Mr. Orphan 26 | Thaaw 20 | Mr. Rich <br> Ruler 28 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3^{\text {rd }} \text { most- } \\ & \text { referenced } \end{aligned}$ | The Crow, <br> The Toad 6 | The younger orphan 24 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{GE} \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | The Sun $11$ | Elephants <br> 18 | ARRYD $23$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 4^{\text {th }} \text { most- } \\ \text { referenced } \end{gathered}$ |  | Husband snake 11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TE } \\ & 31 \end{aligned}$ | The Deer 7 | Grandma <br> Gecko $16$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RRYD } \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5^{\text {th }} \text { most- } \\ & \text { referenced } \end{aligned}$ |  | Mr. Rich Ruler 9 | Mr. Rich Ruler 20 | Human <br> beings <br> 6 |  | Mr. Rich Ruler's axe 4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6^{\text {th }} \text { most- } \\ & \text { referenced } \end{aligned}$ |  | Wife snake 8 | RRYD <br> 18 | The Elephant 4 |  |  |

Strict application of this technique suggests that the participants in the first row should be considered central participants. However, in N\#4, Mr. Orphan, who is not one of the five brothers, performs the key actions. Thus, though Mr. Orphan is the second-most-referenced participant, he is still considered the central participant due to his thematic role as agent.

After identifying the central participants, the data on total number of references indicates the following participants as major. The major participants in the narratives are shaded in Table 13 for easy reference in the discussion below. Thus, the unshaded cells at the bottom indicate props and tentative minor participants.

In addition to the TNRP, the major participants are also the Local Very Important Participants (LVIPs), i.e., the most-referenced participant in an episode, or at least one episode.

In $\mathrm{N} \# 1$, major participants are human beings (LVIP of Ep. 3 and Ep. 4).
In N\#2, major participants are Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter (LVIP of Ep. 4) and the younger orphan (LVIP of Ep. 1).

In N\#3, major participants are Mr. Cegruej's six older brothers (LVIPs of Ep. 1, Ep. 2, Ep. 5, and Ep. 6), Mother Gee Ersee (LVIP of Ep. 9), Mr. Teem Enlee (4 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ most mentioned but not a LVIP), Mr. Rich Ruler (LVIP of Ep. 12), and Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter (LVIP of Ep. 11). Mr. Teem Enlee is considered a major participant though he is not a LVIP because he is always referenced with his wife Gee Ersee who is a LVIP.

In N\#4, major participants are the five brothers (LVIP of Ep. 1, Ep. 2, and Ep. 3).
In N\#5, major participants are Thaaw (LVIP of Ep. 1 and Ep. 3), the elephants (LVIP of Ep. 5), and Grandma Gecko (LVIP of Ep. 4).

In N\#7, major participants are Mr. Rich Ruler (LVIP of Ep. 1), Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter (LVIP of Ep. 4), and another Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter (LVIP of Ep. 5).

At this point, the remaining participants in each narrative are considered minor participants.

In $\mathrm{N} \# 1$, minor participants are the crow and the toad. Although the crow is a minor participant according to the total number of references, he is the one who deceived
the python. His thematic role as an agent in relation to the central participant suggests the crow should be considered a major participant.

In N\#2, minor participants are the husband snake, Mr. Rich Ruler, and the wife snake.

In N\#3, minor participants are the termites.

In N\#4, minor participants are human beings.
In N\#5, there are only the obvious minor participants.

In N\#7, minor participants are the python, Mr. Rich Ruler's servants, another Mr. Rich Ruler, another Mr. Rich Ruler's wife, and the villagers. Although the axe is classified as a prop, it has been included in the table because its loss is the key thing that prompted the plot.

Thus, by this method, six central participants and various tentative major (shaded cells in Table 13) and minor (unshaded cells at the bottom) participants are identified.

### 4.2.2 Participant rank by Topic Persistence (decay)

In this section, TP measurement is employed to identify the central and major participants. See section 2.5 .2 for a description of the literature relating to Topic Persistence and section 3.6 for a description of the method applied in this thesis.

According to Givón (1983: 15), "more important discourse topics appear more frequently in the register, i.e., they have a higher probability of persisting longer in the register after a relevant measuring point."

The results of the TP measurement are displayed in Table 14. The higher the number of occurrences indicates more persistence in the topic.

Table 14 Topic Persistence (decay) measurement

| Participant rank | N\#1 <br> (4) | N\#2 <br> (5) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \# 3 \\ & \text { (12) } \end{aligned}$ | N\#4 <br> (6) | N\#5 <br> (5) | $\mathrm{N} \# 7$ <br> (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occurred <br> in all episodes | The Python 4 | The <br> older <br> orphan <br> 5 | Mr. Cegruej 12 | --- | Lady <br> Baya <br> 5 | --- |
| Second <br> most persistent | Human beings 3 | Mr. Rich <br> Ruler's daughter, <br> The younger orphan 3 | GE, <br> TE $7$ | Mr. Orphan, <br> The five brothers | Thaaw, <br> Elephants $3$ | Mr. Rich Ruler 6 |
| Third most persistent | The Crow, <br> The <br> Toad <br> 2 | Husband snake, <br> Mr. Rich Ruler 2 | Mr. <br> Cegruej's six older brothers | The deer $3$ | Grandma <br> Gecko <br> 2 | Krung the snake, <br> ARRYD |
| Fourth most persistent |  | Wife snake 1 | Mr. Rich Ruler, RRYD 2 | The elephant 2 |  | RRYD, <br> Mr. Rich Ruler's axe |
| Fifth most persistent |  |  |  | The sun, <br> Human beings 1 |  |  |

Strict application of this technique suggests that the participants in the first row should be considered central participants. However, among the participants in the six narratives, the most-referenced participants of N\#1, N\#2, N\#3, and N\#5 are onstage in all episodes whereas the most-referenced participants in N\#4 and N\#7 are off-stage in one out of 6 episodes and four out of 7 episodes. By this method, the central participants of the narratives are: the python ( $\mathrm{N} \# 1$ ), the older orphan ( $\mathrm{N} \# 2$ ), Mr. Cegruej (N\#3), Lady Baya (N\#5), and no central participant in N\#4 and N\#7.

Krung the snake of N\#7 is ranked as the third most persistent by TP but the mostreferenced according to TNRP. The results of the two measurements of ranking Krung the snake do not correspond.

The major participants in the narratives are shaded in Table 14 for easy reference in the discussion below. Thus, the unshaded cells at the bottom indicate props and tentative minor participants.

According to the total number of references in episodes, major participants are typically on-stage in not less than half of the total number of episodes.

In the four episodes in N\#1, human beings are on-stage in three episodes and the crow is on-stage in two episodes.

In the five episodes in N\#2, Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter and the younger orphan are on-stage in three episodes.

In the twelve episodes in N\#3, Mr. Cegruej's six brothers are on-stage in six episodes, Mother Gee Ersee and Mr. Teem Enlee are on-stage in seven episodes, and Mr. Rich Ruler and Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter are on-stage in two episodes. Although Mr. Rich Ruler and his youngest daughter are on-stage less than half of the total episodes, they are considered major participants because they are the LVIPs of Ep. 12 and Ep. 11 respectively.

In the six episodes in $\mathrm{N} \# 4$, Mr. Orphan and the five brothers are on-stage in five episodes; the last "episode" is a moral after the narrative. Mr. Orphan instead of the five brothers is considered the central participant though each of them is off-stage in one episode. Since he shot a deer, an elephant, and the sun, his thematic role is an agent in relation to props.

In the five episodes in $\mathrm{N} \# 5$, Thaaw and the elephants are on-stage in three episodes and Grandma Gecko is on-stage in two episodes. Although Grandma Gecko is on-
stage less than half of the total number of episodes, she is considered a major participant since she is the LVIP of Ep. 4.

In the seven episodes in N\#7, Mr. Rich Ruler is on-stage in five episodes, Krung the snake and another Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter are on-stage in three episodes, Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter is on-stage in one episode. Even though the three participants are on-stage less than half of the total number of episodes, Krung the snake is considered the central participant as he is the VIP of all three episodes (Ep. 3, Ep. 4, and Ep. 7), another Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter is considered a major participant as she is the LVIP of Ep. 5, and Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter is considered a major participant as she is the LVIP of Ep. 4.

The minor participants typically occur in only one or two episodes.
In the four episodes in N\#1, the toad is on- stage in two episodes.

In the five episodes in N\#2, the husband snake and Mr. Rich Ruler are on-stage in two episodes and the wife snake is on-stage in one episode.

In the twelve episodes in $\mathrm{N} \# 3$, the termites are on-stage in one episode.
In the six episodes in $\mathrm{N} \# 4$, human beings are on-stage in one episode.

In the seven episodes in N\#7, the python and another Mr. Rich Ruler are on-stage in three episodes, and Mr. Rich Ruler's servants, the villagers, and another Mr. Rich Ruler's wife are on-stage in two episodes.

In summary, the results of the TP analysis do not correspond exactly with the results of the TNRP except for the minor participants. The most important differences are: firstly, though Mr. Orphan and the five brothers in N\#4 and Krung the snake in N\#7 are the most-referenced participants in N\#4 and N\#7, they do not occur in all episodes; secondly, though Krung the snake is the most referenced participant in $\mathrm{N} \# 7$, he is the third most persistent in $\mathrm{N} \# 7$.

### 4.2.3 Participant rank by Referential Distance (look-back) Inconsistent readings

The RD measurement is employed in this section to attempt to identify the central, major, and minor participants.

According to the RD (look-back) measurement, the higher the degree of persistence of a participant, the shorter the referential distance of that participant.

The results of the RD measurement are displayed in Table 15. A higher number indicates a longer distance between two occurrences for a participant denoting that that participant is likely to be less important.

Table 15 Referential Distance (look-back) measurement

| Participant rank | N\#1 | N\#2 | N\#3 | N\#4 | N\#5 | N\#7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Most important | The Python 1.89 | Husband snake 1.45 | Mr. <br> Cegruej's <br> six older <br> brothers <br> 1.65 | The Elephant, The Sun 1.67 | Thaaw 1.38 | RRYD $1.57$ |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ most important | The <br> Toad $2.00$ | Wife <br> snake $1.60$ | Mr. <br> Cegruej $1.92$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DBB } \\ 2.08 \\ \text { GM, } \\ \text { TBJ, } \\ \text { LHD, } \\ \text { CDB } \\ 2.11 \end{gathered}$ | Elephants $2.17$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ARRYD } \\ 1.96 \end{gathered}$ |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ most <br> important | Human <br> beings $3.00$ | The older orphan 1.73 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{GE} \\ 2.41 \end{gathered}$ | Mr. <br> Orphan $3.13$ | Grandma Gecko 1.75 | Krung the snake 2.10 |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ most important | The Crow 4.17 | Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter 2.31 | Mr. Rich Ruler 2.44 | The Deer $5.00$ | Lady <br> Baya <br> 2.27 | Mr. Rich Ruler's axe 2.62 |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ most important |  | The younger orphan 2.71 | RRYD $2.82$ |  |  | Mr. Rich Ruler 3.41 |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ most <br> important |  | Mr. Rich Ruler 3.89 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{TE} \\ 3.23 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Strict application of this technique suggests that the participants in the first row should be considered central participants. However, at first glance these numbers are surprising. Among the participants in the six narratives suggested by RD, only the python in N\#1 ranks first by the two previous measurements. All the other participants ranked highest by this referential distance are either the LVIPs or are on-stage in only one or two episodes. They are obviously minor. It is not unusual for obviously minor participants or even props to have a low RD value since the only times they are referenced, they are close together and then never referenced again. The purpose of the TNRP is positively to suggest the most likely candidates but negatively to rule out participants referenced so few times they cannot be central or major. From section 4.2.1, it should be broadly obvious which ones rank highest, and how the other participants would rank. Every obviously minor participant is not considered for high rank later in this application of Givón's method.

Since the RD calculates the look-back value of the occurrence of participants, the most important participants as indicated by this measurement are usually on-stage in only one episode. In other words, the most highly ranked participants would not be the most important participants based on the RD measurement.

### 4.2.4 Participant rank by Relative Referential Distance Adjusted

In order to identify the central participant by considering both the RD and the occurrence of participants, based on Tebow (2010: 66-67), the RRD of individual participants is measured by dividing the total number of clauses by the total number of occurrences.

The results of the RRD measurement are displayed in Table 16.

Table 16 Relative Referential Distance (look-back) measurement

| Participa nt rank | N\#1 | N\#2 | N\#3 | N\#4 | N\#5 | N\#7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Most important | The Python 2.11 (same as the original) | The older orphan 1.80 (orig.: $3^{\text {rd }}$ MI) | Mr. Cegruej 1.97 (orig.: 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ MI) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { GM,TBJ, } \\ \text { LHD,CDB/ } \\ \text { DBB2.04 } \\ \text { (orig.: } \\ 3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{MI} / \\ 2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{MI} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lady } \\ \text { Baya } \\ 2.65 \\ \text { (orig.: 4 }{ }^{\text {th }} \\ \text { MI) } \end{gathered}$ | Krung the snake 3.07 (orig.: $3^{\text {rd }}$ MI) |
| $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{most}$ <br> important | Human beings 3.67 (orig.: $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{MI}$ ) | Mr. Rich <br> Ruler's <br> daughter <br> 3.16 <br> (orig.: $4^{\text {th }}$ <br> MI) | Mr. <br> Cegruej's <br> six older <br> brothers $\begin{gathered} 3.90 \\ \text { (orig.:MI) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mr. Orphan } \\ & \quad 4.00 \\ & \text { (orig.: } 4^{\text {th }} \text { MI) } \end{aligned}$ | Thaaw <br> 3.29 <br> (orig.: <br> MI) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mr. Rich } \\ & \text { Ruler } \\ & 4.67 \\ & \text { (orig.: } 5^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { MI) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $3^{\text {rd }} \text { most }$ <br> important | The Crow 6.64 (orig.: $4^{\text {th }}$ MI) | The younger orphan 4.21 (orig.: $5^{\text {th }}$ MI) | $\begin{gathered} \text { GE } \\ 4.94 \\ \text { (orig.: } 3^{\text {rd }} \\ \text { MI) } \end{gathered}$ | The Elephant $7.67$ <br> (orig.: <br> MI) | Elephants <br> 3.83 <br> (orig.: <br> $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{MI}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ARRYD } \\ 5.25 \\ \text { (orig.: } \\ 2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{MI} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ most <br> important | The <br> Toad <br> 8.00 <br> (orig.: <br> $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{MI}$ ) | Husband <br> snake 9.18 <br> (orig.: MI) | $\begin{gathered} \text { TE } \\ 6.91 \\ \text { (orig.: } 6^{\text {th }} \\ \text { MI) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { The Deer } \\ & \quad 9.20 \\ & \text { (orig.: } 5^{\text {th }} \mathrm{MI} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grandma } \\ & \text { Gecko } \\ & 4.31 \\ & \text { (orig.: } 3^{\text {rd }} \\ & \text { MI) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RRYD } \\ 9.0 \\ \text { (orig.: } \\ \text { MI) } \end{gathered}$ |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ most important |  | Wife snake 10.10 (orig.: $2^{\text {nd }}$ MI) | Mr. Rich Ruler 13.44 (orig.: $4^{\text {th }}$ MI) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { The Sun } \\ & 10.22 \\ & \text { (orig.: MI) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Mr. Rich Ruler's axe 9.69 (orig.: $4^{\text {th }}$ MI) |
| $6^{\text {th }} \text { most }$ <br> important |  | Mr. Rich Ruler 11.22(orig. : $6^{\text {th }} \mathrm{MI}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { RRYD } \\ 14.24 \\ \text { (orig.: } 5^{\text {th }} \\ \text { MI) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Note: MI stands for most important

Application of this adjusted technique suggests that the participants in the first row should be considered central participants. With the suggested adjustments, all three methods identified almost the same participants as the central participants. Again $\mathrm{N} \# 4$ is exceptional.

The major participants in the narratives are shaded for easy reference in the discussion below. Thus, the unshaded cells at the bottom indicate props and tentative minor participants.

In the following sections, the importance of the participants in the collected narratives is described.

### 4.2.5 Participant rank of the collected narratives

The ranking of participants is described in this section. The central participants ranked by: 1. Total number of references to participants (TNRP), 2. Topic Persistence (TP), 3. Referential Distance (RD), 4. Relative Referential Distance (RRD), are listed in Table 17.

Table 17 The central participants ranked by the four measurements

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N\#1 } \\ & \text { (4 Ep.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \# 2 \\ & \text { (5 Ep.) } \end{aligned}$ | N\#3 <br> (12 Ep.) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \# 4 \\ & (6 \mathrm{Ep.}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N\#5 } \\ & \text { (5 Ep.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N\#7 } \\ & \text { (7 Ep.) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.TNRP | The python 19 | The older orphan 53 | Mr . Cegruej 117 | GM, TBJ <br> 44 <br> LHD, <br> CDB, DBB <br> 43 | Lady <br> Baya <br> 23 | Krung the snake 35 |
| 2. TP | The python 4 Ep. | The older orphan 5 Ep. | Mr. Cegruej 12 Ep. | --- | Lady <br> Baya 5 Ep. | --- |
| 3. RD | The <br> python $1.89$ | Husband snake 1.45 | Mr. Cegruej's six older brothers 1.65 | The <br> Elephant, <br> The Sun <br> 1.67 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thaaw } \\ & 1.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { RRYD } \\ 1.57 \end{array}$ |
| 4. RRD | The python 2.11 (orig: MI) | The older orphan 1.80 (orig.: $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{MI}$ ) | Mr. Cegruej <br> 1.97 <br> (orig.: $2^{\text {nd }}$ <br> MI) | GM, TBJ, LHD, <br> CDB/DBB <br> 2.04 <br> (orig.: <br> $3^{\text {rd }}$ MI/ <br> $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{MI}$ ) | Lady <br> Baya <br> 2.65 <br> (orig.: $4^{\text {th }}$ <br> MI) | Krung the snake 3.07 <br> (orig.: $3^{\text {rd }}$ <br> MI) |

The shaded cells are the non-correspondent results of the ranking of central participants in the narratives.

After Jordi consulted the story tellers and/or the Brao native speakers, the author found out that they ranked the participants according to their unique worldview and cultural knowledge. In N\#1, the crow, instead of the python, is the central participant because he helped the human beings. In N\#2, the older and younger orphans, Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter, and the medicine are considered central participants because they are essential to the story. In N\#3, Mr. Cegruej is central, same as the ranking results in this research. In N\#4, Mr. Orphan and his grandmother are central participants because the grandmother is grandmother Sok Ir, a very common nice grandmother in traditional stories who advised Mr. Orphan wisely. In N\#5, Grandma Gecko is central because she is good at magic and helped

Lady Baya. In N\#7, Krung the snake is central, same as the ranking results in this research. Apparently, the thematic role is the main criteria in determining the central participant of the narrative.

In the following sections, the results of the participant ranking are described and studied.

### 4.2.5.1 $\mathbf{N \# 1}$

The python is the most-referenced, is on-stage in every episode, and is ranked by both the look-back and relative look-back as the central participant. Thus, the python is considered the central participant.

As the python killed human beings and the crow saved human beings, though human beings are the second-most-referenced and are ranked by the relative lookback as the second most important, their thematic role is a patient in relation to the python and the crow.

In addition, since the crow deceived the python, his thematic role is an agent in relation to the central participant. Furthermore, although the number of references to the crow and the toad are the same, the thematic role of the crow is always an agent and the toad is a patient. Therefore, the crow is considered a major participant.

Since both human beings and the toad are patients, they can be ranked according to the number of references. Human beings are therefore considered a major participant and the toad is a minor participant.

Similar methods are used to identify participant ranking in each of the narratives. Therefore, only the participants and their ranks are listed below. The only discussion is about important additional considerations.

### 4.2.5.2 N\#2

The older orphan is the central participant. Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter is the LVIP of Ep. 3, she is considered a major participant. The younger orphan is the LVIP of Ep. 1, he is considered a major participant. Since Mr. Rich Ruler married the younger and older orphans to his daughter sequentially, his thematic role is an agent in relation to the three of them. He is considered a major participant. Since the husband snake is only on-stage in one episode, although he is the one who introduced the magic medicine to the older orphan unknowingly and made his wife alive, he is considered
a minor participant. The wife snake is killed by the older orphan and made alive by her husband. Her thematic role is always a patient; she is therefore considered a minor participant.

### 4.2.5.3 N\#3

Mr. Cegruej is the central participant. As Mr. Cegruej's six older brothers just grilled and ate the animals killed by Mr. Cegruej, they are considered major participants. Mr. Rich Ruler is the LVIP of Ep. 12 and his youngest daughter is the LVIP of Ep. 11, both of them are considered major participants. Mother Gee Ersee is as prominent as Mr. Cegruej in Ep. 9 and is considered a major participant. Since Mr. Teem Enlee always co-occurs with his wife Gee Ersee and the total number of references to Mr. Teem Enlee alone is only 2 (N3:86a,98a) ${ }^{8}$, Mr. Teem Enlee is considered the least important major participant.

### 4.2.5.4 N\#4

With regard to the percentage of reference, the five brothers are referred to with nouns (4.6/44.4) $10.36 \%$ of the time and with pronouns (39.8/44.4) $89.64 \%$ of the time; whereas Mr. Orphan is referred to with a noun (5/26) is $19.23 \%$ of references and with a pronoun in $(21 / 26) 80.77 \%$ of references. Since nouns are more prominent than pronouns according to the coding material scale, Mr. Orphan is regarded as more important than the five brothers. Also, Mr. Orphan is the one who shot to death a deer, an elephant, and the sun. He is the central participant. The five brothers are considered major participants. As the sun is very prominent in Ep. 6, it is considered an important prop.

### 4.2.5.5 N\#5

Lady Baya is the central participant. Although Thaaw is a prop after the elephants are on-stage, he is the LVIP of Ep. 1 and Ep. 3. Therefore, Thaaw is considered a major participant. Since Grandma Gecko cut Thaaw and other elephants' behinds, her thematic role is an agent in relation to them. She is therefore considered a major participant. Because the narrative explains why elephant has no behind, elephants are considered major participants.

[^7]
### 4.2.5.6 N\#7

Krung the snake is the central participant. Since Mr. Rich Ruler married Krung the snake to his youngest daughter and adopted another Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter as his child, his thematic role is an agent in relation to the central participant and another participant. He is therefore considered a major participant. Although another Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter was eaten by a python, made alive by Krung the snake, and adopted by Mr. Rich Ruler and Krung the snake as their child, she is the LVIP of Ep. 5 and considered a major participant. Because Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter is as prominent as Krung the snake in Ep. 4, she is considered a major participant. As for Mr. Rich Ruler's axe, since it was searched for by Mr. Rich Ruler, his servants, and the villagers and was eventually found by Krung the snake, it is an important prop.

### 4.2.6 Summary

Since different ranks of participants are referenced with different referring expressions, participant ranking gives information of typical referring patterns and unexpected referring patterns of giving prominence.

In summary, 19 out of 72 total items are classified as obviously minor participants, and 11 out of 72 are props. In addition, 42 other participants are ranked as central, major, and minor participants by the TNRP, TP (decay), RD (look-back), and RRD. Apart from RD, the results of the other three measurements closely correspond, except in N\#4. The 72 items are ranked as follows: 6 are central, 26 are major, 29 are minor, and 11 are props.

The names of the 72 participants are listed in Table 18.
Table 18 Summary of the 4 categories of participants

|  | Central (6) | Major (26) | Minor (29) | Props (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N\#1 | The Python | The Crow, Human beings | The Toad, The Brao people, The snakes, The insects | --- |
| N\#2 | The older orphan | Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter, The younger orphan, Mr. Rich Ruler | Husband snake, Wife snake, The older uncle who adopted the orphans, The older uncle's family, Mr. Rich Ruler's servants, A god, The Brao people | A mouse, The orphan's older uncle, The medicine |
| N\#3 | Mr. Cegruej | Mr. Cegruej's six older brothers, GE, TE, Mr. Rich Ruler, RRYD | The termites, Mr. Cegruej's parents, The villagers, The chicken | The small deer, The deer, The horned deer, The elephant |
| N\#4 | Mr. Orphan | The five brothers | Mr. Orphan's grandmother, Human beings | The deer, The elephant, The sun |
| N\#5 | Lady Baya | Thaaw, Elephants, Grandma Gecko | Lady Baya's father, Lady <br> Baya's mother, Lady <br> Baya's younger sister, <br> Lady Baya's younger aunt | --- |
| N\#7 | Krung the snake | Mr. Rich Ruler, RRYD, ARRYD | The python, Mr. Rich Ruler's wife, Mr. Rich Ruler's daughters, Mr. Rich Ruler's servants, Another Mr. Rich Ruler, Another Mr. Rich Ruler's wife, Another Mr. Rich Ruler's servants, The villagers | Mr. Rich Ruler's axe |

The major participants in the narratives are shaded in Table 17 for easy reference. Thus, the unshaded cells in the two columns on the right indicate props and tentative minor participants.

This ranking reflects the methods of analysis in this research do not necessarily reflect the Brao speakers' intuition of participant ranking.

After a ranking and categorization for all participants in the narratives are established, methods of introduction of the participants and exceptions to this are described in the section 4.3.

### 4.3 Participant Introduction

The participant reference in the presentational sentence(s) for the introduction of participants is studied in the following sections.

The openings of narratives are usually intended to draw and hold the attention of the audiences. How participants are introduced in order to give information about the importance of participants is investigated. In the sections below, the introduction of the central, major, and minor participants, and props are discussed (in order).

### 4.3.1 Introduction of the central participants

The patterns of the introductions of the 6 central participants in the narratives are described in this section.

Three (in N\#4, N\#5, and N\#7) out of the 6 central participants are introduced in the same way by a noun phrase with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun [NP + Dem + Pro] (see example 60), and two others by a reduced version of this: by a noun with a demonstrative [ $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{Dem}$ ] in $\mathrm{N} \# 1$ and by a noun phrase consisting of two addresses and his name [NP] in N\#3 (see example 61).


Then this orphan he had no parents.

| (61) kaan bat ham.maac | doow | thaaw |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| story story narrate | Mr.(demeaning) | Mr.(honorific) |  |
| ca.gruoj |  |  |  |
| Cegruej |  |  |  |
| (I) tell story (about) Mr. Cegruej. |  |  |  |

The word doow "address (demeaning)" occurred with proper nouns (names) in N\#3 and with a noun in N\#4. Apparently, this demeaning address can go with names or common nouns and is used to denote the lower social status of the participants. Since Mr. Cegruej was a clumsy person and Mr. Orphan had no parents, it is understandable that they are referenced by a demeaning address.

The one exception is in $\mathrm{N} \# 2$, as follows:

| (62) | bic | kuən.par.tii | baar | raa | Pam.braa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | have | orphan | two | person.Clf | 3P(du) |
| hən.laak | $m \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ? |  | bəə? taj | bic |  |
|  | orphan | mother | father | Neg | have |

There have two orphans, they were orphaned, didn't have parents
All the other central participants are introduced individually, but the older orphan in N\#2 is introduced at the same time with a major participant (the younger orphan) in the opening of the narrative. They are introduced by a noun followed by a numeral and a classifier $[\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{Num}+\mathrm{Clf}]$ (see example 63), perhaps, the role of the younger orphan is as prominent as the central participant (his older orphan) in Ep. 1; thus, both the central and major participants are introduced in the presentational sentence.

The central participants are therefore usually introduced by the heaviest coding material among the four categories of participants. The summary schema for the introduction of the central participants is [(Addr) N (Dem) (Pro) $]_{\mathrm{NP}}$. If the exceptional $\mathrm{N} \# 2$ is not counted, the introductions here average 2.3 words in the NP.

### 4.3.2 Introduction of the major participants

The patterns of the introduction of the 26 major participants are described in this section.

Two typical patterns (A and B) can be identified describing nineteen out of the 26 major participant introductions.
(A) three major participants (in $\mathrm{N} \# 1, \mathrm{~N} \# 2$, and $\mathrm{N} \# 5$ ) are introduced in the same way by a noun or a possessive noun phrase followed by a pronoun [ $\mathrm{N}+\left(\mathrm{N}_{\text {[Poss] }}\right)+$ Pro $]$ and eleven others (in N\#1, N\#2, N\#3, N\#4, and N\#7) by a reduced version of this: a noun [N].
(B) one major participant (in $\mathrm{N} \# 3$ ) is introduced in the same way by a head noun of a possessive pronoun $\left[\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{Pro}_{[\text {Poss }]}\right]$.

The seven exceptional occurrences in the introduction of major participants are as follows.

One (N3:2b) is Mr. Cegruej's six older brothers who are introduced by a plural pronoun, followed by a noun with a possessive pronoun, followed by a numeral and a classifier [Pro.pl $\left.+\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{Pro}_{\text {[Poss] }}+\mathrm{Num}+\mathrm{Clf}\right]$ (see example 63).
(63) mé Ruup noว? troow raa poh

3P(pl) older.brother 3S six person.Clf seven

| dəə | nวว? | laə | Pan.druuj | Pən.druuj |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Prep | $3 S$ | $3 S$ | clumsy | clumsy |

His six older brothers, seven with him, he was clumsy.
Since the pronoun is the indicator of pluralization and the numeral and the classifier denote the quantity of human, the schema for this example is [(Pro.pl) $\mathrm{N}\left(\operatorname{Pro}_{[P o s s]}\right)$ (Num) (Clf) $]_{\text {NP }}$.

The second exceptional pattern is that three major participants (N5:4a, N7:38, and N7:55) are introduced by a noun with a demonstrative and followed by a pronoun $\left[\mathrm{N}+\operatorname{Dem}+\operatorname{Pro}\left(_{[\mathrm{Clij}}\right)\right]$. They are overcoded perhaps because the three participants are almost as prominent as the central participants when they are introduced in the narratives.

The third exceptional pattern is that Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter in N\#3 is introduced by two reference phrases:
(i) a noun with a possessive proper noun and a demonstrative [ $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{Addr}+\mathrm{N}$ ${ }_{\text {[Poss] }}+$ Dem], and
(ii) a noun phrase [NP], to emphasize that Mr. Rich Ruler's child is the youngest daughter.

| taj.nรє | kuәn jap |  | ?at.naa | $n \varepsilon \varepsilon$ | $\underline{\text { naan }}$ | (N3:125) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| here.in.this.place | child Mr. |  | ler | this | lady |  |
| ? 3 n.sooc | bsk.jaaw | la | рил | tiìh |  | hวom |
| youngest(child) | amazing | 3S | want | go.do |  | bathe |

In that place this Mr. Rich Ruler's child, the youngest daughter she wanted very much to go [down] bathing.

The word jap "address (polite)" occurs with proper nouns (names) in N\#3 and with a common noun in N\#7. This polite address appears to go with names or common nouns and is used to denote the social status of the participants. Since Mr. Teem Enlee was an old gentleman (N3:82a) and Mr. Rich Ruler was an authoritative rich man, it is understandable that they are introduced with a polite address form.

The fourth exceptional pattern is found in $\mathrm{N} 2: 1$ a (see example 62).
The fifth exceptional pattern is found in $\mathrm{N} \# 5$, as follows:


Then (they) arrived near the place he lived, the bamboo forest they[the elephants] trampled.

Perhaps, the elephants are introduced by a pronoun [Pro] which is undercoded because they are off-stage when they are introduced. It is a cataphoric reference.

This section shows that the major participants are generally introduced by slightly lighter coding materials in comparison with the central participants to indicate that they are less prominent than the central participants. However, they can be introduced by heavier than typical coding materials when they are the LVIPs, such as Thaaw, who is the LVIP of Ep. 1 in N\#5 and Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter, who is the LVIP of Ep. 11 in N\#3. The summary schema for the introduction of the major participants is $\left[(\operatorname{Pro})(\operatorname{Addr}) \mathrm{N}\left(\operatorname{Pro}_{[\text {[Poss }]}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{NP}}$; this schema accounts for 15 out of the 26 major participant introductions. These major participant introductions average 1.7 words in the noun phrase.

### 4.3.3 Introduction of the minor participants

The patterns of introduction of the 29 minor participants are described in this section.

Two typical patterns (A and B) can be identified describing twenty-one out of the 29 minor participant introductions.
(A) Eight (in N\#1, N\#2, N\#3, and N\#7) are introduced by a common noun or a proper noun [(Pro.pl) +N ].
(B) Thirteen (in $\mathrm{N} \# 2, \mathrm{~N} \# 3, \mathrm{~N} \# 4, \mathrm{~N} \# 5$, and $\mathrm{N} \# 7$ ) are introduced in the same way by a head noun of a possessive phrase $\left[\mathrm{N}+\operatorname{Pro}_{[\text {[Poss }}\right]$. A generalized schema is [(Pro.pl) $\left.\mathrm{N}\left(\operatorname{Pro}_{\text {[Poss }}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{NP}}$.

The eight exceptional occurrences in the introduction of minor participants are as follows.

Three ( $\mathrm{N} 1: 3 \mathrm{~b}, 12,19$ ) are introduced by a noun followed by a pronoun $[\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{Pro}$ ] which is overcoded. Perhaps, since they were as poisonous as the python, they are almost as prominent as the central participant.

The second exceptional pattern is four ladies (N3:129) who are introduced by a pronoun with a noun followed by a numeral and a classifier and then a pronoun [Pro.pl $+\mathrm{N}+$ Num + Clf + Pro.pl]; this is also overcoded. Since there is no obvious motivation, further study is needed.

The third exceptional pattern is found in N\#2, as follows:

| par.tii | laдj | Pam.braa | kuu | P3.resy | dəว | (N2:2a) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| orphan | already | 3P(du) | live | with | Prep |  |
| ?uu? | ?ว.resy | dəə pə |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Prep un |  |  |  |  |

The orphans lived with (their) older uncle's family
The older uncle of the two orphans is introduced by two prepositional phrases daə
 pəว means "with family," ?uu? pวэ has a meaning of older family members. It is marked by repetition which is overcoded. Perhaps because the older uncle adopted the two orphans, his thematic role is an agent in relation to the central and major participants.

The fourth exceptional pattern is found in $\mathrm{N} \# 3$, as follows:

| pha.dii | juu | kən.tior | $n \varepsilon \varepsilon$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then | married.woman | termite | this |
| $\frac{\text { məว }}{3 P(p l)}$ don |  |  |  |
| 3ear |  |  |  |

Then these [married female] termites they heard,
The termites are introduced by a noun phrase with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun [NP + Dem + Pro], which is overcoded. Since they solve a problem for Mr. Cegruej, their thematic role is an agent in relation to the central participant.

The fifth exceptional pattern is in $\mathrm{N} \# 4$, as follows:

Since then, it has night / when it's night, they sleep,
The people are introduced by a pronoun [Pro] which is undercoded. Since this general statement is given after the narrative is finished and in a moral to describe the human habitual life, it is an exophoric reference to people in general.

The sixth exceptional pattern is in N\#7, as follows:

| tа.эəј тииј | bic | $\underline{j a}$ | 2at.naa | la | muиj | $l a z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| day one | have | Mr. | rich.ruler | 3S | one | 35 |
| dok ta.jaa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| go ask |  |  |  |  |  |  |

One day, [has] one [another] Mr. Rich Ruler he went to ask (Mr. Rich Ruler),

Another Mr. Rich Ruler is introduced by a noun phrase followed by a pronoun and a numeral and a pronoun [Addr $+\mathrm{N}+$ Pro + Num + Pro]; this is overcoded in order to distinguish it from the other Mr. Rich Ruler in the narrative.

From this it may be seen that minor participants are typically introduced by lighter coding materials unless they are given more prominence based on significant roles they perform, such as the termites in N\#3. The summary schemata for the introduction of minor participants are $[\mathrm{N} \text { (Dem) (Pro) }]_{\mathrm{NP}}$ and [(Pro.pl) (Addr) N $\left(\operatorname{Pro}_{\text {[Poss }}\right)$ (Num) (Pro) $]_{\mathrm{NP}}$. Perhaps, some participants in this section that receive
significantly more words in their introduction should be considered major participants because the overcoding makes them more prominent.

### 4.3.4 Introduction of props

The patterns of introduction of the 11 props are described in this section.
Two typical patterns (A and B) can be identified, describing eight out of the 11 prop introductions.
(A) Six (in N\#3 and N\#4) are introduced in the same way by a noun [N].
(B) Two (in N\#2 and N\#7) are introduced by a head noun of a possessive phrase $\left[\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N} / \operatorname{Pro}_{[\text {Poss] }]}\right]$.

Two out of the 3 exceptions in the introduction of props are found in $\mathrm{N} \# 2$.


After that he went to bite that medicine from the tree.
The medicine is introduced by a noun followed by a demonstrative [ $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{Dem}$ ]; this is overcoded, perhaps because it is an important prop which would be used by the central participant to save lives.

The mouse is introduced by ka.nєє ləa "a mouse it" (N2:22), a noun followed by a pronoun [ $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{Pro}$ ], which is overcoded. Perhaps because when Mr. Orphan uses it to test the effectiveness of the medicine, it holds the stage.

The third exceptional pattern is found in N\#4, as follows:


From this data, it may be seen that props are usually introduced by a noun. However, they may be introduced by a head noun of a possessive phrase if they are the possession of a human participant acting in that episode. The summary schema for props is $\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{N} / \operatorname{Pro}_{[\text {[Poss }]}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{NP}}$.

### 4.3.5 Summary

In summary, other than the exceptional introductions, the four categories of participants are introduced by the following schemas: [(Addr) N (Dem) (Pro) $]_{\mathrm{NP}}$ for central participants, $\left[(\operatorname{Pro}) \text { (Addr) } \mathrm{N}\left(\operatorname{Pro}_{[\text {Poos }]}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{NP}}$ for major participants, $[(\mathrm{N}) \mathrm{N}$ (Dem) (Pro) $]_{\mathrm{NP}}$ and $\left[\left(\text { Pro) (Addr) } \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{Pro}_{[\text {Poss }}\right) \text { (Num) (Pro) }\right]_{\mathrm{NP}}\right.$ for minor participants, and $\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{Pro}_{\text {[Poss }}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{NP}}$ for props.

### 4.4 Conclusion

After excluding the obvious minor participants and props, the remaining participants in the collected narratives may be ranked based on three statistical measurements: TNRP, TP, and RRD. When indicators don't correspond and are not reconciled, a combination of number of references and the thematic role are used.

Central participants are most referenced (except for Mr. Orphan in N\#4), are onstage in every episode (except for Mr. Orphan in N\#4 and Krung the snake in N\#7), and have the lowest look back value, that is, the shortest referential distance (except for Mr. Orphan in N\#4). Hence, N\#4 is an exceptional narrative among the collected narratives.

Generally, major participants are referenced less than central participants (except for the five brothers in N\#4), are not necessarily on-stage in every episode, but are the LVIPs in at least one episode in the narrative. Also, they have a higher look back value than central participants (except for the five brothers in N\#4).

Likewise, minor participants are referenced less frequently than the major participants, are on-stage in at least one episode, and have a higher look back value than major participants.

Props, however, may be referenced more than minor participants but less than major participants; are usually on-stage in one or two episodes; and have the highest look back value.

With regard to the introduction of participants, central participants are typically introduced by nouns or noun phrases with demonstratives followed by pronouns, indicating they are the most important participants in the narratives; major participants are introduced by nouns or noun phrases followed by pronouns in general, unless they are the LVIPs; minor participants are introduced by nouns or noun phrases or head nouns of possessive phrases unless their thematic role is an agent in relation to other participants; props are usually introduced by nouns or head nouns of possessive phrases.

This section has focused on how the central, major, and minor participants and props are ranked and introduced in the narratives. The next chapter is focused on the rules for the subject and non-subject environments and the typical and unexpected patterns of participant reference in the narratives after the participants have been introduced.

## Chapter 5 <br> Participant Reference - Rules

After a participant has been introduced, the audience must be able to keep track of that participant and distinguish it from all other participants. Patterns are identified by examining several potential factors. Thus, the participant is referred to in various contexts, such as in arguments of verbs as subjects and objects, as well as in oblique and adjunct material. In the discussion below, all participant references after the introduction are classified into either subject references or non-subject references for analysis.

### 5.1 Participant encoding

According to the four contexts of the subjects: $S 1, S 2, S 3$, and $S 4$, and the four contexts of the non-subjects: $N 1, N 2, N 3$, and $N 4$, the reference patterns are investigated. Rules for those eight contexts proposed in sections 5.1.1-5.1.10. See section 3.3.1.2 for a brief introduction of the eight contexts.

### 5.1.1 Same subject as in the previous clause ( $S 1$ context)

The context labeled S1 denotes that the subject of the current clause or sentence is the same as that of the previous one; or the subject and non-subject of the previous sentence combine to form a single, plural subject.

The results of participant reference in the $S 1$ environment are summarized as follows in Table 19.

Table 19 Referring expression in the $S 1$ environment

| Group | Pattern of reference | Central participant <br> (6) | Major participant (26) | Minor participant (29) | Prop (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | NDPro/NPro/N | 4/2/1 | 6/6/2 | 0/2/0 | 0/0/0 |
|  |  | 6.09\% | 8.14\% | 4.08\% | 0\% |
| 2. | PNDPro/PNPro/PN | 0/0/0 | 0/9/1 | 0/0/1 | 0/0/0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 5.81\% | 2.04\% | 0\% |
| 3. | Pro | 100* | 131* | 39* | 1* |
|  |  | 86.96\% | 76.16\% | 79.59\% | 100\% |
| 4. | $\emptyset$ | 8** | 17** | 7** | 0 |
|  |  | 6.96\% | 9.88\% | 14.29\% | 0\% |
| Total |  | 115 | 172 | 49 | 1 |
|  |  | 100.01\% | 99.99\% | 100\% | 100\% |

* greatest occurrence
** second greatest occurrence
Note: "N" stands for noun or noun phrase, "D" stands for demonstrative, "Pro" stands for pronoun, "PN" stands for head or possessed noun, "Ø" stands for zero anaphora. Percentage values round up to two decimal places.

Group 1 lists the figures and percentages of the referring expressions relating to noun or noun phrase, including the following: nouns or noun phrases with demonstratives followed by pronouns (NDPro), nouns or noun phrases followed by pronouns (NPro), nouns or noun phrases (N). Group 2 lists the figures and percentages of the referring expressions relating to possessive phrases, including the following: possessed or head nouns with demonstratives followed by pronouns (PNDPro), possessed or head nouns followed by pronouns (PNPro), possessed or head nouns (PN). Group 3 lists the figures and percentages for pronouns (Pro); and Group 4 lists the figures and percentages for zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ). At first, the referring expressions of Group 1 and of Group 2 are considered different, but there is not enough data in each category to allow for separate analysis of each except for N\#3. They are therefore combined.

A pronoun is the most likely choice for the central (86.96\%), major (76.16\%), and minor ( $79.59 \%$ ) participants, and props ( $100 \%$ ) in the S1 context. In addition, there is a higher tendency of zero anaphora for the minor participants (14.29\%).

### 5.1.1.1 Central participants in the $S 1$ environment

In the $S 1$ context, the 6 central participants are referred to using a pronoun (Pro) for $86.96 \%$ of the occurrences, with zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for $6.96 \%$, with a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (NDPro) for $3.48 \%$, with a noun followed by a pronoun (NPro) for $1.74 \%$, and with a noun (N) for $0.87 \%$.

## Tentative Rule for the central participants in the $S 1$ environment

The central participants in the $S 1$ environment are typically referred to with pronouns.

The tentative rule covers $86.96 \%$ of references to Central participants in the S1 environment. The references that do not follow this rule (13.05\%) include the central participants being referred to by zero anaphora (eight occurrences).

In N\#3, Mr. Cegruej is referred to with null reference twice (N3:48,123). Since he is referred to as ca.gruaj laz "Cegruej he" in the previous clause (N3:47b) and is onstage in the previous nine clauses (N3:116a-122), this provides anaphoric reference. Another occurrence has Mr. Cegruej along with his six older brothers are referred to with null reference (N3:53). Here they are on-stage in the previous seven clauses (N3:47b-52b), this provides anaphoric reference.

In N\#5, Lady Baya is referred to with null reference once (N5:14), perhaps because she is on-stage in the previous eight clauses ( $\mathrm{N} 5: 7-13$ ). Another occurrence has both Lady Baya and Thaaw referred to with null reference (N5:28). Here Lady Baya is onstage in the previous seven clauses ( $\mathrm{N} 5: 21-27$ ), which provides anaphoric reference.

In N\#7, Krung the snake is referred to with null reference once (N7:21b), perhaps because he is referred to as kruy bih né laz "this Krung the snake he" in the previous clause (N7:21a). Another null reference occurs where he is on-stage in the previous five clauses (N7:76b-78a). This provides anaphoric reference.

In N\#4, the zero anaphora occurrence is an unexpected pattern (see example 72, p . 86).

Thus, the rule is revised to:

## Revised Rule for the central participants in the $S 1$ environment

The central participants in the S1 environment are typically referred to with pronouns. However, when they are previously referred to with a proper noun or are on-stage in several previous clauses, null reference provides sufficient anaphoric reference for the rest of the episode.

The revised rule covers $\mathbf{9 3 . 0 6 \%}$ of references to Central participants in the S1 environment. The eight unexpected patterns (6.95\%) present when the central participants are referred to by zero anaphora (one occurrence), by a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (four occurrences), by a noun followed by a pronoun (two occurrences) or by a noun (one occurrence).

Context for each reference not conforming to the revised rule is presented in examples 72-73 below.
(A) Null reference:

Mr. Orphan is referred to with null reference once in N4:44a.
(72) lab cip tii mi.mi?

3 S return hand empty
He returned (home) empty-handed.

| 1ec | $\underline{\emptyset}$ | bih | ha? | han.naam |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then | $\emptyset$ | arrive Loc | house |  |

Because Mr. Orphan is referred to with a pronoun in the previous sentence (N4:43), this may provide anaphoric reference. Additionally, in bih ha? han.naam "arrived home" paraphrased cit "returned (home)" of the previous clause, no new information is offered and apparently it has only prominence function, so a zero reference is permissible.
(B) Noun with a demonstrative followed by pronoun:

In another manifestation, the central participants are referred to using a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun four times.
(i) In one of the four occurrences, the python is referred to as bih.kra? neє laว "this python he" at the beginning of Ep. 2 (N1:9b). This may be to indicate he is the VIP of Ep. 2.
(ii) In another occurrence, Mr. Cegruej is referred to as doow thaaw ca.gruaj né laz "this Mr. Cegruej he" (N3:5) in order to remove ambiguity since he along with his older brothers are referred to with a pronoun in the previous sentence (N3:4).
(iii) \& (iv) In two other occurrences, Krung the snake is referred to as kruy bih $n \varepsilon \varepsilon$ laว "this Krung the snake he" (N7:45a,77a). In N7:45a, this may be to remove ambiguity as he is referred to with null reference in the previous clause (N7:44) and he along with Mr. Ruler's daughter are referred to with a pronoun in the following clause (N7:45b); in N7:77a, this may be to give him prominence at the Peak (N7:77a-78a).
(C) Noun followed by pronoun:

The central participants are referred to with a noun followed by a pronoun twice.
(i) In one, the python is referred to as bih laa "the snake he" in N1:6 in order to remove ambiguity from the joint python and crow reference in the previous clause (N1:5);
(ii) another occurrence is in two successive clauses (see example 73). More research is needed to investigate this unexpected pattern of reference.

then Krung snake 3S speak
Then Krung the snake he spoke, "..."
?\&є krun bih loo ta.tït broj
then Krung snake 3 S transform immediately
cak noว? vir banih
body 3 S transform human
Then Krung the snake he transformed immediately, transformed his body into a human.
(D) Noun or Noun phrase:

The older orphan is referred to as prr.tii "orphan" once in N2:2a. This may provide anaphoric reference for the following clause ( $\mathrm{N} 2: 2 \mathrm{~b}$ ) where they are referred to with a pronoun.

### 5.1.1.2 Major participants in the $S 1$ environment

In the $S 1$ context, the 26 major participants are referred to using a pronoun (Pro) for $76.16 \%$ of the occurrences, with zero anaphora ( $\emptyset$ ) for $9.88 \%$, with a head noun of a possessive phrase followed by a pronoun (PNPro) for $5.23 \%$, with a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (NDPro) and with a noun followed by a pronoun (NPro) for $3.49 \%$ each, with a noun (N) for $1.16 \%$, and with a head noun of a possessive phrase (PN) for $0.58 \%$.

## Tentative Rule for the major participants in the S1 environment

The major participants in the $S 1$ environment are likely to be referred to with pronouns.

The tentative rule covers $76.16 \%$ of references to Major participants in the S1 environment. The references that do not follow this rule (23.83\%) include the major participants being referred to by zero anaphora (eighteen occurrences).

In N\#2, Mr. Rich Ruler is referred to with null reference once (N2:7b), perhaps because he is on-stage in the previous four clauses (N2:4-7a). Another occurrence is in N2:42b. Since the younger orphan is on-stage in the previous two clauses ( $\mathrm{N} 2: 41 \mathrm{~b}-42 \mathrm{a}$ ) and is referred to using a head noun of a possessive phrase and then a relative clause 3əh nэว? nวว? laว troo klэว kra? trii nəว? "his younger brother, he who was his wife's former husband" (N2:41b), this may provide anaphoric reference.

In N\#3, Mr. Cegruej's six brothers are referred to with null reference once (N3:53); they along with Mr. Cegreuej are on-stage in the previous seven clauses (N3:47b52b). Another five occurrences in a quotation formula are omitted (N3:23,46,70b,113,119b), since the major participants are on-stage in several previous clauses (N3:15a-22b, 45a-45b, 68b-70a, 111-112c, 116b-119). Another occurs in N3:155d. Here, Mr. Teem Enlee and Mother Gee Ersee are referred to as mعє? bəə? nэว? ?am.braa "his parents they" in the previous clause (N3:155c). Another occurs in N3:128b; here Mr. Rich Ruler is referred to as ja? Pat.naa né laz "this Mr. Rich Ruler he" in the previous clause (N3:128a). Two other occurrences are unexpected patterns.

In N\#4, the two zero anaphora occurrences are unexpected patterns.
In N\#5, Grandma Gecko is referred to with null reference once (N5:56b); she is onstage in the previous five clauses (N5:52-56a), which may provide anaphoric reference. Another occurrence is an unexpected pattern.

In N\#7, Mr. Rich Ruler is referred to with null reference once (N7:7b); he is on-stage in the previous three clauses (N7:1-7a). Another occurs in N7:31b; he is referred to as ja? Rat.jaa ləə "Mr. Rich Ruler he" in the previous clause (N7:31a).

Although the participants are referred to with null reference or in a quotation formula is omitted, the anaphoric reference may provide adequate information for participant identification.

The rule is therefore revised to:

## Revised Rule for the major participants in the $S 1$ environment

The major participants in the $S 1$ environment are typically referred to with pronouns. However, when they are on-stage in several previous clauses, null reference provides sufficient anaphoric reference for the rest of the episode.

The revised rule covers $\mathbf{8 3 . 1 4 \%}$ of references to Major participants in the S1 environment. The twenty-nine unexpected patterns (16.85\%) present when the major participants are referred to by zero anaphora (five occurrences), by a head noun of a possessive phrase followed by a pronoun (nine occurrences), by a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (six occurrences) and by a noun followed by a pronoun (six occurrences), by a noun or noun phrase (two occurrences), and by a head noun of a possessive phrase (one occurrence).

Context for each reference not conforming to the revised rule is presented as follows.
(A) Null reference:

The major participants are referred to with null reference five times.
(i-iv) In four zero anaphora occurrences (N3:92b,c; N4:37b; N5:28), the major participants are referred to with null reference in the previous clause (N3:92a; N4:37a; N5:27).
(v) Another occurrence is in N4:57, after the five brothers are referred to with proper nouns (one by one) in the previous five sentences (N4:51-55). Further studies are needed to investigate the reasons for these unexpected patterns.
(B) Head noun of possessive phrase followed by pronoun:
(i) In one of the nine occurrences, Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter is referred to as ka.man noว? kว.la? "his own sister-in-law" (N2:28b); this may indicate the relationship between her and the older orphan. Seven occurrences are in N\#3. In
another four occurrences, Mr. Cegruej's six brothers are referred to as mé ?uи? nəっ? məд "his older brothers they" (N3:9,31,40,70c).
(ii-iv) In N3:9,31,40, this may be to remove ambiguity, because they along with Mr. Cegruej are referred to with a pronoun in the previous clause ( $\mathrm{N} 3: 8 \mathrm{c}, 30,39$ ).
(v) In N3:70c, this may be to give them prominence as they tied Mr. Cegruej to a tree and returned home.
(vi-viii) In three other occurrences, Mother Gee Ersee is referred to as mé? nəァ? laə "his mother she" (N3:98b,104,115), this may be to remove ambiguity. In N3:98b, she along with her husband are referred to as Ram.braa neє Ram.braa "these two[this couple] they" in the previous clause (N3:98a); in N3:104,115, she along with her husband and Mr. Cegruej are referred to with a pronoun in the previous clause (N3:103b,114b).
(ix) In another occurrence, Thaaw is referred to as kloว nวว? laz "her husband he" (N5:22) at the beginning of Ep. 3; this may be to indicate a new episode.
(C) Noun with demonstrative followed by pronoun:
(i) In one of the six occurrences, human beings are referred to as ba.nih ca.maaw nย์ məว "these humans they" (N1:23b); here the stage is crowded since the toad is referenced in the previous sentence ( $\mathrm{N} 1: 22$ ) and the crow and the snake are referenced in the following clause (N1:23c).
(ii) In another occurrence, Mr. Teem Enlee and Mother Gee Ersee are referred to as 2am.braa nev "these two[this couple]" (N3:82c). Only Mr. Cegruej and they are on-stage in Ep. 7; the two major participants are almost as prominent as the central participant.
(iii-iv) In two other occurrences, Mr. Rich Ruler is referred to as ja? Pat.naa né laz "this Mr. Rich Ruler he" (N3:148) and as Rat.naa né "this rich ruler" (N3:158a); he is the LVIP of Ep. 12.
(v-vi) Another two occurrences are in N\#7. Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter is referred to as naan $3 a n . s o o c n \varepsilon \varepsilon$ "this youngest daughter" (N7:39a), because she is the LVIP of Ep. 4; and as naan ?ən.sooc neє loa "this youngest daughter she" (N7:50). Since she burned Krung's snake skin, her thematic role is an agent in relation to the central participant.
(D) Noun followed by pronoun:
(i) In one of the six occurrences, Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter is referred to as naay loz "the lady she" (N3:138a) after a dialogue between her and Mr. Cegruej to indicate a new paragraph.
(ii) Another occurs where two of the five brothers are referred to as $m \varepsilon \varepsilon$ goon.mün təən.bər.naa məə "Goong Miin (and) Teen Ber Jaa they" (N4:5). Here they are the representatives of the other bothers.
(iii) In another occurrence, Goong Miin is referred to as goop.mï̈n laa "Goong Miin he" (N4:29), on a crowded stage as he and his four brothers, Mr. Orphan, and the elephant are on-stage.
(iv) In one, Thaaw is referred to as thaaw laa "Thaaw he" (N5:11,31b). This may be to indicate a new paragraph, and to remove ambiguity as he along with Lady Baya are referred to with a pronoun in the previous clause (N5:31a).
(v) In another occurrence, Mr. Rich Ruler is referred to as ja? Rat.jaa loz "Mr. Rich Ruler he" at the beginning of Ep. 1 (N7:3); this may be to denote a new episode.
(E) Noun or Noun phrase:
(i) In one of the two occurrences, the younger orphan along with the older orphan are referred to as par.tii "orphan" at the beginning of Ep. 1 (N2:2a). This may be to denote a new episode.
(ii) Another occurrence is in N5:41b, which may indicate Thaaw's parents are in the group of elephants.
(F) Head noun of possessive phrase:

Mr. Cegruej's six older brothers are referred to as mé Puup noว? "his older brothers" once in $\mathrm{N} 3: 11 \mathrm{~b}$; this may be to give them prominence as the word order changed.

These exceptions to the revised rule suggest that reference to people collectively warrants clarified, and thus over-encoded, reference.

### 5.1.1.3 Minor participants in the $\boldsymbol{S 1}$ environment

In N\#5, the minor participants do not occur in the S1 context. In N\#1 and N\#3, the minor participants are only referred to with pronouns.

In the $S 1$ context, the minor participants in the other five narratives are referred to using a pronoun (Pro) for $79.59 \%$ of the occurrences, with zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for $14.29 \%$, and with a noun followed by a pronoun (NPro) for $4.08 \%$, and with a head noun of a possessive phrase (PN) for $2.04 \%$.

Tentative Rule for the minor participants in the $S 1$ environment
The minor participants in the $S 1$ environment are more likely to be referred to with pronouns.

The rule covers $79.59 \%$ of references to Minor participants in the $S 1$ environment. The references that do not follow this rule (20.41\%) include the minor participants being referred to by zero anaphora (seven occurrences).

In N\#4, four zero anaphora occurrences are unexpected patterns.
In N\#7, two zero anaphora occurrences are unexpected patterns. Another occurs in N7:49a. The villagers are on-stage in the previous five clauses (N7:46-48b), which may provide anaphoric reference.

The rule is therefore revised to:

## Revised Rule for the minor participants in the $S 1$ environment

The minor participants in the $S 1$ environment are typically referred to with pronouns. However, when they are on-stage in several previous clauses, null reference provides sufficient anaphoric reference for the rest of the episode.

The rule covers $\mathbf{8 1 . 6 3 \%}$ of references to Minor participants in the $S 1$ environment. The nine unexpected patterns ( $18.37 \%$ ) present when the minor participants are referred to by zero anaphora (six occurrences), by a noun followed by a pronoun (two occurrences), and by a head noun of a possessive phrase (one occurrence).

Context for each reference not conforming to the revised rule is presented as follows.
(A) Null reference:

In the six zero anaphora occurrences (N4:63b,64b,65a,66a and N7:67b,72a), the minor participants are referred to with a pronoun in the previous clause. Further studies are needed to investigate the reasons for this unexpected pattern.
(B) Noun followed by pronoun:
(i) In one of the two occurrences, the god is referred to as krev.daj laa "the god he" (N2:56a); here, since he slapped the older orphan, his thematic role is an agent in relation to the central participant.
(ii) In another occurrence, the villagers are referred to as trom kraan məə "every family they" (N7:17b). This may be to give prominence as all villagers went to look for Mr. Rich Ruler's axe.
(C) Head noun of possessive phrase:

Mr. Rich Ruler's wife is referred to as trii nəว2 "his wife" once in N7:13. This may be motivated by removing ambiguity as she is referred to with null reference in a quotation formula of the previous sentence ( $\mathrm{N} 7: 12$ ).

### 5.1.1.4 Props in the $S 1$ environment

There are no props in $\mathrm{N} \# 1$ and $\mathrm{N} \# 5$.
In the $S 1$ context, prop only occurs once in N4:36b. The elephant is referred to using a pronoun (Pro) for $100 \%$ of the occurrences.

The proposed draft of a rule to capture the general pattern is:
Tentative Rule for props in the $S 1$ environment
Props in the $S 1$ environment are typically referred to with pronouns.
The tentative rule covers $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ of references to Props in the $S 1$ environment. Props seem to occur rarely occur in the $S 1$ context.

### 5.1.2 Subject was a non-subject in the previous direct speech (S2 context)

The context labeled $S 2$ denotes that the subject of the current clause or sentence is the addressee of a speech reported in the non-subject context in the previous sentence (in a closed conversation).

The results of participant reference in the $S 2$ environment are summarized as follows in Table 20.

Table 20 Referring expression in the $S 2$ environment

| Group | Pattern of reference | Central participants <br> (6) | Major participants <br> (26) | Minor participants <br> (29) | Props <br> (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | NDPro/NPro/N | 0/5/1 | 0/10**/0 | 0/2*/0 | 0/0/0 |
|  |  | 24\% | 23.26\% | 40\% | 0\% |
| 2. | PNDPro/PNPro/PN | 0/0/0 | 0/8/0 | 0/2*/0 | 0/0/0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 18.60\% | 40\% | 0\% |
| 3. | Pro | 10* | 16* | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 40\% | 37.21\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 4. | $\emptyset$ | 9** | 9 | 1** | 0 |
|  |  | 36\% | 20.93\% | 20\% | 0\% |
| Total |  | 25 | 43 | 5 | 0 |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% |

* greatest occurrence
** second greatest occurrence
Note: "N" stands for noun or noun phrase, "D" stands for demonstrative, "Pro" stands for pronoun, "PN" stands for head or possessed noun, " $\emptyset$ " stands for zero anaphora. Percentage values round up to two decimal places.

Perhaps, since no participant is referred to as a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun in the $S 2$ context, the heaviest coding material is not used in quotation formula. Also, due to their non-active roles in the narratives, props are not involved in speech acts and do not occur in the $S 2$ context.

A pronoun (Pro) is the most likely choice for the central (40\%) and major (37.21\%) participants in the $S 2$ context. A noun followed by a pronoun (NPro) and a head noun of a possessive phrase followed by a pronoun (PNPro) are the most likely for the minor participants ( $40 \%$ ). But there is much higher tendency of zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for the central participants (36\%). There is no significant zero anaphora occurrence for the minor participants (20\%).

### 5.1.2.1 Central participants in the $S 2$ environment

In the $S 2$ context, the 6 central participants are referred to using a Pronoun (Pro) for $40 \%$ of the occurrences, with zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for $36 \%$, with a noun followed by a pronoun (NPro) for $20 \%$, and with a noun (N) for $4 \%$.

Tentative Rule for the central participants in the $S 2$ environment
The central participants in the $S 2$ environment are likely to be referred to with pronouns.

The tentative rule covers $40 \%$ of references to Central participants in the S2 environment. The references that do not follow this rule (60\%) include the central participants being referred to by zero anaphora (nine occurrences).

In N\#1, the python is referred to with null reference once (N1:9a). Because it is referred to with a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun bih.kra? né laə "this python he" in the following clause (N1:9b), this may provide cataphoric reference.

Another eight zero anaphora occurrences are in N3:37,50,79,118,133,142; N5:37; N7:82. Since the central participants are on-stage in several previous clauses and the rapid pace of the context, a quotation formula is omitted. A quotation formula can be omitted, especially when the central participants took the last turn to speak. The most obvious example is the quotation formula is omitted in the entire dialogue between Mr. Cegruej and his six older brothers in N3:48-50.

Thus, the rule is revised to:

## Revised Rule for the central participants in the $S 2$ environment

The central participants in the $S 2$ environment are likely to be referred to with pronouns. However, when they are in dialogues, they may be referred to with null reference.

The revised rule covers $\mathbf{7 6 \%}$ of references to Central participants in the $S 2$ environment. The five unexpected patterns ( $24 \%$ ) present when the central participants are referred to by a noun followed by a pronoun (five occurrences) and by a noun or noun phrase (one occurrence).

Context for each reference not conforming to the revised rule is presented as follows.
(A) Noun followed by pronoun:
(i) In one of the five occurrences, Lady Baya is referred to as naan laz "lady she" (N5:12). This may be motivated by removing ambiguity in the dialogue between her
and Thaaw since the two of them are referred to with null reference in the previous (N5:10b) and following (N5:13) clauses.
(ii-iv) In another three occurrences, Lady Baya is referred to as naap bo.jaa laə "Lady Baya she" (N5:23,35,53) in dialogues. Further studies are needed to investigate the reason for the referring expression in N5:23. In N5:35,53, as Lady Baya and Grandma Gecko, both female participants, are in a dialogue (N5:34-37, 5254), this pattern of reference removes ambiguity.
(v) In another occurrence, Krung the snake is referred to as krup bih loz "Krung the snake he" (N7:26). This may be to remove ambiguity for he and Mr. Rich Ruler, both male participants, are in a dialogue (N7:24-28).
(B) Noun or Noun phrase:

Mr. Orphan is referred to as doow "Mr." once in N4:45. More research is needed in order to explain this pattern of reference.

### 5.1.2.2 Major participants in the $\boldsymbol{S} 2$ environment

In the $S 2$ context, the 26 major participants are referred to using a pronoun (Pro) for $37.21 \%$ of the occurrences, with zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for $20.93 \%$, with a noun followed by a pronoun (NPro) for $23.26 \%$, and with a head noun of possessive phrase followed by a pronoun (PNPro) for 18.60\%.

## Tentative Rule for the major participants in the $S 2$ environment

The major participants in the $S 2$ environment are likely to be referred to with pronouns.

The tentative rule covers 37.21 \% of references to Major participants in the $S 2$ environment. The references that do not follow this rule (62.79\%) include the major participants being referred to by zero anaphora (nine occurrences). All nine occurrences (N3:36,49,56,59,66,89,91,134, and N7:53) in a quotation formula are omitted.

Therefore, the rule is revised to:

## Revised Rule for the major participants in the $S 2$ environment

The major participants in the $S 2$ environment are likely to be referred to with pronouns. However, when they are in dialogues, they may be referred to with null reference.

The revised rule covers 58.14\% of references to Major participants in the S2 environment. The eighteen unexpected patterns (41.86\%) present when the major participants are referred to by a noun followed by a pronoun (ten occurrences) and by a head noun of a possessive phrase followed by a pronoun (eight occurrences).

Context for each reference not conforming to the revised rule is presented as follows.
(A) Noun followed by pronoun:
(i) In one of the ten occurrences, the crow is referred to as ka.?aak loa "the crow he" (N1:7). This may be to remove ambiguity because he and the python, both male participants, are in a dialogue ( $\mathrm{N} 1: 6-8$ ).
(ii) In another occurrence, the third brother is referred to as bar tii.pec laz "the third man he" (N3:60). Further studies are needed in order to explain why only him, but not other four brothers, is referred to in this way.
(iii-iv) In two other occurrences, Thaaw is referred to as thaaw loa "Thaaw he" ( $\mathrm{N} 5: 15,24$ ). In $\mathrm{N} 5: 15$, this may be to remove ambiguity in the dialogue as Lady Baya is referred to with null reference in a quotation formula of the previous sentence ( $\mathrm{N} 5: 14$ ) and both of them are referred to with a pronoun in the following sentence ( $\mathrm{N} 5: 16$ ). In $\mathrm{N} 5: 24$, more research is needed to investigate the reason for this pattern of reference.
( v -vi) In another two occurrences, Grandma Gecko is referred to as tok.ké loz "Gecko she" (N5:36) and ja? tok.ké ləə "Grandma Gecko she" (N5:54). This may be motivated by removing ambiguity since she and Lady Baya, both female participants, are in dialogues ( $\mathrm{N} 5: 34-37,52-54$ ).
(vii-ix) In three other occurrences, Mr. Rich Ruler is referred to as ja? Pat.naa loz "Mr. Rich Ruler he" ( $\mathrm{N} 7: 25,27,34$ ). In N7:25,27, this may be to remove ambiguity because he and Krung the snake, both male participants, are in a dialogue (N7:2428). In N7:34, further studies are needed in order to explain the pattern of reference.
(x) In another occurrence, another Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter is referred to as naan laz "lady she" (N7:81). More research is needed to investigate this reference pattern.
(B) Head noun of possessive phrase followed by pronoun:
(i-iii) In three of the eight occurrences, Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter is referred to as trii nวว? laว "his wife she" ( $\mathrm{N} 2: 44,46$ ) and the younger orphan is referred to as klכว kra? nวว? laz "her former husband he" (N2:45). This may be to clarify their relationships.
(iv-v) In two other occurrences, Mr. Cegruej's six older brothers are referred to as mєє ใuи? поэ? тәə "his older brothers they" (N3:19,28). This may be motivated by removing ambiguity when they and Mr. Cegruej, all male participants, are in dialogues or to indicate they are not as prominent as the central participant.
(vi) In another occurrence, Mother Gee Ersee is referred to as mé? nəว? laว "his mother she" (N3:117). This may be motivated by removing ambiguity as she along with her husband are referred to as $m \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ? nəว? bəə? "his parents" in the previous clause (N3:116b). (vii) In one, Mr. Rich Ruler is referred to as bəə? noว? loa "her father he" (N3:147). This may be to indicate he is not as prominent as the central participant.
(viii) In another occurrence, Mr. Rich Ruler is referred to as kloว nəว? loa "her husband he" (N7:11). Perhaps because his wife, a minor participant, is as prominent as him in a dialogue (N7:10-12).

### 5.1.2.3 Minor participants in the $\boldsymbol{S} 2$ environment

In the $S 2$ context, the 29 minor participants are referred to using a noun followed by a pronoun (NPro) and as a head noun of a possessive phrase followed by a pronoun (PNPro) for $40 \%$ each of the occurrences, and with zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for $20 \%$.

Tentative rule for the minor participants in the $S 2$ environment
The minor participants in the $S 2$ environment are likely to be referred to with nouns followed by pronouns or head nouns of possessive phrases followed by pronouns.

The tentative rule covers $80 \%$ of references to Minor participants in the $S 2$ environment. The reference that does not follow this rule (20\%) includes the minor participants are referred to by zero anaphora once in N7:12. Here Mr. Rich Ruler's wife is referred to with a head noun of a possessive phrase trii noว? "his wife" in the following sentence (N7:13), this may provide cataphoric reference.

### 5.1.3 Subject was a non-subject in the previous clause (S3 context)

The context labeled $S 3$ denotes that the subject of the current clause or sentence was involved in the previous clause in a non-subject role other than in a closed conversation.

The results of participant reference in the $S 3$ environment are summarized as follows in Table 21.

Table 21 Referring expression in the $S 3$ environment

| Group | Pattern of reference | Central participants <br> (6) | Major participants (26) | Minor participants (29) | Props <br> (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | NDPro/NPro/N | $1 / 3 * * / 2$ | 6**/5/3 | $3 * * / 1 / 1$ | 0/0/0 |
|  |  | 17.65\% | 36.84\% | 27.78\% | 0\% |
| 2. | PNDPro/PNPro/PN | 0/0/0 | 1/1/0 | 0/1/0 | 0/0/0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 5.26\% | 5.56\% | 0\% |
| 3. | Pro | 27* | 21* | 11* | 4* |
|  |  | 79.41\% | 55.26\% | 61.11\% | 66.67\% |
| 4. | $\emptyset$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2** |
|  |  | 2.94\% | 2.63\% | 5.56\% | 33.33\% |
| Total |  | 34 | 38 | 18 | 6 |
|  |  | 100\% | 99.99\% | 100.01\% | 100\% |

* greatest occurrence
** second greatest occurrence
Note: "N" stands for noun or noun phrase, "D" stands for demonstrative, "Pro" stands for pronoun, "PN" stands for head or possessed noun, "Ø" stands for zero anaphora. Percentage values round up to two decimal places.

A pronoun (Pro) is the most likely choice for the central (79.41\%), major (55.26\%), and minor ( $61.11 \%$ ) participants, and props ( $66.67 \%$ ) in the $S 3$ context. In addition, there is a higher tendency of a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (NDPro) for the major (15.79\%) and minor (16.67\%) participants and a noun followed by a pronoun (NPro) for the central (8.82\%) and major (13.16\%) participants. There is no significant occurrence of zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for all the participants except for props.

### 5.1.3.1 Central participants in the $S 3$ environment

In the $S 3$ context, the 6 central participants are referred to using a pronoun (Pro) for $79.41 \%$ of the occurrences, with a noun followed by a pronoun (NPro) for $8.82 \%$,
with a noun ( N ) for $5.89 \%$, and with a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (NDPro) and with zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for $2.94 \%$ each.

## Tentative Rule for the central participants in the $S 3$ environment

The central participants in the S3 environment are more likely to be referred to with pronouns.

The tentative rule covers $79.41 \%$ of references to Central participants in the S3 environment. The references that do not follow this rule (20.59\%) include the central participant being referred to by a noun followed by a pronoun (three occurrences).

In N\#2, the older orphan is referred to as doow kuən par.tii no? laz "the orphan he who" once ( $\mathrm{N} 2: 32 \mathrm{a}$ ). This may be to remove ambiguity as the younger orphan is referenced in the previous sentence ( $\mathrm{N} 2: 31$ ).

In N\#5, Lady Baya is referred to as naan bə.jaa laə "Lady Baya she" twice (N5:8,43). N5:8 is an unexpected pattern. In N5:43, this may be to remove ambiguity since she and Grandma Gecko, both female participants, are in a dialogue (N5:42-43).

Thus, the rule is revised to:

## Revised Rule for the central participants in the $S 3$ environment

The central participants in the $S 3$ environment are more likely to be referred to with pronouns. However, when they are on-stage with other participants of the same gender, they may be referred to with nouns or noun phrases followed by pronouns in order to remove ambiguity.

The revised rule covers $\mathbf{8 5 . 2 9 \%}$ of references to Central participants in the S3 environment. The five unexpected patterns (14.71\%) present when the central participants are referred to by a noun or noun phrase (two occurrences), and by a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (one occurrence), and by a noun followed by a pronoun (one occurrence) and by zero anaphora (one occurrence).

Context for each reference not conforming to the revised rule is presented as follows.
(A) Noun or Noun phrase:
(i) In one of the two occurrences, Mr. Orphan is referred to as doow kuən.pər.tii "Mr. Orphan" (N4:35a), perhaps because the five brothers are also referred to as nouns in the previous sentences (N5:28-33).
(ii) In another occurrence, Lady Baya is referred to as naay ba.jaa "lady Baya" (N5:30). More research is needed to investigate this pattern of reference.
(B) Noun with demonstrative followed by pronoun:

Krung the snake is referred to as kruy bih né laa "this Krung the snake he" once in N7:74a. This may be to emphasize he killed the python.
(C) Noun followed by pronoun:

Lady Baya is referred to as naan bə.jaa loə "lady Baya she" once in N5:8 and a noun phrase in the previous sentence (see example 74, p. 101). More research is needed to investigate this pattern of reference.
(74) $3 \varepsilon \varepsilon$ loว $d \supset k \quad$ broj $\quad$ bih to?
then 3S go immediately Prep that(far)
naan bo.jaa
lady Baya
Then he went to Lady Baya [overthere].
Pع naan ba.jaa loд ta.ŋaa riən ".."" (N5:8)
then lady Baya 3 S ask say
Then Lady Baya she asked, saying, "..."
(D) Null reference:

Mr. Cegruej is referred to with null reference once in N3:33b. Since he is on-stage in the previous six clauses (N3:32a-33a), this provides anaphoric reference for participant identification.

### 5.1.3.2 Major participants in the $S 3$ environment

In the $S 3$ context, the 26 major participants are referred to using a pronoun (Pro) for $55.26 \%$ of the occurrences, with a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (NDPro) for $15.79 \%$, with a noun followed by a pronoun (NPro) for $13.16 \%$, with a noun ( N ) for $7.89 \%$, with a head noun of a possessive phrase with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (PNDPro), with a head noun of a possessive phrase followed by a pronoun (PNPro), and with zero anaphora ( $\emptyset$ ) for $2.63 \%$ each.

## Tentative Rule for the major participants in the $S 3$ environment

The major participants in the $S 3$ environment are more likely to be referred to with pronouns.

The tentative rule covers $55.26 \%$ of references to Major participants in the S3 environment. The references that do not follow this rule (44.73\%) include the major participants being referred to by a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (six occurrences).

In N\#3, Mr. Rich Ruler is referred to as ja? Rat.naa né laa "this Mr. Rich Ruler he" twice. In N3:128a, perhaps because he allowed his daughter to bath at the river, his thematic role is an agent in relation to the LVIP in Ep. 11. In N3:150, since he is the LVIP of Ep. 12, the heaviest coding material is used to give prominence.

In N\#5, Grandma Gecko is referred to as tวk.keє neq "this gecko" once (N5:38) and the elephants are referred to as mé ruəh nєє məว "these elephants they" once (N:57a). Perhaps because Grandma Gecko is the LVIP of Ep. 4 and the elephants are the LVIPs of Ep. 5, the heaviest coding material is used to give prominence.

In N\#7, Mr. Rich Ruler is referred to as ja? Rat.naa neє laz "this Mr. Rich Ruler he" once (N7:2) and Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter is referred to as naan ?ən.sooc $n \varepsilon \varepsilon$ "this youngest daughter" once (N7:55). Perhaps because they are the LVIPs of Ep. 1 and Ep. 5 respectively, the heaviest coding material is used to give prominence.

The rule is therefore revised to:

## Revised Rule for the major participants in the $S 3$ environment

The major participants in the $S 3$ environment are more likely to be referred to with pronouns. However, when they are the LVIPs or their thematic role is an agent in relation to the LVIP, they may be referred to with nouns with demonstratives followed by pronouns in order to give prominence.

The revised rule covers $\mathbf{7 1 . 0 5 \%}$ of references to Major participants in the S3 environment. The eleven unexpected patterns (28.94\%) present when the major participants are referred to by a noun followed by a pronoun (five occurrences), by a noun or noun phrase (three occurrences), by a head noun of a possessive phrase followed by a pronoun (one occurrence), by a head noun followed by a pronoun (one occurrence), and by zero anaphora (one occurrence).

Context for each reference not conforming to the revised rule is presented as follows.
(A) Noun followed by pronoun:
(i) In one of the five occurrences, Mr. Rich Ruler is referred to as ja? Rat.naa laz "Mr. Rich Ruler he" (N2:6), perhaps for clarification since he is referred to as "Laotian rich ruler" in the previous two sentences (N2:4-5).
(ii) In another occurrence, Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter is referred to as naay loz "the lady she" (N2:34b), because she is referred to with null reference in the previous clause (N2:34a).
(iii) In one, Mr. Teem Enlee is referred to as bə? təəm ?ən.lé ləə "[man] Teem Enlee he" (N3:86a). This may be motivated by removing ambiguity since he and Mr. Cegruej, both male participants, are on-stage at that plot point.
(iv) In another occurrence, Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter is referred to as naay laz "the lady she" (N3:137a), perhaps, since she took Mr. Cegruej's kromar, her thematic role is an agent in relation to the central participant.
(v) In another occurrence, Mr. Rich Ruler is referred to as ja? Rat.naa loz "Mr. Rich Ruler he" (N7:31a), perhaps because he and Krung the snake, both male participants, are on-stage at that plot point.
(B) Noun or Noun phrase:
(i-ii) Two of the three occurrences are in N4:28 and N5:50. More research is needed to investigate this pattern of reference.
(iii) Another occurs in N7:59a. Perhaps for clarification since Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter is referred to as kuən nэว? "his child" in the previous sentence (N7:58).
(C) Head noun of possessive phrase with demonstrative followed by pronoun:

The younger orphan is referred to as $3 \supset h$ nəว? nєє laə "this his younger brother he" once (N2:5). This may be to give prominence because he is the LVIP of Ep. 1.
(D) Head noun of possessive phrase followed by pronoun:

Mr. Cegruej's six older brothers are referred to as mєє ?uи? пวэ? məə "his older brothers they" once in N3:69. This may be to give prominence as they are going to tie Mr. Cegruej to the tree (N3:70a,b). Their thematic role is an agent in relation to the central participant.
(E) Null reference:

Mr. Rich Ruler is referred to with null reference once in N7:9. Perhaps because his wife, a minor participant, is as prominent as him in the dialogue (N7:8-13).

### 5.1.3.3 Minor participants in the $S 3$ environment

In the $S 3$ context, the 29 minor participants are referred to with a pronoun (Pro) for 61.11\% of the occurrences, with a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (NDPro) for $16.67 \%$, with a noun followed by a pronoun (NPro), with a noun ( N ), with a head noun of a possessive phrase followed by a pronoun (PNPro), and with zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for $5.56 \%$ each.

## Tentative Rule for the minor participants in the $S 3$ environment

The minor participants in the $S 3$ environment are more likely to be referred to with pronouns.

The tentative rule covers $61.11 \%$ of references to Minor participants in the S3 environment. The references that do not follow this rule (38.90\%) include the minor participants being referred to by a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (three occurrences).

In N\#3, the termite is referred to as kra? kən.tior neє laz "this old termite he" once (N3:80). Since he went up to bite the loincloth in order to save Mr. Cegruej, his thematic role is an agent in relation to the central participant.

In N\#7, Mr. Rich Ruler's daughters are referred to as kuən $? \varepsilon$ " "those children" once (N7:36b). Because they refused their father's command to marry Krung the snake, their thematic role is an agent in relation to the major participant. In another occurrence, the python is referred to as bih neє loa "this snake he" (N7:60a). Since he ate another Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter, his thematic role is an agent in relation to the LVIP of Ep. 5.

Therefore, the rule is revised to:

## Revised Rule for the minor participants in the $S 3$ environment

The minor participants in the $S 3$ environment are more likely to be referred to with pronouns. However, when their thematic role is an agent in relation to other participants, they may be referred to with nouns with demonstratives followed by pronouns in order to give prominence.

The revised rule covers $\mathbf{7 7 . 7 8 \%}$ of references to Minor participants in the S3 environment. The four unexpected patterns ( $22.23 \%$ ) present when the minor participants are referred to by a noun followed by a pronoun (one occurrence), by a noun or noun phrase (one occurrence), by a head noun of a possessive phrase followed by a pronoun (one occurrence), and by zero anaphora (one occurrence).

Context for each reference not conforming to the revised rule is presented as follows.
(A) Noun followed by a pronoun:

A god is referred to as krec.daj laz "the god he" once in N2:55; here the stage is crowded at the Peak.
(B) Noun or Noun phrase:

The python is referred to as bih "the snake" once (N7:74b). This may be motivated by removing ambiguity since he and Krung the snake, both male participants, are on-stage at that plot point.
(C) Head noun of possessive phrase followed by pronoun:

Mr. Rich Ruler is referred to as bəa? noo? laa "her father he" once in N5:17. This may be motivated by removing ambiguity because he and his wife are referred to as $m \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ? bəə ${ }^{\text {nวэ? "her parents" in the previous sentence (N5:16). }}$
(D) Null reference:

Another Mr. Rich Ruler's servants are referred to with null reference once in N7:54c. Since they are referred to with a noun phrase mé khom.lay Gaaw "the servants" in the previous clause (N7:54b), this may provide anaphoric reference.

### 5.1.3.4 Props in the $S 3$ environment

In the $S 3$ context, the 11 props are referred to with a pronoun (Pro) for $66.67 \%$ of the occurrences and with zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for $33.33 \%$.

## Tentative Rule for props in the $S 3$ environment

Props in the $S 3$ environment are more likely to be referred to with pronouns. The tentative rule covers $66.67 \%$ of references to Props in the $S 3$ environment. The references that do not follow this rule (33.33\%) include props are referred to by zero anaphora twice. In one of the two occurrences, only Mr. Rich Ruler's axe and the major participant are on-stage at that plot point (N3:13). In another occurrences, the deer is referred to with null reference (N4:22d), perhaps because of the rapid pace of the context ( $\mathrm{N} 4: 22 \mathrm{c}-23 \mathrm{a}$ ).

The rule is therefore revised to:

## Revised Rule for props in the $S 3$ environment

Props in S3 environment are more likely to be referred to with pronouns.
However, when only the prop and the human participants are on-stage or because of the rapid pace of the context, they may be referred to with null reference.

The revised rule covers $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ of references to Props in the S3 environment.

### 5.1.4 Subject does not occur in the previous clause ( $S 4$ context)

The context labeled S4 denotes that participants who are subjects in the current clause or sentence do not occur in the previous clause in both subject and nonsubject contexts.

The results of the participant reference in the $S 4$ environment are summarized as follows in Table 22.

Table 22 Referring expression in the $S 4$ environment

| Group | Pattern of reference | Central participants <br> (6) | Major participants <br> (26) | Minor participants <br> (29) | Props <br> (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | NDPro/NPro/N | 4/8**/4 | 6/8/17** | 3**/2/0 | 0/0/0 |
|  |  | 30.77\% | 34.07\% | 22.73\% | 0\% |
| 2. | PNDPro/PNPro/PN | 0/1/1 | 1/11/2 | 0/3**/0 | 0/0/0 |
|  |  | 3.85\% | 15.38\% | 13.64\% | 0\% |
| 3. | Pro | 27* | 35* | 14* | 4* |
|  |  | 51.92\% | 38.46\% | 63.64\% | 80\% |
| 4. | $\emptyset$ | 7 | 11 | 0 | 1** |
|  |  | 13.46\% | 12.09\% | 0\% | 20\% |
| Total |  | 52 | 91 | 22 | 5 |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% | 100.01\% | 100\% |

* greatest occurrence
** second greatest occurrence

Note: "N" stands for noun or noun phrase, "D" stands for demonstrative, "Pro" stands for pronoun, "PN" stands for head or possessed noun, "Ø" stands for zero anaphora. Percentage values round up to two decimal places.

A pronoun (Pro) is the most likely choice for the central (51.92\%) and minor (63.64\%) participants, and props (80\%). A pronoun (Pro) and a noun (N) are the secondary choice for the major participants (38.46\%, 18.68\%). Moreover, there is a higher tendency of a noun followed by a pronoun (NPro) and zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for the central participants $(15.38 \%, 13.46 \%)$ and a head noun of a possessive phrase followed by a pronoun (PNPro) and zero anaphora (Ø) major (12.09\%). However, there are no zero anaphora occurrences for the minor participants.

### 5.1.4.1 Central participants in the $S 4$ environment

In the $S 4$ context, the 6 central participants are referred to with a pronoun (Pro) for $51.92 \%$ of the occurrences, with a noun followed by a pronoun (NPro) for $15.38 \%$, with zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for $13.46 \%$, with a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (NDPro) and with a noun (N) for $7.69 \%$ each, and with a head noun of a possessive phrase followed by a pronoun (PNPro) and with a head noun of a possessive phrase (PN) for $1.92 \%$.

## Tentative Rule for the central participants in the $S 4$ environment

The central participants in the $S 4$ environment are more likely to be referred to with pronouns.

The tentative rule covers $51.92 \%$ of references to Central participants in the S4 environment. The references that do not follow this rule (48.08\%) include the central participants being referred to by a noun followed by a pronoun (eight occurrences).

In $\mathrm{N} \# 1$, the python is referred to as bih.kra? ko.la? laz "the python himself" once (N1:18b) at the beginning of Ep. 3. This may be to mark a new episode. In another occurrence, he is referred to as bih.kra? laa "the python he" (N1:25), perhaps because it is the narrator's intrusion.

In N\#3, Mr. Cegruej is referred to as ca.gruaj laə "Cegruej he" twice (N3:47b,75) and as thaaw laz "Mr.(Cegruej) he" once (N3:130b). In N3:47b, he is off-stage in the previous five clauses (N3:44c-47a). In N3:75, this may be to mark a new episode of Ep. 7. In N3:130b, this may be to mark a new paragraph since he is off-stage in the previous seven clauses (N3:125-130a).

In N\#5, Lady Baya is referred to as naan ba.jaa laz "Lady Baya she" once (N5:33) at the beginning of Ep. 4. This may be to mark a new episode.

In N\#7, the two occurrences are unexpected patterns.
The rule is therefore revised to:

## Revised Rule for the central participants in the S4 environment

The central participants in the $S 4$ environment are more likely to be referred to with pronouns. However, when they are referenced at the beginning of an episode or it is a narrator's intrusion, they may be referred to with nouns or noun phrases followed by pronouns.

The revised rule covers $\mathbf{6 3 . 4 6 \%}$ of references to Central participants in S4 environment. The nineteen unexpected patterns (36.54\%) present when the central participants are referred to by zero anaphora (seven occurrences), by a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (four occurrences) and by a noun or noun phrase (four occurrences), by a noun followed by a pronoun (two occurrences), by a head noun of a possessive phrase followed by a pronoun (one occurrence), and by a head noun of a possessive phrase (one occurrence).

Context for each reference not conforming to the revised rule is presented as follows.
(A) Null reference:
(i-v) Five zero anaphora occurrences (N3:114b,135; N5:10b,13,21) have the central participants along with the major participants are on-stage in several previous clauses (N3:109a-114a,131-134; N5:7-10a,11-12,14-20). This provides anaphoric reference. When the central and major participants are referenced together, they are likely to be referred to with null reference. Bequette states that "relational givenness will often enable the listener to correctly identify who the referent is when the referring expression gives little information" (2008: 103).
(vi) Another occurs in N3:156; this occurs at the Peak where the pace of the narrative is rapid.
(vii) Another occurs in N7:44; since Krung the snake is referred to as krup bih loz "Krung the snake he" in the previous sentence (N7:43), this may provide anaphoric reference.
(B) Noun with demonstrative followed by pronoun:
(i) In one of the four occurrences, the python is referred to as bih.kra? né laz "this python he" (N1:9b) at the beginning of Ep. 2. This may be to mark a new episode.
(ii) In another occurrence, the older orphan is referred to as ba? kuən par.tii né laz "this [unmarried] orphan he" (N2:20a) at the beginning of Ep. 3. This may be to mark a new episode.
(iii) In one, Mr. Cegruej is referred to as doow thaaw ca.gruaj né laz "this Mr. Cegruej he" (N3:2a). This may be to indicate he is more prominent than his six older brothers (N3:2b).
(iv) Another occurs in N7:51. Perhaps for emphasis (see example 75).

(N7:51)
then $3 S$ transform human all.the.time immediately
kruך bih $2 \varepsilon \varepsilon$
Krung snake that
Then he transformed into a human forever, that Krung the snake.
(C) Noun or Noun phrase:
(i) In one of the four occurrences, the older orphan is referred to as par.saaw hən.dəəm "the new son-in-law" (N2:39a). This may be motivated by removing ambiguity as both the older and younger orphans, who are Mr. Rich Ruler's new and former son-in-laws, are on-stage at that plot point.
(ii) In another occurrence, Mr. Cegruej is referred to as thaaw ca.gruəj "Mr. Cegruej" (N3:139). This may be to mark a change of participant and location in a new paragraph.
(iii) In one, Mr. Orphan is referred to as doow kuən.pər.tii "Mr. Orphan" (N4:42), perhaps because he is off-stage in the previous five clauses (N4:38-41b). (iv) Another occurs in N7:76b. Perhaps for emphasis (see example 76).
(76) laə na? diw kruך bih muиј raa

3S still only Krung snake one person.Clf
bin $\quad$ e
Loc there
he, only Krung the snake was still there.
(D) Noun followed by pronoun:

Krung the snake is referred to as kruy bih laz "Krung the snake he" twice in $\mathrm{N} 7: 42 \mathrm{~b}, 80$. More research is needed to investigate this pattern of reference.
(77)

| ? $\varepsilon \varepsilon$ | krun bih loa | maan ". |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| then | Krung snake 3S | speak |  |
| Then Krung the snake he spoke, "..." |  |  |  |
| ? $\varepsilon \varepsilon$ | kruy bih loa | ta.tiit | broj |
| then | Krung snake 3S | transform | immediately |
| cak | noo? vir | ba.nih |  |
| body | 3S transform | human |  |

Then Krung the snake he transformed immediately transformed his body into a human.
(E) Head noun of possessive phrase followed by pronoun:

The older orphan is referred to as kloว hən.dəəm noว? laz "her new husband he" once in N2:54a; here the stage is crowded at the Peak.
(F) Head noun of possessive phrase:

The older orphan is referred to as ?uu? noว? "his older brother" (N2:8a) at the beginning of Ep. 2. This may be to mark a new episode.

### 5.1.4.2 Major participants in the $S 4$ environment

In the $S 4$ context, the 26 major participants are referred to with a pronoun (Pro) for $38.46 \%$ of the occurrences, with a noun ( N ) for $18.68 \%$, with a head noun of a possessive phrase followed by a pronoun (PNPro) and with zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for $12.09 \%$ each, with a noun followed by a pronoun (NPro) for $8.79 \%$, with a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (NDPro) for $6.59 \%$, with a head noun of a possessive phrase (PN) for $2.20 \%$, and with a head noun of a possessive phrase with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (PNDPro) for $1.10 \%$.

## Tentative Rule for the major participants in the $S 4$ environment

The major participants in the $S 4$ environment are more likely to be referred to with pronouns.

The tentative rule covers $38.46 \%$ of references to Major participants in the S4 environment. The references that do not follow this rule (61.54\%) include the major participants being referred to by a noun (seventeen occurrences).

In $\mathrm{N} \# 1$, the crow is referred to as ka. Paak "the crow" once ( $\mathrm{N} 1: 5$ ). This may be motivated by removing ambiguity as the crow and the snake, both male participants, are on-stage at that plot point.

In N\#2, Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter is referred to as naan "the lady" once (N2:52a); here the stage is crowded.

In N\#3, Mr. Rich Ruler is referred to as ja? Rat.naa "Mr. Rich Ruler" once (N3:145a), since he is off-stage for more than twenty clauses.

In N\#4, the five brothers are referred to with nouns fourteen times ( $\mathrm{N} 4: 13-17,30-$ $33,51-55)$. This may be to indicate all of them missed the animals they shot.

Thus, the rule is revised to:

## Revised Rule for the major participants in the $S 4$ environment

The major participants in the $S 4$ environment are more likely to be referred to with pronouns. However, when they are on-stage with other participants of same gender or when they are off-stage in several previous clauses or because the stage is crowded, they may be referred to with nouns in order to remove ambiguity.

The revised rule covers 57.14\% of references to Major participants in the S4 environment. The thirty-nine unexpected patterns (42.86\%) present when the major participants are referred to by a head noun of a possessive phrase followed by a pronoun (eleven occurrences), by zero anaphora (eleven occurrences), by a noun followed by a pronoun (eight occurrences), by a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (six occurrences), by a head noun of a possessive phrase (two occurrences), and by a head noun of a possessive phrase with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (one occurrence).

Context for each reference not conforming to the revised rule is presented as follows.
(A) Head noun of possessive phrase followed by pronoun:
(i) In one of the eleven occurrences, the younger orphan is referred to as ?วh noว?
 wife's former husband he" (N2:41b). More research is needed to investigate this pattern of reference.
(ii-iii) In two other occurrences, Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter is referred to as kə.man noว? laว "his sister-in-law she" (N2:57) and the younger orphan is referred to as $3>h$ noว? laa "his younger brother he" (N2:58); here the stage is crowded.
(iv-vii) In four other occurrences, Mr. Cegruej's six older brothers are referred to as mé Puи? nээ? məə "his older brothers they" (N3:15a,26a,34a,45a). This may be to mark a new paragraph.
(viii-xi) In four other occurrences, Mr. Teem Enlee and Mother Gee Ersee are referred to as $m \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ? bəə? nวว? Ram.braa "his parents they" (N3:109a,155c), as Pam.braa meє? bəə? nээ? Pam.braa "his parents they" (N3:140), and as ja. Pii? ja.?วง? noว? ?am.braa "his grandparents they" (N3:149). In N3:109a,155c, this may be to mark a new paragraph. In N3:140, perhaps because they are off-stage for more than twenty clauses. In N3:149, further studies are needed to explain this pattern of reference.
(B) Null reference:
(i) In one of the eleven zero anaphora occurrences, a quotation formula is omitted (N3:111).
(ii) Another occurs in N3:114b where mother Gee Ersee, Mr. Teem Enlee along with Mr. Cegruej are on-stage in the previous nine clauses (N3:109a-114a), this may provide anaphoric reference.
(iii) Another occurs in N3:135a. Here, Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter along with Mr. Cegruej are on-stage in the previous five clauses (N2:130b-134).
(iv) In one, because of the rapid pace at the Peak (N3:157).
(v) Another occurs in N4:5; the five brothers are referred to with proper nouns (one by one) in the previous five sentences (N4:51-55).
(vi-vii) The two other occurrences are in N5:10b,13. Here, Thaaw and Lady Baya are on-stage in several previous clauses (N5:1-10a,11-12), which provides anaphoric reference.
(viii-ix) Another two occur in N7:15a,41b. Since Mr. Rich Ruler and his daughter are referred to with a pronoun in the following clause ( $\mathrm{N} 7: 16,41 \mathrm{c}$ ), this may provide cataphoric reference.
(x-xi) The two other occurrences are in N5:21 and N7:49b where the major participants and the central participants are referred to with null reference in the sentence kuu sro.sriam.nizm.promm "lived happily". More research is needed to explain this pattern of reference.
(C) Noun followed by pronoun:
(i) In one of the eight occurrences, the crow is referred to as ka.?aak loz "the crow he" ( $\mathrm{N} 1: 23 \mathrm{c}$ ); here the stage is crowded.
(ii-iii) In two other occurrences, Mr. Rich Ruler is referred to as ja? Rat.naa loz "Mr. Rich Ruler he" (N2:31,35). This may be motivated by removing ambiguity as he and the older orphan, both male participants, are on-stage at that plot point.
(iv) In another occurrence, Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter is referred to as naay lan.sooc laa "the youngest daughter she" (N3:131). This may be to remove ambiguity because she along with four young ladies are referred to with a pronoun in N3:130a.
(v-vii) In three other occurrences, Grandma Gecko is referred to as tok.kex laz "the gecko she" (N5:42,46) and as ja? tok.ké loa "Grandma Gecko she" (N5:52). This may be motivated by removing ambiguity since she and Lady Baya, both female participants, are referenced in dialogues (N5:42-43, 45-46, 52-54).
(viii) In another occurrence, Mr. Rich Ruler is referred to as laz ka.la? laa "he himself" (N7:18). More research is needed in order to explain this pattern of reference.
(D) Noun with demonstrative followed by pronoun:
(i) In one of the six occurrences, human beings are referred to as bə.nih neє məə "these humans they" (N1:24a), because they are the LVIPs of Ep. 4.
(ii) In another occurrence, Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter is referred to as naay né laə "this lady she" (N2:48a). This may be to give prominence as she was the wife of both the older and younger orphans and the three of them were going to be onstage.
(iii) In one, the younger orphan is referred to as kloว kra? nวэ? nєє laว "this her former husband he" (N2:50). Since he was the first one who swallowed the medicine, he is as prominent as the central participant at that plot point.
(iv) In another occurrence, Mr. Teem Enlee and Mother Gee Ersee are referred to as ?am.braa né ?am.braa "these two they" (N3:98a). Perhaps because they are offstage in the previous nine clauses (N3:95-97f).
(v) Another occurrence is the elephants are referred to as boow ruəh neє maə "this group of elephants they" (N5:41a). Here, they are off-stage in the previous ten clauses (N5:31b-40).
(vi) In another occurrence, Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter and Krung the snake are referred to as ?am.braa naaך neє ?am.braa "(Krung the snake and) this lady they" (N7:44). Since the two of them slept together, the major participant is as prominent as the central participant.
(E) Head noun of possessive phrase:
(i) In one of the two occurrences, the younger orphan is referred to as prr.saaw kra? nos? laz "his former son-in-law he" (N2:38). This may be to remove ambiguity as he and the older orphan are on-stage at that plot point.
(ii) In another occurrence, Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter is referred to as kuən noد? "his child" (N2:39a). This may be to give prominence to Mr. Rich Ruler because he married his daughter to the central participant.
(F) Head noun of possessive phrase with demonstrative followed by pronoun:

The younger orphan is referred to as kloว kra? nวว? neє laə "this her former husband he" once in N2:50. Perhaps for emphasis as he was the first one to swallow the medicine.

### 5.1.4.3 Minor participants in the $S 4$ environment

In the $S 4$ context, the 29 minor participants are referred to with a pronoun (Pro) for 63.64\% of the occurrences, with a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (NDPro) and with a head noun of a possessive phrase followed by a pronoun (PNPro) for $13.64 \%$ each, and with a noun followed by a pronoun (NPro) for $9.09 \%$.

## Tentative Rule for the minor participants in the $S 4$ environment

The minor participants in the $S 4$ environment are more likely to be referred to with pronouns.

The tentative rule covers $63.64 \%$ of references to Minor participants in the S4 environment. The references that do not follow this rule (36.37\%) include the minor participants being referred to by a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (three occurrences) and a head noun of a possessive phrase followed by a pronoun (three occurrences).

In N\#1, the toad is referred to as ?ən.drook neغ "this toad" once (N1:22), perhaps because it is the narrator's evaluation or comment.

In N\#7, the python is referred to as bih nec laə "this snake he" twice (N7:63a,68b). Since he ate another Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter, his thematic role is an agent in relation to the LVIP of Ep. 5 and Ep. 6.

In N\#7, another Mr. Rich Ruler is referred to as bəə? nəว? laə "her father he" (N7:56), as bəə? naaŋ Zən.sooc laə "the youngest daughter's father he" (N7:75), and along with his wife are referred to as mءє? bəə? nэว? тәə "her parents they" (N7:79a). This may be to indicate he is less prominent than his daughter who is a major participant.

Therefore, the rule is revised to:

## Revised Rule for the minor participants in $S 4$ environment

The minor participants in $S 4$ environment are more likely to be referred to with pronouns. However, when their thematic role is an agent in relation to the LVIPs or it is a narrator's evaluation or comment, they may be referred to with nouns with demonstratives followed by pronouns in order to give prominence. In addition, in order to indicate they are less prominent than major participants, they may be referred to with head nouns of possessive phrases.

The revised rule covers $\mathbf{9 0 . 9 2 \%}$ of references to Minor participants in the S4 environment. The two unexpected patterns (9.09\%) present when the minor participants are referred to with a noun followed by a pronoun twice.

Context for each reference not conforming to the revised rule is presented as follows. In one of the two occurrences, some spices of snakes are referred to as boow mé bih.braj bih.vaak bih tut bih.braj tram məə "the cobras, vipers, every cobra they" (N1:20). Perhaps because they are off-stage in the previous nine clauses (N1:13a19). In another occurrence, the villagers are referred to as me์ sruk məə "the villagers they" (N7:46); because they are off-stage for more than twenty clauses.

### 5.1.4.4 Props in the $S 4$ environment

In the $S 4$ context, the 11 props are referred to with a pronoun (Pro) for $80 \%$ of the occurrences and with zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for $20 \%$.

Tentative Rule for props in the $S 4$ environment
Props in the $S 4$ environment are likely to be referred to with pronouns.

The tentative rule covers $80 \%$ of references to Props in the $S 4$ environment. The reference that does not follow this rule (20\%) includes the prop is referred to by zero anaphora once in N3:44c. This may be to indicate the prop is less prominent than the central participant at that plot point.

### 5.1.5 Typical encoding patterns in the 4 subject contexts

In summary, the most and second most typical encoding patterns of the four categories of participants in the four subject contexts are listed in Table 23.

Table 23 Typical encoding patterns in subject contexts

|  | Central participants | Major participants | Minor participants | Props |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro (86.96\%) } \\ & \emptyset(6.96 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro (76.16\%) } \\ & \emptyset(9.88 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro (79.59\%) } \\ & \emptyset(14.29 \%) \end{aligned}$ | Pro (100\%) |
| S2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro (40\%) } \\ & \emptyset(36 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro (37.21\%) } \\ & \emptyset(20.93 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NPro or PNPro (80\%) Ø (20\%) | --- |
| S3 | Pro (79.41\%) NPro (8.82\%) | Pro (55.26\%) NDPro (7.89\%) | Pro (61.11\%) <br> NDPro (16.67\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro (66.67\%) } \\ & \emptyset(3.33 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| S4 | Pro (51.92\%) NPro (15.38\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro (38.46\%) } \\ & \text { N (18.68\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Pro (63.64\%) <br> NDPro (13.64\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro (80\%) } \\ & \emptyset(20 \%) \end{aligned}$ |

Pronoun is the most typical encoding pattern because lighter coding materials can be used after the participants are introduced.

### 5.1.6 Same non-subject as in the previous clause ( $N 1$ context)

The context labeled $N 1$ denotes that the referent is the same non-subject role as that of the previous clause or sentence.

The results of participant reference in the $N 1$ environment are summarized as follows in Table 24.

Table 24 Referring expression in the $N 1$ environment

| Group | Pattern of reference | Central participants <br> (6) | Major participants <br> (26) | Minor participants <br> (29) | Props (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | NDPro/NPro/N | 0/0/2** | 0/0/2 | 0/0/3** | 1/0/6** |
|  |  | 9.52\% | 8\% | 25\% | 21.88\% |
| 2. | PNDPro/PNPro/PN | 0/0/0 | 1/0/2 | 0/0/1 | 0/0/0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 12\% | 8.33\% | 0\% |
| 3. | Pro | 2** | 4** | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 9.52\% | 16\% | 8.33\% | 0\% |
| 4. | $\emptyset$ | 17* | 16* | 7* | 25* |
|  |  | 80.95\% | 64\% | 58.33\% | 78.13\% |
| Total |  | 21 | 25 | 12 | 32 |
|  |  | 99.99\% | 100\% | 99.99\% | 100.01\% |

* greatest occurrence
** second greatest occurrence
Note: "N" stands for noun or noun phrase, "D" stands for demonstrative, "Pro" stands for pronoun, "PN" stands for head or possessed noun, "Ø" stands for zero anaphora. Percentage values round up to two decimal places.

Zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) is the most likely choice for the central (80.95\%) and major (64\%) participants, and props (78.13\%), and it is the secondary choice for the minor participants (58.33\%). There is higher tendency of a noun (N) for the minor participants (25\%) and a pronoun (Pro) for the major participants (16\%).

### 5.1.6.1 Central participants in the $\mathbf{N 1}$ environment

The central participant in $\mathrm{N} \# 5$ does not occur in the N 1 context.
In the $N 1$ context, the 5 central participants in the other narratives are referred to with zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for $80.95 \%$ of the occurrences, with a noun ( N ) and with a pronoun (Pro) for $9.52 \%$ each.

## Tentative Rule for the central participants in the $N 1$ environment

The central participants in the $N 1$ environment are typically referred to with null reference.

The central participants are referred to with null reference either in the object position in clauses or sentences or as the addressees in a quotation formula. This may indicate a tendency for central participants in the object position to be referred to with null reference. The tentative rule covers $\mathbf{8 0 . 9 5 \%}$ of references to Central participants in the N1 environment. The references that do not follow this rule (19.04\%) include the central participants being referred to by a noun or noun phrase (two occurrences) and by a pronoun (two occurrences).

In N\#4, Mr. Orphan is referred to as doow kuən.par.tii "Mr. Orphan" once in N4:21; he is referred to with null reference in the previous two clauses (N4:20a,b).

In N\#7, Krung the snake is referred to as bih "the snake" once in N7:41d; he is the VIP of Ep. 4.

In N\#2, the older orphan is referred to with a pronoun once in N2:56a. Here, since the god slapped the older orphan, the thematic role of the central participant is a patient in relation to the minor participant.

In N\#4, Mr. Orphan is referred to with a pronoun in N4:5. Here, since the five brothers commanded Mr. Orphan to stay home, the thematic role of the central participant is a patient in relation to the major participant.

Thus, the rule is revised to:

## Revised Rule for the central participants in the $N 1$ environment

The central participants in the N1 environment are typically referred to with null reference. However, when they are off-stage in several previous clauses or when they are the VIPs of episodes, they may be referred to with nouns; in addition, when their thematic role is a patient in relation to other participants, they may be referred to with pronouns.

The revised rule covers $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ of references to Central participants in the N1 environment.

### 5.1.6.2 Major participants in the $N 1$ environment

The major participants in N\#5 do not occur in the N1 context.
In the $N 1$ context, the major participants in the other five narratives are referred to with zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for $64 \%$ of the occurrences, with a pronoun (Pro) for $16 \%$, with a noun (N) and with a head noun of a possessive phrase (PN) for $8 \%$ each, and with a head noun of a possessive phrase with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (PNDPro) for 4\%.

## Tentative Rule for the major participants in the N 1 environment

The major participants in the $N 1$ environment are more likely to be referred to with null reference.

The major participants are referred to with null reference either in the object position in clauses or sentences or as the addressees in a quotation formula. The tentative rule covers $\mathbf{6 4 \%}$ of references to Major participants in the N1 environment. The references that do not follow this rule (36\%) include the major participants being referred to by a pronoun (four occurrences).

In N\#2, Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter is referred to with a pronoun once in N2:32c. Here, she is referred to with a head noun of a possessive phrase with a demonstrative naaŋ kuən Pat.naa neq "this rich ruler's daughter" in the same clause, providing anaphoric reference. In another occurrence, the younger orphan and Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter are referred to with pronouns (N2:54b), this occurs at the Peak where the pace of the narrative is rapid.

In $\mathrm{N} \# 4$, the five brothers are referred to with a pronoun once in $\mathrm{N} 4: 7$. Since they are on-stage from the beginning of the episode, it provides anaphoric reference.

In N\#7, another Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter is referred to with a pronoun once in N7:83b. Here, she is on-stage in the previous eleven clauses (N7:77b-83a), it may provide anaphoric reference.

The rule is therefore revised to:
Revised Rule for the major participants in the $N 1$ environment
The major participants in the N1 environment are more likely to be referred to with null reference. However, when they are on-stage in several previous clauses or because of the rapid pace at the Peak, they may be referred to with pronouns.

The revised rule covers $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ of references to Major participants in the N1 environment. The five unexpected patterns (20\%) present when the major participants are referred to by a noun or noun phrase (two occurrences) and a head noun of a possessive phrase (two occurrences), and by a head noun of a possessive phrase with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (one occurrence).

Context for each reference not conforming to the revised rule is presented as follows.
(A) Noun or Noun phrase:
(i-ii) Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter is referred to as naaך ?an.sooc "the youngest daughter" (N7:60b) and as kuən "child" (N7:83b). In N7:60b, she is the LVIP of Ep. 5. In N7:83b, this may be to denote she was adopted by Krung the snake and Mr. Rich Ruler.
(B) Head noun of possessive phrase:
(i-ii) Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter is referred to as trii krap nวว2 "his former wife" (N2:43) and as trii noว? "his wife" (N2:59b). In N2:43, this may be to denote her relationship with the younger orphan. In N2:59b, this may be to indicate she is less prominent than the central participant.
(C) Head noun of possessive phrase with demonstrative followed by pronoun:

Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter is referred to as naan kuən Rat.naa né "this Rich Ruler's daughter" once (N2:32c). Perhaps for emphasis as she is the LVIP of Ep. 4.

### 5.1.6.3 Minor participants in the $\mathbf{N} 1$ environment

The minor participants in N\#1 and N\#5 do not occur in the N1 context.
In the $N 1$ context, the minor participants in the other four narratives are referred to with zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for $58.33 \%$ of the occurrences, with a noun ( N ) for $25 \%$, with a head noun of a possessive phrase (PN) and with a pronoun (Pro) for $8.33 \%$ of occurrences.

## Tentative Rule for the minor participants in the $\mathbf{N} 1$ environment

The minor participants in the N1 environment are likely to be referred to with null reference.

The minor participants are referred to with null reference either in the object position in clauses or sentences or as the addressees in a quotation formula. The tentative rule covers $\mathbf{5 8 . 3 3 \%}$ of references to Minor participants in the N1
environment. The references that do not follow this rule (41.66\%) include the minor participants being referred to by a noun (three occurrences). In all three occurrences, the python is referred to as bih "the snake" (N7:57,58,79b). In N7:57,58, this may be to give prominence since the python was going to eat another Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter. The python's thematic role is an agent in relation to the LVIP of Ep. 5. In N7:79b, the python is not referenced in the previous or following clauses (N7:79a,80).

The rule is therefore revised to:

## Revised Rule for the minor participants in the $N 1$ environment

The minor participants in the N1 environment are likely to be referred to with null reference. However, when they are not referenced in the following clause or when their thematic role is an agent in relation to the LVIP, they may be referred to with nouns.

The revised rule covers $\mathbf{8 3 . 3 3 \%}$ of references to Minor participants in the N1 environment. The two unexpected patterns ( $16.66 \%$ ) present when the minor participants are referred to by a head noun of a possessive phrase (one occurrence) and by a pronoun (one occurrence).

Context for each reference not conforming to the revised rule is presented as follows.
(A) Head noun of possessive phrase:

The wife snake is referred to as trii nэว? "his wife" once in N2:16b (see example 78).

| (78) | laว | ha ?ววm | trii | nวว? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3S | smell.good | wife | $3 S$ |

He smelled (and realized that it is) his wife,

| Pع $\varepsilon$ | la | taj | тәд | froy | trii | nov? | tig |  | әr.əวəŋ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| then | 3S | see | 3P(pl) | grill | wife | 3S | Loc |  | rill |

then he saw his wife was being grilled by them[someone].
More research is needed to investigate the reason why the wife snake is referred to as trii nəo? "his wife" in two consecutive clauses.
(B) Pronoun:

The wife snake is referred to with a relative pronoun in $\mathrm{N} 2: 10$ a (see example 79). More research is needed in order to explain this pattern of reference.

| (79) | $2 \varepsilon \varepsilon$ | lว | fal | $p e n$ | Pวn.noow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | then | 3S | cut.into.two | to.be.like | round.piece |
|  | no? | klวəm | klaak dəəm | crr.laat | bih |
|  | Rel | liver | bowel and | slice | snake |

Then he cut into [round] pieces, whose flesh[liver and bowel], and sliced the snake,

### 5.1.6.4 Props in the $\mathbf{N} 1$ environment

In the $N 1$ context, the 11 props are referred to with zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for $78.13 \%$ of the occurrences, with a noun ( N ) for $18.75 \%$, and with a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (NDPro) for $3.13 \%$.

Tentative Rule for props in the $\boldsymbol{N} 1$ environment
Props in the N1 environment are more likely to be referred to with null reference.

When props are in the object position in clauses or sentences, they tend to be referred to with null reference. The tentative rule covers $\mathbf{7 8 . 1 3 \%}$ of references to Props in the $N 1$ environment. The references that do not follow this rule (21.88\%) include the prop being referred to by a noun (six occurrences). In one of the six occurrences, the deer is referred to as fuuj "a deer" ( $\mathrm{N} 4: 11$ ). This may be to indicate a specific deer. In five other occurrences, the sun is referred to as mat.ta. 7 aj "the sun" ( $\mathrm{N} 4: 52-55,58$ ), because it is very prominent in Ep. 5.

Therefore, the rule is revised to:

## Revised Rule for props in the $N 1$ environment

Props in the $N 1$ environment are more likely to be referred to with null reference. However, when they are specifically referenced or when they are the LVIPs, they may be referred to with nouns.

The revised rule covers $\mathbf{9 6 . 8 8 \%}$ of references to Props in the $N 1$ environment. The one unexpected pattern (3.13\%) occurs when the prop is referred to as fuuj $n \varepsilon \varepsilon$ "this deer" in N4:12 (see example 80).

Context for each reference not conforming to the revised rule is presented as follows.
(80) $\uparrow \varepsilon \varepsilon$ тәə taj brəэj maat fuuj Pin
(N4:11)
then $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ see immediately really deer exophoric
Then they really saw a deer.

アєє тәə pєл brээj диuj nєє
then $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ shoot immediately deer this
Then they shot this deer immediately.
More research is needed to explain this pattern of reference as the deer is referred to with a noun in the previous sentence (N4:11).

### 5.1.7 Non-subject was a subject in the previous direct speech

## ( N 2 context)

The context labeled $N 2$ denotes that the addressee of a quotation was the subject (speaker) of a speech reported in the previous clause or sentence.

The results of participant reference in the $N 2$ environment are summarized as follows in Table 25.

Table 25 Referring expression in the $\mathbf{N} 2$ environment

| Group | Pattern of reference | Central participants <br> (6) | Major participants (26) | Minor participants (29) | Props <br> (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | NDPro/NPro/N | 0/0/0 | 0/0/0 | 0/0/0 | 0/0/0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2. | PNDPro/PNPro/PN | 0/0/0 | 0/0/1** | 0/0/0 | 0/0/0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 2.94\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 3. | Pro | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 4. | $\emptyset$ | 24* | 33* | 6* | 0 |
|  |  | 100\% | 97.06\% | 100\% | 0\% |
| Total |  | 24 | 34 | 6 | 0 |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% |

* greatest occurrence
** second greatest occurrence
Note: "N" stands for noun or noun phrase, "D" stands for demonstrative, "Pro" stands for pronoun, "PN" stands for head or possessed noun, " $\emptyset$ " stands for zero anaphora. Percentage values round up to two decimal places.

Due to their non-active roles in the narratives, props are not involved in the speech acts and do not occur in the N2 context.

Zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) is typically for the central (100\%), major (97.06\%), and minor participants (100\%).

### 5.1.7.1 Central participants in the $N 2$ environment

The central participant in $\mathrm{N} \# 2$ does not occur in the $N 2$ context.
In the $N 2$ context, the 5 central participants are referred to with zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for $100 \%$ of the occurrences.

The proposed draft of a rule to capture the general pattern is:
Tentative Rule for the central participants in the $\mathbf{N} 2$ environment
The central participants in the $N 2$ environment are typically referred to with null reference.

The central participants are referred to with null reference when they are the addressees in a quotation formula. The tentative rule covers $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ of references to Central participants in the N2 environment.

### 5.1.7.2 Major participants in the $\mathbf{N} 2$ environment

The major participants in $\mathrm{N} \# 1$ and $\mathrm{N} \# 4$ do not occur in the N 2 context.
In the $N 2$ context, the major participants in the other four narratives are referred to with zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for $97.06 \%$ of the occurrences and with a head noun of a possessive phrase (PN) for $2.94 \%$.

Tentative Rule for the major participants in the $\mathbf{N} 2$ environment The major participants in the $N 2$ environment are typically referred to with null reference.

The major participants are generally referred to with null reference when they are the addressees in a quotation formula. The tentative rule covers $\mathbf{9 7 . 0 6 \%}$ of references to Major participants in the N2 environment. The reference that does not follow this rule (2.94\%) includes Mr. Rich Ruler is referred to using a head noun of a possessive phrase bəa? noว? "her father" once in N3:146. This may be in order to give prominence to his youngest daughter as she is the LVIP of Ep. 11.

### 5.1.7.3 Minor participants in the $\mathbf{N} 2$ environment

The minor participants in N\#1, N\#2, and N\#5 do not occur in the N2 context.
In the $N 2$ context, the minor participants in the other three narratives are referred to with zero anaphora ( $\emptyset$ ) for $100 \%$ of the occurrences.

The proposed draft of a rule to capture the general pattern is:
Tentative Rule for the minor participants in the $\mathbf{N} 2$ environment
The minor participants in the $N 2$ environment are typically referred to with null reference.

The tentative rule covers $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ of references to Minor participants in the N2 environment. The minor participants are referred to with null reference when they are the addressees in a quotation formula.

### 5.1.8 Non-subject was a subject in the previous clause (N3 context)

The context labeled $N 3$ denotes that the referent was involved in the previous clause or sentence in a different role than that covered by $N 2$.

The results of participant reference in the $N 3$ environment are summarized as follows in Table 26.

Table 26 Referring expression in the N3 environment

| Group | Pattern of reference | Central participants <br> (6) | Major participants (26) | Minor participants (29) | Props <br> (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | NDPro/NPro/N | 0/1/4 | 1/0/7** | 0/0/1 | 0/0/0 |
|  |  | 17.24\% | 22.22\% | 12.50\% | 0\% |
| 2. | PNDPro/PNPro/PN | 0/2/0 | 0/1/0 | 0/0/0 | 0/0/2* |
|  |  | 6.90\% | 2.78\% | 0\% | 50\% |
| 3. | Pro | 9** | 6** | 4* | 0 |
|  |  | 31.03\% | 16.67\% | 50\% | 0\% |
| 4. | $\emptyset$ | 13* | 21* | 3** | 2* |
|  |  | 44.83\% | 58.33\% | 37.50\% | 50\% |
| Total |  | 29 | 36 | 8 | 4 |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

* greatest occurrence
** second greatest occurrence
Note: "N" stands for noun or noun phrase, "D" stands for demonstrative, "Pro" stands for pronoun, "PN" stands for head or possessed noun, " $\emptyset$ " stands for zero anaphora. Percentage values round up to two decimal places.

Zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) is the most likely choice for the major participants (58.33\%) and props $(50 \%)$ and the secondary choice for the central (44.83\%) and minor (37.50\%) participants. A pronoun (Pro) is the most likely choice for the minor participants (50\%) and the secondary choice for the central participants (31.03\%). In addition, there is higher tendency of a noun ( N ) for the major participants (19.44\%).

### 5.1.8.1 Central participants in the $N 3$ environment

In the $N 3$ context, the 6 central participants are referred to with zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for $44.83 \%$ of the occurrences, with a pronoun (Pro) for $31.03 \%$, with a noun (N) for $13.79 \%$, with a noun followed by a pronoun (NPro) for $3.45 \%$, and with a head noun of a possessive phrase followed by a pronoun (PNPro) for 6.90\%.

## Tentative Rule for the central participants in the N3 environment

The central participants in the $N 3$ environment are likely to be referred to with null reference.

The central participants are generally referred to with null reference when they are either in the object position in clauses or sentences or as the addressees in a quotation formula. The tentative rule covers 44.83\% of references to Central participants in the $N 3$ environment. The references that do not follow this rule (55.17\%) include the central participants being referred to by a pronoun (nine occurrences).

In N\#2, in two of the nine occurrences, the older orphan is referred to with a pronoun (N2:13,60a). Since he is on-stage in several previous clauses (N2:8a-12,59ab), this provides anaphoric reference. In another occurrence, since Mr. Rich Ruler promised to marry his daughter to the older orphan ( $\mathrm{N} 2: 31$ ), the thematic role of the central participant is a patient in relation to the major participant.

In N\#3, Mr. Cegruej is referred to with a pronoun five times (N3:2b,14b,77a,86a,107c). In N3:2b, since he is referred to as doow thaaw ca.gruaj né laz "this Mr. Cegruej he" in the previous clause (N3:2a), this may provide anaphoric reference. In N3:14b,77a,86a,107c, because he is on-stage in several previous clauses (N2:12a-14a,75-76b,82d-85,106b-107b), this provides anaphoric reference.

In N\#4, Mr. Orphan is referred to with a pronoun in N4:42. Here he is referred to as doow kuan.par.tii "Mr. Orphan" in the same sentence, which provides anaphoric reference.

Thus, the rule is revised to:

## Revised Rule for the central participants in the N3 environment

The central participants in the N3 environment are likely to be referred to with null reference. However, when they are on-stage in several previous clauses or when they are referred to by a heavier coding material in the previous clause or when their thematic role is a patient in relation to another participant, they may be referred to with pronouns.

The revised rule covers $\mathbf{7 5 . 8 6 \%}$ of references to Central participants in the N3 environment. The seven unexpected patterns (24.14\%) present when the central participants are referred to by a noun or noun phrase (four occurrences), by a head
noun of a possessive phrase followed by a pronoun (two occurrences), and by a noun followed by a pronoun (one occurrence).

Context for each reference not conforming to the revised rule is presented as follows. (A) Noun or Noun phrase:
(i) In one of the four occurrences, Mr. Cegruej is referred to as doow thaaw cว.gruəj "Mr. Cegruej" (N3:9). This may be motivated by removing ambiguity since he along with his six older brothers are referred to with a pronoun in the previous five clauses (N3:7a-8c).
(ii) In another occurrence, Lady Baya is referred to as naay ba.jaa "Lady Baya" ( $\mathrm{N} 5: 38$ ). This may be motivated by removing ambiguity since she and Grandma Gecko, both female participants, are on-stage at that plot point.
(iii-iv) In two other occurrences, Krung the snake is referred to as klo3 "the husband" (N7:50a) and kruy bih "Krung the snake" (N7:83a). In N7:50a, this may be to indicate he is less prominent than his wife as she was going to burn his snake shirt. His thematic role is a patient in relation to the major participant. In N7:83a, he is referred to with null reference in the previous sentence ( $\mathrm{N} 7: 82$ ).
(B) Head noun of possessive phrase followed by pronoun:
(i) In one of the two occurrences, the older orphan is referred to as kloว hən.dəəm naan "the lady's new husband" (N2:55); here the stage is crowded at the Peak.
(ii) In another occurrence, Mr. Cegruej is referred to as kuən nəэ? laə "her child he" (N3:119a). Since his mother was going to allow him to go fishing, his thematic role is a patient in relation to the major participant.
(C) Noun followed by pronoun:

Mr. Cegruej is referred to as thaaw laz "Mr. he" once (N3:131). More research is needed to explain this pattern of reference.

### 5.1.8.2 Major participants in the $N 3$ environment

In the $N 3$ context, the 26 major participants are referred to with zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for $58.33 \%$ of the occurrences, with a noun (N) for $19.44 \%$, with a pronoun (Pro) for $16.67 \%$ and with a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (NDP) and with a head noun of a possessive phrase followed by a pronoun (PNPro) for $2.78 \%$ each.

## Tentative Rule for the major participants in the N3 environment

The major participants in the N3 environment are more likely to be referred to with null reference.

The major participants are generally referred to with null reference when they are either in the object position in clauses or sentences or as the addressees in a quotation formula. The tentative rule covers 58.33\% of references to Major participants in the $N 3$ environment. The references that do not follow this rule ( $41.67 \%$ ) include the major participants being referred to by a noun or noun phrase (seven occurrences).

In N\#1, the poisonous snakes are referred to as bih "the snake" once (N1:21a). This may be to generalize all kinds of the poisonous snakes.

In N\#3, all four occurrences are unexpected patterns.
In N\#7, another Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter is referred to as naan Zan.sooc "the youngest daughter" once (N7:60a) and as kuən "child" (N7:83b). In N7:60a, she is the LVIP of Ep. 5. In N7:83b, this may be to indicate she was adopted by Krung the snake and Mr. Rich Ruler.

The rule is therefore revised to:

## Revised Rule for the major participants in the N3 environment

The major participants in the N3 environment are more likely to be referred to with null reference. However, when they are referenced generically or by kin terms or when they are the LVIPs of an episode, they may be referred to with nouns.

The revised rule covers $\mathbf{6 6 . 6 6 \%}$ of references to Major participants in the N3 environment. The twelve unexpected patterns ( $33.34 \%$ ) present when the major participants are referred to by a pronoun (six occurrences), by a noun or noun phrase (four occurrences), by a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (one occurrence), and by a head noun of a possessive phrase followed by a pronoun (one occurrence).

Context for each reference not conforming to the revised rule is presented as follows. (A) Pronoun:
(i) In one of the six occurrences, Mr. Cegruej's six older brothers are referred to with a pronoun (N3:47b). Here, they are on-stage in the previous four clauses (N3:45a-47a).
(ii) Another occurs in N3:129 where Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter is onstage in the previous five clauses ( $\mathrm{N} 3: 125-128 \mathrm{~b}$ ).
(iii) Another occurs in N4:19b, because the five brothers are on-stage in the previous fifteen clauses (N4:7-19a).
(iv) Another occurs in N5:47a; here, Grandma Gecko is referred to as tok.kes laə "the gecko she" in the previous sentence (N7:46).
(v) Another occurs in N7:41d where Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter is onstage in the previous two clauses (N7:41b,c).
(vi) Another occurs in N7:79a where another Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter is on-stage in the previous five clauses (N7:77b-78b). In all six occurrences, anaphoric reference is provided for participant identification.
(B) Noun or Noun phrase:
(i-iv) Mr. Cegruej's second older brother is referred to as ba? tii.baar "the second man" (N3:57), Mr. Cegruej's fourth older brother is referred to as ba? tii.puan "the fourth man" (N3:61), Mr. Cegruej's fifth older brother is referred to as bə? tii.səəク "the fifth man" (N3:63), and Mr. Cegruej's sixth older brother is referred to as ba? tii.troow "the sixth man" (N3:65). More research is needed to explain why not all Mr. Cegruej's six older brothers are referred to with a noun at that plot point.
(C) Noun with demonstrative followed by pronoun:

The younger orphan is referred to as doow kuən.par.tii né laa "this orphan he" once in N2:6 where he is the LVIP of Ep. 1.
(D) Head noun of possessive phrase followed by pronoun:

The major participant is referred to as kuən nวว? laz "his child she" in N3:128a (see example 81).

| (81) loว laa har bəว八 nэว? la m tiih hos |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

3S ask Loc father 3S 3S-Irr go.down bathe

She asked her father she would go down to bathe.


This Mr. Rich Ruler he saw his child she insisted to go,

Perhaps because Mr. Rich Ruler is the subject in N3:128a, his youngest daughter is referred to with a possessed noun 'his child'. As if Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter is the subject in N3:127, so Mr. Rich Ruler is referred to with a possessed noun 'her father.'

### 5.1.8.3 Minor participants in the $\mathbf{N} 3$ environment

The minor participants in N\#4 and N\#5 do not occur in the N3 context.

In the $N 3$ context, the minor participants in the other four narratives are referred to with a pronoun (Pro) for $50 \%$ of the occurrences, with zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for $37.50 \%$, and with a noun (N) for $12.50 \%$.

Tentative Rule for the minor participants in the N3 environment
The minor participants in the N3 environment are likely to be referred to with pronouns.

The tentative rule covers $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ of references to Minor participants in the N3 environment. The references that do not follow this rule (50\%) include the minor participants being referred to by zero anaphora (three occurrences).

In N\#1, the zero anaphora occurs in N1:17. Here the toad is on-stage in the previous three sentences (N1:14-16), which provides anaphoric reference.

In N\#7, the zero anaphora occurs in N7:9. Since Mr. Rich Ruler's wife is referred to as trii nวว? laa "his wife she" in the previous sentence (N7:8), this may provide anaphoric reference. Another occurrence is an unexpected pattern.

Therefore, the rule is revised to:

## Revised Rule for the minor participants in the N3 environment

The minor participants in the N3 environment are likely to be referred to with pronouns. However, when they are on-stage in several previous clauses or when they are referred to by a heavier coding material in the previous clause or sentence, null reference provides sufficient anaphoric reference for the rest of the episode.

The revised rule covers $75 \%$ of references to Minor participants in the N3 environment. The two unexpected patterns (25\%) present when the minor participants are referred to by a noun or noun phrase (one occurrence) and by zero anaphora (one occurrence).

Context for each reference not conforming to the revised rule is presented as follows.
(A) Noun or Noun phrase:

The termite is referred to as kən.tior "the termite" once in N3:81b. Since he rescued Mr. Cegruej, his thematic role is an agent in relation to the central participant.
(B) Null reference:

Mr. Rich Ruler is referred to with null reference in a quotation formula in N7:15a. More research is needed to explain this pattern of reference.

### 5.1.8.4 Props in the $N 3$ environment

Props in N\#3 do not occur in the N3 context.
In the N3 context, props in the other three narratives are referred to with zero anaphora ( $\emptyset$ ) and with a head noun of a possessive phrase (PN) for $50 \%$ of the occurrences.

A tentative rule cannot be proposed because the data is ambiguous.

### 5.1.9 Non-subject does not occur in the previous sentence (N4 context)

The context labeled $N 4$ denotes that non-subject references other than those covered by N1-N3 of the current clause or sentence.

The results of participant reference in the $N 4$ environment are summarized as follows in Table 27.

Table 27 Referring expression in the $N 4$ environment

| Group | Pattern of reference | Central participants <br> (6) | Major participants (26) | Minor participants (29) | Props <br> (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | NDPro/NPro/N | 0/0/10** | 1/0/8 | 0/2/7* | 0/0/9** |
|  |  | 25.64\% | 19.57\% | 40.91\% | 33.33\% |
| 2. | PNDPro/PNPro/PN | 0/0/3 | 0/1/17** | 0/0/7* | 0/0/2 |
|  |  | 7.69\% | 39.13\% | 31.82\% | 7.41\% |
| 3. | Pro | 9 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
|  |  | 23.08\% | 10.87\% | 9.09\% | 0\% |
| 4. | $\emptyset$ | 17* | 14* | 4** | 16* |
|  |  | 43.59\% | 30.43\% | 18.18\% | 59.26\% |
| Total |  | 39 | 46 | 22 | 27 |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

* greatest occurrence
** second greatest occurrence
Note: "N" stands for noun or noun phrase, "D" stands for demonstrative, "Pro" stands for pronoun, "PN" stands for head or possessed noun, "Ø" stands for zero anaphora. Percentage values round up to two decimal places.

Zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) is the most likely choice for the central participants (43.59\%) and props (59.26\%). A head noun of a possessive phrase (PN) is the most likely choice for the major participants (36.96\%) and a noun ( N ) and a head noun of a possessive phrase (PN) are the most likely choice for the minor participants (31.82\%). In addition, there is higher tendency of zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for the major participants $(30.43 \%)$ and a noun $(\mathrm{N})$ for the central participants (25.64\%) and props (33.33\%).

### 5.1.9.1 Central participants in the $N 4$ environment

In the $N 4$ context, the 6 central participants are referred to with zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for $43.59 \%$ of the occurrences, with a noun ( N ) for $25.64 \%$, with a pronoun (Pro) for $23.08 \%$, and with a head noun of a possessive phrase (PN) for $7.69 \%$.

## Tentative Rule for the central participants in the $\mathbf{N} 4$ environment

The central participants in the $N 4$ environment are likely to be referred to with null reference.

The central participants are referred to with null reference when they are either in the object position in clauses or sentences or as the addressees in a quotation formula. The tentative rule covers $\mathbf{4 3 . 5 9 \%}$ of references to Central participants in the $N 4$ environment. The references that do not follow this rule (56.41\%) include the central participants being referred to by a noun (ten occurrences).

In N\#1, the python is referred to as bih "the snake" once (N1:23c). This may be to remove ambiguity since he and the crow, both male participants, are on-stage at that plot point.

In N\#2, the older orphan is referred to as doow kuən.pər.tii "the orphan" once (N2:35). This may be to remove ambiguity as he and Mr. Rich Ruler, both male participants, are on-stage at that plot point.

In N\#3, Mr. Cegruej is referred to as doow thaaw ca.gruaj "Mr. Cegruej" (N3:67) and as ca.gruaj "Cegruej" (N3:112c). In N3:67, he is off-stage in the previous fourteen clauses (N3:54-66). In N3:112c, he is off-stage in the previous three clauses (N3:111112b).

In N\#5, Lady Baya is referred to as naay ba.jaa "Lady Baya" once in N5:7. Perhaps because she is off-stage in the previous four clauses (N5:4a-6).

In N\#7, Krung the snake is referred to as bih.kra? tih "a big python" (N7:33b), as bih "the snake" (N7:41a,c,48a), and as kruך bih "Krung the snake" (N7:73). In N7:33b, he is the VIP of Ep. 3. In N7:41a,c,48a, he is the VIP of Ep. 4. In N7:73, he is offstage for more than twenty clauses ( $\mathrm{N} 7: 52-72 \mathrm{c}$ ).

Thus, the rule is revised to:

## Revised Rule for the central participants in the $\mathbf{N 4}$ environment

The central participants in the $N 4$ environment are likely to be referred to with null reference. However, when they are off-stage in several previous clauses or when they are on-stage with other participants of same gender at a particular plot point or when they are the VIPs of episodes, they may be referred to with nouns.

The revised rule covers $\mathbf{6 9 . 2 3 \%}$ of references to Central participants in the N4 environment. The twelve unexpected patterns (30.77\%) present when the central
participants are referred to by a pronoun (nine occurrences) and by a head noun of a possessive phrase (three occurrences).

Context for each reference not conforming to the revised rule is presented as follows.
(A) Pronoun:
(i-ii) In two of the nine occurrences, the older orphan is referred to with a pronoun (N2:29a,40a). In N2:29a, perhaps because he is less prominent than Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter who is the LVIP of Ep. 4. In N2:40a, since he is on-stage in the previous two clauses ( $\mathrm{N} 2: 39 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ), this provides anaphoric reference.
(iii-viii) In six other occurrences, Mr. Cegruej is referred to with a pronoun (N3:33a,34b,70a,99a,101b,144a). He is on-stage in several previous clauses (N3:32a-34a,67-69,94b-101a,139-143), which may provide anaphoric reference.
(ix) In another occurrence, Mr. Orphan is referred to with a pronoun (N4:19b). Since he is referred to as doow kuən.pər.tii nəว? "the orphan he" in N4:18, this may provide anaphoric reference.
(B) Head noun of possessive phrase:
(i-ii) In two of the three occurrences, the older orphan is referred to as kloo hən.dəəт nэว? "her new husband" (N2:48b) and as klวэ nэว? "her husband" (N2:52b). In N2:48b, this may be to remove ambiguity since he and the younger orphan, both were Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter's husbands, are on-stage at that plot point. In N2:52b, this may be to give prominence to Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter because she is the subject in that clause.
(iii) In another occurrence, Mr. Cegruej is referred to as par.saaw noว? "his son-in-law" (N3:158a). This may be to give prominence to Mr. Rich Ruler as he is the LVIP of Ep. 12.

### 5.1.9.2 Major participants in the $N 4$ environment

In the $N 4$ context, the 26 major participants are referred to with a head noun of a possessive phrase (PN) for $36.96 \%$ of the occurrences, with zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for $30.43 \%$, with a noun (N) for $17.39 \%$, with a pronoun (Pro) for $10.87 \%$, and with a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (NDPro) and with a head noun of a possessive phrase followed by a pronoun (PNPro) for $2.17 \%$ each.

## Tentative Rule for the major participants in the $N 4$ environment

The major participants in the $N 4$ environment are likely to be referred to with head nouns of possessive phrases.

The tentative rule covers $\mathbf{3 6 . 9 6 \%}$ of references to Major participants in the N4 environment. The references that do not follow this rule (63.04\%) include the major participants being referred to by zero anaphora (fourteen occurrences).

In $\mathrm{N} \# 2$, the two zero anaphoras occur in $\mathrm{N} 2: 34 \mathrm{a}, 48 \mathrm{~b}$ where Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter is in the object position. In N2:34a, she is referred to with a pronoun in the following clause (N2:34b), which may provide cataphoric reference. In N2:48b, she is referred to as naan nev loa "this lady she" in the previous clause ( $\mathrm{N} 2: 48 \mathrm{a}$ ), which provides anaphoric reference.

In N\#3, the four occurrences are in N3:68b,72,136,155d where the major participants are the addressees in a quotation formula.

In N\#4, one occurs in N4:6b where the five brothers are in the object position and is referred to with a pronoun in the following sentence (N4:7), this may provide cataphoric reference. Another occurs in N4:27 where Goong Miin is the addressee in a quotation formula and is referred to as ?uu? "older brother" in the quote.

In N\#5, the zero anaphora occurs in N5:20. Here, Thaaw is the addressee in a quotation formula.

In $\mathrm{N} \# 7$, the four occurrences are in $\mathrm{N} 7: 30,33 \mathrm{c}, 52,66$ where the major participants are the addressees in a quotation formula. Another occurs in N7:76a; here, another Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter is in the object position.

So, the major participants are referred to with null reference when they are either in the object position in clauses or sentences or as the addressees in a quotation formula.

The rule is therefore revised to:

## Revised Rule for the major participants in the N4 environment

The major participants in the $N 4$ environment are likely to be referred to with head nouns of possessive phrases. However, when they are in the object position in clauses or sentences or are the addressees in a quotation formula, they may be referred to with null reference.

The revised rule covers $\mathbf{6 7 . 3 9 \%}$ of references to Major participants in the N4 environment. The fifteen unexpected patterns (32.61\%) present when the major
participants are referred to by a noun or noun phrase (eight occurrences), by a pronoun (five occurrences), by a noun with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun (one occurrence) and by a head noun of a possessive phrase followed by a pronoun (one occurrence).

Context for each reference not conforming to the revised rule is presented as follows.
(A) Noun or Noun phrase:
(i-ii) In two of the eight occurrences, Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter is referred to as naay "the lady" (N2:32b) and as trii "wife" (N2:59a). In N2:32b, she is referred to as naay kuәn Pat.naa neغ "this rich ruler's daughter" in the following clause, it may provide cataphoric reference. In N2:59a, the older orphan is the subject in that clause; consequently he is referenced as the possessor of a possessive phrase.
(iii) In another occurrence, the elephants are referred to as ruzh "the elephant" (N5:31a), perhaps because they are off-stage in the previous two sentences ( $\mathrm{N} 5: 29,30$ ).
(iv) In one occurrence, Grandma Gecko is referred to as ja? tık.keع "grandma gecko" (N5:40). This may be to give her prominence since she is the LVIP of Ep. 4.
(v) In another occurrence, the elephants are referred to as $m \in \mathcal{E}$ ruoh "the elephants" (N5:48a). More research is needed in order to explain this pattern of reference.
(vi-vii) In two other occurrences, Mr. Rich Ruler is referred to as ja? ?at.naa "Mr. Rich Ruler" (N7:23,83a), perhaps because he is off-stage in several previous clauses (N7:21a-22b,53-82).
(viii) In another occurrence, Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter is referred to as bə.nih "human" (N7:48a). This may be to indicate she is a human who married a snake.
(B) Pronoun:
(i) In one of the five occurrences, Mr. Rich Ruler's daughter and the younger orphan are referred to with a pronoun (N2:54a), this occurs at the Peak where the pace of the narrative is rapid.
(ii) In another occurrence, the five brothers are referred to with a pronoun (N4:3). Since they are referred to with proper nouns in N4:1, this may provide anaphoric reference.
(iii-iv) In two other occurrences, the elephants are referred to with a pronoun (N5:49,56a). Here, they are on-stage in several previous clauses (N5:44-55), which provides anaphoric reference.
(v) In another occurrence, another Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter along with the python are referred to with a pronoun (N7:67b). Further studies are needed to investigate this pattern of reference.
(C) Noun with demonstrative followed by pronoun:

Another Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter is referred to as ba.nih $1 \varepsilon \varepsilon$ "that human" (N7:69). This may be to give her prominence since she is the LVIP of Ep. 6.
(D) Head noun of possessive phrase followed by pronoun:

Mr. Rich Ruler's youngest daughter is referred to as kuən nəэ? laz "his child she" (N3:145a), perhaps because Mr. Rich Ruler is the subject of the clause.

### 5.1.9.3 Minor participants in the $N 4$ environment

The minor participants in $\mathrm{N} \# 3$ and $\mathrm{N} \# 4$ do not occur in the $N 4$ context.

In the $N 4$ context, the minor participants in the other four narratives are referred to with a noun ( N ) and with a head noun of a possessive phrase (PN) for $31.82 \%$ of the occurrences, with zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for $18.18 \%$, and with a noun followed by a pronoun (NPro) and with a pronoun (Pro) for $9.09 \%$ each.

## Tentative Rule for the minor participants in the $N 4$ environment

The minor participants in N4 environment are likely to be referred to with nouns or head nouns of possessive clauses.

The tentative rule covers $\mathbf{6 3 . 6 4 \%}$ of references to Minor participants in the $N 4$ environment. The references that do not follow this rule (36.36\%) include the minor participants being referred to by zero anaphora (four occurrences).

In $\mathrm{N} \# 1$, the zero anaphora occurs in N 1 :4a where the human beings are in the object position.

In N\#7, one occurrence is in N7:36a where Mr. Rich Ruler's daughters are in the object position. Another two occurrences are in N7:56,61c where the minor participants are the addressees in a quotation formula.

So, the minor participants are referred to with null reference when they are either in the object position in clauses or sentences or as the addressees in a quotation formula.

Therefore, the rule is revised to:

## Revised Rule for the minor participants in the $N 4$ environment

The minor participants in the $N 4$ environment are likely to be referred to with nouns or head nouns of possessive phrases. However, when they are in the object position in clauses or sentences or when they are the addressees in a quotation formula, they may be referred to with null reference.

The revised rule covers $\mathbf{8 1 . 8 2 \%}$ of references to Minor participants in the N4 environment. The four unexpected patterns (18.18\%) present when the minor participants are referred to by a noun followed by a pronoun (two occurrences) and by a pronoun (two occurrences).

Context for each reference not conforming to the revised rule is presented as follows.
(A) Noun followed by pronoun:
(i-ii) In the two occurrences, the husband snake is referred to as bih tih loa "the big snake he" (N2:15) and as bih "snake" (N2:20b). In N2:15, this may be to remove ambiguity because he and the older orphan, both male participants, are on-stage at that plot point. In N2:20b, this may be to remove ambiguity as he and his wife are referred to with a pronoun in $\mathrm{N} 2: 19$.
(B) Pronoun:
(i-ii) The minor participants are referred to with a pronoun twice in N2:32b,33. More research is needed to explain the reason for this pattern of reference.

### 5.1.9.4 Props in the $N 4$ environment

In the $N 4$ context, the 11 props are referred to with zero anaphora ( $\varnothing$ ) for $59.26 \%$ of the occurrences, with a noun ( N ) for $33.33 \%$, and with a head noun of a possessive phrase (PN) for 7.41\%.

Tentative Rule for props in the $\mathbf{N} 4$ environment
Props in the $N 4$ environment are likely to be referred to with null reference.

When props are in the object position, they tend to be referred to with null reference. The tentative rule covers $\mathbf{5 9 . 2 6 \%}$ of references to Props in the N4 environment. The references that do not follow this rule (40.74\%) include props are referred to by a noun (nine occurrences).

In N\#2, the medicine is referred to as fraaw "medicine" twice (N2:20b,32a). Perhaps because it is off-stage in several previous clauses (N2:18b-20a, 26b-31).

In N\#4, the deer is referred to as fuuj "deer" ( $\mathrm{N} 4: 8 \mathrm{~b}, 10 \mathrm{~b}, 22 \mathrm{~b}, 42$ ) four times. perhaps because it is off-stage in several previous clauses (N4:4b-8a,9-10a,21-22a,25-41b). In another occurrence, the elephant is referred to as ruah "elephant" (N4:42) where it is off-stage in the previous five clauses (N4:38-41b). In two other occurrences, the sun is referred to as mat.ta. yj "sun" ( $\mathrm{N} 4: 51,59 \mathrm{c}$ ) where it is very prominent in Ep. 5.

The rule is therefore revised to:

## Revised Rule for the pros in the N4 environment

Props in the N4 environment are likely to be referred to with null reference. However, when they are off-stage in several previous clauses or when they are very prominent, they may be referred to with nouns.

The revised rule covers $\mathbf{9 2 . 5 9 \%}$ of references to Props in the $N 4$ environment. The two unexpected patterns ( $7.41 \%$ ) present when props are referred to by a head noun of a possessive phrase twice. In one of the two occurrences, the medicine is referred to as fraaw niw huu? nวว? "his older brother's medicine" (N2:50); here the stage is crowded at the Peak. In another occurrence, Mr. Rich Ruler's axe is referred to as cuup noว? "his axe" (N7:13), perhaps because it is off-stage in the previous eight clauses (N7:6-12).

### 5.1.10 Typical encoding patterns in the 4 non-subject contexts

In summary, the most and second most typical encoding patterns of the four categories of participants in the four non-subject contexts are listed in Table 28.

Table 28 Typical encoding patterns in non-subject contexts

|  | Central participants | Major participants | Minor participants | Props |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N1 | $\begin{aligned} & \emptyset(80.95 \%) \\ & N \text { or Pro (19.04\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \emptyset(64 \%) \\ & \text { Pro (16\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \varnothing \text { (58.33\%) } \\ & N(25 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \varnothing \text { (78.13\%) } \\ & \mathrm{N}(18.75 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| N2 | $\emptyset$ (100\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \varnothing \text { (97.06\%) } \\ & \text { PN (2.94\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\emptyset$ (100\%) | --- |
| N3 | $\begin{aligned} & \varnothing \text { (44.83\%) } \\ & \text { Pro (31.03\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \varnothing \text { (58.33\%) } \\ & N(19.44 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro (50\%) } \\ & \emptyset(37.50 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\emptyset$ or PN (100\%) |
| N4 | $\begin{aligned} & \varnothing \text { (43.59\%) } \\ & \text { N (25.64\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PN (36.96\%) } \\ & \emptyset(30.43 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N or PN } \\ & \text { (63.64\%) } \\ & \emptyset(18.18 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \varnothing \text { (59.26\%) } \\ & \text { N (33.33\%) } \end{aligned}$ |

Zero anaphora is the most typical encoding pattern presumably because the participants in object position in clauses or sentences or in a quotation formular can be referred to with null reference without sacrificing clarity.

This chapter has focused on the rules for the four subject and four non-subject environments in the narratives; the description and hypothesis of the typical and unexpected patterns. The next chapter is presented a conclusion of this thesis.

## Chapter 6

## Conclusion

The present chapter provides a summary of findings, an evaluation of the methodology used, a discussion of the significance of these findings, and suggestions for future research.

### 6.1 Summary of Findings

Despite a diverse corpus, several consistent patterns of participant reference are identifiable.

After identifying the props and obvious minor participants, the rest of the participants are ranked by four measurements: TNRP, TP (decay), RD (look-back), and RRD into four categories - central, major, minor, and props. The resulting ranking is used throughout the rest of the analysis except for $\mathrm{N} \# 4$ because the statistical measurements do not seem applicable to this narrative. Since the participant ranking results of RD is not consistent with other three measurements, the calculation of RD is adjusted. In N\#4, although Mr. Orphan is ranked less important than the five brothers by TNRP, RD, and RRD, Mr. Orphan is considered a central participant according to his thematic role.

According to the hypothesized coding material scale, a noun or noun phrase with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun is the heaviest coding material. It was found that the 6 central participants are most likely to be introduced by this pattern. The 26 major participants are more likely to be introduced by a noun or noun phrase followed by a pronoun unless they are the LVIPs of an episode; in which cases they may optionally be referred to using a noun or noun phrase with a demonstrative. The 29 minor participants and the 11 props are most likely to be introduced by a noun or noun phrase, or the head noun of a possessive phrase. It is not typical for participants or props to be introduced by a pronoun.

With regard to the four contexts (S1 to S4) for each activated subject in the narrative, a pronoun is the most-used pattern of reference, thus default, for the central, major, and minor participants, and props, perhaps, after the participants are introduced, they can be easier to identify even when they are referred to by lighter coding
materials. Null reference is the second-most-used pattern of reference for all four categories of participants. However, a noun and a noun or noun phrase with a demonstrative followed by a pronoun are likely to be the second-most-used pattern of reference for the S3 and S4 contexts. In addition, props are not involved in the speech acts and do not occur in the $S 2$ context, and participants in the object position in clauses or sentences and the addressees in a quotation formula may be omitted.

With regard to the four contexts ( $N 1$ to $N 4$ ) for each activated non-subject in the narrative, null reference is the most-used pattern of reference, thus default, for the central, major, and minor participants, and props, perhaps because the participants in the object position tend to be referred to with null reference. However, there is no obvious second-most-used pattern of reference in the non-subject contexts. In general, a noun is the second-most-used pattern of reference for the S1 and S4 contexts, and a pronoun is the second-most-used pattern of reference for the $S 3$ context. As in the $S 2$ context, props do not occur in the $N 2$ context, and participants in the object position in clauses or sentences and the addressees in a quotation formula are more likely to be omitted. Furthermore, null reference is the typical pattern of reference for the $N 2$ context.

There are various exceptions to these rules in the data. First, the participants are referred to using nouns or noun phrases with demonstratives followed by pronouns when they are the LVIPs of episodes or their thematic role is an agent in relation to other participants. Participants are also referred to using nouns or noun phrases followed by pronouns when they are off-stage in several previous clauses or are onstage with participants of same gender. They are referred to using head nouns of possessive phrases when they are less prominent than the possessors of possessive phrases. They are referred to using pronouns when they are on-stage in several previous clauses or are referred to by the heavier coding materials in the previous clauses, it provides anaphoric reference or in the following clauses, it provides cataphoric reference. Lastly, participants are referred to using null reference when they are on-stage in several previous clauses or in the object position in the clauses or sentences or as the addressees in the quotation formula.

In general, the most-used pattern of reference across the four subject contexts is that participants are referred to using pronouns and the second-most-used pattern of reference is that participants are referred to using null reference. The most-used pattern of reference is the opposite for the four non-subject contexts: participants are
referred to with null reference and the second-most-used pattern of reference is that participants are referred to with nouns or noun phrases or pronouns.

The factors that seemed to have the most frequent effect in generating unexpected patterns of reference to the rules for all eight contexts are when: 1. participants are referred to by the heavier coding materials in order to remove ambiguity; 2 . they are the LVIPs of episodes; 3. their thematic role is an agent in relation to other participants; or 4. they are off-stage in several previous clauses. Participants however are referred to by the lighter coding materials, such as pronouns or null reference, when 1. they are on-stage in several previous clauses; 2 . they are in the object position in the clauses or sentences; or 3. they are the addressees in a quotation formula.

With regard to the introduction of participants, the four categories of participant are primarily introduced in one of three different ways -1 . nouns or noun phrases with demonstratives followed by pronouns for central participants, 2 . nouns or nouns phrases followed by pronouns for major participants, 3 . nouns or noun phrases or head nouns of possessive phrases for minor participants and props. Each narrator used great care to make and keep the central participants the most important in each narrative by using the heaviest coding material to reference them even though they might be off-stage, or on-stage but non-thematic in some episodes.

### 6.2 Significance of Findings

The results of the current research regarding frequent referring expressions are largely consistent with the results of other Mon-Khmer narrative research. Bequette (2008) found in Bunong that null reference is the most common referring expression used in both subject and non-subject contexts, while in Brao, it is the second-mostused pattern of reference of subject contexts and the most-used pattern of reference of non-subject contexts in this research,. The results of the encoding patterns of this research are: pronoun is the most-used pattern of reference; null reference is the most common referring expression used in both subject (second- most-used pattern) and non-subject (most-used pattern) contexts, especially for the addressees in a quotation formula.

The results of the introduction of participants of the current research are consistent with the results of Kmhmu' narrative research (Osborne 2009). In both Brao and Kmhmu', the introduction of major participants is usually shorter than the central participants and they are generally introduced by lighter coding materials than the
central participants. In Brao, the central participants are most likely to be introduced by nouns or noun phrases with demonstratives followed by pronouns; the major participants are generally introduced by nouns or noun phrases followed by pronouns; the minor participants and props are more likely to be introduced by nouns.

The current findings of Brao devices to convey prominence both converge with and diverge from other Mon-Khmer processes. In Brao, the grammatical function of demonstrative pronoun is as an intensifier to give prominence to participants, such as neع "this" as a typical demonstrative to increase prominence. This function of the demonstrative is different from Bunong (Bequette 2008) but parallel to Kmhmu' (Osborne 2009). Another device in referring expressions marks decreased prominence but is not significantly noted in other Mon-Khmer literature. In Brao, the presentation with a head noun of possessive noun phrase decreases the prominence of participant.

Regarding methodology, the results of this research are consistent with the results of Bru (Tebow 2010) and Tai Dam (Edwards 2011) narrative research that the Referential Distance gives inconsistent readings.

These findings of similarities and differences among Mon-Khmer languages apply directly to translation, literacy, and language learning. When a translator translates a text from another language into Brao or a writer writes some Brao stories as literacy materials, knowing the usual patterns to introduce participants and props and the patterns of reference of the subject and non-subject contexts aid the translator in translating the text and enable the writer to write stories accurately, clearly, and naturally. In addition, these findings may help language learners to gain a better understanding of the grammatical features of the Brao language.

### 6.3 Evaluation of Methodology

The methodology in this study involved investigating the most commonly occurring and the unexpected patterns of the Brao participant reference system. Among the four measurements for ranking the participants, TNRP, TP, and RRD are most helpful in identifying the central, major, and minor participants. However, RD is the least helpful because by this parameter, props and some minor participants would be wrongly identified as the most and second most important participants. Thus, a revised RD index of each individual participant is calculated by dividing the total number of clauses by the total number of occurrences instead of by dividing the
total look-back value by the total number of occurrences. With regard to the introduction of participants, this study examined how the four categories of participants are introduced. The default and non-default patterns for introduction of participants are proposed and the patterns of reference for the subject (S1-S4) and non-subject (N1-N4) contexts are studied. According to the most frequently occurring pattern of each context, a tentative rule is suggested for each category of participant. According to the second most frequently occurring pattern of each context, a revised rule is suggested for each category of participant. All other patterns of reference are regarded as unexpected patterns and investigated as such.

The six research questions (see section 1.1) are answered, although variation in formulas of quotation and is the marking of prominence in the corpus are not studied in individual sections.

Ideally, this author would prefer to have been able to first spend one year learning Brao and recording these narratives while learning the language, in order to gain a better understanding of Brao grammar and culture. Furthermore, it would have been helpful to have had a word-for-word translation before the free translation. For the interlinearization, the author must gloss each word before translating the narrative texts into English. Moreover, these four categories of participants should be verified with the narrators or other Brao native speakers. Furthermore, with regard to the subject and non-subject contexts, the rules might be better written according to the most and second-most-used pattern of references instead of the heaviest and lightest coding materials.

### 6.4 Further Research

In order to verify the findings presented here, more third-person monologue narratives of folktales and fairy tales should be recorded and analyzed. Additionally, the relation between the participants and serial verbs in a quotation formula, the reason the addressees are more likely to be referred to with null reference in quotation formulas, and why some are referred to by other reference patterns might be studied. In addition, it would be useful to study the motivation for the participants in the object position of clauses or sentences being optionally referred to using null reference. The current research also found only one method, use of demonstratives, for marking prominence. Any other ways of giving prominence to participants might be investigated. How and why narrators give prominence to participants, especially minor participants and props, might also be investigated. The
participant reference at the beginning of episodes and the Peak would also bear further study.

In particular, markers of participant rank should be compared among traditional tales, folktales and fairy tales in Brao in order to extend this work on participant reference in depth. In addition, research on the participant reference of hortatory texts and first-person monologue stories would be a useful topic for further study.
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## APPENDIX A

THE RULES AND CONDITIONS FOR THE FOUR SUBJECT CONTEXTS

| S1 |  | S2 |  | S3 |  | S4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rule | Condition | Rule | Condition | Rule | Condition | Rule | Condition |
| referred to with pronouns | 86.90\% | referred to with pronouns | 40\% | referred to with pronouns | 70.41\% | referred to with pronouns | 51.92\% |
| may be referred to with null reference | 1. anaphoric reference: (a) previously referred to with a proper noun <br> (b) on-stage in several previous clauses | may be referred to with null reference | 1. quotation formula: <br> (a) in dialogues | may be referred to with nouns or noun phrases followed by pronouns | 1. removing ambiguity: (a) on-stage with other participants of same gender | may be referred to with nouns or noun phrases followed by pronouns | 1. participant identification: <br> (a) referenced at the beginning of an episode <br> (b) narrator's intrusion |



| S1 |  | S2 |  | S3 |  | S4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rule | Condition | Rule | Condition | Rule | Condition | Rule | Condition |
| referred to with pronouns | 75.72\% | referred to with pronouns | 37.21\% | referred to with pronouns | 55.26\% | referred to with pronouns | 38.46\% |
| may be referred to with null reference | 1. anaphoric reference: (a) onstage in several previous clauses | may be <br> referred to <br> with null <br> reference | 1. quotation formula: <br> (a) in dialogues | may be referred to with nouns with demonstrativ es followed by pronouns | 1. giving prominence: <br> (a) they are the LVIPs <br> (b) their thematic role is an agent in relation to the LVIP | may be referred to with nouns | 1. removing ambiguity: <br> (a) on-stage with other participants of same gender <br> (b) off-stage in several previous clauses |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (c) crowded stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | S2 | S |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Minor part | ants |  |  |  |
|  | Rule | Condition | Rule | Condition | Rule | Condition | Rule | Condition |
|  | referred to with pronouns | 79.59\% | referred to <br> with nouns <br> followed by | 40\% + 40\% | referred to with pronouns | 61.11\% | referred to with pronouns | 63.64\% |
| u | may be referred to with null reference | 1. anaphoric reference: (a) onstage in several previous clauses | pronouns or head nouns of possessive phrases followed by pronouns |  | may be referred to with nouns with demonstrativ es followed by pronouns | 1. giving prominence: <br> (a) their thematic role is an agent in relation to the central or major participants <br> (b) they are the LVIPs | may be referred to with nouns with demonstrati ves followed by pronouns or head nouns of possessive phrases | 1. giving prominence: <br> (a) their thematic role is an agent in relation to the LVIPs (b) the narrator's evaluation or comment 2. |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | possession: <br> (a) owned by <br> human <br> participants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | S1 | S2 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rule | Condition | no occurrences | Rule | Condition | Rule | Condition |
| $\underset{\infty}{u_{n}}$ | referred to with pronouns | 100\% |  | referred to with pronouns | 66.67\% | referred to <br> with <br> pronouns | 80\% |
|  |  |  |  | may be <br> referred to <br> with null <br> reference | 1. participant identification: <br> (a) only the prop and the human participants are on-stage <br> 2. information rate: (a) the rapid pace of |  |  |


|  |  |  | the context |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## APPENDIX B

THE RULES AND CONDITIONS FOR THE FOUR NON-SUBJECT CONTEXTS

| N1 |  | N2 |  | N3 |  | N4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rule | Condition | Rule | Condition | Rule | Condition | Rule | Condition |
| referred to with null reference | 80.95\% | referred to with null reference | 100\% | referred to with null reference | 44.83\% | referred to with null reference | 43.59\% |
| may be referred to with nouns or pronouns | 1. removing ambiguity: (a) offstage in several previous clauses (b) they are the VIPs of episode |  |  | may referred <br> to with <br> pronouns | 1. anaphoric reference: (a) onstage in several previous clauses (b) referred to with a heavier | may be referred to with nouns | 1. removing ambiguity: (a) off-stage in several previous clauses <br> (b) on-stage with |



| ה |  | 2. information rate: (a) the rapid pace at the Peak |  |  |  | referenced by kin terms <br> 3. giving <br> prominence: (a) they are the LVIPs of an episode |  | 2. quotation formula: (a) they are the addressees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N1 |  | N2 |  | N3 |  | N4 |  |
|  | Minor participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rule | Condition | Rule | Condition | Rule | Condition | Rule | Condition |
|  | referred to with null reference | 58.33\% | referred to with null reference | 100\% | referred to with pronouns | 50\% | referred to with nouns or head nouns of possessive phrases | $\begin{aligned} & 31.82 \%+ \\ & 31.82 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  | may be <br> referred to <br> with nouns | 1. removing ambiguity: (a) not referenced in the following |  |  | may be referred to with null reference | 1. anaphoric reference: (a) onstage in several previous clauses | may be referred to with null reference | 1. object position: <br> (a) they are objects in clauses or sentences |


|  |  | clause <br> 2. giving <br> prominence: (a) <br> their thematic role <br> is an agent in <br> relation to the <br> LVIP |  | (b) referred to with a heavier coding material in the previous clause or sentence |  | 2. quotation formula: (a) they are the addressees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N1 |  | N2 | N3 | N4 |  |
| S | Props |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rule | Condition | no occurrences | no rule can be proposed because of ambiguous data | Rule | Condition |
|  | referred to with null reference | 78.13\% |  |  | referred to with null reference | 59.26\% |


| may be <br> referred to <br> with nouns | 1. giving <br> prominence: (a) <br> specifically <br> referenced <br> (b) they are very <br> prominent |  |  | may be <br> referred to <br> with nouns | 1. removing <br> ambiguity: (a) <br> off-stage in <br> several previous <br> clauses |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. giving |  |  |  |  |  |
| prominence: (b) |  |  |  |  |  |
| they are the |  |  |  |  |  |
| LVIPs of episodes |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX C <br> NARRATIVE1－THE PYTHON

1a アદع．broэj．maat liən bih．kra？neє broวj have．narrate story python this immediately （I）tell a story（about）this python

1b dəəm ləə fə．ŋаt and $3 S$ powerful and he was poisonous．

2a gan bə．n†h məə dok daŋ tə．vaŋ．kloə just person 3P（pl）go look．for bamboo．shoots When people went to look for bamboo shoots，

2b ləə tuut daak．haaj noכ？na？taj doow məə keとt pa．tì $3 S$ touch spittle $3 S$ still Neg can $3 P(p l)$ die same he didn＇t even get to touch（them）（with）his spittle，they died too；

2c gan ləə klok hər．moow bə．nih nap məə keєt pa．tì just $3 S$ bite shadow human also $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ die same when he bit people＇s shadow，they died too．

3a diəp lə．m rìin luэj near 3S－Irr to．sieve already He nearly killed（all people）already．

3b bə．nih cə．maaw laaw keєw yaaj bə．nih cə．maaw məə keєt human ethnic．group Lao Vietnamese 1P（incl．pl）human ethnic．group 3P（pl）die Lao，Vietnamese people，（and）our（Brao）people they died．

4a アદє kə．アaak ləə sro．sriət
then crow $3 S$ to．pity
Then a crow he pitied（humans），
4b ləə riən＂vəəj pap．nєє lə m leєk luјj bə．n†h сə．maaw mé keєt＂ 3 S say Interj like．this 3S－Irr all already human ethnic．group $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ die he said，＂Oh！Like this all humans they will die．＂

5 アદє kə．アaak bih nєє Pam．braa cər．nuul broэj
then crow snake this $3 P(\mathrm{du})$ to．meet．each．other immediately Then the crow（and）this snake they met．
 then snake 3 S ask hey 2 S what 2S－to．see $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ Brao．people Pin／məə bəəm trom ta．ŋəj phuuh．cəm．phuuh．joŋ．hə．jon／pap məə ni̇m exophoric $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ make every day so．noisy when $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ cry pap məə ŋаaj アə．don＂
when 3P（pl）what 1S－to．hear
Then the snake he asked，＂Hey！Do you see the Brao people？They＇re noisy every day． When they cry，what I heard they cry about？＂

7 アદє kə．アaak ləə trə．nəəw riən＂アìm アəŋ．ŋаај həŋ．Pin məə nìm／ then crow 35 to．answer say Neg what exophoric．reference 3P（pl）cry məə ro．çaam jook．jal．kər．pal．mat nịm kləəm rəəm pə．nat 3P（pl）happy taste．good liver gallbladder
gəh gכəท gəh hə．guur／ro．çaam taj．dam
beat（on．side．of．gong）gong beat（on．side．of．gong）drum happy extremely
Then the crow he answered，saying，＂They did not cry．They are very happy， beating［playing］gongs（and）drums．（They are）extremely happy．＂

8 ləə riən
3S say
He said．
9a アعє phiək brכэj
then realized．suddenly immediately After（he）realizing that suddenly，

9b bih．kraア nєє ləə khłt アəŋ．khit riən＂paア．アin diw アaj Pin lə．m python this 3 S think think．in．mind say like．that only 1 S exophoric 3S－Irr fə．ŋat jaaw far Paj ？in／Pə．n dok dìi hə hə də．daar laak．liəw powerful Neg toxin 15 exophoric $1 S$－Irr go vomit Loc rock Bleavblech briəw．bləəc．də．daar ？in ca？taj．dam＂ name．of．a．rock．field exophoric beautiful extremely this python he thought，saying，＂Like that my toxin is not powerful．I go vomit at the foundational rock of Bleavblech，（which is）an extremely beautiful（place）．＂

10a アદє ləə taj brכəj fə．mł̇ dכŋ．daaj
then 3 S see immediately vine stem Then he saw a vine stem，

10b ləə həm．baak．jaak
3S hang．on．up．to
he hung on up to（it）．

11a アદє ləə dłk həŋ．kəən cak nכэ？ti？dəŋ．daaj suə
then 3S lay body 3S down stem refer．to．come．out．vomit broэj
immediately
Then he laid his body on that stem（and）vomited immediately．
11b ləә dìp
3S vomit
He vomited．
12 アદє boow mé bih．braj boow bih．vaak boow kə．jeєp boow then a．group．of $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ cobra a．group．of viper a．group．of centipede a．group．of kə．daam．rin boow tכŋ．kə．duəj boow ko．ka？məə caa アər．dì？bih．kra？ scorpion．big a．group．of scorpion．small a．group．of spider $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ eat vomit python Then cobras，vipers，centipedes，big（and）small scorpions，（and）spiders they ate the python＇s vomit．

13a アદє тәə caa lદદk
so $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ eat all
So they ate all（the python＇s vomit）．
13b アદє kaan．fə．ŋаt ləə kuu hə meє アən．naaw
then power．strong 3 S stay Loc $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ mark．of．contrast
Then the power of the toxin it stayed／was with them（in place of the python）．
14 アعє dəə アən．droэk アin Pən．tun boow
then Prep toad exophoric after a．group．of
As for the toad（came）after the others，

$3 S$ go $3 S$－Irr roll immediately Loc vomit snake there he went to roll on the snake＇s vomit［there］immediately．

16 アદє həm．boวk nวэ？ləə tit far then skin $3 S$ 3S attached toxin Then his skin it attached the toxin．

17 アદє ŋәә pen Pìm caa
so 1 P （incl．pl）to．be．like Neg eat So we［Brao people］don＇t eat（toad）．
 so all already $3 P(p l)$ eat vomit there So all（of them）they ate the vomit［there］already．

18b アદє dəə bih．krap kə．lə？ləə drəp．dram cak bin daak then Prep python self 3 soak body Loc water As for the python himself，（he）soaked（his）body in the water．

19 ア $\varepsilon$ krec．daj ləə riən＂$\uparrow \varepsilon \varepsilon$ hə．m bluun pa？traan／hə．m blaan pa？ then God $3 S$ say so $2 S$－Irr unlucky like type．of．grass 2 S －Irr powerless as daak／cak haj lə．m fə ŋat jaaw veh diw kap mit mi．mi＂ water body 2 S 3S－Irr powerful Neg only only bite enter without．effect Then a god he said，＂So you＇re unlucky like grass，you＇re as harmless as the water，your body is not poisonous（anymore），（you can）only bite and swallow．＂

20 アعє boow m\＆bih．braj bih．vaak bih tut bih．braj tram məə fə．pat so a．group．of $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ cobra viper snake very cobra each $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ powerful ？ən．naaw mark．of．contrast

So the cobras，vipers，（and）every cobra they are poisonous（in place of the python）．
21a アદє nєh．nєh nєє kəəj ləəj məə kєєt dəə bih then now here few already $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ die Prep snake Nowadays，few of them［people］are died from snake（bite）

21b ضכ məə nכh lə．van cak trom raa Imp．Neg 3P（pl）careful protect body every person．Clf because they carefully protect their bodies［themselves］．

so toad this $3 P(p l)$ eat Neg same skin 35
So they don＇t eat this toad and his skin as well．
23a جعє məə niəm brวэj
then $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ well immediately
Then they were well［immediately］，
23b bə nih cə．maaw nєє məə niw brכјj
human ethnic．group this $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ live immediately these humans they lived（well）［immediately］

23c лכэn．dəə kə Paak ləə tə．boot bih
because crow 35 to．trick snake
because the crow he deceived the snake．

from then human this $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ multiply．more．continuously
Since then those humans they multiplied continuously，
24b məə sitik bin breh bip brii bin pa．sa．son trom
$3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ multiply to．be．full ground to．be．full forest to．be．full nation every
saat brojj
ethnic．group immediately
they multiplied all over the earth，in the forests，（and）in every ethnic group．

from python 3 S powerful Neg
From (that time on), the python he is not poisonous.
26a アદє тəə kuu ŋң̇m.saŋ klaŋ.ren brəэj
so $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ live happy immediately
So they lived happily (ever after).
26b məə sìjk.taak.hə.vaak.naaj bih neh.nch
3P(pl) Prep now
They multiplied till now.
27 looc.ləәј
end
[The End]

## APPENDIX D

## NARRATIVE2－LUNAR ECLIPSE

0 アaj アə．n maac．hm．maac liəŋ mé kra？laj
1S 1S－Irr narrate raise．adoption 3P（pl）old long．ago
I am going to tell a traditional story．
1a bic kuən．pər．tii baar raa Pam．braa hən．laak mé？bəə？taj bic have orphan two person．Clf $3 P(\mathrm{du})$ orphan mother father Neg have There have two orphans，they were orphaned，didn＇t have parents

1b jak m\＆є？bəə？məə kєєt ləəj
because mother father $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ die already because their parents were dead already．
 orphan already $3 P(d u)$ live with Prep older．brother with Prep uncle The orphans lived with（their）older uncle
$2 b$ tin na？Pam．braa klect．Pəŋ．kleとt
Loc still $3 P(d u)$ child
while they were still little．
 then $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ older．brother uncle $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{du})$ owner raise．adoption look．after $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ рə．ŋət
hungry
Then their older uncle＇s family（who）raised（them）they were hungry，

$3 P(p l)$ have Neg rice（cooked）eat（rice．only） they ran out of food．

4 ра．рə．アとє тəə həm．puət アכһ nכว？hə？meع．son．saat．laaw
so $\quad 3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ sell younger．sibling 3 S Loc Laotians
mec．son．saat．laaw Pin Pat．naa
Laotians exophoric rich．ruler
So they sold his younger brother to Laotians，a Laotian rich ruler．


So［this］his younger brother he grew up in the Lao rich ruler＇s（house）．
6 アદє jaP アat．naa ləə taj doow kuən．pər．tii né ləə kuu then Mr rich．ruler 3S see Mr．（demeaning）orphan this 3S stay sro．sriəm．niəm．proэm
to．be．well
Then Mr rich ruler he saw this orphan he lived well．

so 3 S marry immediately Loc child woman 3 S So he married（the younger orphan）to his daughter

7b dəәm アam brכэj sak．naa．taa．tan dəə pər．saaw nכэ？
and give immediately power Prep son－in－law 3S and（he）gave［delegated］（his）power to his son－in－law．

8a アعє nכ？dəə Puu？nээ？Pən．naaw ləə kuu dəə Puu？ so as．for Prep older．brother 3S mark．of．contrast 3S live Prep older．brother рכว nכว？hə？mعє broow
uncle 3S Loc 3P（pl）Brao
So as for his older brother［in contrast］he lived with his older uncle among the Brao people，

8b ləə khəəj vєєr pə．poot．pə．pєл
3S used．to wander．about shoot
he used to hunt．
9a ta．ŋəj həŋ．Pin ləə vєєr hə？brii day exophoric．reference 3 S wander．about Loc forest （One）day，he wandered about in the forest，

9b ləə doow ka．jє？cər．laat pə．troow dəəm bih．braj tih $3 S$ obtain food slice animal and cobra big he obtained food，sliced the animals and a big cobra．

10a アદє ləə fal pen Pən．noow nכ？kləəm klaak dəəm cər．laat bih then 3S cut．into．two to．be．like round．piece Rel liver bowel and slice snake Then he cut into［round］pieces，whose flesh［liver and bowel］，and sliced the snake，

$3 S$ grill one place immediately night that to．the．extent．that dry he grilled（it）there that night to dry（it）．
 then morning tomorrow Loc 3 S go stab bamboo weave basket The next morning，he went to cut（some）bamboo to weave a basket

11 b bok bə？ka．je？nээ？
in．order．to carry（on．the．shoulder）food 3 S in order to carry his food．

12 アєє ləə don broэj təəm tip cən．duu bih tih saat trii nээ？ then 3S hear immediately from on／above mountain snake big look．for wife 3S siə．
sound．of．cobra
Then he heard［the sound of cobra］from that mountain above［up there］，a big snake was looking for his wife．

then $3 S$ arrive down．there grill $3 S$ grill slice then Then he［the snake］arrived at the place he grilled the sliced food．

14 アદє ləə kun glan broэj bł̣ $3 \varepsilon \varepsilon$
so 3 S sneak look immediately Loc then
So he hid there to sneak．
15 アદє ləə taj bih tih ləə sə．soor．mə．moor
then 3S see snake big 3S having．smelt．something．and．crawling．slowly．towards．it Then he saw the big snake he was crawling toward（the place his wife was being grilled）．

16a ləә hə．？วэm trii nวэ？
3S smell．good wife 3 S
He smelled（and realized that it is）his wife，
16b アદє ləə taj məə fron trii nכэ？tin kər．fəəŋ
then $3 S$ see $3 P(p l)$ grill wife $3 S$ Loc grill then he saw his wife was being grilled by them［someone］．

17a アદє ləə gən b†h co？breh
then 3S carry（in．the．mouth）Prep down．there ground Then he carried（his wife）down on the ground，

17b ləə pər．tit kləəm klaak dəəm tuuh suəj pa？アəm．bii tif 3S stick．together liver bowel and head tail like in．the．past same he stuck the liver and bowel together，and from head to tail like in the past．

18a blii アદє ləə dok kap brəวj fraaw to？təəm．lכэŋ léw
after then 3 S go bite immediately medicine that（far）tree finish After that he went to bite the medicine from that tree．

18b アદє ləə proh trii nכว？
then 35 heal wife $3 S$
Then he restore his wife，
18c ləә niw brəэj
3S live immediately she was alive immediately．

19 blii アદع Pam．braa viih pat tak after then 3P（du）go．away disappear／to．be．gone broken（totally） After that they went away．

20a アعє bə？kuən．pər．tii nєє ləə taj bal．アєє
so man（single）orphan this 3 S see like．that
So this［unmarried］orphan he saw like that，
20b ləə dכk peh pa．tit broəj no？fraaw bih Pən．duu
3S go pick same immediately Rel medicine snake from．before
he went to pick the same（medicine），which was the medicine the snake（picked）before．
21 アદє ləə ci？pa？tiəm taj kə．nכว nכэ？
then 3 S return as look．at（trap．only）see trap 3 S
Then he returned to see his trap．
22 アદє ləə taj kə．nєє ləə cuət kə．nэว
then 3 S see mouse 3 S to．be．caught trap There he saw a mouse it was caught in（his）trap．
23 アとє ləə cok proh pa？bih Pən．duu tit so 35 take heal like snake from．before same So he used the medicine like what the snake did．

24 アદє ləə niw brכэj
then 3 S live immediately Then it was alive immediately．

25a アعє ləə cì？bih hən．naam mé Puu？pכد nכэ？
then 3 S return Prep house $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ older．brother uncle 3 S
Then he returned to his older uncle＇s house，
25b həm．bruh．dəə．アદє mih nכэ？kə．ləp ləə kદદt
at．that．time older．uncle $3 S$ self $3 S$ die
at that time his own older uncle he died．

so 3 S try．to．heal．by．blowing older．uncle 3 S
So he tried to use the medicine to restore his older uncle．
26b ləə niw broэj mat．maat bin $? \varepsilon \varepsilon$
$3 S$ live immediately truly Loc then
He was alive immediately there．
27 アعє ləə lị Pən．təər brəэj bī miəŋ
then 3S hear heard．around immediately Loc city Then it［what had happened］was heard all over the town．

28a アદє phə．dii kuən ja？アat．naa ləə keєt hə？laaw
then then child Mr rich．ruler 3 S die Loc Laos
One day，Mr．rich ruler＇s child she died in Laos，
28b ləə troد kə．man nəэ？kə lə？
3S Rel sister－in－law 3S own
she who is his own sister－in－law．

29a アદє тәə dכk cэk brээj nээ？
so $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ go take immediately 3 S
So they went to take him，
29b тәә praj dək puə kuən ja？Pat．naa
3P（pl）command go cure child Mr rich．ruler
they commanded（him）to［go］cure Mr．rich ruler＇s child．
30a アદє ləə dok bih to？Pとє
then 3 S go arrive that（far）then
Then he arrived there
30b hak ləə nəh ¡ìm kə．man nכэ？kə．lə？
but 3S know Neg sister－in－law 3S self
but he did not know she was his own sister－in－law．
31 アદє ja？Pat．naa ləə khə．naat dəə nכว？riən＂pa？hə．m bəəm アən．niw then Mr rich．ruler 3S promise Prep 3S say like 2S－Irr make cause．to．live mat．maat kuən アaj アə．n bər jə bin haj brээj／no？pər．saaw kra？Paj truly child 1 S 1S－Irr marry Loc 2 S immediately Rel son－in－law former 1 S アə．n səər．tak＂

1S－Irr throw．away
Then Mr．rich ruler he promised him，saying，＂If you really make my daughter alive，I＇ll marry her to you，（and）abandon my former son－in－law．＂

32a アદع doow kuən．pər．tii no？ləə bic fraaw fə．ŋаt
so Mr．（demeaning）orphan Rel 3 S have medicine powerful
So the orphan he who has the powerful medicine，
32b ləə pəək glan l mé məə cu？naaŋ
$3 S$ open see coffin $3 P(\mathrm{pl}) 3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ put lady
he opened to look into the coffin they put the lady in，
32c ləə taj səəb həŋ．həə naan kuən アat．naa nєє jє？bəəm クun nכэ？
3S see lady child rich．ruler this enough make want 3S
he saw this rich ruler＇s daughter（and）fell for her beauty，it made（him）wanted her．
33 アદє ləə praj mعє viih hə？diək Pən．daj
then 3 S command $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ go．away Loc next．to first Then he commanded them to go out for a while．

34a アદє ləə puə Pam niw brכэj
then 3S cure give live immediately
Then he cured（and）gave life to（her）．
34b naaŋ ləә niw
lady 3S live
The lady she was alive，

34c ləә dik brээj
3 3 get．up immediately she got up immediately．

35 アદє ja？アat．naa ləə dכk アכэm muk broэj doow kuən．pər．tii so Mr rich．ruler 3 S go embrace kiss immediately Mr ．（demeaning）orphan So Mr．rich ruler he went to hug（and）kiss the orphan．

36 blii アદє ləə nєєt bər．jəŋ błŋ kuən nээ？ after then 3 S drink marry Loc child 3 S After that he married（him）to his child．

37 pəh ta．ŋəj pəh mãク məə nєદt
seven day seven night $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ drink They drank seven days（and）seven nights．

38 nכ？pər．saaw kra？nכэ？ləə səər tak pap khə．naat nכэ？Pən．duu tił as．for son－in－law former 3S 3S abandon as promise 3S from．before also As for his former son－in－law，he abandoned（him）as he promised before．

39a アદє pər．saaw hən．dəəm アam．braa kuən nכэ？kuu sro．sriəm．niəm．proэm
then son－in－law new and child $3 S$ stay to．be．well brooj
immediately
Then the new son－in－law and his child lived happily，
39b dəəm ləə lì．kən．coŋ．doŋ．kən．dar
and 3S heard．all．around and it was heard of all over the place．
 that（far）after then have $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl}) 3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ go take 3 S go cure $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl}) 3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ die həア młəŋ アəŋ．kєєŋ アən．naaw

Loc city other mark．of．contrast
One day，had［people］they went to take him to go cure those were dead in another city，

3S go immediately Prep Loc then he went there immediately．

41a kləəc．trec．nכэ？taj nદє
after．he．has．gone here．in．this．place
After he had left，
 younger．sibling 3S 3S 3S Rel husband old wife 3S in．the．past 3S miss trii nכว？
wife 3S
his younger brother，he who was his wife＇s former husband，he missed his wife．

42a アદє ləə dっk kə．van
so 3 S go visit
So he went to visit（her），
42b praj fə．fiŋ khכэ．アaaw
command sew clothes
（he）asked（her）to sew（some）clothes，
42c dəəm ləә сә．сєє рээс həm．maac maaŋ
and 3 S discuss tell narrate speak and he chatted（with her）．

43 アદє ləə tə．ŋaa trii kra？nכэ？riən＂həア．ŋaaj de？doow then $3 S$ ask wife old $3 S$ say where too mark．of．uncertainty Puup ləə cup fraaw fə．ŋат nээァ＂
older．brother 3S put medicine powerful 3S
Then he asked his former wife，saying，＂Where does the older brother he put his powerful medicine？＂

44 アદє trii nכว？ləə trə．nəəw riən＂ləə tiət leєk ləəj＂ then wife 35 3S to．answer say $3 S$ bring all already Then his wife she answered，saying，＂He took all（with him）．＂

45 アદє klכ kra？nวכ？ləə riən＂Paat mic ləə cup tin neє then husband old $3 S 3$ say probably imp．Prt（polite） $3 S$ put Loc this ləəj＂
already
Then her former husband he said，＂Probably he put some here．＂
46 アદє trii nכว૨ ləə trə．nəəw riən＂saat dəh pa？taj＂
so wife 3S 3S to．answer say look．for so if see
So his wife she answered，saying，＂Look for（it）if（you）see．＂
47a アદє ləə saat brכэj
then 3S look．for immediately
Then he looked for（it），
47b ləə taj mat．maat
$3 S$ see truly
he really saw（it）．
47c ləə cup tin hiip
3S put Loc big．box
He［the older orphan］put（it）in a big box．
48a アદє naaŋ nєє ləə taj bal．アєє
then lady this $3 S$ see like．that
When this lady she saw like that，
48b ləə duun dəə klכว hən．dəəm nวכ？ci？maan
$3 S$ to．be．afraid Prep husband new 3S return tell．off she was afraid of her new husband would retrun，tell（her）off，

48c ləə kən．təəm bin kloว kra？nəว？
3S fight．to．get．back Prep husband old 3S
she tried to get（it）back from her former husband．
49a həm．bruh．dəə．アદє ləə b＋h brəэj at．that．time 3S Prep immediately At that time，he arrived（home），

49b kloכ hən．dəəm noכ？to？bə．ji＋n diəp fin eroon
husband new 35 that（far）yard near foot ［that］her new husband was in the yard next to the steps．

50 アદє klכว kra？nכว？nєє ləə bə．jiəm lin broэj fraaw niw so husband old 35 this 3 suck swallow immediately medicine live Puup noכ？tin buər
older．brother 3S Loc mouth
So［this］her former husband he sucked（and）swallowed immediately his older brother＇s medicine in（his）mouth．

after then 3 S fly top．speed Prep God After that he flew arrived to the god．

52a アદє nə？dəə naaŋ アən．naaw ləə taj bal．アદє then as．for Prep lady mark．of．contrast 3 S see like．that Then as for the lady，（when）she saw like that，

52b ləə duun dəə klээ nээ？maaŋ
3S to．be．afraid Prep husband 3S tell．off she was afraid that her（new）husband would tell（her）off，

52c ləə bə．jiəm pa．tì tin buər
3S suck same Loc mouth she also sucked（the medicine）into（her）mouth．

53 blii アદє ləə lعєŋ hur błh kreє．daj
after then 3 S fly top．speed Prep God After that she flew arrived to the god．
 then husband new 3 S 3 S see $3 P(\mathrm{du})$ fly disappear like．that Then her new husband he saw they flew away like that，

54b ləə lعєŋ fruuj brooj Pam．braa błh tin krec．daj tif 3S fly follow immediately 3P（du）arrive Loc God also he flew after them，arrived to the god also．
 then God $3 S$ tell Prep husband new lady Rel fly after say hə．nəh ¡ìm lah kə．man haj kə．lə？アən．nєє nìh．taj．nəh．kə．maaw＂ 2S－to．know Neg yes／no sister－in－law 2S own this has．no．shame Then the god he told the lady＇s new husband who flew after，saying，＂Don＇t you know this is your own sister－in－law that you had no shame？＂

56a blii アદє kreє．daj ləə taah brכวj nכว？ after then God 3S slap immediately 3S After that the god he slapped him，

56b ləə kə．cat hə？jin krim
3 S spill Loc foot thunder
he spilled on the foot of the thunder，
56c ləə vir tə．guu
3S transform wild．dog
he became a wild dog．
57 nop dəə kə．man noว？ləə mit tin kəət as．for Prep sister－in－law 3S 3S enter Loc moon As for his sister－in－law，she went to the moon．

58 nכ？dəə アวh nכว？ləə mit tin mat．ta．クəj
as．for Prep younger．sibling 3S 3S enter Loc sun As for his younger brother，he went to the sun．

when Loc day 3S miss wife same sister－in－law 3S
On the day he misses（his）wife，also his sister－in－law，
59b ləə kop dok həm アวom trii nכว？tin kəət
$3 S$ then go play embrace wife 3 S Loc moon he then goes hug his wife in the moon．

60a アદє błh pap neh．nєh ŋəә pən．tam nכэ？
then Prep when now 1P（incl．pl）depreciate 3 S
Since then，we depreciate him
60b bł̇n ləə yun dok ？วom muk kə．man nəo？
Prep 3S want go embrace kiss sister－in－law 3S
as he wants to go hug（and）kiss his sister－in－law．
61 アદє dәə ŋәə cu？riən tə．guu kap khaj
so Prep 1P（incl．pl）name say wild．dog bite month So for us，we call it＂the wild dog bites the moon［lunar eclipse］．＂

62 ［ looc ləəj ］
end already ［The End］

## APPENDIX E

## NARRATIVE3－MR．CEGRUEJ

1a kaan bat həm．maac doow thaaw cə．gruəj
story story narrate Mr．（demeaning）Mr．（honorific）Cegruej （I）tell story（about）Mr．Cegruej．

1b mat アaj mכวm アə．həm．maac bat meє kra？laj pən．deem name 1S Moom 1S－to．narrate story 3P（pl）old long．ago My name is Moom．I＇m going to tell a story long long ago．

2a アとє．broวj doow thaaw cə．gruəj nєє ləə Pən．druuj start．of．a．traditional．story Mr．（demeaning）Mr．（honorific）Cegruej this 3S dum vit
mad This Mr．Cegruej he was clumsy（and）mad．

2b meє アuu？nכગ？troow raa pəh dəə nכว？ləə アən．druuj アən．druuj 3P（pl）older．brother 3S six person．Clf seven Prep 3S 3S dum dum His six older brothers，seven with him，he was clumsy．

$3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ stay big adult many year immediately to．the．extent．that $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ old mєє？bəə？mєє Pam．braa maan＂və．n rə．rє？アəəj nə．m bəəm mother father 3P（pl）3P（du）speak 2P－Irr hunt hey 1P（excl．pl）－Irr make brah．jaan．Paan．brah．mok type．of．sacrifice．before．gathering．new．rice They grew up that their parents they spoke，＂［You guys］Hunt．Hey！We make［prepare for］ the sacrifice before gathering new rice．＂

4 アદє тəə khit rə．rદ？brכગj
so $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ think hunt immediately
So they thought of hunting．
5 アદє nop dəə doow thaaw cə．gruəj nєє ləə riən＂アaj アə．n
then as．for Prep Mr．（demeaning）Mr．（honorific）Cegruej this 3S say 1 S 1S－Irr
tooj
follow
Then as for this Mr．Cegruej he said，＂I go with you．＂
6 məə maan＂tooj dəh＂
3P（pl）speak follow Interj

They spoke，＂Follow．Interjection！＂
7a məə dっk də．dé bっk．jaaw cən．duu
3P（pl）to．walk again．and．again amazing mountain
nap．tooj．pə．nэวj．naP．fal．saar．sreع．nap．lum məə dכk ？in over．every．mountain．through．every．field 3P（pl）to．walk exophoric They walked on and on over mountains and fields，they walked，

still Neg $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ obtain Neg bird mouse wild．chicken
bə．nuu．kəm．buuj na？taj doow
other．type．of．small．animal still Neg obtain
（but）they still obtained nothing，（even）a bird，a mouse，a wild chicken，a small animal．
8a アعє məə dכk də．d $\varepsilon \varepsilon$ saam
then $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ go again．and．again tired．of．something／someone Then they grew tired of walking on and on．

8 b məə prin bəəm tuup muu ruu khan broэj
3P（pl）stop make hut immediately They stopped to look for game．

Loc then 3P（pl）sleep lay．down
At there they slept．
9 sii．braan．ク๋p bər．noow mé Puu？nכว？məə maan dəə doow
early．morning tomorrow $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ older．brother $3 \mathrm{~S} 3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ speak Prep Mr．（demeaning）
thaaw cə．gruəj＂hə．n kuu bị nєє ləəj jə？＂
Mr．（honorific）Cegruej $2 S$－Irr stay Loc here already Imp．Interj
Early next morning his older brothers they spoke to Thaaw Cegruej，＂［You］Stay here．
Interjection！＂
10 ＂ 3 ifi＂
yes
（Cegruej said，）＂Yes．＂

Prep 3P（pl）3P（pl）wander．about immediately wander．about habitual able jaaw
chase
For them，they wandered about to hunt／chase．
11b məə mam toow məə bəŋ．kit taj məə doow 3 ¡itm cém．cə．naaj
3P（pl）to．be．angry only 3P（pl）swear．words Neg 3P（pl）obtain Neg bird
mعє Puu？nכэ？
$3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ older．brother 3 S
They were angry，they swore（that if）they didn＇t obtain a bird，his older brothers．

| アદє dəə nכว？ləə kuu bəəm アכว？bị kə．tuup |
| :---: |

then Prep 3S 3S stay make rice（cooked）Loc hut As for him，while he［stayed］was cooking rice at the hut，

12b ləә taj broэj pə．сээŋ
3S see immediately small．deer
he saw a small deer，
12c ləə loot brojj sraaw diw．diw
3S pull immediately crossbow small he pulled（his）small crossbow，

12d ləə pen tro
3 Shoot to．be．right
he shot right（it）．
13 kęt tak broup broj
die broken（totally）fall．to．the．ground immediately
（It）was dead completely（and）（it）fell to the ground．
14a ləə duun ləə dik puh．lum broэj
3S to．be．afraid 3S get．up cover．with．blanket immediately He was afraid，he went up to cover（himself）with a blanket

14b jak ləə duun dəə アદє アəm．bכว lə．m dłk kap nכว？
because 3S to．be．afraid Prep then maybe 3S－Irr get．up bite 3S because he was afraid that it might go up to bite him．
 then $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ older．brother $3 \mathrm{~S} 3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ return to．swear command sky bə．luuk．bə．laak brכэj
destroy／kill immediately
Then his older brothers they returned，swearing，commanding the sky to destroy．
15b тəə mam məə riən＂Рəŋ．ŋаај hə．bəəm thaaw cə．gruəj＂
3P（pl）to．be．angry 3P（pl）say what 2S－to．do Mr．（honorific）Cegruej They were angry，they said，＂What are you doing，Thaaw Cegruej？＂

16 ləə təəw＂Pə．duun coh nэһ Рəŋ．ŋаај アən．coh＂
$3 S$ reply 1S－to．be．afraid down．there know what down．there He replied，＂I＇m afraid of（what）down there．I don＇t know what it is down there．＂
 3P（pl）look 3P（pl）reply 2S－to．shoot small．deer 1P（excl．pl）already 3S return Pər．jaaw hə？haj＂
run Loc 2 S
They looked，they replied，＂You shot our small deer，it returned（and）ran to you．＂

18 ləə trə．nəəw＂pap．？in b\＆？＂
35 to．answer like．that is．it
He answered，＂Is it like that？＂
19 mé アuu？nכэ？məə maan＂naa nəə pen taj səm．baaj
$3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ older．brother $3 \mathrm{~S} 3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ speak $1 \mathrm{P}($ excl．pl） $1 \mathrm{P}($ excl．pl）shoot Neg easy
man naa Pən．nєє＂
thing $1 \mathrm{P}($ excl．pl）this
His older brothers they spoke，＂We shot without luck，we（shot）this．＂

then $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ grill eat immediately Loc that Then they grilled（and）ate（the small deer）right there．

20b məə həŋ．クan kij braan
3P（pl）sleep lay．down till．dawn （Then）they slept till dawn．

21 sii．braan．クŋip bər．noow məə maan＂bị nєє ləəj jə？hə．刀 kuu early．morning tomorrow $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ speak Loc here already Imp．Interj 2 S －Irr stay cə．gruəj／haj hə．m bəəm ka．j६？？วכ？dəə naa＂ Cegruej 2S 2S－Irr make food rice（cooked）Prep 1P（excl．pl） Early next morrning they spoke，＂［You］Stay here，Cegruej！You make a soup（and）cook rice for us．＂

22a アعє məə vعєr ləh Pən．naaw pap．tił
then $3 P(p l)$ wander．about again one．more．time too Then they wandered about／went hunting once again，
 bird small．animal Neg 3P（pl）obtain Neg too immediately small．deer kəכj na？taj məə doow
also Neg 3P（pl）obtain
They didn＇t obtain a bird，a small animal too，they also didn＇t obtain a small deer．
23 ＂pap．paaj dəh nə．m bəəm pap．nєє n†h təəm アəm．bł’ what polite．question 1 P （excl．pl）－Irr make like．this to．that．point from without kə．tịc ləəj nəə dכk＂
success already $1 \mathrm{P}($ excl．pl）go （They said，）＂What？Interjection！We go without making a success like this．＂

24a アєє dәə nכว？taj．nєє tin ləə bәәm ？วכ？
but Prep 3S here．in．this．place while 35 make rice（cooked）
But for him there，while he was cooking rice，

```
24b ləә taj brכэj krээj Pən.naaw
    3S see immediately small.deer(bigger) one.more.time
    cik.cik.vik.vik ləə taj
    movement.of.body.when.nearly.die 3S see
    he saw a deer one more time, he saw (it was) dying.
25a lәә cэk brээj sraaw Pən.tif
    3S take immediately crossbow same
    He took the same crossbow immediately,
25b lәә p&n
    3S shoot
    he shot (it),
25c hə.duup brכэj ləә k\varepsilon&t
    fall.to.the.ground immediately 3S die
    (it) fell to the ground, it died.
26a \\varepsilon\varepsilon me\varepsilon Puu? nככ? məә ci?
    then 3P(pl) older.brother 3S 3P(pl) return
    Then his older brothers they returned,
26b məә riən " Рәŋ.ŋаај hə.bәәm "
    3P(pl) say what 2S-to.do
    they said, "What did you do?"
27 Р\varepsilon\varepsilon ləә trə.nəәw " tэ? nэh アəŋ.ŋаај アə p\varepsilonn Pən.duu
    then 3S to.answer that(far) know what 1S-to.shoot from.before
    hə.duup dəə Paj "
    fall.to.the.ground Prep 1S
    Then he answered, "I don't know what I shot. (It) fell to the ground overthere."
28 m&\varepsilon Puu? nכэ? məә riən " huәj krכэj naa lәәj
    3P(pl) older.brother 3S 3P(pl) say huh small.deer(bigger) 1P(excl.pl) already
    Pən.n\varepsilon\varepsilon ләə p\varepsilonn Pən.duu "
    this 1P(excl.pl) shoot from.before
    His older brothers they said, "Huh! Our deer! This was we shot before."
29 Р\varepsilon\varepsilon məә tə.tah Pə.Par brээj l\varepsilon\varepsilonw
    then 3P(pl) to.butcher immediately finish
    Then they butchered (it).
30 \&\varepsilon məә hə\eta.jan k+j braa\eta
    then 3P(pl) sleep sleep till.dawn
    Then they slept till dawn.
```

31 sii.braaŋ.クip bər.noow mé Puup nכગ? məə veєr ləh early.morning tomorrow 3P(pl) older.brother 3S 3P(pl) wander.about again Pən.naaw
one.more.time
Early next morning his older brothers they wandered about / went hunting once agian.
32a taj.nєє dəə nכว? ləə taj ləh fuuj tə.kuej tih drïn Pən.drïn here.in.this.place Prep 3S 3S see again deer horn big long As for him there, he saw again a deer with big, long horns

32b tin ləə bəəm ?כว?
while 3S make rice(cooked) while he was cooking rice.

32c bək.jaaw ləə sə.sər.cak
amazing 3S shivering.from.fear He was surprised (and) shivered.

32d ləə cok sraaw diw Pən.tif ləə pen
3 S take crossbow only same 3 S shoot He took (his) crossbow, he shot,

32e tə.vєєn broวj ləə kєєt fall.to.the.ground(for.big.animal) immediately 3S die fell to the ground, it died immediately.
 Loc then horn 3 S very.long 3 S to.be.afraid like in.the.past same As its horns were very long, he was afraid as before.

33b kłj.kər.słp.kə.dip.kləəm
sleeping.on.the.belly
(He) was not able to sleep / slept on his belly in order not to see.
34a pə.dii meє Puu? nכગ? məə ci? broכj
then $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ older.brother $3 \mathrm{~S} 3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ return immediately Then his older.brothers they returned,

34b məə kə.lכวw nวว?
$3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ call 3 S they called him.

35 ləə təəw " hə? nєє Paj アə.duun "
$3 S$ reply Loc here $1 S$ 1S-to.be.afraid He answered, "(I'm) here. I'm afraid."

36 " dəə ŋаај hə.duun dəh " Prep 1P(incl.pl) 2S-to.be.afraid polite.question (They asked,) "What are you afraid of?"

37 ＂co？nəh アəŋ．ŋаај アən．co？＂
down．there know what down．there
（He answered，）＂（I don＇t）know what is down there．＂
38 тəə glay＂həm．baaj fuuj naa ləəj アən．nєє＂
3P（pl）look rhetorical．question deer 1P（excl．pl）already this
They looked（spoke），＂Isn＇t this our deer？＂
39 məə tə．tah アə．アar froŋ．puur biŋ $3 \varepsilon \varepsilon$
3P（pl）to．butcher light．fire．under．to．grill Loc then
They butchered（and）grilled（it）there．
 early．morning tomorrow 3P（pl）older．brother 3S 3P（pl）wander．about take．with sraaw ləh crossbow again Early next morning his older brothers they wandered about with crossbows again．

41 məə than riən＂b⿰๋̇ nєє ləəj hə．n kuu jə？hə．n cam ka．jє？ 3P（pl）advise say Loc here already 2S－Irr stay Imp．Interj 2S－Irr look．after food naa

1P（excl．pl）
They told，saying，＂［You］Stay here．［You］Look after our food．＂
42 ＂泣々＂ləә təәш
yes 3S reply
＂Yes．＂He replied．
43a məə viih kləəc trec m\＆є
$3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ go．away past behind $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$
After they were gone，
43b ləə taj ruəh tih ŋim アəŋ．クim ruəh bloək
3S see elephant big very．big elephant tusk
he saw a big elephant with very big tusks．
44a ləə cok sraaw diw nכว？Pən．tị
3 S take crossbow only 3 S same
He took his crossbow，
44b ləə pen theєך
3 S shoot sound．of．shooting．arrow
he shot，
44c keєt brכэj fəŋ．アooŋ biŋ アとє
die immediately fall．to．the．ground Loc that
（it）died immediately，fell to the ground．

45a taj．nєє mé Puup nכว？məə veєr bih cém
here．in．this．place $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ older．brother $3 \mathrm{~S} 3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ wander．about Prep bird tعє．tعє．kə．nદع．liəl．liəl
small．animal
Overthere his older brothers they were wandering about／hunting for a bird（or）a small animal，

45b taj məə doow $\mathrm{Pi}+\mathrm{m}$
see $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ obtain Neg
（but）they didn＇t obtain（anything）．
46 ＂nah cì ？$\quad$ Рәј＂
let．us（pl）return hey
（They said，）＂Let＇s return．Hey！＂
47a məə ci？maat bih kə．tuup
$3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ return truly Prep hut
They returned to the hut．
47b cə．gruəj ləə tìh jaaw meє
Cegruej 3 S go．down run $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$
Cegruej he ran to them．
48 ＂アəŋ．ŋаај vəə nっһ dəə アaj アə．taj＂
what 2S know Prep 1S 1S－to．see
（He asked，）＂Do you know what I saw？＂
49 ＂Рəŋ．ŋаај ŋə．n taj veh diw アэっ？haj kə．lə？ləəj hə．n what 1P（incl．pl）－Irr see only only rice（cooked） 2 S self already 2 S －Irr
con
eat（rice．only）
（They answered，）＂What we saw was only the rice you will cook for yourself［to eat］．＂
50 ＂$\ddagger \dot{\ddagger}+\mathrm{m}$ co？dəh və．n glan アəŋ．ŋаај pa？co？＂
Neg down．there polite．question 2P－Irr see what like down．there
（He said，）＂No．Down there．What do you see down there？＂
51 məә glan＂həm．baaj ruəh лаа lәәj ләә pєл
$3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ look rhetorical．question elephant 1 P （excl．pl）already 1 P （excl．pl）shoot
ruəh nєє muuj Ram．poэm blook nכว？pəh brכэj＂
elephant this one Clf tusk 3S seven immediately
They looked（said），＂Our elephant？We shot this elephant，with seven tusks＂
52a アとє məə tə．tah Рə．Par
then 3P（pl）to．butcher
Then they butchered（it），

52b məə bəəm fəəŋ froŋ．puur cram
3P（pl）make grill light．fire．under．to．grill dry they grilled（it）dried．

53 həŋ．ŋan kij braaŋ
sleep sleep till．dawn
（They）slept till dawn．

early．morning tomorrow 3P（pl）say to．the．extent．that already 1P（excl．pl）－Irr ci？bəəm brah．jaaŋ．Paaŋ．brah．mok həm．men hə？sruk ka．je？／ return make type．of．sacrifice．before．gathering．new．rice instead Loc village food лаa nєє アəən ləəj ka．jє？naa アદє nə．m taan roว＂ 1P（excl．pl）here many already food 1P（excl．pl）that 1P（excl．pl）－Irr weave basket Early next morning they said，＂That＇s enough．We return（home）to make［prepare for］ the sacrifice instead of the food in the village．We［here］have plenty of food already．So we weave baskets．＂

55 тәə сә．сєє trə．diiw mعє＂Рәәј アuu？loŋ si？dəə haj $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ chat together $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ hey older．brother first．born how．many Prep 2S hə．m bic trii＂ 2S－Irr have wife They chatted togher，＂Hey，Eldest brother！［for you］How many wife will you have？＂ 56 ＂уаај Paj アin vəh．diw．muuj dəə アaj アə．m bic trii／Рə．m ruət 1P（incl．pl）1S exophoric only．one Prep 1S 1S－Irr have wife 1S－Irr buy gככn muuj həm．bruk tiəw blook muuj həm．bruk taan ro muuj pap．tił＂ gong one a．pair earring tusk one a．pair weave basket one too （The eldest brother said，）＂For me，I＇ll have only one wife．I＇ll buy a pair of gongs，a pair of tusk earrings，（and）weave a basket too．

57 məә tə．ŋаa bə？tii．baar＂pə．jє？dəə haj si？hə．m bic trii＂ $3 P(p l)$ ask man（single）the．second how Prep 2 S how．many 2S－Irr have wife They asked the second man［brother］，＂How about you？How many wife will you have？＂

58 アєє ləə təəw＂ŋaaj baar dəə アaj アə．m bic trii／アદє アə．m ruət gəכŋ baar then $3 S$ reply what two Prep 1515 －Irr have wife then $1 S$－Irr buy gong two tiəw blook baar／アə．m taan roכ pap．tił＂ earring tusk two 1S－Irr weave basket too Then he replied，＂I＇ll have two wives［for me］．I＇ll buy two（pairs of）gongs，two（pairs of） tusk earrings，I＇ll weave（two）baskets too．＂

59 ＂dəə haj dəh tii．pとє si？dəə haj trii＂ Prep 2S polite．question older．brother the．third how．many Prep 2S wife （They asked，）＂For you，Interjection！the third older brother，how many wife for you？＂

60 bə？tii．pєє ləə təəw＂peє dəə アaj アə．m bic trii／アə．m ruət gəગŋ man（single）the．third 3 reply three Prep 1 S 1S－Irr have wife $1 S$－Irr buy gong рєє tiəw bloวk peє taan rככ pé pap．tif＂
three earring tusk three weave basket three too
The third man［brother］he replied，＂I＇ll have three wives［for me］．I＇ll buy three（pairs of） gongs，three（pairs of）tusk earrings，（and）weave three baskets too．＂

61 məə tə．ŋаa bə？tii．puən アən．naaw＂si？dəə haj hə．m 3P（pl）ask man（single）the．fourth one．more．time how．many Prep 2S 2S－Irr bic trii Puu？＂
have wife older．brother
They asked the fourth man［brother］also，＂［for you］How many wife will you have，older brother？＂

62 ləə təəw＂puən dəə アaj アə．m bic trii／アə．m ruət gכən puən tiəw bloək 3 reply four Prep 1 S 1S－Irr have wife 1 －Irr buy gong four earring tusk puən taan roo puən pap．tì＂
four weave basket four too
He replied，＂I＇ll have four wives［for me］．I＇ll buy four（pairs of）gongs，four（pairs of）tusk earrings，（and）weave four baskets too．＂

63 тəә tə．ŋаa bə？tii．səəŋ アən．naaw＂si？dəə haj hə．m bic trii 3 P （pl）ask man（single）the．fifth also how．many Prep 2S 2S－Irr have wife Puup＂
older．brother
They asked the fifth man［brother］also，＂［for you］How many wife will you have，older brother？＂

64 ləə təəw＂səəŋ dəə アaj アə．m bic trii／アə．m ruət gəəŋ səəŋ tiəw blכək 3 reply five Prep 1 S 1S－Irr have wife 1 －Irr buy gong five earring tusk səəŋ taan roכ səəŋ pap．tit＂
five weave basket five too
He replied，＂I＇ll have five wives［for me］．I＇ll buy five（pairs of）gongs，five（pairs of）tusk earrings，（and）weave five baskets too．＂

65 məə tə．ŋaa ləh bə？tii．troow＂si？dəə haj hə．m bic trii＂ $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ ask again man（single）the．sixth how．many Prep 2S 2S－Irr have wife They asked again the sixth man［brother］，＂［for you］How many wife will you have？＂

66 ＂уаај troow dəə アaj アə．m bic trii／アə．m ruət gכəŋ troow tiəw bloวk 1P（incl．pl）six Prep 1S 1S－Irr have wife 1S－Irr buy gong six earring tusk troow taan rov troow pap．tif＂
six weave basket six too
（He replied，）＂I＇ll have six wives［for me］．I＇ll buy six（pairs of）gongs，six（pairs of）tusk earrings，（and）weave six baskets too．＂

67 sit top Pən．tun məə tə．ŋaа doow thaaw cə．gruəj məə at．last that（far）after 3P（pl）ask Mr．（demeaning）Mr．（honorific）Cegruej 3P（pl） maan＂sip dəə haj cə．gruəj hə．m bic trii＂
speak how．many Prep 2S Cegruej 2S－Irr have wife
At last，after that，they asked Thaaw Cegreuj，they Spoke，＂［for you］Cegruej，how many wife will you have？＂
 puzzled be．amazed be．amazed immediately 3S stay Neg know what Neg lə．m trə．nəəw 3S－Irr to．answer Puzzled amazingly，he didn＇t know what he should answer．

68b ləə təəw dəə mam mam ləə riən＂pəh dəə アaj アə．m cək trii 3 reply Prep to．be．brave to．be．angry 3 S say seven Prep 1 S 1S－Irr take wife ／アə．m ruət gככŋ pəh tiəw bloวk pəh taan ro pə＂ 1S－Irr buy gong seven earring tusk seven weave basket seven He replied bravely，he said，＂I＇ll take seven wives［for me］．I＇ll buy seven（pairs of）gongs， seven（pairs of）tusk earrings，（and）weave seven baskets．

69 bכk．jaaw mé Puu？nכэ？məə mam ？in amazing $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ older．brother $3 \mathrm{~S} 3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ to．be．angry exophoric His older brothers they were extremely angry．

70a məә rap nכว？
3P（pl）grab 3S
They grabbed him，

to．tie hand to．tie foot tree Prep loincloth 3 S own
（they）tied（his）hands（and）feet to the tree with his own loincloth．
70c mé Puup nכว？məə ci？bə？ka．je？
$3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ older．brother $3 \mathrm{~S} 3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ return carry（on．the．shoulder）food
bə？blook ruəh bih hə？hən．naam
carry（on．the．shoulder）tusk elephant arrive Loc house
His older brothers they returned carrying food（and）the elephant tusks（and）arrived home．

71 bっk．jaaw mé sruk məə jaaw ka．jє？
amazing $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ village $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ run food
Amazingly，the villagers they ran to the food．
72 məə tə．ŋаа＂hə？．ŋaaj thaaw cə．gruəj dəh＂ 3P（pl）ask where Mr．（honorific）Cegruej polite．question They asked，＂Where is Thaaw Cegruej？＂

73 тəə trə．nəəw riən＂klì ləəj ləə kap nээ？＂ 3P（pl）to．answer say tiger already 3 S bite 3S They answered，saying，＂A tiger［it］bit［ate］him．＂
 then $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ to．plan drink eat（rice．only）sound．of．laughter（of．women） Then they planned to celebrate happily［with laughter］．
 then here．in．this．place Prep Cegruej 3 S cry look．for mother go look．for father As［at the place where he was tied］for Cegruej he cried，＂Mother！Father！＂

76a phə．dii juu kən．tiər nєє məə doŋ
then married．woman termite this $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ hear Then these［married female］termites they heard，

76b məә tə．ŋаа＂hə．nі̇m bəәm ŋаај＂ 3P（pl）ask 2 s－to．cry make 1P（incl．pl） they asked，＂Why are you crying？＂

77a ləə doŋ məə tə．ŋаа nээ？
3S hear 3P（pl）ask 3S
He heard them to ask him，
77b ləə riən＂laa アoh ja．アכว？アәәj və．n leh アaj＂
3 say ask please（polite．form）grandfather hey 2 P －Irr untie 1 S he said，＂Please！Please！Grandfather！Hey！［You］Untie me．＂

78 kən．tiər ləə trə．nəəw＂アə．glaŋ hə．n ceєr doow アદh＂
termite 3 S to．answer 1 s－to．try 2 S －Irr pay can that The termite he answered，＂I try．Can you pay for that？＂

79 ＂dəə ŋаaj dəh アə．n cєєr アદє dəə cə．naaj haj／laa thə．lєєm Prep 1P（incl．pl）polite．question 1S－Irr pay that Prep loincloth 2 S ask only．if və．n leh アaj na？アə．n lap 2P－Irr untie 1 S then 1S－Irr pay．back （Cegruej said，）＂Interjection！I＇ll pay you（my）loincloth for that．Please！［You］Just untie me，then I＇ll pay（you）back．＂

80 アદє krap kən．tiər nєє ləə d＋k loכm brəəj so old termite this 3 S get．up bite（things）immediately So this old termite he went up to bite（the loincloth）immediately．

81a アદє məə muur cə．naaj nכว？lદєk dəə アєє so $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ eat loincloth 3 S all Prep that So they ate his whole loincloth［for that］．

81b jak ləə ceєr kən tiər dəə アદh because 3S pay termite Prep that Because he paid the termite for that，

81c ləə həm．braat．braat
3S naked
he was naked．
 like that．is．it 3S go Prep that（far）Mr Teem．Enlee mother Gee．Ersee 3P（du） krap kra？maj ləəj
old old very already
Like that he walked to［that］Mr．Teem Enlee（and）mother Gee Ersee，they were very old．

82b tin Pam．braa dan to．van
Loc 3P（du）look．for bamboo．shoot
［they］were looking for the bamboo shoot．
82c Pam．braa né taj bic kuən．coow Pi甲m
3P（du）this Neg have children Neg
These two［this couple］had no children．
82d Pam．braa taj Pəm．blعєw．アəm．blعc．taj．maat．biit．アiңm．kləəm．アəm．blaak．klaak．アəm．blعє？
3P（du）see not．nice．to．look．at
They saw（Cegruej）were not nice to look at［naked］，
83 アam．braa maan＂Pəŋ．ŋаaј アən．nєє paア．nєє＂
3P（du）speak what this like．this
They spoke，＂Wha＇s this［like this］？＂
84 アam．braa riən＂bə．n†h kə jək pכวw．fə．miw＂ 3P（du）say human dead．body spirit／ghost They said，＂A corpse？A ghost？＂

85 nכว？ləə təəw＂laa ja？アə bə．nभh／jak Pə．kuu həm．braat．braat 3S 3S reply ask Mr 1S－verb．prefix human because 1 －to．live naked アə kə．maaw＂

1S－verb．prefix shame
He replied，＂Please，Mr．！I＇m a human．Because I＇m naked，I（feel）shame．＂
86a アદє bə？təəm．Pən．lєє ləə piəw həm．baan dəə nכэ？
then man（single）Teem．Enlee 3 S throw kromar Prep 3S
Then［man］Teem Enlee he threw a kromar to him．
86b ləə vəən biŋ $\langle\varepsilon \varepsilon$
3S wear Loc there
He wore（it）there．
87 Pam．braa tə．ŋаа＂アəŋ．ŋаај hə．bəəm coow＂ 3P（du）ask what 2S－to．do grandchild They asked，＂What did you do，Grandchild？＂

88 ləə trə．nəəw＂huuj ja．？วว？Pəəj mعє アuu？Paj məə rap Paj diw 3 to．answer oh grandfather hey $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ older．brother $1 \mathrm{~S} 3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ grab 1 S only ／məə tə．ŋaa trə．diiw naa riən／＇si？hə．m bic trii＇／？uu？ 3P（pl）ask together 1P（excl．pl）say 2S－Irr have older．brother lon ləə riən／lə．m bic trii muuj／lə．m ruət gəכn muuj tiəw blook first．born 3 S say 3 S －Irr have wife one 3 S －Irr buy gong one earring tusk muuj／lə．m taan ro muuj／blii $3 \varepsilon \varepsilon$ məə tə．yaa ləh ？uu？ one 3 S －Irr weave basket one after then $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ ask again older．brother tii．baar／ləə riən／nכว？lə．m bic trii baar／lə．m ruət gככ baar tiəə the．second 35 say $3 S$ 3S－Irr have wife two $3 S$－Irr buy gong two earring bloวk baar／taan ro baar／nว？dəə アuu？tii．pé ləə riən／lə．m tusk two weave basket two Rel Prep older．brother the．third 3S say 3S－Irr cวk trii／p $\varepsilon \varepsilon$ lə．m ruət gכŋ p $\varepsilon \varepsilon$ tiəw bloวk p $\varepsilon \varepsilon$／taan rכ $\mathrm{p} \varepsilon \varepsilon$ take wife three 3 S－Irr buy gong three earring tusk three weave basket three ／Puup tii．puən ləə riən／lə．m bic trii puən／lə．m ruət goכn puən older．brother the．fourth 3 S say 3 S －Irr have wife four 3 S －Irr buy gong four tiəow bloวk puən／taan ro puən／dəə puup tii．səən ləə maan／ earring tusk four weave basket four Prep older．brother the．fifth 3S speak lə．m bic trii səəŋ／lə．m ruət gככŋ səəŋ tiəw bloวk səəŋ／taan rכ $3 S$－Irr have wife five $3 S$－Irr buy gong five earring tusk five weave basket səəŋ／dəə Puu？tii．troow／ləə maan lə．m bic trii troow／lə．m ruət five Prep older．brother the．sixth 35 speak $3 S$－Irr have wife six $3 S$－Irr buy
 gong six earring tusk six weave basket six that（far）3P（pl）ask 1S jak taj noh par．paaj jaaw ？ə．m maan アə tut trə．pit because Neg know what Neg 1S－Irr speak 1S－verb．prefix out．of．ideas ear
 already then $1 S$－verb．prefix reply say Prep 1 S exophoric seven 1 S －Irr bic trii／？ə．m ruət gכə pəh tiəw bloכk pəh／taan rכว pəh＇／ have wife 1 S －Irr buy gong seven earring tusk seven weave basket məə mam dəə アaj məə rap cээŋ bin təəm．lכэn dəə cə．naaj Paj 3P（pl）to．be．angry Prep 1S 3P（pl）grab to．tie Loc tree Prep loincloth 1 S kə．lə？／məə khit アə．m kєєt ləəj＂
self $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ think $1 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{Irr}$ die already
He answered，＂Oh，Grandfather！Hey！My older brothers they grabbed me．They asked each other，saying，＂How many wife will you have？＂The eldest brother he said he would have one wife；he would buy one（pairs of）gongs，one（pairs of）tusk earrings，（and） weave one basket．After that they asked again the second oldest brother．He said he would have two wives；he would buy two（pairs of）gongs，two（pairs of）tusk earrings， （and）weave two baskets．As for the third older brother，he said he would take three
wives; he would buy three (pairs of) gongs, three (pairs of) tusk earrings, (and) weave three baskets. The fourth older brother, he said he would have four wives; he would buy four (pairs of) gongs, four (pairs of) tusk earrings, (and) weave four baskets. For the fifth older brother, he spoke he would have five wives; he would buy five (pairs of) gongs, five (pairs of) tusk earrings, (and) weave five baskets. For the sixth older brother, he spoke he would have six wives; he would buy six (pairs of) gongs, six (pairs of) tusk earrings, (and) weave six baskets. That they asked me. Because I don't know what should I speak, I was puzzled. Then I replied, saying, "I'll have seven wives [for me]. I'll buy seven (pairs of) gongs, seven (pairs of) tusk earrings, (and) weave seven baskets." They were angry with me. They grabbed (and) tied (me) to the tree with my own loincloth. They thought I would be died already."

89 " pap.pin.dih coow lą hə.m kuu bìn nị " that's.it grandchild hey would.like yes/no $2 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{Irr}$ stay Loc 1P(excl.du) (They said,) "That's it, Grandchild. Hey! Would you like to live with us?"
 $3 S 3 S$ reply may.be if $2 P(d u)$ love Prep $1 S$ know where $3 P(p l)$ mother mєє bəə? jak nəə vєєr rə.rє? daŋ pə.troow bəəm $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ father because 1P(excl.pl) wander.about hunt look.for animal make brah.mok
a.sacrifice.for.new.rice

He replied, "Maybe, if you love me. (I don't) know where mother (and) father are because we wandered about to hunt animals for a sacrifice for new rice."

91 " pap.?in.dih coow Рәәј "
that's.it grandchild hey
(They said,) "That's it, Grandchild. Hey!"
92a アとع Pam braa ci? tiət hə? hən naam
then $3 P(\mathrm{du})$ return bring Loc house
Then they brought (him) to return home,
92b Pam həm.baan tə.vəən
give kromar wear
(they) gave (him) a kromar to wear,
92c pəŋ.hวэm fah brəэj
bathe wipe immediately
(they) bathed (and) wiped (him).
93 nəכ? ləə kuu sro.sriəm.niəm.proวm brəวj
3S 3S stay to.be.well immediately
He lived happily.
94a Pam.braa re? hə? mìir
3P(du) go Loc field
They went to a field,
 so $3 P(d u)$ speak child Imp．Neg 2S－to．go Neg Loc backyard Imp．Interj so they spoke，＂Child，［you］don＇t go to the backyard！＂

95 ＂アiłp＂ləə təəw
yes 3 S reply
＂Yes．＂He replied．
96a ləә kuu foŋ．ta．ŋəj
3S stay midday
He stayed（till）midday，
96b ləə khit＂рə．jє？doow Pam．braa kəm．bєєt Paj dכk hə？hən．naam
3S think how mark．of．uncertainty 3P（du）forbid 1 S go Loc house
？iər doow ？ə．n dok glan lan＂
chicken mark．of．uncertainty $1 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{Irr}$ go try to．test
he thought，＂Why they forbade me to go to the chicken house？I go try（and）test［take a look of it］．＂

97a ləə dok b＋h top
3S go Prep that（far）
He went there，
97b アદє ləə fər．liəŋ アiər
then 3S take．a．peek．at chicken
then he took a peek at the chicken，
97c ləə klok broวj
3S bite immediately it bit（him），

97d tii nכว？trìin brojj ləə gaal bok．jaaw
hand 3S bright．shining immediately 3S shine amazing his hand shined bright immediately．It shined amazingly．

97e ləə duun ləə coom dəə həm．baan də．rum bł̣ アદє
3S to．be．afraid 3S wrap Prep kromar hide．inside Loc there He was afraid，he wrapped（his hand）with the kromar，hid inside there．

evening 3P（du）this 3P（du）return from field
In the evening，these two［this couple］they returned from the field．

mother 3S 3S call hey child silence 3 S stay that where His mother she callded，＂Hey，Child！＂［Silence／No answer］＂Where is he？＂

99a ləə dok ləə taj nวэ？
$3 S$ go $3 S$ see 3 S
She went，she saw him．

99b ləə puh．lum dəə nu．nu
3S cover．with．blanket Prep blanket
He was covered with a blanket．
100 ləә pəәk＂Rəŋ．ŋаај hə．bəəm＂
$3 S$ open what $2 S$－to．do
She opened（the blanket）（said），＂What did you do？＂
101a nכэ？ləә pəәk
3535 open
She opened（the blanket），
101b bək．jaaw ləə taj mət daak prak ləə kə．sכวp nכว？diw də．nכh rכวk
amazing 3 S see completely water silver 3 cover $3 S$ only wrist she was surprised to see（that）he was completely covered with liquid silver to his wrists．
 Interj like．this Exclamation Neg suitable Neg like．this 3S speak Prep husband nววp＂men hə．n cok daak pən．hวom＂
3S Imp（polite） 2 S －Irr take water bathe
＂Interjection！Like this！Exclamation！（It＇s）not suitable like this．＂She spoke to her husband．＂［You］Take water to bathe（him）．＂

103a 子am braa pəŋ hoэm dəə daak prak
3P（du）bathe Prep water silver
They bathed away the silver．
103b məə həŋ．pan kij braan
$3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ sleep sleep till．dawn
They slept till dawn．
104 sii．braan．ク๋ip bər．noow m\＆६？nכว？ləə than ləh アən．naaw＂kuən early．morning tomorrow mother 3 S 3S advise again one．more．time child
 at．that．time already 2 S －Irr stay Imp．Neg 2 S －to．go Neg Imp．Voc inside house to？bih／hə．nכh kuən nəə bəə？haj nə．m tith that（far）snake 2 S －to．know child 1P（excl．pl）father 2S 1P（excl．pl）－Irr go．down fok tip daak co？
fishing down water down．there
Early next morning，his mother she advised once again，＂Child，［you］stay（here）．Don＇t go to that house，it has a snake inside．You know，our child．Your father（and I）we go fishing on that water downstream．＂

3 reply
He replied，＂Yes．＂

106a アદє Pam．braa dっk brəวj
then 3P（du）go immediately
Then they went［left］．
106b taj．nєє ləə kuu fє？foŋ．ta．甲əj
here．in．this．place 3 S stay to．the．extent．that midday
He stayed there till midday，

3 S think how mark．of．uncertainty mother 3 S forbid 1 S 1S－to．go 1S－to．go
hə？to？＂
Loc that（far）
he thought，＂Why mother she forbade me to go there？＂
107a アદє ləə dכk błh to？
so 3 S go Prep that（far）
So he went there．
107b アદع ləə fər．liəŋ
then 3S take．a．peek．at Then he took a peek at（it）．

107c bək．jaaw jiəŋ ləə kə．sכэp nכэ？mit fin daak fəŋ kiəŋ daak prak cak amazing gold 3 S cover 3 S enter foot water gold elbow water silver body ləm．baa．thə．naa daak roət muh bal məə kat mət bal məə kriət very．nice．to．look．at water nose same．as $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ eye same．as $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ hə．piət biəm cah ləəm アən．ləəm bək．jaaw
tongue red amazing
Amazingly，the gold it covered his feet，liquid gold（covered）（his）elbow，liquid silver （covered）his body，（his）face looked very beautiful．

107d ləə duun dəə mદє？nכว？
$3 S$ to．be．afraid Prep mother 3S
He was afraid of his mother．
108a ləə kuu taj Pən．dreh
3S stay by firewood
He stayed by the firewood．
108b ləə lכэc dəə kə．jah fər．maan
3S to．paint Prep charcoal covered．with．different．colors He painted（himself）with charcoal．

109a アદє mعє？bəə？nээ？Pam．braa ci？təəm．b＋๋ fok then mother father $3 \mathrm{~S} 3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{du})$ return from fishing Then his parents they returned from fishing，

```
109b Pam.braa kә.lככw " Pəәj kuәn khiə\eta brכэj
    3P(du) call hey child silence immediately
    they called, "Hey, Child!" [Silence/No answer].
110 taj ləə təəw
see 3 S reply
He didn't replied.
```



``` where \(3 S\) go one.more.time like.this Interj \(1 S\)-Irr make rice(cooked)
kə.dəh "
Interj
```

(His mother said,) "Where did he go like this again? Interjection! I'm going to cook rice.
Interjection!"
112a nop ləə kaan
Rel 3S work
When she worked[cooked],
112b ləə nak アən.drch lə.m pə.dik
3S take firewood 3S-Irr lift
she took the firewood [she lifts];
112c ləə taj broэj cə.gruəj fər.maan
3S see immediately Cegruej covered.with.different.colors she saw Cegruej covered with charcoal color.
113 " naaj haj nєє hə.bəəm pap.nєє Pən.naaw " 1P(incl.pl) 2 S here 2 S -to.do like.this mark.of.contrast (She said,) "What are you doing here like this?"
114a ləə bəəm ka.j६? ?วว?
3S make food rice(cooked)
She made food (and) cooked rice,
114b con caa həŋ.pan kij
eat(rice.only) eat sleep sleep (they) ate (and) slept.
 early.morning tomorrow mother 3S 3S speak Prep husband 3S Imp(polite)
lə.m tith cok daak fən pəŋ.həวm nכว? kər.maat " 3S-Irr go.down take water gold bathe 3 S truly
Early next morning, his mother she spoke to her husband, "Go down to take (away) the liquid gold, bathe him truly[completely].

116a bək jaaw ləə ca?
amazing 3S beautiful
He was amazingly beautiful,

116b ləə maan hə？mєє？nכэ？bəə？＂Paj Pən．naaw アəəj アə．n tìih fok＂ 3S speak Loc mother 3 S father 1 S also hey 1 S －Irr go．down fishing he spoke to his parents，＂Hey！I also go fishing．＂

117 アદє mعє？nכэ？ləə maaŋ＂nכэŋ kuən then mother 3S 3S speak Imp．Neg child jìiw．daak．run．grun．daak．craan hən．noow＂ you．will．have．a．cold．and．will．have．to．offer．a．sacrifice near．future
Then his mother she spoke，＂Child，don＇t（go）！You＇ll have a cold and will have to offer a sacrifice later．＂

118 ＂アiim meєर Pə．n dכk ləəj Paj Pin＂ Neg mother 1S－Irr go already 1 S exophoric （He said，）＂No，Mother．I＇ll go．＂

119a ləə taj kuən nכว？ləə glen maj heєt dək $3 S$ see child 35 3S stubborn very problem go She saw her child he insisted to go，

119b＂$\ddagger$ ？ dəh＂
to．the．extent．that Interj （she said，）＂Ok．＂

120 tip $3 \varepsilon \varepsilon$ Pam．braa than＂nכэ hə．dik Pìm tin kuut daak down then 3P（du）advise Imp．Neg 2s－to．go．up Neg Loc riverhead water ti？／hə．n tìh fok tip təəm daak co？jə？＂ down 2S－Irr go．down fishing down from water down．there Imp．Interj On that they advised，＂［You］Don＇t go up to that riverhead．［You］Go fishing on that water downstream．＂

121 ləə trə．nəəw＂Pìp＂
3S to．answer yes
He answered，＂Yes．＂
122 ləә ヶоk brəэj taj doow ¡ìm
3S fishing immediately Neg obtain Neg
He（went）fishing immediately，（but）obtained nonthing．
123 ＂рә．jє？アən．naaw アə．m bəəm アаај アaj アin アə．n dik glan how one．more．time 1S－Irr make Interj 1 S exophoric 1 S －Irr get．up try
lan Paj Pin
to．test 1S exophoric
（He said to himself，）＂What will I do now．Interjection！I，I＇ll go try upstream，I．＂
124 アદє ləə dik brכวj
then 3S get．up immediately
Then he went up（stream）．

125 taj．né kuən ja？アat．naa neє naan Pən．sooc bok．jaaw ləə ทun here．in．this．place child Mr rich．ruler this lady youngest（child）amazing 3 S want tith hom
go．down bathe
In that place this Mr．Rich Ruler＇s child，the youngest daughter she wanted very much to go［down］bathing．

126 toow ləə cuh phoor toor do？broj j
only 3 sweat immediately She was very sweaty．

127 ləə laa hə？bəə？nכว？la．m tifh hכэm 35 ask Loc father 3 S 3 S －Irr go．down bathe She asked her father she would go down to bathe．

128a ja？Pat．naa nєє ləə taj kuən nכว？ləə glen maj hét dכk Mr rich．ruler this 3 S see child 3 S 3S stubborn very problem go This Mr．Rich Ruler he saw his child she insisted to go，

128b＂$\ddagger$ ？ dəh＂
to．the．extent．that Interj
（he said，）＂Ok．＂
129 məə tith broj dəəm mé broh puən raa məə $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ go．down immediately and $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ unmarried．woman four person．Clf $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ tooj nכว？
follow 35
They went down immediately and four young ladies they followed her．
130a tin məə hכэm bər．lack
while $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ bathe play
While they were bathing（and）playing，
130b taj．ne thaaw ləə saar duuk dik fok here．in．this．place Mr．（honorific）3S go．against．current canoe get．up fishing in that place Thaaw he（traveled by）canoe against current，went up（stream）fishing．

131 naan アən．sooc ləə taj thaaw ləə ca？jin daak fiən kiən lady youngest（child） 3 S see Mr．（honorific） 35 beautiful foot water gold elbow daak prak water silver
The youngest daughter she saw Thaaw he has beautiful liquid gold feet（and）liquid silver elbow．

132 ləə kə．lכэw tə．ŋаa laa＂həア．paaj hə．kuu Puu？＂
3 call ask ask where 2 S －to．stay older．brother
She called，asking，asking，＂Where do you live，Older brother？＂
（He answered，）＂On that down here，I live（with）father Teem Enlee（and）（with）mother Gee Ersee，I live．＂

134 ＂pap．アin lah nəə noh Pìm dih par nə．n noh like．that yes／no 1P（excl．pl）may．be Neg Exclamation if 1P（excl．pl）－Irr know nə．n tìh kə．van ti？haj アuu？Зәәј＂
1P（excl．pl）－Irr go．down visit down 2S older．brother hey
（She said，）＂Oh！Is it like that？If we would have known，we would have gone［down］to visit［on］you，older brother．Hey！＂

135a cə．cé．praac həm．maac maan dun
chat．together narrate speak long．time （They）chatted for a long time．

135b ləә khit mعє？nכэ？lə．m maaŋ ləəj
3 think mother 3S 3S－Irr tell．off already He thought his mother would tell（him）off．

136 ləә riən＂$\downarrow$ ？ləәj tak maan haj jə？naan／mé？
3 say to．the．extent．that already disappear speak 2S Imp．Interj lady mother lə．m maan dəə アaj アə dun＂

3S－Irr tell．off Prep 1S 1S－verb．prefix long．time
He said，＂That enough，（I）have to say good－bye to you，Miss．（My）mother will tell me off for being gone so long．＂

137a naaŋ ləə cək həm．baan．cé thaaw bin dəŋ
lady 3S take small．kromar Mr．（honorific）Prep neck The lady she took Mr．（Cegruej＇s）kromar，（put it）on（her）neck，

137b ləə təəw＂cip dəh＂
$3 S$ reply return Interj she replied，＂Retrun［Go home］．Interjection！＂
 then lady 3 S return from bathe Prep down house Then the lady she returned home［on that house］from bathing．

138b ləə kij．kər．sip．kə．dip．kləəm brəəj
3S sleeping．on．the．belly immediately She was not able to sleep．

139 アદє taj．nєє dəə thaaw cə．gruəj pap．tì dəə nכэ？ləə cị
then here．in．this．place Prep Mr．（honorific）Cegruej too Prep 3S 3S return
kij．kər．sip．kə．dip．kləəm pap．tił
sleeping．on．the．belly too
So in that place for Thaaw Cegruej，same to him，he returned（home）（and）was not able to sleep too．

140 Pam．braa m\＆є？bəə？nכэ？Pam．braa noh broэj diw Pam．braa taj $3 P(d u)$ mother father $3 S 3 P(d u)$ know immediately only $3 P(d u)$ see həm．baan．čє nээ？ləə pat small．kromar 3S 3S disappear／to．be．gone

His parents they knew only they saw his kromar it was disappeared．
141 アam．braa tə．ŋаа riən＂hə？．ŋaaj həm．baan haj hə tak＂ 3P（du）ask say where kromar 2 S 2S－verb．prefix abandon They asked，saying，＂Where is your kromar？Did you abanon（it）？＂

142 ＂tin tip ləəj アə．dłk fok naaŋ ləə cək həm．baan アaj＂ while down already 1S－to．go．up fishing lady 3 S take kromar $1 S$ （He answered，）＂When I went up that（stream）to fish，a lady she took my kromar．＂

143 Pam．braa riən＂lєєw ləəj Paat lə．m bic kaan ləəj pap．アin＂ 3P（du）say finish already probably 3S－Irr have problem already like．that They said，＂It＇s probably finished！He＇ll have troubles［like that］．＂

144a アદє アam．braa lכэc nכว？dəə kə．huəj glah アən．naaw then 3P（du）to．paint 3S Prep soot steaming．pot one．more．time Then they painted him with soot one more time．

144b ləə kuu fər．maaŋ
Pən．naaw par riiw tif
3S stay covered．with．different．colors one．more．time like in．the．past same He was covered with soot color one more time，same as before．

145a アとع jaP Pat．naa
taj．nとع
ləə taj kuən nכэ？ləə
then Mr rich．ruler here．in．this．place 3 S see child 3 S 3 S
kłj．kər．sңp．kə．dip．kləəm
sleeping．on．the．belly
Then Mr．Rich Ruler［in that place］he saw his child she was not able to sleep，
145b ləə tə．ŋаа＂アəŋ．ŋаај hə．bəəm naaŋ mət hə．ววt アวэ？daak
3S ask what 2 －to．do lady completely 2 －not．eat rice（cooked）water pap．nєє＂
like．this
he asked，＂What are you doing，Miss？You don＇t eat and drink like this！＂

then 3S to．answer speak father 3S 1P（incl．pl）father hey 1S－to．want thaaw cə．gruəj nєє dəh／həm．baan．cєє nכว？アə．cək／ləə Mr．（honorific）Cegruej this polite．question small．kromar 3S 1S－to．take 3S kuu ti？ja？təəm．アən．lєє m\＆？geє．アər．səə＂ live down Mr Teem．Enlee mother Gee．Ersee Then she answered，speaking to her father，＂What？Father，Hey！I want this Thaaw Cegruej．Interjection！I took his kromar．He lives with Mr．Teem Enlee（and）mother Gee Ersee．＂
 father 3S 3S speak 1P（incl．pl）polite．question completely not．eat rice（cooked） daak bal．nєє／hə．n cэŋ caa hən．noow／アə．n tìh tə．ŋаa water like．this 2S－Irr eat（rice．only）eat near．future 1S－Irr go．down ask ləəj meと．ŋаaj mə．n pїn Paj həŋ．アin＂ already $\operatorname{Rel}(\mathrm{pl}) 3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})-\mathrm{Irr}$ on．top 1 S exophoric．reference Her father he spoke，＂What？Interjection！（You）don＇t eat（and）drink like this．You might eat later．I＇ll go down to ask who they are．＂

148 ja？Pat．naa neє ləə tìh maat bih ti？アとع Mr rich．ruler this 3 S go．down really arrive down then This Mr．Rich Ruler he really went down，arrived there．

149 ja．アii？ja．？ככ？nככ？Pam．braa tə．ŋаа＂laa Pam ka．je？२ככ？ grandmother grandfather $3 \mathrm{~S} 3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{du})$ ask please give food rice（cooked） ceh tə．vé puər neєt cכŋ bin アとє＂ rice rice．wine drink eat（rice．only）Loc there His grandparents they asked，＂Please come eat（and）drink at（our）place．＂

150 jap アat．saa nєє ləə glaŋ＂pə．jє？ləə tro Mr rich．ruler this 3 S look how 3 S to．be．right child 1 S 3 S love extremely dəə bə？アən．nєє ？とh nih

Prep man（single）this Interj to．that．point taj．mat．biit．kləəm．Pəm．blaa．klaak．Pəm．bleєk＂
not．nice．to．look．at．not．beautiful
This Mr．Rich Ruler he looked（thought），＂How can my child she loves this man so much？ Interjection！（He）is not beautiful．＂

151a ləə glan ləə taj blah dən thín
3S look 3S see Loc neck shining．brightly
He looked，he saw（his）neck shined brightly．
151b ləə gaal
3S shine
He shined．
152 ＂даај ləə gaal doow＂ləə khit＂glan lan haj＂
1P（incl．pl） $3 S$ shine mark．of．uncertainty $3 S$ think try to．test 2S
＂What（made）it shined？＂He thought．＂（Let me）test you．＂

153 ləə maan＂アәәј moэn men hə．m tìh cok Pən．doot Paj／
3S speak hey nephew Imp（polite）2S－Irr go．down take tube．to．drink．wine 1 S
ləə kliih co？
3S fall down．there
He spoke，＂Hey，Nephew！［You］Go down to take my wine tube．It fell down there．＂
154a ləә tìh maat
3S go．down really
He went down［really］．
154b tin ləə deєŋ．duū
while 3S bow．down
While he bowed down，
154c ləə アəŋ．git tə．vé nכว？
3S give．a．push．to．make．liquid．spilled rice．wine 3S he gave a push（to his glass），spilled his rice wine（on him）．

155a アદє ləə アən．ci＋ic
then 3S shake Then it shook（in the glass and spilled）．

155b ləə taj thìn blah dən ləə cam trə．paj
3S see shining．brightly Loc neck 3S more light He saw something shining more brightly by his neck．

155c meє？bəə？nכэ？Pam．braa laah cak mother father 3S 3P（du）defend body His parents they excused（themselves），

155d riən＂jak nəә duun to？lə．m lì／アعє nəә lכэc
say because 1 P （excl．pl）to．be．afraid that $3 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{Irr}$ hear then $1 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{excl} . \mathrm{pl})$ to．paint nээ？pəŋ．həэm dəh kər．maat nכэ？＂

3S bathe Interj truly 3S
（they）said，＂Because we＇re afraid that（event）will be heard off，so we painted him， Interjection！bathed him truly．＂

156 həm．bruh．dəə．アยє fruun brəэj
at．that．time immediately
†іŋ．daak．ょіəŋ．kiəŋ．daak．prak．cak．ləm．baa．thə．naa．daak．rכэt
beautiful．to．look．at
At that time，（he）was beautiful to look at．
157 ＂アoop bal．nєє maat／భદє kuən アaj ləə pun hən．faa＂ Interj like．this really then child 15 3S want Interj
(Mr. Rich Ruler said,) "Interjecion! Really like this! Then (this is why) my child she wants. Interjection!"

158a Pat.naa nєє bək.jaaw ləə nìm kləəm.klaak brəэj dəə rich.ruler this amazing $3 S$ taste.good heart(for.emotion) immediately Prep pər.saaw noo?
son-in-law 3S
This rich ruler he was extremely happy about his son-in-law.
158b ləə tєєŋ cər.nəŋ.cər.naa
3S to.plan marry
He planned the marriage.
158c тəə kuu sro.sriəm.niəm.prəэm
3P(pl) live to.be.well
They lived happily (ever after).
159 looc diw アとh.ləəj jə? bər.noow hə.braa Pən.naaw Pə.m həm.maac end only that.is.it Imp.Interj tomorrow day.after one.more.time 1S-Irr narrate ləh
again
That's the end. I'll narrate once again tomorrow, the day after.

## APPENDIX F

## NARRATIVE4－MARKSMAN ORPHAN

 story ancestors $3 P(p l)$ old past in．the．past $3 P(p l)$ name name $3 P(p l)$ Goong．Miin təən．bər．naa laa．hə．dac cac．daak．brah doow．brah．brii

Teen．Ber．Jaa Laa．He．Dac Cac．Daak．Brah Doow．Brah．Brii
A story（about）（our）ancestors in the past，long time ago，their names were Goong Miin， Teen Ber Jaa，Laa He Dac，Cac Daak Brah，Doow Brah Brii．

2 アєє doow kuən．pər．tii nєє ləə bic アìm meє？bəə？
then Mr．（demeaning）orphan this 3 S have Neg mother father Then Mr．orphan he had no parents．

3 ləə tooj mé rə．rع？
3S follow 3P（pl）hunt
He followed them to hunt．
4a məә r\＆？dan fuuj
$3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ go look．for deer
They went to look for deer，

then $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ say Imp．Neg 2S－Irr follow Neg 1P（excl．pl）allow Neg 2S 2S－Irr
tooj naa
follow 1P（excl．pl）
then they said，＂Don＇t follow．We don＇t allow you to follow us．＂
5 ＂doow kuən．pər．tii hə．n kuu bị hən．naam ləəj haj＂meє
Mr．（demeaning）orphan 2S－Irr stay Loc house already 2 S 3 P （pl）
goon．mìn təən．bər．naa məə maan dəə nכэ？
Goong．Miin Teen．Ber．Jaa 3P（pl）speak Prep 3S
＂Mr．Orphan，you stay at home．＂Goong Miin（and）Teen Ber Jaa they spoke to him．
6a アعє ləə ŋun broэj taj．dam
but 3 S want immediately extremely
But he extremely wanted to go，
6b daa ləə tooj məэc
until 3 S follow secretly
to the point he followed（them）secretly．

7 ləə dっk アən．tun mé
3 S go after 3P（pl）
He went after them．
8a アعє məә b†h brכэj bวэn Pam．bu？
then 3P（pl）Prep immediately place place When they arrived at the place，

8 b məə bəəm hən．naam rə．r\＆？dan fuuj
$3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ make house hunt look．for deer they made［built］a house for hunting deer．

9 məə taj nכh sip dəə pə．troow tin kə．non brii ${ }^{2}$ दh ləəj
3P（pl）see know how．many Prep animal Loc in forest that already They saw a lot of animals there in that forest．

10a
then $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ make house Loc then finish already
Then they finished making［building］a house there．
10b ${ }^{2} \varepsilon$ phə．dii məə dok dan fuuj
then then $3 P(p l)$ go look．for deer
After that they went to look for deer．
11 วदє məə taj brכэj maat fuuj ？in then $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ see immediately really deer exophoric Then they really saw a deer．

then $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ shoot ${ }_{\text {immediately }}$ deer this Then they shot this deer immediately．

13 goon．minn pen taj tro
Goong．Miin shoot Neg to．be．right Goong Miin shot（but）missed（it）．

14 təən．bər．лаa pen taj tro
Teen．Ber．Jaa shoot Neg to．be．right
Teen Ber Jaa shoot（but）missed（it）．
15 laa．hə．dac pen taj tro
Laa．He．Dac shoot Neg to．be．right Laa He Dac shot（but）missed（it）．

16 cac．daak．brah pen taj tro Cac．Daak．Brah shoot Neg to．be．right Cac Daak Brah shot（but）missed（it）．

17 doow．brah．brii pen taj tro Doow．Brah．Brii shoot Neg to．be．right Doow Brah Brii shot（but）missed（it）．

18 ＂pap．naај アən．naaw＂məə maan riən＂pap doow
kuən．pər．tii
what mark．of．contrast $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ speak say if Mr．（demeaning）orphan
nวэ？lə．m tooj naa／アદє lə．m pen tro
3S 3S－Irr follow 1P（excl．pl）then 3S－Irr shoot to．be．right Loc may．be
＂What should we do now？＂They spoke，saying．＂If the orphan he would have followed us，then he might shoot（it）．＂

19a アعє məə ci lə ləən．gə．ləən
then $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ return stretch．the．eyes．to．try．to．see．something．from．afar Then they returned and saw from afar，

19b тəə taj ləə dok maat fruuj mé taj trec
$3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ see 3 S go really follow $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ Loc behind they saw him really［walked］followed them from behind．

20a アદє məə taj ti？truun
then $3 P(p l)$ see down road
Then they saw（him）on that road，
20b məə riən＂Зəəj doow kuən．pər．tii アəm．bээ haj maat hə．m pen $3 P(p l)$ say hey Mr．（demeaning）orphan maybe 2 S really 2 S －Irr shoot hə．m bəəm ？ən troэ／naa nə．taj fuuj na？ləə 2S－Irr make able．to．catch to．be．right 1P（excl．pl）1P（excl．pl）－to．see deer still 3S kuu bł̇n 3 दh ləəj＂ stay Loc that already they said，＂Hey，Orphan！Maybe you＇re really able to shoot and catch it．We saw a deer still stays there．＂

21 アદє məə tìh cok broэj doow kuən．pər．tii Pin
so $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ go．down take immediately Mr．（demeaning）orphan exophoric So they went down to take Mr．Orphan there．

22a ləə tiət srav．diw．diw noว？k\＆？．ke？
3S take．with crossbow 3S small
He took his small crossbow，
22b ləә pen broэj fuuj Pin
3 S shoot immediately deer exophoric he shot the deer，

22c ləə trכว maat
3S hurt really
he really hit（it），
22d keとt tak
die broken（totally）
（it）was completely dead．

23a アとє məə tə．tah アə．アar jon bin アとh
then $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ to．butcher dry．on．fire Loc that
Then they cut（it）into pieces，dried（it）on fire there，
23b тәә bəəm kər．ょəəŋ．kər．ょєh brəэj
3P（pl）make grill．made．of．bamboo immediately they made a grill from bamboo．

24 məə cəŋ caa lعєw 3P（pl）eat（rice．only）eat finish They ate（it）up．

25 アعє to？bər．ク๋ip bər．noow məə dan rə．r\＆？Pən．naaw then that（far）morning tomorrow $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ look．for hunt one．more．time The next morning they went hunting one more time．

26 アદє тəə cuul broدj ruəh blכэk tih Pəm．ma？
then 3 P（pl）meet immediately elephant tusk big big Then they met an elephant with very big tusks．

27 アદє məə riən＂Puup ruəh to？ŋaaj ŋə．m tìh so $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ say older．brother elephant that（far）1P（incl．pl）1P（incl．pl）－Irr go．down pen アəәj hən．noow＂
shoot hey near．future
So they said，＂Older brother，an elephant is overthere，we go down to shoot（it）．Hey！＂
28 Puup goon．mi̇n lo．m pen
older．brother Goong．Miin 3S－Irr shoot
Oldest brother Goong Miin would shoot．
29 アદє gooŋ．mìn ləə pદn taj trכ
so Goong．Miin 3S shoot Neg hurt
So Goong Miin he shot（but）missed（it）．
30 təən．bər．naa pen taj tro
Teen．Ber．Jaa shoot Neg hurt
Teen Berjaa shot（but）missed（it）．
31 laa．hə．dac pen taj tro
Laa．He．Dac shoot Neg hurt
Laa Hedac shot（but）missed（it）．
32 cac．daak．brah pen taj tro
Cac．Daak．Brah shoot Neg hurt
Cac Daak Brah shot（but）missed（it）．
33 doow．brah．brii pen taj tro
Doow．Brah．Brii shoot Neg hurt
Doow Brah Brii shot（but）missed（it）．

34 ＂paß．ŋаај アən．naaw＂məə riən＂kuən．pər．tii haj アən．naaw lan hə．m what mark．of．contrast $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ say orphan 2 S also to．test 2 S －Irr pen＂
shoot
＂What should we do now？＂They said，＂Orphan，this is your turn to shoot（it）．＂
35a アદ doow kuən．pər．tii Pin srav．diw．diw nכว？
then Mr．（demeaning）orphan exophoric crossbow 3S
Then Mr．Orphan（took）his small crossbow，
35b ləə dok pen troد．mal brכэj
3S go shoot right right．away
he went to shoot（the elephant）right away．
36a həm．bruh ləə trכ
as．soon．as 3S hurt
As soon as it was hit，
36b ləə bэŋ keєt broวj lə．ŋңin
$3 S$ fall．to．the．ground die immediately lay．on．the．back
it fell to the ground，died laying on the back．
37a məə tə．tah Pa．Par
3P（pl）to．butcher
They cut（the elephant）into pieces，

make grill grill．dry Loc then
（they）grilled（it）dry there．
38 тəə maan par lej．də．mãn tị
3P（pl）speak like yesterday same They spoke like yesterday．

39 ＂јє？ləəj＂məə riən
to．the．extent．that already $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ say
＂That＇s enough，＂they said．
40 ＂diw ruəh ləəj nəə doow nєє nə．n cị mãy．mãク＂
only elephant already 1P（excl．pl）obtain this 1P（excl．pl）－Irr return tonight
məә maan
3P（pl）speak
＂We obtained this elephant，we return（home）tonight，＂they spoke．
41a アદє тәə cł̀？brээj
then $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ return immediately
Then they returned（home），

41b məə rən．dap rən．dદh
$3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ prepare
they prepared to go back．
42 doow kuən．pər．tii アin məə アam アìm dəə nכэ？cər．laat
Mr．（demeaning）orphan exophoric 3P（pl）give Neg Prep 3S a．piece．of．meat ruəh cər．laat fuuj $3 \varepsilon \varepsilon$
elephant slice deer then
（For）Mr．Orphan，they didn＇t give him a piece of elephant meat（or）a piece of deer meat ［there］．

43 lәә ci？tii mi．mi？
$3 S$ return hand empty
He returned（home）empty－handed．
44a アદع błh hə？hən．naam
then arrive Loc house
Then（he）arrived home．
44b アદє jap nכว？lə．riən＂hən．ғع？？દh doow lah coow＂
then old 35 3S－to．say how．is．it that obtain yes／no grandchild
Then his grandmother said，＂How was it？Did you obtain anything，Grandchild？＂
45 doow アən．naaw ləə riən＂həŋ． $2 \varepsilon \varepsilon$ fuuj muuj məə pen taj Mr．（demeaning）mark．of．contrast 3 S say hey deer one $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ shoot Neg tro／blii アદદ ləh アən．naaw pap．bər．noow məə taj ruəh to．be．right after then again one．more．time next．day $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ see elephant тəə p\＆n taj tro 3P（pl）shoot Neg to．be．right

Doow（Mr．Orphan）he said，＂Hey！One deer they were not able to shoot right．After that， once again the next day，they saw an elephant，they were not able to shoot right．＂
 then $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ command 1 S 1 S －to．shoot hurt immediately $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ to．butcher ／blii məə froŋ．puər ləə cram／məə pər．naak／məə after $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ grill．dry 3 S dry $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ divide．in．portion．and．share $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ paak Pìm dəə Paj＂ləə riən to．share Neg Prep 1S 3S say
＂Then they commanded me to shoot right．They cut（them）into pieces．After，they grilled it dry．They divided（the meat）into portion．They shared（among themselves）， （they）didn＇t（share）with me．＂He said．
 then old $3 S 3$ say ask grandchild hey $1 S$ forbid already 2 S go follow meє／pap．paaj アən．naaw ŋə．n bəəm pap．アin＂
3P（pl）what also 1P（incl．pl）－Irr do like．that
Then his grandmother she said，＂Please，grandchild！Hey！I already forbid you to follow them．What else shall we do like that？＂

48 məə taj broכj drii．drii mat．ta．nəj tih 3P（pl）see immediately shine．of．a．small．light．like．a．candle／kitchen．fire sun big mat．ta．ŋəj drii．drii mat．ta．ŋəj アin
sun shine．of．a．small．light．like．a．candle／kitchen．fire sun exophoric
They saw a weak shining sun，a big sun，a sun with a weak shine．
49a тəə riən＂nah лə．n pen glan＂
3P（pl）say let．us（pl）1P（excl．pl）－Irr shoot try
They said，＂Let＇s try to shoot（it）．＂
49b тəә riən
3P（pl）say
They said．
50 アદє тəə pદก brכวj
so $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ shoot immediately
So they shot（it）．
51 goon．mìn pen mat．ta．ŋəj taj tro
Goong．Miin shoot sun Neg hurt
Goong Miin shot the sun，（but）missed（it）．
52 təən．bər．лаа pen mat．ta．ŋəj taj tro
Teen．Ber．Jaa shoot sun Neg hurt
Teen Berjaa shot the sun，（but）missed（it）．
53 laa．hə．dac pen mat．ta．nəj taj tro
Laa．He．Dac shoot sun Neg hurt
Laa Hedac shot the sun，（but）missed（it）．
54 cac．daak．brah pen mat．ta．ŋəj taj tro
Cac．Daak．Brah shoot sun Neg hurt
Cac Daak Brah shot the sun，（but）missed（it）．
55 doow．brah．brii pen mat．ta．ŋəj taj tro
Doow．Brah．Brii shoot sun Neg hurt
Doow Brah Brii shot the sun，（but）missed（it）．
56 ＂アəm．bכد doow kuən．pər．tii＂ maybe Mr．（demeaning）orphan
（They said，）＂Maybe the orphan（could hit the sun）．＂

57 " par par nịh hə.m pen mat.ta.ŋəj ləəj / アعє nə.n naam if like to.that.point 2 S -Irr shoot sun already then 1 P (excl.pl)-Irr season? ( haj )"

2S
(They said,) "If you could shoot the sun, then we will have seasons."
58 ləә pen broэj mat.ta.ŋəj ti?
3 S shoot immediately sun on/above
He shot that sun above immediately.
59a həm.bruh ləə loot srav.diw nכว?
as.soon.as 3S pull crossbow 3S
As soon as he pulled his crossbow,
59b ləә tam drap
$3 S$ to.place arrow
he placed an arrow,
59c ləə pen ləə tro bliip brכэj bo? mat.ta.ŋəj
3 S shoot 3 S to.be.right sound.of. immediately Loc sun he shot, he hit the sun immediately,

59d ləə kər.pal
3S turn
it turned.

from then 35 have evening have night night Since then, it has evening, has night.

61 " Рəәј " məə riən " nəə nכэm ləəj dəə doow hey $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ say $1 \mathrm{P}($ excl.pl) be.amazed already Prep Mr.(demeaning)
kuən.pər.tii nєє / ləə pєn mat.ta.ŋəj / ləə trəэ "
orphan this $3 S$ shoot sun $3 S$ hurt
"Hey!" They said, "We are amazed at this orphan. He shot the sun, he hit (it)."
62a təəm.b†ŋ భદє ləə bic mãn mãn məə həŋ.pan kij
from then 3 S have night night $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ sleep sleep
Since then, it has night / when it's night, they sleep,
62b nכ? ta.ŋəj məə pə.ptt.bə.bəəm アən.naaw
Rel day 3P(pl) to.work mark.of.contrast as for day, but when it's day, they work

62c jak ləə gaal gaal
because 3 S shine shine because it is sunny / there is light.

62d tin pən.dəəm ne? bic ¡łìm mãy mãn toow ləə gaal
Loc in.the.past have Neg night night only 3 S shine
In the beginning it had no night, it was always sunny / light.
63a məә həŋ.引ап
3P(pl) sleep
They slept
63b taam dii
according.to like
when (they) like,
64a тәә cэŋ
3P(pl) eat(rice.only)
they ate
64b taam dii
according.to like
when (they) like,
65a jaah taam
stay.awake according.to
(they) stayed awake
65b тәə dii
$3 P(p l)$ like
when they like,
66a hoom taam
bathe according.to
(they) bathed
66b тәə dii
3P(pl) like
when they like.
67 looc diw neє ləəj
end only here already
End here[The End].

## APPENDIX G

## NARRATIVE5－GECKO AND ELEPHANT

1 アદє broэj naaŋ bə．jaa nєє ləə dok dok top həm．paaŋ start．of．a．traditional．story lady Baya this 3 S go go that（far）hut．in．field （It is）a tradition story（about）this Lady Baya she went to the hut in the field［overthere］．
 then 35 hear immediately wild．chicken small．animal $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ cry Then she heard the wild chicken and small animal they cried．

3 アદє ləə maan riən＂laa．dəəw．？oh pa？アə．m bic kuən klכэ／na？ then 3 S speak say please（very．polite）if 1 －Irr have child husband then クə．n doow doow ŋə．n caa アiər．brii．bruu 1P（incl．pl）－Irr able．to mark．of．uncertainty 1P（incl．pl）－Irr eat wild．chicken kə．nєє．prook．loŋ Pin＂ small．animal exophoric
Then she spoke，saying，＂Please！If I have a husband，then we may be able to eat wild chicken（and）small animal．＂

4a アદє ruəh neє ləə lił
then elephant this 3 S hear
Then this elephant he heard（what she said），
4b アعє ləə tə．vir brכэj cak nכэ？bə．n†h
then 3S get．transform immediately body 3S human then he transformed his body into a human being．

5 アદє ləə cup brəэj mat nכэ？thaaw then 3S name immediately name 3S Mr．（honorific） Then he named his name［himself］＂Thaaw．＂
 then 35 shoot immediately wild．chicken wife husband rat wife husband Then he shot a male and a female wild chickens and a male and a female rats．

7 アعє ləə dok broэj bゅh top naan bə．jaa
then 3 S go immediately Prep that（far）lady Baya
Then he went to Lady Baya［overthere］．
 then lady Baya 3 S ask say from where nephew 2S－to．go（doublet）this Then Lady Baya she asked，saying，＂From where，nephew，did you come down？＂

9 アદє ləə trə．nəəw＂huuj アə．vєєr pə．pen pə．troow mec／hə．m buh then 3S to．answer oh 1S－to．wander．about shoot animal 2S－Irr grill Piər．brii．bruu kə．nєє．proวk．lכŋ アaj nєє mec＂
wild．chicken small．animal 1 S this
Then he answered，＂Oh！I went hunting．Could you grill the wild chickens and the small animal for me？＂

10a アદє ləə buh fron paaj bəəm ka．jє？？วэ？
so 3 S grill grill boil make food rice（cooked）
So she grilled（and）boiled（the preys），（she）made［cooked］a meal，
10b cə $\quad$ caa
eat（rice．only）eat
（they）ate．
11 アعє thaaw ləə maan riən＂dii lah bə．n cup cə．puər＂ then Mr．（honorific） 3 S speak say like yes／no 3P（du）－Irr put Then Thaaw he spoke，saying，＂Shall we get married？＂

12 naaŋ ləə trə．nəəw＂dii pa？haj hə．dii＂ lady 3S to．answer accept if 2S 2S－to．like The lady she answered，＂Yes，if you like to．＂

13 cu？broэj cə．puər
put right．away
（They）got married right away．

then evening husband 1 S morning husband 1 S
（She vowed，）＂（I agree to take Thaaw as）my husband in the daytime（and）at nighttime （from now on）．＂
 then Prep Mr．（honorific）3S speak evening wife morning wife 1S Then for Thaaw he spoke，＂（I agree to take Baya as）my wife in the daytime（and）at nighttime（from now on）．＂
 then evening at．sunset $3 P(d u)$ return Loc mother father 3S In the evening at sunset，they returned to her parents．
 then father 3S 3S speak Interj child lady Baya have husband already Then her father he spoke，＂Interjection！（My）child Lady Baya has a husband already．＂

18 アכһ nכэ？ləə maan＂Pii？mo？naaŋ bə．jaa bic klכ
younger．sibling 3S 3S speak Interj older．sister lady Baya have husband Іәәј
already
Her younger sister she spoke，＂Interjection！（My）older sister Lady Baya has a husband already．＂

19 miik nээ？ləə maaŋ＂Piip naaŋ bə．jaa ləə bic kləэ ləəj＂ younger．aunt 3S 3S speak Interj lady Baya 3S have husband already Her younger aunt she spoke，＂Interjection！Lady Baya she has a husband already．＂
 3P（pl）to．be．glad welcome．having．a．meal immediately Loc that They were very happy（and）held a party（to celebrate and welcome Thaaw）there．

21 kuu sro．sriəm．niəm．proom stay to．be．well （The new couple）lived happily（together）．
 long．time already husband $3 S 3 S$ say huh $151 S$－Irr miss mother father
 exophoric wife lady Baya hey $1 S$－Irr return visit $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl}) 1 \mathrm{~S}$ exophoric After a long time，her husband he said，＂Huh！I miss（my）parents．（My）wife Lady Baya， Hey！I return to visit them．＂

23 naap bə．jaa ləə riən＂bal．アとє アaj アə．n ci？tooj tił＂ lady Baya 35 say like．that 15 1S－Irr return follow too Lady Baya she said，＂Like that I follow（you）to return too．＂

24 thaaw ləə riən＂gan jap．ככ？mə．n maan＂ Mr．（honorific）3S say Imp．Neg just grandfather 3P（pl）－Irr tell．off Thaaw he said，＂Don＇t（follow me）．Grandfather will tell（you）off．＂


```
    then 3S tell immediately Loc father 3S say father 1S 1S-Irr return follow
    pər.saaw haj jə? "
    son-in-law 2S Imp.Interj
    Then she told her father, saying, "Father, I follow your son-in-law to return (home).
    Interjection!"
```

26 bəə？nכว？ləə riən＂hə．ci？tooj dəh ci？cap．cap．niəm．niəm father 3 S 3 S say 2 S －to．return follow polite．question return have．a．safe．trip jə？hə？アとє＂ Imp．Interj Loc that Her father he said，＂You follow（your husband）to return（to his home）．Have a safe trip！ Interjection！＂

so $3 P(d u)$ return immediately walk（double）
So they walked for a long time．
28 アદє błh broэj diəp kə．nuu．kə．nuum nכэ？bככ．lכŋ．pooj．アər．jooj
then arrive immediately near a．place．of．living 3 S bamboo．forest
brəэj məə fan．tan
immediately 3P（pl）trample（double）
Then（they）arrived near the place he lived，the bamboo forest they［the elephants］ trampled．

29 アદє ləə maaŋ riən＂bal．nєє dih mєє？bəə？məə piih．rah．cə．gah．saa＂
then 3S speak say like．this Exclamation mother father $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ clear．the．forest Then he spoke，saying，＂Like this，Exclamation！（my）parents they cleared the forest．＂

30 アદє dəə naaŋ bə．jaa vєh ləə kin．アəŋ．kin riən＂hə？．ŋаај
then Prep lady Baya only 35 thinking（doublet）say where
həŋ．アin mə．n piih．rah．cə．gah．saa həŋ．？in pa？ruəh
exophoric．reference $3 P(p l)$－Irr clear．the．forest exophoric．reference like elephant
pa？アaj Pə．taj məə kə．dכh bככ kə．dכh y＂
like 1S 1S－to．Neg 3P（pl）break bamboo break wood As for Lady Baya［only］she thought，saying，＂Where might they clear the forest？I saw（it） likes elephants they broke the bamboo forest．＂

31a アદє Pam．braa b†h brəэj diəp lə．m błh kə．nuu ruəh then 3P（du）arrive immediately near 3S－Irr Prep a．place．of．living elephant Then they arrived near the place where the elephants live．

31b アعє thaaw ləə maan riən＂bín．nєє ləəj jə？／Рај アə．n then Mr．（honorific）3S speak say at．that．time already Imp．Interj 1S 1S－Irr cì mit hə？mé meє？bəə？Pən．nuər Pən．daj ci？tə．ŋaa kə．la？ return enter Loc $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ mother father ahead first return ask euphemism mə．n tə．アah．kran＂

3P（pl）－Irr taboo
Then Thaaw he spoke，saying，＂（We are）here．Interjecion！I return to（my）parents first， return to ask them is there any taboo．＂


33 アદع naan bə．jaa ləə kuu broэj kən．droom təəm trii
then lady Baya 3S stay immediately under trunk（long．piece）sacred Then Lady Baya she stayed under a sacred tree．

34 アعє təəm tin tip tok．ké ləə broow brəəj maan riən＂
then from Loc on／above gecko 3 S cry immediately speak say
tok．keє kaa cəm．braa hə．dii tooj klo haj ləə vir ruəh＂ sound．of．gecko 2S－to．like follow husband 2S 3S transform elephant Then from［that tree］above a gecko she cried，speaking，saying，＂Tok kea！Would you like to follow your husband he is transformed from an elephant？＂
 then lady Baya 3 S speak Interj grandmother hey what $1 S-I r r$ bəәm＂
do
Then Lady Baya she spoke，＂Interjection！That＇s it，Grandma！Hey！What should I do？＂
36 tək．kєє ləə riən＂paア．ŋаај hə．n cə．nєєr アaj アєє アə．m brik haj tin hən．naam＂ gecko $3 S$ say what 2 －Irr hide $1 S$ then $1 S$－Irr lift $2 S$ Loc house Gecko she said，＂What about you give me a payment then I＇ll lift you（up）to（my） house？＂

37 3ì？
yes
（Lady Baya said，）＂Yes．＂
38 アદє ləə アən．noom brכэj tэk．kєє nєє dəə naaŋ bə．jaa
then 3 S urinate immediately gecko this Prep lady Baya Then she urinated，this gecko，to lady Baya．

39 アદє ləə taj broэj アə．rכวŋ buəŋ
then 3S see immediately ladder clearly Then she saw a ladder clearly．

40 భદє ləə dik broэj tin jap tok．keє so 3 S go．up immediately Loc old gecko So she went up to Grandma Gecko．

41a $\uparrow \varepsilon \varepsilon$. ．bet．beєt boow ruəh neє məə b†h brəэj
after．a．while a．group．of elephant this $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ Prep immediately After a while，this group of elephants they arrived，

41b mعє mعє？bəə？nכэ？fuun．hən．fuun brכэj ruəh 3P（pl）mother father 3S sound．of．the．elephant＇s．footsteps immediately elephant ？in
exophoric
his parents－elephants were coming．

42 アعє tok．keє ləə maan＂co？hə．n glan kuən hə．gaj haj／nכ？tin kə．dii then gecko 3 S speak down．there 2 S－Irr look child in－law 2 S Rel Loc middle ruəh blook アən．to？ləəj kloว haj＂
elephant tusk down．there already husband 2 S
Then the gecko she spoke，＂Down here，you look，Child，your in－laws．As for your husband is in the middle of the（group of）elephants down there．＂

43 naaß bə．jaa ləə riən＂bal．？in hər．peєk．hər．？ook＂
lady Baya 3S say to．that．extent sound．of．the．elephant Lady Baya she said，＂That＇s the sound of elephants．＂

44 วદє məə bゅh brכэj təəm．lכว ヶrii
then $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ Prep immediately tree sacred They arrived（at）the sacred tree．
 then $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ speak say where already mark．of．contrast lady Baya Loc here Then they spoke，saying，＂Where is Lady Baya？＂

46 アєє tэk．kєє ləə riən＂Paj ləəj Pə．pə．dik tin nєє ləəj naan bə．jaa tin Paj＂ then gecko 3 S say 1 S already 1 S －to．lift Loc this already lady Baya Loc 1 S Then the gecko she said，＂I already lifted Lady Baya here with me．＂

47a pap アદє məə mam broวj dəə nכว？
like then $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ to．be．angry immediately Prep 3S Like that they were angry with her，

47b məə fan．jan brəəj təəm．ləכŋ frii
3P（pl）trample（double）immediately tree sacred they trampled the sacred tree

47c jak ləə ŋun bluuk ləəj
because 3S want push．over already because she wanted to push over．

48a ləə アən．noom brəэj ti？mé ruəh
3 urinate immediately down $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ elephant She urinated［immediately］on the elephants

48b jak ləə ŋun bluuk ləəj
because 3S want push．over already because she wanted to push over．

49 ləə アən．noom broэj ti？meє
3 urinate immediately down 3 P （pl） She urinated［immediately］on them．

50 saam ləəj məə tan．tan ruəh nєє tired．of．something／someone already $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ trample（double）elephant here Tired，they trampled（the tree），the elephants［here］．

51a məə hə．pih
$3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ tire
They were tired
51b məə həŋ．ŋan bị アદє brכэj
3P（pl）sleep Loc that immediately
they slept there［under the tree］．
52 アદє ja？tok．keє ləə riən＂na？hə．dii hə．n caa ruəh lah＂ then address（polite）gecko 3 s say still 2 S－to．like 2 －Irr eat elephant yes／no Then Grandma Gecko she said，＂Would you like to eat elephant（meat）？＂

53 naaŋ bə．jaa ləə riən＂pap．ŋаaј dəh ŋə．n bəәm＂ lady Baya 3S say what polite．question 1P（incl．pl）－Irr do Lady Baya she said，＂What should we do？＂

54 ja？tək．keє ləə trə．nəəw＂Pə．n tìh ciət kə．pək trec mé＂ old gecko 3 to．answer 1S－Irr go．down cut short behind 3P（pl） Grandma Gecko she answered，＂I go down to cut their behind．＂

55 アદと ləə tiət soo．looc tiət piit so 3 S take．with a．small．woven．basket．with．cover．to keep．cooked．rice bring knife tith broэj co？ go．down immediately down．there So she took a small basket（and）brought a knife to go down．

56a アદє ləə ciət broэj kə．pok trec meє trom trom mé
then $3 S$ cut immediately short behind $3 P(p l)$ every every $3 P(p l)$ Then she cut the behind of all of them［the elephants］，

56b cip tiət brooj
return bring immediately
（she）brought（them）back（to the tree）．
57a アعє mعє ruəh nєє məə raj dik
then $3 P(p l)$ elephant this $3 P(p l)$ wake．up get．up Then these elephants they woke up，

57b puun fuuh kəm．puup trec mé
cut．off behind 3P（pl）
（they）were shocked by learning that their behinds have been cut off，
57c bic Pə？trec mé trom mé bic アìm jaw
have Neg behind $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ every $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ have Neg Neg
they no longer have behinds，all of them have no（behind）．

58 アદє kreє.daj ləə braaj riən " Рعє khaj noow.həm.braa błh then God 3 S bless say then month come.next Prep kuən.pər.doow.coow.pər.looc trec taj və.n bic アiңm " future.generation behind Neg 2P-Irr have Neg
Then a god he cursed (them), saying, "From now on you and your offspring have no behind."

59 looc.ləəj
end
The End

## APPENDIX H NARRATIVE7－KRUNG THE SNAKE

1 bic li̇ə muuj Paj həm．maac maan liəə ja？Pat．saa have story one 1 S narrate speak story Mr rich．ruler （I）have a story，I tell a story（about）Mr．Rich Ruler．

2 jap Pat．naa neє ləə bic kuən broh pəh raa Mr rich．ruler this 3 S have child unmarried．woman seven person．Clf This Mr．Rich Ruler he had seven daughters．

3 ta．„əj muuj ja？アat．naa ləə dək koow loŋn nכว？hə？mìr day one Mr rich．ruler 3 S go cut．down wood 3 S Loc field One day，Mr．Rich Ruler he went to cut down his wood in the field．

4a アとє tin ləə koow loŋ nכว？
when Loc 35 cut．down wood $3 S$ When he cut down his wood，

4b ləə trə．blooc brojj cuun noว？hə？troom 35 fell．out．of．hand immediately axe $3 S$ Loc cave wood it fell out of（his）hand，his axe（dropped）into a tree hole．

5 アદє ləə tə．ŋook broכj dəə cuun nכว？taj dəə ŋаaj jaaw lə．m koow then 3 S worry immediately Prep axe 3 S Neg Prep what Neg 3S－Irr cut．down non nov？
wood 3S
Then he was worried about，without his axe，what will（he use to）cut down his wood ．
6 アદє ləə ci？hə？hən．naam
then 3 S return Loc house
Then he returned home．
7a アદと ləə cł？
then 3 S return
Then he returned（home），
7b kłj．kər．słp．kə．dip．kləəm
sleeping．on．the．belly
（he）was not able to sleep．

so wife $3 S$ 3S get．up ask hey father $3 S$ eat（rice．only）eat Neg yes／no 2S hə．ji？lah＂

2S－to．be．sick yes／no
So his wife she got up，asked，＂Hey，her father！Don＇t you eat？Are you sick？＂
9 taj trə．nəәw həm．pəəv
Neg to．answer no．move no．voice
（He）didn＇t answer（her），no response．
 so 3 S get．up ask again one．more．time hey 2 －to．be．sick yes／no then able アə．n nっh＂

1S－Irr know
So she got up，asked once again，＂Hey！Are you sick？Let me know．＂

then husband 3S 3S to．answer Neg 1S－verb．prefix to．have．pain Neg
アə tə．ŋook dəə cuuŋ アај／ləə pat nih taj
1S－verb．prefix worry Prep axe 1S 3S disappear／to．be．gone to．that．point Neg
dəə ŋаај jaaw アə．ŋ koow lכŋ アaj＂
Prep 1P（incl．pl）Neg 1S－Irr cut．down wood 1S
Then her husband he answered，＂No．I＇m not sick．I＇m worried about my axe．It disappeared，no（tool）for us，I could not cut down my wood．＂

12 ＂ $3 \varepsilon \varepsilon$ pap．？in＂
Interj like．that
（His wife said，）＂Interjection！Like that！＂
13 アદє trii nכว？praj broэj mé khכm．lan baaw dok saat so wife 3 S command immediately $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ a．group．of．people servant go look．for cuun nov？tin mìr axe 3 S Loc field So his wife immediately commanded the servants to go look for his axe in the field．

14a mعє $\} \varepsilon h$ saat
3P（pl）that look．for ［Those］They looked for（it），

14b taj taj $\mathrm{i} \dot{\mathrm{i} m}$
Neg see Neg
（but they）didn＇t see（it）．
15a＂pər．saaw kə．taam meє．ŋaaj kə．taam pa？mə．n taj son－in－law even $\operatorname{Rel}(\mathrm{pl})$ even when $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$－Irr see
（Mr．Rich Ruler said，）＂Whoever see（my axe），they will be（my）son－in－law．

15b アદє アə．n Pam kuən broh アən．sooc nכэ？アən．nєє＂
so 1 －Irr give child unmarried．woman youngest（child） $3 S$ this So I will give［marry］my youngest daughter to this（person）．＂

16 アદє ləə maan dəə meє ti？sruk riən＂hə？meє．ŋаaj mə．n taj cuup then $3 S$ speak Prep 3P（pl）down village say Loc Rel（pl）3P（pl）－Irr see axe アaj／tin meє アaj アə．n Pam kuən broh dəə mєє アєє＂ 1S Loc 3P（pl）1S 1S－Irr give child unmarried．woman Prep 3P（pl）then Then he spoke to them in the village，saying，＂Whoever see my axe，［to them］I will give［marry］my daughters to them［there］．＂

17a アદє тәә saat brəэj
so $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ look．for immediately So they look for（it）immediately，

17b trom kraan məə dok every family $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ go every family they went，

17c mé 3 ch taj məə taj $\mathrm{i} \ddagger \mathrm{i}$ m 3P（pl）that Neg 3P（pl）see Neg （but）［those］they didn＇t see（it）．

18 アદє ləə kə．lə ləə dək saat ləh Pən．naaw top kə．noow then 3S self 3S go look．for again one．more．time that（far）place．of．cutting．tree non nכo？ləh
wood 3S again
Then he himself went to look for（it）once again，the place（he）cut his wood［again］．
19 アən．naaw nap taj ləə taj
one．more．time still Neg 3 S see
Also，he still didn＇t see（it）．
20 アદє ləə kə．lכэw brכэj＂laa Poh brah brii jaaŋ bə．ŋaan then $3 S$ call immediately ask please（polite．form）spirits forest spirits crìw アə．rak brii daak kreє．daj braaj／laa və．n saat cuun アaj
demon forest water God bless ask 2P－Irr look．for axe $1 S$
？oh／na？Pə．n lab Pam kuən broh hə？
please（polite．form）then 1S－Irr pay．back give child unmarried．woman Loc
hən．naam pa？mə．n taj cuun アaj／na？アə．n lab Pam kuən
house like $3 P(\mathrm{pl})-\mathrm{Irr}$ see axe $1 S$ still 1 S－Irr pay．back give child
broh Pən．sooc hə？hən．naam to？＂
unmarried．woman youngest（child）Loc house that（far）
Then he pleaded，＂Please！Please！Spirits of forest，spirits of blessings，demon of forest water，（and）god of cobra，Please！Look for my axe，Please！Then I will pay（you）back， gvie（you）my daughter［at home］if they see my axe；then I will pay（you）back，give（you） （my）youngest daughter［at home］．＂

21a アદє krup bih neє ləə doŋ
then Krung snake this 3 S hear
Then this Krung the snake he heard，
21b＂pap．Pin．dih maat＂
really
（he thought，）＂［Like that］．Exclamation！Really！＂
22a アعє ləə tə．tìit cak nכэ？
then 3 S transform body 3 S
Then he transformed his body，
22b ləə vir bih．kra？
3S transform python he transformed into a python．

23 アعє ləə dכk broэj to？ja？Pat．saa
then 3S go immediately that（far）Mr rich．ruler Then he went to［that］Mr．Rich Ruler．

24 భદє ləə tə．ŋаа＂ja．アวэ？hə daŋ ŋаај＂
then 3 S ask grandfather 2 S －verb．prefix look．for 1 P （incl．pl）
Then he asked，＂Grandfather，what are you looking for？＂
25 ja？Pat．naa ləə trə．nəəw riən＂Pə．daŋ cuun アaj＂
Mr rich．ruler 3S to．answer say 1S－to．look．for axe 1S Mr．Rich Ruler he answered，saying，＂I＇m looking for my axe．＂

26 krun bih ləə tə．ŋаа＂ləə bəəm ŋаај dəh cuun haj アin＂ Krung snake 3 S ask 3 S make what polite．question axe 2 S exophoric Krung the snake he asked，＂What happened to your axe？＂

27 ja？Pat．saa ləə trə．nəəw＂アə．koow lכə ləəj ləə Mr rich．ruler 3S to．answer 1s－to．cut．down wood already 3S pat tin neh ləəj／pa？hə．n saat taj coow アəәj disappear／to．be．gone Loc here already like 2S－Irr look．for see grandchild hey na？アə．n lab アə．n Pam kuən broh dəə haj＂ surely 1S－Irr pay．back 1S－Irr give child unmarried．woman Prep 2S Mr．Rich Ruler he answered，＂（While）I was cutting down the wood，it disappeared right here．If you find（it），Grandchild，Hey！Surely I＇ll pay（you）back，I＇ll give［marry］（my） daughter to you．＂

28 アદє ləə trə．nəəw＂nכh アə．n saat ruəj bэว＂ then 3 S to．answer may．be 1S－Irr look．for play who．knows Then he answered，＂I may find（it），who knows．＂

29a アદє ləə saat broэj fum təəm．lээŋ
then 3S look．for immediately around tree Then he looked around the tree immediately．

29b アદє ləə taj brכэj tin troэm lכэŋ
then 3 S see immediately Loc cave wood Then he saw（it）in a tree hole．

30 アદє ləə riən＂neє ja．アכэ？cuun haj ləə tip tin troom loŋ＂ then 3 S say here grandfather axe 2 S 3 S enter Loc cave wood Then he said，＂Here，Grandfather，your axe it fell into the tree hole．＂

31a アદє ja？Pat．naa ləə huət brכэj
then Mr rich．ruler 3S take．out immediately Then Mr．Rich Ruler he took（it）out immediately，

31 bci tiət hə？hən．naam return bring Loc house （he）brought（it）returned home．

32 アєє ləə kə．lээw broэj mé khэm．lan baaw nээ？＂ then 3 S call immediately $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ a．group．of．people servant 3 S mic kuən．coow və．n dok tuup imp．Prt（polite）children 2P－Irr go carry（on．two．people＇s．shoulders．with．a．stick） bih．kra？hə？mì̀ アaj to？＂ python Loc field 15 that（far） Then he called his［group of］servants，＂Children，［you］go carry the python in my field （back）．＂

33a アદє məə dכk brכગj
then $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ go immediately Then they went，

33 b アદє məə taj bih．kra？tih then $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ see python big then they saw a big python．

33c アદє məə riən＂vəəj bih tih dih／クə．n caa Paat lə．m then $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ say Interj snake big do．you．agree 1 P （incl．pl）－Irr eat probably 3S－Irr nim brooj＂ taste．good immediately Then they said，＂Interjection！It＇s a big snake，right？We eat（it），it＇ll probably be delicious．＂

34 アєє ja？Pat．naa ləə trə．nəəw＂クə．m caa bəəm ŋаај／jak nэว？ then Mr rich．ruler 3S to．answer 1P（incl．pl）－Irr eat make what because 3S
 already 3 S see axe 1 Loc cave wood here this 1S－Irr marry Prep child 1 S Then Mr．Rich Ruler he answered，＂What make us eat（him）？It is him he saw my axe in the tree hole．［Now］I＇ll marry（him）to my child．＂

```
35a ア\varepsilon\varepsilon məә ci` tuun məə cok bכэ
```

then 3P（pl）return carry（on．two．people＇s．shoulders．with．a．stick）3P（pl）take bamboo Then they returned（home），they took bamboo to carry（him），

35b məə tuun ci？tiət hə？hən．naam
3P（pl）carry（on．two．people＇s．shoulders．with．a．stick）return bring Loc house to？
that（far）
they carried（him），brought（him）to return home．
36a アદє ləə praj brəэj
then 3S command immediately
Then he commanded（his daughters）immediately，
36b kuən 3 \＆h taj dii．dii mə．n cək bəəm klכ
child that Neg accept 3P（pl）－Irr take make husband those children did not accept to take（Krung the snake）to be（their）husband．

37 Рєє məə riən＂ca？Рәəj hə don pa？taj hə reとm hə．刀 then $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ say good hey 2 S －verb．prefix hear like Neg 2 S －verb．prefix love 2 S －Irr kכh kə．cعદt tak
stab kill broken（totally）
Then they said，＂Good，Hey！You hear／listen，if you don＇t love（us），you（better）stab，kill （us）．＂

38 アદє naaŋ アən．sooc neє lə．m cok bəəm klכ
then lady youngest（child）this 3S－Irr take make husband
Then this youngest daughter she would take（the python）to be（her）husband．
39a アعє naaŋ アən．sooc nєє taj khiin bər．maan bəə？nכว？
so lady youngest（child）this Neg refuse word father 3S
So this youngest daughter didn＇t refuse her father＇s word，
39b アદє ləə dii hə？？દh brכэj
so 3 accept Imp．Voc that immediately so she accepted that immediately．

40 アદє ləə tìih hכэm．blił cə．cuut cak．daj
then 3 S go．down bathe clean body
Then she went［down］to bathe，to clean body．
41a məə cup broэj bih tin nuk $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ put immediately snake Loc granary They put the snake in the granary．
 then evening at．sunset hesitate immediately In the evening at sunset，（she）was hesitated，

41c ləə dii kij tin bih
3S accept sleep Loc snake she accepted to sleep with the snake

41d noэn ləə duun dəə bih lə．m kap caa nכว？ because 3S to．be．afraid Prep snake 3S－Irr bite eat 3S because she was afraid of the snake will bite，eat her．

42a アとє ləə t†ŋ $3 \varepsilon \varepsilon$
but 3S Loc there But she was there．
 then Krung snake $3 S$ speak 2S－to．be．afraid Neg Prep 1S Miss 1S－verb．prefix bə．nịh アaj nєє hə．nəh／taj trכ アə．n kap caa laa həm．puət haj アìm／ human 1S here 2S－to．know Neg hurt 1S－Irr bite eat ask sell 2S Neg pa？hə．n yun Paj mat．maat hə．m hər．nuk．hər．vaak アən．tun＂ like 2S－Irr want 1 S truly 2S－Irr happy after Then Krung the snake he spoke，＂［You］Don＇t be afraid of me，Miss！Here you know，I＇m a human．I＇ll not hurt，bite，eat（or）sell（you）／I＇ll do you no harm．If you truly want me， you＇ll be happy in the future．＂

43 アદє krun bih ləə tə．tìit broэj cak nכэ？vir bə．nịh
then Krung snake 3 S transform immediately body 3 S transform human Then Krung the snake he transformed immediately，transformed his body into a human．

44 アعє Pam．braa naaŋ neє Pam．braa həŋ．ŋan kij braaŋ．kré
then 3P（du）lady this 3P（du）sleep lay．down dawn Then（Krung the snake and）this lady they slept till dawn．

45a アદє sii．braaך ŋ†p bər．noow krun bih neє ləə mit tin Paaw bih then in．morning morning tomorrow Krung snake this 3 S enter Loc shirt snake tì
same
In the next morning，this Krung the snake he became a snake again，
45b アعє Pam．braa tith
then 3P（du）go．down
then they went down（from the granary）．
46 アદє mعє sruk məə maan＂heєh naaŋ Pən．sooc na？niw／dih then $3 P(p l)$ village $3 P(p l)$ speak Interj lady youngest（child）still live Exclamation bər．maa bih lə．m kap caa noэ？＂
should．have．happened snake 3S－Irr bite eat 3S
Then the villagers they spoke，＂Interjection！The youngest daughter is still alive．
Exclamation！The snake should have bitten，eaten her．＂

```
47a ア\varepsilon\varepsilon məә dik glay tin nuk cər.viit məə taj
    then 3P(pl) go.up look Loc granary wrap 3P(pl) see
    Then they went up to look the granary ??? they saw,
47b \&\varepsilon məә bə.t\varepsilon\varepsilonŋ cər.nכŋ.cər.naa brээj
    then 3P(pl) to.plan marry immediately
    then they planned a wedding immediately.
48a məә cər.nכŋ bə.n+h Pam.braa bih
    3P(pl) marry human and snake
    They married the human[the youngest daughter] and the snake,
48b pəh ta.\etaəj pəh mã\eta məә n^\varepsilont
    seven day seven night 3P(pl) drink
    they drank (and ate) / celebrated seven days (and) seven nights.
49a л\varepsilon\varepsilont.cכŋ.Бכŋ.бכэj
    to.drink-eat-party
    (They) partied.
49b kuu sro.sriəm.niəm.proэm
    live to.be.well
    (They) lived happily.
50a naaŋ Pən.sooc n\varepsilon\varepsilon ləә gla\eta hə? klכэ saam.s\varepsilonn
lady youngest(child) this 3S see Loc husband tired.of.something/someone This youngest daughter she saw（her）husband tired of（getting back in his snake shirt），
50b アદє ləə cok brכэj Paaw bih kloว nכว？buh tak tip Pun so 3 S take immediately shirt snake husband 3 S grill broken（totally）down fire so she took her husband＇s snake shirt［skin］，burned［grilled］（it）completely on the fire．
```



```
then 3S transform human all．the．time immediately Krung snake that Then he transformed into a human forever，that Krung the snake．
52 ta．ŋəj muuj bic ja？アat．naa ləə muиј ləə dっk tə．ŋаа＂daaw lah naaŋ day one have Mr rich．ruler 3 S one 3 S go ask true yes／no lady Pən．sooc cok kloכ bih＂ youngest（child）take husband snake One day，［has］one［another］Mr．Rich Ruler he went to ask（Mr．Rich Ruler），＂Is it true that（your）youngest daughter took a snake as husband？＂
53 ＂アìi daaw Pən naaw yes true mark．of．contrast （Mr．Rich Ruler said，）＂Yes，that＇s true！＂
54a アદє ləə ci？brəэj hə？hən．naam then 3 S return immediately Loc house Then he returned home．
```

54b ləә praj broэj meє khэm．lan baaw dok dan rap 3 command immediately $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ a．group．of．people servant go look．for catch bih．kra？hə？brii
python Loc forest
He commanded the servants went to catch a python in the forest，
54c ci？tiət bəəm kloว dəə naan アən．sooc return bring make husband Prep lady youngest（child） brought（it）back，made（it）to be the youngest daughter＇shusband．

55 アદє naan アən．sooc neє maj ləə duun but lady youngest（child）this very 3 S to．be．afraid But this youngest daughter she was very frigthened．
 then father 3S 3S speak now 1S－Irr marry Prep lady youngest（child）like taj hə．n dii Pə．m kəh kə．ceєt tak haj＂
Neg 2S－Irr accept 1S－Irr stab kill broken（totally）2S
Then her father he spoke，＂Now I marry（you）to（my）youngest daughter；if you don＇t accept，I＇ll stab，kill you［completely］．＂

57 アદє məə cu？broગj bih tin nuk
so $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ put immediately snake Loc granary
So they put the snake in the granary．

then evening at．sunset 35 command immediately child 35 lay sleep Loc bih
snake
In the evening at sunset，he commanded his child to sleep with the snake．
59a アદє naaŋ アən．sooc ni．nip．nכm．nכэm brכэj taj lə．m dכk then lady youngest（child）hesitate immediately Neg 3S－Irr go Then the youngest daughter was hesitated，she wouldn＇t go．

59b ləə duun dəə bəə？lə．m kכh kə．cєєt
3S to．be．afraid Prep father 3S－Irr stab kill （But）she was afraid of（her）father will stab，kill（her），

59c アとદ ləə dłk kij broدj tin bih
so 3 S lay sleep immediately Loc snake
so she slept with the snake．
60a アદє mãŋ hən．noow bih nєє ləə vaat broدj naaŋ Pən．sooc
then night near．future snake this 3 S encircle immediately lady youngest（child） In that night，this snake he encircled the youngest daughter，

60b ləə lin brəэj naan Pən．sooc
3S swallow immediately lady youngest（child） he swallowed the youngest daughter．

61a アદє ləə kə．lכગw mદє？bəə？toow
so 3 S call mother father only So she called（her）parents［only］，

61b ləə hə．raaw brээj
3S shout．of．fear immediately she shouted of fear，

61c アєє ləə лі̇m pa？hə．raaw＂laa ？oh meє？bəə？アəәj so 3 S cry when shout．of．fear please please（polite．form）mother father hey bal．アin bih ləə kap アaj ləәj kləəc tə．nuəj ləəj＂ to．that．extent snake 3 S bite 1 S already past hip already so she cried and shouted of fear，＂Please！Please！Mother，Father，Hey！The snake he bites me past（my）hips already．＂

62 mé？noว？ləə riən＂ŋaaj kuən kloว bər．lєєk＂
mother 3 S 3 S say what child husband play
Her mother she said，＂What，Child？（Your）husband plays（with you）．＂
63a アદє bih nєє ləə lin broدj
then snake this 3 S swallow immediately
Then this snake he swallowed completely（the youngest daughter），
63b kləəc tak．khiən brəэj Pam．braa don past totally immediately 3P（du）hear they heard nothing．

64 Pam．braa riən＂cap ləəj pap アદє＂ $3 P(d u)$ say good already like then They said，＂It＇s good like that！＂

65 アદє Pam．braa həŋ．pan $\mathrm{k} \dot{\mathrm{j}}$ brooj Pam．braa trii kloכ nєє bran so $3 P(\mathrm{du})$ sleep lay．down immediately $3 P(\mathrm{du})$ wife husband this night So they slept，these husband（and）wife at night．
 then morning 3 S call hey lady youngest（child）to．invite 2 S －Irr go．down cэŋ caa dəh tip nєє də．min sə．braa lə．n tìh＂ eat（rice．only）eat polite．question down here when $2 P(d u) 3 S$－Irr go．down In the morning，she called，＂Hey，the youngest daughter！（I）［Invite you］Go down to eat ［on here］．When will the two of you go down？＂

67a アદє Pam．braa don khiəŋ
but 3P（du）hear silence
But they heard nothing，

67b taj don Pam．braa cə．cé mə．maan Piңm
Neg hear 3P（du）discuss 3P（pl）－to．speak Neg （they）did not hear them［the python and the youngest daughter］chat．

68a アとع Pam．braa dik glay khiən taj $3 \dot{\text { itm }}$
then 3P（du）go．up look silence see Neg Then they went up to look（and）saw nothing．

68b bih nєє ləə rooh kuu hə？drən．droэn アən．lєє toow pe．？uut brכэj snake this 3 S leave stay Loc shade bamboo only circle immediately This snake he left，stayed in the middle of the bamboo thicket．

69 ləə lin bə．n†h $3 \varepsilon \varepsilon$
3S swallow human that He swallowed that human［the youngest daughter］．
70 ləə kuu to？təəm アən．leє dok．deєn taj bok jaaw He stayed overthere on the bamboo trunk，was not able to move．

71 アદє məә saat broэj taj rəm．bээj nээ？
then 3P（pl）look．for immediately see track 3S
Then they［one rich ruler＇s household］searched his track．
72a saat dəə saat
look．for Prep look．for （They）searched and searched，

72b アદє məə taj top təəm アən．lєє
then $3 P(p l)$ see that（far）trunk（long．piece）bamboo then they saw［that］（the python）by the bamboo trunk．

72c アєє məə bəəm pa？？દh taj nכh pa？？ ch taj nכh but 3P（pl）do like that Neg know like that Neg know But they didn＇t know what to do．（lit：to do like this didn＇t know，to do like that didn＇t know）（to kill the snake or／and to get the youngest daughter out）

73 Рદє məə tìh kə．lכગw broวj krun bih dik kə．ceとt bih so $3 P(\mathrm{pl})$ go．down call immediately Krung snake get．up kill snake So they went down to call Krung the snake to go up to kill the snake．

74a アદє krup bih neє ləə dik kə．cєєt broวj
then Krung snake this 3 S get．up kill immediately Then this Krung the snake he went up to kill（it）．

74b bih kect tak
snake die broken（totally） The snake was completely dead．

75 Рєє bəə？naan Pən．sooc ləə maan＂Paah bín neh ləəj Pə．n tak then father lady youngest（child） 3 speak well Loc here already 1S－Irr abandon アદє caa briən．triin bin nch ləəj／jak bih ləə lin nכวp tin bih then eat bad．death Loc here already because snake 3S swallow 3S Loc snake

that．is．it 1S－Irr make coffin 3S
Then the youngest daughter＇s father he spoke，＂Well！I＇d leave（her）here，then（we）eat the bad death ceremony here，because the snake he swallowed her，I＇ll make her coffin right by the snake．＂

76a アとє məə ci then $3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})$ return all abandon Then they returned，［all］abandoned（her），

76b ləə nap diw krup bih muuj raa bí アદع 3 still only Krung snake one person．Clf Loc there he，only Krung the snake was still there．

77a アєє krun bih nєє ləə paah pə．diw bih．kra？brok．brook then Krung snake this 3 S cut．open belly python sound．of． Then this Krung the snake he cut open the python＇s belly，

77b アદє ləə cok broدj naaŋ アən．sooc kuən ja？アat．naa lə．muuj
then 3 S take immediately lady youngest（child）child Mr rich．ruler one təəm．bł் pə．diw bih
from belly snake then he took the one rich ruler＇s youngest daughter from the snake＇s belly，

77c アعє ləә рә．nїһ
so 3 S take．out
so he took（her）out，
77d アદє lәә рә．лiw broэj
so 3 S make．alive immediately so he made（her）alive．

78a рә．niw həŋ．アદє ləəj
make．alive near already
（He）made（her）alive right there．
78b アєє ləə tə．ŋаа＂pap．ŋаај ləə trכว bih ləə lin lah haj＂ then 3 S ask what 3 S hurt snake 3 S swallow yes／no 2S Then he asked，＂Why is it that the snake it swallowed you？＂

79a アદє ləə pээс．アəm．pээm kaan．naan meє？bəə？nээ？məə bəəm dəə nээ？təəm so 3 S narrate matter mother father 3S 3P（pl）make Prep 3S from

small until Prep old
So she told（him）（her）life story，（how）her parents they mistreated her from small until older，

79b daa məə praj cək bəəm klכว dəə bih アəm．piim praj bih until 3P（pl）command take make husband Prep snake command snake Pəm．piim lə．n caa

3S－Irr eat
until they commanded（her）to take the snake to be（her）husband，？？？commanded the snake to eat（her）．

80 アદє krup bih ləə riən＂pa？アદє neh．nєh nદє hə．n cị hə？hən．naam／ then Krung snake 3 S say like that now here 2 S －Irr return Loc house

Рદє mદє？bəə？haj mə．n taj＂
so mother father $2 \mathrm{~S} 3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{pl})-\mathrm{Irr}$ see
Then Krung the snake he said，＂［Like that］Now you return home，so your parents can see （you）．＂

81 naaŋ ləə trə．nəəw＂Paah dii jaaw Paj Pin jə．n ci？thə．loom lady 3 S to．answer well accept Neg 1 S exophoric 1 P （incl．pl）－Irr return anyway taj məə reєm dəə アaj／アə．n kuu tooj haj fruuj haj／jak hə．dii Neg 3P（pl）love Prep 1S 1S－Irr stay follow 2S follow 2S because 2S－to．like pə．niw ？aj／アə．刀 kuu daa dəə keєt đaa dəə pat tooj make．alive 1S 1S－Irr stay until Prep die until Prep disappear／to．be．gone follow haj bə．mrəə haj nab thit haj／hə．n dכk həP．раај アə n tooj／hə．n 2 S serve（Khmer．word） 2 S honor 2 S 2S－Irr go where 1 S －Irr follow 2 S －Irr dっk hə？．ŋаај アə．n dכk＂
go where $15-I r r$ go
The lady she answered，＂Well！I don＇t agree to return to them．Anyway，they don＇t love me．I＇ll stay，follow you，follow you，because you made me alive．I＇ll stay（with you）until （I）die，until（I＇m）gone（I＇ll）follow you，serve you，honor you．Wherever you go，I＇ll follow． Wherever you go，I＇ll go．＂
 like like．that return so return follow 1S （Krung the snake said，）＂If like that，return（with me），so follow me to return（home）．＂

83a アとદ ləə cł’ kuu hə？ja？Pat．naa Pam．braa krun bih then 35 return live Loc Mr rich．ruler and Krung snake Then she［returned］lived with Mr．Rich Ruler and Krung the snake．

83b アદє Pam.braa bəəm kuən liən ciəŋ kuən cak dəə nכว kuu so $3 P(\mathrm{du})$ make child raise.adoption child body Prep 3 S live sro.sriəm.niəm.proכm
to.be.well
So they adopted (her) as (their) child ??? (They) lived happily (ever after).


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lave, Rawe are alternate names of Laveh.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Nowadays, "noow" has no meaning in Brao Ombaa, but it is the root of or forms part of other derived words, such as khaj noow, which means 'the coming month' and ban.noow, which means 'shortly'.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Jordi suggested that Cegruej was addressed as doow because he was looked down on in the narrative as insignificant, and also was addressed as thaaw because he was a hero, and seems to have supernatural power.
    ${ }^{4}$ N3 stands for Narrative 3, 2a denotes Sentence 2 and Clause a.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5} l a " 3 S "$ is the clitic pronoun for second person dual.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~N} \# 1$ stands for Narrative number 1.

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ FieldWorks was developed by SIL and can be downloaded for free at http://fieldworks. sil.org.

[^6]:    Note: The letters used to denote the participants are as follows: P - the python; C - the crow; H - human beings; T - the toad.

[^7]:    8 'N3' stands for Narrative \#3, ‘86a' denotes Sentence \#86 and Clause \#a.

