

30 Cannon Street, London EC4M 6XH, England Phone: +44 (0)20 7246 6410, Fax: +44 (0)20 7246 6411 Email: iasb@iasb.org.uk Website: http://www.iasb.org

International Accounting Standards Board

This document is provided as a convenience to observers at IASB meetings, to assist them in following the Board's discussion. It does not represent an official position of the IASB. Board positions are set out in Standards.

These notes are based on the staff papers prepared for the IASB. Paragraph numbers correspond to paragraph numbers used in the IASB papers. However, because these notes are less detailed, some paragraph numbers are not used.

INFORMATION FOR OBSERVERS

IASB Meeting: 13 December 2005, London (Agenda Paper 2A)
IASB/FASB Meeting: 14 December 2005, Norwalk (Memorandum 19)

Project: Conceptual Framework

Elements 1: Asset Definition

Introduction

- 1. This paper is for the first discussion of the "elements" phase of the joint IASB/FASB conceptual framework project. It focuses on the definition of an asset.
- 2. This paper first identifies similarities and differences between the definitions that are presently contained in the IASB *Framework for the Preparation and Presentation of Financial Statements* (IASB *Framework*) and the FASB Concepts Statement No. 6, *Elements of Financial Statements* (CON 6), as well as differing aspects of definitions of an asset in conceptual frameworks of other standard setters. The paper then considers whether the definition of an asset for accounting purposes should differ from the general usage of the term and whether a distinction should be made between assets that are recognized and assets that are not recognized. Finally, the paper considers the essential characteristics of an asset and develops a proposed working definition.

- 3. The paper attempts to reason from first principles. It also attempts not to "peek ahead" and, therefore, does not consider the consequences of the definition for particular conclusions reached in accounting standards today. The consequences for certain situations identified in cross-cutting issues will be considered in a separate paper, expected to be developed for discussion in February 2006. This paper does not consider options over assets or the effects of uncertainty, each of which are scheduled for discussion later in 2006.
- 4. This paper discusses the cross-cutting issues as they arise, rather than in numerical order.

 Cross-cutting issues addressed in this paper are as follows:
 - EL1: Should assets be defined in terms of rights or resources? E.g., securitisation—selling rights to future revenues, but those rights are not recognised. (see paragraph 39)
 - EL3: What is controlled—the resource/right that gives rise to future economic benefits or the future economic benefits themselves? (see paragraph 36)
 - EL6: (a) Does control belong in the asset definition or should it be part of the recognition criteria? (see paragraph 53) (b) Is it preferable to have a broad set of assets at the elements level that is refined at the recognition level? (see paragraph 18)
 - EL7: What is the event that results in an asset being "obtained or controlled" by an entity? (see paragraph 59)
 - EL8: Are accounting assets different from economic assets? If so, why? (see paragraph 11)
- 5. A summary of the issues and staff recommendations is at the end of the paper, together with an appendix summarizing cross-cutting issues remaining to be considered, relating to the definition of an asset (Appendix A). For convenience, the proposed working definition of an asset also is set out here:

An asset of an entity is a present right, or other access, to an existing economic resource with the ability to generate economic benefits to the entity.

Precept No. 2.

² Precept No. 8.

Existing Definitions of an Asset

IASB and FASB Definitions

6. The IASB *Framework* defines an asset in the following manner:

An asset is a resource controlled by the enterprise as a result of past events and from which future economic benefits are expected to flow to the enterprise.³

CON 6 defines assets as follows:

Assets are probable future economic benefits obtained or controlled by a particular entity as a result of past transactions or events.⁴

Similarities

- 7. The two definitions contain a number of common characteristics:
 - (a) Each definition makes reference to "future economic benefits."
 - (b) Each definition considers that an asset is something that is "controlled" by the entity.
 - (c) Each definition requires that the asset result from "past (transactions or) events."
 - (d) Each definition includes a degree of likelihood (IASB—"expected," FASB—"probable").

Differences

8. However, there also are a number of differences between the two definitions, including the following:

(a) The IASB *Framework* focuses on "resources" controlled by the enterprise, whereas the FASB definition focuses on "future economic benefits" controlled by the enterprise. Said another way, the IASB *Framework* requires that "resources" be controlled, whereas the FASB definition requires that "future economic benefits" be controlled. (see paragraph 36 et seq.)

³ IASB *Framework*, paragraph 49.

⁴ CON 6, paragraph 25, footnote reference omitted.

- (b) The FASB definition requires that future economic benefits be "obtained or controlled. The IASB *Framework* requires "control," only. (see paragraph 54)
- (c) The IASB *Framework* focuses on past events. The FASB definition focuses on "past transactions or events." (see paragraph 58)
- (d) The IASB *Framework* focuses on "expected". The FASB definition focuses on "probable". (see paragraph 64 et seq.)

Other Standard Setters

- 9. Some national standard setters have developed definitions of assets more recently than either the IASB or FASB. These definitions are included in Appendix B to this paper. Key aspects of those definitions considered in this paper include the following:
 - (a) The UK Statement of Principles for Financial Reporting (UK SOP)⁵ introduces the idea that assets are "rights or other access" to future economic benefits.
 - (b) The New Zealand definition refers not only to future economic benefits, but also to "service potential."

Economic assets, Accounting Assets, and Recognition Criteria

Assets in General Usage

10. The *Shorter Oxford English Dictionary*⁷ defines an asset, figuratively, as, "A thing or person of use or value."

Thus, in a non-accounting sense, if one were to start to list "assets," one might, intuitively, list items such as land, buildings, plants and machinery, amounts receivable, contracts including financial instruments, workforce, confirmed future orders for products, goodwill, brands, market share, reputation, proprietary information, roads, parks, and mineral

⁵ Accounting Standards Board, Statement of Principles for Financial Reporting, October 1999.

Other conceptual frameworks, including those of the FASB and Australia, also discuss the role of "service potential".

Oxford University Press, Fifth Edition (2002).

resources.⁸ All of these would seem to be things (or persons) of use or value and thus are candidates for a general definition of an asset.

Are Accounting Assets Different from Economic Assets?

- 11. From general usage, one might identify a large number of things that might be considered assets. The question then becomes whether all of these things are the types of things that one would want to provide information about in financial reports. This is the essence of cross-cutting issue EL8: "Are accounting assets different from economic assets? If so, why?" We⁹ might rephrase this issue as: "Are what we call assets for accounting purposes, different from economic resources?"
- 12. First, we might ask what an "economic resource" is. CON 6 states that, "The kinds of items that qualify as assets under the definition [of an asset]...are also commonly called economic resources. They are the scarce means that are useful for carrying out economic activities, such as consumption, production, and exchange." A 1976 FASB Discussion Memorandum¹¹ notes that:

"Economic resources" is defined in various ways in the economics literature, but the term commonly comprises the natural resources (land, minerals, timber, etc.) and man-made resources (capital goods) to which labor is applied to produce consumer goods or additional capital goods. Labor is sometimes called an economic resource, and time often is. Product (output) is often described separately unless it becomes capital goods of another producer. Other factors—such as monopoly rights or monopoly power and entrepreneurship— that help produce value are also usually identified separately. The term "economic resource" is commonly used with a broad meaning in accounting literature, often encompassing all of the kinds of terms just described....

This suggests that accounting assets are very similar to economic resources. Indeed, it seems likely that all accounting assets are economic resources. However, are all economic resources accounting assets?

⁸ Other examples are included in Appendix C to this paper.

⁹ "We" is used in this paper to refer to the staff.

¹⁰ CON 6, paragraph 27.

FASB Discussion Memorandum, December 2, 1976, "Conceptual Framework for Financial Accounting and Reporting: Elements of Financial Statements and Their Measurement," pages 59–60.

Proponents of a revenue and expense view of financial reporting are less concerned with whether assets represent economic resources and would include, also, as assets "deferred charges" that are necessary to achieve matching of costs and revenues. However, those proponents usually fail to establish a robust view of what

- 13. The answer depends on how one defines economic resources. The 1976 FASB Discussion Memorandum takes a very broad view of economic resources. However, CON 6 introduces some critical criteria—that the resources are scarce and that they are useful for carrying out economic activities such as consumption, production, and exchange. This excludes resources that are not scarce, such as air or water, ¹³ as well as resources that are not useful to an entity's activities, such as a child's drawing or a memento or keepsake of purely sentimental value.
- 14. In conclusion, we think that accounting assets do include all economic resources, but only if economic resources are defined in a manner to restrict them to those that are scarce and useful for carrying out economic activities, such as consumption, production, and exchange.
- 15. [Paragraph omitted from Observer Notes]
- 16. Furthermore, we think that we should refer to an "<u>existing</u> economic resource," to preclude the possibility that an asset might include future economic resources, including those that might arise from future transactions (such as cash from future sales).
- 17. Since all accounting assets are economic resources, we can start to build a proposed working definition of an asset for accounting purposes by replacing "a thing or person" with "an existing economic resource". Hence we get:

An asset is <u>an existing economic resource</u> of use or value.

The Role of Recognition

- 18. Cross-cutting issue EL6(b) asks: "Is it preferable to have a broad set of assets at the elements level that is refined at the recognition level?"
- 19. Recognition is described in the IASB *Framework* as the process of putting something on the balance sheet (or income statement). The FASB Concepts Statements also state that,

constitutes revenue and expense without referring to assets. Hence, we take the view that we should start with a robust definition of an asset.

We acknowledge that in some circumstances air or water might be scarce, in which case it might qualify as an asset—such as water in a storage tank in a desert, or highly filtered air in a laboratory.

"Recognition is the process of formally recording or incorporating an item in the financial statements of an entity as an asset, liability, revenue, expense, or the like." ¹⁴ Both the IASB *Framework* and FASB Concepts Statements continue to note that recognition includes depiction of an item in both words and numbers, with the amount included in the totals of the financial statements. Assets are candidates for being recognised in financial statements or disclosed in notes to the financial statements or elsewhere in financial reports. Therefore, we do not want to use recognition criteria as a back-door means of restricting what is an asset. Using recognition criteria in this manner would only deal with those assets recognized in financial statements.

- 20. It is not the purpose of this paper to deal with recognition. ¹⁵ However, it is worth noting that typical reasons for not recognizing include inability to reliably measure and lack of probability that any future economic benefit will flow to the entity. The first of these is a practical accommodation. The second will be considered later in this phase of the conceptual framework project when we consider the role of uncertainty in recognition.
- 21. At this stage, we think it preferable to reserve the right to conclude that certain things that meet the definition of an asset for accounting purposes might, nonetheless, not be recognized for practical reasons.¹⁶ [Remainder of paragraph omitted form observer notes.]
- 22. In summary, we have concluded, to this point, that certain things may not qualify as "economic resources," and hence not be assets for accounting purposes. However, for there to be an asset for accounting purposes, there must be an economic resource (as defined in paragraph 14). Even once we have ascertained what constitutes an asset, some of these things may not be suitable for recognition.

Essential Characteristics of Assets

23. Most of the remainder of this paper considers the three essential characteristics of assets, as included in current definitions:

FASB Concepts Statement No. 5, Recognition and Measurement in Financial Statements of Business Enterprises, paragraph 6.

Recognition will be considered later in this phase of the conceptual framework project.

Nonetheless, information about them may still be included in notes or elsewhere in financial reports.

- (a) Future economic benefits
- (b) Control
- (c) Past events.

At the end of the paper we briefly comment on the role of probability or expectations (likelihood).

Essential Characteristics of Assets: Future Economic Benefits

- 24. Future economic benefits are featured in both the IASB and FASB definitions of an asset.¹⁷ The IASB *Framework* explains that, "The future economic benefit embodied in an asset is the potential to contribute, directly or indirectly, to the flow of cash and cash equivalents to the entity." Similarly, CON 6 states that one of the three essential characteristics of an asset is that "...it embodies a probable future benefit that involves a capacity, singly or in combination with other assets, to contribute directly or indirectly to future net cash inflows..."
- 25. We have concluded in Phase A of the conceptual framework project that, "Financial reporting should provide information to help present and potential investors and creditors and other users assess the amounts, timing, and uncertainty of prospective net cash inflows to the entity." Furthermore, we have concluded that, "...financial reporting should provide information about the economic resources of the entity (its assets) ... "21 and that, "Information about a business entity's economic resources ... can tell a user of its financial reports much about the entity's ability to generate favorable cash flows." Thus, the reason that we provide information about economic resources is to provide financial statement users with information about an entity's ability to generate favourable cash flows.

Future economic benefits also are featured in the Australian, Canadian, New Zealand, and UK definitions. The German definition refers to "resources" in the definition of an asset, but explains that a resource is an "inflow of future economic benefits ..." The Japanese definition does not refer directly to economic benefits—rather, it refers to economic resources, but does, in the background discussion, discuss resources in terms of benefits.

IASB *Framework*, paragraph 53.

¹⁹ CON 6, paragraph 26.

²⁰ [Footnote omitted]

²¹ Ibid, paragraph OB20.

²² Ibid, paragraph OB21.

_ _ _

- 26. [Paragraph omitted from Observer Notes]
- 27. [Paragraph omitted from Observer Notes]
- 28. On balance, we think that we should use the term *economic benefits* rather than *favourable cash flows* in the definition of an asset.²³ Although we think that material supporting the definition of an asset should explain that, for business enterprises, economic benefits are equivalent to favourable²⁴ cash flows.
- 29. Taking our proposed working definition of an asset in paragraph 17, we might replace "of use or value" with "the ability to generate economic benefits". Thus, we get:

An asset is an economic resource with the ability to generate economic benefits.

- 30. Two particular aspects of this working definition might be noted. First, that it refers to "the ability" to generate economic benefits. Thus there is no need to demonstrate that economic benefits will occur; merely to establish that there is a capability of that being the case. Secondly, the definition does not refer to "future" or "prospective" economic benefits. This seems redundant as long as the economic resource has the ability presently²⁵ to generate economic benefits. These economic benefits could hardly be in the past.
- 31. We set aside, for a moment, above, the phrase "singly or in combination with other assets." The draft Chapter of the Converged Framework on Objectives of Financial Reporting explains the need for this as follows:

...some of an entity's resources, such as accounts or notes receivable, are direct sources of future cash receipts, and many obligations, such as accounts or notes payable, are direct causes of future cash payments. However, many of the cash flows generated by a business entity's operations are the joint result of combining several of its resources to produce or provide and market goods or

We will return to the requirement for a "present" capability later, when we discuss rights or other access.

One might also take the view that replacing economic benefits with favourable cash flows breaches precept 1, in that nothing is broken with the term *economic benefits*. However, some, at least, find the term unclear. For example, Davies & Davies in their article "What is an Asset", Part II, *Certified Accountant*, August 1996, state that they believe the meaning of the term to be "anything but obvious," and equate it to "having a future monetary value" — which is not dissimilar from having the capacity to generate favourable cash flows. Samuelson, R.A., in "The Concept of Assets in Accounting Theory," *Accounting Horizons*, Vol. 10 No. 3 September 1996, argues that the term "economic benefits" should be avoided because it connotes financial benefits and leads to confusion of definition with measurement. [Remainder of footnote deleted]

²⁴ [Footnote deleted]

services. Although those cash flows cannot be identified with individual resources ..., investors, creditors and others need to know the nature and quantity of the resources available for use in a business entity's operations²⁶

We think that this should be explained in supporting guidance, rather than being included directly in the definition of an asset.

32. A further issue needs to be considered regarding economic benefits. That is identified in cross-cutting issue EL5: "Which future economic benefits are included—where does the asset end?" We intend to consider this issue in February 2006.

Essential Characteristics of Assets: Control²⁷

Associating Assets with a Particular Entity

- 33. So far, we have considered what an asset is, only in the abstract. We have not related it to a particular entity. Ideally, an asset should appear in only one entity's financial reporting²⁸ and an entity should not include another entity's assets in its financial report.²⁹ If we are to determine what assets a particular entity must include in its financial reporting, we must consider what an asset of an entity is.
- 34. Traditionally, an asset is associated with an entity by the inclusion of "control" in the definition of an asset. The IASB *Framework* definition of an asset states that "...an asset is a resource controlled by the entity ..." (emphasis added). Similarly, the FASB definition states that "...assets are probable future economic benefits obtained or controlled by a particular entity ..." (emphasis added, footnote reference omitted). Setting aside, for the moment, the question of whether it is the resource or the economic benefits that is being controlled (see cross-cutting issue EL3, in paragraphs 36-38, below), both definitions

Page 10

Draft Chapter of Objectives of Financial Reporting, IASB/FASB Agenda Paper 8B, October 2005, paragraph OB21

Issues relating to options over assets will be dealt with at a future meeting.

Although the same asset might appear in individual financial statements of a reporting and consolidated financial statements of a larger reporting entity containing those of the individual reporting entity.

A particular thing might provide separate benefits to two or more entities at the same time. However, the objective should then be to reflect in its financial report the benefits that each entity has.

³⁰ IASB *Framework*, paragraph 49.

CON 6, paragraph 25.

require that the entity have control.³² One might ask, however, what control means, what it is that the entity controls, and whether control is needed?

What Does Control Mean?

The IASB Framework briefly discusses control, noting that it usually results from legal rights, but may arise in other ways. In the latter regard, it cites the example of know-how obtained from a development activity when, by keeping that know-how secret, the entity controls the benefits that are expected to flow from it.³³ CON 6 contains a similar discussion, noting that, "although the ability of an entity to obtain benefit from an asset and control others' access to it generally rests on a foundation of legal rights, legal enforceability of a claim to a benefit is not a prerequisite for a benefit to qualify as an asset if the entity has the ability to obtain and control the benefit in other ways."34,35 Thus, there seems to be agreement that control goes beyond legal rights and includes the ability to obtain and control the benefit in other ways, including restricting, or otherwise discouraging, the access of others to the asset (for example, keeping the know-how secret). The Australian framework goes on to specify that possession of an object or right is not necessarily synonymous with control.³⁶ As an example of this aspect of the definition, satisfied customers would not meet the definition of an asset of an entity because the entity has no legal rights and cannot deny others the ability to entice away customers. However, a customer list would meet the definition, as the owner can deny others access to that list.

What Does the Entity Control?

36. Once we understand what control means, we need to consider what the entity has control of. This is cross-cutting issue EL3: "What is controlled—the resource/right that gives rise to future economic benefits or the future economic benefits themselves?"

The Australian, Canadian, German, Japanese, New Zealand, and UK definitions all feature control also.

³³ IASB *Framework*, paragraph 57.

CON 6, paragraph 26.

The Australian, Canadian, German, New Zealand, and UK conceptual frameworks also incorporate this type of discussion.

Australian Statements of Accounting Concepts, SAC 4, Definition and Recognition of the Elements of Financial Statements, paragraph 14.

- 37. On this point, the IASB and FASB definitions differ. The FASB definition³⁷ takes the view that it is the future economic benefit that is controlled. However, the IASB takes the view that a resource is controlled, from which future economic benefits flow.³⁸
- 38. [Portion omitted from Observer Notes] Accordingly, we think that what is controlled is the resource that gives rise to economic benefits, rather than the economic benefits, themselves.

Is Control Needed?

An Alternative—Rights or Other Access

- 39. Do we need control in the definition of an asset? Is control the best notion? Cross-cutting issue EL1 asks, "Should assets be defined in terms of rights or resources? E.g., securitisation—selling rights to future revenues, but those rights are not recognised."
- 40. The UK SOP defines assets as "...rights or other access to future economic benefits controlled by an entity as a result of past transactions or events." While this includes control, perhaps the more interesting aspect of the definition to explore for a moment is the idea of "rights or other access." The UK definition takes the view that the asset is neither the item of property nor the future economic benefits. Rather, it is the "rights or other access" to some or all of the future economic benefits derived from the item of property. 40
- 41. [Paragraph omitted from Observer Notes]
- 42. [Paragraph omitted from Observer Notes]
- 43. The UK SOP discusses shared rights as follows: "On the other hand, a single item of property may give rise to assets of more than one entity. If two entities control the rights to different future economic benefits from the same item of property, both entities will have an asset (subject to the other aspects of the definition being met). However, although the item of property underlying the asset will be the same, the assets will be different because

As does Australia and New Zealand.

Canada also refers to assets as economic resources from which future economic benefits may be obtained.

³⁹ UK SOP, paragraph 4.6.

⁴⁰ UK SOP, paragraph 4.8.

the future economic benefits are different. For example, if an entity leases an item of property to another entity, both entities will recognise an asset based on rights relating to the leased item of property although, as the lessor's rights will not be identical to the lessee's, the assets will not be the same."⁴¹

- 44. The UK SOP explains that one needs more than just a right/entitlement. 42 One also needs to include "other access." For example, an entity may have no legal rights to secret knowhow, or an unpatented invention, but can restrict, or otherwise discourage, others' access. 43 This is similar to the aspect of control discussed in paragraph 35, above—that the ability to restrict others' access also creates an asset of an entity. This would need to be explained in supporting material. 44
- 45. We think that "rights or other access" is a more useful notion and recommend that it be introduced. However, before building the working definition one more step, we would add one more aspect. [Portion omitted from Observer Notes] Therefore, we also recommend that we borrow a word from today's definition of a liability and specify that the rights or other access must be <u>present</u> at the balance sheet date—i.e., the term we will introduce into our definition is *present rights or other access*. This also has the benefit of helping to align, more closely, the definitions of assets and liabilities. We also propose to specify at this point, as we are now considering an asset "of an entity" that the favourable cash flows must flow "to the entity."
- 46. Thus, our proposed working definition of an asset form paragraph 29 becomes:

⁴¹ UK SOP, paragraph 4.20.

⁴² UK SOP, paragraph 4.12.

A recent *Fortune* magazine article discusses trading in virtual items in on-line gaming, whereby participants accumulate digital images (to which they have no legal right) and are able to trade them in hard currency to make them available to other game participants. The ability of the participants accumulating the scarce resources to prevent other's access to those resources creates the first part of an asset to those participants. (see "From Megs to Riches", *Fortune*, November 28, 2005.)

⁴⁴ [Footnote omitted]

Samuelson, R.A., in "The Concept of Assets in Accounting Theory", *Accounting Horizons*, Vol. 10 No. 3 September 1996, also argues for assets to be defined as property rights—the rights to use resources, noting that this also results in the elimination of deferred costs that are not rights to use wealth and, thus, improving the understandability, relevance, and comparability of financial statements.

We think that it will be preferable to align the definitions of assets and liabilities to the extent possible. An implication of this view is that we might need to reconsider certain aspects of the definition of an asset once we have considered the definition of a liability.

An asset <u>of an entity</u> is <u>a present right</u>, <u>or other access</u>, <u>to</u> existing economic resources with the ability to generate economic benefits to the entity.

If We Introduce Rights or Other Access, Do We Also Need Control?

- 47. [Paragraph omitted from Observer Notes]
- 48. [Paragraph omitted from Observer Notes]
- 49. We think that if we introduce a requirement for an entity to have "present rights or other access" we do not need to also require that an entity have control of those present rights or other access—at least not in the asset definition.
- 50. [Paragraph omitted from Observer Notes]
- 51. [Paragraph omitted from Observer Notes]

Other Considerations Relating to Control

- 52. Before we leave the discussion of control, two other aspects might be considered.
- 53. Cross-cutting issue EL6(a) asks, "Does control belong in the asset definition or should it be part of the recognition criteria?" We have proposed, so far, that control does not have a role in the definition of an asset—rather we have replaced it with present rights or other access. Control is concerned with whether the rights or other access to economic benefits belong to the entity. If our objective is to define "assets of an entity" then it seems necessary to include something that associates the asset to the entity. The alternative would be to define an asset in general terms and only deal with whether a particular asset should be recognized by the reporting entity in recognition criteria. We think that it is preferable to include an aspect of associating the asset with the entity in the asset definition.
- 54. Another issue is whether an entity needs to obtain <u>or</u> control or obtain <u>and</u> control? The definition in CON 6 specifies that it is sufficient to obtain <u>or</u> control. However, in paragraph, 26, it goes on to state that an essential characteristic of an asset is that "...a particular entity can obtain the benefit <u>and</u> control others' access to it..." (emphasis added). We think that it is unnecessary to refer to both "obtain" and "control" and suggest that if

control were to be used in the definition of an asset, that would be sufficient on its own, without also specifying that it is necessary for the entity to be able to obtain the benefits.

Essential Characteristics of Assets: Past Events

55. Both the IASB and FASB definitions of an asset include the fact that an asset arises as a result of past (transactions or) events.⁴⁷ The IASB *Framework* explains this aspect of the definition as follows:

The assets of an entity result from past transactions or other past events. Entities normally obtain assets by purchasing or producing them, but other transactions or events may generate assets; examples include property received by an entity from government as part of a programme to encourage economic growth in an area and the discovery of mineral deposits. Transactions or events expected to occur in the future do not in themselves give rise to assets; hence, for example, an intention to purchase inventory does not, of itself, meet the definition of an asset. ⁴⁸

- 56. [Paragraph omitted from Observer Notes]
- 57. [Portion of paragraph omitted from Observer Notes] ...we think it unnecessary to include a requirement for there to be a past transaction or event in the definition of an asset.
- 58. We note that, if the Boards prefer to keep the notion of a past transaction or event, we think it would be better to refer to either "a past event," or "a past transaction or other past event" to reflect the fact that a transaction is, itself, a past event.
- 59. Cross-cutting issue EL7 asks, "What is the event that results in an asset being 'obtained or controlled' by an entity?" In the working definition in this paper, this question becomes less significant. As discussed above, if the essential criterion is whether an entity has a "present right or other access," then consideration as to what the event is that gave rise to that present right or other access is not essential to the definition of an asset⁴⁹. Usually, the terms of legal contracts and other contractual agreements, such as those underlying property transfers and financial instruments, establish when one entity gives up rights and

The Australian, Canadian, German, Japanese, New Zealand, and UK definitions all include this fact as well.

⁴⁸ IASB *Framework*, paragraph 58.

However, it will be essential in considering when the asset is recognised – to be considered when we look at recognition, later in this Phase of the project.

another entity takes on rights. For example, a shipping contract usually specifies when title passes.

- 60. [Paragraph omitted from Observer Notes]
- 61. [Paragraph omitted from Observer Notes]
- 62. [Paragraph omitted from Observer Notes]
- 63. [Paragraph omitted from Observer Notes]

Likelihood

- 64. Both the IASB and FASB definitions include some degree of likelihood in the definition of an asset. The IASB definition states that, "... future economic benefits are expected to flow to the entity." The FASB definition states that, "Assets are probable future economic benefits" Only the Canadian definition includes a similar notion ("may be obtained"). The Australian, German, Japanese, New Zealand, and UK definitions do not include any degree of likelihood in the definition of an asset.
- 65. [Paragraph omitted from Observer Notes]
- 66. We think it is unnecessary to include any notion of likelihood in the definition of an asset. We think it is sufficient that the economic resource in question has the ability to generate favourable cash flows to the entity. If there is any question of likelihood to be considered, that might be in assessing whether an asset qualifies for recognition (this will be considered in cross-cutting issue EL12, at a later date) or in measurement not in the definition of an asset.

Working Definition

67. Our working definition from above, is as follows:

IASB *Framework*, paragraph 49.

⁵¹ CON 6, paragraph 25, footnote reference omitted.

An asset of an entity is a present right, or other access, to an existing economic resource with the ability to generate economic benefits to the entity.

- 68. We have also identified several other aspects of an asset that would need to be explained in supporting material. These include the following:
 - (a) Explaining that "other access" includes restriction on others access. (see paragraph 44)
 - (b) Explaining what we mean by economic resources—those that are scarce and useful for carrying out economic activities, such as consumption, production, and exchange. (see paragraph 14)
 - (c) Explaining that, for business enterprises, the ability to generate economic benefits is the same as the ability to generate favourable cash flows. (see paragraph 28)
 - (d) Explaining that the ability to generate economic benefits might operate "singly or in combination with other assets." (see paragraph 31)
 - (e) Explaining that control is unnecessary when one has a present right, or other access. (see paragraph 49)
 - (f) Explaining that there is no necessity for a past event. (see paragraph 57)
- 69. This raises a question as to whether we should strive for a relatively brief definition of an asset that is relatively easy to remember, but requires supporting guidance to fully understand it, or a more comprehensive definition that encompasses all essential features? We think that the former is preferable.
- 70. We also note that the IASB defines "an asset" (singular), while the FASB defines "assets" (plural). [Sentence omitted from Observer Notes] Do Board members have any preference as to whether they think we should use singular or plural?

SUMMARY OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

Working definition of an asset:

An asset of an entity is a present right, or other access, to an economic resource with the ability to generate favourable cash flows to the entity.

Supported by material explaining that:

- (a) "other access" includes restriction on others access. (see paragraph 44)
- (b) "economic resources" are scarce and useful for carrying out economic activities, such as consumption, production, and exchange. (see paragraph 14)
- (c) for business enterprises, "the ability to generate economic benefits" is the same as the ability to generate favourable cash flows. (see paragraph 28)
- (d) the ability to generate economic benefits might operate "singly or in combination with other assets." (see paragraph 31)
- (e) control is unnecessary when one has a present right, or other access. (see paragraph 49)
- (f) there is no necessity for a past event. (see paragraph 57)
- EL1: Should assets be defined in terms of rights or resources? E.g., securitisation—selling rights to future revenues, but those rights are not recognised.
 - We think that "rights or other access" is a more useful notion and recommend that it be introduced. (see paragraph 45)
- EL3: What is controlled—the resource/right that gives rise to future economic benefits or the future economic benefits themselves?
 - We think that what is controlled is the resource that gives rise to economic benefits, rather than the economic benefits, themselves. (see paragraph 38)
- EL6(a): Does control belong in the asset definition or should it be part of the recognition criteria?
 - We think that it is preferable to include an aspect of associating an asset with the entity in the asset definition. (see paragraph 53)
- EL6(b): Is it preferable to have a broad set of assets at the elements level that is refined at the recognition level?

We think it preferable to reserve the right to conclude that certain things that meet the definition of an asset for accounting purposes might, nonetheless, not be recognized for practical reasons. (see paragraph 21)

EL7: What is the event that results in an asset being "obtained or controlled" by an entity?

In the working definition in this paper, this question becomes less significant. If the essential criterion is whether an entity has a "present right or other access," then consideration as to what the event is that gave rise to that present right or other access is not essential to the definition of an asset. (see paragraph 59)

EL8: Are accounting assets different from economic assets? If so, why?

We think that accounting assets include all economic resources, but only if economic resources are defined in a manner to restrict them to those that are scarce and useful for carrying out economic activities, such as consumption, production, and exchange. (see paragraph 14)

APPENDIX A

Cross-Cutting Issues Remaining to Be Addressed

- EL2: What does control mean, e.g., insurance renewals—don't control person paying premium but can restrict others access to it. [Scheduled for February 2006]
- EL4: Are above questions related to a difference between control and compel? E.g., loans—can compel repayments; other assets—cannot compel but if counterparty chooses to pay then those payments are made to the entity. [Scheduled for February 2006]
- EL5: Which future economic benefits are included—where does asset end? [Scheduled for February 2006]
- EL9: What about "stand-ready" assets—opposite of "stand-ready" liabilities? [Scheduled for February 2006]
- EL10: Why is internally generated goodwill not recognised—does this relate to the asset definition or recognition criteria? [Scheduled for February 2006]

APPENDIX B:

Existing Asset Definitions

IASB	An asset is a resource controlled by the entity as a result of past events and from which future economic benefits are expected to flow to the entity. (paragraph 49)
FASB	Assets are probable future economic benefits obtained or controlled by a particular entity as a result of past transactions or events. (paragraph 25)
Australia	"Assets" are future economic benefits controlled by the entity as a result of past transactions or other past events; and "control of an asset" means the capacity of the entity to benefit from the asset in the pursuit of the entity's objectives and to deny or regulate the access of others to that benefit. (paragraph 14)
Canada	Assets are economic resources controlled by an entity as a result of past transactions or events and from which future economic benefits may be obtained. (paragraph 29)
Germany	An asset is a resource controlled by an enterprise as a result of past events. (paragraph 66)
Japan	Assets are economic resources or their equivalents that the reporting entity controls as a result of past transactions or events. (paragraph 4)
New Zealand	Assets are service potential or future economic benefits controlled by the entity as a result of past transactions or other past events. (paragraph 7.7)
United Kingdom	Assets are rights or other access to future economic benefits controlled by an entity as a result of past transactions or events. (paragraph 4.6)
CFA Institute – Comprehensive	An enterprise must recognize an economic resource as an asset in the financial statements
Business	when all of the following conditions are met:
Reporting Model (pg 19) ⁵²	 a. The resource is a present right or other access to a future benefit that will flow to the company and will contribute directly or indirectly to future net cash inflows;
	 b. The right to the future benefit is controlled by the company; c. There is a nonzero probability that the benefit will occur; d. The right to the future benefit is generable from the company; that is, it
	d. The right to the future benefit is separable from the company; that is, it can be transferred to an external party;
	e. The right to the future benefit is the result of past events; and f. The fair value of the right to future benefits can be measured.

A Comprehensive Business reporting Model: Financial Reporting for Investors, CFA Centre for Financial Market Integrity, September 2005. Note that this definition mixes both the definition of an asset and recognition criteria.

APPENDIX C:

Assets

This appendix identifies a number of things that some consider assets, some of which are recognized and others that are not recognized. It includes not only assets that might be considered by private business entities, but also certain public sector assets. The intent of the appendix is to provide a list of assets that might be considered when testing the ideas presented in the main body of this paper.

- Cash—coins, paper currencies, etc.
- **Financial assets**—cash, contractual right to receive cash or another financial asset or exchange, equity instrument.
- **Taxation receivable**—right to receive, not a contractual right, similar rights obtained under contract—may not be an asset as not subject to formal agreement, depends on interpretation of control and past event (while more significant to public sector entities, private sector entities nonetheless may have expectations of recovering taxes under statutory, rather than contractual, rights).

• Physical assets

- o Inventories.
- o **Long-term**—plant, buildings.
- o **Infrastructure**—long-life major assets, e.g., roads, bridges, communication networks, sea defences, footpaths.
 - UK definition—expenditure of capital nature on an immobile specialised asset, which is recoverable only if continued use of asset created, no realisable value or earning potential; sunk costs, cannot be reasonably expected to have alternate use than what it was created for.
 - New Zealand—stationary physical assets which form a network to facilitate delivery of goods and services.
- **Heritage assets**—physical assets the community intends to preserve because of cultural, historic, or environmental reasons; preserve indefinitely, e.g., monuments, art, museums, historical treasures.
- **Community assets**—local authority holds in perpetuity, no determinable useful life, have restrictions on their disposal, e.g., parks or historic buildings.

Defence assets

- UN distinguishes from gross fixed capital formation—outlays for military purposes on land and certain civilian uses, e.g., schools, hospitals, family-type housing, military airport.
- O UK—Military hardware, excluding buildings, depots, land; separate given speed of technological development and change is rapid that reliable measurement is impossible, e.g., tanks, planes, and warships are current expenditure.

• Natural resources

- o (1989 Canadian description) economic resources in their natural undeveloped state—further categorized.
 - **Renewable**—natural resources that can be developed and managed to produce sustainable yield for an indefinite long period, e.g. farm land, forests, fish stocks, water for electricity generation.
 - **Depletable**—petroleum and mineral deposits that will diminish to the point of exhaustion over the period of their production.

 Both need to be managed to provide a sustainable yield, otherwise renewable can be depletable.

• Intangible assets

- Identifiable—sold or acquired separately from other assets; include rights created by virtue of legislation but are unconnected to natural resource use, patents, databases and concessions.
- o **Non-identifiable**—all others; cannot be sold separately, include goodwill, human resources, power to tax.
- Might include patents, copyrights, franchises, trademarks, computer domain names, unpatented technology, technical drawings, databases, research and development, customer lists, medical records, financial institution depositor and other customer relationships, customer routes or territories, etc.

Sources: IFAC, Public Sector Study & FASB Statement No. 141, Business Combinations

Others:

- Commodities.
- Rights (identifiable intangible).
 - o Right of way.
 - o Lease, indefeasible right to use (IRU—telecommunication asset or expense).
 - o Digital images ("virtual items" or "graphical manifestations").

APPENDIX D

Sources Consulted

- 1. Accounting Standards Board of Japan, Discussion Paper, *Elements of Financial Statements*.
- 2. Accounting Standards Board (UK), (November 1995), *Statement of Principles for Financial Reporting*, Exposure Draft".
- 3. Accounting Standards Board (UK), (December 1999), Statement of Principles for Financial Reporting.
- 4. Australian Accounting Standards Board, Australian Statements of Accounting Concepts, SAC 4 (February 28, 1995), *Definition and Recognition of the Elements of Financial Statements*.
- 5. Callaway, Jackie (November 1996), "Assets on the line as ASB seeks compromise—The Accounting Standards Board has got to grips with the measurement of tangible fixed assets", Accountancy—International Edition, *Accounting Issues*, page 66.
- 6. Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants, CICA Handbook (2005), Section 1000, *Financial Statement Concepts*.
- 7. CFA Centre for Financial Market Integrity (September 2005), A Comprehensive Business reporting Model: Financial Reporting for Investors.
- 8. Davies, Paul and Davies, Michael, (July and August 1996), "What is an Asset?," Parts I and II, *Certified Accountant*.
- 9. Financial Accounting Standards Board, Concepts Statement No. 5, *Recognition and Measurement in Financial Statements of Business Enterprises*.
- 10. Financial Accounting Standards Board, Concepts Statement No. 6, *Elements of Financial Statements*.
- 11. Financial Accounting Standards Board (December 2, 1976), "An Analysis of Issues Related to Conceptual Framework for Financial Accounting and Reporting: Elements of Financial Statements and Their Measurement," FASB Discussion Memorandum.
- 12. G4+1 (Edinburgh, June 1996), Conceptual Framework Issues Paper.
- 13. German Accounting Standards Board, (October 16, 2002), *Proper Accounting Principles* (Draft).
- 14. Hendriksen, Eldon S., and van Breda, Michael F, (1992), *Accounting Theory*, Fifth Edition
- International Accounting Standards Board and Financial Accounting Standards Board, draft Chapter of Objectives of Financial Reporting, IASB/FASB Agenda Paper 8B, October 2005.
- 16. International Accounting Standards Board, Framework for the Preparation and Presentation of Financial Statements.
- 17. International Federation of Accountants, Public Sector Committee (August 1995), Study 5 "Definition and Recognition of Assets", Chapter 2.
- 18. Johnson, L.T, (1994), Future Events: A Conceptual Study of Their Significance for Recognition and Measurement.

- 19. Milburn, J. Alex, (December 1991), "Building a Better Conceptual Framework", *CA magazine*, pages 43–48.
- 20. New Zealand Society of Accountants, (1993) *Statement of Concepts for General Purpose Financial Reporting*.
- 21. Oxford University Press, Shorter Oxford English Dictionary, Fifth Edition (2002).
- 22. Parloff, Roger, (November 28, 2005), "Innovation: Online Games," Fortune, Technology.
- 23. Sprouse, Robert T. (October 1996), "Accounting For What-You-May-Call-Its," *The Journal of Accountancy*.
- 24. Samuelson, Richard A. (September 1996) "Commentary: The Concept of Assets in Accounting Theory," *Accounting Horizons*, Vol. 10 No. 3.
- 25. Staubus, George J.. (January 1958), "Comments on "Accounting and Reporting Standards For Corporate Financial Statements—1957 Revision," *Accounting Review*, Vol. 33 Issue 1.
- 26. Storey, Reed and Storey, Sylvia, (January 1998), FASB Special Report, "The Framework of Financial Accounting Standards and Concepts", Financial Accounting Standards Board
- 27. Upton Jr., Wayne S., (April 2001), *Business and Financial Reporting, Challenges from the New Economy*, Financial Accounting Standards Board Special Report