10.5958/j.0976-0571.37.1.008

www.arccjournals.com

# EFFECTS OF ZINC AND NITROGEN FERTILIZATIONS ON GRAIN YIELD AND SOME PARAMETERS EFFECTING ZINC BIOAVAILABILITY IN LENTIL SEEDS

L Erdal\*, M. Kaya<sup>1</sup> and Z. Küçükyumuk

Department of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Suleyman Demirel, Isparta, Turkey Received: 04-04-2012 Accepted: 17-03-2013

# ABSTRACT

This study aimed to investigate the effect of Zinc (Zn) and Nitrogen (N) fertilizations on grain zinc (Zn) phosphorus (P) and phytic acid (PA) concentrations, PA/Zn molar ratio, yield, phytase activity and protein content of lentil varieties. Zinc fertilization led to decrease in grain P concentrations and related parameters. Phytic acid/Zn molar ratios decreased with the increment of Zn and protein concentrations in grains, but increased with the increase of grain P levels. While there were positive relations amongst the grain P concentrations and P-related parameters, there was a close negative relation with Zn generally. Although, protein concentrations negatively affected by grain P and PA concentrations, there was a significant positive relation with N fertilization. Increase of both Zn and P concentrations in grains negatively affected phytase activity, but a positive correlation was seen between the protein and phytase. Grain yield increased with both Zn and N fertilization.

Key words: Lentil, Nitrogen, Phytic acid, Phytic acid to zinc ratio, Phytase Activity, Protein and Zinc.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Phytic acid is found in most cereal grains, legumes, nuts, oilseeds, tubers, pollen, spores, and organic soils. Phytic acid is the storage form of P and usually accounts for 60-80% in wheat, 66-70% in barley, 71-88% in com 50-70% in soybeans, 27-87% in lentils and 40-95% in chickpeas of total P (Lolas et al, 1976; Chitra et al, 1995; Erdal et al, 2002; Mate and Radomir; 2002). In the studies conducted by different authors on different seeds, PA content varied from 0.39-1.35% in wheat, 0.83-2.2% in com, 0.50-1.89% in triticale, 0.54-1.46% in rye, 0.74-2.10% in beans and 0.28-1.26% in chickpeas (Reddy et al., 1982; Singh and Reddy, 1977; Lolas et al., 1976). A clear major role for phytate is as a store of inositol, phosphate, K, Mg, Ca, Mn, Fe and Zn for use by the seedling (Lott and Buttrose, 1978; Chen and Lott, 1992). These are released to developing seedlings by the action of phytase enzymes (Chen and Pan, 1977). Phytic acid, by binding nutritionally important minerals such as Zn, impairs their biological utilization. Phytic acid

is also able to form complexes with proteins, and thus impairs digestibility and bioavailability of proteins in seeds (Reddy et al., 1982). In most cases, PA to Zn molar ratios in foods are considered a predictor of Zn bioavailability rather than P, PA and Zn concentrations. Ratios above 20 to 30 have been reported to reduce Zn absorption and growth of animals (Oberleas and Harland, 1981). In the literature, it was documented that genetic variation effected plant P and PA concentrations (Raboy et al, 1991; Erdal et al, 2002; Kaya et al, 2009). As root uptake and shoot accumulation of P are greatly affected by Zn deficiency (Loneragan et al, 1982; Rengel and Graham, 1995), it can be suggested that varied Zn supply can influence PA concentration of seeds of plants grown under Zn deficient conditions. Containing about 25% protein, 56% carbohydrate, and 1.0% fat in seeds, lentil is one of the best and cheapest sources of vegetable protein. Phytate content in legumes has been involved in reducing the bioavailability of minerals and inhibiting the activity of several enzymes (Knuckles et al., 1989).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author's e-mail: ibrahimendal@sdu.edu.tr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Field Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Suleyman Deminel, Isparta, Turkey.

One of the most effective way to decrease PA/Zn molar ratio is increasing the Zn concentration in grains especially under Zn deficient conditions. Also the attempts on increasing the activity of phytatedegrading enzymes (i.e. phytase) can play a key role to increase Zn bioavailability.

The present study was canied out to study the effects of Zn and N fertilizations on the levels of grain P, PA, Zn, and PA to Zn molar ratios, phytase activity and protein content of lentil cultivars. It was aimed to decrease PA/Zn molar ratio by increasing Zn in the grains depending on Zn fertilization. It was also tried to increase the bio-available protein content apart from phytate-bounded by making N fertilization. Also the other aim of the study is to compare lentil varieties in terms of examined parameters and thus Zn-bioavailability.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This field experiment was conducted at Suleyman Demirel University research and experimental farm during 2009-2010 growing season. The experiment was set up in a randomized blocks design with 3 replications. The soil used was clay-loam with pH 8.3 (1:2.5); CaCO<sub>2</sub> 18%; organic matter 1.8%; 0.5 M NaHCO, extractable P is 30 Kg ha<sup>1</sup> (Oken *et al.*, 1954); NH<sub>2</sub>OAC-exchangable K is 600 Kg ha<sup>1</sup> (Jackson, 1967); DTPA-extractable Zn is 0.44 mg kg<sup>1</sup> (Lindsay and Norvell, 1978). Officially registered 3 lentil varieties (Enne 20, Sultan1, Alidayý) were used in the experiment. Sowing was done in 7.2 m<sup>2</sup> (1.2 x 6 m) plots by hand and the row space was 20 x 5 cm. Three Zn (0, 7 and 14 kg Zn ha<sup>1</sup> as ZnSO<sub>2</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O) and 3 N (0, 30 and 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as NH<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>) levels were applied to the soil together with the sowing. The experiment was not inigated. The mid rows of plots were hand-harvested to protect side effects. After the harvest, plants were dried and grains were separated, then grain yields were calculated. After drying at 65 °C and grinding, seed samples were ashed at 550 °C for 8 h. The ash was dissolved in 3.3 % HCl (v/v) for Zn determination by an atomic absorption spectrometer and P by the vanadatemolybdate method with spectrophotometer. The assay of PA is based on precipitation of ferric phytate and measurement of iron (Fe) remaining in the supernatant (Haug and Lantzsch, 1983). For this, 0.5 g grinded seed sample was put in 25 ml. 0.2 N

HCl for 3 h for the extraction of PA. The extracts brought up to 50 ml with de-ionized water were centrifuged, and 1 ml of supernatant was treated with a ferric solution  $(NH_4 \text{ Fe} (SO_4)_9.12 \text{ H}_20)$  in a boiling water bath for 30 min. After cooling, samples were centrifuged and 1 ml supernatant was treated with a bipyridine solution to measure Fe remaining in the supernatant. Further details of the method are described by Haug and Lantzsch (1983). The molar ratio of PA to Zn was calculated by dividing millimole of PA with millimole of Zn. Phytase activity was measured as described by Scheuermann et al. (1988). One gram grinded seed sample was incubated in 10 ml 50 mM sodium (Na) citrate buffer (pH = 5.3) and 50 mM Na-phytate at 37 °C for 1 h. After incubation, solutions were centrifuged and supernatants were brought up to 50 ml and analyzed for inorganic P The N concentrations of samples were determined according to a Kjeldahl method (Bremler 1965). For this purpose, 0.5 g of grinded sample was placed in a digesting tube to which 6 mL of concentrated sulfuric acid (H\_SO\_) and 5 g of catalyst (potassium sulfate + copper sulfate) were added. Samples were digested using a block digesting system (KB 8 S Kjeldatherm, Gerhardt). After sodium hydroxide (40% w/w) was added, the sample was distilled using an automated unit (VAP20, Gerhardt). The ammonium N was fixed in 2% boric acid and titrated with 0.1 molar H<sub>s</sub>SO<sub>1</sub> in the presence of an indicator (bromocresol green and methyl red in 95% ethanol). Protein content of grains was determined by multiplying total nitrogen by a conversion factor of 6.25

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Increasing levels of Zn significantly increased the grain Zn concentrations in all lentil cultivars. The highest Zn concentrations were reached at the highest Zn level in all cultivars. Comparing the control (-Zn), increment rate of Zn concentrations for Emre 20, Sultan 1 and Alidayý cultivars were about 46%, 37% and 45%, respectively. The general mean values showed that grain Zn concentration under control conditions was 27 mg kg<sup>1</sup>, but this level significantly increased up to 33 and 38 mg kg<sup>1</sup> with Zn<sub>7</sub> and Zn<sub>14</sub> treatments, respectively Variety differences significantly affected grain Zn concentrations as well. Zinc concentration in the grains of Alidayý cultivars was higher than both Emre 20 and Sultan 1. Nitrogen fertilization had no significant effect on Zn concentrations (Table 1 and Table 2). Under Zn deficiency, average P concentrations in seeds ranged from 3404 to 4088 mg kg<sup>1</sup> (Table 1) with a mean value of 3682 mg kg<sup>1</sup>. But for all cultivars, P concentrations significantly decreased with Zn fertilization leading to Zn increase in grains. Depending on the means, decrease rates were between 4% - 17% for Enne 20, 6% - 14 % for Sultan 1 and 15% -19% for Alidayý, respectively. Effect of N fertilization on grain P concentrations showed differences with the lentil varieties. Nitrogen fertilization led to decrease of grain P concentration generally. Looking at NxP interaction, the lowest P concentrations were determined with  $N_{30}xZn_{14}$ application, generally. The highest levels were with  $Zn_0xN_0$  (Sultan 1) and  $Zn_0xN_{30}$  (Emre 20 and Alidayý) applications. Under  $N_0$  conditions, grain P concentrations were higher comparing the  $N_7$  and  $N_{14}$  treatments (Table 1 and Table 2). Individual effect of Zn, N and variety and their interactions significantly affected grain PA concentration (Pd'0.05). Under Zn deficiency, PA concentrations were 9.7 mg g<sup>1</sup> (Emre 20) 7.7 mg g<sup>1</sup> (Sultan 1) and

 TABLE 1: Effect of Zn and N fertilization on Zn, P and PA concentrations, PA/Zn molar ratio, phytat-P and phytat-P in total P in grains of lentil cultivars

	N <b>levels</b>					:	Zn levels	(kg Zn ha	i <sup>1</sup> )				
Varieties	(kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Zn <sub>o</sub>	Zn <sub>7</sub>	Zn <sub>14</sub>	Mean	Zn <sub>o</sub>	Zn <sub>7</sub>	Zn <sub>14</sub>	Mean	Zno	Zn7	<b>Zn</b> <sub>14</sub>	Mean
			Zn (r	ng kg¹)			P (m	g kg1)			PA (	(mg g <sup>1</sup> )	
	No	23	34	36	31	3593	3374	3326	3431	10.2	9.7	6.6	8.8
Emre 20	N <sub>30</sub>	24	32	36	31	3606	2901	2425	2977	8.1	7.8	7.3	7.8
(V <sub>E</sub> )	N <sub>60</sub>	24	32	33	30	3465	3167	<b>3128</b>	3253	10.8	6.8	6.5	8.2
	Mean	24	33	35		3555	3147	2960		9.7	8.1	6.8	
	No	26	28	38	31	<b>3594</b>	3476	3024	3365	7.5	6.8	5.9	6.7
Sultan 1	N <sub>30</sub>	28	33	35	32	3257	3007	2807	3023	<b>8.</b> 3	5.7	5.2	6.4
(V <sub>s</sub> )	N <sub>60</sub>	27	35	37	33	3362	3165	<b>2926</b>	3151	7.2	6.6	6.4	6.7
	Mean	27	32	37		3404	3216	2919		7.7	6.4	5.8	
	No	26	35	<b>46</b>	36	4085	3474	3342	3633	9.7	9.0	6.0	8.2
Alidayy(V <sub>A</sub> )	N <sub>30</sub>	32	35	41	36	4110	3456	3306	3624	7.7	7.0	6.7	7.1
· A	N <sub>60</sub>	28	35	39	34	4070	3465	3249	3594	9.5	8.8	5.2	7.8
	Mean	29	35	42		4088	3465	3299		9.0	8.3	6.0	
	LS		V , N, Z xZn: 2.			LSD <sub>(0.0</sub>	<sub>05)</sub> V, N, 7 1	Zn: 62; V 86	xN xZm	LS		, N, Zn: xZn: 0.1	7.8 8.2 6.7 6.4 6.7 8.2 7.1 7.8 0.03; 72 75 69 56 59 60 64 56 61
		P	A/Zn (1	nolar 1a	ntio)		Phytat P	o (mg kg¹	)	Ph	ytat-P i	in total l	
	No	45	29	19	31	2873	2733	1860	2489	80	82	56	72
Enne 20	N <sub>30</sub>	<b>46</b>	22	18	29	2282	2198	2057	<b>21 79</b>	63	76	85	75
(V <sub>E</sub> )	N <sub>60</sub>	34	25	23	27	3044	1916	1832	2264	88	61	<b>59</b>	69
	Mean	42	25	20		2733	2282	1916		77	73	67	
	No	29	25	16	23	2114	1916	1663	1898	58	55	55	56
Sultan 1	N <sub>30</sub>	30	18	15	21	2339	1606	1465	1803	72	53	52	59
(V <sub>s</sub> )	N <sub>60</sub>	27	19	18	21	2029	1860	1804	1898	61	59	61	60
	Mean	29	20	16		2161	1794	1644		64	<b>56</b>	<b>56</b>	
	No	38	26	13	26	2734	2536	1691	2320	67	73	51	64
Alidayy(V <sub>4</sub> )	N <sub>30</sub>	24	20	17	20	2170	1972	1888	2010	53	57	57	56
- n'	N <sub>60</sub>	34	26	14	25	2677	2480	1465	2207	65	72	45	61
	Mean	32	25	15		2527	2329	1681		62	67	51	
	LS		V, N, Z xZn: 2.			LSD <sub>(0.0</sub>	<sub>15)</sub> V, N, 2 2	<b>Zn 9.2; \</b> 7.5	xNxZn:	LS		V, N, Z [ <b>xZn: 3</b>	n: 1;

9.0 mg g<sup>1</sup> (Alidayý), with a mean value of 8.8 mg g <sup>1</sup> (Table 1 and Table 2). Fertilization of cultivars with Zn reduced PA concentrations in al cultivars by about 14% at Zn, and 30% at Zn, levels. Grain PA concentrations varied with variety. In a study, it was demonstrated that grain PA concentrations in lentil grains were determined as 6.2 mg kg-1 and 8.1 mg g<sup>1</sup> and these values differed with variety (Vidal-Valverde et al., 1994) According the general means, the highest PA concentration was determined in the grains of Enne 20 and this was followed by Alidayý and Sultan 1. The decreasing effect of Zn application on PA concentration under Zn deficient conditions can be attributed to the inhibitory effect of Zn on root uptake and shoot accumulation of P and thus PA. It is well documented that Zn deficient plants possess an enhanced uptake capacity for P, and supply of Zn to Zn deficient plants reduces uptake and accumulation of P in plants (Loneragan et al., 1982; Calamak and Marschner, 1986; Rengel and Graham, 1995, Erdal et al., 2002). Therefore, increases in P and PA concentrations in seeds under Zn deficiency can be ascribed to Zn deficiencyenhanced uptake and shoot accumulation of P. It should, however, be kept in mind that increases in PA concentration of seeds by Zn deficiency might also be related to a concentration effect due to Zn deficiency-dependent decreases in grain yield and size. Mean values showed that PA concentrations decreased first with lower N level (N<sub>20</sub>) in all varieties, but then increased again with N<sub>eo</sub> level. The mean values also gave the same results (Table 1 and Table 2). Decrease of PA concentration under N and Zn applied conditions might also be attributed to dilution effect due to increased grain yield under N and Zn applied conditions. Phytic acid to Zn molar ratios were significantly affected by Zn, N and variety

and their interactions ( $P \leq 0.05$ ). Depending on the concentrations of Zn, and PA, there was a large variation in PA to Zn molar ratios in seeds. Phytic acid to Zn molar ratio in the seeds of each lentil variety regularly decreased with the increasing levels of Zn doses. This decreasing tendency was observed at each N level. According to means obtained from Enne 20, Sultan 1 and Alidayý cultivars, PA/Zn molar ratios decreased from 42 to 25 and 20; 29 to 20 and 16 and 32 to 25 and 15, respectively. Depending on the general means, decreasing rates with Zn, and Zn, were 32% and 50% respectively (Table 1 and Table 2). Nitrogen fertilization had decreasing effect on PA/Zn generally. Decreasing of PA/Zn ratios can also be depended on dilution of PA with increasing of grain weight underN and Zn applications. Another important factor for lowering PA/Zn molaritatios can strongly be depended on the increase of Zn concentrations in grains with Zn fertilization. As mentioned before, FA/Zn molar ratio is often considered an indicator for bioavailability of Zn in foods. Phytic acid to Zn molar ratios under Zndeficient conditions were extremely higher than acceptable ratio for Zn bioavailability (Oberleas and Harland, 1981; Wise, 1995; Grüner et al., 1996) But these ratios were drawn down until acceptable values for Zn bioavailability with Zn fertilization. In different studies, it was indicated that Zn fertilization is very effective to reduce PA/Zn molar ratio (Erdal et al, 2002, Kaya et al, 2009). Akay and Ertas (2008) found that PA concentrations and PA/Zn ratios showed variations depending on chickpea varieties and increasing levels of Zn resulted in a decrease of PA/Zn in all varieties. Due to phytate-P is related PA amount, the factors having effect on PA concentrations also effected phytate-P. Zinc fertilization resulted in significant decrease of

Treatments	Zn	Р	PA	PA/Zn	Phytat-P	Phytat-P in total P
No	33	3476	7.9	27	2236	64
N <sub>30</sub>	33	3208	7.1	23	1997	63
N <sub>60</sub>	32	3333	7.6	24	2123	63
Zn	27	3682	8.8	34	2474	68
Zn"	33	3276	7.6	23	2135	65
Zn <sub>14</sub>	38	3059	6.2	17	1747	<b>58</b>
V <sub>E</sub> <sup>11</sup>	31	3220	8.2	29	2311	72
V s	32	3180	6.6	22	1866	<b>58</b>
V,	35	<b>361 7</b>	7.7	24	2179	60

TABLE 2: General means of Zn, P, PA, PA/Zn, Phytat P and Phytate P in total P values

phytate-P with or without N fertilization. The avarage phytate-P decrased 14% (Zn\_) and 29% (Zn,,) comparing the ZnO. As in PA concentrations, phytate-P amounts varied with lentil varieties. The highest phytate-P concentration was found in the seeds of Enne 20, but the lowest was found in the seeds of Sultan 1. Nitrogen fertilization had a significant effect on phytate P The lowest phytate P was determined with N application at levels of 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> comparing  $N_0$  and  $N_{60}$  treatments. Depending on the Zn and N application and variety, phytate-P rate in total P varied between 45% and 88% (Table 1 and Table 2). Looking at the means, it could be seen that Zn fertilization negatively affected the rate of phytate-P in total P Phytate-P rates also varied with the variety. Average values indicated that 72%, 58% and 60% of the total P has been as phytate-P in the seeds of Enne 20, Sultan 1 and Alidayý, respectively. These results are in the accordance with the previous studies (Lolas et al., 1976; Chitta et al., 1995; Erdal et al., 2002; Mate and Radomir 2002).

The grain yield was significantly affected by individually N, Zn and variety and their interactions. Nitrogen and Zn fertilization increased grain yield for each variety. The lowest yields were determined with the  $N_0 xZn_0$  treatments the highest were obtained with  $N_{60} xZn_{14}$  for all varieties. According to the means, the grain yields showed regularincrease with N and Zn levels, and these increments reached up to 35% for both mutients. The grain yield showed great variation with the variety. Sultan 1 had lower yield than that of the Enne 20 and Alidayý varieties (Table 3 and Table 4) . The findings of this study showed similarities with the results of Liu et al., (2004) and Zeidan et al., (2006). Phytase activity in grain significantly varied with N, Zn and cultivar and their interactions (Table 3). It was seen that, Zn fertilization had a negative effect on phytase activity. On the contrary, N fertilization led to increase of phytase activity. The highest phytase activity was measured in the grains of variety Sultan 1, whilst the lowest was measured in the seeds of cv. Alidavý (Table 4). Bioavailability of Zn in foods shows a high dependency on the levels of phytase activity. Phytases are enzymes that degrade phytate and pennit higher availability of Zn and other mineral nutrients such as P and Fe. In a study using diets containing triticale or corn, better P utilization and growth was found in animals that were supplied with triticale diets (Pointillart *et al.*, 1987). The superiority of triticale diets over the com diets was attributed to higher phytase activity in triticale than in com. As found within genotypes of different cereals activity of phytase shows an important genotypic variation (Singh and Sedeh, 1979; Erdal et al., 2002). As the effects of varied Zn supply on phytase activity of the cultivars are not in a same or similar trend, it can be suggested that Zn nutrition has no direct effect on phytase activity. Also in the literature there are controversial results on the effects of Zn on phytase activity: the addition of Zn was found to exert an

						Zr	ı levels (	(kg Zn h	a <sup>.1</sup> )				
	N levels	Zno	Zn <sub>7</sub>	Zn <sub>14</sub>	Mean	Zno	Zn <sub>7</sub>	Zn <sub>14</sub>	Mean	Zno	Zn <sub>7</sub>	Zn <sub>14</sub>	Mean
Varieties	(kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Yi	eld			Phytas	e Activit	y		P	rotein	
			(kg	/ha)		(	(µ <b>mol P</b>	ig¹ mir	r <sup>1</sup> )			(%)	
	No	694	1100	1129	974	4.32	4.29	3.97	4.19	24	25	26	25
Emre 20	N 30	<b>764</b>	1118	1154	1012	4.38	4.32	3.83	4.17	26	27	27	27
LIIIIe 20	N <sub>60</sub>	<b>858</b>	1143	1392	1131	4.27	4.15	4.04	4.15	27	28	28	28
	Mean	772	1120	1225		4.32	4.25	3.95		26	27	27	
	No	<b>496</b>	<b>556</b>	713	<b>588</b>	4.30	4.40	4.44	4.38	26	27	28	27
Sultan 1	N 30	<b>580</b>	626	724	643	4.32	4.40	4.41	4.38	27	27	27	27
Sulali I	N <sub>60</sub>	716	733	1053	<b>834</b>	4.23	4.25	4.38	4.29	27	27	29	28
	Mean	<b>597</b>	638	830		4.28	4.35	4.41		27	27	28	
	No	<b>756</b>	861	<b>886</b>	<b>834</b>	<b>3.68</b>	3.72	3.81	3.74	22	24	24	23
	N 30	<b>967</b>	1010	1151	1043	4.07	3.92	3.85	3.95	23	25	25	24
Alidayy	N <sub>60</sub>	1228	1269	1316	1271	4.13	4.09	4.03	4.08	27	27	28	27
	Mean	<b>984</b>	1047	1118		3.96	3.91	<b>3.89</b>		24	25	26	
	LS	D (0.05) : `				LSD		, N, <b>Zn</b> :	7.73;	LSI		V, N, Zn	: 0.27;
		VxNx	«Zn: 83				VxN x	<b>«Zn: 2</b> 3			Vx	N: 0.47	

TABLE 3: Effect of Zn and N fertilization on yield, phytase activity and protein content in grains of lentil cultivars

TABLE 4: General means of yield, phytase activity and protein values

protein values							
Treatments	Yield	Phytase Activity	Protein 25				
N <sub>o</sub>	800	4.10					
N <sub>30</sub>	<b>89</b> 7	4.17	26				
N <sub>60</sub>	1077	4.17	28				
Zn	7 <b>84</b>	4.19	26				
Zn,	<b>935</b>	4.17	26				
<b>Zn</b> <sub>14</sub>	<b>1058</b>	<b>4.08</b>	27				
V <sub>E</sub> <sup>14</sup>	1039	4.17	27				
V <sub>s</sub>	688	4.35	27				
V <sub>A</sub>	1049	3.92	25				

inhibitory effect on the activity of purified oat phytase (Greiner and Alminger; 1999), while Davies and Flett (1978) found that Zn ions are required for maximal activity for intestinal phytase in rats. A decrease in phytase activity might be expected undervery severe Zn-deficient conditions due to inhibition of protein synthesis in Zn-deficient cells (Calamak et al., 1989). Grain protein concentrations significantly increased with the increase of N and Zn levels in all cultivars. On average of all cultivars, protein concentrations increased by 12% with N and by 4% with Zn. For all cultivars, the lowest protein concentrations were determined under Zn,xN, conditions, the highest protein levels were determined with Zn14XN60 applications (Table 3 and Table 4). Grain protein concentrations ranged between 22% (Alidayý,  $Zn_nxN_n$ ) to 29% (Sultan 1,  $Zn_nxN_n$ ). Due to the close relation between N and protein formation, increase of protein concentration with N fertilization is an expected result (Marshner, 1995). Also, protein concentration in plant decreases under Zn deficient conditions (Calanak *et al.*, 1989), and increase with Zn applications.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Although, PA concentrations increased with the increasing levels of P in seeds, it showed a decreasing tendency with the increment of seed Zn concentrations in all lentil cultivars. Also PA to Zn molar ratio decreased with Zn fertilization until the acceptable levels for Zn bioavailability. While N fertilization seems to have positive effect on phytase activity, increasing levels of Zn led to decrease in phytase. Lentil cultivars showed a great genotypic variation in terms of seed PA concentration, PA to Zn molar ratio and phytase activity. An important finding in this study is that the variety (Sultan 1) which has the lowest PA (6.6 mg kg<sup>1</sup>) and PA/Zn molar ratio has the highest phytase activity (4.35  $\mu$ mol Pi min<sup>1</sup>). So, it may be said that bioavailability of Zn in the grains of Sultan 1 is higher and this variety can be chosen for growing. But it shouldn't be forgotten that the yield of this variety is quite lower comparing to others. Another important finding in this study is that, Zn concentrations of seed can be increased by Zn fertilization to increase Zn bioavailability. Grain protein concentrations increased with N and Zn fertilization. This result is important for receiving high level of bio-available protein. And this also might be important to prevent a decrease in phytase activity under the scarce protein synthesis (Calamak et al., 1989). Our findings show that phytase activity is higher in the seeds of cultivars having higher protein content.

## REFERENCES

- Akay A, Ertas N (2008). The Effect of different zinc levels on phytic acid amount of chickpea (in Turkish). *Tenth Food Congress*, 21-23 May, Erzurum, Turkey.
- Bremler, J. M. (1965). Methods of Soil Analysis, American Society of Agronomy Incorporation, Madison, USA.
- Cakmak, I., Marschner, H., Bangerth, E (1989). Effect of zinc nutritional status on growth, protein metabolism and levels of indole-3 acetic acid and other phytohormones in bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.,) *Journal of Experimental Botany* 40: 405-412.
- Calamak, I. and Marschner, H. (1986). Mechanism of phosphorus induced zinc deficiency in cotton. I. Zinc deficiencyenhanced uptake rate of phosphorus. *Physiologia Plantarum* 68: 483–490.
- Chen, L.H. and Pan, S.H. (1977). Decrease of phytates during germination of pea seeds (*Pisum sativa*). *Nutrition Reports International* 16: 125–131.
- Chen, P and Lott, J.N.A. (1992) Studies of *Capsicum annuum* seeds: structure, storage reserves, and mineral mutients. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 70: 518–529.
- Chitta, U., Vimala, V., Singh, U., Geervani, P. (1995). Variability in phytic acid content and protein digestibility of grain legumes. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition* 47: 163-172.
- Davies, N.T. and Flett, A. A. (1978). The similarity between alkaline phosphatase (EC3.1.3.1) and phytase (EC 3.1.3.8) activities in rat intestine and their importance in phytate-induced zinc deficiency. *British Journal of Nutrition* 39: 307-316.

- Erdal, I., Yýlmaz, A., Tahan, S., Eker, S., Torun, B., Cakmak, I. (2002). Phytic acid and phosphorus concentrations in seeds of wheat cultivars grown with and without zinc fertilization. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 25 (1): 113-127.
- Ferguson, E.L., Gibson, R.S., Thompson, L.U., Ounpun, S. (1989). Dietary calcium, phytate, and zinc intakes and the calcium, phytate, and zinc molarizations of the diets of a selected group of East African children. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 50, 1450–1456.
- Grüner; M., Horvatic, M., Gacic, M., Banovic, M. (1996). Molarratio of phytic acid and zinc during cereal flake production. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 70: 355-358.
- Greiner, R. and Alminger, M.L. (1999). Purification and characterization of a phytate-degrading enzyme from germinated oat (*Avena sativa*). *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 79: 1453–1460.
- Haug, W. and Lantzsch, H.J. (1983). Sensitive method for the rapid determination of phytate in cereals and cereal products. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 34, 1423–1426.
- Jackson, M.L. (1967). Soil Chemical Analysis Pretence Hall Incorporation, Anglewood Cliffs, New Jersey. USA.
- Knuckles, B.E., Kuzmicky, D.D., Gumhmanna, M.R., Betschart, A.A. (1989). Effect of myo-inositol phosphate esters on *in vitro* and *in vivo* digestion of proteins. *Journal of Food Science* 54: 1348-1350.
- Lindsay, W.L. and Norwell, W.A. (1978). Development of a DTPA test forzinc, iron, manganese and copper. Soil Science Society of American Journal 42: 421-428.
- Liu, Y., Mi, G., Chen, E., Zhang, J., Zhang, E (2004). Rhizophere effect and root growth of maize (*Zea mays L*.) genotypes with contrasting P efficiency at low P availability. *Plant Science* 167: 217-223.
- Lolas, G.M., Paladimis, N., Markakis, D. (1976). The phytic acid total phosphorus relationship in barley, oats, soybeans and wheat. *Cereal Chemistry* 53: 867-870
- Loneragan, J.E., Grunes, D. L., Welch, R.M., Aduayi, E.A., Tengah, A., Lazar, V.A., Cary, E.E. (1982). Phosphorus accumulation and toxicity in leaves in relation to zinc supply. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 46: 345-352.
- Lott, J.N.A. and Buttrose, M.S. (1978). Location of reserves of mineral elements in seed protein bodies: macadamia nut, wahnut and hazel nut. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 56: 2072-2082.
- Marschner, H. (1995). Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. Academic Press: London, England.
- Mate, H. and Radomir; R. (2002). Phytic acid content of cereals and legumes and interaction with proteins. *Periodica* Polytechnica Chemical Engineering46 (1-2): 59-64.
- Oberleas, D. and Harland, B.E (1981). Phytate content of foods: Effect on dietary zinc bioavailability. *Journal of the* American Dietetic Association 79: 433-436
- Olsen, S.R., Cole, C.V., Watanabe, ES., Dean, L.A. (1954). *Estimation of available phosphorus in 285 soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate.* US. Department of Agriculture, CircularNo. 939.
- Pointillart, A., Fourdin, A., Fontaine, N. (1987). Importance of cereal phytase activity for phytate phosphorus utilization by growing pigs fed diets containing triticale or com. *Journal of Nutrition* 117: 907-913.
- Raboy, V. and Dickinson, D.B. (1993). Effect of phosphorus and zinc mutrition on soybean seed phytic acid and zinc. *Plant Physiology*, 75: 1094 - 1098.
- Raboy, V. and Dickinson, D.B. (1994). Phytic acid levels in seeds of glycine max and G. soja as influenced by phosphorus status. *Crop Science* 33: 1300-1305.
- Raboy, V., Noaman, M.W., Taylor, G. A., Pickett, S.G. (1991). Grain phytic acid and protein are highly correlated in winterwheat. *Crop Science* 31: 631-635.
- Reddy, N. R., S. K. Sathe., and D. K. Sahushhe. 1982. Phytates in legumes and cereals. Advences in Food Research 28: 1-91.
- Rengel, Z. and Graham, R. D. (1995). Wheat genotypes differ in zinc efficiency when grown in the chelate-buffered nutrient solution. II. Nutrient uptake. *Plant Soil* 176: 317-324.
- Scheuermann, S.E., Lantzsch, H.J., Menke, K. H. (1988). In Vitro und in vivo untersuchungen zur hydrolyse von phytat II. aktivität pflanzlicher phytase. *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition* 60, 64–75.
- Singh, B. and Reddy, N. R. (1977). Phytic acid and mineral composition of triticale. *Journal of Food Science* 42(4): 1077-1092
- Singh, B. and Sedeh, H.G. (1979). Characteristics of phytase and its relationship to acid phosphatase and certain minerals in triticale. *Cereal Chemistry* 56: 267-272.
- Vidal-Valverde J Frias, Estuella, I., Gorospe, M.J., Ruiz, R., Bacon, J. (1994). Effect of Processing on Some Antinutritional Factors of Lentils. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 42: 2291-2295.
- Wise, A. (1995). Phytate and zinc bioavailability. International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition 46: 53-63.
- Zeidan MS. Hozayn M, Abd El-Salam MEE (2006). Yield and quality of lentil as affected by micromutnent deficiencies in sandy soils. *Journal of Applied Science Research* 2 (12): 1342-1345.