# **Supplementary information for Figure 2**

# B.1 COPM-P Par

Figure 2. B.1 Graphic representation of the significant differences between groups in parents' COPM performance ratings identified by the Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE).

Note. CO-OP1= CO-OP-first Group; CO-OP2 = CO-OP-second group; Par = parents.

Considering CO-OP-first group, parents' score on COPM performance was 4.611 units (p=0.000) in post-test 1, 5.278 units (p=0.000) in post-test 2, and 5.429 units (p-value = 0.000) at follow-up higher compared to pre-test scores. Considering CO-OP-second group, parents scored COPM performance 1.00 units (p=0.034) in post-test 1, 2.333 units (p=0.000) in post-test 2, and 2.556 units (p=0.000) at follow-up higher compared to pre-test scores. There was a significant difference (p=0.028) between post-test 2 and follow-up, with parents COPM performance scores 0.222 units higher at follow-up compared to post-test-2.




Figure 2. C.1 Graphic representation of the significant differences between groups in external examiner performance ratings (PQRS-G) identified by the Generalized Estimating Equations.

Note. CO-OP1= CO-OP first Group; CO-OP2 = CO-OP second group.

Considering CO-OP-first group, external examiners scores on PQRS-G were 2.333 units (p=0.000) at post-test 2, 1.889 units (p=0.000) at post-test 2, and 2.222 units (p=0.000) at follow-up higher compared to pre-test scores. PQRS-G scores for CO-OP second were 1.778 units (p=0.011) at post-test 2, and 1.611 units (p-value = 0.015) at follow-up higher compared to pre-test scores. PQRS-G scores were 1.278 units (p=0.044) at post-test 2, and 1.111 units (p=0.051) greater than at Post-test 1.

# **Supplementary graphs**

### COPM-S Ch




Figure 3s. Graphic representation of the significant differences between groups in participants' COPM satisfaction ratings identified by the Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE).

CO-OP1= CO-OP-first Group; CO-OP2 = CO-OP-second group; Ch = child.

Participants' COPM satisfaction scores for CO-OP-first group were 5.0 units (p=0.000), 5.222 units (p=0.000) at post-test 2, and 5,337 units (p-value = 0.000) at follow-up higher compared to pre-test scores. Considering CO-OP-second group, the participants' satisfaction scores on the COPM were 4.500 units (p=0.000) at post-test 2, and 5.028 units (p=0.000) at follow up higher compared to pre-test scores. COPM satisfaction as scored by the participants was 3.500 units (p=0.001) at post-test 2, and 4.028 units (p=0.000) at follow-up greater than that of post-test 1.

### COPM-S Par




Figure 4s. Graphic representation of the significant differences between groups in parents' COPM satisfaction ratings identified by the Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE).

CO-OP1= CO-OP-first Group; CO-OP2 = CO-OP-second group; Par = parents.

Considering CO-OP-first group, parents' COPM satisfaction scores were 4.389 units (p=0.000) at post-test 1, 4.722 units (p=0.000) at post-test 2, and 5.063 units (p=0.000) at follow-up higher compared to pre-test scores. Parents scored COPM satisfaction 2.472 units (p=0.000) at post-test 2, and 2.639 units (p=0.000) at follow up greater than pretest.