

# Reverse Chvátal-Gomory rank\*

Michele Conforti<sup>†</sup>    Alberto Del Pia<sup>‡</sup>    Marco Di Summa<sup>†</sup>  
 Yuri Faenza<sup>§</sup>    Roland Grappe<sup>¶</sup>

April 4, 2019

## Abstract

We introduce the *reverse Chvátal-Gomory rank*  $r^*(P)$  of an integral polyhedron  $P$ , defined as the supremum of the Chvátal-Gomory ranks of all rational polyhedra whose integer hull is  $P$ . A well-known example in dimension two shows that there exist integral polytopes  $P$  with  $r^*(P) = +\infty$ . We provide a geometric characterization of polyhedra with this property in every dimension, and investigate upper bounds on  $r^*(P)$  when this value is finite.

**Key words.** Chvátal-Gomory closure, Chvátal rank, cutting plane, integral polyhedron

**AMS subject classification.** 90C10, 52B20, 52C07

## 1 Introduction

A polyhedron is *integral* if it is the convex hull of its integer points. Given an integral polyhedron  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , a *relaxation* of  $P$  is a rational polyhedron  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $Q \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Note that if  $Q$  is a relaxation of  $P$ , then  $P = \text{conv}(Q \cap \mathbb{Z}^n)$ , i.e.,  $P$  is the *integer hull* of  $Q$ , where we denote the convex hull of a set  $S$  by  $\text{conv}(S)$  (for the definition of convex hull and other standard preliminary notions not given in here, we refer the reader to textbooks, e.g. [13] and [22]). An inequality  $cx \leq \lfloor \delta \rfloor$  is a *Chvátal-Gomory inequality* (*CG inequality* for short) for a polyhedron  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  if  $c$  is an integer vector and  $cx \leq \delta$  is valid for  $Q$ . Note that  $cx \leq \lfloor \delta \rfloor$  is a valid inequality for  $Q \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ . The *CG closure*  $Q'$  of  $Q$  is the set of points that satisfy all the CG inequalities for  $Q$ . If  $Q$  is a rational polyhedron, then  $Q'$  is again a rational polyhedron [21]. For

---

\*This work was supported by the *Progetto di Eccellenza 2008–2009* of the *Fondazione Cassa di Risparmio di Padova e Rovigo*. A previous version has appeared in the proceedings of IPCO 2013.

<sup>†</sup>Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Padova, Italy.

<sup>‡</sup>Goldstine Fellow, Business Analytics and Mathematical Sciences department, IBM, adelpia@us.ibm.com

<sup>§</sup>DISOPT, Institut de mathématiques d'analyse et applications, Switzerland, yuri.faenza@epfl.ch.

<sup>¶</sup>Laboratoire d'Informatique, Université Paris-Nord, France, roland.grappe@lipn.univ-paris13.fr.

$p \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $p$ -th CG closure  $Q^{(p)}$  of  $Q$  is defined iteratively as  $Q^{(p)} = (Q^{(p-1)})'$ , with  $Q^{(0)} = Q$ . If  $Q$  is a rational polyhedron, then there exists some  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $Q^{(p)} = \text{conv}(Q \cap \mathbb{Z}^n)$  [21]. The minimum  $p$  for which this occurs is called the CG rank of  $Q$  and is denoted by  $r(Q)$ .

Cutting plane procedures in general and CG inequalities in particular are of crucial importance to the integer programming community, because of their convergence properties (see e.g. [12, 22]) and relevance in practical applications (see e.g. [14]). Hence, a theoretical understanding of their features has been the goal of several papers from the literature. Many of them aimed at giving upper or lower bounds on the CG rank for some families of polyhedra. For instance, Bockmayr et al. [5] proved that the CG rank of a polytope  $Q \subseteq [0, 1]^n$  is at most  $O(n^3 \log n)$ . The bound was later improved to  $O(n^2 \log n)$  by Eisenbrand and Schulz [10]. Recently, Rothvoß and Sanità [20], improving over earlier results of Eisenbrand and Schulz [10] and Pokutta and Stauffer [19], showed that this bound is almost tight, as there are polytopes in the unit cube whose CG rank is at least  $\Omega(n^2)$ . An upper bound on the CG rank for polytopes contained in the cube  $[0, \ell]^n$  for an arbitrary given  $\ell$  was provided by Li [16]. Recently, Averkov et al. [2] studied the rate of convergence – in terms of number of CG closures – of the affine hull of a rational polyhedron to the affine hull of its integer hull.

**Our contribution.** In this paper we investigate a question that is, in a sense, reverse to that of giving bounds on the CG rank for a fixed polyhedron  $Q$ . In fact, in most applications, even if we do not have a complete linear description of the integer hull  $P$ , we know many of its properties: for instance, the integer points of most polyhedra stemming from combinatorial optimization problems have 0-1 coordinates. Hence, for a fixed *integral* polyhedron  $P$ , we may want to know how “bad” a relaxation of  $P$  can be in terms of its CG rank. More formally, we want to answer the following question: given an integral polyhedron  $P$ , what is the supremum of  $r(Q)$  over all rational polyhedra  $Q$  whose integer hull is  $P$ ? We call this number the *reverse CG rank* of  $P$  and denote it by  $r^*(P)$ :

$$r^*(P) = \sup\{r(Q) : Q \text{ is a relaxation of } P\}.$$

Note that  $r^*(P) < +\infty$  if and only if there exists  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $r(Q) \leq p$  for every relaxation  $Q$  of  $P$ . Our main result gives a geometric characterization of those integral polyhedra  $P$  for which  $r^*(P) = +\infty$ . Denoting by  $\text{rec}(P)$  the recession cone of  $P$ , by  $\langle v \rangle$  the line generated by a non-zero vector  $v$ , and by  $+$  the Minkowski sum of two sets, we prove the following:

**Theorem 1** *Let  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be an integral polyhedron. Then  $r^*(P) = +\infty$  if and only if  $P$  is non-empty and there exists  $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \text{rec}(P)$  such that  $P + \langle v \rangle$  does not contain any integer point in its relative interior.*

Let us illustrate Theorem 1 with an example in dimension two. Let  $P = \text{conv}\{(0, 0), (0, 1)\}$ , and consider the family  $\{Q_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$  of relaxations of  $P$ , where we define  $Q_t = \text{conv}\{(0, 0), (0, 1), (t, 1/2)\}$ . It is folklore that the CG rank of  $Q_t$  increases linearly with  $t$  (see Figure 1). This implies that  $r^*(P) = +\infty$ .




Figure 1: In increasingly lighter shades of grey, polytopes  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$ , and  $Q_3$ .

Note that if one chooses  $v = (1, 0)$ , then  $P + \langle v \rangle$  does not contain any integer point in its (relative) interior. A simple application of Theorem 1 shows that the previous example can be generalized to every dimension: any 0-1 polytope  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , whose dimension is at least 1, has infinite reverse CG rank, since there always exists a vector  $v$  parallel to one of the axis such that  $P + \langle v \rangle$  does not contain any integer point in its relative interior. On the other hand, every integral polyhedron containing an integer point in its relative interior (e.g. all those with full-dimensional recession cone) has finite reverse CG rank, as no vector  $v$  satisfying the condition of Theorem 1 exists in this case. However, there are also integral polyhedra with finite reverse CG rank that do not contain integer points in their interior, such as  $\text{conv}\{(0, 0), (2, 0), (0, 2)\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ .

We then show that for a wide class of polyhedra with finite reverse CG rank,  $r^*$  can be upper bounded by functions depending only on parameters such as the dimension of the space and the number of the integer points in the relative interior of  $P$ . Moreover, we give examples showing that  $r^*$  of those polyhedra grows with those parameters.

Results of this paper are proved combining classical tools from cutting plane theory (e.g. the lower bound on the CG rank of a polyhedron by Chvátal, Cook, and Hartmann [6], see Lemma 4) with geometric techniques that are not usually applied to the theory of CG cuts, mostly from geometry of numbers (such as the characterization of maximal lattice-free convex sets [4], or Minkowski's Convex Body Theorem).

Recall that a relaxation of an integral polyhedron is a *rational* polyhedron by definition. We remark that the rationality assumption is crucial in the statement of Theorem 1. As an example, consider the polytope  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  consisting only of the origin. Any line  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  passing through the origin and having irrational slope is an (irrational) polyhedron whose integer hull is  $P$ . One readily verifies that the CG closure of  $Q$  is  $Q$  itself, showing that in this case the CG closures of  $Q$  do not converge to the integer hull  $P$ . However, no vector  $v$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1 exists.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we settle notation and definitions, and state some known and new auxiliary lemmas needed in the rest of the paper. In Section 3, we prove the main result of the paper, that is the geometric characterization of integral polyhedra with infinite reverse CG rank (Theorem 1). In Section 4, we focus on two classes of polyhedra with finite reverse CG rank and investigate upper bounds on  $r^*$  for those classes. We

conclude with some remarks in Section 5.

## 2 Definitions and tools

Throughout the paper,  $n$  will be a strictly positive integer denoting the dimension of the ambient space. Given a set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , the *affine hull* of  $S$ , denoted  $\text{aff}(S)$ , is the smallest affine subspace containing  $S$ . The *dimension* of  $S$  is the dimension of  $\text{aff}(S)$ .  $S$  is *full-dimensional* if its dimension is  $n$ . We denote by  $\text{int.cone}(S)$  the set of all linear combinations of vectors in  $S$  using nonnegative integer multipliers. Given a closed, convex set  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , we denote by  $C_I$  the integer hull of  $C$ , by  $\text{int}(C)$  the interior of  $C$ , by  $\text{relint}(C)$  the relative interior of  $C$ , and by  $\text{bd}(C)$  the boundary of  $C$ . We say that  $C$  is *lattice-free* if  $\text{int}(C) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = \emptyset$ , and *relatively lattice-free* if  $\text{relint}(C) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = \emptyset$ . Note that the relative interior of a single point in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the point itself. Hence, if it is integer, then it is not relatively lattice-free. Also, note that if  $C$  is not lattice-free, then it is full-dimensional. A *convex body* is a closed, convex, bounded set with non-empty interior. A set  $C$  is *centrally symmetric* with respect to a given point  $x \in C$  (or centered at  $x$ ) when, for every  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , one has  $x + y \in C$  if and only if  $x - y \in C$ .

By *distance* between two points  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (resp. a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and a set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ) we mean the Euclidean distance, which we denote by  $d(x, y)$  (resp.  $d(x, S)$ ). We use the standard notation  $\|\cdot\|$  for the Euclidean norm. For  $r \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and an affine subspace  $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  of dimension  $d$ , the  *$d$ -ball (of radius  $r$  lying on  $H$  and centered at  $x$ )* is the set of points lying on  $H$  whose distance from  $x$  is at most  $r$ . When referring to the volume of a  $d$ -dimensional convex set  $C$ , denoted  $\text{vol}(C)$ , we shall always mean its  $d$ -dimensional volume, that is, the Lebesgue measure with respect to the affine subspace  $\text{aff}(C)$  of the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

### Bounds on the CG rank

We give here upper and lower bounds on the CG rank of polyhedra. The proof of the following two results can be found in [8] and [2] respectively.

**Lemma 2** *Each rational polyhedron  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $Q_I = \emptyset$  has CG rank at most  $\varphi(n)$ , where  $\varphi$  is a function depending on  $n$  only.*

**Lemma 3** *For every polyhedron  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and for every  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $\delta, \delta' \in \mathbb{R}$  (with  $\delta' \geq \delta$ ) such that  $ax \leq \delta$  is valid for  $Q_I$  and  $ax \leq \delta'$  is valid for  $Q$ , the inequality  $ax \leq \delta$  is valid for  $Q^{(p+1)}$ , where  $p = (\lfloor \delta' \rfloor - \lfloor \delta \rfloor)f(n)$  and  $f$  is a function depending on  $n$  only.*

In order to derive lower bounds, one can apply a result by Chvátal, Cook, and Hartmann [6] that gives sufficient conditions for a sequence of points to be in successive CG closures of a rational polyhedron. The one we provide next is a less general, albeit sufficient for our needs, version of their original lemma.

**Lemma 4** *Let  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a rational polyhedron,  $x \in Q$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and, for  $j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ , let  $x^j = x - j \cdot v$ . Assume that, for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$  and every inequality  $cx \leq \delta$  valid for  $Q_I$  with  $c \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $cv < 1$ , one has  $cx^j \leq \delta$ . Then  $x^j \in Q^{(j)}$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ .*

As a corollary, we have the following result:

**Lemma 5** *Let  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a rational polyhedron,  $x \in Q$ , and  $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  be such that  $\{x - tv : t \geq 0\} \cap Q_I \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\bar{t} = \min\{t \geq 0 : x - tv \in Q_I\}$ . Then  $r(Q) \geq \lceil \bar{t} \rceil$ .*

*Proof.* By hypothesis, there exists a point  $x' \in Q_I$  such that  $x = x' + \bar{t}v$ . We apply Lemma 4 with  $p = \lceil \bar{t} \rceil - 1$ . Let  $cx \leq \delta$  be valid for  $Q_I$ , with  $c$  integer. If  $cv < 1$ , then  $cv \leq 0$ , since  $c$  and  $v$  are integer. Then for  $j = 1, \dots, \lceil \bar{t} \rceil - 1$ , one has

$$cx^j = c(x - j \cdot v) = c(x' + (\bar{t} - j) \cdot v) = cx' + (\bar{t} - j)cv \leq \delta,$$

where the inequality follows from  $x' \in Q_I$ ,  $cv \leq 0$ ,  $\bar{t} - j > 0$ . Hence the hypothesis of Lemma 4 holds. We conclude  $x^{\lceil \bar{t} \rceil - 1} \in Q^{(\lceil \bar{t} \rceil - 1)}$ . Since by construction  $x^{\lceil \bar{t} \rceil - 1} \notin Q_I$ , the statement follows.  $\square$

## Unimodular transformations

A *unimodular transformation*  $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  maps a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  to  $u(x) = Ux + v$ , where  $U$  is an  $n \times n$  unimodular matrix (i.e. a square integer matrix with  $|\det(U)| = 1$ ) and  $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . It is well-known (see e.g. [22]) that a nonsingular matrix  $U$  is unimodular if and only if so is  $U^{-1}$ . Furthermore, a unimodular transformation is a bijection of both  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  that preserves  $n$ -dimensional volumes. Moreover, the following holds ([10]).

**Lemma 6** *Let  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a polyhedron and  $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $u(x) = Ux + v$ , be a unimodular transformation. Then for each  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ , an inequality  $cx \leq \delta$  is valid for  $Q^{(t)}$  if and only if the inequality  $cU^{-1}x \leq \delta + cU^{-1}v$  is valid for  $u(Q)^{(t)}$ . Moreover, the CG rank of  $Q$  equals the CG rank of  $u(Q)$ .*

Thanks to the previous lemma, when investigating the CG rank of a  $d$ -dimensional rational polyhedron  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $Q \cap \mathbb{Z}^n \neq \emptyset$ , we can apply a suitable unimodular transformation and assume that the affine hull of  $Q$  is the rational subspace  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_{d+1} = x_{d+2} = \dots = x_n = 0\}$ .

## 3 Geometric characterization of integral polyhedra with infinite reverse CG rank

In this section we prove Theorem 1. Since it is already known that, when  $P$  is empty,  $r^*(P) < +\infty$  (see Lemma 2), we assume  $P \neq \emptyset$ .

**Observation 7** *Let  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a polyhedron and  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\text{relint}(P) + \langle v \rangle = \text{relint}(P + \langle v \rangle)$ .*

**Observation 8** *Let  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a convex set contained in a rational hyperplane such that  $\text{aff}(C) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $C$  is relatively lattice-free if and only if there exists  $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \text{rec}(C)$  such that  $C + \langle v \rangle$  is relatively lattice-free.*

*Proof.* Since  $C$  is contained in a rational hyperplane and  $\text{aff}(C) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n \neq \emptyset$ , up to unimodular transformations we may assume that  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}$ . If  $C$  is relatively lattice-free, then it is easy to verify that the vector  $e^n$  of the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is such that  $e^n \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \text{rec}(C)$  and  $C + \langle e^n \rangle$  is relatively lattice-free. Conversely, assume that there exists  $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \text{rec}(C)$  such that  $C + \langle v \rangle$  is relatively lattice-free. Clearly  $\text{relint}(C) \subseteq \text{relint}(C + \langle v \rangle)$ , thus  $C$  is relatively lattice-free.  $\square$

### 3.1 Proof of Theorem 1: Sufficiency

Let  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a non-empty integral polyhedron and assume that  $P + \langle v \rangle$  is relatively lattice-free for some  $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \text{rec}(P)$ : we prove that  $r^*(P) = +\infty$ . Let  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a point in the relative interior of  $P$  such that  $\bar{x} + v \notin P$ , and  $V$  be the set of vertices of  $P$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , define  $Q_\alpha = \text{conv}(V, \bar{x} + \alpha v) + \text{rec}(P)$ .  $Q_\alpha$  is a polyhedron and it contains  $P$ . In order to prove that it is a relaxation of  $P$ , it suffices to show that  $Q_\alpha \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ .  $\bar{x} + \alpha v \in \text{relint}(P) + \langle v \rangle$  hence, by Observation 7,  $\bar{x} + \alpha v \in \text{relint}(P + \langle v \rangle)$ . Thus, for each  $x \in Q_\alpha$ , at least one of the following holds:  $x$  lies in  $P$ ;  $x$  lies in the relative interior of  $P + \langle v \rangle$ , and since  $P + \langle v \rangle$  is relatively lattice-free by hypothesis,  $x$  is not integer. This shows  $Q_\alpha \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ . We now apply Lemma 5 with  $Q = Q_\alpha$  and  $x = \bar{x} + \alpha v$ ; note that  $\lceil \bar{t} \rceil = \alpha$ . Hence, we deduce that  $r(Q_\alpha) \geq \alpha$ . The thesis then follows from the fact that  $\alpha$  was chosen arbitrarily in  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ .

### 3.2 Proof of Theorem 1: Necessity

First, we show that the non-full-dimensional case follows from the full-dimensional one. More precisely, assuming that the statement holds for any full-dimensional polyhedron, we let  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a non-empty integral polyhedron of dimension  $d < n$  so that there is no  $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \text{rec}(P)$  such that  $P + \langle v \rangle$  is relatively lattice-free, and we show that  $r^*(P) < +\infty$ . Hence, let  $P$  be as above. Up to a unimodular transformation, we can assume that  $\text{aff}(P) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_{d+1} = x_{d+2} = \dots = x_n = 0\}$ . Observation 8 implies that  $P$  is not relatively lattice-free. We then make use of the following fact [2, Theorem 1].

**Theorem 9** *There exists a function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that, for each integral polyhedron  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  that is not relatively lattice-free, and each relaxation  $Q$  of  $P$ ,  $Q^{(f(n))}$  is contained in  $\text{aff}(P)$ .*

By Theorem 9, there is an integer  $p$  depending only on  $n$  such that, for each relaxation  $Q$  of  $P$ ,  $Q^{(p)} \subseteq \text{aff}(P)$ , i.e., modulo at most  $p$  iterations of the CG closure, we can assume that both  $P$  and  $Q$  are full-dimensional, and  $P$  is not lattice-free. Hence,  $P + \langle v \rangle$  is not lattice-free for any  $v \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , and  $r^*(P) < +\infty$  follows from the full-dimensional case.

Therefore it suffices to show the statement for  $P$  full-dimensional: we assume that  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a non-empty integral polyhedron such that  $r^*(P) = +\infty$ , and prove that there exists  $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \text{rec}(P)$  such that  $P + \langle v \rangle$  is relatively lattice-free.

Let  $Ax \leq b$  be an irredundant description of  $P$ , with  $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ . For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $P_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax \leq b + k \cdot \mathbf{1}\}$ , where  $\mathbf{1}$  denotes the  $m$ -dimensional all-one vector.

**Claim 1** *For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a relaxation  $Q_k$  of  $P$  such that  $Q_k \setminus P_k \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that there exists some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $Q \subseteq P_k$  for each relaxation  $Q$  of  $P$ . Fix any such relaxation  $Q$ . Then, for each inequality  $ax \leq \beta$  from the system  $Ax \leq b$  that defines  $P$ ,  $ax \leq \beta + k$  is valid for  $Q$ . Hence, by Lemma 3,  $ax \leq \beta$  is valid of  $Q^{(p)}$ , with  $p = kf(n) + 1$  and  $f$  being an appropriate function of  $n$  only. This implies that all valid inequalities for  $P$  are also valid for  $Q^{(p)}$ , and consequently  $Q^{(p)} = P$ . Since  $Q$  was taken to be an arbitrary relaxation of  $P$ , this implies  $r^*(P) < +\infty$ , contradicting the assumptions.  $\diamond$

The rest of the proof is divided into the following steps: (a) We construct a candidate vector  $v \notin \text{rec}(P)$ ; (b) We show that  $P + \langle v \rangle$  is lattice-free; (c) We show that  $v$  can be assumed wlog to be integral.

**(a) Construction of  $v \notin \text{rec}(P)$ .** By Claim 1, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a point  $y^k \in Q_k \setminus P_k$ . Let  $x^k$  be the point in  $P$  such that  $d(y^k, x^k) = d(y^k, P)$  and define  $v^k = y^k - x^k$ .

**Remark 1** *For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the hyperplane  $H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : v^k x = v^k x^k\}$  is a supporting hyperplane for  $P$  containing  $x^k$ .*

Consider the sequence of normalized vectors  $\left\{ \frac{v^k}{\|v^k\|} \right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Since it is contained in the unit  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional sphere  $S$ , which is a compact set, it has a subsequence that converges to an element of  $S$ , say  $v$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{I}$  the set of indices of this subsequence. Remark 1 shows that every vector  $v^k$  belongs to the *optimality cone* of  $P$ , which is defined as the set of vectors  $c$  such that the problem  $\max\{cx : x \in P\}$  has finite optimum. Since the optimality cone of a polyhedron is a polyhedral cone, in particular it is a closed set. Then  $v$  belongs to the optimality cone of  $P$ . This implies that  $v \notin \text{rec}(P)$ , as  $\max\{cx : x \in P\}$  is never finite if  $c$  is a non-zero vector in  $\text{rec}(P)$ .

**(b)  $P + \langle v \rangle$  is lattice-free.** Assume the existence of  $\tilde{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  for some  $\tilde{z} \in \text{int}(P + \langle v \rangle)$ . Observation 7 implies that there exist  $\tilde{w} \in \text{int}(P)$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\tilde{z} = \tilde{w} + \alpha v$ . Since  $P$  is a rational polyhedron,  $P = P^* + \text{int.cone}(R)$ , where  $R = \{r^1, \dots, r^{|R|}\}$  is a set of integer generators of  $\text{rec}(P)$  and  $P^*$  is a suitable rational polytope such that  $\tilde{w} \in \text{int}(P^*)$  (for instance, if we let  $V$  be the vertex set of  $P$ , we can take  $P^* = \text{conv}\{V \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{|R|}(\tilde{w} + r^i)\}$ ). We denote the *geometric diameter* of  $P^*$  (i.e. the maximum distance between two points of  $P^*$ ) by  $\delta$  (see Figure 2).




Figure 2: Illustration from part (b) of the proof of Theorem 1. On the left: the vectors  $r^1$  and  $r^2$  from  $\text{rec}(P)$ . On the right: polytope  $P$ , and its covering with polyhedra  $P^*(a)$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . In increasingly darker shades of grey:  $P^* = P^*\binom{0}{0}$ ,  $P^*\binom{0}{1}$ ,  $P^*\binom{0}{2}$ . If moreover  $x^1 \in P^*\binom{0}{1}$  and  $x^2 \in P^*\binom{0}{2}$ , we obtain  $w^1$ ,  $w^2$ ,  $z^1$ ,  $z^2$  as in the picture.

**Claim 2** *There exist a number  $\beta > 2\delta$  and points  $w \in \text{int}(P^*)$  and  $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , such that  $z = w + \beta v$ .*

*Proof.* We make use of the following fact, shown by Basu et al. [4, Lemma 13] as a consequence of the well-known Dirichlet's Lemma: *Given  $u \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\bar{\lambda} \geq 0$ , there exists an integer point at distance less than  $\varepsilon$  from the halfline  $\{u + \lambda r : \lambda \geq \bar{\lambda}\}$ .* Apply this result with  $u = \tilde{z}$ ,  $r = v$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < d(\tilde{w}, \text{bd}(P^*))$ , and  $\bar{\lambda} = \max(0, 2\delta - \alpha + \varepsilon)$ . It guarantees the existence of an integer point  $z$  at distance less than  $\varepsilon$  from the halfline  $\{\tilde{z} + \lambda v : \lambda \geq 2\delta - \alpha + \varepsilon\} = \{\tilde{w} + \lambda v : \lambda \geq 2\delta + \varepsilon\}$ . Then  $z = w + \beta v$  for some point  $w$  at distance less than  $\varepsilon$  from  $\tilde{w}$  and  $\beta > 2\delta$ . As  $\varepsilon < d(\tilde{w}, \text{bd}(P^*))$ , it follows that  $w \in \text{int}(P^*)$ .  $\diamond$

Let  $\beta$ ,  $w$ ,  $z$  be as in Claim 2. If for  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{|R|}$  we define  $P^*(a) = P^* + \sum_{i=1, \dots, |R|} a_i r^i$ , then  $P = \bigcup_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{|R|}} P^*(a)$  (see again Figure 2). Recall that, for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , one has  $y^k \in Q_k \setminus P_k$ ,  $x^k \in P$ , and  $v^k = y^k - x^k$ . For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $a^k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{|R|}$  be such that  $x^k \in P^*(a^k)$ . Also, let  $w^k = w + \sum_{i=1}^{|R|} a_i^k r^i$ . Note that each  $w^k$  is a translation of  $w$  by an integer combination of integer vectors  $r^1, \dots, r^{|R|}$ , so that  $w^k$  lies in the same translation of  $P^*$  as  $x^k$ . This implies  $d(w^k, x^k) \leq \delta$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we also define  $z^k = w^k + \beta v$ . One easily checks that  $z^k = z + \sum_{i=1}^{|R|} a_i^k r^i$ , that is,  $z^k$  is a translation of  $z$  by integer vectors  $r^1, \dots, r^{|R|}$  with the same multipliers as  $w^k$ , hence it is an integer vector. The proof of (b) is an immediate consequence of the following claim, which contradicts the fact that  $Q_k$  is a relaxation of  $P$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Claim 3**  $z^k \in Q_k \setminus P$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{I}$  large enough.

*Proof.* We first show that  $z^k \notin P$  for  $k \in \mathbb{I}$  large enough. By Remark 1, the hyperplane  $H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : v^k x = v^k x^k\}$  is a supporting hyperplane of



$P$  containing  $x^k$ . Let  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $w^k + \gamma \frac{v^k}{\|v^k\|} \in H$ . Note that  $\gamma$  is well-defined since  $v^k$  is normal to  $H$ , and moreover  $\gamma \geq 0$ , as  $w^k \in P$ . Since  $\frac{v^k}{\|v^k\|}$  is a unit vector normal to  $H$ , one has

$$\gamma = d(w^k, H) \leq d(w^k, x^k) \leq \delta, \quad (1)$$

where the first inequality comes from the fact that  $x^k \in H$ .

Let now  $\phi$  be the angle between  $v^k$  and  $v$ , and  $k \in \mathcal{I}$  be large enough, so that  $0 \leq \phi \leq \frac{\pi}{3}$ . Let  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $w^k + \sigma v \in H$  (recall that  $\|v\| = 1$ ). By simple trigonometric arguments and by (1), we obtain  $\sigma = \frac{\gamma}{\cos \phi} \leq 2\delta$ . Hence, points  $w^k + \lambda v$  with  $\lambda > 2\delta$  do not belong to  $P$ . In particular,  $z^k \notin P$ , since  $z^k = w^k + \beta v$  with  $\beta > 2\delta$  from Claim 2.

We now show that  $z^k \in Q_k$  for  $k \in \mathcal{I}$  large enough. Let  $\varepsilon$  be such that  $0 < \varepsilon < d(w, \text{bd}(P^*))$ . Note that  $\varepsilon < d(w^k, \text{bd}(P))$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $H^k$  be the hyperplane with normal  $v$  containing point  $w^k$ , i.e.,  $H^k = \{x : vx = vw^k\}$ . Define  $B^k$  to be the  $(n-1)$ -ball of radius  $\varepsilon$  lying on  $H^k$  and centered at  $w^k$ . Note that  $B^k \subseteq P$  and  $z^k - B^k$  is the  $(n-1)$ -ball of radius  $\varepsilon$  centered at  $\beta v$  and lying on the hyperplane  $\{x : vx = \beta\}$ . Hence the cone  $C$  generated by  $\{z^k - x : x \in B^k\}$  does not depend on  $k$ , and it is indeed a *cone of revolution* defined by direction  $v$  and some angle  $0 < 2\theta < \pi/2$  (see Figure 3), i.e.  $C$  is the set of vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that form an angle of at most  $2\theta$  with  $v$ . Note that

$$z^k \in \text{conv}(x, B^k) \quad \text{for every } x \in z^k + C. \quad (2)$$

Now let  $D$  be the cone of revolution of direction  $v$  and angle  $\theta$ . Note that  $D$  is strictly contained in cone  $C$ . Since  $d(x^k, w^k) \leq \delta$  for all  $k$ , there exists a positive number  $\tau$  such that  $\{x \in x^k + D : d(x, x^k) \geq \tau\} \subseteq z^k + C$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d(y^k, P) = +\infty$ , for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough  $d(y^k, x^k) = d(y^k, P) \geq \tau$ . If moreover we take  $k \in \mathcal{I}$  large enough so that the angle between  $v^k$  and  $v$  is at most  $\theta$ , one has  $y^k \in x^k + D$  and consequently  $y^k \in z^k + C$ . Because  $y^k \in Q_k$  and (2), we conclude that  $z^k \in Q_k$ , as required.  $\diamond$

**(c)  $v$  can be assumed wlog to be integral.** In [4, Theorem 2] (see also [17]) it is proved that a maximal lattice-free convex set is either an irrational affine hyperplane of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , or a polyhedron  $Q + L$ , where  $Q$  is a polytope and  $L$  is a rational linear space.  $P + \langle v \rangle$  is lattice-free, thus it is contained in a maximal lattice-free convex set. Since it is full-dimensional, it is not contained in an irrational hyperplane. It follows that  $P \subseteq Q + L$ , with  $Q, L$  as above. Moreover,  $L$  has dimension at least 1, since it contains  $v$ . Pick a set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$  of generators of  $L$  such that  $v \in \text{cone}(S)$ . Since  $v \notin \text{rec}(P)$ , then  $s \notin \text{rec}(P)$  for at least one  $s \in S$ . Moreover,  $P + \langle s \rangle \subseteq Q + L$  and it is full-dimensional, hence it is lattice-free. We can then replace  $v$  by  $s$ . This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

## 4 On some polyhedra with finite reverse CG rank

In this section we investigate the behavior of the reverse CG rank for two classes of polyhedra. Namely, let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the family of integral polyhedra  $P$  such that (i)




Figure 3: Illustration from the proof of Claim 3. Both  $C$  and  $D$  are cones of revolution defined by direction  $v$ , with angles respectively  $2\theta$  and  $\theta$ .

no facet of  $P$  is relatively lattice-free and (ii) either  $P$  is not relatively lattice-free or  $P$  is full-dimensional; also, let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the family of integral polyhedra that are not relatively lattice-free. We show the following.

- Theorem 10** (i) For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sup\{r^*(P) : P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n, P \in \mathcal{A}\} \leq \lambda(n)$ , where  $\lambda$  is a function depending on  $n$  only.
- (ii) For each  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sup\{r^*(P) : P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n, P \in \mathcal{B}, |\text{relint}(P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| \leq k\} \leq \mu(n, k)$ , where  $\mu$  is a function depending on  $n$  and  $k$  only.

We build on the following result [2, Theorem 12].

**Theorem 11** There exists a function  $\phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow ]0, +\infty[$  such that every integral non-lattice-free polyhedron  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  contains a centrally symmetric polytope of volume  $\phi(n)$ , whose only integer point is its center.

**Lemma 12** Let  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be an integral polyhedron. Let  $cx \leq \delta$  be a valid inequality for  $P$  inducing a facet of  $P$  that is not relatively lattice-free. Then, for every relaxation  $Q$  of  $P$  contained in  $\text{aff}(P)$ ,  $cx \leq \delta$  is valid for  $Q^{(p)}$ , where  $p$  depends on  $n$  only.

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be  $d$ -dimensional and  $F$  be the facet of  $P$  induced by inequality  $cx \leq \delta$ . If  $d = 0$ , then there is nothing to prove, as  $P$  has no facet. If  $d = 1$ , then  $Q^{(1)} = P$  since  $Q$  is a relaxation of  $P$  contained in  $\text{aff}(P)$ . Hence we assume  $d \geq 2$ . Modulo a unimodular transformation, we can assume that  $\text{aff}(P) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_{d+1} = \dots = x_n = 0\}$  and  $cx \leq \delta$  is the inequality  $x_d \leq 0$ . By Theorem 11,  $F$  contains a  $(d-1)$ -dimensional centrally symmetric polytope  $E$  of volume  $\phi(d-1)$ , whose only integer point is its center. We assume wlog that this point is the origin. We now argue that the inequality  $x_d \leq \bar{\delta} = \max\{\frac{i \cdot 2^{i-1}}{\phi(i-1)} : 2 \leq i \leq n\}$  is valid for each relaxation  $Q$  of  $P$  contained in  $\text{aff}(P)$ . Note that  $\bar{\delta}$  only depends on  $n$ . Assume by contradiction that there

exists a point  $\bar{x} \in Q$  with  $\bar{x}_d > \bar{\delta} \geq \frac{d \cdot 2^{d-1}}{\phi(d-1)}$ . Define  $C = \text{conv}(E, \bar{x}) \subseteq Q$ . Since  $Q$  is a relaxation of  $P$ ,  $C$  is a  $d$ -dimensional convex body whose only integer point is the origin, which lies on its boundary. Moreover,

$$\text{vol}(C) = \bar{x}_d \cdot \frac{\text{vol}(E)}{d} > \frac{d \cdot 2^{d-1}}{\phi(d-1)} \cdot \frac{\phi(d-1)}{d} = 2^{d-1}.$$

Let  $C'$  be the symmetrization of  $C$  w.r.t. the origin, i.e.  $C' = C \cup -C$ . Note that  $C'$  is a  $d$ -dimensional centrally symmetric polytope in the space of the first  $d$  variables whose only integer point is the origin. Furthermore,  $\text{vol}(C') = 2\text{vol}(C) > 2^d$ . However, by Minkowski's Convex Body Theorem (see, e.g., [3]), every centrally symmetric convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  whose only integer point is the origin has volume at most  $2^d$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore the inequality  $x_d \leq \bar{\delta}$  is valid for each relaxation  $Q$  of  $P$  contained in  $\text{aff}(P)$ . Lemma 3 then implies that  $cx \leq \delta$  is valid for  $Q^{(p)}$ , where  $p$  depends only on  $n$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 10. (i).* Let  $P \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then no facet of  $P$  is relatively lattice-free. Suppose first that  $P$  is full-dimensional. By Lemma 12, for each facet-defining inequality  $cx \leq \delta$  of  $P$ ,  $cx \leq \delta$  is valid for  $Q^{(p)}$ , with  $p$  depending on  $n$  only. This implies that  $Q^{(p)} = P$ , concluding the proof. Now, assume that  $P$  is of dimension  $d < n$ . By definition of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $P$  is not relatively lattice-free. Theorem 9 implies that there exists a number  $p$  depending only on  $n$  such that, for each relaxation  $Q$  of  $P$ ,  $Q^{(p)} \subseteq \text{aff}(P)$ . Thus in a number of iterations of the CG closure depending on  $n$  only we are back to the full-dimensional case. This proves (i).

(ii). Now fix  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , and consider the family of polyhedra  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $P \in \mathcal{B}$ , with  $|\text{relint}(P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = k$ . Actually, this family is only composed of polytopes, as every unbounded integral polyhedron with an integer point in its relative interior contains infinitely many of those. By Theorem 1,  $r^*(P)$  is finite for each polytope from this family. Lagarias and Ziegler [15] showed that, up to unimodular transformations, for each  $d$  and  $k \geq 1$  there is only a finite number of  $d$ -dimensional polytopes with  $k$  integer points in their relative interior. Hence there exists a number  $t_{n,k}$  such that  $r^*(P) \leq t_{n,k}$  for all polytopes  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $P \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $|\text{relint}(P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = k$ , concluding the proof of (ii).

As a counterpart to Theorem 10, we now provide examples of families of polytopes from  $\mathcal{A}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}$ ) where  $r^*$  grows with the dimension of the ambient space (resp. with the number of integer points in the relative interior of the polytopes). Indeed, let  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be an integral  $d$ -dimensional polytope, with  $d \leq n-1$ . Up to a unimodular transformation,  $P$  is contained in the hyperplane defined by the equation  $x_n = 0$ . Bockmayr et al. [5] showed that, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a polyhedron  $Q_k \subseteq [0, 1]^k$  such that  $r(Q_k) = k$  and  $(Q_k)_I = \emptyset$ . Let  $\bar{Q} = \{(x, 1) : x \in Q_{n-1}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , and set  $Q = \text{conv}(P, \bar{Q})$ . Note that  $Q$  is a relaxation of  $P$ . Since  $\bar{Q}^{(t)} \subseteq Q^{(t)}$ , one has  $r(Q) \geq r(\bar{Q}) = n-1$ . Moreover, as  $Q_I = P$ , one has that for each polyhedron in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that is not full-dimensional,  $r^*(P) \geq n-1$ . Hence, any bound on  $r^*(P)$  must grow with the dimension of the space  $P$  lives in. (Incidentally, this also implies that it makes no sense to study the parameter  $r^*(P)$  when  $P$  is viewed as an integral  $d$ -polyhedron that

can be embedded in *any* real space of dimension  $n \geq d$ , since this value is equal to  $+\infty$  for each integral polytope  $P$ ).

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider the integral polytope  $P_k \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  defined by the following system of inequalities:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_1 & \geq & 0 \\ x_2 & \geq & 0 \\ x_2 & \leq & k \\ x_1 - \frac{1}{k}x_2 & \leq & 1 \end{array}$$

Note that  $P_k$  has  $k - 1$  integer points in its interior. Let  $Q_k = \text{conv}(P_k, \bar{x})$ , where  $\bar{x} = (1/2, -k/2)$ . Clearly  $Q_k$  is a relaxation of  $P$ . Using Lemma 5, we obtain  $r(Q_k) \geq k/2$ . This implies that any upper bound on  $r^*(P)$  for  $P \in \mathcal{B}$  must grow with the number of integer points in the interior of  $P$ . One immediately extends these results to unbounded polyhedra and higher dimensions.

## 5 Further remarks

### 5.1 Properties of integral polyhedra with finite reverse CG rank

Though Theorem 1 gives a characterization of the integral polyhedra having infinite reverse CG rank, it would be interesting to have an alternative (and perhaps more explicit) characterization of those integral polyhedra for which a vector  $v$  as in the statement of the theorem exists. The results in this paper give partial answer to this question. For instance, for a non-full-dimensional integral polyhedron  $P$ , such a  $v$  exists if and only if  $P$  is not relatively lattice-free (see Observation 8). For full-dimensional polyhedra, the situation is different. Clearly integral non-lattice-free polyhedra have finite reverse CG rank. Moreover, Theorem 10 shows that full-dimensional lattice-free integral polyhedra with an integer point in the relative interior of each facet have finite reverse CG rank. For these polyhedra, the non-existence of a direction  $v$  as in the statement of Theorem 1 is due to the fact that by applying any direction  $v \notin \text{rec}(P)$ , one of the integer points of the polyhedron (more precisely, one of those lying in the relative interior of the facets) will fall in the interior of  $P + \langle v \rangle$ . However, this is not a necessary condition for an integral polytope to have finite reverse CG rank. As an example, consider the polytope  $P = \text{conv}\{(0, 0, 0), (3, 1, 0), (2, 3, 0), (3, 2, 2)\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ . If we take, e.g.,  $v = (1, 0, 0)$ , then  $P + \langle v \rangle$  does not contain any integer point of  $P$  in its interior, but  $P + \langle v \rangle$  is not lattice-free, as  $(3, 2, 1)$  is in its interior.

Gennadiy Averkov [1] pointed out to us that, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there are, up to unimodular transformations, only a finite number of  $n$ -dimensional lattice-free integral polyhedra with  $r^* < +\infty$ . This follows by combining Theorem 1 and a result from the literature. In fact, [18, Theorem 2.1] implies the following: for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , up to unimodular transformations, there exists only a finite number of  $n$ -dimensional lattice-free integral polytopes of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $P + \langle v \rangle$  is not lattice-free for every  $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . By applying Theorem 1, the claimed result immediately follows for the special case of polytopes. We are left to

show it in the unbounded case, so let  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional unbounded lattice-free polyhedron with  $r^*(P) < +\infty$ . Note that the recession cone and the lineality space of  $P$  coincide, else  $P + \langle v \rangle$  is lattice-free for each  $v \notin \text{rec}(P)$  such that  $-v \in \text{rec}(P)$ , contradicting Theorem 1. Then  $P = P' + L$ , where  $P'$  is a relatively lattice-free integral polytope,  $L$  is a linear subspace, and  $\dim(P') + \dim(L) = n$ . Up to a unimodular transformation, we can assume that  $\text{aff}(P') = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_{n'+1} = x_{n'+2} = \dots = x_n = 0\}$  for some  $n' < n$  and that  $L$  is its orthogonal complement. As  $P + \langle v \rangle$  is not lattice-free for each  $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus L$ , then  $P' + \langle v \rangle$  is not lattice-free for every  $v \in \text{aff}(P') \setminus \{0\}$ . From what proved for polytopes, up to a unimodular transformation  $P'$  belongs to a finite family. The statement follows.

## 5.2 On relaxations with bounded facet complexity

An interesting question is whether bounding the facet complexity of relaxations leads to stronger bounds on the CG rank of polyhedra (we refer the reader to [22] for the concept of *facet complexity*, as well as for the one of *vertex complexity*). As the example from Figure 1 shows, the CG rank of a polyhedron can be exponential in the facet complexity of the polyhedron itself. But what happens to the reverse CG rank of an integral polyhedron  $P$ , if we require all relaxations to be of facet complexity  $\nu(c)$  for some fixed function  $\nu$ , where  $c$  is the facet complexity of  $P$ ? Recall the following two results (see respectively e.g. [22, Theorem 10.2] and [22, Theorem 16.1]): (i) there exists a polynomial  $\phi : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that, if  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  has facet complexity at most  $c$ , then  $P$  has vertex complexity at most  $\phi(n, c)$ ; (ii) for each integral polyhedron  $P$  and each relaxation  $Q$  of  $P$ ,  $\text{rec}(P) = \text{rec}(Q)$ . From (ii), it follows that each relaxation  $Q$  of a (non-empty, integral) polyhedron  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  can be written as  $\text{conv}(V \cup S) + \text{rec}(P)$ , where  $V$  is composed of a point from each minimal non-empty face of  $P$  and  $S$  is a finite set of points. Then from (i) we have that bounding the complexity of the inequalities defining  $Q$  implies bounding the complexity of each point in  $S$ , and hence its  $\ell_\infty$  norm. Using the notation from the proof of Theorem 1, we deduce that  $V \cup S \subseteq P_k$ , for some  $k$  big enough that does not depend on  $Q$  but only on  $P$  and  $\nu$ , and consequently that  $Q \subseteq P_k$ , since  $\text{rec}(Q) = \text{rec}(P) = \text{rec}(P_k)$ . Repeating the arguments from the proof of Claim 1, we deduce that the CG rank of  $Q$  is bounded by a function depending only on  $P$ ,  $\nu(c)$ , and  $k$ . Hence, the reverse CG rank in this case would be always bounded.

Let us remark that this is in sharp contrast with what happens in the mixed-integer case, where a relaxation of small complexity may have unbounded CG (or even split) rank: see [9, Example 2].

## 5.3 Reverse split rank

Another interesting problem is the extension of the concept of reverse CG rank to the case of split inequalities. It can be proved that in dimension 2 the split rank of every rational polyhedron is at most 2. That is, the *reverse split rank* (defined in the obvious way) is bounded by a constant in dimension 2, while

recall that this is not true for the reverse CG rank, see Section 1. However, one can prove that already in dimension 3 a constant bound does not exist, as implied by Lemma 13. We remark that a characterization of integral polytopes with finite reverse split rank is given in [7]. However [7] postdated the current paper, and in fact builds on it.

**Lemma 13** *Let  $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$  be the triangle  $\text{conv}\{(0, 0, 0), (0, 2, 0), (2, 0, 0)\}$ . The reverse split rank of  $T$  is  $+\infty$ .*

*Proof.* For  $h \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ , let  $x^h = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, h) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $T^h = \text{conv}(T; x^h)$ . Note that, for each  $h \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ ,  $(T^h)_I = T$ . We assume that the reader is familiar with basic definitions on split cuts, which can be found e.g. in [9].

For a polyhedron  $P$ , we denote by  $SC(P)$  its split closure and by  $SC^k(P)$  its  $k$ -th split closure. For an inequality  $\alpha x \leq \beta$ , we let  $SC_{\alpha, \beta}^{\leq}(P)$  be the set of points in  $P$  that satisfy  $\alpha x \leq \beta$ , and  $SC_{\alpha, \beta}^{\geq}(P)$  the set of points in  $P$  that satisfy  $\alpha x \geq \beta + 1$ . Also, we let  $SC_{\alpha, \beta}(P) = \text{conv}\{SC_{\alpha, \beta}^{\leq}(P), SC_{\alpha, \beta}^{\geq}(P)\}$ . We say that a split  $S = \{x : \beta < \alpha x < \beta + 1\}$  cuts off a point  $\bar{x}$  if  $\bar{x} \notin SC_{\alpha, \beta}(P)$ . Note that if  $S$  cuts off a point  $\bar{x}$ , necessarily  $\beta < \alpha \bar{x} < \beta + 1$ .

We prove that, for  $h \geq 4/3$ , there exists no split  $\{x : \beta < \alpha x < \beta + 1\}$  that cuts off both  $x^h$  and  $x^{h/4}$  from  $T^h$ , i.e. such that  $\{x^h, x^{h/4}\} \cap SC_{\alpha, \beta}(T^h) = \emptyset$ . This implies that for  $h \geq \frac{4}{3}$ ,  $x^{h/4} \in SC(T^h)$ . Thus,  $SC(T^h) \supseteq T^{h/4}$  and consequently,  $SC^k(T) \supseteq T^{h/4^k}$ . Hence,  $\Omega(\log(h))$  rounds of the split closure are needed to obtain the integer hull starting from  $T^h$ , and the statement follows.

Fix a rational  $h \geq 4/3$ , and a split  $S = \{x : \beta < \alpha x < \beta + 1\}$ , with  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We first deal with splits where  $\alpha_3 = 0$ . Note that  $\bar{x} = (1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{h}{2}) \in T^h$  (resp.  $\tilde{x} = (\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{h}{2}) \in T^h$ ), since this point is in the segment between  $(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$  and  $x^h$  (resp. between  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, 0)$  and  $x^h$ ). If  $S$  cuts off  $x^{h/4}$ , then  $\bar{x}, \tilde{x} \in S$ , since  $x^{h/4}$  is in the segment between  $\bar{x}$  and  $(0, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$  (resp.  $\tilde{x}$  and  $(\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0)$ ) and the latter belongs to  $T$  (hence also to  $SC(T^h)$ ). Moreover, the split also needs to cut off  $x^{h/4}$ , hence  $x^{h/4} \in S$ . Thus,  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta$  satisfy

$$\beta < \alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2, \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2 < \beta + 1,$$

which, since  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$ , and  $\beta$  can be assumed to be integer, imply

$$2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 2\beta + 1.$$

The unique solution to the system above is  $\alpha_1 = 0, \alpha_2 = 0, \beta = -\frac{1}{2}$ , which is not an integral vector.

Thus, we can assume  $\alpha_3 \neq 0$ . As the split needs to cut off both  $x^h$  and  $x^{h/4}$ , we have

$$\beta < \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2 + h \cdot \alpha_3, \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2 + \frac{h}{4} \cdot \alpha_3 < \beta + 1.$$

Setting  $\bar{\beta} = \beta - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2$ , it follows  $\bar{\beta} < h \cdot \alpha_3, \frac{h}{4} \cdot \alpha_3 < \bar{\beta} + 1$ . Hence, using the fact that  $|\alpha_3| \geq 1$ , we obtain  $1 > |h\alpha_3 - \frac{h}{4}\alpha_3| = \frac{3}{4}h|\alpha_3| \geq \frac{3}{4}h \geq 1$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

## References

- [1] G. Averkov. Personal communication, 2012.
- [2] G. Averkov, M. Conforti, A. Del Pia, M. Di Summa, and Y. Faenza. On the convergence of the affine hull of the Chvátal-Gomory closures. *Siam Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 27:3 (2013), pp. 1492–1502.
- [3] A. Barvinok. A course in Convexity. American Mathematical Society, 2002.
- [4] A. Basu, M. Conforti, G. Cornuéjols, G. Zambelli. Maximal lattice-free convex sets in linear subspaces. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 35:704–720, 2010.
- [5] A. Bockmayr, F. Eisenbrand, M. Hartmann, and A. S. Schulz. On the Chvátal rank of polytopes in the 0/1 cube. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 98:21–27, 1999.
- [6] V. Chvátal, W. Cook, and M. Hartmann. On cutting-plane proofs in combinatorial optimization. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 114/115:455–499, 1989.
- [7] M. Conforti, A. Del Pia, M. Di Summa, and Y. Faenza. Reverse Split Rank. Proceedings of IPCO 2014, to appear.
- [8] W. Cook, C. R. Coullard, G. Tóran. On the complexity of cutting-plane proofs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 18:25–38, 1987.
- [9] W. Cook, R. Kannan, and A. Schrijver. Chvátal closures for mixed integer programming problems. *Mathematical Programming*, 47:155–174, 1990.
- [10] F. Eisenbrand and A. S. Schulz. Bounds on the Chvátal rank of polytopes in the 0/1 cube. *Combinatorica*, 23:245–261, 2003.
- [11] F. Eisenbrand and G. Shmonin. Parametric integer programming in fixed dimension. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 33:839–850, 2008.
- [12] R.E. Gomory. Outline of an algorithm for integer solutions of linear programs. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 64:275–278, 1958.
- [13] B. Grünbaum. Convex Polytopes. Springer, 2003.
- [14] ILOG CPLEX 11 Documentation, [www-eio.upc.es/lceio/manuals/cplex-11/html/](http://www-eio.upc.es/lceio/manuals/cplex-11/html/).
- [15] J. Lagarias and G. Ziegler. Bounds for lattice polytopes containing a fixed number of interior points in a sublattice. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 43:1022–1035, 1991.
- [16] Y. Li. Personal communication, 2012.

- [17] L. Lovász. Geometry of Numbers and Integer Programming. In *Mathematical Programming: Recent Developments and Applications*, M. Iri and K. Tanabe eds., Kluwer, pp. 177–210, 1989.
- [18] B. Nill and G. Ziegler. Projecting lattice polytopes without interior lattice points. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 36:462–467, 2011.
- [19] S. Pokutta and G. Stauffer. Lower bounds for the Chvátal-Gomory rank in the 0/1 cube. *Operations Research Letters*, 39:200–203 (2011).
- [20] T. Rothvoß and L. Sanità. 0/1 polytopes with quadratic Chvátal rank. Proceedings of IPCO 2013, pp. 349–361.
- [21] A. Schrijver. On cutting planes. *Annals of Discrete Mathematics*, 9:291–296, 1980.
- [22] A. Schrijver. Theory of Linear and Integer Programming, John Wiley, 1986.