

A BEGINNER'S GUIDE TO COUNTING SPANNING TREES IN A GRAPH

ABSTRACT. In this article we present a proof of the famous Kirchoff's Matrix-Tree theorem, which relates the number of spanning trees in a simple connected graph with the cofactors (and eigenvalues) of its combinatorial Laplacian matrix. This is a 165 year old result in graph theory and the proof is conceptually simple. However, the elegance of this result is it connects many apparently unrelated concepts in linear algebra and graph theory. Our motivation behind this work was to make the proof accessible to anyone with beginner/intermediate grasp of linear algebra. Therefore in this paper we present proof of every single argument leading to the final result. For example, we prove the elementary properties of determinants, relationship between the roots of characteristic polynomial (that is, eigenvalues) and the minors, the Cauchy-Binet formula, the Laplace expansion of determinant, etc.

MOTIVATION

What. In this paper, we show how basic concepts from linear algebra can be used to relate the eigenvalues of the combinatorial Laplacian matrix (a matrix derived from the adjacency matrix) of a connected graph to the number of spanning trees in that graph. This result is called Kirchhoff's matrix-tree theorem. However, our purpose is not to provide the proof only: we present a set of self-contained arguments that lead to the final result. Thus we prove all necessary steps in our arguments, even the elementary concepts/formulas of linear algebra.

Who. This work is intended for a student of computer science with beginner/intermediate grasp of linear algebra and graph theory. It is the outcome of the personal quest of the author to understand the proof of the matrix-tree theorem along with proofs of all arguments that lead to the proof.

Why. The reason we attempt to prove this 165 year old (as of 2011) result is simple: it is beautiful. Not only the final result, but also the arguments that lead to the proof is beautiful for the sake of simplicity and ingenuity. The proof connects many dots: apparently distant or unrelated elements in linear algebra and graph theory. The understanding of the proof requires clear understanding of these more elementary concepts as well as the vision to see the connections between these dots.

Feedback. Please send your comments/feedback to saad0105050@gmail.com.

Key words and phrases. Count, Spanning tree, Determinant, Eigenvalue, Characteristic Polynomial, Incidence Matrix, combinatorial Laplacian, Cauchy-Binet formula, Minor, Cofactor.

CONTENTS

Motivation	1
What	1
Who	1
Why	1
Feedback	1
1. Introduction	3
1.1. Outline of the Proof	3
1.2. Organization	4
2. Determinants	4
2.1. Determinants in Leibnitz Form	4
2.2. Cofactors and Minors	6
2.3. Laplace Expansion	7
2.4. Linearity	10
2.5. Effect of Reordering Rows or Columns	11
2.6. Linear Independence and Rank	14
2.7. Multilinearity	15
2.8. Singular Matrix	18
2.9. Determinant of the Product of Two Matrices	18
3. Characteristic Polynomial and its Roots	20
4. Relationship between Eigenvalues and Minors of M	22
4.1. Step One: Ingredients	22
4.2. Step Two: Coefficients of $\chi(\lambda)$ and minors of M	23
4.3. Step Three: Eigenvalues and Principal Minors of Order $(n - 1)$	23
5. Basic Matrices for Graphs	25
5.1. Adjacency Matrix, A , and Incidence Matrix, B	25
5.2. The Combinatorial Laplacian, L	26
5.3. Eigenvalues and Principal Minors of the Combinatorial Laplacian	28
6. Incidence Matrix and Spanning Trees	28
6.1. Connectedness and the Incidence Matrix	29
6.2. The Connection between Spanning Trees and the Incidence Matrix	30
7. Determinants of the Submatrices of the Incidence Matrix	31
7.1. Determinant of a 2×2 Submatrix of the Incidence Matrix	31
7.2. Determinant of Any Square Submatrix of the Incidence Matrix	32
8. Kirchhoff's Matrix-Tree Theorem	32
9. Conclusion	34
References	34

1. INTRODUCTION

Spanning tree T of a simple connected graph G is a connected subgraph of G having all vertices and exactly $n - 1$ edges of G . There can be many spanning trees of a connected graph. In his 1847 seminal paper [1], Gustav Robert Kirchhoff showed how it is possible to count the number of spanning trees in a connected graph from its incidence matrix. Since the incidence matrix is linked to the combinatorial Laplacian matrix of the graph, the number of spanning trees in of the graph is linked to the eigenvalues of the combinatorial Laplacian matrix, that is, to the roots of the characteristic polynomial of the combinatorial Laplacian matrix. This is an elegant result which combines concepts from spectral graph theory (eigenvalues of graph matrices), linear algebra (determinants and matrices), graph theory (spanning trees), and combinatorics (counting). The proof touches only simple concepts and formulas from linear algebra which a 12th grader should understand. Moreover, the graph theory concepts used by the proof are taught in first few lectures in graph theory. Therefore the elegance of the theorem (and its proof) lies in connecting so many unrelated dots and providing a deep result.

Theorem 1. (*Kirchhoff's matrix-tree theorem*) *The product of non-zero eigenvalues of the combinatorial Laplacian matrix L of the simple connected graph G is equal to n times the number of spanning trees of G .*

Outline of the proof can be found in [5, 4]. Especially, [4] gives excellent introduction to the combinatorial Laplacian matrix. [6] gives a thorough proof. [2] discusses various aspects of spanning trees and graph matrices, while [3] gives clear introduction and proofs to basic concepts of linear algebra. Many of the proofs in this work are based on the sources mentioned above.

Next we present the outline of our proof.

1.1. Outline of the Proof. Below we show how the proof is constructed from different ideas.

Kirchhoff's matrix-tree theorem

- Product of non-zero eigenvalues of the combinatorial Laplacian matrix L of the graph G is equal to the sum of all diagonal cofactors of L (Lemma 2).
 - Coefficients of the characteristic polynomial $\chi(\lambda)$ of the matrix L can be expressed in terms of the roots of $\chi(\lambda)$ (that is, the eigenvalues of L) (Equation 3.7).
 - Coefficients of $\chi(\lambda)$ can also be expressed in terms of the principal minors of L (Theorem 4).
 - Zero is an eigenvalue of L (Proposition 10) .
- A diagonal cofactor of L is equal to the number of spanning trees of G .
 - The k th diagonal cofactor of L is equal to $\det(\tilde{B}\tilde{B}^T)$, where \tilde{B} is obtained from B by removing its k th row and $\det()$ is the determinant (Proposition 4).
 - * By definition, $L = BB^T$, where B is the incidence matrix of G (subsection 5.2).
 - $\tilde{B}\tilde{B}^T$ is an $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ square matrix.
 - Each non-singular square submatrix of order $(n - 1)$ corresponds to a spanning tree of G (Corollary 5).

- * A spanning tree of G corresponds to an $n \times (n - 1)$ submatrix of B whose rank is $n - 1$. Let Q be this submatrix, which is the incidence matrix of a spanning tree.
- * Every $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ submatrix of Q must be non-singular (Lemma 4).
- $\det(\tilde{B}\tilde{B}^T)$ is sum of the determinants of each $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ submatrix of \tilde{B} (by the Cauchy-Binet formula).
- * Determinant of each non-singular $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ submatrix of \tilde{B} contributes 1 to the above sum.
- Determinant of any non-singular square submatrix of B must be either +1 or -1 (Corollary 6).

1.2. Organization. First we present various properties of determinants (section 2). Then we discuss the characteristic polynomial (section 3) and the relationship between eigenvalues and minors of a matrix (section 4). In these three sections we build the necessary tools (propositions, claims, remarks, lemmas, and theorems) that we use in subsequent sections. Specifically, we present the incidence matrix and the combinatorial Laplacian matrix of a graph (section 5) and establish the relationship between the incidence matrix and the spanning trees (section 6) before evaluating the determinants of any square submatrix of the incidence matrix (section 7). Finally we connect all the dots and prove Kirchhoff's matrix tree theorem (section 8).

2. DETERMINANTS

In this section, we will discuss some basic properties of matrices and determinants. However, we assume that the reader is familiar with the very basics of linear algebra.

I_n is the identity matrix with n rows and n columns, where each diagonal entry is 1 and all other entries are zero. For example,

$$I_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Determinant of an $n \times n$ matrix M , denoted by $\det(M)$ or $|M|$, is a function which takes in the matrix M and gives a scalar numeric value as output. The exact form of the determinant is given below.

2.1. Determinants in Leibnitz Form. Let us consider the 4×4 matrix M . For ease of visualization, each entry of M corresponds to its row and column index.

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\ 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\ 31 & 32 & 33 & 34 \\ 41 & 42 & 43 & 44 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let us take the product of four elements from the matrix such that no two elements come from the same row or column. An example is $11 \times 22 \times 33 \times 44$. Another way is $11 \times 23 \times 32 \times 44$. Clearly, there are $4 \times 3 \times 2 \times 1 = 4!$ different ways of picking four elements according to the above rule. (At the first row, we can pick an element from any of the 4 columns. At the second row, we can pick one element from the 3 remaining columns. Similarly, at the third row, we can pick one element

Sequence	Distance	Steps	Sign
$\underline{11} \times \underline{22} \times \underline{33} \times \underline{44}$	0	<i>Base permutation</i>	+1
$\underline{11} \times \underline{23} \times \underline{32} \times \underline{44}$	1	$3 \leftrightarrow 2$	-1
$\underline{13} \times \underline{21} \times \underline{32} \times \underline{44}$	2	$3 \leftrightarrow 2, 3 \leftrightarrow 1$	+1
$\underline{12} \times \underline{23} \times \underline{34} \times \underline{41}$	3	$1 \leftrightarrow 2, 1 \leftrightarrow 3, 1 \leftrightarrow 4$	-1

TABLE 1. Examples of the sign of permutations

from the two remaining columns. At the last row we pick the element from the last remaining column.) Therefore, each such product corresponds to a sequence of choices for columns. Our first example corresponds to the sequence 1234 (column 1 at row 1, column 2 at row 2, column 3 at row 3, column 4 at row 4). Similarly, our second example corresponds to the sequence 1324.

If we think about all these four-item sequences, we can view each of them as a *permutation* of four column indexes. The sequence 1234 is the base permutation, and every permutations can be derived from this one by interchanging one or more items. For example, the sequence 1324 can be obtained from 1234 by interchanging the items between the second and the third position, and the sequence 3124 can be obtained by applying one additional interchange on 1324 (between the first two positions). The number of interchange required to derive any given sequence from the base sequence 1234 can be thought of its *distance* from the base sequence.

Definition 1. (*Distance of a permutation*) Distance of a permutation σ from the base permutation $\sigma_b = \langle 1, 2, \dots, n \rangle$, denoted by $\text{dist}(\sigma)$, is equal to the number of position-flips applied to σ_b to derive σ . Therefore, $\text{dist}(\sigma_b) = 0$.

In the following text the distance of a permutation is equivalent to its distance from the base permutation. For example, the distance of 1234 is 1, the distance of 1324 is 1, the distance of 3124 is 2, and the distance of 4321 is 6 (because we need to make six interchanges to turn 1234 into 4321).

Each permutation has a sign (either + or -) attached to it. If the distance of the permutation is even, the sign is positive (+). Otherwise, for odd distances, the sign is negative (-). For example, the sign of the permutation 1324 is negative because its distance is 1 (odd), and the sign of the permutation 3124 is positive since its distance is 2 (even). Since 0 is considered even, the sign associated with the base permutation 1234 is positive.

Definition 2. (*Sign of a permutation*) Sign of a permutation σ , denoted by $\text{sgn}(\sigma)$, is defined as follows:

$$\text{sgn}(\sigma) = (-1)^{\text{dist}(\sigma)}.$$

It follows from Definition 2 that

$$\text{sgn}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } \text{dist}(\sigma) \text{ is odd} \\ +1 & \text{if } \text{dist}(\sigma) \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

If we look at the matrix M , we see that

Let p be a product term constructed from the matrix M by taking matrix entries corresponding to the permutation σ of the numbers $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Since M is a 4×4 matrix, p will be a product of 4 entries from M , each entry coming from a different row and different column. We can imagine p to run along a path from the top row




FIGURE 1. Visualization of the terms in the determinant as permutations on the column indexes. Each term is depicted as a path from the top row to the bottom row of the matrix. The path cannot have any vertical steps. The sign of a permutation depends on the number of column interchanges associated with the permutation.

to the bottom row such that no step along the path is vertical. Figure 1 depicts the paths corresponding to several permutations of the numbers $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Let S_n denote all possible permutations of the numbers $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$. We already know that $|S_n| = n!$. Let $P = \{p_1, p_2, p_3 \dots, p_{|S_n|}\}$ be the set of all possible products of n factors constructed from a $n \times n$ matrix M according to every permutation $\sigma \in S_n$. Let σ denote a permutation in S_n . Let $\text{sgn}(\sigma)$ be the sign of σ , and let $\sigma(j)$ be the value at the j th position of σ . Let $p(\sigma)$ be the product $p \in P$ corresponding to the permutation σ . Let $m_{i,j}$ denote the entry at the i th row and j th column of M . Then, according to Leibnitz, the determinant of M is nothing but the sum of all these products multiplied by corresponding signs.

$$(2.1) \quad \det(M) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) p(\sigma)$$

$$(2.2) \quad = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n m_{i\sigma(i)}$$

2.2. Cofactors and Minors.

2.2.1. Minors. A minor $[M]_{I,J}$ of M is the determinant of $(n - |I|) \times (n - |J|)$ submatrix obtained from M by removing rows $i \in I$ and columns $j \in J$. If $I = J$, $[M]_{I,J}$ is called a principal minor of M . If $I = \{i\}$ and $J = \{j\}$ (that is, both I and J have only one element), the minor is also denoted by $[M]_{i,j}$.

2.2.2. Cofactors. If we remove the i th row and j th column from the matrix M , we get the matrix \tilde{M}_{ij} having one fewer row and one fewer column than M . If we take the absolute value of the determinant of \tilde{M}_{ij} and assign to it the sign associated with the position (i, j) , we get the cofactor C_{ij} associated with the matrix entry $M_{i,j}$ as follows:

$$C_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} [M]_{i,j}.$$

For example, given the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 6 & 5 & 4 \\ 9 & 7 & 8 \end{pmatrix},$$

the cofactor for the entry 2 (at first row and second column) will be the following:

$$C_{12} = (-1)^{1+2} \det \left(\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 4 \\ 9 & 8 \end{pmatrix} \right) = -(48 - 36) = -12.$$

Remark 1. The sign of the cofactor C_{ii} for any diagonal element m_{ii} will be $(-1)^{i+i} = (-1)^{2i} = +1$. Therefore, we have $C_{ii} = [M]_{i,i}$.

2.3. Laplace Expansion. Let us recall the Leibnitz formula from Equation (2.2) for determinant of the matrix M :

$$\det(M) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n m_{i\sigma(i)}.$$

Each product term includes n elements from M , each from a different row and different column. Let us consider the set of product terms $P = \{p\}$ that contain m_{ij} as a factor. Let σ be a permutation of the numbers $1, 2, \dots, n$ associated with p . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \text{sgn}(\sigma) m_{1\sigma(1)} m_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots m_{i\sigma(i)} \cdots m_{n\sigma(n)} \\ &= \text{sgn}(\sigma) m_{1\sigma(1)} m_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots \underbrace{m_{ij}}_{\sigma(i)=j} \cdots m_{n\sigma(n)} \\ &= (\text{sgn}(\sigma) m_{ij}) m_{1\sigma(1)} m_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots m_{(i-1)\sigma(i-1)} m_{(i+1)\sigma(i+1)} \cdots m_{n\sigma(n)} \\ (2.3) \quad &= (\text{sgn}(\sigma) m_{ij}) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ k \neq i}} m_{k\sigma(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let π be a permutation on the base sequence

$$(2.4) \quad \pi_b = 1, 2, \dots, i-1, i+1, \dots, n$$

That is, π is a permutation of the numbers $\{k : 1 \leq k \leq n, k \neq i\}$ and the base permutation is π_b . π is related to σ as follows:


$$(2.5) \quad \pi(k) = \begin{cases} \sigma(k), & \text{if } k < i \\ \sigma(k+1), & \text{if } i \leq k \leq n-1 \end{cases}.$$

Therefore there is a one-to-one correspondence between σ and π given i . Figure 2 depicts this correspondence.

Now if we want to bring the element j in σ from its current position i to the new position j , we will have to make $|j-i|$ position interchanges on σ . Let ρ be the resultant permutation with the following properties:

$$(2.6) \quad \text{dist}(\rho) = \text{dist}(\sigma) + |j-i|$$

and

FIGURE 2. One-to-one mapping for σ to π , given i .

$$(2.7) \quad \rho(j) = j$$

$$(2.8) \quad \pi(k) = \begin{cases} \rho(k), k < j \\ \rho(k+1), j \leq k \leq n-1 \end{cases}$$

$$(2.9)$$

Here, $dist()$ is the distance function (see Definition 1).

Since $\rho(j) = j$, the element j is already in its position as in the base permutation. Additionally, if we delete the position j from ρ we get π . Thus it follows that

$$(2.10) \quad dist(\pi) = dist(\rho)$$

By combining Equation 2.6 and Equation 2.10, we get

$$(2.11) \quad dist(\pi) = dist(\sigma) + |j - i|,$$

which implies

$$(2.12) \quad sgn(\sigma) = sgn(\pi)(-1)^{|j-i|}.$$

We note that

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} (-1)^{|j-i|} &= (-1)^{j-i} \\ &= (-1)^{i+j-2i} \\ &= (-1)^{i+j}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, Equation (2.12) becomes

$$(2.14) \quad sgn(\sigma) = sgn(\pi)(-1)^{i+j}.$$

Now consider Equation (2.3). By substituting $\sigma(k)$ with $\pi(k)$ as defined in Equation (2.5), and by substituting $sgn(\sigma)$ from Equation (2.14), we get

$$(2.15) \quad p = (-1)^{i+j} m_{ij} \left(sgn(\pi) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ k \neq i}} m_{k\pi(k)} \right)$$




FIGURE 3. The minor of m_{11} shown in white. Gray cells denote the row and column corresponding to m_{11} that do not contribute to the minor of m_{11} .

Therefore, the sum of all product terms p that involves m_{ij} as factor is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum p &= \sum (-1)^{i+j} m_{ij} \left(\text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ k \neq i}} m_{k\pi(k)} \right) \\
 &= (-1)^{i+j} m_{ij} \sum \text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ k \neq i}} m_{k\pi(k)} \\
 &= (-1)^{i+j} m_{ij} [M]_{i,j} \\
 (2.16) \quad &= m_{ij} C_{ij},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $[M]_{i,j}$ is the minor of the element m_{ij} , and C_{ij} is the (i,j) th cofactor of M . Figure 3 depicts the product terms that contain m_{11} . m_{11} is colored green. The Row and the column of m_{11} is colored gray since these factors do not appear in $p \in P$. The white area corresponds to the principal minor of m_{11} .

The sum in Equation (2.16) is the sum of product terms that contain m_{ij} as factor. The product terms in the expression of $\det(M)$ can be partitioned into n groups corresponding to the factors $\{m_{ik}, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ (elements from the same row). These n factors will create n partitions because no two factors can be present in the same product (since they come from the same row). Similar partitioning can be done using elements from the same column ($\{m_{kj}, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$). Therefore, if we add up the sums corresponding to each partition, we will get $\det(M)$. Hence we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2. (*Laplace expansion of determinant*) If M is an $n \times n$ matrix,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \det(M) &= \sum_{k=1}^n m_{ik} C_{ik} \text{ (along row } i) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n m_{kj} C_{kj} \text{ (along column } j) \\
 &
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Presented in the preceding arguments. □

Thus the Laplace expansion gives a recursive formula for determinant, since each cofactor is the determinant of an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrix of M (with appropriate \pm sign).

For example, given the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 6 & 5 & 4 \\ 9 & 7 & 8 \end{pmatrix},$$

the determinant is

$$\begin{aligned} \det(M) &= m_{11} \times C_{11} + m_{12} \times C_{12} + m_{13} \times C_{13} \\ &= 1 \times C_{11} + 2 \times C_{12} + 3 \times C_{13} \\ &= 1 \times (-1)^{1+1}(40 - 28) + 2 \times (-1)^{1+2}(48 - 36) + 3 \times (-1)^{1+3}(42 - 45) \\ &= 1 \times 12 + 2 \times (-12) + 3 \times (-3) \\ &= 12 - 24 - 9 \\ &= -21 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2. The determinant can be expanded along any row or column. Expanding $\det(M)$ along a row of M is the same as expanding $\det(M^T)$ along the corresponding column. Therefore, $\det(M) = \det(M^T)$.

2.4. Linearity.

Proposition 1. (*Linearity of Determinant*) Let $M = ((M)_1, (M)_2, \dots, (M)_n)$ be a matrix with n columns where $(M)_k$ is the k th column vector. Suppose that a column $(M)_k$ can be expressed as a sum of $m \geq 2$ column vectors. That is, $(M)_k = \overline{C}_1 + \overline{C}_2 + \dots + \overline{C}_m$, where $\overline{C}_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$ are any column vectors. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(M) &= \det((M)_1, \dots, (M)_k, \dots, (M)_n) \\ &= \det\left((M)_1, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^m \overline{C}_i, \dots, (M)_n\right) \\ &= \det((M)_1, \dots, \overline{C}_1, \dots, (M)_n) \\ &\quad + \det((M)_1, \dots, \overline{C}_2, \dots, (M)_n) \\ &\quad + \det((M)_1, \dots, \overline{C}_3, \dots, (M)_n) \\ &\quad + \dots \\ &\quad + \det((M)_1, \dots, \overline{C}_m, \dots, (M)_n) \end{aligned}$$

(2.17)

(2.18)

(2.19)

The same can be observed for the rows of M .

Proof. We will prove the above proposition in the case when $m = 2$. Specifically, we will show that

$$\begin{vmatrix} a+x & b & c \\ d+y & e & f \\ g+z & h & i \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} x & b & c \\ y & e & f \\ z & h & i \end{vmatrix}$$

Applying Laplace expansion for determinants (see Theorem 2) on the elements of the first column,

$$\begin{aligned}
L.H.S. &= \begin{vmatrix} a+x & b & c \\ d+y & e & f \\ g+z & h & i \end{vmatrix} \\
&= (a+x) \begin{vmatrix} e & f \\ h & i \end{vmatrix} - (d+y) \begin{vmatrix} b & c \\ h & i \end{vmatrix} + (g+z) \begin{vmatrix} b & c \\ e & f \end{vmatrix} \\
&= a \begin{vmatrix} e & f \\ h & i \end{vmatrix} - d \begin{vmatrix} b & c \\ h & i \end{vmatrix} + g \begin{vmatrix} b & c \\ e & f \end{vmatrix} + \\
&\quad x \begin{vmatrix} e & f \\ h & i \end{vmatrix} - y \begin{vmatrix} b & c \\ h & i \end{vmatrix} + z \begin{vmatrix} b & c \\ e & f \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \begin{vmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} x & b & c \\ y & e & f \\ z & h & i \end{vmatrix} \\
&= R.H.S.
\end{aligned}$$

□

2.5. Effect of Reordering Rows or Columns.

2.5.1. Duplicate Rows or Columns.

Proposition 2. (*Determinant with Duplicate Rows/Columns*) Suppose we replace the column C_i (or row R_i) of the matrix M with another column C_j (or row R_j) such that the derived matrix \widehat{M} has two duplicate columns (or rows). Then, $\det(\widehat{M}) = 0$.

Proof. Let \widehat{M}' be the matrix obtained from \widehat{M} by exchanging the duplicate columns (of rows) of \widehat{M} . By Proposition 3, we have

$$\det(\widehat{M}') = -\det(\widehat{M}).$$

However, the matrices \widehat{M}' and \widehat{M} are the same because we interchanged two identical columns (or rows). Therefore,

$$\det(\widehat{M}') = \det(\widehat{M}).$$

The only way the above two equalities hold true is when

$$\det(\widehat{M}) = 0.$$

□

2.5.2. Interchange/Addition/Multiplication of Rows or Columns. Below we will define *elementary operations* on a matrix M , then we ask the following question: Let \widehat{M} be the matrix obtained by applied a sequence of elementary row/column operations on M . What is the relationship between $\det(\widehat{M})$ and $\det(M)$?

Definition 3. Let R_i and C_i be the i th row and i th column of the matrix M , respectively. An elementary row/column operation on M can be one of the following three operations on its rows/columns.

- (1) O_1 : **Row (Column) swithcing** Interhanging two rows (columns) within the matrix M .

$$R_i \leftrightarrow R_j, C_i \leftrightarrow C_j.$$

- (2) **O_2 : Row (Column) multiplication** Each element of a row (column) is multiplied by a scalar k .

$$kR_i \rightarrow R_i, k \neq 0. kC_i \rightarrow C_i, k \neq 0.$$

- (3) **O_3 : Row (Column) addition** Each row (column) can be replaced with the sum of that row (column) and a scalar multiple of another row (column).

$$R_i + kR_j \rightarrow R_i, k \neq 0. C_i + kC_j \rightarrow C_i, k \neq 0.$$

Proposition 3. (Determinant under Row/Column exchange) Suppose we exchange the columns C_i and C_j (or rows R_i and R_j) of the matrix M . Let \widehat{M} be the resultant matrix. Then,

$$\det(\widehat{M}) = -\det(M).$$

Proof. The columns (or rows) of M are in their base permutation (that is, in their original position). Let π be the column (or row) permutation of \widehat{M} after making one column (or row) interchange. Thus $\text{dist}(\pi) = 1$. Thus permutation of each product term in $\det(\widehat{M})$ will be $\text{dist}(\pi)$ away from the permutation of the same product term in $\det(M)$. However, $\text{dist}(\pi) = 1$ means $\text{sgn}(\pi) = -1$ (by Definition 2). Therefore, by definition,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \det(\widehat{M}) &= \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_i \widehat{m}_{i\sigma(i)} \\
 &= \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_i m_{i\sigma(i)} \\
 &= \text{sgn}(\pi) \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_i m_{i\sigma(i)} \\
 &= \text{sgn}(\pi) \det(M) \\
 (2.20) \quad &= -\det(M)
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4. (Determinant under Row/Column Scalar Multiplication) Suppose we replace the column C_i (or row R_i) of the matrix M with the column (or row) vector kC_i (or kR_i), where $k \neq 0$ is a scalar. Let \widehat{M} be the resultant matrix. Then,

$$\det(\widehat{M}) = k \det(M).$$

Proof. Let

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose we multiply column 1 with k and the resultant matrix is \widehat{M} . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \det(\widehat{M}) &= \begin{vmatrix} ka & b & c \\ kd & e & f \\ kg & h & i \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{vmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^k a & b & c \\ \sum_{i=1}^k d & e & f \\ \sum_{i=1}^k g & h & i \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{vmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^k \begin{pmatrix} a \\ d \\ g \end{pmatrix} & b & c \\ & e & f \\ & h & i \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \begin{vmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{vmatrix} \quad (\text{linearity, see Proposition 1}) \\
 &= k \begin{vmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= k \times \det(M)
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 5. (*Determinant under Row/Column Addition*) Suppose we replace the column C_i (or row R_i) of the matrix M with a linear combination of that column (row) and m other columns (rows), $1 \leq m \leq n$. That is,

$$C_i \leftarrow C_i + \sum_{k=1}^m a_k C_k,$$

where $a_k, 1 \leq k \leq m, a_k \neq 0$ are scalars. Let \widehat{M} be the resultant matrix. Then,

$$\det(\widehat{M}) = \det(M).$$

Proof. For ease of understanding, we will prove a specific case; proof for the general case will be similar.

Let

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose we replace column 1 with the following linear combination:

$$C_1 \leftarrow C_1 + 2C_2 - C_3$$

. Let the resultant matrix be \widehat{M} . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \det(\widehat{M}) &= \begin{vmatrix} a+2b-c & b & c \\ d+2e-f & e & f \\ g+2h-i & h & i \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{vmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{vmatrix} + 2 \begin{vmatrix} b & b & c \\ e & e & f \\ h & h & i \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} c & b & c \\ f & e & f \\ i & h & i \end{vmatrix} \quad (\text{linearity and Proposition 4}) \\
 &= \begin{vmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{vmatrix} + 2 \times 0 - 0 \quad \text{Proposition 2} \\
 &= \det(M)
 \end{aligned}$$

□

2.6. Linear Independence and Rank.

Definition 4. (*Linear Dependence*) Let $R = \{(R)_1, (R)_2, \dots, (R)_n\}$ be a set of vectors. Then, the vectors $\in R$ are linearly dependent if there exists a linear combination of the vectors $(R)_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ which equals to zero. That is, if

$$(2.21) \quad \sum_{\substack{j \\ i \neq j}} a_j (R)_j = 0$$

for some scalars a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n .

For example, consider the matrix below:

$$(2.22) \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 8 \\ 5 & 1 & 11 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $(M)_i$ be the i th column of M . Then, it can be seen that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (M)_3 &= 2(M)_1 + (M)_2 \\
 (M)_2 &= (M)_3 - 2(M)_1 \\
 (M)_1 &= \frac{1}{2}((M)_3 - (M)_2) \\
 2(M)_1 + (M)_2 - (M)_3 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the columns of M are linearly dependent.

Definition 5. (*Linear Independence*) Let $R = \{(R)_1, (R)_2, \dots, (R)_n\}$ be a set of vectors. Then, the set of vectors $(R)_i \in R$ are linearly independent if no vector $(R)_i \in R$ can be expressed as a linear combination of the other vectors in the set. That is, no set of scalars $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ can be found such that the following condition holds:

$$(2.23) \quad (R)_i = \sum_{\substack{j \\ i \neq j}} a_j (R)_j.$$

Definition 6. (*Rank of a Matrix*) The rank of a matrix M is the size of the largest set of its rows or columns such that they are linearly independent.

The rank of the matrix M in Equation (2.22) is 2, because the set of all its columns are not linearly independent.

Remark 3. *The rank of a matrix cannot be smaller than the rank of any of its submatrices.*

2.7. Multilinearity. Let \bar{e}_i be an $n \times 1$ column vector with 1 in the i th row and zero in all other rows. Therefore,

$$\bar{e}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \bar{e}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \bar{e}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \bar{e}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is clear that multiplying the vector \bar{e}_i with a constant a gives us a column vector with all zero entries except the i th row, which is a .

Next, we observe that any $n \times 1$ column vector $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)^T$ can be expressed as a linear combination of n column vectors \bar{e}_i using coefficients a_i . Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} \\ &= a_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + a_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \dots + a_n \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \bar{e}_i \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, each column of the $n \times n$ matrix M can be expressed as a summation of n column vectors. Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & \dots & m_{1n} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} & \dots & m_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ m_{n1} & m_{n2} & \dots & m_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n m_{i1} \bar{e}_i, \sum_{i=1}^n m_{i2} \bar{e}_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n m_{in} \bar{e}_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus each column of M is a sum of n column vectors, and we can apply the linearity property of determinant (1) on the first column of M (that is, $\sum_{i=1}^n m_{i1} \bar{e}_i$) to express $\det(M)$ as the sum of two determinants:

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(M) &= \det \left(\sum_{i=1}^n m_{i1} \bar{e}_i, \sum_{i=1}^n m_{i2} \bar{e}_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n m_{in} \bar{e}_i \right) \\
&= m_{11} \det \left(\bar{e}_1, \sum_{i=1}^n m_{i2} \bar{e}_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n m_{in} \bar{e}_i \right) + \\
&\quad \det \left(\sum_{i=2}^n m_{i1} \bar{e}_i, \sum_{i=1}^n m_{i2} \bar{e}_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n m_{in} \bar{e}_i \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Further breakdown of the first column (that is, $\sum_{i=1}^n m_{i1} \bar{e}_i$) into n linear components gives us the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(M) &= \det \left(\sum_{i=1}^n m_{i1} \bar{e}_i, \sum_{i=1}^n m_{i2} \bar{e}_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n m_{in} \bar{e}_i \right) \\
&= m_{11} \det \left(\bar{e}_1, \sum_{i=1}^n m_{i2} \bar{e}_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n m_{in} \bar{e}_i \right) + \\
&\quad \det \left(\sum_{i=2}^n m_{i1} \bar{e}_i, \sum_{i=1}^n m_{i2} \bar{e}_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n m_{in} \bar{e}_i \right) \\
&= m_{11} \det \left(\bar{e}_1, \sum_{i=1}^n m_{i2} \bar{e}_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n m_{in} \bar{e}_i \right) + \\
&\quad m_{21} \det \left(\bar{e}_2, \sum_{i=1}^n m_{i2} \bar{e}_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n m_{in} \bar{e}_i \right) + \\
&\quad \det \left(\sum_{i=3}^n m_{i1} \bar{e}_i, \sum_{i=1}^n m_{i2} \bar{e}_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n m_{in} \bar{e}_i \right) \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&= \sum_{i_1=1}^n m_{i_1 1} \det \left(\bar{e}_{i_1}, \sum_{i=1}^n m_{i2} \bar{e}_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n m_{in} \bar{e}_i \right)
\end{aligned}$$

In similar way, we can break down the second column of M (that is, $\sum_{i=1}^n m_{i2} \bar{e}_i$) along with the first column, and get

$$\det(M) = \sum_{i_1=1}^n \sum_{i_2=1}^n m_{i_1 1} m_{i_2 2} \det \left(\bar{e}_{i_1}, \bar{e}_{i_2}, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n m_{in} \bar{e}_i \right)$$

Finally, if we break down all the columns of M as linear combination of other columns, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \det(M) &= \sum_{i_1=1}^n \sum_{i_2=1}^n \sum_{i_3=1}^n \cdots \sum_{i_n=1}^n m_{i_1 1} m_{i_2 2} m_{i_3 3} \cdots m_{i_n n} \det(\overline{e_{i_1}}, \overline{e_{i_2}}, \overline{e_{i_3}}, \dots, \overline{e_{i_n}}) \\ &= \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3, \dots, i_n} m_{i_1 1} m_{i_2 2} m_{i_3 3} \cdots m_{i_n n} \det(\overline{e_{i_1}}, \overline{e_{i_2}}, \overline{e_{i_3}}, \dots, \overline{e_{i_n}}) \end{aligned}$$

We can observe that if $i_1 = i_2$, two columns $\overline{e_{i_1}}$ and $\overline{e_{i_2}}$ of the inner matrix will be the same, and thus the determinant will be zero, contributing nothing to the sum. This means each element of $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n\}$ will be different. Hence we can take the value of (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) as a permutation σ from the set S_n of all permutations of $1, 2, \dots, n$ so that $i_k = \sigma(k)$.

$$\det(M) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} m_{\sigma(1)1} m_{\sigma(2)2} m_{\sigma(3)3} \cdots m_{\sigma(n)n} \det(\overline{e_{\sigma(1)}}, \overline{e_{\sigma(2)}}, \overline{e_{\sigma(3)}}, \dots, \overline{e_{\sigma(n)}}).$$

However, the matrix inside the determinant of the right hand side is nothing but a permutation of I_n , the identity matrix of order n . Therefore, the value of the determinant will be $\text{sgn}(\sigma)$, the sign associated with the permutation σ . Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det(M) &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} m_{\sigma(1)1} m_{\sigma(2)2} m_{\sigma(3)3} \cdots m_{\sigma(n)n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \det(I_n) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} m_{\sigma(1)1} m_{\sigma(2)2} m_{\sigma(3)3} \cdots m_{\sigma(n)n} \text{sgn}(\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we arrive at the Leibnitz expression for $\det(M)$ where the permutation runs through all rows.

We can summarize the multilinearity property in the following proposition:

Proposition 6. (Multilinearity) *Let M be a $n \times n$ matrix. Let the $n \times 1$ column vector $(M)_j$ denote the j th column of M . Let each $(M)_j$ be expressed as a linear combination of n_j column vectors \overline{c}_{jk} , $1 \leq k_j \leq n_j$ as following:*

$$(M)_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} a_{jk} \overline{c}_{jk}.$$

The multilinearity property of determinants implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \det(M) &= \det((M)_1, (M)_2, \dots, (M)_n) \\ &= \det\left(\sum_{k_1=1}^{n_1} a_{1k_1} \overline{c}_{1k_1}, \sum_{k_2=1}^{n_2} a_{2k_2} \overline{c}_{2k_2}, \sum_{k_3=1}^{n_3} a_{3k_3} \overline{c}_{3k_3}, \dots, \sum_{k_n=1}^{n_n} a_{nk_n} \overline{c}_{nk_n}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k_1} a_{1k_1} \det\left(\overline{c}_{1k_1}, \sum_{k_2=1}^{n_2} a_{2k_2} \overline{c}_{2k_2}, \dots, \sum_{k_n=1}^{n_n} a_{nk_n} \overline{c}_{nk_n}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k_1} \sum_{k_2} \cdots \sum_{k_n} a_{1k_1} a_{2k_2} \cdots a_{nk_n} \det(\overline{c}_{1k_1}, \overline{c}_{2k_2}, \dots, \overline{c}_{nk_n}) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_{1\sigma(1)} a_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \det(\overline{c}_{1\sigma(1)}, \overline{c}_{2\sigma(2)}, \dots, \overline{c}_{n\sigma(n)}). \end{aligned}$$

2.8. Singular Matrix. If the determinant of a matrix is zero, the matrix is called *singular* and by definition, it cannot have an inverse (hence it is not *invertible*).

For an $n \times n$ matrix M , if $\text{rank}(M) < n$, it is possible to replace a row (or column) of M through a suitable linear combination of all rows (or columns) into a matrix \widehat{M} such that $\det(\widehat{M})$ will be a constant multiple of $\det(M)$ and all element of that row (or column) will be zeros. Therefore, if we write the Laplace expansion of $\det(\widehat{M})$ along that row (or column) with all zeros, we find $\det(\widehat{M}) = 0$ implying $\det(M) = 0$. Therefore, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 1. For a $n \times n$ matrix M , $\text{rank}(M) < n \Rightarrow \det(M) = 0$.

Proof. Presented in the preceding arguments. \square

The statement that $\det(M) = 0$ is equivalent to the statement that M is singular. If the rank of the square matrix M is equal to its order, the determinant is non-zero and hence M is non-singular and has an inverse.

2.9. Determinant of the Product of Two Matrices. Let A be a $m \times n$ matrix and B be a $n \times m$ matrix where $m < n$. Their product $C = AB$ is an $m \times m$ matrix whose entries can be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{ij} &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} b_{kj} \\ &= a_{i1}b_{1j} + a_{i2}b_{2j} + a_{i3}b_{3j} + \cdots + a_{in}b_{nj}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $(C)_j$ be the j th column of any matrix C . Thus the first column of C can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} (C)_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}b_{11} + a_{12}b_{21} + a_{13}b_{31} + \cdots + a_{1n}b_{n1} \\ a_{21}b_{11} + a_{22}b_{21} + a_{23}b_{31} + \cdots + a_{2n}b_{n1} \\ a_{31}b_{11} + a_{32}b_{21} + a_{33}b_{31} + \cdots + a_{3n}b_{n1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{n1}b_{11} + a_{n2}b_{21} + a_{n3}b_{31} + \cdots + a_{nn}b_{n1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \\ a_{21} \\ a_{31} \\ \vdots \\ a_{n1} \end{pmatrix} b_{11} + \begin{pmatrix} a_{12} \\ a_{22} \\ a_{32} \\ \vdots \\ a_{n2} \end{pmatrix} b_{21} + \begin{pmatrix} a_{13} \\ a_{23} \\ a_{33} \\ \vdots \\ a_{n3} \end{pmatrix} b_{31} + \cdots + \begin{pmatrix} a_{1n} \\ a_{2n} \\ a_{3n} \\ \vdots \\ a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} b_{n1} \\ &= b_{11}(A)_1 + b_{21}(A)_2 + b_{31}(A)_3 + \cdots + b_{n1}(A)_n \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n b_{j1}(A)_j \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the second column $(C)_2$ can be expressed as

$$(C)_2 = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{j2}(A)_j$$

and in general, the k th column of $C = AB$ can be expressed as

$$(C)_k = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{jk}(A)_j$$

which means $C = AB$ is multilinear. Applying the multilinearity property, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \det(AB) &= \det(C) \\
 &= \det((C)_1, (C)_2, \dots, (C)_n) \\
 &= \det\left(\sum_{k_1=1}^n b_{k_1 1}(A)_{k_1}, \sum_{k_2=1}^n b_{k_2 2}(A)_{k_2}, \dots, \sum_{k_m=1}^n b_{k_m m}(A)_{k_m}\right) \\
 &= \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m} b_{k_1 1} b_{k_2 2} \cdots b_{k_m m} \det((A)_{k_1}, (A)_{k_2}, \dots, (A)_{k_m}).
 \end{aligned}$$

When any $k_i = k_j, i \neq j$, two columns of the matrix inside the sum will be the same and thus the determinant will be zero, contributing nothing to the sum. Therefore, we need to consider only all possible distinct k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m . One way to do that is to select each $k_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $k_i < k_{i+1}, 1 \leq i < m$, and take all permutations $\{\sigma\}$ of k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m . Thus, we have

$$\det(AB) = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_m = n} \sum_{\sigma} b_{\sigma(1)1} b_{\sigma(2)2} \cdots b_{\sigma(m)m} \det((A)_{\sigma(1)}, (A)_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, (A)_{\sigma(m)})$$

Now, the matrix inside the sum is a column reordering of the matrix $((A)_{k_1}, (A)_{k_2}, \dots, (A)_{k_m})$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \det(AB) &= \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_m = n} \sum_{\sigma} b_{\sigma(1)1} b_{\sigma(2)2} \cdots b_{\sigma(m)m} (\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \det((A)_{k_1}, (A)_{k_2}, \dots, (A)_{k_m})) \\
 &= \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_m = n} \det((A)_{k_1}, (A)_{k_2}, \dots, (A)_{k_m}) \left(\sum_{\sigma} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) b_{\sigma(1)1} b_{\sigma(2)2} \cdots b_{\sigma(m)m} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, the parenthesized expression inside the sum is the Leibnitz expressing for determinant where each element in the permutation selects a different row from B . Thus the permutation σ selects a row-subset from B , which the same as selecting the corresponding column-subset from B^T . Therefore, we have

$$\det(AB) = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_m \leq n} \det((A)_{k_1}, (A)_{k_2}, \dots, (A)_{k_m}) \det((B^T)_{k_1}, (B^T)_{k_2}, \dots, (B^T)_{k_m}).$$

Thus we found an expression of the determinant of the product of two matrices as a function of the determinants of their submatrices. This result is named the Cauchy-Binet formula.

Theorem 3. (*Cauchy-Binet Formula*) Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix and B be an $n \times m$ matrix where $m \leq n$. Let q be a sequence of m integers $q_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$ from $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $q_i < q_{i+1}, 1 \leq i < m$. Let $Q = \{q\}$ be the set of all such sequences. For each $q \in Q$, let \tilde{A}_q be the submatrix of A obtained by selecting the columns (in order) with indexes in q , and \tilde{B}_q be the submatrix of B obtained by selecting the the rows (in order) with indexes in q .

That is, for a given index-set $q = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m), 1 \leq q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_m \leq n$,

$$\tilde{A}_q = ((A)_{q_1}, (A)_{q_2}, \dots, (A)_{q_m})$$

and

$$(\tilde{B}_q)^T = ((B^T)_{q_1}, (B^T)_{q_2}, \dots, (B^T)_{q_m}).$$

Then

$$\det(AB) = \sum_{q \in Q} \det(\tilde{A}_q) \det(\tilde{B}_q).$$

Proof.

□

3. CHARACTERISTIC POLYNOMIAL AND ITS ROOTS

Consider the $n \times n$ matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\ 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\ 31 & 32 & 33 & 34 \\ 41 & 42 & 43 & 44 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The *Characteristic Polynomial* of the $n \times n$ matrix M , denoted by $\chi(M)$, is the following polynomial:

$$(3.1) \quad \chi(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - M)$$

$$(3.2) \quad = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda - m_{11} & -m_{12} & -m_{13} & -m_{14} \\ -m_{21} & \lambda - m_{22} & -m_{23} & -m_{24} \\ -m_{31} & -m_{32} & \lambda - m_{33} & -m_{34} \\ -m_{41} & -m_{42} & -m_{43} & \lambda - m_{44} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$(3.3) \quad = c_n \lambda^n + c_{n-1} \lambda^{n-1} + c_{n-2} \lambda^{n-2} + \cdots + c_1 \lambda + c_0$$

where $c_n = 1$.

According to the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, the above polynomial has the following linear factorization:

$$\chi(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - \lambda_i)$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the n possibly complex and non-unique roots of the polynomial $\chi(\lambda)$. Roots of the characteristic polynomial are special.

Definition 7. (*Eigenvalue*) Any root of the characteristic polynomial is called an eigenvalue of M .

If we expand the the product in the above equation we as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - \lambda_i) &= (\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2) \cdots (\lambda - \lambda_n) \\ &= \lambda^n - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_n) \lambda^{n-1} \\ &\quad + (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_3 + \cdots + \lambda_2 \lambda_3 + \lambda_2 \lambda_4 + \cdots + \lambda_{n-1} \lambda_n) \lambda^{n-2} \\ &\quad - (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_4 + \cdots + \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 + \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_5 + \cdots + \lambda_{n-2} \lambda_{n-1} \lambda_n) \lambda^{n-3} \\ &\quad + \cdots + (-1)^n \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

As we can see, the coefficients of the above equation are the elementary symmetric polynomials $e_i(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, $0 \leq i \leq n$ defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
e_0(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) &= 1 \\
e_1(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) &= \sum_i \lambda_i \\
e_2(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) &= \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ i \neq j}} \lambda_i \lambda_j \\
e_3(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) &= \sum_{\substack{i,j,k \\ i \neq j \neq k}} \lambda_i \lambda_j \lambda_k \\
&\vdots \\
e_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) &= \prod_i \lambda_i
\end{aligned}$$

We can rewrite Equation (3.4) using the elementary symmetric polynomials, and have

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - \lambda_i) &= \lambda^n \\
&\quad - e_1(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \lambda^{n-1} \\
&\quad + e_2(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \lambda^{n-2} \\
&\quad - e_3(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \lambda^{n-3} \\
&\quad + \dots \\
&\quad + (-1)^n e_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \\
(3.5) \quad &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i e_i(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \lambda^{n-i}
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(\lambda) &= \det(M - \lambda I_n) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^n c_i \lambda^i \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - \lambda_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i e_i(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \lambda^{n-i} \\
(3.6) \quad &
\end{aligned}$$

such that

$$(3.7) \quad c_{n-i} = (-1)^i e_i(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n).$$

Thus we establish the relationship between the coefficients c_i and the eigenvalues λ_i . But what is the relationship between the coefficients c_i and elements m_{ij} of matrix M ? We will see this in the next section.

4. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN EIGENVALUES AND MINORS OF M

In the following discussion, M is an $n \times n$ square matrix. We will establish the relationship between the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial and the minors of M by making a series of observations.

First, recall from subsection 2.7 that the unit vector \bar{e}_k is a $n \times 1$ column vector having 1 at the k th row and 0 at all other rows.

$$\bar{e}_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us also recall that a minor $[M]_{I,J}$ of M is the determinant of $(n-|I|) \times (n-|J|)$ submatrix obtained from M by removing rows $i \in I$ and columns $j \in J$. If $I = J$, $[M]_{I,I}$ is called a principal minor of M . If $I = \{i\}$ and $J = \{j\}$ (that is, both I and J have only one element), the minor is also denoted by $[M]_{i,j}$. The (i,j) th cofactor of M is defined as $(-1)^{i+j}[M]_{i,j}$. Now we are ready to make our first observation.

4.1. Step One: Ingredients. If a matrix M has \bar{e}_k at its k th columns, what happens to its determinant? M will look like the following:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & m_{1n} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & m_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots & \vdots \\ m_{k1} & m_{k2} & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & m_{kn} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots & \vdots \\ m_{n1} & m_{n2} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & m_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

If we expand $\det(M)$ along the k th column using Laplace formula (Theorem 2), we get

$$\det(M) = (-1)^{k+k}[M]_{k,k} = [M]_{k,k},$$

where $[M]_{k,k}$ is a principal minor of M obtained by removing the k th row and k th column from M and then taking determinant. Therefore, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 7. *If \bar{e}_k appears at the k th column of M then $\det(M)$ equals the principal minor $[M]_{k,k}$.*

Corollary 1. *For any scalar λ , if the column vector $\lambda\bar{e}_k$ appears at the k th column of M then $\det(M) = \lambda[M]_{k,k}$.*

What happens if more than one unit vectors appear at columns of a matrix M ? Let $K = \{k_1, k_2\}$, and suppose \bar{e}_{k_1} and \bar{e}_{k_2} appear at the k_1 th and the k_2 th column of M , respectively. If we expand $\det(M)$ using Laplace formula first along the k_1 th column, and then expand the inner determinant along the k_2 th column, we find that $\det(M)$ equals the minor $[M]_{\{k_1, k_2\}}$ with appropriate sign. This sign is always going to be $(-1)^{k_1+k_1+k_2+k_2} = (-1)^{2|K|} = +1$. This observation is true as long as $|K| < n$. Therefore, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 8. *Let $K = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$, $1 \leq r \leq n-1$ such that $|K| = r$, $\min_i(k_i) = 1$, $\max_i(k_i) = n$, $k_i \neq k_j$. If for each $k \in K$, the unit vector \bar{e}_k appears at the k th column of M then $\det(M) = [M]_{K,K}$.*

Corollary 2. *Let λ be a scalar. Let $K = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$, $1 \leq r \leq n-1$ such that $|K| = r$, $\min_i(k_i) = 1$, $\max_i(k_i) = n$, $k_i \neq k_j$. If for each $k \in K$, the column vector $\lambda \bar{e}_k$ appears at the k th column of M then $\det(M) = \lambda^r [M]_{K,K}$.*

4.2. Step Two: Coefficients of $\chi(\lambda)$ and minors of M . Let us consider the characteristic polynomial $\chi(\lambda)$. Let $(M)_j$ be the j th column of M .

$$(4.1) \quad \chi(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - M)$$

$$(4.2) \quad = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda - m_{11} & -m_{12} & -m_{13} & -m_{14} \\ -m_{21} & \lambda - m_{22} & -m_{23} & -m_{24} \\ -m_{31} & -m_{32} & \lambda - m_{33} & -m_{34} \\ -m_{41} & -m_{42} & -m_{43} & \lambda - m_{44} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$(4.3) \quad = \det(\lambda \bar{e}_1 - (M)_1, \lambda \bar{e}_2 - (M)_2, \dots, \lambda \bar{e}_n - (M)_n)$$

$$(4.4)$$

If we recall the multilinearity property of determinant (see subsection 2.7), each column of the above matrix can be expressed as sum of two column vectors and thus $\det(\lambda I - M)$ is the sum of 2^n determinants. These determinants are taken upon each possible configuration of columns of the matrix $(\lambda I - M)$: at each configuration, the j th column can be either $-(M)_j$ or $\lambda \bar{e}_j$.

Of these 2^n determinants, let us consider only those which has exactly k columns containing $\lambda \times \bar{e}$, where \bar{e} is a unit vector. Other columns are the same as the matrix $-M$. There are $\binom{n}{k}$ such determinants. Clearly, each (and only) such determinant will contribute a λ^k term in the expression of $\chi(\lambda)$. Therefore, the λ^k term in $\chi(\lambda)$ will be equal to the sum of these determinants. Let D_k be a representative of these determinants. Now, D_k contains exactly k columns of the form $\lambda \bar{e}$. Let $K = p$ is the set of indexes of these columns such that $|K| = k$ and for each $p \in K$, \bar{e}_p appears at the p th column of D_k . Then, by Corollary 2, $D_k = \lambda^k [-M]_{K,K}$. Since the submatrix corresponding to $[M]_{K,K}$ is of order $(n-k)$, it follows that $[-M]_{K,K} = (-1)^{n-k} [M]_{K,K}$, and thus $D_k = (-1)^{n-k} [M]_{K,K} \lambda^k$. Thus each such determinant contributes $(-1)^{n-k} (\lambda)^k \times$ (a principal minor of M of order $n-k$) to $\chi(\lambda)$. Moreover, since we are considering all combinations and since each combination is considered only once, it follows that every minor of M of order $n-k$ appears only once with the λ^k term. Therefore, we arrive at the following theorem:

Theorem 4. *Let $\chi(\lambda) = \sum_i c_i \lambda^i$ be the characteristic polynomial of the $n \times n$ matrix M where $c_n = 1$. Then the coefficient c_i , $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ is equal to the sum of all principal minors of M of order $n-i$ times $(-1)^{n-i}$.*

Proof. Presented in preceding arguments. \square

4.3. Step Three: Eigenvalues and Principal Minors of Order $(n-1)$. From Theorem 4 we can derive an interesting relationship between the eigenvalues of a matrix M and its order- $(n-1)$ principal minors (that is, minors of the diagonal elements). We begin with the following corollary.

Corollary 3. Let $\chi(\lambda) = \sum_i c_i \lambda^i$ be the characteristic polynomial of the $n \times n$ matrix M . Then,

$$(4.5) \quad c_1 = (-1)^{n-1} \sum_k [M]_{k,k}.$$

That is, c_{n-1} is $(-1)^{n-1}$ times the sum of all principal minors of the diagonal elements.

However, from Equation (3.7), we know that

$$c_{n-i} = (-1)^i e_i(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n).$$

substituting i with $n-1$, we have

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} c_1 &= (-1)^{n-1} e_{n-1}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^n \lambda_i. \end{aligned}$$

Combining Equations 4.5 and 4.6, we get the following result:

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^n \lambda_i = \sum_k [M]_{k,k}.$$

This relationship will play an important role in deriving our main result.

Let $(M)_j$ be the j th column of M . Then,

$$M = ((M)_1, (M)_2, \dots, (M)_{k_1}, \dots, (M)_{k_2}, \dots, (M)_n)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \det(M) &= \det((M)_1, (M)_2, \dots, (M)_{k_1}, \dots, (M)_{k_2}, \dots, (M)_n) \\ &= (-1)^{k_1+k_2-2} \det((M)_{k_1}, (M)_{k_2}, (M)_1, (M)_2, \dots, (M)_n). \end{aligned}$$

The sign of the determinant changes because we had to make 2 column interchanges to bring $(M)_{k_1}$ and $(M)_{k_2}$ in front.

Let us start by making some insight into the Leibnitz expression of a determinant:

$$\begin{vmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} & m_{23} & m_{24} \\ m_{31} & m_{32} & m_{33} & m_{34} \\ m_{41} & m_{42} & m_{43} & m_{44} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) m_{1\sigma(1)} m_{2\sigma(2)} m_{3\sigma(3)} m_{4\sigma(4)}$$

where σ is a permutation of the row indexes 1, 2, 3, 4.

We can imagine each product term $\prod_i m_{i\sigma(i)}$ as a path from the top row to the bottom row of the matrix where each stepping-stone (that is, an element m_{ij}) in the path comes from a different column.

Now consider the following determinant:

$$\det(\lambda - MI_n) = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda - m_{11} & -m_{12} & -m_{13} & -m_{14} \\ -m_{21} & \lambda - m_{22} & -m_{23} & -m_{24} \\ -m_{31} & -m_{32} & \lambda - m_{33} & -m_{34} \\ -m_{41} & -m_{42} & -m_{43} & \lambda - m_{44} \end{vmatrix}.$$




FIGURE 4. Visualization of the terms in $\chi(\lambda)$ that contribute to the coefficient of λ^2 . Of the 4 diagonal elements containing λ , at any moment only 2 of them may appear in a λ^2 term. These cells are colored in green. The black dots specify which cells are included in a product. The red lines go from the top row to the bottom row of the matrix and include only one element at each column, including exactly two diagonal elements in total. Therefore, they constitute the products containing λ^2 . Lastly, the rows and columns corresponding to the active diagonal elements are colored in gray. Clearly, for a product, the remaining cells (white) form a minor of the matrix M with respect to the diagonal elements. This product contributes the determinant for this minor to the coefficient of λ^2 in $\chi(\lambda)$.

Here, the variable λ appears only in the diagonal elements. Now consider only those product terms of the determinant which contain λ^2 . Clearly, these are the product terms that have exactly two diagonal elements. Figure 4 shows this scenario, where each product term is depicted as a red path going from the top row to the bottom row, stepping on the diagonal exactly twice.

5. BASIC MATRICES FOR GRAPHS

Let us assume we have an simple connected undirected graph $G(V, E)$ on $n = |V|$ vertices and $m = |E|$ edges. Figure 5 shows an example graph. Below we define the adjacency matrix, the incidence matrix, and the combinatorial Laplacian matrix of G .

5.1. Adjacency Matrix, A , and Incidence Matrix, B . The *adjacency matrix* $A(G)$ of the graph G is an $n \times n$ matrix whose entries are defined as follows:

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (i, j) \in E \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The adjacency matrix shows which vertices are connected, and which are not. The adjacency matrix of an undirected graph is symmetric with 0 in all diagonal elements. For example, the adjacency matrix of the graph in Figure 5 is given below:




FIGURE 5. An undirected graph with 4 vertices and 5 edges

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The *Incidence matrix* $B(G)$ of a graph G is an $n \times m$ matrix which showing which vertex is connected to which edge. Each column of B corresponds to each edge in G . All entries of a column are zero except the two vertices that the edge is incident to; of each column, the element corresponding to the originating vertex has $+1$ and the element corresponding to the target vertex has -1 . Specifically, each entry of B is defined as the following:

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (i, k) = e_j \text{ for some } k \\ -1 & \text{if } (k, i) = e_j \text{ for some } k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For example, the incidence matrix of the graph in Figure 5 is as follows:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that the sum of entries along each column of B is zero. This must be the case because an edge has exactly two vertices in G , one $+1$ and the other -1 .

5.2. The Combinatorial Laplacian, L . The *Combinatorial Laplacian* matrix $L(G)$ of a graph $G(V, E)$ with n vertices and m edges is an $n \times n$ matrix where each entry l_{ij} is defined as follows:

$$l_{ij} = \begin{cases} \deg(i) & \text{if } i = j \\ -1 & \text{if } (i, j) \in E \vee (j, i) \in E \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let D be the degree matrix for graph G which is a $n \times n$ diagonal matrix defined as follows:

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The combinatorial Laplacian L can also be defined as the following:

$$L = D - A.$$

For example, the combinatorial Laplacian corresponding to the graph in Figure 5 is the following:

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Remark 4. Note that the sum along each column and row of L is 0.

The combinatorial Laplacian L can be derived from the incidence matrix B as follows:

$$L = BB^T.$$

Intuitively, each diagonal entry l_{ii} is the dot product of row i of B with itself. (Every 1 is matched with itself, a 1, and every -1 is matched with itself, a -1 . Thus each non-zero entry in row i contributes 1 to the dot product.) Therefore, the result is simply the number of non-zero entries in row i which is the same as the degree of vertex i . On the other hand, the entry l_{ij} is the dot product of rows i and j . Here, the only matched entries from each row with non-zero entries must be a $+1$ and a -1 , denoting an edge between vertices i and j . Since G is a simple graph, there is only one edge between vertices i and j . Therefore $l_{ij} = -1, i \neq j$.

For example, in case of the graph in Figure 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} BB^T &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1+1+1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1+1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1+1+1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 1+1 \end{pmatrix} \\ (5.1) \quad &= L \end{aligned}$$

Let $(B)^i$ be the i th row of B . From Equation (5.1), we can see that each entry l_{ij} in the combinatorial Laplacian L is the result of the dot product of the rows $(B)^i$ and $(B)^j$. Thus the dot products of $(B)^i$ with all rows of B become the elements in the i th row and i th column of L . Let $\tilde{L}_{i,i}$ be the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrix obtained from L by removing the i th row and i th column of L . Let \tilde{B}_i be the submatrix obtained from B by removing the i th row from B . Now, $\tilde{B}_i \tilde{B}_i^T$ is a $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix which will not have any dot products involving $(B)^i$, and this will be the same as $\tilde{L}_{i,i}$. Therefore, we have the following observation.

Proposition 9. Let \tilde{B}_j be the submatrix obtained by deleting the j th row of B . Then, the (j, j) th minor of L can be expressed as following:

$$\begin{aligned}
[L]_{i,i} &= \det(\tilde{L}_{i,i}) \\
(5.2) \qquad &= \det(\tilde{B}_i \tilde{B}_i^T).
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 4. *Cofactor of the element $l_{i,i}$ of L is*

$$(5.3) \qquad C_{ii} = \det(\tilde{B}_i \tilde{B}_i^T).$$

Proof. Recalling Remark 1, it follows that the cofactor of the diagonal element l_{ii} equals the principal minor of l_{ii} , and the rest follows from Equation (5.2). \square

5.3. Eigenvalues and Principal Minors of the Combinatorial Laplacian.

We observe that the sum of each row (or each column) of the combinatorial Laplacian L is 0, which means its rank is 0 and thus $\det(L) = 0$. We already know from section 3 that the product of all eigenvalues of a matrix is equal to its determinant. Therefore we have the following.

Proposition 10. *At least one eigenvalue of L must be zero.*

Proof. Presented in the preceding arguments. \square

The principal minor $[L]_{ii}$ of L is the determinant of the submatrix obtained by removing i th row and i th column from L .

When we consider the characteristic polynomial $\chi(\lambda) = \sum_i c_i \lambda^i$ of L , Equation (4.7) shows the relationship between the eigenvalues λ_i and the principal minors $[L]_{ii}$:

$$(5.4) \qquad \sum_{k=1}^n \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^n \lambda_i = \sum_k [L]_{k,k}.$$

This directly leads us to the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *Product of all non-zero eigenvalues of L equals the sum of all its principal minors of order $n - 1$.*

$$(5.5) \qquad \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \cdots \lambda_n = [L]_{1,1} + [L]_{2,2} + \cdots + [L]_{n,n}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 10, zero is an eigenvalue of L . By putting $\lambda_1 = 0$ in the left hand side of Equation (5.4), all product terms that contain λ_1 goes away, and we are left with the term containing the product of $\lambda_2 \lambda_3 \cdots \lambda_n$. \square

6. INCIDENCE MATRIX AND SPANNING TREES

Below we will show how the incidence matrix B reveals some structural properties of the graph G . Specifically, we will show how the rank of B relates to the connectedness of G , and how the determinant of B reveals if G is a spanning tree.

6.1. Connectedness and the Incidence Matrix.

Remark 5. *Each column of the incidence matrix has exactly one 1 and one -1 .*

Definition 8. (Row Sum). *Row sum of a column of any matrix is the sum of all entries in that column. Row sum of a matrix is the sum of all its entries.*

Remark 6. *If G is connected, each column of the incidence matrix $B(G)$ contains exactly two non-zero entries, and therefore sum of entries at each column is zero.*

Since the row sum of each column of the incidence matrix $B(G)$ is zero, it means the rows of $B(G)$ are not linearly independent, which implies the rank of $B(G)$ must be smaller than n .

Claim 1. *If G is connected and $B(G)$ is its incidence matrix, $\text{rank}(B) \leq n - 1$.*

Proof. Presented in the preceding arguments. \square

Let $B(G)$ be the incidence matrix of $G(V, E)$. Also let $B(H)$ be the incidence matrix of a subgraph $H(\tilde{V}, \tilde{E})$ of G with k vertices such that rank of $B(H)$ is k . This means, all rows of $B(H)$ are linearly independent, and thus there exists at least one column in $B(H)$ for which the row-sum is not zero. This implies that there exists some edge $(u, v) \in E \setminus \tilde{E}$ that connects a vertex $u \in \tilde{V}$ with a vertex $v \in V \setminus \tilde{V}$. In other words, we have the following claim.

Claim 2. *Let G be a connected graph and H be a subgraph of G . If the rank of the incidence matrix of H is equal to the number of vertices in H , H cannot be a connected component in G .*

Proof. Presented in the preceding arguments. \square

If for all induced subgraphs H on $n - 1$ vertices of G , the incidence matrix $B(H)$ has rank $n - 1$, this implies that G does not have any component with $\leq n - 1$ vertices. Therefore, we can claim the following:

Claim 3. *Let H be a $(n - 1)$ -vertex subgraph of the graph G with n vertices. If the rank of the incidence matrix $B(H)$ is $n - 1$ for all H , G is connected.*

Proof. Presented in the preceding arguments. \square

Let \tilde{B} be a non-empty submatrix obtained from $B(G)$ by removing one or more rows. According to Remark ??, at least one column of \tilde{B} will have non-zero row sum. Therefore, rows of \tilde{B} will be linearly independent. Therefore, the rank of \tilde{B} cannot be less than the number of rows of \tilde{B} . Since \tilde{B} can have at most $n - 1$ rows, $\text{rank}(\tilde{B}) \geq \#\text{rows}(\tilde{B})$.

However, the rank of the incidence matrix B cannot be less than the rank of any row-subset \tilde{B} of B . Since the largest row-subset will have $(n - 1)$ rows, we can have the following claim:

Claim 4. *If G is connected and $B(G)$ is its incidence matrix, $\text{rank}(B) \geq n - 1$.*

Proof. Presented in the preceding arguments. \square

If we combine Claim 1 and Claim 4, we arrive at the following result:

Lemma 3. (Rank and Connectedness.) *Let $B(G)$ be the incidence matrix of graph G . Then, G is connected $\Leftrightarrow \text{rank}(B) = n - 1$.*

Proof. (*The \Rightarrow part.*) From Claim 1 and Claim 4, we see that if G is connected, $(n-1) \leq \text{rank}(B) \leq (n-1)$, which means $\text{rank}(B) = n-1$.

(*The \Leftarrow part.*) Let B be the incidence matrix of a graph $G(V, E)$ having n vertices. Let \tilde{B} be the row-subset of B having $n-1$ rows (and all columns) of B . Let $H(\tilde{V}, \tilde{E})$ be the subgraph on $n-1$ vertices for whose incidence matrix is \tilde{B} .

If the $\text{rank}(B) = n-1$, it implies that $\text{rank}(\tilde{B}) = n-1$. Thus \tilde{B} is an incidence matrix whose rank equals the number of its rows. Since this is true for every \tilde{B} , we can apply Claim 3 and therefore G is connected. \square

6.2. The Connection between Spanning Trees and the Incidence Matrix.

The subgraph $T(V, \tilde{E})$ will be a spanning tree of the graph $G(V, E)$ has the following properties:

- (1) T has n vertices and $n-1$ edges.
- (2) T is connected.
- (3) The incidence matrix $B(T)$ has n rows and $n-1$ columns.

Let us consider $B(T)$. Since T is connected, according to Lemma 3 we know that the rank of $B(T)$ is $n-1$, which means any $n-1$ rows of $B(T)$ are linearly independent, and thus any row-subset \tilde{B} of $B(T)$ containing $n-1$ rows will have rank $n-1$. Now, such a row-subset \tilde{B} is a square matrix with $n-1$ rows and $n-1$ columns with rank $n-1$, and hence $\det(\tilde{B}) \neq 0$. Therefore we have the following lemma:

Lemma 4. *If $B(T)$ is the incidence matrix of a spanning tree T with n vertices, the determinant of any $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ square submatrix of $B(T)$ cannot be zero.*

The statement that determinant of any matrix is not zero is equivalent to the statement that the matrix has an inverse. Such a matrix is called *non-singular* and *invertible*. The above claim also leads us to the following elegant result.

Theorem 5. *Let G be a graph with n vertices, and let $B(G)$ be its incidence matrix. Let H be a subgraph of G with $n-1$ edges, and let $B(H)$ be its incidence matrix. Then, H is a spanning tree of $G \Leftrightarrow$ every $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ square submatrix of $B(H)$ is non-singular.*

Proof. (*The \Rightarrow part.*) Evident from Lemma 4. (*The \Leftarrow part.*) If every $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrix \tilde{B} of a $n \times (n-1)$ matrix B is non-singular, it implies that rank of every \tilde{B} is $n-1$, which is equal to the number of rows in \tilde{B} . Therefore, according to Claim 2 we can see that H is connected. Since H has exactly $n-1$ edges on n vertices, G must be a tree. Hence, H is a spanning tree. \square

The above theorem leads to the following corollary.

Corollary 5. *Each non-singular $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ square submatrix of $B(G)$ corresponds to a spanning tree of G induced by its columns.*

Proof. Let $G(V, E)$ be a connected graph. Let Q be a non-singular $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ square submatrix of $B(G)$ and let $G_Q(V_Q, E_Q)$ be the subgraph for which Q is the incidence matrix. Assume that $V_Q = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$. Since $\text{rank}(Q) = n-1$ (because it is non-singular), one of its column-sum is non-zero and thus one of its edges must be incident to the vertex u such that $u \in V \setminus V_Q$. Thus the subgraph $T(V_Q \cup u, E_Q)$ is connected, has n vertices and $n-1$ edges, and thus it is a spanning tree of G and has the same columns as Q . \square

The above corollary leads us to the following simple yet beautiful result.

Lemma 5. *Let \tilde{B}_k be a submatrix of $B(G)$ derived by removing the k th row from $B(G)$. Thus \tilde{B}_k has $n - 1$ rows and m columns. Then, the number of spanning trees in G , denoted by $t(G)$, is equal to the number of non-singular $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ submatrices of \tilde{B}_k .*

Proof. By Corollary 5 each non-singular $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ submatrix Q of \tilde{B}_k corresponds to a spanning tree. However, since \tilde{B}_k has $n - 1$ rows, each Q has a different set of edges, and thus correspond to a different spanning tree. Therefore, the number of spanning trees in G equals the number of non-singular $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ submatrices of \tilde{B}_k . \square

Let \tilde{B}_k be called the *Reduced Incidence Matrix*, derived by removing the k th row from $B(G)$. Thus the question of deriving the number of spanning trees in G is equivalent to deriving the number of non-singular $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ square submatrices of the reduced incidence matrix \tilde{B}_k .

7. DETERMINANTS OF THE SUBMATRICES OF THE INCIDENCE MATRIX

Let B be the incidence matrix of a graph $G(V, E)$ having n vertices. Let \tilde{B} be the row-subset of B having $n - 1$ rows (and all columns) of B . Below we will present how to get the value of $\det(\tilde{B})$.

7.1. Determinant of a 2×2 Submatrix of the Incidence Matrix. Let us consider a 2×2 submatrix Q of an incidence matrix. It has two rows, two columns, four entries, each entry can be one of $\{0, \pm 1\}$. However, since each column of the incidence matrix has exactly one 1 and one -1 , each column of Q can have at most one 1 and one -1 .

According to the above restriction, we observe that for each column of Q ,

- If the first position is zero, the second position can have 3 different values.
- If the first position is 1, the second position can have 2 different values.
- If the first position is -1 , the second position can have 2 different values.
- These two positions can be interchanged.

Hence, each column of Q can have exactly $3 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2 = 24$ different configurations, and there can be $24 \times 2 = 48$ different instances of Q . What are the possible values of the determinants of each of these matrices?

Let us assume that $Q =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix}$$

The determinant of Q , $\det(Q) = ad - bc$. Since $\{a, b, c, d\} = \{0, \pm 1\}$, we have $ad = \{0, \pm 1\}$ and $bc = \{0, \pm 1\}$, and $\det(Q) = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$. It is obvious that

- (1) If $(a = 0 \wedge d = 0)$ and $(b = 0 \wedge c = 0)$, $\det(Q) = 0 - (\pm 1) = \pm 1$.
- (2) If $(a = 0 \wedge d = 0)$, $\det(Q) = 0 - (\pm 1) = \pm 1$.
- (3) If $(b = 0 \wedge c = 0)$, $\det(Q) = (\pm 1) - 0 = \pm 1$.

It follows that the only way $\det(Q)$ can have any value other than $\{0, \pm 1\}$ is

- (1) Case 1: If $ad = 1$ and $bc = -1$, which implies $\det(Q) = 1 - (-1) = 2$, or
- (2) Case 2: If $ad = -1$ and $bc = 1$, which implies $\det(Q) = -1 - 1 = -2$.

It should be noted that if we can find a matrix Q for which the first case applies, we can interchange its rows and see that now the second case applies. Determinants of these two matrices will differ only by the sign. Therefore these two cases are equivalent.

Let us consider the first case, namely $ad = 1$ and $bc = -1$. There are only four possibilities:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Q is a submatrix of an incidence matrix $b(G)$, and according to Remark 5 $B(G)$ does not have the same non-zero entry twice in a column. However, each of the four matrices above has one column with two identical non-zero values. Therefore, if Q is a submatrix of an incidence matrix, its entries (a, b, c, d) cannot be assigned from $\{0, \pm 1\}$ such that $ad = 1$ and $bc = -1$. Hence $\det(Q)$ cannot be ± 2 , and we have the following claim:

Claim 5. *If Q is any 2×2 submatrix of the incidence matrix $B(G)$, $\det(Q) = \{0, \pm 1\}$.*

Proof. Presented in the preceding arguments. \square

7.2. Determinant of Any Square Submatrix of the Incidence Matrix. As described in subsubsection 2.2.2, determinant of a matrix can be computed from its cofactors. For the incidence matrix $B(G)$, all its entries are from $\{0, \pm 1\}$, and the determinant of every 2×2 square submatrix of $B(G)$ is also $\{0, \pm 1\}$ (according to our Claim 5), if we multiply any of these determinants with any entry of $B(G)$, the result will still be one of $\{0, \pm 1\}$. Hence we have the following lemma.

Lemma 6. *For any graph G , the determinant of any square submatrix of its incidence matrix $B(G)$ is either 0 or ± 1 .*

Proof. \square

Corollary 6. *The determinant of any non-singular $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrix of the incidence matrix $B(G)$ is ± 1 .*

8. KIRCHHOFF'S MATRIX-TREE THEOREM

First, we want to evaluate the cofactor C_{kk} of the k th diagonal element of the combinatorial Laplacian L .

Let \tilde{B}_k be the submatrix of the incidence matrix B found by deleting the k th row. From Proposition 4 we know that the diagonal cofactor C_{kk} of the combinatorial Laplacian L is $C_{kk} = \det(\tilde{B}_k \tilde{B}_k^T)$. For ease of notation, let $P = \tilde{B}_k$. Thus we want to find $C_{kk} = \det(PP^T)$.

Now, P has $n-1$ rows and m columns, and P^T has m rows and $n-1$ columns. If G is connected, the inequality $n-1 \leq m$ holds. Therefore, we can find $\det(PP^T)$ by applying the Cauchy-Binet theorem (see Theorem 3). We do it as follows. Let $s = \langle s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1} \rangle$, $1 \leq s_i \leq m$ be a sequence of $n-1$ different column indexes such that $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_{n-1}$. Let $S = s$ be the set of all such sequences. For each $s \in S$, let us construct the matrix P_s from P by keeping only those columns from P whose index $\in s$. Thus P_s is an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrix of P . Similarly,

P_s^T is an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrix of P^T such that the columns of P_s and the rows of P_s^T are taken from the same index set s such that $|s| = n-1 = \#rows(P) < m = \#columns(P)$. Therefore, according to the Cauchy-Binet formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{kk} &= \det(P P^T) \\ &= \sum_{s \in S} \det(P_s) \det(P_s^T) \\ &= \sum_{s \in S} (\det(P_s))^2 \text{ since } \det(P_s) = \det(P_s^T) \end{aligned}$$

However, since P_s is an order- $(n-1)$ square submatrix of B , according to the Lemma 6, $\det(P_s) \in \{0, \pm 1\}$, $s \in S$. Thus the above equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} C_{kk} &= \sum_{\substack{s \in S \\ \det(P_s) \neq 0}} (\pm 1)^2 + \sum_{\substack{s \in S \\ \det(P_s) = 0}} 0 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{s \in S \\ \det(P_s) \neq 0}} 1 \\ &= \text{Number of non-singular } (n-1) \times (n-1) \text{ submatrices of } P \\ &= \text{Number of non-singular } (n-1) \times (n-1) \text{ submatrices of } \tilde{B}_k \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 5, each non-singular $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrix of the reduced \tilde{B}_k corresponds to a spanning tree. Therefore, the above equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} C_{kk} &= \text{Number of non-singular } (n-1) \times (n-1) \text{ submatrices of } \tilde{B}_k \\ &= \text{Number of spanning trees in } G \\ (8.1) \quad &= t(G), \end{aligned}$$

where $t(G)$ is the number of different spanning trees in G . It should be noted that the value of $t(G)$ does not depend on k , and thus each diagonal cofactor of the combinatorial Laplacian is equal to $t(G)$.

$$(8.2) \quad C_{ii} = t(G), 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Recalling Equation (5.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \cdots \lambda_n &= \sum_i [L]_{i,i} \\ &= \sum_i C_{ii} \text{ (by Remark 1)} \\ (8.3) \quad &= nt(G) \text{ (by Equation (8.2))} \end{aligned}$$

This is the celebrated result named Kirchhoff's matrix-tree theorem.

Theorem 6. (*Kirchhoff's matrix-tree theorem*) *The product of non-zero eigenvalues of the combinatorial Laplacian of G is equal to n times the number of spanning trees of G .*

Proof. Presented in preceding arguments. □

9. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have presented a proof of the Kirschhoff's matrix tree theorem which gives a formula of counting the number of spanning trees in a graph in terms of the eigenvalues of the combinatorial Laplacian matrix of the graph. Although the proof can be outlined in only a paragraph [5, 4], we included proof of every argument in the proof, including elementary properties of determinants. Therefore we hope our work helps the reader to understand the proof of this classic result as well as improve her understanding of elementary concepts of graph theory and linear algebra.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kirchhoff, G. R., Über die Auflösung der Gleichungen, auf welche man bei der untersuchung der linearen verteilung galvanischer Ströme geführt wird., *Ann. Phys. Chem.* **72**, 497–508, 1847.
- [2] Deo, N., *Graph theory wity applications to Engineering and Computer Science*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1974.
- [3] Lancaster, P., Tismenetsky, M., *Theory of matrices : with applications*, 2nd edition, Academic Press, 1985.
- [4] Butler, S. K., *Eigenvalues and Structures of Graphs*, PhD Dissertation, University of California at San Diego, 1–9, 2008.
- [5] Brualdi, R. A., *The Mutually Beneficial Relationship of Graphs and Matrices*, American Mathematical Society, 21–22, 2011.
- [6] Boomen, J., *The Matrix Tree Theorem*, Masters thesis, Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen, Netherlands, 2007.